THE SPECIAL UNITARY GROUPS $SU(2n)$ AS FRAMED MANIFOLDS

HARUO MINAMI

ABSTRACT. Let $[SU(2n), \mathscr{L}]$ denote the bordism class of $SU(2n)$ $(n > 2)$ equipped with the left invariant framing L. Then it is well known that $e_{\mathbb{C}}([SU(2n),\mathcal{L}]) = 0$ in \mathbb{O}/\mathbb{Z} where $e_{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the complex Adams e-invariant. In this note we show that replacing $\mathscr L$ by the twisted framing by a specific map it can be transformed into a generator of Im $e_{\mathbb{C}}$. In addition to that we also show that the same procedure affords an analogous result for a quotient of $SU(2n + 1)$ by a circle subgroup which inherits a canonical framing from $SU(2n+1)$ in the usual way.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a simply-connected compact Lie group of dimension $4l-1$ and of rank ≥ 2 . Let us consider it to be the framed manifold equipped with the left invariant framing $\mathscr L$ and write $[G, \mathcal{L}]$ for its bordism class in π_{4l-1}^S . Then it is well known [\[3\]](#page-6-0) that

$$
e_{\mathbb{C}}([G,\mathscr{L}])=0
$$

where $e_{\mathbb{C}} : \pi_{4l-1}^S \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the complex Adams e-invariant. In view of this result we wish to raise the problem of the existence of a map $f: G \to GL(s, \mathbb{R})$ such that $e_{\mathbb{C}}([G,\mathscr{L}^f])$ is nonzero and if possible, is equal to $(-1)^{\ell-1}B_{\ell}/2\ell$ [\[2\]](#page-6-1) where \mathscr{L}^f denotes the framing obtained by twisting $\mathscr L$ by f and B_l the lth Bernoulli number.

In this note we consider the case $G = SU(2n)$ $(n \geq 2)$ where dim $G = 4n^2 - 1$. The main result is the following theorem.

Theorem. Let $\rho: SU(2n) \to GL(4n, \mathbb{R})$ ($n \geq 2$) be the standard real representation of $SU(2n)$. Then we have

$$
e_{\mathbb{C}}([SU(2n), \mathcal{L}^{(n-1)\rho}]) = (-1)^{n-1} B_{n^2}/2n^2
$$

The proof is based on Proposition 2.1 of [\[5\]](#page-6-2). But also in the case $G = SU(2n + 1)$ it can be seen that the procedure used there is adaptable to its quotient by a circle subgroup C, considered as a framed manifold equipped with the induced framing \mathscr{L}_C . Now this framing can be obtained by applying the fact that $\mathscr L$ is a C-equivariant framing [\[4\]](#page-6-3) to the equation (*) of [\[5,](#page-6-2) p. 16]. Here let $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ and put

$$
C = \{ \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, z, \bar{z}) \mid z \in S^1 \}
$$

where $diag(a_1, \ldots, a_{2n+1})$ denotes the diagonal matrix with a_1, \ldots, a_{2n+1} on the diagonal. Then in fact we have

Proposition. $e_{\mathbb{C}}([SU(2n+1)/C, \mathcal{L}_C]) = -B_{n^2+n}/2(n^2+n)$ $(n \ge 1)$.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 22E46, 55Q45.

2 HARUO MINAMI

2. TENSOR PRODUCT DECOMPOSITION OF E

Let $G = SU(m)$ $(m \ge 2)$ and S be the circle subgroup of G generated by $d(z)$ $diag(\bar{z}^{m-1}, z, \ldots, z)$ with $z \in S^1$. Regard G as an S-space endowed with $d(z)$ acting on $g \in G$ by the rule $(g, z) \to gd(z)$ and consider it to be the principal bundle along with the natural projection $p: G \to G/S$. Let $E = G \times_S \mathbb{C}$ denote the canonical complex line bundle over G/S associated to p where S acts on $\mathbb C$ as S^1 . Then its unit sphere bundle $\pi : S(E) \to G/S$ is naturally isomorphic to $p : G \to G/S$ as a principal S-bundle over G/S , which is expressed as $(S(E), \pi, G/S) \cong (G, p, G/S)$ as usual.

In order to introduce some further notations we first recall the case $m = 2$. Write

$$
R(rz, v) = \begin{pmatrix} rz & v \\ -\bar{v} & r\bar{z} \end{pmatrix}, \quad r \ge 0, \ z \in S^1, \ v \in \mathbb{C},
$$

for the elements of $SU(2)$. Then $R(rz, v)d(z) = R(r, zv)$ and so letting it correspond to the element $(1 - 2r^2, 2rzv) \in S^2$ we have a homeomorphism between $SU(2)/S$ and S^2 . Thinking of p as a principal S-bundle over S^2 via this homeomorphism we have $(S(L), \pi, S^2) \cong (SU(2), p, S^2)$ where L is used instead of E. From the formula of [\[5,](#page-6-2) p. 40] we have $e([S(L), \Phi_L]) = B_1/4$ where Φ_L denotes the trivialization of the stable tangent bundle of $S(L)$. In this case Φ_L coincides with $\mathscr L$ via the above isomorphism and so we have $e([SU(2), \mathcal{L}]) = 1/24$.

For brevity we write $(r, zv)_R$ for $(1 - 2r^2, 2rzv) \in S^2$ and identify

$$
(r, zv)_R = p(R(rz, v)) = R(r, zv).
$$

Here in particular $(r, zv)_R$ represents $(0, 1)_R$ if $r = 0$ and $(1, 0)_R$ if $r = 1$. The latter is assumed to be specified as the base point of $S²$ for the reason that it is the image of the identity matrix of $SU(2)$ by p.

Suppose $m \geq 3$ and let $0 \leq j \leq m-2$ and $1 \leq i \leq m_j$ where $m_j = m-j-1$. Further we assume that the factors used in the product constructions are arranged in ascending order of their suffixes derived by i, j . For fixed j we put

$$
R_{i;j}(r_{i;j}z, v_{i;j}) = \begin{pmatrix} I_j & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & r_{i;j}z & 0 & v_{i;j} & 0 \ 0 & 0 & I_{i-1} & 0 & 0 \ 0 & -\bar{v}_{i;j} & 0 & r_{i;j}\bar{z} & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{m_j-i} \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } R(r_{i;j}z, v_{i;j}) \in SU(2)
$$

where I_s denotes the identity matrix of order s. Then we have

(1)
$$
\Pi_{i=1}^{m_j} R_{i;j}(r_{i;j}z, v_{i;j}) = \begin{pmatrix} I_j & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_{0;j} & b_{1;j} & b_{2;j} & \cdots & b_{m_j;j} \\ 0 & a_{1;j} & c_{1,1;j} & c_{1,2;j} & \cdots & c_{1,m_j;j} \\ 0 & a_{2;j} & 0 & c_{2,2;j} & \cdots & c_{2,m_j;j} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{m_j;j} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_{m_j,m_j;j} \end{pmatrix}
$$

where

$$
a_{0;j} = r_{1;j} \cdots r_{m_j;j} z^{m_j},
$$

\n
$$
a_{s;j} = -r_{s+1;j} \cdots r_{m_j;j} z^{m_j - s} \bar{v}_{s;j} \quad (1 \le s \le m_j - 1),
$$

\n
$$
a_{m_j;j} = -\bar{v}_{m_j;j},
$$

$$
b_{1;j} = v_{1;j},
$$

\n
$$
b_{s;j} = r_{1;j} \cdots r_{s-1;j} z^{s-1} v_{s;j} \quad (2 \le s \le m_j),
$$

\n
$$
c_{s,t;j} = -r_{s+1;j} \cdots r_{t-1;j} z^{t-s-1} \bar{v}_{s;j} v_{t;j} \quad (1 \le s \le t-2, 3 \le t \le m_j),
$$

\n
$$
c_{s,s+1;j} = -\bar{v}_{s;j} v_{s+1;j} \quad (1 \le s \le m_j - 1),
$$

\n
$$
c_{s,s;j} = r_{s;j} \bar{z} \quad (1 \le s \le m_j),
$$

\n
$$
c_{s,t;j} = 0 \quad (s > t).
$$

Let $d_j(z) = \text{diag}(1, \frac{(j)}{n}, 1, \bar{z}^{m_j}, z, \dots, z)$. By referring to the above calculation we have

(2)
$$
\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m_j} R_{i;j}(r_{i;j}z, v_{i;j})\right) d_j(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{m_j} R_{i;j}(r_{i;j}, z^i v_{i;j}).
$$

The matrix representation of the right-hand side of this equation has a similar form to that of (1). In fact, restricting ourselves to the part we need for later use we have

(3)
$$
\underline{a}_{0;j} = r_{1;j} \cdots r_{m_j;j}, \n\underline{a}_{s;j} = -r_{s+1;j} \cdots r_{m_j;j} \bar{w}_{s;j} \quad (1 \le s \le m_j - 1), \n\underline{a}_{m_j;j} = -\bar{w}_{m_j;j},
$$

where $\underline{a}_{s;j}$ denotes its matrix element corresponding to $a_{s;j}$ and $w_{s;j}$ stands for $z^s v_{s;j}$.

Let $D_0(z) = I_m$ and $D_j(z) = \text{diag}(z^{m-1}, \bar{z}, \frac{(j-1)}{n}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}^{m-j}, 1, \dots, 1)$ $(1 \le j \le m-2)$. Clearly $D_j(z)d(z) (= d(z)D_j(z)) = d_j(z)$. Define $R_j^{\{i\}}$ $j^{i_j}(r_{i,j}z, v_{i,j})$ to be the product

$$
\left(\prod_{s=1}^{m_j} R_{s;j}(r_{s;j}z, v_{s;j})\right) D_j(z) \text{ with } r_{s;j} = 1 \text{ for all } s, j \text{ except } s = i.
$$

Then by (2) we have

(4)
$$
R_j^{\{i\}}(r_{i;j}z, v_{i;j})d(z) = R_{i;j}(r_{i;j}, z^i v_{i;j}), \qquad z \in S^1
$$

since $R_{s;j}(r_{s;j}, z^s v_{sj}) = I_m$ if $r_{s;j} = 1$. Hence putting

$$
R_j(r_{i;j}z_i, v_{i;j}) = \prod_{i=1}^{m_j} R_j^{\{i\}}(r_{i;j}z_i, v_{i;j}), \qquad z_i \in S^1.
$$

we have due to the commutativeness of $d(z')$ and $R_i^{\{i\}}$ $j^{i_j}(r_{i;j}z, v_{i;j})$ $(z, z' \in S^1)$

(5)
$$
R_j(r_{i;j}z_i, v_{i;j})d(z_1)\cdots d(z_{m_j}) = \prod_{i=1}^{m_j} R_{i;j}(r_{i;j}, z_i^i v_{i;j}) \qquad (j \ge 1).
$$

But in the case of $j = 0$, differing from this, we have

(5b)
$$
R_0(r_{i,0}z_i, v_{i,0})d(z_1)\cdots d(z_{m-1}) = R_{1,0}(r_{1,0}, z_1v_{1,0}) \prod_{i=2}^{m-1} R_{i,0}(r_{i,0}, z_{i-1}^m z_i^i v_{i,0}).
$$

This can be shown using induction. In fact, as in (5), we first have

$$
R_0(r_{i;0}z_i, v_{i;0})d(z_{m-1}) = \left(\prod_{s=1}^{m-2} R_{i;0}(r_{i;0}z_i, v_{i;0})\right)R_{m-1;0}(r_{m-1;0}, z_{m-1}^{m-1}v_{m-1;0}).
$$

By letting $d(z_{m-2})$ act on both sides of this it can be derived that

$$
R_0(r_{i;0}z_i, v_{i;0})d(z_{m-1})d(z_{m-2}) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-3} R_{i;0}(r_{i;0}z_i, v_{i;0})\right)
$$

$$
R_{m-2;0}(r_{m-2;0}, z_{m-2}^{m-2}v_{m-2;0})R_{m-1;0}(r_{m-1;0}, z_{m-2}^m z_{m-1}^{m-1}v_{m-1;0}).
$$

Repeating this procedure we can achieve the equation (5b). Further these equations have the following extensions.

$$
R_j^{\{i\}}(r_{i;j}z z', v_{i;j})d(z) = R_j^{\{i\}}(r_{i;j}z'_{j}z'_{i;j}) \quad (j \ge 0),
$$

(6)
$$
R_j(r_{i;j}z_i z'_i, v_{i;j})d(z_1)\cdots d(z_{m_j}) = \begin{cases} R_j(r_{i;j}z'_s, z_i^i v_{i;j}) & (j \ge 1), \\ R_j(r_{i;j}z'_i, z_{i-1}^n z_i^i v_{i;j}) & (j = 0) \quad \text{(where } z_{-1} = 1). \end{cases}
$$

From (4) we see that $p(R_i^{\{i\}})$ $j^{i_j}(r_{i,j}z, v_{i,j})) = p(R_{i,j}(r_{i,j}, z^i v_{i,j}))$ holds for $p: G \to G/S$. This permits us to embed $S_{i,j}^2$ in G/S by means of the injective map $(r_{i,j}, w_{i,j})_R \to$ $p(R_{i}^{\{i\}})$ $S_{i,j}^{\{i\}}(r_{i,j}z, v_{i,j})$ where $S_{i,j}^2 = S^2$ denotes the 2-sphere consisting of $(r_{i,j}, w_{i,j})_R$. From (6) we also see that

$$
P_j^{\{i\}} = \{R_j^{\{i\}}(r_{i;j}z, v_{i;j}) | (r_{i;j}, w_{i;j})_R \in S^2, z \in S^1 | \} \subset G
$$

is closed under the action of S. Combining these two facts we have that $q_{i,j} = p|P_i^{\{i\}}|$ $\frac{j^{ij}}{j}$: $P_j^{\{i\}} \to S_{i,j}^2 \subset G/S$ provides the projection map of a principal S-bundle over $S_{i,j}^2$. Then $q_{i;j}$ factors through the map $P_j^{\{i\}} \to SU(2)$ given by $R_j^{\{i\}}$ $j^{i_j}(r_{i;j}z, v_{i;j}) \rightarrow R(r_{i;j}z, z^{i-1}v_{i;j})$ which yields an isomorphism $(P_i^{\{i\}})$ $j^{i_1}, q_{i,j}, S^2_{i,j}$ $\cong (SU(2), p, S^2)$. Let us write $L_{i,j}$ for the canonical complex line bundle over $S^2_{i;j}$ associated to $q_{i;j}$. Then since $(S(L_{i;j}), \pi, S^2_{i;j}) \cong$ $(P_i^{\{i\}})$ $g_j^{i_1}, q_{i,j}, S_{i,j}^2$ we have

(7)
$$
(S(L_{i;j}), \pi, S_{i;j}^2) \cong (SU(2), p, S^2) \text{ (identifying } S_{i;j}^2 = S^2).
$$

Let us write $(S_j^2)^{m_j} = S_{1;j}^2 \times \cdots \times S_{m_j;j}^2$ and let $\phi_j : (S_j^2)^{m_j} \to G/S$ be the map given by $x_j = (x_{1,j}, \dots, x_{m_j;j}) \to p(R_j(r_{i,j}, w_{i,j}))$ where $x_{i,j} = (r_{i,j}, w_{i,j})_R \in S^2_{i,j}$. Let us also write $(S^2)^{(m^2-m)/2} = (S_0^2)^{m_0} \times \cdots \times (S_{m-2}^2)^{m_{m-2}}$ and let $\phi : (S^2)^{(m^2-m)/2} \to G/S$ be the map defined by $\phi(x) = \phi_0(x_0) \cdots \phi_{m-2}(x_{m-2})$ where $x = (x_0, \dots, x_{m-2})$ with $x_j \in (S_j^2)^{m_j}$. Let us put $R(r_{i,j}z_i, v_{i,j}) = \prod_{j=0}^{m-2} R_j(r_{i,j}z_i, v_{i,j})$. Then, as in the case of $P_j^{\{i\}}$ $j^{ij},$ using (5) , $(5b), (6),$

$$
P = \{ R(r_{i;j}z_i, v_{i;j}) | (r_{i;j}, w_{i;j})_R \in S^2_{i;j}, z_i \in S^1 \} \subset G
$$

forms the total space of a principal S-bundle endowed with the projection map q : $P \to (S^2)^{(m^2-m)/2}$ such that $\phi \circ q = p|P$. Let us write $L_j^{m_j} = L_{1,j} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes L_{m_j;j}$ and also put $L^{(m^2-m)/2} = L_0^{m_0} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes L_{m-2}^{m_{m-2}}$ $_{m-2}^{m_{m-2}}$. Then $(S(L^{(m^2-m)/2}), \pi, (S^2)^{(m^2-m)/2}) \cong$ $(P, q, (S^2)^{(m^2-m)/2})$ for the reason similar to (7) and therfore we have

(8)
$$
(S(L^{(m^2-m)/2}), \pi, (S^2)^{(m^2-m)/2}) \cong \phi^*(G, p, G/S)
$$

where the right hand side denotes the induced bundle of $(G, p, G/S)$ by ϕ .

Lemma 1. $\phi: (S^2)^{(m^2-m)/2} \to G/S$ is injective.

Proof. By assumption $\phi(x) = \phi_0(x_0) \cdots \phi_{m-2}(x_{m-2})$ and $\phi_j(x) = p(R_j(r_{i,j}, w_{i,j}))$. Here $R_j(r_{i;j}, w_{i;j})$ can be written in the form $R_j(r_{i;j}, w_{i;j}) = \text{diag}(I_j, M_j)$ where the first column of M_j consists of $\underline{a}_{0;j}, \underline{a}_{1;j}, \ldots, \underline{a}_{m-j-1;j}$ given in (3).

Suppose $\phi(x) = \phi(x')$, that is, $\phi_0(x_0) \cdots \phi_{m-2}(x_{m-2}) = \phi_0(x'_0) \cdots \phi_{m-2}(x'_{m-2})$. Then due to the definition this can be interpreted as meaning that

$$
R_0(r_{i;0}, w_{i;0j}) \cdots R_{m-2}(r_{i;m-2}, w_{i;m-2}) = R_0(r'_{i;0}, w'_{i;0j}) \cdots R_{m-2}(r'_{i;m-2}, w'_{i;m-2})
$$

where we denote by attaching \prime to an element accompanied by x its corresponding element accompanied by x'. In view of the form of $R_j(r_{i,j}, w_{i,j})$ we therefore have

$$
\underline{a}_{0;0} = \underline{a}'_{0;0}, \ldots, \underline{a}_{m-1;0} = \underline{a}'_{m-1;0}.
$$

Substituting the result of (3) into these equations we have $r_{1;0} \cdots r_{m-1;0} = r'_{1;0} \cdots r'_{m-1;0}$, $r_{s+1;0} \cdots r_{m-1;0} \bar{w}_{s;0} = r'_{s+1;0} \cdots r'_{m-1;0} \bar{w}'_{s;0}$ $(1 \le s \le m-1)$ and $\bar{w}_{m-1;0} = \bar{w}'_{m-1;0}$ where $r_{s,0}^2 + |w_{s,0}|^2 = 1$ and $r_{s,0} \ge 0$. For convenience we assume that $r_{i,0} > 0$ and moreover proceed by induction on i in reverse order. First from $\underline{a}_{m-1,0} = \underline{a}'_{m-1,0}$ we have $w_{m-1,0} =$ $w'_{m-1;0}$ and so it follows that $r_{m-1;0} = r'_{m-1;0}$. Next from the second equation $\underline{a}_{m-2;0} =$ $\underline{a}'_{m-2;0}$ we see that $r_{m-1;0}w_{m-2;0} = r'_{m-1;0}w'_{m-2;0}$. But since $r_{m-1;0} = r'_{m-1;0} > 0$ we have $w_{m-2,0} = w'_{m-2,0}$ and so similarly it follows that $r_{m-2,0} = r'_{m-2,0}$. Repeating this procedure we obtain subsequently $w_{m-3,0} = w'_{m-3,0}$, $r_{m-3,0} = r'_{m-3,0}$, $w_{m-4,0} = w'_{m-4,0}$, $r_{m-4,0} = r'_{m-4,0}, \dots$, $r_{2,0} = r'_{2,0}$ and finally we obtain $w_{1,0} = w'_{1,0}$, $r_{1,0} = r'_{1,0}$. At this point we conclude that $x_0 = x'_0$ and so the assumption above can be rewritten as

$$
\phi_1(x_1)\cdots \phi_{m-2}(x_{m-2}) = \phi_1(x'_1)\cdots \phi_{m-2}(x'_{m-2}).
$$

From this by looking at the form of $R_j(r_{i,j}, w_{i,j})$ again we have $\underline{a}_{0,1} = \underline{a}'_{0,1}, \ldots, \underline{a}_{m-2,1} =$ $\underline{a}'_{m-2,1}$. Replacing the previous equations by these ones and the condition $r_{i,0} > 0$ by $r_{i,1} > 0$ in the argument there we can get $x_1 = x_1'$, hence the rewritten assumption above is also rewritten as

$$
\phi_2(x_2)\cdots \phi_{m-2}(x_{m-2}) = \phi_2(x'_2)\cdots \phi_{m-2}(x'_{m-2}).
$$

Repeating this step we obtain $x_2 = x'_2, \ldots, x_{m-2} = x'_{m-2}$ via inductive reduction, which implies $x = x'$. This proves the lemma.

In the above, if $r_{m_j;j} = 0$, then we think of it as not being zero by exchanging the m_j th and other proper rows and columns depending on the case. In the case that there are no such row and column, this approach leads us to obtain directly $r_{i;j} = r'_{i;j} = 0$ $(1 \leq i \leq m_j)$ and so $x_j = x'_j$. The contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of \Box

3. Proofs of Theorem and Proposition

For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1 \leq k \leq m/2 - 1$ we put

$$
D(z_{2k-1}, z z_{2k}) = \text{diag}(1, \frac{(2k-1)}{1}, 1, z_{2k-1}, \overline{z}_{2k-1} z z_{2k}, \overline{z} \overline{z}_{2k}, 1, \dots, 1)
$$

for $z_{2k-1}, z_{2k}, z \in S^1$. Let Q_k be the subspace of G consisting of $D(z_{2k-1}, z_{2k})d(\bar{z})$. Then it forms the total space of a principal S-bundle over $T_k = S^1 \times S^1$ along with the projective map $p_k: Q_k \to T_k$ given by $D(z_{2k-1}, z_{2k})d(\bar{z}) \to (z_{2k-1}, z_{2k})$ where T_k is considered as an subspace of G/S under $\psi_k : (z_{2k-1}, z_{2k}) \to p(D(z_{2k-1}, z_{2k}))$.

Let $\mu : T_k \to S^2$ be the map defined by $\mu(e^{\eta i}, ze^{\theta i}) = (-\cos \mu, ze^{\theta i} \sin \mu)$ where $z_{2k-1} = e^{\eta i}$ $(0 \le \eta \le \pi)$ and $z_{2k} = e^{\theta i}$ $(0 \le \theta < 2\pi)$. Taking into account the fact that a principal circle bundle over S^1 is trivial we see that the classifying map of p_k factors through S^2 where the restriction of p_k to $\{1\} \times S^1 \subset T_k$ is viewed as being trivial. Then we have

Lemma 2. $(Q_k, p_k, T_k) \cong \mu^*(SU(2), p, S^2)$ $(1 \le k \le m/2 - 1).$

Proof. In order to prove this it suffices to show that there is a bundle map covering μ . From the fact that μ can be rewitten as $\mu(e^{\eta i}, ze^{\theta i}) = (\cos(\eta/2), ze^{\theta i} \sin(\eta/2))_R$ we see

that the map $\tilde{\mu}: Q_k \to SU(2)$ given by $D(e^{\eta i}, z e^{\theta i}) d(\bar{z}) \to (\bar{z} \cos(\eta/2), e^{\theta i} \sin(\eta/2))$ in fact provides the required bundle map.

Let $m = 2n$ or $2n+1$ and put $T^{n-1} = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_{n-1}$. Let $\phi_j : x_j \to p(R_j(r_{i,j}, w_{i,j}))$ and $\psi_k: y_k \to p(D(z_{2k-1}, \zeta_{2k}))$ be the injective maps of $(S_j^2)^{m_j}$ and T_k into G/S , respectively, described above where $x_j = (x_{1,j}, \dots, x_{m_j;j})$ with $x_{i,j} = (r_{i,j}, w_{i,j})_R \in S^2_{i,j}$ and $y_k =$ $(z_{2k-1}, \zeta_{2k}) \in T_k$. Then by putting for $x = (x_0, \dots, x_{m-2})$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$

$$
\psi(x,y) = \phi_0(x_0) \cdots \phi_{m-2}(x_{m-2}) \psi_1(y_1) \cdots \psi_{n-1}(y_{n-1})
$$

we have a map of $(S^2)^{(m^2-m)/2} \times T^{n-1}$ into G/S . Let us put

$$
\tilde{R}(r_{i;j}z_i, v_{i;j}; z_{2k-1}, z'_k z_{2k}) = \prod_{j=0}^{m-2} R_j(r_{i;j}z_i, v_{i;j}) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} D(z_{2k-1}, z'_k z_{2k}) d(\bar{z}'_k).
$$

Then as in the case of P

$$
\tilde{P} = \{ \tilde{R}(r_{i,j}z_i, v_{i,j}; z_{2k-1}, z'_k z_{2k}) | (r_{i,j}, w_{i,j})_R \in S^2_{i,j}, z'_k, z_{2k-1}, z_{2k} \in S^1 \} \subset G
$$

forms the total space of a principal S-bundle endowed with the projection map \tilde{q} : $\tilde{P} \rightarrow$ $(S^2)^{(m^2-m)/2} \times T^{n-1}$ such that $\psi \circ \tilde{q} = p|P$. Let \tilde{L}_k be the complex line bundle over T_k associated to p_k . Write $\tilde{L}^{n-1} = \tilde{L}_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \tilde{L}_{n-1}$ and put $E = L^{(m^2-m)/2} \boxtimes \tilde{L}^{n-1}$. Then we have $(S(E), \pi, (S^2)^{(m^2-m)/2} \times T^{n-1}) \cong (\tilde{P}, q, (S^2)^{(m^2-m)/2} \times T^{n-1})$ and therefore

(9)
$$
(S(E), \pi, (S^2)^{(m^2 - m)/2} \times T^{n-1}) \cong \psi^*(G, p, G/S).
$$

Lemma 3. $\psi : (S^2)^{(m^2-m)/2} \times T^{n-1} \to G/S$ is injective.

Proof. In terms of the notation of the proof of Lemma 1 we prove that if we suppose that $\psi(x,y) = \psi(x',y')$, then it follows that $(r_{i,j}, w_{i,j})_R = (r'_{i,j}, w'_{i,j})_R$ $(0 \le j \le m-2)$ and $(z_{2k-1}, \zeta_{2k}) = (z'_{2k-1}, \zeta'_{2k})$ $(1 \leq k \leq n-1)$, the latter of which is the part added to the proof of injectivity of ϕ . The proof can be proceeded along the same lines as in the proof of the injectivity of ϕ above. But in fact there are the following changes in the use of the elements $\bar{w}_{m_j;j}$ each of which is a starting point of the proof for $\phi_j: \bar{w}_{m-1;0} \to \bar{w}_{m-1;0}$ (i.e. no change), $\bar{w}_{m-2:1} \to z_1 \bar{w}_{m-2:1}$, $\bar{w}_{m-3:2} \to (\bar{z}_1 \zeta_2) \bar{w}_{m-3:2}$ and in general $\bar{w}_{m-2k-2:2k+1} \to z_1 \bar{w}_{m-2:1}$ $(z_{2k+1} \zeta_{2k}) \bar{w}_{m-2k-2;2k+1}, \bar{w}_{m-2k-3;2k+2} \rightarrow (\bar{z}_{2k+1} \zeta_{2k+2}) \bar{w}_{m-2k-3;2k+2}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n-2$. Similarly substituting these elements into the equations $\underline{a}_{0;j} = \underline{a}'_{0;j}, \underline{a}_{1;j} = \underline{a}'_{1;j}, \ldots,$ $\underline{a}_{m-j-1;j} = \underline{a}'_{m-j-1;j}$ we can obtain $(x,y) = (x',y').$

First from $\bar{w}_{m-1;0} = \bar{w}'_{m-1;0}$ we have $x_0 = x'_0$, which shows that $z_1\bar{w}_{m-2;1} = z'_1\bar{w}'_{m-2;1}$, so we have $z_1r_{m-2:1} = z'_1r'_{m-2:1}$. If $r_{m-2:1} > 0$, then from the second equation it follows that $r_{m-2,1} = r'_{m-2,1}$ and so $z_1 = z'_1$. If $r_{m-2,1} = 0$, then since $x_0 = x'_0 = (0,1)_R$ are satisfied we get $z_1 = z'_1$. However in this case in order to move the next step we need to use the approrch of exchanging rows and columns. In any case it can be concluded that $x_1 = x'_1$, $z_1 = z'_1$, Next from $(\bar{z}_1 \zeta_2) \bar{w}_{m-3:2} = (\bar{z}'_1 \zeta'_2) \bar{w}'_{m-3:2}$ we have $\zeta_2 \bar{w}_{m-3:2} = \zeta'_2 \bar{w}'_{m-3:2}$ and so it follows that $x_2 = x'_2$, $\zeta_2 = \zeta'_2$. Therefore by $(z_3\bar{\zeta}_2)\bar{w}_{m-4;3} = (z'_3\bar{\zeta}'_2)\bar{w}'_{m-4;3}$ we have $z_3\bar{w}_{m-4;3} = z'_3\bar{w}'_{m-4;3}$ and so in a similar way to the previous case we have $x_3 = x'_3$, $z_3 = z'_3$. Subsequently also by $(\bar{z}_3\zeta_4)\bar{w}_{m-5,4} = (\bar{z}_3'\zeta'_4)\bar{w}'_{m-5,4}$ we have $\zeta_4\bar{w}_{m-5,4} = \zeta'_4\bar{w}'_{m-5,4}$ and so it follows that $x_4 = x'_4$, $\zeta_4 = \zeta'_4$. At this point we can conclude that $x_1 = x'_1$, $x_2 = x_2'$, $x_3 = x_3'$, $x_4 = x_4'$ and $y_1 = y_1'$, $y_2 = y_2'$. These tell us that through repetition of these procedures we can arrive at the desired result. \Box

Proof of Theorem. First we note that $\dim((S^2)^{(m^2-m)/2} \times T^{n-1}) = \dim G/S$. In general $SU(m)$ is embedded in $\mathbb{C}^{m(m-1)}$ as the subspace of $(m-1)$ -tuples (x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) with

 $x_i \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $|x_i|=1$ and $x_i \perp x_j$ if $i \neq j$ (cf. [\[1\]](#page-6-4) and [\[6\]](#page-6-5)). In view of this, if we think of ψ as a map between manifolds of the same dimension $m^2 - 2$, then by taking into account its differentiability we can see from Lemma 3 that ψ is a homeomorphism and so by construction it can also be taken to be a diffeomorphism.

Identify G/S with $(S^2)^{(m^2-m)/2} \times T^{n-1}$ via ψ and write E for $L^{(m^2-m)/2} \boxtimes \tilde{L}^{n-1}$ in (9). Then combining the equations of (7) and Lemma 2 we have from Proposition 2.1 of [\[5\]](#page-6-2)

$$
e_{\mathbb{C}}([S(E), \Phi_E]) = (-1)^{n-1} B_{n^2} / 2n^2
$$

where Φ_E denotes the trivialization of the stable tangent space of $S(E)$ derived by the induced framing \mathscr{L}_S on G/S .

Now $D(z_{2k-1}, z_{2k}) \in Q_k$ equals $D(z_{2k-1}, z_{2k}) = R_{1:2k-1}(z_{2k-1}, 0)R_{1:2k}(z_{2k}, 0)$ where $R_{1;2k-1}(z_{2k-1},0)$ and $R_{1;2k}(zz_{2k},0)$, respectively, constitute the product components of $P \subset G$ which belong to $q_{0,2}^{-1}$ $a_{0,2k-1}^{-1}((1,0)_R)$ and $q_{0,2}^{-1}$ $_{0,2k}^{-1}((1,0)_R)$. Putting $\mathscr L$ on Φ_E , from this we see that the restrictions of $\mathscr L$ to Q_k and P overlap and therefore the restriction of $\mathscr L$ to $T_k \subset G$ must be stably trivial. This tells us that if we let ρ denote the realification of the standard complex representation $G \hookrightarrow GL(m, \mathbb{C})$, then Φ_E must be stably equivalent to $\mathscr{L}^{(n-1)\rho}$. Substituting this into the equation above we have the desired result. \Box

Proof of Proposition. Let $m = 2n + 1$. Let C be as above. Then clearly $S \cap C = \{I_{2n+1}\},\$ so $S \times C$ becomes a subgroup of G. Letting $r : G \to G/C$ and $\tilde{r} : G/C \to G/(S \times C)$ be the quotient maps, the pair (r, \tilde{r}) gives a bundle map between principal S-bundles $G \to G/S$ and $G/C \to G/(S \times C)$. Consider the composition $r \circ \iota : \tilde{P} \hookrightarrow G \to G/C$ and $\tilde{r} \circ \psi : (S^2)^{(m^2-m)/2} \times T^{n-1} \to G/S \to G/(S \times C)$ where ι denotes the inclusion of \tilde{P} into G . Then clearly $(\tilde{r} \circ \psi) \circ \tilde{q} = \tilde{r} \circ (r \circ \iota)$ holds and $\dim((S^2)^{(m^2-m)/2} \times T^{n-1}) = \dim G/(S \times C)$. Following the proof of Lemma 3 we can see that $\tilde{r} \circ \psi$ is injective and so it becomes a homeomorphism. Therefore by replacing ψ by $\tilde{r} \circ \psi$ in the proof of the theorem above we can get a modified form in the case of $m = 2n + 1$. This proves the proposition. \Box

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Astey, M. A. Guest and G. Pastor, Lie groups as framed boundaries, Osaka J. Math. 25 (1988), 891–907.
- [2] J. F. Adams, On the groups $J(X)$ – IV , Topology 5 (1966), 21–71.
- [3] M. F. Atiyah and L. Smith, Compact Lie groups and the stable homotopy of spheres, Topology 13 (1974), 135–142.
- [4] K. Knapp, Rank and Adams filtration of a Lie group, Topology 17 (1978), 41–52.
- [5] P. Löffler and L. Smith, *Line bundles over framed manifolds*, Math. Z. 138 (1974), 35–52.
- [6] B. Steer, Orbits and the homotopy class of a compactification of a classical map, Topology 15 (1976), 383–393.

H. Minami: Professor Emeritus, Nara University of Education Email address: hminami@camel.plala.or.jp