

Unregulated Divergences of Feynman Integrals

Wen Chen*

Key Laboratory of Atomic and Subatomic Structure and Quantum Control (MOE),
Guangdong Basic Research Center of Excellence for Structure and Fundamental Interactions of Matter,
Institute of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China and
Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory of Quantum Matter,
Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science, Southern Nuclear Science Computing Center,
South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China

(Dated: June 19, 2024)

Feynman integrals can be expanded asymptotically with respect to some small parameters at the integrand level, a technique known as the expansion by regions. A naive expansion by regions may break down due to divergences not regulated by the spacetime dimension, exemplified by the rapidity divergences. A criterion to identify unregulated divergences is provided in this article. The analysis is conducted using both parametric and Mellin-Barnes representations, leading to a consistent conclusion. Based on this analysis, it is proven that *the presence of unregulated divergences implies the degeneracies of regions*, which may help to explain phenomena like the collinear anomaly.

I. INTRODUCTION

The method of regions is a powerful technique for the investigation of the asymptotic expansions of Feynman integrals [1–4]. Specifically, a Feynman integral can be expanded as a series of a small parameter at the integrand level according to a prescribed scaling in each region. The sum of contributions of all regions reproduces the full integral. By factorizing different scales, the method of regions highly simplifies the calculations of Feynman integrals. Furthermore, it is intimately related to some effective theories [1], such as heavy quark effective theory (HQET) [5, 6], nonrelativistic quantum chromodynamics (NRQCD) [7, 8], and soft collinear effective theory (SCET) [9, 10]. There are correspondences between the contributions of regions and the modes in effective theories.

Each term of the asymptotic expansion of an integral is homogeneous in the small parameter. Thus, it is expected that the method of regions may break down if an integral has an explicit logarithmic dependence. In some circumstances, a naive asymptotic expansion indeed results in some ill-defined integrals due to the presence of divergences not regulated by the spacetime dimension. Examples are the pinch singularities in HQET and the rapidity divergences in SCET. Unregulated divergences lead to various problems. For example, on the technical side, auxiliary regulators need to be introduced [11–14], which may highly complicate the calculations. On the theoretical side, a naive collinear factorization breaks down due to these divergences [15–18].

In a recent paper [19], a method for the recursive calculations of Feynman integrals was developed. Specifically, a delta function $\delta(y - \frac{x_i}{x_j})$, with x_i Feynman parameters, is inserted into a parametric integral. The obtained integral is calculated by using the differential equation

method [20, 21]. The boundary conditions of the differential equations are expressed in terms of parametric integrals which can further be calculated by using this method. However, an arbitrary choice of the delta function may result in boundary integrals with unregulated divergences. Thus the recursive procedure breaks down. Hence, it is necessary to have a criterion for the presence of unregulated divergences while choosing the delta function.

This paper is organized as follows. In sec. II, we use a simple vertex integral to show how the unregulated divergences arise. In sec. III, based on the parametric representation, we provide a criterion for the presence of unregulated divergences. We also analyze the divergences in the Mellin-Barnes representation and get a consistent conclusion. In sec. IV, we further prove that the presence of unregulated divergences always implies the degeneracies of regions.

II. A PEDAGOGICAL EXAMPLE

We start with a simple vertex integral

$$J_0(i_1, i_2, i_3) \equiv \int \frac{d^d l}{\pi^{d/2}} \frac{1}{(l^2 - m^2)^{i_1} (l + p_1)^{2i_2} (l - p_2)^{2i_3}}, \quad (1)$$

with $p_i^2 = 0$ and $p_1 \cdot p_2 = 1$. We use the light cone coordinates and denote $l^+ \equiv l \cdot p_1$ and $l^- \equiv l \cdot p_2$.

We consider the asymptotic expansion of this integral with respect to m . There are three regions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hard:} & \quad l^+ \sim l^- \sim l^2 \sim m^0, \\ \text{collinear a:} & \quad l^+ \sim m^0, \quad l^- \sim l^2 \sim m^2, \\ \text{collinear b:} & \quad l^- \sim m^0, \quad l^+ \sim l^2 \sim m^2. \end{aligned}$$

We focus on $J_0(1, 1, 1)$ and consider the contribution of the region “collinear a”, in which the integral J_0 is

* chenwenphy@gmail.com

reduced to

$$\begin{aligned}
J_a &= \int \frac{d^d l}{\pi^{d/2}} \frac{1}{(l^2 - m^2) 2l^+ (l - p_2)^2} \\
&= -i\Gamma(1 + \epsilon) \int_0^\infty dx_1 \int_0^1 dx_2 \\
&\quad [m^2(1 - x_2) + 2x_1 x_2]^{-1 - \epsilon},
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}(4 - d)$. This integral is divergent. The divergence arises from the region where $x_2 \rightarrow 0$ and $x_1 \sim x_2^{-1}$. Rescaling x_1 by $x_1 \rightarrow \frac{x_1}{x_2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_a &= -i\Gamma(1 + \epsilon) \int_0^\infty dx_1 \int_0^1 dx_2 \\
&\quad \frac{1}{x_2} [m^2(1 - x_2) + 2x_1]^{-1 - \epsilon}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

We see that the singularity at $x_2 = 0$ is not regulated by ϵ .

Since the original integral J_0 is well-defined, the divergence must arise from the asymptotic expansion. To see what is going on, instead of expanding the propagators in power series, we do a Mellin transformation and get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_0(1, 1, 1) &= \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \Gamma(-z)\Gamma(1+z) \\
&\quad \times \int \frac{d^d l}{\pi^{d/2}} \frac{(l^2)^z}{(l^2 - m^2) (2l^+)^{1+z} (l - p_2)^2} \\
&\equiv \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \Gamma(-z)\Gamma(1+z) J_a(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The asymptotic expansion in the region ‘‘collinear a’’ is equivalent to picking the residue of the integrand at $z \in \mathbb{N}$ assuming that $J_a(z)$ is regular. However, as we have already known, $J_a(z)$ is singular at least at $z = 0$. Thus a naive asymptotic expansion gives a divergent integral. Taking the singularity of $J_a(z)$ at $z = 0$ into consideration, we get the contribution of the collinear regions

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{ab} &= -\text{Res}_{z=0} \{ \Gamma(-z)\Gamma(1+z) J_a(z) \} + \mathcal{O}(m) \\
&= \frac{i\Gamma(\epsilon)}{2} m^{-2\epsilon} [-2\log(m) + \psi(\epsilon) - 2\psi(1 - \epsilon) - \gamma \\
&\quad + \log(2) - i\pi] + \mathcal{O}(m).
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Here $\psi(x)$ is the digamma function, and γ_E is the Euler constant. The subscript ab indicates that J_{ab} is in fact the sum of contributions of the regions ‘‘collinear a’’ and ‘‘collinear b’’. This can easily be checked by introducing an auxiliary regulator.

We see that J_{ab} has an explicit $\log(m)$ dependence in d dimensions. We can further confirm this by using the differential-equation method. We choose the basis

$$J = \{ J_0(0, 2, 1), J_0(2, 0, 0), \epsilon J_0(1, 1, 1) \}. \tag{6}$$

Approximately, we have

$$\frac{dJ}{dm} \approx \frac{1}{m} M \cdot J, \tag{7}$$

with

$$M = \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ -2 & -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

M has two eigenvalues: $\{0, -2\epsilon\}$. The latter has a degree of degeneracy 2. As a consequence, the asymptotic solutions of the differential equations take the form

$$J_0(1, 1, 1) \approx c_1 + c_2 m^{-2\epsilon} + c_3 \log(m) m^{-2\epsilon}. \tag{9}$$

Again, we get an explicit $\log(m)$ dependence.

III. UNREGULATED DIVERGENCES

A. Parametric representation

We consider a general parametric integral of the representation [22–24]

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) &= \frac{\Gamma(-\lambda_0)}{\prod_{i=m+1}^{n+1} \Gamma(\lambda_i + 1)} \int d\Pi^{(n+1)} \\
&\quad \mathcal{F}^{\lambda_0} \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{-\lambda_i - 1} \prod_{i=m+1}^{n+1} x_i^{\lambda_i}.
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Here the integration measure is $d\Pi^{(n+1)} \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} dx_i \delta(1 - \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(x))$, with $\mathcal{E}^{(i)}(x)$ a positive definite homogeneous function of x of degree i . The region of integration for x_i is $(0, \infty)$ when $i > m$ and $(-\infty, \infty)$ when $i \leq m$. \mathcal{F} is a homogeneous polynomial of x . For a L -loop integral, \mathcal{F} is of degree $L + 1$. It is related to the well-known Symanzik polynomials U and F through $\mathcal{F} = F + U x_{n+1}$.

A general \mathcal{F} polynomial is of the structure

$$\mathcal{F} = \sum_{a=1}^A \left(C_{\mathcal{F},a} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^{\Lambda_{ai}} \right), \tag{11}$$

As in ref. [19], we generalize the idea of regions and the geometric method described in ref. [3] to general parametric integrals without referring to a physical scale. That is, by a region, we mean a subset S_r of $\{1, 2, \dots, A\}$ together with a vector \mathbf{k}_r such that [25]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \Lambda_{ai} k_{r,i} = 0, \quad a \in S_r, \tag{12a}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \Lambda_{ai} k_{r,i} > 0, \quad a \notin S_r. \tag{12b}$$

We normalize \mathbf{k}_r such that

$$\min_{a \notin S_r} \left\{ \sum_i k_{r,i} \Lambda_{ai} \right\} = 1. \tag{13}$$

Since all the elements of Λ are integers, all the components of \mathbf{k}_r are rational numbers. Obviously, terms in S_r dominate \mathcal{F} when the Feynman parameters scale as $x_i \sim s^{k_{r,i}}$ with respect to an artificial scale s . The delta function in the measure $d\Pi^{(n+1)}$ is of order 1, thus it is easy to see that in the region r the integral $I(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ scales as

$$I(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \sim s^{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} k_{r,i}(\lambda_i+1)} \equiv s^{\nu_r}. \quad (14)$$

Thus this integral is divergent if $\nu_r \leq 0$. This divergence is not regulated by the spacetime dimension if ν_r is an integer.[26] To see this, one only needs to introduce an auxiliary scale y by using the method described in ref. [19] and consider the integration with respect to y . Thus, we have the following criterion:

A parametric integral $I(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ defined in eq. (10) is singular if there is a region r such that

$$\nu_r \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} k_{r,i}(\lambda_i + 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^- \cup \{0\}, \quad (15)$$

with k_r defined by eq. (12).

B. Mellin-Barnes representation

By doing a $A - (n+1)$ fold Melline transformation and carrying out the integration with respect to the Feynman parameters x_i by using eq. (3.16) of ref. [19], we can easily cast the integral in eq. (10) into the following Mellin-Barnes integral (Here we take $m = 0$ for simplicity.)

$$I(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \frac{L+1}{\prod_{i=m+1}^{n+1} \Gamma(\lambda_i + 1)} \int \prod_{a=1}^A \frac{dz_a}{2\pi i} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} [2\pi i \delta(Z_j)] \prod_{a=1}^A [C_{\mathcal{F},a}^{z_a} \Gamma(-z_a)], \quad (16)$$

where $Z_i \equiv \lambda_i + 1 + \sum_{a=1}^A z_a \Lambda_{ai}$, and $C_{\mathcal{F},a}$ are those defined in eq. (11).

It was shown in ref. [27] that a Mellin-Barnes integral has a multiple-series representation. Here we do not need the full method. We only need to know that the integral in eq. (16) can be expressed in terms of a sum of building blocks of the form

$$B_C = \frac{L+1}{\prod_{i=m+1}^{n+1} \Gamma(\lambda_i + 1)} \times \sum_{n_a=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \prod_{a \in C} [C_{\mathcal{F},a}^{\bar{z}_a} \Gamma(-\bar{z}_a)] \prod_{a \notin C} \frac{(-1)^{n_a} C_{\mathcal{F},a}^{n_a}}{n_a!} \right\}, \quad (17)$$

where C is a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, A\}$ of length $n+1$, and $\bar{z}_a \equiv -\sum_{i \in C} V_{ia}(\lambda_i + 1 + \sum_{b \notin C} n_b \Lambda_{bi})$, with V a matrix such that $\sum_{a \in C} \Lambda_{ia} V_{aj} = \delta_{ij}$.

Suppose that there is a region r such that the criterion of eq. (15) is satisfied. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\sum_i k_{r,i} \Lambda_{1i} \leq \sum_i k_{r,i} \Lambda_{ai}$, $1, a \notin S_r$. According to the normalization of \mathbf{k}_r , we have $\sum_i k_{r,i} \Lambda_{1i} = 1$. Assume that all the series representations that are consistent with the asymptotic expansion in a region r are those with at least one block C such that 1 is an element of C , and all the rest elements of C are in S_r . Obviously we have $V_{1i} = k_{r,i}$. Hence, $\bar{z}_1 = -\nu_r - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{a \notin C} k_{r,i} n_a \Lambda_{ai}$. For the leading term of the series B_C in eq. (17), we have $n_a = 0$. Then $\bar{z}_1 = -\nu_r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$, which makes $\Gamma(-\bar{z}_1)$ singular. Thus the leading term of B_C is divergent, and so is the whole series. Thus, there is no convergent series representation that is consistent with the asymptotic expansion in the region r . In this case, some of the singularities of the Mellin-Barnes integral are pinched and it falls into the resonant case [27]. In the resonant case, the series representation has a logarithmic dependence. As was mentioned before, a logarithmic dependence can not be reproduced by the method of regions (without introducing auxiliary regulators).

IV. DEGENERACIES OF REGIONS

An observation from the asymptotic solutions of differential equations for Feynman integrals is that the asymptotic solutions may have an explicit logarithmic dependence if some regions are degenerate. Here, by degenerate, we mean that the leading powers of some regions are the same or differ by some rational numbers. This observation indicates that the presence of unregulated divergences is always accompanied by the degeneracies of regions. In this section, we will prove that this is indeed true.

We consider the asymptotic expansion of the parametric integral $I(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ with respect to a kinematic variable, denoted by x_0 . We assume that this integral is well-defined (before the asymptotic expansion). That is, it is free of unregulated divergences. For this integral, we define

$$\mathcal{F} = \sum_{a=1}^A \left(C'_{\mathcal{F},a} \prod_{i=0}^{n+1} x_i^{\Lambda'_{ai}} \right). \quad (18)$$

Obviously we have $\Lambda'_{a,i} = \Lambda_{a,i}$, $i \neq 0$, and $C'_{\mathcal{F},a} = x_0^{\Lambda_{a0}} C_{\mathcal{F},a}$. For a region ρ , we have a set S_ρ and vector \mathbf{k}_ρ with $k_{\rho,0} = 1$ such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \Lambda'_{ai} k_{\rho,i} = b_\rho, \quad a \in S_\rho, \quad (19a)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \Lambda'_{ai} k_{\rho,i} > b_\rho, \quad a \notin S_\rho. \quad (19b)$$

For future convenience, we assume that $\Lambda'_{a0} = 0$, $a \in S_\rho$. We can always make this true by rescaling all the

Feynman parameters and factoring out a global factor out of \mathcal{F} . It is easy to get the scaling of the integral $I(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ in the region ρ .

$$I(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \sim x_0^{\nu_\rho}, \quad (20)$$

where $\nu_\rho = b_\rho \lambda_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} k_{\rho,i}(\lambda_i + 1)$.

Suppose that the integral obtained after the asymptotic expansion in a region ρ is singular. Then, there is a set $S_{\rho,r} \subset S_\rho$ and a vector $\mathbf{k}_{\rho,r}$, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \Lambda_{ai} k_{\rho,r,i} = 0, \quad a \in S_{\rho,r} \subset S_\rho, \quad (21a)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \Lambda_{ai} k_{\rho,r,i} > 0, \quad a \in S_\rho, a \notin S_{\rho,r}, \quad (21b)$$

$$\nu_{\rho,r} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} k_{\rho,r,i}(\lambda_i + 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^- \cup \{0\}. \quad (21c)$$

Since the original integral $I(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is well defined, $S_{\rho,r}$ should not be a region of it. Thus, there is a subset $T_{\rho,r}$ of the complement of S_ρ (denoted by \bar{S}_ρ), such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \Lambda_{ai} k_{\rho,r,i} < 0, \quad a \in T_{\rho,r} \subset \bar{S}_\rho. \quad (22)$$

Now we introduce a vector $\mathbf{k}_{\rho'}$, such that $k_{\rho',0} = k_{\rho,0}$, and $k_{\rho',i} = k_{\rho,i} + ck_{\rho,r,i}$, $i \neq 0$, with c a constant. Because, for a $a \in T_{\rho,r}$, $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \Lambda'_{ai} k_{\rho',i} > b_\rho$ when $c = 0$, and $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \Lambda'_{ai} k_{\rho',i} \rightarrow -\infty$ when $c \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a positive c and a nonempty subset $T'_{\rho,r}$ of $T_{\rho,r}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \Lambda'_{ai} k_{\rho',i} &= b_\rho, \quad a \in T'_{\rho,r} \subset T_{\rho,r}, \\ \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \Lambda'_{ai} k_{\rho',i} &> b_\rho, \quad a \notin T'_{\rho,r}, a \in T_{\rho,r}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \Lambda'_{ai} k_{\rho',i} = b_{\rho'} \equiv b_\rho, \quad a \in S_{\rho'} \equiv S_{\rho,r} \cup T'_{\rho,r}, \quad (24a)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \Lambda'_{ai} k_{\rho',i} > b_{\rho'}, \quad a \notin S_{\rho'}. \quad (24b)$$

Thus we get a new region ρ' . The scaling of the integral $I(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ in the region ρ' is

$$I(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \sim x_0^{\nu_{\rho'} + c\nu_{\rho,r}}. \quad (25)$$

Since $c\nu_{\rho,r}$ is a rational number, the region ρ' is degenerate with the region ρ . We conclude:

If the asymptotic expansion of a well-defined parametric integral in a region results in an integral with unregulated divergences, this region is degenerate with another region.

V. DISCUSSIONS

In this article, we provide a criterion to identify unregulated divergences for Feynman integrals. It is further proven that if a divergence arises from the asymptotic expansion of a Feynman integral in a region, this region must be degenerate with another region. This helps to explain phenomena like the collinear anomaly [15, 18]: a naive collinear factorization breaks down because the two collinear regions are degenerate/resonant.

The analysis is based on the parametric representation, and only nonthreshold regions are considered. The generalization of this analysis to threshold regions [28–30] and phase-space integrals [24] will be considered in the future. It would also be interesting to provide a parallel analysis in the momentum space (see e.g. ref. [31]), and consider the consequences of the degeneracies of regions on effective theories and the factorizations of amplitudes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by Guangdong Major Project of Basic and Applied Basic Research (No. 2020B0301030008).

-
- [1] M. Beneke and V. A. Smirnov, Asymptotic expansion of Feynman integrals near threshold, Nucl. Phys. B **522**, 321 (1998), arXiv:hep-ph/9711391.
[2] V. A. Smirnov, Problems of the strategy of regions, Phys. Lett. B **465**, 226 (1999), arXiv:hep-ph/9907471.
[3] A. Pak and A. Smirnov, Geometric ap-

- proach to asymptotic expansion of Feynman integrals, Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1626 (2011), arXiv:1011.4863 [hep-ph].
[4] B. Jantzen, Foundation and generalization of the expansion by regions, JHEP **12**, 076, arXiv:1111.2589 [hep-ph].

- [5] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, Weak Decays of Heavy Mesons in the Static Quark Approximation, *Phys. Lett. B* **232**, 113 (1989).
- [6] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, WEAK TRANSITION FORM-FACTORS BETWEEN HEAVY MESONS, *Phys. Lett. B* **237**, 527 (1990).
- [7] W. E. Caswell and G. P. Lepage, Effective Lagrangians for Bound State Problems in QED, QCD, and Other Field Theories, *Phys. Lett. B* **167**, 437 (1986).
- [8] G. T. Bodwin, E. Braaten, and G. P. Lepage, Rigorous QCD analysis of inclusive annihilation and production of heavy quarkonium, *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 1125 (1995), [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 5853 (1997)], arXiv:hep-ph/9407339.
- [9] C. W. Bauer, S. Fleming, D. Pirjol, and I. W. Stewart, An Effective field theory for collinear and soft gluons: Heavy to light decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 114020 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0011336.
- [10] C. W. Bauer, D. Pirjol, and I. W. Stewart, Soft collinear factorization in effective field theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 054022 (2002), arXiv:hep-ph/0109045.
- [11] V. A. Smirnov, Asymptotic expansions of two loop Feynman diagrams in the Sudakov limit, *Phys. Lett. B* **404**, 101 (1997), arXiv:hep-ph/9703357.
- [12] V. A. Smirnov and E. R. Rakhmetov, The Strategy of regions for asymptotic expansion of two loop vertex Feynman diagrams, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **120**, 870 (1999), arXiv:hep-ph/9812529.
- [13] T. Becher and G. Bell, Analytic Regularization in Soft-Collinear Effective Theory, *Phys. Lett. B* **713**, 41 (2012), arXiv:1112.3907 [hep-ph].
- [14] Y. Li, D. Neill, and H. X. Zhu, An exponential regulator for rapidity divergences, *Nucl. Phys. B* **960**, 115193 (2020), arXiv:1604.00392 [hep-ph].
- [15] M. Beneke and T. Feldmann, Factorization of heavy to light form-factors in soft collinear effective theory, *Nucl. Phys. B* **685**, 249 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0311335.
- [16] A. V. Manohar and I. W. Stewart, The Zero-Bin and Mode Factorization in Quantum Field Theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 074002 (2007), arXiv:hep-ph/0605001.
- [17] J.-y. Chiu, F. Golf, R. Kelley, and A. V. Manohar, Electroweak Sudakov corrections using effective field theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 021802 (2008), arXiv:0709.2377 [hep-ph].
- [18] T. Becher and M. Neubert, Drell-Yan Production at Small q_T , Transverse Parton Distributions and the Collinear Anomaly, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71**, 1665 (2011), arXiv:1007.4005 [hep-ph].
- [19] W. Chen, M.-x. Luo, T.-Z. Yang, and H. X. Zhu, Soft theorem to three loops in QCD and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory, *JHEP* **01**, 131, arXiv:2309.03832 [hep-ph].
- [20] A. V. Kotikov, Differential equations method: New technique for massive Feynman diagrams calculation, *Phys. Lett. B* **254**, 158 (1991).
- [21] E. Remiddi, Differential equations for Feynman graph amplitudes, *Nuovo Cim. A* **110**, 1435 (1997), arXiv:hep-th/9711188.
- [22] W. Chen, Reduction of Feynman Integrals in the Parametric Representation, *JHEP* **02**, 115, arXiv:1902.10387 [hep-ph].
- [23] W. Chen, Reduction of Feynman Integrals in the Parametric Representation II: Reduction of Tensor Integrals, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 244 (2021), arXiv:1912.08606 [hep-ph].
- [24] W. Chen, Reduction of Feynman integrals in the parametric representation III: integrals with cuts, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 1173 (2020), arXiv:2007.00507 [hep-ph].
- [25] Generally speaking, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \Lambda_{ai} k_{r,i} \geq b_r$ for some constant b_r , but we can always make it vanish by virtue of the homogeneity of \mathcal{F} .
- [26] If ν_r is a negative fractional number, we may introduce a regulator first and safely remove the regulator after the integration.
- [27] B. Ananthanarayan, S. Banik, S. Friot, and S. Ghosh, Multiple Series Representations of N-fold Mellin-Barnes Integrals, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 151601 (2021), arXiv:2012.15108 [hep-th].
- [28] B. Jantzen, A. V. Smirnov, and V. A. Smirnov, Expansion by regions: revealing potential and Glauber regions automatically, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72**, 2139 (2012), arXiv:1206.0546 [hep-ph].
- [29] T. Y. Semenova, A. V. Smirnov, and V. A. Smirnov, On the status of expansion by regions, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 136 (2019), arXiv:1809.04325 [hep-th].
- [30] B. Ananthanarayan, A. Pal, S. Ramanan, and R. Sarkar, Unveiling Regions in multi-scale Feynman Integrals using Singularities and Power Geometry, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 57 (2019), arXiv:1810.06270 [hep-ph].
- [31] E. Gardi, F. Herzog, S. Jones, Y. Ma, and J. Schlenk, The on-shell expansion: from Landau equations to the Newton polytope, *JHEP* **07**, 197, arXiv:2211.14845 [hep-th].