

PROJECTIVE RECTANGLES: HARMONIC CONJUGATION

RIGOBERTO FLÓREZ AND THOMAS ZASLAVSKY

ABSTRACT. A projective rectangle is like a projective plane that has different lengths in two directions. We develop harmonic conjugation in projective rectangles. We construct projective rectangles in some harmonic matroids (matroids where harmonic conjugation is defined on every collinear point triple), such as Desarguesian projective planes of finite characteristic, by harmonic conjugation from extended lift matroids based on finite fields. Similar results follow for countable fields with characteristic 0. We also show that projective rectangles are almost harmonic matroids.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Background about projective rectangles	3
3. Harmonic conjugation	6
4. Harmonic matroids	8
5. A projective rectangle in a harmonic matroid	14
6. The rational field \mathbb{Q}	22
7. A submatroid that generates a projective rectangle	24
References	29

Date: July 17, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 51A99; Secondary 05B35, 05C22, 51A20, 51E26.

Key words and phrases. Projective rectangle; incidence geometry; Pasch axiom; harmonic conjugation; conjugate sequence; Desargues's theorem.

Flórez's research was partially supported by a grant from The Citadel Foundation.

1. INTRODUCTION

We generalize the concept of a projective plane to a projective rectangle, which is like a projective plane that has different sizes in two directions. Projective rectangles include projective planes as trivial examples; more broadly they are substructures found in harmonic matroids (matroids in which harmonic conjugation is well defined), in particular in full algebraic matroids, which is where we first encountered them.

Nontrivial projective rectangles have many properties not generally found in projective planes. For example, they have remarkable internal structure, as we showed in [3] and summarize in Section 2, and they are almost, but apparently not quite, harmonic matroids (see Theorem 3.2). In this paper we develop the line of thought that originally inspired projective rectangles, namely the first author's exploration of harmonic conjugation as applied to a certain matroid we call L_p (a type of complete lift matroid to be defined later in this article, closely related to the well-known Reid cycle matroid). We develop a theory of harmonic conjugation in harmonic matroids (which include Desarguesian and Moufang projective planes as well as full algebraic matroids) and show that multidimensional generalizations of L_p , generate projective rectangles by means of iterated harmonic conjugation. Our main conclusion is the existence of nontrivial projective rectangles in some harmonic matroids. We also show that a multidimensional generalization of the Reid cycle matroid is a small matroid such that, when embedded in a harmonic matroid, it generates a projective rectangle.

We wish to acknowledge the deep influence of Bernt Lindström's ground-breaking work on abstract harmonic conjugation [7, 8], which, as extended by the first author in [2], inspired this whole project.

2. BACKGROUND ABOUT PROJECTIVE RECTANGLES

An *incidence structure* is a triple $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$ of sets with $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{L}$. The elements of \mathcal{P} are *points*, the elements of \mathcal{L} are *lines*. A point p and a line l are *incident* if $(p, l) \in \mathcal{I}$. A set P of points is said to be *collinear* if all points in P are in the same line. We say that two distinct lines *intersect in a point* if they are incident with the same point.

A *projective rectangle* is an incidence structure $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$ that satisfies the following axioms:

- (A1) Every two distinct points are incident with exactly one line.
- (A2) There exist four points with no three of them collinear.
- (A3) Every line is incident with at least three distinct points.
- (A4) There is a *special point* D . A line incident with D is called *special*. A line that is not incident with D is called *ordinary*, and a point that is not D is called *ordinary*.
- (A5) Each special line intersects every other line in exactly one point.
- (A6) If two ordinary lines l_1 and l_2 intersect in a point, then every two lines that intersect both l_1 and l_2 in four distinct points, intersect in a point.


Notation: We write \mathbb{PR} for a projective rectangle. We write \overline{pq} for the unique line that contains two points p and q . After we establish the existence of projective planes in \mathbb{PR} , we use the notation $\overline{abc \dots}$ to mean the unique line (if $abc \dots$ are collinear) or plane (if they are coplanar but not collinear) that contains the points $abc \dots$.

Example 2.1. The matroid L_2^k is an example of a projective rectangle (see Figure 2.1). It has $m + 1 = 3$ special lines. Let $A := \{a_g \mid g \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^k\} \cup \{D\}$, $B := \{b_g \mid g \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^k\} \cup \{D\}$ and $C := \{c_g \mid g \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^k\} \cup \{D\}$. Let L_2^k be the simple matroid of rank 3 defined on the ground set $E := A \cup B \cup C$ by its rank-2 flats. The non-trivial rank-2 flats are A , B , C , which are the special lines, and the sets $\{a_g, b_{g+h}, c_h\}$ with g and h in $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^k$, which are the ordinary lines.

If a projective rectangle \mathbb{PR} with exactly $m + 1$ special lines has one of them with $n + 1$ points, then we say that the *order* of \mathbb{PR} is (m, n) . We do not assume m or n is finite unless we so state. The following result states basic properties from [3].

Theorem 2.2. *If \mathbb{PR} is a projective rectangle of order (m, n) , then the following hold:*

- (a) *The ordinary points are partitioned by all special lines deleting D .*
- (b) *$n \geq m$.*
- (c) *All ordinary lines have $m + 1$ points.*
- (d) *All special lines have $n + 1$ points.*
- (e) *There are $m + 1$ special lines and n^2 ordinary lines.*
- (f) *There are $n + 1$ lines incident with each ordinary point.*
- (g) *If l is a line and p is a point not in l , then the number of lines incident with p intersecting l equals the number of points on l .*

FIGURE 2.1. The matroid L_2^2 with group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

- (h) For a given point p in an ordinary line l , there are $n - 1$ ordinary lines intersecting l at p .

A projective rectangle is a combination of projective planes, in the strong sense that every two intersecting ordinary lines are lines of a substructure that is a projective plane. For this, we clarify the notion of substructure of an incidence structure $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$. An *incidence substructure* of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$ is an incidence structure $(\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}', \mathcal{I}')$ in which $\mathcal{P}' \subseteq \mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{L}' \subseteq \mathcal{L}$, and the incidence relation is the restriction of that in the superstructure. If $(\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}', \mathcal{I}')$ is a projective plane, it may contain an ordinary line and all its points; we call that kind *full*. A subplane need not be full; it also need not be a maximal subplane. However:

Proposition 2.3. *In a projective rectangle, every maximal projective subplane is full.*

From now on, by a *plane* in a projective rectangle we mean a full projective subplane. Also, when we say some points or lines are *coplanar*, we mean there is a plane π such that each of the points is a point of π , each line that is ordinary is a line of π , and for each line s that is special, $s \cap \pi$ is a line of π .

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ be a projective rectangle. The special point D is a point of every plane. If s is a special line in the projective rectangle $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ and π is a plane in $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$, then $s \cap \pi$ is a line of π .*

If two ordinary lines in $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ intersect in a point, then both lines are lines of a unique plane in $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$.

Given three noncollinear ordinary points in a projective rectangle $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$, there is a unique plane in $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ that contains all three points. Given an ordinary line l and an ordinary point p not in l , there is a unique full projective plane in $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ that contains both.

A projective rectangle is nontrivial if and only if it contains more than one plane.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ be a projective rectangle. Every ordinary line in $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ is a line of a plane in $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$. If $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ is nontrivial, then every ordinary line l is a line of at least three planes that contain l .*

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose three lines in a projective rectangle $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ intersect pairwise in three different points. Then they are a coplanar triple.*

Equivalently, if three lines intersect pairwise (i.e., are pairwise coplanar) but are not a coplanar triple, then they all intersect in the same point.

3. HARMONIC CONJUGATION

The first key concept of this paper was that of a projective rectangle. We now define the second: abstract harmonic conjugation (following Lindström [8] and Flórez [2]). The main result in this section, Theorem 3.2, says that a nontrivial projective rectangle has harmonic conjugation almost everywhere.

Let d, e, f be three points in a matroid M that are collinear in a line l . Suppose there are four more points a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 in M such that $\{d, a_1, a_2\}$, $\{d, a_4, a_3\}$, $\{e, a_4, a_2\}$, $\{f, a_4, a_1\}$, and $\{f, a_3, a_2\}$ are collinear, while $\{a_1, e, a_3\}$ may or may not be collinear. Then, the seven points form a *harmonic configuration* $\text{HP}(d, e, f; a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ based on (d, e, f) . There may be a point h that lies at the intersection of the lines spanned by $\{a_1, a_3\}$ and $\{d, f\}$, so that $\{a_1, a_3, h\}$ and $\{d, e, f, h\}$ are collinear. If the point h always exists and is the same point for any harmonic configuration based on $\{d, e, f\}$, then h is the *harmonic conjugate* of e with respect to d and f . Note that e and h are not necessarily distinct. We define $\text{H}(d, f; e, h)$ to mean that there are elements $d, e, f, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$ with $\text{HP}(d, e, f; a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ and that h is the harmonic conjugate of e with respect to d and f .




FIGURE 3.1. The points and lines of a harmonic configuration, with the harmonic conjugate h .

Lemma 3.1. *A harmonic configuration in a projective rectangle is contained in a unique plane.*

Proof. At least two lines l_1, l_2 of the harmonic configuration S are ordinary; these two lines intersect so they are contained in a unique plane π , by Theorem 2.4. There are four kinds of line pair in S : outer pairs like $l, \overline{da_1a_2}$ which intersect at d , inner pairs like $\overline{da_4a_3}, \overline{fa_4a_1}$ which intersect at a_4 , mixed pairs like $l, \overline{da_4a_3}$ which intersect at d , and mixed pairs like $l, \overline{ea_4a_2}$, which intersect at e . (The first three types are the same under automorphisms of S .) The lines l_1 and l_2 , being ordinary, are entirely contained in π , so their five points of S are in π . At most three lines of S can be special (and only if one of the points of S is D) so there is a third ordinary line, which is also entirely in π and whose additional point (or points) are therefore in π . That leaves at most one point p that could conceivably not lie in π , but p is the intersection of two lines of S , say l_3, l_4 . If either of those lines is ordinary, say l_3 , it is entirely in π so $p \in l_3 \subseteq \pi$. If neither of them is ordinary, then $p = D$, but D is in every plane of the projective rectangle (Theorem 2.4), so $p \in \pi$. In any case, all points of S are in π . \square

Theorem 3.2. *In a nontrivial projective rectangle, the harmonic conjugate exists for any three points in an ordinary line and for any three collinear points of which one is the special point.*

We do not know whether the harmonic conjugate must exist if the three points lie on a special line but none is the special point.

Proof. Consider a nontrivial projective rectangle $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ and a harmonic configuration S of seven points as in Figure 3.1 (excluding h). Since S contains (at least) two ordinary lines that intersect in a point, it is in a plane π .

Suppose there is a second harmonic configuration S' with corresponding points a'_i , $d' = d$, $e' = e$, and $f' = f$, in a different plane π' (see Figure 3.2). From the figure we can see that the lines $l_i = \overline{a_i a'_i}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ are pairwise coplanar (for example, $l_1, l_2 \in \overline{a_1 a'_1 d} = \overline{a_2 a'_2 d}$). From this and Proposition 2.6, we infer that $l_i = \overline{a_i a'_i}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ intersect in a point r . Similarly, we prove that $l_i = \overline{a_i a'_i}$ for $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ are pairwise coplanar. So, by Proposition 2.6, $l_i = \overline{a_i a'_i}$ for $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ intersect in a point t . Since $l_2 \cap l_4 = t$ and $l_2 \cap l_4 = r$ are in both l_2 and l_4 they must be equal. This implies that l_1 and l_3 are coplanar. Therefore, the lines $l_{13} = \overline{a_1 a_3}$ and $l'_{13} = \overline{a'_1 a'_3}$ are coplanar (see Figure 3.3). This proves that l_{13} , l'_{13} , and l are pairwise coplanar. From Proposition 2.6 we obtain the desired result.

Now suppose we have two harmonic configurations in the same plane π , call them S and S'' , with corresponding points a''_i , $d'' = d$, $e'' = e$, and $f'' = f$. First we assume l is an ordinary line. We construct a harmonic configuration S' not in π as follows. Choose a point $a'_2 \notin \pi$ (whence $a'_2 \neq D$); the lines da'_2 , ea'_2 , and fa'_2 exist by Axiom (A1) and there is a unique plane π' containing two of them by Theorem 2.4. Because d, e, f are collinear, they are all in that same plane. Then by applying the first, noncoplanar case twice we arrive at the conclusion.

If l is a special line we have to be more careful. We assume one of d, e, f is the special point. Suppose first that $e = D$. Then $\overline{da'_2}$ and $\overline{fa'_2}$, being ordinary, determine a plane π' that necessarily contains $D = e$. The line $\overline{ea'_2}$ exists as a special line in π' . Pick $a'_3 \in \overline{ea'_2}$, different from e and a'_2 ; the ordinary line $\overline{da'_3}$ intersects $\overline{ea'_2}$ at a point we name a'_4 . The line $\overline{da'_4 a''_e}$ is in π' , so $a'_4 \in \pi'$. Thus, finally, the line $\overline{fa'_4}$ exists and intersects $\overline{da'_2}$ at a point we call a'_1 . This completes the construction of S' .

If $f = D$ (and similarly if $d = D$), the details are slightly different. The lines $\overline{da'_2}$ and $\overline{ea'_2}$ are ordinary so they determine a plane π' . The special line $\overline{fa'_2}$ meets π' in a line of π' . Pick $a'_1 \in \overline{da'_2}$. Then $\overline{fa'_1}$ meets $\overline{ea'_2}$ because the lines are lines of π' . This point is a'_4 . Now $\overline{da'_4}$ intersects $\overline{fa'_2} \cap \pi'$ because both are lines of π' . The point of intersection is a'_3 . Thus, the harmonic configuration S' exists in this case. \square




FIGURE 3.2. Harmonic configurations in two planes that contain l , with a harmonic conjugate $h = h'$.




FIGURE 3.3. The lines and planes between two harmonic configurations in two planes.

4. HARMONIC MATROIDS

We now come to the third of our three key concepts: that of harmonic matroids (introduced in [1]). A matroid M is *harmonic* if a harmonic conjugate exists for every harmonic configuration in M . Familiar examples of harmonic matroids include Desarguesian planes and all projective spaces of rank four or more. Also, a *little Desargues plane* (also called a *Moufang* or *alternative plane*) is a harmonic matroid; in fact, a projective plane is a harmonic matroid if and only if it is a little Desargues plane [10, page 202]. It follows that any projective plane contained in a harmonic matroid is a little Desargues plane.

Full algebraic matroids are harmonic; Lindström [8] defined them and proved this. We repeat the definition here. Let \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{K} be two algebraically closed fields such that \mathbf{K} is an extension of \mathbf{F} that has finite transcendence degree over \mathbf{F} . The *full algebraic matroid*

$A(\mathbf{K}/\mathbf{F})$ has ground set \mathbf{K} ; a set $S \subseteq \mathbf{K}$ is independent if and only if its elements are algebraically independent over \mathbf{F} . A full algebraic matroid $A(\mathbf{K}/\mathbf{F})$ of rank 3 has the following flats: the field \mathbf{F} (the flat of rank 0, i.e., transcendence degree zero over \mathbf{F}), atoms or points (algebraically closed extensions of transcendence degree one over \mathbf{F}), lines (algebraically closed extensions of transcendence degree two), and one plane, the field \mathbf{K} .

We shall discuss *algebraic embedding* of a matroid M over a field \mathbf{F} ; that means a mapping f from the elements of M into an extension field of \mathbf{F} , such that a subset S is independent in M if and only if $f|_S$ is injective and $f(S)$ is algebraically independent over \mathbf{F} . (Algebraic closure is not required for this concept.)

Theorem 3.2 says a nontrivial projective rectangle is almost an example of a harmonic matroid, but the theorem leaves open the question of harmonic conjugates for triples that do not include D but lie on a special line. Does the theorem extend to all coplanar collinear triples? If so, all planes in the projective rectangle are little Desargues planes, even if the projective rectangle is not algebraically embeddable. This is an open question.

The purpose of this section is to develop the machinery of modular sequences, which are a kind of finite or infinite sequence generated by repeated harmonic conjugation.

We write cl for the closure operator of a matroid.

Lemma 4.1 ([2, Proposition 2(ii) (proof) and Corollary 3]). *If $\text{HP}(y, x, z; o, q, r, s)$ and $\text{H}(y, z; x, x')$ in a harmonic matroid, then*

- (i) $\text{H}(z, r; q, q_1)$ and $\text{H}(y, o; q, q_2)$ for some points q_1 and q_2 in $\text{cl}(\{s, x'\})$,
- (ii) $\text{H}(o, r; u, x')$ for some point u in $\text{cl}(\{q, x\})$.
- (iii) $\text{H}(y, r; s, t)$ for some point t that is collinear with q and x' .

Let $\mathcal{P}(M)$ be the power set of the ground set of a harmonic matroid M . We define $\mathbf{h}: \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(M)$ by $\mathbf{h}(S) = S \cup \{x \in M: \text{H}(a, c; b, x) \text{ for some } a, b, c \in S\}$, the iterates $\mathbf{h}^k(S) = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{h}^{k-1}(S))$, $\mathbf{h}^\infty(S) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{h}^n(S)$. We call $\mathbf{h}^\infty(S)$ the *harmonic closure* of S in M . Note that \mathbf{h}^∞ is an abstract closure operator. If $\mathbf{h}^\infty(S) = S$, then we say S is *harmonically closed*. For $S \subseteq M$, $S \subseteq \mathbf{h}^\infty(S) \subseteq \text{cl}(S)$ so $\text{rank}(S) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{h}^\infty(S))$.

For the remainder of this paper we work with a vector space \mathbf{V} over the field \mathbb{Z}_p where p is a prime number p (p always denotes a prime)—or in Section 6 over the field \mathbb{Q} .

We now define the matroid $L_p^\mathbf{V}$. Let

$$A := \{a_g \mid g \in \mathbf{V}\} \cup \{D\}, \quad B := \{b_g \mid g \in \mathbf{V}\} \cup \{D\}, \quad \text{and} \quad C := \{c_g \mid g \in \mathbf{V}\} \cup \{D\},$$

where all points a_g, b_g, c_g, D are distinct. Let $L_p^\mathbf{V}$ be the simple matroid of rank 3 on the ground set $E := A \cup B \cup C$ defined by the $p^{2 \dim \mathbf{V}} + 3$ rank-2 flats A, B, C and $\{a_g, b_{g+h}, c_h\}$ with g and h in \mathbf{V} . When $k = \dim \mathbf{V}$ is finite, we may write L_p^k for $L_p^\mathbf{V}$. An example of this family of matroids is the matroid L_2^2 described above. Because in characteristic 2 every point is its own harmonic conjugate, L_2^2 is harmonically closed: $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_2^2) = L_2^2$. We denote L_p^1 by L_p . (The fact that L_p^k is the complete lift matroid $L_0((\mathbb{Z}_p)^k \cdot K_3)$ of the group expansion

of the triangle graph K_3 by the group $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^k$ —see [11, Section 3]—was part of the inspiration for the present paper.)

Flórez [2] proved that the matroid $R_{\text{cycle}}[p] := L_p \setminus \{c_2, \dots, c_{p-1}\}$ extends by harmonic conjugation to L_p . The matroid $R_{\text{cycle}}[p]$ is known as the *Reid cycle matroid* [6, page 52]. In Section 7 we generalize the definition of $R_{\text{cycle}}[p]$ to $R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p]$ and prove this matroid extends to $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^k)$.

We now introduce conjugate sequences in a harmonic matroid [2]. A *conjugate sequence* is a sequence of points $A, a_0, \dots, a_m, \dots$ such that $H(A, a_i; a_{i-1}, a_{i+1})$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We observe that the points of a conjugate sequence are collinear. A conjugate sequence is *modular* if at least one of its points is equal to one of the preceding points a_i . We say that m is the *order* of a modular sequence $A, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m, \dots$ if a_m is the first point of the sequence that is equal to a preceding point. The smallest possible value of m is 2, since three distinct collinear points are needed in order to have a harmonic configuration. (Note that we use the word “order” for both the “order” of a modular sequence and the “order” of a projective plane.) For a modular sequence of order m we use the short notation $A, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}$, but the sequence is implicitly infinite, so we still have all harmonic conjugacies $H(A, a_i; a_{i-1}, a_{i+1})$ for $i = m, m+1, \dots$. In Proposition 4.3 Part (ii) we prove that if $A, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}$ is a modular sequence of order m , then $a_m = a_0, a_{m+1} = a_1, \dots$, i.e., a modular sequence is periodic.

For instance, consider $m = 2$. Then $a_2 = a_0$ and the modular sequence is $A, a_0, a_1, a_0, a_1, \dots$. The harmonic conjugacy property $H(A, a_i; a_{i-1}, a_{i+1})$ becomes $H(A, a_1; a_0, a_0)$ if i is odd and $H(A, a_0; a_1, a_1)$ if i is even. An example is harmonic conjugation in the Fano plane.

Another example is illustrated by Figure 5.1 (in different notation). The modular sequence is $a_0, b_0, c_0, x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots$ (with a_0 in the role of A). In this example $m = 5$ and $x_5 = b_0, x_6 = c_0, x_7 = x_2$, etc.

Lemma 4.2 (Flórez [2]). *A modular sequence in a harmonic matroid M satisfies $\mathbf{h}^\infty(S) = S$.*

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose that $\mathbf{h}^\infty(d, b_0, b_1) = (d, b_0, \dots, b_{m-1})$ is a modular sequence of order m in a harmonic matroid M . If c_1, c_0, a_1, a_0 are points of M with $T := \text{HP}(d, b_0, b_1; c_1, c_0, a_1, a_0)$, then the following properties hold:*

- (i) m is a prime number.
- (ii) We have $b_{m+i} = b_i$ for all $i \geq 0$.
- (iii) $\pi := \mathbf{h}^\infty(T)$ is a Desarguesian projective plane of order m in M .
- (iv) There are points a_0, \dots, a_{m-1} in M such that d, a_0, \dots, a_{m-1} is a modular sequence of order m and $\{a_i, b_i, c_0\}$ is a collinear set.
- (v) $\mathbf{h}^\infty(d, b_0, b_1)$ is a line of π .
- (vi) If l is a line in T , then $\mathbf{h}^\infty(l)$ is a line of $\pi = \mathbf{h}^\infty(T)$.

Proof. Much of the proposition can easily be proven by modifying the hypothesis in the proof of Proposition 16 in [2], but since it plays an important role in this paper we include a complete proof.

$\{c_0, a_t, b_t\}$ and $\{c_0, a_i, b_i\}$ (from $P(t)$ and $P(i)$, respectively) and the fact that the lines $\overline{da_0a_1\dots}$ and $\overline{db_0b_1\dots}$ are distinct.

We now prove Parts (i) and (ii) and that $\mathbf{h}^\infty(\text{HP}(d, b_0, b_1; c_1, c_0, a_1, a_0))$ is a projective plane of prime order.

By the definition of harmonic conjugation, $b_m \neq d$. Suppose that $b_m = b_t$ for some $t \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$. Since $\{c_1, a_{t-1}, b_t\}$ and $\{c_1, a_{m-1}, b_m\} = \{c_1, a_{m-1}, b_t\}$ are collinear (by $P(t-1)$ and $P(m-1)$, respectively), the set $\{c_1, a_{t-1}, a_{m-1}\}$ is collinear. Since $a_{t-1} \neq a_{m-1}$ (because $b_{t-1} \neq b_{m-1}$, because $t-1 < m-1 < m$), we conclude that c_1 is in the line $\overline{a_{t-1}a_{m-1}} = \overline{a_0a_1}$. That is a contradiction because $\{c_1, a_0, a_1\}$ is not collinear in $\text{HP}(d, b_0, b_1; c_1, c_0, a_1, a_0)$. It follows that $b_m \neq b_t$ for $0 < t < m$, so $b_m = b_0$. We conclude that $a_m = a_0 \neq a_t$ for $0 < t < m$; thus, d, a_0, a_1, \dots has order m .

That proves the first step of Part (ii). For the rest, for $t \geq 0$ let $Q(t)$ be the statement that $b_{t+m} = b_{t+j}$ where $0 \leq j < m$ if and only if $j = 0$. We apply induction on $t \geq 0$. $Q(0)$ was just proved. Let $t > 0$ and consider the harmonic relations $\text{H}(d, b_{t-1}; b_{t-2}, b_t)$ and $\text{H}(d, b_{m+t-1}; b_{m+t-2}, b_{m+t})$, which is the same as $\text{H}(d, b_{t-1}; b_{t-2}, b_{m+t})$ by the induction assumption. Thus, $b_t = b_{m+t}$ are equal by uniqueness of the harmonic conjugate. Now, compare $b_{t+m} = b_t$ to $b_{t+j} = b_{t-m+j}$; they are unequal by induction. That completes the proof of Part (ii). Since d, b_0, b_1, \dots is any modular sequence of order m , the same conclusion applies to the sequence d, a_0, a_1, \dots .

Note that $M|E$ where $E = \{d, a_0, \dots, a_{m-1}, b_0, \dots, b_{m-1}, c_0, c_1\}$ is the matroid $R_{\text{cycle}}[m]$. Thus $R_{\text{cycle}}[m]$ is embedded in a harmonic matroid. By [1, Theorem 10], m must be a prime number (this proves Part (i)). By the definition of E we deduce that

$$\pi := \mathbf{h}^\infty(\{d, b_0, b_1; c_1, c_0, a_1, a_0\}) = \mathbf{h}^\infty(M|E) = \mathbf{h}^\infty(R_{\text{cycle}}[m]).$$

Therefore, by [2, Proposition 16], $\mathbf{h}^\infty(R_{\text{cycle}}[m])$ is a projective plane of order m . The plane is a little Desargues plane because it is contained in the harmonic matroid M , and since it is finite, it is a Desarguesian plane coordinatized by a finite field [5, Theorem 6.20], specifically the prime field \mathbb{Z}_m .

Part (v) follows from the fact that $\mathbf{h}^\infty(d, b_0, b_1)$ is a collinear set in π of $m+1$ points, the size of a line in π by Part (iii).

We prove Part (vi). From Parts (i) and (iii) we know that l is a set of collinear points in the Desarguesian plane π of prime order m . Let \bar{l} denote the line of π that contains l . If l does not have three points, add one point of \bar{l} so we have three collinear points. Let those points be called d', b'_0, b'_1 . Extend d', b'_0, b'_1 to a configuration T' isomorphic (as a matroid) to T with the correspondence $d', b'_0, b'_1 \leftrightarrow d, b_0, b_1$. Extend these three points to a conjugate sequence in π , which is necessarily finite, hence modular of order m' . By Part (iii), $\mathbf{h}^\infty(T')$ is a projective plane π' of order m' and is contained in π . By Part (v), $\mathbf{h}^\infty(d', b'_0, b'_1)$ is a line of π' . By projective geometry, $\pi' = \pi$; thus, $\mathbf{h}^\infty(d', b'_0, b'_1)$ is a line of π . \square

The proof of Part (vi) establishes a stronger statement, which may be a known theorem, but we have not seen it.

Corollary 4.4. *Any conjugate sequence in a Desarguesian plane of prime order p is modular of order p .*

5. A PROJECTIVE RECTANGLE IN A HARMONIC MATROID

In this section we show that if $L_p^{\mathbf{V}}$ (where p is prime) is embedded in a harmonic matroid, its harmonic closure is a projective rectangle. Thus, if a harmonic matroid M contains $L_p^{\mathbf{V}}$, then it contains a projective rectangle. (From [12, Section 4] it is known that $L_p^{\mathbf{V}}$ does embed in some harmonic matroids, if \mathbf{V} is the additive group of a field of characteristic p ; namely, the projective plane over that field.) In particular, if L_p embeds in M , then M contains the Desarguesian projective plane of order p . We state the main theorem, whose proof appears at the conclusion of the section.

Theorem 5.1. *For each embedding of $L_p^{\mathbf{V}}$ in a harmonic matroid, $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^{\mathbf{V}})$ is a projective rectangle of order $(p, |\mathbf{V}|)$ in which every plane is Desarguesian of order p .*

We assume throughout this section that $L_p^{\mathbf{V}}$ is embedded in a harmonic matroid M .

We often write $\mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, c_h)$ with only two points instead of $\mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, b_{g+h}, c_h)$, because the point b_{g+h} is predictable. For convenience in formulas we employ the function $\phi_\epsilon : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$, which is an injection defined by $\phi_\epsilon(t) = t\epsilon - tg + h$ where g, h , and ϵ are fixed elements of \mathbf{V} such that $\epsilon - g \neq 0$. For the sake of simplicity, and if there is not ambiguity, we write $\phi(t)$ instead of $\phi_\epsilon(t)$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let g and h be elements of \mathbf{V} . For every $t \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ there is a point x_{t+1} in M such that*

- (i) *for every $\epsilon \in \mathbf{V}$, $\{a_\epsilon, b_{\epsilon+\phi(t)}, c_{\phi(t)}, x_{t+1}\}$ is collinear;*
- (ii) *$\mathbf{h}^\infty\{a_g, b_{g+h}, c_h\} = \{a_g, b_{g+h}, c_h, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1}\}$ is a modular sequence of order p .*

Recall that $x_p = b_{g+h}$ by the definition of a modular sequence.

We simplify the proof by applying an automorphism.

Lemma 5.3. *For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{V}$, the mapping $a_g, b_{g+h}, c_h \mapsto a_{g+\alpha}, b_{g+h+\alpha+\beta}, c_{h+\beta}$ is an automorphism of $L_p^{\mathbf{V}}$.*

Proof. A line $a_g b_{g+h} c_h$ becomes a line $a_{g+\alpha} b_{(g+\alpha)+(h+\beta)} c_{h+\beta}$. □

Take $\alpha = -g$ and $\beta = -h$; this converts the general case of Lemma 5.2 to the case $g = h = 0$ and gives $\phi(t) = t + \epsilon$. (We think of the automorphism as relabelling $L_p^{\mathbf{V}}$, not moving points, so there is no need for it to extend to an automorphism of M .) We restate Lemma 5.2:

- (i) For every $\epsilon \in \mathbf{V}$, $\{a_\epsilon, b_{(t+1)\epsilon}, c_{t\epsilon}, x_{t+1}\}$ is collinear;
- (ii) $\mathbf{h}^\infty\{a_0, b_0, c_0\} = \{a_0, b_0, c_0, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1}\}$ is a modular sequence of order p .

Now we are in a copy of L_p with $A_\epsilon = \{a_{i\epsilon} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$, $B_\epsilon = \{b_{i\epsilon} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$, $C_\epsilon = \{c_{i\epsilon} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$. That reduces the proof to L_p .

Proof of Lemma 5.2. It is convenient to define $x_0 = b_0$ and $x_1 = c_0$.




FIGURE 5.1. The construction of x_t 's in Lemma 5.2. In this example $k = 1$, $p = 5$, $g = h = 0$, and the configuration of the points D, a_i, b_i, c_i is the matroid L_5 . The $a_{i\epsilon}, b_{i\epsilon}, c_{i\epsilon}$ in the lemma's proof are simplified to a_i, b_i, c_i .

First of all, we prove both parts of the lemma for $p = 2$. By the definition of L_2^k , $\{a_0, b_0, c_0\}$ and $\{a_\epsilon, b_{2\epsilon}, c_\epsilon\}$ are collinear sets. Since $2\epsilon = 0$ in \mathbf{V} over \mathbb{Z}_2 , taking $x_2 = b_0 = x_0$ and $x_3 = c_0 = x_1$ completes the proof for $p = 2$.

We now prove the lemma for $p > 2$. We use mathematical induction on $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, where here we treat \mathbb{Z}_p as a field and let $t = 1, 2, \dots, p$ so that $(t-1)^{-1}$ is meaningful for $t > 1$. To simplify some formulas we define $x_0 = b_0$ and $x_1 = c_0$.

Suppose that $t = 1$. From the definition of $L_p^{\mathbf{V}}$ we deduce that the configuration

$$\text{HP}(a_0, b_0, c_0; a_\epsilon, D, c_\epsilon, b_\epsilon) \quad (5.1)$$

holds in M . This implies that there is a point $x_2 \in M$ with $\text{H}(a_0, c_0; b_0, x_2)$ such that $\{a_\epsilon, b_{2\epsilon}, c_\epsilon, x_2\}$ and $\{a_0, b_0, c_0, x_2\}$ are collinear sets. We observe that x_2 depends only on a_0, b_0, c_0 and is independent of ϵ , because M is a harmonic matroid. This proves the proposition for $t = 1$.

We now fix $t \in \{2, \dots, p\}$ and suppose that there are points x_{t-1} and x_t in M such that

$$\{a_\epsilon, b_{(t-1)\epsilon}, c_{(t-2)\epsilon}, x_{t-1}\}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\{a_\epsilon, b_{t\epsilon}, c_{(t-1)\epsilon}, x_t\}, \quad \text{and} \quad (5.3)$$

$$\{a_0, b_0, c_0, x_2, \dots, x_t\} \quad (5.4)$$

are collinear sets. From the definition of the matroid $L_p^{\mathbf{V}}$ we know that the sets $\{a_0, c_{t\epsilon}, b_{t\epsilon}\}$ and $\{a_0, a_{\epsilon \cdot ((t-1)^{-1}+1)}, a_\epsilon\}$ are collinear.

Taking $\epsilon \cdot (t-1)^{-1}(t)$ instead of ϵ in (5.2) and (5.3), we deduce that $\{a_{\epsilon \cdot (t-1)^{-1}(t)}, b_{t\epsilon}, x_{t-1}\}$ and $\{a_{\epsilon \cdot (t-1)^{-1}(t)}, c_{t\epsilon}, x_t\}$ are collinear sets. These two sets are respectively equal to $\{a_{\epsilon \cdot ((t-1)^{-1}+1)}, b_{t\epsilon}, x_{t-1}\}$

and $\{a_{\epsilon, ((t-1)^{-1}+1)}, c_{t\epsilon}, x_t\}$. These, (5.3), and (5.4) imply that

$$\text{HP}(a_0, x_{t-1}, x_t; c_{t\epsilon}, b_{t\epsilon}, a_{\epsilon}, a_{\epsilon, ((t-1)^{-1}+1)})$$

holds in M . Therefore, there is a point $x_{t+1} \in M$ with $\text{H}(a_0, x_t; x_{t-1}, x_{t+1})$ such that $\{a_{\epsilon}, c_{t\epsilon}, x_{t+1}\}$, hence $\{a_{\epsilon}, b_{(t+1)\epsilon}, c_{t\epsilon}, x_{t+1}\}$, and $\{a_0, b_0, c_0, x_2, \dots, x_{t+1}\}$ are collinear sets.

We have proved $\text{H}(a_0, x_t; x_{t-1}, x_{t+1})$ for $t = 1, \dots, p$ and that

$$\{a_0, b_0, c_0, x_2, \dots, x_p\} \subseteq \mathbf{h}^{\infty}(\{a_0, b_0, c_0\}),$$

hence $\{a_0, b_0, c_0, x_2, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1}\}$ is a collinear set and $a_0, b_0, c_0, x_2, \dots, x_{p+1}$ is a conjugate sequence.

Since $p\epsilon = 0$, we have $b_{p\epsilon} = b_0$. We deduced at $t = p - 1$ that the set $\{a_{\epsilon}, b_{p\epsilon}, c_{(p-1)\epsilon}, x_p\}$ is collinear and lies in a distinct line from $\mathbf{h}^{\infty}(\{a_0, b_0, c_0\})$. Therefore,

$$b_0, x_p \in \{a_{\epsilon}, b_{p\epsilon}, c_{(p-1)\epsilon}, x_p\} \cap \mathbf{h}^{\infty}(\{a_0, b_0, c_0\}).$$

Since both b_0 and x_p are in the intersection of distinct lines, $x_p = b_0$. This proves that $a_0, b_0, c_0, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1}$ is a modular sequence of order at most p . By Proposition 4.3 Part (ii), the order divides p , which is prime; thus the sequence has order p . Since by Lemma 4.2 a modular sequence is harmonically closed, $\mathbf{h}^{\infty}\{a_0, b_0, c_0\} \subseteq \{a_0, b_0, c_0, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1}\}$.

This completes the proof. \square

The following construction of a projective rectangle in M is similar to that used by Flórez in [2], where from an embedding of L_p into a harmonic matroid he produced an embedding of the Desarguesian plane of order p . (His analog of the vector space \mathbf{V} was simply \mathbb{Z}_p .)

Construction 5.4 (Construction of the Harmonic Closure). We construct the harmonic closure $\mathbf{h}^{\infty}(L_p^{\mathbf{V}})$, step by step, by applications of Lemma 4.1. We begin with $L_p^{\mathbf{V}} = A \cup B \cup C$ and we define $R_{\infty} = A$, $R_0 = B$, and $R_1 = C$. Given R_0, \dots, R_t for a particular $t = 1, 2, \dots, p - 2$, we define R_{t+1} by harmonic conjugation from R_{∞}, R_{t-1}, R_t . The conjugate sequence $\mathbf{h}^{\infty}(a_0, b_0, c_0) = (a_0, x_0 = b_0, x_1 = c_0, \dots, x_{t-1}, x_t, x_{t+1}, \dots)$ gives us x_{t+1} as the first element of R_{t+1} .

When $t = 1$, $R_{\infty} \cup R_{t-1} \cup R_t$ is $L_p^{\mathbf{V}}$. We assume in the induction that each $R_i \setminus D$ for $i \leq t$ is labeled by \mathbf{V} , the labels being $x_{t,h}$, in such a way that $R_{\infty} \cup R_{t-1} \cup R_t$ is isomorphic to $L_p^{\mathbf{V}}$ by a mapping that is the identity on R_{∞} and maps b_g, c_h respectively to $x_{t-1,g}, x_{t,h}$. This isomorphism means that the construction of R_t is identical to that of R_2 , only beginning with a different isomorph of $L_p^{\mathbf{V}}$. Thus, we only need to construct R_2 .

There were several ways to construct x_2 , the harmonic conjugate of b_0 . We formed a harmonic configuration $\text{HP}(a_0, b_0, c_0; a_g, D, c_h, b_k)$ for some $g, h, k \neq 0$ and observed that the line $\overline{a_g c_h} = \mathbf{h}^{\infty}(a_g, b_{g+h}, c_h)$ intersects $\mathbf{h}^{\infty}(a_0, b_0, c_0)$ at the harmonic conjugate of b_0 with respect to a_0 and c_0 , because we are in the harmonic matroid M . The point is x_2 . In fact, $h = k = g$ because of the lines $a_g b_k c_0$ and $a_0 b_k c_h$ in $L_p^{\mathbf{V}}$, which imply $k = g + 0 = 0 + h$. Because we are in a harmonic matroid, we get the same harmonic conjugate x_2 for every choice of g .

Next, we construct the other points in R_2 . By Lemma 4.1 Part (iii), the conjugate sequence $\mathbf{h}^\infty(a_0, b_h, c_h)$ intersects $\overline{Dx_2}$ in a point that we call $x_{2,h}$, which is the harmonic conjugate of b_h with respect to a_0 and c_h . (This is where we set up the labeling of R_2 .)

Now pick any $g' \in \mathbf{V}$ and let $b_{h+g'}$ be the intersection of the line $\overline{a_{g'}c_h}$ in $L_p^{\mathbf{V}}$ with B . The harmonic configuration $\text{HP}(a_0, b_h, c_h; a_{g'}, D, c_{h'}, b_{h'+g'})$ implies that the harmonic conjugate $x_{2,h}$ of b_h with respect to a_0 and c_h is on the line $\overline{a_{g'}c_h}$; therefore, $\{a_{g'}, b_{g'+h'}, c_{h'}, x_{2,h}\}$ is a collinear set; more precisely $(a_{g'}, b_{g'+h'}, c_{h'}, x_{2,h}, \dots)$ is the modular sequence $\mathbf{h}^\infty(a_{g'}, c_{h'})$ and $x_{2,h}$ is the harmonic conjugate of $b_{g'+h'}$ with respect to $a_{g'}, c_{h'}$.

We have produced an element of $R_2 \setminus D$ for every $h \in \mathbf{V}$. To establish the isomorphism of $A \cup C \cup R_2$ with $L_p^{\mathbf{V}}$ we must show that, for arbitrary $g', h', h, a_{g'}, c_{h'}, x_{2,h}$ are collinear if and only if $h' = g' + h$. Assume collinearity; we need to show that $h' = g' + h$. Consider the point in B at which $\overline{a_0c_{h'}}$ intersects $\overline{a_{g'}c_h}$. In the former line it is $b_{0+h'}$ and in the latter it is $b_{g'+h}$; but these are the same point, so $h' = g' + h$. In the opposite direction, if $h' = g' + h$ then $b_{0+h'} = b_{g'+h}$ so $\overline{a_0c_{h'}}$ intersects $\overline{a_{g'}c_h}$ at $b_{h'}$ and $x_{2,h}$ is the harmonic conjugate of $b_{g'+h'}$ with respect to $a_{g'}, c_{h'}$; i.e., it is collinear with $a_{g'}, c_{h'}$. This completes the proof of isomorphism and permits us to advance to the next step of the induction.

Since the modular sequences all have length p , it is easy to see that $R_p = R_0$.

To confirm the validity of the construction we use a labeling lemma. Define $x_{0,g+h} = b_{g+h}$ and $x_{1,h} = c_h$ and for $t \geq 0$ let $x_{t,g,h}$ be the intersection of $\mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, c_h)$ with R_t ; thus, $x_{0,g,h} = x_{0,g+h}$ and $x_{1,g,h} = x_{1,h}$.

Lemma 5.5. *For each $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$, we have $x_{t,g,h} = x_{t,(1-t)g+h}$.*

Proof. We showed that the point $x_{2,g,h} = x_{2,-g+h}$ where $h = -g' + h'$ and $x_{2,g',h'}$ is the next point after $x_{1,h'}$ in the modular sequence $a_g, \dots, x_{1,h'}, \dots$. This means that at each future stage, when the sequence is $a_g, \dots, x_{t-1,h'}, \dots$, we have $x_{t,g,h} = x_{t,-g+h'}$. By induction, $h' = (1 - [t - 1])g + h$, and that establishes the formula. \square

Lemma 5.5 and observations in the construction enable us to specify collinearity of points $x_{i,f}$.

Corollary 5.6 (Common Modular Sequence). (i) *For $t, t' \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$, the points $x_{t,g,h}$ and $x_{t',g',h'}$ are collinear with D if and only if $t|t'$.*
(ii) *For each $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$, we have $x_{t,g_1,h_1} = x_{t,g_2,h_2}$ if and only if $(1 - t)g_1 + h_1 = (1 - t)g_2 + h_2$.*
(iii) *Points a_g and $x_{t,f}$, where $t \geq 0$, are in the unique common modular sequence $\mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, c_h) = (a_g, b_{g+h}, c_h, \dots)$ where $h = (t - 1)g + f$.*
(iv) *Points $x_{t,f}$ and $x_{t',f'}$, where $t' > t \geq 0$, are in the unique common modular sequence $\mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, c_h)$ where $g = [f - f'] \cdot (t' - t)^{-1}$ and $h = (t - 1)g + f = (t' - 1)g + f'$.*
(v) *Points $a_g, x_{t,f}, x_{t',f'}$, where $t' > t > 0$, are in a common modular sequence $\mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, c_h)$ if and only if $f - f' = (t - t')g$. Then $h = (t - 1)g + f = (t' - 1)g + f'$.*

- (vi) Points $x_{t,f}, x_{t',f'}, x_{t'',f''}$, where $t'' > t' > t \geq 0$, are in a common modular sequence $\mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, c_h)$ if and only if $[f - f'] \cdot (t' - t)^{-1} = [f' - f''] \cdot (t'' - t')^{-1}$. Then $g = [f - f'] \cdot (t' - t)^{-1}$ and $h = (t - 1)g + f = (t' - 1)g + f' = (t'' - t)g + f''$.

Proposition 5.7. *The set \mathcal{P} is the harmonic closure of $L_p^\mathbf{V}$. The lines in \mathcal{P} are $R_\infty = A, R_0 = B, R_1 = C, R_2, \dots, R_{p-1}$ (with $R_p = R_0$) and the modular sequences $\mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, b_{g+h}, c_h)$ for $g, h \in \mathbf{V}$.*

Proof. This summarizes the result of the inductive construction. To complete the proof we need to show the point labels are the same in R_p as in R_0 . That follows from setting $t = p$ in Lemma 5.5. \square

We note the following consequence of the construction.

Corollary 5.8. *For every $g \in \mathbf{V}$, $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ and $x_t \in R_t \setminus D$, there exists a modular sequence of the form $\mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, c_h)$ that contains x_t .*

A final corollary to formalize the basic tool of the construction.

Corollary 5.9. *Define $\lambda : R_t \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ by $\lambda(x_{t,g,h}) = (1-t)g + h$ for all $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. The submatroids of M formed by $R_\infty \cup R_{t-1} \cup R_t$ and, for each $0 \leq t'' < t' < t \leq p-1$ by $R_{t''} \cup R_{t'} \cup R_t$ are isomorphic to $L_p^\mathbf{V}$ by the labeling λ with $R_\infty \mapsto A, R_{t-1} \mapsto B$, and $R_t \mapsto C$.*

Lemma 5.10. *Let g, h, q and r be elements of \mathbf{V} . The set $l_1 = \mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, c_h)$ is a line of a Desarguesian projective plane of order p in $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^\mathbf{V})$. Moreover, if l_1 intersects the line $l_2 = \mathbf{h}^\infty(a_q, c_r)$ in a single point, then both are lines of a Desarguesian projective plane of order p in $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^\mathbf{V})$.*

Proof. First we prove the lemma for $p = 2$. Since $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_2^\mathbf{V}) = L_2^\mathbf{V}$ in this case, we have $l_1 = \{a_g, b_{g+h}, c_h\}$ and $l_2 = \{a_q, b_{q+r}, c_r\}$. So, the intersection of l_1 and l_2 is either $\{a_g\} = \{a_q\}$, $\{b_{g+h}\} = \{b_{q+r}\}$ or $\{c_h\} = \{c_r\}$.

Suppose that $a_g = a_q$. Since $g + r + 2h = g + r$ and $g + 2r + h = g + h$ in \mathbf{V} , both sets $\{a_{g+r+h}, b_{g+h}, c_r\}$ and $\{a_{g+r+h}, b_{g+r}, c_h\}$ are collinear. Since these are lines that intersect only in a_g , we conclude that $r \neq h$. The collinearities imply that the configuration $\text{HP}(a_g, b_{g+h}, c_h; a_{g+r+h}, D, c_r, b_{g+r})$ holds in $L_2^\mathbf{V}$ and is a Fano plane. That proves there is a projective plane in $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^\mathbf{V})$ that contains both l_1 and l_2 . The proof is similar if $c_h = c_r$.

Suppose that $b_{g+h} = b_{q+r}$. This implies that $g + h = q + r$. Since $-h = h$ and $-r = r$, it follows that $b_{g+r} = b_{q+h}$ in \mathbf{V} . Therefore, $\text{HP}(a_g, b_{g+h}, c_h; a_q, D, c_r, b_{g+r})$ is a Fano plane in $L_2^\mathbf{V}$ that contains both l_1 and l_2 .

We now prove the lemma for $p > 2$. If the intersection of l_1 and l_2 is $\{a_g\} = \{a_q\}$, then, clearly, $\text{HP}(a_g, b_{g+h}, c_h; a_{g+r-h}, D, c_r, b_{g+r})$ holds in $L_p^\mathbf{V}$. This, Lemma 5.2 Part (ii), and Proposition 4.3 imply that $\mathbf{h}^\infty(\text{HP}(a_g, b_{g+h}, c_h; a_{g+r-h}, D, c_r, b_{g+r}))$ is a projective plane that contains both l_1 and l_2 . If the intersection of l_1 and l_2 is $\{c_h\} = \{c_r\}$, the proof is similar. Therefore, we may now assume $q \neq g$ and $r \neq h$.

Since $l_1 = \mathbf{h}^\infty\{a_g, b_{g+h}, c_h\}$, Lemma 5.2 guarantees the existence of points x_2, \dots, x_p in M , where $x_p = b_{g+h}$, such that the line $l_1 = \{a_g, c_h, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1}\}$ is a modular sequence of order p . This and Proposition 4.3 imply the first part of the lemma.

Suppose that the intersection of l_1 and l_2 is $\{x_{j+1}\}$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$. This implies that $\{a_q, c_r, x_{j+1}\}$ is collinear. Lemma 5.2 with $\epsilon = q$ implies that $\{a_q, c_{\phi_q(j)}, x_{j+1}\}$ is collinear. Thus, $\{a_q, c_{\phi_q(j)}, c_r, x_{j+1}\}$ is collinear. The intersection of the two collinear sets $\{a_q, c_{\phi_q(j)}, c_r, x_{j+1}\}$ and C cannot have more than one point, so we know that $c_{\phi_q(j)} = c_r$. Thus, l_2 is equal to $\mathbf{h}^\infty\{a_q, c_{\phi_q(j)}, x_{j+1}\}$.

Suppose $j \neq p-1$ and let $\Delta = g + (q-g) \cdot j(j+1)^{-1}$. From the definition of $L_p^\mathbf{V}$ we know that $\{a_g, c_{\phi_q(j)}, b_{g\phi_q(j)}\}$ and $\{a_g, a_q, a_\Delta\}$ are collinear sets. Lemma 5.2 Part (i) implies both that $\{a_\Delta, b_{g\phi_q(j)}, x_{j+1}\}$ is collinear, by taking $\epsilon = \Delta$ and computing $\Delta\phi_\Delta(j) = g + \phi_q(j)$, and that $\{a_q, b_{q+\phi_q(j-1)}, x_j\}$ and $\{a_q, c_{\phi_q(j)}, x_{j+1}\}$ are collinear sets, by taking $\epsilon = q$. These, the equality $q + \phi_q(j-1) = g + \phi_q(j)$, and the fact that $\{a_g, x_j, x_{j+1}\}$ is collinear, imply that

$$\text{HP}(a_g, x_j, x_{j+1}; c_{\phi_q(j)}, b_{g\phi_q(j)}, a_\Delta, a_q)$$

holds in M . This and Proposition 4.3 imply that

$$\mathbf{h}^\infty(\text{HP}(a_g, x_j, x_{j+1}; c_{\phi_q(j)}, b_{g+\phi_q(j)}, a_\Delta, a_q))$$

is a projective plane that contains both l_1 and l_2 .

If $j = p-1$ we have $\text{HP}(D, a_1, a_g; b_{g+r}, b_{g+h}, c_h, c_r)$. In this case

$$\mathbf{h}^\infty(\text{HP}(D, a_1, a_g; b_{g+r}, b_{g+h}, c_h, c_r))$$

is a projective plane that contains both l_1 and l_2 . \square

Proposition 5.11. *Let l_1 and l_2 be two distinct lines in $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^\mathbf{V})$ with $l_1 \cap l_2 \neq \emptyset$ and neither of them incident with D . If two other distinct lines in $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^\mathbf{V})$ intersect both l_1 and l_2 in four distinct points, then those two lines intersect.*

Proof. Suppose that l_3 and l_4 are two distinct lines in $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^\mathbf{V})$, such that l_3 intersects l_1 and l_2 in $\{y_1\}$ and $\{y_2\}$, respectively, and l_4 intersects l_1 and l_2 in $\{y_3\}$ and $\{y_4\}$ respectively.

From Lemma 5.10 we know that l_1 and l_2 lie in a projective plane $\pi \subseteq \mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^\mathbf{V})$. Since y_1, y_2, y_3 and y_4 are in π , the lines $l_3 \cap \pi$ and $l_4 \cap \pi$ intersect in π . This proves that $l_3 \cap l_4 \neq \emptyset$. \square

Construction 5.12 (Projective Rectangle by Harmonic Conjugation). Let $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R} := (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &:= \bigcup_{g,h \in \mathbf{V}} \mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, c_h) \cup \{D\} = R_\infty \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{p-1} R_i, \\ \mathcal{L} &:= \{R_i : i = \infty, 0, \dots, p-1\} \cup \{\mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, c_h) : g, h \in \mathbf{V}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and \mathcal{I} is the incidence relation of set membership.

Theorem 5.13. *The system $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ is a projective rectangle of order $(p, |\mathbf{V}|)$.*

Proof. Clearly, D serves as the special point and R_i is a special line for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1, \infty\}$. Any point apart from D belongs to some line R_i , hence it is collinear with D . This establishes that $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ satisfies Axioms (A2) and (A4).

The construction of the sets of the form $\mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, c_h)$ for $g, h \in \mathbf{V}$ and the definition of the sets $R_\infty, R_0, \dots, R_{p-1}$ in Construction 5.12 imply that every set in \mathcal{L} has at least $p+1 > 3$ elements, thus we seamlessly achieve the satisfaction of Axiom (A3).

From Lemma 5.2 we know that for fixed $g', h' \in \mathbf{V}$ the set $\mathbf{h}^\infty(a_{g'}, c_{h'})$ intersects R_i in exactly one point for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1, \infty\}$. This and the fact that $R_i \cap R_j = \{D\}$ for $i \neq j$ prove that $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ satisfies Axiom (A5).

Let w and q be two points in $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$, neither equal to D . If both points are in R_i for some i , they are collinear. Now suppose that $w \in R_s$ and $q \in R_t$, for $s \neq t$. Again, from the definition of $L_p^\mathbf{V}$ and Lemma 5.2 Part (i), there are $|\mathbf{V}|$ sets of the form $\mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, c_h)$ for $g, h \in \mathbf{V}$ that contain w . By Corollary 5.6 Part iii the set $\mathcal{P} \setminus R_s$ is contained in the union of all $|\mathbf{V}|$ sets of the form $\mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, b_{g+h}, c_h)$ that contain w . Since $q \in R_t \neq R_s$, q and w must be collinear. This proves Axiom (A1).

Let l_1 and l_2 be two distinct sets in \mathcal{L} , where $l_1 \cap l_2 \neq \emptyset$ and neither of them is incident with D . Suppose that l_3 and l_4 are two distinct sets in \mathcal{L} such that l_3 intersects l_1 and l_2 at two distinct points, and l_4 intersects l_1 and l_2 at two other distinct points. According to Proposition 5.11, they have non-empty intersection.

From Construction 5.4 we know that $R_i \cap \mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, c_h) \neq \emptyset$ for $g, h \in \mathbf{V}$ and $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1, \infty\}$. This proves that $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ satisfies Axiom (A6) and thus completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.1. The proof is a straightforward application of Construction 5.12, Theorem 5.13, and Proposition 5.7. \square

Corollary 5.14. *For each embedding of $L_p^\mathbf{V}$ in a harmonic matroid and each embedding of L_p into $L_p^\mathbf{V}$, $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p)$ is a Desarguesian projective plane of order p embedded in $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^\mathbf{V})$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of the proof of Theorem 5.13. \square

Let $\Pi(q)$ denote the projective plane over the field \mathbb{F}_q . We know from Theorem 5.1 with $\mathbf{V} = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^k$ that $\Pi(p^k)$ contains a projective rectangle $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^k)$, so any harmonic matroid M that contains the plane $\Pi(q)$ also contains a $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^k)$ as a submatroid of the plane. On the other hand, Theorem 5.1 states that M contains a rectangle $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^k)$, without reference to projective planes. We do not know whether this $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^k)$ will be contained in a plane $\Pi(p^k)$ in M . It is conceivable that for every harmonic matroid M , every $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R} \subseteq M$ is contained in a projective plane in M . This would make the appearance of projective rectangles a sidelight to that of projective planes.

Question 5.15. Is there a full algebraic matroid M , or any other harmonic matroid, that contains a projective rectangle that is not itself contained in a projective plane in M ?

In particular, is the projective rectangle $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^k) \subseteq M$ of Theorem 5.1 with $\mathbf{V} = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^k$ necessarily contained in a plane $\Pi(p^k)$ in M ?

Question 5.16. Is Theorem 5.1 valid if $L_p^{\mathbf{V}}$ is replaced by $L_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{V}}$ where \mathbf{F} is a field other than \mathbb{Z}_p , in particular an infinite field, and $L_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{V}}$ is the complete lift matroid $L_0(\mathbf{V} \cdot K_3)$? (See Section 6 for the rational field.)

6. THE RATIONAL FIELD \mathbb{Q}

We apply the methods of the previous section to the smallest field with characteristic 0, namely, $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{Q}$ with additive group \mathbb{Q}^+ and vector space \mathbf{V} any rational vector space (for example, \mathbb{R}). The same proof applies to any countable field whose characteristic is 0.

As in the previous section we write $\mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, c_h) := \mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, b_{g+h}, c_h)$; however, here the conjugate sequence is infinite instead of modular. To express these sequences in terms of \mathbb{Q} we need a fixed bijection $\psi : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We use the function $\phi_\epsilon : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$, which is an injection defined by $\phi_\epsilon(t) = t\epsilon - tg + h$ where g, h , and ϵ are fixed elements of \mathbf{V} such that $\epsilon - g \neq 0$. For the sake of simplicity, and if there is not ambiguity, we write $\phi(t)$ instead of $\phi_\epsilon(t)$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let g and h be elements of \mathbf{V} . If $L_0(\mathbf{V} \cdot K_3)$ is embedded in a harmonic matroid M , then for every $r \in \mathbf{V} \setminus \{0\}$ there is a point x_r in M such that*

- (i) *for every $\epsilon \in \mathbf{V}$, $\{a_\epsilon, b_{\epsilon+\phi(r)}, c_{\phi(t)}, x_r\}$ is collinear;*
- (ii) *$\mathbf{h}^\infty\{a_0, b_0, c_0\} = \{a_0, b_0, c_0\} \cup \{x_i \mid i \in \mathbf{V}\}$ is a countable modular sequence.*

Before the proof we establish another lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{V}$, the mapping $a_g, b_{g+h}, c_h \mapsto a_{g+\alpha}, b_{g+h+\alpha+\beta}, c_{h+\beta}$ is an automorphism of $L_0(\mathbf{V} \cdot K_3)$.*

Proof. A line $a_g b_{g+h} c_h$ becomes a line $a_{g+\alpha} b_{(g+\alpha)+(h+\beta)} c_{h+\beta}$. □

Take $\alpha = -g$ and $\beta = -h$; this converts the general case to the case $g = h = 0$ and gives $\phi(t) = t\epsilon$. (As in the finite case, we think of the automorphism as relabelling $L_0(\mathbf{V} \cdot K_3)$.) We restate Lemma 6.1:

- (i) for every $\epsilon \in \mathbf{V}$, $\{a_\epsilon, b_{(t+1)\epsilon}, c_{t\epsilon}, x_{t+1}\}$ is collinear;
- (ii) $\mathbf{h}^\infty\{a_0, b_0, c_0\} = \{a_0, b_0, c_0\} \cup \{x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ is a countable conjugate sequence.

Now we are in a copy of $L_0(\mathbf{V} \cdot K_3)$ with $A_\epsilon = \{a_{i\epsilon} : i \in \mathbb{Q}\}$, $B_\epsilon = \{b_{i\epsilon} : i \in \mathbb{Q}\}$, and $C_\epsilon = \{c_{i\epsilon} : i \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. That reduces the proof to $L_0(\mathbb{Q}^+ \cdot K_3)$.

Proof of Lemma 6.1. We use mathematical induction on $t = \psi(r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We define $x_0 = b_0$ and $x_1 = c_0$. Suppose that $t = 1$. From the definition of $L_0(\mathbf{V} \cdot K_3)$ we deduce that the configuration

$$\text{HP}(a_0, b_0, c_0; a_\epsilon, D, c_\epsilon, b_\epsilon) \tag{6.1}$$

holds in M . This implies that there is a point $x_2 \in M$ with $\text{H}(a_0, c_0; b_0, x_2)$ such that $\{a_\epsilon, b_{2\epsilon}, c_\epsilon, x_2\}$ and $\{a_0, b_0, c_0, x_2\}$ are collinear. We observe that x_2 depends only on a_0, b_0, c_0 and is independent of ϵ , because M is a harmonic matroid. This proves Part (i) and Part (ii) for $t = 1$.

We now fix $t = \psi(r)$ for some $r \in \mathbf{V}$ and suppose that there are points x_{t-1} and x_t in M such that

$$\{a_\epsilon, b_{(t-1)\epsilon}, c_{(t-2)\epsilon}, x_{t-1}\}, \tag{6.2}$$

$$\{a_\epsilon, b_{t\epsilon}, c_{(t-1)\epsilon}, x_t\}, \text{ and} \quad (6.3)$$

$$\{a_0, b_0, c_0, x_2, \dots, x_t\} \quad (6.4)$$

are collinear sets. From the definition of the matroid $L_0(\mathbf{V} \cdot K_3)$ we know that the sets $\{a_0, c_{t\epsilon}, b_{t\epsilon}\}$ and $\{a_0, a_{\epsilon \cdot ((t-1)^{-1}+1)}, a_\epsilon\}$ are collinear.

Taking $\epsilon \cdot (t-1)^{-1}t$ instead of ϵ in (6.2) and (6.3), we deduce that $\{a_{\epsilon \cdot (t-1)^{-1}t}, b_{t\epsilon}, x_{t-1}\}$ and $\{a_{\epsilon \cdot (t-1)^{-1}t}, c_{t\epsilon}, x_t\}$ are collinear sets. These two sets are respectively equal to $\{a_{\epsilon \cdot ((t-1)^{-1}+1)}, b_{t\epsilon}, x_{t-1}\}$ and $\{a_{\epsilon \cdot ((t-1)^{-1}+1)}, c_{t\epsilon}, x_t\}$. These, (6.3), and (6.4) imply that

$$\text{HP}(a_0, x_{t-1}, x_t; c_{t\epsilon}, b_{t\epsilon}, a_\epsilon, a_{\epsilon \cdot ((t-1)^{-1}+1)})$$

holds in M . Therefore, there is a point $x_{t+1} \in M$ with $\text{H}(a_0, x_t; x_{t-1}, x_{t+1})$ such that $\{a_\epsilon, c_{t\epsilon}, x_{t+1}\}$ and $\{a_0, b_0, c_0, x_2, \dots, x_{t+1}\}$ are collinear.

Note that we have proved $\text{H}(a_0, x_t; x_{t-1}, x_{t+1})$ for $t = \psi(r)$ with $r \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof of Part (ii). From the proof of Part (i) we know that $\mathbf{h}^\infty\{a_0, b_0, c_0\} = \{a_0, b_0, c_0\} \cup \{x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ is a countable conjugate sequence. \square

Recall that A, B and C are the three lines of $L_0(\mathbf{V} \cdot K_3)$ that are concurrent at D . Let $R_\infty = A, R_0 = B, R_1 = C$. For $t = \psi(r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, where $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, we define R_{t+1} recursively as

$$\{z : \exists a \in R_\infty \setminus D, x \in R_{t-1} \setminus D, y \in R_t \setminus D \text{ such that } \text{H}(a, y; x, z)\} \cup \{D\}.$$

As in Section 5 we have the following properties.

Corollary 6.3. *The set $R_{\psi(r)}$ is collinear for each $r \in \mathbb{Q}$.*

Corollary 6.4. *Let $g, h \in \mathbf{V}$ be fixed. Then for each $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, $R_{\psi(r)} \cap \mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, c_h) \neq \emptyset$.*

Construction 6.5 (Projective Rectangle by Rational Harmonic Conjugation). Let $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R} := (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &:= \bigcup_{g, h \in \mathbf{V}} \mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, c_h) \cup \{D\} = R_\infty \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} R_i, \\ \mathcal{L} &:= \{R_i : i = \infty, 0, \dots, \} \cup \{\mathbf{h}^\infty(a_g, c_h) : g, h \in \mathbf{V}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and \mathcal{I} is the incidence relation of set membership.

Theorem 6.6. *The system $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ is a projective rectangle of order $(|\mathbb{Q}|, |\mathbf{V}|)$.*

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as that of Theorem 5.13 for the field \mathbb{Z}_p . The modifications due to having infinite sequences do not affect any of the harmonic relations or calculations. \square

7. A SUBMATROID THAT GENERATES A PROJECTIVE RECTANGLE

In this section we prove that a generalization of $R_{\text{cycle}}[p]$ is a matroid that extends by harmonic conjugation to the projective rectangle $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^k)$, where p is a prime number and k is a positive integer. We define the generalization in terms of any positive integer m . The matroid L_m^k , where k and m are positive integers, is defined exactly like L_p^k in Section 4. Let $\{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$ be a minimal set of generators of $\mathfrak{G} := (\mathbb{Z}_m)^k$. We set $G = \{0, g_1, \dots, g_k\}$. We write $R_{\text{cycle}}^k[m]$ to mean any matroid isomorphic to the restriction

$$L_m^k|(A \cup B \cup \{c_f \mid f \in G\}).$$

We call this the *higher Reid cycle matroid*.




FIGURE 7.1. The higher Reid cycle matroid $R_{\text{cycle}}^2[3]$ with group $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$; the identity element is $e = (0, 0)$.

Gordon [4], for $m = p$ (a prime) and Flórez [1] (for all m) found the algebraic representability of $R_{\text{cycle}}[m]$.

Lemma 7.1 ([4, Theorem 2] and [1, Theorem 2]). *The Reid cycle matroid $R_{\text{cycle}}[m]$ is algebraically representable, and is linearly representable, if and only if m is a prime number.*

Flórez [1] also found that L_p^k (recall that p is prime) embeds in some harmonic matroid for every $k > 0$. More precisely, harmonic conjugation is involved when $k = 1$:

Lemma 7.2 ([1, Lemma 9]). *Any embedding of $R_{\text{cycle}}[p]$ in a harmonic matroid M extends uniquely by harmonic conjugation to an embedding of L_p in M .*

The proof of Lemma 7.2 shows that there are points c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{p-1} that extend $R_{\text{cycle}}[p]$ to L_p . Those points satisfy harmonicity, $H(c_j, D; c_{j-1}, c_{j+1})$, and that $\{a_i, b_{i+j}, c_j\}$ is a collinear triple for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

We wish to extend these results to $R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p]$. Our objectives are the following two theorems as well as Proposition 7.8.

Theorem 7.3. *For every $k > 0$, the higher Reid cycle matroid $R_{\text{cycle}}^k[m]$ is linearly representable, and is algebraically representable, if and only if m is a prime number. The same holds true for L_m^k .*

Theorem 7.4. *Suppose that L_p^k is embedded in a harmonic matroid M . Then each $R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p] \subseteq L_p^k$ is a submatroid of L_p^k for which $\mathbf{h}^\infty(R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p]) = \mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^k)$. When $k = 1$, $R_{\text{cycle}}[p]$ is a minimal such matroid.*

Question 7.5. What are the minimal submatroids N of $R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p]$ such that $\mathbf{h}^\infty(N) = \mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^k)$? It seems improbable that $R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p]$ is minimal for $k > 1$.

We begin the proofs with the following lemma.

Lemma 7.6. *The higher Reid cycle matroid $R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p]$ is linearly and algebraically representable in characteristic p , and therefore embeds in some harmonic matroid, for every $k > 0$.*

Proof. The matroid $R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p]$ is linearly representable in the vector space \mathbf{F}^3 over any field \mathbf{F} that contains \mathbb{F}_{p^k} , because $\mathfrak{G} \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^+ \subseteq \mathbf{F}^+$, the additive group of the field [12, Theorem 4.1(a)]. Therefore, it embeds in two kinds of harmonic matroid: every projective space of dimension 2 or greater over such a field, and consequently also in every full algebraic matroid of characteristic p and transcendence degree at least equal to $3 = \text{rank}(R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p])$ [9, Proposition 6.7.10]. \square

For use in the next proposition we identify the Reid cycle matroids contained in L_p^k .

Construction 7.7 (Reid Cycle Submatroids). A Reid cycle submatroid $R_{\text{cycle}}[p] \subseteq L_p^k$ must have the following form: a point set $A_1 \cup B_1 \cup C_1$ where $A_1 = \{a_{\alpha_i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} \cup \{D\}$, $B_1 = \{b_{\beta_j} \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} \cup \{D\}$, and $C_1 = \{c_\gamma, c_{\gamma'}, D\}$, where $\alpha_i, \beta_j, \gamma, \gamma' \in \mathfrak{G}$; and lines $\{a_{\alpha_i}, b_{\beta_i}, c_\gamma\}$ and $\{a_{\alpha_i}, b_{\beta_{i+1}}, c_{\gamma'}\}$ as well as A_1, B_1 , and C_1 . (The point indexing can always be chosen this way because the index set \mathbb{Z}_p is prime cyclic; we omit the details.) The 3-point lines mean that $\beta_i = \alpha_i + \gamma$ and $\beta_{i+1} = \alpha_i + \gamma'$. It follows that $\alpha_i + \gamma = \alpha_{i-1} + \gamma'$, so $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i-1} + \gamma' - \gamma = \alpha_0 + i(\gamma' - \gamma)$. Let $\delta = \gamma' - \gamma$, so that $\gamma' = \delta + \gamma$. Then $\alpha_i = \alpha + i\delta$ (where α is short for α_0) and $\beta_i = \alpha + i\delta + \gamma$. We denote this submatroid by $R_p(\alpha, \delta, \gamma)$; see Figure 7.2.

Our description means there is a cyclic subgroup $\langle \delta \rangle = \{i\delta \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, such that $\{\alpha_i\}_i = \alpha + \langle \delta \rangle$ and $\{\beta_i\}_i = \gamma + \alpha + \langle \delta \rangle$; that is, the group elements that label A_1 and B_1 are cosets of $\langle \delta \rangle$. The choice of the parameters $\alpha, \gamma, \delta \in \mathfrak{G}$ is arbitrary as long as $\delta \neq 0$. Every Reid cycle submatroid of L_p^k has this form (up to changes of notation) if $p^k > 2$ because the lines A_1 and B_1 , having more than 3 points, must be contained in long lines of L_p^k . (We note that the same Reid cycle submatroid may be constructed from different sets of parameters.)




FIGURE 7.2. The submatroid $R_p(\alpha, \delta, \gamma)$ (solid dots) and its harmonic extension $\bar{R}_p(\alpha, \delta, \gamma)$ (with the hollow dots).

Proposition 7.8. *Any embedding of $R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p]$ in a harmonic matroid M extends uniquely by harmonic conjugation to an embedding of L_p^k in M .*

Proof. By Lemma 7.2 we may assume $k > 1$. Let α, γ, δ be three fixed elements of \mathfrak{G} with $\delta \neq 0$.

We consider the simple matroid $R_p(\alpha, \delta, \gamma) \cong R_{\text{cycle}}[p]$ of rank 3 on the point set

$$E(R_p(\alpha, \delta, \gamma)) = \{a_{\alpha+t\delta}, b_{\gamma+\alpha+t\delta} \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} \cup \{c_\gamma, c_{\gamma+\delta}, D\}.$$

Because $R_p(\alpha, \delta, \gamma)$ is a submatroid of $R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p]$, it embeds in M . By Lemma 7.2, $R_p(\alpha, \delta, \gamma)$ extends by harmonic conjugation to an embedding $\bar{R}_p(\alpha, \delta, \gamma)$ of L_p in M , where the new points are denoted by $c_{\gamma+t\delta}$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0, 1\}$. The existence of these new points does not depend on the choice of α , but only on γ and δ , as we can see from their subscripts. Moreover, the points satisfy $\text{HP}(c_{\gamma\delta^j}, D; c_{\gamma+(j-1)\delta}, c_{\gamma+(j+1)\delta})$ for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ (as one can see in Figure 7.2), which shows directly that $\bar{R}_p(\alpha, \delta, \gamma)$ extends $R_p(\alpha, \delta, \gamma)$ by harmonic conjugation.

Identifying each point a_i, b_i and c_i in L_p with $a_{\alpha+i\delta}, b_{\gamma+\alpha+i\delta}, c_{\gamma+i\delta}$, respectively, we can verify that the set of nontrivial flats of this extension $\bar{R}_p(\alpha, \delta, \gamma)$ is

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha, \delta, \gamma) = \left\{ \{a_{\alpha+t\delta} \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} \cup \{D\}, \{c_{\gamma+t\delta} \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} \cup \{D\}, \right. \\ \left. \{b_{\gamma+\alpha+t\delta} \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} \cup \{D\}, \{a_{\alpha+i\delta}, b_{\gamma+\alpha+(i+j)\delta}, c_{\gamma+j\delta}\} \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_p \right\}.$$

Varying α in \mathfrak{G} we obtain a family of matroids, $\{R_p(\alpha, \delta, \gamma)\}_\alpha$. The matroid $M_p^k(\gamma, \delta)$ defined on ground set

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{G}} E(\bar{R}_p(\alpha, \delta, \gamma)) = E(R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p]) \cup \{c_{\gamma+t\delta} \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$$

with the set of nontrivial flats

$$\mathcal{F}^k(\gamma, \delta) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{F}(\alpha, \delta, \gamma) = \{F \mid F \text{ is a flat of } R_p(\alpha, \delta, \gamma) \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathfrak{G}\}$$

is a (harmonic) extension of the matroid $R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p]$ and a submatroid of L_p^k .

The matroid M_p^k with the ground set

$$\bigcup_{\gamma, \delta \in G} E(M_p^k(\gamma, \delta)) = E(R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p]) \cup \{c_\omega \mid \omega \in \mathfrak{G} \setminus G\} = A \cup B \cup C$$

in the harmonic matroid M , defined by the set of nontrivial flats

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{\gamma, \delta \in G} \mathcal{F}^k(\gamma, \delta) &= \{F \mid F \text{ is a flat of } M_p^k(\gamma, \delta) \text{ for some } \gamma, \delta \in G\} \\ &= \{\{a_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathfrak{G}\} \cup \{D\}, \{c_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathfrak{G}\} \cup \{D\}, \{b_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathfrak{G}\} \cup \{D\}, \\ &\quad \{a_\alpha, b_{\alpha+\gamma+\delta}, c_{\gamma+\delta}\} \text{ for } \alpha, \gamma, \delta \text{ in } \mathfrak{G}\}, \end{aligned}$$

is a (harmonic) extension of $R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p]$ in M . Its points and flats are the points and flats of L_p^k . Thus, $M_p^k = L_p^k$, which proves the proposition. \square

If L_p^k is embedded in a harmonic matroid, then any submatroid $R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p]$ naturally is also embedded. The following corollary follows from Proposition 7.8 and the fact that \mathbf{h}^∞ is a closure operator.

Corollary 7.9. *Suppose that $R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p]$ is embedded in a harmonic matroid M . Then $\mathbf{h}^\infty(R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p]) = \mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^k)$.*

Proof of Theorem 7.3. Because $R_{\text{cycle}}^k[m]$ contains a copy of $R_{\text{cycle}}[m]$, it can only be linearly or algebraically representable when $R_{\text{cycle}}[m]$ is. By Lemma 7.1, the latter is not embeddable when m is a composite number. That rules out embedding of $R_{\text{cycle}}^k[m]$ unless m is prime. When m is prime we call upon Lemma 7.6. \square

Proof of Theorem 7.4. From Proposition 7.8, Corollary 7.9, and Theorem 5.1 we conclude that $\mathbf{h}^\infty(R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p]) = \mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^k)$.

Regarding $R_{\text{cycle}}[p]$, Gordon [4, Proof of Proposition 12] proved that any proper minor embeds in a full algebraic matroid over the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} . (He derived this from the similar result of Lindström for linear representability.) Since a full algebraic matroid is harmonic, $\mathbf{h}^\infty(R)$ is algebraically representable over \mathbb{Q} . If $\mathbf{h}^\infty(R) = \mathbf{h}^\infty(R_{\text{cycle}}[p])$, then it equals $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p)$, which contains L_p , so L_p would be algebraically representable over \mathbb{Q} . However, L_p is algebraically representable only over fields of characteristic p [1, Theorem 7]. This contradiction proves that $\mathbf{h}^\infty(R)$ is smaller than $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p)$. \square

Define the *algebraic characteristic set* $\chi_A(M)$ to be the set of field characteristics over which the matroid M is algebraic.

Proposition 7.10. *The algebraic characteristic sets $\chi_A(\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^k)) = R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p] = \{p\}$.*

Proof. From [12] we know that L_p^k is linearly representable over a field of characteristic p . Therefore, by [9, Proposition 6.7.10], L_p^k is algebraically representable over a field of characteristic p . Consequently, it embeds in a full algebraic matroid M over a field of characteristic p , which is a harmonic matroid by Lindström [8]. We deduce that $\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^k)$ is algebraically representable over a field of characteristic p . This proves that $p \in \chi_A(\mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^k))$.

On the other hand, from [4, Theorem 2] we know that $\chi_A(R_{\text{cycle}}[p]) = \{p\}$. Since $R_{\text{cycle}}[p] \subseteq R_{\text{cycle}}^k[p] \subseteq \mathbf{h}^\infty(L_p^k)$, we obtain the desired conclusion. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Rigoberto Flórez, Lindström's conjecture on a class of algebraically non-representable matroids. *Europ. J. Combin.* **27** (2006), 896–905.
- [2] Rigoberto Flórez, Harmonic conjugation in harmonic matroids. *Discrete Math.* **309** (2009), 2365–2372.
- [3] Rigoberto Flórez and Thomas Zaslavsky, Projective rectangles: A new kind of incidence structure. Submitted. arXiv:2307.04079.
- [4] Gary Gordon, Algebraic characteristic sets of matroids. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **44** (1988), 64–74.
- [5] Daniel R. Hughes and Fred C. Piper, *Projective Planes*. Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. 6. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973. MR 48 #12278. Zbl 267.50018.
- [6] Joseph P. S. Kung, Extremal matroid theory. *Graph Structure Theory* (Seattle, Wash., 1991), pp. 21–61. Contemp. Math., Vol. 147. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1993.
- [7] Bernt Lindström, A Desarguesian theorem for algebraic combinatorial geometries. *Combinatorica* **5** (1985), no. 3, 237–239.
- [8] Bernt Lindström, On harmonic conjugates in full algebraic combinatorial geometries. *Europ. J. Combin.* **7** (1986), 259–262.
- [9] J.G. Oxley, *Matroid Theory*. Second ed. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2011.
- [10] F. W. Stevenson, *Projective Planes*. W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1972.
- [11] Thomas Zaslavsky, Biased graphs. II. The three matroids. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **51** (1991), 46–72.
- [12] Thomas Zaslavsky, Biased graphs IV: Geometrical realizations. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **89** (2003), 231–297.

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE CITADEL, CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29409

Email address: rigo.florez@citadel.edu

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY, BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK
13902-6000

Email address: zaslav@math.binghamton.edu