

CHEEGER TYPE INEQUALITIES ASSOCIATED WITH ISOCAPACITARY CONSTANTS ON GRAPHS

BOBO HUA, FLORENTIN MÜNCH, AND TAO WANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce Cheeger type constants via isocapacitary constants introduced by May'za to estimate first Dirichlet, Neumann and Steklov eigenvalues on a finite subgraph of a graph. Moreover, we estimate the bottom of the spectrum of the Laplace operator and the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator for an infinite subgraph. Estimates for higher-order Steklov eigenvalues on a finite or infinite subgraph are also proved.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g) be a compact, connected, smooth Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary ∂M . There are three typical eigenvalue problems: the Dirichlet problem, Neumann problem and Steklov problem. Eigenvalue estimates are of interest in spectral geometry. In [6], Cheeger discovered a close relation between the first non-trivial eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a closed manifold and the isoperimetric constant, called the Cheeger constant. Estimates of this type are called Cheeger estimates.

For a compact manifold M with boundary ∂M , the Steklov problem is to study the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator Λ , called the DtN operator for short, which is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda : H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M) &\rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M), \\ f &\mapsto \Lambda(f) := \frac{\partial u_f}{\partial n}, \end{aligned}$$

where u_f is the harmonic extension of f to M , n is the outward unit normal on the boundary ∂M and $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)$, $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)$ are Sobolev spaces. The DtN operator is closely related to the Calderón problem [5] of determining the anisotropic electrical conductivity of a medium in the Euclidean space by taking voltage and current measurements at the boundary of the medium. This makes it useful for applications to electrical impedance tomography, see [35, 49] for more details.

The Steklov problem has been extensively studied on Riemannian manifolds, see e.g. [21, 9, 17, 18, 19, 23]. We refer to a comprehensive survey [10]. Especially, Escobar [16] and Jammes [33] introduced two different types of

Cheeger estimates for the first non-trivial eigenvalue of the DtN operator and proved corresponding Cheeger estimates.

In recent years, many mathematicians are interested in the analysis on graphs. Spectral graph theory is an important field in the literature [4, 11, 8, 22, 25, 20, 43, 42, 44, 7, 46, 32, 38, 34]. The Cheeger estimate was first generalized to graphs by Dodziuk [15], Alon and Milman [1], respectively. Inspired by their works, there were various Cheeger estimates on graphs, see e.g. [2, 14, 3, 37, 48, 26, 36, 45, 31].

The first author, Huang and Wang [27] defined the DtN operator on a finite subgraph of a graph and proved two types of Cheeger estimates similar to [16, 33] for the first non-trivial Steklov eigenvalue. Hassannezhad and Miclo [24] independently proved the Cheeger estimate similar to [33] and generalized it to higher-order Cheeger estimates for the Steklov problem. In [28], the first author, Huang and Wang used the exhaustion methods to define the DtN operator on an infinite subgraph of a graph and proved the Cheeger estimate for the bottom of the spectrum and higher-order Cheeger estimates for higher-order eigenvalues of the DtN operator.

In this paper, we introduce Cheeger constants using the capacity to estimate first non-trivial Dirichlet, Neumann and Steklov eigenvalues of graphs. Using capacity to estimate eigenvalues can be traced back to the works of May'za [39, 40, 41]. May'za proved that if Ω is a subdomain of a n -dimensional Riemannian manifold M and $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue, then

$$\frac{1}{4}\Gamma(\Omega) \leq \lambda_1(\Omega) \leq \Gamma(\Omega),$$

where

$$\Gamma(\Omega) := \inf_{F \subset \subset \Omega} \frac{\text{Cap}(F, \Omega)}{|F|},$$

with

$$\text{Cap}(F, \Omega) := \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx : u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), u \geq 1 \text{ on } F \right\}.$$

We will prove similar estimates on graphs, and apply them to the Steklov problem.

We recall some basic definitions on graphs. Let $G = (V, E, w, m)$ be a (possibly infinite) undirected, simple graph with the set of vertices V , the set of edges E , the edge weight $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and the vertex weight $m : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. We write $m(A) := \sum_{x \in A} m(x)$ for the volume of any subset $A \subseteq V$. Two vertices x, y are called neighbors, denoted by $x \sim y$, if there is an edge connecting x and y , i.e., $\{x, y\} \in E$. We only consider locally finite graphs, i.e., each vertex only has finitely many neighbors. A graph is called connected if for any $x, y \in V$ there is a path $\{z_i\}_{i=0}^n \subseteq V$ connecting x and y , i.e.,

$$x = z_0 \sim z_1 \sim \cdots \sim z_n = y.$$

We call the quadruple $G = (V, E, w, m)$ a weighted graph.

For any subset $\Omega \subseteq V$, we define the vertex boundary of Ω as

$$\delta\Omega := \{y \in V \setminus \Omega : \text{there exists } x \in \Omega \text{ such that } x \sim y\}.$$

Set $\bar{\Omega} := \Omega \cup \delta\Omega$. In this paper, we always assume that $\bar{\Omega}$ is connected. Given $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subseteq V$, the set of edges between Ω_1 and Ω_2 is written as

$$E(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) := \{\{x, y\} \in E : x \in \Omega_1, y \in \Omega_2\}.$$

Given any set F , we denote by \mathbb{R}^F the set of all real functions defined on F . For any subset $\Omega \subseteq V$ and any $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\bar{\Omega}}$, the Laplacian of f is defined as

$$\Delta f(x) := \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} w(x, y)(f(y) - f(x)), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

and the outward normal derivative of f at $z \in \delta\Omega$ is defined as

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial n}(z) := \frac{1}{m(z)} \sum_{x \in \Omega: x \sim z} w(x, z)(f(z) - f(x)).$$

We write $\mathcal{L} := -\Delta$. For any subset $X \subseteq V$, we restrict w to $E(X, \bar{X})$ and m to \bar{X} , still denoted by w and m for simplicity. Then we define a graph

$$(1.1) \quad G_X = (\bar{X}, E(X, \bar{X}), w, m).$$

Note that edges between vertices in δX , $E(\delta X, \delta X)$, are removed, i.e., $w(x, y) = 0$ for any $\{x, y\} \notin E(X, \bar{X})$.

In analogy to the continuous case, one can study three eigenvalue problems in the discrete setting. For any finite subset $\Omega \subseteq V$, the Dirichlet problem on Ω is defined as

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}f(x) = \lambda f(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ f(x) = 0, & x \in \delta\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We denote by $\lambda_1^D(\Omega)$ the first eigenvalue of the above Dirichlet problem. Set

$$\alpha_D(\Omega) := \inf_{A \subseteq \Omega} \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(A)}{m(A)},$$

where $\text{Cap}_\Omega(A)$ is defined in (2.2) with respect to the graph G_Ω . We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a weighted graph, $\Omega \subseteq V$ be a finite subset. Then*

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{1}{4}\alpha_D(\Omega) \leq \lambda_1^D(\Omega) \leq \alpha_D(\Omega).$$

Remark 1.2.

1. *Our estimate shows that $\lambda_1^D(\Omega)$ and $\alpha_D(\Omega)$ are of the same order, which is better than the classical Cheeger estimate for normalized Laplacian $\frac{h^2(\Omega)}{2} \leq \lambda_1^D(\Omega) \leq h(\Omega)$, see e.g., [15, 2], where $h(\Omega)$ is the Cheeger constant.*

2. *The Cheeger estimate for general Laplacian depends on $\max_{x \in \Omega} \text{Deg}(x)$, where $\text{Deg}(x) := \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} w(x, y)$ is the degree of vertex x . But the constant in the estimate (1.2) does not depend on weights. This is the key for our application to prove estimate of the first Steklov eigenvalue.*

Next we use (1.2) to estimate the bottom of the spectrum of an infinite graph G . Let $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be an exhaustion of G , see Definition 2.1. By the spectral theory, the bottom of the spectrum of the Laplacian on G is given by

$$\lambda_1(G) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1^D(W_i).$$

Set

$$\alpha_D(G) := \inf_{\substack{A \subseteq V \\ |A| < +\infty}} \frac{\text{Cap}(A)}{m(A)},$$

where

$$\text{Cap}(A) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cap}_{W_i}(A).$$

Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *For an infinite weighted graph G ,*

$$\frac{1}{4} \alpha_D(G) \leq \lambda_1(G) \leq \alpha_D(G).$$

As a corollary, we prove that $\lambda_1(G) = 0$ for a recurrent infinite weighted graph G , see Proposition 3.2. For the transient case, we give an example of estimating the bottom of the spectrum which was considered in [29], see Example 3.3.

For any finite subset $\Omega \subseteq V$, the Neumann problem on Ω is defined as

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}f(x) = \lambda f(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial n}(x) = 0, & x \in \delta\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We denote by $\lambda_1^N(\Omega)$ the first non-trivial eigenvalue of the above Neumann problem. For

$$\alpha_N(\Omega) := \inf_{A, B \subseteq \Omega} \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B)}{m(A) \wedge m(B)},$$

where $a \wedge b := \min\{a, b\}$ and $\text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B)$ is defined in (2.1), we have the following refined estimate, compared to [26].

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be a weighted graph, $\Omega \subseteq V$ be a finite subset with $|\Omega| \geq 2$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the cardinality of a set. Then*

$$\frac{1}{8} \alpha_N(\Omega) \leq \lambda_1^N(\Omega) \leq 2 \alpha_N(\Omega).$$

For any finite subset $\Omega \subseteq V$, we define the DtN operator on Ω , denoted by Λ_Ω , as

$$\Lambda_\Omega : \mathbb{R}^{\delta\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\delta\Omega}$$

$$f \mapsto \Lambda_\Omega f := \frac{\partial u_f}{\partial n},$$

where u_f is the harmonic extension of f to Ω . The Steklov problem on Ω is defined as

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}f(x) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial n}(x) = \lambda f(x), & x \in \delta\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We denote by $\sigma_1(\Omega)$ the first non-trivial Steklov eigenvalue. For $|\delta\Omega| \geq 2$, set

$$\alpha_S(\Omega) := \inf_{A, B \subseteq \delta\Omega} \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B)}{m(A) \wedge m(B)}.$$

We have the following estimate.

Theorem 1.5. *Let G be a weighted graph, $\Omega \subseteq V$ be a finite subset with $|\delta\Omega| \geq 2$. Then*

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{1}{8}\alpha_S(\Omega) \leq \sigma_1(\Omega) \leq 2\alpha_S(\Omega).$$

Remark 1.6.

1. *The definition of our DtN operator is slightly different from that in [24]. For a subset $\Omega \subseteq V$, we always consider the Neumann problem and the Steklov problem on the graph G_Ω defined in (1.1). But similar estimates to (1.3) also hold for the first non-trivial eigenvalue of the DtN operator in [24], see Section 7.*
2. *Cheeger estimates for the Steklov problem proved in [27, 24, 28] involve two Cheeger constants $h(\Omega)$ and $h_J(\Omega)$. Theorem 1.5 provides a single geometric quantity $\alpha_S(\Omega)$ for estimating $\sigma_1(\Omega)$, which are of same order.*
3. *The Cheeger estimate in [27] $\sigma_1(\Omega) \leq h_J(\Omega)$ may not be effective for some graphs. For example, for the graph of infinite line (\mathbb{Z}, E) with unit edge weights and vertex weights, let $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Then for any $n \geq 2$, the Cheeger estimate proved in [27] implies a trivial upper bound estimate $\sigma_1(\Omega) \leq 1$. By applying (1.3), we can get a sharp upper bound estimate $\sigma_1(\Omega) \leq \frac{2}{n}$. See Example 5.1. Moreover, we characterize the equality case for the upper bound estimate in (1.3) in Proposition 5.3.*
4. *For a finite tree T with unit edge weights and vertex weights, we regard the vertices of degree one as boundary vertices. Then the upper bound estimate $\sigma_1(T) \leq \frac{2}{\text{diam}(T)}$ is proved by constructing test functions in [25], where $\text{diam}(T) := \sup_{x, y \in V} d(x, y)$ is the diameter of T . This estimate can be easily proved by applying (1.3). See Example 5.2.*

The proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 follow a same strategy. Consider the graph G_Ω . We use co-area formula, see Lemma 3.1, to prove that the first non-trivial eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ of the Laplacian on G_Ω satisfies

$$\frac{1}{8}\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} \leq \lambda_1^{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} \leq 2\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}},$$

where

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} := \inf_{A, B \subseteq \bar{\Omega}} \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B)}{m(A) \wedge m(B)}.$$

Then we construct a sequence of finite graphs $\{G^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ where $G^{(1)} = G_\Omega$, $G^{(k)} = (\bar{\Omega}, E(\Omega, \bar{\Omega}), w, m^{(k)})$ with $m^{(k)}|_{\delta\Omega} = m$ and $m^{(k)}|_\Omega = \frac{m}{k}$. Following the proof in [24], the first non-trivial eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{(k)}$ of the Laplacian on $G^{(k)}$ satisfies

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1^{(k)} = \sigma_1(\Omega).$$

Moreover, one can easily show that the isocapacitary constant converges to $\alpha_S(\Omega)$. This proves Theorem 1.5 by passing to the limit $k \rightarrow +\infty$.

We can estimate Steklov eigenvalues of an infinite subset of G . The DtN operator on an infinite subset was introduced in [24, 28] with same restriction on vertex weights. We introduce a generalization of the DtN operator on an infinite subset of arbitrary vertex weights, see Section 5 for details. Let $U \subseteq V$ be an infinite subset with vertex boundary δU . We always assume that \bar{U} is connected. Denote by $\sigma_1(U)$ the bottom of the spectrum of the DtN operator and set

$$\alpha_S(U) := \inf_{\substack{A \subseteq \delta U \\ |A| < +\infty}} \frac{\text{Cap}^U(A)}{m(A)},$$

where $\text{Cap}^U(A)$ is defined in (2.3). We have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.7. *Let G be an infinite weighted graph, $U \subseteq V$ be an infinite subset. Then*

$$\frac{1}{4}\alpha_S(U) \leq \sigma_1(U) \leq \alpha_S(U).$$

As an application, we show that for $N \geq 3$, the bottom of the spectrum of the DtN operator on $\mathbb{Z}_+^N := \{(x_1, \dots, x_N) : x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} \in \mathbb{Z}, x_N \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is zero, see Example 5.7, which seems hard to prove using the upper bound h_J in [28].

Remark 1.8. *Compared with the estimate in [28], our estimate only use one geometric quantity. In particular, our upper bound estimate is sharp, while the upper bound estimate h_J in [28] is not. See Example 5.6 and [28, Example 4.7].*

Inspired by [36, 24, 28], we can estimate higher-order Dirichlet eigenvalues and Steklov eigenvalues. For any subset $W \subseteq V$, we denote by $\mathcal{A}(W)$ the

collection of all non-empty finite subsets of W and $\mathcal{A}_k(W)$ the set of all disjoint k -tuples (A_1, \dots, A_k) such that $A_l \in \mathcal{A}(W)$, for all $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

For an infinite weighted graph $G = (V, E, w, m)$ and $k \geq 1$, we denote by $\lambda_k(G)$ the k -th variational eigenvalue of the Laplacian, see (3.1). Set

$$\Gamma_k^D(G) := \inf_{(A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k(V)} \max_{l \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \alpha_D(A_l).$$

Then we get the following theorem.

Theorem 1.9. *For an infinite weighted graph G , there exists a universal constant $c > 0$ such that for any $k \geq 1$,*

$$\frac{c}{k^6} \Gamma_k^D(G) \leq \lambda_k(G) \leq 2\Gamma_k^D(G).$$

We refer to [28, Section 5] for higher-order estimates for Dirichlet eigenvalues on a finite graph, see also Lemma 6.1.

For any subset $X \subseteq V$ and $Y \in \mathcal{A}(\bar{X})$, we define

$$\alpha_{DS}^X(Y) := \inf_{A \subseteq Y \cap \delta X} \frac{\text{Cap}_X(A, \delta_X Y)}{m(A)},$$

where $\delta_X Y$ is the vertex boundary of Y in G_X . By convention, when $Y \cap \delta X = \emptyset$, we set $\alpha_{DS}^X(Y) = +\infty$.

For any finite subset $\Omega \subseteq V$ and any $1 \leq k \leq |\delta\Omega| - 1$, we denote by $\sigma_k(\Omega)$ the k -th non-trivial Steklov eigenvalue. Set

$$\kappa_{k+1} := \min_{(A_1, \dots, A_{k+1}) \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}(\bar{\Omega})} \max_{l \in \{1, \dots, k+1\}} \alpha_{DS}^\Omega(A_l),$$

Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.10. *Let G be a weighted graph, $\Omega \subseteq V$ be a finite subset. Then there exists a universal constant $c > 0$ such that for any $1 \leq k \leq |\delta\Omega| - 1$,*

$$\frac{c}{k^6} \kappa_{k+1} \leq \sigma_k(\Omega) \leq 2\kappa_{k+1}.$$

For an infinite subset $U \subseteq V$ and any $k \geq 1$, we denote by $\sigma_k(U)$ the k -th variational Steklov eigenvalue, see (5.3) for the definition. Set

$$\Gamma_k^S(U) := \inf_{(A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k(\bar{U})} \max_{l \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \alpha_{DS}^U(A_l),$$

then we get the following estimate.

Theorem 1.11. *Let G be a weighted graph, $U \subseteq V$ be an infinite subset. Then there exists a universal constant $c > 0$ such that for any $k \geq 1$,*

$$\frac{c}{k^6} \Gamma_k^S(U) \leq \sigma_k(U) \leq 2\Gamma_k^S(U).$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic facts on graphs. In Section 3, we study the first Dirichlet eigenvalue on a finite graph and prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3. In Section 4, we obtain the estimate of the first non-trivial Neumann eigenvalue on a finite graph, i.e., Theorem 1.4. In Section 5, we study the first non-trivial Steklov eigenvalue

on a finite graph and prove Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.7. In Section 6, we prove higher-order eigenvalue estimates Theorem 1.9, Theorem 1.10 and Theorem 1.11. In Section 7, we discuss the eigenvalue problem of the DtN operator defined in [24].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $G = (V, E, w, m)$ be a weighted graph, and $F \subseteq V$ be a finite subset. Given a function $f \in \mathbb{R}^F$, we denote by

$$\|f\|_{l^2(F)} := \left(\sum_{x \in F} |f(x)|^2 m(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

the l^2 norm of f , and

$$\|f\|_{l^\infty(F)} := \sup_{x \in F} |f(x)|$$

the l^∞ norm of f . Let

$$l^2(F) := \{f \in \mathbb{R}^F : \|f\|_{l^2(F)} < +\infty\}$$

be the space of l^2 summable functions on F . Then $l^2(F)$ is a Hilbert space equipped with standard inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_F = \sum_{x \in F} f(x)g(x)m(x), \quad \forall f, g \in \mathbb{R}^F.$$

For any subset $X \subseteq V$, we denote by $l_0(X)$ the set of functions on X with finite support. Consider the graph G_X . Recall that edges between vertices in δX are removed. Given functions $f, g \in \mathbb{R}^{\overline{X}}$, we define the energy functional as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_X(f, g) &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \overline{X}} w(x, y) (f(y) - f(x))(g(y) - g(x)) \\ &= \sum_{\{x, y\} \in E(X, \overline{X})} w(x, y) (f(y) - f(x))(g(y) - g(x)), \end{aligned}$$

whenever the summation absolutely converges. For any finite $A, B \subseteq \overline{X}$, we define

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Cap}_X(A, B) := \inf \{ \mathcal{E}_X(f, f) : f|_A = 1, f|_B = 0, f \in l_0(\overline{X}) \}.$$

For finite X , one easily checks that the optimizer f exists, which satisfies $\Delta f = 0$ on $X \setminus (\overset{\circ}{\delta}A \cup \overset{\circ}{\delta}B)$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial n} = 0$ on $\delta X \setminus (\overset{\circ}{\delta}A \cup \overset{\circ}{\delta}B)$, where

$$\overset{\circ}{\delta}A = \{x \in A : \text{there exists } y \in \overline{X} \setminus A \text{ such that } y \sim x \text{ in } G_X\}.$$

When $X = V$, we write

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \mathcal{E}_V(f, g)$$

and

$$\text{Cap}(A, B) = \text{Cap}_V(A, B).$$

For finite $\Omega \subseteq V$, we set

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Cap}_\Omega(A) = \text{Cap}_\Omega(A, \delta\Omega).$$

For an infinite graph, the exhaustion of the whole graph by finite subsets of vertices is an important concept, see e.g., [2].

Definition 2.1. *Let $G = (V, E, w, m)$ be an infinite weighted graph. A sequence of subsets of vertices $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is called an exhaustion of G , denoted by $\mathcal{W} \uparrow V$, if it satisfies*

- $W_1 \subseteq W_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_i \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V$,
- $|W_i| < \infty$, for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$,
- $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty W_i$.

Let $U \subseteq V$ be an infinite subset with vertex boundary δU . For any finite $A \subseteq \overline{U}$, we define

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Cap}^U(A) := \inf\{\mathcal{E}_U(f, f) : f|_A = 1, f \in l_0(\overline{U})\}.$$

For any exhaustion $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \uparrow \overline{U}$, one can show that

$$\text{Cap}^U(A) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cap}_U(A, \delta_U W_i).$$

When $U = V$, we write

$$\text{Cap}(A) = \text{Cap}^V(A),$$

and we have for any exhaustion $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of G ,

$$\text{Cap}(A) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cap}_{W_i}(A).$$

We recall the following well-known result of Green's formula.

Lemma 2.2. *For any finite subset $\Omega \subseteq V$ and any $f, g \in \mathbb{R}^{\overline{\Omega}}$, we have*

$$(2.4) \quad \langle \mathcal{L}f, g \rangle_\Omega + \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n}, g \right\rangle_{\delta\Omega} = \mathcal{E}_\Omega(f, g).$$

3. FIRST DIRICHLET EIGENVALUE

Let $G = (V, E, w, m)$ be a graph, $\Omega \subseteq V$ be a finite subset. Let $\lambda_1^D(\Omega)$ be the first Dirichlet eigenvalue on Ω . By the well-known Rayleigh quotient characterization,

$$\lambda_1^D(\Omega) = \inf_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{R}^{\overline{\Omega}}, f|_{\delta\Omega} = 0 \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\mathcal{E}_\Omega(f, f)}{\|f\|_{l^2(\Omega)}^2}.$$

Moreover, we know that if f is a first eigenfunction, then $|f| > 0$ on Ω , see, e.g., [15].

The following co-area formula proved in [47] plays a crucial role in proving Theorem 1.1. For the convenience of readers, we give a proof here.

Lemma 3.1. ([47]) *For any $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\overline{\Omega}}$, we have*

$$2\mathcal{E}_\Omega(f, f) \geq \int_0^\infty t \text{Cap}_\Omega(\{f > t\}, \{f \leq 0\}) dt.$$

Proof. For any $t > 0$, there exists a function h_t satisfying $h_t|_{\{f>t\}} = 1$ and $h_t|_{\{f\leq 0\}} = 0$, such that

$$\mathcal{E}_\Omega(h_t, h_t) = \text{Cap}_\Omega(\{f > t\}, \{f \leq 0\}).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} t\text{Cap}_\Omega(\{f > t\}, \{f \leq 0\}) &= t\mathcal{E}_\Omega(h_t, h_t) \\ &= \langle \mathcal{L}h_t, th_t \rangle_\Omega + \left\langle \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial n}, th_t \right\rangle_{\delta\Omega} \\ &\leq \langle f, \mathcal{L}h_t \rangle_\Omega + \left\langle \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial n}, f \right\rangle_{\delta\Omega} \\ &= \mathcal{E}_\Omega(h_t, f), \end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.4) twice. Since $\int_0^\infty \mathcal{E}_\Omega(h_t, f) dt = \mathcal{E}_\Omega(f, \int_0^\infty h_t dt)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^\infty t\text{Cap}_\Omega(\{f > t\}, \{f \leq 0\}) dt \right)^2 &\leq \left(\mathcal{E}_\Omega(f, \int_0^\infty h_t dt) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \mathcal{E}_\Omega(f, f) \mathcal{E}_\Omega \left(\int_0^\infty h_t dt, \int_0^\infty h_t dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

By definition of the energy functional, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_\Omega \left(\int_0^\infty h_t dt, \int_0^\infty h_t dt \right) &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathcal{E}_\Omega(h_s, h_t) ds dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_\Omega(h_s, h_t) ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

Note that when $t > s$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_\Omega(h_s, h_t) &= \langle \mathcal{L}h_t, h_s \rangle_\Omega + \left\langle \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial n}, h_s \right\rangle_{\delta\Omega} \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathring{\delta}\{f>t\} \cap \Omega} \mathcal{L}h_t(x) h_s(x) m(x) + \sum_{x \in \mathring{\delta}\{f>t\} \cap \delta\Omega} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial n}(x) h_s(x) m(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathring{\delta}\{f>t\} \cap \Omega} \mathcal{L}h_t(x) h_t(x) m(x) + \sum_{x \in \mathring{\delta}\{f>t\} \cap \delta\Omega} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial n}(x) h_t(x) m(x) \\ &= \langle \mathcal{L}h_t, h_t \rangle_\Omega + \left\langle \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial n}, h_t \right\rangle_{\delta\Omega} = \mathcal{E}_\Omega(h_t, h_t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{E}_\Omega \left(\int_0^\infty h_t dt, \int_0^\infty h_t dt \right) = 2 \int_0^\infty t\text{Cap}_\Omega(\{f > t\}, \{f \leq 0\}) dt,$$

and the conclusion follows. \square

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first show that $\lambda_1^D(\Omega) \leq \alpha_D(\Omega)$. Recall that

$$\alpha_D(\Omega) = \inf_{A \subseteq \Omega} \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(A)}{m(A)}.$$

Let $A \subseteq \Omega$ be a finite subset such that

$$\alpha_D(\Omega) = \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(A)}{m(A)}.$$

Then there exists a function f satisfying $f|_A = 1$ and $f|_{\delta\Omega} = 0$, such that $\mathcal{E}_\Omega(f, f) = \text{Cap}_\Omega(A)$. Note that f can be used as a test function in the Rayleigh quotient characterization. Since $f|_A = 1$, we have

$$\|f\|_{l^2(\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{x \in \Omega} f^2(x)m(x) \geq \sum_{x \in A} m(x) = m(A).$$

It follows that

$$\alpha_D(\Omega) = \frac{\mathcal{E}_\Omega(f, f)}{m(A)} \geq \frac{\mathcal{E}_\Omega(f, f)}{\|f\|_{l^2(\Omega)}^2} \geq \lambda_1^D(\Omega).$$

To show that $\frac{1}{4}\alpha_D(\Omega) \leq \lambda_1^D(\Omega)$, let $\phi > 0$ be a first eigenfunction. Then by Lemma 3.1, noting that $\{\phi \leq 0\} = \delta\Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\lambda_1^D(\Omega)\|\phi\|_{l^2(\Omega)}^2 &= 2\mathcal{E}_\Omega(\phi, \phi) \geq \int_0^\infty t \text{Cap}_\Omega(\{\phi > t\}, \{\phi \leq 0\}) dt \\ &\geq \int_0^\infty t \alpha_D(\Omega) m(\{\phi > t\}) dt \\ &= \alpha_D(\Omega) \int_0^\infty t \sum_{x \in \{\phi > t\}} m(x) dt \\ &= \alpha_D(\Omega) \sum_{x \in \{\phi > 0\}} m(x) \int_0^{\phi(x)} t dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \alpha_D(\Omega) \|\phi\|_{l^2(\Omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\lambda_1^D(\Omega) \geq \frac{1}{4}\alpha_D(\Omega)$. This proves the theorem. \square

In the following, we estimate the bottom of the spectrum of an infinite weighted graph G by applying the exhaustion methods and (1.1).

For an infinite weighted graph $G = (V, E, w, m)$, we define the k -th variational eigenvalue of the Laplacian on G as

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda_k(G) := \inf_{\substack{H \subseteq l_0(V) \\ \dim H = k}} \max_{0 \neq f \in H} \frac{\mathcal{E}(f, f)}{\|f\|_{l^2(V)}^2}.$$

For $k = 1$, $\lambda_1(G)$ is called the bottom of the spectrum of the Laplacian. Let $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be an exhaustion of G . For any $k \geq 1$, we denote by

$\lambda_k^D(W_i)$ the k -th Dirichlet eigenvalue on W_i . Then by the Rayleigh quotient characterization, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$,

$$\lambda_k^D(W_i) \geq \lambda_k^D(W_{i+1}).$$

One can also show that

$$\lambda_k(G) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k^D(W_i).$$

For any $A \subseteq V$ with $|A| < +\infty$, there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that $A \subseteq W_i$. Since

$$\text{Cap}_{W_i}(A) = \inf\{\mathcal{E}_{W_i}(f, f) : f|_A = 1, f|_{\delta W_i} = 0\},$$

one easily checks that

$$\text{Cap}_{W_i}(A) \geq \text{Cap}_{W_{i+1}}(A).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By applying Theorem 1.1 and the above argument, we have

$$\frac{1}{4} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_D(W_i) \leq \lambda_1(G) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_D(W_i).$$

We only need to prove that $\alpha_D(G) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_D(W_i)$.

Recall that

$$\alpha_D(G) = \inf_{\substack{A \subseteq V \\ |A| < +\infty}} \frac{\text{Cap}(A)}{m(A)}.$$

For any $A \subseteq V$ with $|A| < +\infty$, there exist $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that $A \subseteq W_i$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{Cap}(A)}{m(A)} &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Cap}_{W_i}(A)}{m(A)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_D(W_i), \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\alpha_D(G) \geq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_D(W_i).$$

On the other hand, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$, let $A_i \subseteq W_i$ be a finite subset such that

$$\alpha_D(W_i) = \frac{\text{Cap}_{W_i}(A_i)}{m(A_i)}.$$

Then $|A_i| < +\infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_D(W_i) &= \frac{\text{Cap}_{W_i}(A_i)}{m(A_i)} \\ &\geq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Cap}_{W_j}(A_i)}{m(A_i)} \\ &= \frac{\text{Cap}(A_i)}{m(A_i)} \geq \alpha_D(G). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_D(W_i) \geq \alpha_D(G)$. This proves the result. \square

As a corollary of Theorem 1.3, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $G = (V, E, w, m)$ be an infinite weighted graph. If G is recurrent, then $\lambda_1(G) = 0$.*

Proof. Note that G is recurrent if and only if we have $\text{Cap}(A) = 0$ for any finite subset $A \subseteq V$, see, e.g., [50] Theorem 2.12. Thus, if G is recurrent, then $\alpha_D(G) = 0$ and the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.3. \square

At the end of this section, we give an example for the transient case. For $N \geq 1$, let (\mathbb{Z}^N, E) be the N -dimensional lattice graph with unit edge weights, where

$$E = \left\{ \{x, y\} : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^N, \sum_{i=1}^N |x_i - y_i| = 1 \right\}.$$

Example 3.3. *For $N \geq 3$, consider $G = (\mathbb{Z}^N, E)$. Suppose that the volume of \mathbb{Z}^N is finite, i.e., $m(\mathbb{Z}^N) < +\infty$. Then according to [29, Theorem 1.6], there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\lambda_1(G) \geq C \left(\frac{1}{m(\mathbb{Z}^N)} \right)^{1 - \frac{2}{p}} = C \left(\frac{1}{m(\mathbb{Z}^N)} \right)^{\frac{2}{N}},$$

where $p = \frac{2N}{N-2}$. By applying Theorem 1.3, we can get a new estimate. Note that for any finite $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$,

$$\frac{\text{Cap}(A)}{m(A)} \geq \frac{\text{Cap}(\{p\})}{m(\mathbb{Z}^n)}, \quad \forall p \in A.$$

Since $N \geq 3$, for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, by [50, Theorem 2.12],

$$\text{Cap}(\{p\}) = C(N).$$

Then

$$\alpha_D(G) \geq \frac{C(N)}{m(\mathbb{Z}^N)}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\alpha_D(G) \leq \inf_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \frac{\text{Cap}(\{p\})}{m(\{p\})}.$$

Thus, by Theorem 1.3, we have

$$\frac{C(N)}{m(\mathbb{Z}^N)} \leq \lambda_1(G) \leq \inf_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \frac{\text{Cap}(\{p\})}{m(\{p\})}.$$

Note that the lower bound $\frac{1}{m(\mathbb{Z}^N)}$ is better than the estimate in [29, Theorem 1.6], $\left(\frac{1}{m(\mathbb{Z}^N)} \right)^{\frac{2}{N}}$, if $m(\mathbb{Z}^N) < 1$.

4. FIRST NEUMANN EIGENVALUE

In this section, we estimate the first non-trivial Neumann eigenvalue. Let $G = (V, E, w, m)$ be a weighted graph, $\Omega \subseteq V$ be a finite subset satisfying $|\Omega| \geq 2$. Consider the graph G_Ω . The Neumann problem on Ω is equivalent to the following eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}f(x) = \lambda f(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}f(x) = 0, & x \in \delta\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is the Laplacian on G_Ω . This is a special case of the Steklov problem studied in [24].

Let us first consider the eigenvalue problem of the Laplace operator on the graph G_Ω , i.e.,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}f(x) = \lambda f(x), \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

We denote by $\lambda_1^{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ the first non-trivial eigenvalue of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. By Rayleigh quotient characterization,

$$\lambda_1^{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} = \inf_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{R}^{\bar{\Omega}} \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\mathcal{E}_\Omega(f, f)}{\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|f - c\|_{l^2(\bar{\Omega})}^2}.$$

Then we have the following estimate, see also [47].

Theorem 4.1. *The first non-trivial eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ satisfies*

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{1}{8} \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} \leq \lambda_1^{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} \leq 2 \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}},$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} := \inf_{A, B \subseteq \bar{\Omega}} \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B)}{m(A) \wedge m(B)}$.

Proof. We first show that $\lambda_1^{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} \leq 2 \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$. Let $A, B \subseteq \bar{\Omega}$ be subsets such that

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} = \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B)}{m(A) \wedge m(B)}.$$

Then there exists a function f satisfying $f|_A = 1$ and $f|_B = 0$, such that

$$\mathcal{E}_\Omega(f, f) = \text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B) = \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} (m(A) \wedge m(B)).$$

Then for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - c\|_{l^2(\bar{\Omega})}^2 &\geq \sum_{x \in A} |f(x) - c|^2 m(x) + \sum_{x \in B} |f(x) - c|^2 m(x) \\ &= (1 - c)^2 m(A) + c^2 m(B) \\ &\geq (m(A) \wedge m(B)) (c^2 + (1 - c)^2) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (m(A) \wedge m(B)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|f - c\|_{l^2(\bar{\Omega})}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} (m(A) \wedge m(B)).$$

It follows that

$$2\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} = \frac{2\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}(f, f)}{m(A) \wedge m(B)} \geq \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}(f, f)}{\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|f - c\|_{l^2(\overline{\Omega})}^2} \geq \lambda_1^{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}.$$

To show that $\frac{1}{8}\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} \leq \lambda_1^{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$, let $\phi \in \mathbb{R}^{\overline{\Omega}}$ be a first eigenfunction. Then there exists $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $m(\{\phi + c_1 \leq 0\}) \geq \frac{1}{2}m(\overline{\Omega})$ and $m(\{\phi + c_1 \geq 0\}) \geq \frac{1}{2}m(\overline{\Omega})$. Denote $f = \phi + c_1$. Since $\|f\|_{l^2(\overline{\Omega})}^2 = \|f^+\|_{l^2(\overline{\Omega})}^2 + \|f^-\|_{l^2(\overline{\Omega})}^2$, where $f^+ = \max\{f, 0\}$ and $f^- = \max\{-f, 0\}$, w.l.o.g., we assume that $\|f^+\|_{l^2(\overline{\Omega})}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}\|f\|_{l^2(\overline{\Omega})}^2$. Then by Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\lambda_1^{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}\|f\|_{l^2(\overline{\Omega})}^2 &\geq 2\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}(f, f) \geq \int_0^{\infty} t \text{Cap}_{\Omega}(\{f > t\}, \{f \leq 0\}) dt \\ &\geq \int_0^{\infty} t\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}(m(\{f > t\}) \wedge m(\{f \leq 0\})) dt \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} t\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}m(\{f > t\}) dt \\ &= \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}\int_0^{\infty} t \sum_{x \in \{f > t\}} m(x) dt \\ &= \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}\sum_{x \in \{f > 0\}} m(x) \int_0^{f(x)} t dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}\|f^+\|_{l^2(\overline{\Omega})}^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}\|f\|_{l^2(\overline{\Omega})}^2, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\lambda_1^{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} \geq \frac{1}{8}\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$. This finishes the proof. \square

Now, we construct a sequence of new finite graphs $\{G^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with $G^{(1)} = G_{\Omega}$ and $G^{(k)} = (\overline{\Omega}, E(\Omega, \overline{\Omega}), w, m^{(k)})$, where $m^{(k)}|_{\Omega} = m$ and $m^{(k)}|_{\delta\Omega} = \frac{m}{k}$. Denote by $\lambda_1^{(k)}$ the first non-trivial eigenvalue of the Laplacian on the graph $G^{(k)}$. Then by (4.1), we have

$$\frac{1}{8}\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)} \leq \lambda_1^{(k)} \leq 2\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)},$$

where

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)} = \inf_{A, B \subseteq \overline{\Omega}} \frac{\text{Cap}_{\Omega}(A, B)}{m^{(k)}(A) \wedge m^{(k)}(B)}.$$

On the other hand, by applying [24, Proposition 3], we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1^{(k)} = \lambda_1^N(\Omega).$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.4, we first show that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)}$ is well-defined.

Lemma 4.2. *For any $\Omega \subseteq V$ with $|\Omega| \geq 2$ and any $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$, we have*

$$0 < \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(i)} \leq \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(i+1)} \leq C,$$

where C is a constant depending only on G_Ω .

Proof. Let $A, B \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$ be finite subsets such that

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(i+1)} = \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B)}{m^{(i+1)}(A) \wedge m^{(i+1)}(B)}.$$

Since $m^{(i+1)} \leq m^{(i)}$, we have

$$m^{(i)}(A) \wedge m^{(i)}(B) \geq m^{(i+1)}(A) \wedge m^{(i+1)}(B).$$

It follows that

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(i+1)} = \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B)}{m^{(i+1)}(A) \wedge m^{(i+1)}(B)} \geq \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B)}{m^{(i)}(A) \wedge m^{(i)}(B)} \geq \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(i)}.$$

Moreover,

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(i)} \geq \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} > 0.$$

On the other hand, since $|\Omega| \geq 2$, we can take $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$. Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$,

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)} \leq \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(x_1, x_2)}{m^{(k)}(x_1) \wedge m^{(k)}(x_2)} = \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(x_1, x_2)}{m(x_1) \wedge m(x_2)} =: C.$$

This proves the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Lemma 4.2, we know that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)}$ is well-defined. Hence,

$$\frac{1}{8} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)} \leq \lambda_1^N(\Omega) \leq 2 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)}.$$

We only need to prove that

$$\alpha_N(\Omega) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)}.$$

Recall that

$$\alpha_N(\Omega) = \inf_{A, B \subseteq \Omega} \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B)}{m(A) \wedge m(B)}.$$

For any $A, B \subseteq \Omega$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$,

$$\frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B)}{m(A) \wedge m(B)} = \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B)}{m^{(k)}(A) \wedge m^{(k)}(B)} \geq \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)},$$

and thus,

$$\alpha_N(\Omega) \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)}.$$

On the other hand, let $A^{(k)}, B^{(k)} \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$ be finite subsets such that

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)} = \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(A^{(k)}, B^{(k)})}{m^{(k)}(A^{(k)}) \wedge m^{(k)}(B^{(k)})}.$$

Since $\overline{\Omega}$ is finite, we can choose a subsequence of $A^{(k)}, B^{(k)}$, still denoted by $A^{(k)}$ and $B^{(k)}$, such that

$$A^{(k)} = A \subseteq \overline{\Omega}, \quad B^{(k)} = B \subseteq \overline{\Omega}.$$

Hence,

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)} = \frac{\text{Cap}_{\Omega}(A, B)}{m^{(k)}(A) \wedge m^{(k)}(B)} \geq \frac{\text{Cap}_{\Omega}(A \cap \Omega, B \cap \Omega)}{m^{(k)}(A) \wedge m^{(k)}(B)}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)} &\geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Cap}_{\Omega}(A \cap \Omega, B \cap \Omega)}{m^{(k)}(A) \wedge m^{(k)}(B)} \\ &= \frac{\text{Cap}_{\Omega}(A \cap \Omega, B \cap \Omega)}{m(A \cap \Omega) \wedge m(B \cap \Omega)} \geq \alpha_N(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. \square

5. FIRST STEKLOV EIGENVALUE

Let $G = (V, E, w, m)$ be a weighted graph, $\Omega \subseteq V$ be a finite subset whose vertex boundary $\delta\Omega$ satisfies $|\delta\Omega| \geq 2$. Let $\sigma_1(\Omega)$ be the first non-trivial Steklov eigenvalue on Ω . By the Rayleigh quotient characterization,

$$\sigma_1(\Omega) = \inf_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{R}^{\delta\Omega}, f \neq 0 \\ \langle f, 1 \rangle_{\delta\Omega} = 0}} \frac{\langle \Lambda_{\Omega} f, f \rangle_{\delta\Omega}}{\|f\|_{l^2(\delta\Omega)}^2} = \inf_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{R}^{\delta\Omega}, f \neq 0 \\ \langle f, 1 \rangle_{\delta\Omega} = 0}} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}(u_f, u_f)}{\|f\|_{l^2(\delta\Omega)}^2},$$

where u_f is the harmonic extension of f to Ω . In order to prove Theorem 1.5, we construct a sequence of new finite graphs $\{G^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with $G^{(k)} = (\bar{\Omega}, E(\Omega, \bar{\Omega}), w, m^{(k)})$, where $m^{(k)}$ satisfies $m^{(k)}|_{\delta\Omega} = m$ and $m^{(k)}|_{\Omega} = \frac{m}{k}$. We denote by $\lambda_1^{(k)}$ the first non-trivial eigenvalue of the Laplacian on $G^{(k)}$. Then by [24, Proposition 3], we have

$$(5.1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1^{(k)} = \sigma_1(\Omega).$$

Moreover, by (4.1), for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, we have

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{1}{8} \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)} \leq \lambda_1^{(k)} \leq 2 \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)},$$

where

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)} = \inf_{A, B \subseteq \bar{\Omega}} \frac{\text{Cap}_{\Omega}(A, B)}{m^{(k)}(A) \wedge m^{(k)}(B)}.$$

A proof similar to the proof of Lemma 4.2 shows that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)}$ is well-defined.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. The proof is the same as that of Theorem 1.4 by switching the roles of Ω and $\delta\Omega$. Due to (5.1) and (5.2), we have

$$\frac{1}{8} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)} \leq \sigma_1(\Omega) \leq 2 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)}.$$

A same argument as in Theorem 1.4 yields

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{(k)} = \alpha_S(\Omega).$$

This proves the result. \square

Example 5.1. Let $G = (\mathbb{Z}, E)$ be 1-dimensional lattice graph with unit vertex weights, $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$.




FIGURE 1

Choose $A = \{0\}$, $B = \{n\}$. Then $\text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B) = \frac{1}{n}$. Thus, by Theorem 1.5, we have

$$\frac{1}{8n} \leq \sigma_1(\Omega) \leq \frac{2}{n}.$$

In fact, by direct calculation, we have $\sigma_1(\Omega) = \frac{2}{n}$. This example shows that the upper bound estimate in Theorem 1.5 is sharp.

Example 5.2. Consider the following finite tree T_3 with unit edge weights and vertex weights, $\Omega = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$.




FIGURE 2

Choose $A = \{x_5, x_6\}$ and $B = \{x_7, x_8\}$. Then one gets $\text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B) = \frac{1}{3}$. It follows that $\alpha_S(\Omega) = \frac{1}{6}$. Thus,

$$\frac{1}{48} \leq \sigma_1(\Omega) \leq \frac{1}{3}.$$

One can actually calculate that $\sigma_1(\Omega) = \frac{1}{3}$. Our upper bound estimate is sharp on T_3 .

We give a necessary and sufficient condition for the equality case, $\sigma_1(\Omega) = 2\alpha_S(\Omega)$.

Proposition 5.3. Let G be a weighted graph, $\Omega \subseteq V$ be a finite subset with $|\delta\Omega| \geq 2$. Then $\sigma_1(\Omega) = 2\alpha_S(\Omega)$ if and only if there exists an eigenfunction f corresponding to $\sigma_1(\Omega)$ such that for any $x \in \delta\Omega$, $f(x) \in \{-1, 1, 0\}$.

Proof. For the “if” part. One easily checks that for any $X, Y \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$,

$$\text{Cap}_\Omega(X, Y) = \frac{1}{4} \inf\{\mathcal{E}_\Omega(g, g) : g|_X = 1, g|_Y = -1\}.$$

Let f be such an eigenfunction, set

$$A = \{x \in \delta\Omega : f(x) = 1\},$$

and

$$B = \{x \in \delta\Omega : f(x) = -1\}.$$

Then we have

$$\text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B) \leq \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{E}_\Omega(f, f).$$

On the other hand, $\sum_{x \in \delta\Omega} f(x)m(x) = 0$ implies that $m(A) = m(B)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_S(\Omega) &\leq \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B)}{m(A) \wedge m(B)} \leq \frac{\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{E}_\Omega(f, f)}{m(A) \wedge m(B)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{E}_\Omega(f, f)}{\frac{1}{2}(m(A) + m(B))} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_1(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

For the ‘‘only if’’ part. Let $A, B \subseteq \delta\Omega$ be subsets such that

$$\alpha_S(\Omega) = \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B)}{m(A) \wedge m(B)}.$$

Then there exists a function g satisfying $g|_A = 1$ and $g|_B = 0$, such that $\mathcal{E}_\Omega(g, g) = \text{Cap}_\Omega(A, B)$. Same as the proof of Theorem 4.1, for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g - c\|_{l^2(\delta\Omega)}^2 &\geq \sum_{x \in A} (1 - c)^2 m(x) + \sum_{x \in B} c^2 m(x) \\ &\geq (m(A) \wedge m(B))((1 - c)^2 + c^2) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}(m(A) \wedge m(B)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|g - c\|_{l^2(\delta\Omega)}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}(m(A) \wedge m(B)).$$

By the Rayleigh quotient characterization and $\sigma_1(\Omega) = 2\alpha_S(\Omega)$, we have

$$\sigma_1(\Omega) \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}_\Omega(g, g)}{\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|g - c\|_{l^2(\delta\Omega)}^2} \leq 2\alpha_S(\Omega) = \sigma_1(\Omega).$$

This implies that $\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|g - c\|_{l^2(\delta\Omega)}^2 = \|g - \frac{1}{2}\|_{l^2(\delta\Omega)}^2$ and all inequalities above are equalities. It follows that $g - \frac{1}{2}$ is a first eigenfunction and for any $x \in \delta\Omega$, $g - \frac{1}{2} \in \{-\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\}$. This proves the result. \square

In the following, we estimate the bottom of the spectrum of the DtN operator on an infinite subgraph. We first recall the definition of the DtN operator on an infinite subgraph of a graph introduced in [28].

Let $U \subseteq V$ be an infinite subset. We consider the graph structure G_U . Note that edges between vertices in δU are removed. For any $f \in l_0(\delta U)$, we write $f = f^+ - f^-$, where $f^+ := \max\{f, 0\}$ and $f^- := \max\{-f, 0\}$. For any $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \uparrow \bar{U}$, w.l.o.g., we assume that $W_1 \cap \delta U \neq \emptyset$. Let $u_{f^+}^{W_i}$ be

the solution of the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_{f^+}^{W_i}(x) = 0, & x \in W_i \cap U, \\ u_{f^+}^{W_i}(x) = f^+(x), & x \in W_i \cap \delta U, \\ u_{f^+}^{W_i}(x) = 0, & x \in \bar{U} \setminus W_i, \end{cases}$$

and $u_{f^-}^{W_i}$ be the solution of the equation with f^+ replaced by f^- . Set

$$u_f^{W_i} := u_{f^+}^{W_i} - u_{f^-}^{W_i},$$

and define

$$u_f := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} u_f^{W_i}.$$

Note that u_f exists by the maximum principle. For any $f \in l_0(\delta U)$, we define

$$\Lambda(f) := \frac{\partial u_f}{\partial n},$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ is taken w.r.t. U . One can check that Λ is a symmetric linear operator on $l_0(\delta U)$, see, e.g. [28]. We define a form $Q : l_0(\delta U) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ via

$$Q(f) = \langle \Lambda(f), f \rangle_{\delta U} = \sum_{\{x,y\} \in E(U, \bar{U})} w(x,y)(u_f(y) - u_f(x))^2,$$

Denote by D the closure of $l_0(\delta U)$ w.r.t. the norm $\|\cdot\| = \sqrt{\|\cdot\|_{l_0(\delta U)}^2 + Q(\cdot)}$.

Define

$$\tilde{Q}(f) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\{x,y\} \in E(U, \bar{U})} w(x,y)(u_f(y) - u_f(x))^2, & f \in D, \\ +\infty, & f \notin D. \end{cases}$$

One easily sees that \tilde{Q} is lower continuous, i.e., \tilde{Q} is closed. On the other hand, for any $f \in l_0(\delta U)$ and any map $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $T(0) = 0$ and $|T(x) - T(y)| \leq |x - y|$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}(T \circ f) &= \sum_{\{x,y\} \in E(U, \bar{U})} w(x,y)(u_{T \circ f}(y) - u_{T \circ f}(x))^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{\{x,y\} \in E(U, \bar{U})} w(x,y)(T \circ u_f(y) - T \circ u_f(x))^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{\{x,y\} \in E(U, \bar{U})} w(x,y)(u_f(y) - u_f(x))^2 \\ &= \tilde{Q}(f). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\tilde{Q}(T \circ f) \leq \tilde{Q}(f)$ for any $f \in D$. Thus, \tilde{Q} is a Dirichlet form. According to [12, Theorem 1.2.1], there exists a unique self-adjoint operator, still denoted by Λ , such that

$$D = \text{Domain of definition of } \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and for $f \in D$,

$$\tilde{Q}(f) = \langle \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} f, \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} f \rangle_{\delta U}.$$

We call Λ the DtN operator on U .

This defines the DtN operator on infinite subsets with arbitrary vertex weights. Note that the original definition in [28] assumes some conditions on vertex weights to guarantee the boundedness of the operator.

By the standard spectral theory, see e.g., [13], the k -th variational eigenvalue of the DtN operator Λ on U is defined as

$$(5.3) \quad \sigma_k(U) := \inf_{\substack{H \subseteq l_0(\delta U) \\ \dim H = k}} \sup_{0 \neq f \in H} \frac{\langle \Lambda(f), f \rangle_{\delta U}}{\langle f, f \rangle_{\delta U}}.$$

For $k = 1$, $\sigma_1(U)$ is called the bottom of the spectral of Λ . Our aim is to estimate $\sigma_1(U)$. For this purpose, let $W \subseteq \bar{U}$ be a finite subset satisfying $W \cap \delta U \neq \emptyset$. We first estimate the eigenvalue of the DtN operator on W , denoted by Λ_W , which is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_W : \mathbb{R}^{W \cap \delta U} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{W \cap \delta U}, \\ f &\mapsto \Lambda_W(f) := \frac{\partial u_f^W}{\partial n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ is taken w.r.t. U . We denote by $\sigma_k^D(W)$, $1 \leq k \leq |W \cap \delta U|$, the k -th eigenvalue of the operator Λ_W . Note that $\sigma_k^D(W)$ can be characterized as

$$(5.4) \quad \sigma_k^D(W) := \min_{\substack{H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{W \cap \delta U} \\ \dim H = k}} \max_{0 \neq f \in H} \frac{\langle \Lambda_W(f), f \rangle_{W \cap \delta U}}{\langle f, f \rangle_{W \cap \delta U}}.$$

Lemma 5.4. *For any finite $W \subseteq \bar{U}$ with $W \cap \delta U \neq \emptyset$, set*

$$\alpha_{DS}^U(W) = \inf_{A \subseteq W \cap \delta U} \frac{\text{Cap}_U(A, \delta_U W)}{m(A)}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{DS}^U(W) \leq \sigma_1^D(W) \leq \alpha_{DS}^U(W).$$

Proof. We construct a sequence of finite graphs $\{G^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ where

$$G^{(k)} = (W \cup \delta_U W, E(W, W \cup \delta_U W), w, m^{(k)})$$

with $m^{(k)}|_{W \cap \delta U} = m$ and $m^{(k)}|_{W \cap U} = \frac{m}{k}$. Recall that $\delta_U W$ is the vertex boundary of W in G_U . Consider the following Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta^{(k)} f(x) = \lambda f(x), & x \in W, \\ f(x) = 0, & x \in \delta_U W, \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta^{(k)}$ is the Laplacian on $G^{(k)}$. Denote by $\lambda_1^{(k)}(W)$ the first eigenvalue of the above Dirichlet problem. The proof of the Proposition 3 in [24] can

easily be adapted to show that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1^{(k)}(W) = \sigma_1^D(W).$$

By applying Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\frac{1}{4} \alpha_D^{(k)}(W) \leq \lambda_1^{(k)}(W) \leq \alpha_D^{(k)}(W),$$

where

$$\alpha_D^{(k)}(W) = \inf_{A \subseteq W} \frac{\text{Cap}_U(A, \delta_U W)}{m^{(k)}(A)}.$$

Following the proof of Theorem 1.4, we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_D^{(k)}(W) = \alpha_{DS}^U(W).$$

This proves the lemma. \square

For any $A \subseteq \delta U$ with $|A| < +\infty$, set

$$\alpha_S(U) = \inf_{\substack{A \subseteq \delta U \\ |A| < +\infty}} \frac{\text{Cap}^U(A)}{m(A)}.$$

For an exhaustion $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of \bar{U} , there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that $A \subseteq W_i \cap \delta U$. One easily checks that

$$\frac{\text{Cap}_U(A, \delta_U W_i)}{m(A)} \geq \frac{\text{Cap}_U(A, \delta_U W_{i+1})}{m(A)},$$

which means that $\alpha_{DS}^U(W_i) \geq \alpha_{DS}^U(W_{i+1})$. Hence, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{DS}^U(W_i)$ exists.

Lemma 5.5. *Let G be an infinite weighted graph, $U \subseteq V$ be an infinite subset. Let $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \uparrow \bar{U}$ be an exhaustion, then*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{DS}^U(W_i) = \alpha_S(U).$$

Proof. For any $A \subseteq \delta U$ with $|A| < +\infty$, there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that $A \subseteq W_i \cap \delta U$. Thus,

$$\frac{\text{Cap}^U(A)}{m(A)} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Cap}_U(A, \delta_U W_i)}{m(A)} \geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{DS}^U(W_i).$$

It follows that $\alpha_S(U) \geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{DS}^U(W_i)$. On the other hand, let $A \subseteq W_i \cap \delta U$ be a subset such that

$$\alpha_{DS}^U(W_i) = \frac{\text{Cap}_U(A, \delta_U W_i)}{m(A)}.$$

Then $A \subseteq \delta U$ and $|A| < +\infty$. Moreover, for any $j > i$,

$$\alpha_{DS}^U(W_i) = \frac{\text{Cap}_U(A, \delta_U W_i)}{m(A)} \geq \frac{\text{Cap}_U(A, \delta_U W_j)}{m(A)},$$




FIGURE 3

which means that

$$\alpha_{DS}^U(W_i) \geq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Cap}_U(A, \delta_U W_j)}{m(A)} = \frac{\text{Cap}^U(A)}{m(A)} \geq \alpha_S(U).$$

Thus, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{DS}^U(W_i) \geq \alpha_S(U)$. This proves the lemma. \square

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. By applying [28, Proposition 1.5], we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_1^D(W_i) = \sigma_1(U).$$

Then the conclusion follows from Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5. \square

The following example shows that the upper bound estimate in Theorem 1.7 is sharp.

Example 5.6. Consider the graph in Figure 3 with unit edge weights and vertex weights, which is an induced subgraph of 3-regular tree. Let $U = \{x_2, x_3, \dots\}$ and $\delta U = \{x_1\}$. Choose $W_i = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2^i}\}$. Obviously, $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is an exhaustion of \bar{U} and $\delta_U W_i = \{x_{2^{i+1}}, \dots, x_{2^{i+1}}\}$. One can show that $\text{Cap}_U(\{x_1\}, \delta_U W_i) = \frac{2^i}{2^{i+1}-1}$. Hence, by Theorem 1.7,

$$\frac{1}{8} \leq \sigma_1(U) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

On the other hand, by direct calculation, we have $\sigma_1(U) = \frac{1}{2}$.

At the end of this section, we give an example to calculate the bottom of the spectrum of the DtN operator using capacity.

Example 5.7. Consider the lattice graph (\mathbb{Z}^N, E) for $N \geq 3$ with unit vertex weights. Let

$$U = \{(x_1, \dots, x_N) : x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} \in \mathbb{Z}, x_N \in \mathbb{Z}_+\},$$

then

$$\delta U = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0) : x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We use Theorem 1.7 to show that $\sigma_1(U) = 0$. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we write

$$Q_r := \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^N : \|x\|_\infty \leq r\},$$

and

$$S_r := \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^N : \|x\|_\infty = r\}.$$

Fix $r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and set

$$A = Q_{r_0} \cap \delta U.$$

We define $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\bar{U}}$ as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in Q_{r_0} \cap \bar{U}, \\ \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{N-2}, & x \in S_r \cap \bar{U}, r > r_0. \end{cases}$$

Then $f|_A = 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_U(f, f) &\leq C(N) \sum_{r \geq r_0} r^{N-1} \left(\left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{N-2} - \left(\frac{r_0}{r+1}\right)^{N-2} \right)^2 \\ &\leq C(N) r_0^{2N-4} \sum_{r \geq r_0} \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \\ &\leq C(N) r_0^{N-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C(N)$ depends only on N . Note that

$$\text{Cap}^U(A) = \inf\{\mathcal{E}_U(g, g) : g \in l_0(\bar{U}), g|_A = 1\},$$

and there exists a sequence of $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in l_0(\bar{U})$ such that $\mathcal{E}_U(f_i, f_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_U(f, f)$, see, e.g., [30, Theorem 7], we have

$$\frac{\text{Cap}^U(A)}{m(A)} \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}_U(f, f)}{m(A)} \leq \frac{C(N)}{r_0}.$$

By passing to the limit $r_0 \rightarrow +\infty$, we get

$$\alpha_S(U) = 0.$$

By Theorem 1.7, we have

$$\sigma_1(U) = 0.$$

Remark 5.8. In [28], the first author, Huang and Wang proved that if $U \subseteq V$ is an infinite subset with $|\delta U| < +\infty$, then $\sigma_1(U) = 0$ if and only if $(\bar{U}, E(U, \bar{U}))$ is recurrent. Example 5.7 shows that if $|\delta U| = \infty$, then $\sigma_1(U) = 0$ does not imply that $(\bar{U}, E(U, \bar{U}))$ is recurrent.

6. HIGHER-ORDER DIRICHLET EIGENVALUES AND STEKLOV EIGENVALUES

In this section, we estimate higher-order eigenvalues. Recall that for any subset $W \subseteq V$, $\mathcal{A}(W)$ is the collection of all non-empty finite subsets of W , and $\mathcal{A}_k(W)$ is the set of all disjoint k -tuples (A_1, \dots, A_k) such that $A_l \in \mathcal{A}(W)$, for all $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

We first establish estimates of higher-order Dirichlet eigenvalues on a finite subgraph. Let $G = (V, E, w, m)$ be a graph, $W \subseteq V$ be a finite subset. Consider the following Dirichlet eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}f(x) = \lambda f(x), & x \in W, \\ f(x) = 0, & x \in \delta W. \end{cases}$$

We denote by $\lambda_k^D(W)$ the k -th eigenvalue of the above eigenvalue problem. For any $k \in \{1, \dots, |W|\}$, we define

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_k(W) := \min_{(A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k(W)} \max_{l \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \lambda_1^D(A_l).$$

By [28, Theorem 5.11], there exists a universal constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\lambda_k^D(W) \geq \frac{c}{k^6} \tilde{\Gamma}_k(W).$$

On the other hand, for any $(A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k(W)$, let f_i be a first eigenfunction on A_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$. Consider $H := \text{span}\{f_i : i = 1, \dots, k\}$. Then $\dim H = k$ and

$$\max_{0 \neq f \in H} \frac{\mathcal{E}_W(f, f)}{\|f\|_{l^2(W)}^2} \leq 2 \max_{l \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \lambda_1^D(A_l).$$

It follows that

$$\lambda_k^D(W) \leq 2\tilde{\Gamma}_k(W).$$

Hence, we get the following useful lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *The k -th Dirichlet eigenvalue satisfies*

$$\frac{c}{k^6} \tilde{\Gamma}_k(W) \leq \lambda_k^D(W) \leq 2\tilde{\Gamma}_k(W).$$

We consider higher-order eigenvalues on an infinite graph. Let $G = (V, E, w, m)$ be an infinite weighted graph, $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be an exhaustion. Then for any $k \geq 1$, $\lambda_k(G) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k^D(W_i)$, where $\lambda_k^D(W_i)$ is the k -th Dirichlet eigenvalue on W_i . For each W_i , set

$$\Gamma_k^D(W_i) := \min_{(A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k(W_i)} \max_{l \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \alpha_D(A_l).$$

By applying Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 6.1, we have

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{c}{k^6} \Gamma_k^D(W_i) \leq \lambda_k^D(W_i) \leq 2\Gamma_k^D(W_i).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.9. One easily sees that $\Gamma_k^D(W_i) \geq \Gamma_k^D(W_{i+1})$. Thus, the limit $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_k^D(W_i)$ exists. We only need to prove that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_k^D(W_i) = \Gamma_k^D(G).$$

Recall that

$$\Gamma_k^D(G) = \inf_{(A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k(V)} \max_{l \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \alpha_D(A_l).$$

For any $(A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k(V)$, there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that $(A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k(W_i)$. It follows that

$$\max_{l \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \alpha_D(A_l) \geq \Gamma_k^D(W_i).$$

Thus,

$$\Gamma_k^D(G) \geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_k^D(W_i).$$

On the other hand, let $(A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k(W_i)$ be a k -tuple such that

$$\Gamma_k^D(W_i) = \max_{l \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \alpha_D(A_l).$$

Then $(A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k(V)$, and hence,

$$\Gamma_k^D(W_i) \geq \Gamma_k^D(G).$$

It follows that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_k^D(W_i) \geq \Gamma_k^D(G)$. This proves the theorem. \square

In the following, we discuss higher-order Steklov eigenvalues. First, we consider the case of finite graphs. Let $G = (V, E, w, m)$ be a weighted graph, $\Omega \subseteq V$ be a finite subset. Consider the graph G_Ω .

For any $A \in \mathcal{A}(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfying $A \cap \delta\Omega \neq \emptyset$ and $f \in \mathbb{R}^{A \cap \delta\Omega}$, let u_f^A be the solution of the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_f^A(x) = 0, & x \in A \cap \Omega, \\ u_f^A(x) = f(x), & x \in A \cap \delta\Omega, \\ u_f^A(x) = 0, & x \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus A. \end{cases}$$

We define the DtN operator on A via

$$\Lambda_A : \mathbb{R}^{A \cap \delta\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{A \cap \delta\Omega}$$

$$f \mapsto \Lambda_A(f) := \frac{\partial u_f^A}{\partial n},$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ is taken w.r.t. Ω . Denote by $\sigma_1^D(A)$ the smallest eigenvalue of Λ_A . By convention, when $A \cap \delta\Omega = \emptyset$, we set $\sigma_1^D(A) = +\infty$. Then for any $1 \leq k \leq |\delta\Omega| - 1$, by [24, Theorem 5], there exists a universal constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(6.2) \quad \frac{c}{k^6} \tilde{\kappa}_{k+1} \leq \sigma_k(\Omega) \leq 2\tilde{\kappa}_{k+1},$$

where

$$\tilde{\kappa}_{k+1} := \min_{(A_1, \dots, A_{k+1}) \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}(\overline{\Omega})} \max_{l \in \{1, \dots, k+1\}} \sigma_1^D(A_l).$$

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.10.

Proof of Theorem 1.10. For each $A_l \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}(\overline{\Omega})$, $l \in \{1, \dots, k+1\}$, by applying Lemma 5.4, we have

$$\frac{1}{4}\alpha_{DS}^\Omega(A_l) \leq \sigma_1^D(A_l) \leq \alpha_{DS}^\Omega(A_l),$$

where

$$\alpha_{DS}^\Omega(A_l) = \inf_{A \subseteq A_l \cap \delta\Omega} \frac{\text{Cap}_\Omega(A, \delta\Omega A_l)}{m(A)},$$

and $\delta\Omega A_l$ is the vertex boundary of A_l in G_Ω . Then the conclusion follows from (6.2). \square

At the end of this section, we consider the case of infinite subgraph. Let $U \subseteq V$ be an infinite subset with vertex boundary δU . Consider the graph G_U . Let $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \uparrow \overline{U}$ be an exhaustion. Then for any $k \geq 1$, $\sigma_k(U) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_k^D(W_i)$, where $\sigma_k^D(W_i)$ is the k -th eigenvalue of operator Λ_{W_i} defined in Section 5, see (5.4).

Fix W_i and set $N = |W_i \cap \delta U|$. We construct a sequence of finite graphs $\{G^{(l)}\}_{l=1}^\infty$ where $G^{(l)} = (W_i \cup \delta_U W_i, E(W_i, W_i \cup \delta_U W_i), w, m^{(l)})$ with $m^{(l)}|_{W_i \cap \delta U} = m$ and $m^{(l)}|_{W_i \cap U} = \frac{m}{l}$. Consider the following Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta^{(l)} f(x) = \lambda f(x), & x \in W_i, \\ f(x) = 0, & x \in \delta_U W_i, \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta^{(l)}$ is the Laplacian on $G^{(l)}$. Denote by $\lambda_{k,D}^{(l)}(W_i)$ the k -th eigenvalue of the above Dirichlet problem. Then according to [24, Proposition 3], for any $1 \leq k \leq N$, we have

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k,D}^{(l)}(W_i) = \sigma_k^D(W_i).$$

Set

$$\Gamma_k^S(W_i) = \min_{(A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k(W_i)} \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \alpha_{DS}^U(A_j).$$

By applying Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k^D(W_i) &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k,D}^{(l)}(W_i) \geq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c}{k^6} \min_{(A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}(W_i)} \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \lambda_{1,D}^{(l)}(A_j) \\ &= \frac{c}{k^6} \min_{(A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k(W_i)} \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \sigma_1^D(A_j) \\ &\geq \frac{c}{k^6} \min_{(A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k(W_i)} \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \frac{1}{4} \alpha_{DS}^U(A_j) \\ &= \frac{c'}{k^6} \Gamma_k^S(W_i), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma_k^D(W_i) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k,D}^{(l)}(W_i) \leq 2\Gamma_k^S(W_i),$$

i.e., there exists a universal constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(6.3) \quad \frac{c}{k^6} \Gamma_k^S(W_i) \leq \sigma_k^D(W_i) \leq 2\Gamma_k^S(W_i).$$

We can prove Theorem 1.11.

Proof of Theorem 1.11. One easily sees that $\Gamma_k^S(W_{i+1}) \leq \Gamma_k^S(W_i)$. Thus, the limit $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_k^S(W_i)$ exists. We only need to show that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_k^S(W_i) = \Gamma_k^S(U).$$

Recall that

$$\Gamma_k^S(U) = \inf_{(A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k(\bar{U})} \max_{l \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \alpha_{DS}^U(A_l).$$

For any $(A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k(\bar{U})$, there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that $(A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k(W_i)$. Then we have

$$\max_{l \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \alpha_{DS}^U(A_l) \geq \Gamma_k^S(W_i),$$

and hence,

$$\Gamma_k^S(U) \geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_k^S(W_i).$$

On the other hand, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$, let (A_1, \dots, A_k) be a k -tuple such that

$$\Gamma_k^S(W_i) = \max_{l \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \alpha_{DS}^U(A_l).$$

Then $(A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k(\bar{U})$, and thus,

$$\Gamma_k^S(W_i) \geq \Gamma_k^S(U).$$

It follows that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_k^S(W_i) \geq \Gamma_k^S(U)$. Then the conclusion follows from the fact that $\sigma_k(U) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_k^D(W_i)$ and (6.3). \square

Remark 6.2. *One easily sees that*

$$\Gamma_k^S(W_i) \geq \alpha_{DS}^U(W_i).$$

Thus, by Lemma 5.5, we have

$$\sigma_k(U) \geq \frac{c}{k^6} \alpha_S(U).$$

7. THE DTN OPERATOR DEFINED BY HASSANNEZHAD AND MICLO

In this section, we briefly discuss the eigenvalue problem of the DtN operator defined in [24].

We first recall the definition of the DtN operator in [24]. Let $G = (V, E, w, m)$ be a finite graph, $\Omega \subseteq V$ be a proper subset. Given $f \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega$, let u_f be its harmonic extension on V , namely the unique $u_f \in \mathbb{R}^V$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_f(x) = 0, & x \in V \setminus \Omega, \\ u_f(x) = f(x), & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then we define the DtN operator S_Ω on Ω as

$$\forall x \in \Omega, \quad S_\Omega f(x) = -\Delta u_f(x).$$

For any $1 \leq k \leq |\Omega| - 1$, we denote by $\sigma_k^S(\Omega)$ the k -th non-trivial eigenvalue of the operator S_Ω . Given $f, g \in \mathbb{R}^V$, we define the energy functional via

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in V} w(x, y) (f(y) - f(x))(g(y) - g(x)).$$

For any $A, B \subseteq V$, we define

$$\text{Cap}(A, B) := \inf\{\mathcal{E}(f, f) : f|_A = 1, f|_B = 0\}.$$

Note that Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 still hold on G . For $|\Omega| \geq 2$, set

$$\beta_S(\Omega) := \inf_{A, B \subseteq \Omega} \frac{\text{Cap}(A, B)}{m(A) \wedge m(B)}.$$

Then following the proof of Theorem 1.5, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 7.1. *Let G be a finite weighted graph, $\Omega \subseteq V$ be a proper subset with $|\Omega| \geq 2$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{8}\beta_S(\Omega) \leq \sigma_1^S(\Omega) \leq 2\beta_S(\Omega).$$

For any $1 \leq k \leq |\Omega| - 1$, set

$$\beta_{k+1} := \min_{(A_1, \dots, A_{k+1}) \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}(V)} \max_{l \in \{1, \dots, k+1\}} \beta_{DS}(A_l),$$

where

$$\beta_{DS}(A_l) := \inf_{A \subseteq A_l \cap \Omega} \frac{\text{Cap}(A, V \setminus A_l)}{m(A)}.$$

Then following the proof of Theorem 1.10, we have the following result.

Theorem 7.2. *Let G be a finite weighted graph, $\Omega \subseteq V$ be a proper subset. Then there exists a universal constant $c > 0$ such that for any $1 \leq k \leq |\Omega| - 1$,*

$$\frac{c}{k^6}\beta_{k+1} \leq \sigma_k^S(\Omega) \leq 2\beta_{k+1}.$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Matthias Keller for pointing out approximating Neumann eigenvalues by taking the vanishing limit of vertex weights on the boundary. The third author would like to thank the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences for the hospitality. B. Hua is supported by NSFC, no. 12371056, and by Shanghai Science and Technology Program [Project No. 22JC1400100].

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Alon and V. D. Milman. λ_1 , isoperimetric inequalities for graphs, and superconcentrators. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 38(1):73–88, 1985.
- [2] Frank Bauer, Bobo Hua, and Jürgen Jost. The dual Cheeger constant and spectra of infinite graphs. *Adv. Math.*, 251:147–194, 2014.
- [3] Frank Bauer, Matthias Keller, and Radosław K. Wojciechowski. Cheeger inequalities for unbounded graph Laplacians. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 17(2):259–271, 2015.
- [4] Andries E. Brouwer and Willem H. Haemers. *Spectra of graphs*. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2012.
- [5] Alberto-P. Calderón. On an inverse boundary value problem. In *Seminar on Numerical Analysis and its Applications to Continuum Physics (Rio de Janeiro, 1980)*, pages 65–73. Soc. Brasil. Mat., Rio de Janeiro, 1980.
- [6] Jeff Cheeger. A lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian. In *Problems in analysis (Sympos. in honor of Salomon Bochner, Princeton Univ., Princeton, N.J., 1969)*, pages 195–199. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
- [7] F. R. K. Chung. Diameters and eigenvalues. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2(2):187–196, 1989.
- [8] Fan R. K. Chung. *Spectral graph theory*, volume 92 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [9] Bruno Colbois, Ahmad El Soufi, and Alexandre Girouard. Isoperimetric control of the Steklov spectrum. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 261(5):1384–1399, 2011.
- [10] Bruno Colbois, Alexandre Girouard, Carolyn Gordon, and David Sher. Some recent developments on the Steklov eigenvalue problem. *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, 37(1):1–161, 2024.
- [11] Dragoš M. Cvetković, Michael Doob, and Horst Sachs. *Spectra of graphs*. Johann Ambrosius Barth, Heidelberg, third edition, 1995. Theory and applications.
- [12] E. B. Davies. *Heat kernels and spectral theory*, volume 92 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [13] E.B. Davies. *Spectral Theory and Differential Operators*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [14] J. Dodziuk and W. S. Kendall. Combinatorial Laplacians and isoperimetric inequality. In *From local times to global geometry, control and physics (Coventry, 1984/85)*, volume 150 of *Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser.*, pages 68–74. Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1986.
- [15] Jozef Dodziuk. Difference equations, isoperimetric inequality and transience of certain random walks. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 284(2):787–794, 1984.
- [16] José F. Escobar. The geometry of the first non-zero Stekloff eigenvalue. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 150(2):544–556, 1997.
- [17] José F. Escobar. An isoperimetric inequality and the first Steklov eigenvalue. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 165(1):101–116, 1999.
- [18] Ailana Fraser and Richard Schoen. The first Steklov eigenvalue, conformal geometry, and minimal surfaces. *Adv. Math.*, 226(5):4011–4030, 2011.
- [19] Ailana Fraser and Richard Schoen. Sharp eigenvalue bounds and minimal surfaces in the ball. *Invent. Math.*, 203(3):823–890, 2016.
- [20] Koji Fujiwara. The Laplacian on rapidly branching trees. *Duke Math. J.*, 83(1):191–202, 1996.
- [21] Alexandre Girouard and Jean Lagacé. Large Steklov eigenvalues via homogenisation on manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 226(3):1011–1056, 2021.
- [22] Wen Han and Bobo Hua. Steklov eigenvalue problem on subgraphs of integer lattices. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 31(2):343–366, 2023.
- [23] Asma Hassannezhad. Conformal upper bounds for the eigenvalues of the Laplacian and Steklov problem. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 261(12):3419–3436, 2011.

- [24] Asma Hassannezhad and Laurent Miclo. Higher order Cheeger inequalities for Steklov eigenvalues. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 53(1):43–88, 2020.
- [25] Zunwu He and Bobo Hua. Upper bounds for the Steklov eigenvalues on trees. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 61(3):Paper No. 101, 15, 2022.
- [26] Bobo Hua and Yan Huang. Neumann Cheeger constants on graphs. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 28(3):2166–2184, 2018.
- [27] Bobo Hua, Yan Huang, and Zuoqin Wang. First eigenvalue estimates of Dirichlet-to-Neumann operators on graphs. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 56(6):Paper No. 178, 21, 2017.
- [28] Bobo Hua, Yan Huang, and Zuoqin Wang. Cheeger estimates of Dirichlet-to-Neumann operators on infinite subgraphs of graphs. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 12(3):1079–1108, 2022.
- [29] Bobo Hua, Matthias Keller, Michael Schwarz, and Melchior Wirth. Sobolev-type inequalities and eigenvalue growth on graphs with finite measure. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 151(8):3401–3414, 2023.
- [30] Bobo Hua, Ruowei Li, and Florentin Münch. Extremal functions for the second-order sobolev inequality on groups of polynomial growth. *arXiv:2205.00150*, 2022.
- [31] Bobo Hua and Lili Wang. Dirichlet p -Laplacian eigenvalues and Cheeger constants on symmetric graphs. *Adv. Math.*, 364:106997, 34, 2020.
- [32] Hao Huang. Induced subgraphs of hypercubes and a proof of the sensitivity conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 190(3):949–955, 2019.
- [33] Pierre Jammes. Une inégalité de Cheeger pour le spectre de Steklov. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 65(3):1381–1385, 2015.
- [34] Zilin Jiang, Jonathan Tidor, Yuan Yao, Shengtong Zhang, and Yufei Zhao. Equiangular lines with a fixed angle. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 194(3):729–743, 2021.
- [35] Matti Lassas and Gunther Uhlmann. On determining a Riemannian manifold from the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 34(5):771–787, 2001.
- [36] James R. Lee, Shayan Oveis Gharan, and Luca Trevisan. Multiway spectral partitioning and higher-order Cheeger inequalities. *J. ACM*, 61(6):Art. 37, 30, 2014.
- [37] Shiping Liu. Multi-way dual Cheeger constants and spectral bounds of graphs. *Adv. Math.*, 268:306–338, 2015.
- [38] Adam W. Marcus, Daniel A. Spielman, and Nikhil Srivastava. Interlacing families I: Bipartite Ramanujan graphs of all degrees. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 182(1):307–325, 2015.
- [39] Vladimir Maz’ya. On the theory of the higher-dimensional Schrödinger operator. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 28:1145–1172, 1964.
- [40] Vladimir Maz’ya. Integral and isocapacitary inequalities. In *Linear and complex analysis*, volume 226 of *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, pages 85–107. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [41] Vladimira Maz’ya. The negative spectrum of the higher-dimensional Schrödinger operator. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 144:721–722, 1962.
- [42] Bojan Mohar. The spectrum of an infinite graph. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 48:245–256, 1982.
- [43] Bojan Mohar and Wolfgang Woess. A survey on spectra of infinite graphs. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 21(3):209–234, 1989.
- [44] Hélène Perrin. Lower bounds for the first eigenvalue of the Steklov problem on graphs. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 58(2):Paper No. 67, 12, 2019.
- [45] Hélène Perrin. Isoperimetric upper bound for the first eigenvalue of discrete Steklov problems. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 31(8):8144–8155, 2021.
- [46] Omer Reingold, Salil Vadhan, and Avi Wigderson. Entropy waves, the zig-zag graph product, and new constant-degree expanders. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 155(1):157–187, 2002.

- [47] André Schlichting and Martin Slowik. Poincaré and logarithmic Sobolev constants for metastable Markov chains via capacity inequalities. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 29(6):3438–3488, 2019.
- [48] Francesco Tudisco and Matthias Hein. A nodal domain theorem and a higher-order Cheeger inequality for the graph p -Laplacian. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 8(3):883–908, 2018.
- [49] Gunther Uhlmann. Inverse problems: seeing the unseen. *Bull. Math. Sci.*, 4(2):209–279, 2014.
- [50] Wolfgang Woess. *Random walks on infinite graphs and groups*, volume 138 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

BOBO HUA: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, LMNS, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA; SHANGHAI CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA

Email address: bobohua@fudan.edu.cn

FLORENTIN MÜNCH: MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS IN THE SCIENCES, LEIPZIG 04103, GERMANY

Email address: cfmuench@gmail.com

TAO WANG: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA

Email address: taowang21@m.fudan.edu.cn