

A GENERALIZATION OF THE TITCHMARSH DIVISOR PROBLEM

BIAO WANG

ABSTRACT. Let $d^{(k)}(n)$ be the k -free divisor function for integer $k \geq 2$. Let a be a nonzero integer. In this paper, we establish an asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{p \leq x} d^{(k)}(p - a) = b_k \cdot x + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)$$

related to the Titchmarsh divisor problem, where b_k is a positive constant dependent on k and a . For the proof, we apply a result of Felix and show a general asymptotic formula for a class of arithmetic functions including the unitary divisor function, k -free divisor function and the proper Pillai's function.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $d(n)$ be the divisor function. In 1930, under the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, Titchmarsh [25] proved that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} d(p - a) = \frac{\zeta(2)\zeta(3)}{\zeta(6)} \prod_{p|a} \left(1 - \frac{p}{p^2 - p + 1}\right) \cdot x + O\left(\frac{x \log \log x}{\log x}\right) \quad (1)$$

for any fixed non-zero integer a , where p denotes a prime number. This leads to the study of the asymptotic behavior of the summation in (1), which is known as the *Titchmarsh divisor problem*.

In 1961, Linnik [19] proved (1) unconditionally with his dispersion method. Later, Halberstam [14] gave a very short proof using the Bombieri-Vinogradov theorem. Moreover, Fouvry [10, Corollaire 2] and Bombieri, Friedlander and Iwaniec [4, Corollary 1] improved (1) to

$$\sum_{p \leq x} d(p - a) = cx + c_0 \operatorname{Li}(x) + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^A}\right) \quad (2)$$

for any $A \geq 1$, where c and c_0 are constants depending only on a , and $\operatorname{Li}(x) = \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t}$. After these results, a number of generalizations and analogues of the Titchmarsh divisor problem have shown in the literature, see [9, 21, 22, 7, 26, 28, 8, 2] and so on.

In the analytic number theory, there are several arithmetic functions akin to the divisor function, say the unitary divisor function $d^*(n)$. Here, $d^*(n)$ is the number of unitary divisors of n , i.e., $d^*(n) = \sum_{ab=n, (a,b)=1} 1$. A fact is that $d^*(n)$ is equal to the number of squarefree divisors of n and $d^*(n) = 2^{\omega(n)}$, where $\omega(n)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of n . We know that the Dirichlet series of the divisor function $d(n)$ is $\zeta^2(s)$, where $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$ is

Date: June 19, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11N37, 11L20.

Key words and phrases. Titchmarsh divisor problem, unitary divisor function, k -free divisor function.

the Riemann zeta function for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$. The Dirichlet series of $d^*(n)$ is closely related to $\zeta^2(s)$ and equals

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^*(n)}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta^2(s)}{\zeta(2s)}. \quad (3)$$

In general, let $d^{(k)}(n)$ be the number of k -free divisors of n for integer $k \geq 2$. Then the Dirichlet series of $d^{(k)}(n)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{(k)}(n)}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta^2(s)}{\zeta(ks)}. \quad (4)$$

The asymptotic formulas for $\sum_{n \leq x} d^*(n)$ and $\sum_{n \leq x} d^{(k)}(n)$ have been widely studied in the literature, see [20, 5, 13, 23, 24, 3, 17, 12] and so on. They are closely related to the Dirichlet divisor problem. However, to the best of our knowledge, the analogue of the Tichmarsh divisor problem for the k -free divisor function has not been studied yet. In this paper, we will give a new generalization of Tichmarsh's result (1) and then provide an asymptotic formula for

$$\sum_{p \leq x} d^{(k)}(p - a).$$

To unify (3) and (4), we consider general arithmetic functions $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the Dirichlet series $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s}$ absolutely convergent on $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(f * d)(n)}{n^s} = F(s)\zeta^2(s),$$

where $f * d$ is the Dirichlet convolution between f and d . The following is our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an arithmetic function. Let a be an integer. If the Dirichlet series of f is absolutely convergent on $\operatorname{Re} s \geq 1 - \alpha$ for some positive $\alpha > 0$, then there exists some constant $c_f > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} (f * d)(p - a) = c_f \cdot x + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right), \quad (5)$$

where $c_f = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(m)c_m}{m}$, and c_m is defined in Theorem 2.1 below. The implied constant in (5) depends only on f and a .

Taking $f = 1_{n=1}$, we get (1) from (5). Hence (5) is a generalization of (1). For $k \geq 2$, if we take

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} \mu(m) & \text{if } n = m^k; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then we get that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s} = \frac{1}{\zeta(ks)}$ and $f * d = d^{(k)}$. In particular, for $k = 2$, we get $(f * d)(n) = 2^{\omega(n)}$. Clearly, the Dirichlet series of $f(n)$ is absolutely convergent on $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/k$. Thus, by Theorem 1.1, we obtain an analogue of (1) for the k -free divisor function and the unitary divisor function as follows.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Let a be an integer. Then there exists some constant $b_k > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} d^{(k)}(p-a) = b_k \cdot x + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right), \quad (6)$$

where

$$b_k = \frac{\zeta(2)\zeta(3)}{\zeta(6)} \prod_{p|a} \left(1 - \frac{p}{p^2 - p + 1}\right) \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{k-2}(p^2 - p + 1)}\right).$$

In particular, for $\omega(n)$, the number of distinct prime factors of n , we have

$$\sum_{p \leq x} 2^{\omega(p-a)} = b_2 \cdot x + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right), \quad (7)$$

where

$$b_2 = \frac{\zeta(2)\zeta(3)}{\zeta(6)} \prod_{p|a} \left(1 - \frac{p}{p^2 - p + 1}\right) \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2 - p + 1}\right).$$

In Section 2, we will apply a result of Felix [9] in 2012 on generalizing the Titchmarsh divisor problem to give a proof of Theorem 1.1. Then in Section 3, we will propose some problems related to our generalization.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1, we cite a generalization of (1) obtained by Felix [9] for primes in arithmetic progressions. This result helps us divide the summation in (5) into two parts. The main part consists of summations over small moduli, which involves the main term in (5). The other part consisting of summations over large moduli contributes only an error term in (5).

Theorem 2.1 ([9, Theorem 1.2]). *Let $m > 1$ be an integer, let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(a, m) = 1$, and let $A > 0$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{m}}} d\left(\frac{p-a}{m}\right) = \frac{c_m}{m} x + O\left(\frac{x(\log m)(1+c_m)}{m \log x}\right) + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^A}\right) \quad (8)$$

uniformly for $m \leq (\log x)^{A+1}$, where

$$c_m = \frac{\zeta(2)\zeta(3)}{\zeta(6)} \prod_{p|a} \left(1 - \frac{p}{p^2 - p + 1}\right) \prod_{p|m} \left(1 + \frac{p-1}{p^2 - p + 1}\right)$$

and the first O -constant is absolute and the second O -constant depends only on a and A .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $B > 0$ be a parameter to be chosen later. By $(f * d)(n) = \sum_{mq=n} f(m)d(q)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq x} (f * d)(p-a) &= \sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{mq=p-a} f(m)d(q) \\ &= \sum_{m \leq x-a} f(m) \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ mq=p-a}} d(q) = \sum_{m \leq x-a} f(m) \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{m}}} d\left(\frac{p-a}{m}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m \leq (\log x)^B} f(m) \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{m}}} d\left(\frac{p-a}{m}\right) + \sum_{(\log x)^B < m \leq x-a} f(m) \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{m}}} d\left(\frac{p-a}{m}\right) \\
&:= S_1 + S_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

For S_1 , by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 &= \sum_{m \leq (\log x)^B} f(m) \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{m}}} d\left(\frac{p-a}{m}\right) \\
&= \sum_{m \leq (\log x)^B} f(m) \left\{ \frac{c_m}{m} x + O\left(\frac{x(\log m)(1+c_m)}{m \log x}\right) + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{2B}}\right) \right\} \\
&= \left(\sum_{m \leq (\log x)^B} \frac{f(m)c_m}{m} \right) x + O\left(\sum_{m \leq (\log x)^B} \frac{x|f(m)|(\log m)(1+c_m)}{m \log x} \right) \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{2B}} \sum_{m \leq (\log x)^B} |f(m)| \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Notice that

$$c_m \ll \prod_{p|m} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \ll \log m,$$

where the implied constant depends only on a . Then for the first term in (10), we have

$$\sum_{m \leq (\log x)^B} \frac{f(m)c_m}{m} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(m)c_m}{m} - \sum_{m > (\log x)^B} \frac{f(m)c_m}{m}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m > (\log x)^B} \frac{f(m)c_m}{m} \ll \sum_{m > (\log x)^B} \frac{|f(m)| \log m}{m} \\
&\ll \sum_{m > (\log x)^B} \frac{|f(m)| \log m}{m} \cdot \frac{m^{\alpha/2}}{(\log x)^{\alpha B/2}} \ll \frac{1}{(\log x)^{\alpha B/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|f(m)| \log m}{m^{1-\alpha/2}}$ is convergent due to the assumption that the Dirichlet series of f is absolutely convergent at $1 - \alpha$. So

$$\sum_{m \leq (\log x)^B} \frac{f(m)c_m}{m} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(m)c_m}{m} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log x)^{\alpha B/2}}\right). \tag{11}$$

For the second term in (10), we have

$$\sum_{m \leq (\log x)^B} \frac{x|f(m)|(\log m)(1+c_m)}{m \log x} \ll \frac{x}{\log x} \sum_{m \leq (\log x)^B} \frac{|f(m)|(\log m)^2}{m} \ll \frac{x}{\log x}. \tag{12}$$

For the third term in (10), we have

$$\frac{x}{(\log x)^{2B}} \sum_{m \leq (\log x)^B} |f(m)| \leq \frac{x}{(\log x)^{2B}} \left(\sum_{m \leq (\log x)^B} \frac{|f(m)|}{m} \cdot (\log x)^B \right) \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^B}. \quad (13)$$

Thus, by (10)-(13), we obtain that

$$S_1 = \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(m)c_m}{m} \right) \cdot x + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right), \quad (14)$$

provided $B \geq \max\{1, 2/\alpha\}$.

For S_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S_2| &\leq \sum_{(\log x)^B < m \leq x-a} |f(m)| \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ mq = p-a}} d(q) \\ &\leq \sum_{m > (\log x)^B} |f(m)| \sum_{q \leq \frac{x-a}{m}} d(q) \\ &\ll \sum_{m > (\log x)^B} |f(m)| \cdot \frac{x}{m} \log \frac{x}{m} \\ &\ll x \log x \sum_{m > (\log x)^B} \frac{|f(m)|}{m}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

We estimate the last summation in (15) as follows:

$$\sum_{m > (\log x)^B} \frac{|f(m)|}{m} \leq \sum_{m > (\log x)^B} \frac{|f(m)|}{m} \cdot \frac{m^\alpha}{(\log x)^{\alpha B}} \ll \frac{1}{(\log x)^{\alpha B}}.$$

It follows that

$$S_2 = O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right), \quad (16)$$

provided $B \geq 2/\alpha$.

Therefore, taking $B \geq \max\{1, 2/\alpha\}$, we obtain (5) by combining (9), (14), and (16) together. \square

3. RELATED PROBLEMS

Let $P(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \gcd(k, n)$ be the proper Pillai's function, then $P(n) = \sum_{m|n} \varphi(m)/m$, where $\varphi(m)$ is the Euler totient function, and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{P(n)}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta^2(s)}{\zeta(s+1)} \quad (17)$$

for $\text{Re} > 1$, see [16]. The analysis in Theorem 1.1 shows that there exists some constant $c_P > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} P(p-a) = c_P \cdot x + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right). \quad (18)$$

This is another application of Theorem 1.1.

In the literature, there are a number of generalizations and analogues of the Titchmarsh divisor problem. In the end, motivated by the asymptotic formula in Theorem 1.1, we propose a list of problems related to these generalizations and analogues for the interested readers. Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an arithmetic function satisfying that its Dirichlet series is absolutely convergent on $\operatorname{Re} s \geq 1 - \alpha$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Let $g = f * d$. For example, one may take $g = 2^{\omega(n)}, d^{(k)}(n)$ or $P(n)$ as in this paper. The following is our problem list.

- (1) Estimate the summation

$$\sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k \leq x} g(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k - 1),$$

c.f. [15, 11].

- (2) Find an analogue of Corollary 1.2 over finite fields, c.f. [1, 6].

- (3) Under the Elliott-Halberstam conjecture, estimate

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ P^+(p-a) \geq y}} g(p - a),$$

where $P^+(n)$ denotes the largest prime factor of n , c.f. [27].

- (4) Estimate the summation

$$\sum_{p \leq x} g(p^2 + 1),$$

c.f. [28].

- (5) Estimate the summation

$$\sum_{p^2 + q^2 \leq x} g(p^2 + q^2 + 1),$$

where p, q belong to the set of primes. c.f. [18].

REFERENCES

- [1] J. C. Andrade, L. Bary-Soroker, and Z. Rudnick. Shifted convolution and the Titchmarsh divisor problem over $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. A*, 373(2040):20140308, 18, 2015.
- [2] Edgar Assing, Valentin Blomer, and Junxian Li. Uniform Titchmarsh divisor problems. *Adv. Math.*, 393:Paper No. 108076, 51, 2021.
- [3] R. C. Baker. The square-free divisor problem. II. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 47(186):133–146, 1996.
- [4] E. Bombieri, J. B. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec. Primes in arithmetic progressions to large moduli. *Acta Math.*, 156(3-4):203–251, 1986.
- [5] Eckford Cohen. The number of unitary divisors of an integer. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 67:879–880, 1960.
- [6] Sampa Dey and Aditi Savalia. An induction principle for the Bombieri-Vinogradov theorem over $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ and a variant of the Titchmarsh divisor problem. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 521(2):Paper No. 126928, 28, 2023.
- [7] Sary Drappeau. Sums of Kloosterman sums in arithmetic progressions, and the error term in the dispersion method. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 114(4):684–732, 2017.
- [8] Sary Drappeau and Berke Topalogullari. Combinatorial identities and Titchmarsh’s divisor problem for multiplicative functions. *Algebra Number Theory*, 13(10):2383–2425, 2019.
- [9] Adam Tyler Felix. Generalizing the Titchmarsh divisor problem. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 8(3):613–629, 2012.
- [10] Étienne Fouvry. Sur le problème des diviseurs de Titchmarsh. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 357:51–76, 1985.

- [11] Akio Fujii. On some analogues of Titchmarsh divisor problem. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 64:149–158, 1976.
- [12] Jun Furuya and Wenguang Zhai. On the k -free divisor problem. II. *Acta Arith.*, 132(4):351–358, 2008.
- [13] A. A. Gioia and A. M. Vaidya. The number of squarefree divisors of an integer. *Duke Math. J.*, 33:797–799, 1966.
- [14] H. Halberstam. Footnote to the Titchmarsh-Linnik divisor problem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 18:187–188, 1967.
- [15] A. K. Karšiev and A. V. Sokolovskii. Generalized problem of Titchmarsh divisors. *Mat. Zametki*, 3:187–194, 1968.
- [16] Ekkehard Krätzel, Werner Georg Nowak, and László Tóth. On certain arithmetic functions involving the greatest common divisor. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.*, 10(2):761–774, 2012.
- [17] A. Kumchev. The k -free divisor problem. *Monatsh. Math.*, 129(4):321–327, 2000.
- [18] Junxian Li. A binary quadratic Titchmarsh divisor problem. *Acta Arith.*, 192(4):341–361, 2020.
- [19] Ju. V. Linnik. *The dispersion method in binary additive problems*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1963. Translated by S. Schuur.
- [20] F. Mertens. Ueber einige asymptotische Gesetze der Zahlentheorie. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 77:289–338, 1874.
- [21] Nigel J. E. Pitt. On an analogue of Titchmarsh’s divisor problem for holomorphic cusp forms. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 26(3):735–776, 2013.
- [22] Paul Pollack. A Titchmarsh divisor problem for elliptic curves. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 160(1):167–189, 2016.
- [23] M. V. Subbarao and D. Suryanarayana. Sums of the divisor and unitary divisor functions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 302:1–15, 1978.
- [24] D. Suryanarayana and V. Siva Rama Prasad. The number of k -free divisors of an integer. *Acta Arith.*, 17:345–354, 1971.
- [25] E. C. Titchmarsh. A divisor problem. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, 54:414–429, 1930.
- [26] Cristian Virdol. On the Titchmarsh divisor problem for abelian varieties. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 145(9):3681–3687, 2017.
- [27] Jie Wu. Two generalisations of the Titchmarsh divisor problem. *J. Number Theory*, 193:357–372, 2018.
- [28] Ping Xi. A quadratic analogue of Titchmarsh divisor problem. *J. Number Theory*, 184:192–205, 2018.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, YUNNAN UNIVERSITY, KUNMING, YUNNAN 650091, CHINA

Email address: `bwang@ynu.edu.cn`