

ON THE SPLITTING OF SURFACES IN MOTIVIC STABLE HOMOTOPY CATEGORY

HAOYANG LIU

ABSTRACT. Let k be a field and X be a smooth projective surface over k with a rational point, we discuss the condition of splitting off the top cell for the motivic stable homotopy type of X . We also study some outlying examples, such as K3 surfaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Stable splitting is an interesting phenomenon in classical stable homotopy category SH [5]. Such splittings provide a geometric explanation for algebraic splittings of homology and cohomology groups, as well as other algebraic invariants of spaces such as Steenrod operations. In the motivic setting, there are also some interesting examples. One of them is due to Röndigs [12]:

Theorem 1.1 (Röndigs). *Let k be a field and X be a smooth projective curve over k with a rational point $x_0 : S^{0,0} = \text{Spec}(k)_+ \rightarrow X_+$. There is a splitting*

$$X_+ \sim S^{0,0} \vee \mathbb{J}(X) \vee S^{2,1}$$

in the motivic stable homotopy category $\text{SH}(k)$ if and only if X admits a theta characteristic.

Here we recall that a theta characteristic of X is a line bundle $L \rightarrow X$ such that $L \otimes L$ is isomorphic to the tangent bundle of X . In fact, in this theorem it suffices to assume the existence of a rational point up to stable homotopy which means a section of $x_+ : X_+ \rightarrow S_+ = S^{0,0}$ in $\text{SH}(S)$. If it exists, the splitting above lifts the splitting of the motive of X with $\mathbb{J}(X)$ mapping to the Jacobian variety of X , considered as a motive over k . And the existence of theta characteristic actually indicates that X admits an orientation.

The construction of the splitting in Theorem 1.1 relies on Spanier-Whitehead duality in $\text{SH}(k)$ and a connectivity theorem of Voevodsky[12]. As a consequence, the values of every (co)homology theory which is representable in $\text{SH}(k)$ split accordingly. And the same type of splitting can also be achieved for smooth projective surfaces over k with following conditions:

Theorem 1.2. *For a smooth projective surface X over a field k satisfying $2c_2(X) = c_1^2(X)$ which admits a rational point, there is a splitting*

$$X_+ \sim S^{0,0} \vee F \vee S^{4,2}$$

in the motivic stable homotopy category $SH(k)$ if and only if $c_1(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

Meanwhile, we also discuss some cases that are not included in the above where some "non-splitting" phenomena will appear, such as K3 surfaces.

2. STABLE SPLITTING OF SURFACES

In this section we will first revisit some preliminaries of motivic stable homotopy category and then explain how to construct the splitting of a smooth projective surface over a field k .

A *base scheme* is a Noetherian scheme of finite Krull dimension. Let $SH(S)$ denote the motivic stable homotopy category of the base scheme S . It is the homotopy category of a model category of motivic spectra over S . A *motivic space* A over S is a presheaf on the site Sm_S of smooth separated S -schemes with values in the category of simplicial sets. A *motivic spectrum* E over S consists of a sequence (E_0, E_1, \dots) of pointed motivic spaces over S , together with a sequence of structure map $\sigma_n^E : E_n \wedge S^{2,1} \rightarrow E_{n+1}$. Here the smash product of pointed simplicial presheaves is defined sectionwise, and $S^{2,1} = \mathbb{A}_S^1 / \mathbb{A}_S^1 \setminus \{0\}$ which denotes the Thom space of the trivial line bundle over S . A smooth S -scheme $x : X \rightarrow S$ defines a representable pointed (simplicial) presheaf by adding a disjoint base point. Let $\Sigma^{\infty, \infty} X_+$ (or simply X_+) denote the associated $S^{2,1}$ -suspension spectrum. Its n -th structure map is the identity on $X_+ \wedge S^{2n, n}$, where $S^{2n, n} = S^{2(n-1), n-1} \wedge S^{2,1}$.

The category $SH(S)$ is closed symmetric monoidal under the smash product $E \wedge F$, its unit is $\mathbb{I}_S := S_+ = S^{0,0}$.

If $f : S \rightarrow S'$ is a morphism of base schemes, there is an adjoint pair

$$f_* : SH(S) \rightleftarrows SH(S') : f^*$$

If the morphism f is smooth, f^* has a left adjoint $f_{\sharp} : SH(S) \rightarrow SH(S')$, and the projection formula holds.

Let $p : V \rightarrow S$ be a vector bundle over S , with zero section $z : S \rightarrow V$. Let $\mathrm{Th}(V)$ denote the $S^{2,1}$ -suspension spectrum of the pointed (simplicial) presheaf sending $U \rightarrow S \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$ to the quotient set $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sm}_S}(U, V) / \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sm}_S}(U, V \setminus z(S))$. It is called the *Thom spectrum* of $p : V \rightarrow S$. In the case $V \cong \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow S$ is a trivial vector bundle, the Thom spectrum $\mathrm{Th}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is just an n -fold smash product of the $S^{2,1}$ -suspension spectrum of $S^{2,1}$ itself. Let $S^{1,0}$ be the $S^{2,1}$ -suspension spectrum of the constant pointed simplicial presheaf sending every $U \rightarrow S \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$ to $\Delta^1 / \partial \Delta^1$. The relation $S^{2,1} \simeq S^{1,0} \wedge (\mathbb{A}_S^1 \setminus \{0\}, 1)$ shows that $S^{1,0}$ is invertible under the smash product as

well. Denote $S^{1,1} = (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}, 1)$. Thus for every pair (p, q) of integers there is a bigraded motivic sphere

$$S^{p,q} := S^{p-2q,0} \wedge S^{2q,q} \in \mathrm{SH}(S),$$

which is invertible with respect to the smash product.

The construction of the splitting requires a special case of a connectivity theorem which is due to Voevodsky[12].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ be the spectrum of a field and $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SH}(S)}(S^{0,0}, S^{p,q}) = 0$$

whenever $p > q$.

Next, we need to describe the Spanier-Whitehead dual of a smooth projective schemes $x : X \rightarrow S$ with tangent bundle $\mathcal{T}(x) \rightarrow X$. Let $\mathbb{I}_S \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ denote the unit for the smash product in the motivic stable homotopy category, which is given by the $S^{2,1}$ -suspension spectrum of the zero sphere S_+ . The *Spanier-Whitehead dual* of $E \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ is the internal hom $\mathcal{D}(E) := \mathrm{SH}(S)(E, \mathbb{I}_S)$. For example, the Spanier-Whitehead dual of $S^{p,q}$ is $S^{-p,-q}$. The following result about Spanier-Whitehead duality is proven in [6] in case $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$. The general case is considered in [1].

Theorem 2.2. *Let S be a base scheme, and let $x : X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth projective morphism. There is an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{D}(X_+) \sim x_{\sharp}(Th(-[\mathcal{T}(x)]))$$

in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$, where $[\mathcal{T}(x)] \in K^0(X)$ is the class of the tangent bundle of $x : X \rightarrow S$.

If A is a retract of B in a stable homotopy category like $\mathrm{SH}(S)$, then A is in fact a summand of B . Given $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$ with the structural map $x : X \rightarrow S$, one sees that $S^{0,0} = S^+$ is a retract of X_+ in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$ if there exists a morphism $x_0 : S^{0,0} = S^+ \rightarrow X_+$ in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$ such that $x_+ \circ x_0$ is the identity element in $\pi_{0,0}(S^{0,0}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SH}(S)}(S^{0,0}, S^{0,0})$. Such a morphism x_0 will be called a *rational point up to stable homotopy*. Every rational point is also a rational point up to stable homotopy.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $x : X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth projective connected scheme over $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ of dimension d with a rational point up to stable homotopy $x_0 : S^{0,0} \rightarrow X_+$. Suppose that $x_{\sharp}(Th(-[\mathcal{T}(x)]))$ is isomorphic to $S^{-2d,-d} \wedge X_+ \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$. Then X_+ splits as*

$$X_+ \sim S^{0,0} \vee F \vee S^{2d,d}$$

for some $F \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$.

Proof. The rational point up to stable homotopy x_0 and the structure map x implies that $S^{0,0}$ is a retract of X_+ . As mentioned above, there is a splitting $X_+ \xrightarrow{\sim} (X, x_0) \vee S^{0,0}$ in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$ given by a morphism $c : X_+ \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ and the structural map x_+ . Let $d : (X, x_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0) \vee S_+ \xrightarrow{\sim} X_+$ denote the canonical map. To produce the

splitting, it suffices to show that $S^{2d,d}$ is a retract of (X, x_0) .

Applying the Spanier-Whitehead duality functor to the morphisms $S^{0,0} \xrightarrow{x_0} X_+ \xrightarrow{x_+} S^{0,0}$ produces morphisms

$$S^{0,0} \sim \mathcal{D}(S^{0,0}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}\langle x_0 \rangle} \mathcal{D}(X_+) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}\langle x_+ \rangle} \mathcal{D}(S^{0,0}) \sim S^{0,0}$$

Then by Theorem 2.2 and our condition, we have the isomorphism $\mathcal{D}(X_+) \sim S^{-2d,-d} \wedge X_+$, thus after tensoring with $S^{2d,d}$ there is a diagram

$$S^{2d,d} \xleftarrow{\varphi} X_+ \xleftarrow{\psi} S^{2d,d}$$

which shows that $S^{2d,d}$ is a retract of X_+ . To obtain the desired result, we need to conclude that the composition

$$S^{2d,d} \xleftarrow{\varphi} X_+ \xleftarrow{d} (X, x_0) \xleftarrow{c} X_+ \xleftarrow{\psi} S^{2d,d}$$

is the identity. This composition is the image of $\text{id}_{S^{2d,d}}$ under the map

$$[S^{2d,d}, S^{2d,d}] \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} [X_+, S^{2d,d}] \xrightarrow{d^*} [(X, x_0), S^{2d,d}] \xrightarrow{c^*} [X_+, S^{2d,d}] \xrightarrow{\psi^*} [S^{2d,d}, S^{2d,d}],$$

where $\text{Hom}_{\text{SH}(S)}(-, -) = [-, -]$. The splitting $X_+ \sim S^{0,0} \vee (X, x_0)$ implies that there are commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [X_+, S^{2d,d}] & \xrightarrow{\cong} & [S^{0,0} \vee (X, x_0), S^{2d,d}] \\ \downarrow d^* & & \downarrow \cong \\ [(X, x_0), S^{2d,d}] & \xleftarrow{\text{pr}} & [S^{0,0}, S^{2d,d}] \oplus [(X, x_0), S^{2d,d}] \end{array}$$

The group $[S^{0,0}, S^{2d,d}]$ is trivial by Theorem 2.1, which shows that d^* is an isomorphism with inverse c^* . \square

With the above theorem, we can determine the condition of a smooth projective surface being able to split off $S^{4,2}$ in its motivic stable homotopy type.

Theorem 2.4. *For a smooth projective surface X over a field k which admits a rational point, if $c_1(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $2c_2(X) = c_1^2(X)$, then there is a splitting*

$$X_+ \sim S^{0,0} \vee F \vee S^{4,2}$$

in the motivic stable homotopy category $\text{SH}(k)$.

Proof. First, let 1 be the class of trivial rank 2 vector bundle over X in $K^0(X)$ and $[\mathcal{T}(x)]$ be the class of the tangent bundle of X . As $c_1(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, denote $D := \frac{1}{2}c_1(X)$ and let $[V] \in K^0(X)$ have $c_1(V) = D$ while $[\hat{V}]$ be its dual. Then by Example 15.3.6 of [4], we have $([\mathcal{T}(x)] - 1 - [V] + [\hat{V}])$ in $\ker(\text{rank})$ and $\ker(c_1)$ as $c_1([\mathcal{T}(x)] - 1 - [V] + [\hat{V}]) = 0$ and $c_2([\mathcal{T}(x)] - 1 - [V] + [\hat{V}]) = 0$ by the condition $2c_2(X) = c_1^2(X)$ and $c_1(X) = 2c_1(V)$, which means the class $([\mathcal{T}(x)] - 1)$ is contained in the subgroup of $K^0(X)$ generated by classes $([W] - [\hat{W}])$, where $W \rightarrow X$ is a

vector bundle. So by Proposition 2.1 and 2.2 of [12], we know that $\mathrm{Th} : K^0(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(SH(X))$ is a group homomorphism and $\mathrm{Th}(W) \sim \mathrm{Th}(\hat{W})$, so we have $\mathcal{D}(X_+) \sim x_{\#}(\mathrm{Th}(-[\mathcal{T}(x)])) \sim X_+ \wedge S^{-4,-2}$. Meanwhile, we know that X admits a rational point, so the conclusion above shows that $S^{4,2}$ is a retract of X_+ , and by the similar reasoning of Theorem 2.3, the splitting follows. \square

Remark 2.5. We can see that abelian surfaces and product of curves which admit theta characteristics satisfy the conditions, but K3 surfaces don't. The only Calabi-Yau surfaces for which this theorem holds are abelian surfaces.

Next, we want to understand whether the condition given by Theorem 2.4 is necessary. If we assume the splitting exists, then the Steenrod operations will split accordingly. In the case of surfaces, one should focus on $p = 2$ or 3 for the dimension reason.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $x : X \rightarrow S = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ be a smooth quasi-projective connected k -scheme, and let $a \in K^0(X)$ have rank r . Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{2r,r}(\mathrm{Th}(a), \mathbb{Z}/2) & \xrightarrow{Sq^4} & H^{2(r+2),r+2}(\mathrm{Th}(a), \mathbb{Z}/2) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ H^{0,0}(X, \mathbb{Z}/2) & \xrightarrow{c_2(a)} & H^{4,2}(X, \mathbb{Z}/2) \end{array}$$

with vertical maps being Thom isomorphisms commutes, where $c_2(a)$ denotes the second Chern class of a . And similarly, we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{2r,r}(\mathrm{Th}(a), \mathbb{Z}/3) & \xrightarrow{P^1} & H^{2(r+2),r+2}(\mathrm{Th}(a), \mathbb{Z}/3) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ H^{0,0}(X, \mathbb{Z}/3) & \xrightarrow{c_1^2(a) - 2c_2(a)} & H^{4,2}(X, \mathbb{Z}/3) \end{array}$$

where P^1 denotes the first power operation when $q = 3$ and $c_2(a)$ denotes the first Chern class of a .

Proof. As $X \rightarrow S$ is quasi-projective, there exists a vector bundle $p : V \rightarrow X$ of rank $v > 0$ such that $[a] = [p] - [\mathcal{O}_X^{v-r}] \in K^0(X)$ by the Jouanolou trick. Thus $\mathrm{Th}(a) \sim S^{-2(v-r), -(v-r)} \wedge \mathrm{Th}(p)$. Since the power operations are commutative with suspension of $S^{2,1}$, we only need to prove the statement for a vector bundle $p : V \rightarrow X$ of rank $r > 0$.

The Thom isomorphism in integral motivic cohomology is given by multiplication with the Thom class, which is given by $t(p) = (-\sigma)^r + c_1(p)\sigma^{r-1} + \cdots + c_r(p)$ by Proposition 4.3 of [13]. Here σ is the class of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(p \oplus \mathcal{O}_X)}(-1)$ in $H^{2,1}(\mathbb{P}(p \oplus \mathcal{O}_X), \mathbb{Z})$. We will use the same notation after reducing coefficients modulo $q\mathbb{Z}$ for a prime q . The canonical homomorphism $H^{*,*}(\mathrm{Th}(p), \mathbb{Z}/q) \hookrightarrow H^{*,*}(\mathbb{P}(p \oplus \mathcal{O}_X), \mathbb{Z}/q)$ is an injection, thus

the value of $Sq^4(t(p))$ and $P^1(t(p))$ may be determined in $H^{*,*}(\mathbb{P}(p \oplus \mathcal{O}_X), \mathbb{Z}/q) \cong H^{*,*}(X, \mathbb{Z}/q)[\sigma]/(\sigma^{r+1} + c_1(p)\sigma^r + \cdots + c_r(p)\sigma)$. By the Lemma 9.7 and the Cartan formula in the Proposition 9.6 of [13], we have $Sq^2(\sigma^m) = m\sigma^{m+1}$, $Sq^4(\sigma^m) = \frac{m(m-1)}{2}\sigma^{m+2}$ and $P^1(\sigma^m) = m\sigma^{m+2}$. Formulae for the action of power operations on the Chern classes of a vector bundle can be derived as in topology. Let $f : \mathbb{P}^\infty \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^\infty \rightarrow Gr(r)$ be the map classifying the direct sum of the bundles $pr_i^* \mathcal{O}(-1)_{\mathbb{P}^\infty}$, where pr_i denotes the i th projection. The induced homomorphism f^* on motivic cohomology is injective, and it sends the j th Chern class to the j th elementary symmetric polynomial in the first Chern class $c_1(pr_i^* \mathcal{O}(-1)_{\mathbb{P}^\infty})$. So the formulae $Sq^4(c_i(p)) = c_2(p)c_i(p) - ic_1(p)c_{i+1}(p) + \frac{(i+2)(i-1)}{2}c_{i+2}(p)$ and $P^1(c_i(p)) = (c_1^2(p) - 2c_2(p))c_i(p) - c_1(p)c_{i+1}(p) + (i+1)c_{i+2}(p)$ are direct consequences. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Sq^4(t(p)) &= Sq^4(\sigma^r + c_1(p)\sigma^{r-1} + \cdots + c_r(p)) \\
&= \frac{r(r-1)}{2}\sigma^{r+2} + c_1(p)\frac{(r-1)(r-2)}{2}\sigma^{r+1} + (r-1)c_1^2(p)\sigma^r \\
&\quad + \cdots + Sq^4(c_r(p)) \\
&= \frac{r(r-1)}{2}\sigma(c_1(p)\sigma^r + \cdots + c_r(p)\sigma) + \cdots + c_2(p)c_r(p) \\
&= (r-1)c_1(p)\sigma^{r+1} + ((r-1)c_1^2(p) + c_2(p))\sigma^r + \cdots + c_2(p)c_r(p) \\
&= c_2(p)(\sigma^r + c_1(p)\sigma^{r-1} + \cdots + c_r(p)) \\
&= c_2(p)t(p)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
P^1(t(p)) &= P^1((-1)^r\sigma^r + c_1(p)\sigma^{r-1} + \cdots + c_r(p)) \\
&= (-1)^r r\sigma^{r+2} + c_1^3(p)\sigma^{r-1} + (r-1)c_1(p)\sigma^{r+1} + \cdots + (c_1^2(p) - 2c_2(p))c_r(p) \\
&= (-1)^r r(-c_1(p)\sigma^{r+1} - \cdots + c_r(p)\sigma^2) + \cdots + (c_1^2(p) - 2c_2(p))c_r(p) \\
&= (((-1)^r r - (r-1))c_1^2(p) + ((-1)^{r+1}r + (r-2))c_2(p))\sigma^r + \\
&\quad \cdots + (c_1^2(p) - 2c_2(p))c_r(p)
\end{aligned}$$

where we may assume r is even by the argument in the first paragraph. So we will get $P^1(t(p)) = (c_1^2(p) - 2c_2(p))t(p)$ which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.7. *Suppose a smooth projective surface X over a field k with a rational point admits a splitting*

$$X_+ \sim S^{0,0} \vee F \vee S^{4,2}$$

in the motivic stable homotopy category $SH(k)$, then $c_1(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $c_2(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $c_1^2(X) - 2c_2(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

Proof. Under the condition of X , the Spanier-Whitehead dual of X_+ splits as $\mathcal{D}(X_+) \sim S^{0,0} \vee \mathcal{D}(F) \vee S^{-4,-2}$. Then Lemma 5.3 of [12] implies that $H^{-4,-2}(\mathcal{D}(X_+), \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{Sq^2}$

$H^{-2,-1}(\mathcal{D}(X_+), \mathbb{Z}/2)$ hits the first Chern class of $(-[\mathcal{T}(x)])$ and a similar calculation in 2.6 shows that $H^{-4,-2}(\mathcal{D}(X_+), \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{\text{Sq}^4} H^{0,0}(\mathcal{D}(X_+), \mathbb{Z}/2)$ hits the second Chern class of $(-[\mathcal{T}(x)])$. The splitting of $\mathcal{D}(X_+)$ implies that Sq^2 and Sq^4 splits accordingly. We know that $H^{-4,-2}(S^{0,0}, \mathbb{Z}/2) = 0$ for connectivity reasons and $H^{-4,-2}(F, \mathbb{Z}/2) = 0$ because $H^{-4,-2}(S^{-4,-2}, \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. So the value of Sq^2 and Sq^4 on the non-zero element is computed in the motivic cohomology of $S^{-4,-2}$. And both of them should be zero for dimension reasons. In other words, $c_1(-[\mathcal{T}(x)]) = -c_1(X)$ and $c_2(-[\mathcal{T}(x)]) = c_1^2(X) - c_2(X)$ are both divided by 2, so the results follow. For mod 3 case, the calculation in 2.6 and same reasoning as above shows that $c_1^2(X) - 2c_2(X)$ is divided by 3. \square

Remark 2.8. If $2c_2 = c_1^2$ and $c_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then $c_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $c_1^2(X) - 2c_2(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. The above argument also shows that if one of $c_1(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $c_2(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $c_1^2(X) - 2c_2(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ doesn't hold, then the splitting $X_+ \sim S^{0,0} \vee F \vee S^{4,2}$ cannot happen, for example, when $X = \mathbb{P}^2$.

If we sum all the things up, we get the following theorem:

Theorem 2.9. *For a smooth projective surface X over a field k satisfying $2c_2(X) = c_1^2(X)$ which admits a rational point, there is a splitting*

$$X_+ \sim S^{0,0} \vee F \vee S^{4,2}$$

in the motivic stable homotopy category $SH(k)$ if and only if $c_1(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

Proof. Under the assumption of X , if $c_1(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then by Theorem 2.4, we have $X_+ \sim S^{0,0} \vee F \vee S^{4,2}$ in $SH(k)$. Conversely, if X_+ admits a splitting in $SH(k)$, then by Theorem 2.7, we have $c_1(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $c_2(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $c_2(X) + c_1^2(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. With Remark 2.8, only the condition $c_1(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ is necessary. \square

3. SOME OUTLYING CASES

In this section we will discuss some non-splitting phenomena on a class of surfaces, for which we rely on a theorem of Beauville-Voisin [2]. It is also worth noting that K3 surfaces lie in this case.

Theorem 3.1. *For a smooth projective surface X over an algebraically closed field k with characteristic 0, if it satisfies:*

1. *the Picard group of X is spanned by the classes of rational curves $\{C_i\}$,*
2. *there exists an ample divisor on X which is a sum of rational curves,*
3. *the intersection number $(K_S \cdot C_i)$ of the canonical class of the surface K_S and each rational curves in 1 is not equal to -2.*

Then X_+ can not be split as $F_1 \vee F_2$ in $SH(k)$ with $H^{2,1}(F_1, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^{2,1}(F_2, \mathbb{Z})$ are not trivial.

Proof. Assume we have the stable splitting $X_+ \sim F_1 \vee F_2$ in $\mathrm{SH}(k)$ such that $H^{2,1}(F_1, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^{2,1}(F_2, \mathbb{Z})$ are not trivial. So we have $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \cong \mathrm{CH}^1(X) \cong H^{2,1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{2,1}(F_1, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^{2,1}(F_2, \mathbb{Z})$. Then by the condition 1 above, we know that $H^{2,1}(F_1, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^{2,1}(F_2, \mathbb{Z})$ are spanned by rational curves $\{C_i\}$ and $\{C'_i\}$ since they are both non-empty. With the condition 1 and 2, by the same reasoning as part 2 of Theorem 1 of [2], we know that the image of the intersection product will lie in $\mathbb{Z}c_X$ in $\mathrm{CH}^2(X) \cong H^{4,2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ where c_X is represented by a point in X and independent of choices. By the condition 3, the self intersection $(C_i \cdot C_i) \neq 0$ for each C_i , so we know that $[C_i] \cap [C_i]$ is a non-zero copy of c_X with degree $(C_i \cdot C_i)$ (This comes from the fact that $\mathrm{CH}^2(X)$ is torsion free [11] and that all the C_i 's are rational) in $\mathrm{CH}^2(X)$. This fact also tells us that $H^{4,2}(F_1, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^{4,2}(F_2, \mathbb{Z})$ are non-trivial, and based on the assumption we should have $\mathrm{CH}^2(X) \cong H^{4,2}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{4,2}(F_1, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^{4,2}(F_2, \mathbb{Z})$. But this can never happen because we know $H^{4,2}(F_1, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^{4,2}(F_2, \mathbb{Z})$ exactly contain the same generator c_X . \square

\mathbb{P}^2 is the first example of such space. K3 surface is also an example satisfying the above condition by a theorem of Bogomolov and Mumford [9] and its canonical class is trivial. If we pass to the rational case, we will get the decomposition of the Chow motives of the K3 surfaces [10] and this can be lifted via the equivalence of categories $\mathrm{DM}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathrm{SH}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}^+$ [3], which gives us a more sophisticated splitting in $\mathrm{SH}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (Other such examples such as abelian varieties can be checked here [7]). Meanwhile, it is not hard to see that the product of any smooth projective curves doesn't satisfy the above conditions, and in this case the stable splitting of the space will contain two pieces which have non-trivial $H^{2,1}$ because the product naturally splits after one suspension [8].

REFERENCES

- [1] Ayoub, J. Les six opérations de Grothendieck et le formalisme des cycles évanescents dans le monde motivique (I). (Société mathématique de France, 2007)
- [2] Beauville, A & Voisin, C. On the Chow ring of a K3 surface. *Journal Of Algebraic Geometry*. **13** pp. 417-426 (2001), <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:14020115>
- [3] Cisinski, D. & Déglise, F. *Triangulated Categories of Mixed Motives*. (Springer International Publishing, 2019), <https://doi.org/10.1007>
- [4] Fulton, W. *Intersection Theory*, 2nd ed *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*, **2**, Springer, Berlin, 1998.
- [5] Hatcher, A. *Algebraic topology*. (Cambridge University Press, 2002)
- [6] Hu, P. On the Picard group of the stable A1-homotopy category. *Topology*. **44**, 609-640 (2005), <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040938304000874>
- [7] Liu, H. Splitting of abelian varieties in motivic stable homotopy category. (2024) <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.05674>
- [8] Morel, F. \mathbb{A}^1 -Algebraic Topology over a Field. (2012)
- [9] Mori, S & Mukai, S. Mumford's theorem on curves on K3 surfaces. *Algebraic Geometry* (Tokyo/Kyoto 1982), LNM 1016, 351-352; Springer-Verlag (1983).

- [10] Murre, J. On the motive of an algebraic surface.. *Journal Für Die Reine Und Angewandte Mathematik*. **409** pp. 190-204 (1990), <http://eudml.org/doc/153254>
- [11] Rojtman, A. The Torsion of the Group of 0-Cycles Modulo Rational Equivalence. *Annals Of Mathematics*. **111**, 553-569 (1980), <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1971109>
- [12] Røndigs, O. Theta characteristics and stable homotopy types of curves. *The Quarterly Journal Of Mathematics*. **61**, 351-362 (2009,2), <https://doi.org/10.1093/qmath/hap005>
- [13] Voevodsky, V. Reduced power operations in motivic cohomology. *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, Tome 98 (2003), pp. 1-57. doi : 10.1007/s10240-003-0009-z. <http://www.numdam.org/articles/10.1007/s10240-003-0009-z/>