

# ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS TO ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS IN 2D EXTERIOR DOMAINS

HIDEO KOZONO, YUTAKA TERASAWA AND YUTA WAKASUGI

ABSTRACT. The asymptotic behavior of solutions to the second order elliptic equations in exterior domains is studied. In particular, under the assumption that the solution belongs to the Lorentz space  $L^{p,q}$  or the weak Lebesgue space  $L^{p,\infty}$  with certain conditions on the coefficients, we give natural and an almost sharp pointwise estimate of the solution at spacial infinity. The proof is based on the argument by Korobkov–Pileckas–Russo [4], in which the decay property of the solution to the vorticity equation of the two-dimensional Navier–Stokes equations was studied.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be an exterior domain with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . We study the following second order elliptic equation in  $\Omega$ :

$$(1.1) \quad Lu := - \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \partial_i(a_{ij}(x)\partial_j u) + \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j(x)\partial_j u + c(x)u = 0.$$

Here, the coefficients  $a_{ij}, b_j, c$  are smooth functions, and  $(a_{ij})_{i,j=1,2}$  is assumed to be uniformly elliptic.

The problem (1.1) is motivated from the vorticity equation of two-dimensional stationary incompressible fluids

$$(1.2) \quad -\Delta\omega + v \cdot \nabla\omega = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Here,  $v(x) = (v^1(x), v^2(x))$  is the velocity vector and  $\omega(x) = \text{rot } v(x)$ . The asymptotic behavior of the solution  $\omega$  under the condition of finite Dirichlet integral  $\nabla v \in L^2(\Omega)$  was studied by Gilbarg–Weinberger [3] and Korobkov–Pileckas–Russo [4, 5]. They proved that  $\omega$  satisfies

$$\omega(x) = o(|x|^{-3/4}) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Recently, the authors [8] obtained the asymptotic behavior

$$\omega(x) = o(|x|^{-(1/p+1/p^2)}) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty$$

under the generalized finite Dirichlet condition  $\nabla v \in L^p(\Omega)$  with some  $p \in (2, \infty)$ . For the study of the asymptotic behavior of the solution to the vorticity equation (1.2), it is crucial to treat the velocity  $v(x)$  as a given coefficient and to clarify how the decay property of  $v(x)$  at spacial infinity influences that of  $\omega(x)$ . Such an observation naturally indicates the following question: *for general second-order*

---

*Key words and phrases.* Second order elliptic equations; strong maximal principle; asymptotic behavior; level sets.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J15; 35K10; 35B53

elliptic equations (1.1), under what conditions on the coefficients we can obtain the pointwise decay properties at spacial infinity for the solution belonging to  $L^p(\Omega)$ ?

To this question, our previous result [6] showed that, under the assumptions that the coefficients satisfy

$$|a_{ij}(x)| = O(|x|^\alpha), \quad |b_j(x)| = O(|x|^\beta) \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad c(x) \geq 0,$$

with some  $\alpha \in [0, 2]$  and  $\beta \leq 1$  and either  $\operatorname{div}(b_1, b_2) \leq 2c(x)$  or  $|\operatorname{div}(b_1, b_2)(x)| = O(|x|^{\beta-1})$ , the asymptotic behavior of the smooth solution  $u \in L^p(\Omega)$  with some  $p \in [2, \infty)$  is given by

$$|u(x)| = o(|x|^{-\frac{1}{p}(1+\frac{\gamma}{2})}) \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty$$

with  $\gamma = \min\{1 - \beta, 2 - \alpha\}$ . In particular, when  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta \leq -1$ , we have

$$|u(x)| = o(|x|^{-\frac{2}{p}}) \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

which seems natural and almost optimal in view of the assumption  $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ . Moreover, as a corollary, we have the following Liouville-type result: let  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $u$  be a classical solution to (1.1) satisfying  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with some  $p \in [2, \infty)$ , then  $u \equiv 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The analysis of [6] is based on the classical result by Gilbarg–Weinberger [3]. The points of this method is to apply the energy estimate, the integral mean value theorem for the radial variable, the fundamental theorem of calculus for the angular variable, and the maximum principle. We also refer readers to [7], [10] and the references therein for the asymptotic behavior and Liouville-type theorems of 3D Navier-Stokes equations and elliptic equations in general dimensions.

In this paper, we study a similar almost optimal estimate including the cases  $p \in [1, 2)$  under different conditions on the coefficients by another approach.

To state our main result, we impose the following assumptions on the coefficients of the differential operator  $L$  in (1.1).

**Assumption (C)**

$\{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,2} \in C^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2) \in C^1(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $c \in C(\Omega)$  satisfy

(C1) There exists some constant  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \lambda |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega \text{ and } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2;$$

(C2)  $\nabla a_{ij}(x) = O(|x|^{-1})$  and  $\mathbf{b}(x) = O(|x|^{-1})$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ ;

(C3)  $c(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ ;

(C4)  $(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b} - c)_- \in L^1(\Omega)$ , where  $f_- \equiv \max\{0, -f\}$ .

Furthermore, we put the following assumptions on the solution  $u$ .

**Assumption (S)**

(S1)  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ ;

(S2)  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0$ ;

(S3)  $u|_{\partial\Omega} \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let the coefficients  $(a_{ij})_{i,j=1,2}$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2)$  and  $c$  satisfy **Assumption (C)**. Suppose that  $u$  is the solution of (1.1) satisfying **Assumption (S)**. Then we have the following decay property of  $u$ :*

- (i) *If  $u \in L^{p,q}(\Omega)$  with some  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $q \in [1, \infty)$ , then  $u$  has the pointwise decay  $u(x) = o(|x|^{-2/p})$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .*

- (ii) If  $u \in L^{p,\infty}(\Omega)$  with some  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , then  $u$  has the pointwise decay  $u(x) = O(|x|^{-2/p})$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Remark 1.1.** The decay rate  $|x|^{-2/p}$  are almost optimal in view of the assumptions  $u \in L^{p,q}(\Omega)$  and  $u \in L^{p,\infty}(\Omega)$  in (i) and (ii), respectively. Compared with the previous result [6], we refine the range of integral exponent  $p$  denoting the decay of the solution  $u$  at spacial infinity from  $L^p(\Omega)$  with  $p \in [2, \infty)$  to  $L^{p,q}(\Omega)$  with  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $q \in [1, \infty]$ . Concerning the assumption on the coefficients, **Assumption (C)** requires that they need to be bounded, which is stronger than [6]. On the other hand, the conditons on  $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b}$  in **(C4)** is assumed to have an integral form, which is weaker than [6]. We also remark that in the case  $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b} = 0$ , **(C4)** is replaced by the assumption that  $c \in L^1(\Omega)$ .

Although the idea of our proof is based on the argument by Korobkov–Pileckas–Russo [4], our method seems so refined as to be applicable to generalized elliptic equations in two-dimensional exterior domains. For the vorticity equation (1.2) they proved that  $\omega(x) = o(|x|^{-1})$  under the condition of the finite Dirichlet integral by making use of the fact that the level sets of  $\omega$  separate infinity from the origin. In contrast to their method, the first key point of our proof is to show that the level sets form a family of disjoint closed curves containing the obstacle  $\Omega^c = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega$  except for zero measure values. The second one is to estimate the integral of the gradient of the solution on the level set curve, from which and the coarea formula we obtain a bound of the length of level set curve. By using this bound and the special property of the two-dimensional geometry, we are able to show the desired pointwise estimate of the solution. In [4], they made use of such apriori bounds as  $\omega \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\nabla \omega \in L^2(\Omega)$ , which had been already proved by the pioneer work by Gilbarg–Weinberger [3]. In this paper, we remove such an assumption on priori estimates and are successful to modify their argument by using a cut-off method.

Finally, we introduce the notations used throughout this paper. The letter  $C$  indicates generic constant which may change from line to line. Sometimes we use the notation  $C(*, \dots, *)$  for a constant depending only on the quantities in the parenthesis. For  $R > 0$ , we denote  $B_R := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2; |x| < R\}$ . For a function  $f = f(x)$ ,  $f_+ := \max\{f, 0\}$  and  $f_- := f_+ - f$  are the positive and negative parts of  $f$ , respectively. For a Lebesgue measurable set  $E$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $|E|$  stands for the Lebesgue measure of  $E$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}^1(F)$  be the 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure of  $F$ .

For  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ ,  $L^{p,q}(\Omega)$  denotes the Lorentz space defined by

$$L^{p,q}(\Omega) = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \|f\|_{L^{p,q}} < \infty\}$$

with

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} = \begin{cases} \left( p \int_0^\infty t^q (|\{x \in \Omega; |f(x)| \geq t\}|)^{q/p} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} & (1 \leq q < \infty), \\ \sup_{t>0} t |\{x \in \Omega; |f(x)| \geq t\}|^{1/p} & (q = \infty). \end{cases}$$

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

**2.1. Key lemma.** The following is the key lemma to prove Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $B_R$  be a ball with radius  $R > 0$  satisfying  $\Omega^c \subset B_R$ . Under **Assumptions (C)** and **(S)**, there exists a constant  $t_* > 0$  satisfying the following:

for every  $p \in (0, \infty)$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(R, a_{ij}, \mathbf{b}, c, p) > 0$  such that

$$u(x) \leq C|x|^{-\frac{2}{p}} \left( \int_{E_t} |u(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p}$$

holds for all  $t \in (0, t_*) \setminus \text{Cr}(u)$  and  $x \in u^{-1}(t)$ , where  $\text{Cr}(u)$  is the set of critical values of  $u$ , i.e.,  $\text{Cr}(u) := \{u(x); \nabla u(x) = \mathbf{0}, x \in B_R^c = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_R\}$ , and where  $E_t$  is the region between two level sets  $u^{-1}(\frac{t}{2})$  and  $u^{-1}(t)$  in  $B_R^c$ :

$$E_t := \left\{ y \in B_R^c; u(y) \in \left( \frac{t}{2}, t \right) \right\}.$$

We first show that Lemma 2.1 implies Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* (i) Let  $u \in L^{p,q}(\Omega)$  and take  $t_*$  as in Lemma 2.1. For  $t \in (0, t_*) \setminus \text{Cr}(u)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{E_t} |u(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} &\leq t |\{y \in B_R^c; |u(y)| > t/2\}|^{1/p} \\ &\leq C |\{y \in B_R^c; |u(y)| \geq t/2\}|^{1/p} \left( \int_0^{t/2} \tau^q \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_0^{t/2} \tau^q (|\{y \in B_R^c; |u(y)| \geq \tau\}|)^{q/p} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of  $u \in L^{p,q}(\Omega)$ , the right-hand side is bounded and tends to 0 by letting  $t \rightarrow 0$  with avoiding  $\text{Cr}(u)$ . Thus, the conclusion of Lemma 2.1 gives  $u(x) = o(|x|^{-2/p})$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . (ii) can be proved in the same way by using  $t |\{y \in B_R^c; |u(y)| > t/2\}|^{1/p} \leq \|u\|_{L^{p,\infty}}$ .  $\square$

**2.2. Geometry of level sets.** First, if  $u \equiv 0$ , the claim of the theorem obviously holds. Thus, noting the condition **(S2)**, we may suppose that  $u$  is not identically a constant.

By the assumption  $c \geq 0$ , the operator  $L$  has the strong maximum principle (see e.g., [2, Theorem 3.5]). Let  $u$  be a smooth solution to (1.1) satisfying **Assumption (S)**. Then, by the strong maximum principle,  $u$  takes neither a non-negative maximum nor non-positive minimum in the interior of  $\Omega$ . Therefore, if  $u$  attains a negative value, then it contradicts the condition **(S2)**. Thus,  $u$  must be nonnegative in  $\Omega$ . Then, using the strong maximum principle again, we conclude that  $u$  is positive in the interior of  $\Omega$ .

Let  $B_R$  be a open ball with radius  $R > 0$  satisfying  $\Omega^c = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega \subset B_R$ . Then, we have

$$t_* := \min_{x \in \partial B_R} u(x) > 0.$$


Next, the Morse–Sard theorem (see e.g., [9] for a simple proof) implies that almost every  $t \in (0, t_*)$  is a regular value of  $u$ . Thus, putting

$$\mathcal{I} := (0, t_*) \setminus \text{Cr}(u),$$

with  $\text{Cr}(u)$  denoting the set of critical values of  $u$  defined as in Lemma 2.1, from the implicit function theorem, we obtain that for any  $t \in \mathcal{I}$ , the level set  $u^{-1}(t)$  is a union of smooth closed curves. Moreover, the maximum principle implies that for any  $t \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $u^{-1}(t)$  has a unique connected component which contains  $B_R$

inside. Since  $t < t_*$ , it is obvious by the maximum principle that one connected component, say  $C_1$ , of  $u^{-1}(t)$  contains  $B_R$  inside. Assume that there is another connected component  $C_2$  of  $u^{-1}(t)$  outside of  $C_1$ . Again by the maximum principle,  $u$  does not attain the non-negative maximum in the interior outside of  $C_1$ , which yields that  $u(x) < t$  in such an exterior region. Since  $C_2$  lies outside of  $C_1$  and since  $u|_{C_2} = t$ , we have a contradiction.

Thus,  $u^{-1}(t)$  divides  $B_R^c$  into two parts, and let us call the unbounded one  $\Omega_t$ . Then, by the assumption  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0$ , we have  $\Omega_t \subset \Omega_s$  if  $t, s \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $t < s$ . Indeed, otherwise we have  $\Omega_s \subset \Omega_t$ . However, it implies that  $u$  takes the maximum inside  $\Omega_t$ , which contradicts the maximum principle. In particular, we remark that  $u(x) < t$  holds in  $\Omega_t$ .



**2.3. Proof of Lemma 2.1.** We will use the same notations with the previous subsection. Let  $t \in \mathcal{I}$  and let  $\rho > R$  be a sufficiently large parameter satisfying  $\partial\Omega_t = u^{-1}(t) \subset B_\rho$ . Define a cut-off function  $\eta_\rho(x)$  by

$$\eta_\rho(x) := \eta\left(\frac{x}{\rho}\right), \quad \text{where } \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \quad \eta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & (|x| \leq 1), \\ 0 & (|x| > 2). \end{cases}$$

By the definition, it is easy to see that

$$|\nabla^k \eta_\rho(x)| \leq C\rho^{-k}, \quad \text{supp } \nabla^k \eta_\rho \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2; \rho < |x| < 2\rho\} \quad (k = 1, 2).$$

Multiplying the equation (1.1) by  $\eta_\rho$ , and then integrating the result identity over  $\Omega_t$ , we have twice by integration by parts that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad 0 &= \int_{\Omega_t} Lu(x)\eta_\rho(x) dx \\ &= - \int_{u^{-1}(t)} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \frac{\partial_i u}{|\nabla u|} a_{ij}(x) \partial_j u(x) \eta_\rho(x) dS \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega_t} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \partial_j (a_{ij}(x) \partial_i \eta_\rho(x)) u(x) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{u^{-1}(t)} \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \cdot \mathbf{b}(x) u(x) \eta_\rho(x) dS - \int_{\Omega_t} u(x) \mathbf{b}(x) \cdot \nabla \eta_\rho(x) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_t} (-\text{div } \mathbf{b}(x) + c(x)) u(x) \eta_\rho(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we remark that the unit outward normal vector on  $\partial\Omega_t = u^{-1}(t)$  is  $\nabla u/|\nabla u|$ . First, by the assumption **(C4)** and the fact that  $0 < u(x) < t$  in  $\Omega_t$ , we see that

the last term is estimated as

$$\int_{\Omega_t} (-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b}(x) + c(x))u(x)\eta_\rho(x) dx \leq t \|(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b} - c)_-\|_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$

Next, for the second and forth terms of the right-hand side of (2.1), by the assumption **(C2)** and again by the fact that  $0 < u(x) < t$  in  $\Omega_t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega_t} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \partial_j(a_{ij}(x)\partial_i\eta_\rho(x))u(x) dx &\leq t \int_{B_{2\rho}\setminus B_\rho} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 |\partial_j a_{ij}(x)\partial_i\eta_\rho(x) + a_{ij}(x)\partial_i\partial_j\eta_\rho(x)| dx \\ &\leq Ct \int_{B_{2\rho}\setminus B_\rho} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 (|\partial_j a_{ij}(x)|\rho^{-1} + |a_{ij}(x)|\rho^{-2}) dx \\ &\leq Ct \end{aligned}$$

and

$$- \int_{\Omega_t} u(x)\mathbf{b}(x) \cdot \nabla\eta_\rho(x) dx \leq t \int_{B_{2\rho}\setminus B_\rho} |\mathbf{b}(x) \cdot \nabla\eta_\rho(x)| dx \leq Ct\rho^{-1} \int_{B_{2\rho}\setminus B_\rho} |\mathbf{b}(x)| dx \leq Ct.$$

Finally, the third term of the right-hand side is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{u^{-1}(t)} \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \cdot \mathbf{b}(x)u(x)\eta_\rho(x) dS &= t \int_{u^{-1}(t)} \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \cdot \mathbf{b}(x) dS \\ &= -t \left[ \int_{\Omega\setminus\Omega_t} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{b}(x) dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{b}(x) dS \right] \\ &\leq t \|(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b})_-\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + C\|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}t. \end{aligned}$$

It should be noted by Assumptions **(C3)** and **(C4)** that  $0 \leq (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b})_- \leq (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b} - c)_-$ , which yields that  $(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b})_- \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Putting the above estimates together to (2.1), we conclude

$$\int_{u^{-1}(t)} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}(x)\partial_i u \partial_j u dS \leq C(a_{ij}, \mathbf{b}, c)t$$

with some constant  $C(a_{ij}, \mathbf{b}, c) > 0$ . Furthermore, by **(C1)** and the above estimate, we have

$$\int_{u^{-1}(t)} |\nabla u| dS \leq \lambda^{-1} \int_{u^{-1}(t)} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}(x)\partial_i u \partial_j u dS \leq C_*t$$

with some constant  $C_* = C_*(a_{ij}, \mathbf{b}, c, \lambda) > 0$ . For  $t \in \mathcal{I}$ , we set

$$E_t := \{x \in B_R^c; u(x) \in (t/2, t)\} = \bigcup_{s \in (t/2, t)} u^{-1}(s).$$

Recalling the coarea formula (see [1])

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{E_t} f|\nabla u| dx = \int_{t/2}^t \left( \int_{u^{-1}(\tau)} f dS \right) d\tau$$

for  $f \in C(\Omega)$  and applying it to  $f = |\nabla u|$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_t} |\nabla u|^2 dx &= \int_{t/2}^t \left( \int_{u^{-1}(\tau)} |\nabla u| dS \right) d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{t/2}^t C_* \tau d\tau \\ &\leq C_* t^2. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, application of (2.2) to  $f = 1$  enables us to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t/2}^t \left( \int_{u^{-1}(\tau)} 1 dS \right) d\tau &= \int_{E_t} |\nabla u| dx \\ &\leq |E_t|^{1/2} \left( \int_{E_t} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq (C_* |E_t| t^2)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( 2^p C_* t^{2-p} \int_{E_t} |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

From the above estimate, we have that for every  $t \in \mathcal{I}$ , there exists  $\tau \in [t/2, t]$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{t}{2} \mathcal{H}^1(u^{-1}(\tau)) \leq \left( 2^p C_* t^{2-p} \int_{E_t} |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

In fact, if

$$\frac{t}{2} \mathcal{H}^1(u^{-1}(\tau)) > \left( 2^p C_* t^{2-p} \int_{E_t} |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/2}$$

holds for all  $\tau \in [t/2, t]$ , by integration of both sides over  $[t/2, t]$  with respect to  $\tau$ , we have

$$\frac{t}{2} \int_{t/2}^t \left( \int_{u^{-1}(\tau)} dS \right) d\tau > \frac{t}{2} \left( 2^p C_* t^{2-p} \int_{E_t} |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/2},$$

which contradicts the previous inequality. Therefore, we obtain (2.3). Let

$$g(t) := \sup\{|x|; x \in u^{-1}(t)\}.$$

Then, by an elementary geometric argument, we see that  $g(t) \leq \mathcal{H}^1(u^{-1}(\tau))$  with  $\tau$  satisfying (2.3). Therefore, we conclude

$$tg(t) \leq t \mathcal{H}^1(u^{-1}(\tau)) \leq 2 \left( 2^p C_* t^{2-p} \int_{E_t} |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/2},$$

which implies

$$tg(t)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq C'_* \left( \int_{E_t} |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Thus, for every  $t \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $x \in u^{-1}(t)$ , we have

$$u(x) \leq C'_* |x|^{-\frac{2}{p}} \left( \int_{E_t} |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) Grant Number JP21H04433. The third author was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) Grant Number 22H00097 and JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) Grant Number 24K06811.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. C. EVANS, R. F. GARIEPY, *Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions*, Revised Edition, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, 2015.
- [2] D. GILBARG, N. TRUDINGER, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, 2nd ed., Springer, 1983.
- [3] D. GILBARG, H. F. WEINBERGER, *Asymptotic properties of steady plane solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations with bounded Dirichlet integral*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Pisa (4) **5** (1978), 381–404.
- [4] M. V. KOROBKOV, K. PILECKAS, R. RUSSO, *On convergence of arbitrary D-Solution of steady Navier–Stokes system in 2D exterior domains*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 233 (2019) 385–407.
- [5] M. V. KOROBKOV, K. PILECKAS, R. RUSSO, *On the steady Navier–Stokes equations in 2D exterior domains*, J. Differential Equations 269 (2020) 1796–1828.
- [6] H. KOZONO, Y. TERASAWA, Y. WAKASUGI, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations with unbounded coefficients of the second order in unbounded domains*, Math. Ann. 380 (2021) 1105–1117.
- [7] H. KOZONO, Y. TERASAWA, Y. WAKASUGI, *Asymptotic behavior and Liouville-type theorems for axisymmetric stationary Navier–Stokes equations outside of an infinite cylinder with a periodic boundary condition*, J. Differential Equations 365 (2023), 905–926.
- [8] H. KOZONO, Y. TERASAWA, Y. WAKASUGI, *Asymptotic properties of steady and nonsteady solutions to the 2D Navier–Stokes equations with finite generalized Dirichlet integral*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **71** No. 3 (2022), 1299–1316.
- [9] J. W. MILNOR, *Topology from the differentiable viewpoint*, based on notes by David W. Weaver, University Press of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, 1965. ix+65 pp.
- [10] G. SEREGIN, L. SILVESTRE, V. ŠVERÁK, A. ZLATOŠ, *On divergence-free drifts*, J. Differ. Equ. 252, (2012), 505–540.

(H. Kozono) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, WASEDA UNIVERSITY, TOKYO 169–8555, JAPAN, MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH CENTER FOR CO-CREATIVE SOCIETY, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI 980-8578, JAPAN

*Email address*, H. Kozono: [kozono@waseda.jp](mailto:kozono@waseda.jp), [hideokozono@tohoku.ac.jp](mailto:hideokozono@tohoku.ac.jp)

(Y. Terasawa) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FUROCHO CHIKUSAKU NAGOYA 464-8602, JAPAN

*Email address*, Y. Terasawa: [yutaka@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp](mailto:yutaka@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp)

(Y. Wakasugi) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ADVANCED SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY, HIGASHI-HIROSHIMA, 739-8527, JAPAN

*Email address*, Y. Wakasugi: [wakasugi@hiroshima-u.ac.jp](mailto:wakasugi@hiroshima-u.ac.jp)