

# A hybrid atom tweezer array of nuclear spin and optical clock qubits

Yuma Nakamura,\* Toshi Kusano, Rei Yokoyama, Keito Saito, Koichiro Higashi,

Naoya Ozawa, Tetsushi Takano, Yosuke Takasu, and Yoshiro Takahashi

*Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

(Dated: June 28, 2024)

While data qubits with a long coherence time are essential for the storage of quantum information, ancilla qubits are pivotal in quantum error correction (QEC) for fault-tolerant quantum computing. The recent development of optical tweezer arrays, such as the preparation of large-scale qubit arrays and high-fidelity gate operations, offers the potential for realizing QEC protocols, and one of the important next challenges is to control and detect ancilla qubits while minimizing atom loss and crosstalk. Here, we present the realization of a hybrid system consisting of a dual-isotope ytterbium (Yb) atom array, in which we can utilize a nuclear spin qubit of fermionic  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  as a data qubit and an optical clock qubit of bosonic  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  as an ancilla qubit with a capacity of non-destructive qubit readout. We evaluate the crosstalk between qubits regarding the impact on the coherence of the nuclear spin qubits from the imaging light for  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ . The Ramsey sequence with a 556 nm probe beam shows negligible influence on the coherence up to 100 ms exposure time. In the Hahn-echo sequence with a 399 nm probe and 556 nm cooling beams for  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ , we observe retaining a 98.4(2.1) % coherence under 30 ms exposure. This result highlights the potential of the hybrid-Yb atom array for ancilla-qubit-based QEC protocols.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Ancilla qubits play a central role in quantum error correction (QEC), which is essential for realizing fault-tolerant quantum computing [1]. The efficacy of ancilla-qubit-based QEC protocols has been recently demonstrated in quantum computing architectures with superconducting qubits [2–4] and trapped ion qubits [5, 6], supporting their importance and utility in advancing the field.

Concurrently, significant progress has been made in developing the optical tweezer array system, enabling the creation of large-scale qubit arrays [7–11] and high-fidelity gate operations [12, 13]. The attractive features of this system, such as dynamical qubit connection aided by moving tweezers [14, 15] and error-detecting methods utilizing the rich internal state of atoms [16], open up the possibilities for realizing QEC protocols [17–21].

One of the recent main focuses in the optical tweezer array systems is efficient control and readout of the ancilla qubits while minimizing qubit loss and crosstalk with neighboring data qubits. The difficulties in achieving this arise primarily from the reliance on globally irradiating light for these operations, which can induce heating and decoherence of the atoms [22].

One approach to addressing those challenges is to hide the data qubits spatially or energetically from the controlling light for the ancilla qubits. The former takes advantage of the moving tweezers that allow qubit transport between spatially separated zones designated for a specific operation [15, 23]. The latter utilizes a local addressing beam, which induces light shifts, sometimes followed by advanced state manipulation, to make data qubit atoms in a dark state [24–26].

Another approach is to prepare two distinct qubits in a single optical tweezer array, with each qubit acting as a data qubit and an ancilla qubit, respectively. Dual-species [27, 28] and dual-isotopes [29] atom arrays of alkali atoms have successfully demonstrated the capability of qubit readout with negligible crosstalk, owing to their isolated resonant frequencies.

A simple system consisting of data qubits with inherently long coherence times and ancilla qubits with non-destructive measurement capability, along with negligible crosstalk between them and no need for additional techniques, would be ideal for an ancilla-based QEC protocol [32]. Here, we present the realization of a hybrid system consisting of dual-isotope alkali-earth-like ytterbium (Yb) atom array, where the fermionic isotope  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  provides a nuclear spin qubit as a data qubit with long coherence and fast qubit control [33, 34], and the bosonic isotope  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  offers an optical clock qubit as an ancilla qubit with the capacity of non-destructive state-selective qubit readout [35] (Fig. 1(a)). By optimizing the light-assisted collision (LAC) parameters and using the heteronuclear photoassociation (PA) process, we obtain a loading rate of 21(5) % for each isotope while minimizing the probability of dual occupancy, where both isotopes are loaded in the same site simultaneously, to only 0.2(7) %. The strength of this system is the negligible crosstalk between the data and ancilla qubits owing to the large isotope shift, and we carefully evaluate the crosstalk between the isotopes in terms of the influence on the coherence of the nuclear spin qubits from the imaging light for  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  by the Ramsey and Hahn-echo sequences. In the Ramsey sequence with a 556 nm probe beam, we observe negligible impact on the coherence up to 100 ms exposure time. The Hahn-echo sequence under the irradiation of the 399 nm probe and 556 nm cooling beams with an exposure time of 30 ms, typical in our current imaging procedure, reveals that the nuclear spin qubits maintain 98.4(2.1) % con-

\* nakamura.yuma.54c@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp




FIG. 1. Overview of dual-Ytterbium atom array. (a) The vacuum chamber and the tweezer beam path. The tweezer array beam and moving tweezers are combined with a polarized beam splitter and focused at the center of the chamber through an objective lens with  $\text{NA} = 0.6$ . The fermionic isotope  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  offers a nuclear spin qubit with a long coherence time and fast qubit control. The qubit states are encoded in the ground state. The bosonic isotope  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  provides an optical clock qubit encoded in the ground state and a metastable excited state, which has the capability of non-destructive qubit readout. (b) Experimental sequence. First, the dual MOT of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  and  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  is prepared by switching the frequency of the Zeeman slower. Then, atoms are loaded into the tweezers by compressing the dual-MOT. After LAC and cooling for each isotope, the atoms are sequentially imaged by a 399 nm probe and 556 nm cooling beams. (c) Isotope shifts of the  $^1S_0-^1P_1$  ( $\lambda = 399$  nm,  $\Gamma/(2\pi) = 29$  MHz)[30] and  $^1S_0-^3P_1$  ( $\lambda = 556$  nm,  $\Gamma/(2\pi) = 182$  kHz)[31] transitions. We use the  $F = 3/2$  state of the  $^1P_1$  and  $^3P_1$  states for imaging and cooling for  $^{171}\text{Yb}$ . (d) Combined single-shot image of dual-Yb array. The optical tweezer array is  $10 \times 10$  with  $5 \mu\text{m}$  intervals. The red and blue dots represent single  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  and  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  atoms, respectively. (e) Rearranged dual-Yb atom array in checkerboard (left) and stripe (right) patterns. The atoms are moved between adjacent rows or columns to avoid the filled sites.

trast of the Hahn-echo signal. This result highlights the potential utility of this dual-Yb atom array for ancilla-based QEC protocols.

## II. PREPARATION OF A DUAL-Yb ATOM ARRAY

Our experimental sequence starts by simultaneously trapping both isotopes in a magneto-optical trap (MOT) (Fig. 1(b)). Subsequently, the atoms are loaded into the  $10 \times 10$  tweezer array of  $k_B \times 1.5$  mK depth. The tweezer wavelength is 532 nm, corresponding to a near-magic wavelength for both the  $^1S_0-^3P_1$  transition [36, 37] and the  $^1S_0-^3P_2$  transition [38, 39] of  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ . After applying red-detuned LAC beams of 556 nm for each isotope to prepare single atoms in the tweezers, we image them successively for 60 ms exposure time by irradiat-

ing a single resonant 399 nm beam and simultaneously cooling with MOT beams (Fig. 1(d)). The intensities of the probe and cooling beams are  $1 \times 10^{-3} I_{s,399}$  and  $10 I_{s,556}$ , respectively. Here  $I_{s,399} = 59$  mW/cm<sup>2</sup> and  $I_{s,556} = 0.14$  mW/cm<sup>2</sup> are the saturation intensities of the  $^1S_0-^1P_1$  and  $^1S_0-^3P_1$  transition, respectively. The atom survival probability after imaging is approximately 95% for both isotopes. Since the isotope shifts of the relevant transitions between  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  and  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  are on the order of 1 GHz and much larger than the natural linewidth of the  $^1S_0-^1P_1$  ( $^1S_0-^3P_1$ ) transition of 29 MHz (182 kHz) [30, 31] (Fig. 1(c)), the probe and cooling beams of one isotope do not cause atom loss of the other. Thus, the survival probabilities are not degraded compared to the single-isotope case.

### A. Dual atom rearrangement

We use 532nm moving tweezers generated with a pair of acousto-optic deflectors (AODs) to rearrange the atoms into an arbitrary pattern, as shown in Fig. 1(e). Since the 532nm tweezers can trap both isotopes and the atoms are randomly loaded in the optical tweezer array, there can be misfilled sites where the  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  atoms fill the site designated for  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  atoms and vice versa. Additionally, we must prevent the atoms from passing through the correctly-filled sites during the transport of the atoms. These difficulties complicate the rearrangement procedure compared with the single-isotope case. Previous research on a dual-isotope atom array has developed protocols that move the atoms along trajectories on the array grid after removing misplaced atoms that may block the paths [40, 41]. Instead, we adopt trajectories that pass between the rows and columns of the array and extend the parallel sort-and-compression algorithm [42], originally designed for single-isotope cases, to apply to a dual-isotope atom array.

Our protocol consists of three steps for each isotope: “classification”, “pre-sorting”, and “main sorting” (Fig. 2). In the classification step, the isotope 2 atoms that occupy the sites designated for the isotope 1 are moved to correct or non-target sites. Next, to make the number of the isotope 1 atoms in each column equal to or




FIG. 2. Rearrangement of a dual-isotope atom array. The rearrangement protocol consists of classification, pre-sorting, and main sorting steps for each isotope. In the classification step, the atoms that occupy incorrect target sites are moved to non-target sites or correct target sites. After pre-sorting to prepare a sufficient number of atoms for each column, the atoms are transported to their target sites. In all steps, the moving tweezers pass between the rows or columns to avoid the occupied sites.

larger than the number of sites designated for the isotope 1, we re-distribute the isotope 1 atoms between columns in the pre-sorting step. The excess atoms are removed from the optical tweezer array during this step if necessary. Finally, the isotope 1 atoms are transported one by one, or simultaneously if possible, to the designated sites column by column in the main sorting step. After rearrangement of the isotope 1, we repeat the same procedure for the isotope 2. Note that, if the number of the isotope 1 atoms is equal to the number of the designated sites for the isotope 1 in each column, all isotope 1 atoms are successfully rearranged to the designated sites, and then the classification step for the isotope 1, scheduled before the pre-sorting step for the isotope 2, will be skipped. Importantly, the moving tweezers used in all steps pass between the adjacent rows or columns to avoid the filled sites.

### B. Controlling the dual occupancy probability

The probability of dual occupancy strongly depends on the frequency of the LAC beam for  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ . Through frequency scanning, we determine the optimal detuning to minimize dual occupancy at  $-0.50(2)$  MHz from the resonance of the  $^3\text{P}_1$  state in the tweezers (Fig. 3(a)). At this detuning, the probability of the simultaneous loading of both isotopes decreases, indicating that heteronuclear single-photon PA occurs. Consequently, each of the loading probabilities of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  and  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  becomes 21(5)%, with a dual occupancy probability of only 0.2(7)%. Additionally, we explore other PA lines and identify four resonances at  $-268(2)$  MHz,  $-281(1)$  MHz,  $-698.8(8)$  MHz, and  $-716.4(5)$  MHz (Fig. 3(b)), consistent with theoretical expectations with a few MHz precision (see Appendix B). These observations offer an important possibility of utilizing these PA lines in stimulated Raman adiabatic passage [43, 44] or two-photon PA [45, 46] to prepare ground-state heteronuclear molecules in the optical tweezers. Those molecules possess not only rotational and vibrational but also nuclear spin degrees of freedom decoupled to the electronic angular momentum, which will be excellent data qubits with long coherence.

## III. CROSSTALK MEASUREMENT

In the dual-Yb atom array, we can utilize two distinct types of qubits: nuclear spin qubits and optical qubits. The nuclear spin qubit is encoded in the ground state of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  as  $|0\rangle = |^1\text{S}_0, m_F = 1/2\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle = |^1\text{S}_0, m_F = -1/2\rangle$ , which exhibit a second-order coherence time and the capability of MHz-order fast control ([33, 34], and see Appendix C). The optical qubit, encoded in the ground state and the  $m_J = 0$  state of an excited metastable state of  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ , can be controlled by M2-transition [47] or magnetic-field induced E1 transition [48, 49] and imaged non-destructively, as the metastable




FIG. 3. Frequency dependence of dual occupancy probability. (a) Loading probability of each isotope (middle) and dual occupancy (bottom) as a function of the LAC frequency around the  $^3\text{P}_1$  resonance of  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ . The dip of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  loading probability around  $-0.5$  MHz indicates one-photon heteronuclear PA. The dashed line is a fitting curve by a Gaussian function. The top row shows typical atom array images at the LAC detuning of  $-1.5$  MHz,  $-0.5$  MHz, and  $0.5$  MHz, respectively. The black circle represents dual occupancy. Note that the loading probability of each isotope without applying heteronuclear PA fluctuates in the range of  $40 \pm 10\%$ , depending on the experimental conditions. (b) Heteronuclear PA resonances of deeper bound states around  $-710$  MHz and  $-280$  MHz. The data are fitted by a double Lorentzian function.

state naturally serves as a dark state for the probe transition, successfully demonstrated in recent work using the similarly long-lived metastable  $^3\text{P}_0$  state [26].

We investigate the crosstalk between them, specifically the ability to image  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  without losing the coherence of the nuclear spin qubits. Since the resonant frequencies of the probe and cooling light for  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  are well-isolated from those for  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  in GHz order (Fig. 1(c)), the photon scattering rates of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  during the  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  imaging are

calculated to be approximately 30 Hz for 399 nm light and below 0.1 Hz for 556 nm light, respectively, which are negligibly small for our imaging conditions of 30 ms exposure time. Note that  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  is the best isotope for  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  in terms of isotope shifts to suppress the crosstalk [30, 31].

To quantify this, we characterize the coherence property of the nuclear spin qubit using the Ramsey and Hahn-echo sequences under irradiation of imaging light for  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ , where we consider two imaging methods: “399 nm imaging” with a 399 nm probe beam and 556 nm cooling beams, and “556 nm imaging” with a single 556 nm probe beam. We first prepare the dual-Yb atom array and initialize the nuclear spin qubit via optical pumping by the  $|1\rangle \rightarrow |^3\text{P}_1, F = 3/2, m_F = 1/2\rangle$  transition. We then irradiate a circularly-polarized single 556 nm beam in the horizontal plane to rotate the qubits by a fictitious magnetic field [33]. The qubit control beam has an intensity of  $2.4 \times 10^5 I_{s,556}$  and a detuning of  $-1.0$  GHz ( $-3.1$  GHz) from the resonance of  $|^3\text{P}_1, F = 1/2\rangle$  of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  ( $|^3\text{P}_1\rangle$  of  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ), yielding the Rabi frequency of  $2\pi \times 208$  kHz. After a  $\pi/2$ -pulse and a fixed waiting time of  $T + \Delta T$ , another  $\pi/2$ -pulse is applied to map the relative phase of the qubit states to their relative populations. Here, the additional waiting time of  $\Delta T$  is used for scanning the relative phase between the qubit states. In the Hahn-echo sequence, we insert an additional  $\pi$ -pulse after a waiting time of  $T/2$  from the first  $\pi/2$ -pulse. Finally, we blow away the atoms in the  $|0\rangle$  state via the  $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow |^3\text{P}_1, F = 3/2, m_F = 3/2\rangle$  transition and image the remaining atoms. By scanning  $\Delta T$ , we obtain a Ramsey (Hahn-echo) signal and compare its contrast with and without irradiation of imaging light for  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  during holding time  $T$  to evaluate its influence.

As a benchmark, we first evaluate the crosstalk using the Ramsey sequence for the 556 nm imaging method, which has proven highly effective for  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  trapped in 532 nm tweezers [37]. In this measurement, the tweezer potential is lowered to  $k_B \times 35 \mu\text{K}$  to clarify the decoherence caused by the imaging light. We apply a resonant single 556 nm beam for  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  with an intensity of  $10 I_{s,556}$ , typical in the previous research, during the holding time for the 556 nm imaging. The probe beam diagonally propagates from the horizontal plane by 16 degrees and is horizontally polarized. Figure 4(a) shows the Ramsey signal for a 60 ms exposure time. The oscillation frequency of the signal is determined by the Zeeman splitting of the nuclear spin states, and the phase shift is related to the light shift of the qubit states induced by the probe beam. The contrast ratio between the signals with and without the 556 nm probe beam is 1.00(1), meaning that the 556 nm imaging is a promising way to readout  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  atoms as the ancilla qubit without disrupting the data qubits.

To assess the trend of the influence on the coherence in the longer exposure time region, we extend the holding time to 100 ms. Figure 4(b) illustrates the decay curve of the Ramsey contrast as a function of the exposure time.




FIG. 4. Influence on the coherence of the nuclear spin qubit from the imaging light for  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  in the Ramsey sequence. (a) Ramsey signal for holding time of  $T = 60$  ms with (green square) and without (black circle) 556 nm probe beam with an intensity of  $10 I_{s,556}$ , in the case of 556 nm imaging. The solid lines are the fitting curves by a sinusoidal function. The contrast ratio is 1.00(1), indicating negligible influence from the imaging light for  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ . (b) Decay curves of the Ramsey contrast as a function of exposure time. The solid lines are the fitting curves by  $f(T) = A \exp(-(T/T_2^*)^n)$ , where  $A$ ,  $T_2^*$ , and  $n$  are fitting parameters. The shaded areas are the  $1\sigma$ -confidence intervals. The Ramsey contrast with 556 nm probe light with an intensity of  $10 I_{s,556}$  (green triangle) is maintained up to 100 ms exposure, whereas the contrasts with 556 nm imaging light with  $100 I_{s,556}$  (dark green inverted triangle) and 399 nm imaging light (blue square) quickly decrease, resulting in the coherence time of  $T_2^* = 82.2(9)$  ms and  $T_2^* = 42.0(5)$  ms, respectively.

For 556 nm probe beam with an intensity of  $10 I_{s,556}$ , the contrast is maintained up to 100 ms and remains almost the same as that without imaging. We also perform this measurement with a higher 556 nm probe intensity of  $100 I_{s,556}$ , and with 399 nm imaging. In these cases, the contrasts rapidly decrease, resulting in the coherence times of  $T_2^* = 82.2(9)$  ms for 556 nm probe beam with  $100 I_{s,556}$  and  $T_2^* = 42.0(5)$  ms for 399 nm imaging, respectively. The Gaussian-like decay curves indicate that the decoherence arises from the inhomogeneous broaden-

TABLE I. Fitting results of the coherence curves in the Hahn-echo sequence.

	$A$	$T_2$ (ms)	$n$
without imaging	0.94(2)	320(19)	2.08(34)
399 nm imaging	0.95(2)	240(10)	1.80(17)
556 nm imaging ( $10 I_{s,556}$ )	0.98(1)	323(16)	1.82(19)
556 nm imaging ( $100 I_{s,556}$ )	0.95(1)	171(5)	1.49(06)

ing of the light shifts by probe and cooling beams.

Next, we employ the Hahn-echo sequence to investigate the possibility of 399 nm imaging without losing the coherence of the nuclear spin qubits. For this purpose, we use 1.5 mK deep tweezer potential in this measurement, which provides the same conditions in our actual imaging. Note that since the tweezers are 1.5 mK deep and have slight elliptical polarization, they limit the coherence time without imaging to 320(19) ms by photon scattering and vector light shifts of the qubit states [50]. Figure 5(a) presents the Hahn-echo signals for holding time of  $T = 30$  ms with and without 399 nm imaging. The contrast ratio between them is 0.984(21), indicating that the coherence is maintained within the uncertainty. The imaging fidelity is 0.949 for this case (Fig. 5(b)), primarily limited by a low photon-collection efficiency of 0.7% in our setup, which can be improved by precisely aligning the objective and the imaging system. The case of an imaging time of  $T = 60$  ms yields a higher imaging fidelity of 0.991 with a smaller contrast ratio of the Hahn-echo signal of 0.954(23).

We again increase the holding time by 480 ms to evaluate the influence in the longer exposure time region, as shown in Fig. 5(c), including the cases with 556 nm probe beams at intensities of  $10 I_{s,556}$  and  $100 I_{s,556}$  as well. We estimate the coherence time  $T_2$  in each case by fitting the data using the function  $f(T) = A \exp(-(T/T_2)^n)$ , where  $A$ ,  $T_2$ , and  $n$  are fitting parameters. We summarize the fitting results in Table I. In all cases except for the 556 nm probe with an intensity of  $100 I_{s,556}$ , the decay curves are still Gaussian-like shapes. These behaviors suggest room for improving coherence through more sophisticated dynamical decoupling techniques [51]. For the irradiation of the 556 nm probe beam of  $100 I_{s,556}$ , the exponent of the time dependency is closer to unity than in other cases, indicating that the photon scattering shortens the coherence time. The amplified spontaneous emission at the tapered amplifier of the 556 nm laser may contribute to the faster decay of the coherence than the theoretical expectation from the scattering rate of  $\sim 1$  Hz.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we report the realization of a dual-Yb atom array consisting of fermionic  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  and bosonic  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ . This hybrid system offers a nuclear spin qubit as a data qubit with a long coherence time and an optical clock qubit as an ancilla qubit, which provides the




FIG. 5. Influence on the coherence of the nuclear spin qubit from the imaging light for  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  in the Hahn-echo sequence. (a) Hahn-echo signal for holding time of  $T = 30$  ms with (blue square) and without (black circle) 399 nm probe and 556 nm cooling beams. The solid lines are the fitting curves by a sinusoidal function. The contrast ratio is 0.984(21), highlighting the possibility of the 399 nm imaging without disturbing the coherence of the nuclear spin qubits. (b) The histogram of detected photons from  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  during the Hahn-echo sequence for  $T = 30$  ms. The black line is the fitting curve of  $f(x) = A \exp(-((x - \mu_1)/(2\sigma_1))^n) + B \exp(-((x - \mu_2)^2/(2\sigma_2^2))(1 + \text{erf}(n(x - \mu_2)/(\sqrt{2}\sigma_2)))$ , where  $\text{erf}(\cdot)$  is the error function and  $A, B, n, \mu_{1,2}, \sigma_{1,2}$  are fitting parameters. The orange dashed line is the threshold for determining the occupied sites, yielding an imaging fidelity of 0.949. (c) Decay curves of the Hahn-echo contrasts with and without exposure to imaging light for  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ . The solid lines are fitting curves by  $f(T) = A \exp(-(T/T_2)^n)$ . The shaded areas are the  $1\sigma$ -confidence intervals. The fitting results are summarized in Table I. The coherence time in the case of 556 nm imaging with  $10 I_{s,556}$  is almost the same as those without imaging. In this case, the coherence is limited by the tweezers due to photon scattering and differential light shifts between the qubit states.

ability to read out the qubit states non-destructively. We find the tunability of the dual occupancy rate from almost zero to several tens of percent. Thus, this remarkable versatility could open the avenue to the experiment with heteronuclear molecules trapped in optical tweezers [46, 52, 53]. We evaluate the coherence of the nuclear spin qubits under exposure to various imaging beams for  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ . As an example, in an unoptimized condition of 399 nm imaging time of 30 ms, the coherence is maintained at 98.4(2.1)% level with an imaging fidelity of 94.9%, which can be improved by increasing the photon-collection efficiency. Moreover, we confirm that the 556 nm imaging with  $10 I_{s,556}$ , typical in previous studies, causes no detrimental effect on the coherence.

As an outlook, in addition to the recently demonstrated fine-structure qubit [54, 55], we plan to characterize the coherence of the optical clock qubit, especially with the  $^3\text{P}_2$  state [56]. Leveraging the insensitivity to magnetic field fluctuations [57] and the near-magic conditions achievable in 532 nm tweezers, we expect similarly nice coherence properties compared to the  $^3\text{P}_0$  state. Regarding the generation of entanglement between nuclear spin qubits and clock transition qubits, it is necessary to investigate the Rydberg interaction between the isotopes. There may be Förster resonances, which can enhance the gate speed and fidelity, as found in the dual-species system of rubidium and cesium [58, 59]. Those studies combining our present results will pave the way to the ancilla-based QEC protocols in the neutral atom architecture.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge K. Enomoto and H. Hara for the discussion about heteronuclear photoassociation resonances. We also thank H. Loh and S. de Léséluc for the helpful advice on the atom rearrangement. This work was supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research of JSPS (No. JP17H06138, No. JP18H05405, No. JP18H05228, No. JP21H01014, No. JP21K03384, No. JP22K20356), JST PRESTO (No. JPMJPR23F5), JST CREST (Nos. JPMJCR1673 and JPMJCR23I3), MEXT Quantum Leap Flagship Program (MEXT Q-LEAP) Grant No. JPMXS0118069021, and JST Moon-shot R&D Grant No. JPMJMS2269. Y.N. acknowledges support from the JSPS (KAKENHI Grant No. 22KJ1949). T.K. acknowledges the support from the establishment of university fellowships towards the creation of science technology innovation, Grant No. JPMJFS2123.

## Appendix A: Methods

### 1. Preparation of dual-Yb atom array

The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 6. The sequence starts with a dual MOT of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  and  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  on




FIG. 6. Experimental setup. The optical tweezer array is generated by a spatial light modulator and an objective lens of  $NA = 0.6$  with 532 nm light. A pair of AODs is used to generate moving tweezers for atom rearrangement. The MOT beams for  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  and  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  share the optical path in each axis. The MOT beam along the Z axis is combined with the tweezer beam by a dichroic mirror and is focused on the back-focal plane of the objective for collimating at the atom position. Atom fluorescence induced by 399 nm probe beam in the horizontal plane is collected by another objective and imaged by an EMCCD camera.

the  $^1S_0\text{-}^3P_1$  transition, achieved by sequentially adjusting the frequency of the Zeeman slowing beam on the  $^1S_0\text{-}^1P_1$  transition from  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  to  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ . The MOT beams for each isotope share the optical path. After MOT loading with a total duration of 1 s, the MOTs are compressed by increasing the magnetic field gradient from 10.4 G/cm to 17.4 G/cm to load atoms into the optical tweezer array, which is generated by a spatial-light-modulator (Hamamatsu, X15213-L16) with 532 nm light [60]. We then apply red-detuned LAC beams for 200 ms to ensure the single atom loading. The single atoms trapped in the tweezers are imaged on the  $^1S_0\text{-}^1P_1$  transition ( $\lambda = 399$  nm) with simultaneous cooling by the MOT beams. The emitted photons are collected onto an EMCCD camera (Andor, iXon-897) by an objective lens with a numerical aperture (NA) of 0.6. The imaging time is 60 ms. The survival probability of the atoms after imaging is 95% for both isotopes, which are limited by low photon collection efficiency. Since the isotope shifts of the relevant transitions are much larger than their natural linewidth, as shown in Fig. 1(c) of the main text, the probe and cooling beams for each isotope do not cause detrimental effects on the survival probability of the other isotope.

## 2. Initialization and readout of the nuclear spin qubits

The nuclear spin qubit is encoded in the ground state of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  as  $|0\rangle = |^1S_0, m_F = 1/2\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle = |^1S_0, m_F = -1/2\rangle$ . The qubit initialization is done via optical pumping for 1 ms by the  $|1\rangle \rightarrow |^3P_1, F = 3/2, m_F = 1/2\rangle$  transition. During the pumping, we apply a magnetic field of 48 G to suppress the unwanted transition of  $|0\rangle \rightarrow |^3P_1, F = 3/2, m_F = 3/2\rangle$ . The qubit state is read out through state-selective loss before imaging. To achieve this, we increase the magnetic field to 48 G again, then ramp down the tweezer depth to  $35 \mu\text{K}$ , and apply a 556 nm beam for 5 ms to blow away the atoms in  $|0\rangle$  state via  $|0\rangle \rightarrow |^3P_1, F = 3/2, m_F = 3/2\rangle$  transition. After that, we ramp up the tweezer potential to the original depth of 1.5 mK and reduce the magnetic field to 0.9 G and image the remaining atoms.

## Appendix B: Calculation of heteronuclear PA resonances

To calculate the PA resonances of the excited  $^{171}\text{Yb}^{174}\text{Yb}$  molecule, which asymptotically connects to the  $^1S_0\text{-}^3P_1$  state, we start with the interaction Hamiltonian under a Born-Oppenheimer approximation [61], given as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} = \frac{\vec{d}_{171} \cdot \vec{d}_{174} - 3d_{z,171}d_{z,174}}{4\pi\epsilon_0 R^3} + Ah\vec{I}_{171} \cdot \vec{J}_{171}. \quad (\text{B1})$$

Here,  $\vec{d}$  and  $d_z$  are the dipole operator and its projection onto the interatomic axis for each atom,  $\epsilon_0$  is the permittivity of vacuum,  $R$  is the interatomic distance,  $h$  is the Planck constant,  $A$  is the hyperfine coupling constant of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$ , and  $\vec{I}$  and  $\vec{J}$  are the nuclear spin and electronic




FIG. 7. Interaction potentials between  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  and  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  obtained from Eq. B1. The potentials are plotted with respect to the  $^3P_1$  state of  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ . Potential No. 2 and 3 asymptotically connect to the  $|^1S_0\rangle_{171}|^3P_1\rangle_{174}$  atomic state in the dissociation limit.

TABLE II. Parameters for PA resonance calculation.  $E_h$  is Hartree energy and  $a_0$  is Bohr radius.

$A$	3957.781 MHz [31]
$d^2/(4\pi\epsilon_0)$	$0.08744313 E_h a_0^3$ [65]
$C_6$	$2405.364747 E_h a_0^6$ [65]
$C_{12}$	$9.318 \times 10^8 E_h a_0^{12}$ (fitting)

TABLE III. PA resonances below 1 GHz for the potential No. 2.

$T_e$	Calculation (MHz)	Experiment (MHz)
1/2	-0.05	-0.50(2)
3/2	-55.3	—
1/2	-62.9	—
3/2	-270.5	-268(2)
1/2	-283.6	-281(1)
3/2	-699.4	-698.8(8)
1/2	-717.8	-716.4(5)

angular momentum operators of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$ , respectively. We take the basis of  $|G_i\rangle_{171} \otimes |E_j\rangle_{174}$  and  $|E_i\rangle_{171} \otimes |G\rangle_{174}$  for the diagonalization, where  $|G_i\rangle_{171}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and  $|E_i\rangle_{171}$  ( $i = 1-6$ ) are the ground states and excited  $^3P_1$  states of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$ , and  $|G\rangle_{174}$  and  $|E_j\rangle_{174}$  ( $j = 1-3$ ) are the ground state and excited  $^3P_1$  states of  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ , respectively. By diagonalization of the Hamiltonian (Eq. B1), we obtain six interaction potentials, as shown in Fig. 7. Note that the retardation effect on the resonant dipole interaction [62] is involved in this calculation. The potential No. 1-3 are attractive, thus they can support weakly-bound heteronuclear molecules. Then, we add a centrifugal potential, van der Waals potential, and a short-range repulsive potential to the obtained potential  $\langle \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} \rangle$  and get a model potential [63] as

$$V(R) = \langle \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} \rangle + \hbar^2 \frac{T_e(T_e + 1) + \langle \vec{F}^2 \rangle - 2\Omega^2}{2\mu R^2} - \frac{C_6}{R^6} + \frac{C_{12}}{R^{12}}, \quad (\text{B2})$$

where  $\hbar = h/(2\pi)$  is reduced Planck constant,  $\mu$  is the reduced mass of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  and  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $T_e$  and  $\Omega$  are the total electronic angular momentum and its projection onto the molecular axis,  $C_6$  and  $C_{12}$  are the coefficients for the van der Waals potential and the short-range repulsive potential, respectively.  $\vec{F} = \vec{I}_{171} + \vec{J}_{171} + \vec{J}_{174}$  is the sum of electronic angular momenta of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  and  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  and nuclear spin of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$ . Finally, we solve the Schrödinger equation with the model potential (Eq. B2) by Numerov method [64] and obtain the eigenenergies. The parameters for this calculation are summarized in Table II.

Table III shows the resonance frequencies of the PA below 1 GHz for the potential No. 2, in which the molecular states have  $T_e = 1/2, 3/2$  and  $|\Omega| = 1/2$ . The calculated PA resonance frequencies agree with the experimental values with a few MHz precision.




FIG. 8. Coherence measurement and fast control of the nuclear spin qubit. (a) Ramsey signal in tweezers of  $35 \mu\text{K}$  depth. The coherence time is  $T_2^* = 3.8(4) \text{ s}$ . The solid line is a fitting curve of damped sinusoidal function. (b) Fast qubit control by a fictitious magnetic field. We obtain Rabi frequency up to 1.3 MHz with  $\pi$ -pulse fidelity of  $\sim 0.995$ . The solid line is a fitting curve of a damped sinusoidal function.

### Appendix C: Basic properties of the nuclear spin qubit

Since the nuclear spin in the ground state of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  is decoupled to the electronic angular momentum, it serves as an excellent qubit with long coherence. We measure the coherence time  $T_2^*$  by the Ramsey sequence in a shallow trap with a depth of  $35 \mu\text{K}$ , as shown in Fig. 8(a). The qubits exhibit a second-order coherence time of  $T_2^* = 3.8(4) \text{ s}$  in our setup, which is similar to the result in the previous research [33, 34].

The qubit control is done by a fictitious magnetic field induced by a circularly-polarized 556 nm single beam [33]. We set the detuning of the control beam to  $-1.0 \text{ GHz}$  from the  $|^3P_1, F = 1/2\rangle$  resonance and observe the fast Rabi oscillation up to 1.3 MHz (Fig. 8(b)). The fidelity of  $\pi$ -pulse is found to be  $\sim 0.995$ .



- [1] D. Gottesman, *Stabilizer Codes and Quantum Error Correction*, Ph.D. thesis, California Institute of Technology (1997).
- [2] Google Quantum AI, Exponential suppression of bit or phase errors with cyclic error correction, *Nature (London)* **595**, 383 (2021).
- [3] Y. Zhao, Y. Ye, H.-L. Huang, Y. Zhang, D. Wu, H. Guan, Q. Zhu, Z. Wei, T. He, S. Cao, F. Chen, T.-H. Chung, H. Deng, D. Fan, M. Gong, C. Guo, S. Guo, L. Han, N. Li, S. Li, Y. Li, F. Liang, J. Lin, H. Qian, H. Rong, H. Su, L. Sun, S. Wang, Y. Wu, Y. Xu, C. Ying, J. Yu, C. Zha, K. Zhang, Y.-H. Huo, C.-Y. Lu, C.-Z. Peng, X. Zhu, and J.-W. Pan, Realization of an Error-Correcting Surface Code with Superconducting Qubits, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 030501 (2022).
- [4] S. Krinner, N. Lacroix, A. Remm, A. Di Paolo, E. Genois, C. Leroux, C. Hellings, S. Lazar, F. Swiadek, J. Herrmann, G. J. Norris, C. K. Andersen, M. Müller, A. Blais, C. Eichler, and A. Wallraff, Realizing repeated quantum error correction in a distance-three surface code, *Nature (London)* **605**, 669 (2022).
- [5] L. Egan, D. M. Debroy, C. Noel, A. Risinger, D. Zhu, D. Biswas, M. Newman, M. Li, K. R. Brown, M. Cetina, and C. Monroe, Fault-tolerant control of an error-corrected qubit, *Nature (London)* **598**, 281 (2021).
- [6] M. P. da Silva, C. Ryan-Anderson, J. M. Bello-Rivas, A. Chernoguzov, J. M. Dreiling, C. Foltz, F. Frachon, J. P. Gaebler, T. M. Gatterman, L. Grans-Samuelsson, D. Hayes, N. Hewitt, J. Johansen, D. Lucchetti, M. Mills, S. A. Moses, B. Neyenhuis, A. Paz, J. Pino, P. Siegfried, J. Strabley, A. Sundaram, D. Tom, S. J. Wernli, M. Zanner, R. P. Stutz, and K. M. Svore, Demonstration of logical qubits and repeated error correction with better-than-physical error rates, arXiv:2404.02280 (2024).
- [7] R. Tao, M. Ammenwerth, F. Gyger, I. Bloch, and J. Zeiher, High-fidelity detection of large-scale atom arrays in an optical lattice, arXiv:2309.04717 (2023).
- [8] L. Pause, L. Sturm, M. Mittenbühler, S. Amann, T. Preuschoff, D. Schäffner, M. Schlosser, and G. Birkel, Supercharged two-dimensional tweezer array with more than 1000 atomic qubits, *Optica* **11**, 222 (2024).
- [9] M. A. Norcia, H. Kim, W. B. Cairncross, M. Stone, A. Ryou, M. Jaffe, M. O. Brown, K. Barnes, P. Battaglino, A. Brown, K. Cassella, C.-A. Chen, R. Coxe, D. Crow, J. Epstein, C. Griger, E. Halperin, F. Hummel, A. M. W. Jones, J. M. Kindem, J. King, K. Kotru, J. Lauigan, M. Li, M. Lu, E. Megidish, J. Marjanovic, M. McDonald, T. Mittiga, J. A. Muniz, S. Narayanaswami, C. Nishiguchi, T. Paule, K. A. Pawlak, L. S. Peng, K. L. Pudenz, A. Smull, D. Stack, M. Urbanek, R. J. M. van de Veerdonk, Z. Vendeiro, L. Wadleigh, T. Wilkason, T.-Y. Wu, X. Xie, E. Zaly-Geller, X. Zhang, and B. J. Bloom, Iterative assembly of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  atom arrays in cavity-enhanced optical lattices, arXiv:2401.16177 (2024).
- [10] H. J. Manetsch, G. Nomura, E. Bataille, K. H. Leung, X. Lv, and M. Endres, A tweezer array with 6100 highly coherent atomic qubits, arXiv:2403.12021 (2024).
- [11] G. Pichard, D. Lim, E. Bloch, J. Vaneecloo, L. Bourachot, G.-J. Both, G. Mériaux, S. Dutartre, R. Hosten, J. Paris, B. Ximenez, A. Signoles, A. Browaeys, T. Lahaye, and D. Dreon, Rearrangement of single atoms in a 2000-site optical tweezers array at cryogenic temperatures, arXiv:2405.19503 (2024).
- [12] S. J. Evered, D. Bluvstein, M. Kalinowski, S. Ebadi, T. Manovitz, H. Zhou, S. H. Li, A. A. Geim, T. T. Wang, N. Maskara, H. Levine, G. Semeghini, M. Greiner, V. Vuletić, and M. D. Lukin, High-fidelity parallel entangling gates on a neutral-atom quantum computer, *Nature (London)* **622**, 268 (2023).
- [13] P. Scholl, A. L. Shaw, R. B.-S. Tsai, R. Finkelstein, J. Choi, and M. Endres, Erasure conversion in a high-fidelity rydberg quantum simulator, *Nature (London)* **622**, 273 (2023).
- [14] D. Bluvstein, H. Levine, G. Semeghini, T. T. Wang, S. Ebadi, M. Kalinowski, A. Keesling, N. Maskara, H. Pichler, M. Greiner, V. Vuletić, and M. D. Lukin, A quantum processor based on coherent transport of entangled atom arrays, *Nature (London)* **604**, 451 (2022).
- [15] D. Bluvstein, S. J. Evered, A. A. Geim, S. H. Li, H. Zhou, T. Manovitz, S. Ebadi, M. Cain, M. Kalinowski, D. Hangleiter, J. P. Bonilla Ataides, N. Maskara, I. Cong, X. Gao, P. Sales Rodriguez, T. Karolyshyn, G. Semeghini, M. J. Gullans, M. Greiner, V. Vuletić, and M. D. Lukin, Logical quantum processor based on reconfigurable atom arrays, *Nature (London)* **626**, 58 (2024).
- [16] S. Ma, G. Liu, P. Peng, B. Zhang, S. Jandura, J. Claes, A. P. Burgers, G. Pupillo, S. Puri, and J. D. Thompson, High-fidelity gates and mid-circuit erasure conversion in an atomic qubit, *Nature (London)* **622**, 279 (2023).
- [17] Y. Wu, S. Kolkowitz, S. Puri, and J. D. Thompson, Erasure conversion for fault-tolerant quantum computing in alkaline earth Rydberg atom arrays, *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 1 (2022).
- [18] I. Cong, H. Levine, A. Keesling, D. Bluvstein, S.-T. Wang, and M. D. Lukin, Hardware-Efficient, Fault-Tolerant Quantum Computation with Rydberg Atoms, *Phys. Rev. X* **12**, 021049 (2022).
- [19] Q. Xu, J. Pablo Bonilla Ataides, C. A. Pattison, N. Raveendran, D. Bluvstein, J. Wurtz, B. Vasic, M. D. Lukin, L. Jiang, and H. Zhou, Constant-Overhead Fault-Tolerant Quantum Computation with Reconfigurable Atom Arrays, arXiv:2308.08648 (2023).
- [20] K. Sahay, J. Jin, J. Claes, J. D. Thompson, and S. Puri, High-Threshold Codes for Neutral-Atom Qubits with Biased Erasure Errors, *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 041013 (2023).
- [21] Z. Jia, W. Huie, L. Li, W. K. C. Sun, X. Hu, Aakash, H. Kogan, A. Karve, J. Y. Lee, and J. P. Covey, An architecture for two-qubit encoding in neutral ytterbium-171 atoms, arXiv:2402.13134 (2024).
- [22] M. Saffman, Quantum computing with atomic qubits and Rydberg interactions: progress and challenges, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **49**, 202001 (2016).
- [23] E. Deist, Y.-H. Lu, J. Ho, M. K. Pasha, J. Zeiher, Z. Yan, and D. M. Stamper-Kurn, Mid-Circuit Cavity Measurement in a Neutral Atom Array, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 203602 (2022).
- [24] T. M. Graham, L. Phuttitarn, R. Chinnarasu, Y. Song, C. Poole, K. Jooya, J. Scott, A. Scott, P. Eichler, and M. Saffman, Midcircuit Measurements on a Single-Species Neutral Alkali Atom Quantum Processor, *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 041051 (2023).

- [25] M. A. Norcia, W. B. Cairncross, K. Barnes, P. Battaglino, A. Brown, M. O. Brown, K. Cassella, C.-A. Chen, R. Coxe, D. Crow, J. Epstein, C. Griger, A. M. W. Jones, H. Kim, J. M. Kindem, J. King, S. S. Kondov, K. Kotru, J. Lauigan, M. Li, M. Lu, E. Megidish, J. Marjanovic, M. McDonald, T. Mittiga, J. A. Muniz, S. Narayanaswami, C. Nishiguchi, R. Notermans, T. Paule, K. A. Pawlak, L. S. Peng, A. Ryou, A. Smull, D. Stack, M. Stone, A. Sucich, M. Urbanek, R. J. M. van de Veerdonk, Z. Vendeiro, T. Wilkason, T.-Y. Wu, X. Xie, X. Zhang, and B. J. Bloom, Midcircuit Qubit Measurement and Rearrangement in a  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  Atomic Array, *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 041034 (2023).
- [26] J. W. Lis, A. Senoo, W. F. McGrew, F. Rönchen, A. Jenkins, and A. M. Kaufman, Midcircuit Operations Using the omg Architecture in Neutral Atom Arrays, *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 041035 (2023).
- [27] K. Singh, S. Anand, A. Pocklington, J. T. Kemp, and H. Bernien, Dual-Element Two-Dimensional Atom Array with Continuous-Mode Operation, *Phys. Rev. X* **12**, 011040 (2022).
- [28] K. Singh, C. E. Bradley, S. Anand, V. Ramesh, R. White, and H. Bernien, Mid-circuit correction of correlated phase errors using an array of spectator qubits, *Science* **380**, 1265 (2023).
- [29] Y. Zeng, P. Xu, X. He, Y. Liu, M. Liu, J. Wang, D. J. Papoular, G. V. Shlyapnikov, and M. Zhan, Entangling Two Individual Atoms of Different Isotopes via Rydberg Blockade, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 160502 (2017).
- [30] D. Das, S. Barthwal, A. Banerjee, and V. Natarajan, Absolute frequency measurements in Yb with 0.08 ppb uncertainty: Isotope shifts and hyperfine structure in the  $399\text{-nm } ^1S_0 \rightarrow ^1P_1$  line, *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 032506 (2005).
- [31] K. Pandey, A. K. Singh, P. V. K. Kumar, M. V. Suryanarayana, and V. Natarajan, Isotope shifts and hyperfine structure in the  $555.8\text{-nm } ^1S_0 \rightarrow ^3P_1$  line of Yb, *Phys. Rev. A* **80**, 022518 (2009).
- [32] A. G. Fowler, M. Mariantoni, J. M. Martinis, and A. N. Cleland, Surface codes: Towards practical large-scale quantum computation, *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 032324 (2012).
- [33] A. Jenkins, J. W. Lis, A. Senoo, W. F. McGrew, and A. M. Kaufman, Ytterbium Nuclear-Spin Qubits in an Optical Tweezer Array, *Phys. Rev. X* **12**, 021027 (2022).
- [34] S. Ma, A. P. Burgers, G. Liu, J. Wilson, B. Zhang, and J. D. Thompson, Universal Gate Operations on Nuclear Spin Qubits in an Optical Tweezer Array of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  Atoms, *Phys. Rev. X* **12**, 021028 (2022).
- [35] N. Schine, A. W. Young, W. J. Eckner, M. J. Martin, and A. M. Kaufman, Long-lived Bell states in an array of optical clock qubits, *Nat. Phys.* **18**, 1067 (2022).
- [36] R. Yamamoto, J. Kobayashi, T. Kuno, K. Kato, and Y. Takahashi, An ytterbium quantum gas microscope with narrow-line laser cooling, *New J. Phys.* **18**, 023016 (2016).
- [37] S. Saskin, J. T. Wilson, B. Grinkemeyer, and J. D. Thompson, Narrow-Line Cooling and Imaging of Ytterbium Atoms in an Optical Tweezer Array, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 143002 (2019).
- [38] T. Tomita, S. Nakajima, Y. Takasu, and Y. Takahashi, Dissipative Bose-Hubbard system with intrinsic two-body loss, *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 031601(R) (2019).
- [39] D. Okuno, Y. Nakamura, T. Kusano, Y. Takasu, N. Takei, H. Konishi, and Y. Takahashi, High-resolution Spectroscopy and Single-photon Rydberg Excitation of Reconfigurable Ytterbium Atom Tweezer Arrays Utilizing a Metastable State, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **91**, 084301 (2022).
- [40] C. Sheng, J. Hou, X. He, K. Wang, R. Guo, J. Zhuang, B. Mamat, P. Xu, M. Liu, J. Wang, and M. Zhan, Defect-Free Arbitrary-Geometry Assembly of Mixed-Species Atom Arrays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 083202 (2022).
- [41] Z.-J. Tao, L.-G. Yu, P. Xu, J.-Y. Hou, X.-D. He, and M.-S. Zhan, Efficient two-dimensional defect-free dual-species atom arrays rearrangement algorithm with near-fewest atom moves, *Chin. Physics Lett.* **39**, 083701 (2022).
- [42] Tian, Weikun and Wee, Wen Jun and Qu, An and Lim, Billy Jun Ming and Datla, Prithvi Raj and Koh, Vanessa Pei Wen and Loh, Huanqian, Parallel Assembly of Arbitrary Defect-Free Atom Arrays with a Multitweezer Algorithm, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **19**, 034048 (2023).
- [43] K.-K. Ni, S. Ospelkaus, M. H. G. de Miranda, A. Pe'er, B. Neyenhuis, J. J. Zirbel, S. Kotochigova, P. S. Julienne, D. S. Jin, and J. Ye, A high phase-space-density gas of polar molecules, *Science* **322**, 231 (2008).
- [44] A. Chotia, B. Neyenhuis, S. A. Moses, B. Yan, J. P. Covey, M. Foss-Feig, A. M. Rey, D. S. Jin, and J. Ye, Long-lived dipolar molecules and Feshbach molecules in a 3D optical lattice, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 080405 (2012).
- [45] M. Kitagawa, K. Enomoto, K. Kasa, Y. Takahashi, R. Ciuryło, P. Naidon, and P. S. Julienne, Two-color photoassociation spectroscopy of ytterbium atoms and the precise determinations of *s*-wave scattering lengths, *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 012719 (2008).
- [46] Y. Yu, K. Wang, J. D. Hood, L. R. B. Picard, J. T. Zhang, W. B. Cairncross, J. M. Hutson, R. Gonzalez-Ferez, T. Rosenband, and K.-K. Ni, Coherent Optical Creation of a Single Molecule, *Phys. Rev. X* **11**, 031061 (2021).
- [47] S. Kato, K. Inaba, S. Sugawa, K. Shibata, R. Yamamoto, M. Yamashita, and Y. Takahashi, Laser spectroscopic probing of coexisting superfluid and insulating states of an atomic Bose-Hubbard system, *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 11341 (2016).
- [48] A. V. Taichenachev, V. I. Yudin, C. W. Oates, C. W. Hoyt, Z. W. Barber, and L. Hollberg, Magnetic field-induced spectroscopy of forbidden optical transitions with application to lattice-based optical atomic clocks, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 083001 (2006).
- [49] Z. W. Barber, C. W. Hoyt, C. W. Oates, L. Hollberg, A. V. Taichenachev, and V. I. Yudin, Direct excitation of the forbidden clock transition in neutral  $^{174}\text{Yb}$  atoms confined to an optical lattice, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 083002 (2006).
- [50] S. Kuhr, W. Alt, D. Schrader, I. Dotsenko, Y. Miroshnychenko, A. Rauschenbeutel, and D. Meschede, Analysis of dephasing mechanisms in a standing-wave dipole trap, *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 023406 (2005).
- [51] G. T. Genov, D. Schraft, N. V. Vitanov, and T. Halfmann, Arbitrarily Accurate Pulse Sequences for Robust Dynamical Decoupling, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 133202 (2017).
- [52] D. K. Ruttley, A. Guttridge, S. Spence, R. C. Bird, C. R. Le Sueur, J. M. Hutson, and S. L. Cornish, Formation of Ultracold Molecules by Merging Optical Tweezers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 223401 (2023).
- [53] L. Anderegg, L. W. Cheuk, Y. Bao, S. Burchesky, W. Ketterle, K.-K. Ni, and J. M. Doyle, An optical

- tweezer array of ultracold molecules, *Science* **365**, 1156 (2019).
- [54] G. Unnikrishnan, P. Ilzhöfer, A. Scholz, C. Hölzl, A. Götzelmann, R. K. Gupta, J. Zhao, J. Krauter, S. Weber, N. Makki, H. P. Büchler, T. Pfau, and F. Meinert, Coherent Control of the Fine-Structure Qubit in a Single Alkaline-Earth Atom, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 150606 (2024).
- [55] S. Pucher, V. Klüsener, F. Spriestersbach, J. Geiger, A. Schindewolf, I. Bloch, and S. Blatt, Fine-structure qubit encoded in metastable strontium trapped in an optical lattice, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 150605 (2024).
- [56] V. Klüsener, S. Pucher, D. Yankelev, J. Trautmann, F. Spriestersbach, D. Filin, S. G. Porsev, M. S. Safronova, I. Bloch, and S. Blatt, Long-lived coherence on a  $\mu\text{Hz}$  scale optical magnetic quadrupole transition, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 253201 (2024).
- [57] V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flambaum, and S. Schiller, Testing physics beyond the standard model through additional clock transitions in neutral ytterbium, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 022501 (2018).
- [58] P. M. Ireland, D. M. Walker, and J. D. Pritchard, Interspecies Förster resonances for Rb-Cs Rydberg  $d$ -states for enhanced multi-qubit gate fidelities, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **6**, 013293 (2024).
- [59] S. Anand, C. E. Bradley, R. White, V. Ramesh, K. Singh, and H. Bernien, A dual-species Rydberg array, arXiv:2401.10325 (2024).
- [60] F. Nogrette, H. Labuhn, S. Ravets, D. Barredo, L. Béguin, A. Vernier, T. Lahaye, and A. Browaeys, Single-atom trapping in holographic 2D arrays of microtraps with arbitrary geometries, *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 021034 (2014).
- [61] K. M. Jones, E. Tiesinga, P. D. Lett, and P. S. Julienne, Ultracold photoassociation spectroscopy: Long-range molecules and atomic scattering, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **78**, 483 (2006).
- [62] J. Weiner, V. S. Bagnato, S. Zilio, and P. S. Julienne, Experiments and theory in cold and ultracold collisions, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, 1 (1999).
- [63] K. Enomoto, M. Kitagawa, S. Tojo, and Y. Takahashi, Hyperfine-structure-induced purely long-range molecules, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 123001 (2008).
- [64] J. M. Blatt, Practical points concerning the solution of the Schrödinger equation, *Journal of Computational Physics* **1**, 382 (1967).
- [65] M. Borkowski, R. Ciuryło, P. S. Julienne, R. Yamazaki, H. Hara, K. Enomoto, S. Taie, S. Sugawa, Y. Takasu, and Y. Takahashi, Photoassociative production of ultracold heteronuclear ytterbium molecules, *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 030702(R) (2011).