

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIORS OF MODULI OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL SHEAVES ON SURFACES

FEI SI AND FEINUO ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the asymptotic behaviors of the Betti numbers and Picard numbers of the moduli space $M_{\beta, \chi}$ of one-dimensional sheaves supported in a curve class β on S with Euler characteristic χ . We determine the intersection cohomology Betti numbers of $M_{\beta, \chi}$ when S is a del Pezzo surface and β is sufficiently positive. As an application, we formulate a $P = C$ conjecture regarding the refined BPS invariants for local del Pezzo surfaces.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Motivation and background	1
1.2. Main results	3
Acknowledgements	4
2. Preliminaries	5
2.1. Stability, moduli spaces and generators of the cohomology ring	5
2.2. One-dimensional sheaves and determinant line bundles	6
2.3. Moduli on del Pezzo surfaces	8
3. Stabilization of Betti numbers for moduli on del Pezzo surfaces	9
3.1. Relative Hilbert schemes of points	9
3.2. Non-integral locus in a linear system	11
3.3. Proof of the main result	13
4. Families of one-dimensional sheaves	15
4.1. Moving support	15
4.2. Fixed smooth support	15
4.3. Fixed singular support	16
4.4. Intersection numbers	18
4.5. A lower bound of Picard numbers	19
5. Applications	20
5.1. Normalized tautological classes	20
5.2. $P=C$ conjecture on del Pezzo surfaces and enumerative geometry	23
6. Reducible moduli spaces on certain surfaces of general type	25
References	26

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Motivation and background.** Throughout this paper, we work over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. We denote by \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{> 0}$, \mathbb{Q} respectively the ring of integers, the sets of nonnegative, positive integers and the field of rational numbers. All schemes are assumed to be of finite type over \mathbb{C} . By a variety, we mean an integral, separated scheme and by a surface, we mean a smooth, projective 2-dimensional variety. A curve is a projective scheme of pure dimension 1. All sheaves are assumed to be coherent.

Let S be a surface with an ample line bundle H (we refer to such a pair (S, H) as a polarized surface). Given $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, a line bundle L with first Chern class $c_1(L) = c_1 \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ and a cohomology class $c_2 \in H^4(S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, denote by $M(r, L, c_2)$ (*resp.* $M(r, L, c_2)^s$) the (coarse) moduli space parametrizing polystable (*resp.* stable) sheaves F (with respect to H) on S with rank $r(F) = r$, determinant $\det(F) \cong L$ and the second Chern class $c_2(F) = c_2$. If we fix the first Chern class $c_1(F) = c_1$ rather than the determinant, then the moduli spaces are denoted by $M(r, c_1, c_2)$ and $M(r, c_1, c_2)^s$, respectively. When $r \geq 1$, the discriminant of F is defined as

$$\Delta(F) = 2rc_2(F) - (r-1)c_1(F)^2.$$

The above moduli spaces have played a crucial role in many areas and their geometry has been appealing to algebraic geometers for a long time. When $r = 1$, $M(1, L, c_2)$ is isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme $S^{[c_2]}$ parametrizing zero-dimensional closed subschemes in S of length c_2 , which is a smooth projective variety of dimension $2c_2$. Starting from Donaldson's generic smoothness result [Don90], studies on $M(r, L, c_2)$ for $r \geq 2$ have shown that $M(r, L, c_2)$ has better geometric properties as the discriminant $\Delta := \Delta(F)$ ($F \in M(r, L, c_2)$) becomes larger. For example, when Δ is large enough, Gieseker-Li [GL94] [GL96] and O'Grady [O'G96] showed that $M(r, L, c_2)$ is irreducible, normal and has generically smooth irreducible components of the expected dimension.

Some asymptotic phenomena also occur in the computation of Betti numbers of $M(r, L, c_2)$. Göttsche has computed the Betti numbers of $S^{[m]}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ([Gö90]), which in particular implies that the i -th Betti number $b_i(M(1, L, c_2))$ stabilizes as c_2 becomes sufficiently large. The first two Betti numbers of $M(2, L, c_2)^s$ have been computed by Li in [Li97] when Δ is sufficiently large, which are

$$b_1(M(2, L, c_2)^s) = b_1(S) \quad \text{and} \quad b_2(M(2, L, c_2)^s) = 1 + \binom{b_1(S)}{2} + b_2(S),$$

matching $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} b_1(S^{[m]})$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} b_2(S^{[m]})$, respectively. In [CW22], Coskun and Woolf proposed the following conjecture for $M(r, c_1, c_2)$, which is closely related to the Atiyah-Jones conjecture [AJ78] [Tau84] in gauge theory (see [CW22, §8]).

Conjecture 1.1 ([CW22, Conjecture 1.1]). *Assume $r \geq 1$. Then for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there is a constant $N(i)$ depending on i such that for every integer $k \leq i$,*

$$b_k(M(r, c_1, c_2)) = b_{k, \infty}$$

when $\Delta \geq N(i)$ holds, where $b_{k, \infty} := \lim_{c_2 \rightarrow \infty} b_k(M(1, c_1, c_2))$.

Using the wall-crossing and blowup formulae, Coskun and Woolf verified Conjecture 1.1 for all rational surfaces. It is very natural to ask the following question, which was also suggested in [CW22].

Question 1.2. *Are there similar stabilization results as that in Conjecture 1.1 on the Betti numbers of $M(r, L, c_2)$ or $M(r, c_1, c_2)$ when $r = 0$?*

In contrast to the positive rank case, there are few general results when $r = 0$. Indeed, the rank zero case is not a parallel generalization of the positive rank case since the techniques for torsion free sheaves are usually not applicable to torsion sheaves, which makes the above question even more interesting. To distinguish the rank zero case, we use the following notation instead. Given an effective divisor β on S and an integer χ , denote by $M_{\beta, \chi}$ the moduli space parametrizing polystable sheaves F (with respect to H) on S with $r(F) = 0$, $\det(F) \cong \mathcal{O}_S(\beta)$ and Euler characteristic $\chi(F) = \chi$. Such sheaves are supported on curves in the complete linear system $|\beta|$, so they are called one-dimensional

sheaves. An essential difficulty caused by these sheaves is that their supports can be singular, even non-reduced.

A main motivation of this paper is to answer Question 1.2 affirmatively under suitable conditions. To do so, we need to figure out how to replace the condition " $\Delta \gg 0$ ". We observe that neither " $\Delta|_{r=0} = \beta^2 \gg 0$ " nor " $\beta \cdot H \gg 0$ " is a reasonable condition. For example, let $\sigma : S_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blowup of a point on \mathbb{P}^2 and let e_1 be the exceptional divisor. Then by [CvGKT20, Proposition 3.10], $b_2(M_{\sigma^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d),1}) = b_2(M_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d),1}) \rightarrow 2$ while $b_2(M_{\sigma^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d)-e_1,1}) \rightarrow 3$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$ by our calculation. In this paper, we give a formulation of a positivity condition " $\beta \gg 0$ " which should be the right one and we take the first step towards understanding the asymptotic behaviors of $M_{\beta,\chi}$. For del Pezzo surfaces, a quantitative condition for " $\beta \gg 0$ " is given in Definition 3.2. We also give an example in which the asymptotic irreducibility fails, again implying that the $r = 0$ case is different from the positive rank case in nature.

We propose the following conjecture on the stable cohomology of $M_{\beta,\chi}$, as an analogue of Conjecture 1.1 in the rank zero case.

Conjecture 1.3. *For any given $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\chi \in \mathbb{Z}$, every fixed ample divisor β_0 and $\beta = n\beta_0$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$), there exists a constant $N(i, \beta_0, \chi)$ depending on i , β_0 and χ such that for each integer $k \leq i$, the k -th intersection cohomology Betti number of $M_{\beta,\chi}$ is*

$$\dim \mathrm{IH}^k(M_{\beta,\chi}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} b_k(S^{[m]})$$

when $n \geq N(i, \beta_0, \chi)$, where $\mathrm{IH}^*(-)$ is the intersection cohomology with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients.

Another motivation for our work comes from the important role played by one-dimensional sheaves in enumerative geometry. The total space $X = \mathrm{Tot}(K_S)$ (also referred to as a local surface) of the canonical divisor K_S is a non-compact Calabi-Yau threefold for a regular surface S . In this case, the moduli space of one-dimensional sheaves is closely related to enumerative invariants of X defined via Gromov-Witten/Donaldson-Thomas/Pandharipande-Thomas theory (see for example [PT10] [MT18]).

Meanwhile, there is a proper Hilbert-Chow morphism from $M_{\beta,\chi}$ to $|\beta|$ obtained by taking the Fitting support of a sheaf, which induces a perverse filtration on the cohomology ring of $M_{\beta,\chi}$. An analogue of the $P = W$ conjecture [dCHM12] with a different origin, called the $P = C$ conjecture, was formulated in [KPS23] for the moduli space of one-dimensional sheaves on the projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 . The $P = C$ conjecture provides an explanation to an asymptotic product formula for the refined BPS invariants for the local \mathbb{P}^2 via the asymptotic Betti numbers of the moduli space of one-dimensional sheaves, which stimulates our interest in extending it to a larger generality.

1.2. Main results. Our main results in this paper are the following.

Theorem 1.4 (cf. Theorem 3.11). *Let S be a del Pezzo surface. For any given $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\chi \in \mathbb{Z}$, every effective divisor β satisfying (A_i) as defined in Definition 3.2 and each integer $k \leq i$, we have*

$$\dim \mathrm{IH}^k(M_{\beta,\chi}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} b_k(S^{[m]}).$$

In particular, Conjecture 1.3 is true for S .

This result generalizes [Yua23b, Theorem 1.7] for \mathbb{P}^2 to all del Pezzo surfaces (see Example 5.7). Besides, it allows us to compute some Betti numbers of $M_{\beta,\chi}$ when the arithmetic genus $p_a(\beta)$ of β is large, extending the result in [CvGKT20, Theorem 4.13].

The strategy of our proof is to relate two natural projective bundle maps from different relative Hilbert schemes, one maps onto $S^{[m]}$ when β is sufficiently positive and the other maps onto the moduli space parametrizing one-dimensional sheaves supported on integral

curves. The full support theorem of Migliorini-Shende [MS13] helps us connect different relative Hilbert schemes while another full support theorem of Maulik-Shen [MS23] is used to connect moduli spaces $M_{\beta,\chi}$ for different χ .

We also obtain a partial result on the stabilization of the Picard number of the moduli on an arbitrary surface. For simplicity, we consider the case when $\chi = 1$ and write M_β for $M_{\beta,1}$.

Theorem 1.5 (cf. Theorem 4.5). *Let (S, H) be any polarized surface. Then for every divisor satisfying (P) as defined in Definition 4.4, we have the following relation of Picard numbers*

$$(1.1) \quad \rho(M_\beta) \geq \rho(S) + 1.$$

The above result generalizes [CW22, Proposition 9.1] to the rank zero case. We prove it by using the determinant line bundles and constructing testing curves in M_β . The construction of testing curves is more subtle than that in the positive rank case since Hecke modifications at points may change the stability of a one-dimensional sheaf. The assumption on β is made to ensure the existence of certain nodal curves in $|\beta|$.

Remark 1.6. *We expect the inequality (1.1) to be an equality when β is sufficiently positive, because by Conjecture 1.3, the stable Picard number should be $\rho(S^{[m]}) = \rho(S) + 1$ ($m \geq 2$). By Markman [Mar07], for all rational surfaces and all K3 surfaces, if M_β is smooth, then $H^*(M_\beta, \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by the Künneth components of the Chern classes of a universal sheaf. In this case, the number of generators gives an upper bound of $\rho(M_\beta)$ by $\rho(S) + 1$ and our expectation is true.*

As an application, we formulate the following $P = C$ conjecture for all del Pezzo surfaces, extending the original form in [KPS23]. We also provide evidence for it.

Conjecture 1.7 (cf. Conjecture 5.8). *Let S be a del Pezzo surface. Suppose that $\beta \cdot H$ and χ are coprime. With the notation as in Section 5, we have the following $P = C$ identity*

$$P_\bullet H^*(M_{\beta,\chi}) = C_\bullet H^*(M_{\beta,\chi}).$$

Remark 1.8. *In the final stages of preparation of this paper, we learned of very recent work [PS24] of Pi-Shen on the $P=C$ conjecture and refined BPS invariants for local \mathbb{P}^2 . Their project and ours started independently, but our methods and results have grown to share considerable similarities. For this reason, [PS24] and the present paper will be superseded by a joint paper of the four authors, extending results of both papers. We are posting this version of the present paper for record.*

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect some notations, definitions and facts which will be useful later. Section 3 is addressed to study the relative Hilbert schemes and the non-integral locus in a linear system so that we can prove Conjecture 1.3 for del Pezzo surfaces. In Section 4, we construct three types of testing curves and bound the Picard numbers. Section 5 is mainly for the formulation of the $P = C$ conjecture. At the end, we present in Section 6 an example in which the moduli space is not asymptotically irreducible.

Acknowledgements. The second author is grateful to her advisor, Professor Jun Li for his help in preparing for this work, and to Professor Claire Voisin for useful discussions. Both authors thank Professor Zhiyuan Li for helpful comments. The first author is supported by NSFC grant 12201011.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we review some properties concerning moduli spaces of our interest.

2.1. Stability, moduli spaces and generators of the cohomology ring. Let (S, H) be a polarized surface. For a nonzero sheaf E on S , denote by $P_{H,E} \in \mathbb{Q}[m]$ the Hilbert polynomial of E with respect to H ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$)

$$P_{H,E}(m) := \chi(E \otimes H^{\otimes m}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim E} a_i(H, E) \frac{m^i}{i!},$$

whose degree $\dim E \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is exactly the dimension of the support of E , called the dimension of E . The reduced Hilbert polynomial $p_{H,E}$ is the following monic polynomial

$$p_{H,E} := \frac{P_{H,E}}{a_{\dim E}(H, E)}.$$

We say E is pure (of dimension n) if every nonzero subsheaf of E has dimension n .

Definition 2.1 ([Sim94]). *A pure sheaf F on S is called semistable (with respect to H) if for any nonzero proper subsheaf $G \subset F$,*

$$(2.2) \quad p_{H,G}(m) \leq p_{H,F}(m)$$

for $m \gg 0$. The above sheaf F is called stable if the inequality (2.2) is strict. A semistable sheaf is called polystable if it is the direct sum of stable sheaves.

The moduli space of torsion free semistable sheaves was first constructed by Gieseker [Gie77] and Maruyama [Mar78]. Later, Simpson [Sim94] proved that for any fixed Hilbert polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Q}[m]$, there exists a projective coarse moduli space $M_H(P)$ whose closed points are in bijection with polystable sheaves on S with Hilbert polynomial P . The moduli spaces $M(r, L, c_2)$, $M(r, c_1, c_2)$ and $M_{\beta, \chi}$ in the introduction can be respectively obtained as subschemes of $M_H(P_j)$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) for a suitable P_j according to the fixed data. We denote by M any one of the above three moduli spaces.

A sheaf \mathcal{E} on $M \times S$ is called universal if for every scheme T and every flat family \mathcal{F} of sheaves in M over T , there exists a unique morphism $\pi_T : T \rightarrow M$ and a line bundle B on M such that

$$(\pi_T \times 1_S)^* \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{F} \otimes p^* B,$$

where $p : M \times S \rightarrow M$ is the projection and $1_S : S \rightarrow S$ is the identity. Note that two universal sheaves differ by a line bundle pulled back from M . By the Künneth formula, the i -th Chern class of \mathcal{E}

$$c_i(\mathcal{E}) \in H^{2i}(M \times S, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{j+k=2i} H^j(M, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} H^k(S, \mathbb{Q}).$$

If we fix a basis $\{\nu_l : \nu_l \in H^{\deg \nu_l}(S, \mathbb{Q}), l \in \Lambda\}$ (Λ is an index set) for $H^*(S, \mathbb{Q})$ as a \mathbb{Q} -linear space, then we can write

$$c_i(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{l \in \Lambda} \mu_l \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \nu_l$$

for uniquely determined $\mu_l \in H^{2i - \deg \nu_l}(M, \mathbb{Q})$. These μ_l are called the Künneth components of $c_i(\mathcal{E})$. The sub- \mathbb{Q} -algebra generated by $\{\mu_l\}_{l \in \Lambda}$ is independent of the choice of $\{\nu_l\}_{l \in \Lambda}$.

We state the following theorems on the cohomology rings of moduli spaces.

Theorem 2.2 ([Bea95]). *Suppose M is smooth projective moduli space parametrizing stable sheaves and*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2(E, F) = 0$$

for every E, F in M . If there exists a universal sheaf \mathcal{E} on $M \times S$, then $H^(M, \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by the Künneth components of the Chern classes of \mathcal{E} .*

Theorem 2.3. ([Mar02], [Mar07, Theorem 1, Theorem 2]) *Assume S is a rational Poisson surface (i.e., a rational surface with a nonzero section of $\mathcal{O}_S(-K_S)$) and $r \geq 0$. If $M(r, c_1, c_2)$ is smooth, then the singular cohomology ring $H^*(M(r, c_1, c_2), \mathbb{Z})$ with \mathbb{Z} -coefficients is torsion free and the cycle class map*

$$\mathrm{cl} : \mathrm{CH}^*(M(r, c_1, c_2)) \rightarrow H^*(M(r, c_1, c_2), \mathbb{Z})$$

from the Chow ring of $M(r, c_1, c_2)$ (with \mathbb{Z} -coefficients) to the cohomology ring is an isomorphism.

2.2. One-dimensional sheaves and determinant line bundles. From now on, we focus on sheaves supported on curves. If E is a sheaf of dimension 1, then

$$P_{H,E}(m) = (c_1(E) \cdot H)m + \chi(E).$$

In Definition 2.1, the inequality (2.2) that characterizes semistability is equivalent to

$$(2.3) \quad \mu(G) \leq \mu(F)$$

when F is a pure one-dimensional sheaf, where the slope $\mu(F)$ is defined as

$$\mu(F) := \frac{\chi(F)}{c_1(F) \cdot H}.$$

For any pure one-dimensional sheaf F , any surjection $\psi : E_0 \rightarrow F$ from a locally free sheaf E_0 can be completed to a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{\phi} E_0 \xrightarrow{\psi} F \rightarrow 0,$$

where E_1 is locally free with $r(E_1) = r(E_0)$. The Fitting support $\mathrm{Supp}(F)$ of F is defined (as a closed subscheme of S) by the vanishing locus of the determinant of ϕ , which is independent of the locally free resolution of F .

For a given effective divisor β and $\chi \in \mathbb{Z}$, the moduli space $M_{\beta,\chi}$ admits a Hilbert-Chow morphism

$$h_{\beta,\chi} : M_{\beta,\chi} \rightarrow |\beta|$$

which sends a polystable sheaf $F \in M_{\beta,\chi}$ to its Fitting support $\mathrm{Supp}(F)$.

Let $U \subset |\beta|$ be the open subset parametrizing integral curves. Then there is another modular interpretation of $h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U)$ as the compactified Jacobian $\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\pi}^d$ of degree d relative to the universal family

$$\pi : \mathcal{C}_U \rightarrow U,$$

of integral curves in $|\beta|$, where $\mathcal{C}_U \subset U \times S$ is a closed subscheme and

$$d = \chi + \frac{\beta(\beta + K_S)}{2}.$$

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose a general curve in $|\beta|$ is smooth and connected. Then the open subscheme $h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U_0)$ of $M_{\beta,\chi}$ is smooth, where $U_0 \subset |\beta|$ is the open subset parametrizing smooth curves. If in addition $h^1(\mathcal{O}_S(\beta)) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_S(\beta)) = 0$, then the dimension of $h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U_0)$ is*

$$(2.4) \quad \dim h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U_0) = \beta^2 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_S).$$

Proof. Since semistable sheaves on smooth curves with Euler characteristic χ are invertible sheaves of degree d , $h^{-1}(U_0)$ is the relative Picard scheme $\text{Pic}^d(\mathcal{C}_{U_0}/U_0)$, where $\mathcal{C}_{U_0} := \pi^{-1}(U_0)$. By [Kle05, Corollary 5.14, Proposition 5.19], $\text{Pic}^d(\mathcal{C}_{U_0}/U_0)$ is smooth over U_0 of relative dimension $p_a(\beta)$ (the arithmetic genus of β). Thus $h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U_0)$ is smooth.

If $h^1(\mathcal{O}_S(\beta)) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_S(\beta)) = 0$, then by the adjunction formula and the Riemann-Roch formula

$$\begin{aligned} \dim h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U_0) &= \dim |\beta| + p_a(\beta) \\ &= (\chi(\mathcal{O}_S(\beta)) - 1) + \left(\frac{\beta(\beta + K_S)}{2} + 1 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\beta(\beta - K_S)}{2} + \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \right) + \frac{\beta(\beta + K_S)}{2} \\ &= \beta^2 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_S), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Now we consider the case when $\beta \cdot H$ and χ are coprime. In this case, semistable sheaves in $M_{\beta,\chi}$ are all stable. By [HL10, Corollary 4.6.6, p. 119], there is a universal sheaf \mathcal{E} on $M_{\beta,\chi} \times S$.

Let $K^0(S)$ be the Grothendieck group of locally free sheaves on S . It is a commutative ring with $1 = [\mathcal{O}_S]$ where the multiplication is given by

$$[F_1] \cdot [F_2] := F_1 \otimes F_2$$

for locally free sheaves F_1 and F_2 on S . There is a group homomorphism from $K^0(S)$ to the Picard group of $M_{\beta,\chi}$ ([HL10, Definition 8.1.1, p. 214])

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{E}} : K^0(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(M_{\beta,\chi}), \quad \lambda_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{a}) := \det(p_!(\mathcal{E} \otimes q^*\mathbf{a})),$$

where $p : M_{\beta,\chi} \times S \rightarrow M_{\beta,\chi}$ and $q : M_{\beta,\chi} \times S \rightarrow S$ are the projections.

Since two universal sheaves differ by a line bundle pulled back from $M_{\beta,\chi}$, the homomorphism $\lambda_{\mathcal{E}}$ is independent of the choice of \mathcal{E} when restricted to $K_{\beta}^0(S)$ ([HL10, Lemma 8.1.2 *iv*), p. 214]). Here $K_{\beta}^0(S) \subset K^0(S)$ is the orthogonal complement of the class of $F \in M_{\beta,\chi}$ with respect to the bilinear form $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \mapsto \chi(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})$ on $K^0(S) \times K^0(S)$. We denote the restriction by

$$(2.5) \quad \lambda : K_{\beta}^0(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(M_{\beta,\chi}).$$

For a sheaf E on a scheme X and a sheaf G on a scheme Y , we write $E \boxtimes G$ for the sheaf $p_X^*E \otimes p_Y^*G$ on $X \times Y$, where $p_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $p_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ are the projections.

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose β is base-point-free. Then the first Chern class of \mathcal{E} is*

$$c_1(\mathcal{E}) = \text{ch}_1(\mathcal{E}) = \tilde{h}^* c_1(\mathcal{O}_{|\beta|}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_S(\beta)) \in \text{CH}^1(M_{\beta,\chi} \times S)$$

where $\tilde{h} := h_{\beta,\chi} \times 1_S : M_{\beta,\chi} \times S \rightarrow |\beta| \times S$.

Proof. The argument is similar to that of [PS23, Lemma 2.1]. Clearly, \mathcal{E} is a torsion sheaf (on $M_{\beta,\chi} \times S$) supported on $\tilde{h}^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$, the pullback of $\mathcal{C} \subset |\beta| \times S$ along \tilde{h} , where \mathcal{C} is the universal family of curves in $|\beta|$. Note that since β is base-point-free, \mathcal{C} is flat over $|\beta|$ and therefore $\tilde{h}^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$ is a divisor on $M_{\beta,\chi} \times S$ corresponding to the pullback of the line bundle

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{O}_{|\beta| \times S}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{|\beta|}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_S(\beta),$$

from which the result follows. \square

Corollary 2.6. *In the situation of Proposition 2.5, let $x \in S$ be a closed point and denote by $[\mathcal{O}_x] \in K^0(S)$ the class of the structure sheaf of x . Then $[\mathcal{O}_x] \in K_\beta^0(S)$ and*

$$\lambda([\mathcal{O}_x]) = h_{\beta,\chi}^* \mathcal{O}_{|\beta|}(1).$$

Proof. The assertion that $[\mathcal{O}_x] \in K_\beta^0(S)$ follows immediately from a locally free resolution of $F \in M_{\beta,\chi}$. By [HL10, Example 8.1.3 i), p. 214],

$$\lambda([\mathcal{O}_x]) = p_*(\det(\mathcal{E})|_{M_{\beta,\chi} \times \{x\}}).$$

It follows from Proposition 2.5 that

$$p_*(\det(\mathcal{E})|_{M_{\beta,\chi} \times \{x\}}) = p_*(p^* h_{\beta,\chi}^* \mathcal{O}_{|\beta|}(1)) = h_{\beta,\chi}^* \mathcal{O}_{|\beta|}(1),$$

which completes the proof. \square

2.3. Moduli on del Pezzo surfaces. Let S be a del Pezzo surface. Then S is either \mathbb{P}^2 , $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or the blowup S_δ of δ general points on \mathbb{P}^2 ($\delta = 1, 2, \dots, 8$). We will need the following properties.

Lemma 2.7. *If D is a nef divisor on S , then*

$$(2.7) \quad h^1(\mathcal{O}_S(D)) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_S(D)) = 0.$$

In particular,

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(D)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_S(D)) = \frac{D(D - K_S)}{2} + 1.$$

Proof. Since D is nef and $-K_S$ is ample, $D - K_S$ is ample. Hence (2.7) follows from the Kodaira vanishing theorem [Laz04, Theorem 4.2.1, p. 248]. Then $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S(D))$ can be computed by the Riemann-Roch formula. \square

In the following two results, $\beta \cdot H$ and χ are not necessarily coprime.

Lemma 2.8. *The moduli space $M_{\beta,\chi}$ is irreducible and smooth at points corresponding to stable sheaves. In particular, $h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U)$ is smooth, where $U \subset |\beta|$ is the open subset parametrizing integral curves.*

Proof. The irreducibility of $M_{\beta,\chi}$ is proved for toric del Pezzo surfaces in [MS23, Theorem 2.3] and generalized to all del Pezzo surfaces in [Yua23b, Theorem 1.5]. By [HL10, Corollary 4.5.2, p. 113], it suffices to show $\text{Ext}^2(F, F) = 0$ for any stable sheaf $F \in M_{\beta,\chi}$. This is proved in [MS23, Lemma 2.5]. For any $E \in h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U)$ and any nonzero proper subsheaf $G \subset E$, $c_1(G) = c_1(E)$ and the nonzero quotient E/G is zero-dimensional. Therefore, $\chi(G) < \chi(E)$ and E is stable by (2.3). \square

Theorem 2.9 ([MS23],[Yua23b]). *Suppose β is base-point-free and that a general curve in $|\beta|$ is smooth and connected. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$(2.8) \quad R(h_{\beta,\chi})_* \text{IC}_{M_{\beta,\chi}} \cong \bigoplus_{l=0}^{2p_a(\beta)} \text{IC}(\wedge^l R^1 \pi_{0*} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{C}_{U_0}})[-l + p_a(\beta)]$$

in the bounded derived category of mixed Hodge modules on $|\beta|$, where $\text{IC}_{M_{\beta,\chi}}$ is the intersection complex of $M_{\beta,\chi}$ and $\pi_0 : \mathcal{C}_{U_0} \rightarrow U_0$ is the restriction of $\pi : \mathcal{C}_U \rightarrow U$ to the open subset $U_0 \subset |\beta|$ parametrizing smooth curves.

Proposition 2.10. *When $\beta \cdot H$ and χ are coprime, the cohomology ring $H^*(M_{\beta,\chi}, \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by the Künneth components of the Chern classes of a universal sheaf. In particular, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,*

$$b_{2i+1}(M_{\beta,\chi}) = 0.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.8, it remains to check $\text{Ext}^2(E, F) = 0$ for any $E, F \in M_{\beta, \chi}$, which is done in the proof of [MS23, Lemma 2.5]. The vanishing of odd Betti numbers follows easily from the definition of Künneth components and the fact that odd Betti numbers of S vanish. \square

3. STABILIZATION OF BETTI NUMBERS FOR MODULI ON DEL PEZZO SURFACES

Throughout this section, S is a del Pezzo surface.

3.1. Relative Hilbert schemes of points. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, denote by $\tilde{\pi}^{[n]} : \mathcal{C}^{[n]} \rightarrow |\beta|$ the relative Hilbert scheme of n points on the universal family \mathcal{C} of curves in $|\beta|$. For $C \in |\beta|$, the fiber of $\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}$ over C is the Hilbert scheme $C^{[n]}$ parametrizing length n , zero-dimensional closed subschemes of C . Note that $\tilde{\pi}^{[0]} : |\beta| \rightarrow |\beta|$ is the identity and $\tilde{\pi} := \tilde{\pi}^{[1]} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow |\beta|$ is the natural projection.

The following notion of being k -very ample is a generalization of being base-point-free (0-very ample) and being very ample (1-very ample).

Definition 3.1. *We say a divisor β on S is k -very ample ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) if given any zero-dimensional closed subscheme $Z \subset S$ of length $k + 1$, the restriction map*

$$r_Z : H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(\beta)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(\beta)|_Z)$$

is surjective.

Now we can formulate the condition (A_i) to make " $\beta \gg 0$ " rigorous.

Definition 3.2. *For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we say a divisor β on S satisfies (A_i) if*

(1) β is i -very ample and

$$2 \dim |\beta| \geq 3i + 2;$$

(2) a general curve in $|\beta|$ is smooth and connected;

(3) the codimension $\text{codim}(|\beta| \setminus U, |\beta|)$ of the locus of non-integral curves in $|\beta|$ satisfies

$$2 \text{codim}(|\beta| \setminus U, |\beta|) > i + 1.$$

Since all curves in $|\beta|$ lie in S , there is a natural morphism $\sigma_i : \mathcal{C}^{[i+1]} \rightarrow S^{[i+1]}$ sending a closed subscheme $Z \subset C$ ($C \in |\beta|$) of length $i + 1$ to $Z \subset S$. The morphism σ_i allows us to regard $\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]}$ as a scheme over $S^{[i+1]}$. The following property of σ_i also appears in [CvGKT20, Proposition 3.16].

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose β is i -very ample. Then $\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]}$ is a projective bundle over $S^{[i+1]}$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{I} be the universal ideal sheaf on $S^{[i+1]} \times S$. Denote by $p : S^{[i+1]} \times S \rightarrow S^{[i+1]}$ and $q : S^{[i+1]} \times S \rightarrow S$ the projections. For every zero-dimensional closed subscheme $Z \subset S$ of length $i + 1$, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(\beta) \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(\beta)) \xrightarrow{r_Z} H^0(\mathcal{O}(\beta)|_Z) \longrightarrow 0$$

since β is i -very ample. Thus $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(\beta) \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(\beta)) - (i + 1)$, which is independent of the choice of Z . By Grauert's theorem ([Har77, Chapter III, Corollary 12.9, p. 288]),

$$\mathcal{G} := p_*(\mathcal{I} \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}_S(\beta))$$

is a vector bundle on $S^{[i+1]}$ of rank $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(\beta)) - (i + 1)$. Then it is easy to show that $\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]} \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}^\vee)$. \square

In what follows, we assume that β satisfies (A_0) as defined in Definition 3.2. Let $\pi^{[n]}$ (*resp.* $\pi_0^{[n]}$) be the restriction of $\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}$ to the open subscheme U (*resp.* U_0) of $|\beta|$ parametrizing integral (*resp.* smooth) curves and let

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{C}_U^{[n]} := (\tilde{\pi}^{[n]})^{-1}(U), \quad \mathcal{C}_{U_0}^{[n]} := (\tilde{\pi}^{[n]})^{-1}(U_0).$$

Note that $\pi^{[1]} = \pi : \mathcal{C}_U \rightarrow U$ and $\pi_0^{[1]} = \pi_0 : \mathcal{C}_{U_0} \rightarrow U_0$ are the natural projections.

Migliorini and Shende proved the following full support theorem for relative Hilbert schemes.

Theorem 3.4 ([MS13, Theorem 1]). *If $\mathcal{C}_U^{[n]}$ is smooth, then*

$$(3.10) \quad R\pi_*^{[n]}\mathbb{C}[n + \dim |\beta|] = \bigoplus_{l=-n}^n \mathrm{IC}(R^{n+l}\pi_{0*}^{[n]}\mathbb{C})[-l].$$

where $\mathrm{IC}(R^{n+l}\pi_{0*}^{[n]}\mathbb{C})$ is the intermediate extension of the local system $R^{n+l}\pi_{0*}^{[n]}\mathbb{C}$ on U_0 .

The family $\pi_0 : \mathcal{C}_{U_0} \rightarrow U_0$ of smooth curves admits a multisection

$$(3.11) \quad D_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_{U_0}$$

such that D_0 is finite and flat over U_0 of degree $d_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ (see for example [MSY23, §5.2, p. 42]). Then the operation of adding a 0-dimensional closed subscheme of length d_0 given by D_0 yields a morphism (a similar construction is used in [Ren18, §5.1])

$$\iota : \mathcal{C}_{U_0}^{[n]} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{U_0}^{[n+d_0]}.$$

There is a natural map

$$\tilde{\iota} : \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{C}_{U_0}^{[n+d_0]}} \rightarrow \iota_*\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{C}_{U_0}^{[n]}}$$

and it induces

$$\tilde{r} : R^i\pi_{0*}^{[n+d_0]}\mathbb{C} \rightarrow R^i\pi_{0*}^{[n]}\mathbb{C}.$$

On the stalks over each $b \in U_0$, the map \tilde{r}_b induced by \tilde{r} is the restriction map induced by $\iota_b := \iota|_{\mathcal{C}_b^{[n]}}$

$$\iota_b^* = \tilde{r}_b : H^i(\mathcal{C}_b^{[n+d_0]}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathcal{C}_b^{[n]}, \mathbb{C}),$$

where $\mathcal{C}_b^{[k]} := (\tilde{\pi}^{[k]})^{-1}(b)$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$).

Lemma 3.5. *If $i \leq n - 1$, then the map \tilde{r} is an isomorphism.*

Proof. It suffices to show \tilde{r}_b is an isomorphism for each $b \in U_0$. Note that the restriction $\iota_b : \mathcal{C}_b^{[n]} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_b^{[n+d_0]}$ of ι is the composition of

$$\iota_b^j : \mathcal{C}_b^{[n+j-1]} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_b^{[n+j]} \quad (j = 1, \dots, d_0),$$

where ι_b^j is the morphism defined by adding a point $x_j \in \mathcal{C}_b$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{d_0} x_j$ is the 0-dimensional scheme $(D_0)_b := D_0 \cap \pi_0^{-1}(b)$. By the proof of [ACGH85, Chapter VII, Proposition 2.2, p. 310], ι_b^j realizes $\mathcal{C}_b^{[n+j-1]}$ as an ample divisor on $\mathcal{C}_b^{[n+j]}$. By Lefschetz hyperplane theorem [Laz04, Theorem 3.1.17, p. 192], the restriction map

$$\tilde{r}_b^j : H^i(\mathcal{C}_b^{[n+j]}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathcal{C}_b^{[n+j-1]}, \mathbb{C})$$

is an isomorphism since $i \leq n - 1 \leq n + j - 2$. Then \tilde{r}_b is an isomorphism since it is the composition of \tilde{r}_b^j . \square

Now we can prove the following property relating the Betti numbers of the relative Hilbert schemes $\mathcal{C}_U^{[n]}$ of integral curves for different n .

Proposition 3.6. *If $i \leq n - 1$, then there is an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces*

$$H^i(\mathcal{C}_U^{[n]}, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^i(\mathcal{C}_U^{[n+d_0]}, \mathbb{C}).$$

Proof. By [She12, Proposition 14] and Lemma 2.8, the relative Hilbert schemes $\mathcal{C}_U^{[n]}$ are smooth for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Thus we can use Theorem 3.4. Applying the hypercohomology functor $\mathbb{H}^{i-n-\dim|\beta|}(-)$ to both sides of (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(\mathcal{C}_U^{[n]}, \mathbb{C}) &= \bigoplus_{l=-n}^n \mathbb{H}^{i-n-\dim|\beta|-l} \left(\mathrm{IC}(R^{n+l}\pi_{0*}^{[n]}\mathbb{C}) \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{l=-n}^{i-n} \mathbb{H}^{i-n-\dim|\beta|-l} \left(\mathrm{IC}(R^{n+l}\pi_{0*}^{[n]}\mathbb{C}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality holds since $\mathbb{H}^{i-n-\dim|\beta|-l} \left(\mathrm{IC}(R^{n+l}\pi_{0*}^{[n]}\mathbb{C}) \right) = 0$ for $l > i - n$ by the vanishing result for perverse sheaves in [Max19, Proposition 8.6.11, p. 148]. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(\mathcal{C}_U^{[n+d_0]}, \mathbb{C}) &= \bigoplus_{m=-(n+d_0)}^{i-(n+d_0)} \mathbb{H}^{i-(n+d_0)-\dim|\beta|-m} \left(\mathrm{IC}(R^{n+d_0+m}\pi_{0*}^{[n+d_0]}\mathbb{C}) \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{l=-n}^{i-n} \mathbb{H}^{i-n-\dim|\beta|-l} \left(\mathrm{IC}(R^{n+l}\pi_{0*}^{[n+d_0]}\mathbb{C}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.5, $R^{n+l}\pi_{0*}^{[n+d_0]}\mathbb{C} \cong R^{n+l}\pi_{0*}^{[n]}\mathbb{C}$ for $l = -n, \dots, i - n$ and therefore each direct summand of $H^i(\mathcal{C}_U^{[n]}, \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $H^i(\mathcal{C}_U^{[n+d_0]}, \mathbb{C})$. Hence the result follows. \square

3.2. Non-integral locus in a linear system. Now we estimate the codimension of the non-integral locus in $|\beta|$ when β satisfies (A₀) as defined in Definition 3.2. Given a non-integral curve $C \in |\beta|$, suppose it has irreducible components C_1, \dots, C_m ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) with multiplicities $n_1, \dots, n_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, respectively.

If $m = 1$, then $n_1 \geq 2$ and C is an irreducible, non-reduced curve. By Lemma 2.7, if C_1 is nef, then so is β and

$$\begin{aligned} \dim|\beta| - \dim|C_1| &= \frac{\beta(\beta - K_S)}{2} - \frac{n_1^{-1}\beta(n_1^{-1}\beta - K_S)}{2} \\ &= (1 - n_1^{-1})\frac{\beta(\beta - K_S)}{2} + \frac{n_1 - 1}{2n_1^2}\beta^2 \geq \frac{\beta(\beta - K_S)}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Let Z_1 be the locus of irreducible, non-reduced curves in $|\beta|$. Then it follows that

$$(3.12) \quad \mathrm{codim}(Z_1, |\beta|) \geq \frac{\beta(\beta - K_S)}{4}.$$

If $m \geq 2$, then C is reducible. If none of its irreducible components is a (-1) -curve, then C_1, \dots, C_m are all nef divisors. The locus Z_2 of such C is contained in the image of $|\beta_1| \times |\beta_2|$ in $|\beta|$, where β_1 and β_2 are nonzero, nef, effective divisors in S with $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$. There are finitely many choices for (β_1, β_2) . By Lemma 2.7,

$$\dim|\beta| = \frac{(\beta_1 + \beta_2)(\beta_1 + \beta_2 - K_S)}{2} = \dim|\beta_1| + \dim|\beta_2| + \beta_1 \cdot \beta_2,$$

which implies that

$$(3.13) \quad \mathrm{codim}(Z_2, |\beta|) \geq \min\{\beta_1 \cdot \beta_2\}.$$

If there are (-1) -curves among C_1, \dots, C_m , then we may assume that C_1, \dots, C_l are (-1) -curves ($l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$) and C_j ($j > l$) are nef. Then $|C_1|, \dots, |C_l|$ are reduced points. The locus Z_3 of such C is contained in the image of $|C_1| \times \dots \times |C_l| \times |\gamma| \cong |\gamma|$ in $|\beta|$, where γ is a nef, effective (possibly zero) divisor in $|\beta|$ such that $n_1 C_1 + \dots + n_l C_l \in |\beta - \gamma|$. There are finitely many nef, effective divisors γ such that $\beta - \gamma$ is a chain of (-1) -curves (possibly with multiplicities). By Lemma 2.7, when β is nef,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim |\beta| - \dim |\gamma| &= \frac{(\beta - \gamma)\beta + (\beta - \gamma)\gamma - K_S \cdot (\beta - \gamma)}{2} \\ &\geq \frac{(\beta - \gamma)(\beta - K_S)}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \min\{(\beta - K_S)L : L \text{ is a } (-1)\text{-curve}\}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(3.14) \quad \text{codim}(Z_3, |\beta|) \geq \frac{1}{2} \min\{(\beta - K_S)L : L \text{ is a } (-1)\text{-curve}\}.$$

Proposition 3.7. *For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and an arbitrary ample divisor β_0 on S , $\beta = n\beta_0$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) satisfies the condition (A_i) defined in Definition 3.2 when n is sufficiently large.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.7 and the boundedness of the ideal sheaves defining length $i + 1$ subschemes of S , β satisfies the condition (1) of (A_i) when n is sufficiently large. By Bertini's theorem [Har77, Chapter II, Theorem 8.18, p. 179], the condition (2) of (A_i) is also satisfied by β when n is sufficiently large. It remains to verify that so is the condition (3) of (A_i) .

We are going to bound from below the codimension of $|\beta| \setminus U$ in $|\beta|$ for $\beta = n\beta_0$. Assume there are nonzero, nef, effective divisors β_1 and β_2 with $n\beta_0 = \beta_1 + \beta_2$. Then

$$(3.15) \quad n^2 \beta_0^2 = \beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2 + 2\beta_1 \cdot \beta_2.$$

By (3.13), we need to bound $\beta_1 \cdot \beta_2$ from below. If $\beta_1^2 = 0$ or $\beta_2^2 = 0$, we may assume $\beta_1^2 = 0$ and it follows that

$$(3.16) \quad \beta_1 \cdot \beta_2 = (n\beta_0 - \beta_1)\beta_1 = n\beta_0 \cdot \beta_1 \geq n.$$

If $\beta_1^2 > 0$ and $\beta_2^2 > 0$, then by the Hodge index theorem [Laz04, Theorem 1.6.1, p. 88] and (3.15),

$$\beta_1 \cdot \beta_2 \geq \sqrt{\beta_1^2 \beta_2^2} \geq \sqrt{n^2 \beta_0^2 - 2\beta_1 \cdot \beta_2 - 1},$$

which implies that

$$(3.17) \quad \beta_1 \cdot \beta_2 \geq n\sqrt{\beta_0^2} - 1.$$

By (3.12), (3.13), (3.16), (3.17) and (3.14), if S is minimal (thus $Z_3 = \emptyset$), then

$$2 \text{codim}(|\beta| \setminus U, |\beta|) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{n\beta_0(n\beta_0 - K_S)}{2}, 2n\sqrt{\beta_0^2} - 2, 2n \right\},$$

otherwise

$$2 \text{codim}(|\beta| \setminus U, |\beta|) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{n\beta_0(n\beta_0 - K_S)}{2}, 2n\sqrt{\beta_0^2} - 2, n + 1 \right\}.$$

Hence when n is sufficiently large, the condition (3) of (A_i) is satisfied by β . \square

By the argument above, we can calculate the codimensions of the non-integral locus in the following special cases.

Example 3.8. When $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\beta = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d)$ ($d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$),

$$\text{codim}(|\beta| \setminus U, |\beta|) = d - 1.$$

When $S = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\beta = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_2)$ ($a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$),

$$\text{codim}(|\beta| \setminus U, |\beta|) = \min\{a_1, a_2\}.$$

When $S = S_1$ is the blowup of a point on \mathbb{P}^2 and $\beta = \mathcal{O}_{S_1}(ah - de_1)$ ($a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $a > d$, h is the pullback of the hyperplane class on \mathbb{P}^2 and e_1 is the exceptional divisor),

$$\text{codim}(|\beta| \setminus U, |\beta|) = \min\{a - d, d + 1\}.$$

In the following, we provide facts about the fiber dimensions of two morphisms of our interest, which will be used to prove the stabilization of $b_i(M_\beta)$.

Proposition 3.9 ([MS23, Proposition 2.6], [Yua23b, Corollary 1.3]). *When $\beta \cdot H$ and χ are coprime, the Hilbert-Chow morphism $h_{\beta, \chi}$ has fibers of the same dimension $p_a(\beta)$.*

Proposition 3.10. *The morphism $\tilde{\pi}^{[n]} : \mathcal{C}^{[n]} \rightarrow |\beta|$ has fibers of the same dimension n .*

Proof. We need to prove that for every curve $C \in |\beta|$, the Hilbert scheme $C^{[n]}$ has dimension n . Since C is a curve on S , there exists a 0-dimensional closed subscheme $W \subset C$ such that

$$C^\circ := C \setminus W \cong \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x, y]/f(x, y))$$

for some (possibly reducible) polynomial $f(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$. Suppose the underlying set of W consists of s distinct points $x_1, \dots, x_s \in C$ ($s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$). By [Lua23, Theorem 1.1], every irreducible component of $(C^\circ)^{[n]}$ has dimension n . Note that $(C^\circ)^{[n]}$ is an open subscheme of $C^{[n]}$. Let Y be an irreducible component of $C^{[n]}$. If $Y \cap (C^\circ)^{[n]}$ is nonempty, then it is an irreducible component of $(C^\circ)^{[n]}$ and therefore

$$\dim Y = \dim Y \cap (C^\circ)^{[n]} = n.$$

If $Y \cap (C^\circ)^{[n]} = \emptyset$, then let $\tau_k : S^{[k]} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^k(S)$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) be the Hilbert-Chow morphism associated to $S^{[k]}$. Denote by $H_{x, k}$ the fiber $\tau_k^{-1}(kx)$ (x is a closed point of S) and set $H_{x, 0} := \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$. It is well-known that $\dim H_{x, k} = k - 1$ ([Bri77, Théorème V.3.2]). Since $W^{[k]}$ is a closed subscheme of $S^{[k]}$ and Y can be stratified according to the length k_j of the subscheme supported at x_j ($j = 1, \dots, \dots, s$),

$$\begin{aligned} \dim Y &\leq \max_{(k_1, \dots, k_s) \in \Lambda_s} \dim(H_{x_1, k_1} \times \dots \times H_{x_s, k_s} \times (C^\circ)^{[n - k_1 - \dots - k_s]}) \\ &= \max_{(k_1, \dots, k_s) \in \Lambda_s} \{[k_1 - 1]_+ + \dots + [k_s - 1]_+ + (n - k_1 - \dots - k_s)\} \\ &< n, \end{aligned}$$

where $[l]_+ := \max\{l, 0\}$ ($l \in \mathbb{Z}$) and

$$\Lambda_s = \left\{ (n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s : 0 < \sum_{j=1}^s n_j \leq n \right\}.$$

We conclude that $\dim C^{[n]} = n$. □

3.3. Proof of the main result. We use $H^*(-)$ (*resp.* $H_c^*(-)$) to denote the singular cohomology (*resp.* singular cohomology with compact support) with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients.

Theorem 3.11. *For any given $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\chi \in \mathbb{Z}$, every effective divisor β satisfying (A_i) as defined in Definition 3.2 and each integer $k \leq i$, we have*

$$\dim \text{IH}^k(M_{\beta, \chi}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} b_k(S^{[m]}).$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.9, $\dim \mathrm{IH}^k(M_{\beta,\chi}) = b_k(M_{\beta,1})$, so it suffices to consider the case when $\chi = 1$. We write $M_\beta := M_{\beta,1}$ and $h_\beta := h_{\beta,1}$. Since the odd Betti numbers of M_β are zero by Proposition 2.10 and so are those of $S^{[m]}$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) by Göttsche's formula [Gö90, Theorem 0.1], we may assume i is even. Let β satisfy (A_i) . We divide the proof into the following steps, of which the first two are inspired by the argument in [Sac19, Section 5].

Step 1. Compute $b_i(\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]})$. By the condition (1) of (A_i) and Proposition 3.3, $\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]}$ is a projective bundle over $S^{[i+1]}$ with fibers of dimension

$$\dim |\beta| + (i+1) - 2(i+1) \geq \frac{i}{2}.$$

Therefore, by the Leray-Hirsch Theorem [Hat02, Theorem 4D.1, p. 432] and Göttsche's formula,

$$(3.18) \quad b_i(\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]}) = \sum_{j \leq i/2} b_{2j}(S^{[i+1]}) = \sum_{k \leq i} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} b_k(S^{[m]}).$$

Step 2. Relate $b_i(\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]})$ to $b_i(\mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1]})$ with the notation as in (3.9). Denote by

$$d(i, \beta) := \dim |\beta| + i + 1$$

the dimension of $\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]}$. The pair $(\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]}, \mathcal{C}^{[i+1]} \setminus \mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1]})$ induces a long exact sequence (for example see [PS08, Appendix B.2.6, p. 420])

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{l-1}(\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]} \setminus \mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1]}) \rightarrow H_c^l(\mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1]}) \rightarrow H^l(\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]}) \rightarrow H^l(\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]} \setminus \mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1]}) \rightarrow \dots$$

When $l = 2d(i, \beta) - i$, the condition (3) of (A_i) and Proposition 3.10 imply that

$$l > l - 1 > 2 \dim(\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]} \setminus \mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1]})$$

and therefore the long exact sequence above yields

$$(3.19) \quad H_c^{2d(i,\beta)-i}(\mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1]}) \cong H^{2d(i,\beta)-i}(\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]}).$$

Hence by Poincaré duality and (3.19),

$$(3.20) \quad b_i(\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]}) = b_{2d(i,\beta)-i}(\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]}) = \dim H_c^{2d(i,\beta)-i}(\mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1]}) = b_i(\mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1]}),$$

where the last identity follows from [PS08, Corollary B.25, p. 423] and the smoothness of $\mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1]}$.

Step 2'. Replace $(\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]}, \mathcal{C}^{[i+1]} \setminus \mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1]})$ by $(M_\beta, M_\beta \setminus h_\beta^{-1}(U))$ in Step 1. By the condition (3) of (A_i) , Proposition 3.9 and the argument of Step 1, it follows that for $k \leq i$,

$$(3.21) \quad b_k(M_\beta) = b_{2(\beta^2+1)-k}(M_\beta) = \dim H_c^{2(\beta^2+1)-k}(h_\beta^{-1}(U)) = b_k(h_\beta^{-1}(U)).$$

Step 3. Relate $b_i(\mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1]})$ to $b_i(\mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1+Nd_0]})$ for $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, where d_0 is the degree of the multisection D_0 of $\pi_0 : \mathcal{C}_{U_0} \rightarrow U_0$ in (3.11). By Proposition 3.6,

$$(3.22) \quad b_i(\mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1]}) = b_i(\mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1+Nd_0]}) = \dots = b_i(\mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1+Nd_0]}).$$

Step 4. Use the Abel-Jacobi map $\mathrm{AJ} : \mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1+Nd_0]} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{J}}_\pi^{i+1+Nd_0} = h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U)$, where

$$\chi = (i+1+Nd_0) + 1 - p_a(\beta).$$

Since curves on S are Gorenstein, such a map exists by [AK80, (8.2.3)]. It sends a length $i+1+Nd_0$ closed subscheme $Z \subset C$ ($C \in |\beta|$ integral) defined by the ideal sheaf \mathcal{I}_Z to the dual \mathcal{I}_Z^\vee . If $i+1+Nd_0 > 2p_a(\beta) - 2$, then

$$h^1(F) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}(F, \omega_C) = 0, \quad h^0(F) = (i+1+Nd_0) + 1 - p_a(\beta)$$

by Serre duality and the Riemann-Roch formula, where $F \in h_{\beta, \chi}^{-1}(C)$ and ω_C is the dualizing sheaf of C . Then $\text{AJ}^{-1}(F) \cong \mathbb{P}(H^0(F)^\vee)$ (cf. [PT10, Proposition B.5]) and AJ is a $\mathbb{P}^{i+1+Nd_0-p_a(\beta)}$ -bundle map. Thus when N is large enough,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.23) \quad b_i(\mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1+Nd_0]}) &= \sum_{j \leq i/2} b_{2j}(\bar{\mathcal{J}}_\pi^{i+1+Nd_0}) && \text{(by Leray-Hirsch)} \\
&= \sum_{j \leq i/2} b_{2j}(\bar{\mathcal{J}}_\pi^{p_a(\beta)}) = \sum_{j \leq i/2} b_{2j}(h_\beta^{-1}(M_\beta)) \\
&= \sum_{k \leq i} b_k(M_\beta) && \text{(by (3.21)),}
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows by restricting (2.8) to U and taking hypercohomologies.

Step 5. Conclude the result. By (3.18), (3.20), (3.22) and (3.23),

$$(3.24) \quad b_i(\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]}) = \sum_{k \leq i} b_k(M_\beta) = \sum_{k \leq i} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} b_k(S^{[m]}).$$

If $i \geq 2$, then β also satisfies (A_{i-2}) . We can replace i by $i-2$ in (3.24) and solve inductively that

$$b_k(M_\beta) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} b_k(S^{[m]}),$$

which completes the proof. \square

4. FAMILIES OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL SHEAVES

In this section, (S, H) is an arbitrary polarized surface. Assume β satisfies (A_0) defined in 3.2. We will construct families of sheaves in M_β over curves and use these families as testing curves to show the linear independence of some elements in

$$\text{Num}_{\mathbb{Q}}(M_\beta) := (\text{Pic}(M_\beta) / \equiv_{\text{num}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q},$$

where \equiv_{num} is the numerical equivalence. The Picard number $\rho(M_\beta)$ of M_β is defined to be the dimension of $\text{Num}_{\mathbb{Q}}(M_\beta)$ as a \mathbb{Q} -vector space (cf. [Laz04, Definition 1.1.7, p. 18]). There are three types of families that we are going to construct.

4.1. Moving support. Suppose there is a pencil

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \subset |\beta|$$

such that $R \cap U_0 \neq \emptyset$, where $U_0 \subset |\beta|$ is the locus of smooth curves. There is a multisection R of $h_1 : h_\beta^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ whose degree is $\deg \tilde{\tau} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let \tilde{R} be the normalization of R and let

$$\tilde{\tau} : \tilde{R} \rightarrow M_\beta$$

be the composition of the normalization map and the closed embedding $R \hookrightarrow M_\beta$. Recall that $\lambda([\mathcal{O}_x]) = h_\beta^* \mathcal{O}_{|\beta|}(1)$ by Corollary 2.6. Then we have

$$(4.25) \quad \deg \tilde{\tau}^* \lambda([\mathcal{O}_x]) = \deg \tilde{\tau} > 0.$$

4.2. Fixed smooth support. In this section, we fix a smooth and connected curve $C_0 \in |\beta|$. Let $\nu : C_0 \times C_0 \hookrightarrow C_0 \times S$ be the inclusion map and let $y \in C_0$ be a closed point (also viewed as a closed point of S). Let

$$\mathcal{F}_{C_0} := \nu_*(\mathcal{O}_{C_0 \times C_0}((p_a(\beta) - 1)C_0 \times \{y\} + \Delta_0)),$$

where Δ_0 is the diagonal of $C_0 \times C_0$. Then \mathcal{F}_{C_0} is a C_0 -flat family of sheaves in $h_\beta^{-1}(C_0)$. This is a direct generalization of the construction in [CC15, §2.2, p. 491].

To compute the Chern character $\text{ch}(\mathcal{F}_{C_0})$ of \mathcal{F}_{C_0} , we apply the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}_{C_0})\text{td}(C_0 \times S) &= \nu_*[\text{ch}(\mathcal{O}_{C_0 \times C_0}((p_a(\beta) - 1)C_0 \times \{y\} + \Delta_0))\text{td}(C_0 \times C_0)] \\ &= \nu_*[(1 + (p_a(\beta) - 1)C_0 \times \{y\} + \Delta_0)\text{td}(C_0 \times C_0)], \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from $\Delta_0^2 = (2 - 2p_a(\beta))\{y\} \times \{y\}$ (for simplicity of notation we do not distinguish different zero-cycles). Therefore,

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}_{C_0})p_S^*\text{td}(S) &= \nu_*[(1 + (p_a(\beta) - 1)C_0 \times \{y\} + \Delta_0)p_2^*\text{td}(C_0)] \\ &= C_0 \times C_0 + \nu_*(\Delta_0) + (1 - p_a(\beta))\{y\} \times \{y\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p_S : C_0 \times S \rightarrow S$ and $p_2 : C_0 \times C_0 \rightarrow C_0$ are the projections to the second factors.

4.3. Fixed singular support. Suppose $D = C_1 + C_2 \in |\beta|$, where C_1 and C_2 are two smooth, connected curves on S . Assume $C_2 \cdot H \geq C_1 \cdot H$ and that C_1 intersects C_2 transversally at

$$N := C_1 \cdot C_2 \geq 2$$

points, say at y_1, \dots, y_N . Then there is a natural exact sequence of sheaves on D

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{C_2} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \mathbb{C}_{y_j} \rightarrow 0.$$

Denote by g_i ($i = 1, 2$) the genus of C_i . Then by the adjunction formula,

$$p_a(\beta) = g_1 + g_2 + N - 1.$$

It is well-known that there is a bijection between pure 1-dimensional sheaves on D and the triple $(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \varphi_j : \mathcal{F}_1|_{y_j} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2|_{y_j})$ where \mathcal{F}_i is a vector bundle on C_i ($i = 1, 2$) and φ_j is a linear map (for example see [NS97, Lemma 2.3]). Now we use this bijection to construct a stable one-dimensional sheaf \mathcal{L} supported on D .

Let \mathcal{L}_1 (*resp.* \mathcal{L}_2) be a line bundle on C_1 (*resp.* C_2) of degree $g_1 + 1$ (*resp.* $g_2 + N - 1$). By the Riemann-Roch formula,

$$\chi(\mathcal{L}_1) = \deg(\mathcal{L}_1) + 1 - g_1 = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(\mathcal{L}_2) = \deg(\mathcal{L}_2) + 1 - g_2 = N.$$

We can glue \mathcal{L}_i to obtain a line bundle \mathcal{L} on the nodal curve D with

$$\chi(\mathcal{L}) = \chi(\mathcal{L}_1) + \chi(\mathcal{L}_2) - N = 2$$

as follows. At y_j ($j = 1, \dots, N$), we fix the isomorphisms $\mathcal{L}_1|_{y_j} \cong \mathcal{L}_2|_{y_j} \cong \mathbb{C}_{y_j}$. Let \mathcal{L} be the kernel of the following surjection induced by evaluation maps

$$\pi : \iota_{1*}\mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \iota_{2*}\mathcal{L}_2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \mathbb{C}_{y_j},$$

where $\iota_i : C_i \rightarrow D$ is the inclusion map.

Lemma 4.1. *The torsion sheaf $\iota'_*\mathcal{L}$ on S is stable with respect to H , where $\iota' : D \hookrightarrow S$ is the closed embedding.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{L}|_{C_i} \cong \mathcal{L}_i$ by the construction of \mathcal{L} , we have short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{r_1} \mathcal{L}_1 \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{r_2} \mathcal{L}_2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

By the construction of \mathcal{L} , the slopes of the above sheaves are

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(\mathcal{L}_1) &= \frac{2}{C_1 \cdot H}, & \mu(\mathcal{K}_2) &= \frac{\chi(\mathcal{L}) - \chi(\mathcal{L}_1)}{C_2 \cdot H} = 0, \\ \mu(\mathcal{L}_2) &= \frac{N}{C_2 \cdot H}, & \mu(\mathcal{K}_1) &= \frac{\chi(\mathcal{L}) - \chi(\mathcal{L}_2)}{C_1 \cdot H} = \frac{2 - N}{C_1 \cdot H}.\end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{F} be a nonzero proper subsheaf of \mathcal{L} . If the support $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} is D , then

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{\chi(\mathcal{F})}{\beta \cdot H} < \frac{\chi(\mathcal{L})}{\beta \cdot H} = \mu(\mathcal{L}).$$

Now assume $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) = C_1$ or C_2 . If the restriction $r_1|_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1$ is zero, then \mathcal{F} is a subsheaf of \mathcal{K}_2 . Since \mathcal{K}_2 is a line bundle supported on C_2 ,

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu(\mathcal{K}_2) = 0 < \mu(\mathcal{L}) = \frac{2}{\beta \cdot H}.$$

If $r_1|_{\mathcal{F}}$ is nonzero, then $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) = C_1$ and $r_2|_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$. Hence \mathcal{F} is a subsheaf of \mathcal{K}_1 and

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu(\mathcal{K}_1) < \mu(\mathcal{L})$$

since $N \geq 2$ by our assumption. \square

Next, we construct a C_1 -flat family of sheaves in $h_{\beta}^{-1}(D)$. Let \mathcal{E}_0 be the pull back of $\iota'_* \mathcal{L}$ along the projection $p_S : C_1 \times S \rightarrow S$. Then there is a short exact sequence of sheaves on $C_1 \times S$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_0 \longrightarrow \nu_{1*}(\mathcal{E}_0|_{C_1 \times C_1}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\nu_1 : C_1 \times C_1 \rightarrow C_1 \times S$ is the inclusion. Note that $\mathcal{E}_0|_{C_1 \times C_1} \cong p_2^* \mathcal{L}_1$ is a line bundle, where $p_2 : C_1 \times C_1 \rightarrow C_1$ is the projection to the second factor.

Write

$$\mathcal{G}_0 := (\mathcal{E}_0|_{C_1 \times C_1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_1 \times C_1}(-\Delta_1) \subset \mathcal{E}_0|_{C_1 \times C_1},$$

where Δ_1 is the diagonal in $C_1 \times C_1$. Let \mathcal{F}_{C_1} be the subsheaf of $\mathcal{E}_0 \oplus \nu_{1*} \mathcal{G}_0$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_{C_1}(V) = \{(s, t) \in \mathcal{E}_0(V) \oplus \mathcal{G}_0(\nu_1^{-1}(V)) : s|_{\nu_1^{-1}(V)} = t\}$$

for every open subset V of $C_1 \times S$. Then we have a commutative diagram of C_1 -flat sheaves with exact rows

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{C_1} & \longrightarrow & \nu_{1*} \mathcal{G}_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_0 & \longrightarrow & \nu_{1*}(\mathcal{E}_0|_{C_1 \times C_1}) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.2. *The sheaf \mathcal{F}_{C_1} is a C_1 -family of sheaves in $h_{\beta}^{-1}(D)$.*

Proof. For every closed point $c \in C_1$, restricting the diagram (4.27) of C_1 -flat sheaves to $\{c\} \times S \cong S$ yields

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{C_1}|_{\{c\} \times S} & \xrightarrow{r'_1} & \mathcal{L}_1(-c) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{r_1} & \mathcal{L}_1 \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

As a subsheaf of \mathcal{L} , $\mathcal{F}_{C_1}|_{\{c\} \times S}$ is pure and

$$\chi(\mathcal{F}_{C_1}|_{\{c\} \times S}) = \chi(\mathcal{K}_2) + \chi(\mathcal{L}_1(-c)) = \chi(\mathcal{L}) - 1 = 1.$$

It remains to show that $\mathcal{F}_{C_1}|_{\{c\} \times S}$ is stable. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_{C_1}|_{\{c\} \times S}$ be a nonzero proper subsheaf. As in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we may assume $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F})$ is C_1 or C_2 . If the restriction $r'_1|_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1(-c)$ is zero, then \mathcal{F} is a subsheaf of \mathcal{K}_2 and therefore

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu(\mathcal{K}_2) = 0 < \mu(\mathcal{F}_{C_1}|_{\{c\} \times S}).$$

If $r'_1|_{\mathcal{F}}$ is nonzero, then $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) = C_1$. We claim that in this case, $\mu(\mathcal{F}) \leq 0 < \mu(\mathcal{F}_{C_1}|_{\{c\} \times S})$ and thus $\mathcal{F}_{C_1}|_{\{c\} \times S}$ is stable. Otherwise $\mu(\mathcal{F}) > 0$, then

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{\chi(\mathcal{F})}{C_1 \cdot H} \geq \frac{1}{C_1 \cdot H} \geq \frac{2}{\beta \cdot H} = \mu(\mathcal{L}),$$

where the second inequality follows from our assumption that $C_2 \cdot H \geq C_1 \cdot H$. However, since \mathcal{F} is also a subsheaf of \mathcal{L} , this contradicts the stability of \mathcal{L} proved in Lemma 4.1! \square

Remark 4.3. *Indeed, our construction of the family \mathcal{F}_{C_1} can be viewed as a family of Hecke modifications of \mathcal{L} at points on C_1 .*

Using the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula, as in (4.26) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{ch}(\mathcal{F}_{C_1}) - \text{ch}(\mathcal{E}_0))p_S^* \text{td}(S) \\ (4.28) \quad &= (\text{ch}(\nu_{1*} \mathcal{G}_0) - \text{ch}(\nu_{1*}(\mathcal{E}_0|_{C_1 \times C_1})))p_S^* \text{td}(S) \\ &= \nu_{1*}[p_2^* \text{ch}(\mathcal{L}_1)(\text{ch}(\mathcal{O}_{C_1 \times C_1}(-\Delta_1)) - 1)p_2^* \text{td}(C_1)] \\ &= -\Delta_1 - (g_1 + 1)\{y\} \times \{y\}. \end{aligned}$$

4.4. Intersection numbers. By the assertion 3 in [HL10, Theorem 8.1.5, p. 216], if C is a curve and \mathcal{F}_C is a C -flat family of sheaves in M_β inducing a morphism $\phi_{\mathcal{F}_C} : C \rightarrow M_\beta$, then

$$\phi_{\mathcal{F}_C}^* \lambda(\mathbf{a}) = \det(p_{C!}(\mathcal{F}_C \otimes p_S^* \mathbf{a})) \quad (\mathbf{a} \in K_\beta^0(S)),$$

where p_C (resp. p_S) is the projection from $C \times S$ to C (resp. S). Thus the intersection number of $\lambda(\mathbf{a})$ with C is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \deg(\phi_{\mathcal{F}_C}^* \lambda(\mathbf{a})) = \deg(\det(p_{C!}(\mathcal{F}_C \otimes p_S^* \mathbf{a}))) \\ &= \deg(c_1((p_{C!}(\mathcal{F}_C \otimes p_S^* \mathbf{a}))) \\ (4.29) \quad & \stackrel{(*)}{=} \deg(p_{C*} \{ \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}_C) \cdot p_S^* \text{ch}(\mathbf{a}) \cdot p_S^* \text{td}(S) \}_3) \\ &= \deg[\{ \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}_C) \cdot p_S^* \text{td}(S) \}_1 \cdot ch_2(p_S^* \mathbf{a}) + \\ & \quad \{ \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}_C) \cdot p_S^* \text{td}(S) \}_2 \cdot ch_1(p_S^* \mathbf{a}) + r(\mathbf{a}) \{ \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}_C) \cdot p_S^* \text{td}(S) \}_3]. \end{aligned}$$

where $\{ \}_i$ means taking the degree i part of a Chow class, $r(\mathbf{a})$ is the rank of \mathbf{a} and $(*)$ follows from the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula.

Now we will use our construction of the testing curves and the calculations in §4.1-§4.3 to compute the intersection numbers of line bundles with these testing curves.

Let x be a closed point of S and let L be a divisor on S . Then a direct calculation shows $[\mathcal{O}_x] \in K_\beta^0$ and $[-(\beta \cdot L)\mathcal{O}_S + \mathcal{O}_L] \in K_\beta^0$. Note that

$$(4.30) \quad \text{ch}([\mathcal{O}_x]) = [x] \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ch}([-(\beta \cdot L)\mathcal{O}_S + \mathcal{O}_L]) = -\beta \cdot L + L - \frac{1}{2}L^2.$$

(1) If C is the curve \tilde{R} constructed in §4.1, then by (4.25),

$$\deg \tilde{\phi}^* \lambda([\mathcal{O}_x]) = \deg \tilde{\tau} > 0.$$

(2) If C is the curve C_0 constructed in §4.2, then by (4.26), (4.29) and (4.30),

$$\begin{aligned} & \deg \phi_{\mathcal{F}_{C_0}}^* \lambda([\mathcal{O}_x]) = 0, \\ & \deg \phi_{\mathcal{F}_{C_0}}^* \lambda([-(\beta \cdot L)\mathcal{O}_S + \mathcal{O}_L]) = p_a(\beta)\beta \cdot L. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Let C be the curve C_1 constructed in §4.3. Since $\mathcal{E}_0 = p_S^*(\iota'_* \mathcal{L})$, for each $\mathbf{a} \in K_\beta^0(S)$,

$$(4.31) \quad \{\text{ch}(\mathcal{E}_0) \cdot p_S^* \text{ch}(\mathbf{a}) \cdot p_S^* \text{td}(S)\}_3 = \{p_S^* \text{ch}(\iota'_* \mathcal{L}) \cdot p_S^* \text{ch}(\mathbf{a}) \cdot p_S^* \text{td}(S)\}_3 = 0.$$

By (4.28), (4.29), (4.30) and (4.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \phi_{\mathcal{F}_{C_1}}^* \lambda([\mathcal{O}_x]) &= 0, \\ \deg \phi_{\mathcal{F}_{C_1}}^* \lambda([-(\beta \cdot L)\mathcal{O}_S + \mathcal{O}_L]) &= -C_1 \cdot L + (g_1 + 1)\beta \cdot L. \end{aligned}$$

4.5. A lower bound of Picard numbers. Fix a \mathbb{Q} -basis $[L_1], \dots, [L_\rho]$ for $\text{Num}_{\mathbb{Q}}(S)$, where $L_i \in \text{Pic}(S)$ ($i = 1, \dots, \rho := \rho(S)$) are smooth, connected effective divisors. Write

$$(4.32) \quad \lambda_0 := \lambda([\mathcal{O}_x]), \quad \lambda_i := \lambda([-(\beta \cdot L_i)\mathcal{O}_S + \mathcal{O}_{L_i}]).$$

We define the condition (P) as follows.

Definition 4.4. *We say a divisor β on S satisfies (P) if*

- (1) β satisfies (A₀) as defined in Definition 3.2;
- (2) $p_a(\beta) > 0$;
- (3) for $i = 1, \dots, \rho$, there exists a smooth and connected curve $L'_i \in |\beta - L_i|$ such that L_i meets L'_i transversally at $N_i \geq 2$ points.

Theorem 4.5. *When β satisfies (P) as defined in Definition 4.4, we have the following relation of Picard numbers*

$$\rho(M_\beta) \geq \rho(S) + 1.$$

Proof. We claim that $[\lambda_0], [\lambda_1], \dots, [\lambda_\rho]$ which are defined in (4.32) are linearly independent in $\text{Num}_{\mathbb{Q}}(M_\beta)$, thus the result follows. We prove the claim by contradiction. If to the contrary that $[\lambda_0], [\lambda_1], \dots, [\lambda_\rho]$ were linearly dependent, there would exist $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_\rho \in \mathbb{Q}$, not all zero, such that

$$a_0 \lambda_0 + a_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + a_\rho \lambda_\rho \equiv_{\text{num}} 0.$$

Then for every curve C and every morphism $\phi_{\mathcal{F}_C} : C \rightarrow M_\beta$,

$$(4.33) \quad a_0 \deg \phi_{\mathcal{F}_C}^* \lambda_0 + a_1 \deg \phi_{\mathcal{F}_C}^* \lambda_1 + \dots + a_\rho \deg \phi_{\mathcal{F}_C}^* \lambda_\rho = 0.$$

Write $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} d_i L_i$ for $d_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. We may assume $d_1 \neq 0$. Let L'_2, \dots, L'_ρ be given as in Definition 4.4 (3) and let $D_j = L_j + L'_j$ ($j = 2, \dots, \rho$). By the calculations (1)-(3) at the end of §4.4, we have the following table, where each entry is the intersection number (4.29) of a line bundle (a term in the first row) with a curve (a term in the first column), $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the *'s are unimportant numbers.

·	λ_0	λ_1	λ_2	\dots	λ_ρ
\tilde{R}	$\deg \tilde{\tau}$	*	*		*
C_0	0	$p_a(\beta)\beta \cdot L_1$	$p_a(\beta)\beta \cdot L_2$	\dots	$p_a(\beta)\beta \cdot L_\rho$
D_2	0	$L_2 \cdot L_1 + n_2\beta \cdot L_1$	$L_2 \cdot L_2 + n_2\beta \cdot L_2$		$L_2 \cdot L_\rho + n_2\beta \cdot L_\rho$
\vdots					
D_ρ	0	$L_\rho \cdot L_1 + n_\rho\beta \cdot L_1$	$L_\rho \cdot L_2 + n_\rho\beta \cdot L_2$		$L_\rho \cdot L_\rho + n_\rho\beta \cdot L_\rho$

The determinant of the intersection matrix can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \det \begin{pmatrix} \deg \tilde{\tau} & * & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & p_a(\beta)\beta \cdot L_1 & p_a(\beta)\beta \cdot L_2 & \cdots & p_a(\beta)\beta \cdot L_\rho \\ 0 & L_2 \cdot L_1 + n_2\beta \cdot L_1 & L_2 \cdot L_2 + n_2\beta \cdot L_2 & \cdots & L_2 \cdot L_\rho + n_2\beta \cdot L_\rho \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & L_\rho \cdot L_1 + n_\rho\beta \cdot L_1 & L_\rho \cdot L_2 + n_\rho\beta \cdot L_2 & \cdots & L_\rho \cdot L_\rho + n_\rho\beta \cdot L_\rho \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \deg \tilde{\tau} \cdot p_a(\beta) \det \begin{pmatrix} \beta \cdot L_1 & \beta \cdot L_2 & \cdots & \beta \cdot L_\rho \\ L_2 \cdot L_1 & L_2 \cdot L_2 & \cdots & L_2 \cdot L_\rho \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ L_\rho \cdot L_1 & L_\rho \cdot L_2 & \cdots & L_\rho \cdot L_\rho \end{pmatrix} \\
&= d_1 \deg \tilde{\tau} \cdot p_a(\beta) \det(L_k \cdot L_l)_{1 \leq k, l \leq \rho} \neq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that the intersection matrix is nonsingular, a contradiction to (4.33)! \square

5. APPLICATIONS

In what follows, S will be a del Pezzo surface with a divisor β satisfying (A₂) defined in Definition 3.2. We assume that $\beta \cdot H$ and χ are coprime. Denote by $\text{CH}^*(-)$ the Chow ring with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients and by $H^*(-)$ the singular cohomology ring with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients.

By Theorem 2.3, we can identify $\text{CH}^*(M_{\beta, \chi})$ with $H^*(M_{\beta, \chi})$ via the cycle class map. Then by Theorem 3.11, $\text{CH}^1(M_{\beta, \chi}) = \text{Pic}(M_{\beta, \chi}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is generated by $\rho + 1$ elements ($\rho = \rho(S)$), say by $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\rho$.

5.1. Normalized tautological classes. Let \mathcal{E} be a universal sheaf on $M_{\beta, \chi} \times S$. We consider the twisted Chern character of \mathcal{E} by a class $\alpha \in \text{CH}^*(M_{\beta, \chi} \times S)$

$$\text{ch}^\alpha(\mathcal{E}) := \text{ch}(\mathcal{E}) \cdot \exp(\alpha) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \text{ch}_i^\alpha(\mathcal{E}),$$

where $\exp(\alpha) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\alpha^n}{n!}$ and $\text{ch}_i^\alpha(\mathcal{E}) \in \text{CH}^i(M_{\beta, \chi} \times S)$ is the degree i part of $\text{ch}^\alpha(\mathcal{E})$. Recall that we have two natural projections $p : M_{\beta, \chi} \times S \rightarrow M_{\beta, \chi}$ and $q : M_{\beta, \chi} \times S \rightarrow S$. Given a class $\gamma \in \text{CH}^j(S)$, it will produce

$$(5.34) \quad p_*(\text{ch}_k^\alpha(\mathcal{E}) \cdot q^*\gamma) \in \text{CH}^{j+k-2}(M_{\beta, \chi}).$$

As γ runs over a basis for $\text{CH}^*(S)$, the number of divisor classes obtained by (5.34) is

$$1 + b_2(S) + 1 = b_2(M_{\beta, \chi}) + 1$$

by Theorem 3.11. This number combined with Theorem 2.2 suggests that there is only one relation between these $b_2(M_{\beta, \chi}) + 1$ divisor classes. To obtain free generators for divisor classes, we follow the normalization procedure in [PS23, §2.1]. Since $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$, we have (cf. [Har77, Chapter III, Exercise 12.6, p. 292])

$$(5.35) \quad \text{CH}^1(M_{\beta, \chi} \times S) \cong p^* \text{CH}^1(M_{\beta, \chi}) \oplus q^* \text{CH}^1(S).$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let \mathcal{E} be a universal sheaf, then there is a unique divisor class $\alpha_\mathcal{E} \in \text{CH}^1(M_{\beta, \chi} \times S)$ such that*

(1) *the following classes vanish*

$$(5.36) \quad p_*(\text{ch}_2^{\alpha_\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E})) = 0 \in \text{CH}^0(M_{\beta, \chi} \times S), \quad p_*(\text{ch}_2^{\alpha_\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}) \cdot q^*K_S) = 0 \in \text{CH}^1(M_{\beta, \chi} \times S);$$

(2) *the second factor of $\alpha_\mathcal{E}$ under the identification (5.35) lies in $\mathbb{Q}[q^*K_S] \subset q^* \text{CH}^1(S)$.*

Proof. By the condition (2) above, we can write

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{E}} = u_0 p^* \alpha_0 + u_1 p^* \alpha_1 + \cdots + u_{\rho} p^* \alpha_{\rho} + v q^* K_S$$

for $u_l, v \in \mathbb{Q}$ ($l = 0, \dots, \rho$) to be determined. By Proposition 2.5 and Corollary 2.6,

$$\mathrm{ch}_2^{\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathrm{ch}_2(\mathcal{E}) + \alpha_{\mathcal{E}} \cdot c_1(\mathcal{E}) = \mathrm{ch}_2(\mathcal{E}) + \alpha_{\mathcal{E}} \cdot (p^* \lambda_0 + q^* \beta) \in \mathrm{CH}^2(M_{\beta, \chi} \times S).$$

Hence the two equations in (5.36) correspond respectively to

$$\begin{cases} (K_S \cdot \beta)v = -p_*(\mathrm{ch}_2(\mathcal{E})) \\ (K_S^2 v + (K_S \cdot \beta)u_0)\alpha_0 + (K_S \cdot \beta)(u_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + u_{\rho} \alpha_{\rho}) = -p_*(\mathrm{ch}_2(\mathcal{E}) \cdot q^* K_S). \end{cases}$$

Since $K_S^2 > 0$ and $K_S \cdot \beta < 0$, u_l and v are uniquely determined. \square

Now we are able to define the tautological classes for $M_{\beta, \chi}$ to generalize the construction in [PS23]. We introduce the notation for generators of $\mathrm{Pic}(S)$ as follows. When $S = \mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathrm{Pic}(S)$ is freely generated by $h := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. When $S = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathrm{Pic}(S)$ is freely generated by $h_1 := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ and $h_2 := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. When $S = S_{\delta}$ is the blowup of δ points on \mathbb{P}^2 ($\delta = 1, \dots, 8$), we denote by h the pullback of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ to S_{δ} and by e_1, \dots, e_{δ} the exceptional divisors. The Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(S_{\delta})$ is freely generated by $h, e_1, \dots, e_{\delta}$. Let L_1, \dots, L_{ρ} be the generators of $\mathrm{Pic}(S)$ as above.

Definition 5.2. Let $\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}$ be the unique class in Lemma 5.1. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, define the (normalized) tautological classes to be the following

$$c_k(0) := p_*(\mathrm{ch}_{k+1}^{\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}}(\mathcal{E})) \in \mathrm{CH}^{k-1}(M_{\beta, \chi}), \quad c_k(2) := p_*(\mathrm{ch}_{k+1}^{\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}}(\mathcal{E}) \cdot q^*[\mathrm{pt}]) \in \mathrm{CH}^{k+1}(M_{\beta, \chi}),$$

$$c_k(1, j) := p_*(\mathrm{ch}_{k+1}^{\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}}(\mathcal{E}) \cdot q^* L_j) \in \mathrm{CH}^k(M_{\beta, \chi}) \quad (j = 1, \dots, \rho),$$

where pt denotes a closed point of S .

Note that by (5.36), $c_1(0) = 0$ and there is a relation among $\{c_1(1, j)\}_{j=1}^{\rho}$. The following lemma shows that the tautological classes are well-defined.

Lemma 5.3. The tautological classes in Lemma 5.1 are independent of the choice of a universal sheaf \mathcal{E} .

Proof. Let \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}' be two universal sheaves on $M_{\beta, \chi} \times S$. Then there is a line bundle B on $M_{\beta, \chi}$ such that $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E} \otimes p^* B$. Let $\alpha' := \alpha_{\mathcal{E}} - p^* B$. Then

$$\mathrm{ch}^{\alpha'}(\mathcal{E}') = \mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{E}') \cdot \exp(\alpha') = (\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{E}) \cdot p^* \mathrm{ch}(B)) \cdot (\exp(\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}) \cdot p^* \mathrm{ch}(B)^{-1}) = \mathrm{ch}^{\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}}(\mathcal{E}).$$

In particular, $\mathrm{ch}_2^{\alpha'}(\mathcal{E}') = \mathrm{ch}_2^{\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}}(\mathcal{E})$. It follows from the uniqueness of $\alpha_{\mathcal{E}'}$ in Lemma 5.1 that $\alpha' = \alpha_{\mathcal{E}'}$. Thus for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

$$\mathrm{ch}_{k+1}^{\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathrm{ch}_{k+1}^{\alpha_{\mathcal{E}'}}(\mathcal{E}')$$

and the result follows. \square

Proposition 5.4. The tautological classes $c_k(0), c_k(2), c_k(1, j)$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j = 1, \dots, \rho$) generate the cohomology ring $H^*(M_{\beta, \chi})$ as a \mathbb{Q} -algebra.

Proof. Since $1 \in H^0(S), L_j \in H^2(S)$ and the Poincaré dual of pt form a basis for $H^*(S)$, the result follows from Theorem 2.2. \square

Corollary 5.5. For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$b_{2i+4}(M_{\beta, \chi}) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{2i+4}(S^{[n]}).$$

Proof. The proof resembles that of [PS23, Theorem 1.2 (b)]. By Göttsche's formula [Gö90, Theorem 0.1], the Poincaré polynomial $p(S^{[n]}, z) := \sum_{l \geq 0} b_l(S^{[n]})z^l$ of $S^{[n]}$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(S^{[n]}, z)t^n &= \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + z^{2m-1}t^m)^{b_1(S)}(1 + z^{2m+1}t^m)^{b_1(S)}}{(1 - z^{2m-2}t^m)^{b_0(S)}(1 - z^{2m}t^m)^{b_2(S)}(1 - z^{2m+2}t^m)^{b_0(S)}} \\ &= \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - z^{2m-2}t^m)^{-1}(1 - z^{2m}t^m)^{-b_2(S)}(1 - z^{2m+2}t^m)^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} z^{(2m-2)l}t^{ml} \right) \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} z^{2ml}t^{ml} \right)^{b_2(S)} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} z^{(2m+2)l}t^{ml} \right) \\ &= (1 + t + t^2 + \dots)(1 + z^2t + z^4t^2 + \dots)^{b_2(S)}(1 + z^4t + z^8t^2 + \dots) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} z^{(2m-2)l}t^{ml} \right) \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} z^{2ml}t^{ml} \right)^{b_2(S)} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} z^{(2m+2)l}t^{ml} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By this expression, for $m \geq 2i + 4$,

$$b_{2i+4}(S^{[m]}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{2i+4}(S^{[n]}),$$

which equals the coefficient of z^{2i+4} in

$$(5.37) \quad \begin{aligned} &(1 + z^2 + z^4 + \dots)^{b_2(S)}(1 + z^4 + z^8 + \dots) \\ &\times \prod_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} z^{(2m-2)l} \right) \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} z^{2ml} \right)^{b_2(S)} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} z^{(2m+2)l} \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the number of generators in $H^{2i+4}(M_{\beta})$ given by tautological generators equals the number of monomials of degree $2i + 4$ in the following generating series

$$(5.38) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left[\left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c_0(2)^l \right) \prod_{j=2}^{b_2(S)} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c_1(1, j)^l \right) \right] \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c_1(2)^l \right) \\ &\times \prod_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c_m(0)^l \right) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{b_2(S)} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c_m(1, j)^l \right) \right] \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c_m(2)^l \right). \end{aligned}$$

By comparing (5.37) and (5.38), it is immediate to see that there are $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{2i+2}(S^{[n]})$ many generators in $H^{2i+2}(M_{\beta, \chi})$, which are possibly linearly dependent. Thus the result follows. \square

Remark 5.6. *The result of Theorem 3.11 is still true if the following conditions hold*

(1) β is $\min\{i, 2\}$ -very ample and

$$2 \dim |\beta| \geq 3i + 2;$$

(2) a general curve in $|\beta|$ is smooth and connected;

(3) the codimension $\text{codim}(|\beta| \setminus U, |\beta|)$ of the locus of non-integral curves in $|\beta|$ satisfies

$$2 \text{codim}(|\beta| \setminus U, |\beta|) > i + 1.$$

Indeed, by the proof of Proposition 3.3, even if β is not i -very ample, there is an open subset $V \subset S^{[i+1]}$, such that $\sigma_i^{\circ} := \sigma_i|_{\sigma_i^{-1}(V)} : \sigma_i^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ is a projective bundle with fibers of dimension $f \geq i/2$. Since $\sigma_i^{-1}(V) \cap \mathcal{C}_V^{[i+1]} \neq \emptyset$, we can take the unique irreducible

component Y of $\mathcal{C}^{[i+1]}$ that intersects $\sigma_i^{-1}(V) \cup \mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1]}$. Applying the decomposition theorem [BBD82, Théorème 6.2.5, p. 163] to $\sigma_i|_Y : Y \rightarrow S^{[i+1]}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(\sigma_i|_Y)_* \mathrm{IC}_Y &= \mathcal{P} \oplus \bigoplus_{l=-f}^f \mathrm{IC}(S^{[i+1]}, R^{l+f} \sigma_{i*}^\circ \mathbb{Q})[-l] \\ &= \mathcal{P} \oplus \bigoplus_{m=0}^f \mathbb{Q}[f - 2m + 2i + 2], \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{P} is a summand of $R(\sigma_i|_Y)_* \mathrm{IC}_Y$. Applying $\mathbb{H}^{i-f-(2i+2)}(-)$ to $R(\sigma_i|_Y)_* \mathrm{IC}_Y$, we have

$$\dim \mathrm{IH}^i(Y) \geq \sum_{k \leq i} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_k(S^{[n]}).$$

By [Max19, Theorem 6.7.4, p. 113] (the intersection cohomology version of Step 2 in the proof of Theorem 3.11),

$$\dim \mathrm{IH}^i(Y) = b_i(\mathcal{C}_U^{[i+1]}).$$

Hence by the proof of Theorem 3.11,

$$\sum_{k \leq i} b_k(M_\beta) \geq \sum_{k \leq i} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_k(S^{[n]})$$

and Corollary 5.5 forces $b_k(M_\beta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_k(S^{[n]})$ for every integer $k \leq i$.

Example 5.7. Let the notation be as in Example 3.8. When $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\beta = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d)$, it follows from Remark 5.6, Example 3.8 and [CvGKT20, Lemma 2.6] that for every integer $i \leq 2d - 4$,

$$\dim \mathrm{IH}^i(M_{\beta, \chi}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_i(S^{[n]}).$$

The same result holds when

$$S = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \quad \beta = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_2), \quad i \leq 2 \min\{a_1, a_2\} - 2$$

or when

$$S = S_1, \quad \beta = \mathcal{O}_{S_1}(ah - de_1), \quad i \leq 2 \min\{a - d, d + 1\} - 2.$$

5.2. $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{C}$ conjecture on del Pezzo surfaces and enumerative geometry. Now we use the normalized tautological classes in §5.1 to formulate a $P = C$ conjecture for moduli spaces of one dimensional sheaves on del Pezzo surfaces.

5.2.1. Chern filtration and Perverse filtration. We use $c_k(\vec{a})$ to denote the tautological classes defined in Definition 5.2, where \vec{a} stands for (0) , (2) or $(1, j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, \rho$). They will produce an increasing filtration $C_\bullet H^*(M_{\beta, \chi})$ given by

$$(5.39) \quad C_i H^*(M_{\beta, \chi}) := \text{the subspace generated by all } \prod_{l=1}^m c_{k_l}(\vec{a}_l) \text{ with } \sum_{l=1}^m k_l \leq i$$

as in [KPS23] and [KLMP24] for $S = \mathbb{P}^2$. The filtration $C_\bullet H^*(M_{\beta, \chi})$ is called the Chern filtration on $H^*(M_{\beta, \chi})$.

An important geometric feature of the moduli space $M_{\beta, \chi}$ is the proper Hilbert-Chow morphism $h_{\beta, \chi} : M_{\beta, \chi} \rightarrow |\beta|$, which is a weak abelian fibration. The perverse filtration $P_\bullet H^*(M_{\beta, \chi})$ is defined as

$$(5.40) \quad P_i H^m(M_{\beta, \chi}) := \mathrm{Im} \{ H^{m - \dim |\beta|}(|\beta|, \mathbf{P}\tau_{\leq i}(R(h_{\beta, \chi})_* \mathbb{Q}_{M_{\beta, \chi}}[\dim |\beta|])) \rightarrow H^m(M_{\beta, \chi}) \}$$

using the language of perverse sheaves, where $\mathbf{P}\tau_{\leq i}$ is the perverse truncation functor [BBD82, §2.1, p. 57]. Due to de Cataldo-Migliorini [dCM05], there is a more explicit characterization of the perverse filtration on $H^*(M_{\beta,\chi})$ as follows. Let

$$\eta := \cup c_1(h_\beta^* \mathcal{O}_{|\beta|}(1)) : H^*(M_{\beta,\chi}) \rightarrow H^{*+2}(M_{\beta,\chi})$$

be the ring homomorphism defined by the cup product with respect to $c_1(h_\beta^* \mathcal{O}_{|\beta|}(1))$. Then [dCM05, Proposition 5.2.4] shows that the perverse filtration associated to $h_{\beta,\chi} : M_{\beta,\chi} \rightarrow |\beta|$ can be given as

$$(5.41) \quad P_i H^m(M_{\beta,\chi}) = \sum_{l \geq 1} \ker(\eta^{\dim |\beta| + l + i - m}) \cap \text{Im}(\eta^{l-1}) \cap H^m(M_{\beta,\chi}).$$

Conjecture 5.8. *With the notation as above, we have the following $P = C$ identity*

$$P_\bullet H^*(M_{\beta,\chi}) = C_\bullet H^*(M_{\beta,\chi}).$$

We summarize the previously known evidence for Conjecture 5.8.

- (1) Maulik-Shen-Yin in [MSY23, Theorem 0.6] generalized the Beauville decomposition for abelian schemes over a base to dualizable abelian fibrations and used this tool to prove $C_\bullet H^{\leq 2r-4}(M_{\beta,\chi}) \subset P_\bullet H^{\leq 2r-4}(M_{\beta,\chi})$ for $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\beta = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r)$ ($r \geq 3$).
- (2) Kononov-Pi-Shen [KPS23] verified the conjecture for $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\beta = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d)$ for $d \leq 4$. Later Yuan [Yua23a] verified $P_\bullet H^{\leq 4}(M_{\beta,\chi}) = C_\bullet H^{\leq 4}(M_{\beta,\chi})$ for $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\beta = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(l)$ ($l \geq 4$).

Now we give the first evidence on one side of Conjecture 5.8 for any del Pezzo surface. This relies on the framework of Maulik-Shen-Yin [MSY23, Theorem 2.5] on the generalized Beauville decomposition for the dualizable abelian fibration. Recall that $U \subset |\beta|$ is the open subset parametrizing integral curves in $|\beta|$ and $h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U)$ is isomorphic to the relative compactified Jacobian $J := \overline{J}_\pi^{\chi + p_a(\beta) - 1}$.

Proposition 5.9. *The inclusion $C_\bullet H^i(M_{\beta,\chi}) \subset P_\bullet H^i(M_{\beta,\chi})$ holds for*

$$i \leq 2 \text{codim}(|\beta| \setminus U, |\beta|) - 2.$$

Proof. Applying the long exact sequence associated to the pair $(M_{\beta,\chi}, h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U))$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.11, we have

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^{l-1}(M_{\beta,\chi} \setminus h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow H_c^l(h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow H^l(M_{\beta,\chi}) \rightarrow H^l(M_{\beta,\chi} \setminus h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Combining with the dimension reason, we have

$$H^{2\beta^2+2-l}(M_{\beta,\chi}) \cong H^l(M_{\beta,\chi})^\vee \cong H_c^l(h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U))^\vee \cong H^{2\beta^2+2-l}(h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U))$$

for $l > 2 \dim(M_{\beta,\chi} \setminus h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U))$. Thus the restriction map $H^i(M_{\beta,\chi}) \rightarrow H^i(h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U))$ is an isomorphism for $i < 2 \text{codim}(|\beta| \setminus U, |\beta|) - 1$. The relative compactified Jacobian $J \rightarrow U$ is a dualizable abelian fibration in the sense of [MSY23, §1.4] and the argument in [MSY23, 5.3.3] carries over to show $C_\bullet H^*(h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U)) \subset P_\bullet H^*(h_{\beta,\chi}^{-1}(U))$, from which the inclusion $C_\bullet H^*(M_{\beta,\chi}) \subset P_\bullet H^*(M_{\beta,\chi})$ follows. \square

Proposition 5.10. *The identity $C_\bullet H^{\leq 2}(M_{\beta,\chi}) = P_\bullet H^{\leq 2}(M_{\beta,\chi})$ holds.*

Proof. Let $N := \dim |\beta|$. It follows from (2.8) that

$$R(h_{\beta,\chi})_* \mathbb{Q}_{M_{\beta,\chi}}[N] \cong \bigoplus_{l=0}^{2p_a(\beta)} \text{IC}(\wedge^l R^1 \pi_{0*} \mathbb{Q}_{C_{U_0}})[-l].$$

Hence by the definition of the perverse filtration (5.40),

$$P_0 H^2(M_{\beta,\chi}) \cong H^{2-N}(|\beta|, \mathbb{Q}[N]) = H^2(|\beta|)$$

is one-dimensional. Indeed by (5.41) and Corollary 2.6, $P_0 H^2(M_{\beta,\chi}) = \mathbb{Q}[c_0(2)]$. By (5.41) again, we have $P_2 H^2(M_{\beta,\chi}) = H^2(M_{\beta,\chi})$ and

$$P_1 H^2(M_{\beta,\chi}) = \ker(\eta^N : H^2(M_{\beta,\chi}) \rightarrow H^{2N+2}(M_\beta)) + \text{Im}(\eta).$$

Since η^N is the fiber class of $h_{\beta,\chi}$ and $c_2(0)$ is relatively ample, $\eta^N \cdot c_2(0) \neq 0$ and thus $c_2(0) \notin \ker(\eta^N)$, which proves $c_2(0) \in P_2 H^2(M_{\beta,\chi}) \setminus P_1 H^2(M_{\beta,\chi})$. Then the result follows from Proposition 5.9. \square

5.2.2. Relation to enumerative geometry of local surfaces. The total space $X = \text{Tot}(K_S)$ of the canonical divisor K_S is a non-compact Calabi-Yau 3-fold for a del Pezzo surface S . The moduli space $M_{\beta,\chi}$ provides a mathematical definition of the moduli space of 2D-branes on X , which is predicted from physics, see [GV98] [HST01], [KL12] and [MT18]. There are two \mathfrak{sl}_2 -actions on $M_{\beta,\chi}$ given by Lefschetz triples associated to two Kähler classes $c_2(0)$ and $c_0(2)$. Thus $\text{IH}^*(M_{\beta,\chi})$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \times \mathfrak{sl}_2$ representation space and Conjecture 5.8 identifies the irreducible representations and the graded pieces of the perverse filtration. Then the refined BPS numbers can be mathematically defined as

$$n_\beta^{i,j} := \dim \text{Gr}_i^P \text{IH}^{i+j}(M_{\beta,\chi})$$

which is independent of the choice of χ by Theorem 2.9. A direct consequence in the proof of Proposition 5.10 is the following partial calculation of refined BPS numbers.

Corollary 5.11. *With the notation as in Proposition 5.10, we have*

$$n_\beta^{0,2} = 1, \quad n_\beta^{1,1} = \rho(S) - 1, \quad n_\beta^{2,0} = 1.$$

We make the following stronger stabilization conjecture.

Conjecture 5.12. *The refined BPS number $n_\beta^{i,j}$ stabilizes when β is sufficiently positive.*

The stronger Conjecture 5.12 implies Conjecture 1.3 for del Pezzo surfaces. For $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\beta = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d)$, a conjectural product formula

$$(5.42) \quad \sum_{i,j} n_\beta^{i,j} q^i t^j = \prod_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{(1 - (qt)^i q^2)(1 - (qt)^i q^2 t^2)(1 - (qt)^i t^2)}, \quad i + j \leq 2d - 4$$

in [KPS23, Conjecture 0.1] implies Conjecture 5.12. It is natural to ask the following

Question 5.13. *Is there a similar formula for $\sum_{i,j} n_\beta^{i,j} q^i t^j$ as in (5.42) for a del Pezzo surface?*

6. REDUCIBLE MODULI SPACES ON CERTAIN SURFACES OF GENERAL TYPE

In this section, we provide an example where the asymptotic irreducibility fails for certain surfaces of general type, which indicates more complicated behaviors may happen for surfaces of general type. Let S be a surface of general type, i.e., K_S is big. We make the following assumption for S and β .

Assumption 6.1. *The following conditions hold:*

- (1) *a general curve in $|K_S|$ is smooth and connected;*
- (2) *$q := h^1(\mathcal{O}_S) \geq 1$;*
- (3) *$\beta_n \in \text{Pic}(S)$ such that $\beta_n \cong \mathcal{O}_S(nK_S)$ for an integer $n \geq 2$.*

Proposition 6.2. *Under Assumption 6.1, the moduli space M_{β_n} is not irreducible for all $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. By the Riemann-Roch formula and Serre duality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dim |K_S| &= \chi(K_S) + h^1(K_S) - h^2(K_S) - 1 \\
 (6.43) \quad &= \left(\frac{K_S(K_S - K_S)}{2} + \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \right) + h^1(\mathcal{O}_S) - h^0(\mathcal{O}_S) - 1 \\
 &= \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) + q - 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

For a general $C \in |K_S|$, which is smooth and connected by the condition (1) of Assumption 6.1, the fiber $h_n^{-1}(C)$ of the Hilbert-Chow morphism $h_n := h_{\beta_n}$ contains rank n semistable vector bundles on C . Hence by the deformation-obstruction theory of moduli of sheaves on curves (cf. [HL10, Corollary 4.5.5, p. 114]) and the adjunction formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.44) \quad \dim h_n^{-1}(C) &\geq n^2(g(C) - 1) + 1 \\
 &= \frac{n^2}{2}(K_S(K_S + K_S)) + 1 = \beta_n^2 + 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

We denote by U_n the open subset of $|\beta_n|$ consisting of smooth curves and by W_n the complement of U_n in $|\beta_n|$. Combining (6.43) and (6.44), it follows that

$$(6.45) \quad \dim h_n^{-1}(W_n) \geq (\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) + q - 2) + (\beta_n^2 + 1) = \dim h_n^{-1}(U_n) + (q - 1),$$

where the last identity follows from (2.4). Now we prove by contradiction. If the assertion were false, then M_{β_n} would be irreducible. Since $h_n^{-1}(U_n)$ is nonempty, open and $h_n^{-1}(W_n)$ is a proper closed subset of M_{β_n} ,

$$\dim h_n^{-1}(W_n) < \dim M_{\beta_n} = \dim h_n^{-1}(U_n),$$

which contradicts (6.45). □

We give some explicit examples satisfying Assumption 6.1.

Example 6.3. *Let A be a principally polarised Abelian surface and let Θ be the theta divisor on A . Consider the double cover $S \rightarrow A$ branched along a smooth curve $C_m \in |2m\Theta|$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then S is a surface of general type satisfying Assumption 6.1 when m is large.*

Note that the argument in Proposition 6.2 fails if the curve C lies in $|mK_S|$ for $m \geq 2$. From the general philosophy of asymptotic phenomena in higher rank cases, we still ask if the following question is true:

Question 6.4. *If M_β irreducible when Assumption 6.1 fails and β is sufficiently positive?*

REFERENCES

- [ACGH85] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. A. Griffiths, and J. Harris. *Geometry of algebraic curves. Vol. I*, volume 267 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [AJ78] M. F. Atiyah and J. D. S. Jones. Topological aspects of Yang-Mills theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 61(2):97–118, 1978.
- [AK80] Allen B. Altman and Steven L. Kleiman. Compactifying the Picard scheme. *Adv. in Math.*, 35(1):50–112, 1980.
- [BBD82] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne. Faisceaux pervers. In *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981)*, volume 100 of *Astérisque*, pages 5–171. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [Bea95] Arnaud Beauville. Sur la cohomologie de certains espaces de modules de fibrés vectoriels. In *Geometry and analysis (Bombay, 1992)*, pages 37–40. Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1995.
- [Bri77] Joël Briançon. Description de $\text{Hilb}^n C\{x, y\}$. *Invent. Math.*, 41(1):45–89, 1977.

- [CC15] Jinwon Choi and Kiryong Chung. The geometry of the moduli space of one-dimensional sheaves. *Sci. China Math.*, 58(3):487–500, 2015.
- [CvGKT20] Jinwon Choi, Michel van Garrel, Sheldon Katz, and Nobuyoshi Takahashi. Local BPS invariants: enumerative aspects and wall-crossing. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2020(17):5450–5475, 2020.
- [CW22] Izzet Coskun and Matthew Woolf. The stable cohomology of moduli spaces of sheaves on surfaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 121(2):291–340, 2022.
- [dCHM12] Mark Andrea A. de Cataldo, Tamás Hausel, and Luca Migliorini. Topology of Hitchin systems and Hodge theory of character varieties: the case A_1 . *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 175(3):1329–1407, 2012.
- [dCM05] Mark Andrea A. de Cataldo and Luca Migliorini. The Hodge theory of algebraic maps. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 38(5):693–750, 2005.
- [Don90] S. K. Donaldson. Polynomial invariants for smooth four-manifolds. *Topology*, 29(3):257–315, 1990.
- [Gie77] D. Gieseker. On the moduli of vector bundles on an algebraic surface. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 106(1):45–60, 1977.
- [GL94] David Gieseker and Jun Li. Irreducibility of moduli of rank-2 vector bundles on algebraic surfaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 40(1):23–104, 1994.
- [GL96] David Gieseker and Jun Li. Moduli of high rank vector bundles over surfaces. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(1):107–151, 1996.
- [GV98] Rajesh Gopakumar and Cumrun Vafa. M-theory and topological strings–ii. *arXiv preprint hep-th/9812127*, 1998.
- [Gö90] Lothar Göttsche. The Betti numbers of the Hilbert scheme of points on a smooth projective surface. *Math. Ann.*, 286(1-3):193–207, 1990.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [Hat02] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [HL10] Daniel Huybrechts and Manfred Lehn. *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2010.
- [HST01] Shinobu Hosono, Masa-Hiko Saito, and Atsushi Takahashi. Relative lefschetz action and bps state counting. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2001(15):783–816, 2001.
- [KL12] Young-Hoon Kiem and Jun Li. Categorification of donaldson-thomas invariants via perverse sheaves. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1212.6444*, 2012.
- [Kle05] Steven L. Kleiman. The Picard scheme. In *Fundamental algebraic geometry*, volume 123 of *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, pages 235–321. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [KLMP24] Yakov Kononov, Woonam Lim, Miguel Moreira, and Weite Pi. Cohomology rings of the moduli of one-dimensional sheaves on the projective plane. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.06277*, 2024.
- [KPS23] Yakov Kononov, Weite Pi, and Junliang Shen. Perverse filtrations, Chern filtrations, and refined BPS invariants for local \mathbb{P}^2 . *Adv. Math.*, 433:Paper No. 109294, 29, 2023.
- [Laz04] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, volume 48 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series.
- [Li97] Jun Li. The first two Betti numbers of the moduli spaces of vector bundles on surfaces. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 5(4):625–684, 1997.
- [Lua23] Yuze Luan. Irreducible components of Hilbert scheme of points on non-reduced curves. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.01170v2*, 2023.
- [Mar78] Masaki Maruyama. Moduli of stable sheaves. II. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 18(3):557–614, 1978.
- [Mar02] Eyal Markman. Generators of the cohomology ring of moduli spaces of sheaves on symplectic surfaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 544:61–82, 2002.
- [Mar07] Eyal Markman. Integral generators for the cohomology ring of moduli spaces of sheaves over Poisson surfaces. *Adv. Math.*, 208(2):622–646, 2007.
- [Max19] Laurențiu G. Maxim. *Intersection homology & perverse sheaves—with applications to singularities*, volume 281 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, [2019] ©2019.
- [MS13] Luca Migliorini and Vivek Shende. A support theorem for Hilbert schemes of planar curves. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 15(6):2353–2367, 2013.

- [MS23] Davesh Maulik and Junliang Shen. Cohomological χ -independence for moduli of one-dimensional sheaves and moduli of Higgs bundles. *Geom. Topol.*, 27(4):1539–1586, 2023.
- [MSY23] Davesh Maulik, Junliang Shen, and Qizheng Yin. Perverse filtrations and Fourier transforms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.13160*, 2023.
- [MT18] Davesh Maulik and Yukinobu Toda. Gopakumar-Vafa invariants via vanishing cycles. *Invent. Math.*, 213(3):1017–1097, 2018.
- [NS97] D. S. Nagaraj and C. S. Seshadri. Degenerations of the moduli spaces of vector bundles on curves. I. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, 107(2):101–137, 1997.
- [O’G96] Kieran G. O’Grady. Moduli of vector bundles on projective surfaces: some basic results. *Invent. Math.*, 123(1):141–207, 1996.
- [PS08] Chris A. M. Peters and Joseph H. M. Steenbrink. *Mixed Hodge structures*, volume 52 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [PS23] Weite Pi and Junliang Shen. Generators for the cohomology ring of the moduli of 1-dimensional sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2 . *Algebr. Geom.*, 10(4):504–520, 2023.
- [PS24] Weite Pi and Junliang Shen. On the $P = C$ conjecture and refined BPS invariants for local \mathbb{P}^2 . *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2406.10004, June 2024.
- [PT10] R. Pandharipande and R. P. Thomas. Stable pairs and BPS invariants. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 23(1):267–297, 2010.
- [Ren18] Jørgen Vold Rennemo. Homology of Hilbert schemes of points on a locally planar curve. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 20(7):1629–1654, 2018.
- [Sac19] Giulia Saccà. Relative compactified Jacobians of linear systems on Enriques surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 371(11):7791–7843, 2019.
- [She12] Vivek Shende. Hilbert schemes of points on a locally planar curve and the Severi strata of its versal deformation. *Compos. Math.*, 148(2):531–547, 2012.
- [Sim94] Carlos T. Simpson. Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. I. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (79):47–129, 1994.
- [Tau84] Clifford Henry Taubes. Path-connected Yang-Mills moduli spaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 19(2):337–392, 1984.
- [Yua23a] Yao Yuan. On the perverse filtration of the moduli spaces of 1-dimensional sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2 and $P = C$ conjecture. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.17035*, 2023.
- [Yua23b] Yao Yuan. Sheaves on non-reduced curves in a projective surface. *Sci. China Math.*, 66(2):237–250, 2023.

BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, PEKING UNIVERSITY, NO. 5
YIHEYUAN ROAD HAIDIAN DISTRICT, BEIJING, P.R.CHINA 100871

Email address: sifei@bicmr.pku.edu.cn

SHANGHAI CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, JIANGWAN CAMPUS,
SHANGHAI, 200438, CHINA

Email address: fnzhang21@m.fudan.edu.cn