

Antisymmetric tensor influence on charged black hole lensing phenomena and time delay

A. A. Araújo Filho^{1,*}

¹*Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal da Paraíba,
Caixa Postal 5008, 58051-970, João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brazil.*

(Dated: June 18, 2024)

Abstract

In this work, we investigate the gravitational lensing of a charged black hole (spherically symmetric) within the context of Lorentz violation triggered by an antisymmetric tensor field. Our calculations consider two different scenarios: the weak and strong deflection limits. For the weak deflection limit, we employ the *Gauss–Bonnet* theorem to obtain our results. In the strong deflection limit, we utilize the *Tsukamoto* methodology, which provides measurable outcomes such as relativistic image positions and magnifications. Applying the latter methodology, we analyze the gravitational lensing by Sagittarius A^* and derive the related observables, which are expressed as functions of the Lorentz violation parameter. Finally, the time delay is addressed as well.

arXiv:2406.11582v1 [gr-qc] 17 Jun 2024

*Electronic address: dilto@fisica.ufc.br

I. INTRODUCTION

Lorentz symmetry, a foundational principle in contemporary physics, asserts that physical laws remain invariant across all inertial frames. Nevertheless, deviations from Lorentz symmetry have been theorized under specific energy conditions within diverse theoretical frameworks. These involve very special relativity [1], Einstein–aether theory [2], non–commutative field theory [3], string theory [4], Horava–Lifshitz gravity [5], $f(T)$ gravity [6], massive gravity [7], loop quantum gravity [8] and other theoretical models. The violation of Lorentz symmetry presents itself in two distinct manners: explicit and spontaneous [9]. Explicit violation occurs when the Lagrangian density lacks Lorentz invariance, resulting in the formulation of distinct physical laws in specific reference frames. Conversely, spontaneous violation occurs when the Lagrangian density preserves Lorentz invariance, but the ground state of a physical system does not exhibit Lorentz symmetry [10].

The investigation into spontaneous Lorentz symmetry breaking [11–16] is grounded in the framework of the Standard Model Extension. In this theoretical framework, basic field theories are encapsulated by bumblebee models [12–15, 17–23]. These models feature a vector field, termed the bumblebee field, which acquires a non–zero vacuum expectation value (VEV). This property establishes a preferred direction, leading to the breakdown of local Lorentz invariance for particles and consequently resulting in significant implications, including effects on thermodynamic properties [24–32].

Beyond the conventional vector field theories, an alternative approach to investigating Lorentz symmetry breaking (LSB) involves the study of a rank–two antisymmetric tensor field known as the Kalb–Ramond field [33–35]. This field is inherently present in the spectrum of bosonic string theory [36]. When it is non–minimally coupled to gravity and acquires a non–zero vacuum expectation value, spontaneous breaking of Lorentz symmetry is induced. An exact solution for a static, spherically symmetric configuration within this framework has been presented by Ref. [37]. Subsequently, an extensive investigation into the dynamics of both massive and massless particles near such static spherical Kalb–Ramond black holes has been conducted by Ref. [38]. Furthermore, the gravitational deflection of light and the shadows cast by rotating black holes within this theoretical framework have been explored by Ref. [39]. Additionally, recent literature has addressed the Generalized Uncertainty Principle (GUP) corrections, gravitational parity violations involving antisymmetric tensors, and

the cosmological implications of Kalb–Ramond–like particles [40–42].

Recent developments, highlighted by the detection of gravitational waves by collaborations such as LIGO–Virgo [43–45], have significantly expanded the scope of cosmological research. Gravitational waves are now essential tools for exploring the universe, including the study of gravitational lensing within the weak field approximation [46, 47]. Traditionally, research on gravitational lensing has focused on light traveling over vast distances from gravitational sources, such as in Schwarzschild spacetime [48], and later extended to encompass general spherically symmetric and static spacetimes [49]. Nevertheless, whenever there exists regions with strong gravitational fields, particularly near black holes, the angular deviation of light is significantly magnified, as one should expect.

Recent observations made by the Event Horizon Telescope of a supermassive black hole situated at the heart of the M87 galaxy have generated profound scientific interest [50–56]. The foundational work by Virbhadra and Ellis introduced a concise lens equation tailored for supermassive black holes within an asymptotically flat background [57, 58], revealing multiple symmetrically distributed images around the optical axis due to strong gravitational effects. Subsequent advancements spearheaded by Frittelli et al. [59], Bozza et al. [60], and Tsukamoto [61] have refined the analytical frameworks for investigating strong field gravitational lensing. These studies have explored light deflection across various scenarios [62–67], including exotic structures such as wormholes [68–73], rotating solutions [74–80], alternative theories of gravity [81–84], and Reissner–Nordström spacetime [85–87], and others [88, 89].

In the past decades, a significant surge of interest in the exploration of gravitational waves and their spectra, as highlighted in recent scientific literature [90–95]. This increased focus is rooted in substantial advancements in the technology used to detect gravitational waves, exemplified notably by the VIRGO and LIGO detectors. These sophisticated instruments have played a pivotal role in yielding profound insights into the intriguing realm of black hole physics [96–99]. Yang et al. has introduced innovative exact solutions for static and spherically symmetric spacetimes, both in the presence and absence of a cosmological constant, within the context of a non-zero vacuum expectation value background of the Kalb–Ramond field [100]. Furthermore, their study extends to proposing a model for charged black holes within this theoretical framework [101].

Very recently in the literature, authors conducted calculations on the gravitational lensing

of an uncharged black hole (originally proposed in [100]), exploring both weak and strong deflection limits [102]. Building upon these works, we expand this investigation by considering a charged black hole instead [101]. To accomplish this, we follow a similar approach. Essentially, we compute the gravitational lensing effects in both regimes: the weak deflection limit and the strong deflection limit. In addition, the calculation of the time delay is also presented.

II. THE GENERAL SETUP

Fundamentally, in this brief section, we present both black holes that we shall take into account to develop our calculations. Initially, we consider a spherically symmetric (charged) solution within the context of Lorentz violation triggered by an antisymmetric tensor field, without a cosmological constant [101]

$$ds^2 = - \left(\frac{1}{1-l} - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{(1-l)^2 r^2} \right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\left(\frac{1}{1-l} - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{(1-l)^2 r^2} \right)} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2. \quad (1)$$

It is worth mentioning that recent studies have addressed the implications of charged and uncharged metrics by considering, for instance, thermodynamics, phase transitions, geodesics, shadows, scattering effects, and *quasinormal* modes [100, 103, 104].

III. GRAVITATIONAL LENSING VIA WEAK DEFLECTION LIMIT

In this section, we conduct an examination of the *Gauss–Bonnet* theorem and proceed to calculate the weak deflection angle of the black hole. Initially, we derive the null geodesics under the condition $ds^2 = 0$, which upon rearrangement gives:

$$dt^2 = \gamma_{ij} dx^i dx^j = \frac{1}{f(r)^2} dr^2 + \frac{r^2}{f(r)} d\Omega^2, \quad (2)$$

Here, indices i and j range from 1 to 3, and γ_{ij} denotes the optical metric. Utilizing the *Gauss–Bonnet* theorem requires us to calculate the Gaussian curvature, which is carried out as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} &= \frac{R}{2} = \frac{f(r)}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} f(r) - \frac{\left(\frac{d}{dr} f(r) \right)^2}{4} \\ &= - \frac{6MQ^2}{(1-l)^2 r^5} - \frac{2M}{(1-l)r^3} + \frac{2Q^4}{(1-l)^4 r^6} + \frac{3Q^2}{(1-l)^3 r^4} + \frac{3M^2}{r^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here, γ denotes the determinant of γ_{ij} , and R stands for the Ricci scalar. The area of the surface on the equatorial plane is given by [105]:

$$dS = \sqrt{\gamma} dr d\phi = \frac{r}{f(r)^{3/2}} dr d\phi = \left(\frac{r}{\left(\frac{Q^2}{(1-l)^2 r^2} + \frac{1}{1-l} - \frac{2M}{r} \right)^{3/2}} \right) dr d\phi. \quad (4)$$

With all these preliminaries, the deflection angle within the context of weak deflection limit reads

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(b, l, Q) &= - \int \int \mathcal{K} dS = - \int_0^\pi \int_{\frac{b}{\sin \phi}}^\infty \mathcal{K} dS \\ &\simeq \frac{4M}{b} + \frac{3\pi M^2}{4b^2} - \frac{2lM}{b} - \frac{9\pi l M^2}{8b^2} - \frac{9\pi l Q^2}{8b^2} - \frac{3\pi Q^2}{4b^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{8MQ^2}{3b^3} - \frac{4lMQ^2}{3b^3} + \frac{45\pi l M^2 Q^2}{64b^4} - \frac{45\pi M^2 Q^2}{32b^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

To obtain the above expression, we considered the same limit as in Ref. [105], specifically $b \gg 2M$. Note that the first two terms correspond to the Schwarzschild case calculation (up to the second order in M). The terms containing l are introduced by Lorentz violation, while the terms with Q (but without l) correspond to those found in the Reissner–Nordström black hole. To further support the interpretation of Eq. (5), we present Fig. 1. In the top-left panel, we observe that increasing the mass results in a greater magnitude of the weak deflection angle. Conversely, the top-right panel shows that an increase in charge leads to a decrease in the magnitude of $\alpha(b, l, Q)$. Additionally, the bottom panel demonstrates that as l increases, the magnitude of $\alpha(b, l, Q)$ also decreases.

IV. GRAVITATIONAL LENSING VIA THE STRONG DEFLECTION LIMIT

This section aims to outline the general methodology described in [106], which we will use to calculate the deflection angle of a light ray in the strong deflection limit. In our analysis, similar to many prior studies (e.g., [107]), we focus on asymptotically flat, static, and spherically symmetric spacetimes, characterized by the following line element $ds^2 = -A(r)dt^2 + B(r)dr^2 + C(r)(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)$. To use the general methodology addressed by Tsukamoto [106], we need the metric to match the condition of asymptotic flatness. Due to the symmetries of the spacetime, there are two Killing vectors: ∂_t and ∂_ϕ , as one should expect.




Figure 1: The deflection angle as a function of b for different values of l , M and Q .

Let us now outline the procedure for calculating the deflection angle in the strong field regime. Initially, we introduce a new variable denoted as $D(r) \equiv \frac{C'(r)}{C(r)} - \frac{A'(r)}{A(r)}$. With these definitions, we introduce the impact parameter b as follows: $b \equiv \frac{L}{E} = \frac{C(r)\dot{\phi}}{A(r)\dot{t}}$. Due to the axial symmetry, the motion can be confined to the equatorial plane, where $\theta = \pi/2$. Consequently, the radial equation simplifies to $\dot{r}^2 = V(r)$. The potential function is given by $V(r) = \frac{L^2 R(r)}{B(r)C(r)}$, where $R(r) \equiv \frac{C(r)}{A(r)} \frac{b^2}{b^2 - 1}$. Consequently, $V(r)$ diminishes at $r = r_0$. From the trajectory equation, we conclude that $A_0 \dot{t}_0^2 = C_0 \dot{\phi}_0^2$.

In this context and throughout subsequent discussions, the subscript “0” signifies quantities evaluated at $r = r_0$. For simplification without compromising generality, we assume the impact parameter b to be positive, particularly when dealing with a single light ray. Due to the preservation of the impact parameter along the trajectory, which can be expressed as $b(r_0) = \frac{L}{E} = \frac{C_0 \dot{\phi}_0}{A_0 \dot{t}_0} = \sqrt{\frac{C_0}{A_0}}$. Accordingly, $R(r)$ can be expressed in the following manner $R(r) = \frac{A_0 C}{A C_0} - 1$.

Therefore, the trajectory equation takes the following form $\left(\frac{dr}{d\phi}\right)^2 = \frac{R(r)C(r)}{B(r)}$, and, thereby, we can address the deflection angle of light, denoted as $\alpha(r_0)$, which is given $\alpha(r_0) = I(r_0) - \pi$,

where, $I(r_0)$ is properly defined as being $I(r_0) \equiv 2 \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{\frac{R(r)C(r)}{B(r)}}}$. The critical step in our calculation now involves evaluating this integral, which can be a challenging task depending on the metric under consideration [106]. For this regard, to find $I(r_0)$, let us introduce [106] $z \equiv 1 - \frac{r_0}{r}$, so that $I(r_0) = \int_0^1 f(z, r_0) dz$ in which $f(z, r_0) \equiv \frac{2r_0}{\sqrt{G(z, r_0)}}$, and $G(z, r_0) \equiv R_B^C(1-z)^4$. Thereby, $G(z, r_0)$ can be succinctly formulated [106] $G_m(z) = c_2(r_m)z^2 + \mathcal{O}(z^3)$. This indicates that the principal divergence of $f(z, r_0)$ arises at the z^{-1} order, resulting in a logarithmic divergence in the integral $I(r_0)$ as we approach the strong deflection limit $r_0 \rightarrow r_m$. To handle this divergence, we decompose the integral $I(r_0)$ into two components: a divergent segment $I_D(r_0)$ and a well-behaved segment $I_R(r_0)$. The divergent part $I_D(r_0)$ is defined as follows $I_D(r_0) \equiv \int_0^1 f_D(z, r_0) dz$, with $f_D(z, r_0) \equiv \frac{2r_0}{\sqrt{c_1(r_0)z + c_2(r_0)z^2}}$.

To proceed forward, the divergent part can be computed $I_D(b) = -\frac{r_m}{\sqrt{c_2(r_m)}} \ln \left[\frac{b}{b_c} - 1 \right] + \frac{r_m}{\sqrt{c_2(r_m)}} \ln [r^2 D'_m] + \mathcal{O}[(b - b_c) \ln(b - b_c)]$. In contrast, it convenient to define the regular part as $I_R(b) = \int_1^0 f_R(z, b_c) dz + \mathcal{O}[(b - b_c) \ln(b - b_c)]$, with $f_R \equiv f(z, r_0) - f_D(z, r_0)$ and the respective deflection angle is given by $a(b) = -\tilde{a} \ln \left[\frac{b}{b_c} - 1 \right] + \tilde{b} + \mathcal{O}[(b - b_c) \ln(b - b_c)]$, where $\tilde{a} = \sqrt{\frac{2B_m A_m}{C_m'' A_m - C_m A_m''}}$, and $\tilde{b} = \tilde{a} \ln \left[r_m^2 \left(\frac{C_m''}{C_m} - \frac{A_m''}{C_m} \right) \right] + I_R(r_m) - \pi$.

A. Charged black hole influenced by an antisymmetric tensor

In this section, it is pertinent to emphasize that we exclusively consider the solution without a cosmological constant. This restriction is due to the applicability of the Tsukamoto method [106], which is strictly valid for asymptotically flat spacetimes. Having outlined the methodology above, we proceed to apply it to our metric described in Eq. (1). Thus, we obtain

$$b_c = \frac{\sqrt{-\frac{(\sqrt{9(l-1)^4 M^2 + 8(l-1)Q^2 + 3(l-1)^2 M})^4}{(l-1)^2 ((l-1)M(\sqrt{9(l-1)^4 M^2 + 8(l-1)Q^2 + 3(l-1)^2 M}) + 2Q^2)}}}{2\sqrt{2}}. \quad (6)$$

In addition, \tilde{a} and \tilde{b} can be written as

$$\tilde{a} = \frac{\sqrt{-\frac{(\sqrt{(l-1)(9(l-1)^3 M^2 + 8Q^2) + 3(l-1)^2 M})^2}{3(l-1)M\sqrt{(l-1)(9(l-1)^3 M^2 + 8Q^2)} + 9(l-1)^3 M^2 + 8Q^2}}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (7)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b} = & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{(\sqrt{(l-1)(9(l-1)^3M^2+8Q^2)}+3(l-1)^2M)^2}{3(l-1)M\sqrt{(l-1)(9(l-1)^3M^2+8Q^2)}+9(l-1)^3M^2+8Q^2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ & \times \ln \left(\frac{6(l-1)M \left(\sqrt{9(l-1)^4M^2+8(l-1)Q^2}+3(l-1)^2M \right) + 16Q^2}{(l-1)M \left(\sqrt{9(l-1)^4M^2+8(l-1)Q^2}+3(l-1)^2M \right) + 2Q^2} \right) + I_R(r_m) - \pi. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

In contrast with what happens in the schwarschild and Reissen–Nordstrom cases, notice that the contribution to the parameter \tilde{a} is fundamentally modified by the Lorentz violation l , as one should expect. Also, $I_R(r_m)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} I_R(r_m) = & \int_0^1 dz \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{2} \left(\sqrt{9(l-1)^4M^2+8(l-1)Q^2}+3(l-1)^2M \right)}{l-1} \right. \\ & \times \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{z^2 \left(3(l-1)M \left(\sqrt{9(l-1)^4M^2+8(l-1)Q^2}+3(l-1)^2M \right) + 8Q^2 \right)}{(l-1)^2}}} \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{z^2 \left((l-1)M(2z-3) \sqrt{9(l-1)^4M^2+8(l-1)Q^2} + 3(l-1)^3M^2(2z-3) - 2Q^2(z-2)^2 \right)}{(l-1)^2}}} \right] \right\} \\ = & 0.298565. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here, we have computed $I_R(r_m)$ numerically, with parameters set as $Q = M = 1$ and

$l = 0.01$. Consequently, the deflection angle is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
a(b) = & + 0.298565 - \pi - \frac{\sqrt{-\frac{(\sqrt{(l-1)(9(l-1)^3M^2+8Q^2)+3(l-1)^2M)})^2}{3(l-1)M\sqrt{(l-1)(9(l-1)^3M^2+8Q^2)+9(l-1)^3M^2+8Q^2}}}}{\sqrt{2}} \\
& \times \ln \left[\frac{b}{\frac{\sqrt{-\frac{(\sqrt{9(l-1)^4M^2+8(l-1)Q^2+3(l-1)^2M})^4}{(l-1)^2((l-1)M(\sqrt{9(l-1)^4M^2+8(l-1)Q^2+3(l-1)^2M})+2Q^2)}}}{2\sqrt{2}}} - 1 \right] \\
& + \frac{\sqrt{-\frac{(\sqrt{(l-1)(9(l-1)^3M^2+8Q^2)+3(l-1)^2M)})^2}{3(l-1)M\sqrt{(l-1)(9(l-1)^3M^2+8Q^2)+9(l-1)^3M^2+8Q^2}}}}{\sqrt{2}} \\
& \times \ln \left(\frac{6(l-1)M \left(\sqrt{9(l-1)^4M^2+8(l-1)Q^2+3(l-1)^2M} \right) + 16Q^2}{(l-1)M \left(\sqrt{9(l-1)^4M^2+8(l-1)Q^2+3(l-1)^2M} \right) + 2Q^2} \right) \\
& + \mathcal{O} \left\{ \left(b - \frac{\sqrt{-\frac{(\sqrt{9(l-1)^4M^2+8(l-1)Q^2+3(l-1)^2M})^4}{(l-1)^2((l-1)M(\sqrt{9(l-1)^4M^2+8(l-1)Q^2+3(l-1)^2M})+2Q^2)}}}{2\sqrt{2}} \right) \right. \\
& \left. \times \ln \left[b - \frac{\sqrt{-\frac{(\sqrt{9(l-1)^4M^2+8(l-1)Q^2+3(l-1)^2M})^4}{(l-1)^2((l-1)M(\sqrt{9(l-1)^4M^2+8(l-1)Q^2+3(l-1)^2M})+2Q^2)}}}{2\sqrt{2}} \right] \right\}. \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

To facilitate understanding, we present in Fig. 2 the variation of the deflection angle with b under various system conditions.

V. LENSING EQUATIONS AND OBSERVABLES

In this section, various parameters related to the bending of light in the strong gravitational field of the black hole are investigated. Fig. 3 demonstrates the gravitational lensing effect caused by the black hole described by Eq. (1). Notice that light emitted from the source S (red point) is deflected towards the observer O (purple point) due to the gravitational influence of the Lorentz-violating black hole at point L (orange point). The image seen by the observer is represented by I (blue point). The big black dot accounts for the black hole studied here. The angular positions of the source and the observed image are




Figure 2: The deflection angle as a function of b for different values of l , M and Q .

labeled as β and θ , respectively. The angular deviation of the light, a , shows the change in its path as it travels through the gravitational field.

Additionally, we use the same setup proposed in [57, 108], where the source (S) is nearly perfectly aligned with the lens (L). This scenario is significant because it produces relativistic images. Under these conditions, the lens equation describing the relationship between θ and β is given by $\beta = \theta - \frac{D_{LS}}{D_{OS}} \Delta a_n$. It is important to mention that Δa_n is defined as the deflection angle after accounting for all the loops completed by the photons before reaching the observer, specifically given by $\Delta a_n = a - 2n\pi$. In this approach, the impact parameter is approximated as $\tilde{b} \approx \theta D_{OL}$. Therefore, the angular deviation is expressed as $a(\theta) = -\tilde{a} \ln \left(\frac{\theta D_{OL}}{b_c} - 1 \right) + \tilde{b}$.

To derive Δa_n , we expand $a(\theta)$ around $\theta = \theta_n^0$, satisfying the condition $a(\theta_n^0) = 2n\pi$. $\Delta a_n = \left. \frac{\partial a}{\partial \theta} \right|_{\theta=\theta_n^0} (\theta - \theta_n^0)$. We have $\theta_n^0 = \frac{b_c}{D_{OL}} (1 + e_n)$, where $e_n = e^{\tilde{b} - 2n\pi}$. Also, equation $\Delta a_n = -\frac{\tilde{a} D_{OL}}{b_c e_n} (\theta - \theta_n^0)$ can be obtained. This result is then integrated into the lens equation, leading to the derivation of the expression for the n^{th} angular position of the image

$\theta_n \simeq \theta_n^0 + \frac{b_c e_n}{a} \frac{D_{OS}}{D_{OL} D_{LS}} (\beta - \theta_n^0)$. The deflection of light preserves surface brightness, while the gravitational lens modifies the solid angle of the source, affecting its observable appearance.

The total flux received from a relativistic image depends on the magnification μ_n , defined as

$$\mu_n = \left| \frac{\beta}{\theta} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \theta} \right|_{\theta_n^0}^{-1}. \text{ By regarding } \Delta a_n = -\frac{\tilde{a} D_{OL}}{b_c e_n} (\theta - \theta_n^0), \text{ we derive } \mu_n = \frac{e_n(1+e_n)}{\tilde{a}\beta} \frac{D_{OS}}{D_{LS}} \left(\frac{b_c}{D_{OL}} \right)^2.$$

Notice that the magnification factor μ_n rises as n increases, indicating that the brightness from the initial image θ_1 far exceeds that of subsequent images. Nevertheless, the overall luminosity remains diminished, primarily due to the presence of the term $\left(\frac{b_c}{D_{OL}}\right)^2$. An important observation is the divergence in magnification as $\beta \rightarrow 0$, underscoring that optimal alignment between the lens and the source maximizes the potential for detecting relativistic images, as one should expect. Furthermore, it is worthy to be mentioned that the impact parameter may effectively be correlated to θ_∞ , as elaborated in [108] $b_c = D_{OL}\theta_\infty$, where θ_∞ denotes the other relativistic images here. We will adopt Bozza's approach from [108], which treats the outermost image θ_1 as a distinct entity, while grouping the remaining images under θ_∞ . To elaborate, Bozza introduced the following observables $s = \theta_1 - \theta_\infty = \theta_\infty e^{\frac{\tilde{b}-2\pi}{\tilde{a}}}$, $\tilde{r} = \frac{\mu_1}{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n} = e^{\frac{2\pi}{\tilde{a}}}$.

In the expressions mentioned earlier, s indicates the angular separation, while \tilde{r} denotes the ratio of the flux emitted by the first image to the total flux emitted by all other images combined. These equations can be inverted to find the expansion coefficients. To substantiate our findings, the following subsection will demonstrate a particular astrophysical example to compute these observables and investigate the influence of the Lorentz-violating parameter l under these previous quantities.

A. Galactic phenomena: gravitational lensing by Sagittarius A^*

Observational data on stellar dynamics compellingly indicates the presence of a dense, mysterious entity at the center of our galaxy. This entity, believed to be the supermassive black hole Sagittarius (Sgr) A^* , has an estimated mass of $4.4 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ [109]. To better understand this celestial phenomenon, we examine its characteristics using the dimensionless parameter l , which helps elucidate the behavior of observables.

To analyze the observables, we adopt a distance of $D_{OL} = 8.5$ Kpc [109] and $l \sim 3.90708 \times 10^{-12}$ based on literature [110]. With $b_c =$




Figure 3: Representation of the gravitational lensing. The light emitted from the source S (red point) is bent as it travels toward the observer O (purple point), influenced by the presence of a compact object positioned at L (orange point). The observer O perceives an image I (blue point). D_{OL} represents the distance between the lens L and the observer O , while D_{LS} denotes the distance from the source's projection to the lens along the optical axis. The big black dot represents the black hole under consideration

$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{-\frac{(\sqrt{9(l-1)^4 M^2 + 8(l-1)Q^2 + 3(l-1)^2 M})^4}{(l-1)^2 (l-1)M(\sqrt{9(l-1)^4 M^2 + 8(l-1)Q^2 + 3(l-1)^2 M}) + 2Q^2}}$, we find $\theta_\infty \approx 25.64 \mu\text{arcsecs} + \mathcal{O}(l)$, where $\mathcal{O}(l)$ denotes first-order effects in the Lorentz violation parameter, approximately 10^{-12} . For a better interpretation, Figs. 4 and 5 illustrate observables s and \tilde{r} with respect to the LV coefficient. Both figures employ logarithmic scales due to the small contribution of LV. Fig. 4 reveals that s increases as l rises, indicating greater separation between the first and subsequent relativistic images. Conversely, Fig. 5 demonstrates that the flux ratio of the first image decreases with increasing l .

In addition, the gravitational lensing was also addressed in the context of charged Simpson–Visser solution [111]. As argued in this reference, the angular size θ_∞ for Sgr A^* ranges from approximately 20.7 to 26.6 μas , with deviations $\delta\theta_\infty$ between -6.0 and 0 μas . Both θ_∞ and $\delta\theta_\infty$ decrease as the charge q increases [111]. While θ_∞ is within the detection limits of the Event Horizon Telescope (EHT), the small deviation $\delta\theta_\infty$ of up to 6 μas is currently beyond its detection capability. Therefore, it is not possible to differentiate between the black–bounce–Reissner–Nordström spacetime and the Schwarzschild black hole based on $\delta\theta_\infty$.




Figure 4: Observable $\ln s$ for distinct values of $\ln l$.




Figure 5: Observable $\ln \tilde{r}$ for a variety of $\ln l$.

VI. TIME DELAY ANALYSIS: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This section introduces the theoretical framework of our study. The time delay of light in a gravitational field is calculated by solving the differential equations of null geodesics in a spherically symmetric spacetime $d\tau^2 = f(r)dt^2 - \frac{1}{f(r)}dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)$ so that the conserved quantities give rise to $L \equiv r^2 \sin^2\theta \frac{d\phi}{d\lambda}$, $E \equiv f(r) \frac{dt}{d\lambda}$, and $\mathcal{L} \equiv g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = f(r) \left(\frac{dt}{d\lambda}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{f(r)} \left(\frac{dr}{d\lambda}\right)^2 - r^2 \left(\frac{d\theta}{d\lambda}\right)^2 - r^2 \sin^2\theta \left(\frac{d\phi}{d\lambda}\right)^2$, where λ is an affine parameter, L denotes the conserved angular momentum, and $E^2/2$ represents the conserved energy along a particle's orbit. For test particles confined to the equatorial plane ($\theta = \pi/2$), these ones lead to the following simplified differential equations

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dr}{d\lambda}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} f(r) \left[\frac{L^2}{r^2} + \mathcal{L}\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dr}{d\lambda}\right)^2 + V(r) = \frac{1}{2} E^2. \quad (11)$$

In this context, $V(r) = \frac{f(r)}{2} \left[\frac{L^2}{r^2} + \mathcal{L}\right]$ defines the effective potential for particles in a spherically symmetric gravitational field, with the impact parameter given by $b \equiv |L/E|$. For

massless particles on null geodesics, $\mathcal{L} = 0$. Focusing on photon trajectories, we obtain:

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{dr}{d\lambda} \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = \pm f(r) \sqrt{1 - b^2 \frac{f(r)}{r^2}}. \quad (12)$$

Using $E = f(r) \frac{dt}{d\lambda}$ and setting $\mathcal{L} = 0$ for a massless photon, the signs \pm can be interpreted as follows. As a particle moves along its scattering orbit from the source position r_S , the radial coordinate r decreases over time until the particle reaches the closest approach, $r = r_0$, to the central black hole. Beyond this turning point $r = r_0$, the radial coordinate begins to increase as time advances. Thus, we establish the following relationships:

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = -f(r) \sqrt{1 - b^2 \frac{f(r)}{r^2}} < 0, \quad (13)$$

for a photon traveling from the initial position $r = r_S$ to the turning point $r = r_0$, the radial coordinate behavior is described. Additionally,

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = f(r) \sqrt{1 - b^2 \frac{f(r)}{r^2}} > 0, \quad (14)$$

where we have considered that the photon moves from the tuning point $r = r_0$ to observer position $r = r_O$. It is worth commenting that, in gravitational lensing, when the light source is situated at $r = r_S$ and the observer at $r = r_O$, the time delay of light as it propagates through the gravitational field is formulated as [112]

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta T &= T - T_0 \\ &= - \int_{r_S}^{r_0} \frac{dr}{f(r) \sqrt{1 - \frac{b^2 f(r)}{r^2}}} + \int_{r_0}^{r_O} \frac{dr}{f(r) \sqrt{1 - \frac{b^2 f(r)}{r^2}}} - T_0 \\ &= \int_{r_0}^{r_S} \frac{dr}{f(r) \sqrt{1 - \frac{b^2 f(r)}{r^2}}} + \int_{r_0}^{r_O} \frac{dr}{f(r) \sqrt{1 - \frac{b^2 f(r)}{r^2}}} - \sqrt{r_S^2 - r_0^2} - \sqrt{r_O^2 - r_0^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $T_0 = \sqrt{r_S^2 - r_0^2} + \sqrt{r_O^2 - r_0^2}$ represents the time taken for light to propagate in the absence of a gravitational field. It is evident that the time delay ΔT increases continuously with the positions r_S and r_O of the light source and observer. To enhance clarity for the reader, we present Fig. 6, illustrating the time delay ΔT as a function of r_S for the specified parameters $l = 0.01$, $M = Q = r_0 = b = 1$, and $r_O = 100$. A comparison with the Reissner–Nordström case is provided. Furthermore, ΔT is also shown as a function of l and Q .




Figure 6: The time delay ΔT as a function of r_S is evaluated for specific parameter values: $l = 0.01$, $M = Q = r_0 = b = 1$, and $r_O = 100$. A comparison with Reissner–Nordström is shown as well. ΔT is also shown as a function of l and Q .

VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In this study, we investigated the gravitational lensing effects of a spherically symmetric charged black hole within the context of Lorentz violation, incorporating an antisymmetric tensor field known as the Kalb–Ramond field to address this symmetry-breaking effect. Our calculations were approached through two distinct methods: the weak and strong deflection limits. For the weak deflection limit, we utilized the *Gauss–Bonnet* theorem to derive our results. Conversely, in the strong deflection limit, we applied the *Tsukamoto* methodology, which provided us with measurable outcomes such as relativistic image positions and magnifications. Using these methodologies, we analyzed the gravitational lensing by Sagittarius A^* and determined the corresponding observables as functions of the Lorentz violation parameter l . Finally, the time delay was also computed.

Acknowledgments

A. A. Araújo Filho is supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa do Estado da Paraíba (FAPESQ), project No. 150891/2023-7. The author also wishes to express gratitude to Professor A. Ovgün for the insightful correspondence, and to N. Heidari for highlighting a specific reference that was crucial for the time delay calculation.

-
- [1] A. Cohen and S. Glashow, “Very special relativity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 97, p. 021601, 2006.
 - [2] T. Jacobson and D. Mattingly, “Gravity with a dynamical preferred frame,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 64, p. 024028, 2001.
 - [3] S. Carroll, J. Harvey, V. Kostelecky, C. Lane, and T. Okamoto, “Noncommutative field theory and lorentz violation,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 87, p. 141601, 2001.
 - [4] V. Kostelecky and S. Samuel, “Spontaneous breaking of lorentz symmetry in string theory,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 39, p. 683, 1989.
 - [5] P. Horava, “Quantum gravity at a lifshitz point,” *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 79, p. 084008, 2009.
 - [6] G. Bengochea and R. Ferraro, “Dark torsion as the cosmic speed-up,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 79, p. 124019, 2009.
 - [7] S. Dubovsky, P. Tinyakov, and I. Tkachev, “Massive graviton as a testable cold dark matter candidate,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 94, p. 181102, 2005.
 - [8] J. Alfaro, H. Morales-Tecotl, and L. Urrutia, “Loop quantum gravity and light propagation,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 65, p. 103509, 2002.
 - [9] R. Bluhm, “Overview of the standard model extension: implications and phenomenology of lorentz violation,” in *Special Relativity: Will it Survive the Next 101 Years?*, pp. 191–226, Springer, 2006.
 - [10] R. Bluhm, S.-H. Fung, and V. A. Kostelecký, “Spontaneous lorentz and diffeomorphism violation, massive modes, and gravity,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 77, no. 6, p. 065020, 2008.
 - [11] V. Kostelecky, “Gravity, lorentz violation, and the standard model,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 69, p. 105009, 2004.

- [12] V. Kostelecky and S. Samuel, “Gravitational phenomenology in higher dimensional theories and strings,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 40, p. 1886, 1989.
- [13] V. Kostelecky and S. Samuel, “Phenomenological gravitational constraints on strings and higher dimensional theories,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 63, p. 224, 1989.
- [14] Q. Bailey and V. Kostelecky, “Signals for lorentz violation in post-newtonian gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 74, p. 045001, 2006.
- [15] R. Bluhm, N. Gagne, R. Potting, and A. Vrublevskis, “Constraints and stability in vector theories with spontaneous lorentz violation,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 77, p. 125007, 2008.
- [16] M. Khodadi and M. Schreck, “Hubble tension as a guide for refining the early universe: Cosmologies with explicit local lorentz and diffeomorphism violation,” *Physics of the Dark Universe*, vol. 39, p. 101170, 2023.
- [17] V. Kostelecky and S. Samuel, “Spontaneous breaking of lorentz symmetry in string theory,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 39, p. 683, 1989.
- [18] M. Khodadi, G. Lambiase, and L. Mastrototaro, “Spontaneous lorentz symmetry breaking effects on grbs jets arising from neutrino pair annihilation process near a black hole,” *The European Physical Journal C*, vol. 83, no. 3, p. 239, 2023.
- [19] A. A. Araújo Filho, J. R. Nascimento, A. Y. Petrov, and P. J. Porfirio, “An exact stationary axisymmetric vacuum solution within a metric–affine bumblebee gravity,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.13014*, 2024 – accepted for publication in JCAP.
- [20] A. A. Araújo Filho, J. R. Nascimento, A. Y. Petrov, and P. J. Porfirio, “Vacuum solution within a metric-affine bumblebee gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 108, no. 8, p. 085010, 2023.
- [21] M. Khodadi, G. Lambiase, and A. Sheykhi, “Constraining the lorentz-violating bumblebee vector field with big bang nucleosynthesis and gravitational baryogenesis,” *The European Physical Journal C*, vol. 83, no. 5, p. 386, 2023.
- [22] M. Khodadi, “Magnetic reconnection and energy extraction from a spinning black hole with broken lorentz symmetry,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 105, no. 2, p. 023025, 2022.
- [23] S. Capozziello, S. Zare, D. Mota, and H. Hassanabadi, “Dark matter spike around bumblebee black holes,” *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 2023, no. 05, p. 027, 2023.
- [24] A. A. Araújo Filho, “Lorentz-violating scenarios in a thermal reservoir,” *The Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, vol. 136, no. 4, pp. 1–14, 2021.
- [25] A. A. Araújo Filho and R. V. Maluf, “Thermodynamic properties in higher-derivative elec-

- thermodynamics,” *Braz. J. Phys.*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 820–830, 2021.
- [26] A. A. Araújo Filho, “Particles in loop quantum gravity formalism: a thermodynamical description,” *Annalen der Physik*, p. 2200383, 2022.
- [27] J. A. A. S. Reis *et al.*, “Thermal aspects of interacting quantum gases in lorentz-violating scenarios,” *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, vol. 136, no. 3, p. 310, 2021.
- [28] A. A. Araújo Filho and A. Y. Petrov, “Higher-derivative lorentz-breaking dispersion relations: a thermal description,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 81, no. 9, p. 843, 2021.
- [29] A. A. Araújo Filho, *Thermal aspects of field theories*. Amazon. com, 2022.
- [30] J. Furtado, H. Hassanabadi, J. Reis, *et al.*, “Thermal analysis of photon-like particles in rainbow gravity,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.08587*, 2023.
- [31] M. Anacleto, F. Brito, E. Maciel, A. Mohammadi, E. Passos, W. Santos, and J. Santos, “Lorentz-violating dimension-five operator contribution to the black body radiation,” *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 785, pp. 191–196, 2018.
- [32] A. A. Araújo Filho, H. Hassanabadi, J. Reis, and L. Lisboa-Santos, “Thermodynamics of a quantum ring modified by lorentz violation,” *Physica Scripta*, vol. 98, no. 6, p. 065943, 2023.
- [33] B. Altschul, Q. Bailey, and V. Kostelecky, “Lorentz violation with an antisymmetric tensor,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 81, p. 065028, 2010.
- [34] J. Assunção, T. Mariz, J. Nascimento, and A. Y. Petrov, “Dynamical lorentz symmetry breaking in a tensor bumblebee model,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 100, no. 8, p. 085009, 2019.
- [35] R. V. Maluf, A. A. Araújo Filho, W. T. Cruz, and C. A. S. Almeida, “Antisymmetric tensor propagator with spontaneous lorentz violation,” *Europhysics Letters*, vol. 124, p. 61001, 2019.
- [36] M. Kalb and P. Ramond, “Classical direct interstring action,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 9, p. 2273, 1974.
- [37] L. Lessa, J. Silva, R. Maluf, and C. Almeida, “Modified black hole solution with a background kalb-ramond field,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 80, p. 335, 2020.
- [38] F. Atamurotov, D. Ortiqboev, A. Abdujabbarov, and G. Mustafa, “Particle dynamics and gravitational weak lensing around black hole in the kalb-ramond gravity,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 82, p. 659, 2022.
- [39] R. Kumar, S. Ghosh, and A. Wang, “Gravitational deflection of light and shadow cast by rotating kalb-ramond black holes,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 101, p. 104001, 2020.
- [40] A. Baruah, A. Övgün, and A. Deshamukhya, “Quasinormal modes and bounding greybody

- factors of gup-corrected black holes in kalb–ramond gravity,” *Annals of Physics*, vol. 455, p. 169393, 2023.
- [41] T. Manton and S. Alexander, “The kalb-ramond field and gravitational parity violation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.14452*, 2024.
- [42] C. Capanelli, L. Jenks, E. W. Kolb, and E. McDonough, “Cosmological implications of kalb-ramond-like-particles,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.02485*, 2023.
- [43] B. Abbott, S. Jawahar, N. Lockerbie, and K. Tokmakov, “Ligo scientific collaboration and virgo collaboration (2016) directly comparing gw150914 with numerical solutions of einstein’s equations for binary black hole coalescence,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 94, p. 064035, 2016.
- [44] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. Abbott, M. Abernathy, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, C. Adams, T. Adams, P. Addesso, R. Adhikari, *et al.*, “Gw151226: observation of gravitational waves from a 22-solar-mass binary black hole coalescence,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 116, no. 24, p. 241103, 2016.
- [45] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. Abbott, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, C. Adams, T. Adams, P. Addesso, R. X. Adhikari, V. B. Adya, *et al.*, “Gw170814: a three-detector observation of gravitational waves from a binary black hole coalescence,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 119, no. 14, p. 141101, 2017.
- [46] O. Contigiani, “Lensing efficiency for gravitational wave mergers,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, vol. 492, no. 3, pp. 3359–3363, 2020.
- [47] S. Mukherjee, B. D. Wandelt, and J. Silk, “Probing the theory of gravity with gravitational lensing of gravitational waves and galaxy surveys,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, vol. 494, no. 2, pp. 1956–1970, 2020.
- [48] C. G. Darwin, “The gravity field of a particle,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, vol. 249, no. 1257, pp. 180–194, 1959.
- [49] R. d. Atkinson, “On light tracks near a very massive star,” *Astronomical Journal, Vol. 70, p. 517*, vol. 70, p. 517, 1965.
- [50] F. Eisenhauer, R. Genzel, T. Alexander, R. Abuter, T. Paumard, T. Ott, A. Gilbert, S. Gillessen, M. Horrobin, S. Trippe, *et al.*, “Sinfoni in the galactic center: young stars and infrared flares in the central light-month,” *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 628, no. 1, p. 246, 2005.
- [51] E. H. T. Collaboration *et al.*, “First m87 event horizon telescope results. iv. imaging the

- central supermassive black hole,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.11241*, 2019.
- [52] K. Akiyama, A. Alberdi, W. Alef, K. Asada, R. Azulay, A.-K. Baczko, D. Ball, M. Baloković, J. Barrett, D. Bintley, *et al.*, “First m87 event horizon telescope results. ii. array and instrumentation,” *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, vol. 875, no. 1, p. L2, 2019.
- [53] K. Akiyama, A. Alberdi, W. Alef, K. Asada, R. Azulay, A.-K. Baczko, D. Ball, M. Baloković, J. Barrett, D. Bintley, *et al.*, “First m87 event horizon telescope results. v. physical origin of the asymmetric ring,” *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, vol. 875, no. 1, p. L5, 2019.
- [54] E. H. T. Collaboration *et al.*, “First m87 event horizon telescope results. iv. imaging the central supermassive black hole,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.11241*, 2019.
- [55] K. Akiyama, A. Alberdi, W. Alef, K. Asada, R. Azulay, A.-K. Baczko, D. Ball, M. Baloković, J. Barrett, D. Bintley, *et al.*, “First m87 event horizon telescope results. v. physical origin of the asymmetric ring,” *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, vol. 875, no. 1, p. L5, 2019.
- [56] D. Ball, C.-K. Chan, P. Christian, B. T. Jannuzi, J. Kim, D. P. Marrone, L. Medeiros, F. Ozel, D. Psaltis, M. Rose, *et al.*, “First m87 event horizon telescope results. vi. the shadow and mass of the central black hole,” 2019.
- [57] K. S. Virbhadra and G. F. Ellis, “Schwarzschild black hole lensing,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 62, no. 8, p. 084003, 2000.
- [58] V. Perlick, “Theoretical gravitational lensing—beyond the weak-field small-angle approximation,” in *The Eleventh Marcel Grossmann Meeting: On Recent Developments in Theoretical and Experimental General Relativity, Gravitation and Relativistic Field Theories (In 3 Volumes)*, pp. 680–699, World Scientific, 2008.
- [59] S. Frittelli, T. P. Kling, and E. T. Newman, “Spacetime perspective of schwarzschild lensing,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 61, no. 6, p. 064021, 2000.
- [60] V. Bozza, S. Capozziello, G. Iovane, and G. Scarpetta, “Strong field limit of black hole gravitational lensing,” *General Relativity and Gravitation*, vol. 33, pp. 1535–1548, 2001.
- [61] N. Tsukamoto, “Deflection angle in the strong deflection limit in a general asymptotically flat, static, spherically symmetric spacetime,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 95, no. 6, p. 064035, 2017.
- [62] M. Grespan and M. Biesiada, “Strong gravitational lensing of gravitational waves: A review,” *Universe*, vol. 9, no. 5, p. 200, 2023.
- [63] P. V. Cunha and C. A. Herdeiro, “Shadows and strong gravitational lensing: a brief review,” *General Relativity and Gravitation*, vol. 50, pp. 1–27, 2018.

- [64] M. Oguri, “Strong gravitational lensing of explosive transients,” *Reports on Progress in Physics*, vol. 82, no. 12, p. 126901, 2019.
- [65] R. B. Metcalf, M. Meneghetti, C. Avestruz, F. Bellagamba, C. R. Bom, E. Bertin, R. Cabanac, F. Courbin, A. Davies, E. Decencière, *et al.*, “The strong gravitational lens finding challenge,” *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, vol. 625, p. A119, 2019.
- [66] G. S. Bisnovatyi-Kogan and O. Y. Tsupko, “Gravitational lensing in presence of plasma: strong lens systems, black hole lensing and shadow,” *Universe*, vol. 3, no. 3, p. 57, 2017.
- [67] J. M. Ezquiaga, D. E. Holz, W. Hu, M. Lagos, and R. M. Wald, “Phase effects from strong gravitational lensing of gravitational waves,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 103, no. 6, p. 064047, 2021.
- [68] A. Övgün, K. Jusufi, and İ. Sakallı, “Exact traversable wormhole solution in bumblebee gravity,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 99, no. 2, p. 024042, 2019.
- [69] N. Tsukamoto, T. Harada, and K. Yajima, “Can we distinguish between black holes and wormholes by their einstein-ring systems?,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 86, no. 10, p. 104062, 2012.
- [70] G. W. Gibbons and M. Vyska, “The application of weierstrass elliptic functions to schwarzschild null geodesics,” *Class. Quant. Grav.*, vol. 29, no. 6, p. 065016, 2012.
- [71] N. Tsukamoto, “Strong deflection limit analysis and gravitational lensing of an ellis wormhole,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 94, no. 12, p. 124001, 2016.
- [72] N. Tsukamoto, “Retrolensing by a wormhole at deflection angles π and 3π ,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 95, no. 8, p. 084021, 2017.
- [73] R. Shaikh, P. Banerjee, S. Paul, and T. Sarkar, “Strong gravitational lensing by wormholes,” *JCAP*, vol. 2019, no. 07, p. 028, 2019.
- [74] K. Jusufi and A. Övgün, “Gravitational lensing by rotating wormholes,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 97, no. 2, p. 024042, 2018.
- [75] V. Bozza, F. De Luca, G. Scarpetta, and M. Sereno, “Analytic kerr black hole lensing for equatorial observers in the strong deflection limit,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 72, no. 8, p. 083003, 2005.
- [76] S. E. Vazquez and E. P. Esteban, “Strong field gravitational lensing by a kerr black hole,” *arXiv preprint gr-qc/0308023*, 2003.
- [77] V. Bozza, “Quasiequatorial gravitational lensing by spinning black holes in the strong field limit,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 67, no. 10, p. 103006, 2003.

- [78] A. B. Aazami, C. R. Keeton, and A. Petters, “Lensing by kerr black holes. ii: Analytical study of quasi-equatorial lensing observables,” *J. Math. Phys.*, vol. 52, no. 10, 2011.
- [79] V. Bozza, F. De Luca, and G. Scarpetta, “Kerr black hole lensing for generic observers in the strong deflection limit,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 74, no. 6, p. 063001, 2006.
- [80] V. Bozza and G. Scarpetta, “Strong deflection limit of black hole gravitational lensing with arbitrary source distances,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 76, no. 8, p. 083008, 2007.
- [81] S. Chakraborty and S. SenGupta, “Strong gravitational lensing—a probe for extra dimensions and kalb-ramond field,” *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 2017, no. 07, p. 045, 2017.
- [82] N. Heidari, H. Hassanabadi, A. A. Araújo Filho, J. Kriz, S. Zare, and P. J. Porfírio, “Gravitational signatures of a non-commutative stable black hole,” *Physics of the Dark Universe*, p. 101382, 2023.
- [83] R. Shaikh and S. Kar, “Gravitational lensing by scalar-tensor wormholes and the energy conditions,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 96, no. 4, p. 044037, 2017.
- [84] A. A. Araújo Filho, J. R. Nascimento, A. Y. Petrov, P. J. Porfírio, *et al.*, “Gravitational lensing by a lorentz-violating black hole,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.04176*, 2024.
- [85] N. Tsukamoto, Y. Gong, *et al.*, “Retrolensing by a charged black hole,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 95, no. 6, p. 064034, 2017.
- [86] E. F. Eiroa and D. F. Torres, “Strong field limit analysis of gravitational retrolensing,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 69, no. 6, p. 063004, 2004.
- [87] E. F. Eiroa, G. E. Romero, and D. F. Torres, “Reissner-nordström black hole lensing,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 66, no. 2, p. 024010, 2002.
- [88] J. Zhang, Y. Xie, *et al.*, “Strong deflection gravitational lensing by the marginally unstable photon spheres of a wormhole,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 109, no. 4, p. 043032, 2024.
- [89] N. Tsukamoto, “Gravitational lensing by using the 0th order of affine perturbation series of the deflection angle of a ray near a photon sphere,” *The European Physical Journal C*, vol. 83, no. 4, p. 284, 2023.
- [90] F. Bombacigno, F. Moretti, S. Boudet, and G. J. Olmo, “Landau damping for gravitational waves in parity-violating theories,” *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 2023, no. 02, p. 009, 2023.
- [91] A. A. Araújo Filho, “Analysis of a regular black hole in verlinde’s gravity,” *Classical and*

- Quantum Gravity*, vol. 41, no. 1, p. 015003, 2023.
- [92] S. Boudet, F. Bombacigno, G. J. Olmo, and P. J. Porfirio, “Quasinormal modes of schwarzschild black holes in projective invariant chern-simons modified gravity,” *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 2022, no. 05, p. 032, 2022.
- [93] A. A. Araújo Filho, “Implications of a simpson–visser solution in verlinde’s framework,” *The European Physical Journal C*, vol. 84, no. 1, pp. 1–22, 2024.
- [94] A. A. Araújo Filho, H. Hassanabadi, N. Heidari, J. Kríz, and S. Zare, “Gravitational traces of bumblebee gravity in metric-affine formalism,” *Class. Quant. Grav.*, vol. 41, p. 055003, 2024.
- [95] K. Amarilo, M. Ferreira Filho, A. A. Araújo Filho, and J. A. A. S. Reis, “Gravitational waves effects in a lorentz–violating scenario,” *Physics Letters B*, p. 138785, 2024.
- [96] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. Abbott, M. Abernathy, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, C. Adams, T. Adams, P. Addesso, R. Adhikari, *et al.*, “Gw150914: The advanced ligo detectors in the era of first discoveries,” *Physical review letters*, vol. 116, no. 13, p. 131103, 2016.
- [97] A. Abramovici, W. E. Althouse, R. W. Drever, Y. Gürsel, S. Kawamura, F. J. Raab, D. Shoemaker, L. Sievers, R. E. Spero, K. S. Thorne, *et al.*, “Ligo: The laser interferometer gravitational-wave observatory,” *science*, vol. 256, no. 5055, pp. 325–333, 1992.
- [98] L. P. Grishchuk, V. Lipunov, K. A. Postnov, M. E. Prokhorov, and B. S. Sathyaprakash, “Gravitational wave astronomy: in anticipation of first sources to be detected,” *Physics-Uspekhi*, vol. 44, no. 1, p. 1, 2001.
- [99] S. Vagnozzi, R. Roy, Y.-D. Tsai, and L. Visinelli, “Horizon-scale tests of gravity theories and fundamental physics from the event horizon telescope image of sagittarius \hat{A}^* ,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.07787*, 2022.
- [100] K. Yang, Y.-Z. Chen, Z.-Q. Duan, and J.-Y. Zhao, “Static and spherically symmetric black holes in gravity with a background kalb-ramond field,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 108, no. 12, p. 124004, 2023.
- [101] Z.-Q. Duan, J.-Y. Zhao, and K. Yang, “Electrically charged black holes in gravity with a background kalb-ramond field,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.13555*, 2023.
- [102] E. L. B. Junior, J. T. S. S. Junior, F. S. N. Lobo, M. E. Rodrigues, D. Rubiera-Garcia, L. F. D. da Silva, and H. A. Vieira, “Gravitational lensing of a schwarzschild-like black hole in kalb-ramond gravity,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.03284*, 2024.

- [103] A. A. Araújo Filho, J. Reis, and H. Hassanabadi, “Exploring antisymmetric tensor effects on black hole shadows and quasinormal frequencies,” *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 2024, no. 05, p. 029, 2024.
- [104] A. A. Araújo Filho, N. Heidari, J. A. A. S. Reis, and H. Hassanabadi, “The impact of an antisymmetric tensor on charged black holes: evaporation process, geodesics, deflection angle, scattering effects and quasinormal modes,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.10721*, 2024.
- [105] G. W. Gibbons and M. C. Werner, “Applications of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem to gravitational lensing,” *Class. Quant. Grav.*, vol. 25, p. 235009, 2008.
- [106] N. Tsukamoto, “Deflection angle in the strong deflection limit in a general asymptotically flat, static, spherically symmetric spacetime,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 95, no. 6, p. 064035, 2017.
- [107] J. R. Nascimento, A. Y. Petrov, P. J. Porfirio, and A. R. Soares, “Gravitational lensing in black-bounce spacetimes,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 102, no. 4, p. 044021, 2020.
- [108] V. Bozza, S. Capozziello, G. Iovane, and G. Scarpetta, “Strong field limit of black hole gravitational lensing,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, vol. 33, pp. 1535–1548, 2001.
- [109] R. Genzel, F. Eisenhauer, and S. Gillessen, “The galactic center massive black hole and nuclear star cluster,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, vol. 82, no. 4, p. 3121, 2010.
- [110] E. L. B. Junior, J. T. S. S. Junior, F. S. N. Lobo, M. E. Rodrigues, D. Rubiera-Garcia, L. F. D. da Silva, and H. A. Vieira, “Spontaneous lorentz symmetry-breaking constraints in kalb-ramond gravity,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.03291*, 2024.
- [111] J. Zhang and Y. Xie, “Gravitational lensing by a black-bounce-reissner–nordström spacetime,” *The European Physical Journal C*, vol. 82, no. 5, pp. 1–22, 2022.
- [112] C.-K. Qiao and P. Su, “Time delay of light in the gravitational lensing of supermassive black holes in dark matter halos,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.05682*, 2024.