

INFINITE DIMENSIONAL MODULES FOR LINEAR ALGEBRAIC GROUPS

ERIC M. FRIEDLANDER*

ABSTRACT. We investigate infinite dimensional modules for a linear algebraic group \mathbb{G} over a field of positive characteristic p . For any subcoalgebra $C \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ of the coordinate algebra of \mathbb{G} , we consider the abelian subcategory $CoMod(C) \subset Mod(\mathbb{G})$ and the left exact functor $(-)_C : Mod(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow CoMod(C)$ that is right adjoint to the inclusion functor. The class of cofinite \mathbb{G} -modules is formulated using finite dimensional subcoalgebras of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ and the new invariant of “cofinite type” is introduced.

We are particularly interested in mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules, \mathbb{G} -modules which are not seen by earlier support theories. Various properties of these ghostly \mathbb{G} -modules are established. The stable category $StMock(\mathbb{G})$ is introduced, enabling mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules to fit into the framework of tensor triangulated categories.

0. INTRODUCTION

An approach to studying a \mathbb{G} -module M for a connected affine group scheme \mathbb{G} over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$ is to investigate the restriction of M to Frobenius kernels $\mathbb{G}_{(r)}$ of \mathbb{G} . From some points of view, the representation theory of finite group schemes such as $\mathbb{G}_{(r)}$ resembles the representation theory of finite groups and thus shares many useful properties. The technique of restricting \mathbb{G} -modules to Frobenius kernels has been effective in studying irreducible modules and standard finite dimensional modules for reductive groups \mathbb{G} (see, for example, [18]). One method for studying the representations of finite group schemes G involves constructing a suitable theory of “supports” for G -modules. This method, beginning with the consideration of support varieties for elementary abelian p -groups (see [2]), has been developed for the representation theory of finite group schemes (see, for example, [13]), linear algebraic groups, various finite dimensional algebras (see, for example, [1], [12], [22]), and linear algebraic groups (see [8]).

Some aspects of the representation theory of \mathbb{G} are not seen by support varieties or by restriction of \mathbb{G} -modules to Frobenius kernels. Of particular importance are proper mock injective modules, modules which are not injective as \mathbb{G} -modules but whose restrictions to each Frobenius kernel $\mathbb{G}_{(r)}$ is an injective $\mathbb{G}_{(r)}$ -module [9]. Using the lens of “stable categories”, we showed in [11] that localizing the category of bounded cochain complexes $\mathcal{K}^b(Mod(\mathbb{G}))$ of \mathbb{G} -modules with respect to the bounded derived category of mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules yields a category (which we denote by $\overline{StMod}(\mathbb{G})$ in this paper) which serves as a good analogue for linear

Date: June 19, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20G05, 20G10.

Key words and phrases. filtrations, coalgebras, mock injectives.

* partially supported by the Simons Foundation .

algebraic groups of stable module categories for finite group schemes. Indeed, our motivation for this work has been to further our understanding of mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules. In Section 6, we formulate some of this understanding in terms of the thick subcategory $StMock(\mathbb{G}) \hookrightarrow StMod(\mathbb{G})$ of bounded complexes associated to mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules.

In [9], we considered linear algebraic groups of exponential type and utilized the filtration by “exponential degree” of a \mathbb{G} -module M . This suggested that a useful approach to studying a \mathbb{G} -module M is to consider filtrations by \mathbb{G} -submodules whose coaction is constrained to specified subspaces of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$. In this paper, we continue this analysis, providing numerous functorial filtrations of \mathbb{G} -modules for an arbitrary linear algebraic group \mathbb{G} each of which is a filtration by \mathbb{G} -modules (not necessarily the case for the filtration of [9]).

In Theorem 1.4, we associate to an arbitrary subspace (i.e., an arbitrary k -vector subspace) $X \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ an abelian subcategory $i_X : Mod(\mathbb{G}, X) \hookrightarrow Mod(\mathbb{G})$ of the abelian category of \mathbb{G} -modules together with a left exact functor $(-)_X : Mod(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow Mod(\mathbb{G}, X)$ that is right adjoint to i_X . Essentially by construction, M_X is the largest \mathbb{G} -submodule of M whose coaction $\Delta_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ factors through $M \otimes X \subset M \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$. We belatedly realized that our construction is an abstraction of J. Jantzen’s “truncated categories” for reductive groups (see [18, Chap A]).

In Proposition 1.9, we show for any ascending, converging sequence $\{X_d\}$ of subspaces of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ that a \mathbb{G} -module M is injective if and only if each M_{X_d} is injective in $Mod(\mathbb{G}, X_d)$. For \mathbb{G} of exponential type equipped with the subspaces $\mathcal{E}(d) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ considered in [9], Proposition 1.11 establishes that the filtration $\{M_{\mathcal{E}(d)}\}$ of a \mathbb{G} -module M is the coarsest filtration by \mathbb{G} -submodules subordinate to the filtration by exponential degree of M as considered in [9].

If a given subspace $X \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ is the underlying subspace of a subcoalgebra C , then $Mod(\mathbb{G}, X) \subset Mod(\mathbb{G})$ is naturally identified with $CoMod(C)$, the abelian category of comodules for C . For a given subspace $X \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$, we consider the smallest subcoalgebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X \rangle} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ containing X ; if X is finite dimensional, then so is $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X \rangle}$. For our purposes, it is sufficient to consider filtrations of \mathbb{G} -modules provided by an ascending, converging sequence of finite dimensional subcoalgebras of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ such as $\{X_d\}$ associated to an ascending, converging sequence of finite dimensional subspaces $\{X_d\}$ of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$. In Definition 2.7, we provide another construction of ascending, converging finite dimensional subcoalgebras $\{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}\}$ of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ by first explicitly defining $\{\mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d}\}$ and then using a specified closed embedding $\phi : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_N$. As seen in Proposition 2.12, different closed embeddings \mathbb{G} into general linear groups determine “comparable” filtrations of \mathbb{G} -modules. For a given \mathbb{G} -module M , Proposition 3.8 compares and contrasts the filtration $\{M_{\leq d, \phi}\}$ with the family of restrictions of $\{M|_{\mathbb{G}(r)}\}$ to Frobenius kernels of \mathbb{G} .

We say that a \mathbb{G} -module M is “cofinite” if M_X is finite dimensional for every finite dimensional subspace $X \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$. The full subcategory $CoFin(\mathbb{G})$ of $Mod(\mathbb{G})$ consisting of cofinite \mathbb{G} -modules has various closure properties (see Proposition 4.2) but does not contain all cokernels and is not closed under tensor products. In Definition 4.5, we formulate the “growth” of cofinite modules; a finer invariant, the “cofinite type” of a \mathbb{G} -module M (denoted $\gamma(\mathbb{G}, \phi)_M$) is introduced which depends upon a choice of closed embedding $\phi : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_N$. Various computations of $\gamma(\mathbb{G}, \phi)_M$ are given.

In Section 5, we investigate mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules. As observed in Proposition 5.1, the full subcategory $Mock(\mathbb{G})$ of $Mod(\mathbb{G})$ whose objects are mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules is an exact subcategory with enough injective objects, arbitrary directed colimits, and the “two out of three” property. Moreover, restriction along a closed embedding $\mathbb{H} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ determines an exact functor $(-)_\mathbb{H} : Mock(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow Mock(\mathbb{H})$ and tensor product in $Mod(\mathbb{G})$ determines $\otimes : Mock(\mathbb{G}) \otimes Mod(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow Mock(\mathbb{G})$. On the other hand, $Hom_\mathbb{G}(-, -) : Mock(\mathbb{G}) \times mod(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow Mod(\mathbb{G})$ is typically the 0-pairing by Theorem 5.6; in other words, for familiar \mathbb{G} , $Hom_\mathbb{G}(J, M) = 0$ if J is a mock injective \mathbb{G} -module and M is a finite dimensional \mathbb{G} -module. Examples 5.11 and 5.13 give sample computations of the cofinite type of classes of cofinite proper mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules. Theorem 5.9 presents a construction due to Hardesty, Nakano, and Sobaje in [16] of families of mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules

Theorem 6.3 presents a “stable” categorification of this construction, one which considers triangulated categories in which bounded complexes of injectives are set equal to 0. This formulation involves the tensor triangulated category $StMock(\mathbb{G})$, the kernel of the quotient functor from $StMod(\mathbb{G}) \equiv \mathcal{K}^b(Mod(\mathbb{G}))/Inj(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \overline{StMod}(\mathbb{G})$.

Before mentioning some open questions in Section 8, we provide in Theorem 7.4 a necessary and sufficient condition in terms of π -points for a \mathbb{G}_a -module to be injective.

Throughout this paper, the ground field k is assumed to be of characteristic $p > 0$ for some prime p . We use $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ to denote the coordinate algebra of \mathbb{G} , and $k[\mathbb{G}]^R$ (respectively, $k[\mathbb{G}]^L$) the underlying vector space of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ with the right (resp., left) regular representation. For us, an affine group scheme is an affine group scheme over k which is of finite type over k ; a linear algebraic group is a smooth and connected affine group scheme. A closed embedding of affine group schemes will always mean a closed immersion which is a morphism of group schemes.

We thank Paul Balmer, Bob Guralnick, Julia Pevtsova, Paul Sobaje, and especially Cris Negron for helpful insights.

1. FILTRATIONS BY SUBSPACES $X \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$

For an affine group scheme \mathbb{G} , we denote by $Mod(\mathbb{G})$ the abelian category of \mathbb{G} -modules; more precisely, the abelian category of comodules for $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ as a coalgebra over k . Thus, $M \in Mod(\mathbb{G})$ is a vector space over k equipped with a right coaction $\Delta_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ which determines natural (with respect to commutative k -algebras A) A -linear group actions $\mathbb{G}(A) \times (A \otimes M) \rightarrow (A \otimes M)$. Unless specified otherwise, tensor products are implicitly assumed to be tensor products of k -vector spaces.

Definition 1.1. Let $i_X : X \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ be a subspace (that is, a k -subspace of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ viewed as a k -vector space). We define $Mod(\mathbb{G}, X)$ to be the full subcategory of $Mod(\mathbb{G})$ whose objects are those \mathbb{G} -modules M whose coaction Δ_M factors as $(id_M \otimes i_X) \circ \Delta_{M,X} : M \rightarrow M \otimes X \rightarrow M \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$.

We refer to such \mathbb{G} -modules as “ X -comodules”.

We utilize the following lemma investigating the closure properties of $Mod(\mathbb{G}, X) \subset Mod(\mathbb{G})$.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $X \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ be a subspace and let M be an X -comodule.*

- (1) If $j : N \rightarrow M$ is an injective map of \mathbb{G} -modules, then N is also an X -comodule.
- (2) If $q : M \rightarrow Q$ is a surjective map of \mathbb{G} -modules, then Q is also an X -comodule.
- (3) If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a map of \mathbb{G} -modules with N an X -comodule, then the kernel and cokernel of f are X -comodules.

Proof. To prove (1), choose a basis $\{m_\beta, \beta \in I\}$ of M such that a subset of this basis is a basis for N , and choose a basis $\{f_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$ of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ such that a subset of this basis is a basis for X . If $m \in M$ is an element of N , then $\Delta(m) = \sum_{\beta, \alpha} a_{\beta, \alpha} m_\beta \otimes f_\alpha$ lies both in $N \otimes k[\mathbb{G}]$ so that $a_{\beta, \alpha} = 0$ unless $m_\beta \in N$ and lies in $M \otimes X$ so that $a_{\beta, \alpha} = 0$ unless $f_\alpha \in X$. Thus $\Delta(m) \in N \otimes X$ if $m \in N$.

To prove assertion (2), let $j : K \rightarrow M$ be the kernel of the surjective map $q : M \rightarrow Q$. Using assertion (1), we have the commutative diagram

$$(1.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} K & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{K, X}} & K \otimes X & \xrightarrow{id_K \otimes i_X} & K \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \\ \downarrow j & & \downarrow j \otimes id & & \downarrow j \otimes id_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})} \\ M & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{M, X}} & M \otimes X & \xrightarrow{id_M \otimes i_X} & M \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow q \otimes id_X & & \downarrow q \otimes id_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})} \\ Q & \xrightarrow{\Delta_Q} & Q \otimes X & \xrightarrow{id_Q \otimes i_X} & Q \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}). \end{array}$$

A simple diagram chase for (1.2.1) implies that Δ_Q factors uniquely through $Q \otimes X$.

To prove (3), observe that the kernel $\ker\{f\} \subset M$ is an X -comodule by (1) and that the quotient $N \twoheadrightarrow \text{coker}\{f\}$ is an X -comodule by (2). \square

We recall that the sum $M_1 + M_2 \subset M$ of \mathbb{G} -submodules M_1, M_2 of M is the image of $M_1 \oplus M_2 \rightarrow M$.

Proposition 1.3. *Let M be a \mathbb{G} -module and $X \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ be a subspace. If $M_1 \subset M$, $M_2 \subset M$ are \mathbb{G} -submodules which are X -comodules, then $M_1 + M_2 \subset M$ is also an X -comodule. Thus, the category $\chi(M)$ whose objects are X -comodules of M and whose maps are inclusions of \mathbb{G} -submodules of M is a filtering subcategory of $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G})$.*

Consequently,

$$(1.3.1) \quad M_X \equiv \varinjlim_{N \in \chi(M)} N = \bigcup_{N \in \chi(M)} N \subset M$$

is well defined as a \mathbb{G} -submodule. Moreover, $M_X \subset M$ is an X -comodule, the largest X -comodule contained in M .

Proof. Recall that $M_1 + M_2 \subset M$ fits in a short exact sequence of \mathbb{G} -modules $0 \rightarrow M_1 \cap M_2 \rightarrow M_1 \oplus M_2 \rightarrow M_1 + M_2 \rightarrow 0$. Since $M_1 \oplus M_2$ is clearly an X -comodule whenever M_1, M_2 are X -comodules, the first assertion follows from Lemma 1.2.

This implies that the category $\chi(M)$ is filtering; given two objects $N_1 \subset M$ and $N_2 \subset M$ of $\chi(M)$, both map to $N_1 + N_2 \subset M$ which is an object of $\chi(M)$. Thus, $\varinjlim_{N \in \chi(M)} N \rightarrow M$ equals the union $\bigcup_{N \in \chi(M)} N \subset M$. Recall that

$(-)\otimes V$ for a given vector space V commutes with filtered colimits of k -vector spaces. Consequently,

$$\varinjlim_{N\in\mathcal{X}(M)} \Delta_N : \varinjlim_{N\in\mathcal{X}(M)} N \rightarrow \varinjlim_{N\in\mathcal{X}(M)} (N \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})) = (\varinjlim_{N\in\mathcal{X}(M)} N) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$$

factors through $\varinjlim_{N\in\mathcal{X}(M)} N \rightarrow (\varinjlim_{N\in\mathcal{X}(M)} N) \otimes X$. In other words, M_X is an X -comodule, the largest X -comodule contained in M . \square

Theorem 1.4 introduces the functor $(-)_X : Mod(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow Mod(\mathbb{G}, X)$ right adjoint to the natural embedding.

Theorem 1.4. *Let \mathbb{G} be an affine group scheme of finite type over k and let $i_X : X \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ be a subspace. Denote by*

$$i_{X*} : Mod(\mathbb{G}, X) \hookrightarrow Mod(\mathbb{G})$$

the full subcategory of $Mod(\mathbb{G})$ whose objects are X -comodules.

- (1) *$Mod(\mathbb{G}, X)$ is an abelian subcategory which is closed under filtering colimits.*
- (2) *Sending a \mathbb{G} -module M to the \mathbb{G} -submodule M_X of M as in (1.3.1) determines a functor*

$$(-)_X : Mod(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow Mod(\mathbb{G}, X).$$

- (3) *$(-)_X$ is left exact and is right adjoint to the embedding functor $i_{X*} : Mod(\mathbb{G}, X) \rightarrow Mod(\mathbb{G})$.*

Proof. The fact that $Mod(\mathbb{G}, X)$ is an abelian subcategory of $Mod(\mathbb{G})$ follows directly from Lemma 1.2. To prove that $Mod(\mathbb{G}, X)$ is closed under colimits indexed by a filtering category I , observe that the natural map $\varinjlim_i (M_i \otimes X) \rightarrow \varinjlim_i (M_i) \otimes X$ is an isomorphism. Thus, if each M_i is an X -comodule, so is $\varinjlim_i (M_i)$.

To prove functoriality of $(-)_X$, observe that if $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a map in $Mod(\mathbb{G})$ then $f(M_X) \subset N$ is contained in N_X by Lemma 1.2(2) and the equality $N_X = \bigcup_{N' \in \mathcal{X}_M} N' \subset N$ of (1.3.1). This equality also shows that $(-)_X$ is left exact.

Functoriality together with (1.3.1) determines the natural inclusion

$$Hom_{Mod(\mathbb{G})}(i_{X*}(M), N) \hookrightarrow Hom_{Mod(\mathbb{G}, X)}(M, N_X)$$

inverse to the inclusion $Hom_{Mod(\mathbb{G}, X)}(M, N_X) \hookrightarrow Hom_{Mod(\mathbb{G})}(i_{X*}(M), N)$ and thus a bijection. This is the natural isomorphism of the asserted adjunction. \square

Perhaps the simplest example of the functor $(-)_X : Mod(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow Mod(\mathbb{G}, X)$ is the case in which $X = k \cdot 1$, the span of $1 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$. In this case, $(-)_X = H^0(\mathbb{G}, -)$. Observe that $H^0(\mathbb{G}, -)$ is left exact for any \mathbb{G} , but is not always exact.

Remark 1.5. The full abelian subcategory $Mod(\mathbb{G}, X) \hookrightarrow Mod(\mathbb{G})$ is typically not closed under extensions. For example, if $Ext_{\mathbb{G}}^1(k, k) \neq 0$, then $Mod(\mathbb{G}, k \cdot 1)$ is not closed under extensions.

Corollary 1.6. *Retain the hypotheses and notation of Theorem 1.4.*

- (1) *If I is an injective \mathbb{G} -module, then I_X is an injective object of $Mod(\mathbb{G}, X)$.*
- (2) *Similarly, if J is an injective object of $Mod(\mathbb{G}, X)$ and $Y \subset X$ is a subspace, then J_Y is an injective object of $Mod(\mathbb{G}, Y)$.*

- (3) If M is an X -comodule with a given embedding $M \hookrightarrow I$ into an injective \mathbb{G} -module, then $M \hookrightarrow I_X$ in $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}, X)$ is an embedding of M in an injective object of $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}, X)$.

In particular, the abelian category $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}, X)$ has “enough injectives”.

Proof. If I is an injective \mathbb{G} -module, then the fact that $(-)_X$ has a left adjoint (namely, $i_{X^*}(-)$) which is left exact implies that I_X is an injective object of $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}, X)$. To prove (2), observe that the embedding $i_{X, Y, *}: \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}, Y) \hookrightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}, X)$ is left adjoint to the restriction of $(-)_Y$ to $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}, X)$ so that the argument using the existence of a left exact left adjoint applies to prove (2). Assertion (3) follows from (1) together with the left exactness of $(-)_X$ and the fact that $(-)_X$ restricts to the identity on $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}, X)$. \square

We say a sequence of subspaces $\{X_i\}$ of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ indexed by the non-negative numbers $i \geq 0$ is an *ascending, converging sequence of subspaces* of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ if $X_i \subset X_{i+1}$ for all $i \geq 0$ and if $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} X_i = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$.

Proposition 1.7. *Let \mathbb{G} be an affine group scheme of finite type over k and let $\{X_i\}$ be an ascending, converging sequence of subspaces of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$. Sending a \mathbb{G} -module M to the sequence of \mathbb{G} -submodules*

$$(1.7.1) \quad M_{X_0} \subset M_{X_1} \subset M_{X_2} \subset \cdots \subset \bigcup_{i \geq 0} M_{X_i} = M$$

is a filtration of M , functorial in M , with the property that each M_{X_i} is an X_i -comodule. We say that $\{M_{X_i}\}$ is an ascending, converging sequence of \mathbb{G} -submodules of M .

If M is a finite dimensional \mathbb{G} -module, then M is an X_i -comodule for all i sufficiently large.

Proof. If the \mathbb{G} -module M is finite dimensional, then $\Delta_M: M \rightarrow M \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ must have image in some finite dimensional subspace of $M \otimes X$ and thus must have image contained in $M \otimes X_i$ for i sufficiently large. If M is an arbitrary \mathbb{G} -module, then M is locally finite so that every $m \in M$ lies in some finite dimensional \mathbb{G} -submodule $M' \subset M$ and thus must be contained in some M_{X_i} as required. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of the functoriality of the filtration $M \mapsto \{M_{X_i}\}$ and the fact that $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} M_{X_i} = M$ for any \mathbb{G} -module M .

Corollary 1.8. *For any ascending, converging sequence $\{X_i\}$ of subspaces of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ a map $\phi: M \rightarrow N$ of \mathbb{G} -modules is an isomorphism if and only if $(\phi)_{X_i}: M_{X_i} \rightarrow N_{X_i}$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{G} -modules for all i .*

We argue exactly as in the proof of [9, Prop 4.2] to conclude the following detection of rational injectivity of a \mathbb{G} -module.

Proposition 1.9. *Consider an affine group scheme \mathbb{G} of finite type over k and let $\{X_i\}$ be an ascending, converging sequence of subspaces of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$. Then a \mathbb{G} -module L is injective if and only if L_{X_i} is an injective object of $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}, X_i)$ for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. Granted Corollary 1.6, it suffices to show that if a \mathbb{G} -module L has the property that $L_{X_i} \subset L$ is an injective object of $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}, X_i)$ for all $i \geq 0$, then

L is an injective \mathbb{G} -module. Let $M' \hookrightarrow M$ be an inclusion of G -modules and consider a map $f' : M' \rightarrow L$ of \mathbb{G} -modules. We inductively construct an extension $f_d : M_{X_d} \rightarrow L_{X_d}$ of $f'_d : M'_{X_d} \rightarrow L_{X_d}$, the restriction of f' to M'_{X_d} . Choose $f_d : M_{X_d} \rightarrow L_{X_d}$ extending $f'_d + f_{d-1} : (M')_{X_d} + M_{X_{d-1}} \rightarrow L_{X_d}$ using the injectivity of L_{X_d} as an object of $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}, X_d)$ (and taking $M_{X_{-1}} = 0$). We define $f : M \rightarrow L$ to be $\varinjlim_d f_d : M_{X_d} \rightarrow L_{X_d}$, thereby extending f' . \square

We proceed to give in Proposition 1.11 a simple fix for the “filtration by exponential degree” of a \mathbb{G} -module M for a linear algebraic group \mathbb{G} of exponential type as formulated in [9]. Our modification provides the coarsest filtration which is a filtration by \mathbb{G} -submodules subordinate to that of [9].

We recall the definition of a linear algebraic group \mathbb{G} of exponential type, a class of linear algebraic groups for which there is a somewhat explicit geometric description of the support varieties of its representations. Let $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the p -nilpotent cone of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(\mathbb{G})$. Thus, $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is the reduced affine variety whose set of K -points is identified with the elements $X \in \mathfrak{g}_K$ such that $X^{[p]} = 0$. We utilize the notation $\mathcal{C}_r(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}))$ to denote the commuting variety of r -tuples of pair-wise commuting, p -nilpotent elements of \mathfrak{g} . The condition of Definition 1.10(5) for a linear algebraic group \mathbb{G} requires that this affine variety has the same K -points as the scheme $V(\mathbb{G}_{(r)})$ introduced in [26] which represents the functor of 1-parameter subgroups of the infinitesimal group scheme $\mathbb{G}_{(r)}$.

Definition 1.10. [8, Defn1.6] Let \mathbb{G} be a linear algebraic group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . A structure of exponential type on \mathbb{G} is a \mathbb{G} -equivariant morphism of k -schemes (with respect to adjoint actions)

$$(1.10.1) \quad \mathcal{E} : \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}, \quad (B, s) \mapsto \mathcal{E}_B(s)$$

satisfying the following conditions for all field extensions K/k :

- (1) For each $B \in \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})(K)$, $\mathcal{E}_B : \mathbb{G}_{a,K} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_K$ is a 1-parameter subgroup.
- (2) For any pair of commuting p -nilpotent elements $B, B' \in \mathfrak{g}_K$, the maps $\mathcal{E}_B, \mathcal{E}_{B'} : \mathbb{G}_{a,K} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_K$ commute.
- (3) For any $\alpha \in K$, and any $s \in \mathbb{G}_a(K)$, $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha \cdot B}(s) = \mathcal{E}_B(\alpha \cdot s)$.
- (4) Every 1-parameter subgroup $\psi : \mathbb{G}_{a,K} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_K$ is of the form

$$\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} \equiv \prod_{s=0}^{r-1} (\mathcal{E}_{B_s} \circ F^s)$$

for some $r > 0$, some $\underline{B} \in \mathcal{C}_r(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}_K))$.

- (5) The natural map $\mathcal{C}_r(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow V(\mathbb{G}_{(r)})$ induces a bijection on K -points sending \underline{B} to the infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{G}_{a(r),K} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{(r),K}$ which factors $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} \circ i_r : \mathbb{G}_{a(r),K} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a,K} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_K$.

Various examples of \mathbb{G} of exponential type are considered in [25]; these include simple classical groups, their standard parabolic subgroups, and the unipotent radicals of these parabolic subgroups.

Proposition 1.11. ([8, Defn 4.5]) Let $(\mathbb{G}, \mathcal{E})$ be a linear algebraic group of exponential type. We define $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})_d \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ to be the subspace

$$(1.11.1) \quad \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})_d \equiv \mathcal{E}^{*-1}(k[\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})][t]_{\leq d}) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$$

where $k[\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})][t]_{\leq d} \subset k[\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathbb{G}_a]$ is the subspace of polynomials in $k[\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})][t]$ of degree $\leq d$. So defined, $\{M_{\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})_d}\}$ is the coarsest filtration of M by \mathbb{G} -modules subordinate to the “filtration by exponential degree” of [9].

Moreover, $M_{\mathcal{E}(d)} \otimes N_{\mathcal{E}(e)} \subset (M \otimes N)_{\mathcal{E}(d+e)}$ for every pair of \mathbb{G} -modules M, N .

Proof. The “filtration by exponential degree” of [9, Defn 3.10] associates to the \mathbb{G} -module M and a positive integer d the subspace $M_{[d]} \subset M$ consisting of elements $m \in M$ with the property that $\Delta_M(m) \in M \otimes \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})_d$. By Proposition 1.3, $M_{\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})_d}$ is the largest \mathbb{G} -submodule of M such that $M_{\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})_d} \subset M_{[d]}$.

The second statement follows easily from the observation the coaction map $\Delta_{M \otimes N} : M \otimes N \rightarrow (M \otimes N) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ arises by composing $\Delta_M \otimes \Delta_N$ with the product map $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$. \square

In the following proposition, $\mathcal{E}_B : \mathbb{G}_{a,K} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_K$ is the exponential map determined by a K -point B of $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})$ (for some field extension K/k) and the exponential structure $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{N}_p(\mathbb{G}) \times \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$. For any $s \geq 0$, $u_s : k[t] \rightarrow k$ is the k -linear map sending t^i to 0 if $i \neq p^s$ and sending t^{p^s} to 1. We denote by $(\mathcal{E}_B)_*(u_s) : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_K) \rightarrow K$ the K -linear map given by the composition $u_s \circ (\mathcal{E}_B)^* : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_K) \rightarrow K[t] \rightarrow K$.

We utilize the (“ π -point”) support variety $M \mapsto \Pi(\mathbb{G})_M$ of [11] extending the construction for finite group schemes given in [13].

We justify saying that $\Pi(\mathbb{G})_M$ is the “inverse image under the projection” of $\Pi(\mathbb{G}_{(r)})_{M|_{\mathbb{G}_{(r)}}$ by recalling that $\Pi(\mathbb{G})$ (respectively, $\Pi(\mathbb{G}_r)$) for \mathbb{G} of exponential type can be identified with the projectivization of $\varinjlim_s \mathcal{C}_s(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}))$ (resp., $\mathcal{C}_r(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}))$) and that there is a natural projection $\varinjlim_s \mathcal{C}_s(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_r(\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}))$.

Proposition 1.12. *Let $(\mathbb{G}, \mathcal{E})$ be a linear algebraic group of exponential type and let M be a \mathbb{G} -module with the property that the coaction $\Delta_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ factors through $M \otimes \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})_{p^{r-1}} \hookrightarrow M \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$; in other words, assume that $M = M_{\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})_{p^{r-1}}}$. Then, for any K -point B of $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})$, $(\mathcal{E}_B)_*(u_s)$ acts trivially on M_K provided that $s \geq r$.*

Consequently, if $M = M_{\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})_{p^{r-1}}}$, then the support variety $\Pi(\mathbb{G})_M$ of M is the “inverse image under the projection” of $\Pi(\mathbb{G}_{(r)})_{M|_{\mathbb{G}_{(r)}}$ (containing the center of the “projection” $\Pi(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \Pi(\mathbb{G}_{(r)})$, where $M|_{\mathbb{G}_{(r)}}$ denotes the restriction of M to the Frobenius kernel $\mathbb{G}_{(r)} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}$.

Proof. The proposition follows immediately from Proposition 1.11 and [9, Prop 3.17]. \square

2. FINITE DIMENSIONAL SUBCOALGEBRAS OF $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$

We begin with a proposition which indicates some of the advantages of specializing the discussion of $(-)_X : \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}) \mapsto \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}, X)$ in Section 1 by requiring $X \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ to be a subcoalgebra.

Proposition 2.1. *Let \mathbb{G} be an affine group scheme and let $C \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ be a subcoalgebra; thus, C is a subspace of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ with the property that the coproduct $\Delta : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ restricts to $C \rightarrow C \otimes C$.*

- (1) *The full abelian subcategory $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}, C)$ of $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G})$ (as in Definition 1.1) is naturally identified with the category of comodules for C , $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}, C) \simeq \text{CoMod}(C)$.*

- (2) For any \mathbb{G} -module M , the \mathbb{G} -submodule $M_C \subset M$ (as in Proposition 1.3) has underlying vector space $\{m \in M : \Delta_M(m) \in M \otimes C\} \subset M$.
- (3) If C contains $1 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$, then $(k[\mathbb{G}]^R)_C = C$.

Proof. The identification $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}, C) \simeq \text{CoMod}(C)$ follows immediately from the definitions of these categories.

Denote the subspace $\{m \in M : \Delta_M(m) \in M \otimes C\} \subset M$ by $M'_C \subset M$. We choose a basis $\{f_s\}$ of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ with the property that $\{f_s\} \cap C$ is a basis for C . The definition of M_C immediately implies that $M_C \subset M'_C$. To prove assertion (2), consider an arbitrary element $m \in M'_C$ and write $\Delta(m) = \sum m_i \otimes f_i$ with each $f_i \in \{f_s\} \cap C$. As argued in [18, I.2.13], it suffices to show that each m_i satisfies $\Delta_M(m_i) \in M \otimes C$ (i.e., is an element of M'_C).

Write $\Delta(m_i) = \sum m_{i,j} \otimes f_{i,j} \in M \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ with each $f_{i,j}$ in $\{f_s\}$ and $f_{i,j} \neq f_{i,j'}$ whenever $j \neq j'$. Then

$$\Delta(\Delta(m)) = \sum_i (\sum m_{i,j} \otimes f_{i,j} \otimes f_i) = \sum_i m_i \otimes \Delta(f_i) = \sum_i \sum m_i \otimes f_{i,k} \otimes f_{i'_k}.$$

Here, $\Delta(f_i) = \sum f_{i,k} \otimes f_{i'_k}$. Comparing terms in $M \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \langle f_i \rangle$ where $\langle f_i \rangle$ is the 1-dimensional span of f_i , we conclude that $\sum_i m_{i,j} \otimes f_{i,j} = \sum_{\ell,k: f_{i'_k}=f_i} a_{\ell} m_{\ell} \otimes f_{\ell,k}$ for a suitable choice of elements $a_{\ell} \in k$. Since each $f_{\ell,k}$ is in C because each f_i is in the coalgebra C , we conclude that each $f_{i,j} \in C$ as required to show that m_i is an element of M'_C .

To prove assertion (3), we must show $C \subset (k[\mathbb{G}]^R)_C$. Consider a basis for $\{f_s\}$ for $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ which includes $1 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$. Let I be the augmentation ideal of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$. Consider an arbitrary element $f \in I$. As in [18, I.2.4], $\Delta(f) - (f \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f) \in I \otimes I$. Thus, $\Delta(f) = 1 \otimes f + f \otimes 1 + \sum c_{s,s'} f_s \otimes f_{s'}$ with $c_{s,s'} = 0$ if either f_s or $f_{s'}$ equals 1 and with each $f_{s'} \in C$. Consequently, if $f \in C \cap I$ and $1 \in C$, then

$$\Delta(f) = 1 \otimes f - f \otimes 1 - \sum c_{s,s'} f_s \otimes f_{s'} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C,$$

so that $f \in (k[\mathbb{G}]^R)_C$. \square

We utilize the constructions of Definition 2.2 below to construct the subalgebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X \rangle} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ “generated” by a subspace $X \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$.

Definition 2.2. Let \mathbb{G} be an affine group scheme and $X \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ be a subspace. Following [18], we denote by $k\mathbb{G} \cdot X$ the smallest \mathbb{G} -submodule of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ containing X equipped with the right regular representation (i.e., $k[\mathbb{G}]^R$); thus, $\Delta : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ restricts to $k\mathbb{G} \cdot X \rightarrow k\mathbb{G} \cdot X \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$.

Similarly, we consider the right regular representation of \mathbb{G}^{op} on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}^{op})$, so that $(g, f(x)) \in \mathbb{G}^{op} \times \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ maps to $f(gx) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$; this is given by the coproduct $\tau \circ \Delta : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ where τ switches tensor factors. For any subspace $Y \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$, we denote by $k\mathbb{G}^{op} \cdot Y$ the smallest \mathbb{G}^{op} -submodule of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ containing Y .

The following theorem, called the “Fundamental Theorem of Coalgebras” in [28] and the “Finiteness Theorem” in [21] (when stated for arbitrary coalgebras) has the following appealing form when specialized to the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let \mathbb{G} be an affine group scheme and $X \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ be a subspace. Then there is a smallest subcoalgebra of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ containing X , $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X \rangle} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$, given by*

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X \rangle} \equiv k\mathbb{G}^{op} \cdot (k\mathbb{G} \cdot X).$$

If X is finite dimensional, then $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X \rangle}$ is also finite dimensional.

Consequently, for any ascending, converging sequence $\{X_i\}$ of finite dimensional subspaces of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$, there is a smallest ascending, converging sequence $\{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X_i \rangle}\}$ of finite dimensional subcoalgebras of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ satisfying the condition that $X_i \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X_i \rangle}$.

Proof. Observe that $(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X \rangle}) \cap (\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X \rangle} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}))$ equals $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X \rangle} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X \rangle}$. Thus, to show that $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X \rangle} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ is a subcoalgebra, it suffices to prove that $\Delta : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ restricts to

$$(2.3.1) \quad \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X \rangle} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X \rangle}, \quad \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X \rangle} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X \rangle} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}).$$

The second restriction of (2.3.1) follows from the definition of $G^{op}(-)$ acting on $k\mathbb{G} \cdot X$ via the restriction of its right regular action of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$. Since the right regular actions of \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{G}^{op} on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ commute, $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X \rangle} \equiv k\mathbb{G} \cdot (k\mathbb{G}^{op} \cdot X)$. This, together with the definition of $k\mathbb{G} \cdot (-)$ applied to $k\mathbb{G}^{op} \cdot X$, implies the first restriction of (2.3.1)

The second assertion of the proposition follows immediately from the fact that if X and Y are finite dimensional vector subspaces of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$, then both $k\mathbb{G} \cdot X$ and $k\mathbb{G}^{op} \cdot Y$ are finite dimensional. (See [18, I.2.13].) \square

In the following proposition, we relate full subcategories of $Mod(\mathbb{G})$ to subcoalgebras $CoMod(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X \rangle})$ of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$.

Corollary 2.4. *Consider an affine group scheme \mathbb{G} and a full subcategory $\mathcal{M} \subset Mod(\mathbb{G})$. Let $X_{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ be the smallest subspace $X \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ such that $M \in Mod(\mathbb{G}, X)$ for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X_{\mathcal{M}} \rangle}$ is the smallest subcoalgebra $C \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ with the property that $\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow CoMod(C)$.*

Proof. Assume that $C \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ is a subcoalgebra such that $\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow Mod(\mathbb{G}, C) = CoMod(C)$. The defining property of $X_{\mathcal{M}}$ implies that $X_{\mathcal{M}} \subset C$. Consequently, the defining property of $X \mapsto \langle X \rangle$ given in Proposition 2.3 tells us that $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X_{\mathcal{M}} \rangle} \subset C$. \square

The tensor product of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ -comodules involves the product structure $\mu : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ induced by the diagonal $diag : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G}$. Thus, unless the subcoalgebra $C \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ is also a subalgebra, this tensor product does not induce a tensor product structure on $CoMod(C)$.

The following suggests a useful condition on ascending, converging sequences of subcoalgebras of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let \mathbb{G} be an affine group scheme. Let $X, Y \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ be subspaces and define $X \cdot Y \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ to be the subspace spanned by products $x \cdot y$ with $x \in X, y \in Y$. Consider two \mathbb{G} -modules M and N .*

- (1) *The $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ -module $M_X \otimes M_Y$ is contained in $(M \otimes N)_{X \cdot Y}$*
- (2) *For subcoalgebras C, C', C'' such that the multiplication map $\mu : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ restricts to $C \otimes C' \rightarrow C''$, there is a natural map of \mathbb{G} -modules $M_C \otimes N_{C'} \rightarrow (M \otimes N)_{C''}$.*

Proof. Assertion (1) follows directly from the fact that the coaction of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ on $M \otimes N$ is given by the composition of the binary operation of multiplication μ on the algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ and the tensor product $\Delta_M \otimes \Delta_N$ of the coactions of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ on M and N :

$$(2.5.1) \quad \mu \circ (\Delta_M \otimes \Delta_N) : M \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes N \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow M \otimes N \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}).$$

Assertion (2) is a special case of assertion (1). \square

Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N})$ be the bialgebra given as the coordinate algebra of the affine variety of $N \times N$ matrices with monoid structure given by matrix multiplication. For any $d \geq 0$, we consider the subspace $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N})_{\leq d} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N})$ consisting of polynomials in $\{x_{i,j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq N\}$ of total degree $\leq d$. Since $\Delta_{\mathbb{M}_{N,N}}(x_{i,j}) = \sum_s x_{i,s} \otimes x_{s,j}$, $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N})_{\leq d} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N})$ is a subcoalgebra.

Equip the coordinate algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_m) = k[t, t^{-1}]$ of the linear algebraic group \mathbb{G}_m with the filtration $\{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_m)_{\leq d}\}$, where $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_m)_{\leq d} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ is the subspace spanned by $\{t^i, N \cdot |i| \leq d\}$; this is a subcoalgebra of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_m)$.

We shall utilize the following elementary lemma. Its proof is a diagram chase involving the square of maps of (2.6.1) mapping via coproducts to the similar square involving tensor squares.

Lemma 2.6. *Consider a surjective map $\phi : C \twoheadrightarrow C'$ of coalgebras and an injective map $j : D \hookrightarrow C$ of coalgebras, and denote by \overline{D} the subspace $\overline{j} : (\phi \circ j)(D) \subset C'$. Then the coproduct $\Delta_D : D \rightarrow D \otimes D$ induces a coproduct $\Delta_{\overline{D}} : \overline{D} \rightarrow \overline{D} \otimes \overline{D}$ which equals the restriction of $\Delta_{C'} : C' \rightarrow C' \otimes C'$. In other words, ϕ and j determine a commutative square of coalgebras*

$$(2.6.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{\overline{(-)}} & \overline{D} \\ j \downarrow \hookrightarrow & & \hookrightarrow \downarrow \overline{j} \\ C & \xrightarrow{\phi} & C'. \end{array}$$

Proof. For $d \in D$, write $\Delta_D(d) = \sum d_i \otimes d'_i$, so that $\Delta_C(j(d)) = \sum j(d_i) \otimes j(d'_i)$ and

$$\Delta_{C'}(\phi(j(d))) = \sum \phi(j(d_i)) \otimes \phi(j(d'_i)) = \sum \overline{j}(\overline{d}_i) \otimes \overline{j}(\overline{d}'_i) \in C' \otimes C'.$$

Thus, $\Delta_{\overline{D}}(\overline{d}) \equiv \sum \overline{d}_i \otimes \overline{d}'_i \in \overline{D} \otimes \overline{D}$ is well defined since \overline{j} is injective. \square

We apply the above lemma in the special case of the surjective map of coalgebras $\eta^* : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_m) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}(GL_N)$ and the subcoalgebra $(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_m))_{\leq d} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_m)$.

Definition 2.7. Consider the closed immersion of monoid schemes

$$(2.7.1) \quad \eta : GL_N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{M}_{N,N} \times \mathbb{G}_m, \quad A \mapsto (A, \det(A)^{-1}),$$

identifying GL_N as the zero locus of the function $\det(\underline{x}) \otimes t^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N} \times \mathbb{G}_m)$, where

$$(2.7.2) \quad \det(\underline{x}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N} (-1)^{sgn(\sigma)} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} x_{i, \sigma(i)}.$$

Using Lemma 2.6, we conclude that

$$(2.7.3) \quad \mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d} \equiv \eta^*((\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_m))_{\leq d})$$

is a finite dimensional subcoalgebra of $\mathcal{O}(GL_N)$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $(\mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d})^c$ denote the complement of $\mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d-1}$ in $\mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d}$.*

- (1) *For any non-zero element $f \in \mathcal{O}(GL_N)$, there is uniquely associated $d(f) \geq 0$ such that $f \in (\mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d(f)})^c$.*
- (2) *For any $f \in (\mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d})^c$, let e be the minimal non-negative integer such that $f \cdot \det(\underline{x})^e \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N})$. Then $d(f) = d' + e \cdot N$, where d' is the minimal non-negative integer such that $f \cdot \det(\underline{x})^e \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N})_{\leq d'}$.*
- (3) *The function sending d to $\dim(\mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d})$ for a fixed N differs from the function $d \mapsto \frac{d^{N^2}}{(N^2)!}$ by a function bounded by a polynomial in d of degree less than N^2 .*
- (4) *For any $d, e \geq 0$, multiplication in $\mathcal{O}(GL_N)$ restricts to a map*

$$\mu : \mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d} \otimes \mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq e} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d+e}.$$

Proof. The proof of assertion (1) follows from the observation that $\{\mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d}\}$ is an ascending, converging sequence of subspaces (in fact, of subcoalgebras). Assertion (2) follows from the fact that $\mathcal{O}(GL_N)$ is the localization of the unique factorization domain $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N})$ obtained by inverting $\det(\underline{x})$.

We identify the underlying vector space of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N \times N})_{\leq d}$ with the space of polynomials of total degree $\leq d$ in the polynomial algebra $k[x_{i,j}]$ in N^2 variables. Using induction, one easily verifies that $\dim(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N \times N})_{\leq d}) = \binom{d+N^2}{N^2}$, which is a polynomial in d of degree N^2 with leading term $((N^2)!)^{-1}$. Assertion (2) implies that there is a surjective map

$$(2.8.1) \quad \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\lfloor d/N \rfloor} \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N})_{\leq d-iN} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d}$$

given by sending f in the summand $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N})_{\leq d-iN}$ to $f \cdot \det(\underline{x}_{i,j})^{-i}$. There is also an evident injective map $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N})_{\leq d} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d}$, so that

$$(2.8.2) \quad \binom{d+N^2}{N^2} \leq \dim(\mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d}) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor d/N \rfloor} \binom{d-iN+N^2}{N^2}.$$

This readily asserts (3).

Observe that multiplication restricts to

$$(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_m))_{\leq d} \otimes (\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_m))_{\leq e} \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_m))_{\leq d+e}.$$

Granted the definition of $\mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d}$ in (2.7.3), this immediately implies assertion (4). \square

Justified by Lemma 2.6, we introduce the ascending, converging sequence of subcoalgebras which we shall primarily use.

Definition 2.9. Consider an affine group scheme \mathbb{G} equipped with a closed embedding $\phi : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_N$ for some N . We define the ascending, converging filtration

$\{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}\}$ of finite dimensional subcoalgebras of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ by setting $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}$ equal to $\phi^*(\mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d}) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$.

For any $d, e \geq 0$, multiplication in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ restricts to a map

$$(2.9.1) \quad \mu : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq e, \phi} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d+e, \phi}.$$

Example 2.10. Consider the closed embedding $\phi : SL_N \hookrightarrow GL_N$ of matrices of determinant 1. Then $\mathcal{O}(SL_N)$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{O}(GL_N)$ by the principal ideal $(\det(\underline{x}) - 1)$. Thus, $\det(\underline{x}), (\det(\underline{x}))^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq N}$ both map to 1 in $\mathcal{O}(SL_N)_{\leq 0, \phi}$, whereas the coordinate functions $x_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq 1}$ remain coordinate functions of filtration degree 1 for $\mathcal{O}(SL_N)$.

For various unipotent linear algebraic groups $\phi : \mathbb{U} \hookrightarrow GL_N$, we give a familiar description of $\{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U})_{\leq d, \phi}\}$.

Example 2.11. [9, Ex 2.5] Let $\phi : \mathbb{U}_N \hookrightarrow GL_N$ be the unipotent radical of the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices of GL_N . Then $\phi^* : \mathcal{O}(GL_N) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_N) \simeq k[y_{i,j}; i < j]$ is given by $x_{i,j} \mapsto y_{i,j}$, $i < j$, $x_{i,i} \mapsto 1$, and $x_{i,j} \mapsto 0$, $i > j$. The coproduct on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_N) \simeq k[y_{i,j}; i < j]$ is given by

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{U}_N}(y_{i,j}) = (y_{i,j} \otimes 1) + \left(\sum_{i < t < j} (y_i \otimes y_t + y_t \otimes y_j) \right) + (1 \otimes y_{i,j}).$$

We identify the subcoalgebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_N)_{\leq d, \phi} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_N)$ with the subspace of $k[y_{i,j}; i < j]$ consisting of polynomials of total degree $\leq d$ and with coproduct the restriction of $\Delta_{\mathbb{U}_N}$ as above. Thus,

$$\dim(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_N)_{\leq d, \phi}) = \binom{N' + d}{N'}, \quad N' = \frac{N^2 - N}{2}$$

is a polynomial of degree N' with leading coefficient $1/(N')!$.

The above discussion for $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_N)_{\leq d, \phi} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_N)$ applies (with minor modification) to $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U})_{\leq d, \phi} \subset \mathcal{O}(GL_N)$ whenever $\mathbb{U} \hookrightarrow GL_N$ is the unipotent radical of a parabolic subgroup of GL_N (defined and split over \mathbb{F}_p).

We show that changing the embedding $\phi : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_N$ has limited effect upon the associated ascending, converging sequences $\{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}\}$.

Proposition 2.12. *Let \mathbb{G} be an affine group scheme and consider two closed embeddings $\phi : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_N$, $\phi' : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_{N'}$. There exist positive numbers c, c' such that*

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq c \cdot d, \phi'}, \quad \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi'} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq c' \cdot d, \phi}$$

for all $d \geq 0$.

Proof. We define

$$(2.12.1) \quad c = \min\{e : \phi^*(\mathcal{O}(M_{N,N})_{\leq 1}) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq e, \phi'}, \phi^*(\det(x_{i,j})) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq e, \phi'}\}.$$

Applying (2.8.1), we conclude that $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq cd, \phi'}$. We similarly define c' such that $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi'} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq c'd, \phi}$. \square

3. FILTERING \mathbb{G} -MODULES USING SUBCOALGEBRAS OF $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$

We explicitly formulate the filtration by \mathbb{G} -submodules on a \mathbb{G} -module M given by the ascending, converging sequences $\{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}\}$ of subcoalgebras of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ given in Definition 2.9.

Definition 3.1. Consider an affine group scheme \mathbb{G} equipped with a closed embedding $\phi : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_N$ for some N . For any \mathbb{G} -module M , we denote by $M_{\leq d, \phi} \subset M$ the largest $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}$ -subcomodule of M . The ascending, converging sequence $\{M_{\leq d, \phi}\}$ of \mathbb{G} -submodules of M equals the filtration of M given in Proposition 1.7 for $\{X_i\}$ equal to $\{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}\}$. In particular, by Proposition 2.1(3), $(k[\mathbb{G}]^R)_{\leq d, \phi}$ equals $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}$ as \mathbb{G} -modules.

If ϕ is the identity and M is a GL_N -module, then we use $M_{\leq d}$ rather than $M_{\leq d, id}$.

Remark 3.2. We shall be considering the filtration of Definition 2.9 for this seems somewhat accessible to computations. However, one could consider other ascending, converging sequences of finite dimensional subcoalgebras such as $\{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\langle X_i \rangle}\}$ determined by an ascending, converging sequence of finite dimensional subspaces $\{X_i\}$ of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$.

Recall the Schur algebra $S(N, d)$, the dual of the subcoalgebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N, N}; d) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N, N})$ consisting of polynomials in the matrix coefficients $x_{i, j}$ which are homogeneous of degree d . A module for $S(N, d)$ (equivalently, a comodule for $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N, N}; d)$) is called a polynomial representation of GL_N homogeneous of degree d .

Example 3.3. Let M be a homogeneous polynomial representation of GL_N of degree d . Then $M_{\leq s}$ equals 0 if $s < d$ whereas $M_{\leq s} = M$ if $s \geq d$.

More generally, let $\phi : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_N$ be a closed embedding of a linear algebraic group \mathbb{G} with the property that $A(\mathbb{G}) \equiv \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N, N})$ can be written as a direct sum $\bigoplus_d A(\mathbb{G})_d$, where $A(\mathbb{G})_d = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N, N})_d$ (for example, the classical orthogonal or symplectic groups). If M is an object of $CoMod(A(\mathbb{G})_d) \subset Mod(\mathbb{G})$, then $M_{\leq s, \phi} = 0$ if $s < d$ and $M_{\leq s, \phi} = M$ if $s \geq d$. See [6, 1.2].

Example 3.4. Give $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a) = k[t]$ the evident filtration by degree (equal to that associated to the embedding of $\phi : \mathbb{G}_a \hookrightarrow GL_2$ as the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup). The subcoalgebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^r - 1, \phi} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)$ is isomorphic as a coalgebra to the coordinate algebra of $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$; thus, the abelian category $CoMod(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^r - 1, \phi})$ is isomorphic to $Mod(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)})$ which in turn is isomorphic to the category of modules for the elementary abelian p -group $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^{\times r}$; this category is wild if $r > 2$ or if $p > 2$ and $r = 2$.

To give a vector space M the structure of a \mathbb{G}_a -module is equivalent to giving a sequences of p -nilpotent operators $\psi_i : M \rightarrow M$, $i \geq 0$ which pair-wise commute and which satisfy the condition that for each $m \in M$ there exists some n_m such that $\psi_i(m) = 0$, $i \geq n_m$. For a \mathbb{G}_a -module M , the \mathbb{G} -submodule $M_{\leq p^r - 1, \phi} \subset M$ consists of those $m \in M$ such that $\psi_i(m) = 0$, $i \geq r$.

Proposition 3.5. Let \mathbb{G} be an affine group scheme equipped with the closed embedding $\phi : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_N$ and consider two \mathbb{G} -modules M, M' . Then

$$M_{\leq d, \phi} \otimes M'_{\leq d', \phi} \subset (M \otimes M')_{\leq d+d', \phi}.$$

Proof. Since multiplication $\mu : \mathcal{O}(GL_N) \otimes \mathcal{O}(GL_N) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(GL_N)$ restricts to $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d', \phi} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d+d', \phi}$, the proposition is a consequence of Proposition 2.5(1). \square

Assuming that $\phi : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_N$ is a linear algebraic group defined over \mathbb{F}_{p^r} and that the coaction of the \mathbb{G} -module M is also defined over \mathbb{F}_{p^r} , we next relate $(M^{(r)})_{\leq p^r \cdot d, \phi}$ and $M_{\leq d, \phi}$. The hypothesis that M is a \mathbb{G} -module defined over \mathbb{F}_{p^r} implies that the Frobenius twist $M^{(r)}$ of M as formulated in [14, §1] is given by the restriction of M along $F^r : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ (see [18, I.9.10]).

Proposition 3.6. *Assume that $\phi : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_N$ is a linear algebraic group defined over \mathbb{F}_{p^r} and that M is a \mathbb{G} -module with coaction $\Delta_M : M \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ also defined over \mathbb{F}_{p^r} . Then the r -th Frobenius twist $M^{(r)}$ of M satisfies*

$$(3.6.1) \quad M_{\leq d, \phi} = (M^{(r)})_{\leq p^r \cdot d, \phi}.$$

Proof. Granted our hypotheses, $M^{(r)}$ has coaction

$$\Delta_{M^{(r)}} \simeq (1_M \otimes (F^r)^*) \circ \Delta_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow M \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}).$$

Thus, (3.6.1) follows from the fact that $(F^r)^* : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ sends $f(x_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{O}(GL_N)$ to $f((x_{i,j})^{p^r})$, multiplying the degree of each monomial by p^r . \square

We summarize an alternative construction by J. Jantzen in [18, Chap A]. Jantzen's filtration of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ for \mathbb{G} a reductive algebraic group has many useful properties, some of which are not satisfied by the filtration of Definition 2.9. For $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}_a$ and $X \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)$, Remark 1.5 points out that $Mod(\mathbb{G}_a, X)$ is not closed under extensions and that $R^1(-)_X(k) \neq 0$.

Choose a Borel subgroup $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{G}$ and denote by X_+ denote the set of dominant weights of \mathbb{G} .; choose a subset $\pi \subset X_+$. Jantzen considers the full subcategory $\mathcal{C}_\pi(\mathbb{G}) \subset Mod(\mathbb{G})$ consisting of modules whose objects are colimits of finite dimensional \mathbb{G} -submodules with composition factors of the form $L(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in \pi$. In the special case of GL_N with $\pi \subset X_+$ the set of dominant weights $\pi(N, d) \subset X_+$ in the notation of [18, A.3.1], $\mathcal{C}_\pi(N, d)$ is the category of modules for the Schur algebra $S(N, d)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\pi(N, d)}(GL_N)$ is the coalgebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N, N})_d$.

Jantzen considers the functor $\mathcal{O}_\pi : Mod(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\pi(\mathbb{G})$ in a manner similar to the construction of $(-)_X : Mod(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow Mod(\mathbb{G}, X)$ in Theorem 1.4. The condition that $\pi \subset X_+$ be saturated is the condition that $\mu \in \pi$ whenever there is some $\lambda > \mu \in X_+$ with $\lambda \in \pi$.

Theorem 3.7. *Jantzen, [18, ChapA] As above, let \mathbb{G} be reductive, $\pi \subset X_+$ be saturated, and $\mathcal{C}_\pi(\mathbb{G}) \subset Mod(\mathbb{G})$. Consider the left exact functor $\mathcal{O}_\pi(-) : Mod(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\pi(\mathbb{G})$ right adjoint to the inclusion functor.*

- (1) $\mathcal{O}_\pi(\mathbb{G}) \equiv \mathcal{O}_\pi(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}))$ is a sub-coalgebra of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$.
- (2) If π is finite, then $\mathcal{O}_\pi(\mathbb{G})$ is finite dimensional (with dimension equal to $\sum_{\lambda \in \pi} (\dim H^0(\lambda))^2$).
- (3) If $\{\pi_n\}$ is a nested sequence of finite, saturated subsets of X_+ whose union is all of X_+ , then $\{\mathcal{O}_{\pi_n}(\mathbb{G})\}$ is an ascending, converging sequence of finite sub-coalgebras of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$.
- (4) The abelian category $\mathcal{C}_\pi(\mathbb{G})$ can be naturally identified with the abelian category of $\mathcal{O}_\pi(\mathbb{G})$ -comodules.

- (5) $\mathcal{O}_{\pi(N,d)}(GL_N)$ is the subcoalgebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N})_d \subset \mathcal{O}(GL_N)$.
- (6) $\mathcal{C}_{\pi}(\mathbb{G})$ is closed under extensions.
- (7) The higher right derived functors of \mathcal{O}_{π} , $R^i\mathcal{O}_{\pi} : \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\pi}(\mathbb{G})$ with $i > 0$, vanish on finite dimensional \mathbb{G} -modules in \mathcal{C}_{π} .

We next explore how the functors

$$(-)_{\leq d, \phi} : \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \text{CoMod}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})(-)_{\leq d, \phi}), \quad (-)_{|\mathbb{G}(r)} : \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}(r))$$

complement each other.

Proposition 3.8. *Let \mathbb{G} be a linear algebraic group with given embedding $\phi : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow GL_N$, and let d, r be positive integers. Then the composition of the natural inclusion with restriction to $\mathbb{G}(r)$,*

$$(-)_{|\mathbb{G}(r)} \circ i_{\leq d, \phi} : \text{CoMod}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}) \hookrightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}(r)),$$

is exact and left adjoint to the left exact functor given as the composition

$$(-)_{\leq d, \phi} \circ \text{ind}_{\mathbb{G}(r)}^{\mathbb{G}}(-) : \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}(r)) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \text{CoMod}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}).$$

Proof. The exactness of $(-)_{|\mathbb{G}(r)}$ and of $i_{\leq d, \phi}$ is evident. Since $\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{G}(r) = \mathbb{G}^{(r)}$ is affine, the exactness of $\text{ind}_{\mathbb{G}(r)}^{\mathbb{G}}(-)$ is given by [4]. Thus, the left exactness of $(-)_{\leq d, \phi} \circ \text{ind}_{\mathbb{G}(r)}^{\mathbb{G}}(-)$ is given by Theorem 1.4(3).

The asserted adjunction follows from the adjunction equivalences

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}}(M, (\text{ind}_{\mathbb{G}(r)}^{\mathbb{G}}(N))_{\leq d, \phi}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{G}}(M, \text{ind}_{\mathbb{G}(r)}^{\mathbb{G}}(N)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{G}(r)}(M_{|\mathbb{G}(r)}, N)$$

for any $M \in \text{CoMod}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi})$ and $N \in \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}(r))$. \square

The fact that $(-)_{\leq d, \phi} \circ \text{ind}_{\mathbb{G}(r)}^{\mathbb{G}}(-)$ is right adjoint to a left exact functor formally implies the following corollary.

Corollary 3.9. *The functor $(-)_{\leq d, \phi} \circ \text{ind}_{\mathbb{G}(r)}^{\mathbb{G}}(-) : \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}(r)) \rightarrow \text{CoMod}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi})$ sends injective/projective $\mathbb{G}(r)$ -modules to injective $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}$ -comodules.*

We supplement Proposition 3.8 with another categorical property.

Proposition 3.10. *Adopt the notation and hypotheses of Proposition 3.8. If the composition of inclusion and quotient maps of coalgebras, $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}(r))$ is an inclusion, then $(-)_{|\mathbb{G}(r)} \circ i_{\leq d, \phi} : \text{CoMod}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}(r))$ is a fully faithful embedding of abelian categories.*

Proof. Consider two $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}$ -comodules M, M' and a k -linear map $f : M \rightarrow M'$. This data provides the diagram

$$(3.10.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\Delta_M} & M \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi} \xrightarrow{(-)_{|\mathbb{G}(r)}} M_{|\mathbb{G}(r)} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}(r)) \\ \downarrow f & & \\ M' & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{M'}} & M' \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi} \xrightarrow{(-)_{|\mathbb{G}(r)}} (M')_{|\mathbb{G}(r)} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}(r)). \end{array}$$

Granted that the composition $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}(r))$ is an inclusion, one easily verifies using a simple diagram chase that f is a map of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}$ -comodules if and only if its restriction to $\mathbb{G}(r)$ is a map of $\mathbb{G}(r)$ -modules. \square

4. COFINITE \mathbb{G} -MODULES

In this section, we investigate cofinite \mathbb{G} -modules, a class of (necessarily countable) \mathbb{G} -modules which seem somewhat amenable to study. We restrict our attention to linear algebraic groups although the formalism might be useful for other affine group schemes of countably infinite dimension over k .

Definition 4.1. Let \mathbb{G} be a linear algebraic group. We define a \mathbb{G} -module M to be cofinite if M_X is finite dimensional for every finite dimensional subspace $X \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$. This condition is equivalent to the condition that each M_{X_i} is a finite dimensional \mathbb{G} -module for some ascending, converging sequence $\{X_i\}$ of finite dimensional subspaces of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$.

We denote by $CoFin(\mathbb{G}) \subset Mod(\mathbb{G})$ the full subcategory of cofinite \mathbb{G} -modules.

We establish various properties of cofinite \mathbb{G} -modules. We observe that $k[\mathbb{G}]^R$ is cofinite by Proposition 2.1(3) which asserts that $(k[\mathbb{G}]^R)_C = C$ for any subcoalgebra $C \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ containing $1 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let \mathbb{G} be a linear algebraic group, and let M, E, N be \mathbb{G} -modules.*

- (1) *If M is cofinite, then any \mathbb{G} -submodule of M is also cofinite.*
- (2) *If $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ is exact and if M, N are cofinite, then E is also cofinite.*
- (3) *If M is finite dimensional, then M embeds in an injective \mathbb{G} -module which is also cofinite.*
- (4) *If either M or N is not cofinite, then $M \otimes N$ is not cofinite.*
- (5) *If M is a finite dimensional \mathbb{G} -module, $M \otimes N$ is cofinite if and only if N is cofinite.*

Proof. We recall that the left exactness of $(-)_X$ implies assertions (1) and (2). Assertion (3) is justified by the natural embedding $M \hookrightarrow M \otimes k[\mathbb{G}]^R$ together with the observation that $M \otimes k[\mathbb{G}]^R$ is isomorphic to $M^{tr} \otimes k[\mathbb{G}]^R$.

Choose a closed embedding $\phi : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}$. To prove assertion (4), assume that $M_{\leq d, \phi}, N_{\leq e, \phi}$ are both non-zero and at least one of them is infinite dimensional. Then $(M \otimes N)_{\leq d+e, \phi}$ contains $M_{\leq d, \phi} \otimes N_{\leq e, \phi}$ and thus is infinite dimensional.

To prove assertion (5), we show that

$$(4.2.1) \quad (M \otimes N)_{\leq d, \phi} \subset M \otimes (N_{\leq \eta(d), \phi})$$

for some function $\eta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ (depending upon \mathbb{G} and the finite dimensional module M). Choose a basis $\{m_i, 1 \leq i \leq s\}$ for M , a basis $\{f_\alpha\}$ for $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$, a basis $\{n_\beta\}$ for N , and choose e sufficiently large that $M = M_{\leq e, \phi}$.

Consider a simple tensor $m_j \otimes n_{\beta'} \in (M \otimes N)_{\leq d}$ and write $\Delta(m_j) = \sum_i m_i \otimes f_{\alpha_{i,j}}$ with $f_{\alpha_{i,j}} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq e, \phi}$, $\Delta(n_{\beta'}) = \sum_\beta n_\beta \otimes f_{\alpha_{\beta, \beta'}}$ where each $f_{\alpha_{i,j}}, f_{\alpha_{\beta, \beta'}}$ is a non-zero multiple of some basis element f_α . The condition that $m_j \otimes n_{\beta'}$ is an element in $(M \otimes N)_{\leq d}$ is equivalent to the condition that each product $f_{\alpha_{i,j}} \cdot f_{\alpha_{\beta, \beta'}}$ is an element of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}$. Consequently, a sum of simple tensors $\sum c_{i,\beta} m_i \otimes n_\beta$ is an element of $(M \otimes N)_{\leq d, \phi}$ if and only if each $m_i \otimes n_\beta$ with $c_{i,\beta} \neq 0$ is an element of $(M \otimes N)_{\leq d, \phi}$.

Now, consider $f_{\alpha_{i,j}} \cdot (-) : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$. Since $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ is an integral domain, the pre-image $(f_{\alpha_{i,j}} \cdot (-))^{-1}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi})$ must be finite dimensional and thus lie in

some $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d_{i,j},\phi}$. Thus, (4.2.1) holds if we take $\eta(d)$ to be the maximum of these $d_{i,j}$. \square

We observe that a much simpler proof of Proposition 4.2(5) can be given in the case of GL_N , establishing the more explicit form of (4.2.1):

$$(4.2.2) \quad (M \otimes N)_{\leq d} \subset M \otimes (N_{\leq d+e}), \quad \mathbb{G} = GL_N.$$

In this case, every element of $\mathcal{O}(GL_N)$ is a polynomial in $\det(\underline{x})^{-1}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N})$. This readily implies that if $f_\beta \notin \mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d+e}$ then $f_\alpha \cdot f_\beta \notin \mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d}$ for any $0 \neq f_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq e}$.

We caution the reader that $M \otimes k[\mathbb{G}]^R$ is not cofinite whenever M is infinite dimensional since $(M \otimes k[\mathbb{G}]^R)_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq 0}} = M^{tr}$.

A more pervasive “failing” of the full subcategory $CoFin(\mathbb{G}) \hookrightarrow Mod(\mathbb{G})$ of cofinite \mathbb{G} -modules is that the quotient of a cofinite \mathbb{G} -module need not be cofinite. Thus, $CoFin(\mathbb{G})$ is not an abelian subcategory of $Mod(\mathbb{G})$ even for $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}_a$.

Example 4.3. Consider the \mathbb{G}_a -submodule $Q \subset k[t]^R$ spanned by $\{t^p, p \geq 0\}$. Then Q is cofinite (see Proposition 4.4 below) with $soc(Q) = k$. However, the quotient $Q/soc(Q)$ is the trivial \mathbb{G}_a -module spanned by the images of $\{t^p, p > 0\}$.

In contrast, if \mathbb{G} is reductive and if M is the direct sum $\bigoplus_{i>0} S_{p^i \cdot \lambda}$ for some dominant (positive) weight λ , then M is cofinite but does not have finite dimensional socle.

Proposition 4.4. *For any linear algebraic group \mathbb{G} and any \mathbb{G} -module M , if $soc(M)$ is finite dimensional then M is cofinite.*

If \mathbb{U} is a unipotent linear algebraic group, then a \mathbb{U} -module M is cofinite if and only if $soc(M) = M^{\mathbb{U}}$ is finite dimensional.

Proof. Observe that any \mathbb{G} -module M admits a \mathbb{G} -equivariant embedding into $M^{tr} \otimes k[\mathbb{G}]^R$ (where M^{tr} is the underlying vector space of M with the trivial \mathbb{G} -action). In particular, there is a \mathbb{G} -equivariant embedding $soc(M) \hookrightarrow soc(M) \otimes k[\mathbb{G}]^R$ which extends by the injectivity of $soc(M) \otimes k[\mathbb{G}]^R$ to a map $j_M : M \rightarrow soc(M) \otimes k[\mathbb{G}]^R$. By Proposition 4.2(5), $soc(M) \otimes k[\mathbb{G}]^R$ is cofinite. Since any irreducible submodule of the kernel of j_M must be contained in $soc(M)$ and since j_M restricts to an injection on $soc(M)$, we conclude that j_M is an embedding. Thus, M is cofinite by Proposition 4.2(1).

If \mathbb{U} is unipotent and M is any \mathbb{U} -module, $M^{\mathbb{U}} = soc(M) = M_{\leq 0,\phi}$. Thus, if $soc(M)$ is not finite dimensional, the M is not cofinite. \square

The invariant $\gamma(\mathbb{G}, \phi)_M$ of a cofinite \mathbb{G} -module given in (4.5.1) below is only one of many similar invariants one might define.

Definition 4.5. Let \mathbb{G} be a linear algebraic group equipped with a closed embedding $\phi : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_N$. We say that a cofinite \mathbb{G} -module M has cofinite type $\gamma(\mathbb{G}, \phi)_M$ equal to (e, c) if

$$(4.5.1) \quad \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim(M_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d,\phi}})}{d^e} = c > 0.$$

For such a \mathbb{G} -module M , we say that M has polynomial growth of degree e with leading coefficient c .

Example 4.6. Proposition 2.8(3) tells us that the $\mathcal{O}(GL_N)$ -module $k[GL_N]^R$ has cofinite type $(N^2, ((N^2)!)^{-1})$.

Example 2.11 tells us that the $\mathcal{O}(U_N)$ -module $k[U_N]^R$ has cofinite type $(N', ((N')!)^{-1})$ where $N' = \frac{N^2 - N}{2}$.

The cofinite type of the mock injective \mathbb{G}_a -module J_d of Example 5.11 equals $(1, p^{-d})$ (where $\phi : \mathbb{G}_a \hookrightarrow GL_2$ is the closed embedding of strictly upper triangular matrices).

Example 4.7. The \mathbb{G}_a -submodule $P \equiv \{1, t^{p^i}\} \subset k[\mathbb{G}_a]^R$ of primitive elements satisfies $\dim(P_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^r}}) = r + 1$, so that one could say P has logarithmic growth.

Let V be the natural representation of GL_N of dimension N , a polynomial representation homogeneous of degree 1 (see Example 4.8). Set $M \equiv \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (V^{(n)})^{\oplus n!}$. Then

$$\dim(M_{\leq p^r}) = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq r} N \cdot s!,$$

so that $d \mapsto \dim(M_{\leq d})$ grows faster than any polynomial in d .

Example 4.8. Let P be a polynomial representation of GL_N of dimension n which is homogeneous of degree s and let $M = S^*(P)$ be the symmetric algebra on P viewed as a GL_N -module. Since the coaction of $\mathcal{O}(GL_N)$ on P factors through $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N})$, M is a graded $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}_{N,N})$ -module with $M_{\leq d \cdot s} = S^d(P)$. Thus, $\dim(M_{\leq d}) = \binom{([d/s] + n)}{n}$ (where $[d/s]$ is the largest integer $\leq d/s$), which as a function of d differs from $d \mapsto \frac{d^n}{s^n \cdot n!}$ by an error term of degree (in d) less than $n - 1$.

Thus, M is a cofinite GL_N -module with $\gamma(GL_N)_P = (n, (s^n \cdot n!)^{-1})$.

As we see below, the polynomial growth of a cofinite \mathbb{G} -module M is independent of the choice of closed embedding $\phi : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_N$.

Proposition 4.9. *Let \mathbb{G} be a linear algebraic group and M a cofinite \mathbb{G} -module. Consider two closed embeddings $\phi : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_N$ $\phi' : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_{N'}$. If $\gamma(\mathbb{G}, \phi)_M = (e, c)$ and if $\gamma(\mathbb{G}, \phi')_M = (e', c')$, then $e = e'$.*

In particular (see Definition 3.1), we conclude that the polynomial growth of $d \mapsto \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}$ is independent of the embedding ϕ .

Proof. If $\phi, \psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ are sequences of polynomial growth e, f respectively, then $\phi \circ \psi$ has polynomial growth $e \cdot f$. In particular, given an ascending, converging sequence $n \mapsto \phi(n)$ of polynomial growth e , then a subsequence $n \mapsto \phi(\psi(n))$ with $\psi(n)$ growing linearly in n also has growth e .

Thus, the proposition follows by appealing to Proposition 2.12. \square

We next compute the degree of polynomial growth of the right regular representation $k[\mathbb{G}]^R$ and \mathbb{G} -submodules of the form $k[\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}]^R \subset k[\mathbb{G}]^R$.

Proposition 4.10. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_N$ be a smooth, closed embedding of linear algebraic groups, and let \mathfrak{g} denote the Lie algebra of \mathbb{G} . Then the polynomial growth of $d \mapsto \dim(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi})$ is equal $\dim(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Moreover, consider a closed embedding $\mathbb{H} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ of linear algebraic groups with \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} affine and view $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})$ as the \mathbb{G} -submodule $k[\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}]^R$ of $k[\mathbb{G}]^R$. Then the polynomial growth of $d \mapsto \dim(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})_{\leq d, \phi})$ is equal to $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) - \dim(\mathfrak{h})$.

Proof. Let n denote the dimension of the smooth variety \mathbb{G} and let $I \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ denote the augmentation ideal of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$, equal to the maximal ideal at the identity of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$. Since $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_I$ is a regular local ring of dimension n , the dimension of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})/I^{d+1}$ equals $\binom{n+d}{n}$; thus, $d \mapsto \dim \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})/I^{d+1}$ has polynomial growth of degree n (in the sense of Definition 4.5).

Choose e such that the composition $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq e, \phi} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})/I^2$ is surjective and choose j such that $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq 1, \phi} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})/I^j$ is injective. Then

$$(4.10.1) \quad \dim(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}) \leq \dim(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})/I^{d+j}) \leq \dim(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq e \cdot d \cdot j}).$$

Thus, as in the proof of Proposition 4.9, $d \mapsto \dim(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi})$ has polynomial growth equal to n .

Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})$ denote the maximal ideal at the coset $[\mathbb{H}] \in \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}$. Since \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} is smooth of dimension equal to $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) - \dim(\mathfrak{h})$, the local ring $(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}))_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a regular local ring of the same dimension. Consequently, $d \mapsto \dim(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})/\mathcal{M}^{d+1})$ has polynomial growth equal to $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) - \dim(\mathfrak{h})$. Choose e such that the composition $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})_{\leq e, \phi} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}) \cap k[\mathbb{G}]_{\leq e, \phi}^R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})/\mathcal{M}^2$ is surjective, and choose j such that $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})_{\leq 1, \phi} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})/\mathcal{M}^j$ is injective. Then

$$(4.10.2) \quad \dim(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})_{\leq d, \phi}) \leq \dim(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})/\mathcal{M}^{d+j}) \leq \dim(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})_{\leq e \cdot d \cdot j}),$$

so that argument of the proof of Proposition 2.12 implies that $d \mapsto \dim(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})_{\leq d, \phi})$ has polynomial growth equal to $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) - \dim(\mathfrak{h})$. \square

As a consequence of Proposition 4.10, we obtain the following.

Proposition 4.11. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_N$ be a smooth, closed embedding of affine group schemes, and let \mathfrak{g} denote the Lie algebra of \mathbb{G} . The \mathbb{G} -modules*

$$k[\mathbb{G}]^R, \quad k[\mathbb{G}]^L, \quad k[\mathbb{G}]^{Ad}$$

each have polynomial growth of degree equal to $\dim(\mathfrak{g})$ with respect to $\{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}\}$.

Proof. The growth of $k[\mathbb{G}]^R$ with respect to $\{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}\}$ equals $\dim(\mathfrak{g})$ by Proposition 4.10. Since $\sigma_{\mathbb{G}} : k[\mathbb{G}]^L \rightarrow k[\mathbb{G}]^R$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{G} -modules, we conclude that the growth of $k[\mathbb{G}]^L$ with respect to $\{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}\}$ also equals $\dim(\mathfrak{g})$.

We consider the effect of the antipode σ_{GL_N} on filtrations. For any invertible $N \times N$ -matrix A , Cramer's rule tell us that A has inverse $B = (b_{i,j})$, where $b_{i,j} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(A_{j,i}) \cdot \det(A)^{-1}$ where $A_{j,i}$ is the $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ matrix obtained by eliminating the j -th row and i -th column of A . Thus, $\sigma_{GL_N}(x_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{O}(GL_N)$ is the product of the $(N-1)$ degree polynomial $(-1)^{i+j} \det(\{x_{s,t}, s \neq j, t \neq i\})$ and the function $\det(\underline{x})^{-1}$ (which is given filtration degree N); in other words, $\sigma_{GL_N}(x_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq 2N-1}$ but $\sigma_{GL_N}(x_{i,j}) \notin \mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq 2N-2}$. Since $\sigma_{GL_N} : \mathcal{O}(GL_N) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(GL_N)$ is an anti-algebra morphism, we conclude that σ_{GL_N} restricts to $\sigma_{GL_N} : \mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq (2N-1)d}$.

The coaction determining the comodule structure of $k[GL_N]^{Ad}$ is the composition

$$\Delta_{Ad} \equiv \tau \circ \mu_{1,3} \circ (\sigma_{GL_N} \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \circ (1 \circ \Delta_{GL_N}) \circ \Delta_{GL_N} :$$

$$\mathcal{O}(GL_N) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(GL_N)^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(GL_N)^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(GL_N)^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(GL_N)^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(GL_N)^{\otimes 2},$$

where $\mu_{1,3}$ multiplies the first and third tensor factors. (See [18, I.2.8(7)].) Writing $\Delta_{Ad}(x_{i,j})$ as $\sum_{s,t} x_{s,t} \otimes f_{s,t}^{i,j}$, we observe that each $f_{s,t}^{i,j}$ is a product of a function of

filtration degree $\leq 2N - 1$ and a function of degree 1, thus $f_{s,t}^{i,j}$ has filtration degree $\leq 2N$. Thus $x_{i,j} \in (k[GL_N]^{Ad})_{2N}$. We conclude that

$$\dim(\mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d}) \leq \dim((k[GL_N]^{Ad})_{2Nd}) \leq \dim(\mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq 2Nd}),$$

so that once again the argument of the proof of Proposition 2.12 implies that the polynomial growth of $k[GL_N]^{Ad}$ is also N^2 .

Observe that $\sigma_{\mathbb{G}}(\phi^*(x_{i,j})) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ has filtration degree $\leq 2N - 1$. Since the restriction $\phi^* : \mathcal{O}(GL_N) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ is a surjective map of Hopf algebras and since $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}$ is defined to be $\phi^*(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d})$, we may apply ϕ^* to the above arguments for GL_N to conclude the corresponding statements for \mathbb{G} . \square

5. MOCK INJECTIVE \mathbb{G} -MODULES

A \mathbb{G} -module M for a (connected) linear algebraic group is called mock injective if the restriction $M|_{\mathbb{G}(r)}$ of M to each Frobenius kernel $\mathbb{G}(r)$ is an injective $\mathbb{G}(r)$ -module. Every injective \mathbb{G} -module is mock injective.

The following list of properties of mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules following easily from the exactness of $(-)|_{\mathbb{G}(r)} : Mod(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow Mod(\mathbb{G}(r))$ and the corresponding properties for support properties for $\mathbb{G}(r)$ -modules. (See [9, Prop 4.6].)

Proposition 5.1. *Let \mathbb{G} be a linear algebraic group.*

- (1) *A \mathbb{G} -module is mock injective if and only if its support variety $\Pi(G)_M$ (as defined in [11]) is empty.*
- (2) *A directed colimit $\varinjlim_i M_i$ of mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules is mock injective.*
- (3) *Let $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of \mathbb{G} -modules. If two of M_1, M_2, M_3 are mock injective, then the third is also mock injective.*
- (4) *If $\mathbb{H} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is a closed embedding of linear algebraic groups and M is a mock injective \mathbb{G} -module, then the restriction to \mathbb{H} of M is a mock injective \mathbb{H} -module.*

None of the above properties is valid for all linear algebraic groups if “mock injective” is replaced by “injective.”

(5) *As for injective \mathbb{G} -modules, if M is a mock injective \mathbb{G} -module then $M \otimes N$ is also mock injective for all \mathbb{G} -modules N .*

We continue to find the class of mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules mysterious. The dichotomy between the classes of injective \mathbb{G} -modules and mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules is emphasized by the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *Let \mathbb{G} be a linear algebraic group with closed embedding $\phi : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_N$.*

- (1) *M is mock injective if and only if the support variety $\Pi(\mathbb{G}(r))_{M|_{\mathbb{G}(r)}}$ is empty for all $r > 0$.*
- (2) *M is injective if and only if the \mathbb{G} -module $M_{\leq d, \phi}$ is an injective $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}$ comodule for every $d \geq 0$.*

Proof. Assertion (1) follows from the detection of injectivity property for support varieties for infinitesimal group schemes ([27], [23]) now expressed in the notation/terminology of [11].

Assertion (2) is given by Proposition 1.9. \square

If a mock injective \mathbb{G} -module is not injective (as a \mathbb{G} -module), then it is called a proper mock injective \mathbb{G} -module. A \mathbb{G} -module L is an injective if and only if every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ of \mathbb{G} -modules splits. If J is a proper mock injective \mathbb{G} -module J with an embedding $J \hookrightarrow I$ of J into an injective \mathbb{G} -module I , then the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow I \rightarrow I/J \rightarrow 0$ does not split.

Remark 5.3. Mock injective modules are necessarily infinite dimensional. They contrast greatly to “Parshall’s Conjecture” proved by Lin and Nakano [20, Cor 3.5] which states that for a finite dimensional \mathbb{G} -module M that if M is injective over $\mathbb{G}_{(1)}$ then it must be projective over $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. See Example 5.11 below and extended to the analogue for the restriction to $\mathbb{G}_{(r)}$ versus restriction to $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{F}_p, r}$ in [7, Thm 4.5, Prop 5.1].

We recall the first examples of proper mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules, an interpretation of results of Cline, Parshall, and Scott concerning induced modules. (See [4].)

Example 5.4. [9, Prop 4.54] Let \mathbb{G} be a linear algebraic group and $j : \mathbb{H} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ a closed embedding of the linear algebraic group \mathbb{H} . Then the restriction $(k[\mathbb{G}^R]_{|\mathbb{H}})$ to \mathbb{H} of the right regular representation of \mathbb{G} is a mock injective \mathbb{H} -module. On the other hand, $(k[\mathbb{G}^R]_{|\mathbb{H}})$ is an injective \mathbb{H} -module if and only if \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} is an affine variety.

In particular, if \mathbb{G} is a reductive algebraic group and \mathbb{H} is not reductive, then $(k[\mathbb{G}^R]_{|\mathbb{H}})$ is a proper mock injective \mathbb{H} -module.

Remark 5.5. The reader may gain some intuition, as we have, by comparing the fixed points of $k[\mathbb{G}_a]^R$ under the actions of the finite subgroup schemes $\mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $\mathbb{G}_{a(1)}$ of \mathbb{G}_a . We identify these actions using

$$(k[\mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{F}_q)])^* \otimes k[\mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{F}_q)]^R \rightarrow k[\mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{F}_q)]^R, \quad \alpha \otimes t^n \mapsto \sum_{i \leq n} \binom{n}{i} \alpha^{n-i} t^i$$

$$(k[\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}])^* \otimes k[\mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{F}_q)]^R \rightarrow k[\mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{F}_q)]^R, \quad \hat{t}^i \otimes t^n \mapsto \sum_{i \leq n} \binom{n}{i} t^{n-i};$$

here, α denotes an element of $\mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{F}_q)$, a generator of $(k[\mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{F}_q)])^*$. The fixed point space $k[t]^{\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)}$ consists of those $f(t)$ which are polynomials in $t^p - t$ (see Proposition 5.12); this is a proper mock injective module by Theorem 5.9. On the other hand, the fixed point space $k[t]^{\mathbb{G}_{a(1)}}$ consists of those $f(t)$ which are polynomials in t^p ; the restriction to $\mathbb{G}_{a(1)}$ of this fixed point space has trivial $\mathbb{G}_{a(1)}$ -action and thus is not an injective $\mathbb{G}_{a(1)}$ -module.

See Example 5.11 for an investigation of the role of $\mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{F}_q)$ fixed points in constructing mock injective \mathbb{G}_a -modules.

We provide a somewhat surprising property of mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules.

Theorem 5.6. *Consider a linear algebraic group \mathbb{G} , a finite dimensional subspace $X \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$, and a mock injective \mathbb{G} -module J . Then*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{G}}(J, M_X) = 0$$

for every \mathbb{G} -module M provided that either

(i.) \mathbb{G} is unipotent, or

(ii.) \mathbb{G} is semi-simple and simply connected and $p \geq 2h - 2$, where h is the Coxeter number of \mathbb{G} .

Proof. First, consider a unipotent linear algebraic group \mathbb{U} with closed embedding $\phi : \mathbb{U} \hookrightarrow GL_N$ and a mock injective \mathbb{U} -module J . Assume the existence of a map $\rho : J \rightarrow M$ sending $j \in J$ to $m \neq 0 \in M$. For all $r > 0$, $J_{|\mathbb{U}_{(r)}}$ is a free $\mathbb{U}_{(r)}$ -module so that j lies in some free, rank 1 $\mathbb{U}_{(r)}$ -summand $(J_{|\mathbb{U}_{(r)}})_j$ of $J_{|\mathbb{U}_{(r)}}$. Namely, we can take the cyclic $\mathbb{U}_{(r)}$ submodule $k\mathbb{U}_{(r)} \cdot j \subset J_{|\mathbb{U}_{(r)}}$, and extend this inclusion to the injective hull of $k\mathbb{U}_{(r)} \cdot j$ which is a free, rank 1 $\mathbb{U}_{(r)}$ -summand of $J_{|\mathbb{U}_{(r)}}$. For notational simplicity, let J_j denote $(J_{|\mathbb{U}_{(r)}})_j$.

Assume that $M = M_{\leq d, \phi}$ and choose r sufficiently large that the composition $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U})_{\leq d, \phi} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{U}}_{(r-1)})$ is injective. Choose an identification $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_{(r)}) \simeq J_j$ of $\mathbb{U}_{(r)}$ -modules and choose $\psi \in k\mathbb{U}_{(r)} = (\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_{(r)}))^*$ such that ψ vanishes on the image X of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U})_{\leq d, \phi} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_{(r)})$ but does not vanish on a generator g_r of J_j . Observe that $\Delta_{J_j}(g_r) \notin J_j \otimes X$. This implies that $\rho(g_r) \notin M_{\leq d, \phi}$ and thus must equal 0. Since g_r is a generator of J_j , there exists some $\theta \in k\mathbb{U}_{(r)}$ sending g_r to j , so that $\theta(\rho(g_r)) = \rho(\theta(g_r)) = \rho(j) = m$. This contradicts our assumption that $0 \neq m \in M$.

Assume now that \mathbb{G} is semi-simple and simple connected and that $M = M_X$ for some finite dimensional subspace $X \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$. Once again we proceed by contradiction, assuming the exists a map $\rho : J \rightarrow M$ sending $j \in J$ to $m \neq 0 \in M$. Let $\{S_\lambda\}$ be the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible \mathbb{G} -modules, each of finite dimension $d(\lambda)$, and assume that the injective hull of S_λ restricted to $\mathbb{G}_{(r)}$ for r sufficiently large admits a \mathbb{G} -structure. This implies that the injective hull of S_λ as a \mathbb{G} -module is the colimit the injective hulls as $\mathbb{G}_{(r)}$ -modules (see [18, II.11.17]). Then $k[\mathbb{G}]$ is isomorphic as a \mathbb{G} -module to a direct sum of I_λ 's, with I_λ occurring finitely often depending on d_λ . Each I_λ is infinite dimensional (see [18, II.11.4]).

Since J embeds into $J^{tr} \otimes k[\mathbb{G}]^R$ as a \mathbb{G} -module and since this embedding splits when restricted to each $\mathbb{G}_{(r)}$, we conclude that $J_{|\mathbb{G}_{(r)}}$ for each $r > 0$ is a direct sum of cyclic modules, the images of generators of copies of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_{(r)})$ constituting $(J^{tr} \otimes k[\mathbb{G}]^R)_{\mathbb{G}_{(r)}}$. Moreover, as r increases, the dimension of these cyclic summands of $J_{|\mathbb{G}_{(r)}}$ also increases. Thus, if the restriction to $J_{|\mathbb{G}_{(r)}}$ of $g_r \in J$ is the image of a generator of a copy of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_{(r)})$ in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{|\mathbb{G}_{(r)}}$, then $\Delta_J(g_r) \in J \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ restricts to a sum of terms in $J_{\mathbb{G}_{(r)}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_{(r)})$ such that the number of terms of this sum grows as r increases.

We can thus repeat the argument given for \mathbb{U} , now replacing “some free $\mathbb{U}_{(r)}$ -summand $(J_{|\mathbb{U}_{(r)}})_j \dots \dots g_r \in (J_{|\mathbb{U}_{(r)}})_j$ ” by a cyclic summand of $(J_{|\mathbb{U}_{(r)}})_j$ of $J_{|\mathbb{G}_{(r)}}$ containing the chosen element j assumed to map to $m \neq 0 \in M$ and also containing some g_r which is the image of a generator of a copy of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_{(r)})$ in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{|\mathbb{G}_{(r)}}$. Namely, these conditions enable us to chose $\psi \in k\mathbb{G}_{(r)} = (\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_{(r)}))^*$ such that ψ vanishes on the image X of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_{(r)})$ but does not vanish on g_r of $J_j \subset J$. Once again, we obtain a contradiction because $j \in J$ when restricted to J_j is the image under some $\theta \in k\mathbb{G}_{(r)}$ of g_r . \square

We summarize some useful properties of the functor $ind_H^{\mathbb{G}}(-)$ following [4]

Proposition 5.7. *Let \mathbb{G} be a linear algebraic group and $H \subset \mathbb{G}$ a closed subgroup scheme with the property that \mathbb{G}/H is affine.*

- (1) The induction functor $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(-) : \text{Mod}(H) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G})$ is an exact functor between abelian categories
- (2) If M is an injective H -module, then $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M)$ is an injective \mathbb{G} -module.
- (3) There is a natural identification $H^i(\mathbb{G}, \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M)) \simeq H^i(H, M)$ for any H -module M , any $i \geq 0$.
- (4) If M is an H -module such that $H^n(H, k) \neq 0$ for some $n > 0$, then $\text{Ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M)$ is not an injective \mathbb{G} -module.
- (5) If M is a finite dimensional H -module, then $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M)$ is a cofinite \mathbb{G} -module.

Proof. The exactness of $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(-)$ is proved in [4]. Assertion (2) follows from the fact that $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(-)$ has an exact left adjoint (namely, the restriction functor) (see the proof of Corollary 1.6). The equivalence $H^0(\mathbb{G}, \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M)) \simeq H^0(H, M)$ is given by the universal property for $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{G}}(-, \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M))$ applied to the \mathbb{G} -module k . Assertion (3) follows by applying the Grothendieck spectral sequence for the composite functor $H^0(\mathbb{G}, -) \circ \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(-)$ together with this equivalence and the exactness of $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(-)$. Assertion (3) immediately implies assertion (4).

Finally, if M is finite dimensional, then we may realize $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M)$ as the fixed point space under the right action of H on $M^{\text{tr}} \otimes k[\mathbb{G}]_L$ and thus a \mathbb{G} -submodule of $M^{\text{tr}} \otimes k[\mathbb{G}]_L$. Hence, assertion (5) follows from the fact that $M^{\text{tr}} \otimes k[\mathbb{G}]_L$ is a cofinite \mathbb{G} -module. \square

We supplement Proposition 5.7 with the following proposition concerning the composition $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(-) \circ (-)_H$.

Proposition 5.8. *Let \mathbb{G} be a linear algebraic group and $H \subset \mathbb{G}$ a closed subgroup scheme with the property that \mathbb{G}/H is affine. Then the exact functor*

$$\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(-) \circ (-)_H : \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G})$$

is faithful.

Moreover, if M, N are \mathbb{G} -modules and if $\psi : \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M|_H) \rightarrow \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(N|_H)$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{G} -modules, then ψ induces an isomorphism of H -modules $M|_H \xrightarrow{\sim} N|_H$.

Proof. To prove that $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(-) \circ (-)_H$ is faithful, we observe for \mathbb{G} -modules N and M that if $f : N|_H \rightarrow M|_H$ is a map of H -modules, then $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(f) : \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(N|_H) \rightarrow \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M|_H)$ has the property that pre-composition with the canonical \mathbb{G} -map $i_N : N \rightarrow \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(N|_H)$ and post-composition with the canonical H -map $ev_H : \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M|_H) \rightarrow M|_H$ equals $f : N|_H \rightarrow M|_H$.

Let N, M be \mathbb{G} -modules and let $\psi : \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(N|_H) \rightarrow \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M|_H)$ be an isomorphism of \mathbb{G} -modules. Observe that the identity map $N \rightarrow N|_H$ determines $i_N : N \rightarrow \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(N|_H)$ which is inverse to the canonical map $ev_N : \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(N|_H) \rightarrow N|_H$ of H -modules. The naturality of this splitting implies that the map $ev_M \circ \psi \circ i_N : N \rightarrow M|_H$ of H -modules when composed with $ev_N \circ \psi^{-1} \circ i_M : M \rightarrow N|_H$ equals the identity of $N|_H$. This implies that $ev_M \circ \psi \circ i_N$ is an isomorphism of H -modules. \square

In [16, §2], Hardesty, Nakano, and Sobaje provide a method for constructing many proper mock injective modules. We elaborate upon their construction in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.9. (cf. [16, Prop 2.1.1, Prop 2.2.2]) *Let \mathbb{G} be a linear algebraic group defined over \mathbb{F}_p , let $q = p^r$ be some power of p , and assume that k contains \mathbb{F}_q . Let H be a subgroup of $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ stable under the action of F^r , the r -th power of the Frobenius map.*

- (1) *Then $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(-) : \text{Mod}(H) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G})$ takes values in mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules.*
- (2) *If every irreducible H module is the restriction of a \mathbb{G} -module, then $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M)$ is a proper mock injective \mathbb{G} -module if and only if the M is not an injective H -module.*

Proof. The proof that $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(-)$ takes values in mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules is essentially given in [16]; we give details of the formulation stated above. We first observe that the restriction $(k[\mathbb{G}^R]_H)$ of the \mathbb{G} -module $k[\mathbb{G}]^R$ to H is an injective H -module since \mathbb{G}/H is affine (see [4]). Moreover, if I is an injective \mathbb{G} -module, then the embedding $\text{Soc}(I) \hookrightarrow \text{Soc}(I)^{tr} \otimes k[\mathbb{G}]^R$ extends to an embedding $I \hookrightarrow \text{Soc}(I)^{tr} \otimes k[\mathbb{G}]^R$ which splits as a map of \mathbb{G} -modules, so that I_H must be injective as an H -module. If $F^r : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ restricts to an isomorphism on H , then the restriction $L|_H$ to H of the \mathbb{G} -module $L \equiv (F^r)^*(k[\mathbb{G}]^R)$ is an injective H -module; this implies that $M \otimes L|_H$ is an injective H -module for any H -module M .

The tensor identity (see [18, I.3.6]) tells us that for any H -module M there is a natural isomorphism

$$(5.9.1) \quad \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M) \otimes L \simeq \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M \otimes L|_H)$$

of \mathbb{G} -modules. Since L is trivial as a $\mathbb{G}_{(r)}$ -module, (5.9.1) implies that $(\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M))|_{\mathbb{G}_{(r)}}$ is a direct summand of $(\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M \otimes L|_H))|_{\mathbb{G}_{(r)}}$. Since $L|_H$ is injective as an H -module, $M \otimes L|_H$ is injective as an H -module, so that Proposition 5.7(2) tells us that $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M \otimes L|_H)$ is injective as a \mathbb{G} -module. As seen above, this implies that $(\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M \otimes L|_H))|_{\mathbb{G}_{(r)}}$ is injective as a $\mathbb{G}_{(r)}$ -module. Thus, the direct summand $(\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M))|_{\mathbb{G}_{(r)}}$ is also injective as a $\mathbb{G}_{(r)}$ -module. Since this applies to any $r > 0$, we conclude that $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M)$ is a mock injective \mathbb{G} -module.

Assertion (2) follows from a simple argument using *Ext*-groups as detailed in [16]. \square

The following observation is of interest in view of the challenge of studying quotients of infinite dimensional \mathbb{G} -modules as seen in Theorem 5.6.

Corollary 5.10. *As in Theorem 5.9, consider a linear algebraic group \mathbb{G} defined over \mathbb{F}_p , assume $\mathbb{F}_q \subset k$ with $q = p^r$, and consider a subgroup $H \subset \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ stable under the action of F^r . If $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence in $\text{Mod}(H)$, then*

$$(5.10.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(N) \rightarrow \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(M) \rightarrow \text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(Q) \rightarrow 0.$$

is a short exact sequence of mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules.

We make explicit the preceding discussion in the special case in which \mathbb{G} equals the additive group \mathbb{G}_a . Notice that the third assertion shows how cofinite type can distinguish isomorphism classes of mock injective modules, whereas the last assertion emphasizes that proper mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules are unlikely to have finite injective dimension.

Example 5.11. Let J_d denote the proper mock injective \mathbb{G}_a -module $\text{ind}_{\mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}^{\mathbb{G}}(k)$, equipped with the natural \mathbb{G}_a -equivariant embedding into $k[t]^L$ (which we denote by $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a) = k[t]$ since \mathbb{G}_a is abelian).

- (1) With respect to this embedding, J_d can be identified as the subalgebra of $k[t]$ consisting of polynomials in $t^{p^d} - t$. This is verified in greater generality in Proposition 5.12 below.
- (2) $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)/J_d$ admits an embedding into $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)^{\oplus d}$. Namely, the images of $\{t, t^p, \dots, t^{p^{d-1}}\}$ in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)/J_d$ form a basis for the socle of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)/J_d$.
- (3) The cofinite type $\gamma(\mathbb{G}_a, \phi)_{J_d}$ of the \mathbb{G}_a -module J_d equals $(1, q^{-1})$. This readily follows from (1) above. On the other hand, the cofinite type of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})/J_d$ equals $(q-1, q^{-1})$.
- (4) In the special case $d=1$, $J_1 = \text{ind}_{\mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{F}_p)}^{\mathbb{G}}(k)$ has an injective resolution

$$J_1 \hookrightarrow I^0 \xrightarrow{d^1} I^1 \xrightarrow{d^2} I^2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow I^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^n} I^n \dots$$

with the property that each I^n is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)$ (i.e., the injective hull of k) and that $d^n = d^{n+2}$.

The identification of $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(k)$ used in Example 5.11 has the following elaboration. The key to the proof of this proposition is the Lang isomorphism $\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}$, an isomorphism of varieties over k [19].

Proposition 5.12. *Let $q = p^r$ and assume that $\mathbb{F}^q \subset k$. Consider a linear algebraic group \mathbb{G} defined over \mathbb{F}_p provided with an embedding $\phi : \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow GL_N$ defined over \mathbb{F}_p and let H denote the finite group $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Recall the Lang map*

$$(5.12.1) \quad F^r/id : \mathbb{G} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{G}/H \simeq \mathbb{G}, \quad g \mapsto F^r(g) \cdot g^{-1},$$

a finite étale map of k -varieties (see [19]).

The map on coordinate algebras

$(F^r/id)^* = \mu \circ (\sigma_{\mathbb{G}} \otimes (F^r)^*) \circ \Delta : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$, $f \mapsto \sigma_{\mathbb{G}}(f) \cdot f^r$ has image the \mathbb{G} -submodule $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(k) = (k[\mathbb{G}]^L)^H \subset k[\mathbb{G}]^L \simeq k[\mathbb{G}]^R$. This implies that

$$(5.12.2) \quad (k[\mathbb{G}]^R)_{\leq \frac{d}{2N-1+q}, \phi} \subset (\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(k))_{\leq d, \phi} \subset (k[\mathbb{G}]^L)_{\leq d, \phi}.$$

Proof. As seen in the proof of Proposition 4.11, $\sigma_{GL_N}(\mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d}) \subset \mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq (2N-1) \cdot d}$, so that $\sigma_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq (2N-1) \cdot d, \phi}$. Moreover, if $f \in \mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq d}$, then $(F^r)^*(f) \in \mathcal{O}(GL_N)_{\leq qd}$. Consequently, $(F^r/id)^*(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq d, \phi}) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})_{\leq (2N-1+q)d, \phi}$. \square

In the next example, we determine the cofinite type of the mock injective \mathbb{U}_N -modules $\text{ind}_{\mathbb{U}_N(\mathbb{F}_q)}^{\mathbb{U}_N}(k) \subset k[\mathbb{U}_N]^L$. See Example 2.11 for the description of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_N)_{\leq d, \phi}$. For notational convenience, we omit explicit mention of the natural inclusion $\phi : \mathbb{U}_N \hookrightarrow GL_N$.

Example 5.13. Consider the antipode $\sigma_{\mathbb{U}_N} : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_N) = k[x_{i,j}, i < j] \rightarrow k[y_{s,t}, s < t] = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_N)$. Observe that $\sigma_{\mathbb{U}_N}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_N)_{\leq d}) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_N)_{\leq (N-1)d}$, that $\sigma_{\mathbb{U}_N}(x_{1,N}) \notin \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_N)_{\leq N-2}$, and that $\sigma_{\mathbb{U}_N}(x_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_N)_{\leq N-2}$ and $\sigma_{\mathbb{U}_N}(x_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_N)_{\leq N-23}$ for $(i,j) \neq (1,N)$.

To determine the cofinite type of $\text{ind}_{\mathbb{U}_N(\mathbb{F}_q)}^{\mathbb{U}_N}(k)$ (which we denote by M), we consider the image of

$$(F^r/id)^* : k[\mathbb{U}_N]_{\leq d} \rightarrow M_{\leq (p^r+N-1)d} \subset k[\mathbb{U}_N]_{\leq (p^r+N-1)d},$$

and proceed to show that $d \mapsto \dim(M_{\leq (p^r+N-1)d})$ has the same polynomial degree and the same leading coefficient as $d \mapsto \dim((F^r/id)^*(k[\mathbb{U}_N]_{\leq d})) = \dim(k[\mathbb{U}_N]_{\leq d})$ which was computed explicitly in Example 4.6. Namely, the above observations about the filtration degree of $\sigma_{\mathbb{U}_N}(x_{i,j})$ imply the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (F^r/id)^*(k[\mathbb{U}_N]_{\leq d}) \rightarrow M_{\leq (p^r+N-1)d} \rightarrow x_{1,N} \bullet (F^r/id)^*(k[\mathbb{U}_N]_{\leq d-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\gamma(\mathbb{U}_N)_M = (N', ((p^r + N - 1)^{N'} N!)^{-1}) \quad N' = \frac{N^2 - N}{2}.$$

Example 5.14. One can apply the argument of Example 5.13 to obtain a similar computation for GL_N replacing the structure of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}_N)$ as a polynomial algebra by the “sum expansion” of $\mathcal{O}(GL_N)$ given in (2.8.2). We then conclude for $M = \text{ind}_{GL_N(\mathbb{F}_q)}^{GL_N}(k)$ that

$$\gamma(GL_N)_M = (N^2, ((p^r + N - 1)^{N^2} (N^2)!)^{-1}).$$

6. STABLE MODULE CATEGORIES

In Theorem 6.3, we provide a stable module-theoretic version of Theorem 5.9 by dividing out injective modules, thereby focusing upon proper mock injective modules. We introduce the tensor triangulated category $StMock(\mathbb{G})$, complementary to stable categories considered in [11].

We remind the reader that the stable module category $StMod(G)$ of a finite group scheme G over k is the category whose objects are G -modules (i.e., kG -modules) and whose space of maps $Hom_{StMod(G)}(M, N)$ for any pair M, N of G -modules is the quotient of $Hom_G(M, N)$ by the subspace of maps $M \rightarrow N$ of G -modules which factor through an injective G -module. Using the fact that kG is self-injective, one provides $StMod(G)$ with the structure of a tensor triangulated category. As shown in [11, Thm 4.2], there is a natural tensor triangulated equivalence

$$(6.0.1) \quad StMod(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} K^b(Mod(G))/\mathcal{I}nj(Mod(G)).$$

natural with respect to finite group schemes G . Here, $\mathcal{I}nj^b(Mod(G))$ denotes the homotopy category of bounded cochain complexes of G -modules which are quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of injective G -modules.

With this in mind, we make the following definition, changing the use of the notation $StMod(\mathbb{G})$, notation which was used in [11] for the tensor triangulated category which we denote below by $\overline{StMod}(\mathbb{G})$.

Definition 6.1. Let \mathbb{G} be a linear algebraic group. Denote by $\mathcal{K}^b(Mod(\mathbb{G}))$ the tensor triangulated category given as the homotopy category of bounded cochain complexes of \mathbb{G} -modules and denote by $\mathcal{I}nj^b(\mathbb{G}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}^b(Mod(\mathbb{G}))$ the thick subcategory of bounded cochain complexes C^\bullet quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of

injective \mathbb{G} -modules. We define $StMod(\mathbb{G})$ to be Verdier quotient

$$\mathcal{K}^b(Mod(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^b(Mod(\mathbb{G}))/\mathcal{I}nj^b(Mod(\mathbb{G})) \equiv StMod(\mathbb{G}).$$

Denote by $Mock^b(\mathbb{G}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}^b(Mod(\mathbb{G}))$ the thick subcategory given as the homotopy category of bounded cochain complexes C^\bullet whose restriction to $Mod(\mathbb{G}_{(r)})$ is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of injective $\mathbb{G}_{(r)}$ -modules for each $r > 0$. We define $StMock(\mathbb{G})$ to be Verdier quotient

$$Mock^b(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow Mock^b(\mathbb{G})/\mathcal{I}nj^b(Mod(\mathbb{G})) \equiv StMock(\mathbb{G}).$$

Finally, we define $\overline{StMod}(\mathbb{G})$ to be the Verdier quotient

$$(6.1.1) \quad StMock(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow StMock(\mathbb{G})/Mock^b(\mathbb{G}) \equiv \overline{StMod}(\mathbb{G}).$$

The following proposition relates classes of \mathbb{G} -modules to their associated classes in the appropriate Verdier quotient formulated using bounded cochain complexes of \mathbb{G} -modules.

Proposition 6.2. *Let \mathbb{G} be a linear algebraic group and M a \mathbb{G} -module determining the chain complex $M[0] \in \mathcal{K}^b(Mod(\mathbb{G}))$. Then the class of $M[0]$ in $\overline{StMod}(\mathbb{G})$ is 0 if and only if M is a mock injective.*

Moreover, if M is a mock injective \mathbb{G} -module, the class in $StMock(\mathbb{G})$ of $M[0] \in Mock^b(\mathbb{G})$ is 0 if and only if M is an injective \mathbb{G} -module.

Proof. If M is a mock injective \mathbb{G} -module, then essentially by definition the class of $M[0]$ is 0. Conversely, if the class of $M[0]$ in $\overline{StMod}(\mathbb{G})$ is 0, then $M[0] \in StMock(\mathbb{G})$. In this case, the restriction to each $\mathbb{G}_{(r)}$ of $M[0]$ is $0 \in StMock(\mathbb{G}_{(r)})$. By [11, Cor 4.5], this implies each $M|_{\mathbb{G}_{(r)}}$ is an injective $\mathbb{G}_{(r)}$ module so that M is a mock injective \mathbb{G} -module.

The second assertion follows from the fact that the kernel of $Mock^b(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow StMod(\mathbb{G})$ equals $\mathcal{K}^b(\mathcal{I}nj(\mathbb{G}))$, since $\mathcal{K}^b(\mathcal{I}nj(\mathbb{G})) \subset Mock^b(\mathbb{G})$ is a thick subcategory. \square

The following theorem summarizes the relationships between these various stable categories, providing a form of “categorization” of Theorem 5.9

Theorem 6.3. *Let \mathbb{G} be a linear algebraic group defined over \mathbb{F}_p , let $q = p^r$ be some power of p , and assume that k contains \mathbb{F}_q . Let H be a subgroup of $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ stable under the action of F^r , the r -th power of the Frobenius map. Then there is a commutative diagram of tensor triangulated categories and tensor triangulated*

functors
(6.3.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{I}nj^b(\text{Mod}(H)) & \xrightarrow{\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(-)} & \mathcal{I}nj^b(\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G})) & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathcal{I}nj^b(\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G})) \\
\downarrow \hookrightarrow & & \downarrow \hookrightarrow & & \downarrow \hookrightarrow \\
D^b(\text{Mod}(H)) & \xrightarrow{\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(-)} & D^b(\text{Mock}(\mathbb{G})) & \xrightarrow{\hookrightarrow} & D^b(\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G})) \\
\downarrow \twoheadrightarrow & & \downarrow \twoheadrightarrow & & \downarrow \twoheadrightarrow \\
\text{StMod}(H) & \xrightarrow{\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(-)} & \text{StMock}(\mathbb{G}) & \xrightarrow{\hookrightarrow} & \text{StMod}(\mathbb{G}) \\
& & & \searrow 0 & \downarrow \twoheadrightarrow \\
& & & & \overline{\text{StMod}(\mathbb{G})}
\end{array}$$

where the derived categories are Verdier quotients of corresponding homotopy categories of cochain complexes “localized” at subcategories of cochain complexes which are acyclic.

The homotopy categories of injective modules in the upper row are the kernels of the Verdier quotients of the functors from categories in the second row to categories in the third row of (6.3.1). Moreover, the bottom right functor is the Verdier quotient with kernel the right horizontal map of the third row of (6.3.1).

Proof. The upper left horizontal functor is well defined because $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(-)$ is exact and sends injective H -modules to injective \mathbb{G} -modules. Exactness of $\text{ind}_H^{\mathbb{G}}(-)$ justifies the middle left horizontal functor. The naturality of the construction of Verdier quotients justifies the lower left horizontal functor as well as the commutativity of the left squares of (6.3.1) follows from the definitions. The commutativity of the right squares of (6.3.1) follows from Definition 6.1.

The assertion about kernels of Verdier quotients follows from the thickness of the asserted kernels. \square

7. CRITERIA FOR INJECTIVITY OF \mathbb{G}_a -MODULES

One goal for the study of mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules is to refine the theory of support varieties $M \mapsto \Pi(\mathbb{G})_M$ as considered in [11] so that the support of M is empty if and only if M is an injective \mathbb{G} -module. In the very special case of $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}_a$, Theorem 7.4 provides such a refinement which suggests a possible approach for more general \mathbb{G} .

Proposition 7.1. *For any $r > 0$, there is a commutative square of commutative coalgebras*

$$(7.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^{r+1}-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_{a(r+1)}) & \longrightarrow & (k\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r+1})^* \\
\uparrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^r-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}) & \longrightarrow & (k\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r})^*
\end{array}$$

whose horizontal arrows are isomorphisms, whose left vertical arrow is the natural inclusion, whose middle vertical arrow is induced by the embedding $\mathbb{G}_{(r)} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{(r+1)}$,

and whose right vertical arrow is the dual of the map group algebras induced by the embedding $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r+1}$ sending (a_1, \dots, a_r) to $(a_1, \dots, a_r, 0)$. The left horizontal arrows are induced by the natural maps

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^{r-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^{r+1-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_{a(r+1)}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)})$$

and the right horizontal maps are duals of familiar isomorphisms.

(1) For each $r > 0$, there is a coalgebra splitting

$$(7.1.2) \quad s_r : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^{r+1-1}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^{r-1}}$$

of the natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^{r-1}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^{r+1-1}}$ fitting in the commutative square

$$(7.1.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^{r+1-1}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_{a(r+1)}) \\ \downarrow s_r & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^{r-1}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}) \end{array}$$

(2) For a \mathbb{G}_a -module M and each $r > 0$, let $M_r \subset M$ denote the subset consisting of elements $m \in M$ such that the action of $\varinjlim_{s>r} k\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times s}$ on m factors through the projection $\varinjlim_{s>r} k\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times s} \rightarrow k\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r}$. Then the $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^{r-1}}$ -subcomodule $M_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^{r-1}}} \subset M$ is the pull-back of $M_r \subset M$ along the isomorphism $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^{r-1}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (k\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r})^*$ of (7.1.1).

Proof. The left commutative square of (7.1.1) together with the fact that its horizontal map is an isomorphism determines the coalgebra splitting s_r of (7.1.2) which fits in the commutative diagram (7.1.3).

The distribution algebra $k\mathbb{G}_a$ is the polynomial algebra on countably infinitely many p -nilpotent generators $k[u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n \dots] / (\{u_i^p\})$. The action of u_r on an $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a) = k[t]$ -comodule M with coproduct $\Delta_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes k[t]$ sends $m \in M$ to $(id_M \otimes \gamma_{p^r})(\Delta_M)(m)$, where $\gamma_{p^r} : k[t] \rightarrow k$ reads off the coefficient of t^{p^r} of each $f(t) \in k[t]$. Thus, $M_{\leq p^{r-1}} \subset M$ consists of those elements $m \in M$ such that u_n acts trivially for $n \geq r$. Indexing the factors of $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r}$ as $\prod_{s=0}^{r-1} \mathbb{Z}/p$, we conclude that the pull-back of $M_r \subset M$ along the isomorphism of coalgebras $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^{r-1}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (k\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r})^*$ equals $M_{\leq p^{r-1}}$. \square

Proposition 7.1(2) together with the commutativity of (7.1.1) easily implies the following corollary which gives a concrete description of \mathbb{G}_a -modules in terms of a sequence of modules for elementary abelian p -groups.

Corollary 7.2. *The isomorphisms of (7.1.1) determine a 1-1 correspondence between the data of a filtered \mathbb{G}_a -module $M = \varinjlim_r M_{\leq p^{r-1}}$ and the data of a sequence $M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_r \subset M_{r+1} \subset \dots$ of k vector spaces with each M_r equipped with an action of $k\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r}$ such that $M_r \subset M_{r+1}$ consists of those $m \in M_{r+1}$ on which the action of $k\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r+1}$ factors through the projection onto the first r factors, $k\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r+1} \rightarrow k\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r}$ inducing the action of $k\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r}$ on M_r .*

Combining Proposition 7.1 and Corollary 7.2, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 7.3. *Retain the notation of Proposition 7.1. Then the map $\Pi(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r}) \rightarrow \Pi(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r+1})$ induced by the embedding of finite groups $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r+1}$ which sends (a_1, \dots, a_r) to $(a_1, \dots, a_r, 0)$ restricts to*

$$(7.3.1) \quad \Pi(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r})_{M_r} = \Pi(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r+1})_{M_{r+1}} \cap \Pi(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r}) \hookrightarrow \Pi(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r+1})_{M_{r+1}}.$$

Moreover, there is a commutative square

$$(7.3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Pi(\mathbb{G}_{(r)})_{M_{<p^{r-1}}} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \Pi(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r})_{M_r} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Pi(\mathbb{G}_{(r+1)})_{M_{<p^{r+1-1}}} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \Pi(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r+1})_{M_{r+1}} \end{array}$$

whose horizontal bijections are given by Proposition 7.1(2) and whose left vertical arrow is the composition of the map $\Pi(\mathbb{G}_{(r)})_{M_{<p^{r-1}}} \rightarrow \Pi(\mathbb{G}_{(r+1)})_{M_{<p^{r-1}}}$ associated to the splitting s_r of (7.1.2) followed by the map $\Pi(\mathbb{G}_{(r+1)})_{M_{<p^{r-1}}} \rightarrow \Pi(\mathbb{G}_{(r+1)})_{M_{<p^{r+1-1}}}$ enabled by (7.3.1).

Proof. Let $\alpha : K[t]/t^p \rightarrow K\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r}$ be a flat map representing a π -point in $\Pi(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r})$. Then α represents a π point of $\Pi(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r})_{M_r}$ if and only if $\alpha^*((M_r)_K)$ is not a free $K[t]/t^p$ -module. The composition of α with the embedding $i_r : K\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r} \hookrightarrow K\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r+1}$ has the property that $(i_r \circ \alpha)^*(M_{r+1}_K) = \alpha^*((M_r)_K)$. Thus, $i_r \circ \alpha$ represents a π -point in $\Pi(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r})_{M_{r+1}}$ if and only if α represents a π point of $\Pi(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r})_{M_r}$.

The verification of the commutativity of the square (7.3.2) follows from the definitions of the maps involved. \square

The following criterion for injectivity for a \mathbb{G}_a -module might possibly permit a generalization linear algebraic groups other than $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}_a$.

Theorem 7.4. *Retain the notation of Proposition 7.1. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) M is an injective \mathbb{G}_a -module M .
- (2) $\varinjlim_r \Pi(\mathbb{G}_{(r)})_{M_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^{r-1}}}}$ is empty.
- (3) $\varinjlim_r \Pi(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\times r})_{M_r}$ is empty.

Proof. By Proposition 1.9 and Proposition 2.1, M is injective if and only if $M_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^{r-1}}}$ is an injective object of $CoMod(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\leq p^{r-1}})$ for all $r > 0$. The injectivity assertion of Proposition 7.3 together with Proposition (7.1) implies the equivalence of conditions (1) and (3). The equivalence of (2) and (3) now follows from (7.3.2). \square

8. QUESTIONS

We mention a few of the many questions which arise when considering mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules.

Question 8.1. Is there a useful “geometric invariant” for mock \mathbb{G} -modules which complements support varieties by detecting injectivity of \mathbb{G} -modules? (See Theorem 7.4 above.)

Question 8.2. For \mathbb{U} a unipotent linear algebraic group, can we utilize ascending, converging sequences of subcoalgebras of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U})$ to enable computations of the Hochschild cohomology of \mathbb{U} ? (See [10].)

Question 8.3. If M is a cofinite mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules, does M admit a filtration by mock injective \mathbb{G} -modules which are obtained by inducing H -modules to \mathbb{G} for finite subgroups $H \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}$?

Question 8.4. Under what hypotheses does a cofinite mock injective \mathbb{G} -module J admit an embedding into an injective \mathbb{G} -module I with quotient I/J which is also cofinite?

Question 8.5. Let \mathbb{G} be semi-simple, simply connected algebraic group defined over \mathbb{F}_p . If J is a mock injective \mathbb{G} -module whose restriction to each $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is injective, then is J an injective \mathbb{G} -module?

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Benson, S. Iyengar, H. Krause, J. Pevtsova, *Rank varieties and π -points for elementary supergroup schemes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B **8** (2021), 971 - 998.
- [2] J. Carlson, *The varieties and the cohomology ring of a module*, J. Algebra **85** (1983), 104 -143.
- [3] J. Carlson, E. Friedlander *Exact category of modules of constant Jordan type*, Manin Festschrift, Progr. Math **269**, (2009), 267 - 290.
- [4] E. Cline, B. Parshall, L. Scott, *Induced Modules and Affine Quotients*, Math. Ann. **30** (1977), no. 1, 1–14.
- [5] S. Donkin, *On projective modules for algebraic groups*, J. London Math. Soc **54** (1996), 75 - 88.
- [6] S. Doty, *Polynomial representations, algebraic monoids, and Schur algebras of classical type*, J.Pure and Appl. Algebra **123** (1998), 165 - 199.
- [7] E. Friedlander, *Restrictions to $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $\mathbb{G}_{(r)}$ of rational \mathbb{G} -modules*, Compositio Math. **147** (2011), 1955 - 1978..
- [8] E. Friedlander, *Support varieties for rational representations*, Compositio Math **151** (2015), 765-792.
- [9] E. Friedlander, *Filtrations, 1-parameter subgroups, and rational injectivity*, Advances in Math **323** (2018), 84 - 113.
- [10] E. Friedlander, *Cohomology of unipotent group schemes*, Algebr. Represent Theory **22** (2019), 1427 - 1455.
- [11] E. Friedlander, *Support varieties and stable categories for algebraic groups*, Compositio Math. **159** (2023), 746 - 779.
- [12] E. Friedlander and C. Negron, *Support theory for Drinfeld doubles for some infinitesimal group schemes*, Algebra Number Theory **17** (2023), 217-260.
- [13] E. Friedlander, J. Pevtsova, *Π -supports for modules for finite group schemes*, Duke. Math. J. **139** (2007), 317–368.
- [14] E. Friedlander, A. Suslin, *Cohomology of finite group schemes over a field*, Invent. Math. **127**(1997), 209-270.
- [15] J.A. Green, *Polynomial representations of GL_n ; 2nd ed*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **vol 830**, Springer Berline, 2007.
- [16] W. Hardesty, D. Nakano, P. Sobaje, *On the existence of mock injective modules for algebraic groups*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **49** (2017), 806-817.
- [17] J.C. Jantzen, *Darstellungen halbeinfacher Gruppen und ihrer Frobenius-Kerne* J. Reine Angew. Math. **317** (1980), 157–199.
- [18] J.C. Jantzen, *Representations of Algebraic groups – 2nd ed*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **107**, Amer. Math Soc., 2003.

- [19] S. Lang, *Algebraic groups over finite fields*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 555–563.
- [20] Z. Lin, D. Nakano, *Complexity for modules for finite Chevalley groups and classical Lie algebras*, Invent. Math. **138** (1999), 85 - 101.
- [21] S. Montgomery, *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings*, CBMS Regional Conf. Series in Math **82**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. 1983.
- [22] C. Negron, J. Pevtsova, *Hypersurface support for non-commutative complete intersections*, Nagoya Math. J. bf 247 (2022), 731-750.
- [23] J. Pevtsova, *Infinite dimensional modules for Frobenius kernels*, J.Pure and Appl. Algebra **173** (2002), 59 - 86.
- [24] D. Quillen, *Higher K-theory, I*, Algebraic K-theory I, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **vol 341**, Springer Berlin (1973), 85 - 147.
- [25] P. Sobaje, *On exponentiation and infinitesimal one-parameter subgroups of reductive groups*, J. Algebra **385** (2013), 14-26.
- [26] A. Suslin, E. Friedlander, C. Bendel, *Infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroups and cohomology*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1997), 693-728.
- [27] A. Suslin, E. Friedlander, C. Bendel, *Support varieties for infinitesimal group schemes*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1997), 729-759.
- [28] M. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90089

Email address: `ericmf@usc.edu`