

Channel Twinning: An Enabler for Next-Generation Ubiquitous Wireless Connectivity

Yashuai Cao, *Member, IEEE*, Jingbo Tan, *Member, IEEE*, Jintao Wang, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Wei Ni, *Fellow, IEEE*, Ekram Hossain, *Fellow, IEEE*, and Dusit Niyato, *Fellow, IEEE*

Abstract—The emerging concept of channel twinning (CT) has great potential to become a key enabler of ubiquitous connectivity in next-generation (xG) wireless systems. By fusing multimodal sensor data, CT advocates a high-fidelity and low-overhead channel acquisition paradigm, which is promising to provide accurate channel prediction in cross-domain and high-mobility scenarios of ubiquitous xG networks. However, the current literature lacks a universal CT architecture to address the challenges of heterogeneous scenarios, data, and resources in xG networks, which hinders the widespread deployment and applications of CT. This article discusses a new modularized CT architecture to bridge the barriers to scene recognition, cooperative sensing, and decentralized training. Based on the modularized design of CT, universal channel modeling, multimodal cooperative sensing, and lightweight twin modeling are described. Moreover, this article provides a concise definition, technical features, and case studies of CT, followed by potential applications of CT-empowered ubiquitous connectivity and some issues requiring future investigations.

Index Terms—Next-generation (xG) wireless communication system, channel twinning (CT), ubiquitous connectivity, multimodal sensing, lightweight digital twin.

I. INTRODUCTION

UBIQUITOUS connectivity is regarded as an overarching and fundamental function of next-generation (xG) networks (e.g., 6G networks), as it underpins seamless wireless coverage and network resilience to natural disasters [1]. To have ubiquitous connectivity, advanced coverage extension solutions such as space-air-ground-sea-integrated network (SAGSIN), cell-free network, and reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS) have been proposed [2]. The provisioning of zero coverage-hole networks has to deal with the challenges related to diversified wireless channels across heterogeneous networks and multiple frequency bands, thus rendering network planning and optimization more convoluted than ever. This

necessitates attention to one of the fundamental challenges in wireless communication systems, namely, channel acquisition, which determines the practical performance limits of wireless systems and networks.

A. Paradigm Shifts in Channel Acquisition

Recently, channel twinning (CT) has emerged as a new regime of channel acquisition that reflects the complex interactions of channels and physical environments. CT has received increasing attention because it subverts traditional channel acquisition in the following research paradigms.

1) *A shift of perspective from mathematical to environmental modeling*: Mathematical models (statistical and deterministic models) cannot balance the accuracy and complexity [3]. Instead, CT aims to reveal the channel mapping from environmental attributes, and achieve an accurate channel acquisition with low complexity.

2) *A shift from unimodal to multimodal sensing*: Traditional channel acquisition primarily relies on radio frequency (RF) sensing-based pilot training methods, accompanied by high overhead. In contrast, multimodal fusion is a pillar of CT, and it is promising to reduce or even eliminate RF pilots [4].

3) *A shift from physical-world to digital-world measurement*: In xG networks, cross-domain and high-mobility environments can cause poor channel estimation accuracy. While channel prediction can apply to dynamic scenarios, it is often not environment-aware and is limited by the correlation model. By emulating the interactions between the channel and the physical world, CT can create real-time channel replicas in the digital world.

Table I provides a conceptual comparison to clarify the relationship between CT and other related concepts.

B. Current Status of CT Studies

In the context of CT, some initial studies have been reported in [5]–[8]. The term of DT channel (DTC)¹ appeared earlier in [5], but the concept has not been specified. Later, the potential applications of predicting channels via digital twin (DT) were highlighted in [6], although the DTC concept was not proposed. The authors of [7] mentioned that DTC should enable channel prediction but did not provide clear definitions. More recently, DTC has been defined as the mapping between channels and associated communication

¹To emphasize that “channel twinning” is a technology, similar to channel modeling or channel sounding, we have not used the terminology “DTC”.

This work of Y. Cao was supported in part by the Project funded by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation under Grant No. 2023M742009; and in part by the Postdoctoral Fellowship Program of CPSF under Grant No. GZC20231372.

Y. Cao, J. Tan, and J. Wang are with the Department of Electronic Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China, and also with the Beijing National Research Center for Information Science and Technology (BNRist), Beijing 100084, China (e-mail: {caoy, tanjingbo, wangjintao}@tsinghua.edu.cn).

W. Ni is with Data61, Commonwealth Science and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Sydney, New South Wales, 2122, Australia (e-mail: wei.ni@data61.csiro.au).

E. Hossain is with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Manitoba, Canada (email: ekram.hossain@umanitoba.ca).

D. Niyato is with the School of Computer Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 639798 (e-mail: dniyato@ntu.edu.sg).

TABLE I: Comparison between different concepts about channel acquisition.

Concept	Role	Execution phase	Modeling Method	Accuracy	Generalizability	Complexity	Environment synchronization	Research perspective
Chanel modeling	Derive proper models for effective channel representation	Before network deployment	Statistical modeling	Low	×	Low	×	Mathematical representation
			Deterministic modeling	High	×	High	×	
			Hybrid modeling	Moderate	×	Moderate	×	
Channel estimation	Obtain current channel parameters	After network deployment	Pilot-based or training-based	Moderate	×	Moderate	×	Mathematical representation
Channel prediction	Infer future channel states	After network deployment	Time series analysis	Moderate	×	Moderate	×	Mathematical representation
Channel twinning	Create the digital replica of the channel evolution	Full life cycle	Synthetic CT	High	×	Low	×	Environment semantics
			Transfer CT	Moderate	✓	Moderate	✓	
			Multiverse CT	Multi-level	✓	High	✓	

operations [8]. Therein, the feasibility of real-time DTC has been demonstrated experimentally. As an initial instantiation of the CT concept, Boston Twin [9] was developed to introduce a city-scale twinning system for wireless networks.

The above literature has focused primarily on beam prediction applications or only discusses the knowledge graph construction from a local and centralized perspective. However, deploying CT in xG networks faces the challenges of cross-domain modeling, distributed sensing, and lightweight training. A centralized strategy makes it hard to cater to heterogeneous resources in xG networks.

Therefore, in this article, a systematic discussion on the modularized architecture of ubiquitous CT is conducted to facilitate the organic integration of CT and ubiquitous sensing and intelligence. For a better understanding of CT, a concise definition and several clarifications regarding the general CT misconceptions are refined. This article also highlights the technical evolutions, the potential use cases for CT-empowered ubiquitous connectivity technologies, and several promising directions to spur future research.

II. BASIC CONCEPTS AND FEATURES OF CT

A. Concepts and Realization Principle of CT

In this article, CT is defined as a digital mirror construction of physical channels for network design, optimization, and evaluation without real deployment. Essentially, such a digital mirror is a mapping model that indicates the correspondence from the environment to the associated channel state information (CSI). Specifically, a site-specific CT attempts to find a mapper to approximate the RF propagation law. As shown in Fig. 1, given the environmental conditions, CT can acquire the CSI at any position.

Regarding the realization of CT, current mainstream methods can be primarily divided into three categories: Spatial interpolation modeling, environment semantic modeling, and deep learning (DL) modeling.

1) *Spatial Interpolation Modeling*: Spatial interpolation [2] only utilizes channel measurements within the region of interest (RoI) to predict the channel of any location.

2) *Environment Semantic Modeling*: Environment semantic-based methods, such as spatial loss field and virtual obstacle model [10], estimate the fading distribution of the entire RoI by observations.

3) *Deep Learning Modeling*: In DL methods, differentiable ray tracing (DRT) is gaining popularity as a promising DT enabler [11]. The DRT principle is to learn the electromagnetic (EM) properties of involved materials by modeling the interaction between ray paths and the environment.

In practice, suitable methods should be selected according to applications and dataset sizes. From the perspective of implementation complexity, CT deployment should undergo three evolution stages: i) Synthetic CT [7], which is deployed in a quasi-static environment to generate synthetic data that approximates the measurements in the RoI; ii) Transfer CT [12], which constructs self-adaptive CT based on knowledge transfer to cope with unforeseen scenarios; and iii) Multiverse CT [13], which customizes different twins for applications with varying computing power and delay constraints. Each twin model offers multiple fidelity levels with different processing delays.

B. Common Misconceptions and Three Clarifications

To elaborate on the concept of CT comprehensively, we discuss the CT model illustrated in Fig. 1 from inputs to outputs. Several basic features are highlighted as follows: a) **context-awareness**: Instead of merely inputting received pilots or feedback by RF sensing methods, CT integrates contextual information from multimodal sensors (e.g., camera, GPS and Radar) to promptly adapt to environmental dynamics; b) **high-fidelity**: CT allows for high-fidelity emulation of complex interactions between the environment and the network. Thanks to three-dimensional (3D) map-aided methods, e.g., ray-




Fig. 1. Conceptual framework of CT in xG networks with ubiquitous connectivity. Advanced ubiquitous connectivity scenarios give birth to diversified wireless channels across heterogeneous networks. All of these channels can be acquired in the CT system without the need for high-overhead channel estimation.

tracing, spatial consistency [1] and multi-hop propagation can be simulated; c) **self-synchronization**: CT can model the whole life cycle of channel behaviors in the wireless environment for real-time emulation; d) **expertise-driven**: EM and channel modeling expertise are needed to design CT models. This helps produce compact DL structures and improve interpretability when specific channel characteristics, e.g., signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), are desired to be output; and e) **region-specific**: Since the CT model is trained on measurements from a specific site's coverage region, the DTC must be region-dependent.

Since CT is an emerging technology, there are several common misconceptions that need to be clarified.

Clarification 1: *Do widely-used statistical channel modeling techniques belong to CT technology?*

Following the CT concept, a DTC refers to a digital mirror of the channel response in a given environment, whereas standard statistical models like Rayleigh and Rician, cannot match specific environments. Moreover, 3GPP urban microcell (UMi) and urban macrocell (UMa) models only consider macroscopic environmental attributes (e.g., urban or rural) but ignore the specific environmental factors. In this sense, statistical models may not create the real DTCs.

Clarification 2: *Does CT necessarily mean the use of DL?*

According to specific application requirements, one can flexibly choose different CT training methods, e.g., spatial interpolation, environment semantic, and DL methods.

Clarification 3: *Does using only position information to predict network operations belong to CT technology?*

CT is either explicitly or implicitly context-aware. Only position-based methods construct the channels under the

simple line-of-sight (LoS) assumption that ignores the environmental factors. However, blockages and scatters may cause non-LoS (NLoS) cases. This shows the significance of context awareness, and that position-based channel recovery cannot compete with CT.

III. A MODULARIZED DESIGN OF CT ARCHITECTURE

For the design and deployment of CT in xG networks, the following questions need to be answered.

- Q1.** Since xG networks are anticipated to involve massive cross-domain links across space/frequency/time-domains, how can we make the channel modeling of CT applicable to any scenario?
- Q2.** How do we effectively integrate multimodal sensor networks during the CT deployment?
- Q3.** How can CT training in network nodes with limited computing capacity and power supply be realized?

In light of these questions, we present a modularized architecture to discuss the design considerations for CT deployment in xG networks. The overall CT architecture comprises three modules of universal channel modeling, multimodal cooperative sensing, and lightweight decentralized CT training, as shown in Fig. 2.

A. Universal Channel Modeling Module

Various scenarios in xG networks give rise to various types of channels. The characteristics of these channels differ significantly from each other. Consequently, a major challenge that arises for CT deployment is how to integrate the diverse channel characteristics across scenarios into a unified model. To this end, a universal channel modeling module tailored for ubiquitous CT is needed.




Fig. 2. The overall modularized CT architecture. The universal channel modeling module provides information about the sensing targets and prediction tasks of interest for the other two modules. The channel modeling module can generate synthetic data, which can be leveraged to pretrain the CT model in the lightweight twin module. Then, the CT model can be fine-tuned by feeding sensing measurements from the multimodal cooperative sensing module.

Generally, a channel consists of large-scale fading (LSF) and small-scale fading (SSF) components, each with different characteristics in various scenarios. Therefore, the channel components associated with the scenario and working band should be identified at first. For instance, high-mobility scenarios, such as Internet of Vehicles (IoVs) and unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) networks, should consider non-stationary channels. Gas absorption and blocking effects should be considered in millimeter-wave (mmWave) and Terahertz (THz) networks, while visible light communication (VLC) channels only involve LSF. Extremely large-scale, multiple-input-multiple-output (MIMO) channels should consider spherical wavefront and spatial non-stationarity [1].

To effectively deploy CT, we can quickly determine the channel components by following two steps: 1) LSF channel construction by a static 3D map; and 2) SSF channel prediction by capturing dynamic activities.

B. Multimodal Cooperative Sensing Module

With the rise of Internet of Things (IoT) devices and advances in integrated sensing and communication (ISAC), multimodal sensing has become increasingly common. Multimodal sensing data fusion (M^2SDF) is imperative for CT model training [4]. In the multimodal cooperative sensing module shown in Fig. 2, there are two main categories of multimodal sensors: RF and non-RF.

The traditional channel measurement sources come primarily from RF reception and sensing. Such measurement is limited by the sensing range and accuracy of the single-site ISAC scheme. For RF sensing, a multi-site cooperative sensing scheme can be preferable, which can enhance signal acquisition by virtue of ubiquitous connectivity. In this scheme, non-terrestrial and terrestrial base stations (BSs) can sense any position through ISAC technology. In addition, users




Fig. 3. Training architecture of CT: (a) Centralized learning-based CT model construction, where dedicated measurement anchors collect data and upload data to the CT server for training; and (b) FL-based CT model construction, where registered device clients use their local data to train the model and only upload trained parameters for model aggregation at the CT server.

can also track targets by the dedicated positioning reference signals defined in 5G-NR R17 [14].

However, cooperative sensing requires precise space-time registration, which is crucial for positioning data acquisition and continuous updates of CT systems. Non-RF sensing involves more heterogeneous multimodal data than RF sensing. In this sense, the challenge of M^2SDF lies in how to deal with the data heterogeneity at the CT server. To extract propagation environment semantics, semantic alignment and completion [8] techniques may be invoked.

C. Lightweight Twin Modeling Module

Existing CT models typically train in a centralized manner. However, scalability and privacy challenges limits the CT deployment in ubiquitous connectivity. In this case, federated learning (FL) is viewed as an adequate and promising choice for lightweight CT because FL training is decentralized and does not require sharing data. Fig. 3 shows a lightweight CT training module based on FL. In the FL-enabled CT scheme, FL clients only need to upload local model parameters to collaboratively train a global CT model, thus reducing communication costs and privacy leakage risks.

The integration of FL and DT is not straightforward, as two practical issues need to be addressed as prerequisites. One is that participating in FL tasks can increase the energy costs of client devices. For this reason, self-interested sensor devices may be reluctant to participate in FL, which needs proper FL incentive mechanisms [15]. Clients participating in FL should meet their own energy constraints. For example, UAVs are energy-limited. In this sense, an incentive mechanism for FL-based CT should be designed to improve CT training efficiency




Fig. 4. User association results produced based on the true channel, the twin channel, and the fitted PL model: (a) True channel gain; (b) twin channel gain; (c) channel gain predicted by fitted PL model; and (d) the achievable sum rate with different schemes. Each UE selects five APs for communications, and the associated APs are indicated by red dots.

while meeting the power constraints. To achieve such energy-efficient FL incentives, we need to quantify the contribution of clients to the global CT model convergence and design appropriate payment mechanisms. The other practical issue that needs to be addressed for the integration of FL and DT is the heterogeneity of local data on each client. This would require handling data distribution imbalances across clients. The reason is that personalized FL may be suitable for lightweight CT in the future.

IV. CASE STUDIES: INTELLIGENT COVERAGE AND LIGHTWEIGHT TWIN

This section provides two case studies to demonstrate the significance of CT for ubiquitous connectivity and discuss the lightweight FL-based CT performance.

A. CT-Based Intelligent Coverage

In ubiquitous connectivity networks, user association can dynamically adjust the connection of user equipment (UE) according to the channel status, in order to achieve continuous and efficient coverage. By user association, the optimal access points (APs) are selected for UE access, affecting network resource utilization. Dynamic adaptive user association can reduce latency or packet loss, avoid interruption, and save the transmit powers. However, the premise of dynamic adaptive user association is an accurate perception of full CSI.

Cell-free communication, as a key ubiquitous connectivity technology, is taken as an example to illustrate the advantage of CT. In cell-free networks, obtaining the full CSI of involved nodes is challenged by limited pilots and high CSI exchange overhead. To address this, we propose a CT-based intelligent user association scheme in cell-free networks. Specifically, the proposed scheme is implemented via offline CT model training and online CSI query, as illustrated in Fig. 3(a). In the offline phase, dedicated measurement anchors are responsible for collecting channel measurements at various locations in the RoI and uploading measurements to the CT server for training. Once the CT model is obtained through offline training, it is stored in the twin repository. In the online phase, APs or UEs request the CT server to query the channel information of the node they attempt to communicate with.

To demonstrate the advantage of CT, we leverage the synthetic data generated by Wireless InSite² software for model training [2]. Channel gain measurements, sampled at a uniform grid in the region shown in Fig. 4(a), serve as the ground truth.

Based on the spatial interpolation concept, the trained CT model can capture the impact of environmental factors on channel gains. Fig. 4(b) shows a channel gain map given by a UE position, where the UE is indicated by a white square. Conventional user association is based only on the distance or path loss (PL) in practical cell-free systems. We derive the PL

²<https://www.remcom.com/wireless-insite-propagation-software>




Fig. 5. Federated twin of the channel gains: (a) The validation loss versus communication rounds, where the total number of training samples is 10,000, and these samples are randomly divided into three or five UEs for FL; and (b) MAE comparison of channel gain prediction across different models.

model with the same synthetic data by least squares fitting. As a benchmark, PL-based results are shown in Fig. 4(c). By comparing Figs. 4(a), 4(b), and 4(c), it can be observed that CT can efficiently perceive the environment, while the fitted PL model cannot reproduce the environment profile.

With the predicted channel gain, we select five APs from 20 distributed APs within the RoI for user association. Since the PL model does not grasp the environment semantics, it can only select APs according to the distance criterion. The channel gain comprises PL, shadowing, and multi-path effects. PL is determined by distance while shadowing and multi-path effect are affected by surroundings.

By contrast, CT can guide the UE in avoiding buildings, instead of directly selecting the nearest APs. Fig. 4(d) shows the sum rates achieved by different user association schemes, which confirms the benefits of CT for ubiquitous coverage. In addition, since open street maps [10] and user locations are reasonably easy to obtain, the CSI of any AP-UE link can be retrieved by CT. Thus, the dreadful CSI-sharing overhead can be avoided in ubiquitous connectivity.

B. Federated Learning-Based Lightweight CT

Centralized training of DT relies on sufficient computing capability and energy supply, which may be unavailable in sensor devices, e.g., UAVs. Fortunately, FL can realize a decentralized DT model construction while avoiding data privacy issues. In light of this, we investigate an FL-based lightweight channel gain twinning model; see Fig. 3(b).

In the FL-based CT training architecture, no dedicated measurement anchors are needed for data collection. Each mobile UE may be a potential measurement anchor. These incentive UEs can record their locations and associated channel measurements as they move into the RoI. Unlike centralized training, the UEs do not upload the data; rather, they first register their identities with the CT server's client manager for subsequent FL training. When the CT server prepares to train a CT model, a group of registered UEs train their own CT models locally by using their recorded measurements. Then, they upload only the trained parameters to the server for model aggregation, thus obtaining the global CT model. The CT server sends the global model parameters to the registered clients to update the local models. After several rounds of interactions, the global model converges, and hence, the FL-based CT training is completed.

We select a portion of the channel gain dataset used in the previous subsection, randomly splitting and distributing it to FL clients. Fig. 5(a) shows the trained results. It is seen that more clients contribute to better validation loss performance, even if the total data samples remain the same. With more clients, the gradient noise of individual clients can be smoothed out. This indicates that increasing the number of clients can improve the federated twin performance, but raises communication overhead concerns.

Fig. 5(b) compares prediction performance among the centralized CT model, fitted PL model, and federated CT model in terms of mean absolute error (MAE). From the boxplots of MAE, the red solid line denotes the median MAE, the box represents the distribution range of the majority of MAE, and the black dots outside the box denote outliers. It is observed that the PL model exhibits high prediction errors overall, indicating poor prediction accuracy. Meanwhile, few outliers indicate prediction stability, as the channel gain produced by the PL model lacks shadowing and SSF compared to the true channel. Both CT models show better prediction accuracy. Even decentralized training-based CT model has superior accuracy to the PL model. Despite the fluctuations in prediction error of CT models, occasional outliers do not affect the overall performance of ubiquitous connectivity. Notably, the goal of CT is not to copy physical channel entities, but rather to satisfy network requirements by emulation.

V. CONVERGENCE OF CT AND UBIQUITOUS COMMUNICATIONS: OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES

A. Opportunities of CT for Ubiquitous Connectivity

1) *CT-Empowered Non-Terrestrial Communications*: Due to the high mobility of non-terrestrial systems, both satellite-to-ground and UAV-to-ground channels suffer from the non-negligible channel aging effect. Unlike the ground channel, UAV-to-ground channel gains do not show smooth changes with the movement of the UAVs. Due to the down-tilted antenna pattern, UAVs may easily fall into the antenna nulls of nearby BSs. Therefore, the UAVs must search for the best antenna sidelobe associated with the remote cell when switching cells instead of using the aforementioned distance criterion. In this case, CT can emulate the antenna gain

and airframe shadowing [1] to achieve high-efficiency cell association in UAV networks.

2) *CT-Empowered IoV Communications*: One of the most immediate applications of CT is predictive beamforming in IoVs. CT-enabled beamforming must only send necessary control signaling without extra pilots [4]. On the other hand, RIS is regarded as an attractive low-power technology that can overcome dynamic blockage in IoVs. However, CSI acquisition and frequent beam alignment in high-mobility scenarios hinder the widespread application of RIS. Through the CT model dedicated to serving the RIS sites, adaptive beam width control and vehicle tracking can be further realized.

3) *CT-Empowered Maritime Communications*: CT is promising in achieving ubiquitous maritime connectivity. Note that the maritime communications environment has distinct features of sparse scatters compared to terrestrial networks. This motivates us to employ the environmental information to deploy CT models for network planning [3]. Channel interference can be acquired in advance to avoid the acquisition burden of full CSI. In the harsh underwater environment, underwater acoustic (UWA) channels suffer from spatio-temporal-frequency variability, limited bandwidth and severe noise and interference [1]. Channel estimation and data transmission becomes even more challenging in multi-node UWA communications. In this case, CT also brings unprecedented opportunities for UWA communications.

B. Challenges and Open Issues

1) *Data Deficiency*: CT demands sufficient high-quality measurement data. However, channel measurement campaigns are time-consuming and expensive. In practice, only sparse measurements from limited points are available for CT training. For example, DL-based indoor propagation prediction [12] only utilizes channel measurements from 36 locations. Furthermore, some measurements may contain errors due to hardware defects. Therefore, for high-precision CT training, it is necessary to study the efficient utilization of synthetic and measurement data.

2) *Personalized FL Mechanism of CT*: Since FL clients only collect channel measurements from the local irregular areas, a simple federated averaging-based aggregation strategy can hardly be optimal. In particular, personalized FL with incentive mechanisms needs to consider the CT model contribution of each client to the entire environment map.

3) *Malicious CT Deployment*: Malicious nodes with CT ability may exist in networks. They can train the CT models based on local radio measurements or query the CT server for CSI at locations of interest. Therefore, once a malicious CT is able to recover CSI, wireless networks will face unparalleled security threats. Existing physical layer security and secret key schemes will be rendered ineffective.

4) *High-Dimensional DTC Representation*: While DTC is effective for wireless network optimization, high-dimensional channel matrices caused by large-scale MIMO or RIS raise computational and caching challenges for CT deployment. In this case, an equivalent channel compression representation scheme in CT is attractive for network optimization.

VI. CONCLUSION

From the perspective of the physical environment, a new channel acquisition paradigm, namely, CT technology, has been studied to support xG ubiquitous wireless communication networks. With multimodal sensing, CT can evolve to predict CSI that closely resembles actual channels. This article outlines recent CT-related activities with different conceptual names. Then, the concept, key features, and two case studies of CT have been discussed. To promote CT deployment in wireless networks, a modularized design architecture of CT has been presented. The architecture includes universal channel modeling of full scenarios, multimodal cooperative sensing, and FL-based lightweight CT training. New opportunities for CT in enabling technologies for ubiquitous connectivity and future research challenges have also been discussed.

REFERENCES

- [1] C.-X. Wang, Z. Lv, X. Gao, X. You, Y. Hao, and H. Haas, "Pervasive wireless channel modeling theory and applications to 6G GBMs for all frequency bands and all scenarios," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 71, no. 9, pp. 9159–9173, Sep. 2022.
- [2] Y. Zeng, J. Chen, J. Xu, D. Wu, X. Xu, S. Jin, X. Gao, D. Gesbert, S. Cui, and R. Zhang, "A tutorial on environment-aware communications via channel knowledge map for 6G," *IEEE Commun. Surv. Tutorials*, pp. 1–1, 2024.
- [3] H. Gao, P. Kyösti, X. Zhang, and W. Fan, "Digital twin enabled 6G radio testing: Concepts, challenges and solutions," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 61, no. 11, pp. 88–94, Nov. 2023.
- [4] X. Cheng, H. Zhang, J. Zhang, S. Gao, S. Li, Z. Huang, L. Bai, Z. Yang, X. Zheng, and L. Yang, "Intelligent multi-modal sensing-communication integration: Synesthesia of machines," *IEEE Commun. Surv. Tutorials*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 258–301, Firstquarter 2024.
- [5] Z. Yu, X. Lv, H. Rui, and W. Lin, "Digital twin channel: A data-driven continuous trajectory modeling," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Digital Twins Parallel Intell. (DTPI)*, Beijing, China, Jul. 2021, pp. 302–305.
- [6] A. Alkhateeb, S. Jiang, and G. Charan, "Real-time digital twins: Vision and research directions for 6G and beyond," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 61, no. 11, pp. 128–134, Nov. 2023.
- [7] G. Liang, J. Hu, K. Yang, S. Song, T. Liu, N. Xie, and Y. Yu, "Data augmentation for predictive digital twin channel: Learning multi-domain correlations by convolutional TimeGAN," *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Signal Process.*, pp. 1–15, Jan. 2024.
- [8] H. Wang, J. Zhang, G. Nie, L. Yu, Z. Yuan, T. Li, J. Wang, and G. Liu, "Digital twin channel for 6G: Concepts, architectures and potential applications," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.12467*, Mar. 2024.
- [9] P. Testolina, M. Polese, P. Johari, and T. Melodia, "Boston Twin: the Boston digital twin for ray-tracing in 6G networks," in *Proc. ACM Multimedia Systems Conf.*, New York, USA, Apr. 2024, p. 441–447.
- [10] W. Liu and J. Chen, "UAV-aided radio map construction exploiting environment semantics," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 22, no. 9, pp. 6341–6355, Sep. 2023.
- [11] J. Hoydis, F. A. Aoudia, S. Cammerer, M. Nimier-David, N. Binder, G. Marcus, and A. Keller, "Sionna RT: Differentiable ray tracing for radio propagation modeling," in *Proc. IEEE Globecom Workshops (GC Wkshps)*, Dec. 2023, pp. 317–321.
- [12] S. Bakirtzis, J. Chen, K. Qiu, J. Zhang, and I. Wassell, "EM DeepRay: An expedient, generalizable, and realistic data-driven indoor propagation model," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 70, no. 6, pp. 4140–4154, Jun. 2022.
- [13] B. Salehi, U. Demir, D. Roy, S. Pradhan, J. Dy, S. Ioannidis, and K. Chowdhury, "Multiverse at the edge: Interacting real world and digital twins for wireless beamforming," *IEEE/ACM Trans. Networking*, 2024.
- [14] K. Gao, H. Wang, H. Lv, and W. Liu, "Localization-oriented digital twinning in 6G: A new indoor-positioning paradigm and proof-of-concept," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, pp. 1–1, 2024.
- [15] A. Z. Tan, H. Yu, L. Cui, and Q. Yang, "Towards personalized federated learning," *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks Learn. Syst.*, vol. 34, no. 12, pp. 9587–9603, Dec. 2023.