

Gradient potential estimates for elliptic double obstacle problems with Orlicz growth

Qi Xiong^a, Zhenqiu Zhang^{*b}, and Lingwei Ma^c

^aSchool of Mathematics, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610031, P.R. China

^bSchool of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin, 300071, P.R. China

^cSchool of Mathematical Sciences, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin, 300387, P.R. China

June 18, 2024

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the solutions of the elliptic double obstacle problems with Orlicz growth involving measure data. Some pointwise estimates for the approximable solutions to these problems are obtained in terms of fractional maximal operators. Furthermore, we establish pointwise and oscillation estimates for the gradients of solutions via the non-linear Wolff potentials, which in turn yield $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity of solutions.

Mathematics Subject classification (2010): 35B45; 35R05; 35B65.

Keywords: double obstacle problems; Wolff potential estimates; Restricted fractional maximal functions.

1 Introduction and main results

We consider the double obstacle problems with Orlicz growth, which are closely connected to measure data problems of the type

$$-\operatorname{div}(a(x, Du)) = \mu \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$ is a bounded open set and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_b(\Omega)$, where $\mathcal{M}_b(\Omega)$ is the set of signed Radon measures μ for which $|\mu|(\Omega)$ is finite and here we denote by $|\mu|$ the total variation of μ . Moreover we assume that $\mu(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) = 0$ and $a = a(x, \eta) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is measurable for each $x \in \Omega$ and

*Corresponding author.

¹E-mail: xq@swjtu.edu.cn(Q. Xiong), zqzhang@nankai.edu.cn (Z. Zhang), mlw1103@163.com (L. Ma).

differentiable for almost every $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and there exist constants $0 < l \leq 1 \leq L < +\infty$ such that for all $x \in \Omega, \eta, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{cases} D_\eta a(x, \eta) \lambda \cdot \lambda \geq l \frac{g(|\eta|)}{|\eta|} |\lambda|^2, \\ |a(x, \eta)| + |\eta| |D_\eta a(x, \eta)| \leq L g(|\eta|), \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where D_η denotes the differentiation in η and $g(t) : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} g(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0, \\ g(\cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+), \\ 1 \leq i_g =: \inf_{t>0} \frac{tg'(t)}{g(t)} \leq \sup_{t>0} \frac{tg'(t)}{g(t)} =: s_g < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

We define

$$G(t) := \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \quad (1.4)$$

It is straightforward to see that $G(t)$ is convex and strictly increasing. The standard example for $G(\cdot)$ is

$$G(t) = \int_0^t (\mu + s^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} s ds$$

with $\mu \geq 0, p \geq 2$, then (1.2) is reduced to p -growth condition (see [11, 17]).

The double obstacle condition that we impose on the solution is of the form $\psi_1 \leq u \leq \psi_2$ a.e. in Ω , where $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in W^{1,G}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,1}(\Omega)$ are two given functions, where G and $W^{1,G}(\Omega)$ are defined as (1.4) and Definition 1.2 respectively. Let $(W^{1,G}(\Omega))'$ is the dual of $W^{1,G}(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^1(\Omega) \cap (W^{1,G}(\Omega))'$. In the classical setting, it is natural to consider the double obstacle problem that can be formulated by the variational inequality

$$\int_\Omega a(x, Du) \cdot D(v - u) dx \geq \int_\Omega f(v - u) dx \quad (1.5)$$

for all functions $v \in u + W_0^{1,G}(\Omega)$ that satisfy $\psi_1 \leq v \leq \psi_2$ a.e. in Ω . This type of obstacle problem was motivated by many applications arising from engineering, physics, mechanics and other fields of applied sciences. However, we are interested in solutions to the double obstacle problems with measure data in the sense that we want to replace the inhomogeneity f by a bounded Radon measure μ . These solutions can be obtained through approximation by using solutions to the variational inequalities (1.5). The precise definition of approximable solutions is provided in Definition 1.3.

The construction of the nonlinear operator $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ we discussed above is based on the model case

$$-\operatorname{div} \left(\kappa(x) \frac{g(|Du|)}{|Du|} Du \right) = \mu \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

where $\kappa : \Omega \rightarrow [c, +\infty)$ is bounded measurable and separated from zero function, and g satisfies (1.3). This type of equation is arising in the fields of fluid dynamics, magnetism, and mechanics, see for instance [3]. As a class of generalized p -Laplacian type elliptic equations, it was first proposed by Lieberman[26] and moreover, he proved C^α - and $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity of the solutions for these elliptic equations in his paper. Since then, substantial advancements have been made in the regularity theory of such equations. For a comprehensive overview of the topic we recommend referring to these articles [4, 6, 7, 8, 27, 32, 38, 41, 43].

In this work, our main goal is to derive pointwise and oscillation estimates for the gradients of solutions to double obstacle problems by the nonlinear Wolff potentials. The Wolff potential was introduced by Maz'ya and Havin [29] and the relevant important contributions were attributed to Hedberg and Wolff [14]. The fundamental results due to Kilpeläinen and Malý [15, 16] consist of the pointwise estimates of solutions to the nonlinear equations of p -Laplace type by the Wolff potentials. Later these results have been extended to a general setting by Trudinger and Wang [35, 36] by means of a different approach. Further remarkable results for the gradient of solutions have been achieved by Duzaar, Kuusi and Mingione [10, 11, 17, 28]. Potential estimates are comprehensive tools to obtain regularity estimates. Indeed, they have been intensively studied and extended in several directions; we refer to [12, 18, 21] for the elliptic systems, [22, 23] for the parabolic equations, [33, 34] for single obstacle problems, respectively. For supplementary results, please see [19, 20, 24, 25, 30, 40].

As for the elliptic equations with Orlicz growth, Baroni [2] obtained pointwise gradient estimates for solutions of equations with constant coefficients by the nonlinear potentials. Later, these results were upgraded by Xiong and Zhang and Ma in [37, 39] to single obstacle problems with measure data and Orlicz growth. In this paper we consider double obstacle problems. To overcome the difficulty in this case, we establish a suitable comparison estimate to transfer the double obstacle problems to the single one, so that we can still apply the analytic tools in [17, 28]. Initially, we deduce excess decay estimates for solutions of double obstacle problems through the utilization of certain comparison estimates. Subsequently, through iterative procedures based on the obtained estimates, we derive pointwise estimates for fractional maximal operators. Ultimately, these estimates allow us to draw conclusions about pointwise and oscillation estimates for the gradients of solutions.

Next, we summarize our main results. We begin by presenting some definitions, notations and assumptions.

Definition 1.1. *A function $G : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is called a Young function if it is convex and $G(0) = 0$.*

Definition 1.2. *Assume that G is a Young function, the Orlicz class $K^G(\Omega)$ is the set of all measurable functions $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying*

$$\int_{\Omega} G(|u|) \, d\xi < \infty.$$

The Orlicz space $L^G(\Omega)$ is the linear hull of the Orlicz class $K^G(\Omega)$ with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{L^G(\Omega)} := \inf \left\{ \alpha > 0 : \int_{\Omega} G\left(\frac{|u|}{\alpha}\right) \, d\xi \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Furthermore, the Orlicz-Sobolev space $W^{1,G}(\Omega)$ is defined as

$$W^{1,G}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^G(\Omega) \cap W^{1,1}(\Omega) \mid Du \in L^G(\Omega)\}.$$

The space $W^{1,G}(\Omega)$, equipped with the norm $\|u\|_{W^{1,G}(\Omega)} := \|u\|_{L^G(\Omega)} + \|Du\|_{L^G(\Omega)}$, is a Banach space. Clearly, $W^{1,G}(\Omega) = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, the standard Sobolev space, if $G(t) = t^p$ with $p \geq 1$.

Note that for the Luxemburg norm there holds the inequality

$$\|u\|_{L^G(\Omega)} \leq \int_{\Omega} G(|u|) \, d\xi + 1.$$

The subspace $W_0^{1,G}(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,G}(\Omega)$. The above properties about Orlicz space can be found in [31].

For every $k > 0$ we let

$$T_k(s) := \begin{cases} s & \text{if } |s| \leq k, \\ k \operatorname{sgn}(s) & \text{if } |s| > k. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for given Dirichlet boundary data $h \in W^{1,G}(\Omega)$, we define

$$\mathcal{T}_h^{1,G}(\Omega) := \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} : T_k(u - h) \in W_0^{1,G}(\Omega) \text{ for all } k > 0 \right\}.$$

We now give the definition of approximable solutions.

Definition 1.3. *Suppose that obstacle functions $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in W^{1,G}(\Omega)$, measure data $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_b(\Omega)$ and boundary data $h \in W^{1,G}(\Omega)$ with $\psi_1 \leq h \leq \psi_2$ a.e. are given. We say that $u \in \mathcal{T}_h^{1,G}(\Omega)$ with $\psi_1 \leq u \leq \psi_2$ a.e. on Ω is a limit of approximating solutions of the double obstacle problem $OP(\psi_1, \psi_2; \mu)$ if there exist functions*

$$f_i \in (W^{1,G}(\Omega))' \cap L^1(\Omega) \text{ with } f_i \xrightarrow{*} \mu \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_b(\Omega) \text{ as } i \rightarrow +\infty$$

satisfies

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |f_i| dx \leq |\mu|(\overline{B_R(x_0)})$$

and solutions $u_i \in W^{1,G}(\Omega)$ with $\psi_1 \leq u_i \leq \psi_2$ of the variational inequalities

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x, Du_i) \cdot D(v - u_i) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} f_i(v - u_i) dx \quad (1.6)$$

for $\forall v \in u_i + W_0^{1,G}(\Omega)$ with $\psi_1 \leq v \leq \psi_2$ a.e. in Ω , such that for $i \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$u_i \rightarrow u \text{ a.e. in } \Omega$$

and

$$u_i \rightarrow u \text{ in } W^{1,1}(\Omega).$$

The existence of approximating solutions, converging as defined above, has been proved in our previous work [37] for the inequalities (1.6) with a single obstacle. Consequently, the existence in this paper can be attained through minor modifications.

Let us next turn our attention to the classical non-linear Wolff potential which is defined by

$$W_{\beta,p}^\mu(x, R) := \int_0^R \left(\frac{|\mu|(B_\rho(x))}{\rho^{n-\beta p}} \right)^{1/(p-1)} \frac{d\rho}{\rho}$$

for parameters $\beta \in (0, n]$ and $p > 1$. We also abbreviate

$$W_{\beta,p}^{[\psi_1]}(x, R) := \int_0^R \left(\frac{D\Psi_1(B_\rho(x))}{\rho^{n-\beta p}} \right)^{1/(p-1)} \frac{d\rho}{\rho}$$

and

$$W_{\beta,p}^{[\psi_2]}(x, R) := \int_0^R \left(\frac{D\Psi_2(B_\rho(x))}{\rho^{n-\beta p}} \right)^{1/(p-1)} \frac{d\rho}{\rho}$$

with $D\Psi_1(B_\rho(x)) := \int_{B_\rho(x)} \left(\frac{g(|D\psi_1|)}{|D\psi_1|} |D^2\psi_1| + 1 \right) d\xi$, $D\Psi_2(B_\rho(x)) := \int_{B_\rho(x)} |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)| d\xi$.

Now we recall the definitions of the centered maximal operators as follows.

Definition 1.4. Let $\beta \in [0, n], x \in \Omega$ and $R < \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$, and let u be an $L^1(\Omega)$ -function or a measure with finite mass; the restricted fractional β maximal function of u is defined by

$$M_{\beta,R}(u)(x) := \sup_{0 < r \leq R} r^\beta \frac{|u|(B_r(x))}{|B_r(x)|} = \sup_{0 < r \leq R} r^\beta \int_{B_r(x)} |u| d\xi.$$

Note that when $\beta = 0$ the one defined above is the classical Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator.

Moreover, we define

$$\overline{M}_{\beta,R}(\psi_1)(x) := \sup_{0 < r \leq R} r^\beta \frac{D\Psi_1(B_r(x))}{|B_r(x)|} = \sup_{0 < r \leq R} r^\beta \int_{B_r(x)} \left(\frac{g(|D\psi_1|)}{|D\psi_1|} |D^2\psi_1| + 1 \right) d\xi$$

and

$$\overline{M}_{\beta,R}(\psi_2)(x) := \sup_{0 < r \leq R} r^\beta \frac{D\Psi_2(B_r(x))}{|B_r(x)|} = \sup_{0 < r \leq R} r^\beta \int_{B_r(x)} |\text{div } a(x, D\psi_2)| d\xi.$$

Definition 1.5. Let $\beta \in [0, n], x \in \Omega$ and $R < \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$, and let u be an $L^1(\Omega)$ -function or a measure with finite mass; the restricted sharp fractional β maximal function of u is defined by

$$M_{\beta,R}^\#(u)(x) := \sup_{0 < r \leq R} r^{-\beta} \int_{B_r(x)} |u - (u)_{B_r(x)}| d\xi.$$

When $\beta = 0$ the one defined above is the Fefferman-Stein sharp maximal operator.

Throughout this paper we write

$$\theta(a, B_r(x_0))(x) := \sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|a(x, \eta) - \overline{a}_{B_r(x_0)}(\eta)|}{g(|\eta|)},$$

where

$$\overline{a}_{B_r(x_0)}(\eta) := \int_{B_r(x_0)} a(x, \eta) dx.$$

Then we can easily check from (1.2) that $|\theta(a, B_r(x_0))| \leq 2L$.

Definition 1.6. We say that $a(x, \eta)$ is (δ, R) -vanishing for some $\delta, R > 0$, if

$$\omega(R) := \sup_{\substack{x_0 \in \Omega \\ 0 < r \leq R}} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \theta(a, B_r(x_0)) dx \leq \delta. \quad (1.7)$$

Moreover, $\omega(\cdot)^{\frac{1}{1+sg}}$ will be called Dini-BMO regular if

$$\sup_{r>0} \int_0^r \omega(\rho)^{\frac{1}{1+sg}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} < +\infty;$$

$\omega(\cdot)^{\frac{1}{1+sg}}$ will be called Dini-Hölder regular if

$$\sup_{r>0} \int_0^r \frac{\omega(\rho)^{\frac{1}{1+sg}}}{\rho^\alpha} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} < +\infty.$$

Throughout this paper, we always assume that δ is a small positive constant.

Finally we state our main results of this paper. The first result we are going to present is some pointwise estimates of certain maximal operators of approximable solutions.

Theorem 1.7. *Under the assumptions (1.2), (1.3) and (1.7), let $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in W^{1,G}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,1}(\Omega)$, $\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2), \frac{g(|D\psi_1|)}{|D\psi_1|} |D^2\psi_1| \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$. Assume that $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ with $\psi_1 \leq u \leq \psi_2$ a.e. is a limit of approximating solutions to $OP(\psi_1, \psi_2; \mu)$ with measure data $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_b(\Omega)$ (in the sense of Definition 1.3), and $\omega(\cdot)^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}}$ is Dini-BMO regular in the sense of Definition 1.6, then there exists a constant $c = c(\text{data})$ and a radius $R_0 > 0$, depending on data, $\omega(\cdot)$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{\alpha,R}^\#(u)(x) + M_{1-\alpha,R}(Du)(x) \\ & \leq c \left[W_{1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^\mu(x, 2R) + W_{1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x, 2R) + W_{1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x, 2R) \right] \\ & + cR^{1-\alpha} \int_{B_R(x)} |Du| d\xi + c \int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(x)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

Further assume that

$$\sup_{r>0} \frac{[\omega(r)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}}}{r^{\widehat{\alpha}}} \leq c_0, \quad (1.9)$$

for some $\widehat{\alpha} \in [0, \beta)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{\alpha,R}^\#(Du)(x) \\ & \leq c \left\{ [M_{1-\alpha i_g, R}(\mu)(x)]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + [\overline{M}_{1-\alpha i_g, R}(\psi_1)(x)]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + [\overline{M}_{1-\alpha i_g, R}(\psi_2)(x)]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right\} \\ & + c \left[W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^\mu(x, 2R) + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x, 2R) + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x, 2R) \right] \\ & + cR^{-\alpha} \int_{B_R(x)} |Du| d\xi + c \int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(x)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1+\alpha}} \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

holds uniformly in $\alpha \in [0, \widehat{\alpha}]$, where $c = c(\text{data}, \widehat{\alpha}, c_0, \omega(\cdot), \operatorname{diam}(\Omega))$, $0 < R \leq \min \{R_0, \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega)\}$ and β is as in Lemma 3.7.

The previous theorem implies the pointwise and oscillation estimates for the gradients of solutions to double obstacle problems.

Theorem 1.8. *In the same hypotheses of Theorem 1.7, let $B_{4R}(x_0) \subseteq \Omega, x, y \in B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_0), 0 < R \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and for some $\widehat{\alpha} \in [0, \beta)$, assume that $\omega(\cdot)^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}}$ is Dini-Hölder regular, that is*

$$c_0 := \sup_{r>0} \int_0^r \frac{[\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} d\rho}{\rho^{\widehat{\alpha}}} < +\infty. \quad (1.11)$$

Then there exists a constant $c = c(n, i_g, s_g, v, L, c_0, \widehat{\alpha}, \omega(\cdot), \operatorname{diam}(\Omega))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |Du(x_0)| \\ & \leq c \left[\int_{B_R(x_0)} |Du| d\xi + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^\mu(x_0, 2R) + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x_0, 2R) + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x_0, 2R) \right] \\ & + c \int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & |Du(x) - Du(y)| \\ & \leq c \int_{B_R(x_0)} |Du| d\xi \left(\frac{|x-y|}{R} \right)^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + c \left[W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^\mu(x, 2R) + W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x, 2R) + W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x, 2R) \right] |x - y|^\alpha \\
& + c \left[W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^\mu(y, 2R) + W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(y, 2R) + W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(y, 2R) \right] |x - y|^\alpha \\
& + c \left[\int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(x)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1+\alpha}} \right] |x - y|^\alpha \\
& + c \left[\int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(y)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1+\alpha}} \right] |x - y|^\alpha, \tag{1.13}
\end{aligned}$$

holds uniformly in $\alpha \in [0, \hat{\alpha}]$, where β is as in Lemma 3.7 and x, y is the Lebesgue's point of Du .

Remark 1.9. To the best of our knowledge, there is not much research on the gradient estimate associated with the double obstacle problems, and our work is new, and our results provide a new perspective for understanding the solutions to the double obstacle problems.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some notions and preliminary results. In Section 3, we derive the excess decay estimate for solutions to these problems by using some comparison estimates. In Section 4, we obtain pointwise and oscillation estimates for the gradients of solutions by the Wolff potentials.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some notions and results which will be used in this paper. For brevity in notation, we gather the dependencies of specific constants on the parameters of our inquiry as

$$data = data(n, i_g, s_g, l, L).$$

For an integrable map $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, we write

$$(f)_\Omega := \int_\Omega f dx := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega f dx.$$

For $q \in [1, \infty)$, it is easily verified that

$$\|f - (f)_\Omega\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq 2 \min_{c \in \mathbb{R}^m} \|f - c\|_{L^q(\Omega)}. \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.1. A Young function G is called an N -function if

$$0 < G(t) < +\infty \text{ for } t > 0$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{G(t)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t}{G(t)} = +\infty. \tag{2.2}$$

It's obvious that $G(t)$ is an N -function.

The Young conjugate of a Young function G will be denoted by G^* and defined as

$$G^*(t) = \sup_{s \geq 0} \{st - G(s)\} \text{ for } t \geq 0.$$

In particular, if G is an N -function, then G^* is an N -function as well.

Definition 2.2. A Young function G is said to satisfy the global Δ_2 condition, denoted by $G \in \Delta_2$, if there exists a positive constant C such that for every $t > 0$,

$$G(2t) \leq CG(t).$$

Similarly, a Young function G is said to satisfy the global ∇_2 condition, denoted by $G \in \nabla_2$, if there exists a constant $\theta > 1$ such that for every $t > 0$,

$$G(t) \leq \frac{G(\theta t)}{2\theta}.$$

Remark 2.3. For an increasing function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying Δ_2 condition $f(2t) \lesssim f(t)$ for $t \geq 0$, it is easy to prove that $f(t+s) \leq c[f(t) + f(s)]$ holds for every $t, s \geq 0$.

Next let us recall a basic property of N -function, which will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.4. [42] If G is an N -function, then G satisfies the following Young's inequality

$$st \leq G^*(s) + G(t), \quad \text{for } \forall s, t \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, if $G \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ is an N -function, then G satisfies the following Young's inequality with $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,

$$st \leq \varepsilon G^*(s) + c(\varepsilon)G(t), \quad \text{for } \forall s, t \geq 0.$$

Another important property of Young's conjugate function is the following inequality, which can be found in [1]:

$$G^*\left(\frac{G(t)}{t}\right) \leq G(t). \quad (2.3)$$

Lemma 2.5. [7, 42] Under the assumption (1.3), $G(t)$ is defined in (1.4). Then we have

(1) $G(t)$ is strictly convex N -function and

$$G^*(g(t)) \leq cG(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \quad \text{and some } c > 0;$$

(2) $G(t) \in \nabla_2$.

Lemma 2.6. [5, 9] Under the assumptions (1.2) and (1.3), $G(t)$ is defined in (1.4). Then there exists $c = c(\text{data}) > 0$ such that

$$[a(x, \eta) - a(x, \xi)] \cdot (\eta - \xi) \geq cG(|\eta - \xi|), \quad \text{for every } x \in \Omega, \eta, \xi, \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (2.4)$$

Epecially, we have

$$a(x, \eta) \cdot \eta \geq cG(|\eta|), \quad \text{for every } x \in \Omega, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (2.5)$$

The following iteration lemma turns out to be very useful in the sequel.

Lemma 2.7. [13] Let $f(t)$ be a nonnegative function defined on the interval $[a, b]$ with $a \geq 0$. Suppose that for $s, t \in [a, b]$ with $t < s$,

$$f(t) \leq \frac{A}{(s-t)^\alpha} + \frac{B}{(s-t)^\beta} + C + \theta f(s)$$

holds, where $A, B, C \geq 0, \alpha, \beta > 0$ and $0 \leq \theta < 1$. Then there exists a constant $c = c(\alpha, \theta)$ such that

$$f(\rho) \leq c \left(\frac{A}{(R-\rho)^\alpha} + \frac{B}{(R-\rho)^\beta} + C \right)$$

for any $\rho, R \in [a, b]$ with $\rho < R$.

The proof of the following lemma can be found in [37].

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain. Assume that $1 + i_g \leq n$, $h \in \mathcal{T}_0^{1,G}(\Omega)$ satisfies*

$$\int_{\Omega \cap \{|h| \leq k\}} |Dh|^{1+i_g} dx \leq Mk + M^{\frac{1+i_g}{i_g}}$$

for $\forall k > 0$, and fixed constants $M > 0$. Then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |h|^{1+\alpha} dx \leq c_1 M^{\frac{1+\alpha}{i_g}},$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |Dh|^{1+\beta} dx \leq c_2 M^{\frac{1+\beta}{i_g}},$$

where $0 < \alpha < \min \left\{ 1, \frac{n(i_g-1)+1+i_g}{n-1-i_g} \right\}$, $0 < \beta < \min \left\{ 1, \frac{n(i_g-1)+1}{n-1} \right\}$, $c_1 = c_1(\Omega, n, i_g, \alpha)$, $c_2 = c_2(\Omega, n, i_g, \beta)$.

Lemma 2.9. *Assume that $g(t)$ satisfies (1.3), $G(t)$ is defined in (1.4). Then we have*

(1) for any $\beta \geq 1$,

$$\beta^{i_g} \leq \frac{g(\beta t)}{g(t)} \leq \beta^{s_g} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^{1+i_g} \leq \frac{G(\beta t)}{G(t)} \leq \beta^{1+s_g}, \quad \text{for every } t > 0,$$

for any $0 < \beta < 1$,

$$\beta^{s_g} \leq \frac{g(\beta t)}{g(t)} \leq \beta^{i_g} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^{1+s_g} \leq \frac{G(\beta t)}{G(t)} \leq \beta^{1+i_g}, \quad \text{for every } t > 0.$$

(2) for any $\beta \geq 1$,

$$\beta^{\frac{1}{s_g}} \leq \frac{g^{-1}(\beta t)}{g^{-1}(t)} \leq \beta^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \leq \frac{G^{-1}(\beta t)}{G^{-1}(t)} \leq \beta^{\frac{1}{1+i_g}}, \quad \text{for every } t > 0,$$

for any $0 < \beta < 1$,

$$\beta^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \leq \frac{g^{-1}(\beta t)}{g^{-1}(t)} \leq \beta^{\frac{1}{s_g}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^{\frac{1}{1+i_g}} \leq \frac{G^{-1}(\beta t)}{G^{-1}(t)} \leq \beta^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}}, \quad \text{for every } t > 0.$$

It's obvious that Lemma 2.9 implies that

$$L^{1+s_g}(\Omega) \subset L^G(\Omega) \subset L^{1+i_g}(\Omega) \subset L^1(\Omega), \quad (2.6)$$

and g and G satisfy Δ_2 condition. Then from Remark 2.3, we know that g and G satisfy the subadditivity property: $g(t+s) \leq c[g(t) + g(s)]$, $G(t+s) \leq c[G(t) + G(s)]$, for every $t, s \geq 0$.

3 Comparison estimates

This section is devoted to comparing the solutions of double obstacle problems to the solutions of elliptic equations. Therefore we can obtain a similar excess decay estimate for solutions of double obstacle problems with measure data. Firstly, we shall prove the comparison estimate between the solutions of double obstacle problems with measure data and the solutions of the single obstacle problems.

Lemma 3.1. Assume that conditions (1.2)-(1.3) are fulfilled, let $B_{2R}(x_0) \subset \Omega$, $f \in L^1(B_R(x_0)) \cap (W^{1,G}(B_R(x_0)))'$ and the map $u \in W^{1,G}(B_R(x_0))$ with $\psi_1 \leq u \leq \psi_2$ solves the variational inequality

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} a(x, Du) \cdot D(v - u) dx \geq \int_{B_R(x_0)} f(v - u) dx \quad (3.1)$$

for any $v \in u + W_0^{1,G}(B_R(x_0))$ that satisfy $\psi_1 \leq v \leq \psi_2$ a.e. in $B_R(x_0)$. Let $w_1 \in u + W_0^{1,G}(B_R(x_0))$ with $w_1 \geq \psi_1$ be the weak solution of the single obstacle problem

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} a(x, Dw_1) \cdot D(v - w_1) dx \geq \int_{B_R(x_0)} a(x, D\psi_2) \cdot D(v - w_1) dx \quad (3.2)$$

for any $v \in w_1 + W_0^{1,G}(B_R(x_0))$ that satisfy $v \geq \psi_1$ a.e. in $B_R(x_0)$. Then there exists $c = c(\text{data})$ such that

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} |Du - Dw_1| dx \leq c \left[R \int_{B_R(x_0)} |f| + |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)| dx \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}}. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $x_0 = 0, R = 1$ by defining

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{u}(x) &= \frac{u(Rx + x_0)}{R}, & \widehat{w}_1(x) &= \frac{w_1(Rx + x_0)}{R}, & \widehat{f}(x) &= Rf(Rx + x_0), \\ \widehat{\psi}_2(x) &= \frac{\psi_2(Rx + x_0)}{R}, & \widehat{a}(a, \eta) &= a(Rx + x_0, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Since $w_1 \in u + W_0^{1,G}(B_1), u \leq \psi_2$ a.e. in B_1 , we have $(w_1 - \psi_2)_+ \in W_0^{1,G}(B_1)$. Then we take $v = \min\{w_1, \psi_2\} = w_1 - (w_1 - \psi_2)_+ \in w_1 + W_0^{1,G}(B_1)$ with $v \geq \psi_1$ as comparison functions in (3.2), it follows from Lemma 2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1} G(|D(w_1 - \psi_2)_+|) dx &\leq c \int_{B_1} [a(x, Dw_1) - a(x, D\psi_2)] \cdot D[(w_1 - \psi_2)_+] dx \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because $G(\cdot)$ is increasing over $[0, +\infty)$ and $G(0) = 0$, we can infer that

$$D(w_1 - \psi_2)_+ = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } B_1.$$

Combining with $(w_1 - \psi_2)_+ = 0$ on ∂B_1 , which implies

$$(w_1 - \psi_2)_+ = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } B_1.$$

It means that $w_1 \leq \psi_2$ a.e. in B_1 .

Case 1: $\int_{B_1} |f| + |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)| dx \leq 1$. If $1 + i_g > n$, because of $u - w_1 \in W_0^{1,G}(B_1), u - w_1 \in W_0^{1,1+i_g}(B_1)$, then we make use of Sobolev's inequality to get $u - w_1 \in L^\infty(B_1)$. Now we take $v = \frac{u+w_1}{2} \in u + W_0^{1,G}(B_1)$ as comparison functions in the variational inequalities (3.1) and (3.2), which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1} |Du - Dw_1|^{1+i_g} dx &\leq c \int_{B_1} [G(|Du - Dw_1|) + 1] dx \\ &\leq c \int_{B_1} [a(x, Dw_1) - a(x, Du)] \cdot (Dw_1 - Du) dx + c \\ &\leq c \int_{B_1} [|f| + |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)|] |w_1 - u| dx + c \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c \|u - w_1\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \int_{B_1} |f| + |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)| dx + c \\
&\leq c \|Du - Dw_1\|_{L^{1+i_g}(B_1)} + c,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 2.6. Then we have

$$\int_{B_1} |Du - Dw_1| dx \leq c.$$

If $1 + i_g \leq n$, we define

$$D_k := \{x \in B_1 : |u(x) - w_1(x)| \leq k\}, \quad \forall k > 0,$$

and let $v_k := u + T_k(w_1 - u)$ and $\bar{v}_k := w_1 - T_k(w_1 - u) \in u + W_0^{1,G}(B_1)$ as comparison functions in the variational inequalities (3.1) and (3.2) respectively, then we have

$$\int_{B_1} [a(x, Dw_1) - a(x, Du)] \cdot D[T_k(w_1 - u)] dx \leq k \int_{B_1} |f| + |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)| dx. \quad (3.4)$$

Then for every $k \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{D_k} |Du - Dw_1|^{1+i_g} dx &\leq c \int_{B_1} [a(x, Dw_1) - a(x, Du)] \cdot D[T_k(w_1 - u)] dx + c \\
&\leq ck.
\end{aligned}$$

Now using Lemma 2.8, we obtain

$$\int_{B_1} |Du - Dw_1| dx \leq c.$$

Case 2: $\int_{B_1} |f| + |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)| dx > 1$. We let

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{u}(x) &= A^{-1}u(x), \quad \bar{v}(x) = A^{-1}v(x), \quad \bar{w}_1(x) = A^{-1}w_1(x), \quad \bar{\psi}_1(x) = A^{-1}\psi_1(x) \\
\bar{\psi}_2(x) &= A^{-1}\psi_2(x), \quad \bar{f}(x) = A^{-i_g}f(x), \quad \bar{a}(x, \eta) = A^{-i_g}a(x, A\eta), \quad \bar{G}(t) = \int_0^t \bar{g}(\tau) d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

where $A = (\int_{B_1} |f| + |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)| dx)^{\frac{1}{i_g}} > 1$. Then we can easily obtain $\int_{B_1} |\bar{f}| + |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)| dx \leq 1$, and \bar{a} satisfies (1.2) and \bar{g} satisfies (1.3).

Moreover, $\bar{u} \in W^{1,G}(B_1)$ with $\bar{\psi}_1 \leq \bar{u} \leq \bar{\psi}_2$ solves the variational inequality

$$\int_{B_1} \bar{a}(x, D\bar{u}) \cdot D(\bar{v} - \bar{u}) dx \geq \int_{B_1} (\bar{v} - \bar{u}) \bar{f} dx$$

for any $\bar{v} \in \bar{u} + W_0^{1,G}(B_1)$ that satisfy $\bar{\psi}_1 \leq \bar{v} \leq \bar{\psi}_2$ a.e. on B_1 .

And $\bar{w}_1 \in \bar{u} + W_0^{1,G}(B_1)$ with $\bar{w}_1 \geq \bar{\psi}_1$ solves the inequality

$$\int_{B_1} \bar{a}(x, D\bar{w}_1) \cdot D(\bar{v} - \bar{w}_1) dx \geq \int_{B_1} \bar{a}(x, D\bar{\psi}_2) \cdot D(\bar{v} - \bar{w}_1) dx.$$

Similar to the case 1 we have

$$\int_{B_1} |D\bar{u} - D\bar{w}_1| dx \leq c.$$

In conclusion, we have

$$\int_{B_1} |Du - Dw_1| dx \leq c \left(\int_{B_1} |f| + |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{i_g}},$$

which finishes our proof. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Assume that conditions (1.2)-(1.3) are fulfilled, let w_1 be as in Lemma 3.1 and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_b(\Omega)$ and u be a limit of approximating solutions for $OP(\psi_1, \psi_2; \mu)$, in the sense of Definition 1.3. Then there exists $c = c(\text{data})$ such that*

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} |Du - Dw_1| dx \leq c \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B_R}(x_0))}{R^{n-1}} + R \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)| dx \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}}. \quad (3.5)$$

The proof of corollary 3.2 follows a similar approach to the proof of corollary 4.2 presented in [37]; hence, we omit its repetition in this exposition.

The following results have been proved in our previous work [37, 39].

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that conditions (1.2)-(1.3) are fulfilled, let $B_{2R}(x_0) \subset \Omega$, $f \in L^1(B_R(x_0)) \cap (W^{1,G}(B_R(x_0)))'$ and the map $w_1 \in W^{1,G}(B_R(x_0))$ with $w_1 \geq \psi_1$ solves the variational inequality (3.2). Let $w_2 \in w_1 + W_0^{1,G}(B_R(x_0))$ with $w_2 \geq \psi_1$ be the weak solution of the homogeneous obstacle problem*

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} a(x, Dw_2) \cdot D(v - w_2) dx \geq 0 \quad (3.6)$$

for any $v \in w_2 + W_0^{1,G}(B_R(x_0))$ with $v \geq \psi_1$ a.e. in $B_R(x_0)$. Then there exists $c = c(\text{data})$ such that

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} |Dw_1 - Dw_2| dx \leq c \left[R \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)| dx \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *Under the conditions (1.2)-(1.3), let $B_{2R}(x_0) \subseteq \Omega$, $w_2 \geq 0$, $\psi_1 \in W^{1,G}(B_{2R}(x_0))$, $w_2 \in W^{1,G}(B_{2R}(x_0))$ with $w_2 \geq \psi_1$ solve the inequality (3.6) and $w_3 \in W^{1,G}(B_{2R}(x_0))$ with $w_3 \geq \psi_1$ solve the inequality*

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} \bar{a}_{B_R(x_0)}(Dw_3) \cdot D(v - w_3) dx \geq 0 \quad (3.7)$$

and $w_3 = w_2$ on $\partial B_R(x_0)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R(x_0)} |Dw_2 - Dw_3| dx \\ & \leq c\omega(R)^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |Dw_2| dx + G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] dx \right] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c = c(\text{data})$.

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that conditions (1.2)-(1.3) are fulfilled, and $z \in W^{1,G}(B_R(x_0))$ with $z \geq \psi_1$ solves the inequality (3.6). Let $w \in z + W_0^{1,G}(B_R(x_0))$ be a weak solution of the equation*

$$-\operatorname{div}(a(x, Dw)) = -\operatorname{div}(a(x, D\psi_1)) \quad \text{in } B_R(x_0)$$

and $\psi_1 \in W^{1,G}(B_R(x_0)) \cap W^{2,1}(B_R(x_0))$, $\operatorname{div}(a(x, D\psi_1)) \in L^1(B_R(x_0))$. Then there exists $c = c(\text{data}) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} |Dz - Dw| dx \leq c \left(R \int_{B_R(x_0)} (|\operatorname{div}(a(x, D\psi_1))| + 1) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{i_g}}. \quad (3.8)$$

Lemma 3.6. Assume that conditions (1.2)-(1.3) are fulfilled, let $f, g \in L^1(B_R(x_0)) \cap (W^{1,G}(B_R(x_0)))'$ and $z, w \in W^{1,G}(B_R(x_0))$ with $z - w \in W_0^{1,G}(B_R(x_0))$ be weak solutions of

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a(x, Dz)) = f & \text{in } B_R(x_0), \\ -\operatorname{div}(a(x, Dw)) = g & \text{in } B_R(x_0). \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

Then the following comparison estimates hold:

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} |Dz - Dw| dx \leq c \left(R \int_{B_R(x_0)} (|f| + |g| + 1) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{i_g}}, \quad (3.10)$$

where $c = c(\text{data}) > 0$.

Next we state an excess decay estimate for a homogeneous comparison problem.

Lemma 3.7. (see [2], lemma 4.1) If $z \in W_{loc}^{1,G}(\Omega)$ is a local weak solution of

$$-\operatorname{div}(a(Dz)) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (3.11)$$

under the assumptions (1.2) and (1.3), then there exist constants $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and $c = c(\text{data})$ such that

$$\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |Dz - (Dz)_{B_\rho(x_0)}| d\xi \leq c \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^\beta \int_{B_R(x_0)} |Dz - (Dz)_{B_R(x_0)}| d\xi, \quad (3.12)$$

where $0 < \rho \leq R$, $B_{2R}(x_0) \subset \Omega$.

Our aim is to establish a similar excess decay estimate, incorporating error terms, for solutions to (3.1). To accomplish this, we utilize a multistep comparison argument to transfer the excess decay estimate from Lemma 3.7 onto solutions of the double obstacle problem.

Lemma 3.8. Assume that conditions (1.2)-(1.3) are fulfilled, let $B_{2R}(x_0) \subset \Omega$, $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in W^{1,G}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,1}(\Omega)$, $\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)$, $\frac{g(|D\psi_1|)}{|D\psi_1|} |D^2\psi_1| \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$, Let $u \in W^{1,1}(B_R(x_0))$ with $u \geq \psi_1$ a.e. be a limit of approximating solutions for $OP(\psi_1, \psi_2; \mu)$ with measure data $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_b(B_R(x_0))$ (in the sense of Definition 1.3). Then there exists $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |Du - (Du)_{B_\rho(x_0)}| dx \leq c \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^\beta \int_{B_R(x_0)} |Du - (Du)_{B_R(x_0)}| dx \\ & + c \left(\frac{R}{\rho} \right)^n \left[\frac{|\mu|(B_R(x_0))}{R^{n-1}} + R \int_{B_R} |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)| dx \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \\ & + c \left(\frac{R}{\rho} \right)^n \left[R \int_{B_R(x_0)} \left(\frac{g(|D\psi_1|)}{|D\psi_1|} |D^2\psi_1| + 1 \right) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \\ & + c \left(\frac{R}{\rho} \right)^n \omega(R)^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ \int_{B_R(x_0)} |Du| dx + G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_R(x_0)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] dx \right] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \rho \leq R$, $c = c(\text{data})$ and β is as in Lemma 3.7.

Remark 3.9. As we have successfully derived all the requisite comparison estimates for regularized problems at the L^1 -level, our results seamlessly extend to problems involving measure data.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $x_0 = 0$ and that $w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5 \in W^{1,G}(B_R)$ satisfy separately

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_{B_R} a(x, Dw_1) \cdot D(v - w_1) dx \geq \int_{B_R} a(x, D\psi_2) \cdot D(v - w_1) dx, \\ \text{for } \forall v \in w_1 + W_0^{1,G}(B_R) \text{ with } v \geq \psi_1 \text{ a.e. in } B_R, \\ w_1 \geq \psi_1, w_1 \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } B_R, \\ w_1 = u \quad \text{on } \partial B_R, \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_{B_R} a(x, Dw_2) \cdot D(v - w_2) dx \geq 0, \\ \text{for } \forall v \in w_2 + W_0^{1,G}(B_R) \text{ with } v \geq \psi_1 \text{ a.e. in } B_R, \\ w_2 \geq \psi_1, w_2 \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } B_R, \\ w_2 = w_1 \quad \text{on } \partial B_R, \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} \bar{a}_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}}(Dw_3) \cdot D(v - w_3) dx \geq 0, \\ \text{for } \forall v \in w_3 + W_0^{1,G}(B_{\frac{R}{2}}) \text{ with } v \geq \psi_1 \text{ a.e. in } B_{\frac{R}{2}}, \\ w_3 \geq \psi_1 \quad \text{a.e. in } B_{\frac{R}{2}}, \\ w_3 = w_2 \quad \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{R}{2}}, \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\operatorname{div}(\bar{a}_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}}(Dw_4)) = -\operatorname{div}(\bar{a}_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}}(D\psi_1)) \quad \text{in } B_{\frac{R}{2}}, \\ w_4 = w_3 \quad \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{R}{2}}, \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\operatorname{div}(\bar{a}_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}}(Dw_5)) = 0 \quad \text{in } B_{\frac{R}{2}}, \\ w_5 = w_4 \quad \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{R}{2}}. \end{array} \right.$$

In order to transfer the double obstacle problems to the single one, we make use of Corollary 3.2 to get the comparison estimate

$$\int_{B_R} |Du - Dw_1| dx \leq c \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B_R})}{R^{n-1}} + R \int_{B_R} |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)| dx \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}}. \quad (3.13)$$

Using Lemma 3.3 to remove the inhomogeneity,

$$\int_{B_R} |Dw_1 - Dw_2| dx \leq c \left[R \int_{B_R} |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)| dx \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}}. \quad (3.14)$$

Then we use Lemma 3.4 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |Dw_2 - Dw_3| dx &\leq c\omega(R)^{\frac{1}{1+i_g}} \left\{ \int_{B_R} |Dw_2| dx + G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_R} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] dx \right] \right\} \\ &\leq c\omega(R)^{\frac{1}{1+i_g}} \left\{ \int_{B_R} |Du| dx + \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B_R})}{R^{n-1}} + R \int_{B_R} |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)| dx \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right\} \\ &+ c\omega(R)^{\frac{1}{1+i_g}} \left\{ G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_R} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] dx \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

In the subsequent step, to effectively transition to an obstacle-free problem, we make use of Lemma 3.5 to get

$$\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |Dw_3 - Dw_4| dx \leq c \left(R \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} (|\operatorname{div}(\bar{a}_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}}(D\psi_1))| + 1) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{i_g}}. \quad (3.16)$$

We now proceed to simplify the problem by reducing it to a homogeneous equation. An application of Lemma 3.6 with $f = -\operatorname{div}(\bar{a}_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}}(D\psi_1))$ and $g = 0$ implies that

$$\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |Dw_4 - Dw_5| dx \leq c \left(R \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} (|\operatorname{div}(\bar{a}_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}}(D\psi_1))| + 1) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{i_g}}. \quad (3.17)$$

Applying Lemma 3.7, there exists $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\rho} |Dw_5 - (Dw_5)_{B_\rho}| dx &\leq c \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^\beta \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |Dw_5 - (Dw_5)_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}}| dx \\ &\leq c \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^\beta \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |Dw_5 - Du| + |Du - (Du)_{B_R}| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Finally combining (3.13)-(3.18) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_\rho} |Du - (Du)_{B_\rho}| dx \leq \int_{B_\rho} |Du - (Dw_5)_{B_\rho}| dx \\ &\leq \int_{B_\rho} (|Du - Dw_1| + |Dw_1 - Dw_2| + |Dw_2 - Dw_3| \\ &+ |Dw_3 - Dw_4| + |Dw_4 - Dw_5| + |Dw_5 - (Dw_5)_{B_\rho}|) dx \\ &\leq c \left(\frac{R}{\rho} \right)^n \left[\left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B_{\frac{R}{2}}})}{R^{n-1}} + R \int_{B_R} |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)| dx \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left(R \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} (|\operatorname{div}(\bar{a}_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}}(D\psi_1))| + 1) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right] \\ &+ c \left(\frac{R}{\rho} \right)^n \omega(R)^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ \int_{B_R} |Du| dx + \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B_R})}{R^{n-1}} + R \int_{B_R} |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)| dx \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right\} \\ &+ c \left(\frac{R}{\rho} \right)^n \omega(R)^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_R} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] dx \right] \\ &+ c \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^\beta \left[\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} (|Dw_5 - Du| + |Du - (Du)_{B_R}|) dx \right] \\ &\leq c \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^\beta \int_{B_R} |Du - (Du)_{B_R}| dx \\ &+ c \left(\frac{R}{\rho} \right)^n \left[\left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B_R})}{R^{n-1}} + R \int_{B_R} |\operatorname{div} a(x, D\psi_2)| dx \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left(R \int_{B_R} \left(\frac{g(|D\psi_1|)}{|D\psi_1|} |D^2\psi_1| + 1 \right) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right] \\ &+ c \left(\frac{R}{\rho} \right)^n \omega(R)^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ \int_{B_R} |Du| dx + G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_R} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] dx \right] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact $\omega \leq 2L$ and the condition (1.2) in the last step. \square

4 The proof of gradient estimates

The focus of this section lies in deriving pointwise and oscillation estimates for the gradients of solutions utilizing the sharp maximal function estimates. We initiate the analysis by establishing a pointwise estimate of the fractional maximal operator through the utilization of precise iteration methods.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Proof of (1.8) We define

$$B_i := B\left(x, \frac{R}{H^i}\right) = B(x, R_i), \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$A_i := \int_{B_i} |Du - (Du)_{B_i}| dx, \quad k_i := |(Du)_{B_i} - S|, \quad S \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We take $H = H(\text{data}) > 1$ large enough to have

$$c\left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^\beta \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

with β as in Lemma 3.7 and using Lemma 3.8 gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{i+1}} |Du - (Du)_{B_{i+1}}| d\xi \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_i} |Du - (Du)_{B_i}| d\xi + cH^n \left\{ \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B_i})}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_1(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_2(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right\} \\ & + cH^n \omega(R_i)^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ \int_{B_i} |Du| d\xi + G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_i} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Our next step involves reducing the value of R_0 -in a way depending only on *data* and $\omega(\cdot)$ - to get

$$cH^n \omega(R_i)^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \leq cH^n \omega(R_0)^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \leq \frac{1}{4},$$

which together with the following estimate

$$\int_{B_i} |Du| d\xi \leq \int_{B_i} |Du - (Du)_{B_i}| d\xi + k_i + |S|,$$

we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i+1} & \leq \frac{1}{2} A_i + c \left\{ \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B_i})}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_1(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_2(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right\} \\ & + c[\omega(R_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ k_i + |S| + G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_i} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

whenever $i \geq 0$. On the other hand, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} |k_{i+1} - k_i| & \leq |(Du)_{B_{i+1}} - (Du)_{B_i}| \\ & \leq \int_{B_{i+1}} |Du - (Du)_{B_i}| d\xi \\ & \leq H^n \int_{B_i} |Du - (Du)_{B_i}| d\xi = H^n A_i, \end{aligned}$$

from which we see that for $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$k_{m+1} = \sum_{i=0}^m (k_{i+1} - k_i) + k_0 \leq H^n \sum_{i=0}^m A_i + k_0. \quad (4.2)$$

In this step, we perform a summation of (4.1) across the range of indices $i \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$, leading us to derive the subsequent inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^m A_i \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} A_i + c \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B_i})}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_1(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_2(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right\}$$

$$+ c \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} [\omega(R_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ k_i + |S| + G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_i} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \right\}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m A_i &\leq A_0 + 2c \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B}_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_1(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_2(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right\} \\ &\quad + c \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} [\omega(R_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ k_i + |S| + G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_i} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For every integer $m \geq 1$ we employ (4.2) to gain

$$\begin{aligned} k_{m+1} &\leq cA_0 + ck_0 + c \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B}_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_1(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_2(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right\} \\ &\quad + c \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} [\omega(R_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ k_i + |S| + G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_i} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Taking into consideration the explicit definition of A_0 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &k_{m+1} \\ &\leq c \int_{B_R} |Du - (Du)_{B_R}| + |Du - S| d\xi \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

$$+ c \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B}_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_1(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_2(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right\} \quad (4.5)$$

$$+ c \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} [\omega(R_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ k_i + |S| + G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_i} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \right\} \quad (4.6)$$

for every $m \geq 0$. By choosing $S = 0$ in the inequality mentioned earlier and subsequently multiplying both sides by $R_{m+1}^{1-\alpha}$, while considering the given restrictions $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $R_{m+1} \leq R_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq m+1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{m+1}^{1-\alpha} k_{m+1} \\ &\leq cR^{1-\alpha} \int_{B_R} |Du| d\xi + c \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} R_i^{1-\alpha} \left\{ \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B}_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_1(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_2(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right\} \\ &\quad + c \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} R_i^{1-\alpha} [\omega(R_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ k_i + G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_i} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{m+1}^{1-\alpha} k_{m+1} \\ &\leq c \sum_{i=0}^m \left\{ \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B}_i)}{R_i^{n-1-i_g(1-\alpha)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_1(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1-i_g(1-\alpha)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_2(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1-i_g(1-\alpha)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

$$+ cR^{1-\alpha} \int_{B_R} |Du| d\xi + c \sum_{i=0}^m R_i^{1-\alpha} [\omega(R_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ k_i + G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_i} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \right\} \quad (4.8)$$

By employing the definition of Wolff potential, we proceed to estimate the final term on the right-hand side in equation (4.7), yielding

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B}_i)}{R_i^{n-1-i_g(1-\alpha)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \\
& \leq \frac{c}{\log 2} \int_R^{2R} \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B}_\rho)}{\rho^{n-1-i_g(1-\alpha)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{c}{\log H} \int_{R_{i+1}}^{R_i} \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B}_\rho)}{\rho^{n-1-i_g(1-\alpha)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \\
& \leq cW_{1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^\mu(x, 2R). \tag{4.9}
\end{aligned}$$

At the last step, we utilize the assumption $|\mu|(B_{2R}) < +\infty$ to deduce that the condition $|\mu|(\partial B_\rho) > 0$ can hold for only a countable number of radii $\rho \in (R, 2R)$. Likewise, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{D\Psi_1(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1-i_g(1-\alpha)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \leq cW_{1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x, 2R), \\
& \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{D\Psi_2(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1-i_g(1-\alpha)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \leq cW_{1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x, 2R), \\
& \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [\omega(R_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \leq c \int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho}, \\
& \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} R_i^{1-\alpha} [\omega(R_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_i} [G(|D\psi|) + G(|\psi|)] d\xi \right] \\
& \leq c \int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$R_{m+1}^{1-\alpha} k_{m+1} \leq cM + c \sum_{i=0}^m R_i^{1-\alpha} k_i [\omega(R_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}}, \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M : &= c \left[W_{1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^\mu(x, 2R) + W_{1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x, 2R) + W_{1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x, 2R) \right] \\
&+ cR^{1-\alpha} \int_{B_R} |Du| d\xi + c \int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the same arguments used in [39], we prove by induction that

$$R_{m+1}^{1-\alpha} k_{m+1} \leq (c + c^*)M \tag{4.11}$$

holds for every $m \geq 0$, some positive constants $c, c^* > 1$.

Now we define

$$\begin{aligned}
C_m &:= R_m^{1-\alpha} A_m = R_m^{1-\alpha} \int_{B_m} |Du - (Du)_{B_m}| d\xi \\
h_m &:= \int_{B_m} |Du| d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Then it's easy to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
R_m^{1-\alpha} h_m &= R_m^{1-\alpha} \int_{B_m} |Du| d\xi \\
&\leq R_m^{1-\alpha} \int_{B_m} |Du - (Du)_{B_m}| + |(Du)_{B_m}| d\xi \\
&= R_m^{1-\alpha} k_m + C_m \\
&\leq CM + C_m
\end{aligned}$$

with M as in (4.10). Consequently, our focus narrows down to finding an upper bound for C_m . By employing (4.9) and recalling the definition of M in (4.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B_i})}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} &\leq CR_i^{\alpha-1} W_{1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^\mu(x, 2R) \\
&\leq CR_i^{\alpha-1} M.
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\frac{D\Psi_1(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} &\leq cR_i^{\alpha-1} M, \\
\left[\frac{D\Psi_2(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} &\leq cR_i^{\alpha-1} M, \\
[\omega(R_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_i} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] &\leq cR_i^{\alpha-1} M.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, with reference to (4.1), we establish

$$A_{m+1} \leq \frac{1}{2} A_m + cR_m^{\alpha-1} M + ck_m.$$

Subsequently, we employ (4.11) to obtain

$$A_{m+1} \leq \frac{1}{2} A_m + cR_m^{\alpha-1} M.$$

then multiply both sides by $R_{m+1}^{1-\alpha}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{m+1} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{R_{m+1}}{R_m} \right)^{1-\alpha} C_m + C \left(\frac{R_{m+1}}{R_m} \right)^{1-\alpha} M \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} C_m + C_1 M.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Then applying the same arguments used in [39], we prove by induction that

$$C_m \leq 2C_1 M \tag{4.13}$$

holds whenever $m \geq 0$. Let us now consider the case where $r \leq R$ and select the integer $i \geq 0$ satisfying $R_{i+1} \leq r \leq R_i$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
r^{1-\alpha} \int_{B_r} |Du| d\xi &\leq \left(\frac{R_i}{R_{i+1}} \right)^n R_i^{1-\alpha} \int_{B_i} |Du| d\xi \\
&\leq CH^n R_i^{1-\alpha} h_i
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq CM.$$

With the definition of M and the restricted maximal operator in mind, we obtain in turn

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{1-\alpha,R}(|Du|)(x) \\ & \leq c \left[W_{1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{i_g+1},i_g+1}^\mu(x,2R) + W_{1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{i_g+1},i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x,2R) + W_{1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{i_g+1},i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x,2R) \right] \\ & + cR^{1-\alpha} \int_{B_R} |Du| d\xi + c \int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, because of

$$M_{\alpha,R}^\#(u)(x) \leq M_{1-\alpha,R}(|Du|)(x),$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{\alpha,R}^\#(u)(x) + M_{1-\alpha,R}(|Du|)(x) \\ & \leq c \left[W_{1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{i_g+1},i_g+1}^\mu(x,2R) + W_{1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{i_g+1},i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x,2R) + W_{1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{i_g+1},i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x,2R) \right] \\ & + cR^{1-\alpha} \int_{B_R} |Du| d\xi + c \int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (1.10) We define

$$\widehat{A}_i := R_i^{-\alpha} \int_{B_i} |Du - (Du)_{B_i}| d\xi \quad (4.14)$$

Using Lemma 3.8 (multiply both sides by $R_{i+1}^{-\alpha}$) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{A}_{i+1} \\ & \leq c \left(\frac{R_{i+1}}{R_i} \right)^{\beta-\alpha} \widehat{A}_i + c \left(\frac{R_i}{R_{i+1}} \right)^{n+\alpha} \left\{ \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B}_i)}{R_i^{n-1+\alpha i_g}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_1(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1+\alpha i_g}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_2(B_i)}{R_i^{n-1+\alpha i_g}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right\} \\ & + c \left(\frac{R_i}{R_{i+1}} \right)^{n+\alpha} \frac{1}{R_i^\alpha} \omega(R_i)^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ \int_{B_i} |Du| d\xi + G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_i} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We choose a value of $H = H(n, i_a, s_a, \widehat{\alpha}, \beta) > 1$ that is sufficiently large to obtain

$$c \left(\frac{R_{i+1}}{R_i} \right)^{\beta-\alpha} = c \left(\frac{1}{H} \right)^{\beta-\alpha} \leq c \left(\frac{1}{H} \right)^{\beta-\widehat{\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B}_i)}{R_i^{n-1+\alpha i_g}} \leq H^{n-1+\alpha i_g} \frac{|\mu|(B_{i-1})}{R_{i-1}^{n-1+\alpha i_g}}.$$

Due to assumption (1.9), we have

$$\frac{[\omega(R_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}}}{R_i^\alpha} \leq \frac{[\omega(R_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}}}{R_i^{\widehat{\alpha}}} \leq c_0,$$

then according to the definition of restricted maximal operator, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{A}_{i+1} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \widehat{A}_i + c \left\{ [M_{1-\alpha i_g,R}(\mu)(x)]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + [\overline{M}_{1-\alpha i_g,R}(\psi_1)(x)]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + [\overline{M}_{1-\alpha i_g,R}(\psi_2)(x)]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \int_{B_i} |Du| d\xi \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ c \frac{1}{R_i^\alpha} \omega(R_i)^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_i} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \right\}$$

for every $i \geq 0$. Moreover, from the case $\alpha = 1$ of inequality (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_i} |Du| d\xi \\ & \leq c \left[\int_{B_R} |Du| d\xi + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^\mu(x, 2R) + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x, 2R) + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x, 2R) \right] \\ & + c \int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \\ & := cM^*. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Therefore, by combining with the two previous inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{A}_{i+1} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \widehat{A}_i + c \left\{ [M_{1-\alpha i_g, R}(\mu)(x)]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + [\overline{M}_{1-\alpha i_g, R}(\psi_1)(x)]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + [\overline{M}_{1-\alpha i_g, R}(\psi_2)(x)]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + M^* \right\} \\ & + c \frac{1}{R_i^\alpha} \omega(R_i)^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_i} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Iterating the previous relation, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{A}_i \leq 2^{-i} \widehat{A}_0 \\ & + c \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} 2^{-j} \left\{ [M_{1-\alpha i_g, R}(\mu)(x)]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + [\overline{M}_{1-\alpha i_g, R}(\psi_1)(x)]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + [\overline{M}_{1-\alpha i_g, R}(\psi_2)(x)]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + M^* \right\} \\ & + c \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} 2^{-j} \frac{1}{R_j^\alpha} \omega(R_j)^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_j} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

holds for every $i \geq 1$. Subsequently, analogous to (4.9), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} 2^{-j} \frac{1}{R_j^\alpha} \omega(R_j)^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_j} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \right\} \\ & \leq c \int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1+\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

By recalling (4.14) and (2.1), it is straightforward to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{i \geq 0} \widehat{A}_i \\ & \leq c \left\{ R^{-\alpha} \int_{B_R} |Du| d\xi + [M_{1-\alpha i_g, R}(\mu)(x)]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + [\overline{M}_{1-\alpha i_g, R}(\psi_1)(x)]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + [\overline{M}_{1-\alpha i_g, R}(\psi_2)(x)]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right\} \\ & + c \left\{ M^* + \int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1+\alpha}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For every $\rho \in (0, R]$, let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $R_{i+1} < \rho \leq R_i$, then we gain

$$\rho^{-\alpha} \int_{B_\rho} |Du - (Du)_{B_\rho}| d\xi \leq c \frac{R_i^n}{\rho^n} R_{i+1}^{-\alpha} \int_{B_i} |Du - (Du)_{B_i}| d\xi$$

$$\leq c \sup_{i \geq 0} \widehat{A}_i,$$

from which we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{\alpha, R}^{\#}(Du)(x) \\ & \leq c \left\{ R^{-\alpha} \int_{B_R} |Du| d\xi + [M_{1-\alpha i_g, R}(\mu)(x)]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + [\overline{M}_{1-\alpha i_g, R}(\psi_1)(x)]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + [\overline{M}_{1-\alpha i_g, R}(\psi_2)(x)]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right\} \\ & + c \left\{ W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{\mu}(x, 2R) + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x, 2R) + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x, 2R) \right\} \\ & + c \int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1+\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.7. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.8. At first we give the proof of the estimate (1.12).

We choose $S = 0$ and make use of (4.4), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} k_{m+1} & \leq c \int_{B_{R_i}(x_0)} |Du - (Du)_{B_{R_i}(x_0)}| + |Du| dx \\ & + c \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B_{R_i}(x_0)})}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_1(B_{R_i}(x_0))}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_2(B_{R_i}(x_0))}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right\} \\ & + c \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} [\omega(B_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ \int_{B_{R_i}(x_0)} |Du| dx + G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_{R_i}(x_0)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] dx \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we observe

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B_{R_i}(x_0)})}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \leq c W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{\mu}(x_0, 2R), \\ & \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{D\Psi_1(B_{R_i}(x_0))}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \leq c W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x_0, 2R), \\ & \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{D\Psi_2(B_{R_i}(x_0))}{R_i^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \leq c W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x_0, 2R), \\ & \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} [\omega(R_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \leq c \int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \leq c, \\ & \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} [\omega(R_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_{R_i}(x_0)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] dx \right] \\ & \leq c \int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] dx \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.15) with above estimates, it follows that

$$|Du(x_0)| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} k_{m+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c \left[\int_{B_R(x_0)} |Du| dx + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^\mu(x_0, 2R) + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x_0, 2R) + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x_0, 2R) \right] \\ &+ c \int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] dx \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we prove the estimate (1.13). For every $x, y \in B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_0)$, we define

$$r_i := \frac{r}{H^i}, \quad r \leq \frac{R}{2}, \quad k_i = |(Du)_{B_{r_i}(x)} - S|, \quad \bar{k}_i = |(Du)_{B_{r_i}(y)} - S|.$$

Taking use of (4.4) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k_{m+1} &\leq c \int_{B_r(x)} (|Du - (Du)_{B_r(x)}| + |Du - S|) d\xi \\ &+ cr^\alpha \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B_{r_i}(x)})}{r_i^{n-1+\alpha i_g}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_1(B_{r_i}(x))}{r_i^{n-1+\alpha i_g}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\frac{D\Psi_2(B_{r_i}(x))}{r_i^{n-1+\alpha i_g}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right\} \\ &+ cr^\alpha \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{r_i^\alpha} [\omega(r_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \left\{ \int_{B_{r_i}(x)} |Du| d\xi + G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_{r_i}(x)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{|\mu|(\overline{B_{r_i}(x)})}{r_i^{n-1+\alpha i_g}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} &\leq c W_{\frac{1-\alpha i_g}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^\mu(x, 2r), \\ \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{D\Psi_1(B_{r_i}(x))}{r_i^{n-1+\alpha i_g}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} &\leq c W_{\frac{1-\alpha i_g}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x, 2r), \\ \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{D\Psi_2(B_{r_i}(x))}{r_i^{n-1+\alpha i_g}} \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} &\leq c W_{\frac{1-\alpha i_g}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x, 2r), \\ \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{r_i^\alpha} [\omega(r_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} &\leq c \int_0^{2r} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1+\alpha}} \leq c, \\ \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{r_i^\alpha} [\omega(r_i)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_{r_i}(x_0)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \\ &\leq c \int_0^{2r} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(x)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1+\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.15) with the previous estimates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k_{m+1} &\leq c \int_{B_r(x)} (|Du - (Du)_{B_r(x)}| + |Du - S|) d\xi \\ &+ cr^\alpha \left[W_{-\alpha+\frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^\mu(x, R) + W_{-\alpha+\frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x, R) + W_{-\alpha+\frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x, R) \right] \\ &+ cr^\alpha \left\{ \int_{B_r(x)} |Du| d\xi + \int_0^R [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(x)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1+\alpha}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If x is a Lebesgue's point of Du , then let $m \rightarrow \infty$, we derive

$$|Du(x) - S| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} k_{m+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c \int_{B_r(x)} (|Du - (Du)_{B_r(x)}| + |Du - S|) d\xi \\
&+ cr^\alpha \left[W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^\mu(x, R) + W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x, R) + W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x, R) \right] \\
&+ cr^\alpha \left\{ \int_{B_r(x)} |Du| d\xi + \int_0^R [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(x)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1+\alpha}} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If y is a Lebesgue's point of Du , a parallel outcome ensues. Subsequently, coupling with the two previous estimates enables us to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&|Du(x) - Du(y)| \\
&\leq c \int_{B_r(x)} (|Du - (Du)_{B_r(x)}| + |Du - S|) d\xi \\
&+ cr^\alpha \left[W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^\mu(x, R) + W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x, R) + W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x, R) \right] \\
&+ cr^\alpha \left\{ \int_{B_r(x)} |Du| d\xi + \int_0^R [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(x)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1+\alpha}} \right\} \\
&+ c \int_{B_r(y)} (|Du - (Du)_{B_r(y)}| + |Du - S|) d\xi \\
&+ cr^\alpha \left[W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^\mu(y, R) + W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(y, R) + W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(y, R) \right] \\
&+ cr^\alpha \left\{ \int_{B_r(y)} |Du| d\xi + \int_0^R [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(y)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1+\alpha}} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We now choose

$$S := (Du)_{B_{3r}(x)}, \quad r := \frac{|x - y|}{2},$$

it's easy to see that $B_r(y) \subseteq B_{3r}(x)$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_r(x)} (|Du - (Du)_{B_r(x)}| + |Du - S|) d\xi + \int_{B_r(y)} (|Du - (Du)_{B_r(y)}| + |Du - S|) d\xi \\
&\leq c(n) \int_{B_{3r}(x)} |Du - (Du)_{B_{3r}(x)}| d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Now notice that $x, y \in B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_0)$, so $|x - y| \leq \frac{R}{2}$ and then $B_{3r}(x) \subseteq B_{\frac{3R}{4}}(x) \subseteq B_R(x_0)$. Therefore apply (1.10) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{3r}(x)} |Du - (Du)_{B_{3r}(x)}| d\xi \\
&\leq cr^\alpha M_{\alpha, \frac{3R}{4}}^\#(Du)(x) \\
&\leq c \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^\alpha \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{4}}(x)} |Du| d\xi \\
&+ cr^\alpha \left\{ \left[M_{1-\alpha i_g, \frac{3R}{4}}(\mu)(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\overline{M}_{1-\alpha i_g, \frac{3R}{4}}(\psi_1)(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\overline{M}_{1-\alpha i_g, \frac{3R}{4}}(\psi_2)(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right\} \\
&+ cr^\alpha \left\{ W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^\mu(x, 2R) + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x, 2R) + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x, 2R) \right\} \\
&+ cr^\alpha \int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(x)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1+\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, because of (4.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_r(x)} |Du| d\xi \\
& \leq c \left[\int_{B_{\frac{3R}{4}}(x)} |Du| d\xi + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^\mu(x, \frac{3R}{2}) + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x, \frac{3R}{2}) + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x, \frac{3R}{2}) \right] \\
& + c \int_0^{\frac{3R}{2}} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(x)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho}, \\
& \int_{B_r(y)} |Du| d\xi \\
& \leq c \left[\int_{B_{\frac{3R}{4}}(y)} |Du| d\xi + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^\mu(y, \frac{3R}{2}) + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(y, \frac{3R}{2}) + W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(y, \frac{3R}{2}) \right] \\
& + c \int_0^{\frac{3R}{2}} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(y)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho}.
\end{aligned}$$

Due to the preceding estimates, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Du(x) - Du(y)| \leq c \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^\alpha \int_{B_R(x_0)} |Du| d\xi \\
& + c r^\alpha \left\{ \left[M_{1-\alpha i_g, \frac{3R}{4}}(\mu)(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\overline{M}_{1-\alpha i_g, \frac{3R}{4}}(\psi_1)(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} + \left[\overline{M}_{1-\alpha i_g, \frac{3R}{4}}(\psi_2)(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \right\} \\
& + c r^\alpha \left[W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^\mu(x, 2R) + W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x, 2R) + W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x, 2R) \right] \\
& + c r^\alpha \left[W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^\mu(y, 2R) + W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(y, 2R) + W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(y, 2R) \right] \\
& + c r^\alpha \left[\int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(x)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1+\alpha}} \right] \\
& + c r^\alpha \left[\int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(y)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1+\alpha}} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $W_{\frac{1}{i_g+1}, i_g+1}^\mu(x, 2R) \leq c W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^\mu(x, 2R)$ and for other case there are similar inequalities.

For every $\varepsilon > 0$, we know that there exists $0 < r \leq R$ such that

$$M_{1-\alpha i_g, \frac{3R}{4}}(\mu)(x) \leq |B_1|^{-1} \frac{|\mu|(B_{\frac{3r}{4}}(x))}{\left(\frac{3r}{4}\right)^{n-1+\alpha i_g}} + \varepsilon.$$

According to the definition of Wolff potential, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{|\mu|(B_{\frac{3r}{4}}(x))}{\left(\frac{3r}{4}\right)^{n-1+\alpha i_g}} & = \left[\left(\frac{|\mu|(B_{\frac{3r}{4}}(x))}{\left(\frac{3r}{4}\right)^{n-1+\alpha i_g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \frac{1}{-\log(3/4)} \int_{3r/4}^r \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \right]^{i_g} \\
& \leq C \left[\int_{3r/4}^r \left(\frac{|\mu|(B_\rho(x))}{\rho^{n-1+\alpha i_g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{i_g}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \right]^{i_g}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C \left[W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^\mu(x, R) \right]^{i_g}.$$

Likewise,

$$\frac{D\Psi_1(B_{\frac{3r}{4}}(x))}{\left(\frac{3r}{4}\right)^{n-1+\alpha i_g}} \leq C \left[W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x, R) \right]^{i_g},$$

$$\frac{D\Psi_2(B_{\frac{3r}{4}}(x))}{\left(\frac{3r}{4}\right)^{n-1+\alpha i_g}} \leq C \left[W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x, R) \right]^{i_g}.$$

Finally, we consider the definition of r to derive

$$\begin{aligned} & |Du(x) - Du(y)| \\ \leq & c \int_{B_R(x_0)} |Du| d\xi \left(\frac{|x-y|}{R} \right)^\alpha \\ & + c \left[W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^\mu(x, 2R) + W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(x, 2R) + W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(x, 2R) \right] |x-y|^\alpha \\ & + c \left[W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^\mu(y, 2R) + W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_1]}(y, 2R) + W_{-\alpha + \frac{1+\alpha}{1+i_g}, i_g+1}^{[\psi_2]}(y, 2R) \right] |x-y|^\alpha \\ & + c \left[\int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(x)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1+\alpha}} \right] |x-y|^\alpha \\ & + c \left[\int_0^{2R} [\omega(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{1+s_g}} G^{-1} \left[\int_{B_\rho(y)} [G(|D\psi_1|) + G(|\psi_1|)] d\xi \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1+\alpha}} \right] |x-y|^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Then we finish the proof of Theorem 1.8. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors are supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. 2682024CX028) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12071229 and 12101452).

References

- [1] R.A. Adams, Sobolev Spaces. Academic Press, New York (1975)
- [2] P. Baroni, Riesz potential estimates for a general class of quasilinear equations, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 53 (3-4) (2015) 803-846.
- [3] L. Bers, Mathematical Aspects of Subsonic and Transonic Gas Dynamics. Wiley, New York (1958).
- [4] L. Beck, G. Mingione: Lipschitz bounds and nonuniform ellipticity, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 73 (2020) 944-1034.
- [5] Y. Cho, Global gradient estimates for divergence-type elliptic problems involving general nonlinear operators, J. Differ. Equ. 264(2018) 6152-6190.

- [6] A. Cianchi, V. Maz'ya, Gradient regularity via rearrangements for p-Laplacian type elliptic boundary value problems, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 16 (2014) 571-595.
- [7] A. Cianchi, V. Maz'ya, Global Lipschitz regularity for a class of quasilinear elliptic equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 36 (2011) 100-133.
- [8] A. Cianchi, V. Maz'ya, Global boundedness of the gradient for a class of nonlinear elliptic systems, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 212 (2014) 129-177.
- [9] L. Diening, F. Ettwein, Fractional estimates for non-differentiable elliptic systems with general growth, *Forum Math*, 20(3) (2008) 523-556.
- [10] F. Duzaar, G. Mingione, Gradient estimates via non-linear potentials, *Amer. J. Math.* 133 (2011) 1093-1149.
- [11] F. Duzaar, G. Mingione, Gradient estimates via linear and nonlinear potentials, *J. Funct. Anal.* 259 (2010) 2961-2998.
- [12] F. Duzaar, G. Mingione, Gradient continuity estimates, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* 39 (3-4) (2010) 379-418.
- [13] E. Giusti, *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge (2003).
- [14] L. Hedberg, Th.H. Wolff, Thin sets in Nonlinear Potential Theory, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 33 (1983) 161-187.
- [15] T. Kilpeläinen, J. Malý, The Wiener test and potential estimates for quasilinear elliptic equations, *Acta Math.* 172 (1994) 137-161.
- [16] T. Kilpeläinen, J. Malý, Degenerate elliptic equations with measure data and nonlinear potentials, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* 19 (1992) 591-613.
- [17] T. Kuusi, G. Mingione, Universal potential estimates, *J. Funct. Anal.* 262 (2012), 4205-4269.
- [18] T. Kuusi, G. Mingione, Vectorial nonlinear potential theory, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 20 (2018) 929-1004.
- [19] T. Kuusi, G. Mingione, Guide to nonlinear potential estimates (English summary), *Bull. Math. Sci.* 4 (2014) 1-82.
- [20] T. Kuusi, G. Mingione, Y. Sire, Nonlocal equations with measure data, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 337 (2015) 1317-1368.
- [21] T. Kuusi, G. Mingione, Partial regularity and potentials, *J.Éc. Polytech. Math.* 3 (2016) 309–363.
- [22] T. Kuusi, G. Mingione, Riesz potentials and nonlinear parabolic equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 212 (2014) 727-780.
- [23] T. Kuusi, G. Mingione, The Wolff gradient bound for degenerate parabolic equations. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 16 (2014) 835-892.

- [24] T. Kuusi, G. Mingione, Potential estimates and gradient boundedness for nonlinear parabolic systems. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 28 (2012) 535-576.
- [25] T. Kuusi, G. Mingione, New perturbation methods for nonlinear parabolic problems. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* (9) 98 (2012) 390-427.
- [26] G.M. Lieberman, The natural generalization of the natural conditions of Ladyzhenskaya and Ural'tseva for elliptic equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 16 (1991) 311-361.
- [27] G.M. Lieberman, Regularity of solutions to some degenerate double obstacle problems, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 40 (1991) 1009-1028.
- [28] G. Mingione, Gradient potential estimates, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 13 (2011), 459-486.
- [29] V.G. Maz'ja, V.P. Havin, A nonlinear potential theory, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* 27 (1972) 67-138.
- [30] L. Ma, Z. Zhang, Wolff type potential estimates for stationary Stokes systems with Dini-BMO coefficients, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 23 (2021), no. 7, Paper No. 2050064.
- [31] M.M. Rao, Z.D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 146. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [32] J.F. Rodrigues, R. Teymurazyan, On the two obstacles problem in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and applications (English summary), *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* 56 (2011) 769-787.
- [33] C. Scheven, Gradient potential estimates in non-linear elliptic obstacle problems with measure data, *J. Funct. Anal.* 262 (2012) 2777-2832.
- [34] C. Scheven, Elliptic obstacle problems with measure data: potentials and low order regularity, *Publ. Mat.* 56 (2012) 327-374.
- [35] N. Trudinger, X. Wang, On the weak continuity of elliptic operators and applications to potential theory, *Amer. J. Math.* 124 (2002) 369-410.
- [36] N. Trudinger, X. Wang, Quasilinear elliptic equations with signed measure data, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 23 (2009) 477-494.
- [37] Q. Xiong, Z. Zhang, Gradient potential estimates for elliptic obstacle problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 495(2021) 124698.
- [38] Q. Xiong, Z. Zhang, Ma, Lingwei, Riesz potential estimates for problems with Orlicz growth. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 515 (2022), no. 2, Paper No. 126448, 38 pp.
- [39] Q. Xiong, Z. Zhang, Ma, Lingwei, Gradient potential estimates in elliptic obstacle problems with Orlicz growth. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 61 (2022), no. 3, Paper No. 83, 33 pp.
- [40] X. Fu, J. Xiao, Q. Xiong, Toward Weighted Lorentz–Sobolev Capacities from Caffarelli–Silvestre Extensions, *J. Geom. Anal.* 34 (2024), no. 5, Paper No. 124.
- [41] X. Fu, J. Xiao, Q. Xiong, Dirichlet or Neumann problem for weighted 1-Laplace equation with application to image denoising, *J. Geom. Anal.* 34 (2024), no. 1, Paper No. 32, 49 pp.

- [42] F.P. Yao, S. Zhou, Calderón-Zygmund estimates for a class of quasilinear elliptic equations, *J. Funct. Anal.* 272 (2017), 1524-1552.
- [43] J. Zhang, S. Zheng, Z. Feng, Gradient estimates of general nonlinear singular elliptic equations with measure data, *J. Differential Equations* 372 (2023), 402-457.