

Linear response theory for cavity QED materials

Juan Román-Roche,^{1,2} Álvaro Gómez-León,³ Fernando Luis,^{1,2} and David Zueco^{1,2}

¹*Instituto de Nanociencia y Materiales de Aragón (INMA),
CSIC-Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza 50009, Spain*

²*Departamento de Física de la Materia Condensada,
Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza 50009, Spain*

³*Institute of Fundamental Physics IFF-CSIC, Calle Serrano 113b, 28006 Madrid, Spain*

(Dated: June 21, 2024)

We present a rigorous framework for linear response theory in cavity QED materials. Our approach leverages the collective coupling between light and matter, drawing parallels with large- N theories in quantum field theory. We derive closed formulas for various responses of both the cavity and the matter. Our theory is validated by recovering established results for the Dicke model and the Quantum Hall Effect. Additionally, we discover novel excitations in quantum magnets, where the cavity binds magnon pairs into localized states.

The control of quantum matter with quantum light is a common pursuit in quantum optics. Initially, the focus was on minimalistic matter such as single atoms and molecules. Due to the weak light-matter coupling, it was realized that photons need to be confined in cavities, giving rise to cavity quantum electrodynamics (cQED) [1, 2]. This field has since evolved to consider more complex forms of matter as well. First, to extract information about matter, and more recently, to push the boundaries of light-matter interaction to explore whether quantum light, either a few photons or vacuum states, can alter the properties of matter [3–5]. Seminal experimental demonstrations modifying and controlling conductivity [6, 7], magnetism [8] and the metal-to-insulator transition [9] led to envisioning novel phenomenology emerging from the hybridization of light and matter, such as modifications of chemical reactions [10], changes in the critical temperature in superconductivity [11, 12], or alterations in magnetism [13, 14], topology [15–20], ferroelectricity [21–23] and transport in disordered systems [24–26].

The physics behind these phenomena can be understood through various approximations, starting from the non-relativistic limit of the Dirac equation, which yields the Pauli Hamiltonian [27–29]. In its simplest forms, this Hamiltonian simplifies to well-known models such as the Jaynes-Cummings and quantum Rabi models, where a single-mode cavity interacts with a two-level system through a dipolar interaction term [30–32]. Both models are exactly solvable [33] and their importance in quantum optics and information cannot be overstated. Their generalizations, respectively the Tavis-Cummings and Dicke models, describe a set of N independent two-level systems (TLSs) coupled to a single-mode cavity [34, 35]. Remarkably, the partition function of the Dicke model is exactly solvable in the thermodynamic limit, $N \rightarrow \infty$ [36–38]. This solution for the partition function of the Dicke model has been exploited to obtain effective Hamiltonians for interacting materials coupled to a cavity [29].

Beyond equilibrium, linear response is a key quantity that directly links to experiments. In particular, linear

response theory (LRT) explains the formation of polaritons, a topic extensively explored in the literature. Various models, mostly bilinear models of coupled quantum oscillators, have been employed to interpret experimental results [6, 9]. This context led us to investigate whether the exact solutions and effective theories for the partition function of Dicke-like models in the thermodynamic limit can be generalized to LRT, thereby providing a rigorous framework for cavity QED materials. In this letter, we demonstrate that in the thermodynamic limit a LRT can be derived that relies solely on the bare responses of light and matter. Our approach leverages the fact that, in the thermodynamic limit, a mean-field-like treatment of light and matter interactions becomes exact [36, 39], akin to the large- N theories in quantum field theory (QFT) where the saddle-point approximation is exact. We emphasize that our approach does not depend on linearization or bosonization. To validate our theory, we recover previous findings for the Dicke model [40] and the Quantum Hall Effect [41, 42]. Additionally, we discuss the coupling of an Ising magnet to a cavity, announcing novel polaritons hybridizing magnon pairs and photons, and the potential emergence of *bound polariton states* where the cavity acts as an impurity and the material as a continuum of excitations.

Model and its exact LRT theory.— We consider a material collectively coupled to a single-mode cavity. The Hamiltonian reads ($\hbar = 1$)

$$H = H_m + \Omega a^\dagger a + g(a + a^\dagger)C_x + \zeta \frac{g^2}{\Omega} C_x^2. \quad (1)$$

Here, Ω is the cavity frequency and $[a, a^\dagger] = 1$ are bosonic operators. H_m is the Hamiltonian of the bare material and remains unspecified, as does C_x , which is the matter coupling operator. Although our theory does not require a single-mode simplification, we employ it here for clarity. Our approach relies on the collective nature of the matter coupling operator, i.e. $C_x \sim N$, with N the number of matter degrees of freedom. The light matter coupling constant $g = \lambda/\sqrt{N}$ represents the coupling per

particle, with λ the collective coupling. The last term is an optional P^2 term, toggled by $\zeta \in \{0, 1\}$. It enables handling different scenarios of light-matter coupling. For $\zeta = 1$, the Hamiltonian describes electric dipoles, typically studied in the dipole gauge, where the P^2 term ensures gauge-invariance. For $\zeta = 0$, the Hamiltonian may describe a gas of charged particles in the Coulomb gauge or a system of magnetic dipoles.

Our theory utilizes a coherent-path-integral formulation of the partition function. We use it to obtain two-point thermal Green functions of the form $G_{A,B}^t(\tau - \tau') = -\langle \mathcal{T}_\tau A(\tau) B(\tau') \rangle$, with $\tau \in [0, \beta]$ the imaginary time and β the inverse temperature. When expressed in terms of the Matsubara frequencies, ω_m , these can be related to retarded response functions by analytic continuation $i\omega_m \rightarrow \omega + i0^+$ [43][Chap. 7]. We do not aim to provide here an exhaustive derivation of the theory, but rather an overview of the key steps leading to our main result. For a full derivation and an alternative approach based on the equations of motion for the Green functions, we refer the reader to the longer paper accompanying this letter [44].

The first step is a partial integration over the cavity fields, resulting in a matter-only action with an induced retarded collective interaction of the form $S_{\text{ind}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau, \tau'} C_x(\tau) \frac{1}{N} V_{\text{ind}}(\tau - \tau') C_x(\tau')$ [45, 46] with

$$V_{\text{ind}}(\omega_m) = 2\lambda^2 \frac{\Omega^2(\zeta - 1) + \zeta\omega_m^2}{\Omega(\omega_m^2 + \Omega^2)}. \quad (2)$$

Note that V_{ind} combines the cavity-mediated interaction and the P^2 term. More generally it may combine the cavity-mediated interaction with intrinsic collective interactions. Following Refs. [47, 48], this induced interaction is eliminated with a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation. The transformation decouples the matter operators, C_x , while introducing an auxiliary field φ . Then, a partial integration over the matter degrees of freedom results in an action for the auxiliary field of the form

$$Z = \oint_{\varphi} e^{-Nf[\varphi]}, \quad (3)$$

with

$$f[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau, \tau'} \varphi(\tau) V_{\text{ind}}^{-1}(\tau - \tau') \varphi(\tau') + \mathcal{G}_m^0[\varphi]. \quad (4)$$

Here $\mathcal{G}_m^0[\xi] = -N^{-1} \log Z_m[\xi]$ is the generating functional for connected correlation functions of the coupling operator, C_x , of the bare matter, with

$$Z_m[\xi] = \oint_c e^{-(S_m + i \int_\tau \xi(\tau) C_x(\tau))}. \quad (5)$$

It is crucial to note that we have chosen to make φ not scale with N . Thus, the action's extensivity becomes explicit with the prefactor N above, with f being an intensive quantity. As a consequence, the partition function

lends itself to be computed with a saddle-point approximation for large N . The saddle point approximation consists on a series expansion of f around its minimum, φ_{sp} , where the number of terms required to obtain a good approximation decreases with N . In fact, in the thermodynamic limit, $N \rightarrow \infty$, the saddle-point approximation becomes exact and it is warranted to truncate the expansion at second order, which reveals the propagator of the auxiliary field, W , to be

$$(NW)^{-1} = V_{\text{ind}}^{-1} + \tilde{\chi}_{xx,0}, \quad (6)$$

with

$$\tilde{\chi}_{xx,0}(\tau - \tau') = \frac{1}{N} \langle C_x(\tau) C_x(\tau') \rangle_{\varphi_{\text{sp}}}^c. \quad (7)$$

Here $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\varphi_{\text{sp}}}$ indicates the expectation value for the bare matter subjected to a field $i\varphi_{\text{sp}}$. Relations between the photonic propagator, the matter response functions and the auxiliary field propagator can be derived from functional derivatives of the corresponding generators [44], allowing one to obtain the cavity response, $D(\tau) = G_{a,a^\dagger}^t(\tau, 0)$,

$$D(\omega_m) = D_0(\omega_m) - \lambda^2 D_0(\omega_m) \chi_{xx}(\omega_m) D_0(\omega_m). \quad (8)$$

and the matter responses, $\chi_{ab}(\tau) = -N^{-1} G_{C_a, C_b}^r(\tau, 0)$,

$$\chi_{xa}(\omega_m) = \frac{\tilde{\chi}_{xa,0}(\omega_m)}{1 + V_{\text{ind}}(\omega_m) \tilde{\chi}_{xx,0}(\omega_m)}, \quad (9a)$$

$$\chi_{ax}(\omega_m) = \frac{\tilde{\chi}_{ax,0}(\omega_m)}{1 + V_{\text{ind}}(\omega_m) \tilde{\chi}_{xx,0}(\omega_m)}, \quad (9b)$$

$$\chi_{ab}|_{a,b \neq x}(\omega_m) = \tilde{\chi}_{ab,0}(\omega_m) - \frac{\tilde{\chi}_{ax,0}(\omega_m) V_{\text{ind}}(\omega_m) \tilde{\chi}_{xb,0}(\omega_m)}{1 + V_{\text{ind}}(\omega_m) \tilde{\chi}_{xx,0}(\omega_m)}, \quad (9c)$$

in terms of V_{ind} and the bare cavity and matter responses, D_0 and $\tilde{\chi}_{ab}$ respectively. Here, some notation has been introduced: since we are not always interested in the response of the matter through the operator that couples to the cavity, C_x , we also consider responses including C_x and another operator and responses including two alternative operators.

Finally, let us return to the issue of finding the saddle-point, φ_{sp} . The condition that $\delta f[\varphi]/\delta \varphi = 0$ yields a self-consistent equation that defines the saddle-point as

$$\varphi_{\text{sp}}(\omega_m) = -\frac{i}{N} V_{\text{ind}}(\omega_m) \langle C_x(\omega_m) \rangle_{\varphi_{\text{sp}}}. \quad (10)$$

We assume that φ_{sp} be constant, meaning $\varphi_{\text{sp}}(\tau) = \varphi_{\text{sp}} = \varphi_{\text{sp}}(\omega_m = 0)$ (all other frequency components being zero). Thus, Eq. (10) simplifies to

$$\varphi_{\text{sp}} = -\frac{i}{N} V_{\text{ind}}(\omega_m = 0) \langle C_x \rangle_{\varphi_{\text{sp}}}. \quad (11)$$

This equation indicates that φ_{sp} is self-consistently proportional to the expectation value of C_x for the bare matter subjected to a field $i\varphi_{\text{sp}} = \frac{1}{N}V_{\text{ind}}(\omega_m = 0)\langle C_x \rangle$. This can reversely be viewed as a self-consistent condition for $\langle C_x \rangle$, precisely the one that arises when computing $\langle C_x \rangle$ using the mean-field Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{eff}}^{\text{MF}} = H_{\text{m}} + \frac{2\lambda^2(\zeta - 1)}{N\Omega} \langle C_x \rangle C_x - \frac{\lambda^2(\zeta - 1)}{N\Omega} \langle C_x \rangle^2. \quad (12)$$

This is the mean-field theory of the effective Hamiltonian that arises from taking the static limit in V_{ind} in the effective action [29], justifying (11). Therefore, $\tilde{\chi}_{ab,0}$ are the response functions of the mean field matter Hamiltonian of Eq. (12). These response functions will depend on $\langle C_x \rangle$ which can be computed by solving $H_{\text{eff}}^{\text{MF}}$ variationally with respect to $\langle C_x \rangle$. It is important to note that in the absence of symmetry breaking, that is, when $\langle C_x \rangle = 0$, or if $\zeta = 1$, the effective matter Hamiltonian is just the bare matter Hamiltonian, making $\tilde{\chi}_{ab,0} = \chi_{ab,0}$ equivalent to the bare matter response. Equations (9b), (9b) and (9c) have a structure analogous to the response functions typically obtained with a random phase approximation, like those derived in [47]. We emphasize that they are valid across the entire range of parameters and for both normal and superradiant phases.

Testing our theory.- We demonstrate the theory with the Dicke model [34, 49]. The matter Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) is simply $H_{\text{m}} = \frac{\omega_z}{2} \sum_j \sigma_j^z$ and the coupling operator is $C_x = \sum_j \sigma_j^x$. Due to the absence of intrinsic interactions, the mean-field effective Hamiltonian describes independent spins and the corresponding bare matter response functions can be easily obtained [44]. Figure 1 shows the cavity response for this model with the exact polaritons overlaid, with and without the P^2 term. The exact polaritons were obtained with a two-oscillator solution of the Dicke model in the thermodynamic limit [40, 44]. The agreement between our theory and the exact polaritons indicates that the theory can accurately describe the model in the normal and superradiant phases. The normal phase is characterized by the usual polaritonic physics that can be captured with a model of two coupled oscillators, one corresponding to the cavity and another to the bare matter. The superradiant phase transition is signaled by a complete softening of the lower polariton, which is prevented by the P^2 term. In the deep superradiant regime, the lower polariton becomes purely photonic, while the upper polariton corresponds to a material described by the effective matter Hamiltonian (12). It is crucial to note that while one might be tempted to think that $H_{\text{eff}}^{\text{MF}}$ contains purely material excitations and, therefore, is decoupled from the cavity, this interpretation cannot be correct, since the matter response is resolved through the cavity. The point is that the effective interactions present in $H_{\text{eff}}^{\text{MF}}$ stem from virtual photons.

We have also tested the theory on another exactly solv-




FIG. 1. Cavity response, D , of the Dicke model as a function of the collective coupling, λ , without the P^2 term, $\zeta = 0$, (left) and with the P^2 term, $\zeta = 1$, (right). The dashed lines correspond to the polaritons computed with a two-oscillator solution [40]. The spins and cavity are resonant, $\omega_z = \Omega$.

able model, a 2D electron gas homogeneously coupled to a cavity and subjected to a perpendicular classical magnetic field. This model has been used to explain the breakdown of topological protection that underlies the observed modifications of the quantum Hall effect by coupling to a cavity [7, 24, 41, 42]. Our theory precisely recovers the optical and DC conductivities of the model as well as the formation of Landau polaritons [41, 42]. This second benchmark demonstrates the applicability of the theory to electronic light-matter systems described in the Coulomb gauge, where the emergence of a no-go theorem for the modification of the equilibrium properties of the system, stemming from the A^2 term, is much more subtle than the clear no-go afforded by the P^2 term in the dipole gauge [Cf. Eqs. (2) and (12) and Ref. [44] for a detailed comparison].

Cavity QED with magnetic materials.- Finally, we consider a toy model of a magnetic material, the Ising chain in transverse field, with transverse coupling to the cavity. Alternatively, the linear response theory of the Ising chain with longitudinal coupling to the cavity has been studied in Ref. [50]. The matter Hamiltonian reads

$$H_{\text{m}} = \frac{\omega_x}{2} \sum_j \sigma_j^x - J \sum_j \sigma_j^z \sigma_{j+1}^z \quad (13)$$

and the coupling operator in (1) is $C_x = \sum_j \sigma_j^x$. The full light-matter model is termed the Dicke-Ising model [51–54]. For vanishing transverse field the model has a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry. The first symmetry corresponds to a spin-flip, $\sigma_j^z \rightarrow -\sigma_j^z$, and in the bare Ising model is spontaneously broken in a second-order phase transition from a paramagnetic to a ferromagnetic phase. The second symmetry corresponds to a simultaneous cavity-field and spin flip, $a \rightarrow -a$ and $\sigma_j^x \rightarrow -\sigma_j^x$, and in the bare Dicke model is spontaneously broken in a second-order phase transition from a normal to a superradiant phase. Their combination gives rise to a first-order phase transition in the Dicke-Ising model between two symmetry-broken phases:

a paramagnetic superradiant phase for large g^2/ω_c and a ferromagnetic normal phase for large J . A non-zero classical transverse field breaks the Dicke symmetry but the model still features a first-order phase transition between a superradiant phase where the cavity field direction is fixed by the classical field to a symmetry-broken ferromagnetic phase.

The corresponding mean-field effective Hamiltonian is that of a Ising chain in transverse field

$$H_{\text{eff}}^{\text{MF}} = \frac{\tilde{\omega}_x}{2} \sum_j \sigma_j^x - J \sum_j \sigma_j^z \sigma_{j+1}^z + \frac{N\lambda^2}{\Omega} m_x^2, \quad (14)$$

with $\tilde{\omega}_x = \omega_x - 4\lambda^2/\Omega m_x$ and $m_x = N^{-1} \sum_j \langle \sigma_j^x \rangle$. The transverse field is a combination of the external field and the mean-field cavity-induced interaction. In the thermodynamic limit, $N \rightarrow \infty$, the ground-state energy per spin is given by

$$e_0(m_x) = \frac{\lambda^2}{\Omega} m_x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \epsilon_k, \quad (15)$$

with

$$\epsilon_k = \sqrt{(2J)^2 + \tilde{\omega}_x^2 - 4J\tilde{\omega}_x \cos k}. \quad (16)$$

Solving variationally allows us to compute the equilibrium value of m_x numerically and subsequently the response function $\tilde{\chi}_{xx,0}$, which depends on the value of m_x . At zero temperature and in the continuum limit, $\tilde{\chi}_{xx,0}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\chi}_{xx,0}(\omega) = -16J^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{\pi} \frac{\sin^2 k}{\epsilon_k(\omega_+^2 - 4\epsilon_k^2)}. \quad (17)$$

We find that $\tilde{\chi}_{xx,0}$ has poles at $2\epsilon_k$. This stems from the fact that the coupling operator, C_x , creates and destroys excitations in pairs of opposite momentum. Thus χ_{xx} and D will reflect how the zero-momentum sector of the two-excitation band (a double-energy replica of the single-excitation band) of the Ising model hybridizes with the cavity photon. The fact that the excitations are created in pairs of opposite momenta allows one to probe the full band, despite the collective nature of C_x .

This feature brings novel phenomenology that we summarize in Fig. 2, where the cavity response (8) is plotted for different scenarios. In all panels, we set $4J = \Omega$, such that the two-excitation band is in resonance with the cavity frequency. Figure 2(a) shows the case of vanishing classical field, $\omega_x = 0$. In this case, the model is non-dispersive in the normal phase, as the only source of transverse field is the effective mean field. Instead of a band, the model has a collection of degenerate excitations with energy $2J$: domain walls. Accordingly, the zero-momentum sector of the two-excitation band is a degenerate collection of the domain-wall pairs that correspond to single-spin flips. This is a typical situation




FIG. 2. Cavity response, D , of the Dicke model as a function of the collective coupling, λ , for different values of the classical transverse field, ω_x . The yellow dashed lines correspond to a fit of the polaritons with a two-oscillator model. The top right insets show the magnetization. The dotted lines mark the edges of the band of the mean-field effective Hamiltonian (14). The Ising interaction is set to $4J = \Omega$.

where the cavity is coupled to a collective mode hybridizing with the cavity photon, forming polaritons whose energy can be fitted by a model of two coupled oscillators of frequencies Ω and $4J$:

$$2\Omega_{\pm}^2 = 4J^2 + \Omega^2 \pm \sqrt{(4J^2 - \Omega^2)^2 + 32\lambda^2 J\Omega}. \quad (18)$$

At the first-order phase transition, the effective mean field acquires a non-zero value, opening the band. The lower polariton hardens to become the cavity photon in the deep superradiant regime.

Figures 2(b), (c) and (d) show the case of non-zero classical field, $\omega_x \neq 0$. Then, the Ising band (16) is already open in the normal phase and we can understand the model as an impurity model, where the impurity role is played by the cavity. The original collective coupling of the spins to the cavity translates into a momentum-dependent coupling of the Bogoliubov fermions that diagonalize the effective Ising model to the cavity $C_x \propto \sum_k \eta_k (\gamma_k^\dagger \gamma_{-k}^\dagger - \text{h.c.})$ [55][Chap. 10]. The coupling, $\eta_k = 2J \sin k / \epsilon_k$, has an exponentially localized profile in real space. Thus, the analogy with the impurity is complete. Moreover, the equation for the poles of $D(\omega)$ can be shown to be

$$F(\omega) = \omega_+^2 - \Omega^2 - 4\lambda^2 \Omega \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \eta_k^2 \frac{4\epsilon_k}{\omega_+^2 - 4\epsilon_k^2} = 0, \quad (19)$$

which can be compared with the equation for the eigen-

values of a discrete system coupled to a continuum with a finite bandwidth, in our case the band of the Ising model given by (16). The possibility of bound states appearing is well known [56–58]. These states belong to the discrete spectrum and solve $F(\omega) = 0$ for ω outside the band. Much is known about bound states, particularly that their existence is essentially conditioned by the bandwidth, the coupling, and the position of the impurity [59, 60], in our case, the cavity. In Fig. 2, we see how tuning the bandwidth with ω_x results in the appearance of one bound state for $\omega_x = 0.1\Omega$, two for $\omega_x = 0.05\Omega$, or none for $\omega_x = 0.2\Omega$. Furthermore, we understand their increased visibility (with respect to the band) when they appear, as it is well known that the contribution of the impurity (the cavity) is finite in the bound states. Additionally, the localized nature of bound states explains why the polariton formula (18) accounts well for their energy. We are not aware of this physics being discussed before, so we have decided to call these new states *bound polariton states* (BPS). They are, in some way, complementary to the usual bound states in quantum optics where matter localizes photons around the impurity. In this case, it is the cavity that localizes spin excitations, marking a new phenomenology in cavity QED materials. This occurs already in the normal phase, and we attribute its exotic nature to the interplay between matter and light correlations, which in the Ising-Dicke model can be studied exactly. It would be interesting to consider how generalizable this phenomenology is.

Conclusion.- In this letter, we have presented a LRT for cavity QED materials, discussing exact formulas that go beyond perturbative theories of light-matter coupling. We have demonstrated that the broad applicability of our theory does not compromise its simplicity, and we have provided a guide on how to use it. In addition to the formal development, we have discovered novel excitations called bound polariton states (BPS), where the cavity binds magnon pairs into localized states, adding to the existing polariton panorama [61]. Our work extends beyond light-matter systems, such as in the coupling to phonon-polaritons [62] or magnons, where recent experiments with perovskites have demonstrated the superradiant quantum phase transition [63].

We acknowledge discussions with Katharina Lenk, Martin Eckstein, Andreas Wipf, Yuto Ashida, Luis Martín-Moreno, Fernando Falceto and José G. Esteve. Despite the enormous impediments in spending the budget, the authors must acknowledge funding from the grant TED2021-131447B-C21 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and the EU ‘NextGenerationEU’/PRTR. We also acknowledge the Gobierno de Aragón (Grant E09-17R Q-MAD), Quantum Spain and the CSIC Quantum Technologies Platform PTI-001. J. R-R acknowledges support from the Ministry of Universities of the Spanish Government through the grant FPU2020-07231.

-
- [1] S. Haroche, Nobel lecture: Controlling photons in a box and exploring the quantum to classical boundary, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **85**, 1083 (2013).
 - [2] D. J. Wineland, Nobel lecture: Superposition, entanglement, and raising schrödinger’s cat, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **85**, 1103 (2013).
 - [3] F. Schlawin, D. M. Kennes, and M. A. Sentef, Cavity quantum materials, *Appl. Phys. Rev.* **9**, 011312 (2022).
 - [4] F. J. Garcia-Vidal, C. Ciuti, and T. W. Ebbesen, Manipulating matter by strong coupling to vacuum fields, *Science* **373**, eabd0336 (2021).
 - [5] J. Bloch, A. Cavalleri, V. Galitski, M. Hafezi, and A. Rubio, Strongly correlated electron–photon systems, *Nature* **606**, 41–48 (2022).
 - [6] G. L. Paravicini-Bagliani, F. Appugliese, E. Richter, F. Valmorra, J. Keller, M. Beck, N. Bartolo, C. Rössler, T. Ihn, K. Ensslin, C. Ciuti, G. Scalari, and J. Faist, Magneto-transport controlled by landau polariton states, *Nat. Phys.* **15**, 186–190 (2018).
 - [7] F. Appugliese, J. Enkner, G. L. Paravicini-Bagliani, M. Beck, C. Reichl, W. Wegscheider, G. Scalari, C. Ciuti, and J. Faist, Breakdown of topological protection by cavity vacuum fields in the integer quantum hall effect, *Science* **375**, 1030–1034 (2022).
 - [8] A. Thomas, E. Devaux, K. Nagarajan, G. Rogez, M. Seidel, F. Richard, C. Genet, M. Drillon, and T. W. Ebbesen, Large enhancement of ferromagnetism under a collective strong coupling of ybco nanoparticles, *Nano Lett.* **21**, 4365–4370 (2021).
 - [9] G. Jarc, S. Y. Mathengattil, A. Montanaro, F. Giusti, E. M. Rigoni, R. Sergo, F. Fassoli, S. Winnerl, S. Dal Zilio, D. Mihailovic, P. Prelovšek, M. Eckstein, and D. Fausti, Cavity-mediated thermal control of metal-to-insulator transition in 1t-tas2, *Nature* **622**, 487–492 (2023).
 - [10] K. Nagarajan, A. Thomas, and T. W. Ebbesen, Chemistry under vibrational strong coupling, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **143**, 16877–16889 (2021).
 - [11] F. Schlawin, A. Cavalleri, and D. Jaksch, Cavity-mediated electron-photon superconductivity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 133602 (2019).
 - [12] M. A. Sentef, M. Ruggenthaler, and A. Rubio, Cavity quantum-electrodynamical polaritonically enhanced electron-phonon coupling and its influence on superconductivity, *Sci. Adv.* **4**, eaau6969 (2018).
 - [13] J. Román-Roche, F. Luis, and D. Zueco, Photon condensation and enhanced magnetism in cavity qed, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 167201 (2021).
 - [14] K. Masuki and Y. Ashida, Cavity moiré materials: Controlling magnetic frustration with quantum light-matter interaction (2024), [arXiv:2302.11582](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.11582) [cond-mat.str-el].
 - [15] O. Dmytruk and M. Schirò, Controlling topological phases of matter with quantum light, *Commun. Phys.* **5**, 271 (2022).
 - [16] C. A. Downing, T. J. Sturges, G. Weick, M. Stobińska, and L. Martín-Moreno, Topological phases of polaritons in a cavity waveguide, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 217401 (2019).
 - [17] B. Pérez-González, Álvaro Gómez-León, and G. Platero, Topology detection in cavity qed, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **24**, 15860–15870 (2022).

- [18] B. Pérez-González, G. Platero, and Álvaro Gómez-León, Light-matter correlations in quantum floquet engineering (2023), [arXiv:2302.12290](#).
- [19] O. Dmytruk and M. Schirò, Hybrid light-matter states in topological superconductors coupled to cavity photons (2023), [arXiv:2310.01296 \[cond-mat.mes-hall\]](#).
- [20] B. Pérez-González, G. Platero, and Álvaro Gómez-León, Many-body origin of anomalous floquet phases in cavity-qed materials (2023), [arXiv:2312.10141](#).
- [21] M. Schuler, D. D. Bernardis, A. M. Läuchli, and P. Rabl, The vacua of dipolar cavity quantum electrodynamics, *SciPost Phys.* **9**, 066 (2020).
- [22] Y. Ashida, A. m. c. İmamoglu, J. Faist, D. Jaksch, A. Cavalleri, and E. Demler, Quantum electrodynamic control of matter: Cavity-enhanced ferroelectric phase transition, *Phys. Rev. X* **10**, 041027 (2020).
- [23] D. Shin, S. Latini, C. Schäfer, S. A. Sato, E. Baldini, U. De Giovannini, H. Hübener, and A. Rubio, Simulating terahertz field-induced ferroelectricity in quantum paraelectric SrTiO_3 , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 167401 (2022).
- [24] C. Ciuti, Cavity-mediated electron hopping in disordered quantum hall systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 155307 (2021).
- [25] G. Arwas and C. Ciuti, Quantum electron transport controlled by cavity vacuum fields, *Phys. Rev. B* **107**, 045425 (2023).
- [26] D. Svintsov, G. Alymov, Z. Devizorova, and L. Martin-Moreno, One-dimensional electron localization in semiconductors coupled to electromagnetic cavities, *Phys. Rev. B* **109**, 045432 (2024).
- [27] O. Dmytruk and M. Schiró, Gauge fixing for strongly correlated electrons coupled to quantum light, *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 075131 (2021).
- [28] J. Li, D. Golez, G. Mazza, A. J. Millis, A. Georges, and M. Eckstein, Electromagnetic coupling in tight-binding models for strongly correlated light and matter, *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 205140 (2020).
- [29] J. Román-Roche and D. Zueco, Effective theory for matter in non-perturbative cavity QED, *SciPost Phys. Lect. Notes*, 50 (2022).
- [30] I. I. Rabi, On the process of space quantization, *Phys. Rev.* **49**, 324 (1936).
- [31] E. Jaynes and F. Cummings, Comparison of quantum and semiclassical radiation theories with application to the beam maser, *Proc. IEEE* **51**, 89 (1963).
- [32] J. Larson and T. Mavrogordatos, *The Jaynes–Cummings Model and Its Descendants: Modern research directions* (IOP Publishing, 2021).
- [33] D. Braak, Integrability of the rabi model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 100401 (2011).
- [34] R. H. Dicke, Coherence in spontaneous radiation processes, *Phys. Rev.* **93**, 99 (1954).
- [35] M. Tavis and F. W. Cummings, Exact solution for an n -molecule—radiation-field hamiltonian, *Phys. Rev.* **170**, 379 (1968).
- [36] Y. K. Wang and F. T. Hioe, Phase transition in the dicke model of superradiance, *Phys. Rev. A* **7**, 831 (1973).
- [37] K. Hepp and E. H. Lieb, On the superradiant phase transition for molecules in a quantized radiation field: the dicke maser model, *Ann. Phys.* **76**, 360 (1973).
- [38] F. T. Hioe, Phase transitions in some generalized dicke models of superradiance, *Phys. Rev. A* **8**, 1440 (1973).
- [39] G. M. Andolina, F. M. D. Pellegrino, V. Giovannetti, A. H. MacDonald, and M. Polini, Cavity quantum electrodynamics of strongly correlated electron systems: A no-go theorem for photon condensation, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 121109 (2019).
- [40] C. Emary and T. Brandes, Chaos and the quantum phase transition in the dicke model, *Phys. Rev. E* **67**, 066203 (2003).
- [41] V. Rokaj, M. Penz, M. A. Sentef, M. Ruggenthaler, and A. Rubio, Polaritonic hofstadter butterfly and cavity control of the quantized hall conductance, *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 205424 (2022).
- [42] V. Rokaj, J. Wang, J. Sous, M. Penz, M. Ruggenthaler, and A. Rubio, Weakened topological protection of the quantum hall effect in a cavity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 196602 (2023).
- [43] A. Altland and B. D. Simons, *Condensed Matter Field Theory* (Cambridge University Press, 2010).
- [44] J. Román-Roche, Álvaro Gómez-León, F. Luis, and D. Zueco, Cavity qed materials: Comparison and validation of two linear response theories at arbitrary light-matter coupling strengths (2024), [arXiv:2406.11971](#).
- [45] R. Feynman and F. Vernon, The theory of a general quantum system interacting with a linear dissipative system, *Ann. Phys. (N. Y.)* **24**, 118–173 (1963).
- [46] H. Grabert, P. Schramm, and G.-L. Ingold, Quantum brownian motion: The functional integral approach, *Phys. Rep.* **168**, 115 (1988).
- [47] K. Lenk, J. Li, P. Werner, and M. Eckstein, Collective theory for an interacting solid in a single-mode cavity (2022), [arXiv:2205.05559](#).
- [48] K. Lenk, J. Li, P. Werner, and M. Eckstein, Dynamical mean-field study of a photon-mediated ferroelectric phase transition, *Phys. Rev. B* **106**, 245124 (2022).
- [49] P. Kirton, M. M. Roses, J. Keeling, and E. G. D. Torre, Introduction to the dicke model: From equilibrium to nonequilibrium, and vice versa, *Adv. Quantum. Techn.* **2**, 1800043 (2018).
- [50] R. D. McKenzie, M. Libersky, D. M. Silevitch, and T. F. Rosenbaum, Theory of magnon polaritons in quantum ising materials, *Phys. Rev. A* **106**, 043716 (2022).
- [51] C. F. Lee and N. F. Johnson, First-order superradiant phase transitions in a multiqubit cavity system, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 083001 (2004).
- [52] S. Gammelmark and K. Mølmer, Phase transitions and heisenberg limited metrology in an ising chain interacting with a single-mode cavity field, *New J. Phys.* **13**, 053035 (2011).
- [53] E. Cortese, L. Garziano, and S. De Liberato, Polariton spectrum of the dicke-ising model, *Phys. Rev. A* **96**, 053861 (2017).
- [54] J. Rohn, M. Hörmann, C. Genes, and K. P. Schmidt, Ising model in a light-induced quantized transverse field, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 023131 (2020).
- [55] S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
- [56] V. P. Bykov, Spontaneous emission from a medium with a band spectrum, *Sov. J. Quantum Electron.* **4**, 861–871 (1975).
- [57] S. John and J. Wang, Quantum electrodynamics near a photonic band gap: Photon bound states and dressed atoms, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 2418 (1990).
- [58] B. Gaveau and L. S. Schulman, Limited quantum decay, *J. Phys. A Math. Gen.* **28**, 7359–7374 (1995).
- [59] T. Shi, Y.-H. Wu, A. González-Tudela, and J. I. Cirac,

- Bound states in boson impurity models, [Phys. Rev. X **6**, 021027 \(2016\)](#).
- [60] J. Román-Roche, E. Sánchez-Burillo, and D. Zueco, Bound states in ultrastrong waveguide qed, [Phys. Rev. A **102**, 023702 \(2020\)](#).
- [61] D. N. Basov, A. Asenjo-Garcia, P. J. Schuck, X. Zhu, and A. Rubio, Polariton panorama, [Nanophotonics **10**, 549–577 \(2020\)](#).
- [62] P. A. Pantazopoulos, J. Feist, F. J. García-Vidal, and A. Kamra, Unconventional magnetism mediated by spin-phonon-photon coupling, [Nat. Commun. **15**, 4000 \(2024\)](#).
- [63] D. Kim, S. Dasgupta, X. Ma, J.-M. Park, H.-T. Wei, L. Luo, J. Doumani, X. Li, W. Yang, D. Cheng, R. H. J. Kim, H. O. Everitt, S. Kimura, H. Nojiri, J. Wang, S. Cao, M. Bamba, K. R. A. Hazzard, and J. Kono, Observation of the magnonic dicke superradiant phase transition (2024), [arXiv:2401.01873 \[quant-ph\]](#).