

ASPECTS OF AUTOMATIC CONTINUITY

CHRISTIAN ROSENDAL AND LUIS CARLOS SUAREZ

ABSTRACT. A general overview of the phenomenon of automatic continuity of homomorphisms between Polish groups is given. In particular, we study variants and improvements of the closed graph theorem, applying these to the problem of continuity of universally measurable homomorphisms and also to gauge the amount of choice needed to construct discontinuous homomorphisms between Polish groups. Furthermore, we provide a simple proof of automatic continuity in the context of homeomorphism groups of compact manifolds and a complete reworking of automatic continuity theory in the context of isometry groups of highly homogeneous complete metric structures.

1. INTRODUCTION

The present paper provides an overview of various new directions in automatic continuity theory. More concretely, the general problem considered here is the conditions under which a homomorphism between two topological groups or, more specifically, Polish groups is continuous. To set the stage, let us recall that a *Polish group* is a topological group whose underlying topology is *Polish*, that is, separable and completely metrisable. These groups provide a useful generalisation of the class of locally compact, second countable groups, and include most of the classically studied topological transformation groups such as Lie groups, homeomorphism and diffeomorphism groups of manifolds, isometry groups of separable complete metric spaces, automorphism groups of countable discrete structures, and totally disconnected locally compact groups.

Our main issue here is one of topological rigidity. Namely, what are the conditions on Polish groups G and H that ensures that every homomorphism

$$G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$$

is continuous? Or what abstract conditions on homomorphisms π between Polish groups ensure that π is continuous? There are several other related concepts of topological rigidity studied in the literature such as algebraic simplicity, the small index property, uniqueness and minimality of Polish group topologies, and the Bergman property (also known as uncountable strong cofinality). However, with the exception of Section 6 where we will be discussing isometry groups of complete metric structures, we will not be concerned with any of these, although several of the techniques developed here are applicable to these other concepts as well.

So what is the interest of automatic continuity or of any of the other manifestations of topological rigidity? Simply put, it allows one to deal with various topological-algebraic objects without regard to their topological structure and thus

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 22A05, Secondary: 03E15.

The authors acknowledge support by the U.S. National Science Foundation under Award Numbers DMS-2246986 and DMS-2204849.

occasionally keep a simple algebraic theory that might otherwise have required more complicated hypotheses. To take a particular illustrative example, for a general group G , let

$$\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}) = \{ \phi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \mid \phi \text{ is a group homomorphism} \}$$

and observe that $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is itself an abelian group under the operation defined by $(\phi + \psi)(g) = \phi(g) + \psi(g)$. Let also $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}$ be full direct product of infinitely many copies of \mathbb{Z} viewed as the set of integer valued sequences with coordinatewise addition and let $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}$ be the subgroup of $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}$ consisting of finitely supported such sequences. It is now easy to see that

$$\text{Hom}\left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\right) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z},$$

as every homomorphism $\phi: \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ has the form

$$\phi(a_1, a_2, \dots) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n a_n$$

for some sequence $(z_n) \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}$. What is remarkable however is that, conversely,

$$\text{Hom}\left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\right) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}.$$

This fact is due to E. Specker [31], who showed that every homomorphism

$$\psi: \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

only depends on finitely many coordinates or, equivalently, is continuous with respect to the discrete topology on \mathbb{Z} and the Tychonoff product topology on $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}$. In other words, ψ necessarily has the form

$$\psi(z_1, z_2, \dots) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z_n$$

for some sequence $(a_n) \in \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}$.

For another example, consider the homomorphism

$$\text{Homeo}(\overline{\mathbb{D}^2}) \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{S}^2)$$

induced by the restriction of a homeomorphism of the closed disk $\overline{\mathbb{D}^2}$ to the boundary \mathbb{S}^1 . It is easy to conversely construct an inverse ε to ρ , that is, an extension homomorphism

$$\text{Homeo}(\mathbb{S}^1) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \text{Homeo}(\overline{\mathbb{D}^2}),$$

but D. Epstein and V. Markovic [12] asked if all such extension homomorphisms are necessarily continuous. As it turns out, much more is true, since all homomorphisms from $\text{Homeo}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ with values in a separable topological group are continuous [27]. This latter result along with its generalisation to homeomorphism groups of all compact manifolds in [20, 22] also provide the basis for the explicit description of actions of the identity component $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ of the homeomorphism group of a topological manifold M on another manifold N given by L. Chen and K. Mann in [7].

The organisation of our paper is as follows. Section 2 provides an account of the many facets of the closed graph theorem, a result that provides a weak sufficient condition for the continuity of a homomorphism. The analysis of this classical result also leads to the introduction of a *characteristic group* N associated with any given homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ between Polish groups, whose size is a measure of the discontinuity of π . In Section 3, the characteristic group is put to use in the context of an old problem of J.P.R. Christensen [8] concerning the continuity of universally measurable homomorphisms between Polish groups. We present the positive solution to this problem from [26] and also the further developments from [2]. As is well-known from results in set theory, some usage of the axiom of choice is needed in order to produce discontinuous homomorphisms between Polish groups, and in Section 4 we present a quadrichotomy that in combinatorial terms calibrates the exact amount of choice needed to produce homomorphisms with varying degrees of discontinuity as measured by the size of the associated characteristic group. In Section 5 we provide a greatly simplified proof of the automatic continuity results of [20, 22, 27] on homeomorphism groups of compact manifolds. Similarly, in Section 6 we provide a common framework due to M. Sabok [28] for dealing with automatic continuity in the automorphism groups of highly homogeneous metric structures. Whereas our account relies heavily on the work of Sabok along with some simplifications introduced in [19], we are able to discard of much excessive baggage and give what is hopefully a more accessible and transparent exposition of these results. In particular, Corollary 47 provides a single and easily verifiable criterion for automatic continuity in this context. In turn, this criterion is applied to the isometry group of the Urysohn metric space in Section 6, providing an alternative proof of a result of Sabok [28], the automorphism group of the standard measure algebra in Section 8, recovering a result of I. Ben Yaacov, A. Berenstein and J. Melleray [3], and finally we use our methods to also give a proof for the unitary group of infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space in Section 9, which is originally due to T. Tsankov [35].

The paper [24] provided an account of the theory as it was about a decade and a half ago and we have largely avoided any overlap with that paper, except for the cases where progress has been made on a specific topic since (for example, regarding universally measurable homomorphisms). The reader seeking a more complete account of the subject may thus also consult [24], for example, regarding constructions of discontinuous homomorphisms, measurability assumptions and ample generics. Finally, it should be stressed that a certain selection of topics has been made mostly having to do the authors' specific interests and expertise. The literature is much richer than can be conveyed in a short account such as this. In particular, we are leaving out a good deal of recent literature dealing with identifying discrete groups Γ so that every homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\pi} \Gamma$ from a locally compact or completely metrisable topological group G into Γ is continuous. For example, [1, 4, 10, 30] contain some of the many highlights in this direction.

2. OPEN AND CLOSED MAPPING THEOREM

If X is a topological space, a subset $A \subseteq X$ is said to be *meagre* provided that $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ for some sequence of nowhere dense subsets $F_n \subseteq X$. Also, a set $B \subseteq X$ is *comeagre* provided that $A = X \setminus B$ is meagre or, equivalently, B contains the intersection $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ of dense open subsets $U_n \subseteq X$. Recall also that

a topological space X is said to be a *Baire* space provided that X satisfies the following two equivalent conditions.

- Meagre subsets of X have empty interior,
- every comeagre set is dense in X .

When dealing with topological groups, this property simply reduces to non-meagreness of the whole space. To see this, it is useful to introduce a bit of notation. Namely, for a subset A of a topological space X , we let

$$U(A) = \bigcup \{U \subseteq X \mid U \text{ is open and } U \setminus A \text{ is meagre}\}.$$

Then $U(A) \setminus A$ is also meagre [15, Theorem 8.29]. Observe also that the operator $U(\cdot)$ is determined by the topology of X and therefore commutes with homeomorphisms, that is, if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a homeomorphism and $A \subseteq X$, then $U(f[A]) = f[U(A)]$.

Lemma 1. *A topological group G is a Baire space if and only if G is non-meagre.*

Proof. Observe that $\text{int} G = G \neq \emptyset$ and so, if G is a Baire space, then G cannot itself be meagre.

Conversely, suppose G fails to be a Baire space. This means that there is a non-empty meagre open set $V \subseteq G$ and thus $V \subseteq U(\emptyset)$. However, for every $x \in G$, the left translate xV is also meagre and so $xV \subseteq U(\emptyset)$. We thus conclude that $G = \bigcup_{x \in G} xV \subseteq U(\emptyset)$, i.e., that G is itself meagre. \square

Lemma 2 (Pettis' lemma). *Suppose G is a Baire topological group and $A, B \subseteq G$ are subsets. Then*

$$U(A) \cdot U(B) \subseteq \text{int}(AB).$$

Proof. We note that if $x \in U(A)U(B)$, then the open set

$$V = xU(B)^{-1} \cap U(A) = U(xB^{-1}) \cap U(A)$$

is non-empty and so xB^{-1} and A are comeagre in V . It follows that also $xB^{-1} \cap A$ is non-meagre in V and therefore is non-empty, whereby $x \in AB$. As $U(A)U(B)$ is itself open, it follows that $U(A)U(B) \subseteq \text{int}(AB)$. \square

Theorem 3 (Open mapping theorem). *Suppose $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ is a continuous epimorphism between Polish groups. Then π is an open map.*

Proof. We first show that, if V is an identity neighbourhood in G , then $\pi[V]$ is an identity neighbourhood in H . To see this, fix V and let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable dense subset in G . Pick also some open identity neighbourhood $W \subseteq G$ so that $W^{-1}W \subseteq V$. Then $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} x_nW$ and thus $H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi(x_n)\pi[W]$. Because H is not itself meagre, it follows that some $\pi(x_n)\pi[W]$ is non-meagre and thus that the analytic set $\pi[W]$ is also non-meagre. Being analytic, this means that $U(\pi[W]) \neq \emptyset$ [15, Theorem 21.6] and so

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\in U(\pi[W])^{-1} \cdot U(\pi[W]) \\ &= U(\pi[W]^{-1}) \cdot U(\pi[W]) \\ &\subseteq \text{int}(\pi[W]^{-1} \cdot \pi[W]) \\ &\subseteq \text{int}(\pi[W^{-1}W]) \\ &\subseteq \text{int}(\pi[V]) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.

Suppose now O is any non-empty open set in G . To see that $\pi[O]$ is open, we show that $\pi(x) \in \text{int } \pi[O]$ for all $x \in O$. So let $x \in O$ be given and find an identity neighbourhood V in G so that $xV \subseteq O$. Then $\pi[V]$ is an identity neighbourhood in H and $\pi(x)\pi[V]$ is a neighbourhood of $\pi(x)$ contained in $\pi[O]$, that is, $x \in \text{int } \pi[O]$. \square

Corollary 4 (Closed graph theorem). *Let $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ be a homomorphism between Polish groups. Then π is continuous if and only if the graph $\mathcal{G}\pi$ is a closed subset of $G \times H$.*

Proof. The graph of any continuous map is closed. So suppose instead that $\mathcal{G}\pi$ is closed. Observe that the graph $\mathcal{G}\pi$ is a subgroup of $G \times H$, since

$$(g, \pi(g)) \cdot (f, \pi(f))^{-1} = (gf^{-1}, \pi(g)\pi(f)^{-1}) = (gf^{-1}, \pi(gf^{-1})) \in \mathcal{G}\pi$$

for all $g, f \in G$. Being also closed, $\mathcal{G}\pi$ is a Polish group in the topology induced from $G \times H$. Moreover, $\mathcal{G}\pi$ is isomorphic with G as an abstract group via the homomorphism $\mathcal{G}\pi \xrightarrow{\phi} G$ defined by $\phi(g, h) = g$. Because ϕ is clearly continuous, being also surjective, it is an open mapping and so

$$\phi[\mathcal{G}\pi \cap (G \times V)] = \{g \in G \mid (g, \pi(g)) \in G \times V\} = \pi^{-1}(V)$$

is open in G for all open $V \subseteq H$. In other words, π is continuous. \square

Definition 5 (The characteristic group of a homomorphism). Let $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ be a (possibly discontinuous) group homomorphism between two Polish groups. We define a closed subgroup of H , termed the *characteristic group* of π , by the formula

$$N = \bigcap_V \overline{\pi[V]},$$

where the intersection is taken over all identity neighbourhoods V in G . Although N depends on the homomorphism π , to simplify notation, we will simply denote it by N . The dependence on π will be clear from the context.

For other descriptions of N , observe that an element $h \in H$ belongs to N if and only if

$$h = \lim_n \pi(g_n)$$

for some sequence (g_n) in G with $\lim_n g_n = 1$. It follows that

$$N = \{h \in H \mid (1, h) \in \overline{\mathcal{G}\pi}\}.$$

Note also that, if V and W are identity neighbourhoods in G so that $VV^{-1} \subseteq W$, then

$$\overline{\pi[V]} \cdot \overline{\pi[V]}^{-1} \subseteq \overline{\pi[V]} \cdot \overline{\pi[V^{-1}]} \subseteq \overline{\pi[VV^{-1}]} \subseteq \overline{\pi[W]}.$$

This shows that $NN^{-1} \subseteq N$ and so, as $1 \in N$, we see that N is a subgroup of H .

Proposition 6. *Let G and H be Polish groups and $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ a homomorphism with dense image. Then the characteristic group N is the smallest closed normal subgroup of H so that the induced homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} H/N$ is continuous.*

Proof. Note first that, since N is closed, the quotient group H/N equipped with the quotient topology is a Polish group. To see that $\tilde{\pi}$ is continuous, it suffices, by the closed graph theorem to show that its graph is closed.

Observe that $\mathcal{G}\tilde{\pi}$ is a subgroup of $G \times H/N$ and hence so is its closure $\overline{\mathcal{G}\tilde{\pi}}$. Therefore, if $(g, hN) \in \overline{\mathcal{G}\tilde{\pi}} \setminus \mathcal{G}\tilde{\pi}$, also $(1, \pi(g)^{-1}hN) \in \overline{\mathcal{G}\tilde{\pi}} \setminus \mathcal{G}\tilde{\pi}$. Thus, to see that $\mathcal{G}\tilde{\pi}$ is closed, it suffices to show that $(1, fN) \notin \overline{\mathcal{G}\tilde{\pi}}$ provided $f \notin N$.

So assume that $f \notin N$. Then there is an open identity neighbourhood $U \subseteq G$ so that $f \notin \pi[U]$ and thus also an open neighbourhood V of f with $V \cap \pi[U] = \emptyset$. Note that, if $u \in U$, we may pick an identity neighbourhood W in G so that $uW \subseteq U$, whereby

$$\pi(u)N \subseteq \pi(u)\overline{\pi[W]} = \overline{\pi(uW)} \subseteq \overline{\pi[U]}.$$

This shows that $\pi[U]N \subseteq \overline{\pi[U]}$, which in turn implies that

$$VN \cap \pi[U]N = \emptyset.$$

Therefore, $U \times V/N$ is a neighbourhood of $(1, fN)$ disjoint from $\mathcal{G}\tilde{\pi}$ and we find that $(1, fN) \notin \overline{\mathcal{G}\tilde{\pi}}$ as required.

Now suppose that $K \subseteq H$ is any closed normal subgroup such that the induced homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} H/K$ is continuous and let $h \in N$. Then we may find a sequence $(g_n)_n$ in G such that $g_n \rightarrow 1$ and $\pi(g_n) \rightarrow h$, whereby also $\pi(g_n)K \rightarrow hK$. This shows that $(1, hK)$ lies in the closure of the graph of the continuous homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} H/K$ and hence in the graph itself, whence $h \in K$. So $N \subseteq K$ and we see that N is the smallest closed normal subgroup of H so that the induced homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} H/N$ is continuous. \square

As we are interested in the continuity of π , by replacing H with the closed subgroup $\overline{\pi[G]}$, we can always assume that $\pi[G]$ is dense in H and thus that N is normal in H .

Corollary 7. *A homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ between Polish groups is continuous if and only if $\bigcap_U \overline{\pi[U]} = \{1\}$, where the U vary over identity neighbourhoods in G .*

Let us note that Corollary 7 gives an a priori weaker condition for continuity of π than requiring continuity at $1 \in G$. Namely, $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ is continuous at 1 if the sets $\overline{\pi[U]}$ form a neighbourhood basis at 1 when U varies over identity neighbourhoods in G . In Corollary 7, we only require their intersection to be $\{1\}$.

Corollary 8. *Let $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ be a homomorphism between Polish groups and suppose $H \xrightarrow{\iota} \Omega$ is a continuous injection into a Hausdorff topological space Ω . Then π is continuous if and only if $\iota \circ \pi$ is continuous.*

Proof. If π is continuous, then clearly so is the composition $\iota \circ \pi$. Conversely, suppose that $\iota \circ \pi$ is continuous. Then, by the continuity of both ι and $\iota \circ \pi$, we have

$$\iota\left(\bigcap_U \overline{\pi[U]}\right) \subseteq \bigcap_U \iota\left(\overline{\pi[U]}\right) \subseteq \bigcap_U \overline{\iota(\pi[U])} = \{\iota(1)\}.$$

So, by injectivity of ι , we find that

$$\bigcap_U \overline{\pi[U]} = \{1\},$$

whereby π is continuous by Corollary 7. \square

Let us give three instances of Corollary 8 of which the first two are well-known. Namely, suppose $X \xrightarrow{T} Y$ is a linear operator between two (separable) Banach spaces and let $Y \xrightarrow{\iota} \prod_{Y^*} \mathbb{R}$ be the continuous injection defined by

$$\iota(y)(\phi) = \phi(y).$$

By Corollary 8, we see that T is bounded, i.e., continuous, if and only if $\iota \circ T$ is continuous, which, by definition of the Tychonoff product topology, holds if and only if $\phi \circ T$ is continuous for every $\phi \in Y^*$. In this case, the separability of X and Y is irrelevant.

Also, suppose (X, d) is a separable complete metric space and G is a Polish group acting by isometries on (X, d) . Then the action $G \times X \rightarrow X$ is jointly continuous if and only if the associated homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Isom}(X, d)$ is continuous with respect to the Polish group topology on $\text{Isom}(X, d)$, which is the topology of pointwise convergence on X . Letting $\text{Isom}(X, d) \xrightarrow{\iota} \prod_X X$ be defined by $\iota(f)_x = f(x)$, we see as in the previous example that the action $G \times X \rightarrow X$ is jointly continuous if and only if the orbit evaluation map

$$g \in G \mapsto gx \in X$$

is continuous for every $x \in X$.

For the third less familiar instance, consider a compact smooth manifold M and let for each $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$

$$\text{Diff}^r(M)$$

denote the Polish group of C^r -diffeomorphisms of M . Thus, for $r = 0$, this is just the homeomorphism group $\text{Homeo}(M)$ with the topology of uniform convergence, whereas for $r \geq 1$ not only is the group a proper subgroup of $\text{Homeo}(M)$, but the topology is also finer. As in the preceding two examples, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 9. *Let G be a Polish group and $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$. Then a homomorphism*

$$G \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Diff}^r(M)$$

is continuous if and only if the orbit evaluation map

$$g \in G \mapsto \pi(g)x \in M$$

is continuous for every $x \in M$.

From the results above, we remark that the study of the continuity of $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ can be seen as a problem on the complexity of the characteristic group N . In particular, allowing N to be non-trivial, gives us weaker notions of continuity. So let us focus our attention on the study of N .

We first recall a result of independent interest. Here the equivalence between (1) and (2) is classical, whereas the equivalence with (3) is due to S. Solecki [32] and V. Uspenskiĭ [38] independently.

Theorem 10. *The following conditions are equivalent for a Polish group G .*

- (1) *G is compact*
- (2) *for every identity neighbourhood U , there is a finite set F so that $G = FU$,*
- (3) *for every identity neighbourhood U , there is a finite set F so that $G = FUF$.*

Proof. (3) \Rightarrow (2): Let U be any given identity neighbourhood. Find an identity neighbourhood V such that $VV^{-1} \subseteq U$ and let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in G$ be a sequence of minimal length for which there is a finite set $E \subseteq G$ so that

$$G = \bigcup_{i=1}^n EVf_i.$$

Fix such a set E and by way of contradiction, assume that $G \neq EVV^{-1}$. Then we can pick $x \notin EVV^{-1}$, whereby $xV \cap EV = \emptyset$ and hence

$$xVf_n \subseteq G \setminus EVf_n \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} EVf_i.$$

It follows that

$$EVf_n = Ex^{-1}xVf_n \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} Ex^{-1}EVf_i$$

and therefore that

$$G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} (E \cup Ex^{-1}E)Vf_i,$$

contradicting the minimality of n . We thus conclude that $G = EVV^{-1} \subseteq EU$, where E is finite.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let d be a compatible left invariant metric on G and define a metric D on G by

$$D(g, f) = d(g, f) + d(g^{-1}, f^{-1}).$$

Whereas D need not be left or right invariant, it is still compatible with the topology on G . Thus, if \hat{G} denotes the completion of G with respect to D , we see that G is a Polish and hence G_δ subset of the Polish space \hat{G} . Being also dense, it follows that G is a comeagre subset of \hat{G} .

Also, the group operations in G extend continuously to the completion \hat{G} , meaning that \hat{G} is a Polish group (see [21], pp. 352-353). In other words, G is a comeagre subgroup of the Polish group \hat{G} , implying that all its cosets in \hat{G} are also comeagre and therefore intersect G , which is possible only if the index $[\hat{G} : G]$ is one. It follows that $G = \hat{G}$ and thus that D is a compatible complete metric on G . To see that G is compact, it therefore suffices to see that every sequence (g_n) in G admits a D -Cauchy subsequence.

So let (g_n) be given and fix a neighbourhood basis $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ at the identity. Choose also finite sets $F_i, E_i \subseteq G$ so that

$$G = F_iU_i = U_iE_i$$

for all i . By a diagonal extraction procedure, we may produce a subsequence (g_{k_n}) of (g_n) and elements $f_i \in F_i, h_i \in E_i$ so that $g_{k_n} \in f_iU_i \cap U_ih_i$ whenever $n \geq i$. It follows that, for all i and all $n, m \geq i$, we have

$$g_{k_m}^{-1}g_{k_n} \in U_i^{-1}f_i^{-1}f_iU_i = U_i^{-1}U_i$$

and similarly $g_{k_m}g_{k_n}^{-1} \in U_iU_i^{-1}$. It follows that both (g_{k_n}) and $(g_{k_n}^{-1})$ are d -Cauchy, whereby (g_{k_n}) is D -Cauchy as required.

(1) \Rightarrow (3): Suppose G is compact and let U be an open identity neighbourhood. Then $G = \bigcup_{f \in G} fU$ is an open covering of G and by compactness admits a finite subcovering $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f_i U$. Letting $F = \{1, f_1, \dots, f_n\}$, we have $G = FUF$. \square

Lemma 11. *Let N be a Polish group. Then $N = \{1\}$ if and only if, for every identity neighbourhood V , there is a finite set $F \subseteq N$ so that $N = \bigcup_{f \in F} fVf^{-1}$.*

Proof. The implication from left to right is obvious, so consider the other implication and assume that, for every identity neighbourhood V , there is a finite set $F \subseteq N$ so that $N = \bigcup_{f \in F} fVf^{-1}$. By Theorem 10, this implies that, for any identity neighbourhood V , there is a finite set E such that $N = EV$.

We now show that for any symmetric neighbourhood U of the identity, one has $N = U^3$. Since N is Hausdorff, this shows that $N = \{1\}$. In order to see this, let E be a finite set such that $N = EU$ and set $V = \bigcap_{g \in E} gUg^{-1}$. Let also F be a finite set so that $N = \bigcup_{f \in F} fVf^{-1}$. For $f \in F$, write $f^{-1} = gu$ for some $g \in E$ and $u \in U$ and observe that

$$fVf^{-1} = u^{-1}g^{-1}Vgu \subseteq u^{-1}Uu \subseteq U^3.$$

So $N = \bigcup_{f \in F} fVf^{-1} = U^3$. \square

With the preparatory material in hand, we are now ready to prove two theorems that characterise the extent of continuity of a homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ in terms of the size of the associated characteristic group N . The first can be viewed as an extension of the closed graph theorem.

Theorem 12. [26] *The following conditions are equivalent for a homomorphism between Polish groups, $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$.*

- (1) π is continuous,
- (2) $N = \{1\}$,
- (3) for all identity neighbourhoods $U \subseteq G$ and $V \subseteq H$, there exists a finite set $F \subseteq U$ such that

$$\bigcup_{f \in F} f\pi^{-1}(V)f^{-1}$$

is an identity neighbourhood in G .

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) has already been shown and that (1) implies (3) is obvious. So assume that (3) holds and assume, without loss of generality, that $\pi[G]$ is dense in H . Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be the induced mapping $G \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} H/N$, which is then continuous. To see that $N = \{1\}$, by Lemma 11, we only need to check that, for every neighbourhood V in H , there is a finite set $F \subseteq N$ so that $N \subseteq \bigcup_{f \in F} fVf^{-1}$. So, let V be any identity neighbourhood in H and let W be an open identity neighbourhood so that $\overline{WWW^{-1}} \subseteq V$. By the open mapping theorem, NW is open in H/N , whereby, as $\tilde{\pi}$ is continuous, $\pi^{-1}(NW)$ is an identity neighbourhood in G . By (3), there is a finite set $E \subseteq \pi^{-1}(NW)$ so that

$$U = \bigcup_{g \in E} g\pi^{-1}(W)g^{-1}$$

is an identity neighbourhood in G and by definition $N \subseteq \overline{\pi[U]}$. Now, let $F \subseteq N$ be a finite set so that $\pi[E] \subseteq FW$. Then

$$\pi[U] \subseteq \bigcup_{g \in E} \pi(g)W\pi(g)^{-1} \subseteq \bigcup_{f \in F} fWWW^{-1}f^{-1},$$

and so

$$N \subseteq \overline{\pi[U]} \subseteq \bigcup_{f \in F} f\overline{WWW^{-1}}f^{-1} \subseteq \bigcup_{f \in F} fVf^{-1}$$

as we wanted. \square

Theorem 13. [26] *The following conditions are equivalent for a homomorphism between Polish groups, $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$.*

- (1) N is compact,
- (2) for all identity neighbourhoods $U \subseteq G$ and $V \subseteq H$, there exists a finite set $F \subseteq U$ so that

$$F \cdot \pi^{-1}(V) \cdot F$$

is an identity neighbourhood in G ,

- (3) for all identity neighbourhoods $U \subseteq G$ and $V \subseteq H$, there exists a finite set $F \subseteq U$ so that

$$F \cdot \pi^{-1}(V)$$

is an identity neighbourhood in G .

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\pi[G]$ is dense in H , whereby N is the smallest closed normal subgroup of H so that $G \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} H/N$ is continuous.

(1) \Rightarrow (3): Suppose N is compact and let $U \subseteq G$ and $V \subseteq H$ be the given identity neighbourhoods. Fix some symmetric open identity neighbourhood $W \subseteq H$ so that $W^3 \subseteq V$. As N is compact, let $E \subseteq N$ be a finite set so that $N \subseteq EW$. Then, as $E \subseteq N \subseteq \overline{\pi[U]} \subseteq \pi[U]W$, we can find a finite set $F \subseteq U$ with $E \subseteq \pi[F]W$ and thus

$$NW \subseteq EW^2 \subseteq \pi[F]W^3 \subseteq \pi[F]V.$$

Since $G \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} H/N$ is continuous, it follows that $\pi^{-1}(NW)$ is open in G and thus also that $\pi^{-1}(\pi[F]V) = F\pi^{-1}(V)$ is an identity neighbourhood in G .

The implication (3) \Rightarrow (2) is immediate.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): By Theorem 10 it is enough to show that, for every identity neighbourhood V in H , there exists a finite set $F \subseteq N$ such that $N \subseteq FVF$. So, let V be given and find a symmetric open neighbourhood W so that $\overline{W^3} \subseteq V$. As the induced mapping $G \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} H/N$ is continuous, the set $\pi^{-1}(NW)$ is open in G . Therefore, there is a finite set $E \subseteq \pi^{-1}(NW)$ such that $U = E\pi^{-1}(W)E$ is an identity neighbourhood in G . As $E \subseteq \pi^{-1}(NW) = \pi^{-1}(WN)$, we have $\pi[E] \subseteq FW \cap WF$ for some finite set $F \subseteq N$. Therefore, by the definition of N ,

$$N \subseteq \overline{\pi[U]} \subseteq \overline{\pi[E]W\pi[E]} \subseteq \overline{FWWWF} \subseteq \overline{FWWW}F \subseteq FVF,$$

proving that N is indeed compact. \square

3. CHRISTENSEN'S PROBLEM

As witnessed by Theorems 12 and 13, the degree of continuity of $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ depends on the size of N . For example, π is continuous if and only if $N = \{1\}$. However, when G is locally compact, it carries a left-invariant Haar measure μ that also allows us to add considerations of measurability. We begin with a classical result due to H. Steinhaus [34] for $G = \mathbb{R}$ and A. Weil [39] for arbitrary G .

Theorem 14. [34, 39] *Let G be a locally compact Polish group with (left) Haar measure μ . Then, for any μ -measurable set of positive measure, $A \subseteq G$, the product AA^{-1} is an identity neighbourhood.*

Proof. By regularity of μ , we may find a compact set K and an open set U such that $K \subseteq A \subseteq U$ and $\mu(U) < 2\mu(K)$. Now, pick an open identity neighbourhood V such that $VK \subseteq U$. Then, if $g \in V$, gK is a subset of U of measure $\mu(K)$ and so $K \cap gK \neq \emptyset$. Otherwise, because $gK \cup K \subseteq U$ and they are disjoint, we would have that

$$\mu(U) \geq \mu(gK \cup K) = \mu(gK) + \mu(K) = 2\mu(K),$$

contradicting the choice of K and U . We conclude that $g \in KK^{-1} \subseteq AA^{-1}$. So $V \subseteq AA^{-1}$. \square

Corollary 15. *Let G and H be Polish groups with G locally compact. Then every Haar measurable homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ is continuous.*

Proof. As it suffices to prove continuity at the identity, let U be any given identity neighbourhood in H and choose a smaller open identity neighbourhood $V \subseteq U$ such that $VV^{-1} \subseteq U$. Choose a dense sequence $(h_n)_n$ in H , whereby $H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Vh_n$. Because π is measurable, $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-1}(Vh_n)$ is a covering by measurable sets, so we may pick some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(\pi^{-1}(Vh_m)) > 0$. By Theorem 14, the set

$$\pi^{-1}(Vh_m)(\pi^{-1}(Vh_m))^{-1}$$

is an identity neighbourhood in G and hence so is $\pi^{-1}(U)$. \square

The previous result can be extended to all Polish groups G provided that the concept of Haar measurability, which only makes sense for locally compact groups, is replaced with an alternative measure theoretical concept. For this, a subset A of a Polish group G is called *universally measurable* if it is measurable with respect to every Borel probability measure or, equivalently, to every σ -finite Borel measure on G . In particular, if G is locally compact Polish, then a universally measurable set is a fortiori Haar measurable.

In the context of his study of notions of measure theoretically small sets in infinite-dimensional Banach spaces and topological groups, J.P.R. Christensen investigated the question of whether universally measurable homomorphisms between Polish groups are continuous [8]. In particular, Christensen proved this to be the case when either the domain or range is abelian. The proof of this result relies on a more precise statement with wider applicability.

If $k \geq 2$, we let $C_k = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ be the cyclic group of order k , which we may of course identify with the finite set $\{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$. Let also $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k$ be the infinite direct product, which is a compact topological group, and let $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k$ be the dense subgroup of $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k$ consisting of finitely supported elements, that is, elements of

the form $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m, 0, 0, \dots)$ with $z_i \in C_k$. As $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k$ is abelian, we write the group operation additively.

Theorem 16. [8] *Suppose that $G = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j$ is a covering of a Polish group G by universally measurable sets A_j and that U is an identity neighbourhood in G . Then there are a finite set $F \subseteq U$ and some j so that*

$$\bigcup_{g \in F} g A_j A_j^{-1} g^{-1}$$

is an identity neighbourhood.

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that the conclusion fails for A_1, A_2, \dots and U . That is, for all $h_1, \dots, h_n \in U$, any j and any identity neighbourhood V ,

$$V \setminus \left(h_1^{-1} A_j A_j^{-1} h_1 \cup \dots \cup h_n^{-1} A_j A_j^{-1} h_n \right) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let $\iota: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a surjection with infinite fibres. Then we can inductively choose $g_1, g_2, \dots \in U$ such that for all $i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < \dots$

- (1) any finite product $g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3} \cdots g_{i_m}$ belongs to U ,
- (2) the infinite product $g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3} \cdots$ converges fast to an element of G ,
- (3) if $i_1 < \dots < i_m < n$, then

$$g_n \notin (g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_m})^{-1} A_{\iota(n)} A_{\iota(n)}^{-1} (g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_m}).$$

Here, the convergence in (2) is assumed to be fast enough that the function

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_2 \xrightarrow{\phi} G$$

defined by setting

$$\phi(z) = g_1^{z_1} g_2^{z_2} g_3^{z_3} \cdots,$$

where $g^0 = 1$ and $g^1 = g$, will be continuous.

Note now that some $\phi^{-1}(A_j)$ must have positive Haar measure in $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_2$ and hence, by the Steinhaus–Weil theorem,

$$\phi^{-1}(A_j) - \phi^{-1}(A_j)$$

is a neighbourhood of the identity $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0, \dots)$ in $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_2$. As the fibre $\iota^{-1}(j)$ is infinite, this means that there is some $n \in \iota^{-1}(j)$ so that

$$\underbrace{(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)}_{\substack{\text{1 in the } n\text{th position}}} \in \phi^{-1}(A_j) - \phi^{-1}(A_j).$$

In particular, there are two elements $z, u \in \phi^{-1}(A_j)$ differing exactly in the n th coordinate, say $z_n = 1$ and $u_n = 0$. Thus

$$\phi(z) = h g_n k \in A_j, \quad \phi(u) = h k \in A_j,$$

where $h = g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_m}$, $i_1 < \dots < i_m < n$, and $k \in G$. It follows that

$$h g_n h^{-1} = h g_n k \cdot (h k)^{-1} \in A_j A_j^{-1} = A_{\iota(n)} A_{\iota(n)}^{-1}$$

and so

$$g_n \in h^{-1} A_{\iota(n)} A_{\iota(n)}^{-1} h = (g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_m})^{-1} A_{\iota(n)} A_{\iota(n)}^{-1} (g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_m}),$$

contradicting the choice of g_n . □

With this result, now it is possible to prove the following general result for Polish groups.

Theorem 17. [26] *Let $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ be a universally measurable homomorphism between Polish groups. Then π is continuous.*

Proof. This follows from Theorems 12 and 16. Indeed, let V be any identity neighbourhood in H and take an identity neighbourhood W such that $WW^{-1} \subseteq V$. Then the set $A = \pi^{-1}(W)$ is universally measurable and, as H is separable, covers G by countably many right translates. By Theorem 16, this means that for any identity neighbourhood U in G there are $g_1, \dots, g_n \in U$ so that

$$1 \in \text{int}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n g_i A A^{-1} g_i^{-1}\right) \subseteq \text{int}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n g_i \pi^{-1}(V) g_i^{-1}\right).$$

By Theorem 12, we conclude that π is continuous. \square

Regarding the problem of automatic continuity of universally measurable homomorphisms, T. Banach [2, Theorem 1.2] extended Theorem 17 to the situation when G is Čech-complete and H is an arbitrary topological group. To understand this statement, let us note that a topological space X is *Čech-complete* provided that it is homeomorphic to a G_δ -subset of some compact Hausdorff space. As every Polish space admits a metrisable compactification in which it is necessarily G_δ , Čech-complete spaces is a wider class than the Polish spaces.

Theorem 18. [2] *Let G be a Čech-complete topological group, H be an arbitrary topological group and $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ a universally measurable homomorphism. Then π is continuous.*

Whereas we will not concern ourselves with the extension to Čech-complete groups, we will show how to extend Theorem 17 to arbitrary topological groups H . The proof of this, due to Banach [2], is based on a result of J. Brzuchowski, J. Cichoń, E. Jacek and C. Ryll-Nardzewski [5] generalising an earlier result of L. Bukovský [6, Theorem A].

Theorem 19. [5, 6] *Let μ be a Borel probability measure on a Polish space X . If $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ is a point-finite family of μ -null sets such that $\bigcup_{i \in J} A_i$ is μ -measurable whenever $J \subseteq I$, then $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ is μ -null.*

Recall that the family $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ is *point-finite* provided that every $x \in X$ belongs to only finitely many of the A_i . In order to apply this in our setting, let us recall the following concept due to Christensen.

Definition 20. Let G be a Polish group and $A \subseteq G$ be a universally measurable set. We say that A is *left Haar null* if there is a Borel probability measure μ on G such that for all $g \in G$, $\mu(gA) = 0$.

Lemma 21. *Let G be a Polish group, H an arbitrary topological group and $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ a universally measurable homomorphism. Then, for all identity neighborhoods $U \subseteq H$, the inverse image $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is not left Haar null in G .*

Proof. Assume, without loss of generality, that π is surjective and suppose for a contradiction that there exists an identity neighborhood $U \subseteq H$ such that $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is left Haar null. Then there exists a Borel probability measure μ on G so that

$$\mu(g \cdot \pi^{-1}(U)) = 0$$

for all $g \in G$.

By the Birkhoff–Kakutani metrisation theorem, we may find a continuous left-invariant pseudometric d on H such that U contains the open ball

$$B = \{g \in G \mid d(g, 1) < 1\}.$$

So, by the paracompactness of the metric space quotient H/d , there exists a locally finite cover \mathcal{V} of H of d -open sets refining the open cover $(hB)_{h \in H}$. In particular, \mathcal{V} is a point-finite family and hence so is the family

$$\mathcal{W} = \{\pi^{-1}(V) \mid V \in \mathcal{V}\}.$$

Note also that, for every $\pi^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{W}$, there is some $h = \pi(g) \in H$ so that

$$\pi^{-1}(V) \subseteq \pi^{-1}(hB) \subseteq \pi^{-1}(hU) = g \cdot \pi^{-1}(U),$$

implying that $\pi^{-1}(V)$ is μ -null. Now, as

$$G = \bigcup \mathcal{W}$$

has μ -measure 1, Theorem 19 implies that there is some subfamily $\mathcal{V}' \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$\bigcup \{\pi^{-1}(V) \mid V \in \mathcal{V}'\} = \pi^{-1}(\bigcup \mathcal{V}')$$

is non μ -measurable. However, since $\bigcup \mathcal{V}'$ is open in H , this contradicts the universal measurability of π . \square

Definition 22. A topological group H is said to be ω -narrow if, for every identity neighbourhood U , there exist a countable set $C \subseteq H$ so that $H = CU$.

Let us also observe that, by an argument as in the implication (3) \Rightarrow (2) in Theorem 10, for H to be ω -narrow, it suffices that, for all identity neighbourhoods U , there is a countable set C and a finite set F so that $H = CUF$.

The class of ω -narrow groups was introduced by I.I. Guran [13], who also established the fundamental characterisation of these groups as being the topological subgroups of direct products of Polish groups.

Lemma 23. *Let G be a Polish group, H a topological group and $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ a universally measurable epimorphism. Then H is ω -narrow.*

Proof. Assume towards a contradiction that H is not ω -narrow and find an identity neighbourhood $U \subseteq H$ so that, for all countable sets C and finite sets F ,

$$H \neq CUF.$$

Also, take V neighborhood of 1_G such that $V^{-1}V \subseteq U$. By Lemma 21, the universally measurable set

$$A = \pi^{-1}(V)$$

is not left Haar null in G . So by [24, Theorem 2.8] there are $g_1, \dots, g_m \in G$ so that

$$W = \bigcup_{i=1}^m g_i^{-1} A^{-1} A g_i$$

is an identity neighborhood in G . In particular, as G is separable, there are $f_1, f_2, \dots \in G$ so that $G = \bigcup_j f_j W$, whereby

$$H = \pi[G] = \bigcup_j \pi(f_j) \pi[W] \subseteq \bigcup_j \bigcup_i \pi(f_j g_i^{-1}) U \pi(g_i),$$

contradicting the choice of U . \square

The proof of Theorem 18 for the case when the domain group G is Polish is now straightforward. Indeed, suppose $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ is a universally measurable homomorphism from a Polish group G into a topological group H . Without loss of generality, π is surjective, whence, by Lemma 23, H is ω -narrow. It thus follows from the result of I.I. Guran [13] that H embeds as a topological subgroup of a product

$$\prod_{i \in I} H_i$$

of Polish groups H_i . Composing π with the coordinate projections, we obtain universally measurable homomorphisms $G \xrightarrow{\pi_i} H_i$, which are continuous by Theorem 17. As a function into a product of topological spaces is continuous if and only if each composition with a coordinate projection is continuous, we conclude that π is itself continuous.

4. A QUADRICHOTOMY FOR HOMOMORPHISMS

Theorems 12 and 13 provide exact criteria for when the characteristic group N is either trivial or compact. As it turns out, the analysis of N also serves to elucidate the question of how much choice is needed to produce discontinuous homomorphisms between Polish groups. For this, we must of course work in some suitably weak background theory, which we here take to be ZF+DC. This is appropriate, as *dependent choice*, DC, suffices to establish the basic concepts of analysis that do not directly involve choice, for example, the Baire category theorem and most arguments involving convergence of sequences.

4.1. Vitali sets and chromatic numbers of Hamming graphs. For a fixed number $k \geq 2$, the *Hamming graph* on the abelian group $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k$ is the graph with vertex set $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k$ so that two vertices $z, w \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k$ form an edge if and only if they differ exactly one coordinate $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., if $z - w$ belongs to one of the factor subgroups C_k in the product $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k$.

A *colouring* of the Hamming graph is just a function $c: \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k \rightarrow X$ into some set X so that $c(z) \neq c(w)$ whenever $\{z, w\}$ is an edge. The *chromatic number* of the Hamming graph on $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k$, which we will denote by

$$\chi(k),$$

is then the smallest cardinality κ so that there is a graph colouring $c: \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k \rightarrow X$ into a set X of cardinality κ . Observe that, since each factor subgroup C_k is a clique of size k , we have that $\chi(k) \geq k$ for all k .

Now, suppose $c: \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k \rightarrow X$ is some graph colouring and that $m = k^n$ for some $n \geq 1$. Fix a bijection $\phi: C_m \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n C_k$ and let $(\cdot)_i = \text{proj}_i \circ \phi$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We then define a function $c_i: \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_m \rightarrow X$ by letting

$$c_i(z) = c((z_1)_i, (z_2)_i, (z_3)_i, \dots)$$

and let $C: \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_m \rightarrow X^n$ be given by $C(z) = (c_1(z), \dots, c_n(z))$. Then C is also a graph colouring, which shows that

$$\chi(k^n) \leq \chi(k)^n$$

for all $n \geq 1$. In particular, this shows that, if $\chi(k) = k$ for some k , then actually $\chi(k) = k$ for infinitely many k . Observe also that, if $l \leq k$, then the Hamming graph on $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_l$ can be seen as an induced subgraph of that on $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k$, whence

$\chi(l) \leq \chi(k)$. Since $\chi(k^n) \leq \chi(k)^n$, we thus see that $\chi(k)$ is either infinite for all k or finite for all k .

Now, consider the coset equivalence relation on $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k$, denoted \approx_k , induced by the subgroup $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k$. A *transversal* for \approx_k is a set $T \subseteq \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k$ containing exactly one representative of each equivalence class. In case T is such a transversal, it can be seen that $\chi(k) = k$. Indeed, a colouring $c : \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k \rightarrow C_k$ is defined by letting

$$c(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (z - \hat{z})_i$$

where $\hat{z} \in T$ is the unique representative of the equivalence class of z in T . Here we note that, because $z - \hat{z} \in \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k$, the previous sum is actually finite.

A *Vitali set* is a set $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ intersecting every translate of \mathbb{Q} in a single point, that is, a Vitali set is a set of representatives of the coset equivalence relation induced by \mathbb{Q} as a subgroup of \mathbb{R} . For each $k \geq 2$, since both \approx_k and the equivalence relation on \mathbb{R} of belonging to the same translate of \mathbb{Q} are hyperfinite equivalence relations, they are Borel bireducible and thus, in every model of ZF+DC, there is a Vitali set if and only if \approx_k admits a transversal. This means that we now have a sequence of implications holding under ZF+DC.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{There is a Vitali set} &\Rightarrow \chi(k) = k \text{ for all } k \\ &\Rightarrow \chi(k) = k \text{ for some or, equivalently, infinitely many } k \\ &\Rightarrow \chi(k) < \infty \text{ for all or, equivalently, some } k. \end{aligned}$$

4.2. The quadrichotomy. For the next lemma, a subset A of a group G is said to be *right σ -syndetic* provided it covers G by countably many right translates, that is, if $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Af_n$ for some sequence $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in G .

Lemma 24. [26] *Assume ZF+DC. Suppose that there is no Vitali set. Then, for every right σ -syndetic subset A of a Polish group G and identity neighbourhood $U \subseteq G$, there is a finite set $E \subseteq U$ so that*

$$EAA^{-1}E$$

has a nonempty interior.

Proof. Assume that $EAA^{-1}E$ has empty interior for every finite set $E \subseteq U$. By our hypothesis, $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Af_n$ for some sequence $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in G . Recursively and following the proof of Theorem 16 construct a sequence $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in U satisfying (1) and (3) in Theorem 16, so that the associated function

$$\phi: \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_2 \rightarrow G$$

is a continuous injection and thus a homeomorphism with its image, and such that for all $i_1 < \dots < i_n < k$ and $j_1 < \dots < j_m < k$ we have

$$g_k \notin g_{i_n}^{-1} \dots g_{i_1}^{-1} \cdot AA^{-1} \cdot g_{j_1} \dots g_{j_m}.$$

Assume that $z, w \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_2$ are \approx_2 -equivalent but distinct, say $z_k = 1, w_k = 0$ and $z_n = w_n$ for all $n > k$. Then we can write

$$\phi(z) = g_{i_1} \dots g_{i_n} g_k h, \quad \phi(w) = g_{j_1} \dots g_{j_m} h$$

for some $i_1 < \dots < i_n < k, j_1 < \dots < j_m < k$ and $h \in G$. Thus by condition (3)

$$\phi(z)\phi(w)^{-1} = g_{i_1} \dots g_{i_n} \cdot g_k \cdot g_{j_m}^{-1} \dots g_{j_1}^{-1} \notin AA^{-1}.$$

In particular, this shows that each set $B_m = \phi^{-1}(Af_m)$ can only intersect an \approx_2 -equivalence class in a single point and hence is a partial \approx_2 -transversal. Since $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_2 = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m$, this means that a transversal $T \subseteq \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_2$ for \approx_2 can be defined by

$$T = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(B_m \setminus \left[\bigcup_{l=1}^{m-1} B_l \right]_{\approx_2} \right).$$

Thus, if the conclusion of the lemma fails, there is a transversal for \approx_2 and hence also a Vitali set. \square

Lemma 25. [26] *Assume ZF+DC. Suppose $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ is a homomorphism between Polish groups so that N is compact and assume that $V \subseteq H$ is a symmetric open identity neighbourhood so that $hVh^{-1} = V$ for all $h \in N$. Let p be minimal so that*

$$N \subseteq VF$$

for some set $F \subseteq N$ with $|F| = p$ and let k be maximal so that there are $h_1, \dots, h_k \in N$ and an identity neighbourhood $W \subseteq H$ for which

$$VWh_i \cap VWh_j = \emptyset$$

for all $i \neq j$. Then

$$\chi(k) \leq p.$$

Proof. Pick some set $F \subseteq N$ of cardinality p so that $N \subseteq VF$ and find $h_1, \dots, h_k \in N$ and a symmetric identity neighbourhood $W \subseteq H$ so that

$$(1) \quad VW^2h_i \cap VW^2h_j = \emptyset$$

for all $i \neq j$. Since N is compact and VF is open, we can by shrinking W assume that $NW \subseteq VF$. Because the induced map $G \rightarrow \overline{\pi[G]}/N$ is continuous, $\pi^{-1}(NW)$ is an identity neighbourhood in G . So pick a symmetric open identity neighbourhood $U \subseteq G$ so that $\overline{U} \subseteq \pi^{-1}(NW)$.

For every identity neighbourhood O in G , we have

$$h_1, \dots, h_k \in N \subseteq \overline{\pi[O]} \subseteq W\pi[O].$$

This means that we may inductively choose finite sets $E_1, E_2, \dots \subseteq G$ so that, for all n ,

- (i) $E_1 \dots E_n \subseteq U$,
- (ii) $h_1, \dots, h_k \in W\pi[E_n]$,
- (iii) $VW\pi(g) \cap VW\pi(f) = \emptyset$ for all distinct $g, f \in E_n$,
- (iv) the map $\phi: \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \rightarrow \overline{U}$ given by

$$\phi(g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots) = g_1 g_2 g_3 \dots$$

is well-defined, injective and continuous with respect to the product topology on $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$.

Observe that by (iii) and the definition of k we have $|E_n| \leq k$ for all n . Conversely, by Equation 1 and (ii), we find that also $|E_n| \geq k$ for all n .

Now, suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ differ in a single coordinate n . Then we can write $\phi(\alpha) = ugx$ and $\phi(\beta) = ufx$ for some $u \in U \subseteq \pi^{-1}(NW)$, $x \in G$ and distinct $g, f \in E_n$, whereby $\pi(\phi(\alpha)) = hw\pi(g)\pi(x)$ and $\pi(\phi(\beta)) = hw\pi(f)\pi(x)$ for some $h \in N$ and $w \in W$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} V\pi(\phi(\alpha)) \cap V\pi(\phi(\beta)) &= Vhw\pi(g)\pi(x) \cap Vhw\pi(f)\pi(x) \\ &\subseteq hVW\pi(g)\pi(x) \cap hVW\pi(f)\pi(x) \\ &= h[VW\pi(g) \cap VW\pi(f)]\pi(x) \\ &= \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\pi(\phi(\alpha))$ and $\pi(\phi(\beta))$ cannot belong to the same right-translate Vz of V by any $z \in H$. Since $\text{im}(\phi) \subseteq \overline{U} \subseteq \pi^{-1}(NW) \subseteq \pi^{-1}(VF)$, it thus follows that the sets

$$A_z = (\pi\phi)^{-1}(Vz)$$

for $z \in F$ cover $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ by sets so that no two distinct elements of the same A_z can differ in a single coordinate. Identifying $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ with $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} C_k$ in the obvious way, this defines a colouring $c: \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} C_k \rightarrow F$, showing that $\chi(k) \leq p$. \square

Having established these lemmas, we are now ready to reassemble everything into a single quadrichotomy.

Theorem 26. [26] *Assume ZF+DC. Then one of the following conditions hold.*

- (1) *Every homomorphism between Polish groups is continuous.*
- (2) *The chromatic number $\chi(k)$ is finite for all $k \geq 2$ and, if $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ is a homomorphism between Polish groups, then N is compact and connected.*
- (3) *For infinitely many $k \geq 2$, we have $\chi(k) = k$ and, if $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ is a homomorphism between Polish groups, then N is compact.*
- (4) *There is a Vitali set.*

Proof. In all of the proof, we suppose that (4) fails, that is, there is no Vitali set. Then by Lemma 24, for every right σ -syndetic set $A \subseteq G$ and identity neighbourhood $U \subseteq G$, there is a finite set $E \subseteq U$ so that $EAA^{-1}E$ is an identity neighbourhood in G .

Suppose $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ is a homomorphism between Polish groups and so that $\pi[G]$ is dense in H and let $U \subseteq G$ and $V \subseteq H$ be identity neighbourhoods. Let W be a symmetric open identity neighbourhood such that $W^2 \subseteq V$. Then $A = \pi^{-1}(W)$ is right σ -syndetic in G and so, for some finite $E \subseteq U$,

$$E\pi^{-1}(W)\pi^{-1}(W)^{-1}E \subseteq E\pi^{-1}(V)E$$

are identity neighbourhoods in G . By Theorem 13, we conclude that N is compact.

Now, assume there is some $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ so that N is compact, but not connected. Then by a theorem of D. van Dantzig [9] N has a clopen proper normal subgroup $M \trianglelefteq N$. Because N is compact, the index $k = [N : M] > 1$ is finite. Letting $h_1, \dots, h_k \in N$ be coset representatives for M in N , we thus see that

$$Mh_1, \dots, Mh_k$$

is a partition of N into compact sets. We may thus choose a symmetric open identity neighbourhood $W \subseteq H$ such that W^3Mh_1, \dots, W^3Mh_k are also pairwise

disjoint. Moreover, as N is compact, we may suppose that $hWh^{-1} = W$ for all $h \in N$. Then $V = MW$ is symmetric and open in H and so

$$VW^2h_i \cap VW^2h_j = MW^3h_i \cap MW^3h_j = W^3Mh_i \cap W^3Mh_j = \emptyset$$

for all $i \neq j$. By Lemma 25, we find that $\chi(k) = k$ and thus $\chi(k^m) = k^m$ for all $m \geq 1$.

Now, assume instead there is a discontinuous homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ between Polish groups. Then N is compact but $N \neq \{1\}$. We may thus find some symmetric open identity neighbourhood $V \subseteq H$ so that $hVh^{-1} = V$ for all $h \in N$ and so that $V^3h_1 \cap V^3h_2 = \emptyset$ for some elements $h_1, h_2 \in N$. Letting $W = V$ and $F \subseteq N$ be any finite set so that $N \subseteq VF$, it follows from Lemma 25 that $\chi(2) \leq |F|$ and hence that $\chi(k) < \infty$ for all $k \geq 2$. \square

It is worth pausing to consider the ramifications of Theorem 26. First of all, the proper reading of the theorem is of course that every set theoretical universe verifying $ZF + DC$ must satisfy one of the four alternatives. Secondly, when restricting to specific target groups H , one can exclude certain of the different options and thus get a stronger statement. For example, consider case when H is the additive topological group $(X, +)$ of some separable Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Then the only compact subgroup of H is just the trivial group $\{0\}$, which means that, if $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ is a group homomorphism with compact characteristic group N , then $N = \{0\}$ and so π is continuous. This fact was previously established by P.B. Larson and J. Zapletal [18].

Theorem 27. [18] *Assume $ZF+DC$. Then either there is a Vitali set or every homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ from a Polish group G to the additive group H of a separable Banach space is continuous.*

In particular, if there is no Vitali set, then every linear operator between separable Banach spaces is continuous.

Consider now instead a different setup, namely, when G is a Polish group and F is a countable index subgroup of G . Then G acts by left translation on the countable quotient space G/F , which leads to a homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Sym}(G/F)$. Equipping $\text{Sym}(G/F)$ with the *permutation group topology* obtained by declaring pointwise stabilisers of finite collections of left cosets of F to be open, which is the same as the topology of pointwise convergence on the discrete set G/F , $\text{Sym}(G/F)$ becomes a totally disconnected Polish group. In particular, $\{\text{id}\}$ is the unique connected (compact) subgroup of $\text{Sym}(G/F)$ and hence options (1) and (2) of Theorem 26 conflate to (1). Noting that the homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Sym}(G/F)$ is continuous if and only if F is a clopen subgroup of G , we have the following consequence.

Theorem 28. *Assume $ZF+DC$. Then either every countable index subgroup of a Polish group is clopen or $\chi(k) = k$ for infinitely many $k \geq 2$.*

5. AUTOMATIC CONTINUITY IN COMPACT MANIFOLDS

Henceforth, we shall discard all measurability assumptions and instead consider the problem of finding Polish groups G so that all homomorphisms $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ with image in a Polish or, equivalently, in a ω -narrow group H are continuous.

Definition 29. Let G be a Polish group. We will say that G satisfies the *automatic continuity property* if every homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ into a Polish group is continuous.

The main tool for establishing the automatic continuity property for a Polish group G is to show that it satisfies the following more explicit combinatorial property.

Definition 30. A Polish group is said to be *Steinhaus* if there is an exponent $k \geq 1$ such that whenever $W \subseteq G$ is symmetric right σ -syndetic subset containing the identity, then

$$W^k$$

is an identity neighbourhood.

Lemma 31. [27] *If G is a Steinhaus, Polish group, then any homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ into a separable group is continuous.*

Our goal is now to show that homeomorphism groups of compact manifolds are Steinhaus. For this, let M be a compact manifold of dimension n and consider the group $\text{Homeo}(M)$ of all homeomorphisms of M . Because M is compact metrisable, one may fix a compatible metric d on M and equip $\text{Homeo}(M)$ with the corresponding uniform metric,

$$d_\infty(g, h) = \sup_{x \in M} d(g(x), h(x)).$$

We note that d_∞ is a right-invariant metric inducing a Polish group topology on $\text{Homeo}(M)$. This topology agrees both with the compact-open topology and with the topology of uniform convergence on M . In particular, it is independent of the specific choice of the metric d on M .

Our proof relies on the foundational work on topological manifolds due to R.D. Edwards and R.C. Kirby [11] encapsulated in the followed innocuous looking but immensely powerful result.

Theorem 32. [11] *Let $\{U_1, \dots, U_m\}$ be an open cover of a compact manifold M . Then the set of products*

$$\{g_1 g_2 \cdots g_m \mid \text{supp}(g_i) \subseteq U_i\}$$

is an identity neighborhood in $\text{Homeo}(M)$.

In addition to this, we need some basic facts about homeomorphisms and compact manifolds. Also, to keep matters simple, we exclusively cover the case of closed manifolds, i.e., compact manifolds without boundary. The details for the boundary case can be found in [20].

Lemma 33. *Suppose $g \in \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is compactly supported. Then there are compactly supported $f, h \in \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ so that*

$$g = f^{-1} h^{-1} f h.$$

Proof. Let $U_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open ball containing the support of g and extend this to a bi-infinite sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of open balls $U_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ so that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} U_n$ is bounded and

$$\overline{U_n} \cap \bigcup_{i \neq n} U_i = \emptyset$$

for all n . We can now find a compactly supported homeomorphism $h \in \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $h[U_n] = U_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and define f by letting

$$f \upharpoonright_{U_n} = h^n g h^{-n} \upharpoonright_{U_n}$$

for $n \geq 1$ and setting f to be the identity function everywhere else. Then, $h^{-1} f h$ is the identity outside of $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n$,

$$h^{-1} f h \upharpoonright_{U_0} = g \upharpoonright_{U_0},$$

whereas for $n \geq 1$

$$h^{-1} f h \upharpoonright_{U_n} = h^{-1} (h^{n+1} g h^{-n-1}) h \upharpoonright_{U_n} = h^n g h^{-n} \upharpoonright_{U_n} = f \upharpoonright_{U_n}.$$

Therefore,

$$f^{-1} \cdot h^{-1} f h = g$$

as claimed. \square

For a proof of the following, see [20, Lemma 3.10].

Lemma 34. *Let M be a closed manifold with a compatible metric d . Then there is $m = m(M)$ such that, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exist an open cover $\{U_1, \dots, U_m\}$ of M where each U_i is a union $U_i = A_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup A_{i,p}$ of disjoint open balls $A_{i,j}$ of diameter $< \epsilon/2$.*

Let us note that by *open ball* we understand the image $A = \phi[\mathbb{R}^r]$ of \mathbb{R}^r under a homeomorphic embedding $\mathbb{R}^r \xrightarrow{\phi} M$, where r is the dimension of M . In particular, this will in general not be a ball with respect to the metric d .

We aim to show that $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is $20m$ -Steinhaus, where $m = m(M)$ is the constant from Lemma 34. For this purpose, let $W \subseteq \text{Homeo}(M)$ be a given right σ -syndetic symmetric set containing the identity. Fix also a sequence $(k_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\text{Homeo}(M)$ such that $\text{Homeo}(M) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} W k_n^{-1} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n W$. Then, by the Baire category theorem, some $k_n W$ is somewhere dense, whereby $W^2 = (k_n W)^{-1} k_n W$ is dense in an identity neighbourhood, which we may take to be of the form

$$V_\epsilon = \{g \in \text{Homeo}(M) \mid d_\infty(g, \text{id}) < \epsilon\}$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Lemma 35. *For all open, non-empty $A_1, \dots, A_p \subseteq M$ there are open, nonempty $B_i \subseteq A_i$ such that*

$$\{h \in \text{Homeo}(M) \mid \text{supp}(h) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^p B_i\} \subseteq W^{16}.$$

Proof. Suppose for simplicity that $p = 1$. Otherwise the argument below has to be done for the p sets in parallel. Without loss of generality A_1 has diameter $< \epsilon$. Pick a sequence $(C_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of non-empty open sets $C_n \subseteq A_1$ satisfying

$$\overline{C_n} \cap \bigcup_{i \neq n} C_i = \emptyset$$

for all n . This later condition ensures that, if $g_n \in \text{Homeo}(M)$ are such that $\text{supp}(g_n) \subseteq C_n$, then there is some $g \in \text{Homeo}(M)$ with $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} C_m$ and

$$g \upharpoonright_{C_n} = g_n \upharpoonright_{C_n}$$

for all n .

Suppose for a contradiction that, for all n , we can find some $g_n \in \text{Homeo}(M)$ satisfying $\text{supp}(g_n) \subseteq C_n$, but such that for all $f \in k_n W$ with $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} C_m$, we have $f \upharpoonright_{C_n} \neq g_n \upharpoonright_{C_n}$. By the construction of the C_n , we can then find $g \in \text{Homeo}(M)$ with $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} C_m$ and $g \upharpoonright_{C_n} = g_n \upharpoonright_{C_n}$ for all n . This means that $g \notin k_n W$ for all n , contradicting that $\text{Homeo}(M) = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} k_m W$.

It thus follows that there is some set C_n so that, for any $g \in \text{Homeo}(M)$ with $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq C_n$, there is $f \in k_n W$ with $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} C_m$ and $f \upharpoonright_{C_n} = g \upharpoonright_{C_n}$.

Set $C = C_n$ and $D = \bigcup_{m \neq n} C_m$. Then, for all $g \in \text{Homeo}(M)$ with $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq C$, there is $f \in W^2$ with $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq C \cup D$ such that $f \upharpoonright_C = g \upharpoonright_C$. Indeed, given g , pick $h_1, h_2 \in k_n W$ with $\text{supp}(h_i) \subseteq C \cup D$ such that $h_1 \upharpoonright_C = g \upharpoonright_C$ and $h_2 \upharpoonright_C = \text{id} \upharpoonright_C$. Then $f = h_2^{-1} h_1 \in W^2$, $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq C \cup D$ and $f \upharpoonright_C = g \upharpoonright_C$.

Now, by using the density of W^2 in V_ϵ , we can find some $h \in W^2$ such that

$$(C \cup D) \cap h[C \cup D] = C \cap h[C] \neq \emptyset.$$

Applying Lemma 33, we may also choose some non-empty open $E \subseteq C \cap h[C]$ such that for all $g \in \text{Homeo}(M)$ with $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq E$, there are $f_1, f_2 \in \text{Homeo}(M)$ with $\text{supp}(f_i) \subseteq C \cap h[C]$ and

$$g = f_1^{-1} f_2^{-1} f_1 f_2.$$

We now claim that

$$\{g \in \text{Homeo}(M) \mid \text{supp}(g) \subseteq E\} \subseteq W^{16}.$$

For this, let $g \in \text{Homeo}(M)$ satisfy $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq E$ and write $g = f_1^{-1} f_2^{-1} f_1 f_2$ as above. Then $\text{supp}(f_1) \subseteq C$ and $\text{supp}(h^{-1} f_2 h) \subseteq C$, so there are $q_1, q_2 \in W^2$ with $\text{supp}(q_i) \subseteq C \cup D$ such that

$$q_1 \upharpoonright_C = f_1 \upharpoonright_C, \quad q_2 \upharpoonright_C = h^{-1} f_2 h \upharpoonright_C.$$

It follows that

$$\text{supp}(h q_2 h^{-1}) = h \cdot \text{supp}(q_2) \subseteq h[C \cup D]$$

and

$$h q_2 h^{-1} \upharpoonright_{h[C]} = f_2 \upharpoonright_{h[C]}.$$

In particular,

$$\text{supp}(q_1) \cap \text{supp}(h q_2 h^{-1}) \subseteq (C \cup D) \cap h[C \cup D] = C \cap h[C].$$

Thus, on their common support, q_1 agrees with f_1 , whereas $h q_2 h^{-1}$ agrees with f_2 . Therefore,

$$g = f_1^{-1} f_2^{-1} f_1 f_2 = q_1^{-1} \cdot (h q_2 h^{-1})^{-1} \cdot q_1 \cdot h q_2 h^{-1} \in W^{16},$$

which proves the claim. Taking $B_1 = E \subseteq A_1$, the lemma follows. \square

To finish the proof that $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is $20m$ -Steinhaus, find by Lemma 34 a covering $\{U_1, \dots, U_m\}$ of M so that each U_i can be written as

$$U_i = A_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup A_{i,p},$$

where the $A_{i,j}$ are disjoint open balls of radius $< \epsilon/2$. By Lemma 35, we can find smaller non-empty open sets $B_{i,j} \subseteq A_{i,j}$ so that, for each $j = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\{h \in \text{Homeo}(M) \mid \text{supp}(h) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^p B_{i,j}\} \subseteq W^{16}.$$

Because W^2 is dense in V_ϵ , there are $f_i \in W^2$ such that $f_i[A_{i,j}] \subseteq B_{i,j}$. Thus, if $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq U_i = A_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup A_{i,p}$, then $\text{supp}(f_i g f_i^{-1}) \subseteq B_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup B_{i,p}$, whereby $f_i g f_i^{-1} \in W^{16}$ and $g \in W^{20}$. It follows that

$$\{g_1 \cdots g_m \mid \text{supp}(g_i) \subseteq U_i\} \subseteq W^{20m}$$

and so, by Theorem 32, W^{20m} is an identity neighborhood in $\text{Homeo}(M)$.

From the Steinhaus property, we can thus conclude the following result, which was proved in [27] for 1-manifolds, in [22] for 2-manifolds and in [20] for all dimensions.

Theorem 36. [20, 22, 27] *The homeomorphism group $\text{Homeo}(M)$ of any compact manifold M has the automatic continuity property.*

6. AUTOMATIC CONTINUITY FOR ISOMETRY GROUPS

The goal of this section is to present some results due to I. Ben Yaacov, A. Berenstein and J. Melleray [3], M. Sabok [28] and T. Tsankov [35] on automatic continuity properties for isometry groups of some highly homogeneous metric spaces with additional structure. For this, we are following the lead of Sabok, who devised an elegant reduction to the theory of ample generics. Some simplifications to Sabok's approach were given by M. Malicki [19] and our approach here discards of even more baggage by removing the need for technical concepts such as relative δ -saturation, ample tuples and (weakly) isolated sequences.

6.1. Existence of ample generics. We begin our treatment by explaining some well-known results about ample generics in the context of automorphism groups. But let us first recall the fundamental concept originating in [14] and [17].

Definition 37. A Polish group G is said to have *ample generics* provided that, for all $k \geq 1$, the action of G on G^k by diagonal conjugation,

$$g \cdot (f_1, \dots, f_k) = (g f_1 g^{-1}, \dots, g f_k g^{-1}),$$

has a comeagre orbit.

Ample generics is an interesting concept in itself, but is also a central tool in automatic continuity. Indeed, as shown in [17] (see also [24, Section 5] for a more topological account), every Polish group with ample generics has the automatic continuity property.

Recall that a group action $G \curvearrowright Z$ by homeomorphisms on a topological space Z is said to be *topologically transitive* if, for all non-empty open $W_0, W_1 \subseteq Z$, there is some $g \in G$ so that $gW_0 \cap W_1 \neq \emptyset$. Using this, we can now provide a simple criterion for having ample generics or, more generally, for the existence of comeagre orbits under Polish group actions, cf. [25, Lemma 9].

Lemma 38. *A continuous action $G \curvearrowright Z$ of a Polish group G on a Polish space Z has a comeagre orbit if and only if*

- (1) *the action $G \curvearrowright Z$ is topologically transitive and*
- (2) *for all non-empty open $V \subseteq Z$ and identity neighbourhoods $U \subseteq G$, there is some non-empty open $W \subseteq V$ so that the action of U on W is topologically transitive, i.e., for all non-empty open $W_0, W_1 \subseteq W$, there is some $g \in U$ such that $gW_0 \cap W_1 \neq \emptyset$.*

The context for our discussion below is models of first-order logic, that is, structures of the form $\mathcal{X} = \langle X, \{F_i^{\mathcal{X}}\}_{i \in I}, \{R_j^{\mathcal{X}}\}_{j \in J} \rangle$, where X is some set, each $F_i^{\mathcal{X}}: X^{k_i} \rightarrow X$ is a function of some finite number k_i of variables and $R_j^{\mathcal{X}} \subseteq X^{k_j}$ is a relation of finite arity k_j .

Definition 39. A first-order structure \mathcal{X} is said to have the *Hrushovski property* provided that, for any finite collection $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in I}$ of isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{A}_i \xrightarrow{\phi_i} \mathcal{B}_i$$

between finitely generated substructures $\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_i \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, there is a finitely generated substructure $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ containing all the \mathcal{A}_i and automorphisms $f_i \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ so that each f_i extends ϕ_i and leaves \mathcal{D} invariant.

Observe, in particular, that every structure \mathcal{X} with the Hrushovski property is *ultrahomogeneous* in the sense that every isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{B}$ between two finitely generated substructures of \mathcal{X} extends to a full automorphism of \mathcal{X} itself.

Definition 40. Assume also that \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} are substructures of a first-order structure \mathcal{X} with $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{C}$ and let \mathcal{D} denote the substructure of \mathcal{X} generated by $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C}$. We say that \mathcal{B} is *independent from \mathcal{C} over \mathcal{A}* , written

$$\mathcal{B} \underset{\mathcal{A}}{\downarrow} \mathcal{C},$$

provided that whenever ϕ and ψ are automorphisms of respectively \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} , both leaving \mathcal{A} invariant and so that $\phi|_{\mathcal{A}} = \psi|_{\mathcal{A}}$, then there is an automorphism σ of \mathcal{D} extending both ϕ and ψ .

Observe that independence is an absolute notion in the sense that, if \mathcal{Y} is a superstructure of \mathcal{X} , then whether

$$\mathcal{B} \underset{\mathcal{A}}{\downarrow} \mathcal{C}$$

holds is independent of whether \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} are seen as substructures of \mathcal{X} or of \mathcal{Y} .

Definition 41. A first-order structure \mathcal{X} is said to have the *extension property* provided that, for all finitely generated substructures \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{X} satisfying $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{C}$, there is some $g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ so that $g|_{\mathcal{A}} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and

$$\mathcal{B} \underset{\mathcal{A}}{\downarrow} g[\mathcal{C}].$$

Suppose \mathcal{X} is a first-order structure. Then the tautological action of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ on the universe X of \mathcal{X} extends diagonally to actions on all finite powers X^k or X . For simplicity of notation, we shall designate finite tuples of elements of X by $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ etc.

When \mathcal{X} is furthermore assumed to be countable, the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ becomes a Polish group when equipped with the *permutation group topology* that can be described by declaring pointwise stabilisers

$$\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}, \bar{a}) = \{g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}) \mid g\bar{a} = \bar{a}\}$$

to be clopen subgroups. Whenever \mathcal{X} is countable, this is the only topology on $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ that will be considered.

The next result is part of the folklore, see, e.g., [14, 17, 28].

Theorem 42. *Suppose that \mathcal{X} is a countable first-order structure with the extension and Hrushovski properties. Then $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ has ample generics.*

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{A} is a finitely generated substructure of \mathcal{X} and that $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$. We will find a finitely generated substructure $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ and automorphisms $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ satisfying the following conditions

- (1) $f_i|_{\mathcal{A}} = g_i|_{\mathcal{A}}$ for all i ,
- (2) if $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is a finitely generated substructure and $h_1, \dots, h_n, h'_1, \dots, h'_n \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ are automorphisms so that

$$h_i|_{\mathcal{B}} = h'_i|_{\mathcal{B}} = f_i|_{\mathcal{B}}$$

for all i , then there are $p, q_i \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ so that $p|_{\mathcal{A}} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$, whereas

$$q_i|_{\mathcal{C}} = h_i|_{\mathcal{C}}, \quad p^{-1}q_i p|_{\mathcal{C}} = h'_i|_{\mathcal{C}}$$

for all i .

Furthermore, if \mathcal{A} is the structure generated by the empty set, we choose $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$. Doing this suffices to verify the criterion of Lemma 38 for $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ having ample generics.

To find \mathcal{B} and the f_i , we apply the Hrushovski property to the collection of isomorphisms $\{g_i|_{\mathcal{A}}\}_{i=1}^n$ to give us a finitely generated substructure $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ and automorphisms $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ so that

$$f_i[\mathcal{B}] = \mathcal{B}$$

and

$$f_i|_{\mathcal{A}} = g_i|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

for all i . Observe also that, if \mathcal{A} is the substructure generated by \emptyset , we may simply set $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$.

Assume now that $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is a finitely generated substructure and $h_i, h'_i \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ are automorphisms so that $h_i|_{\mathcal{B}} = h'_i|_{\mathcal{B}} = f_i|_{\mathcal{B}}$. We apply the Hrushovski property again to the family $\{h_i|_{\mathcal{C}}, h'_i|_{\mathcal{C}}\}_i$ to find a finitely generated substructure $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ and automorphisms $k_i, k'_i \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ so that

$$k_i[\mathcal{D}] = k'_i[\mathcal{D}] = \mathcal{D}$$

and

$$k_i|_{\mathcal{C}} = h_i|_{\mathcal{C}}, \quad k'_i|_{\mathcal{C}} = h'_i|_{\mathcal{C}}$$

for all i . By the extension property, we then find some $p \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ so that $p|_{\mathcal{B}} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and

$$\mathcal{D} \downarrow_{\mathcal{B}} p[\mathcal{D}].$$

Because $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$, $p|_{\mathcal{B}} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $f_i[\mathcal{B}] = \mathcal{B}$, we have that

$$pk'_i p^{-1}|_{\mathcal{B}} = pk'_i|_{\mathcal{B}} = ph'_i|_{\mathcal{B}} = pf_i|_{\mathcal{B}} = f_i|_{\mathcal{B}} = h_i|_{\mathcal{B}} = k_i|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Thus, as k_i and $pk'_i p^{-1}$ leave respectively \mathcal{D} and $p[\mathcal{D}]$ invariant and as $\mathcal{D} \downarrow_{\mathcal{B}} p[\mathcal{D}]$, we can by ultrahomogeneity of \mathcal{X} find automorphisms $q_i \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ extending both $k_i|_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $pk'_i p^{-1}|_{p[\mathcal{D}]}$. This means that $p^{-1}q_i p$ extends $k'_i|_{\mathcal{D}}$ and so

$$q_i|_{\mathcal{C}} = k_i|_{\mathcal{C}} = h_i|_{\mathcal{C}}, \quad p^{-1}q_i p|_{\mathcal{C}} = k'_i|_{\mathcal{C}} = h'_i|_{\mathcal{C}}$$

as required. \square

6.2. First-order metric structures. With the prerequisites on ample generics out of the way, we now turn to first-order metric structures. For this, consider the countable first-order relational language

$$L_{\text{dist}} = \{D_r \mid r \in \mathbb{Q}_+\},$$

where each D_r is a binary relational symbol and $\mathbb{Q}_+ = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, \infty[$. An L_{dist} -structure $\mathcal{X} = \langle X, \{D_r^{\mathcal{X}}\}_{r \in \mathbb{Q}_+} \rangle$ is said to be a *metric L_{dist} -structure* provided that there is a metric d on X so that

$$\mathcal{X} \models D_r(x, y) \iff d(x, y) \leq r.$$

Observe that, in this case, the metric d and the family of interpretations $\{D_r^{\mathcal{X}}\}_{r \in \mathbb{Q}_+}$ are interdefinable. Note also that, any substructure \mathcal{Y} of a metric L_{dist} -structure \mathcal{X} is again a metric L_{dist} -structure and that the associated metric on Y is simply the restriction of that on X .

More generally, if L is a first-order language containing L_{dist} , then an L -structure \mathcal{X} will be said to be a *metric L -structure* provided that the following conditions hold.

- (1) The L_{dist} -reduct $\mathcal{X}|_{L_{\text{dist}}}$ is a metric L_{dist} -structure,
- (2) for every k -ary function symbol $F \in L$, the interpretation

$$F^{\mathcal{X}} : X^k \rightarrow X$$

is continuous with respect to the metric topology on X ,

- (3) for every k -ary relation symbol $R \in L$, the interpretation

$$R^{\mathcal{X}} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k \mid \mathcal{X} \models R(x_1, \dots, x_k)\}$$

is closed with respect to the metric topology on X .

For good measure, although this will play no role in what follows, let us remark that the class of metric L -structures is not first-order axiomatisable. Nevertheless, it is axiomatisable in the logic $L_{\omega_1\omega}$. Again, every substructure of a metric L -structure is also a metric L -structure.

A metric L -structure \mathcal{X} will be said to be *separable* or *complete* provided that the universe X of \mathcal{X} is respectively separable or complete with respect to the associated metric d . Let trivially, we say that a substructure $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is *superdense* in \mathcal{X} provided that the universe Y of \mathcal{Y} is d -dense in X and, furthermore, that $R^{\mathcal{Y}}$ is d -dense in $R^{\mathcal{X}}$ for every relation symbol $R \in L$.

Lemma 43. *Suppose \mathcal{Y} is a superdense substructure of a complete metric L -structure \mathcal{X} . Then every automorphism $g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{Y})$ extends uniquely to an automorphism $\hat{g} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$.*

Proof. Suppose that an automorphism $g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{Y})$ is given. Let X and Y denote the universes of \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} respectively and d be the metric associated with X . Then, since g is an automorphism of \mathcal{Y} and $d|_Y$ is definable from \mathcal{Y} , g is an autoisometry of (Y, d) . Because (X, d) is complete and Y is dense in X , this implies that g extends uniquely to an isometry \hat{g} of (X, d) . We claim that \hat{g} is an automorphism of \mathcal{X} . Indeed, if $F \in L$ is a k -ary function symbol, its interpretation $F^{\mathcal{X}} : X^k \rightarrow X$ is continuous and so, if \bar{a} is a k -tuple in \mathcal{X} , we can find tuples \bar{a}_n in \mathcal{Y} converging to

\bar{a} , whereby

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{\mathcal{X}}(\hat{g}\bar{a}) &= F^{\mathcal{X}}(\hat{g} \cdot \lim_n \bar{a}_n) \\
&= F^{\mathcal{X}}(\lim_n g\bar{a}_n) \\
&= \lim_n F^{\mathcal{X}}(g\bar{a}_n) \\
&= \lim_n g(F^{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{a}_n)) \\
&= \hat{g}(\lim_n F^{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{a}_n)) \\
&= \hat{g}(F^{\mathcal{X}}(\lim_n \bar{a}_n)) \\
&= \hat{g}(F^{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{a})).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $R \in L$ is a k -ary relation symbol and $\bar{a} \in R^{\mathcal{X}}$, we can pick $\bar{a}_n \in R^{\mathcal{Y}}$ converging to \bar{a} , whereby $g\bar{a}_n \in R^{\mathcal{X}}$ and hence

$$\hat{g}\bar{a} = \lim_n g\bar{a}_n \in R^{\mathcal{X}}$$

as $R^{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed in X^k . Using that also \hat{g}^{-1} preserves $R^{\mathcal{X}}$, we conclude that \hat{g} is an automorphism of \mathcal{X} . \square

Because the extension operator $g \mapsto \hat{g}$ is clearly an injective group homomorphism, this shows that, under the assumptions of Lemma 43, we may simply identify $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{Y})$ with the subgroup

$$\{g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}) \mid g[\mathcal{Y}] = \mathcal{Y}\}$$

of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$.

The next lemma is obtained via a straightforward Löwenheim–Skolem construction.

Lemma 44. [28] *Let \mathcal{X} be a separable complete metric L -structure in a countable language $L \supseteq L_{\text{dist}}$ and assume that \mathcal{X} has the extension and Hrushovski properties. Suppose also that, for every finite tuple \bar{a} in \mathcal{X} , we are given a countable subset $F_{\bar{a}} \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$. Then there is a countable superdense substructure $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ with the extension and Hrushovski properties and that is furthermore invariant under all elements of $F_{\bar{a}}$ whenever \bar{a} is a tuple in \mathcal{Y} .*

Proof. Because the language L is countable and \mathcal{X} is separable, it contains a countable superdense substructure $\mathcal{X}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. By induction on $n \geq 1$, we define an increasing sequence of countable substructures

$$\mathcal{X}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{X}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{X}_3 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{X}$$

and countable subsets

$$F_{\emptyset} = A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$$

so that the following properties are satisfied.

- (1) $F_{\bar{a}} \subseteq A_{n+1}$ for all tuples \bar{a} in \mathcal{X}_n ,
- (2) if $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ are finitely generated substructures of \mathcal{X}_n with $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{C}$, then there is some $f \in A_{n+1}$ so that $f|_{\mathcal{A}} = \text{id}|_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{B} \perp_{\mathcal{A}} f[\mathcal{C}]$,

- (3) if $\{\mathcal{A}_i \xrightarrow{\phi_i} \mathcal{B}_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a finite collection of isomorphisms between finitely generated substructures $\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_i \subseteq \mathcal{X}_n$, then there is a finitely generated substructure $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{n+1}$, containing all \mathcal{A}_i , and automorphisms $f_i \in A_{n+1}$ so that each f_i extends ϕ_i and leaves \mathcal{D} invariant,
- (4) $f[\mathcal{X}_{n+1}] = \mathcal{X}_{n+1}$ for all $f \in A_n$.

For the inductive construction, one supposes that $\mathcal{X}_1 \dots, \mathcal{X}_m$ and A_1, \dots, A_m have been defined so that (1)-(4) hold for all $n < m$. Then the extension and Hrushovski properties of \mathcal{X} , along with the countability of L , \mathcal{X}_m and A_m , ensure that one may construct a pair $(A_{m+1}, \mathcal{X}_{m+1})$ so that (1)-(4) now hold for all $n \leq m$.

Assuming that the construction has been done, we note that the union $\mathcal{Y} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_n$ will be a countable superdense substructure of \mathcal{X} closed under all elements of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. It then follows from (2) and (3) that \mathcal{Y} has the extension and Hrushovski properties respectively and from (1) that \mathcal{Y} is invariant under all elements of $F_{\bar{a}}$ whenever \bar{a} is a tuple in \mathcal{Y} . \square

We are now ready to prove a slight strengthening of the central lemma of Sabok's paper [28, Lemma 6.1] that, in a single step, will allow us to deduce almost all consequences of ample generics.

Lemma 45. *Let \mathcal{X} be a separable complete metric L -structure in a countable language $L \supseteq L_{\text{dist}}$ and assume that \mathcal{X} has the extension and Hrushovski properties. Suppose also that elements $k_n, h_n \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ and subsets $W_n \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ are given so that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n W_n h_n$ is comeagre in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$. Then there is a finite tuple \bar{a} in \mathcal{X} and some n so that*

$$\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}, \bar{a}) \subseteq (W_n^{-1} W_n)^{10}.$$

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that the conclusion of the lemma fails. For every tuple \bar{a} and number n , we may then pick some

$$f_{\bar{a}, n} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}, \bar{a}) \setminus (W_n^{-1} W_n)^{10}.$$

By Lemma 44, there is a countable superdense substructure $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ with the extension and Hrushovski properties and that is invariant under all h_n and under every $f_{\bar{a}, n}$ whenever \bar{a} is a tuple in \mathcal{Y} . Thus, by Theorem 42 and Lemma 43, the closed subgroup

$$G = \{g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}) \mid g[\mathcal{Y}] = \mathcal{Y}\}$$

has ample generics when equipped with the permutation group topology induced by its action on \mathcal{Y} .

Consider the quotient map $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{q} \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})/G$ onto the homogeneous space of left G -cosets and where $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})/G$ is given the quotient topology with respect to which it is Polish. By definition, q is surjective, continuous and open. Because the set $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n W_n h_n$ is comeagre in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$, it then follows from a special form of the Kuratowski–Ulam theorem [25, Theorem 15] that there is a comeagre set of points $c \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})/G$ so that

$$q^{-1}(c) \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n W_n h_n \text{ is comeagre in } q^{-1}(c).$$

In particular, we may find some element $t \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ so that

$$tG \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n W_n h_n \text{ is comeagre in } tG$$

and hence so that

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (G \cap t^{-1}k_n W_n h_n) \text{ is comeagre in } G.$$

Note that, if we pick $g_n \in G \cap (t^{-1}k_n W_n h_n)$ whenever the latter set is non-empty and otherwise set $g_n = 1$, then, because $g_n, h_n \in G$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} G \cap (t^{-1}k_n W_n h_n) &\subseteq G \cap (g_n \cdot h_n^{-1} W_n^{-1} k_n^{-1} t \cdot t^{-1} k_n W_n h_n) \\ &= G \cap (g_n h_n^{-1} W_n^{-1} W_n h_n) \\ &= g_n h_n^{-1} (G \cap W_n^{-1} W_n) h_n \end{aligned}$$

for all n . It therefore follows that

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n h_n^{-1} (G \cap W_n^{-1} W_n) h_n$$

is comeagre in G .

Because G has ample generics, it follows from [24, Theorem 5.10], along with the first line of its proof, that

$$\{g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}) \mid g[\mathcal{Y}] = \mathcal{Y} \ \& \ g\bar{a} = \bar{a}\} \subseteq (G \cap W_n^{-1} W_n)^{10} \subseteq (W_n^{-1} W_n)^{10}$$

for some tuple \bar{a} in \mathcal{Y} and some number n . However, this contradicts that

$$f_{\bar{a},n} \notin (W_n^{-1} W_n)^{10}.$$

whereas $f_{\bar{a},n} \in \{g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}) \mid g[\mathcal{Y}] = \mathcal{Y} \ \& \ g\bar{a} = \bar{a}\}$. \square

Thus far, our discussion has not involved any topology on $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$, but of course for results on automatic continuity we need to see it as a topological group. So suppose \mathcal{X} is a separable complete metric L -structure in a countable language $L \supseteq L_{\text{dist}}$, let X denote the universe of \mathcal{X} and d the associated complete metric on X . Since the metric space (X, d) is separable and complete, the group $\text{Isom}(X, d)$ of autoisometries of X is a Polish group when equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence [15, Section 9B]. But furthermore, because the functions $F^{\mathcal{X}}$ of the structure \mathcal{X} are continuous and the relations $R^{\mathcal{X}}$ closed, we see that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ is a closed subgroup of $\text{Isom}(X, d)$ and thus is a Polish group in its own right. When dealing with uncountable separable complete metric L -structures, $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ will henceforth be given this Polish topology of pointwise convergence on (X, d) .

Note that the metric d on X induces a compatible metric d_{∞} on every finite power X^k by the formula

$$d_{\infty}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = \max_i d(a_i, b_i)$$

and observe that a neighbourhood basis at the identity of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ is given by sets of the form

$$N(\bar{a}, \epsilon) = \{g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}) \mid d_{\infty}(g\bar{a}, \bar{a}) < \epsilon\}$$

where \bar{a} is a finite tuple in \mathcal{X} and $\epsilon > 0$. Let also

$$\mathcal{O}(\bar{a}) = \{g\bar{a} \mid g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})\}$$

denote the orbit of the tuple \bar{a} under the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ and write

$$\mathcal{O}(\bar{a}, \epsilon) = \{\bar{b} \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{a}) \mid d_{\infty}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) < \epsilon\} = N(\bar{a}, \epsilon) \cdot \bar{a}.$$

Moreover,

$$\mathcal{O}(\bar{b}/\bar{a}) = \{\bar{c} \mid \mathcal{O}(\bar{c}, \bar{a}) = \mathcal{O}(\bar{b}, \bar{a})\} = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}, \bar{a}) \cdot \bar{b}.$$

Finally, a family $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{X}^k$ is said to be a *basis* for $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ provided that, for all tuples \bar{a} and $\epsilon > 0$, there are $\bar{b} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\eta > 0$ so that

$$\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}, \bar{b}) \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}, \bar{a}) \quad \text{and} \quad N(\bar{b}, \eta) \subseteq N(\bar{a}, \epsilon).$$

Theorem 46. *Let \mathcal{X} be a separable complete metric L -structure in a countable language $L \supseteq L_{\text{dist}}$ and assume that \mathcal{X} has the extension and Hrushovski properties. Fix also a basis \mathcal{B} for $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ and suppose also that, for all $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\text{int}\{(g, f) \in N(\bar{a}, \epsilon) \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}) \mid \mathcal{O}(\bar{a}/g\bar{a}) \cap \mathcal{O}(f\bar{a}/\bar{a}) \neq \emptyset\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Then whenever $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n W_n h_n$ is a covering by two-sided translates of subsets $W_n \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$, we have

$$\text{int}\left(\left(W_n^{-1} W_n\right)^{32}\right) \neq \emptyset$$

for some n .

Proof. Fix a covering $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n W_n h_n$. By leaving out all terms so that W_n is meagre, we can instead suppose that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n W_n h_n$ is comeagre in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ and that every W_n is non-meagre. By Lemma 45 there is some tuple \bar{a} and some number n so that

$$\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}, \bar{a}) \subseteq (W_n^{-1} W_n)^{10}.$$

Because W_n is non-meagre, it is somewhere dense and thus $W_n^{-1} W_n$ is dense in some identity neighbourhood. Thus, by enlarging \bar{a} if necessary, we can suppose that for some $\epsilon > 0$, $W_n^{-1} W_n$ is dense in $N(\bar{a}, \epsilon)$. Furthermore, without loss of generality, we can suppose that $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{B}$.

By the assumptions in the theorem, there is a non-empty open set $U \subseteq N(\bar{a}, \epsilon) \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ so that $\mathcal{O}(\bar{a}/g\bar{a}) \cap \mathcal{O}(f\bar{a}/\bar{a}) \neq \emptyset$ for all $(g, f) \in U$. So, by the density of $W_n^{-1} W_n$ in $N(\bar{a}, \epsilon)$, we can find $g \in N(\bar{a}, \epsilon) \cap W_n^{-1} W_n$, so that the section

$$U_g = \{f \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}) \mid (g, f) \in U\}$$

is non-empty open. Observe however that, for $f \in U_g$, there are

$$h \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}, g\bar{a}) = g \cdot \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}, \bar{a}) \cdot g^{-1} \subseteq (W_n^{-1} W_n)^{12}$$

and

$$k \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}, \bar{a}) \subseteq (W_n^{-1} W_n)^{10}$$

so that $h\bar{a} = kf\bar{a}$ and hence

$$f \in k^{-1} h \cdot \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}, \bar{a}) \subseteq (W_n^{-1} W_n)^{32}.$$

In other words, $\emptyset \neq U_g \subseteq (W_n^{-1} W_n)^{32}$. □

Corollary 47. *Let \mathcal{X} be a separable complete metric L -structure in a countable language $L \supseteq L_{\text{dist}}$ and assume that \mathcal{X} has the extension and Hrushovski properties. Fix also a basis \mathcal{B} for $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ and suppose also that, for all $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\text{int}\{(g, f) \in N(\bar{a}, \epsilon) \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}) \mid \mathcal{O}(\bar{a}/g\bar{a}) \cap \mathcal{O}(f\bar{a}/\bar{a}) \neq \emptyset\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Then $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ has the automatic continuity property.

Let us remark that the proof of Corollary 47 shows that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ is actually a Steinhaus group with coefficient $k = 64$.

7. THE ISOMETRY GROUP OF THE URYSOHN SPACE

The *Urysohn metric space* \mathbb{U} first constructed by P. S. Urysohn in [36, 37] is a separable complete metric space satisfying the following *metric extension property*.

For any finite metric space X , any subspace $Y \subseteq X$ and any isometric embedding

$$Y \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{U},$$

there exists an extension $\tilde{\phi}$ of ϕ to an isometric embedding

$$X \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} \mathbb{U}.$$

In fact, as shown by Urysohn, separability, completeness and the extension property completely determine \mathbb{U} up to isometry and also imply that \mathbb{U} is universal for all separable metric spaces, i.e., \mathbb{U} contains an isometric copy of every separable metric space.

Consider now \mathbb{U} as a complete metric structure in the language L_{dist} and note that its automorphism group is nothing but the group $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$ of all isometries of \mathbb{U} equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence on \mathbb{U} . Since finitely generated substructures of \mathbb{U} are just finite metric spaces, the main result of [33] simply states that \mathbb{U} has the Hrushovski property. Observe also that, if X, Y and Z are finite subspaces of \mathbb{U} so that $X \subseteq Y \cap Z$ and so that

$$d(y, z) = \min_{x \in X} d(y, x) + d(x, z)$$

for all $y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$, then $Y \downarrow_X Z$. A simple application of the metric extension property above thus implies that \mathbb{U} has the extension property as a first-order structure.

We will now proceed to show that the automorphism group, i.e., $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$ satisfies the criteria of Corollary 47 and thus has the automatic continuity property. For this, we first establish the following lemma. We note that [19, Lemma 5.1] plays a similar role in [19], but the proof provided there is not quite correct and needs an argument like ours below. The approach of [28, 29] seems more involved.

Lemma 48. *Suppose $X = \{a_i, c_j, e_k\}_{i,j,k=1}^n$ is a set of cardinality $3n$ and that*

$$d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty[$$

is a function so that the restriction of d to each of the sets $\{a_i, c_j\}_{i,j}$ and $\{a_i, e_j\}_{i,j}$ is a metric. Assume furthermore that there is some $\delta > 0$ so that, for all i, j, k ,

- (1) $d(a_i, c_j) + d(c_j, a_k) > d(a_i, a_k) + \delta$,
- (2) $\delta > d(a_k, e_k)$,
- (3) $d(a_i, e_j) > d(a_i, a_j)$,
- (4) $d(a_i, a_j) = d(c_i, c_j) = d(e_i, e_j)$,
- (5) $d(c_j, a_k) = d(c_j, e_k)$.

Then d is a metric on X .

Proof. Since the restriction of d to $\{a_i, c_j\}_{i,j}$ is a metric, it follows from (4) and (5) that also the restriction to $\{e_i, c_j\}_{i,j}$ is a metric. It follows from this that it only remains to verify the triangle inequality for triples consisting of one point from each of the sets $\{a_i\}_i$, $\{c_i\}_i$ and $\{e_i\}_i$. This task splits into three cases according to whether the middle point belongs to the first, second or third set.

Let i, j, k be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(a_i, c_j) + d(c_j, e_k) &\stackrel{(5)}{=} d(a_i, c_j) + d(c_j, a_k) \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{>} d(a_i, a_k) + \delta \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{>} d(a_i, e_k), \\ d(a_i, e_j) + d(e_j, c_k) &\stackrel{(5)}{=} d(a_i, e_j) + d(a_j, c_k) \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{>} d(a_i, a_j) + d(a_j, c_k) \\ &\geq d(a_i, c_k), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(e_i, a_j) + d(a_j, c_k) &\stackrel{(5)}{=} d(e_i, a_j) + d(e_j, c_k) \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{>} d(a_i, a_j) + d(e_j, c_k) \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{=} d(e_i, e_j) + d(e_j, c_k) \\ &\geq d(e_i, c_k) \end{aligned}$$

which confirms these three triangle inequalities. \square

Theorem 49. [28] *The group $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$ has the automatic continuity property.*

Proof. Observe that the collection \mathcal{B} of all finite tuples $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of distinct points $a_i \in \mathbb{U}$ is a basis for $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$. So suppose $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ are given and let U denote the set of all $(g, f) \in N(\bar{a}, \epsilon) \times \text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$ so that, for some $\delta > 0$ and all i, j, k , we have

- (i) $d(a_i, ga_j) + d(ga_j, a_k) > d(a_i, a_k) + \delta$,
- (ii) $\delta > d(a_k, fa_k)$,
- (iii) $d(a_i, fa_j) > d(a_i, a_j)$.

Observe that, by the metric extension property, there is some $g \in N(\bar{a}, \epsilon)$ so that

$$d(a_i, ga_j) + d(ga_j, a_k) > d(a_i, a_k)$$

for all i, j, k . Choosing $\delta > 0$ small enough, we may ensure that also (ii) holds. Picking then $f \in N(\bar{a}, \delta)$ so that (iii) is satisfied, we finally have $(g, f) \in U$, showing that U is non-empty and evidently also open. Furthermore, suppose that $(g, f) \in U$ and write $c_i = ga_i$. Then by Lemma 48 the following conditions

- (1) $d(a_i, c_j) + d(c_j, a_k) > d(a_i, a_k) + \delta$,
- (2) $\delta > d(a_k, fa_k) = d(a_k, e_k)$,
- (3) $d(a_i, e_j) = d(a_i, fa_j) > d(a_i, a_j)$,
- (4) $d(a_i, a_j) = d(c_i, c_j) = d(e_i, e_j)$,
- (5) $d(c_j, a_k) = d(c_j, e_k)$

determine a metric on the set $\{a_i, c_j, e_k\}_{i,j,k=1}^n$ that agrees with the metric from \mathbb{U} on the subset $\{a_i, c_j\}_{i,j=1}^n$. It thus follows from the metric extension property, that we can identify the points e_i with actual points in \mathbb{U} . Thus, by ultrahomogeneity of \mathbb{U} and condition (5), we have

$$\bar{e} \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{a}/\bar{c}) = \mathcal{O}(\bar{a}/g\bar{a}),$$

whereas, from ultrahomogeneity and condition (3), we have

$$\bar{e} \in \mathcal{O}(f\bar{a}/\bar{a}).$$

In particular, $\mathcal{O}(\bar{a}/g\bar{a}) \cap \mathcal{O}(f\bar{a}/\bar{a}) \neq \emptyset$. This shows that $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$ satisfies the criteria of Corollary 47 and therefore has the automatic continuity property \square

8. THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF THE MEASURE ALGEBRA

Let (X, Σ, μ) be a standard probability space, e.g., $X = [0, 1]$ with its Borel σ -algebra Σ and Lebesgue measure μ . Let also Meas_μ be the quotient of the σ -algebra Σ by the ideal of null sets. We observe that Meas_μ becomes a complete metric space under the metric

$$d([A], [B]) = \mu(A \Delta B),$$

where $[A]$ denotes the equivalence class of an element $A \in \Sigma$. Observe also that the Boolean operations \vee , \wedge and \neg are continuous functions on the metric space Meas_μ and hence the latter can be seen as a separable complete metric Boolean algebra structure. In particular, the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\text{Meas}_\mu)$ is Polish in the topology of pointwise convergence with respect to the metric d .

Lemma 50. [28] *The measure algebra Meas_μ has the Hrushovski property.*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{A} is a finite subalgebra of Meas_μ and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. We say that a and b are *similarly partitioned by \mathcal{A}* provided that we can write their partitions into atoms of \mathcal{A} as

$$a = a_1 \vee \cdots \vee a_n \quad \text{and} \quad b = b_1 \vee \cdots \vee b_n$$

so that $\mu(a_i) = \mu(b_i)$ for all i . In particular, $\mu(a) = \sum_i \mu(a_i) = \sum_i \mu(b_i) = \mu(b)$. Also, a pair $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ of finite subalgebras is *good* if any two atoms a, b of \mathcal{A} with $\mu(a) = \mu(b)$ are similarly partitioned by \mathcal{B} . Observe that, if $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ are similarly partitioned by \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ is good, then a, b are also similarly partitioned by \mathcal{B} .

Claim 51. *Suppose $\mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \text{Meas}_\mu$ is a finite subalgebra. Then there is a finite algebra $\mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{Meas}_\mu$ so that any two disjoint elements $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_0$ with $\mu(a) = \mu(b)$ are similarly partitioned by \mathcal{B} .*

To see this, list all pairs of disjoint elements of \mathcal{A}_0 with equal measure as $(a_1, b^1), \dots, (a_p, b^p)$. By induction on $r \leq p$, we build finite algebras $\mathcal{A}_r \subseteq \text{Meas}_\mu$ so that

- (i) $\mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{A}_p$,
- (ii) each pair $\mathcal{A}_{r-1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_r$ is good,
- (iii) a_r and b^r are similarly partitioned by \mathcal{A}_r .

Assuming this can be done, we may then simply let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}_p$.

For the construction, assume that \mathcal{A}_{r-1} is given and list without repetition the atoms of \mathcal{A}_{r-1} as

$$x_1, \dots, x_n, y^1, \dots, y^m, z_1, \dots, z_l$$

in such a way that, for some $0 \leq k \leq \frac{l}{2}$, we have

$$a_r = x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_n \vee z_1 \vee \cdots \vee z_k \quad \text{and} \quad b^r = y_1 \vee \cdots \vee y_m \vee z_{k+1} \vee \cdots \vee z_{2k},$$

$\mu(z_i) = \mu(z_{k+i})$ for all $i \leq k$ and $\mu(x_i) \neq \mu(y^j)$ for all i, j . Set

$$x = x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_n \quad \text{and} \quad y = y^1 \vee \cdots \vee y^m$$

and let $R = \mu(x) = \mu(y)$. For all i, j , we choose partitions

$$(2) \quad x_i = x_i^1 \vee \cdots \vee x_i^m \quad \text{and} \quad y^j = y_1^j \vee \cdots \vee y_n^j$$

so that

$$\mu(x_i^j) = \frac{\mu(y^j)}{\mu(y)} \mu(x_i) = \frac{\mu(x_i)\mu(y^j)}{R} = \frac{\mu(x_i)}{\mu(x)} \mu(y^j) = \mu(y_i^j).$$

Also, if $e \in \{z_1, \dots, z_l\}$ satisfies $\mu(e) = \mu(x_i)$ for some i , we choose a partition

$$(3) \quad e = e^1 \vee \cdots \vee e^m$$

with $\mu(e^j) = \frac{\mu(x_i)\mu(y^j)}{R}$. Similarly, if $e \in \{z_1, \dots, z_l\}$ satisfies $\mu(e) = \mu(y^j)$ for some j , we choose a partition

$$(4) \quad e = e_1 \vee \cdots \vee e_n$$

with $\mu(e_i) = \frac{\mu(x_i)\mu(y^j)}{R}$. Note that, because $\mu(x_i) \neq \mu(y^j)$, only one of these can happen. Finally, we may now let \mathcal{A}_r be the algebra generated by \mathcal{A}_{r-1} along with the new partitioning elements from (2), (3) and (4). Then $\mathcal{A}_{r-1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_r$ is good and a_r, b^r are similarly partitioned by \mathcal{A}_r .

Using the claim, we can now prove the Hrushovski property. Indeed, assume that we are given a finite collection $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in I}$ of isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{C}_i \xrightarrow{\phi_i} \mathcal{D}_i$$

between finitely generated (and thus finite) subalgebras $\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{D}_i \subseteq \text{Meas}_\mu$. Let \mathcal{A}_0 be the finite algebra generated by all of the \mathcal{C}_i and \mathcal{D}_i and choose \mathcal{B} as in the claim. Then we may extend each ϕ_i to an automorphism $\hat{\phi}_i$ of \mathcal{B} . Indeed, if c is an atom of \mathcal{C}_i , then $d = \phi_i(c)$ is an atom of \mathcal{D}_i . Then $c \wedge \neg d$ and $d \wedge \neg c$ are two disjoint elements of \mathcal{A}_0 of the same measure and hence are similarly partitioned

$$c \wedge \neg d = x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_n \quad \text{and} \quad d \wedge \neg c = y_1 \vee \cdots \vee y_n$$

by \mathcal{B} . We may thus define $\hat{\phi}_i(x_j) = y_j$ for all j and let $\hat{\phi}_i(x) = x$ for any atom x of \mathcal{B} with $x \leq c \wedge d$. Doing this for all atoms c of \mathcal{C}_i gives us the promised extension $\hat{\phi}_i$. To further extend $\hat{\phi}_i$ to an automorphism of Meas_μ , for any atom b of \mathcal{B} , we extend $\hat{\phi}_i$ arbitrarily to an isomorphism between the measured algebras of Meas_μ beneath the elements b and $\hat{\phi}_i(b)$. \square

Lemma 52. *Suppose $a_1 \vee \cdots \vee a_n, b_1 \vee \cdots \vee b_n$ and $c_1 \vee \cdots \vee c_m$ are three partitions of the unit in Meas_μ so that*

$$\mu(a_i) = \mu(b_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(a_i \wedge \neg b_i) \leq \mu(a_i \wedge c_1)$$

for all i . Then there is a partition of the unit $e_1 \vee \cdots \vee e_n$ so that, for all i, j ,

- (1) $\mu(e_i) = \mu(a_i) = \mu(b_i)$,
- (2) $\mu(e_i \wedge a_j) = \mu(b_i \wedge a_j)$,
- (3) $\mu(e_i \wedge c_j) = \mu(a_i \wedge c_j)$.

Proof. For a given i , note that

$$\sum_{j \neq i} \mu(a_i \wedge b_j) = \mu(a_i \wedge \neg b_i) \leq \mu(a_i \wedge c_1),$$

whereby we can find a partition

$$a_i \wedge c_1 = d_{i1} \vee \cdots \vee d_{in}$$

satisfying

$$\mu(d_{ij}) = \mu(a_i \wedge b_j)$$

for all $j \neq i$. We then set

$$e_i = (a_i \wedge \neg c_1) \vee \bigvee_j d_{ji}.$$

Observe first that, as $d_{ji} \leq a_j \wedge c_1$, we have

$$e_i \wedge a_i = (a_i \wedge \neg c_1) \vee d_{ii},$$

whereas, for $j \neq i$,

$$e_i \wedge a_j = d_{ji}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(e_i \wedge a_i) &= \mu(a_i \wedge \neg c_1) + \mu(d_{ii}) \\ &= \mu(a_i \wedge \neg c_1) + \mu(a_i \wedge c_1) - \sum_{j \neq i} \mu(d_{ij}) \\ &= \mu(a_i) - \sum_{j \neq i} \mu(a_i \wedge b_j) \\ &= \mu(a_i) - \mu(a_i \wedge \neg b_i) \\ &= \mu(b_i \wedge a_i) \end{aligned}$$

and, for $j \neq i$,

$$\mu(e_i \wedge a_j) = \mu(d_{ji}) = \mu(b_i \wedge a_j).$$

Thus,

$$\mu(e_i) = \sum_j \mu(e_i \wedge a_j) = \sum_j \mu(b_i \wedge a_j) = \mu(b_i) = \mu(a_i).$$

Also, for $j \neq 1$, $e_i \wedge c_j = a_i \wedge c_j$ and so

$$\mu(e_i \wedge c_j) = \mu(a_i \wedge c_j),$$

whereas

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(e_i \wedge c_1) &= \mu(e_i) - \sum_{j \neq 1} \mu(e_i \wedge c_j) \\ &= \mu(a_i) - \sum_{j \neq 1} \mu(a_i \wedge c_j) \\ &= \mu(a_i \wedge c_1). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 53. [3] *The group $\text{Aut}(\text{Meas}_\mu)$ has the automatic continuity property.*

Proof. We show that the conditions of Corollary 47 are satisfied by the structure Meas_μ . First of all, observe that the collection \mathcal{B} of all finite tuples $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of finite non-zero partitions of the unit in Meas_μ is a basis for $\text{Aut}(\text{Meas}_\mu)$. Let $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We claim that the open set

$$U = \{(g, f) \in N(\bar{a}, \epsilon) \times \text{Aut}(\text{Meas}_\mu) \mid \mu(a_i \wedge \neg f a_i) < \mu(a_i \wedge g a_1) \text{ for all } i\}$$

is nonempty. To see this, pick some $g \in N(\bar{a}, \epsilon)$ such that $\mu(a_i \wedge g a_1) > 0$ for all i and set

$$\delta = \min_i \mu(a_i \wedge g a_1).$$

Then, if $f \in N(\bar{a}, \delta)$, we have, for all i ,

$$\mu(a_i \wedge \neg f a_i) \leq \mu(a_i \triangle f a_i) < \delta < \mu(a_i \wedge g a_1)$$

and so $(g, f) \in U$.

Furthermore, for any $(g, f) \in U$, write $\bar{b} = f\bar{a}$ and $\bar{c} = g\bar{b}$, whereby

$$\mu(a_i) = \mu(b_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(a_i \wedge \neg b_i) < \mu(a_i \wedge c_1)$$

for all i . By Lemma 52 there is a partition of the unit $e_1 \vee \cdots \vee e_n$ so that, for all i, j ,

- (1) $\mu(e_i) = \mu(a_i) = \mu(b_i)$,
- (2) $\mu(e_i \wedge a_j) = \mu(b_i \wedge a_j)$,
- (3) $\mu(e_i \wedge c_j) = \mu(a_i \wedge c_j)$.

Condition (2) entails $\bar{e} \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{b}/\bar{a})$, whereas condition (3) implies $\bar{e} \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{a}/\bar{c})$ and thus,

$$\bar{e} \in \mathcal{O}(f\bar{a}/\bar{a}) \cap \mathcal{O}(\bar{a}/g\bar{a}).$$

This shows that $\text{Aut}(\text{Meas}_\mu)$ satisfies the criteria of Corollary 47 and therefore has the automatic continuity property. \square

9. THE UNITARY GROUP OF THE SEPARABLE HILBERT SPACE

Let H be a separable infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space and let $U(H)$ be its unitary group equipped with the strong operator topology, that is, the topology of pointwise norm-convergence on H . Let $\mathfrak{K} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a countable algebraically closed subfield and consider the following first-order language,

$$L = L_{\text{dist}} \cup \{0, +\} \cup \{m_q \mid q \in \mathfrak{K}\} \cup \{R_r, I_r \mid r \in \mathbb{Q}\},$$

where the R_r and I_r are binary relation symbols, m_r are unary function symbols, $+$ is a binary function symbol, and 0 is a constant symbol. Consider now H as a complete metric structure in the language L with interpretations

$$\begin{aligned} H \models D_r(x, y) &\Leftrightarrow \|x - y\| \leq r, \\ H \models R_r(x, y) &\Leftrightarrow \Re\langle x, y \rangle \leq r, \\ H \models I_r(x, y) &\Leftrightarrow \Im\langle x, y \rangle \leq r, \\ m_q^H(x) &= qx, \\ +^H(x, y) &= x + y, \\ 0^H &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\text{Aut}(H)$ is isomorphic to $U(H)$, because \mathfrak{K} -linear mappings that preserve the inner product are just unitary operators. We remark that by simple arguments using orthogonality and the Gram–Schmidt orthonormalisation procedure, H can be seen to have the extension and Hrushovski properties (see, e.g., [23, Section 6]).

Lemma 54. *Suppose K is a closed infinite- and coinfinite-dimensional subspace of the Hilbert space H . Then there is a closed subgroup $G \leq U(H)$ so that $G \cong \text{Aut}(\text{Meas}_\mu)$ and an isomorphic embedding $U(K) \xrightarrow{\Delta} G$ for which*

$$\Delta(u)|_K = u$$

for all $u \in U(K)$.

Proof. Following the exposition of [16, Appendix D], there is a standard probability space (X, μ) , a closed infinite- and coinfinite-dimensional subspace $L \subseteq L^2(X, \mu)$ and an isomorphic embedding

$$U(L) \xrightarrow{\Theta} \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$$

so that, if

$$\text{Aut}(X, \mu) \xrightarrow{\Phi} U(L^2(X, \mu))$$

denotes the Koopman representation, i.e.,

$$\Phi(f)(\phi) = \phi \circ f^{-1},$$

then $(\Phi \circ \Theta)u|_L = u$ for all $u \in U(L)$. Let now $H \xrightarrow{T} L^2(X, \mu)$ be a unitary isomorphism so that $T[K] = L$ and set

$$G = \{T^{-1} \circ \Phi(f) \circ T \mid f \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu)\}.$$

Because the Koopman representation embeds $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ into $U(L^2(X, \mu))$, we have $G \cong \text{Aut}(\text{Meas}_\mu)$. Finally, for $u \in U(K)$, let

$$\Delta(u) = T^{-1} \circ \Phi(\Theta(TuT^{-1}|_L)) \circ T,$$

whereby $\Delta(u)|_K = u$. □

Theorem 55. [35] *The unitary group $U(H)$ of separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space H has the automatic continuity property.*

Proof. Fix a symmetric σ -syndetic subset $W \subseteq U(H)$. By Lemma 45, there is an orthonormal tuple $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ in H so that the pointwise stabiliser

$$U(H, \bar{a}) = \{u \in U(H) \mid u(a_i) = a_i \text{ for all } i\}$$

is contained in W^{10} . Let also $K \subseteq H$ be a closed infinite- and coinfinite-dimensional subspace of H containing the vectors a_1, \dots, a_n and fix a closed subgroup $G \leq U(H)$ so that $G \cong \text{Aut}(\text{Meas}_\mu)$ and an isomorphic embedding $U(K) \xrightarrow{\Delta} G$ for which

$$\Delta(u)|_K = u$$

for all $u \in U(K)$. Because W is σ -syndetic in $U(H)$, $V = W^2 \cap G$ is symmetric σ -syndetic in G and hence, since $\text{Aut}(\text{Meas}_\mu)$ is Steinhaus with exponent 64, the sets

$$V^{64} \subseteq W^{128} \cap G$$

are identity neighbourhoods in G . By continuity of Δ , the inverse image $\Delta^{-1}(W^{128})$ is an identity neighbourhood in $U(K)$ and hence, for some orthonormal tuple $\bar{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$ extending \bar{a} and some $\epsilon > 0$, we find that

$$M = \{u \in U(K) \mid \|u(b_i) - b_i\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } i\} \subseteq \Delta^{-1}(W^{128}).$$

We claim that

$$N = \{u \in U(H) \mid \|u(b_i) - b_i\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } i\} \subseteq W^{158}.$$

To see this, fix some $u \in N$ and expand \bar{b} to an orthonormal tuple

$$b_1, \dots, b_m, c_1, \dots, c_k$$

in H so that $u(b_i) \in \text{span}(b_1, \dots, b_m, c_1, \dots, c_k)$ for all i . Now find vectors $d_1, \dots, d_k \in K$ so that also

$$b_1, \dots, b_m, d_1, \dots, d_k$$

is orthonormal and let $v \in U(H, \bar{b}) \leq U(H, \bar{a}) \subseteq W^{10}$ be chosen so that $v(c_i) = d_i$ for all i . Then, for all i ,

$$vu(b_i) \in \text{span}(b_1, \dots, b_m, d_1, \dots, d_k) \subseteq K,$$

i.e., $b_i \in K \cap (vu)^{-1}(K)$. It follows that there is some $w \in U(H, \bar{b}) \subseteq W^{10}$ so that

$$w[K] = (vu)^{-1}(K)$$

and thus $vuw[K] = K$. Furthermore, for all i ,

$$\|vuw(b_i) - b_i\| = \|uw(b_i) - v^{-1}(b_i)\| = \|u(b_i) - b_i\| < \epsilon,$$

which shows that $vuw|_K \in M$ and $\Delta(vuw|_K) \in W^{128}$. As $\Delta(vuw|_K)|_K = vuw|_K$, we finally see that

$$vuw \in \Delta(vuw|_K) \cdot U(H, \bar{b}) \subseteq W^{128} \cdot W^{10},$$

whereby

$$u \in v^{-1} \cdot W^{138} \cdot w^{-1} \subseteq W^{158}$$

as claimed. Because N is an identity neighbourhood in $U(H)$, the latter group is Steinhaus and thus satisfies the automatic continuity property. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Roger Alperin, *Locally compact groups acting on trees*, Pacific J. Math. **100** (1982), no. 1, 23–32. MR0661438
- [2] T. Banach, *Automatic continuity of measurable homomorphisms on Čech-complete topological groups* (2022), available at [arXiv2206.02481](https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.02481).
- [3] Itai Ben Yaacov, Alexander Berenstein, and Julien Melleray, *Polish topometric groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **365** (2013), no. 7, 3877–3897, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-2013-05773-X. MR3042607
- [4] Oleg Bogopolski and Samuel M. Corson, *Abstract homomorphisms from some topological groups to acylindrically hyperbolic groups*, Math. Ann. **384** (2022), no. 3-4, 1017–1055, DOI 10.1007/s00208-021-02278-4. MR4498466
- [5] Jan Brzuchowski, Jacek Cichoń, Edward Grzegorek, and Czesław Ryll-Nardzewski, *On the existence of nonmeasurable unions*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. **27** (1979), no. 6, 447–448 (English, with Russian summary). MR0560178
- [6] Lev Bukovský, *Any partition into Lebesgue measure zero sets produces a nonmeasurable set*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. **27** (1979), no. 6, 431–435 (English, with Russian summary). MR0560175
- [7] Lei Chen and Kathryn Mann, *Structure theorems for actions of homeomorphism groups*, Duke Math. J. **172** (2023), no. 5, 915–962, DOI 10.1215/00127094-2022-0019. MR4568050
- [8] Jens Peter Reus Christensen, *Borel structures in groups and semigroups*, Math. Scand. **28** (1971), 124–128, DOI 10.7146/math.scand.a-11010. MR0308322
- [9] D. van Dantzig, *Zur topologischen Algebra. III. Brouwersche und Cantorsche Gruppen*, Compositio Mathematica **3** (1936), 408–426.
- [10] R. M. Dudley, *Continuity of homomorphisms*, Duke Math. J. **28** (1961), 587–594. MR0136676
- [11] Robert D. Edwards and Robion C. Kirby, *Deformations of spaces of imbeddings*, Ann. of Math. (2) **93** (1971), 63–88, DOI 10.2307/1970753. MR0283802
- [12] David Epstein and Vladimir Markovic, *Extending homeomorphisms of the circle to quasiconformal homeomorphisms of the disk*, Geom. Topol. **11** (2007), 517–595, DOI 10.2140/gt.2007.11.517. MR2302497
- [13] I. I. Guran, *Topological groups similar to Lindelöf groups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **256** (1981), no. 6, 1305–1307 (Russian). MR0606469
- [14] Wilfrid Hodges, Ian Hodkinson, Daniel Lascar, and Saharon Shelah, *The small index property for ω -stable ω -categorical structures and for the random graph*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **48** (1993), no. 2, 204–218, DOI 10.1112/jlms/s2-48.2.204. MR1231710
- [15] Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. MR1321597

- [16] ———, *Global aspects of ergodic group actions*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 160, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010. MR2583950
- [17] Alexander S. Kechris and Christian Rosendal, *Turbulence, amalgamation, and generic automorphisms of homogeneous structures*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **94** (2007), no. 2, 302–350, DOI 10.1112/plms/pdl007. MR2308230
- [18] Paul B. Larson and Jindřich Zapletal, *Discontinuous homomorphisms, selectors, and automorphisms of the complex field*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (2019), no. 4, 1733–1737, DOI 10.1090/proc/14338. MR3910437
- [19] Maciej Malicki, *Consequences of the existence of ample generics and automorphism groups of homogeneous metric structures*, J. Symb. Log. **81** (2016), no. 3, 876–886, DOI 10.1017/jsl.2015.73. MR3569109
- [20] Kathryn Mann, *Automatic continuity for homeomorphism groups and applications*, Geom. Topol. **20** (2016), no. 5, 3033–3056, DOI 10.2140/gt.2016.20.3033. With an appendix by Frédéric Le Roux and Mann. MR3556355
- [21] Claude Amboise Rogers (ed.), *Analytic sets*, Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], London-New York, 1980. Lectures delivered at a Conference held at University College, University of London, London, July 16–29, 1978. MR0608794
- [22] Christian Rosendal, *Automatic continuity in homeomorphism groups of compact 2-manifolds*, Israel J. Math. **166** (2008), 349–367, DOI 10.1007/s11856-008-1034-x. MR2430439
- [23] ———, *A topological version of the Bergman property*, Forum Math. **21** (2009), no. 2, 299–332, DOI 10.1515/FORUM.2009.014. MR2503307
- [24] ———, *Automatic continuity of group homomorphisms*, Bull. Symbolic Logic **15** (2009), no. 2, 184–214, DOI 10.2178/bsl/1243948486. MR2535429
- [25] ———, *Finitely approximable groups and actions part II: Generic representations*, J. Symbolic Logic **76** (2011), no. 4, 1307–1321, DOI 10.2178/jsl/1318338851. MR2895397
- [26] ———, *Continuity of universally measurable homomorphisms*, Forum Math. Pi **7** (2019), e5, 20, DOI 10.1017/fmp.2019.5. MR3996719
- [27] Christian Rosendal and Sławomir Solecki, *Automatic continuity of homomorphisms and fixed points on metric compacta*, Israel J. Math. **162** (2007), 349–371, DOI 10.1007/s11856-007-0102-y. MR2365867
- [28] Marcin Sabok, *Automatic continuity for isometry groups*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **18** (2019), no. 3, 561–590, DOI 10.1017/S1474748017000135. MR3936642
- [29] ———, *Automatic continuity for isometry groups—erratum*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **21** (2022), no. 6, 2253–2255, DOI 10.1017/S1474748020000766. MR4515296
- [30] Konstantin Slutsky, *Automatic continuity for homomorphisms into free products*, J. Symbolic Logic **78** (2013), no. 4, 1288–1306. MR3156525
- [31] Ernst Specker, *Additive Gruppen von Folgen ganzer Zahlen*, Portugal. Math. **9** (1950), 131–140 (German). MR0039719
- [32] Sławomir Solecki, *Actions of non-compact and non-locally compact Polish groups*, J. Symbolic Logic **65** (2000), no. 4, 1881–1894, DOI 10.2307/2695084. MR1812189
- [33] ———, *Extending partial isometries*, Israel J. Math. **150** (2005), 315–331, DOI 10.1007/BF02762385. MR2255813
- [34] Hugo Steinhaus, *Sur les distances des points dans les ensembles de mesure positive*, Fund. Math. **1** (1920), 93–104.
- [35] Todor Tsankov, *Automatic continuity for the unitary group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), no. 10, 3673–3680, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-2013-11666-7. MR3080189
- [36] P. S. Urysohn, *Sur un espace métrique universel*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **180** (1925), 803–806.
- [37] P. Urysohn, *Sur un espace métrique universel*, Bull. Sci. Math. **51** (1927), 43–64 and 74–90.
- [38] Vladimir V. Uspenskij, *On subgroups of minimal topological groups*, Topology Appl. **155** (2008), no. 14, 1580–1606, DOI 10.1016/j.topol.2008.03.001. MR2435151
- [39] André Weil, *L'intégration dans les groupes topologiques et ses applications*, Vol. No. 869., Hermann & Cie, Paris, 1940. [This book has been republished by the author at Princeton, N. J., 1941.]

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, 4176 CAMPUS DRIVE - WILLIAM
E. KIRWAN HALL, COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742-4015, USA

Email address: `rosendal@umd.edu`

URL: `https://sites.google.com/view/christian-rosendal/`

Email address: `lcsuarez@umd.edu`