

Ride-sharing Determinants: Spatial and Spatio-temporal Bayesian Analysis for Chicago Service in 2022

Mohamed Elkhoully¹, Taqwa Alhadidi^{2*}

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Cleveland State University, Cleveland, OH 44115, e-mail: m.elkhoully@csuohio.edu

²Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Al-Ahliyya Amman University, Amman, Jordan 19328, e-mail: t.alhadidi@ammanu.edu.jo.

Abstract

The rapid expansion of ride-sharing services has caused significant disruptions in the transportation industry and fundamentally altered the way individuals move from one place to another. Accurate estimation of ride-sharing improves service utilization and reliability and reduces travel time and traffic congestion. In this study, we employ two Bayesian models to estimate ride-sharing demand in the 77 Chicago community areas. We consider demographic, socio-economic, transportation factors as well as land-use characteristics as explanatory variables. Our models assume conditional autoregression (CAR) prior for the explanatory variables. Moreover, the Bayesian frameworks estimate both the unstructured random error and the structured errors for the spatial and the spatiotemporal correlation. We assessed the performance of the estimated models and the residuals of the spatial regression model have no left-over spatial structure. For the spatiotemporal model, the squared correlation between actual ride-shares and the fitted values is 0.95. Our analysis revealed that the demographic factors (populations size and registered crimes) positively impact the ride-sharing demand. Additionally, the ride-sharing demand increases with higher income and increase in the economically active proportion of the population as well as the residents with no cars. Moreover, the transit availability and the walkability indices are crucial determinants for the ridesharing in Chicago. Furthermore, our results indicate the ride-sharing demand has been increasing through 2022 recovering from the post Covid-19 closures and there is a significant increase in the demand on the weekend days. The implication of the different models' results helps transportation planners and policymakers in several areas, including operating the first and last mile, identifying areas underserved by public transport, allowing ride-sharing services to bridge the gap. It can also enhance accessibility for people with disabilities or those living in remote areas.

Keywords: ride-sharing, spatial, spatiotemporal, Bayesian analysis, Chicago.

Introduction

With the rapid development in transportation and different innovative mobility solutions, ride-sharing has emerged as a widely used mode of urban mobility (Chan & Shaheen, 2012). Ride-sharing has received attention from researchers and practitioners as it shows its ability reduces congestion, serves last-mile trips, reduces emissions, and enhances overall transportation network efficiency (Song et al., 2021; Azadani & Abolhassani, 2023; Simonetto et al., 2019). In addition to these benefits, ride-sharing does not require additional infrastructure investments (Azadani & Abolhassani, 2023; Simonetto et al., 2019). Researchers have identified environmental awareness, ease of use, financial benefits, and social influence as the key factors driving the adoption of ride-sharing services (Hung et al., 2022). Despite these benefits, there are challenges associated with ride-sharing. Some individuals are reluctant to sacrifice the flexibility and convenience of private

automobiles, whereas others are concerned about personal security when riding with strangers (Rayle et al., 2016). Additionally, the integration of dynamic ride-sharing systems into local government transportation portfolios has been explored to meet the mobility needs of specific demographics such as elderly services (Leistner & Steiner, 2017).

To harness the full potential of ride-sharing and address the pressing challenges of modern urban mobility, sophisticated modeling techniques have become indispensable tools for planning, optimizing, and implementing ride-sharing systems. The impact of analytics and artificial intelligence-enabled personal information collection on privacy and participation in ride-sharing has been studied, revealing both the perceived benefits and risks for users (Cheng et al., 2021). Furthermore, the diffusion mechanisms of dynamic ride-sharing services have been investigated, emphasizing the need for a high level of service to establish dynamic ride-sharing as a valid alternative to urban mobility (Marco et al., 2015). The influence of perceived corporate social responsibility (PCSR) on consumer brand commitment in ride-sharing services has also been examined, highlighting the importance of corporate social responsibility in shaping consumer commitment (Fatma et al., 2020).

Several studies have investigated the determinants that affect ride-sharing services in Chicago. These studies have shed light on factors such as the types of people who use them, how trips are structured, and how they affect transportation systems. For example, Rafi and Nithila (2022) examined ride-sharing trip data from 2020 in Chicago to find user groups and how they interacted with sociodemographic and built-environment factors (Rafi & Nithila, 2022). Hansen and Sener (2022) also showed how demographic factors can affect people's preferences regarding ride-sharing. For example, in Chicago in 2019, census tracts with a higher percentage of younger people had a higher proportion of shared rides (Hansen and Sener, 2022). Brown's (2021) also looked at the fairness of ride-hailing fee structures. Chicago was found to have different rates for solo trips and shared trips, with the latter charged less. Having this information about how ride-sharing services in Chicago set their prices helps to understand the economic side of ride-sharing in the city (Brown, 2021). Additionally, Hou et al. (2020) showed that ride-hailing services, such as Uber-Pool, can greatly reduce travel time between some Chicago neighborhoods. This provides a practical perspective on how ride-sharing can improve the efficiency of transportation in a city (Hou et al., 2020). Mucci and Erhardt (2023) discuss the importance of ride-hailing companies in providing detailed trip data to local transportation agencies. They emphasize the importance of sharing data and working together with ride-sharing companies and local authorities in Chicago (Mucci & Erhardt, 2023). Abkarian et al. (2021) used real-world ride-sharing data from Chicago to examine ride-sharing trends. This provided real-world information on how the ride-sharing service market works in the city. All these studies modeled Chicago ride-sharing services using different modeling techniques and concluded different aspects. However, they define the determinants of Chicago's ride-sharing without modeling the spatiotemporal characteristics of these determinants or modeling ride-sharing using spatiotemporal techniques (Abkarian et al., 2021). Using spatial modeling, a random-effects negative binomial regression was used to model ride-sharing trips' daily origin-destination in Chicago at the tract level. The results indicate that ride-sharing demand depends on crime rates, employment density, parking space, and parking rates. The analysis also revealed a nonlinear correlation between transit supply characteristics and ride-sharing demand (Ghaffar et al., 2020). Wang et al. (2024) considered spatial error model (SEM) and geographically and temporally weighted regression (GTWR) to model one month of Chicago ride-sharing data. Their results indicate that young people's opposing behaviors towards taxi services on weekdays and weekends, and the opposite relationship between transit and taxi or ride-hailing in certain areas.

The GTWR assumes nonstationary coefficients spatially and temporally although none of the considered explanatory variables by Wang et al. (2024) is time variant. Moreover, employing the GTWR for only one-month records put the results under scrutiny. This limited number of observations while estimating massive number of coefficients leads to dramatic variations in the estimated coefficients. For instance, the population age 35-49 coefficients change between -6390 and 3619. This unreasonable range of coefficients is mainly due to model instability rather than robust nonstationary of ride-sharing determinants.

In this study, we aimed to model and assess the determinant factors associated with ride-sharing usage in Chicago in 2022. We deployed the (CAR) model proposed by Besag et al. (1991) to model Chicago ride-sharing services using 2022 data.

In particular, our investigation spans 77 community areas of Chicago, utilizing data accessible at (https://www.cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots#Chicago_neighborhood_data_2017).

The variables under consideration include the 2020 population size, percentage of the population with a bachelor's degree or higher, percentage of the economically active population, median income, median of vehicle ownership, walkability indices (categorized as low, moderate, and high), and transit availability indices (categorized similarly). In particular, we considered the percentage of high and moderate walkability indices and low and moderate transit indices. The last explanatory variable was the total number of crimes registered in 2022 for each of the 77 Chicago community areas. To summarize, the major contributions of this study are as follows:

- 1) Collect several determinants that affect ride-sharing demand in Chicago in 2022.
- 2) Model ride-sharing demand using spatial and spatio-temporal modeling techniques with one-year data. In this study, we developed two models, spatial and spatio-temporal, where we can use either model based on the planning purpose. If agencies are interested in checking the service using a spatial model, the spatial model is used. While the service is dynamic, spatiotemporal solutions can be used for short- and long-term solutions.
- 3) We scrutinized the spatiotemporal associations between ride-sharing trips and socio-demographic and transportation indices from both the spatial and spatio-temporal perspectives. Our findings provide valuable insights into the harmonized operation of traditional ride-sharing based on our understanding of travel patterns and usage determinants.
- 4) Non-Bayesian models, such as SEM and GTWR incorporate spatial or spatio-temporal dependence in the errors only. These models assume that only the response variable has a spatial or spatiotemporal correlation, denying the explanatory variables. Therefore, Bayesian models are superior and realistic in modeling rideshare determinants compared to SEM and GTWR.
- 5) The Bayesian approach in estimation is flexible enough to account for various sources of uncertainty, such as realization measurement and stochastic error. Moreover, we consider hierarchical models in which the model parameters are learned from the data, that is, data talk.

These models employ spatially structured and unstructured random effects and correlated time effects to handle the autocorrelations of the areal neighborhood structure and adjacent

times. Additionally, the considered models allow the predictors to vary spatially, as well as the response variable. Moreover, the estimated coefficients were averaged over the random effects to account for spatial and spatiotemporal dependence. Thus, we retained the robust estimates of the model parameters.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews the literature on ride-sharing modeling. Section 3 describes the materials and provides details of the developed methods along with data collection. Section 4 presents the results, followed by a discussion in section 5. Finally, Section 6 concludes the study.

Literature Review

Unquestionably, ride-sharing has become more popular as a mode of transportation in urban areas, which has significant implications for policies and systems related to urban mobility. The examination of spatial variability in ride-sharing is currently a research topic (Ma et al., 2018). This is particularly relevant when considering urban environments such as Chicago, where the dynamics of ride-sharing services may vary greatly between different regions. Furthermore, studies have investigated how ridesharing affects factors such as auto accidents and environmental benefits, providing important information about the broader effects of ridesharing models (Bistaffa et al., 2021; Morrison et al., 2020). New considerations arising from the development of ride-sharing models include multimodal ride-sharing and application of choice-based conjoint analysis to understand client preferences. These developments highlight the importance of considering different factors that affect the acceptability and effectiveness of ride-sharing models in urban environments. Furthermore, sentiment analysis from ride-sharing platform reviews has developed into a potent tool for learning about user preferences and experiences, thereby greatly advancing the subject of Kansei engineering (Ali et al., 2020).

Several factors affect ride-sharing demand, including social and economic factors and the ease of using services (Gupta & George, 2022; M. Zhang et al., 2022). Households with vehicles are more likely to use their cars than houses in high-density population areas; residences are more likely to use ride-sharing services (Lavieri et al., 2018; X. Zhang, Shao, et al., 2022). Social connections affect the demand for ride-sharing, as people are more likely to share rides with acquaintances (Sarriera et al., 2017).

Multiple studies have extensively examined many facets of ride-sharing services in Chicago, providing insights into user demographics, trip structure, and their influence on transportation networks. For example, research conducted by Rafi and Nithila (2022) examined data on ride-sharing trips in Chicago for 2020. The purpose of this study was to identify different user groups and understand how they interact with socio-demographic and built environment factors. This study offers significant insights into the user demographics of ride-sharing services in Chicago, which is essential for comprehending the industry and formulating efficient transportation policies (Rafi and Nithila, 2022). Additionally, a study conducted by Hansen & Sener (2022) emphasized the impact of demographic variables on ride-sharing preferences. This revealed that areas with a larger proportion of younger residents in Chicago in 2019 had a higher percentage of shared rides. The study findings emphasize the need to consider demographic variables when analyzing ride-sharing patterns in urban regions (Hansen and Sener, 2022). Brown (2021) investigated the fairness implications of ride-sharing pricing structures and found that Chicago applies distinct rates for individual and shared trips, with the latter subject to a reduced charge. This analysis of the cost structures of ride-sharing services in Chicago enhances the understanding of the economic factors

associated with ride-sharing utilization in the city (Brown, 2021). In addition, Hou et al. (2020) showed that ride-hailing services, such as UberPool, can greatly decrease travel time between specific neighborhoods in Chicago. The study provides a practical perspective on how ride-sharing affects transportation efficiency in cities (Hou et al., 2020). Xu (2023) conducted a study in Xiamen to examine the impact of ride-sharing on public transit. The findings revealed a substantial complementary effect of ride-sharing on subway systems, as supported by empirical evidence. While not specifically conducted in Chicago, the study provides useful insights into the potential synergies between ride-sharing services and public transit, which could be applicable to the transportation system in Chicago (Xu, 2023). In addition, Mucci and Erhardt (2023) emphasized the necessity of ride-hailing businesses sharing detailed trip data with local transportation agencies. They highlighted the importance of data openness and collaboration between ride-sharing companies and agencies in Chicago (Mucci and Erhardt, 2023). Abkarian et al. (2021) employed actual ride-sharing data from Chicago to examine ride-sharing patterns, offering empirical observations of the market dynamics of ride-sharing services in the city (Abkarian et al., 2022).

To simulate ride-sharing utilizing spatiotemporal and spatial analysis, it is crucial to consider multiple elements, including bike-sharing demand, ride-sharing trip data, ride-pooling, and the impact of ride-sharing on public transit. Ma et al. (2018) suggested a method called spatiotemporal graph attentional LSTM to forecast bike-sharing demand. This method involves using many sources of data and a Graph Neural Network (GCN) to identify intricate spatiotemporal patterns. Adopting this method is essential for comprehending demand patterns and enhancing the efficiency of ride-sharing services in specific areas. K-Prototypes Segmentation Analysis was performed on a comprehensive dataset of ride-sharing trips, incorporating weather, transit, and taxi data to acquire a more profound understanding of the role of ride-sharing in the mobility system (Soria et al., 2020). This analysis offers unique insights into the spatial and temporal patterns of ride-sharing, which are crucial for modelling the dynamics of ride-sharing. Moreover, the incorporation of ride-pooling into ride-sharing, as examined by Yu and Shen (2020), can enhance the intricacy of modelling and computation; however, it presents noteworthy advantages. Gaining insight into the influence of ride-sharing on its dynamics is essential for creating efficient models and maximizing the usage of shared rides (Yu & Shen, 2020). A study conducted by Xu (2023) using regionally weighted regression revealed a positive relationship between the utilization of ride-sharing services and the number of individuals using public transit. This discovery emphasizes the interdependence of various modes of transportation and the necessity to consider their mutually beneficial impacts while constructing models for ride-sharing (Xu, 2023). Furthermore, researchers have employed interpretable machine-learning models to analyze ride-sharing behavior based on data from the Chicago Transportation Network Company (Abkarian et al., 2021). This observation is important for modelling ride-sharing behavior and optimizing techniques for ride-sharing journeys.

Table 1 summarizes several studies on ride-sharing demand modeling. It compiles research findings from a selected group of articles published within the last five years, providing a systematic compilation of the main research contributions in this field. This coherent presentation offers readers a concise yet comprehensive understanding of the current state of knowledge on ride-sharing modeling.

Table 1: Summary of recent studies conducted from 2018 to 2023.

Paper	Methods Used	Main Results	Limitations	Contributions
(Ghaffar et al., 2020)	Random-effects negative binomial (RENB) regression model.	The ridesharing demand is higher on weekends, in areas with higher incomes and population density. Moreover, the demand is higher on low-temperature and less-precipitation days, crime.	The study did not consider any specific spatial model.	Identifies determinants such as weather, socio-demographics, land-use, and crime.
(Barbour et al., 2020)	In this article, the authors developed a statistical model of individuals' usage rates of ride-sharing services and found that lower income, older age, and the presence of small children in the household are characteristics that should be targeted as means of reducing transportation inequity.	- The paper explores factors influencing ride-sharing usage rates. - Socio-demographic and health-related variables were found to be significant.	N/A	N/A
(Belgiawan et al., 2022)	In this article, the authors investigated factors influencing ride-sourcing usage in Bandung, Indonesia and found that two latent variables (comfort and reliability) are significant in ride-source choice.	- Ride-sourcing has a substantial impact on transportation in Bandung, Indonesia. - Factors influencing ride-sourcing usage include comfort, reliability, cost, and travel time.	N/A	- Waiting time is found to be insignificant in ride-sourcing choice. - All sociodemographic variables are insignificant except for house ownership.

(Aguilera-García et al., 2022)	In this paper , the authors investigated the main factors (individual sociodemographic, mobility-related characteristics, psychological attitudes, etc.) determining individuals' choices between ride-sharing and traditional taxis and found that people opened to technological innovation and with liberal thought tend to use ride-sharing services more often than taxis.	- Ride-sharing and traditional taxis provide similar on-demand transportation services. - Factors influencing users' choices include technology openness, liberal thought, and quality-of-service ratings.	N/A	N/A
(Feng et al., 2023)	In this paper , the authors investigated the influencing factors that impact ride-sharing service usage frequency and explore the potential similarities and differences among groups of population based on their primary usage purposes, including travelers' sociodemographic characteristics, reasons to choose ride-sharing services, and other behavioral characteristics.	- Investigates factors impacting ride-sharing service usage frequency in Shanghai - Identifies influencing factors and differences among groups based on primary usage purposes	N/A	N/A
(<u>Rizki et al., 2021</u>)	In this article, the authors explored the travel behavior of ride-sourcing users and those users' socio-demographic characteristics as well as perception of the usefulness based on the users' previous modes of transport before ride-Sourcing existed.	- Study explores travel behavior and perception of ride-sourcing usefulness in Bandung City. - Substitution from public transport for younger travelers, private transport for infrequent and higher-income travelers.	- Research shows ride-sourcing substitutes for both private and public transport. - Study explores travel behavior and perception of usefulness based on previous modes.	- Substitution from public transport exists for younger travelers. - Substitution from private transport is associated with infrequent and higher-income travellers.
(Y. Xu et al., 2021)	In this article, the authors explored how ride-sharing adoption rate varies across space and what factors are associated with these variations and revealed non-linear patterns can help transportation professionals identify neighborhoods with the greatest potential to promote rideshitting.	- Study explores ride-sharing adoption rate and factors associated with variations. - Socioeconomic, demographic, travel-cost, and built-environment factors influence ride-sharing adoption.	N/A	N/A

(Sabouri et al., 2020)	In this article, a robust data-driven understanding of how ride-sourcing demand is affected by the built environment, after controlling for socioeconomic factors, was provided by having unique access to Uber trip data in 24 diverse U.S. regions.	- Examines how built environment affects demand for Uber ride-sourcing services - Positive correlation with population, employment, activity density, land use mix, transit stop density; negative correlation with intersection density and destination accessibility	N/A	N/A
------------------------	---	--	-----	-----

Methodology

Here, we model ride-sharing demand in Chicago using spatial dependencies, temporal dependencies, and exogenous dependencies, in ride-sharing demand forecasting. In this section, we first present the study framework, followed by a brief description of the data used, model building and checks, and the EDA. Figure 1 illustrates the research methodology.




Figure 1: Research Methodology

In this study, we utilized two Bayesian frameworks to model ride-sharing in Chicago for the year 2022. Our investigation encompasses ride-sharing data across 77 community areas in Chicago, accessible at (https://data.cityofchicago.org/Transportation/Transportation-Network-Providers-Trips-2022/2tdj-ffvb/about_data). The predictors we collected fell into three groups: socioeconomic, transportation, and land-use factors.

The first group of predictors included various demographic and socioeconomic factors across the 77 Chicago community areas. These predictors are the 2020 population size, average household size, median age, median income, proportion of the population with a bachelor's degree or higher, percentage of the economically active population, percentage of residents with no vehicles, and registered crimes in 2022 in each area.

The second group comprises transportation factors that potentially influence ride-sharing demand in Chicago. This group includes the walkability index of residence and job locations (categorized as low, moderate, and high) and transit availability index (similarly categorized). The walkability index considers factors such as sidewalks, amenities within walking distance, population/employment density, bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities, and physical characteristics. The transit availability index is based on factors such as the frequency of transit services, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. We specifically considered moderate and high percentages of walkability and transit indices.

The third group focuses on general land use categories and their corresponding percentages. We examined the percentages of mixed, commercial, institutional, and industrial land use for each of the 77 areas. Additionally, for parking access, we use the open space per 1000 residents that considers the neighborhood and the community accessible by residents living within 0.5 and 1 mile respectively.

The rideshares and registered crimes data are not time-invariant, in contrast to the other variables. Table 2 summarizes the different variables and convenient transformations that we employ when necessary. We consider the log transformation of rideshares, total population, median income, and crime. We consider the logit transformation for each of the economically active ratios and bachelor/graduate percent. This makes the rideshares data look Gaussian and achieves linearity in the relationship with the predictors.

We obtained the record of crimes from the Chicago data portal available at (https://data.cityofchicago.org/Public-Safety/Crimes-2022/9hwr-2zxp/about_data). All other predictors from Chicago community data snapshots are available at (<https://www.cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots>).

Table 2 : Variable Summary

Variable	Transformation	Time Invariant	Min	Mean	Median	Max
Total Ridesharing 2022	Log	No	10.39	12.77	12.67	16.14
Population 2020	Log	Yes	7.835	10.241	10.297	9.413
Total crimes 2022	Log	No	5.71	7.73	7.692	9.413
Household Avg Size	None	Yes	1.556	2.527	2.501	3.816
Median Income	Log	Yes	9.698	10.395	10.969	11.864
Bachelor and Graduate Percent	Logit	Yes	-2.628	-0.750	-0.928	1.778
Economically Active	Logit	Yes	0.773	2.278	2.325	4.014
Median Age	None	Yes	24.49	36.75	36.20	48.48
No Veichle Proportion	None	Yes	0.037	0.243	0.212	0.548
Open Space /1000 Residents	None	Yes	0.140	2.902	2.010	15.153
Mixed Use Percent	None	Yes	0	0.011	0.009	0.049
Commercial Use Percent	None	Yes	0.004	0.054	0.043	0.281
Institutional Use Percent	None	Yes	0.005	0.063	0.046	0.301
Industrial Use Percent	None	Yes	0	0.243	0.027	0.307
Transit Low PCT	None	Yes	0	0.005	0	0.256
Transit Moderate PCT	None	Yes	0	0.02	0	0.978
Transit High PCT	None	Yes	0.0127	0.974	1	1
Walkability Low PCT	None	Yes	0	0.0354	0.0	0.734
Walkability Moderate PCT	None	Yes	0	0.04037	0	0.778
Walkability High PCT	None	Yes	0	0.9242	1	1

Statistical models

We employ two Bayesian frameworks to model rideshares across 77 Chicago areas, utilizing the three groups of predictors. The first model is a spatial regression of the total number of rideshares in 2022. We then considered the statistically significant factors from the first model to proceed with our analysis. The second model is spatiotemporal regression for daily rideshares throughout 2022. Below are descriptions of these models.

Spatial Regression of Total Rideshares in 2022

To identify the statistically significant determinants for rideshares demand in Chicago, we fit a regression model with spatial dependence. Specifically, we employ the Conditional Auto-Regression (CAR) model proposed by (Besag et al. (1991)). In this context, the CAR model serves as a prior distribution for the spatial effects across 77 areas. We fitted the adapted version of Leroux et al. (2000) using the Bcartime function in the bmsr R package (Sahu, 2022). Equation (1) describes the hierarchical Leroux model, which accounts for the spatial autocorrelation of the areal data.

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_k | \mu_k &\sim \text{Normal}(\mu_k, v^2) \\
 \mu_k &= X_k^T \beta + \phi_k \\
 \beta &\sim \text{Normal}(\mu_\beta, \Sigma_\beta) \\
 v^2 &\sim \text{Inverse - Gamma}(a_1, b_1) \\
 \phi_k | \Phi_{-k}, \mathbf{W}, \tau^2, \rho &\sim \text{Normal}\left(\frac{\rho \sum_{i=1}^K \omega_{ki} \phi_i}{\rho \sum_{i=1}^K \omega_{ki} + 1 - \rho}, \frac{\tau^2}{\rho \sum_{i=1}^K \omega_{ki} + 1 - \rho}\right) \\
 \tau^2 &\sim \text{Inverse - Gamma}(a_2, b_2) \\
 \rho &\sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Here, μ_k represents the expectation of Y_k , where $x_k = (1, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp})$ is the vector of covariates for the k^{th} areal unit, and $k = 1, \dots, K$ is the non-overlapping areal unites. The non-spatial error variance, v^2 , and spatial variance, τ^2 , both have inverse gamma priors. For the p -regression coefficients $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)$, a multivariate Gaussian prior is employed with a mean μ_β and diagonal covariance matrix Σ_β . The spatial structure component $\Phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_K)$ along with the spatial correlation parameter ρ , is included. Lastly, \mathbf{W} is a non-negative symmetric binary matrix representing the neighborhood structure based on geographical contiguity, where $\omega_{ki} = 1$ if the areal units (S_k, S_i) share a common border.

Spatio-temporal Regression of the Daily Rideshares in 2022

The second model analyzes daily rideshares by utilizing a spatio-temporal Bayesian model to account for both temporal and spatial dependencies simultaneously. We adopted a framework with separable spatial and temporal dependence, featuring an autoregressive temporal dependence of order two (AR2). This model characterizes the spatiotemporal pattern in expected rideshares with a single set of spatially and temporally autocorrelated random effects proposed by Rushworth et al. (2014) (Rushworth et al., 2014) as in Equation (2).

$$Y_{kt} | \mu_{kt} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_{kt}, \nu^2) \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, K \text{ and } t = 1, \dots, T$$

$$\mu_{kt} = X_{kt}^T \mathbf{B} + \psi_{kt} \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{B} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_{\mathbf{B}}, \Sigma_{\mathbf{B}})$$

Where μ_{kt} represents the expectation of Y_{kt} and \mathbf{B} is a vector of the p coefficients. The index $k = 1, \dots, K$ spans non-overlapping areal units, and the index $t = 1, \dots, T$ corresponds to the time point. The vector x_{kt} of length p , captures the realization of the p predictors for areal unit k and time point t . Additionally, ψ_{kt} represents spatio-temporally autocorrelated random effects. For more details on the model presented in Equation (2), refer to CARBayesST by Duncan Lee et al. (2018) (Lee et al., 2018). The model was fitted using the BcarTime function in the bmsdr R package.

Results

Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

After implementing the transformations outlined in Table (1), we calculated the correlation between the total rideshares and various explanatory variables. Figure (2) illustrates a strong positive correlation between rideshares and both population size and the number of crimes ($r=0.71$ and 0.75 , respectively). Additionally, there was a moderate positive correlation between rideshares and the percentage of residents with a bachelor's or graduate degree ($r=0.61$), the percentage of economically active ($r=0.42$), and the corresponding percentage of mixed and commercial land use ($r=0.57$ and 0.49). Moreover, there was a weak positive correlation between rideshares and the median income ($r=0.34$) and high transit index ($r=0.24$). On the other hand, some predictors exhibited a negative correlation with rideshares across the 77 Chicago community areas, including average household size ($r=-0.53$), median age ($r=-0.38$), and moderate walkability index ($r=-0.39$).

Despite the significant correlations observed, there was clear evidence of multicollinearity among predictors. For instance, there was a very strong correlation between the transit index levels ($r=-0.97$) and walkability index levels ($r=-0.83$). Similarly, there were high correlations between income and both the percentage of residents with a bachelor's or graduate degree ($r=0.73$) and the economically active percentage ($r=0.86$). Furthermore, there was a positive correlation between population size and percentage of mixed land use ($r=0.61$). Consequently, we do not anticipate all predictors correlated with rideshares to be statistically significant in our spatial regression model. Additionally, a variable selection procedure is necessary to identify the model with the most significant determinants of rideshares.






Figure 2: Matrix plot of the response and explanatory variables with Pearson’s correlation.


We proceed to visualize the spatial realizations of the different variables in Figure 3. Upon visual inspection, strong spatial dependence was apparent for each of the response and potential explanatory variables, except for the transit indices (moderate and high). This observation is further supported by the estimated Moran's index (Moran, 1950), which confirms significant spatial dependence. Thus, it is imperative to account for this spatial correlation when investigating rideshares determinants for 77 Chicago community areas. Furthermore, treating rideshare data as independent observations would lead to an overestimation of variance components, potentially swamping crucial predictors.




Moran's-Index = 0.460 (p=0.001)




Moran's-Index = 0.325 (p=0.001)




Moran's-Index = 0.269 (p=0.001)




Moran's-Index = 0.661 (p=0.001)




Moran's-Index = 0.621 (p=0.001)




Moran's-Index = 0.552 (p=0.001)




Moran's-Index = 0.336 (p=0.001)




Moran's-Index = 0.728 (p=0.001)




Moran's-Index = 0.610 (p=0.001)




Moran's-Index = 0.367 (p=0.001)




Moran's-Index = 0.783 (p=0.001)




Moran's-Index = 0.378 (p=0.001)




Moran's-Index = 0.377 (p=0.001)




Moran's-Index = 0.478 (p=0.001)




Moran's-Index = 0.129 (p=0.001)



Moran's-Index = 0.252 (p=0.001)



Moran's-Index = 0.388 (p=0.001)



Moran's-Index = 0.378 (p=0.001)

Figure 3: Spatial plot of the response and explanatory variables.

Spatial Regression of the Total Rideshares in 2022

To determine the statistically significant determinants for the total rideshares over the 77 areas, we estimated the spatial regression model in Equation (1). Because of multicollinearity, we employed a stepwise model selection procedure to identify the model with the best subset of significant predictors. We assess the model's performance using the Deviance Information Criterion (DIC) (Spiegelhalter et al., 2002) and the Watanabe-Akaike Information Criterion (WAIC) (Watanabe & Opper, 2010). Table (3) summarizes the estimates of the spatial regression model with only significant predictors. The estimated model has DIC and WAIC 59.18 and 52.45, respectively.

Table 3: Posterior estimates of the best spatial regression model for Chicago rideshare determinants.

Predictor	Posterior Estimates		
	Mean	2.5% Quantile	97.5% Quantile
Intercept	-7.567	-12.120	-2.990
Population 2020	0.423	0.056	0.787
Crimes 2022	0.630	0.298	0.968
Economically Active Percent	0.351	0.035	0.664
Median Income	1.028	0.589	1.460

No Vehicle Percent	4.280	2.987	5.560
Transit High PCT	2.191	0.751	3.604
Walkable Moderate PCT	-5.106	-6.662	-3.554
Walkable High PCT	-4.195	-5.456	-2.953
τ^2	0.148	0.081	0.255
ν^2	0.106	0.069	0.158
ρ	0.611	0.203	0.947

We examined the residuals of the spatial regression model to identify any remaining spatial dependencies. Figure (4) shows no discernible leftover spatial residuals for the spatial regression model. Additionally, Moran’s I for the residuals was almost negligible at -0.126 (p=0.97), assessing the model efficiency and accuracy in handling spatial dependence.




Figure 4: Spatial plot of residuals of the spatial model.

The fitted model in Table (3) estimates a relatively high spatial dependence ($\rho = 0.611$). The estimated unstructured error variance is $\nu^2 = 0.106$, smaller than the spatial variance ($\tau^2 = 0.148$). Table (3) shows the estimated coefficients and 95% credible intervals, highlighting the importance of the demographic, economic, and transit factors in determining rideshares in Chicago.

Demographic factors, particularly population size, have emerged as statistically significant determinants. As population size increases, ridesharing demand also increases. A second demographic determinant, total registered crimes in 2022, positively influences rideshares despite its correlation with population size.

Among the socioeconomic factors, economically active percentage, median income, and percentage of residents with no cars are statistically significant and positively affect ridesharing demand. Thus, rideshare determinants extend beyond population size to encompass the socioeconomic structure.

The three transit-related factors are the final determinants. The percentage of the high transit index category positively affects rideshares, whereas the percentage of moderate and high categories of the walkability index negatively influences rideshares in Chicago.

Spatio-temporal Regression of the Daily Rideshares in 2022

Here, we fit the responses as daily rideshares over 77 Chicago areas. We considered the significant predictors identified in the previous spatial regression model (Table 3). In addition, we consider two new explanatory variables. The first is a time trend, aimed at capturing potential long-term patterns in rideshare demand, especially after the Covid-19 pandemic. The second introduced predictor is an indicator or dummy variable for weekends, serving to assess the impact of weekends on rideshare demand.

The estimated spatiotemporal regression model in Table (4) has overwhelming fitting performance in terms of DIC and WAIC. where DIC is -4659 and WAIC is -4537 despite the large penalties applied to them (3612 and 3341, respectively). According to the posterior mean estimates, there is strong spatial dependence as $\rho_S \approx 1$. In addition, the significance of ρ_{1T} and ρ_{1T} validates the choice of the second-order autoregressive (AR2) for the temporal residuals. The AR2 components account for potential seasonality in the residual residuals. Furthermore, there is reduction in the estimated spatial variance τ^2 (0.016) and model error variance v^2 (0.044) compared to the corresponding variance components in the spatial regression model (Table 3). This illustrates the importance of implementing spatio-temporal dependence in model fitting.

Our results reveal a positive trend (0.030) in daily rideshares in Chicago throughout 2022. This long-term trend exhibits a recovery from the decline in rideshares owing to Covid-19 closures and restrictions in 2020 and 2021. Additionally, ride-sharing demand experienced a significant increase on weekends in Chicago, as evidenced by the estimated coefficient of 0.029. Finally, even after adjusting for the daily trend effect, the registered crimes are still statistically significant. This indicates that crime level positively influences rideshares in Chicago, without the need for a proxy, such as the time trend.

Table 4: Posterior estimates of the spatiotemporal regression model for Chicago rideshare determinants.

Predictor	Posterior Estimates		
	Mean	2.5% Quantile	97.5% Quantile
Intercept	-12.988	-13.539	-12.440
Daily Trend	0.030	0.025	0.035
Weekend Days	0.029	0.021	0.039
Population 2020	0.986	0.969	1.003
Daily Crimes	0.066	0.060	0.072
Economically Active	0.110	0.070	0.147
Median Income	0.966	0.907	1.022
No Vehicle Proportion	5.024	4.878	5.179

Transit High PCT	2.484	2.305	2.649
Walkable Moderate PCT	-7.083	-7.277	-6.885
Walkable High PCT	-4.937	-5.059	-4.810
τ^2	0.016	0.014	0.018
ν^2	0.044	0.043	0.045
ρ_S	0.998	0.998	0.999
ρ_{1T}	-0.172	-0.232	-0.114
ρ_{2T}	0.292	0.239	0.343

Figure 5 shows a strong positive correlation between the actual rideshares and the fits of the spatiotemporal model ($r = 0.98$). Moreover, the slope in Figure 5 at 1.004 indicates a close alignment between the fit and the actual values.




Figure 5: Scatterplot of the actual daily rideshares vs. fitted from the spatiotemporal model.

Discussion

In this study, ride-sharing demand in Chicago was modeled using two different spatial and spatiotemporal models. Seven different variables are studied, and the results are discussed in this section.

Ride-sharing and population

Figures 2 and 3 show that the spatial plot of ride-sharing demand and population density has a significant correlation. One common aspect of the impact of the population variable on ride-sharing is the variation in spatial distribution.

The North Side has become a vibrant center of activity and a sophisticated urban area. Known for its affluent residential areas, prosperous commercial zones, and artistic landmarks, it holds a crucial position in a city's dynamics. The region hosts notable attractions such as the Lincoln Park Zoo, Wrigley Field, and the Chicago History Museum, attracting both local inhabitants and a substantial influx of tourists, thereby increasing the need for taxi and ride-hailing services.

An examination of the socio-demographic and land-use statistics of the North Side provides intriguing revelations. The population of this area in Chicago is significant, and it is known to have a higher income level and a more prosperous way of life than other areas in the city. The allocation

of land for residential use, namely for single-family dwellings, is significantly greater in this area, indicating a lower population density in comparison to the South Side.

The ownership of vehicles in the North Side presents a contrasting depiction compared to the South Side. The area's higher economic level and apparent desire for personal transportation were reflected in the lower percentage of families without a vehicle. Nevertheless, despite this prevailing pattern, there continues to be a substantial need for ride-hailing services, possibly influenced by the convenience element and the vibrant nightlife and entertainment scenes in the area.

Ride-sharing and crime

The Chicago Data Portal (CDP) provides crime records for several types of crime from 2000 to the current year. The crime variables represent the cumulative number of data records for the period from January 2015 to April 2019, as there are likely both lag and immediate effects of crime on ride-sharing usage. Hence, the crime variables are time invariant in the model. Figures 2 and 3 show a high correlation between population and crime variables. The higher the population, the higher the crime rate and associated with associated with a ride-sharing demand. This result

Ride-sharing and walkability

The spatial model incorporated a walkability index. The walkability index represents the distance walked to parking lots or transit spaces (Jeong et al. 2023; Leyden et al. 2023). Our spatial model incorporated moderate and high walkability indices. The results indicated that a higher walkability index was associated with either low transit coverage, no parking space, or a higher parking rate. The findings suggest that (i) regions with limited transit options (such as no rail stations and only one or two bus stops, if any) result in a minimal number of ride-sharing trips; (ii) regions with a moderate level of transit service (such as a single rail station and numerous bus stops) generate the highest number of ride-sharing trips; and (iii) a small number of census tracts with extensive rail transit services (such as three or four rail stations) tend to generate significantly fewer ride-sharing trips compared to a similar census tract with no more than one rail station. Our findings suggest a positive correlation between the number of parking spaces and/or parking prices in each area and the walkability index. This indicates that areas with more parking spaces and higher parking rates tend to have a higher walkability index because people in these areas are more likely to utilize their private vehicles for transportation.

From a planning standpoint, all other things being equal, changing the availability of parking spaces and the fees charged for parking in the entire region can have a significant effect on the demand for ridesharing services to and from particular locations. From the standpoint of curbing management, the demand for ride-sharing services and the number of pickups and drop-offs are expected to increase as the availability of parking spaces declines and/or the parking cost increases.

From a transit planning standpoint, this suggests that transit can surpass ride-sharing in certain urban regions when the quality and availability of rail transit are exceptionally high.

Weekend vs. Weekday

The binary variable representing weekends exhibited a positive and statistically significant relationship in both models, suggesting that weekends are associated with a higher number of ride-sharing trips. This phenomenon is expected, as a greater number of individuals engage in

recreational and leisure pursuits on weekends. Additionally, past research indicates that the majority of consumers utilize ride-sharing services for social and leisure purposes. (Rayle et al., 2016; Mitra et al., 2019).

Economical characteristics

High-income drivers generally have more trips per day because a ridesourcing business model often offers multiple bonuses when trip frequency targets are reached (Joewono et al., 2021). Researchers have indicated that young, well-educated, and higher-income individuals are higher users of ride-sharing (Li et al., 2021). Further noted that ride-sharing service users tend to be middle-income, young commuters, further revealing the income-related patterns in ridesourcing utilization. The expectation is that higher income census tracts will have higher ridesourcing usage this result is matching with (Belgiawan et al., 2022; Ghaffar et al., 2020)

Car ownership and commute characteristics

Our model indicates that ride-sharing demand is affected by car ownership. Tracts with less vehicle growth in ridesharing services may reduce the need for automobile ownership and dependence on cars (Khavarian-Garmsir et al., 2021; Mostofi, 2021). They also concluded that some households that owned more cars had a higher demand for ridesourcing services (X. Zhang, Xiang, et al., 2022). In contrast, people living in densely populated areas are more likely to use ride-sharing. This suggests that the link between ride-sharing and car ownership is contingent on the nature of the urban clusters. In addition, the potential for ride-sharing to lower private car ownership also depends on the extent to which it disrupts urban mobility services (Mohamed et al., 2019).

Policy implications and conclusions

This section first provides recommendations for ride-sharing companies to improve their daily operations and coordinate their shared responsibility for urban mobility based on our analysis results. We then summarize the key findings of this study.

Policy implications

With the emergence of ride-sharing services, the public's travel options have expanded, and cutting-edge technologies have been successfully used. By utilizing these technological developments, traditional cab businesses can innovate in their sectors. The discussion that follows provides thorough recommendations for improving cooperative and effective services before, during, and after ride services based on our statistical model results.

Urban Planning and Population Density: The correlation between ride-sharing demand and population density, particularly in places such as the North Side of Chicago, shows the need for custom urban planning. In densely populated and prosperous areas, because of residential, commercial, and tourist activities, more rides are shared, and the transportation infrastructure needs to be enhanced. This might involve setting up more efficient traffic management systems and providing

space for ride-sharing pickups and drops. In addition, creating new services, such as providing more charging stations for electrical vehicles helps to enhance transportation services. Such a system may require dynamically directed resource flows or extensive traffic control measures on weekends to deal with the rush.

Crime and Ridesharing: Higher crime rates are associated with increased ride-sharing demand, which could be a sign that certain places are dangerous, indicating a shift towards a broken-windows approach to transportation planning. Enhanced safety measures for ridesharing services in high-crime areas. In addition, rideshare data were incorporated into public safety strategies.

Walkability, Transit, and Parking: The relationship among walkability, transit availability, and ridesharing indicates that urban transit planning should weigh walkability against parking availability and public transit. The addition of rideshare can help areas with limited transit choices. Conversely, regions with substantial transit coverage may have less demand for ride-sharing. Adjusting parking availability and rates could also affect ride-sharing demands, which require careful urban design and policy decisions. Adopting Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) requires addressing various challenges, such as coordination among stakeholders, financing mechanisms, and equitable development practices. Overall, transit-oriented development represents a valuable and effective strategy for addressing the complex challenges of urbanization and creating more sustainable and inclusive cities.

Economic Characteristics and Ridesharing: Higher usage of ridesharing services by young, well-educated, and high-income people suggests that the use of such services could focus on these sectors. Policies might be tailored to encourage ride sharing in high-income areas while maintaining equitable access among all income levels.

Car ownership and ride-sharing: The complex relationship between car ownership and ride-sharing demands calls for a subtle approach to transportation policy. In areas with low car ownership, ride-sharing can be seen as a dominant form of transport. On the other hand, in areas with high car ownership, ride-sharing is best performed to assist personal vehicles, especially for those who want to turn away from car dependence.

Conclusion and recommendation

This study aimed to detect the determinants of ride-sharing demand in 77 community areas in Chicago. We considered three groups of explanatory variables: demographic, socioeconomic, land-use, and transit factors. The realizations of the factors considered under investigation showed a strong spatial correlation. Therefore, to determine the significant determinants of ride-sharing, we employ two Bayesian hierarchical models. These models account for both unstructured, random, errors, and structured, spatial, and spatiotemporal correlations.

The first model employs Bayesian spatial regression to analyze the determinants of total ride-share in 2022. The estimated model exhibits a small error variance, which is attributed to the spatial variance. This enhances the statistical power to detect the significant ride-sharing determinants. The fitted model reveals that in addition to population size, the economic structure of residents is a crucial factor. Furthermore, the number of crimes has emerged as a significant factor in ride-

sharing. In essence, the relative safety offered by ride-sharing services compared with public transportation proves to be a key determinant of ride-sharing in Chicago.

Moreover, the spatial regression model emphasizes transit and walkability indices as comprehensive measures for assessing commuting availability. This clarifies why certain predictors, such as land use characteristics and parking availability, are not statistically significant.

Then, we employ a spatio-temporal regression model to analyze the daily ride-shares throughout 2022. The estimated model indicated a very strong spatial correlation and a much smaller unstructured variance compared with the previous spatial regression. This makes the spatiotemporal model more powerful for detecting ride-sharing determinants. Our analysis detected an increasing trend in rideshare across 77 Chicago areas in 2022. This signifies recovery from the rideshare drops observed in 2020 and 2021 due to Covid-19 restrictions and closures.

We generated operational and planning guidelines to expand these the current Ride-sharing services based on contributing component and described policy implications for these key findings. Our full suite of bespoke and personalized services ensures that they meet each community's unique individual commuter needs during their travel. Implementation of a multi-modal transportation system optimizes transfers. Additional ancillary services added to transportation scene, e.g., to-go packaging and courier delivery, expand driver revenue streams and service offerings available to passengers. Future research can proceed in several directions, First, our model predicts and describes usage patterns using relatively static and time-variant variables (i.e., socio-demographic and land-use features), hence, having greater flexibility with predictor variable selection. Regression models can explore dynamic predictors not considered here, e.g., traffic congestion, weather, travel seasons, trip purpose, among other considerations to name just a few. Second, alternatives to hourly trip volume in each zone as the dependent variable can be pursued, e.g., other proxy variable to travel demand; consider, for instance, OD-based trip volume.

Abkarian, H., Chen, Y., & Mahmassani, H. S. (2021). Understanding Ridesplitting Behavior With Interpretable Machine Learning Models Using Chicago Transportation Network Company Data. *Transportation Research Record Journal of the Transportation Research Board*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03611981211036363>

Abkarian, H., Mahmassani, H. S., & Hyland, M. (2022). Modeling the Mixed-Service Fleet Problem of Shared-Use Autonomous Mobility Systems for on-Demand Ridesourcing and Carsharing With Reservations. *Transportation Research Record Journal of the Transportation Research Board*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03611981221083617>

Ali, S., Wang, G., & Riaz, S. (2020). Aspect Based Sentiment Analysis of Ridesharing Platform Reviews for Kansei Engineering. *Ieee Access*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2020.3025823>

Azadani, M. N., & Abolhassani, A. (2023). Multi-Objective Optimization in a “Specified Driver’s Origin And Destination” Ridesharing System. *Sae International Journal of Sustainable Transportation Energy Environment & Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.4271/13-05-01-0003>

- Belgiawan, P. F., Joewono, T. B., & Irawan, M. Z. (2022). Determinant factors of ride-sourcing usage: A case study of ride-sourcing in Bandung, Indonesia. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, 10(2), 831–840.
- Besag, J., York, J., & Mollié, A. (1991). Bayesian image restoration, with two applications in spatial statistics. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 43, 1–20.
- Bistaffa, F., Blum, C., Cerquides, J., Farinelli, A., & Rodríguez-Aguilar, J. A. (2021). A Computational Approach to Quantify the Benefits of Ridesharing for Policy Makers and Travellers. *Ieee Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/tits.2019.2954982>
- Brown, A. (2021). *Not All Fees Are Created Equal: Equity Implications of Ride-Hail Fee Structures*. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/cpsqu>
- Chan, N. D., & Shaheen, S. A. (2012). Ridesharing in North America: Past, present, and future. *Transport Reviews*, 32(1), 93–112.
- Cheng, X., Su, L., Luo, X., Benitez, J., & Cai, S. (2021). The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly: Impact of Analytics and Artificial Intelligence-Enabled Personal Information Collection on Privacy and Participation in Ridesharing. *European Journal of Information Systems*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0960085x.2020.1869508>
- Fatma, M., Khan, I., Rahman, Z., & Pérez, A. (2020). The Sharing Economy: The Influence of Perceived Corporate Social Responsibility on Brand Commitment. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jpbm-04-2020-2862>
- Ghaffar, A., Mitra, S., & Hyland, M. (2020). Modeling determinants of ridesourcing usage: A census tract-level analysis of Chicago. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2020.102769>
- Gupta, S., & George, J. V. (2022). App-Based Ride-Sharing Adoption Behaviour of Commuters: Evaluating Through TAM Approach. *Orissa Journal of Commerce*.
<https://doi.org/10.54063/ojc.2022.v43i04.09>
- Hansen, T., & Sener, I. N. (2022). Strangers on This Road We Are On: A Literature Review of Pooling in on-Demand Mobility Services. *Transportation Research Record Journal of the Transportation Research Board*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03611981221123801>
- Hou, Y., Garikapati, V., Weigl, D., Henao, A., Moniot, M., & Sperling, J. (2020). Factors Influencing Willingness to Pool in Ride-Hailing Trips. *Transportation Research Record Journal of the Transportation Research Board*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198120915886>
- Hung, L. V., Trung, N. N., Thu, P. P. T., & Thi, D. N. (2022). Intention to Provide Ridesharing Services: Determinants From the Perspective of Driver-Partners in a Gig Economy. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*. [https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.20\(4\).2022.24](https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.20(4).2022.24)
- Jeong, I., Choi, M., Kwak, J., Ku, D., & Lee, S. (2023). A comprehensive walkability evaluation system for promoting environmental benefits. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1).
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-43261-0>
- Joewono, T. B., Rizki, M., & Syahputri, J. (2021). Does Job Satisfaction Influence the Productivity of Ride-Sourcing Drivers? A Hierarchical Structural Equation Modelling Approach for the Case of Bandung City Ride-Sourcing Drivers. *Sustainability*.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/su131910834>
- Khavarian-Garmsir, A. R., Sharifi, A., & Abadi, M. H. H. (2021). The Social, Economic, and Environmental Impacts of Ridesourcing Services: A Literature Review. *Future Transportation*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/futuretransp1020016>

- Lavieri, P. S., Dias, F. F., Juri, N. R., Kuhr, J., & Bhat, C. R. (2018). A Model of Ridesourcing Demand Generation and Distribution. *Transportation Research Record Journal of the Transportation Research Board*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198118756628>
- Lee, D., Rushworth, A., & Napier, G. (2018). Spatio-temporal areal unit modeling in R with conditional autoregressive priors using the CARBayesST package. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *84*, 1–39.
- Leistner, D. L., & Steiner, R. (2017). Uber for Seniors?: Exploring Transportation Options for the Future. *Transportation Research Record Journal of the Transportation Research Board*. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2660-04>
- Leyden, K. M., Hogan, M. J., D'Arcy, L., Bunting, B., & Bierema, S. (2023). Walkable Neighborhoods: Linkages Between Place, Health, and Happiness in Younger and Older Adults. *Journal of the American Planning Association*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944363.2022.2123382>
- Li, X., Feng, F., Wang, W., Cheng, C., Wang, T., & Tang, P. (2021). Structure Analysis of Factors Influencing the Preference of Ridesplitting. *Journal of Advanced Transportation*. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8820701>
- Ma, Y., Yu, B., & Xue, M. (2018). Spatial Heterogeneous Characteristics of Ridesharing in Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei Region of China. *Energies*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en11113214>
- Marco, A. D., Giannantonio, R., & Zenezini, G. (2015). The Diffusion Mechanisms of Dynamic Ridesharing Services. *Progress in Industrial Ecology an International Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1504/pie.2015.076900>
- Mohamed, M. J., Rye, T., & Fonzone, A. (2019). Operational and Policy Implications of Ridesourcing Services: A Case of Uber in London, UK. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2019.07.013>
- Morrison, C. N., Mehranbod, C. A., Kwizera, M., Rundle, A., Keyes, K. M., & Humphreys, D. K. (2020). Ridesharing and Motor Vehicle Crashes: A Spatial Ecological Case-Crossover Study of Trip-Level Data. *Injury Prevention*. <https://doi.org/10.1136/injuryprev-2020-043644>
- Mostofi, H. (2021). The Association Between ICT-Based Mobility Services and Sustainable Mobility Behaviors of New Yorkers. *Energies*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14113064>
- Mucci, R. A., & Erhardt, G. D. (2023). Understanding Ride-Hailing Sharing and Matching in Chicago Using Travel Time, Cost, and Choice Models. *Transportation Research Record Journal of the Transportation Research Board*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03611981231173636>
- Rafi, S., & Nithila, A. N. (2022). *Who Rides Uber Anyway? A Census-Tract Level Analysis and Clustering of Ride-Shares for the City of Chicago During the Era of the Pandemic*. <https://doi.org/10.36227/techrxiv.21076042>
- Rayle, L., Dai, D., Chan, N., Cervero, R., & Shaheen, S. (2016). Just a Better Taxi? A Survey-Based Comparison of Taxis, Transit, and Ridesourcing Services in San Francisco. *Transport Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2015.10.004>
- Ruch, C., Hörl, S., Gachter, J., & Hakenberg, J. (2021). The Impact of Fleet Coordination on Taxi Operations. *Journal of Advanced Transportation*. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/2145716>
- Rushworth, A., Lee, D., & Mitchell, R. (2014). A spatio-temporal model for estimating the long-term effects of air pollution on respiratory hospital admissions in Greater London. *Spatial and Spatio-Temporal Epidemiology*, *10*, 29–38.

- Sahu, S. (2022). *Bayesian modeling of spatio-temporal data with R*. CRC Press.
- Sarriera, J. M., Álvarez, G. E., Blynn, K., Alesbury, A., Scully, T. R., & Zhao, J. (2017). To Share or Not to Share. *Transportation Research Record Journal of the Transportation Research Board*. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2605-11>
- Simonetto, A., Monteil, J., & Gambella, C. (2019). Real-Time City-Scale Ridesharing via Linear Assignment Problems. *Transportation Research Part C Emerging Technologies*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2019.01.019>
- Song, C., Monteil, J., Ygnace, J.-L., & Rey, D. (2021). Incentives for Ridesharing: A Case Study of Welfare and Traffic Congestion. *Journal of Advanced Transportation*. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6627660>
- Soria, J., Chen, Y., & Stathopoulos, A. (2020). K-Prototypes Segmentation Analysis on Large-Scale Ridesourcing Trip Data. *Transportation Research Record Journal of the Transportation Research Board*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198120929338>
- Spiegelhalter, D. J., Best, N. G., Carlin, B. P., & Van Der Linde, A. (2002). Bayesian measures of model complexity and fit. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology*, 64(4), 583–639.
- Wang, Z., Zhang, Y., Jia, B., & Gao, Z. (2024). Comparative Analysis of Usage Patterns and Underlying Determinants for Ride-hailing and Traditional Taxi Services: A Chicago Case Study. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2023.103912>
- Watanabe, S., & Opper, M. (2010). Asymptotic equivalence of Bayes cross validation and widely applicable information criterion in singular learning theory. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 11(12).
- Xu, D. (2023). The Complementary Effect of Ride-Sharing on Public Transit: Evidence From a Natural Experiment. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/imds-08-2022-0487>
- Yu, X., & Shen, S. (2020). An Integrated Decomposition and Approximate Dynamic Programming Approach for On-Demand Ride Pooling. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 21(9), 3811–3820. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2019.2934423>
- Zhang, M., Yang, M., Lei, D., & Song, X. (2022). Bus Scheduling of Overlapping Routes Based on the Combination of All-Stop and Stop-Skipping Services. *Transportation Research Record Journal of the Transportation Research Board*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03611981221098392>
- Zhang, X., Shao, C., Wang, B., Huang, S., Mi, X., & Zhuang, Y. (2022). Exploring the Role of Shared Mobility in Alleviating Private Car Dependence and on-Road Carbon Emissions in the Context of COVID-19. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.931763>
- Zhang, X., Xiang, Y., Zhou, Z., Xu, Y., & Zhao, X. (2022). *Examining Spatial Heterogeneity of Ridesourcing Demand Determinants With Explainable Machine Learning*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2209.07980>