

A POSITIVE DENSITY OF ELLIPTIC CURVES ARE DIOPHANTINE STABLE IN CERTAIN GALOIS EXTENSIONS

ANWESH RAY AND PRATIKSHA SHINGAVEKAR

ABSTRACT. Let $p \in \{3, 5\}$ and consider a cyclic p -extension L/\mathbb{Q} . We show that there exists an effective positive density of elliptic curves E defined over \mathbb{Q} , ordered by height, that are *diophantine stable* in L .

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background and motivation. Let E be an elliptic curve over a number field K and L be a finite extension of K . Following Mazur and Rubin [MR18], E is said to be *diophantine stable* in L if the natural inclusion induces an equality $E(K) = E(L)$. Mazur and Rubin show that if all \bar{K} -automorphisms of E are defined over K , then there exist infinitely many prime-power cyclic extensions L/K in which E is diophantine stable. We refer to [MR18, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3] for further details. For elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} , there are precise heuristics for the growth of the rank, due to David, Fearnley and Kisilevsky [DFK07]. These heuristics are based on the relationship between modular symbols and the special values of the Hasse-Weil L -function $\mathcal{L}_E(s, L)$. More precisely, let L/\mathbb{Q} be a Galois extension with cyclic Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$. Then the Hasse-Weil L -function of E over L decomposes as follows $\mathcal{L}_E(s, L) = \prod_{\chi} \mathcal{L}_E(s, \chi)$, where χ ranges over all Dirichlet characters $\chi : \hat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. The Birch and Swinnerton–Dyer conjecture predicts that

$$\text{rank } E(L) = \text{ord}_{s=1} \mathcal{L}_E(s, L) = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \text{ord}_{s=1} \mathcal{L}_E(s, \chi).$$

Given a character χ , set $\text{cond}(\chi)$ to denote its conductor. One has the following conjecture which predicts that the rank *rarely jumps* in prime cyclic extensions.

Conjecture 1.1 (Conjecture 1.2 of [DFK07]). *Let p be an odd prime and fix an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} . Setting*

$$N_{E,p}(X) := \#\{\chi \text{ of order } p \mid \text{cond}(\chi) \leq X \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_E(1, \chi) = 0\},$$

one has the following predictions.

(1) *If $p = 3$, then as $X \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\log N_{E,p}(X) \sim \frac{1}{2} \log X.$$

- (2) If $p = 5$, then as $X \rightarrow \infty$, $N_{E,p}(X)$ is unbounded but $N_{E,p}(X) \ll X^\epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$.
- (3) If $p \geq 7$, then $N_{E,p}(X)$ is bounded.

In particular, the conjecture predicts that when $p \geq 7$, there are only finitely many p -cyclic extensions in which the rank increases. There has been an increasing interest in the study of diophantine stability and rank growth of a given elliptic curve in families of number field extensions, see for instance [MR08, LOT21, SW23, BKR24, BRY24]. Such considerations also motivate conjectures about the distribution of modular symbols associated with a given elliptic curves, cf. [MR23].

1.2. Main result. In this article we formulate a new direction of enquiry. Instead of fixing an elliptic curve and varying the number field extension in a family, we shall fix an extension L/\mathbb{Q} and count the number of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} , ordered by height, such that $E(L) = E(\mathbb{Q})$. The result is proven only for cyclic extensions L/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, where $p \in \{3, 5\}$.

Theorem A (Theorem 3.8). *Let p be a prime in $\{3, 5\}$ and L/\mathbb{Q} be a Galois extension of \mathbb{Q} with $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Take Z to denote the primes that ramify in L . Assume that*

- (1) $2, p \notin Z$,
- (2) for all $\ell \in Z$, there exists an elliptic curve \mathbb{E} over \mathbb{F}_ℓ , such that $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}^{-1}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p] = 0$. Here, \mathbb{E}^{-1} is the quadratic twist of \mathbb{E} by -1 .

Then there is a positive density of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} such that

$$E(L) = E(\mathbb{Q}) = 0.$$

Evidently, these elliptic curves are diophantine stable in L .

Remark 1.2. *At this point, several remarks are in order.*

- The results rely on the techniques of Bhargava and Shankar [BS15a, BS15b, BS13], who study the average size of the p -Selmer group of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} . This is only done for primes $p = 2, 3, 5$, however, the case when $p = 2$ is omitted since our methods do not apply to this case.
- The condition (2) can be dealt with via explicit calculation (cf. the code in Remark 4.7). Indeed, when $p = 5$ and ℓ is large enough, the condition (2) is shown to be satisfied using results of Howe [How93]. We refer to Lemma 4.6 for further details.
- An explicit lower density is obtained for the curves E/\mathbb{Q} that are diophantine stable in L . This expression is quite fascinating and involves locally defined invariants associated to the extension L/\mathbb{Q} , see the statement of Theorem 3.8 for details. For instance, when $p = 3$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_7)^+$, the lower density of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} that are diophantine stable in L is

$$\geq \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{2^{21}} \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) \times \frac{12}{49} \times \prod_{\ell \neq 2, 3, 7} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\ell^2} + \frac{1}{\ell^3}\right).$$

1.3. Organization. Including the introduction, the article consists of four sections. In section 2, we study the stability of Selmer groups in prime cyclic extensions. In greater detail, let p be an odd prime number and L/K be an extension with $\text{Gal}(L/K) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that the p -Selmer group of E over K is 0. Then if certain additional local conditions are satisfied for E/K , then, it follows that the p -Selmer group of E over L is also 0. The Galois cohomological arguments presented in this section are essentially due to Česnavičius [Č17] and Brau [Bra14]. Section 3 is preparatory in nature, and delineates the strategy that we take to prove our main result. We introduce both local and global density conditions on our families of elliptic curves that will play a role in our sieve theoretic arguments. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of our main result. In this section, we describe a suitable large family of elliptic curves defined by local conditions and show that a positive proportion of elliptic curves in this family are indeed diophantine stable in L . The analysis leads to an explicit lower bound for the density of elliptic curves that are diophantine stable in our fixed extension L . This is precisely the step in which the aforementioned results of Bhargava and Shankar are applied.

Data availability. No data was analyzed in proving the results in the article.

2. SELMER GROUPS AND DIOPHANTINE STABILITY

In this section, we review the necessary background and notation required for our examination of elliptic curves over number fields. Some of the results in this section essentially follow arguments due to Česnavičius [Č17] and Brau [Bra14]. Throughout, we denote K as a number field. We use $\Omega(K)$ (resp. $\Omega_\infty(K)$) to represent the set of finite primes (resp. archimedean places) of K . Given a prime number ℓ , $\Omega_\ell(K)$ denotes the primes in $\Omega(K)$ that lie above ℓ . Let \overline{K} be an algebraic closure of K , and G_K denote the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$. For each prime $v \in \Omega(K)$, we select an embedding $\iota_v : \overline{K} \hookrightarrow \overline{K}_v$. Setting $G_{K_v} := \text{Gal}(\overline{K}_v/K_v)$, the inclusion ι_v induces a Galois group inclusion $\iota_v^* : G_K \hookrightarrow G_{K_v}$.

2.1. Selmer groups associated to elliptic curves. Let E be an elliptic curve defined over K . The Mordell-Weil group of E over K , denoted $E(K)$, comprises the K -rational points on E . Choose an algebraic closure \overline{K} of K , and let $E[p^n]$ be the p^n -torsion subgroup of $E(\overline{K})$. Let G_K denote the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ and consider the natural action of G_K on $E[p^n]$. The Selmer group $\text{Sel}_p(E/K)$ can be interpreted as a certain subgroup of the cohomology group $H^1(K, E[p^n])$, which measures the obstructions to representing K -rational points of E using elements of $E[p^n]$. It is defined as the kernel to the natural restriction map in Galois cohomology

$$\text{Sel}_p(E/K) = \ker \left(H^1(K, E[p^n]) \rightarrow \bigoplus_v H^1(K_v, E)[p^n] \right),$$

where v runs over all primes of K .

The p^n -Selmer group is closely related to the Mordell–Weil group and Tate–Shafarevich group. Indeed, there is a natural short exact sequence that describes this relationship

$$0 \rightarrow E(K)/p^n E(K) \rightarrow \text{Sel}_{p^n}(E/K) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)[p^n] \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus in particular, $\text{Sel}_{p^n}(E/K)$ is trivial if and only if

- $E(K)$ has rank 0,
- $E(K)[p] = 0$,
- $\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty] = 0$.

2.2. Growth and stability of Selmer groups. Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve and K be a number field. Let p be an odd prime number. Fix a finite Galois extension L/K for which $G := \text{Gal}(L/K)$ is a p -group and assume that $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Set k_v to denote the residue field of K at v . If v is a prime of good reduction, we set $\tilde{E}(k_v)$ to be the group of k_v -rational points of the mod- v reduction of E . Let $c_v(E/K)$ be the Tamagawa number of E at v . Throughout, we impose the following assumption.

Assumption 2.1. *Throughout, we assume that the following conditions hold.*

- (1) *The Selmer group $\text{Sel}_p(E/K) = 0$. In particular, this implies that the rank of $E(K)$ is 0 and both $E(K)$ and $\text{III}(E/K)$ have no nontrivial p -torsion.*
- (2) *At all primes v of K that lie above p , assume that E has good reduction.*
- (3) *If $v \in \Omega_p(K)$ is ramified in L , we additionally require that E has ordinary reduction at v and $\tilde{E}(k_v)[p] = 0$.*
- (4) *For all primes $v \nmid p$ that are ramified in L , we require that E has good reduction at v . Moreover, for such primes v , we have that $\tilde{E}(k_v)[p] = 0$.*
- (5) *At all primes $v \nmid p$ at which E has split multiplicative reduction, we require that $p \nmid c_v(E/K)$.*

Define S as the set of primes $v \in \Omega(K)$ satisfying at least one of the following conditions:

- $v \in \Omega_p(K)$,
- E has bad reduction at v ,
- v is ramified in L .

Let $S(L)$ denote the set of primes $w \in \Omega(L)$ that lie above some prime $v \in S$. The natural restriction map

$$\alpha : \text{Sel}_p(E/K) \rightarrow \text{Sel}_p(E/L)^G$$

fits within the following fundamental diagram

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 = \mathrm{Sel}_p(E/K) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K_S/K, E[p]) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_K} & \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^1(K_v, E)[p] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \gamma & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sel}_p(E/L)^G & \longrightarrow & H^1(L_S/L, E[p])^G & \longrightarrow & \left(\bigoplus_{w \in S(L)} H^1(L_w, E)[p] \right)^G. \end{array}$$

Here, β , and γ are restriction maps on global and local cohomology respectively. Since it is assumed that $\mathrm{Sel}_p(E/K) = 0$, it follows from the Cassels-Poitou-Tate long exact sequence that Φ_K is surjective. For $v \in S$, the restriction map is denoted by

$$\gamma_v : H^1(K_v, E)[p] \rightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{w|v} H^1(L_w, E)[p] \right)^G,$$

where w runs through all primes of L above v . We note that γ is the direct sum $\bigoplus_{v \in S} \gamma_v$.

Lemma 2.2. *Consider a finite dimensional \mathbb{F}_p -vector space V and the finite p -group G acting on V . If $V^G = 0$, then it follows that $V = 0$.*

Proof. The result follows via an easy application of Nakayama's lemma. For further details, cf. [MR24, Lemma 4.2]. \square

Proposition 2.3. *With respect to notation above, assume that γ_v is injective for all $v \in S$. Then it follows that $\mathrm{Sel}_p(E/L) = 0$, and consequently, the rank of $E(L)$ is also 0.*

Proof. From (2.1), we arrive at the following long exact sequence

$$(2.2) \quad 0 = \ker(\alpha) \rightarrow \ker(\beta) \rightarrow \ker(\gamma) \rightarrow \mathrm{cok}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathrm{cok}(\beta) \rightarrow \mathrm{cok}(\gamma).$$

Since we assume that $\mathrm{Sel}_p(E/K) = 0$, it follows that $E(K)[p] = 0$. From the inflation-restriction sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(L/K, E(L)[p]) \rightarrow H^1(K_S/K, E[p]) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^1(K_S/L, E[p])^G \rightarrow H^2(L/K, E(L)[p])$$

it follows that β is an isomorphism. This implies that $\ker \gamma$ is isomorphic to the $\mathrm{cok} \alpha$. Since the maps γ_v are injective, so is γ . Hence, $\mathrm{Sel}_p(E/L)^G = 0$. The result then follows from Lemma 2.2, which asserts that $\mathrm{Sel}_p(E/L) = 0$. \square

We are now left with studying the maps γ_v for $v \in S$, and the conditions under which they are injective. We first deal with the case in which $v \nmid p$. It follows from the Assumption 2.1 that either

- v is totally ramified in L and that E has good reduction at v ,
- v is unramified in L and E has nonsplit multiplicative or additive reduction at v ,

- v is unramified in L , E has split multiplicative reduction at v and $p \nmid c_v(E/K)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $v \nmid p$ be a prime in S at which E has good reduction. Then γ_v is injective.*

Proof. It follows from the definition of S that v must be totally ramified in L . Recall that Assumption 2.1 requires that $\tilde{E}(k_v)[p] = 0$. The result then follows from [PR24, Proposition 2.8]. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let $v \nmid p$ be a prime in S at which E has bad reduction. Then, γ_v is injective.*

Proof. First we consider the case in which E has nonsplit multiplicative reduction or additive reduction at v . In this case, the result follows from [Bra14, Proposition 5.8]. On the other hand, consider the case in which E has split multiplicative reduction. Note that in light of Assumption 2.1, v must be unramified in L and $p \nmid c_v(E/K)$. Then, the result follows from [Bra14, Proposition 5.7, (ii)]. \square

Next, we show that the maps γ_v are injective for all primes $v|p$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $v|p$ be a prime (in S), then the map γ_v is injective.*

Proof. It follows from Assumption 2.1 that E has good reduction at v . There are three cases to consider.

- First, assume that v is unramified in L and that E has good ordinary reduction at v . In this case, γ_v is injective by [Bra14, Proposition 5.9, (ii)].
- Next consider the case when v is ramified in L and E has good ordinary reduction at v . In this case, it has been assumed that $\tilde{E}(k_v)[p] = 0$, by Assumption 2.1. In this case, γ_v is injective, according to [Bra14, Proposition 5.9, (i)].
- Consider the case when v is unramified in L and E has good supersingular reduction at v . Then, γ_v is injective by [Bra14, Proposition 5.10, (ii)].

\square

Proposition 2.7. *Let E be an elliptic curve satisfying the conditions of Assumption 2.1. Then, we have that $\text{Sel}_p(E/L) = 0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.3, it suffices to show that the maps γ_v are injective for all $v \in S$. Lemma 2.4 (resp. 2.5) asserts that γ_v is injective when $v \nmid p$ is a prime in S of good (resp. bad) reduction for E . For primes $v|p$, γ_v is injective by Lemma 2.6. The result thus follows. \square

3. ASYMPTOTICS FOR ELLIPTIC CURVES

In this section, we shall fix a prime $p \in \{3, 5\}$ and a $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -extension L/\mathbb{Q} . Given an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} , it is well known that up to isomorphism E admits a short

Weierstrass equation of the form

$$E_{A,B} : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B,$$

where $(A, B) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ are such that for all primes ℓ , either $\ell^4 \nmid A$ or $\ell^6 \nmid B$. Such an equation is *globally minimal* and the pair (A, B) is uniquely determined. The discriminant of $E_{A,B}$ is given by

$$\Delta_{A,B} = \Delta(E_{A,B}) = -16(4A^3 + 27B^2),$$

and the *j-invariant* is

$$j_{A,B} = j(E_{A,B}) = \frac{2^8 3^3 A^3}{4A^3 + 27B^2}.$$

We shall denote by \mathcal{C} the set of all pairs of integers (A, B) such that $\ell^4 \nmid A$ or $\ell^6 \nmid B$ for all primes ℓ . The association taking (A, B) to the isomorphism class of $E_{A,B}$, gives a natural parametrization of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} .

This parametrization of elliptic curves allows us to formulate counting questions. Given an elliptic curve $E_{A,B}$ the *naive height* of $E_{A,B}$ is defined as follows

$$h_{A,B} = h(E_{A,B}) := \max\{|A|^3, |B|^2\}.$$

The elliptic curves we shall consider shall be ordered according to their height. Let us explain this further. Let $X > 0$ be a real number and $\mathcal{C}(X)$ to be the family of elliptic curves $E_{A,B}$ with height at most X , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{C}(X) := \{(A, B) \in \mathcal{C} : h(E_{A,B}) \leq X\}.$$

It is easy to see that the set $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is finite, in fact Brumer proves a precise asymptotic.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}(X)$ be given as above. One has the following asymptotic estimate for the growth of $\#\mathcal{C}(X)$, as X goes to ∞ :*

$$\#\mathcal{C}(X) = \frac{4X^{5/6}}{\zeta(10)} + O(\sqrt{X}).$$

Proof. For a proof of this result, see [Bru92, Lemma 4.3]. □

Definition 3.2. *Any set of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} can be identified with a subset S of \mathcal{C} . Given $X > 0$, set $S(X) := S \cap \mathcal{C}(X)$. The density of S (if it exists) is defined as follows*

$$(3.1) \quad \mathfrak{d}(S) := \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#S(X)}{\#\mathcal{C}(X)}.$$

When the limit in the above expression is replaced by \limsup (resp \liminf), we write $\overline{\mathfrak{d}}(S)$ (resp. $\underline{\mathfrak{d}}(S)$) to denote the upper (resp. lower) density. The set S is said to have positive density if $\underline{\mathfrak{d}}(S) > 0$.

Remark 3.3. *It is worth noting that the density $\mathfrak{d}(S)$ is a quantity that need not be defined when the limit (3.1) does not exist. However, the upper and lower densities are always well defined.*

The rank of an elliptic curve is a fundamental and subtle invariant. It is natural to view the rank as a random function of the set of all elliptic curves and study its distribution. Katz and Sarnak modeled the distribution of ranks of elliptic curves and conjectured the following.

Conjecture 3.4 (Rank distribution conjecture). *The density of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} with rank 0 (resp. 1) is $1/2$ (resp. $1/2$).*

The conjecture thus also predicts that the density of elliptic curves with rank ≥ 2 is 0. Although this conjecture is open, significant progress was made in seminal work of Bhargava and Shankar [BS15a, BS15b, BS13]. The idea is to relate Selmer groups of elliptic curves to integral forms. The integral forms are lattice points in certain fundamental regions in Euclidean space and techniques from the geometry of numbers are applied to study their distribution. Given a prime p , it is expected that the average size of the p -Selmer group of E/\mathbb{Q} is $(p+1)$. This has been proven to be the case for primes $p \leq 5$. We refer to work of Poonen and Rains [PR12] for predictions on the average size of Selmer groups and Tate–Shafarevich groups.

In this section, we fix a Galois extension L/\mathbb{Q} with Galois group $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, where $p \in \{3, 5\}$. Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve. Following Mazur and Rubin [MR18], E is said to be *diophantine stable* in L if the natural inclusion yields an equality $E(\mathbb{Q}) = E(L)$. We assume throughout that 2 and p are unramified in L , and show that there is an effective positive density of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} that are diophantine stable in L . This is done by showing that

- the set of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} for which $E(L)_{\text{tors}} = 0$ has density 1.
- The set of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} for which $\text{rank } E(L) = 0$ has positive density.

It then follows that the set of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} for which both $E(\mathbb{Q}) = E(L) = 0$ has positive density. We shall obtain an effective lower bound for the lower density of this set of elliptic curves.

3.1. Controlling the torsion. Consider the set $S_1 \subset \mathcal{C}$, of all isomorphism classes of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} such that $E(L)_{\text{tors}} = 0$. In this section, we show that S_1 has density 1, i.e.,

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#S_1(X)}{\#\mathcal{C}(X)} = 1.$$

An elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} gives rise to a family of Galois representations. Choose an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of \mathbb{Q} and set $G_{\mathbb{Q}} := \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. Given a natural number n , let $E[n]$ be the n -torsion subgroup of $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. Note that $E[n] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$ and that there is a natural action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $E[n]$. The associated Galois representation

$$\rho_{E,n} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E[n]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

encodes many arithmetic properties of E . Suppose that E does not have complex multiplication. Then, Serre's Open image theorem implies that for all but finitely many primes ℓ , the mod- ℓ representation $\rho_{E,\ell}$ is surjective. On the other hand, a prime ℓ for which $\rho_{E,\ell}$ fails to be surjective is called an *exceptional prime*. In this context, we set $S'_1 \subset \mathcal{C}$ to be the set of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves with no exceptional primes.

Theorem 3.5 (Duke [Duk97]). *The set S'_1 has density 1, i.e., almost all isomorphism classes of elliptic curves (over \mathbb{Q}) have no exceptional primes.*

Thus, in order to show that S_1 has density 1, it suffices to show that S'_1 is contained in S_1 . In other words, if an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} has the property that it has no exceptional primes, then, it follows that $E(L)_{\text{tors}} = 0$. This assertion follows from the following result.

Lemma 3.6. *Let L/\mathbb{Q} be a $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -extension (where $p = 3$ or 5) and let ℓ be any prime number. Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve for which the representation*

$$\rho_{E,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$$

is surjective. Then, we have that $E(L)[\ell] = 0$.

Proof. By way of contradiction, assume that $E(L)[\ell] \neq 0$. Thus, $\rho_{E,\ell}(G_L)$ is contained in the mirabolic subgroup $M := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$. In particular, we find that

$$[\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) : \rho_{E,\ell}(G_L)] \geq [\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) : M] = \frac{(\ell^2 - \ell)(\ell^2 - 1)}{(\ell - 1)\ell} = \ell^2 - 1.$$

On the other hand,

$$[\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) : \rho_{E,\ell}(G_L)] = [\rho_{E,\ell}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}) : \rho_{E,\ell}(G_L)] \leq [G_{\mathbb{Q}} : G_L] \leq 5.$$

Thus, we find that $\ell^2 - 1 \leq 5$, i.e., $\ell = 2$.

Assume therefore that $\ell = 2$. Note that $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \simeq S_3$, and $M \simeq C_2$. Since G_L is a normal subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, it follows that C_2 is normal in S_3 , which is a contradiction. Thus, we have shown that $E(L)[\ell] = 0$. \square

Theorem 3.7. *The set S_1 has density 1.*

Proof. Recall that Theorem 3.5 asserts that the density of the set S'_1 is 1. Hence, to prove the result, it suffices to show that $S'_1 \subset S_1$. Let E be an elliptic curve in S'_1 . This means by definition, that for all primes ℓ , the Galois representation $\rho_{E,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ is surjective. Then by Lemma 3.6, we get that $E(L)[\ell] = 0$ for all primes ℓ . This in turn implies that $E(L)_{\text{tors}} = 0$ and hence $E \in S_1$. This completes the proof. \square

3.2. Controlling the rank. In this section, we take $S_2 \subset \mathcal{C}$ to consist of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} such that $\text{rank } E(L) = 0$. Given a pair $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^2$ with $4\overline{A}^3 + 27\overline{B}^2 \neq 0$, let $E_{\overline{A}, \overline{B}} : y^2 = x^3 + \overline{A}x + \overline{B}$. Let ℓ be a prime that ramifies in L , then set \mathfrak{A}_ℓ to denote the number of pairs $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^2$ such that

- $4\overline{A}^3 + 27\overline{B}^2 \neq 0$,
- $E_{\overline{A}, \overline{B}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p] = 0$ and $E_{\overline{A}, -\overline{B}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p] = 0$.

We show in this section that S_2 has positive density, and note that the set $S_1 \cap S_2$ consists of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} such that $E(L) = 0$. Note that in particular, $E(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ and $E(L) = 0$, hence E is diophantine stable in the fixed extension L/\mathbb{Q} for all $E \in S_1 \cap S_2$. Theorem 3.7 asserts that S_1 has density 1. Thus, if S_2 has positive density, then so does $S_1 \cap S_2$. This then yields the following result.

Theorem 3.8. *Let p be a prime in $\{3, 5\}$ and L/\mathbb{Q} be a Galois extension of \mathbb{Q} with $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Take Z to denote the primes that ramify in L . Assume that*

- (1) $2, p \notin Z$,
- (2) *for all $\ell \in Z$, there exists an elliptic curve \mathbb{E} over \mathbb{F}_ℓ , such that $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}^{-1}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p] = 0$. Here, \mathbb{E}^{-1} is the quadratic twist of \mathbb{E} by -1 .*

Then there is a positive density of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} such that

$$E(L) = E(\mathbb{Q}) = 0.$$

Evidently, these elliptic curves are diophantine stable in L . Moreover, the lower density of this set of elliptic curves is at least $\eta_p \prod_\ell \delta_\ell$, where

$$\eta_p := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } p = 3; \\ \frac{3}{8} & \text{if } p = 5; \end{cases}$$

and

$$\delta_\ell := \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } \ell \notin Z \cup \{2, p\} \text{ and } \ell = 3; \\ 1 - \frac{2}{\ell^2} + \frac{1}{\ell^3} & \text{if } \ell \notin Z \cup \{2, p\} \text{ and } \ell \neq 3; \\ 1 - \frac{1}{\ell} & \text{if } \ell = p; \\ \frac{1}{2^{21}} & \text{if } \ell = 2; \\ \frac{\#\mathfrak{A}_\ell}{\ell^2} & \text{if } \ell \in Z. \end{cases}$$

We note that (2) is a condition on the prime ℓ , and it is shown that when $p = 5$ and $\ell \geq 5779$ (resp. $p = 3$ and $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$), this condition is satisfied, see Lemma 4.6. We shall also give explicit lower bounds for the density of the set of elliptic curves that are diophantine stable in L (in terms of E and the set of primes that ramify in L). We shall show that $\text{Sel}_p(E/L) = 0$ for a positive density of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} . This is achieved by applying Proposition 2.7 by showing that Assumption 2.1 (for $K := \mathbb{Q}$ and $p \in \{3, 5\}$) is satisfied for a positive density

of elliptic curves. Recall that p is unramified in L , and hence, Assumption 2.1 (3) is satisfied.

Definition 3.9. *Given any prime ℓ , let Σ_ℓ be a choice of a closed subset of \mathbb{Z}_ℓ^2 defined by a congruence condition. In other words, there is a sufficiently large integer $n_\ell \geq 1$ and $\overline{\Sigma}_\ell \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{n_\ell}\mathbb{Z})^2$ such that $(A, B) \in \Sigma_\ell$ if and only if*

$$(A \pmod{\ell^{n_\ell}}, B \pmod{\ell^{n_\ell}}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{n_\ell}\mathbb{Z})^2.$$

Let $E_{A,B} : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ be an elliptic curve such that either $\ell^4 \nmid A$ or $\ell^6 \nmid B$. Assume that choice of Σ_ℓ is made for every prime number. Then, we set Σ to denote the tuple of conditions $(\Sigma_\ell)_\ell$, where ℓ ranges over all prime numbers. We associate the family \mathcal{F}_Σ of elliptic curves to the tuple $(\Sigma_\ell)_\ell$, where $E_{A,B} \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$ if $(A, B) \in \Sigma_\ell$ for all ℓ . The family \mathcal{F}_Σ is then said to be defined by congruence conditions.

Consider the following example. Given a prime ℓ , we let $\overline{\Pi}_\ell \subset (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^6\mathbb{Z})^2$ consist of all tuples $(\overline{A}, \overline{B})$ such that $\ell^4 \nmid \overline{A}$ and $\overline{B} \neq 0$. Let $\Pi_\ell \subset \mathbb{Z}_\ell^2$ be the set of all tuples (A, B) such that $(A \pmod{\ell^6}, B \pmod{\ell^6}) \in \overline{\Pi}_\ell$. Thus, the set

$$\Pi_\ell = \{(A, B) \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^2 \mid \text{either } \ell^4 \nmid A \text{ or } \ell^6 \nmid B\}$$

corresponds to the minimal Weierstrass models of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ .

If \mathcal{F} is a family of elliptic curves defined by congruence conditions, then we denote by $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{F})$ the subset of \mathbb{Z}_ℓ^2 defined by

$$\text{Inv}(\mathcal{F}) := \{(A, B) : E_{A,B} \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

and let $(\text{Inv}(\mathcal{F}))_\ell$ be its ℓ -adic closure in \mathbb{Z}_ℓ^2 .

Definition 3.10. *A family \mathcal{F} of elliptic curves defined by congruence conditions is said to be large if the set $(\text{Inv}(\mathcal{F}))_\ell$ contains all pairs $(A, B) \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^2$ such that $\ell^2 \nmid \Delta(E_{A,B})$, for all but finitely many primes ℓ .*

Recall that the root number $\omega(E)$ of an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} is the sign of the functional equation of the L -function $L(E, s)$ of E . It is widely believed that when the elliptic curves are ordered by height, the root numbers $+1$ and -1 occur equally often. The following result of Bhargava and Shankar [BS15b, Theorem 41] then gives the density of elliptic curves with rank 0.

Theorem 3.11. *Let p be a prime in $\{3, 5\}$. Suppose \mathcal{F} is a large family of elliptic curves such that exactly 50% of the curves in \mathcal{F} , when ordered by height, have root number $+1$. Then if $p = 3$ (resp. $p = 5$) at least 25% (resp. 37.5%) of the curves $E_{A,B}$ in \mathcal{F} , when ordered by height, have $\text{Sel}_p(E_{A,B}/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$.*

Proof. The statement of the result above is a little stronger than that of [BS15b, Theorem 41] (resp. [BS13, Proposition 40]) since it is asserted that $\text{Sel}_p(E_{A,B}/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$. The proof of the mentioned Theorems rely on showing that this Selmer group is indeed 0 for at least 25% (resp. 37.5%) of curves in \mathcal{F} . When $p = 3$, we refer

to p. 617, ll. 11-12 of [BS15b]. On the other hand, for $p = 5$, see [BS13, p.29, l. -7]. \square

For an elliptic curve $E := E_{A,B} : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ with $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote by $E^{-1} := E_{A,B}^{-1} : y^2 = x^3 + Ax - B$ the twist by -1 of $E_{A,B}$. Note that $\Delta(E^{-1}) = \Delta(E)$ and $j(E^{-1}) = j(E)$.

The global root number is a product of local root numbers

$$\omega(E) = - \prod_{\ell} \omega_{\ell}(E).$$

The local root number at a prime ℓ of multiplicative reduction is easy to describe. One has that

$$(3.2) \quad \omega_{\ell}(E) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } E \text{ has good reduction or non-split multiplicative reduction at } \ell; \\ -1 & \text{if } E \text{ has split multiplicative reduction at } \ell. \end{cases}$$

There is a simple criterion for this at a prime $\ell \geq 3$ of multiplicative reduction. The elliptic curve $E = E_{A,B}$ has split multiplicative reduction precisely when $\left(\frac{6B}{\ell}\right) = 1$ (see [Won01, Lemma 10]). One has that $\left(\frac{-1}{\ell}\right) = 1$ if and only if $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Therefore, we deduce that for any prime $\ell \geq 3$ at which E has multiplicative reduction,

$$(3.3) \quad \omega_{\ell}(E^{-1}) = \omega_{\ell}(E) \Leftrightarrow \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

Definition 3.12. *Let Z be the set of primes ℓ of \mathbb{Q} that ramify in L . Note that $2, p \notin Z$ by assumption. Also, let \mathcal{E} be the family of elliptic curves defined by the following conditions*

- (1) E has good reduction at p ;
- (2) E has good reduction at every prime $\ell \in Z$. Moreover, $\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell})[p] = 0$ and $\tilde{E}^{-1}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell})[p] = 0$ for all $\ell \in Z$.
- (3) Let $\Delta'(E)$ be the positive prime to 2 part of $\Delta(E)$ (i.e., $\Delta'(E) := \frac{|\Delta(E)|}{2^{v_2(\Delta(E))}}$). Then, $\Delta'(E)$ is squarefree and $\Delta'(E) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.
- (4) Both E and E^{-1} have additive reduction at 2 and $2 \nmid j(E)$.

Let \mathcal{E}^0 be the subset of \mathcal{E} consisting of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $\text{Sel}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$.

Remark 3.13. *It follows from the conditions above that if E is an elliptic curve in \mathcal{E} , then $E^{-1} \in \mathcal{E}$ as well. This is simply because Δ , Δ' and j are the same for E and E^{-1} .*

Proposition 3.14. *Let E be an elliptic curve in \mathcal{E}^0 . Then, setting $K := \mathbb{Q}$, the Assumption 2.1 is satisfied for \mathcal{E}^0 .*

Proof. All the conditions of Assumption 2.1 simply follow from the definition of \mathcal{E}^0 . \square

Lemma 3.15. *For $E \in \mathcal{E}$, we have that $\omega(E^{-1}) = -\omega(E)$.*

Proof. Recall that $\Delta(E^{-1}) = \Delta(E)$ and $j(E^{-1}) = j(E)$. Recall also that the root number $\omega(E) = -\prod_{\ell} \omega_{\ell}(E)$. We study the relationship between $\omega_{\ell}(E^{-1})$ and $\omega_{\ell}(E)$ for all primes ℓ .

- We begin with primes ℓ not dividing $\Delta(E)$. Since $\Delta(E^{-1}) = \Delta(E)$, both E and E^{-1} have good reduction at ℓ . Thus, $\omega_{\ell}(E^{-1}) = \omega_{\ell}(E) = 1$.
- Next, let $\ell \neq 2$ be a prime dividing $\Delta(E)$. Recall that condition (3) in Definition 3.12 requires that $\Delta'(E)$ is squarefree. In particular, $\ell^2 \nmid \Delta(E)$, and thus, E has multiplicative reduction at ℓ . According to (3.3) we have that

$$\omega_{\ell}(E^{-1}) = \omega_{\ell}(E) \text{ if and only if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

Since it is assumed that $\Delta'(E) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (by part (3) of Definition 3.12), we must have that the number of primes $\ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ dividing $\Delta(E)$ is even. Hence, $\omega_{\ell}(E^{-1}) = -\omega_{\ell}(E)$ for an even number of primes $\ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Thus, we find that

$$\prod_{\substack{\ell \neq 2, \\ \ell \nmid \Delta(E)}} \omega_{\ell}(E^{-1}) = \prod_{\substack{\ell \neq 2, \\ \ell \nmid \Delta(E)}} \omega_{\ell}(E).$$

- Finally, let us consider $\ell = 2$. By part (4) of Definition 3.12, E has additive reduction at 2 and $2 \nmid j(E)$. It follows from [Won01, Lemma 12] that $\omega_2(E^{-1}) = -\omega_2(E)$.

It follows from the analysis above that for $E \in \mathcal{E}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(E^{-1}) &= - \prod_{\ell} \omega_{\ell}(E^{-1}) \\ &= - \prod_{\ell \nmid \Delta(E)} \omega_{\ell}(E^{-1}) \times \prod_{\substack{\ell \neq 2, \\ \ell \nmid \Delta(E)}} \omega_{\ell}(E^{-1}) \times \omega_2(E^{-1}) \\ &= - \prod_{\ell \nmid \Delta(E)} \omega_{\ell}(E) \times \prod_{\substack{\ell \neq 2, \\ \ell \nmid \Delta(E)}} \omega_{\ell}(E^{-1}) \times (-\omega_2(E^{-1})) \\ &= - \omega(E). \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall that the *height of E* , denoted $h(E)$, is defined to be $\max\{|A|^3, B^2\}$. Thus, $h(E)$ remains unaltered under the twist by -1 , i.e. $h(E) = h(E^{-1})$. Hence, Lemma 3.15 implies that exactly 50% of the curves E in \mathcal{E} have root number $+1$, when ordered by height. We note that there is a natural short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q})/3E(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Sel}_3(E/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[3] \rightarrow 0,$$

and thus \mathcal{E}^0 precisely consists of elliptic curves $E \in \mathcal{E}$ for which

$$(1) \text{ rank } E(\mathbb{Q}) = 0,$$

- (2) $E(\mathbb{Q})[3] = 0$,
- (3) $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[3] = 0$.

4. DENSITY RESULTS FOR DIOPHANTINE STABILITY IN L

This section is devoted to the key technical arguments of the article, finally leading up to the proofs of our main results.

4.1. A suitable large family of elliptic curves. We wish to show that the family \mathcal{E} (cf. Definition 3.12) has positive lower density. In order to do this, we introduce a large family \mathcal{F} contained in \mathcal{E} and estimate the density of \mathcal{F} .

Definition 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{C}$ consist of all elliptic curves $E = E_{A,B}$, where (A, B) is a minimal pair such that*

- (1) $p \nmid 4A^3 + 27B^2$,
- (2) for all $\ell \in Z$, we require that $\ell \nmid 4A^3 + 27B^2$ and $\tilde{E}_{A,B}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p] = 0$ and $\tilde{E}_{A,-B}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p] = 0$,
- (3) $A = 4A'$ and $B = 16B'$, where $A' \equiv 189 \pmod{256}$ and $B' \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{256}$.
- (4) For all primes $\ell \neq 2$, we insist that $\ell^2 \nmid \Delta_{A,B}$.

Clearly, the set \mathcal{F} is a large family, and at the primes $T := Z \cup \{2, p\}$, we have associated congruence conditions.

Lemma 4.2. *With respect to notation above, \mathcal{F} is a subset of \mathcal{E} .*

Proof. It is clear from the definition of \mathcal{F} that the conditions (1) and (2) in Definition 3.12 are satisfied. Also, $\Delta'(E)$ is clearly squarefree for $E \in \mathcal{F}$. It thus suffices to show that

- E and E^{-1} have additive reduction at 2,
- $\Delta'(E) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,
- $2 \nmid j(E)$

for all $E \in \mathcal{F}$. That E and E^{-1} have additive reduction at 2 follows from Tate's algorithm (see [Sil94, step 7, p. 367]). Since it is a standard procedure, we omit these details. The j -invariant is given as follows

$$j(E) = 1728 \frac{4A^3}{4A^3 + 27B^2} = \frac{27 \times 2^6 \times A^3}{A'^3 + 27B'^2}.$$

We find that

$$A'^3 + 27B'^2 \equiv 189^3 + 27 \equiv 64 \pmod{256},$$

and deduce that $j(E)$ is a 2-adic unit. Lastly, note that

$$\Delta(E) = 4A^3 + 27B^2 = 2^8(A'^3 + 27B'^2),$$

and

$$A'^3 + 27B'^2 = 2^6(4n + 1),$$

where n is a positive natural number. Thus, $\Delta'(E) = 4n + 1$ and is congruent to 1 (mod 4). \square

Let us now spell out the congruence conditions that define \mathcal{F} as a subset of \mathcal{C} .

- For $\ell \notin T$, we let \mathcal{F}_ℓ to consist of all Weierstrass models $E_{A,B}$ (not necessarily minimal) such that $\Delta_{A,B} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^2}$.
- For $\ell \in Z$, \mathcal{F}_ℓ consist of $E_{A,B}$ such that $\Delta_{A,B} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$ and $\tilde{E}_{A,\pm B}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p] = 0$.
- For $\ell = p$, \mathcal{F}_p is defined by $\Delta_{A,B} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.
- For $\ell = 2$, \mathcal{F}_2 is defined by requiring that $A = 4A'$ and $B = 16B'$ such that $(A', B') \equiv (189, \pm 1) \pmod{256}$.

Thus, we find that $\mathcal{F} = \cap_\ell \mathcal{F}_\ell$, where ℓ ranges over all prime numbers. It is clear from our definition that for $\ell \neq 2$, the elliptic curves $E \in \mathcal{F}_\ell$ are minimal at ℓ . On the other hand, for $\ell = 2$, minimality at 2 follows from Tate's algorithm. Thus, we find that \mathcal{F} consists of elliptic curves that are globally minimal (i.e., minimal at all primes ℓ). In other words, \mathcal{F} is a subset of \mathcal{C} . We wish to compute the density of \mathcal{F} . This is done in 2 steps.

- (1) Given $X > 0$, we set $\mathcal{F}_\ell(X) := \{(A, B) \in \mathcal{F}_\ell \mid \max\{|A|^3, B^2\} \leq X\}$. We note that not all pairs $(A, B) \in \mathcal{F}_\ell$ are minimal, but the pairs $(A, B) \in \mathcal{F}$ are. We derive an asymptotic formula for $\#\mathcal{F}_\ell(X)$ as $X \rightarrow \infty$.
- (2) Next, we show that the asymptotic formulae for $\#\mathcal{F}_\ell(X)$ can be used to give an asymptotic formula for $\#\mathcal{F}(X)$. Using this formula, we derive an expression for the density of \mathcal{F} . Since \mathcal{F} is contained in \mathcal{E} , this gives us lower bound for the lower density of \mathcal{E} .

In order to complete step (1) in an effective manner, we introduce the residue classes $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell \subset (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{n_\ell})^2$ associated to the local condition \mathcal{F}_ℓ for all primes. We then set $\delta_\ell := \frac{\#\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell}{\ell^{2n_\ell}}$. The asymptotic for $\#\mathcal{F}_\ell(X)$ is then given by

$$\#\mathcal{F}_\ell(X) \sim \frac{\#\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell}{\ell^{2n_\ell}} \times \#\{(A, B) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \max\{|A|^3, B^2\} \leq X\} \sim 4\delta_\ell X^{5/6}.$$

Definition 4.3. *The set $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell$ is defined as follows.*

- For $\ell \notin T$, we set $n_\ell = 2$ and $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell \subset (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^2\mathbb{Z})^2$ to consist of pairs $(\overline{A}, \overline{B})$ for which $4\overline{A}^3 + 27\overline{B}^2 \neq 0$.
- For $\ell \in Z$, take $n_\ell = 1$ and $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell$ consist of $(\overline{A}, \overline{B})$ such that $4\overline{A}^3 + 27\overline{B}^2 \neq 0$ and $E_{\overline{A}, \pm \overline{B}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p] = 0$.
- For $\ell = p$, take $n_\ell = 1$ and $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell$ consist of $(\overline{A}, \overline{B})$ such that $4\overline{A}^3 + 27\overline{B}^2 \neq 0$.
- For $\ell = 2$, we set $n_\ell = 12$ and $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell \subset (\mathbb{Z}/2^{12}\mathbb{Z})^2$ to consist of pairs $(\overline{A}, \overline{B})$ where $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) = (756, \pm 16)$.

For primes $\ell \notin Z$, we can calculate δ_ℓ explicitly.

Lemma 4.4. *Let ℓ be a prime, we find that $\#\mathcal{F}_\ell(X) \sim 4\delta_\ell X^{5/6}$, where*

$$\delta_\ell = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } \ell \notin T \text{ and } \ell = 3; \\ 1 - \frac{2}{\ell^2} + \frac{1}{\ell^3} & \text{if } \ell \notin T \text{ and } \ell \neq 3; \\ 1 - \frac{1}{\ell} & \text{if } \ell = p; \\ \frac{1}{2^{21}} & \text{if } \ell = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Each of these conditions is described by congruence conditions on $(A, B) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. In other words, there is an integer $n_\ell > 0$ and a set of congruence classes

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell \subset (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{n_\ell})^2$$

such that \mathcal{F}_ℓ consists of all pairs (A, B) such that

$$(A \pmod{\ell^{n_\ell}}, B \pmod{\ell^{n_\ell}}) \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell.$$

It is thus clear that

$$\#\mathcal{F}_\ell(X) \sim \left(\frac{\#\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell}{\ell^{2n_\ell}} \right) \times 4X^{5/6}.$$

We count $\#\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell$ in all cases.

- First consider $\ell \notin T$. Recall that for $\ell \notin T$, \mathcal{F}_ℓ consists of all pairs $(A, B) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $\ell^2 \nmid \Delta_{A,B}$. Thus, $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell$ consists of all pairs $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^2)^2$ such that $-4\overline{A}^3 \neq 27\overline{B}^2$. Note that $\ell \neq 2$ since $2 \in T$. First assume that $\ell = 3$ (this case potentially arises when $p = 5$). In this case, the condition becomes $3 \nmid \overline{A}$, and thus, $\#\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell = 2 \times 3^3$ and thus,

$$\left(\frac{\#\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell}{\ell^{2n_\ell}} \right) = \left(\frac{\#\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell}{\ell^4} \right) = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Next, we move on the case when $\ell \neq 3$. Set $(C, D) := (-\overline{A}/3, \overline{B}/2)$ and count the number of pairs for which $C^3 = D^2$. We consider two further cases. In the first case, $\ell \nmid C$, we set $(U, V) := (C, D/U)$ and the equation becomes $U = V^2$. Thus, in this case, there are $(\ell^2 - \ell)$ values of V and U is determined by V . On the other hand, if $\ell \mid C$ then $\ell \mid D$ as well and there are ℓ^2 choices in this case. Thus, we get

$$\#\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell = \ell^4 - (\ell^2 - \ell) - \ell^2 = \ell^4 - 2\ell^2 + \ell.$$

From the above, we find that

$$\left(\frac{\#\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell}{\ell^{2n_\ell}} \right) = \left(\frac{\#\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell}{\ell^4} \right) = 1 - \frac{2}{\ell^2} + \frac{1}{\ell^3}.$$

- Next, consider the case when $\ell = p$. In this case, the condition is that $\ell \nmid \Delta_{A,B}$. Arguing as we did in the previous case, it is easy to see that

$$\left(\frac{\#\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell}{\ell^{2n_\ell}} \right) = \left(\frac{\#\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell}{\ell^2} \right) = \frac{(\ell^2 - \ell)}{\ell^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{\ell}.$$

- Recall that when $\ell = 2$, the condition required that $A = 4A'$ and $B = 16B'$, where $A' \equiv 189 \pmod{256}$ and $B' \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{256}$. Thus, we find that

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{F}_\ell}{\ell^{2n_\ell}} = \frac{1}{2^{21}}.$$

□

Lemma 4.5. *Let \mathcal{F}'_ℓ be the complement of \mathcal{F}_ℓ in \mathbb{Z}^2 . There is an absolute constant $C_1 > 0$ (independent of ℓ) such that for all large enough values of X ,*

$$\#\mathcal{F}'_\ell(X) \leq \frac{C_1}{\ell^2} X^{5/6}$$

for all $\ell \notin T$.

Proof. Assume without loss of generality that $\ell \geq 5$. We refer to the first part of the proof of Lemma 4.4. Thus, \mathcal{F}'_ℓ is the set of $(A, B) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $\ell^2 \nmid (4A^3 + 27B^2)$. We may write $\mathcal{F}'_\ell = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_3$, where

- \mathcal{B}_1 consists of pairs (A, B) for which $\ell \mid A$ and $\ell \mid B$,
- \mathcal{B}_2 consists of pairs for which $\ell \nmid A, B$ and $-4A^3 \equiv 27B^2 \pmod{\ell^2}$, and $4A^3 + 27B^2 \neq 0$.
- Finally, let \mathcal{B}_3 consist of the pairs for which $4A^3 + 27B^2 = 0$.

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{B}_1(X) &= \{(A, B) \in \mathcal{B}_1 \mid \max\{|A|^3, B^2\} \leq X\} \\ &\leq 4 \left\lfloor \frac{X^{1/3}}{\ell} \right\rfloor \left\lfloor \frac{X^{1/2}}{\ell} \right\rfloor \leq \frac{4X^{5/6}}{\ell^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For each A there are at most 2 residue classes of B modulo ℓ which satisfy $-4A^3 \equiv 27B^2 \pmod{\ell}$. Each residue class $B \pmod{\ell}$ lifts to a unique residue class modulo ℓ^2 that satisfies $-4A^3 \equiv 27B^2 \pmod{\ell^2}$, by Hensel's lemma. This requires that $B \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$. The number of such B s in the interval $[-X^{1/2}, X^{1/2}]$ in a given residue class modulo ℓ^2 is $\frac{2X^{1/2}}{\ell^2} + O(1)$. Thus, in all, there are $2X^{1/3}(\frac{2X^{1/2}}{\ell^2} + O(1))$ values in $\#\mathcal{B}_2(X)$. Hence, $\#\mathcal{B}_2(X) \leq \frac{4X^{5/6}}{\ell^2} + O(X^{1/3})$. Finally, it is easy to see that $\#\mathcal{B}_3(X) = o(X^{5/6})$ since the value of A determines B for $(A, B) \in \mathcal{B}_3(X)$. Thus, taking any constant $C_1 > 8$ will work. □

Next, we treat primes $\ell \in Z$, i.e., primes that ramify in L . By assumption, $\ell \notin \{2, p\}$. Recall that $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell$ is the subset of $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^2$ consisting of pairs $(\overline{A}, \overline{B})$ such that

- $4\overline{A}^3 + 27\overline{B}^2 \neq 0$,

- $E_{\overline{A}, \overline{B}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p] = 0$ and $E_{\overline{A}, -\overline{B}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p] = 0$.

Note that

$$\#\mathcal{F}_\ell(X) \sim 4\delta_\ell X^{5/6},$$

where $\delta_\ell = \frac{\#\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell}{\ell^2}$. We estimate the size of $\#\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell$ for $\ell \in Z$.

Lemma 4.6. *With respect to notation above, the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *For $\ell \in Z$, suppose that $p = 5$. Then for $\ell \geq 5779$, we have that*

$$\#\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell \geq \left(\frac{\ell^2 - \ell}{2} \right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{\ell} - 76}{\sqrt{\ell}} \right).$$

- (2) *Suppose that $p = 3$ and that the only primes ℓ that ramify in L are of the form $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then for all primes $\ell \geq 233$, we have that*

$$\#\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell \geq \left(\frac{\ell^2 - \ell}{2} \right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{\ell} - 15.18}{\sqrt{\ell}} \right).$$

Proof. The complement of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell$ in $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^2$ can be expressed as a union $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \cup \mathcal{A}_3$. Here, \mathcal{A}_1 consists of pairs $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^2$ such that $\Delta_{\overline{A}, \overline{B}} = 0$ and \mathcal{A}_2 (resp. \mathcal{A}_3) consists of pairs for which $E_{\overline{A}, \overline{B}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p] \neq 0$ (resp. $E_{\overline{A}, -\overline{B}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p] \neq 0$). Thus, we find that

$$\#\mathcal{F}_\ell \geq \ell^2 - \#\mathcal{A}_1 - \#\mathcal{A}_2 - \#\mathcal{A}_3.$$

It is clear that

$$\#\mathcal{A}_2 = \#\mathcal{A}_3.$$

As was discussed in the proof of Lemma 4.4, we have that $\#\mathcal{A}_1 = \ell$. Then it follows from [How93] that

$$\#\mathcal{A}_2 \leq \left(\frac{\ell^2 - \ell}{p-1} \right) \left(1 + 2.53 \frac{p(p+1)}{\sqrt{\ell}} \right).$$

Therefore, we have that

$$\#\mathcal{F}_\ell \geq \ell^2 - \ell - 2 \left(\frac{\ell^2 - \ell}{p-1} \right) \left(1 + 2.53 \frac{p(p+1)}{\sqrt{\ell}} \right).$$

Consider the case when $p = 5$. In this case, we get

$$\#\mathcal{F}_\ell \geq \left(\frac{\ell^2 - \ell}{2} \right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{\ell} - 76}{\sqrt{\ell}} \right).$$

This bound is nontrivial when $\sqrt{\ell} > 76$, i.e., $\ell \geq 5779$.

On the other hand, consider the case when $p = 3$ and assume that all primes that ramify in L are $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. In this case, $E_{\overline{A}, \overline{B}} \simeq E_{\overline{A}, -\overline{B}}$ over \mathbb{F}_ℓ . Hence, we find that $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_3$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \cup \mathcal{A}_3 = \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ and we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{F}_\ell &\geq \ell^2 - \#\mathcal{A}_1 - \#\mathcal{A}_2, \\ &\geq \ell^2 - \ell - \left(\frac{\ell^2 - \ell}{2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{15.18}{\sqrt{\ell}}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\ell^2 - \ell}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{\ell} - 15.18}{\sqrt{\ell}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This bound is nontrivial when $\sqrt{\ell} > 15.18$, i.e., $\ell \geq 233$. \square

Remark 4.7. *To avoid confusion, we reiterate that in Theorem 3.8, it is assumed that for all $\ell \in Z$, there exists an elliptic curve \mathbb{E} satisfying required properties. This in particular implies that $\delta_\ell > 0$. However, the result above shows that this condition need only be checked for primes $\ell \geq 5779$ when $p = 5$. If $p = 3$ and additionally, one assumes that all primes $\ell \in Z$ are $1 \pmod{4}$, then this condition needs to be checked only for $\ell \geq 233$. This has been checked by us using the following Sagemath code:*

```
P=Primes();
for l in range(5,234):
    if l in P:
        c=0;
        for A in GF(l):
            for B in GF(l):
                if (4*A^3+27*B^2)!=0:
                    E=EllipticCurve([A,B]);
                    E1=EllipticCurve([A,-B]);
                    if (E.abelian_group().order())%3 != 0:
                        if (E1.abelian_group().order())%3 != 0:
                            c=c+1;
            print(l,c)
```

In fact, the code gives the exact values of $\#\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell$.

For $z > 0$, let $\mathcal{F}^z(X)$ be the intersection $\cap_{\ell \leq z} \mathcal{F}_\ell(X)$ where ℓ ranges over prime numbers that are $\leq z$. It is easy to see that since there are only finitely many congruence conditions,

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{F}^z(X) \sim 4 \prod_{\ell \leq z} \delta_\ell X^{5/6}.$$

Proposition 4.8. *With respect to notation above, the set \mathcal{F} has positive density given by $\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{F}) \sim \prod_\ell \delta_\ell$.*

Proof. We prove our result by showing that

$$(4.2) \quad \limsup_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{F}(X)}{4X^{5/6}} \leq \prod_{\ell} \delta_{\ell}.$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \liminf_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{F}(X)}{4X^{5/6}} \geq \prod_{\ell} \delta_{\ell}.$$

We have that $\mathcal{F}^z(X) \sim 4 \prod_{\ell \leq z} \delta_{\ell} X^{5/6}$. Since $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is contained in $\mathcal{F}^z(X)$, we find that

$$\limsup_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{F}(X)}{4X^{5/6}} \leq \prod_{\ell \leq z} \delta_{\ell},$$

and letting $z \rightarrow \infty$, one has that

$$\limsup_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{F}(X)}{4X^{5/6}} \leq \prod_{\ell} \delta_{\ell}.$$

Since

$$\mathcal{F}^z(X) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(X) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\ell > z} \mathcal{F}'_{\ell}(X) \right),$$

where we recall that \mathcal{F}'_{ℓ} is the complement of \mathcal{F}_{ℓ} . Lemma 4.5 implies that there is an absolute constant $C_1 > 0$ for which

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{F}(X)}{4X^{5/6}} &\geq \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{F}^z(X)}{4X^{5/6}} - C_1 \sum_{\ell > z} \frac{1}{\ell^2}, \\ &= \prod_{\ell \leq z} \delta_{\ell} - C_1 \sum_{\ell > z} \frac{1}{\ell^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $z \rightarrow \infty$, we find that

$$(4.4) \quad \liminf_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{F}(X)}{4X^{5/6}} \geq \prod_{\ell} \delta_{\ell}.$$

This completes the proof of the result. \square

4.2. Proof of the main result. In this section, we provide the proof of our main result, Theorem 3.8.

Proposition 4.9. *Setting*

$$\eta_p := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } p = 3; \\ \frac{3}{8} & \text{if } p = 5; \end{cases}$$

we find that

$$\liminf_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{E}^0(X)}{\#\mathcal{E}(X)} \geq \eta_p.$$

Proof. The set \mathcal{E} is a large family and by Lemma 3.15, exactly half the curves in \mathcal{E} have root number 1. The result follows from Theorem 3.11. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.8. Let E be an elliptic curve in the set \mathcal{E}^0 . By Proposition 3.14, the Assumption 2.1 is satisfied for E (and $K = \mathbb{Q}$). It follows from Proposition 2.7 that $\text{Sel}_p(E/L) = 0$. In particular, the rank of $E(L)$ is 0. Let S_3 be the intersection $\mathcal{E}^0 \cap S_1$. Given $E \in S_3$, we find that $\text{rank } E(L) = 0$ and $E(L)_{\text{tors}} = 0$, hence, $E(L) = 0$.

Theorem 3.7 asserts that S_1 has density 1. We note that for $\ell \in Z$, the cardinality of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\ell$ is \mathfrak{A}_ℓ by definition. Thus, we find that

$$\mathfrak{d}(S_3) = \mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{E}^0).$$

Then it follows from Proposition 4.9 that

$$\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{E}^0) \geq \eta_p \mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{E}).$$

By Lemma 4.2, \mathcal{F} is contained in \mathcal{E} , and thus,

$$\eta_p \mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{E}) \geq \eta_p \mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Finally, note that according to Proposition 4.8,

$$\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{F}) = \prod_{\ell} \delta_{\ell}.$$

Condition (2) implies that $\delta_{\ell} > 0$ for all primes $\ell \in Z$. On the other hand, that $\delta_{\ell} > 0$ for all primes $\ell \notin Z$ follows from Lemma 4.4. In conclusion, $\mathfrak{d}(S_3) \geq \eta_p \prod_{\ell} \delta_{\ell}$, thus, the density of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} that are diophantine stable in L have positive lower density $\geq \eta_p \prod_{\ell} \delta_{\ell}$. \square

4.3. An example. We illustrate Theorem 3.8 via an example. We fix $p = 3$, and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_7)^+$ to be the maximal real subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_7)$. Then L/\mathbb{Q} is a cubic Galois extension with Galois group $G := \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. The only prime that ramifies in L is 7, and thus $Z = \{7\}$ and $T = \{2, 3, 7\}$. Recall that \mathfrak{A}_7 is the number of $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^2$ such that

- $4\overline{A}^3 + 27\overline{B}^2 \neq 0$,
- $E_{\overline{A}, \overline{B}}(\mathbb{F}_7)[3] = 0$ and $E_{\overline{A}, -\overline{B}}(\mathbb{F}_7)[3] = 0$.

Computations on Sagemath show that $\mathfrak{A}_7 = 12$. Thus, we find that the lower density of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} that are diophantine stable in L is

$$\geq \eta_3 \prod_{\ell} \delta_{\ell} = \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{2^{21}} \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) \times \frac{12}{49} \times \prod_{\ell \neq 2, 3, 7} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\ell^2} + \frac{1}{\ell^3}\right).$$

REFERENCES

- [BKR24] Lea Beneish, Debanjana Kundu, and Anwesh Ray. Rank jumps and growth of Shafarevich-Tate groups for elliptic curves in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -extensions. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 116(1):1–38, 2024.
- [Bra14] Julio Brau. Selmer groups of elliptic curves in degree p extensions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1401.3304*, 2014.
- [Bru92] Armand Brumer. The average rank of elliptic curves I. *Invent. Math.*, 109(1):445–472, 1992.
- [BRY24] Jennifer Berg, Nathan C. Ryan, and Matthew P. Young. Vanishing of quartic and sextic twists of L -functions. *Res. Number Theory*, 10(1):Paper No. 20, 25, 2024.
- [BS13] Manjul Bhargava and Arul Shankar. The average size of the 5-selmer group of elliptic curves is 6, and the average rank is less than 1. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.7859*, 2013.
- [BS15a] Manjul Bhargava and Arul Shankar. Binary quartic forms having bounded invariants, and the boundedness of the average rank of elliptic curves. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 181(1):191–242, 2015.
- [BS15b] Manjul Bhargava and Arul Shankar. Ternary cubic forms having bounded invariants, and the existence of a positive proportion of elliptic curves having rank 0. *Annals of Mathematics*, 181(2):587–621, 2015.
- [DFK07] Chantal David, Jack Fearnley, and Hershy Kisilevsky. Vanishing of L -functions of elliptic curves over number fields. In *Ranks of elliptic curves and random matrix theory*, volume 341 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 247–259. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [Duk97] William Duke. Elliptic curves with no exceptional primes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 325(8):813–818, 1997.
- [How93] Everett W. Howe. On the group orders of elliptic curves over finite fields. *Compositio Math.*, 85(2):229–247, 1993.
- [LOT21] Robert J. Lemke Oliver and Frank Thorne. Rank growth of elliptic curves in non-abelian extensions. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2021(24):18411–18441, 2021.
- [MR08] Barry Mazur and Karl Rubin. Growth of Selmer rank in nonabelian extensions of number fields. *Duke Math. J.*, 143(3):437–461, 2008.
- [MR18] Barry Mazur and Karl Rubin. Diophantine stability. *Amer. J. Math.*, 140(3):571–616, 2018. With an appendix by Michael Larsen.
- [MR23] Barry Mazur and Karl Rubin. Arithmetic conjectures suggested by the statistical behavior of modular symbols. *Exp. Math.*, 32(4):657–672, 2023.
- [MR24] Katharina Müller and Anwesh Ray. Hilbert’s tenth problem for families of \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions of imaginary quadratic fields. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.01443*, 2024.
- [PR12] Bjorn Poonen and Eric Rains. Random maximal isotropic subspaces and Selmer groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 25(1):245–269, 2012.
- [PR24] Siddhi Pathak and Anwesh Ray. Rank stability of elliptic curves in certain metabelian extensions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.13582*, 2024.
- [Sil94] Joseph H. Silverman. *Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves*, volume 151 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [SW23] Ari Shnidman and Ariel Weiss. Rank growth of elliptic curves over n -th root extensions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, 10:482–506, 2023.
- [Č17] Kestutis Česnavičius. p -Selmer growth in extensions of degree p . *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 95(3):833–852, 2017.
- [Won01] Siman Wong. On the density of elliptic curves. *Compositio Mathematica*, 127(1):23–54, 2001.

(Ray) CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, H1, SIPCOT IT PARK, KELAMBAKKAM,
SIRUSERI, TAMIL NADU 603103, INDIA

Email address: `anwesh@cmi.ac.in`

(Shingavekar) CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, H1, SIPCOT IT PARK, KELAM-
BAKKAM, SIRUSERI, TAMIL NADU 603103, INDIA

Email address: `pshingavekar@gmail.com`