

\mathbb{Z}_2 -homology of the orbit spaces $G_{n,2}/T^n$

Vladimir Ivanović and Svjetlana Terzić ¹

To Victor Matveevich Buchstaber with sincere gratitude and appreciation on the occasion of his 80th birthday.

Abstract

We study the \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology groups of the orbit space $X_n = G_{n,2}/T^n$ for the canonical action of the compact torus T^n on a complex Grassmann manifold $G_{n,2}$. Our starting point is the model (U_n, p_n) for X_n constructed in [2], where $U_n = \Delta_{n,2} \times \mathcal{F}_n$ for a hypersimplex $\Delta_{n,2}$ and an universal space of parameters \mathcal{F}_n defined in [3], [2]. It is proved in [7] that \mathcal{F}_n is diffeomorphic to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ of stable n -pointed genus zero curves. We exploit the results from [16], [8] on homology groups of $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ and express them in terms of the stratification of \mathcal{F}_n which are incorporated in the model (U_n, p_n) .

In the result we provide the description of cycles in X_n , inductively on n . We obtain as well explicit formulas for \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology groups for X_5 and X_6 . The results for X_5 recover by different method the results from [7] and [19]. The results for X_6 we consider to be new.

Keywords: Torus action, Grassmann manifold, spaces of parameters¹

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Grassmann manifolds $G_{n,2}$	4
2.1	The universal space of parameters and virtual spaces of parameters for $G_{n,2}$	5
2.2	The model for $X_n = G_{n,2}/T^n$	6
3	The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ and the universal space of parameters \mathcal{F}_n	8
4	On \mathbb{Z}_2-homology of $G_{n,2}/T^n$	11
4.1	\mathbb{Z}_2 - cycles over $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$	13
4.2	\mathbb{Z}_2 -chains over $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ with boundary on $\partial\Delta_{n,2}$	17
5	\mathbb{Z}_2-homology groups of X_5	23
5.1	$H_6(X_5; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $H_5(X_5; \mathbb{Z}_2)$	24
6	\mathbb{Z}_2-homology groups of X_6	27
6.1	Homology groups $H_k(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ for $4 \leq k \leq 9$	34

¹2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 57S25, 57N65, 53D20, 14M25, 52B11, 14B05

1 Introduction

The study of the orbit space $X_n = G_{n,2}/T^n$ of a complex Grassmann manifold $G_{n,2}$, which represents the two-dimensional complex subspaces in \mathbb{C}^n under the canonical action of the compact torus T^n , has garnered considerable mathematical interest from various perspectives including algebraic topology, algebraic geometry, group actions theory, matroid theory and combinatorics.

The investigation of orbit spaces X_n together with the canonical moment map $\hat{\mu} : X_n \rightarrow \Delta_{n,2}$, where $\Delta_{n,2}$ denotes a hypersimplex, has been motivated by the challenge of extending toric topology methods to torus actions of positive complexity, as discussed by Goresky-MacPherson [12], Gelfand-Serganova [11], Buchstaber-Terzić [3], Ayzenberg-Masuda [1].

In recent works, such as those by Süess [19] and Buchstaber-Terzić [6], the integral homology groups of X_5 are described using advanced algebraic topology theories and geometric invariant theory, respectively.

We study the homology with \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients of the orbit space X_n . Our starting point is the model (U_n, p_n) for $G_{n,2}/T^n$ constructed in [2], where $U_n = \Delta_{n,2} \times \mathcal{F}_n$ for the smooth manifold \mathcal{F}_n , called the universal space of parameters, and $p_n : U_n \rightarrow X_n$ a continuous projection. The space X_n is the quotient space of U_n by the map p_n .

The basic input in the construction of this model is the result from [2], which states that X_n can be represented as the disjoint union of spaces $\{C_\omega \times F_\omega\}$. Here, C_ω are the chambers in the hypersimplex $\Delta_{n,2}$ which correspond to its decomposition given by all possible intersections of matroids, that is admissible polytopes. The spaces F_ω are the orbit spaces of $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(C_\omega)$ by the canonical action of the algebraic torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, where $\hat{\mu} : G_{n,2}/T^n \rightarrow \Delta_{n,2}$ is the map induced by the standard moment map $\mu : G_{n,2} \rightarrow \Delta_{n,2}$. The corresponding cell decomposition of X_n is given by the cell decomposition of each F_ω . The characteristic maps are given by the characteristic maps for the cell decomposition of $\Delta_{n,2}$ into C_ω 's, by the characteristic maps of the cell decomposition of F_ω 's and by the maps which define the closure of a strata in $G_{n,2}$. Namely, in [11], it is shown that the closure of a stratum W_σ is the union of strata $W_{\sigma'}$ for some $\sigma' \subset \sigma$. On the other hand, it follows from [6] that $\mu(W_\sigma) = \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$ which is the relative interior of polytope of $P_\sigma \subset \Delta_{n,2}$, called an admissible polytope. In particular, if $P_{\bar{\sigma}}$ is a facet of admissible polytope P_σ , then the stratum $W_{\bar{\sigma}}$ such that $\mu(W_{\bar{\sigma}}) = \overset{\circ}{P}_{\bar{\sigma}}$, belongs to the boundary of W_σ . In addition, it is proved in [3] that there exists continuous surjection $\eta_{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}} : F_\sigma \rightarrow F_{\bar{\sigma}}$. We prove that this map induce the continuous surjection $\eta_{\omega, \bar{\omega}} : F_\omega \rightarrow F_{\bar{\omega}}$.

The universal space of parameters \mathcal{F}_n is defined in [3] for general T^k -action on a smooth manifold M^{2n} and studied in detail for T^n -action on $G_{n,2}$ in [6], [2], [7]. In particular, it is proved in [7] that \mathcal{F}_n is a smooth manifold diffeomorphic to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ of stable genus zero curves with n marked ordered distinct points. Moreover, it is proved in [2] that for any ω there exists continuous surjection $p_\omega : \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow F_\omega$. This map induces the relations from homology groups generators of \mathcal{F}_n to those for homology groups of F_ω . The homology groups generators for \mathcal{F}_n , as well as their relations, are determined by Keel in [16] and his results are further generalized in [18] and [8].

The complexity of the study of the orbit spaces M^{2n}/T^k and their homology structure shows up to follow the complexity of torus action. The homology of quasitoric manifolds M^{2n}/T^n , which belong to the class of manifolds with complexity zero torus action, is determined by the combinatorics of the moment polytope P^k . It is proved in [4] that the orbit space X_4 is homeomorphic to S^5 . Later on, more general result is proved in [15] stating that the orbit space of a Hamiltonian complexity one torus action in general position is homeomorphic to a sphere. This is improved in [1]

by showing that a manifold M^{2n} with complexity one torus action in general position and finite nonempty set of fixed points, is a homology $(n + 1)$ -sphere if its odd homology are trivial. In [1] this result is developed further by introducing the notion of j -generality of weights for T^k -action on M^{2n} . Precisely, it is proved that if X is equivariantly formal and the action is with isolated fixed points, then j -generality of weights implies $(j + 1)$ -acyclicity of the orbit space M^{2n}/T^k .

The integral homology of orbit space X_5 , which is an example of complexity 2 torus action, are computed in [7] and [19].

We recover the computation of homology groups with \mathbb{Z}_2 coefficients for X_5 by the method different from those used in [7] and [19]. In addition, we compute the homology groups with \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients for X_6 which are, to our knowledge, not previously known. The space X_6 is an example of complexity 3 torus action.

We believe that the general results obtained in Section 4 describing inductively the structure of cycles in X_n , may lead to successful application of the presented method for the explicit computation of homology groups for X_n with \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients for higher n as well.

The context of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we provide a summary of the relevant combinatorial structure and algebraic topology of the orbit space X_n , following the descriptions from [6] and [2]. We particularly focus on the quotient space nature of X_n , obtained from a manifold with generalized corners $\Delta_{n,2} \times \mathcal{F}_n$ via an explicitly described equivalence relation involving the chamber decomposition of $\Delta_{n,2}$ and corresponding stratifications of the manifold \mathcal{F}_n .

In Section 4, we study in detail the homology groups $H_k(X_n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ for arbitrary k , by making use of the model $(\Delta_{n,2} \times \mathcal{F}_n, p_n)$ for X_n . The structural insight that X_n decomposes into specific subspaces $\{C_\omega \times F_\omega\}$ facilitates an inductive study of \mathbb{Z}_2 -cycles within X_n . Using diffeomorphism established in [7] between \mathcal{F}_n and $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ and the results from [16], [8] on homology of $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$, we deduce that the homology groups for \mathcal{F}_n are spanned by the divisors outgrowing in the compactification of F_n to \mathcal{F}_n . Moreover, for any F_ω being compactification of F_n , we show that the homology groups of F_ω are spanned as well by the divisors outgrowing in the compactification of F_n to F_ω . This is in the line with the results from [5] which relate F_ω with moduli spaces of weighted stables genus zero curves and the results from [8] on homology groups of such moduli spaces.

Section 3 builds upon the diffeomorphism between the universal space \mathcal{F}_n and the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ established in [7].

We exploit the knowledge of the homology groups of $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ generated by divisors D_I as outlined in [16], and explicitly relate it for $n = 5, 6$ to the stratifications of \mathcal{F}_n into virtual space of parameters which correspond to the chambers C_ω of $\Delta_{n,2}$, which is established in [2].

We obtain the following results:

- \mathbb{Z}_2 - homology groups of X_5 are:

$$H_k(X_5; \mathbb{Z}_2) \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2, & \text{if } k = 0, 5, 6, 8 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- \mathbb{Z}_2 - homology groups of X_6 are:

$$H_k(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2) \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2, & \text{if } k = 0, 5, 8, 9, 11 \\ \mathbb{Z}_2^{11}, & \text{if } k = 7 \\ \mathbb{Z}_2^3, & \text{if } k = 6 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2 Grassmann manifolds $G_{n,2}$

For the convenience of a reader we recall the relevant description of the combinatorial structure and algebraic topology of the orbit space $G_{n,2}/T^n$ from [6] and [2] without proofs, thus making our exposition self-contained.

We denote by $G_{n,2}$ the complex Grassmann manifold of the two-dimensional complex subspaces in \mathbb{C}^n . The coordinate-wise action of the compact torus T^n on \mathbb{C}^n induces the canonical action of T^n on $G_{n,2}$. The standard Plücker charts on $G_{n,2}$ are defined by $M_I = \{L \in G_{n,2} : P^I(L) \neq 0\}$, where $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $|I| = 2$ and P^I are the Plücker coordinates. Let $Y_{ij} = G_{n,2} \setminus M_{ij}$, a *stratum* is defined by non-empty set

$$W_\sigma = \left(\bigcap_{\{i,j\} \in \sigma} M_{ij} \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{\{i,j\} \notin \sigma} Y_{ij} \right),$$

where $\sigma \subset \binom{[n]}{2}$. For simplicity the elements of σ we further denote just by ij assuming that $i < j$. The stratum $W := \bigcap_{\text{all}} M_{ij}$ is said to be *the main stratum*.

Let $\mu_n : G_{n,2} \rightarrow \Delta_{n,2}$ be the standard moment map, that is

$$\mu_n(L) = \frac{1}{\sum |P^I(L)|} \sum |P^I(L)|^2 \Lambda_I,$$

where $\Lambda_I \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Lambda_i = 1$ for $i \in I$, while $\Lambda_i = 0$ for $i \notin I$. The image of $G_{n,2}$ by the moment map μ_n is the hypersimplex $\Delta_{n,2}$, which is $(n-1)$ -dimensional polytope that belongs to the hyperplane $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 2$ and is defined as the convex hull of the points Λ_{ij} , $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

The action of T^n on $G_{n,2}$ is not effective, but the quotient torus $T^{n-1} = T^n/S^1$ by the diagonal circle S^1 , acts effectively on $G_{n,2}$. Note that the dimension of $\Delta_{n,2}$ is equal to the dimension of the effectively acting torus. It follows that $\dim X_n = \dim G_{n,2} - (n-1) = 4(n-2) - (n-1) = 3n-7$.

It holds that $\mu_n(W_\sigma) = \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$, where P_σ is convex hull of the vertices Λ_{ij} such that $ij \in \sigma$. A polytope P_σ is called an *admissible polytope* for $G_{n,2}$.

The strata W_σ are invariant under the algebraic torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ -action and $G_{n,2} = \bigcup W_\sigma$. Therefore, they induce the stratification of the orbit space $X_n = G_{n,2}/T^n$ by

$$X_n = \bigcup_{\sigma} W_\sigma / T^n. \quad (1)$$

The orbit space $F_\sigma = W_\sigma \setminus (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is called *the spaces of parameters* of a stratum W_σ .

Since the boundary $\partial\Delta_{n,2}$ consists of n copies of the hypersimplex $\Delta_{n-1,2}$ and n copies of the simplex $\Delta_{n-1,1}$, see also [21], we have

$$\mu^{-1}(\partial\Delta_{n,2}) = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mu^{-1}(\Delta_{n-1,2}(i)) \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mu^{-1}(\Delta_{n-1,1}(i)) = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} G_{n-1,2}(i) \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{C}P^{n-2}(i),$$

where $\Delta_{n-1,2}(i) = \Delta_{n,2} \cap \{x_i = 0\}$ and $\Delta_{n-1,1}(i) = \Delta_{n,2} \cap \{x_i = 1\}$. Thus, the admissible polytopes for $G_{n,2}$ can be described inductively. The results on admissible polytope from [2] can be summarized as follows:

Theorem 2.1. • Any admissible polytope for $G_{n,2}$ of dimension less or equal than $n-3$ belongs to the boundary $\partial\Delta_{n,2}$.

- The admissible polytopes P_σ for $G_{n,2}$ of dimension $n - 2$ which have non-empty intersection with $\Delta_{n,2}$ are given by the intersection of $\Delta_{n,2}$ with the planes of the form:

$$\sum_{i \in S, ||S||=p} x_i = 1,$$

where $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $2 \leq p \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

- The admissible polytopes P_σ for $G_{n,2}$ of the maximal dimension are $\Delta_{n,2}$ and the intersections with $\Delta_{n,2}$ of all collections of the half-spaces of the form

$$H_S : \sum_{i \in S} x_i \leq 1, \quad (2)$$

for $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $||S|| = k$, $k \in \{2, \dots, n - 2\}$ such that if H_{S_1}, H_{S_2} belong to a collection then $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$.

The space of parameters of the main stratum W is denoted by F_n or F . If we want to emphasize a chart M_{ij} in which the record of a space of parameters F_σ or a stratum W_σ is written, we denote it by $F_{\sigma,ij}$ or $W_{\sigma,ij}$.

2.1 The universal space of parameters and virtual spaces of parameters for $G_{n,2}$

The main stratum W , in the chart M_{12} , is given by the equations

$$c'_{ij}a_i b_j = c_{ij}a_j b_i, \quad 3 \leq i < j \leq n, \quad (3)$$

where $c_{ij}, c'_{ij} \neq 0$, $c_{ij} \neq c'_{ij}$, $(c_{ij} : c'_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}P^1$. It follows that the parameters c_{ij} satisfy the equations

$$c'_{ij}c_{ik}c'_{jk} = c_{ij}c'_{ik}c_{jk}. \quad (4)$$

The space of parameters F_n is an open algebraic manifold in $(\mathbb{C}P^1)^N$, for $N = \binom{n-2}{2}$, given by the intersection of cubics (4) and the condition $(c'_{ij} : c_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}P^1_A$, where $\mathbb{C}P^1_A = \mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus A$ and $A = \{(1 : 0), (0 : 1), (1 : 1)\}$. The dimension of F_n is $2(n - 3)$.

Remark 1. It follows from [5] that F_n is homeomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}P^1_A)^{n-3}$. The cell decomposition of $\mathbb{C}P^1_A$ is given 2-dimensional and 1-dimensional cells, which produces the cell decomposition of F_n . This implies that F_n has a cell decomposition without cells in dimensions $\leq n - 4$ which further gives that

$$H_k(F_n) = 0, \text{ for } 0 < k \leq n - 4. \quad (5)$$

It is not difficult to verify, see [7], that any F_σ is either a point or it is homeomorphic to F_m , $4 \leq m \leq n - 1$, which gives the cell decomposition for F_σ and an analogue result to (5) for its homology groups.

Proposition 2.2. ([6]) *A stratum W_σ is defined in the chart M_{12} by $P^{1i} = 0, P^{2j} = 0$ or $P^{pq} = 0$, for some $3 \leq i, j, p, q \leq n$ or in the local coordinates by $a_i = b_j = 0$ and $a_p b_q = a_q b_p$. The space of parameters $F_\sigma = W_\sigma / (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ that is not a point is given by restriction of the equations (4) to some factors $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus B$ in $(\mathbb{C}P^1)^N$ where $B = \{(1 : 0), (0 : 1)\}$.*

The closure of F_n in $(\mathbb{C}P^1)^N$ is denoted by \bar{F}_n . It is given by intersection of cubics (4) in $(\mathbb{C}P^1)^N$. The space \bar{F}_n is proved in [6] to be a smooth manifold. The points from the boundary of F_n in $(\mathbb{C}P^1)^N$ are obtained if in (4) is allowed that some $c_{lm} = 0, c'_{lm} = 0$ or $c_{lm} = c'_{lm}$ for $3 \leq l < m \leq n$.

The universal space of parameters \mathcal{F}_n is a compactification of the space of parameters F_n of the main stratum, see [2] and the references given there.

It is defined as a wonderful compactification of the space \bar{F}_n . This compactification requires that the homeomorphisms of F_n defined by transition functions between the charts for $G_{n,2}$ extend to the homeomorphisms for \mathcal{F}_n in an arbitrary chart.

Theorem 2.3. ([7] and [2]) *Let the manifold \mathcal{F}_n is obtained by the wonderful compactification of \bar{F}_n with the generating set of subvarieties $\bar{F}_I \subset \bar{F}_n$, defined by $(c_{ik} : c'_{ik}) = (c_{il} : c'_{il}) = (c_{kl} : c'_{kl}) = (1 : 1)$ for $ikl \in I$, where $I \subset \{ikl \mid 3 \leq i < k < l \leq n\}$. Then any homeomorphism $f_{ij,kl} : F_n \rightarrow F_n$ induced by the transition functions between the charts M_{ij} and M_{kl} extends to the homeomorphism of \mathcal{F}_n .*

The main stratum W is a dense set in $G_{n,2}$ and according to [2] and [3] to any stratum can be assigned the *virtual space of parameters* $\tilde{F}_\sigma \subset \mathcal{F}_n$, in a fixed chart M_{12} , on the following way:

1. If $W_\sigma \subset M_{12}$, the virtual space \tilde{F}_σ is assigned using the description (4) of the main stratum
2. If $W_\sigma \cap M_{12} = \emptyset$, we first find a chart M_{ij} such that $W_\sigma \subset M_{ij}$ and then we assign to W_σ the space $\tilde{F}_{\sigma,ij}$ using description (4). Finally, using homeomorphism $f_{ij,12}$ for \mathcal{F}_n defined in Theorem 2.3 we assign to W_σ the subspace $\tilde{F}_{\sigma,12}$.

Theorem 2.4. *For a chart M_{ij} it holds:*

1. $\bigcup_\sigma \tilde{F}_{\sigma,ij} = \mathcal{F}_n$
2. *There exists a canonical projection $p_{\sigma,ij} : \tilde{F}_{\sigma,ij} \rightarrow F_\sigma$ for any admissible set σ .*

2.2 The model for $X_n = G_{n,2}/T^n$

Let the hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{G}_n in \mathbb{R}^n be defined by

$$\Pi \cup \{x_i = 0, 1 \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}, \quad (6)$$

where Π is the set of hyperplanes given by

$$\sum_{i \in S, |S|=p} x_i = 1,$$

for $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $2 \leq p \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Let $L(\mathcal{G}_n)$ be its intersection poset. In what follows we consider the hyperplane arrangement induced by this arrangement in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_1 + \dots + x_n = 2\}$.

If restrict the poset $L(\mathcal{G}_n)$ to $\Delta_{n,2}$ we get the poset $L(\mathcal{G}(n,2)) = L(\mathcal{G}_n) \cap \Delta_{n,2}$. From now on, we call an element C of the poset $L(\mathcal{G}(n,2))$ a *chamber* and the decomposition $L(\mathcal{G}(n,2))$ of $\Delta_{n,2}$ the *chamber decomposition*.

In [2] it is proved:

Lemma 2.5. *Any chamber $C \in L(\mathcal{G}(n, 2))$ can be obtained as the intersection of the relative interiors of all admissible polytopes which contain C .*

Thus, a chamber from $L(\mathcal{G}(n, 2))$ is defined by

$$C_\omega := \bigcap_{\sigma \subset \omega} \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma \quad \text{and } C_\omega \cap \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma = \emptyset \text{ if } \sigma \notin \omega.$$

According to [2] the orbit space $X_n = G_{n,2}/T^n$ can be represented by

$$X_n = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_{n-1}(i) \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \Delta_{n-1,1}(i) \cup \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}), \quad (7)$$

where

$$\hat{\mu}^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}) = \bigcup \hat{C}_\omega, \quad \hat{C}_\omega = \hat{\mu}^{-1}(C_\omega) \quad (8)$$

for a chamber $C_\omega \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$. In [6] it is showed that there exists the canonical homeomorphism

$$h_\sigma : W_\sigma/T^\sigma \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma \times F_\sigma \text{ given by } h_\sigma = (\hat{\mu}, p_\sigma),$$

where $\hat{\mu}_\sigma : W_\sigma/T^\sigma \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$ is induced by the moment map $\hat{\mu} : G_{n,2}/T^n \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ and $p_\sigma : W_\sigma/T^\sigma \rightarrow F_\sigma$ is induced by the natural projection $G_{n,2} \rightarrow G_{n,2}/(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. In particular, $W/T^n \simeq \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2} \times F$ for the main stratum W .

Lemma 2.6. *([2]) For any $C_\omega \in L(\mathcal{G}(n, 2))$ such that $\dim C_\omega = n - 1$ there exists canonical homeomorphism*

$$h_\omega : \hat{C}_\omega \rightarrow C_\omega \times F_\omega,$$

where the manifold F_ω is a compactification of F_n given by the spaces F_σ such that $C_\omega \subset P_\sigma$,

$$F_\omega = \bigcup_{C_\omega \subset \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma} F_\sigma.$$

Lemma 2.7. *For any $C_\omega \in L(\mathcal{G}(n, 2))$ such that $\dim C_\omega = n - 2$ there exists canonical homeomorphism*

$$h_\omega : \hat{C}_\omega \rightarrow C_\omega \times F_\omega,$$

where the manifold F_ω is a compactification of the space F_n given by the spaces F_σ such that $C_\omega \subset \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$, where $\dim P_\sigma = n - 1$ and a point F_σ such that $C_\omega \subset \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$, where $\dim P_\sigma = n - 2$.

Similar result is proved in [2] for a chambers C_ω where $\dim C_\omega \leq n - 3$.

Lemma 2.8. *For any $C_\omega \in L(\mathcal{G}(n, 2))$ such that $\dim C_\omega \leq n - 3$ there exists canonical homeomorphism*

$$h_\omega : \hat{C}_\omega \rightarrow C_\omega \times F_\omega,$$

where the manifold F_ω is a compactification of the space F_n given by the spaces F_σ such that $C_\omega \subset \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$, where $\dim P_\sigma = n - 1$ and q points, where $q \geq 2$ is the number of polytopes P_σ such that $C_\omega \subset \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$ and $\dim P_\sigma = n - 2$.

In particular, $\dim F_\omega = \dim F_n$ for any ω and $\dim F_\sigma < \dim F_n$ for any σ such that $F_\sigma \neq F_n$.

Let \mathcal{F}_n be an universal space of parameters for $G_{n,2}$ and fix a chart M_{ij} . By Theorem 2.4 to any stratum W_σ is assigned the virtual space of parameters $\tilde{F}_\sigma = \tilde{F}_{\sigma,ij}$ and the projection $p_{\sigma,ij} : \tilde{F}_{\sigma,ij} \rightarrow F_\sigma$.

Theorem 2.9. ([2]) *For any chamber C_ω and a chart M_{ij} it holds that*

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \bigcup_{C_\omega \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma} \tilde{F}_\sigma$$

and this union is disjoint. Moreover, it is defined the projection $p_{\omega,ij} : \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow F_\omega$ by $p_{\omega,ij}(y) = p_{\sigma,ij}(y)$ where $y \in \tilde{F}_{\sigma,ij}$.

Altogether, this leads to the model for the orbit space X_n . Let us denote

$$U_n = \Delta_{n,2} \times \mathcal{F}_n. \quad (9)$$

Theorem 2.10. ([2]) *For any chart $M_{ij} \subset G_{n,2}$ the map*

$$p_n : U_n \rightarrow G_{n,2}/T^n, \quad p_n(x, y) = h_\omega^{-1}(x, p_{\omega,ij}(y))$$

if and only if $x \in C_\omega$ is correctly defined. In addition, the map p_n is a continuous surjection and the orbit space $G_{n,2}/T^n$ is homeomorphic to the quotient of the space U_n by the map p_n .

3 The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ and the universal space of parameters \mathcal{F}_n

We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ the moduli space of stable genus zero curves with n marked ordered pairwise distinct points. In [20] it is presented the construction of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ based on the iterated blowing-up of the space $(\mathbb{C}P^1)^{n-3}$. We recall the basic facts about this construction and demonstrate it for the cases $n = 5, 6$.

Let $X = (\mathbb{C}P^1)^{n-3}$ and $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ be a subset of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$|I \cap \{n-2, n-1, n\}| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \leq k \leq n-2.$$

The subvariety X_I of X is given as follows: if the set $I \cap \{n-2, n-1, n\}$ is empty, then X_I is the set of all points (x_1, \dots, x_{n-3}) in X such that coordinates with indices i_j are equal to each other, where $1 \leq j \leq k$. Otherwise, elements $n-2, n-1, n$ are considered to be special elements with the following correspondence:

$$n-2 \leftrightarrow 0, \quad n-1 \leftrightarrow 1, \quad n \leftrightarrow \infty.$$

In the case $|I \cap \{n-2, n-1, n\}| = 1$ the subvariety X_I of X is the set of all points (x_1, \dots, x_{n-3}) in X such that coordinates with non-special indices i_j are equal to the special value coordinate as defined above, where $1 \leq j \leq k$.

For any other subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ the subvariety X_I is defined following the rule $X_I = X_{I^c}$, where $I^c = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I$.

Example 1. For $n = 5$, we get $X_{12} = \{(x, x) \mid x \in \mathbb{C}P^1\}$, $X_{34} = X_{125} = \{(\infty, \infty)\}$.

The moduli space of curves of genus 0 with n marked distinct points, denoted by $M_{0,n}$, is identified in [20] with the subset of X :

$$M_{0,n} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-3}) \in (\mathbb{CP}^1)^{n-3} \mid x_i \neq \{0, 1, \infty\}, x_i \neq x_j\},$$

where $0 = (0 : 1)$, $1 = (1 : 1)$ and $\infty = (1 : 0)$.

The moduli space $M_{0,n}$ coincides by [7] with the space of parameters of the main stratum F_n . In the paper [20] Tavakol described the space $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ which is the compactification of $M_{0,n}$, similarly as Keel did in [16], starting from X by the sequence of blow-ups. First one blows-up three points $X_{1,\dots,n-3,n-2}$, $X_{1,\dots,n-3,n-1}$, $X_{1,\dots,n-3,n}$. This blow-up separates all lines X_I for which $|I| = n - 3$. Second, one blows-up the proper transform of all these lines. The process continues for $|I| \geq 2$. We note that X_I for $|I| = 2$ is a complex $(n - 4)$ -dimensional submanifold in the manifold obtained by the previous blow-up construction on X , so the further blowing up at X_I does not change anything.

The exceptional divisor of the blow-up along the subvariety X_I is denoted by D_I .

Keel in [16] showed that the Chow ring of $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ is generated by all divisor classes D_I and they satisfy following relations:

$$\sum_{\substack{i,j \in I \\ p,q \notin I}} D_I = \sum_{\substack{i,p \in I \\ j,q \notin I}} D_I = \sum_{\substack{i,q \in I \\ j,p \notin I}} D_I \quad (10)$$

for any four distinct elements $\{i, j, p, q\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ and it holds

$$D_I = D_{I^c}, \quad D_I D_J = 0 \text{ unless } I \subset J, I \subset J, I^c \subset J \text{ or } J^c \subset I.$$

In addition, he proved that the canonical map from Chow groups to homology induces an isomorphism $A_*(\mathcal{M}_{0,n}) \rightarrow H_*(\mathcal{M}_{0,n})$.

In [8] Ceyhan proved this isomorphism for the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of weighted pointed stable curves of genus zero generalizing Keel's result, but using significantly different method which appeals on the construction of the stratification for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$. More precisely, he proved that the Chow groups $A_i(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and the homology groups $H_{2i}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}})$ are isomorphic. We note that for some special weights \mathcal{A} similar results are proved by Losev and Manin as well in [17], [18].

In the next examples we describe the divisors D_I in $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ for $n = 5, 6$.

Example 2. *The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,5}$ is obtained as the blow-up of $X = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ at the points $X_{123} = (0, 0)$, $X_{124} = (1, 1)$ and $X_{125} = (\infty, \infty)$. Let U be a neighborhood of the point $((0 : 1), (0 : 1))$ defined by $\{(c_1 : 1), (c_2 : 1)\}$. We can take $(c_1, c_2) \in U(0, 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ as coordinates in U . The blow-up $bl_{X_{123}}X$ of the variety X in the neighborhood U belongs to $U \times \mathbb{CP}^1$. Let $\{(c_1, c_2), (x_1 : x_2)\}$ be coordinates in $U \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ and $\pi : bl_{X_{123}}X \rightarrow X$ a natural projection morphism. Then the coordinates of points in $\pi^{-1}(U - X_{123})$ are given by the equation*

$$c_1 x_2 = c_2 x_1.$$

The exceptional set satisfies $\pi^{-1}(X_{123}) \simeq \mathbb{CP}^1$ and we put $D_{123} = X_{123} \times \mathbb{CP}^1 = 0 \times 0 \times X_{123}$. Similarly, we construct blow-ups for points X_{124} and X_{125} .

Example 3. *The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,6}$ is obtained first as blow-up of $X = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ at three points X_{1234} , X_{1235} , X_{1236} and then by blowing-up of proper transform of lines X_I where $|I| = 3$.*

The blow-up of X at the point $X_{1235} = (1, 1, 1)$ is similar to the case $n = 5$. Let U be a neighborhood of the point X_{1235} defined by $\{(c_1 : 1), (c_2 : 1), (c_3 : 1)\}$. The coordinates of the neighborhood U are given by $(c_1, c_2, c_3) \in U(1, 1, 1) \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ and the coordinates of the points in $\pi^{-1}(U - X_{1235})$ satisfy

$$(1 - c_i)x_j = (1 - c_j)x_i, \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq 3.$$

The exceptional set satisfies $\pi^{-1}(X_{1235}) = X_{1235} \times \mathbb{C}P^2$.

Similarly, we construct blow-up at the point X_{1234} . Let a neighborhood of the point X_{1234} be defined by $\{(c_1 : 1), (c_2 : 1), (c_3 : 1)\}$. The coordinates of points in $\pi^{-1}(U - X_{1234})$ satisfy

$$c_i x_j = c_j x_i, \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq 3.$$

After blowing-up X at these points, we proceed with blow-up of the lines X_I , where $|I| = 3$. In doing that we consider, for example, the proper transform of X_{124} denoted by X'_{124} . By classical definition, we obtain $X'_{124} = \overline{\pi^{-1}(X_{123} - X_{1234})}$, which is the closure of $\pi^{-1}(X_{123} - X_{1234})$. Since $\pi^{-1}(X_{124} - X_{1234}) = \{(0 : 1), (0 : 1), (c : c'), (0 : 0 : 1) | c \neq 0\}$, its closure is $\{(0 : 1), (0 : 1), (c : c'), (0 : 0 : 1)\}$. We continue with blow-up at X'_{124} . Let U be a neighborhood of X'_{124} defined by $\{(c_1 : 1), (c_2 : 1), (c : c', (0 : 0 : 1))\}$. Blowing-up further at X'_{124} in the neighborhood U we add the coordinates $(x_1 : x_2) \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ and the points from $\pi^{-1}(U - X'_{124})$ satisfy

$$c_i x_j = c_j x_i, \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq 2.$$

The exceptional set is $\pi^{-1}(X_{124}) = X_{124} \times \mathbb{C}P^1$. Thus, the divisors D_I , $|I| = 3$ are disjoint and each of them is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^1$.

The divisors are:

- $D_I \simeq X_I \times \mathbb{C}P^2 \times (\mathbb{C}P^1)^4$ for $|I| = 4$. The term $\mathbb{C}P^2$ corresponds to the blow-up bl_{X_I} and the terms $(\mathbb{C}P^1)^4$ to the blow-ups at X_J , $|J| = 3$ such that $X_I \subset X_J$.
- $D_I \simeq X_I \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ for $|I| = 3$. The term $\mathbb{C}P^1$ corresponds to the blow-up at the X_I .
- D_I for $|I| = 2$ is the proper transform of X_I after final blow-up.

There exists the correlation between divisors D_I and a virtual spaces of parameters \tilde{F}_σ . We will describe this correlation explicitly for $n = 5, 6$ in Sections 5 and 6. In establishing this correlation we use the next important result proved in [7].

Theorem 3.1. *The universal space of parameters \mathcal{F}_n is diffeomorphic to the space $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$.*

We explicitly demonstrate this diffeomorphism for the cases $n = 5$ and $n = 6$, see also [7], in the next examples.

Example 4. *We follow notations from the example 2. Let us consider map $f : (\mathbb{C}P^1)^2 \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}P^1)^3$ given by*

$$f((c_{34} : c'_{34}), (c_{35} : c'_{35})) = ((c_{34} : c'_{34}), (c_{35} : c'_{35}), (c'_{34}c_{35} : c_{34}c'_{35})).$$

This map is not defined at the points X_{124}, X_{126} . After we blow-up $(\mathbb{C}P^1)^2$ at the points X_{124}, X_{126} as in Example 2 we obtain the variety denoted by $Y = bl_{126}bl_{X_{124}}X$. The map f extends to the homeomorphism \bar{f} between Y and \bar{F}_5 . The divisor $D_{124} \simeq X_{124} \times \{(x_1 : x_2) \subset \mathbb{C}P^1\}$ maps to $((0 : 1), (0 : 1), (x_1 : x_2))$ and similar for the divisor D_{126} . Now we blow-up Y at X_{125} and \bar{F}_5 at $(1 : 1)^3$ and conclude the homeomorphism between \mathcal{F}_5 and $\mathcal{M}_{0,5}$.

Example 5. Let us consider the map $f : (\mathbb{C}P^1)^3 \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}P^1)^6$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} f((c_{34} : c'_{34}), (c_{35} : c'_{35}), (c_{36} : c'_{36})) &= \\ &= ((c_{34} : c'_{34}), (c_{35} : c'_{35}), (c_{36} : c'_{36}), (c'_{34}c_{35} : c_{34}c'_{35}), (c'_{34}c_{36} : c_{34}c'_{36}), (c'_{35}c_{36} : c_{35}c'_{36})) \end{aligned}$$

This map is not defined at the sub-varieties $\{X_I | I \in S\}$ where $S = \{124, 134, 234, 126, 136, 236\}$ neither at the points X_{1234}, X_{1236} . We denote by Y the blow-up of $(\mathbb{C}P^1)^3$ at this sub-varieties according to Example 3. The extension \bar{f} of the map f between Y and \bar{F}_6 can be defined as follows:

- The points of the divisors D_{1234} and D_{1236} , apart from the points for which the corresponding $\mathbb{C}P^2$ component is $(1 : 0 : 0)$ or $(0 : 1 : 0)$ or $(0 : 0 : 1)$ and which are blown-up by blowing up the lines X'_I , we map by

$$D_{1234} = ((0 : 1), (0 : 1), (0 : 1), (x_1 : x_2 : x_3)) \rightarrow ((0 : 1), (0 : 1), (0 : 1), (x_1 : x_2), (x_1 : x_3), (x_2 : x_3)),$$

$$D_{1236} = ((1 : 0), (1 : 0), (1 : 0), (x_1 : x_2 : x_3)) \rightarrow ((1 : 0), (1 : 0), (1 : 0), (x_1 : x_2), (x_1 : x_3), (x_2 : x_3)).$$

It follows from Example 3 that the points of $\bar{f}(D_{1234})$ belong to \bar{F}_6 .

- The divisor D_I , for example if $I = 124$ maps by

$$D_{124} = ((0 : 1), (0 : 1), (c : c'), (x_1 : x_2)) \rightarrow ((0 : 1), (0 : 1), (c : c'), (x_1 : x_2), (1 : 0), (1 : 0)).$$

It is left to sequentially blow-up the variety Y at X_{1235} and than at $X_{125}, X_{135}, X_{235}, X_{123}$ and in the result we obtain the space $\mathcal{M}_{0,6}$. On the other side, the blow-up of the space \bar{F}_6 at the varieties $(1 : 1)^6$ and $\bar{F}_{345}, \bar{F}_{346}, \bar{F}_{356}, \bar{F}_{456}$ gives \mathcal{F}_6 .

4 On \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology of $G_{n,2}/T^n$

Using the theory presented in previous sections on description of topology of the orbit space $G_{n,2}/T^n$ and in particular the model (9), we prove some general results on description of \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology of $G_{n,2}/T^n$. It follows from (7) that the \mathbb{Z}_2 -cycles in $G_{n,2}/T^n$ can be studied inductively on n .

We consider the cell decomposition of F_ω given by a cell decomposition of F_σ 's, $\sigma \in \omega$ obtained following Remark 1. We denote further by $P_{\sigma'}$ a facet in $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ of an admissible polytope P_σ and by $C_{\omega'}$ a facet in $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ of a chamber C_ω , and by $P_{\bar{\sigma}}, C_{\bar{\sigma}}$ those facets which belong to $\partial\Delta_{n,2}$. For a cell $C_\omega \times e_\omega^\sigma$, where $e_\omega^\sigma \subset F_\sigma$, $\sigma \in \omega$ we first emphasize the following.

Remark 2. If $C_{\omega'} \subset \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$ is a facet of C_ω , than only the cell $C_{\omega'} \times e_\omega^\sigma$ which belongs to W_σ/T^n contributes to the boundary of $C_\omega^\sigma \times e_\omega^\sigma$. This is because of the following: if a cell $C_{\omega'} \times e_{\omega'}^{\bar{\sigma}}$ is in the closure of $C_\omega^\sigma \times e_\omega^\sigma$, then the points from $W_{\bar{\sigma}}$ have zero all Pücker coordinates which are zero for the points from W_σ , and some more. In particular, $P_{\bar{\sigma}} \subset P_\sigma$. Thus, taking into account the cell decompositions for F_σ and $F_{\bar{\sigma}}$ from Remark 1, this implies that if a cell $C_{\bar{\omega}} \times e_{\bar{\omega}}^{\bar{\sigma}}$ is in the closure of a cell $C_\omega \times e_\omega^\sigma$, then $\dim e_{\bar{\omega}}^{\bar{\sigma}} < \dim e_\omega^\sigma$. It follows that $C_{\omega'} \times e_{\omega'}^{\bar{\sigma}}$ can not contribute to $\partial(C_\omega \times e_\omega^\sigma)$.

Therefore, the boundary operator for $C_\omega^\sigma \times e_\omega^\sigma$ is defined by differentiating the following cases.

- If C_ω has no facet on $\partial\Delta_{n,2}$ and either has no facets on ∂P_σ or e_ω^σ is a point, then

$$\partial(C_\omega \times e_\omega^\sigma) = C_\omega \times \partial^\omega e_\omega^\sigma + \sum_{C_{\omega'} \text{ facet of } C_\omega} C_{\omega'} \times e_{\omega'}^\sigma$$

- If C_ω has no facet on $\partial\Delta_{n,2}$, but it has a facet C_ω^σ on ∂P_σ then

$$\partial(C_\omega \times e_\omega^\sigma) = C_\omega \times \partial^\omega e_\omega^\sigma + \sum_{\substack{C_{\omega'} \text{ facet of } C_\omega \\ C_{\omega'} \neq C_\omega^\sigma}} C_\omega \times e_{\omega'}^\sigma.$$

Remark 3. If C_ω has a facet $C_{\bar{\omega}}$ on $\partial\Delta_{n,2}$ then $C_{\bar{\omega}} = \cap P_{\bar{\sigma}}$ for the facets $P_{\bar{\sigma}}$ of P_σ , where $\sigma \in \omega$. In addition, in [3], page 618, it is defined the continuous surjective map $\eta_{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}} : F_\sigma \rightarrow F_{\bar{\sigma}}$, which gives continuous surjection $\eta_{\omega, \bar{\omega}} : F_\omega \rightarrow F_{\bar{\omega}}$. Thus, the chain map $\eta_{\omega, \bar{\omega}}^\# : C_\#(F_\omega) \rightarrow C_\#(F_{\bar{\omega}})$ induced by $\eta_{\omega, \bar{\omega}} : F_\omega \rightarrow F_{\bar{\omega}}$ is surjective by Remark 1

- If C_ω has a facet on $\partial\Delta_{n,2}$ we obtain that

$$\partial(C_\omega \times e_\omega^\sigma) = \partial^0(C_\omega \times e_\omega^\sigma) + \sum_{C_{\bar{\omega}} \text{ facet of } C_\omega} C_{\bar{\omega}} \times \eta_{\omega, \bar{\omega}}(e_\omega^\sigma),$$

where ∂^0 denotes the boundary component indicated in the previous two cases.

For the space of parameters F_ω we note the following.

Theorem 4.1. *The homology groups of non-maximal dimension of the space of parameters F_ω are spanned by the outgrows F_σ , $\sigma \in \omega$ in the compactification of F_n to F_ω .*

Proof. As we pointed out the space of parameters of a chamber C_ω is a compactification of the space of parameters of the main stratum F_n by the spaces of parameters F_σ of the strata W_σ , where $\sigma \in \omega$. By Theorem 2.9 there exists continuous projection $p_\omega : \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow F_\omega$ defined by the projections $p_\sigma : \tilde{F}_\sigma \rightarrow F_\sigma$. It follows from [2], proof of Proposition 6.1 or [6] that $\tilde{F}_\sigma = \tilde{F}_l \times \tilde{F}_s \times \tilde{F}_m$, where $F_m \cong F_\sigma$ and $l + s + m \leq n + 4$, $0 \leq l, s, m \leq n - 1$. It implies that the induced homomorphism between the cycles on \mathcal{F}_n and the cycles on F_ω is surjective. Since by [16], the homology groups for \mathcal{F}_n are spanned by the divisors outgrowing in the compactification of F_n to \mathcal{F}_n , it follows that the homology groups for F_ω are spanned by the outgrows in the compactification of F_n to F_ω . \square

Remark 4. In [5] it is proved that $F_\omega \cong \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_{0, \mathcal{A}}$, which is a moduli space of weighted stable genus zero curves with n marked distinct points with a set of weights \mathcal{A} , defined in [13] in general setting. It is also showed that the projection $p_\omega : \mathcal{F}_n \cong \mathcal{M}_{0, n} \rightarrow F_\omega$ coincides with the reduction morphism $\rho_\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{M}_{0, n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A}$, defined in [13].

On the other side, in [8] it is obtained the description of the homology groups $H_*(\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A})$ in terms of a stratification for $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A}$. Moreover, it is pointed that the generators and relations in $H_*(\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A})$ are induced from those in $H_*(\mathcal{M}_{0, n})$ by the reduction morphism $\rho_\mathcal{A}$.

Therefore, Theorem 4.1 provides the formulation and the proof of this result in terms of the topological ingredients of the model (U_n, p_n) for X_n .

In what follows we consider \mathbb{Z}_2 -chains in $G_{n,2}/T^n$ which map by the induced moment map $\hat{\mu}$ to the interior $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$. Any such chain has the form

$$c = \sum_{\omega} C_\omega \times l_\omega, \quad C_\omega \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2},$$

and l_ω is a chain in F_ω . The chain l_ω can be represented by $l_\omega = \sum_{\sigma \in \omega} l_\omega^\sigma$ for a chain l_ω^σ in F_σ . For $F_\sigma = F$ we put $l_\omega^\sigma = l_\omega^F$.

For the boundary of a chain c we note that $\partial_p c = \partial_p^\circ c + \partial_p' c$, where $\hat{\mu}(\partial_p^\circ c) \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ and $\hat{\mu}(\partial_p' c) \subset \partial\Delta_{n,2}$ and $p = \dim c - 1$. We describe this decomposition of $\partial_p c$ more explicitly using Remark 2:

Lemma 4.2. *The boundary component*

- $\partial'_p c$ of c has the form

$$\partial'_p c = \sum_{\bar{\omega}} C_{\bar{\omega}} \times \eta_{\omega, \bar{\omega}}^{\#}(l_{\omega}). \quad (11)$$

- $\partial_p^{\circ} c$ has the form

$$\partial_p^{\circ} c = \sum_{\omega} \sum_{\substack{\omega' \\ C_{\omega'} \subset \Delta_{n,2}}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \omega \\ C_{\omega'} \subset P_{\sigma}^{\circ}}} C_{\omega'} \times l_{\omega}^{\sigma} + \sum_{\omega} C_{\omega} \times \partial^{\omega} l_{\omega}, \quad (12)$$

where $\partial^{\omega} l_{\omega}$ denotes the boundary of l_{ω} in F_{ω} .

Remark 5. Note that $H_0(X_n; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ as X_n is path connected.

The following known result [6] is easy to deduce:

Lemma 4.3.

$$H_{3n-7}(X_n, \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Proof. The top degree chain in X_n is of the form $c = \sum C_{\omega} \times l_{\omega}$, where C_{ω} is a chamber of maximal dimension $n - 1$ and l_{ω} is a chain in F_{ω} of maximal dimension $2n - 6$. Since $\dim F_{\sigma} < \dim F_{\omega}$ for any $\sigma \in \omega$ and $F_{\sigma} \neq F$, it follows that $l_{\omega} = l_{\omega}^F$ is a chain in F . Using (12) we obtain that

$$\partial_{3n-8}^{\circ} c = \sum_{\omega} \sum_{\substack{\omega' \subset \omega, \\ C_{\omega'} \subset \Delta_{n,2}}} C_{\omega'} \times l_{\omega}^F + \sum_{\omega} C_{\omega} \times \partial_{2n-7}^{\omega} l_{\omega}^F.$$

Note that the space of parameters of any chamber from the boundary of $\Delta_{n,2}$ has the dimension less than $2n-6$, which implies that $\partial_{3n-8}^{\circ} c = 0$. Thus, c is a cycle if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $\partial_{2n-7}^{\omega} l_{\omega}^F = 0$ for any ω ;
2. $l_{\omega}^F = l^F$, that is l_{ω} does not depend on ω since any $C_{\omega'}$ contributes twice in $\partial_{3n-8} c$ as the chambers C_{ω} are of maximal dimension;
3. chambers C_{ω} which contribute to c give all chambers in $\Delta_{n,2}$ of dimension $n - 1$.

It follows that $c = (\sum_{\omega} C_{\omega}) \times l^F$. We have that $\partial^{\mathcal{F}_n} l^F \subset \bar{F}$ as \mathcal{F}_n is a wonderful compactification of \bar{F} . Since $\dim l^F = \dim F$ and $\dim(\bar{F} \setminus F) = \dim F - 2$ it follows that $\partial^{\mathcal{F}_n} l^F = \partial^F l^F$. The fact that $F \subset F_{\omega}$ and $H_{2n-6}(\mathcal{F}_n, \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ concludes the proof. \square

We further omit an index p in $\partial_p c$, as well as ω in $\partial^{\omega} l_{\omega}$ to simplify notation.

4.1 \mathbb{Z}_2 - cycles over $\Delta_{n,2}^{\circ}$

Theorem 4.4. Any \mathbb{Z}_2 -cycle $c = \sum C_{\omega} \times l_{\omega}$ in $G_{n,2}/T^n$ such that $\hat{\mu}(c) \subset \Delta_{n,2}^{\circ}$ is homologous to a cycle which does not contain a summand for which either one of the following conditions is satisfied

1. $\dim C_{\omega} < n - 1$;

2. l_ω^F is a chain in F and $\dim l_\omega^F < \dim F$;
3. l_ω^σ is a chain in some F_σ and $\dim l_\omega^\sigma < \dim F_\sigma$.

Proof. (1) Let $\dim C_\omega < n - 1$ and consider such C_ω of lowest degree assuming it is not zero. Let $l_\omega = \sum_{\sigma \in \omega} l_\omega^\sigma$, and consider $\sigma \in \omega$ such that $l_\omega^\sigma \neq 0$ for $\dim P_\sigma = n - 1$. Then $C_\omega \subset \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$ and there exists a facet $C_{\omega'}$ of C_ω such that $C_{\omega'} \subset \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$. It follows that it is defined $C_{\omega'} \times l_\omega^\sigma$ and it is a summand in $\partial c = 0$. So, there exists a chamber $C_{\tilde{\omega}} \subset \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$ such that $C_{\omega'}$ is a facet of $C_{\tilde{\omega}}$ and $C_{\tilde{\omega}} \times l_\omega^\sigma$ is a summand in c . If C_ω is a point and by Remark 5 we can assume that l_ω^σ is not a point, then there obviously exists a 1-dimensional chamber $C_{\tilde{\omega}} \subset \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$ such that C_ω is its vertex. Continuing for the other vertex of $C_{\tilde{\omega}}$ and so on we can achieve to obtain a chain $\sum C_{\tilde{\omega}}$ whose other vertex is from $P_{\sigma'} \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ that is a facet of P_σ . Then $\partial(\sum C_{\tilde{\omega}} \times l_\omega^\sigma) = C_\omega \times l_\omega^\sigma + \sum C_{\tilde{\omega}} \times \partial l_\omega^\sigma$. So the lowest dimension of chambers in c can be assumed to be ≥ 1 .

If we continue in this way we obtain that c contains the chain $d_{\omega,\sigma} = c_{\omega,\sigma} \times l_\omega^\sigma$, where $c_{\omega,\sigma} = \sum C_{\tilde{\omega}} \subset \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$ and $\partial c_{\omega,\sigma} = 0$ either $\partial c_{\omega,\sigma} \subset \partial P_\sigma$. Thus, $c'_{\omega,\sigma} = \partial c_{\omega,\sigma}$ is a cycle in $\partial P_\sigma \cong S^{n-2}$, while $\dim c'_{\omega,\sigma} < n - 2$. It follows that there exist a chain $\tilde{c}_{\omega,\sigma}$ on ∂P_σ such that $\partial \tilde{c}_{\omega,\sigma} = c'_{\omega,\sigma}$. Thus, $c_{\omega,\sigma} + \tilde{c}_{\omega,\sigma} = \partial \hat{c}_{\omega,\sigma}$ for some chain $\hat{c}_{\omega,\sigma}$ in P_σ . For $c_{\omega,\sigma}$ being a cycle we have that $\tilde{c}_{\omega,\sigma} = 0$. If $l_\omega^\sigma \neq 0$ only for $\dim P_\sigma = n - 2$, then l_ω^σ is a point. For $\dim C_\omega < n - 2$ the same argument can be applied. For $\dim C_\omega = n - 2$ we obtain the chain $d_{\omega,\sigma} = \sum C_\omega$ which contains all chambers of dimension $n - 2$ in $\overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$ and $\partial d_{\omega,\sigma} \subset \partial P_\sigma \subset \partial \Delta_{n,2} \simeq S^{n-2}$, where the last inclusion follows from the description of P_σ given in Theorem 2.1, so the same argument applies.

If $\partial' d_{\omega,\sigma} \neq 0$, then there exists $C'_{\omega'}$ in a facet $P_{\sigma'}$ of P_σ such that $C'_{\omega'} \times l_{\omega'}^{\sigma'}$ is contained in $\partial' d_{\omega,\sigma}$. Thus, there exists summand $C_{\hat{\omega}} \times l_{\hat{\omega}}^{\hat{\sigma}}$ in c which contains $C'_{\omega'} \times l_{\omega'}^{\sigma'}$ in its boundary. Repeating the same argument for $C_{\hat{\omega}} \times l_{\hat{\omega}}^{\hat{\sigma}}$ we obtain the chain $d_{\hat{\omega},\hat{\sigma}}$ and the chains $\tilde{c}_{\hat{\omega},\hat{\sigma}}, \hat{c}_{\hat{\omega},\hat{\sigma}}$. Continuing this procedure for all non zero boundary summands for $d_{\omega,\sigma}$ and then the same for the chain $d_{\omega,\sigma} + \sum_{\hat{\omega},\hat{\sigma}} d_{\hat{\omega},\hat{\sigma}}$ until we obtain a chain $d = \sum_{\omega,\sigma} d_{\omega,\sigma}$ such that $\partial' d = 0$.

Consider the chain $\hat{C} = \sum_{\omega,\sigma} \hat{c}_{\omega,\sigma}^0 \times l_\omega^\sigma$, where $\hat{c}_{\omega,\sigma}^0$ means that we consider only those chambers from the chain $\hat{c}_{\omega,\sigma}$ which belong to $\overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$. Then $\partial^0 \hat{C} = d + \sum_{\omega,\sigma} \hat{c}_{\omega,\sigma} \times \partial l_\omega^\sigma$. Note also that $\partial' \hat{C} = 0$ since in the second repeating step of the previous procedure we can always choose a chain $\tilde{c}_{\hat{\omega},\hat{\sigma}}$ such that in $P_{\sigma'}$ it overlaps with $\tilde{c}_{\omega,\sigma}$.

Altogether we obtain that $\partial \hat{C} = d + \sum_{\omega,\sigma} \hat{C}_{\omega,\sigma} \times l_\omega^\sigma$, which means that d is homologous to a chain whose chambers have dimension greater by one. In the case $\partial' d_{\omega,\sigma} = 0$ we note that the chain $\hat{c}_{\omega,\sigma}$ place the role of \hat{C} . Repeating this argument we prove the statement.

(2) Let us consider a cycle $c = \sum C_\omega \times l_\omega$, where $\dim C_\omega = n - 1$. It follows from (12) that $\partial l_\omega = 0$ for any ω . If $l_\omega^F \neq 0$ then c contains all $(n - 1)$ -dimensional chambers and $l_\omega^F = l^F$ for all ω , that is c contains the chain $d = \sum_\omega C_\omega \times l^F$.

Assume that $\dim l^F < \dim F$. By Theorem 4.1 we have that $l_\omega = \tilde{l}_\omega + \partial \bar{l}_\omega$, where \tilde{l}_ω is a chain of fundamental cycles determined by divisors. It follows that $l_\omega^F \subset \partial \bar{l}_\omega$. Now, $\bar{l}_\omega = \bar{l}_\omega^F + \sum_\sigma \bar{l}_\omega^\sigma$, so $\partial \bar{l}_\omega = (\partial \bar{l}_\omega^F)^F + \sum_\sigma \partial(\bar{l}_\omega^\sigma)^\sigma + \partial(\sum_\sigma \bar{l}_\omega^\sigma)$. Thus, $l_\omega^F = (\partial \bar{l}_\omega^F)^F$.

For the chain $\bar{d} = \sum_\omega C_\omega \times \bar{l}_\omega^F$, we obtain that

$$\partial \bar{d} = d + \sum_{\sigma \in \omega} C_\omega \times (\partial \bar{l}_\omega^F)^\sigma = d + \bar{d},$$

where the chain \bar{d} does not contain a summand from the main stratum. It follows that c is homologous to the chain $c + d + \bar{d}$ which does not contain a chain from the main stratum.

(3) We again consider a cycle $c = \sum C_\omega \times l_\omega$, $\dim C_\omega = n - 1$, which implies that $\partial^\omega l_\omega = 0$ for any ω . Assume that there exists $l_\omega^\sigma \neq 0$ such that $\dim l_\omega^\sigma < \dim F_\sigma$ and consider such σ_0 for which $\dim F_{\sigma_0}$ is maximal among all ω 's. It follows that l_ω is a chain in $F_{\langle \sigma_0 \rangle} = \cup_{\sigma \in \omega} F_\sigma$, where $\dim F_\sigma \leq \dim F_{\langle \sigma_0 \rangle}$. Note that $F_{\langle \sigma_0 \rangle}$ is a compact space which is a compactification of all F_σ , $\sigma \in \omega$ and $\dim F_\sigma = \dim F_{\langle \sigma_0 \rangle}$. Since by [5] any F_σ is homeomorphic to some F_m , it follows by Theorem 4.1 that the homology groups of $F_{\langle \sigma_0 \rangle}$ are spanned by the fundamental cycles of contributing F_σ . Thus, $l_\omega = \tilde{l}_\omega + \partial \bar{l}_\omega$, where \tilde{l}_ω are a chain of the fundamental cycles spanning homology of $F_{\langle \sigma_0 \rangle}$ and \bar{l}_ω is a chain in $F_{\langle \sigma_0 \rangle}$. We deduce that $l_\omega^{\sigma_0} \subset \partial \bar{l}_\omega$, that is $l_\omega^{\sigma_0} = (\partial \bar{l}_\omega^{\sigma_0})^{\sigma_0}$.

On the other hand, for the summand $C_\omega \times l_\omega^{\sigma_0}$ in the cycle c , there must exist a facet, so we obtain the chain $d_{\sigma_0} = \sum_\omega C_\omega \times l_\omega^{\sigma_0}$, where C_ω 's give all chambers of dimension $(n - 1)$ in P_{σ_0} and $l_\omega^{\sigma_0}$ does not depend on ω , that is $d_{\sigma_0} = P_{\sigma_0, n-1} \times l_\omega^{\sigma_0}$.

For the chain $\bar{d}_{\sigma_0} = P_{\sigma_0, n-1} \times \bar{l}_\omega^{\sigma_0}$, we obtain that

$$\partial \bar{d}_{\sigma_0} = d_{\sigma_0} + \sum_{\sigma \in \omega} C_\omega \times (\partial \bar{l}_\omega^{\sigma_0})^\sigma + \sum_{C_{\tilde{\omega}} \in P_{\sigma_0}} C_{\tilde{\omega}} \times \eta_{\sigma_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0}^\#(\bar{l}_\omega^{\sigma_0}) = d_{\sigma_0} + d'_{\sigma_0} + \bar{d}_{\sigma_0},$$

Therefore c is homologous to the chain $c + d_{\sigma_0} + d'_{\sigma_0} + \bar{d}_{\sigma_0}$, which does not have a summand with a chain from F_{σ_0} . Moreover, if l_ω^σ contributes to d'_{σ_0} then $\dim F_\sigma < \dim F_{\sigma_0}$, while $\hat{\mu}(\bar{d}_{\sigma_0}) \subset \partial \Delta_{n,2}$.

Note that $\partial d_{\sigma_0} = \sum_{\tilde{\sigma}_0} P_{\tilde{\sigma}_0, n-2} \times \eta_{\sigma_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0}^\#(l_\omega^{\sigma_0})$, where $P_{\tilde{\sigma}_0, n-2} \subset \partial \Delta_{n,2}$ is determined by a facet $P_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ of P_σ . Since $\partial d_{\sigma_0} \subset \partial c = 0$, it follows that for any $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ there exists a chain $d_{\tilde{\sigma}} = P_{\tilde{\sigma}, n-1} \times l_{\tilde{\omega}}^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ where $\tilde{\omega}$ is arbitrary such that $C_{\tilde{\omega}} \subset P_{\tilde{\sigma}, n-1}$, $\dim(C_{\tilde{\omega}}) = n - 1$, then $P_{\tilde{\sigma}_0}$ is a facet of $P_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ and $\partial' d_{\tilde{\sigma}} = \partial' d_{\sigma_0}$ on $P_{\tilde{\sigma}_0}$. If continue in this way we obtain the cycle $d = \sum_\sigma d_\sigma$.

Note further the following: a facet $P_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ belongs to some hypersimplex $\Delta_{n-1,2}$ on $\partial \Delta_{n,2}$ as its space of parameters is not a point. Therefore, $P_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is the intersection of P_σ and a hyperplane $x_i = 0$ for some i . The stratum $W_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is a common boundary stratum for W_σ and $W_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ and it is obtained from them by removing the i -th row from their matrix record. This gives that $F_\sigma \cong F_{\tilde{\sigma}}$. Therefore, we can take $l_\omega^{\sigma_0} = l_{\tilde{\omega}}^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ and $\bar{l}_\omega^{\sigma_0} = \bar{l}_{\tilde{\omega}}^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, which implies that $\eta_{\sigma_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0}^\#(l_\omega^{\sigma_0}) = \eta_{\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0}^\#(\bar{l}_{\tilde{\omega}}^{\tilde{\sigma}})$.

Consider the chain $\bar{d} = \sum_\sigma d_\sigma = \sum_\sigma P_{\sigma, n-1} \times \bar{l}_\omega^\sigma$. Then

$$\partial \bar{d} = d + \sum_\sigma \sum_{\tilde{\sigma}} P_{\tilde{\sigma}, n-2} \times \eta_{\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0}^\#(\bar{l}_\omega^\sigma) = d. \quad (13)$$

□

We emphasize that the proofs of the second and third statements in Theorem 4.4 imply:

Corollary 4.4.1. *Any chain $c = \sum C_\omega \times l_\omega$ in X_n such that $\dim C_\omega = n - 1$ for any ω and $\partial^0 c = 0$ is homologous to a chain which does not contain a summand for which $l_\omega^F \neq 0$ and $\dim l_\omega^F < \dim F$.*

Corollary 4.4.2. *Any chain $c = \sum C_\omega \times l_\omega$ in X_n such that $\dim C_\omega = n - 1$ for any ω and $\partial^0 c = 0$ is homologous to a chain $c' + c_0$, where $\hat{\mu}(c') \subset \partial \Delta_{n,2}$ and $\hat{\mu}(c_0) \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ and c_0 does not contain a summand for which $l_\omega^\sigma \neq 0$ and $\dim l_\omega^\sigma < \dim F_\sigma$.*

Theorem 4.4 leads to the following explicit result.

Corollary 4.4.3.

$$H_{3n-8}(X_n, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$$

Proof. The cycles of dimension $3n - 8$ are of the form $c = \sum_{\omega} C_{\omega} \times l_{\omega}$, where $\dim C_{\omega} = n - 1$ and l_{ω} is a chain in F_{ω} and $\dim l_{\omega} = 2n - 7$. Since $\dim F_{\sigma} \leq 2n - 6$ it follows that $l_{\omega} = l_{\omega}^F$ is a chain in F . As $\dim l_{\omega}^F < \dim F$ we deduce from Theorem 4.4 that c is homologous to zero. \square

Using Theorem 4.4 we deduce more explicit expressions for the cycles in X_n .

Corollary 4.4.4. *Any cycle c in X_n such that $\hat{\mu}(c) \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ is homologous to*

$$c = \sum_{\sigma} P_{\sigma, n-1} \times l^{\sigma},$$

where $P_{\sigma, n-1}$ is the union of the chambers of dimension $n - 1$ from $\overset{\circ}{P}_{\sigma}$ of an admissible polytope P_{σ} , $\dim P_{\sigma} = n - 1$ and l^{σ} is a chain in F_{σ} of maximal dimension $\dim F_{\sigma}$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.4 we can assume that $c = \sum C_{\omega} \times l_{\omega}^{\sigma}$, where $\dim C_{\omega} = n - 1$ and $\dim l_{\omega}^{\sigma} = \dim F_{\sigma}$. Then $C_{\omega} \subset \overset{\circ}{P}_{\sigma}$ for $\sigma \in \omega$ and since ∂C_{ω} has at least one facet $C_{\omega'}$ which belongs to $\overset{\circ}{P}_{\sigma}$ we obtain the chain $P_{\sigma, n-1} \times l^{\sigma}$, where $l^{\sigma} = l_{\omega}^{\sigma}$. This chain contains all summands from c of the form $C_{\omega} \times l_{\omega}^{\sigma}$. Repeating the argument with left summands in c , we prove the statement. \square

Remark 6. We further use notation $l^{\sigma} = F_{\sigma}$ since $\dim l^{\sigma} = \dim F_{\sigma}$.

The following result is in the line with the result of [6] for $n = 5$.

Corollary 4.4.5. *The group of cycles in X_n of dimension $3n - 9$ has a basis of the form*

$$K_{mi, n-1} \times F_{mi} + K_{mj, n-1} \times F_{mj} + K_{ij, n-1} \times F_{ij}, \quad (14)$$

where $1 \leq m \leq n - 2$ and $m + 1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and K_{ij} is an admissible polytope which does not contain just one vertex Λ_{ij} of $\Delta_{n,2}$, while F_{ij} is the chain of maximal dimension in the space of parameters F_{ij} of the corresponding stratum W_{ij} .

Proof. A cycle c in X_n of dimension $3n - 9$ maps by the induced moment map to $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ since $\dim \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\partial \Delta_{n,2}) = 3n - 10$. Thus, by Corollary 4.4.4 it is of the form $c = \sum_{\sigma} P_{\sigma, n-1} \times l^{\sigma}$, where $\dim l^{\sigma} = 2n - 8$. The only strata whose spaces of parameters are of this dimension are the strata whose admissible polytopes are K_{ij} . In addition, the spaces of parameters F_{ij} are all homeomorphic to F_{n-1} , see [6]. There are two facets $K_{ij}(i)$ and $K_{ij}(j)$ of type $\Delta_{n-1,2}$ of K_{ij} and they are given by $x_i = 0$ and $x_j = 0$ respectively. The strata corresponding to these facets are the main strata for $G_{n-1,2}(i)$ and $G_{n-1,2}(j)$ and they are in the boundary of the strata in $G_{n,2}$ whose admissible polytope is K_{ij} . Therefore,

$$\partial(K_{ij} \times F_{ij}) = K_{ij}(i) \times F_{ij}(i) + K_{ij}(j) \times F_{ij}(j) = S_i + S_j,$$

where $F_{ij}(i) \cong F_{ij}(j) \cong F_{ij}$ and S_i and S_j are chains of maximal dimension in $G_{n-1,2}(i)/T^{n-1}$ and $G_{n-1,2}(j)/T^{n-1}$. Therefore, $c = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij}(K_{ij} \times F_{ij})$ is a cycle if and only if

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij}(S_i + S_j) = 0,$$

Since S_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ are linearly independent, this is equivalent to

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} a_{ij} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

The solution space is of dimension $\frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2}$, that is the basis for the cycles is given by (14). \square

We formulate as well the following results, the first one is direct generalization of Corollary 4.4.4, while the second one is the direct generalization of the third statement in Theorem 4.4 and can be proved in the analogous way using the fact that the homology groups of any F_ω are evenly generated.

Corollary 4.4.6. *Let $c = \sum C_\omega \times l_\omega$ be a chain in X_n such that $\dim C_\omega = n - 1$ and $\partial^0 c = 0$. Then*

$$c = \sum_{\sigma} P_{\sigma, n-1} \times l^{\sigma},$$

where $P_{\sigma, n-1}$ is the union of the chambers of dimension $n - 1$ from $\overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$ of an admissible polytope P_σ , $\dim P_\sigma = n - 1$ and l^σ is a chain in F_σ of maximal dimension $\dim F_\sigma$.

Corollary 4.4.7. *Let $c = \sum C_\omega \times l_\omega$ be a chain in X_n such that $\dim C_\omega = n - 1$, $\partial^0 c = 0$ and $\dim l_\omega$ is odd. Then c is homologous to zero.*

4.2 \mathbb{Z}_2 -chains over $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ with boundary on $\partial\Delta_{n,2}$

We first prove few preparatory statements by considering chains for which all contributing chambers have the same dimension.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $c = \sum_{\omega} C_\omega \times l_\omega$ be a chain in X_n , such that the following is satisfied:*

- all chambers C_ω which contribute to c have the same dimension $p \leq n - 2$,
- $\hat{\mu}(c) \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$,
- ∂c does not contain a chamber $C_{\omega'}$ of $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ of dimension $p - 1$.

Let $([\omega], \sigma)$ denotes the set of all $\tilde{\omega}$ which contributes to c such that $\sigma \in \tilde{\omega}$ and $l_\omega^\sigma = l_{\tilde{\omega}}^\sigma$. Then c can be written in the form

$$c = \sum_{([\omega], \sigma)} c_{([\omega], \sigma)}, \text{ where } \hat{\mu}(\partial c_{([\omega], \sigma)}) \subset \partial P_\sigma.$$

Proof. The boundary of the chain c is given by $\partial c = \sum_{\omega} C_\omega \times \partial l_\omega + \bar{c}$, where all chambers which contribute to \bar{c} have dimension $\leq p - 1$. The third condition implies that $\hat{\mu}(\bar{c}) \subset \partial\Delta_{n,2}$.

Let us fix a chamber C_ω in c and an admissible polytope P_σ such that $\sigma \in \omega$ and $C_\omega \in \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$ and $l_\omega^\sigma \neq 0$. There exists a facet $C_{\omega'}$ of C_ω such that $C_{\omega'} \subset \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$, so $C_{\omega'} \times l_\omega^\sigma$ contributes to ∂c , so we obtain the chain

$$c_{([\omega], \sigma)} = \left(\sum_{\tilde{\omega}} C_{\tilde{\omega}} \right) \times l_\omega^\sigma,$$

where $\sigma \in \tilde{\omega}$ and $l_{\tilde{\omega}}^\sigma = l_\omega^\sigma$ and it holds $\partial(\sum_{\tilde{\omega}} C_{\tilde{\omega}}) \subset \partial \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma$.

For another choice of $\sigma \in \omega$ or a chamber ω we obtain another such chain. □

Lemma 4.6. *Let $c = \sum_{\omega} C_\omega \times l_\omega$ be a chain in X_n , such that the following is satisfied:*

- all chambers C_ω which contributes to c have the same dimension $p \leq n - 2$,
- $\hat{\mu}(c) \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$,

- ∂c does not contain a chamber $C_{\omega'} \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ of dimension $p - 1$.

Then c is homologous to a chain $c_{p+1} + c'$ such that all chambers in c_{p+1} have dimension $p + 1$ and $\hat{\mu}(c') \subset \partial\Delta_{n,2}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.5 we have that c is the sum of the chains $c_{([\omega],\sigma)} = C_{([\omega],\sigma)} \times l_{\omega}^{\sigma}$, where $C_{([\omega],\sigma)} = \sum C_{\tilde{\omega}}$, the sum goes over those $\tilde{\omega}$ such that $C_{\tilde{\omega}} \subset \overset{\circ}{P}_{\sigma}$ and $l_{\tilde{\omega}}^{\sigma} = l_{\omega}^{\sigma}$. It holds $\partial C_{([\omega],\sigma)} \subset \partial P_{\sigma}$. For $\dim(P_{\sigma}) = n - 1$, we have that $\partial C_{([\omega],\sigma)}$ is a cycle of dimension $p - 1 \leq n - 3$ on S^{n-2} , so there exists a chain $\tilde{C}_{([\omega],\sigma)}$ on ∂P_{σ} such that $\partial \tilde{C}_{([\omega],\sigma)} = \partial C_{([\omega],\sigma)}$. We obtain the cycle $\tilde{C}_{([\omega],\sigma)} + C_{([\omega],\sigma)}$ in P_{σ} , so there exists a chain $\hat{C}_{([\omega],\sigma)}$ in P_{σ} such that $\partial \hat{C}_{([\omega],\sigma)} = \tilde{C}_{([\omega],\sigma)} + C_{([\omega],\sigma)}$. Consider the chain $\hat{C}_{([\omega],\sigma)}^0$ containing those chambers from $\hat{C}_{([\omega],\sigma)}$ which belong to $\overset{\circ}{P}_{\sigma}$. Let $\hat{d}_{([\omega],\sigma)} = \hat{C}_{([\omega],\sigma)}^0 \times l_{\omega}^{\sigma}$. Then

$$\partial \hat{d}_{([\omega],\sigma)} = c_{([\omega],\sigma)} + \tilde{C}_{([\omega],\sigma)}^0 \times l_{\omega}^{\sigma} + \hat{C}_{([\omega],\sigma)}^0 \times \partial l_{\omega}^{\sigma},$$

where $\tilde{C}_{([\omega],\sigma)}^0$ contains those chambers from $\tilde{C}_{([\omega],\sigma)}$ for which the product with l_{ω}^{σ} exists. Obviously, such chambers must belong to $\partial\Delta_{n,2}$, that is the chain $\tilde{C}_{([\omega],\sigma)}^0$ belongs to $\partial\Delta_{n,2}$. If $\dim(P_{\sigma}) = n - 2$ then l_{ω}^{σ} is a point and $\partial C_{([\omega],\sigma)} \subset \partial P_{\sigma} \subset \partial\Delta_{n,2}$ by Theorem 2.1, so the previous argument can be applied.

It follows that

$$c_{([\omega],\sigma)} = \partial \hat{d}_{([\omega],\sigma)} + \tilde{C}_{([\omega],\sigma)}^0 \times l_{\omega}^{\sigma} + \hat{C}_{([\omega],\sigma)}^0 \times \partial l_{\omega}^{\sigma},$$

so c can be written as

$$c = \partial \sum \hat{d}_{([\omega],\sigma)} + \sum \tilde{C}_{([\omega],\sigma)}^0 \times l_{\omega}^{\sigma} + \sum \hat{C}_{([\omega],\sigma)}^0 \times \partial l_{\omega}^{\sigma} = \partial d + c' + c_{p+1},$$

where $\hat{\mu}(c') \subset \partial\Delta_{n,2}$ and $\hat{\mu}(c_{p+1}) \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ and all chambers in c_{p+1} have dimension $p + 1$. □

By successive application of Lemma 4.6 we obtain :

Proposition 4.7. *Let $c = \sum_{\omega} C_{\omega} \times l_{\omega}$ be a chain in X_n , such that the following is satisfied:*

- all chambers C_{ω} which contributes to c have the same dimension $p \leq n - 2$,
- $\hat{\mu}(c) \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ and $\partial^0 c = 0$.

Then c is homologous to a chain $c_{n-1} + c'$ such that all chambers in c_{n-1} have dimension $n - 1$ and $\hat{\mu}(c') \subset \partial\Delta_{n,2}$.

A chain c on X_n can be written as $c = c_0 + c_1$, where $\hat{\mu}(c_0) \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ and $\hat{\mu}(c_1) \subset \partial\Delta_{n,2}$.

Corollary 4.7.1. *Let c be a chain in X_n , such that all chambers which contributes to c_0 have the same dimension $p \leq n - 2$ and ∂c does not contain a chamber $C_{\omega'} \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ such that $\dim C_{\omega'} = p - 1$. Then c is homologous to a chain $c_{n-1} + c'$ such that $\hat{\mu}(c') \subset \partial\Delta_{n,2}$ and c_{n-1} contains just chambers of dimension $n - 1$.*

Proposition 4.8. *Let $c_0 \subset \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2})$ be a chain, such that ∂c_0 does not contain a chamber $C_{\omega'} \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ such that $\dim C_{\omega'} \leq p-1$, for some $p \leq n-2$. Then c_0 is homologous to a chain $c_{\geq p+1} + c'$ such that $\hat{\mu}(c') \subset \partial \Delta_{n,2}$ and $c_{\geq p+1}$ contains just chambers of dimension $\geq p+1$.*

Proof. Let $q \leq d$ be the lowest dimension of C_ω that contributes to c_0 . We can write $c_0 = \sum_{q \leq i \leq n-1} c_0^i$, where all chambers from c_0^i are of the dimension i . The chain c_0^q satisfies conditions of Lemma 4.6 and it follows that $c_0^q = \tilde{c}_0^{q+1} + \bar{c}_1 + \partial d$. Thus,

$$c_0 = \sum_{q+2 \leq i \leq n-1} c_0^i + c_0^{q+1} + \tilde{c}_0^{q+1} + \bar{c}_1 + \partial d.$$

By abuse of notation, we denote $\tilde{c}_0^{q+1} + c_0^{q+1}$ by c_0^{q+1} . It follows that c_0^{q+1} satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.6. We prove the statement repeating the argument. \square

Corollary 4.8.1. *Let $c = c_0 + c_1$ be a chain in X_n , such that there exists a chamber in c_0 which has the dimension $\leq n-2$ and $\partial^0 c = 0$. Then c is homologous to a chain $c_{n-1} + c'$ such that $\hat{\mu}(c') \subset \partial \Delta_{n,2}$ and all chambers in c_{n-1} have dimension $n-1$.*

Let $Y_n = \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\partial \Delta_{n,2})$. We now relate the homology groups of X_n and Y_n in some dimensions.

Theorem 4.9. $H_k(X_n; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq H_k(Y_n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ for $k \leq n-3$.

Proof. A cycle c in X_n such that $\dim c \leq n-3$ is by Corollary 4.8.1 homologous to a cycle c' such that $\hat{\mu}(c') \subset \partial \Delta_{n,2}$. In addition, if $c = \partial d$ then $\dim d \leq n-2$, so again by Corollary 4.8.1 we have that d is homologous to a cycle d' such that $\hat{\mu}(d') \subset \partial \Delta_{n,2}$. Therefore, the homology groups in dimensions $\leq n-3$ of X_n and Y_n are isomorphic. \square

Remark 7. We demonstrate that Theorem 4.9 does not hold for $k = n-2$. Namely, any cycle of dimension $n-2$ is homologous to a cycle c' in Y_n . Consider the chain $c = \sum_{\forall \omega} C_\omega$ and $\dim C_\omega = n-1$. Then $\partial c = \sum_{\forall C_\omega \in \partial \Delta_{n,2}} C_\omega$, $\dim(C_\omega) = n-2$. There is no $(n-1)$ -dimensional chain $\tilde{c} \in Y_n$ such that $\partial \tilde{c} = \partial c$. Such chain \tilde{c} should contain the summand of the form $\tilde{c} = \sum_{\substack{\forall C_\omega \in \partial \Delta_{n,2} \\ \dim C_\omega = n-2}} C_\omega \times$

l_ω , where $\dim l_\omega = 1$. But for $C_\omega = \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n-1,1} \in \partial \tilde{c}$, we have that F_ω is a point so an 1-dimensional chain \tilde{l}_ω does not exist.

We extended the previous results for the cycles of dimensions $k = n-1$ and $k = n$.

Proposition 4.10. *Let c be a cycle $c = \sum_\omega C_\omega \times l_\omega$ on X_n such that $\dim c = n-1$ or $\dim c = n$. Then the cycle c is homologous to the cycle c' such that $\hat{\mu}(c') \subset \partial \Delta_{n,2}$.*

Proof. Let us write a cycle c as $c_0 + c_1$ such that $\hat{\mu}(c_0) \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ and $\hat{\mu}(c_1) \subset \partial \Delta_{n,2}$. Let $\dim c = n-1$. If all chambers C_ω in c_0 have dimension $n-1$ then $c_0 = \sum_\omega C_\omega$ is the sum of all chambers of the dimension $n-1$. It follows that ∂c_0 consists of all chambers of top dimension $n-2$ on $\partial \Delta_{n,2}$. Since $\partial c_0 = \partial c_1$ and $\Delta_{n-1,1} \in \partial c_0$ it follows that $\Delta_{n-1,1}$ should be a chamber in ∂c_1 . Since the corresponding space of parameters for simplex $\Delta_{n-1,1}$ is a point, there does not exist a $(n-1)$ -dimensional chain $\tilde{c} \in \partial \Delta_{n,2}$ such that $\Delta_{n-1,1}$ contributes to $\partial \tilde{c}$. Thus, there should exist C_ω of dimension $\leq n-2$ contributing to c_0 . Now, by Corollary 4.8.1 we have that c is homologous to a cycle $c_{n-1} + c'$, so the previous implies that $c_{n-1} = 0$. For $\dim c = n$, by Corollary 4.8.1 we can assume that $c_0 = \sum_\omega C_\omega \times l_\omega$ such that $\dim C_\omega = n-1$ for all ω . Then $\dim l_\omega = 1$, so Corollary 4.4.7 gives the c_0 is homologous to zero, which concludes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.11. $H_{n-1}(X_n; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H_{n-1}(Y_n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $H_{n-2}(Y_n; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus H_{n-2}(X_n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Proof. Let c be a cycle in Y_n of dimension $n - 1$. If \hat{c} is a chain in X_n such that $\partial \hat{c} = c$ then \hat{c} is by Corollary 4.8.1 homologous to a chain $\hat{c}_{n-1} + \hat{c}'$. If $\hat{c}_{n-1} \neq 0$ then $\hat{c}_{n-1} = \sum C_\omega \times l_\omega$, where $\dim l_\omega = 1$ and $\partial^0 \hat{c}_{n-1} = 0$. It follows from Corollary 4.4.7 that \hat{c}_{n-1} is homologous to zero. Thus, \hat{c} is homologous to a chain from Y_n . Let $\dim c = n - 2$ and assume that c contains a chamber of dimension $n - 2$. Then c contains the chain $d = \sum_{\forall C_\omega} C_\omega$, $C_\omega \subset \partial \Delta_{n,2}$ and $\dim C_\omega = n - 2$. Since $d = \partial \hat{d}$, $\hat{d} = \sum_{\forall C_\omega} C_\omega$, $\dim C_\omega = n - 1$ it follows that c is homologous in X_n to a cycle c' from $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(\cup_{1 \leq m \leq n} \partial O_m)$ not containing chambers of dimension $n - 2$. Using Remark 7 the second statement follows. \square

Proposition 4.12. Let c be a chain on X_n such that $\dim c = n - 1 + 2k + 1$ where $\partial^0 c = 0$ and $1 \leq k < n - 3$. Then the chain c is homologous to a chain c' such that $\hat{\mu}(c') \subset \partial \Delta_{n,2}$.

Proof. Let $c = c_0 + c_1$, where $\hat{\mu}(c_0) \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ and $\hat{\mu}(c_1) \subset \partial \Delta_{n,2}$. The chain c_0 cannot be a cycle, since by Corollary 4.4.4 all chambers C_ω must be of the dimension $n - 1$ and the dimension of l_ω has to be even. Since $\partial^0 c_0 = 0$, by Corollary 4.8.1 we can write $c_0 = c_{n-1} + c'$ where $c' \in \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\partial \Delta_{n,2})$ and $c_{n-1} = \sum C_\omega \times l_\omega$, $\dim(C_\omega) = n - 1$. It follows that $\partial^0 c_{n-1} = 0$ and $\dim(l_\omega) = 2k + 1$ for any ω . By Corollary 4.4.7 we have that the chain c_{n-1} is homologous to zero. \square

Proposition 4.13. Let c be a cycle on X_n of the dimension $\dim c = n - 1 + 2k$, $1 \leq k \leq n - 3$. Then c is homologous to a cycle c' of the form

$$c' = c'_0 + c'_1, \text{ where } c'_0 \text{ and } c'_1 \text{ are cycles}$$

such that $c'_0 \in \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2})$ and $c'_1 \in \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\partial \Delta_{n,2})$.

For the proof we will make use of the following result.

Lemma 4.14. Let $C_\omega \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$ and $C_{\bar{\omega}}$ a facet of C_ω such that $C_{\bar{\omega}} \subset \Delta_{n-1,2}(i)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $F_\omega \setminus F$ is homeomorphic to $F_{\bar{\omega}}$.

Proof. We first note that for any $P_{\bar{\sigma}} \subset \partial \Delta_{n,2}$, $\dim P_{\bar{\sigma}} = n - 2$, there exists $P_\sigma \neq \Delta_{n,2}$ such that $P_{\bar{\sigma}}$ is a facet of P_σ . Now, the chamber C_ω must belong to some halfspace $x_i + x_j < 1$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, $j \neq i$. Otherwise, C_ω would belong to all halfspaces $x_i + x_j \geq 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, $j \neq i$. If $C_\omega \subset \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma \neq \Delta_{n,2}$ then Plücker coordinates $P^{kl} = 0$ on the stratum W_σ for all $1 \leq k < l \leq n$, $k, l \neq i$, so $P_\sigma \subset \Delta_{n-1,1}(i) \subset \partial \Delta_{n,2}$, which is not the case.

Let C_ω belongs to a halfspace $x_i + x_j < 1$. If $C_\omega \subset \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma \neq \Delta_{n,2}$, then $P^{ij} = 0$ on the stratum W_σ . In addition W_σ belongs to a chart M_{jl} , otherwise $P^{jl} = 0$ for all $j \neq l$, so $P_\sigma \subset \partial \Delta_{n,2}$. Let us take $j = 1, i = 3, l = 2$. In the chart M_{12} the stratum W_σ is represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & b_3 & a_4 & \dots & a_n \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & b_4 & \dots & b_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (15)$$

which implies $F_\sigma \cong F_{\bar{\sigma}}$, where $P_{\bar{\sigma}} \subset \partial \Delta_{n-1,2}(i)$ is a facet of P_σ . This proves the statement. \square

Proof. (Proposition 4.13) Let $c = c_0 + c_1 + c_2$, where $\hat{\mu}(c_0) \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n,2}$, $\hat{\mu}(c_1) \subset \cup_{1 \leq m \leq n} \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n-1,2}(m)$ and $\hat{\mu}(c_2) \subset \cup_{1 \leq m \leq n} \partial \Delta_{n-1,2}(m)$. By Corollary 4.8.1 the chain c_0 is homologous to a chain $c_{n-1} + c'$, that is we can write $c = c_0^{n-1} + c_1 + c_2$.

Assume that $c_0^{n-1} = \sum C_\omega \times l_\omega$ is not a cycle. It implies that $\partial c_0^{n-1} = \sum C_{\bar{\omega}} \times \eta_{\omega, \bar{\omega}}^\#(l_\omega) \neq 0$, so $\dim l_\omega \leq 2n - 8$.

By Proposition 4.8, the chain c_1 contains only chambers of dimension $n - 2$, since it can be written as

$$c_1 = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq n} c_1^m, \quad (16)$$

where $c_1^m \subset \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n-1,2}(m))$ and ∂c_1^m does not contain chambers of dimension $\leq n - 3$.

Thus, $c_1 = c_1^{n-2} = \sum C_{\bar{\omega}} \times l_{\bar{\omega}}$. Obviously, $\partial c_0^{n-1} \subset \partial c_1^{n-2}$. If there would exist $C_{\bar{\omega}} \times l_{\bar{\omega}}$ in c_1^{n-2} such that $C_{\bar{\omega}} \times \partial^{\bar{\omega}} l_{\bar{\omega}}$ does not belong to ∂c_0^{n-1} , we would have that $\partial^{\bar{\omega}} l_{\bar{\omega}} = 0$. Since $l_{\bar{\omega}}$ is of odd degree we have $l_{\bar{\omega}} = \partial^{\bar{\omega}} \hat{l}_{\bar{\omega}}$. Then $\partial(C_{\bar{\omega}} \times \hat{l}_{\bar{\omega}}) = C_{\bar{\omega}} \times l_{\bar{\omega}} + c_{\bar{\omega}, n-3}$, where the second chain contains only the chambers of dimension $n - 3$. Again using Proposition 4.8, c_1^{n-2} is homologous to a chain for which it does not contain such chambers and it holds $\eta_{\omega, \bar{\omega}}^\#(l_\omega) = \partial^{\bar{\omega}} l_{\bar{\omega}}$.

By Lemma 4.14 we deduce that $(\eta_{\omega, \bar{\omega}}^\#)^{-1}(l_\omega) = l_{\bar{\omega}}$ and $l_\omega = \partial^{\bar{\omega}} l_{\bar{\omega}} = \partial^\omega l_{\bar{\omega}}$.

For the chain $\bar{d} = \sum C_\omega \times l_\omega$ we have $\partial \bar{d} = \sum C_\omega \times \partial^\omega l_\omega + \sum C_{\omega'} \times l_{\omega'} + \sum C_{\bar{\omega}} \times l_{\bar{\omega}} = c_0^{n-1} + c_0^{n-2} + c_1^{n-2}$. We can write $c = c_0^{n-2} + c_2^{\leq n-3}$, where all chambers in the second summand are belong to $\bigcup_{1 \leq m \leq n} \partial \Delta_{n-1,2}(m)$ and are of dimension $\leq n - 3$.

We write $c_2^{\leq n-3} = c_2 + c_3 + c_4$, where c_2 is the chain from the union of all $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n-3,2}(ij)$, the chain c_3 is from the union of all $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n-3,2}(ijk)$ and c_4 is the rest. The claims that c_2 contains only chambers of dimension $n - 3$ and c_3 contains only chambers of $n - 4$ follow in the same way as for c_1 . We denote them by $c_2^{n-3} = \sum C_\omega \times l_\omega$ and $c_3^{n-4} = \sum C_{\hat{\omega}} \times l_{\hat{\omega}}$ resp. Then :

$$\partial c_2^{n-3} = \partial c_0^{n-2} + \sum C_{\hat{\omega}} \times l_{\hat{\omega}}, \quad \partial c_3^{n-4} = \sum C_{\hat{\omega}} \times \partial l_{\hat{\omega}} + \tilde{c}_4^{n-5},$$

where \tilde{c}_4^{n-5} is a chain of dimension $n - 5$. It follows that $\sum C_{\hat{\omega}} \times l_{\hat{\omega}} = \sum C_{\hat{\omega}} \times \partial l_{\hat{\omega}}$, so $C_{\bar{\omega}} = C_{\hat{\omega}}$ and $l_\omega = \partial \hat{l}_{\bar{\omega}}$. We consider the chain:

$$\tilde{c}_2^{n-3} = \sum C_\omega \times \hat{l}_{\bar{\omega}}.$$

It holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \tilde{c}_2^{n-3} &= \sum C_\omega \times \partial \hat{l}_{\bar{\omega}} + \sum C_{\bar{\omega}} \times \hat{l}_{\bar{\omega}} + \sum C_{\omega'} \times \hat{l}'_{\bar{\omega}} = \\ &= c_2^{n-3} + c_3^{n-4} + \tilde{c}_2^{n-4}, \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{c}_2^{n-4} is a chain whose chambers are of dimension $n - 4$ and from the union of $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n-3,2}(ij)$. We obtain that the cycle $c = c_0^{n-2} + c_2^{n-3} + c_3^{n-4} + c_4$ is homologous to:

$$c' = c_0^{n-2} + \tilde{c}_2^{n-4} + c^{\leq n-5}, \quad (17)$$

where a chain $c^{\leq n-5} \subset \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\partial \Delta_{n,2})$ contains chambers of dimension $\leq n - 5$. We conclude the proof if we set $c'_0 = c_0^{n-2}$. \square

Corollary 4.14.1. *Let c be a cycle of dimension q in $Y_n \subset X_n$.*

- *If $q = n - 2 + 2k + 1$, $k \geq 0$ or $q \leq n - 2$, then c is homologous to a cycle from $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(\bigcup_{1 \leq m \leq n} \partial \Delta_{n-1,2}(m))$.*

- If $q = n - 2 + 2k$, $k \geq 1$ then c is homologous to a cycle c^l of the following form:

$$c^l = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq n} c^m + c_2, \text{ for } c^m \in \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n-1,2}(m)) \text{ and } c_2 \in \hat{\mu}^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq m \leq n} \partial \Delta_{n-1,2}(m)\right),$$

where:

$$c^m = \sum_{\sigma_m} \overset{\circ}{P}_{\sigma_m, n-2} \times l^{\sigma_m}, \text{ where } \dim(l_{\sigma_m}^{n-2}) = \dim(F_{\sigma}^m) \text{ and } \overset{\circ}{P}_{\sigma_m, n-2} \subset \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n-1,2}(m).$$

Proof. Assume first that $q \neq n - 2$. Then

$$c = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq n} c^m + c_2, \text{ where } c^m \subset \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{n-1,2}(m)) \text{ and } c_2 \subset \hat{\mu}^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq m \leq n} \partial \Delta_{n-1,2}(m)\right).$$

By Corollary 4.8.1 we can assume that c^m contains only chambers of the dimension $n - 2$.

Obviously for $q \leq n - 3$ the statement follows. Let $q = n - 2 + 2k + 1$, $k \geq 0$, so the dimension of l_{ω} is odd. By Corollary 4.4.7 a chain c^m is homologous to a chain from $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(\partial \Delta_{n-1,2}(m))$.

Let $q = n - 2 + 2k$, $k \geq 1$. If c^m is cycle then by Theorem 4.13, it is of the form:

$$\sum_{\sigma_m} \overset{\circ}{P}_{\sigma_m, n-2} \times l_{\sigma_m}^{m-2}$$

with the desired properties. If c^m is not a cycle, then by Corollary 4.4.6 the statement follows. Finally, we consider the case $q = n - 2$. We can write c as

$$c = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq n} c^m + c_1 + c_2, \text{ where } c_1 \in \hat{\mu}^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq m \leq n} \Delta_{n-1,1}(m)\right)$$

and c_2 and c^m are as in the first case. If some $c^m \neq 0$, it follows that

$$c^m = \sum_{\forall C_{\omega}} C_{\omega}, \quad \dim(C_{\omega}) = n - 2.$$

Since a simplex $\Delta_{n-2,1}(mr) \subset \partial c^m$, $1 \leq r, m \leq n$ and $r \neq m$ cannot be canceled by some boundary of $(n - 2)$ -dimensional chain from c_2 , it follows that the chain $\tilde{c}_1 = \sum_{\forall s \neq m} C_s$, where C_s is a $(n - 2)$ -dimensional chamber of the simplex $\Delta_{n-1,1}(s)$ satisfies $\tilde{c}_1 \subset c_1$. Similarly, any boundary C_{sl} , $l \neq m$ of C_s can only be canceled by the boundary of chain

$$c^l = \sum_{\forall C_{\omega}} C_{\omega}, \quad \dim(C_{\omega}) = n - 2.$$

We get the cycle

$$d = \sum_{\forall C_{\omega} \in \partial \Delta_{n,2}} C_{\omega}, \quad \dim(C_{\omega}) = n - 2$$

in c . But the cycle d is homologous to zero on X_n . □

5 \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology groups of X_5

The integral homology groups of X_5 are calculated both in [6] using the stratification of X_5 given by (1) and in [19] using Geometric invariant theory. We present here the calculations for \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology of X_5 in different way, applying the model from Theorem 2.10, the existence of diffeomorphism between $\mathcal{M}_{0,5}$ and the universal space of parameters \mathcal{F}_5 and relying on the homology of $\mathcal{M}_{0,5}$ from [16].

By Lemma 4.3 and Corollary 4.4.3, \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology groups in dimensions 8 and 7 are given by

$$H_8(X_5; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad H_7(X_5; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq 0.$$

Let $c = \sum C_\omega \times l_\omega$ be a cycle on X_5 of the dimension k , $0 \leq k \leq 4$. By Proposition 4.10 and Theorem 4.9 we have that c is homologous to a cycle from Y_5 . Then Corollary 4.14.1 gives that c is homologous to a cycle from $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(\bigcup_{1 \leq m \leq 5} \partial\Delta_{4,2}(m))$. For $k = 3$ or $k = 4$ it holds $\dim(l_\omega) \geq 1$ and since the space of parameters of $\partial\Delta_{4,2}(m)$ is a point, it follows

$$H_k(X_5; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq 0 \text{ for } k = 3, 4.$$

For $k \leq 2$, we have $c = \sum C_\omega$. But $\partial c \subset \partial\Delta_{5,2} \simeq S^3$, so

$$H_k(X_5; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq 2, \quad H_0(X_5; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

We recall the description of an admissible polytopes, the spaces of parameters and the virtual spaces of parameters for X_5 from [6]. An admissible polytopes for X_5 are:

- 4-dimensional

- $\Delta_{5,2}$
- K_{ij} or $K_{ij}(9) : \{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_{5,2} \mid x_i + x_j \leq 1\}$
- K_{rpq} or $K_{ij}(7) : \{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_{5,2} \mid x_i + x_j \geq 1\} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_{5,2} \mid x_r + x_p + x_q \leq 1\}$, where $\overline{ij} = \{1, \dots, 5\} \setminus rpq$
- $K_{ij,pq} := \{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_{5,2} \mid x_i + x_j \leq 1, x_p + x_q \leq 1, \{i, j\} \cap \{p, q\} = \emptyset\}$

- 3-dimensional

- $O_i : \{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_{5,2} \mid x_i = 0\}$, $P_{ij} : \{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_{5,2} \mid x_i + x_j = 1\}$, $T_i : \{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_{5,2} \mid x_i = 1\}$

According to (4) in Section 2.

Corollary 5.0.1. *The space of parameters F_5 of the main stratum W is homeomorphic to*

$$\{((c_{12} : c'_{12}), (c_{13} : c'_{13}), (c_{23} : c'_{23})) \in \mathbb{C}P_A^1 \times \mathbb{C}P_A^1 \times \mathbb{C}P_A^1 \mid c'_{12}c_{13}c'_{23} = c_{12}c'_{13}c_{23}\}$$

Theorem 2.3 for $n = 5$ gives, see also [6]

Corollary 5.0.2. *The universal space of parameters \mathcal{F}_5 for $G_{5,2}$ is defined as the blowup of \bar{F} at the point $((1 : 1), (1 : 1), (1 : 1))$.*

The virtual spaces of parameters are collected, see also Chapter 11 in [6], as follows:

Corollary 5.0.3. *For admissible polytopes P_σ mentioned above the spaces of parameters F_σ and virtual spaces of parameters \tilde{F}_σ are:*

1. $P_\sigma = \Delta_{5,2} \Rightarrow F_\sigma \simeq \tilde{F}_\sigma \simeq F$; $P_\sigma = K_{ij} \Rightarrow F_\sigma \simeq \tilde{F}_\sigma \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1_A$,
2. $P_\sigma = O_i \Rightarrow F_\sigma \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1_A$ and $\tilde{F}_\sigma \simeq (\mathbb{C}P^1_A \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1) \cap \mathcal{F}_5$;
3. $P_\sigma = K_{ij,pq} \Rightarrow F_\sigma = \tilde{F}_\sigma$ is a point; $P_\sigma = K_{ijk} \Rightarrow F_\sigma$ is a point and $\tilde{F}_\sigma \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1$;
4. for others $P_\sigma \Rightarrow F_\sigma$ is a point and \tilde{F}_σ is not a point.

Following notation above of P_σ by K_J we further denote F_σ and \tilde{F}_σ by F_J and \tilde{F}_J as well.

5.1 $H_6(X_5; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $H_5(X_5; \mathbb{Z}_2)$

We want to write relations (10) in the terms of the virtual spaces of parameters for X_5 .

Lemma 5.1. *The homology group $H_2(\mathcal{F}_5)$ is generated by the classes determined by the virtual spaces of parameters $\tilde{F}_{\bar{ij}}$ and the following relations hold:*

$$\tilde{F}_{\bar{ij}} + \tilde{F}_{\bar{pq}} = \tilde{F}_{\bar{ip}} + \tilde{F}_{\bar{jq}} = \tilde{F}_{\bar{iq}} + \tilde{F}_{\bar{jp}} \quad (18)$$

for every distinct $\{i, j, p, q\} \subset \{1, \dots, 5\}$, where $\bar{ij} = \{1, \dots, 5\} \setminus \{i, j\}$

Proof. We demonstrate the proof for the particular indices $\{1, 3, 4, 5\}$. Let us fix the chart M_{12} and use the map $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{M}_{0,5} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_5$ from Example 4. We need to find the image by \tilde{f} of a divisor D_I from (10). For example, the element D_{12} maps to $\{((c : c'), (c : c'), (1 : 1))\}$. We need to find a stratum W_σ for which this is virtual space of parameters in M_{12} . By (3) such stratum W_σ satisfies $c'a_1b_2 = cb_1a_2, c'a_1b_3 = cb_1a_3, a_2b_3 = b_2a_3$, where $(c : c') \in \mathbb{C}P^1$. It follows that $P^{45} = (1 : 1)$ for the points from W_σ . The virtual space of parameters \tilde{F}_{123} is, in the chart M_{14} , written as $(1 : 0) \times (1 : 0) \times \mathbb{C}P^1$. Using the homeomorphism $\tilde{f}_{12,14}^{-1}$, defined in Theorem 2.3, we obtain the record \tilde{F}_{123} in the chart M_{12} to be $\{((c : c'), (c : c'), (1 : 1))\}$. This proves that $\tilde{f}(D_{12})$ maps to \tilde{F}_{123} .

The divisor D_{124} maps to the exceptional set $\{(((1 : 1), (1 : 1), (1 : 1)), (c : c'))\}$. We need to find the corresponding stratum W_σ that is defined by $P^{34} = P^{35} = P^{45} = 0$. If we write down the stratum whose admissible polytope is $K_{12}(7) = K_{345}$, in the chart M_{13} we obtain that its coordinates are $a_1, a_2 = a_3 = 0, b_1, b_2, b_3$. Therefore, we have that $\tilde{F}_{345} \simeq (1 : 0) \times (1 : 0) \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1$ in the chart M_{13} . Using the homeomorphism $\tilde{f}_{12,13} : \mathcal{F}_{12} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{13}$, defined in Theorem 2.3, we deduce that D_{124} maps to $\tilde{F}_{345} \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1$. Similarly, we proceed in other cases and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{12} &\rightarrow \{((c : c'), (c : c'), (1 : 1))\} \simeq \tilde{F}_{45} & D_{23} &\rightarrow \{((c : c'), (0 : 1), (0 : 1))\} \simeq \tilde{F}_{15} \\ D_{13} &\rightarrow \{((0 : 1), (c : c'), (1 : 0))\} \simeq \tilde{F}_{14} & D_{24} &\rightarrow \{((c : c'), (1 : 1), (c' : c))\} \simeq \tilde{F}_{35} \\ D_{14} &\rightarrow \{(((1 : 1), (c : c'), (c : c'))\} \simeq \tilde{F}_{34} & D_{25} &\rightarrow \{((c : c'), (1 : 0), (1 : 0))\} \simeq \tilde{F}_{25} \\ D_{15} &\rightarrow \{(((1 : 0), (c : c'), (0 : 1))\} \simeq \tilde{F}_{24} & D_{125} &\rightarrow \{(((1 : 0), (1 : 0), (c : c'))\} \simeq \tilde{F}_{13} \\ D_{123} &\rightarrow \{(((0 : 1), (0 : 1), (c : c'))\} \simeq \tilde{F}_{23} & D_{124} &\rightarrow \{(((1 : 1), (1 : 1), (1 : 1)), (c : c'))\} \simeq \tilde{F}_{12} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where $(c : c') \in \mathbb{C}P^1$. Finally, using relations (10) we get that in $H_2(\mathcal{F}_5)$ for indices $\{1, 3, 4, 5\}$ it holds:

$$\tilde{F}_{45} + \tilde{F}_{13} = \tilde{F}_{14} + \tilde{F}_{35} = \tilde{F}_{15} + \tilde{F}_{34}.$$

□

We first consider the chamber C_{ω_0} defined by the intersections of all admissible polytopes $\overset{\circ}{K}_{ij}$. The union

$$\mathcal{F}_{\omega_0} = \bigcup_{C_{\omega_0} \in \overset{\circ}{P}_\sigma} \tilde{F}_\sigma$$

represents a subdivision of the space \mathcal{F}_5 . We can express this union as:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\omega_0} = \bigcup_{ij} \tilde{F}_{ij} \cup \bigcup_{ij,pq} \tilde{F}_{ij,pq},$$

where the notation $\tilde{F}_{ij,pq}$ here and further on assumes that $ij \cap pq = \emptyset$.

Note that every virtual space of parameters $\tilde{F}_{\overline{ij}}$ is the disjoint union of $\mathbb{C}P_A^1$ and three points:

$$\tilde{F}_{\overline{ij}} = \tilde{F}_{ij} \cup \bigcup_{pq} \tilde{F}_{ij,pq} \quad \text{where } \overline{ij} = \{1, \dots, 5\} \setminus \{i, j\} \quad (20)$$

Now, we use the projection $p_{\omega_0,12} : \mathcal{F}_{\omega_0} \rightarrow F_{\omega_0}$ defined in Lemma 2.9 to map the virtual spaces of parameters from relations (18) to the space F_{ω_0} . It directly follows from (20).

Lemma 5.2. *The homology group $H_2(F_{\omega_0})$ is generated by the classes determined by the virtual spaces of parameters F'_{ij} and the following relations hold:*

$$F'_{ij} + F'_{pq} = F'_{ip} + F'_{jq} = F'_{iq} + F'_{jp}$$

for $\{i, j, p, q\} \subset \{1, \dots, 5\}$ where

$$F'_{ij} := F_{ij} \cup \bigcup_{pq} F_{ij,pq}.$$

Let C_{ω_1} be a chamber of the dimension 4 which differs from C_{ω_0} by one K_{lm} , that is $C_{\omega_1} \subset \overset{\circ}{K}_{ij}$, for $ij \neq lm$ and $C_{\omega_1} \not\subset \overset{\circ}{K}_{lm}$. We write \mathcal{F}_{ω_1} as:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\omega_1} = \tilde{F}_{\overline{lm}} \cup \bigcup_{ij \neq lm} \tilde{F}_{ij} \cup \bigcup_{ij,pq \neq lm} \tilde{F}_{ij,pq}.$$

- If $\tilde{F}_{\overline{ij}}$ is such that $ij \neq lm$ and $ij \not\subset \overline{lm}$ then $p_{\omega_1,12}(\tilde{F}_{\overline{ij}}) = p_{\omega_0,12}(\tilde{F}_{\overline{ij}})$.
- If $ij \subset \overline{lm}$ then $\tilde{F}_{\overline{ij}}$ is represented in \mathcal{F}_{ω_1} as

$$\tilde{F}_{ij} \cup \bigcup_{pq \neq lm} \tilde{F}_{ij,pq} \cup \tilde{F}_{\overline{lm}}$$

and

$$p_{\omega_1,12}(\tilde{F}_{\overline{ij}}) = F_{ij} \cup \bigcup_{pq \neq lm} F_{ij,pq} \cup F_{\overline{lm}} \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1.$$

For simplicity, we write F'_{ij} for this last union.

A virtual space of parameters $\tilde{F}_{\overline{lm}}$ projects to the space $F_{\overline{lm}}$ and it is a point by Corollary 5.0.3. Therefore, the relations in the homology group $H_2(F_{\omega_1})$ are as in the homology group $H_2(F_{\omega_0})$, where we replace the space of parameters F'_{lm} by the zero class. In this way we get relations in any $H_2(F_\omega)$ by substituting F'_{ij} with the zero class in relations for $H_2(F_{\omega_0})$ where $C_\omega \notin K_{ij}$.

Thus, we obtain the result analogous to that of Lemma 5.2 for an arbitrary chamber.

Lemma 5.3. Let $C_\omega = \bigcap_{ij \notin S} K_{ij} \cap \bigcap_{ij \in S} K_{ij}^c$ where $S \subset \{ij | 1 \leq i < j \leq 5\}$. The homology group $H_2(F_\omega)$ is generated by the classes determined by the spaces of parameters F_{ij} and the following relations hold:

$$F_{ij} + F_{pq} = F_{ip} + F_{jq} = F_{iq} + F_{jp}$$

for distinct $\{i, j, p, q\} \subset \{1, \dots, 5\}$, where if any two indices of i, j, p, q are in S then we replace the corresponding class by the zero class.

Definition 5.1. The projection $p_{\omega_0, \omega} : C_2(F_{\omega_0}) \rightarrow C_2(F_\omega)$ is defined by:

$$p_{\omega_0, \omega}(c) = \sum_{ij \in \hat{\omega} \cap \omega} F_{ij} \quad (21)$$

where $c = \sum_{ij \in \hat{\omega}} F_{ij}$ for $\hat{\omega} \subset \omega_0$.

Lemma 5.4. If a cycle l is the zero class in $H_2(F_{\omega_0})$, then $p_{\omega_0, \omega}(l)$ is the zero class in $H_2(F_\omega)$ for a chamber C_ω of maximal dimension.

Proof. Let a cycle $l = \sum_{ij \in \hat{\omega}} F'_{ij}$ be zero in $H_2(F_{\omega_0})$ for some $\hat{\omega} \subset \omega_0$. Let C_ω be a chamber such that $\omega \neq \omega_0$, that is $C_\omega \not\subseteq K_{pq}$ for some $p, q \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$. Let $pq \notin \hat{\omega}$, by Lemma 5.2 and 5.3 we get that the cycle $p_{\omega_0, \omega}(l)$ is zero in $H_2(F_\omega)$. If $pq \in \hat{\omega}$, then by Lemma 5.3 we obtain that $p_{\omega_0, \omega}(l) = \sum_{\substack{ij \in \hat{\omega} \\ ij \neq pq}} F_{ij}$, so it is zero in $H_2(F_\omega)$. \square

Theorem 5.5. $H_6(X_5; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.4.5 cycles of dimension 6 are generated by the cycles

$$c_{mi} := e_{mi} + e_{m5} + e_{i5},$$

where $e_{ij} = K_{ij,4} \times F_{ij}$ and $1 \leq m \leq 3$ and $m+1 \leq i < 5$.

Let $c = \sum c_{mi} = \sum e_{pq}$. On the other hand, we can write the cycle c

$$c = \sum_{\omega} \sum_{ij \in \omega} C_\omega \times F_{ij} = \sum_{\omega} C_\omega \times \left(\sum_{ij \in \omega} F_{ij} \right).$$

If there exists a chain $\tilde{c} = \sum C_{\tilde{\omega}} \times l_{\tilde{\omega}}$ such that $\partial \tilde{c} = c$ we have that

$$\partial \tilde{l}_\omega = \sum_{ij \in \omega} F_{ij}, \text{ for all } \omega.$$

Thus, a cycle c is homologically zero if $\sum_{ij \in \omega} F_{ij} = 0$ in $H_2(F_\omega)$ for all ω appearing in c .

In particular, consider c defined by

$$c = c_{12} + c_{13} = e_{12} + e_{13} + e_{25} + e_{35} = \sum_{\omega} C_\omega \times (F_{12} + F_{13} + F_{25} + F_{35}).$$

We have that $C_\omega \in K_{ij,4}$ for at least one $ij \in \{12, 13, 25, 35\}$ and observe that C_{ω_0} participates in this sum. To prove that c is zero in $H_6(X_5; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, it is sufficient by Lemma 5.4 to prove that $C_{\omega_0} \times (F_{12} + F_{13} + F_{25} + F_{35})$ is zero in $H_6(X_5; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, that is $F_{12} + F_{13} + F_{25} + F_{35} = 0$ in $H_2(F_{\omega_0})$. This is true by Lemma 5.2 taking indices $\{1, 2, 3, 5\}$, so $c_{12} = c_{13}$ in $H_6(X_5; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Similarly, using other choices of indices in Lemma 5.2, we deduce that $c_{13} = c_{14}$, $c_{13} = c_{23}$, $c_{23} = c_{24}$ and $c_{24} = c_{34}$ in $H_6(X_5; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. \square

Theorem 5.6. $H_5(X_5; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.10 cycles of the dimension 5 are homologous to cycles from Y_5 . By Corollary 4.14.1 only possible cycles of dimension 5 are:

$$c = \sum_{\sigma_m} O_{m,3} \times l^m,$$

where l^m is a chain on $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(O_m)$ that is a top dimensional cycle in F_4 for $1 \leq m \leq 5$. Thus, the potential generators are:

$$g_m = O_{m,3} \times l,$$

for $1 \leq m \leq 5$.

Let \tilde{c} be a chain of dimension 6 such that $\partial\tilde{c} = \sum g_m$. By Corollary 4.8.1 we can assume that every C_ω is of the dimension 4. By Corollary 4.4.6 the chain \tilde{c} is of the form $e_{ij} = K_{ij,4}^\circ \times F_{ij}$ and $\partial e_{ij} = g_i + g_j$. We conclude that the sum of every two generators g_i is homologically equals to zero. \square

6 \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology groups of X_6

The \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology groups of X_6 are not known up to our knowledge. By Lemma 4.3 and Corollary 4.4.3, \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology groups in dimensions 11 and 10 are :

$$H_{11}(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2, H_{10}(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq 0.$$

Theorem 4.9 implies that $H_k(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq H_k(Y_6; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, for $1 \leq k \leq 3$. By Corollary 4.14.1 a cycle c of this dimension k is homologous to a cycle from $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(\bigcup_{1 \leq m \leq 6} \partial\Delta_{5,2}(m))$. We write c as:

$$c = c_1 + c_2 + c_3,$$

where

$$c_1 \in \hat{\mu}^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq p < q \leq 6} \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{4,2}(pq)\right), c_2 \in \hat{\mu}^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq 6 \\ 1 \leq q \leq 6 \\ p \neq q}} \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{4,1}(pq)\right) \text{ and } c_3 \in \hat{\mu}^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq p < q \leq 6} \partial\Delta_{4,2}(pq)\right).$$

By Corollary 4.8.1 we can assume that l_ω is a point for every C_ω in c . In this case c is a cycle on $\partial\Delta_{6,2} = S^4$, so

$$H_k(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq 3.$$

We give the admissible polytopes, the space of parameters and the virtual space of parameters, defined in Section 2, for X_6 .

For a non-orbit strata the admissible polytopes are given by:

- 5-dimensional
 - $\Delta_{6,2}$
 - $K_{ijk} : \{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_{6,2} \mid x_i + x_j + x_k \leq 1\}$; $K_{ij} : \{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_{6,2} \mid x_i + x_j \leq 1\}$
 - $K_{ijpq} : \{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_{6,2} \mid x_i + x_j + x_p + x_q \leq 1\}$
 - $K_{ij,pq} : \{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_{6,2} \mid x_i + x_j \leq 1, x_p + x_q \leq 1\}$ where $\{i, j\} \cap \{p, q\} = \emptyset$

- $K_{ij,pq,lm} : \{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_{6,2} \mid x_i + x_j \leq 1, x_p + x_q \leq 1, x_l + x_m \leq 1\}$ where
 $\{i, j\} \cap \{p, q\} = \emptyset, \{i, j\} \cap \{l, m\} = \emptyset, \{p, q\} \cap \{l, m\} = \emptyset$
- $K_{ij,pqr} : \{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_{6,2} \mid x_i + x_j \leq 1, x_p + x_q + x_r \leq 1, \{i, j\} \cap \{p, q, r\} = \emptyset\}$

• 4-dimensional

- $O_m : \{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_{6,2} \mid x_m = 0\}$

According to Subsection 2.1 we have:

Corollary 6.0.1. *The space of parameters F_6 of the main stratum W is homeomorphic to*

$$\{((c_{12} : c'_{12}), (c_{13} : c'_{13}), (c_{14} : c'_{14}), (c_{23} : c'_{23}), (c_{24} : c'_{24}), (c_{34} : c'_{34})) \in (\mathbb{C}P_A^1)^6 \mid c'_{ij}c_{ik}c'_{jk} = c_{ij}c'_{ik}c_{jk}, \text{ for } ijk \in \{123, 124, 134, 234\}\}$$

The spaces of parameters of the strata we obtain by straightforward calculations.

Lemma 6.1. *The spaces of parameters F_σ for the strata W_σ are:*

- $P_\sigma = \Delta_{6,2} \Rightarrow F_\sigma \simeq F_6;$
- $P_\sigma = K_{ij} \Rightarrow F_\sigma \simeq F_5; \quad P_\sigma = K_{ijp} \Rightarrow F_\sigma \simeq \mathbb{C}P_A^1$
- $P_\sigma = K_{ij,pq} \Rightarrow F_\sigma \simeq \mathbb{C}P_A^1; \quad P_\sigma = O_i \Rightarrow F_\sigma \simeq F_5$
- $P_\sigma = K_{ijkl} \Rightarrow F_\sigma \text{ is a point}; \quad P_\sigma = K_{ij,pq,lm} \Rightarrow F_\sigma \text{ is a point}$
- *for others $P_\sigma \Rightarrow F_\sigma$ is a point*

According to Theorem 2.3, see also [6] it holds:

Theorem 6.2. *The universal space of parameters \mathcal{F}_6 is the blowup of \overline{F}_6 firstly at the point $((1 : 1)^6)$ and then at the submanifolds $\overline{F}_{345}, \overline{F}_{346}, \overline{F}_{356}, \overline{F}_{456}$*

The virtual spaces of parameters for the strata we obtain using the definitions from Subsection 2.1 by direct computations:

Lemma 6.3. *The virtual spaces of parameters are given by:*

1. $P_\sigma = \Delta_{6,2} \Rightarrow F_\sigma \simeq \tilde{F}_\sigma \simeq F_6; \quad P_\sigma = K_{ij} \Rightarrow F_\sigma \simeq \tilde{F}_\sigma \simeq F_5,$
2. $P_\sigma = K_{ijp} \Rightarrow \tilde{F}_\sigma \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P_A^1; \quad P_\sigma = K_{ijpq} \Rightarrow \tilde{F}_\sigma \simeq \mathcal{F}_5$
3. $P_\sigma = K_{ij,pq} \Rightarrow F_\sigma = \tilde{F}_\sigma \simeq \mathbb{C}P_A^1; \quad P_\sigma = K_{ij,pq,lm} \Rightarrow F_\sigma = \tilde{F}_\sigma \text{ is a point},$
4. $P_\sigma = K_{ij,pqr} \Rightarrow \tilde{F}_\sigma \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1,$
5. $P_\sigma = O_i \Rightarrow \tilde{F}_\sigma \simeq \pi^{-1}((F_5 \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1) \cap \overline{F}_6) \subset \mathcal{F}_6, \text{ where } \pi : \mathcal{F}_6 \rightarrow \overline{F}_6 \text{ is a natural projection morphism.}$
6. *for others $P_\sigma \Rightarrow F_\sigma$ is a point and \tilde{F}_σ is not a point.*

Proof. The proof for 2. and 5. follows from the description of \mathcal{F}_6 as iterated blow ups given by Theorem 6.2. \square

Following notation above of P_σ by K_J we further denote F_σ and \tilde{F}_σ by F_J and \tilde{F}_J as well.

We establish the generators and relations in the homology group of \mathcal{F}_6 using the map defined in Example 5, and the description of homology for $\mathcal{M}_{0,6}$

Lemma 6.4. *The homology group $H_4(\mathcal{F}_6)$ is generated by $\tilde{f}(D_I)$ and the following relations hold:*

$$\sum_{\substack{i,j \in I \\ p,q \notin I}} \tilde{f}(D_I) = \sum_{\substack{i,p \in I \\ j,q \notin I}} \tilde{f}(D_I) = \sum_{\substack{i,q \in I \\ j,p \notin I}} \tilde{f}(D_I) \quad (22)$$

where $\{i, j, p, q\} \subset \{1, \dots, 6\}$, the map $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{M}_{0,6} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_6$ is given in Example 5 and $\tilde{f}(D_I)$ are given by:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} D_{12} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{1236} & D_{13} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{1235} & D_{14} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{2356} & D_{15} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{1256} & D_{16} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{1356} & \\ D_{23} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{1234} & D_{24} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{2346} & D_{25} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{1246} & D_{26} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{1346} & D_{34} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{2345} & \\ & & & & D_{35} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{1245} & D_{36} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{1345} \\ \hline D_{123} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{456} \cup \tilde{F}_{456,12} \cup \tilde{F}_{456,13} \cup \tilde{F}_{456,23} & D_{124} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{145} \cup \tilde{F}_{145,36} \cup \tilde{F}_{145,26} \cup \tilde{F}_{145,23} & & & & \\ D_{125} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{345} \cup \tilde{F}_{345,16} \cup \tilde{F}_{345,26} \cup \tilde{F}_{345,12} & D_{126} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{245} \cup \tilde{F}_{245,16} \cup \tilde{F}_{245,36} \cup \tilde{F}_{245,13} & & & & \\ D_{134} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{235} \cup \tilde{F}_{235,16} \cup \tilde{F}_{235,46} \cup \tilde{F}_{235,14} & D_{135} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{346} \cup \tilde{F}_{346,15} \cup \tilde{F}_{346,25} \cup \tilde{F}_{345,12} & & & & \\ D_{136} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{246} \cup \tilde{F}_{246,15} \cup \tilde{F}_{246,35} \cup \tilde{F}_{246,13} & D_{234} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{156} \cup \tilde{F}_{156,34} \cup \tilde{F}_{156,24} \cup \tilde{F}_{156,23} & & & & \\ D_{235} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{356} \cup \tilde{F}_{356,14} \cup \tilde{F}_{356,24} \cup \tilde{F}_{356,12} & D_{236} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{256} \cup \tilde{F}_{256,14} \cup \tilde{F}_{256,34} \cup \tilde{F}_{256,13} & & & & \\ \hline & D_{1234} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{1456} & D_{1235} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{3456} & D_{1236} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{2456} & & \end{array} \quad (23)$$

Proof. Let us fix chart M_{12} . We consider the image $\tilde{f}(D_I)$ and we want to write it down as the union of a virtual spaces of parameters.

For example, the divisor D_{12} maps to the subset

$$\begin{aligned} D_{12} &= ((c : c'), (c : c'), (c_1 : c'_1)) \\ &\mapsto ((c : c'), (c : c'), (c_1 : c'_1), (1 : 1), (cc'_1 : c'c_1), (cc'_1 : c'c_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

If $\tilde{F}_\sigma \subseteq \tilde{f}(D_{12})$ the points of its stratum W_σ satisfies $P^{45} = 0$. On the other hand, the virtual space of parameters \tilde{F}_{1236} in the chart M_{14} is written as

$$((c_1 : c'_1), (1 : 0), (c_2 : c'_2), (1 : 0), (c_3 : c'_3), (0 : 1)).$$

Then by the homeomorphism $\tilde{f}_{14,12} : \mathcal{F}_{14} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{12}$ we get $\tilde{F}_{1236} = \tilde{f}(D_{12})$.

The divisor D_{124} is defined as

$$(0 : 1) \times (0 : 1) \times (c : c') \times (0 : 0 : 1) \times \mathbb{C}P^1.$$

We determine the virtual spaces of parameters $\tilde{F}_\sigma \subseteq \tilde{f}(D_{124})$ for different value of $(c : c')$ as:

- If $(c : c') \notin A$ then we have

$$((0 : 1), (0 : 1), (c : c'), (x_1 : x_2)) \rightarrow ((0 : 1), (0 : 1), (c : c'), (x_1 : x_2), (1 : 0), (1 : 0))$$

as described in Example 5. By (3), we get \tilde{F}_{145} .

- If $(c : c') = (1 : 0)$ we get $\tilde{F}_{145,26}$.

- If $(c : c') = (1 : 1)$ we get $\tilde{F}_{145,36}$.
- If $(c : c') = (0 : 1)$ we get $\tilde{F}_{145,23}$.

Altogether, $\tilde{f}(D_{124}) = \tilde{F}_{145} \cup \tilde{F}_{145,26} \cup \tilde{F}_{145,36} \cup \tilde{F}_{145,23}$. We deduce the rules for mapping the divisors:

- divisor D_I , where $|I| = 2$ or $|I| = 4$ maps to the corresponding virtual space of parameters $\tilde{F}_J \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ such that $|J| = 4$ according to table (23),
- divisor D_I , where $|I| = 3$ maps to

$$\tilde{F}_J \cup \bigcup_{kl} \tilde{F}_{J,kl} \simeq (\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P_A^1) \cup (\mathbb{C}P^1 \times A) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$$

where we determine the set of indices $J, |J| = 3$ similarly as for D_{124} .

The relations follow from the relations in homology of $\mathcal{M}_{0,6}$ given by (10). \square

A chamber C_ω of the maximal dimension in $\Delta_{6,2}$ is obtained as $C_\omega = \bigcap \overset{\circ}{K}_{1ij} \cap \bigcap \overset{\circ}{K}_{pq}$ for all $1ij \in \omega$ and $pq \in \omega$. Let us denote by $C_{\omega_0} = \bigcap_{ij} \overset{\circ}{K}_{1ij}$. We have disjoint union

$$\mathcal{F}_6 = \mathcal{F}_{\omega_0} = \bigcup_{C_{\omega_0} \in P_\sigma} \tilde{F}_\sigma.$$

It can be expressed in more details by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\omega_0} = \bigcup_{ij} \tilde{F}_{ij} \cup \bigcup_{pq,ij} \tilde{F}_{ij,pq} \cup \bigcup_{pq} \tilde{F}_{1pq} \cup \bigcup_{ij,pq} \tilde{F}_{ij,1pq} \cup \bigcup_{ij,pq,lm} \tilde{F}_{ij,pq,lm}, \quad (25)$$

where all indices in each union are distinct according to the description of admissible polytopes from the beginnings of this section.

Therefore, in \mathcal{F}_{ω_0} we can decompose any \tilde{F}_σ contributing to (22), and then, using the projection $p_{\omega_0,12} : \mathcal{F}_{\omega_0} \rightarrow F_{\omega_0}$ in the chart M_{12} , we determine the relations in a homology group of F_{ω_0} .

Proposition 6.5. *The homology group $H_4(F_{\omega_0})$ is generated by the homology classes F_{ij} and the following relations hold:*

$$F_{ij} + F_{pq} = F_{ip} + F_{jq} = F_{iq} + F_{jp}. \quad (26)$$

The homology group $H_2(F_{\omega_0})$ is generated by the homology classes $F_{ij,kl}, F_{1kl}$ and the following relations hold :

$$\sum_{sm} F_{ij,sm} + \sum_{sm} F_{pq,sm} = \sum_{sm} F_{ip,sm} + \sum_{sm} F_{jq,sm} = \sum_{sm} F_{iq,sm} + \sum_{sm} F_{jp,sm} \quad (27)$$

for distinct $\{i, j, p, q\} \subset \{1, \dots, 6\}$.

Proof. Homology generators from relations (23) decompose in \mathcal{F}_{ω_0} as follows:

- \tilde{F}_{1jk} is an element of \mathcal{F}_{ω_0} ,

- For \tilde{F}_{ijk} , $i, j, k \neq 1$ it holds:

$$\tilde{F}_{ijk} = \tilde{F}_{ijk} \cup \tilde{F}_{ijk,ij} \cup \tilde{F}_{ijk,ik} \cup \tilde{F}_{ijk,jk}$$

- For \tilde{F}_{1jppq} it holds

$$\tilde{F}_{1jppq} = \tilde{F}_{1jppq} \cup \tilde{F}_{11jppq} \cup \bigcup_{kl} \tilde{F}_{1jppq,kl} \cup \bigcup_{kl} \tilde{F}_{1jppq,1kl} \cup \bigcup_{kl} \tilde{F}_{11jppq,kl} \cup \bigcup_{kl,mn} \tilde{F}_{1jppq,kl,mn}$$

- For \tilde{F}_{ijppq} it holds

$$\tilde{F}_{ijppq} = \tilde{F}_{ijppq} \cup \bigcup_{k \in \{i,j,p,q\}} \tilde{F}_{kijppq} \cup \bigcup_{kl} \tilde{F}_{ijppq,kl} \cup \bigcup_{k,lm} \tilde{F}_{kijppq,lm} \cup \bigcup_{kl,mn} \tilde{F}_{ijppq,kl,mn}$$

By Lemma 6.3 an element \tilde{F}_{ij} projects to the 4-dimensional space of parameters F_{ij} and $\tilde{F}_{ij,pq}$, \tilde{F}_{ijp} project to the 2-dimensional space of parameters $F_{ij,pq}, F_{ijp}$ respectively. Any other virtual space of parameters projects to a point. Substituting this in (22) and grouping by dimension we deduce the result. We demonstrate calculations for $I = \{2, 3, 5, 6\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{F}_{1456} + (\tilde{F}_{456} \cup \tilde{F}_{456,12} \cup \tilde{F}_{456,13} \cup \tilde{F}_{456,23}) + (\tilde{F}_{156} \cup \tilde{F}_{156,34} \cup \tilde{F}_{156,24} \cup \tilde{F}_{156,23}) + \tilde{F}_{1234} = \quad (28) \\ & \tilde{F}_{1346} + (\tilde{F}_{346} \cup \tilde{F}_{346,15} \cup \tilde{F}_{346,25} \cup \tilde{F}_{346,12}) + (\tilde{F}_{245} \cup \tilde{F}_{245,16} \cup \tilde{F}_{245,36} \cup \tilde{F}_{245,13}) + \tilde{F}_{1245} = \\ & \tilde{F}_{1246} + (\tilde{F}_{345} \cup \tilde{F}_{345,16} \cup \tilde{F}_{345,26} \cup \tilde{F}_{345,12}) + (\tilde{F}_{246} \cup \tilde{F}_{246,15} \cup \tilde{F}_{246,35} \cup \tilde{F}_{246,13}) + \tilde{F}_{1345}. \end{aligned}$$

For example, $p_{\omega_0,12}(\tilde{F}_{1456})$ is the union of the 4-dimensional cell F_{23} , 2-dimensional cells $F_{23,kl}, F_{123}$ and points $F_{123,kl}, F_{23,1kl}, F_{23,kl,mn}$ for all possible indices kl, mn . We can denote this union as $F'_{23} \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$. We do the same for each element from (28).

Taking only the 4-dimensional chains, that are obtained only by the spaces \tilde{F}_J , $|J| = 4$, we get:

$$F_{23} + F_{56} = F_{25} + F_{36} = F_{35} + F_{26}.$$

The 2-dimensional chains F_{ijk} cancel out as it can be seen from relations:

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{123} + \sum_{kl} F_{23,kl} + F_{123} + F_{156} + F_{156} + \sum_{kl} F_{56,kl} = \\ & F_{125} + \sum_{kl} F_{25,kl} + F_{125} + F_{136} + F_{136} + \sum_{kl} F_{36,kl} = \\ & F_{135} + \sum_{kl} F_{35,kl} + F_{126} + F_{135} + F_{126} + \sum_{kl} F_{26,kl}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows:

$$\sum_{kl} F_{23,kl} + \sum_{kl} F_{56,kl} = \sum_{kl} F_{25,kl} + \sum_{kl} F_{36,kl} = \sum_{kl} F_{35,kl} + \sum_{kl} F_{26,kl}.$$

Similarly, we proceed for any indices $\{i, j, p, q\} \subset \{1, \dots, 6\}$. □

Let now C_ω be an arbitrary chamber. We note that every chamber of the maximal dimension is determined by the choice of K_{ijk} and K_{pq} where i is fixed. Let us generalize the notation for C_{ω_0} by C_{ω_s} to denote the chamber given by $\bigcap_{ij} K_{(s+1)ij}$ for fixed $s \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$.

Consider a chamber C_{ω_s} and the decomposition of \mathcal{F}_6 given by

$$\mathcal{F}_6 = \mathcal{F}_{\omega_s} = \bigcup_{ij} \tilde{F}_{ij} \cup \bigcup_{pq,ij} \tilde{F}_{ij,pq} \cup \bigcup_{pq} \tilde{F}_{(s+1)pq} \cup \bigcup_{ij,pq} \tilde{F}_{ij,(s+1)pq} \cup \bigcup_{ij,pq,lm} \tilde{F}_{ij,pq,lm} \quad (29)$$

The relations from Corollary 6.5 for F_{ω_0} are true for the space F_{ω_s} as well. This can be proved similarly if we replace index 1 by $s+1$.

Now, it is enough to take the chamber $C_\omega = \bigcap_{ij \notin S} K_{1ij} \cap \bigcap_{pq \notin D} K_{pq}$ where $S, D \subset \{ij | 1 \leq i < j \leq 6\}$ are chosen such that $C_\omega \neq \emptyset$. Then \mathcal{F}_ω is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_\omega = & \bigcup_{ij \notin D} \tilde{F}_{ij} \cup \bigcup_{ij \in D} \tilde{F}_{ij} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{pq \notin D \\ ij \notin D}} \tilde{F}_{ij,pq} \cup \bigcup_{ij,pq,lm \notin D} \tilde{F}_{ij,pq,lm} \cup \\ & \cup \bigcup_{\substack{pq \notin S \\ ij \notin D}} \tilde{F}_{ij,1pq} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{pq \in S \\ ij \notin D}} \tilde{F}_{ij, \overline{1pq}} \cup \bigcup_{pq \notin S} \tilde{F}_{1pq} \cup \bigcup_{pq \in S} \tilde{F}_{\overline{1pq}}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider relations in $H_4(F_\omega)$. By Corollary 6.3, we can get a 4-dimensional elements F_{ij} only as projection of the virtual spaces of parameters \tilde{F}_{ij} . Since $\tilde{F}_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}_\omega$ if $ij \notin D$, it follows that F_{ij} will participate in the relations for $H_4(F_\omega)$ if $ij \notin D$. Then the relations in $H_4(F_\omega)$ are the same as (26), where we replace the space of parameters F_{ij} by the zero class for $ij \in D$.

The relations in $H_2(F_\omega)$ are affected by the projection of the virtual spaces of parameters of the form \tilde{F}_{ijp} and $\tilde{F}_{ij,pq}$. Applying previous procedure we also have that every element F_{ijk} cancels out. If $\tilde{F}_{1pq} \in \mathcal{F}_\omega$ it will be canceled out as in the calculations proceeding (27). If $\tilde{F}_{1pq} \notin \mathcal{F}_\omega$ then $\tilde{F}_{\overline{1pq}} \in \mathcal{F}_\omega$ and the element $F_{\overline{1pq}}$ stands in the relations of $H_2(F_\omega)$ exactly as the element F_{1pq} stands in the relations of $H_2(F_{\omega_0})$. We demonstrate calculations in a particular example as the general calculation requires a cumbersome using of indices.

Consider the chamber $C_\omega = \bigcap_{ij \notin S} K_{1ij} \cap \bigcap_{ij \notin S} K_{ij}$, for the set $S = \{26, 46\}$. By decomposing any element from (28) in \mathcal{F}_ω and projecting it to F_ω , we obtain:

- the relation in $H_4(F_\omega)$:

$$F_{23} + F_{56} = F_{25} + F_{36} = F_{35},$$

where the element F_{26} is missing out since \tilde{F}_{1345} is an element of \mathcal{F}_ω and it is projected to a point,

- the relation in $H_2(F_\omega)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{123} + F_{235} + \sum_{kl \notin S} F_{23,kl} + F_{123} + F_{156} + F_{156} + \sum_{kl \notin S} F_{56,kl} = \\ & = F_{125} + F_{235} + \sum_{kl \notin S} F_{25,kl} + F_{125} + F_{136} + F_{136} + \sum_{kl \notin S} F_{36,kl} = \\ & = F_{135} + F_{345} + F_{235} + \sum_{kl \notin S} F_{35,kl} + F_{345} + F_{135}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows:

$$\sum_{kl \notin S} F_{23,kl} + \sum_{kl \notin S} F_{56,kl} = \sum_{kl \notin S} F_{25,kl} + \sum_{kl \notin S} F_{36,kl} = \sum_{kl \notin S} F_{35,kl}.$$

Therefore, an analogous result to those for C_{ω_0} can be obtained for arbitrary chamber C_ω .

Proposition 6.6. *Let $s \in \{0, \dots, 5\}$ and let C_ω be the chamber defined by $C_\omega = \bigcap_{ij \notin S} K_{(s+1)ij} \cap \bigcap_{pq \notin D} K_{pq}$ for $S, D \subset \{ij \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 6\}$. The homology group $H_4(F_\omega)$ is generated by the homology classes F_{ij} , $ij \in \omega \setminus D$ and the following relations hold:*

$$F_{ij} + F_{pq} = F_{ip} + F_{jq} = F_{iq} + F_{jp} \quad (30)$$

for $\{i, j, p, q\} \subset \{1, \dots, 6\}$, where we substitute for any element from D the corresponding class by the zero class.

The homology group $H_2(F_\omega)$ is generated by the homology classes $F_{(s+1)ij}$ for $(s+1)ij \in \omega$, $ij \notin S$ and $F_{ij,sm}$ for $ij, sm \in \omega \setminus D$ and the following relations hold:

$$\sum_{sm \notin D} F_{ij,sm} + \sum_{sm \notin D} F_{pq,sm} = \sum_{sm \notin D} F_{ip,sm} + \sum_{sm \notin D} F_{jq,sm} = \sum_{sm \notin D} F_{iq,sm} + \sum_{sm \notin D} F_{jp,sm} \quad (31)$$

for $\{i, j, p, q\} \subset \{1, \dots, 6\}$, where $\sum_{sm \notin D} F_{rl,sm}$ is substituted by the zero class for any rl such that $r, l \in \{i, j, p, q\}$ and $rl \in D$.

Definition 6.1. *Let c be a chain of the form $\sum_{ij \in \hat{\omega}} F_{ij}$ or $\sum_{\{ij, pq\} \in \hat{\omega}} F_{ij, pq}$ in F_{ω_0} where $\hat{\omega} \subset \omega_0$ and let us fix a chamber $C_\omega = \bigcap_{ij \notin S} K_{sij} \cap \bigcap_{ij \notin D} K_{ij}$ for fixed $s \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. The projection $p_{\omega_0, \omega} : H_k(F_{\omega_0}) \rightarrow H_k(F_\omega)$ is defined by*

- if $c = \sum_{ij \in \hat{\omega}} F_{ij}$ and $k = 4$ then

$$p_{\omega_0, \omega}(c) = \sum_{ij \in \hat{\omega} \setminus D} F_{ij} \quad (32)$$

- if $c = \sum_{\{ij, pq\} \in \hat{\omega}} F_{ij, pq}$ and $k = 2$ then

$$p_{\omega_0, \omega}(c) = \sum_{ij, pq \in \hat{\omega} \setminus D} F_{ij, pq}. \quad (33)$$

By Proposition 6.6 this projection is well defined and satisfies the following:

Lemma 6.7. *If a cycle l is the zero class in $H_4(F_{\omega_0})$ or $H_2(F_{\omega_0})$ then the cycle $p_{\omega_0, \omega}(l)$ is the zero class in $H_4(F_\omega)$ or $H_2(F_\omega)$ for any chamber C_ω respectively.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.6, if l is the zero class in $H_4(F_{\omega_0})$ then it is also the zero class in $H_4(F_{\omega_k})$, $k \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$. Thus, we can assume that $C_\omega = \bigcap_{ij \notin S} K_{1ij} \cap \bigcap_{pq \notin D} K_{pq}$. We note that $p_{\omega_0, \omega}(l)$ does not contain an element F_σ for $\sigma \in D$ and F_σ neither participates in relations (30) in $H_4(F_\omega)$. Then by Corollary 6.6 the cycle $p_{\omega_0, \omega}(l)$ can be spanned by relations 30 and therefore it is the zero in $H_4(F_\omega)$. \square

6.1 Homology groups $H_k(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ for $4 \leq k \leq 9$

Theorem 6.8. $H_9(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.4.5 the group of cycles of dimension 9 has basis of the form

$$c_{mi} := e_{mi} + e_{m6} + e_{i6},$$

where $e_{ij} = K_{ij,5} \times F_{ij}$, $1 \leq m \leq 4$ and $m+1 \leq i < 6$.

Let $\tilde{c} = \sum C_\omega \times l_\omega$ be a 10-dimensional chain such that $\partial \tilde{c} = c$ for some cycle $c = \sum c_{mi} = \sum_\omega C_\omega \times (\sum F_{ij})$. A chain l_ω must be of the dimension 5, so it is a chain in F_6 . We get that $\partial \tilde{c} = \sum C'_\omega \times l_\omega + \sum C_\omega \times \partial l_\omega$. Since the first sum must be zero we have that $l_\omega = l$, $\forall \omega$ and $\cup C_\omega = \Delta_{6,2,5}$. Thus, $\partial l = \sum F_{ij}$, so it is homology zero $H_4(F_\omega)$.

We consider the sum $c_{12} + c_{13} = e_{12} + e_{13} + e_{26} + e_{36} = \sum C_\omega \times (F_{12} + F_{13} + F_{26} + F_{36})$. By (26) for the indices $\{1, 2, 3, 6\}$ we get that $F_{12} + F_{13} + F_{26} + F_{36}$ is zero in $H_4(F_{\omega_0})$. We get by Lemma 6.7 that the chain $p_{\omega_0, \omega} (F_{12} + F_{13} + F_{26} + F_{36})$ is zero in $H_4(F_\omega)$ for all C_ω . Therefore, the sum $c_{12} + c_{13}$ is zero in $H_9(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Similarly, we prove for every sum $c_{ij} + c_{ik}$ to be zero class. \square

Theorem 6.9. $H_8(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.12 a cycle c of dimension 8 is homologous to a cycle from Y_6 . Since there are no chains of dimension 8 in $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(\partial O_m)$, by Corollary 4.14.1 it follows that the cycle c is of the form:

$$c = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq 6} a_m (O_{m,4} \times F_5^m), \quad a_m \in \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Every chain $O_{m,4} \times F_5^m$ is a cycle that we denote by g_m .

Let $p = \sum C_\omega \times l_\omega$ be a chain of the dimension 9 such that $\partial p = l$ where $l = \sum g_m$. It follows that C_ω is a 5-dimensional and l_ω is a 4-dimensional chain. Following Corollary 4.4.6 we consider the chains $e_{ij} = K_{ij,5} \times F_{ij}$. It follows that

$$\partial e_{ij} = O_{i,4} \times F_5^i + O_{j,4} \times F_5^j = g_i + g_j.$$

We conclude that the sum of every two generators g_i is zero in $H_8(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. \square

Lemma 6.10. *Generators of $H_7(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ are from the following set of 7-dimensional cycles:*

$$g_{ijkl} = e_{ijk} + e_{ijl} + e_{ikl} + e_{jkl} + e_{ij,kl} + e_{ik,jl} + e_{il,jk}$$

where $e_{ijk} = K_{ijk,5} \times F_{ijk}$ and $e_{ij,kl} = K_{ij,kl,5} \times F_{ij,kl}$ for distinct $i, j, k, l \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.13 a cycle c of the dimension 7 is homologous to cycle $c'_0 + c'_1$ where c_0 is a cycle in $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(\Delta_{6,2})$ and c_1 is a cycle in $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(\partial \Delta_{6,2})$. By Corollary 4.14.1 a cycle c'_1 is in $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(\cup_{1 \leq m \leq 6} \partial O_m)$. Since there are no 7 dimensional chains on ∂O_m , a cycle $c = c'_0$. In addition, by Corollary 4.14.1 and Lemma 6.1 any cycle must be written in the form $\sum e_{ij,kl} + \sum e_{ijk}$. We get

$$\partial_5 e_{ij,kl} = e_{ij}^k + e_{ij}^l + e_{kl}^i + e_{kl}^j, \quad \partial_5 e_{ijk} = e_{ij}^k + e_{ik}^j + e_{jk}^i$$

where e_{ij}^k denotes the chain $e_{ij} = K_{ij,A}(k) \times F_{ij}(k)$ for $K_{ij}(k) \subset O_k$. We check at once that a chain g_{ijkl} is the cycle and cannot be obtained as the sum of some cycles of less number of summands and it follows that every cycle is generated by the set of g_{ijkl} . A summand $e_{ij,kl}$ appears just in one element g_{ijkl} , therefore the set of g_{ijkl} is linearly independent. \square

Theorem 6.11. $H_7(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{11}$.

Proof. Let $c = \sum g_{ijkl} = \sum_{\omega} C_{\omega} \times (\sum_{\sigma \in \omega} F_{\sigma})$ be a cycle. It will be the zero class in $H_7(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ only if $\sum F_{\sigma}$ is zero in $H_2(F_{\omega}, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ for every C_{ω} . It follows from Relations 31 that the cycle c is zero class only if it is of the form $\sum e_{ij,kl}$.

Let us consider the cycles

$$g_s = \sum_{\substack{ijkl \\ s \notin ijkl}} g_{ijkl} = \sum_{\substack{ijkl \\ s \notin ijkl}} e_{ij,kl}. \quad (34)$$

for $s \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$. Note that if we remove a summand g_{ijkl} from the sum (34), what is left cannot be expressed in terms of $e_{ij,kl}$. We show that g_6 is homologically equal to g_5 . The chamber C_{ω_0} participates in the cycles g_6 and g_5 . Firstly we show that

$$\sum_{ijkl \neq 6} F_{ij,kl} = \sum_{ijkl \neq 5} F_{ij,kl} \quad \text{in } H_2(F_{\omega_0}, \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

It holds in $H_2(F_{\omega_0}, \mathbb{Z}_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{ijkl \neq 6} F_{ij,kl} &= \sum_{kl \in \{34,35,45\}} F_{12,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{24,25,45\}} F_{13,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{15,35\}} F_{24,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{15,25\}} F_{34,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{25,35\}} F_{14,kl} + \\ &+ \sum_{kl \in \{15,14,45\}} F_{23,kl} = \\ &= \sum_{kl \in \{36,46,56\}} F_{12,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{26,46,56\}} F_{13,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{13,16,36,56\}} F_{24,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{12,16,26,56\}} F_{34,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{25,35\}} F_{14,kl} + \\ &+ \sum_{kl \in \{15,14,45\}} F_{23,kl} = \\ &= \sum_{kl \in \{36,46\}} F_{12,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{26,46\}} F_{13,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{13,16,36\}} F_{24,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{12,16,26\}} F_{34,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{25,35\}} F_{14,kl} + \\ &+ \sum_{kl \in \{15,14,45\}} F_{23,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{12,13,24,34\}} F_{56,kl} = \\ &= \sum_{kl \in \{36,46\}} F_{12,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{26,46\}} F_{13,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{13,16,36\}} F_{24,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{12,16,26\}} F_{34,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{26,36\}} F_{14,kl} + \\ &+ \sum_{kl \in \{14,16,46\}} F_{23,kl} = \\ &= \sum_{kl \in \{23,24,34\}} F_{16,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{13,14,34\}} F_{26,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{12,14,24\}} F_{36,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{12,13,23\}} F_{46,kl} + F_{12,34} + F_{13,24} + F_{14,23} = \\ &= \sum_{ijkl \neq 5} F_{ij,kl}, \end{aligned}$$

where the third and the fifth equality are obtained just regrouping of a summands, the second equality follows from the relation

$$\sum_{kl} F_{12,kl} + \sum_{kl} F_{34,kl} = \sum_{kl} F_{13,kl} + \sum_{kl} F_{24,kl}$$

and the fourth equality follows from the relation

$$\sum_{kl \in \{25,26,35,36\}} F_{14,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{14,15,16,45,46\}} F_{23,kl} + \sum_{kl \in \{12,13,24,34\}} F_{56,kl} = 0.$$

By Lemma 6.7 it follows that $g_6 = g_5$ in $H_7(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Similarly, we can show that any two g_s and g_r are homologous.

Next, we want to eliminate linearly dependent generators among g_{ijkl} :

$$\begin{aligned}
g_6 = g_5 &\implies g_{2345} = g_{1235} + g_{1245} + g_{1345} + g_{1236} + g_{1246} + g_{1346} + g_{2346} := T_1 + g_{2346} \\
g_5 = g_4 &\implies g_{2356} = g_{1234} + g_{1246} + g_{1346} + g_{2346} + g_{1235} + g_{1256} + g_{1356} := T_2 + g_{2346} \\
g_4 = g_3 &\implies g_{2456} = g_{1235} + g_{1236} + g_{1356} + g_{2356} + g_{1245} + g_{1246} + g_{1456} := T_3 + g_{2356} = \\
&= T_3 + T_2 + g_{2346} = T_3 + T_2 + T_1 + g_{2345} \\
g_3 = g_2 &\implies g_{3456} = g_{1245} + g_{1246} + g_{2456} + g_{1256} + g_{1345} + g_{1346} + g_{1356} := T_4 + g_{2456} \\
g_2 = g_1 &\implies g_{1345} + g_{1346} + g_{1356} + g_{1456} = g_{2345} + g_{2346} + g_{2356} + g_{2456} = \\
&= g_{2345} + g_{2346} + T_2 + g_{2346} + T_3 + T_2 + T_1 + g_{2345} = T_3 + T_1 \\
&= g_{1345} + g_{1346} + g_{1356} + g_{1456},
\end{aligned}$$

where it can be seen that the last implication follows from the previous ones. We get that $g_{2345}, g_{2356}, g_{2456}$ and g_{3456} are linearly dependent. \square

Theorem 6.12. $H_6(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.10 a cycle of dimension 6 is homologous to a cycle in Y_6 . Such cycle is by Corollary 4.14.1 homologous to a cycle

$$c' = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq 6} c^m + c_2, \text{ where } c_m \in \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{O}_m) \text{ and } c_2 \in \hat{\mu}^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq m \leq 6} \partial O_m\right).$$

Since there are no 6-dimensional chains on $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(\partial O_m)$ we have that $c_2 = 0$. A chain c^m has to be of the form:

$$c^m = \sum_{pq} e_{pq}^m$$

where e_{pq}^m is a chain in $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{O}_m)$ defined in Theorem 5.5. If c^m is a cycle, by Theorem 5.5 we get $c^m = e_{12}^m + e_{13}^m + e_{23}^m$.

If c^m is not a cycle, then $\partial c^m \in \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\partial O_m)$, which must be canceled by some chain $\partial \sum_{r \neq m} c^r$. It holds $\partial e_{pq}^m = O_{pm,3} \times l_{pm} + O_{qm,3} \times l_{qm}$, where $O_{ij} = (O_i \cap O_j) \simeq \Delta_{4,2}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 6$. It can be easily seen that the only cycles of this type are:

$$e_{ij}^k + e_{ij}^l + e_{kl}^i + e_{kl}^j = \partial_5 e_{ij,kl}, \quad e_{ij}^k + e_{ik}^j + e_{jk}^i = \partial_5 e_{ijk}. \quad (35)$$

They are homologous to zero. It follows that there are six potentially generators for $H_6(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2)$:

$$g_i = e_{12}^i + e_{13}^i + e_{23}^i \text{ for each complex } O_i, 1 \leq i \leq 6.$$

We need to check if a combination of g_i is homologous to zero. Let $c = \sum C_\omega \times l_\omega$ such that $c \in \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{6,2})$ and $\partial^0 c = 0$ be a 7-dimensional chain, where ∂c is a combination of g_i . By Corollary 4.8.1 every C_ω of c is a chamber of maximal dimension and by Corollary 4.4.6 a chain l_ω is a combination of $F_{ij,kl}$ and F_{ijk} . It follows that c is a sum of $e_{ij,kl}$ and e_{ijk} . For example, by (35) we obtain:

$$\partial(e_{12,36} + e_{13,26} + e_{16,23}) = g_6 + g_3 + g_1 + g_2 = \partial(e_{123} + e_{126} + e_{136} + e_{236}).$$

In this way we deduce that the sum of every four g_i 's is linearly dependent in $H_6(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. \square

Theorem 6.13. $H_5(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.10 a cycle c of dimension 5 is homologous to a cycle in Y_6 , so by Corollary 4.14.1 it is homologous to a cycle from $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(\bigcup_{1 \leq m \leq 6} \partial O_m)$. It follows that $\dim(l_\omega) \geq 1$ for all ω and we can write c as:

$$c = \sum c_{ij} \text{ where } c_{ij} \in \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{O}_{ij})$$

and $O_{ij} = O_i \cap O_j \simeq \Delta_{4,2}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 6$. By Theorem 5.6 we have that $H_5(X_5(j), \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ is spanned by any of $g_i^j = O_{ij,3} \times l_{ij}$, $1 \leq i \leq 6$ and $i \neq j$ for $1 \leq j \leq 6$. Since $g_i^j = g_j^i$ we are left with one generator that we denote by g . Such generator cannot be obtained as the boundary of some 6-dimensional chain $\tilde{c} \in \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{6,2})$, $\tilde{c} = \sum C_\omega \times l_\omega$, where $\dim(C_\omega) = 4$ and $\dim(l_\omega) = 2$. Since $\partial^0 \tilde{c} = 0$, by Corollary 4.8.1 the chain \tilde{c} is homologous to a chain from Y_6 and \tilde{c} cannot be a chain in $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(O_m)$ for any $1 \leq m \leq 6$. Only possibility is that it is the sum of two chains from $\overset{\circ}{O}_i$ and $\overset{\circ}{O}_j$, that is $\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}_i + \tilde{c}_j$. Since $\partial \tilde{c} = g$ by Corollary 4.4.6 the chain $\tilde{c}_i = e_{pq}^i$, where e_{pq}^i is the chain from $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(O_i)$ defined in Theorem 5.5. We conclude that such chain \tilde{c} does not exist. \square

Theorem 6.14. $H_4(X_6; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq 0$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.8.1 cycles c of the dimension 4 are homologous to cycles from Y_6 . By Corollary 4.14.1 a cycle of the dimension 4 is homologous to a cycle from $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(\bigcup_{1 \leq m \leq 6} \partial O_m)$. It follows that $\dim(l_\omega) \geq 1$ for all ω and we can write a cycle c as:

$$c = \sum c_{ij} \text{ where } c_{ij} \in \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{O}_{ij})$$

and $O_{ij} = O_i \cap O_j \simeq \Delta_{4,2}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 6$. It holds $\partial c_{ij} \in \hat{\mu}^{-1}(\partial O_{ij})$ and by Corollary 4.8.1 it follows that c_{ij} is homologous to a chain from $\hat{\mu}^{-1}(\partial O_{ij})$ which has to be zero. \square

References

- [1] Anton Ayzenberg and Mikiya Masuda. “Orbit spaces of equivariantly formal torus actions of complexity one”. In: *Transformation Groups* (2023), pp. 1–34.
- [2] Victor M. Buchstaber and Svjetlana Terzic. “A resolution of singularities for the orbit spaces $G_{n,2}/T^n$ ”. In: *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklova* 317 (2022), pp. 27–63.
- [3] Victor M Buchstaber and Svjetlana Terzić. “The foundations of $(2n, k)$ -manifolds”. In: *Sbornik: Mathematics* 210.4 (2019), pp. 41–86.
- [4] Victor M Buchstaber and Svjetlana Terzić. “Topology and geometry of the canonical action of T^4 on the complex Grassmannian $G_{4,2}$ and the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^5$ ”. In: *Mosc. Math. J.* 16.2 (2016), pp. 237–273.
- [5] Victor M. Buchstaber and Svjetlana Terzic. *Toric topology of Grassmannians and moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable curves*. 2024. eprint: preprint (math.AT).
- [6] Victor M. Buchstaber and Svjetlana Terzic. “Toric topology of the complex Grassmann manifolds”. In: *Mosc. Math. J.* 19.3 (2019), pp. 397–463.
- [7] Victor M. Buchstaber and Svjetlana Terzić. “The orbit spaces $G_{n,2}/T^n$ and the Chow quotients $G_{n,2}/(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ of the Grassmann manifolds $G_{n,2}$ ”. In: *Sbornik: Mathematics* 214.12 (2023), pp. 46–75.

- [8] Özgür Ceyhan. “Chow groups of the moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable curves of genus zero”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 221.6 (2009), pp. 1964–1978.
- [9] William Fulton and Robert MacPherson. “A compactification of configuration spaces”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 139.1 (1994), pp. 183–225.
- [10] Izrail M. Gel’fand and Robert D MacPherson. “Geometry in Grassmannians and a generalization of the dilogarithm”. In: *Advances in mathematics* 44.3 (1982), pp. 279–312.
- [11] Izrail M. Gel’fand and Vera V Serganova. “Combinatorial geometries and torus strata on homogeneous compact manifolds”. In: *Russian Mathematical Surveys* 42.2 (1987), p. 133.
- [12] Mark Goresky and Robert MacPherson. “On the topology of algebraic torus actions”. In: *Algebraic Groups Utrecht 1986: Proceedings of a Symposium in Honour of TA Springer*. Springer. 2006, pp. 73–90.
- [13] Brendan Hassett. “Moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable curves”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 173.2 (2003), pp. 316–352.
- [14] M Kapranov. “Chow quotients of Grassmannians. I”. In: *ADVSOV* (1993), pp. 29–110.
- [15] Yael Karshon and Susan Tolman. “Topology of complexity one quotients”. In: *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 308.2 (2020), pp. 333–346.
- [16] Sean Keel. “Intersection theory of moduli space of stable n-pointed curves of genus zero”. In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 330.2 (1992), pp. 545–574.
- [17] Andrey Losev and Yuri Manin. “New moduli spaces of pointed curves and pencils of flat connections.” In: *Michigan Mathematical Journal* 48.1 (2000), pp. 443–472.
- [18] Yuri Manin. “Moduli stacks Lg, S ”. In: *Mosc. Math. J.* 311.1 (2004), pp. 181–198.
- [19] Hendrik Suess. “Toric Topology of the Grassmannian of Planes in \mathbb{C}^5 and the Del Pezzo Surface of Degree 5”. In: *Moscow Mathematical Journal* (2020).
- [20] Mehdi Tavakol. “The Chow ring of the moduli space of curves of genus zero”. In: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 221.4 (2017), pp. 757–772.
- [21] Günter M Ziegler. *Lectures on polytopes*. Vol. 152. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

Notes

¹Vladimir Ivanović and Sijetlana Terzić
 Faculty of Science and Mathematics, University of Montenegro
 Džordža Vasiingtona bb, 81000 Podgorica, Montenegro
 vladimir.i@ucg.ac.me; sterzic@ucg.ac.me