

Underwater Human-Robot and Human-Swarm Interaction: A Review and Perspective

Sara Aldhaheri*, Federico Renda[†], and Giulia De Masi*[†]

*Technology Innovation Institute, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

[†]Khalifa University, KU Center For Autonomous Robotic Systems, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

{sara.aldhaheri, giulia.demasi}@tii.ae, federico.renda@ku.ac.ae

Abstract—There has been a growing interest in extending the capabilities of autonomous underwater vehicles (AUVs) in subsea missions, particularly in integrating underwater human-robot interaction (UHRI) for control. UHRI and its subfield, underwater gesture recognition (UGR), play a significant role in enhancing diver-robot communication for marine research. This review explores the latest developments in UHRI and examines its promising applications for multi-robot systems. With the developments in UGR, opportunities are presented for underwater robots to work alongside human divers to increase their functionality. Human gestures creates a seamless and safe collaborative environment where divers and robots can interact more efficiently. By highlighting the state-of-the-art in this field, we can potentially encourage advancements in underwater multi-robot system (UMRS) blending the natural communication channels of human-robot interaction with the multi-faceted coordination capabilities of underwater swarms, thus enhancing robustness in complex aquatic environments.

Keywords—Gesture recognition, Underwater human-robot interaction (UHRI), Underwater gesture recognition (UGR), swarm, human-swarm interaction (HSI), metaverse, digital twin.

I. INTRODUCTION

AUVs are increasingly playing more crucial roles in assisting humans across different marine applications. Subsea missions such as data collection (e.g. [1], [2]), ocean demining (e.g. [3], [4]), manipulation tasks (e.g. [5], [6]), maritime infrastructure inspection (e.g. [7], [8]), and pipe maintenance (e.g. [9]–[12]) benefit from the added support of robots by monitoring surroundings, transporting hazardous objects, or performing other high-stress tasks. The need for autonomous robots proficient in these endeavors comes highly valued to human divers who are exposed to dangerous environments while experiencing high-cognitive load in handling these systems. Therefore, enabling human divers to effectively communicate with autonomous systems using gestures can enable intuitive interactions while enhancing safety.

In recent years, advancements in underwater robotic technology have not only broadened the horizons of oceanic explorations but have also ushered in a new era of seamless human-robot interactions (HRIs), more specifically UHRIs [13]–[19]. Central to this evolution is the integration of gesture recognition systems between human divers and underwater robots as an alternative means of machine control. Conventional remote controllers are cumbersome and would require water-resistant setup with fast data transfer using joysticks [20]. Instead of relying solely on remote operations, divers and

marine researchers can now communicate with robots using natural hand gestures (see Figure 1) much like how they would communicate with fellow divers [21]. With such technology, we need to consider: *how can we make UHRI more reliable and how can we extend this capability to employ multi-robot systems?* As we transition from traditional, often complicated, remote controllers to more convenient gesture-based communication, analyzing this evolution becomes crucial for both current and future underwater endeavors.

Current limitations of AUVs include their restriction to a singular function, such as object detection or tracking [22]. This constraint arise from the reliance on traditional algorithms with low communication bandwidth and robustness asserting the need for a communication approach. Therefore, taking inspiration from the means of communication between divers, gesture recognition can be employed as a transformative solution, expanding the capabilities of AUVs beyond their current constraints. However, machine perception suffer from many factors such as refraction effects, scattering from turbidity, and color attenuation. Hence, although UHRI promises an avenue for improving communication and control, there remain many challenges.



Fig. 1: Diver using hand gestures to communicate command to underwater vehicle [23].

In this paper, we present a comprehensive examination of the latest developments in UHRI and its potential applications. Our focus encompasses a thorough exploration of human gesture recognition systems, delineating their capabilities and advancements in marine missions. Additionally, we provide an in-depth analysis of the diverse array of gesture recognition tools and scrutinize their limitations, underlying technologies, and prospects they offer in the domain of UMRS, henceforth



Fig. 2: Hand gestures of diver used in the Cognitive Autonomous Diving Buddy (CADDY) dataset [24].

referred to as *swarms*. Beyond the review, this manuscript discusses the integration of UHRI for commanding swarms.

II. A REVIEW OF UNDERWATER HUMAN-MACHINE INTERACTION

This section explores the applications and advancements of UHRI as well as its prospects in underwater swarm. First, we identify and categorize the three most common algorithms handled by UHRI. Then, we discuss examples of swarms that are currently handling HRI in non-marine settings in order to understand how they can be integrated to the aquatic domain.

A. Underwater Human-Robot Interaction

In recent years, there has been a notable focus on advancing multimodal interactions between humans and AUVs, particularly through acoustic and optical sensing technologies [25], [26]. However, the challenging aquatic medium exposes limitations in these modes such as the large signal attenuation for optical communication and low bandwidth for acoustic. Therefore, researchers have been exploring ways to enhance communication between human divers and underwater remote operated vehicles (ROVs) through visual inputs or motion changes from intuitive gesture commands. These underwater ROVs are generally employed to support various marine missions to complement the role of multiple divers. Hence, the literature reveals three main algorithms which hold potential for risk reduction using UHRI: *tracking*, *monitoring*, and *operations*.

Tracking. The detection and tracking of one or multiple divers are fundamental roles of AUVs that hold avenues for UHRIs. Different methods of detection are implemented, namely acoustic or visual. Acoustic signals use localization methods involving surface vehicles and are useful for medium to long ranges [27], [28]. Furthermore, acoustic methods tend to use Hidden Markov Models (HMM) for clustering trajectories of moving blobs in the 2D sonar images. Visual target recognition and tracking uses frequency domain information [29] and are mainly operated in close ranges. With developments in computer vision and deep learning methods, tracking

objects from monocular or stereo-cameras have become more prevalent. For example, algorithms like convolutional neural networks (CNNs), region-based CNN (R-CNN), and Fast R-CNN extract features from images to identify and classify between objects.

Monitoring. Other algorithms implemented by AUVs are for sensing, mapping, and monitoring marine life, underwater structures, sea-floor, etc. High resolution 2D/3D mapping of submerged sites offer useful information to the area to be explored. Using photomosaicing techniques (e.g. visual odometry, SLAM, etc.) to chart visited areas allows robots to understand their environment [30], [31].

Operations. As stated in Section I, AUVs support underwater missions which involve handling a wide range of manual tasks. They can support in underwater welding, pipeline inspection, salvaging operations, and archaeological excavations [32], [33]. By taking on these labor-intensive and often hazardous tasks, underwater robots enhance diver safety and efficiency. These robots are equipped with specialized tools and sensors, allowing them to execute precision tasks with greater accuracy and reliability. Subsea manipulation also include extraction of objects [33], demining [3], or valve handling [5].

By equipping AUVs with the capacity to comprehend and execute these three common commands issued by divers through conventional underwater communication channels, the initial challenges associated with diver safety, demanding tasks, and non-intuitive interaction will be effectively addressed. This integration of gesture recognition not only simplifies the interaction but also makes it more immediate and adaptable to dynamic underwater situations (see Figure 2). From signaling a robot to collect a sample, maneuver around a coral reef, or follow a specific marine creature, gestures can provide instant, clear commands without the need for intermediary devices. Advanced sensors, coupled with sophisticated machine learning algorithms, enable these robots to discern a large range of gestures even in the challenging lighting and visibility conditions found underwater [34].

B. Human-Swarm Interaction

Land and aerial robot swarms presented their tremendous value to missions like search and rescue [35], [36], environmental monitoring [37], [38], and surveillance [39], [40], where their ability to cover vast areas and possible dangerous unstructured environments is crucial. Human-swarm interaction (HSI) is pivotal in leveraging these swarms, providing a means for humans to effectively manage and interact with large numbers of robots [41]. However, the challenge of autonomously monitoring dynamic targets in large, indeterminate environments means that relying solely on an autonomous swarm might not always yield the most effective results. Incorporating human intelligence into the process can markedly enhance a swarm's overall effectiveness. By integrating a human operator into the robot swarm system, there is a significant opportunity to leverage human cognitive abilities, such as strategic thinking and decision-making, thus substantially amplifying the swarm's capabilities. This human-robot synergy could lead to more efficient and effective outcomes, especially in complex scenarios where autonomous systems alone may fall short.

For underwater swarms, digital twins offer a remarkable advantage to safely understand the impacts of fusing HRI to the system. They enable us to conduct tests and experiments in hazardous underwater settings without jeopardizing human divers. This technology enhances safety while optimizing robot behaviors and communication, ultimately advancing our capabilities for UHRI [42].

III. UNDERWATER HUMAN-ROBOT INTERACTION

This section discusses the several key facets related to UHRI (see Figure 3). First, we categorize the range of gesture semantics, differentiating between static gestures, or a fixed pose, and dynamic gestures, or a sequence of movements. Building on this, an analysis of the diverse types of gesture learning tools is presented, highlighting the methodologies and technologies driving them. Furthermore, a critical examination of existing datasets is provided, emphasizing their composition, coverage, and relevance to underwater scenarios. Next, we evaluate the quality and robustness of both the computational models in use and the datasets they are trained on, ensuring a holistic understanding of the current state of underwater gesture recognition. Lastly, we discuss the various applications and use-cases that exemplify the practical utility of this cutting edge technology in real-world maritime use-cases.

A. Gesture Semantics

With the growing work on UGR, we recognize and present two types of gesture semantics: *static* and *dynamic*. Static gesture semantic refers to a non-moving pose that a diver presents to an assistant robot to convey a message. On the other hand, a dynamic gesture semantic refers pose that involves requiring motion tracking.

Static Gestures. These gestures include steady poses by the diver either by one or both hands, or body poses. Commonly, a list of easily recognizable and natural hand gesture tokens



Fig. 3: UHRI topics to consider when developing the technology. The literature covers the range of applications (red), the relevant diving gestures (teal), gesture recognition tools (yellow), existing dataset (purple), and robustness of this technology (green).

are mapped into instruction tokens to improve the chances of discerning the intent of a diver [46]. The pose must remain the same for a number of frames to differentiate static from dynamic gestures. The frames are then passed through a CNN to segment regions for object detection accurately.

Dynamic Gestures. Dynamic gestures are used to extend the lexicon for communicating with robots by including motion in the command classification. In this case, the change in pose is tracked to understand the movement. However, the challenge of ego-motion (motion of the camera) when identifying activities in the gestures of divers has to be addressed so as not to mistake these shifts with dynamic gestures. Work in [47] aims to resolve for the ego-motion through improved Fourier Mellin Invariant method (iFMI). The iFMI is a spectral registration method that recognizes the displacement of descriptors between consequent images.

B. Diver and Gesture Recognition Tools

There are two main categories of UGR tools associated with human-robot communication: *front-end* and *back-end*. Front-end algorithms are responsible for extracting features from diver gestures, whereas back-end algorithms handle the interpretation of the language used in these gestures.

Front-end. Front-end tools typically involve machine perception methods that are well established in land, however, new models have to developed for underwater work. This is mainly due to the difference in the mediums. With developments in sensing and robotics, new learning architectures have

emerged that allowed for progress in identifying divers and gestures underwater. Classical machine learning methods, like convex hull and support vector machines, are used to classify and recognize hand shapes [48]. Deep learning architectures that extract gesture include single-shot detector (SSD) and Faster R-CNNs have achieved more than 90% accuracy [48], [49]. Table I highlights further approaches.

Back-end. Back-end tools, on the other hand, involve more intricate methods of mapping human intent with commands. For example, the work in [50], an action recognition auto-encoder is designed for robust and efficient underwater communication between divers and AUVs, addressing environmental, diver, and sensing uncertainties. It focuses on real-time recognition of diver actions to enhance safety and task completion in hazardous underwater conditions. The architecture processes stereo images of diver actions from an AUV's onboard camera, using deep bi-channel transfer learning to extract and fuse features for action identification. In [51], the Video Diver Dataset (VDD-C) dataset was used to develop a diver motion prediction model using long-short term memory (LSTM) network. While in [15], a text-visual model was developed to encode visual features from underwater diver images, textual features from category descriptions, and generate visual-textual features through multimodal interactions.

C. Existing Dataset and Limitations

In this section, we delve into the cutting-edge underwater gesture recognition datasets (summarized in Table I), exploring the latest advancements and methodologies employed in the field.

1) *CADDY*: Among the pioneering dataset to focus on diver-robot cooperation is the Cognitive Autonomous Diving Buddy, or CADDY [52], [53]. The CADDY dataset is a valuable resource aimed at advancing research in the domain of HRI within underwater environments, particularly focusing on diver activities. This dataset is designed to facilitate the development and evaluation of robotic systems that can effectively collaborate with human divers in underwater scenarios. It comprises stereo-vision data collected from various underwater scenarios, capturing interactions between human divers and robots in diverse situations (see Figure 2). The stereo-images were captured using the Bumble-Bee XB3 stereo RGB camera system (resolution of 640×480 pixels) [54]. The dataset provides detailed information on the underwater environment, including the presence of divers, their activities, and the interactions with robots, all of which are crucial for training and testing HRI algorithms and systems. Researchers utilize the CADDY dataset to enhance the capabilities of underwater robotics systems, improve safety during diver activities, and explore innovative applications in underwater exploration and intervention [48].

2) *SCUBANet*: The SCUBANet dataset consists of images of object classes commonly encountered during such interactions, with a specific focus on diver detection. This dataset consists of approximately 2000 labelled images of divers, hands, and heads. The images in SCUBANet are annotated with per-instance bounding boxes, providing a valuable resource for developing and enhancing diver detection

algorithms. The dataset was created using crowd-sourced annotations through a web-based interface, aiming to facilitate deployment in real-world underwater robotics applications [44].

3) *Glove-based Datasets*: The underwater environment exerts various influences on underwater images, resulting in challenges such as noise interference, the refraction effect, wavelength color attenuation, and poor visibility. Consequently, accurately identifying diver images captured by AUVs in intricate underwater conditions becomes difficult. An alternative approach involves employing electromyography (EMG) sensors which record muscle responses or electrical activity elicited by nerve stimulation of the muscle. These sensors are placed on the skin's surface to detect electrical signals in the arm, classifying them into hand movements. Specific hand movements are mapped to particular instructions which are understood by the robot system. Nevertheless, implementing this method underwater poses difficulties, primarily because the EMG signals can weaken, and finding the right sensor placement can be challenging. Therefore, efforts towards developing gloves integrated with inertial measurement units (IMUs) have been undertaken across different projects [54], [56].

4) *SUIM*: The SUIM dataset is the first large-scale dataset for semantic segmentation of underwater imagery and contains over 1500 images with pixel-level annotations for eight object categories, including fish, reefs, aquatic plants, wrecks/ruins, human divers, robots, and the sea-floor. These images, collected during oceanic explorations and human-robot cooperative experiments, are essential for developing and testing semantic segmentation models in underwater environments. The SUIM dataset addresses issues like unique image distortion artifacts and the lack of large-scale annotations by providing a comprehensive set of annotated images for a variety of underwater object categories. Additionally, SUIM gave rise to the SUIM-Net model, a fully convolutional encoder-decoder architecture with skip connections, designed to effectively perform semantic segmentation on the SUIM dataset [45].

5) *VDD-C*: The VDD-C is a significant dataset containing approximately 105,000 annotated images of divers underwater, compiled in 2021 by researchers at the University of Minnesota. This dataset, collected from videos of divers in pools and off the coast of Barbados, is designed to advance diver detection algorithms for AUVs. The sequential nature of the images, derived from videos, makes them ideal for training temporally aware algorithms. The dataset, which expanded the training set size by 17 times, has significantly improved existing diver detection algorithms [43]. This dataset facilitates the training and evaluation of various state-of-the-art object detection networks, such as SSD with MobileNet, Faster R-CNN, and YOLO, including their video stream variants [57]. The paper evaluates these networks not only based on traditional accuracy and efficiency metrics but also examines their temporal stability in detections, a critical factor in dynamic underwater environments. Additionally, the study delves into analyzing the failure modes of these detection systems, providing insights into their limitations and guiding future improvements in diver detection technology for AUV

TABLE I: Comparison of UGR datasets

Dataset	Year	Annotation Type	Content	Classification Approaches	Reference
CADDY	2014-2017	Color markers on standard diver-gloves	12,708 stereo-images of divers swimming during missions 9,239 stereo-images using gestures for communication	Convex hull, Support Vector Machine (SVM), and combination	[52], [53]
SCUBANet	2019	Diver's body, head, and hand both with and without neoprene glove of single color	~1,000 stereo-images	Faster R-CNN Inception V2, Faster R-CNN ResNet101, SSD MobileNet V2	[44]
Glove-based datasets	2019-Ongoing	IMU, Dielectric elastomer (DE)	12,480 samples for 13 gestures (Antillon et al.)	Decision tree (DT), SVM, Logistic Regressor (LR), Gaussian Naïve Bayes (GNB), and Multilayer Perceptron (MLP)	[54], [55]
SUIM	2020	Pixel level annotations of various underwater object classes	~1,500 monocular images of divers and other various marine life	Presented SUIMNet - fully convolutional encoder-decoder semantic segmentation of salient objects	[45]
VDD-C	2021	Single and multiple divers recorded in pools and open water.	~105,000 monocular images	Faster R-CNN, SSD with Mobilenet, YOLO, and LSTM-SSD	[43]

applications.

D. Communication Robustness

Underwater communication robustness is a burgeoning field that demands further development to address the unique challenges posed by underwater environments and enhance the reliability of communication systems. Among these challenges are environment and modality.

Environment. Many factors affect perception underwater which may lead to unreliable communication especially with a system relying on vision for relaying information. Depth, turbidity, and salinity heavily impact the performance of models. For example, minimal light penetrates past 200 meters and significantly drops beyond 1000 meters. Furthermore, highly turbid waters increase light attenuation and scattering intensity rendering optical systems ineffective [58]. Salinity has a similar effect as saltier water causes a bigger electromagnetic wave attenuation.

Modality. One of the primary obstacles revolves around inter-unit communication, as acoustic communication grapples with limitations like restricted bandwidth, latency, and sporadic interruptions inherent in the propagation of acoustic waves [59]. In addition, the use of ultra-short baseline (USBL) systems also presents a challenge in underwater operations, as they rely on acoustic signals and can be susceptible to interference and inaccuracies in complex environments. Tethered systems, while offering communication stability, introduce the inconvenience of physical constraints and limitations on mobility for both humans and robots, making the development of more intuitive and flexible HRI solutions all the more imperative.

E. Real-world Applications

As discussed in Section I, UHRI allows diver to convey commands to AUVs using gestures as is the case with human-human underwater communication [60]. However, the real-world application of UHRI remains quite rare. Regulating underwater robots based on human inputs and facilitating tool hand-off with a human diver [61] are examples of tasks that diver can employ UHRI. These capabilities can serve in underwater equipment maintenance. Additionally, divers are able to instruct AUVs in mapping and surveying seafloors or submerged artifacts [45]. Another illustration of UHRI's practicality lies in data collection. Researchers have developed a sophisticated framework that combines human input and existing environmental knowledge to plan efficient routes for gathering scientific data in marine environments [62]. Furthermore, another study examines methods for robots to effectively communicate their findings and operational status to human divers during missions [59].

IV. UHRI FOR SWARMS

The study of underwater swarms has seen increasing prevalence in recent years [63], [64]. While considerable advancements have been made in underwater robot technology, the vastness of the ocean presents challenges for a single robot's scope mainly due to limitations in their operational power. Consequently, there is a growing desire among researchers to deploy underwater swarms to achieve tasks with greater efficiency and cost-effectiveness [65]. One approach towards this objective is to incorporate a multi-level, or hierarchical, framework where communication will only be required to be made with one master agent as opposed to each AUV individually. Such architecture comprises a master agent that conveys task commands to multiple swarm agents expanding




Fig. 4: Individual control of robots in (a) compared to multi-level autonomy in (b).

the work in [41] to the marine domain (see Figure 4). This work draws inspiration from the concept of *shepherding*, a method of communicating with multiple agents to accomplish missions demanding more than from a single robot system. Extending on this approach, communicating with the master agent using UGR will endow swarms with the aforementioned advantages of UHRI. In essence, the combination of UHRI with swarm introduces a novel method of HSI for controlling multiple agents underwater.

A more novel and visionary approach for enhanced supervision of marine swarm missions can be through simulation. The integration of sensors in AUVs to feed in data to digital twins signifies an innovative shift in underwater human interaction within the context of divers and swarms [66]. Digital twins, which refer to virtual representations of physical entities, offer a unique perspective in enhancing the interaction between divers and robots in the underwater environment. Through real-time simulation and monitoring, digital twins enable a more comprehensive understanding of the underwater work space, providing valuable insights for both human divers and robotic systems [67], [68].

In parallel, the concept of a metaverse, characterized by a collective virtual shared space, introduces new dimensions to human-robot collaboration [69]. As human divers engage in immersive experiences within the metaverse, they can seamlessly interact with and control swarms deployed in the




Fig. 5: Suggested metaverse framework using UHRI.

underwater domain. This immersive and interconnected environment not only enhances the divers' situational awareness but also facilitates more intuitive and responsive communication with robotic counterparts. This approach paves the way for digital twin-enabled metaverse, simulation combining real and virtual worlds, enhancing scalability in HSI (see Figure 5). Such framework comprise a user interface through which a command can be gestured. The instruction is then translated into a low-level command and the master agent is updated. The master agent collects the location data from each individual agent and relays this information to the metaverse, which facilitates human oversight. Incorporating a human into the feedback loop is considered essential to accommodate human-like intelligence and is a requisite for ensuring ethical and safe HSI. The efficacy of HSI relies on the presence of a control agent that is superior in both physical and cognitive aspects. Nevertheless, it is crucial to take into account the disparity in simulation quality between land-based and marine environments, stemming from communication delays, lack of active maintenance and the limited availability of realistic simulations in the latter [70].

V. DISCUSSION AND PERSPECTIVE

In summary, we categorized the functions of UHRI to include tracking, monitoring, and handling tasks in Section II. Section III elaborates on the real-world applications of UHRI, types of gesture semantics used for UGR in addition to the state-of-the-art tools and dataset implemented for secure human-diver communications. The integration of gesture recognition in underwater robots signifies more than just a technological achievement; it represents a paradigm shift in how humans and machines collaborate in the marine environment [19], [22], [48], [71]. By making this interaction as natural and seamless as possible, we not only enhance the efficiency of underwater tasks but also improve reliability between divers and their robotic counterparts. As we navigate this promising frontier, continued research and innovation in UGR will undoubtedly play a pivotal role in shaping the future of underwater exploration and collaboration. The discussed integration of metaverses with UHRI in Section IV represents an exciting avenue for advancing underwater robotics. Digital twins, the immersive real-time feed of an environment space, can serve as powerful simulation platforms for training, testing, and optimizing the performance of underwater robots. Furthermore, these simulations can facilitate remote collaboration for divers. Expanding on this notion,

the concept of a 'swarm metaverse' is a visionary approach to managing and interacting with robot swarms. This idea leverages a virtual environment, or 'metaverse', where digital twins of real-world robotic entities exist. These digital twins mirror the physical characteristics and behaviors of their real-world counterparts, providing a unique and efficient platform for human operators to engage with and control the swarm. Recent work towards combining the two ideas has materialized in [69].

With regard to controlling these swarms, there are a number of mechanisms varying in degrees of autonomy employed in ground and aerial settings that can be translated to marine applications. For instance, teleoperating swarm members offer simple robot control but suffers from a disproportionately high human-to-robot ratio and a consequential exponential increase in the workload required for operation [72], [73]. This limitation serves as a motivation for using a hierarchical control method as explained in Section IV. On the other hand, parameter selection allows for adaptable swarm behaviors, yet its application is constrained by its incompatibility with real-time or online deployment. Behavior selection, while user-friendly for novices, necessitates preprogramming of all behaviors and lacks the flexibility to respond to unforeseen events, with a particular sensitivity to the timing of behavior switching. Hence, having a supervising human input would improve task performances. Finally, controlling a few swarm members allows for significant human intervention, hence, it is the most reliable HSI mechanism. Nevertheless, it is susceptible to adversarial attacks targeting swarm leaders and exhibits difficulties in handling diverse swarm configurations.

VI. FINAL REMARKS

This UHRI and underwater HSI review encapsulates the rich avenues for further research of this dynamic field. By delving into key facets such as the gesture semantics, communication modalities, hierarchical control, and the challenges of these topics, we recognize the current extents and limitations of UHRI. As underwater research progresses, new findings will continue to evolve and bridge current gaps between marine technology and their more established ground and aerial counterparts particularly in swarm robotics.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the project "Heterogeneous Swarm of Underwater Autonomous Vehicles", a collaborative research project between the Technology Innovation Institute (Abu Dhabi) and Khalifa University (contract no. TII/ARRC/2047/2020).

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Wakita, K. Hirokawa, T. Ichikawa, and Y. Yamauchi, "Development of autonomous underwater vehicle (auv) for exploring deep sea marine mineral resources," *Mitsubishi Heavy Industries Technical Review*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 73–80, 2010.
- [2] J. Yuh, G. Marani, and D. R. Blidberg, "Applications of marine robotic vehicles," *Intelligent service robotics*, vol. 4, pp. 221–231, 2011.
- [3] B. Teng and H. Zhao, "Underwater target recognition methods based on the framework of deep learning: A survey," *International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems*, vol. 17, no. 6, p. 1729881420976307, 2020.
- [4] K. Mukherjee, S. Gupta, A. Ray, and S. Phoha, "Symbolic analysis of sonar data for underwater target detection," *IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 219–230, 2011.
- [5] S. Aldhaferi, G. De Masi, È. Pairet, and P. Ardón, "Underwater robot manipulation: Advances, challenges and prospective ventures," in *OCEANS 2022-Chennai*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 1–7.
- [6] Y. R. Petillot, G. Antonelli, G. Casalino, and F. Ferreira, "Underwater robots: From remotely operated vehicles to intervention-autonomous underwater vehicles," *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 94–101, 2019.
- [7] D. McLeod, J. Jacobson, M. Hardy, and C. Embry, "Autonomous inspection using an underwater 3d lidar," in *2013 OCEANS-San Diego*. IEEE, 2013, pp. 1–8.
- [8] I. Segovia, A. Pliego, M. Papaalias, and F. P. García Márquez, "Optimal management of marine inspection with autonomous underwater vehicles," in *Proceedings of the Thirteenth International Conference on Management Science and Engineering Management: Volume 1 13*. Springer, 2020, pp. 760–771.
- [9] A. W. K. To, K. Le, and D. Liu, "Underwater robots for cleaning and inspection of underwater structures," 2024.
- [10] H. Zhang, S. Zhang, Y. Wang, Y. Liu, Y. Yang, T. Zhou, and H. Bian, "Subsea pipeline leak inspection by autonomous underwater vehicle," *Applied Ocean Research*, vol. 107, p. 102321, 2021.
- [11] M. Ho, S. El-Borgi, D. Patil, and G. Song, "Inspection and monitoring systems subsea pipelines: A review paper," *Structural Health Monitoring*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 606–645, 2020.
- [12] A. G. Rumson, "The application of fully unmanned robotic systems for inspection of subsea pipelines," *Ocean Engineering*, vol. 235, p. 109214, 2021.
- [13] N. Mišković, M. Bibuli, A. Birk, M. Caccia, M. Egi, K. Grammer, A. Marroni, J. Neasham, A. Pascoal, A. Vasiljević *et al.*, "Caddy—cognitive autonomous diving buddy: Two years of underwater human-robot interaction," *Marine Technology Society Journal*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 54–66, 2016.
- [14] A. G. Chavez, C. A. Mueller, T. Doernbach, D. Chiarella, and A. Birk, "Robust gesture-based communication for underwater human-robot interaction in the context of search and rescue diver missions," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.07122*, 2018.
- [15] Y. Zhang, Y. Jiang, H. Qi, M. Zhao, Y. Wang, K. Wang, and F. Wei, "An underwater human-robot interaction using a visual-textual model for autonomous underwater vehicles," *Sensors*, vol. 23, no. 1, p. 197, 2022.
- [16] J. Sattar and G. Dudek, "Visual identification of biological motion for underwater human-robot interaction," *Autonomous Robots*, vol. 42, pp. 111–124, 2018.
- [17] M. J. Islam, M. Ho, and J. Sattar, "Dynamic reconfiguration of mission parameters in underwater human-robot collaboration," in *2018 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 6212–6219.
- [18] J. Sattar and G. Dudek, "Underwater human-robot interaction via biological motion identification," in *Robotics: Science and Systems*, 2009.
- [19] D. Chiarella, M. Bibuli, G. Bruzzone, M. Caccia, A. Ranieri, E. Zereik, L. Marconi, and P. Cutugno, "Gesture-based language for diver-robot underwater interaction," in *Oceans 2015-genova*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 1–9.
- [20] A. Birk, "A survey of underwater human-robot interaction (u-hri)," *Current Robotics Reports*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 199–211, 2022.
- [21] N. Mišković, M. Egi, D. Nad, A. Pascoal, L. Sebastiao, and M. Bibuli, "Human-robot interaction underwater: Communication and safety requirements," in *2016 IEEE Third Underwater Communications and Networking Conference (UComms)*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 1–5.
- [22] T. Liu, Y. Zhu, K. Wu, and F. Yuan, "Underwater accompanying robot based on sslite gesture recognition," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 18, p. 9131, 2022.
- [23] N. Mišković, A. Pascoal, M. Bibuli, M. Caccia, J. A. Neasham, A. Birk, M. Egi, K. Grammer, A. Marroni, A. Vasiljević *et al.*, "Caddy project, year 1: Overview of technological developments and cooperative behaviours," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 125–130, 2015.
- [24] A. Gomez Chavez, A. Ranieri, D. Chiarella, E. Zereik, A. Babić, and A. Birk, "Caddy underwater stereo-vision dataset for human-robot interaction (hri) in the context of diver activities," *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 16, 2019.
- [25] M. F. Ali, D. N. K. Jayakody, Y. A. Chursin, S. Affes, and S. Dmitry, "Recent advances and future directions on underwater wireless communications," *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, vol. 27, pp. 1379–1412, 2020.

- [26] S. Al-Zhrani, N. M. Bedaiwi, I. F. El-Ramli, A. Z. Barasheed, A. Abduldaiem, Y. Al-Hadeethi, and A. Umar, "Underwater optical communications: A brief overview and recent developments," *Engineered Science*, vol. 16, pp. 146–186, 2021.
- [27] K. J. DeMarco, M. E. West, and A. M. Howard, "Sonar-based detection and tracking of a diver for underwater human-robot interaction scenarios," in *2013 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*. IEEE, 2013, pp. 2378–2383.
- [28] Đ. Nađ, F. Mandić, and N. Mišković, "Using autonomous underwater vehicles for diver tracking and navigation aiding," *Journal of marine science and engineering*, vol. 8, no. 6, p. 413, 2020.
- [29] J. Sattar and G. Dudek, "Where is your dive buddy: tracking humans underwater using spatio-temporal features," in *2007 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*. IEEE, 2007, pp. 3654–3659.
- [30] E. Casoli, D. Ventura, G. Mancini, D. Pace, A. Belluscio, and G. Ardizzone, "High spatial resolution photo mosaicking for the monitoring of coralligenous reefs," *Coral Reefs*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 1267–1280, 2021.
- [31] E. Nocerino, F. Menna, B. Chemisky, and P. Drap, "3d sequential image mosaicing for underwater navigation and mapping," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.01382*, 2021.
- [32] O. Khatib, X. Yeh, G. Brantner, B. Soe, B. Kim, S. Ganguly, H. Stuart, S. Wang, M. Cukosky, A. Edsinger *et al.*, "Ocean one: A robotic avatar for oceanic discovery," *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 20–29, 2016.
- [33] D. Akkaynak and T. Treibitz, "Sea-thru: A method for removing water from underwater images," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2019, pp. 1682–1691.
- [34] M. A. M. Martija, J. I. S. Dumbrique, and P. C. Naval Jr, "Underwater gesture recognition using classical computer vision and deep learning techniques," 2020.
- [35] L. Ruetten, P. A. Regis, D. Feil-Seifer, and S. Sengupta, "Area-optimized uav swarm network for search and rescue operations," in *2020 10th annual computing and communication workshop and conference (CCWC)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 0613–0618.
- [36] S. Waharte and N. Trigoni, "Supporting search and rescue operations with uavs," in *2010 international conference on emerging security technologies*. IEEE, 2010, pp. 142–147.
- [37] M. Carpentiero, L. Gugliermetti, M. Sabatini, and G. B. Palmerini, "A swarm of wheeled and aerial robots for environmental monitoring," in *2017 IEEE 14th international conference on networking, sensing and control (ICNSC)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 90–95.
- [38] M. Duarte, J. Gomes, V. Costa, T. Rodrigues, F. Silva, V. Lobo, M. M. Marques, S. M. Oliveira, and A. L. Christensen, "Application of swarm robotics systems to marine environmental monitoring," in *OCEANS 2016-Shanghai*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 1–8.
- [39] G. Orfanidis, S. Apostolidis, A. Kapoutsis, K. Ioannidis, E. Kosmatopoulos, S. Vrochidis, and I. Kompatsiaris, "Autonomous swarm of heterogeneous robots for surveillance operations," in *Computer Vision Systems: 12th International Conference, ICVS 2019, Thessaloniki, Greece, September 23–25, 2019, Proceedings 12*. Springer, 2019, pp. 787–796.
- [40] M. Abdelkader, S. Güler, H. Jaleel, and J. S. Shamma, "Aerial swarms: Recent applications and challenges," *Current robotics reports*, vol. 2, pp. 309–320, 2021.
- [41] A. Kolling, P. Walker, N. Chakraborty, K. Sycara, and M. Lewis, "Human interaction with robot swarms: A survey," *IEEE Transactions on Human-Machine Systems*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 9–26, 2015.
- [42] L. H. Kim, D. S. Drew, V. Domova, and S. Föllmer, "User-defined swarm robot control," in *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2020, pp. 1–13.
- [43] K. de Langis, M. Fulton, and J. Sattar, "Video diver dataset (vdd-c) 100,000 annotated images of divers underwater," 2021.
- [44] R. Codd-Downey and M. Jenkin, "Finding divers with scubanet," in *2019 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 5746–5751.
- [45] M. J. Islam, C. Edge, Y. Xiao, P. Luo, M. Mehtaz, C. Morse, S. S. Enan, and J. Sattar, "Semantic segmentation of underwater imagery: Dataset and benchmark," in *2020 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 1769–1776.
- [46] M. J. Islam, M. Ho, and J. Sattar, "Understanding human motion and gestures for underwater human-robot collaboration," *Journal of Field Robotics*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 851–873, 2019.
- [47] H. Buelow and A. Birk, "Gesture-recognition as basis for a human robot interface (hri) on a auv," in *OCEANS'11 MTS/IEEE KONA*. IEEE, 2011, pp. 1–9.
- [48] A. G. Chavez, A. Ranieri, D. Chiarella, and A. Birk, "Underwater vision-based gesture recognition: A robustness validation for safe human-robot interaction," *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 67–78, 2021.
- [49] S. Ren, K. He, R. Girshick, and J. Sun, "Faster r-cnn: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 28, 2015.
- [50] J. Yang, J. P. Wilson, and S. Gupta, "Dare: Diver action recognition encoder for underwater human-robot interaction," *IEEE Access*, 2023.
- [51] T. Agarwal, M. Fulton, and J. Sattar, "Predicting the future motion of divers for enhanced underwater human-robot collaboration," in *2021 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 5379–5386.
- [52] P. Abreu, M. Bayat, J. Botelho, P. Góis, A. Pascoal, J. Ribeiro, M. Ribeiro, M. Rufino, L. Sebastião, and H. Silva, "Cooperative control and navigation in the scope of the ec caddy project," in *OCEANS 2015-Genova*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 1–5.
- [53] A. Birk, G. Antonelli, A. Caiti, G. Casalino, G. Indiveri, A. Pascoal, and A. Caffaz, "The co 3 auvs (cooperative cognitive control for autonomous underwater vehicles) project: overview and current progresses," *OCEANS 2011 IEEE-Spain*, pp. 1–10, 2011.
- [54] D. W. O. Antillon, C. R. Walker, S. Rosset, and I. A. Anderson, "Glove-based hand gesture recognition for diver communication," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 2022.
- [55] C. R. Walker, Đ. Nađ, D. W. O. Antillon, I. Kvasić, S. Rosset, N. Mišković, and I. A. Anderson, "Diver-robot communication glove using sensor-based gesture recognition," *IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering*, 2023.
- [56] Q. Tang, J. Mai, T. Wang, and Q. Wang, "A diving glove with inertial sensors for underwater gesture recognition," in *International Conference on Intelligent Robotics and Applications*. Springer, 2023, pp. 230–242.
- [57] A. Jesus, C. Zito, C. Tortorici, E. Roura, and G. De Masi, "Underwater object classification and detection: first results and open challenges," *OCEANS 2022-Chennai*, pp. 1–6, 2022.
- [58] D. Q. Huy, N. Sadjoli, A. B. Azam, B. Elhadidi, Y. Cai, and G. Seet, "Object perception in underwater environments: A survey on sensors and sensing methodologies," *Ocean Engineering*, vol. 267, p. 113202, 2023.
- [59] M. Fulton, C. Edge, and J. Sattar, "Robot communication via motion: Closing the underwater human-robot interaction loop," in *2019 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 4660–4666.
- [60] R. S. T. Council, "Common hand signals for recreational scuba diving," *Online pdf Available <http://www.neadc.org/CommonHandSignalsforScubaDiving.pdf>* [Accessed Sept. 20 2019], 2014.
- [61] K. J. DeMarco, M. E. West, and A. M. Howard, "Underwater human-robot communication: A case study with human divers," in *2014 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC)*. IEEE, 2014, pp. 3738–3743.
- [62] T. Somers and G. A. Hollinger, "Human-robot planning and learning for marine data collection," *Autonomous Robots*, vol. 40, pp. 1123–1137, 2016.
- [63] A. Luvisutto, A. Al Shehhi, N. Mankovskii, F. Renda, C. Stefanini, and G. De Masi, "Robotic swarm for marine and submarine missions: Challenges and perspectives," in *2022 IEEE/OES Autonomous Underwater Vehicles Symposium (AUV)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 1–8.
- [64] J. Connor, B. Champion, and M. A. Joordens, "Current algorithms, communication methods and designs for underwater swarm robotics: A review," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 153–169, Jan 2021.
- [65] S. Iacoponi, G. J. Van Vuuren, G. Santaera, N. Mankovskii, I. Zhilin, F. Renda, C. Stefanini, and G. De Masi, "H-surf: Heterogeneous swarm of underwater robotic fish," in *OCEANS 2022, Hampton Roads*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 1–5.
- [66] T. Cichon and J. Roßmann, "Digital twins: assisting and supporting cooperation in human-robot teams," in *2018 15th International Conference on Control, Automation, Robotics and Vision (ICARCV)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 486–491.
- [67] A. Lambertini, M. Menghini, J. Cimini, A. Odetti, G. Bruzzone, M. Bibuli, E. Mandanici, L. Vittuari, P. Castaldi, M. Caccia *et al.*, "Underwater drone architecture for marine digital twin: Lessons learned from sushi drop project," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 3, p. 744, 2022.
- [68] F. Tao, J. Cheng, Q. Qi, M. Zhang, H. Zhang, and F. Sui, "Digital twin-driven product design, manufacturing and service with big data," *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 94, pp. 3563–3576, 2018.

- [69] H. Nguyen, A. Hussein, M. A. Garratt, and H. A. Abbass, "Swarm meta-verse for multi-level autonomy using digital twins," *Sensors*, vol. 23, no. 10, p. 4892, 2023.
- [70] O. Álvarez-Tuñón, A. Jardón, and C. Balaguer, "Generation and processing of simulated underwater images for infrastructure visual inspection with uavs," *Sensors*, vol. 19, no. 24, p. 5497, 2019.
- [71] J. Yang, J. P. Wilson, and S. Gupta, "Diver gesture recognition using deep learning for underwater human-robot interaction," in *Oceans 2019 Mts/Ieee Seattle*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–5.
- [72] P. Velagapudi, P. Scerri, K. Sycara, H. Wang, M. Lewis, and J. Wang, "Scaling effects in multi-robot control," in *2008 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*. IEEE, 2008, pp. 2121–2126.
- [73] J. W. Crandall, M. A. Goodrich, D. R. Olsen, and C. W. Nielsen, "Validating human-robot interaction schemes in multitasking environments," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics-Part A: Systems and Humans*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 438–449, 2005.