## Note on a vector-host epidemic model with spatial structure<sup>1</sup>

Mingxin Wang<sup>2</sup>

School of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo 454003, China

**Abstract.** Magal, Webb and Wu [Nonlinearity 31, 5589-5614 (2018)] studied the outbreak of Zika in Rio De Janerio model, and provided complete dynamic properties. In this note we will use a very simple approach to provide a complete proof.

**Keywords:** Epidemic models; Equilibrium solutions; Global stabilities.

AMS Subject Classification (2020): 35K57; 92D30

### 1 Introduction

The Zika virus has caused significant public health impacts, including congenital microcephaly and neurodevelopmental disorders after pregnancy infection. Suppose that individuals are living in a bounded smooth domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $H_i, V_u$  and  $V_i$  be the densities of infected hosts, uninfected vectors, and infected vectors, respectively. Then the model proposed in [1] to study the outbreak of Zika in Rio De Janerio is the following reaction-diffusion system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t H_i - \nabla \cdot d_1(x) \nabla H_i = -\rho(x) H_i + \sigma_1(x) H_u(x) V_i, & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ \partial_t V_u - \nabla \cdot d_2(x) \nabla V_u = -\sigma_2(x) V_u H_i + \beta(x) (V_u + V_i) - \mu(x) (V_u + V_i) V_u, & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ \partial_t V_i - \nabla \cdot d_2(x) \nabla V_i = \sigma_2(x) V_u H_i - \mu(x) (V_u + V_i) V_i, & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial H_i}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial V_u}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial V_i}{\partial n} = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \ t > 0, \\ (H_i(\cdot, 0), V_u(\cdot, 0), V_i(\cdot, 0)) = (H_{i0}, V_{u0}, V_{i0}) \in C(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^3_+), \end{cases}$$

where  $d_1, d_2 \in C^{1+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $\rho, \beta, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \mu \in C^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  are strictly positive, and  $H_u \in C^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  is nonnegative and non-trivial. The flux of new infected humans is given by  $\sigma_1(x)H_u(x)V_i(x,t)$  in which  $H_u(x)$  is the density of susceptible population depending on the spatial location x. The initial functions satisfy  $\frac{\partial H_{i0}}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial V_{u0}}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial V_{i0}}{\partial n} = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .

The model (1) has been thoroughly studied by Magal, Webb and Wu [2, 3]. In [2], the authors found the basic reproduction number  $R_0$  and show that  $R_0$  is a threshold parameter: if  $R_0 \leq 1$  the disease free equilibrium is globally stable; if  $R_0 > 1$  the model has a unique globally stable positive equilibrium. In [3], it was shown that the basic reproduction number  $R_0$  can be defined as the spectral radius of a product of a local basic reproduction number R and strongly positive compact linear operators with spectral radii one.

In this note we will use a very simple approach to prove the main results of [2].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This work was supported by NSFC Grant 12171120.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Corresponding author. *E-mail*: mxwang@hpu.edu.cn

#### Existence of positive equilibrium solutions $\mathbf{2}$

The equilibrium problem of (1) is

$$-\nabla \cdot d_{1}(x)\nabla H_{i}^{*} = -\rho(x)H_{i}^{*} + \sigma_{1}(x)H_{u}(x)V_{i}^{*}, \qquad x \in \Omega, -\nabla \cdot d_{2}(x)\nabla V_{u}^{*} = -\sigma_{2}(x)V_{u}^{*}H_{i}^{*} + \beta(x)(V_{u}^{*} + V_{i}^{*}) - \mu(x)(V_{u}^{*} + V_{i}^{*})V_{u}^{*}, \qquad x \in \Omega, -\nabla \cdot d_{2}(x)\nabla V_{i}^{*} = \sigma_{2}(x)V_{u}H_{i} - \mu(x)(V_{u}^{*} + V_{i}^{*})V_{i}^{*}, \qquad x \in \Omega, \frac{\partial H_{i}^{*}}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial V_{u}^{*}}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial V_{i}^{*}}{\partial n} = 0, \qquad x \in \partial\Omega.$$
(2)

$$-\nabla \cdot a_2(x) \nabla V_i^* = \sigma_2(x) V_u H_i - \mu(x) (V_u^* + V_i^*) V_i^*, \qquad x \in \Omega,$$
  
$$\frac{\partial H_i^*}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial V_u^*}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial V_i^*}{\partial n} = 0, \qquad x \in \partial\Omega.$$

Let  $\lambda(\beta)$  be the principal eigenvalue of

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot d_2(x)\nabla \phi - \beta(x)\phi = \lambda \phi, & x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

If  $\lambda(\beta) \ge 0$ , then (0,0,0) is the only nonnegative solution of (2). In fact, let  $(H_i^*, V_u^*, V_i^*)$  be a nonnegative solution of (2) and set  $V_* = V_u^* + V_i^*$ . Then

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot d_2(x) \nabla V_* = \beta(x) V_* - \mu(x) V_*^2, & x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial V_*}{\partial n} = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(3)

It is well known that (3) has no positive solution when  $\lambda(\beta) \ge 0$ . Therefore,  $V_* = 0$ , i.e.,  $V_u^* =$  $V_i^* = 0$ , and then  $H_i^* = 0$  by the first equation of (2).

If  $\lambda(\beta) < 0$ , then the problem (3) has a unique positive solution  $V_*(x)$  and  $V_*(x)$  is globally asymptotically stable. Thus,  $(0, V_*(x), 0)$  is a nontrivial and nonnegative solution of (2). It is easy to see that  $(0, V_*(x), 0)$  is the only possible semi-trivial nonnegative solution of (2).

Assume  $\lambda(\beta) < 0$  and let  $V_*(x)$  be the unique positive solution of (3). To investigate positive solutions of (2) is equivalent to study positive solutions  $(H_i^*, V_i^*)$  with  $V_i^* \leq V_*$  of

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot d_1(x) \nabla H_i^* = -\rho(x) H_i^* + \sigma_1(x) H_u(x) V_i^*, & x \in \Omega, \\ -\nabla \cdot d_2(x) \nabla V_i^* = \sigma_2(x) (V_*(x) - V_i^*) H_i^* - \mu(x) V_*(x) V_i^*, & x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial H_i^*}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial V_i^*}{\partial n} = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$
(4)

with  $V_i^* \leq V_*$ . Clearly, (0,0) is the unique trivial nonnegative solution of (4). The linearized eigenvalue problem of (4) at (0,0) is

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot d_1(x)\nabla\phi + \rho(x)\phi - \sigma_1(x)H_u(x)\varphi = \lambda\phi, & x \in \Omega, \\ -\nabla \cdot d_2(x)\nabla\varphi - \sigma_2(x)V_*(x)\phi + \mu(x)V_*(x)\varphi = \lambda\varphi, & x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial n} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$
(5)

By the results of [4], (5) has a unique principle eigenvalue  $\lambda(V_*)$  with eigenfunction  $(\phi, \varphi) : \phi, \varphi > 0$ . Moreover,  $\lambda(V_*)$  has the same sign with  $R_0 - 1$ , where  $R_0$  is given in [2].

### M.X. Wang

**Theorem 2.1.** The problem (4) has a positive solution  $(H_i^*, V_i^*)$  if and only if  $\lambda(V_*) < 0$ . Moreover, the positive solution  $(H_i^*, V_i^*)$  of (4) is unique and satisfies  $V_i^* < V_*$  when it exists. Therefore, (2) has a positive solution  $(H_i^*, V_i^*, V_u)$  if and only if  $\lambda(V_*) < 0$ , and  $(H_i^*, V_i^*, V_u)$  is unique and takes the form  $(H_i^*, V_i^*, V_* - V_i^*)$  when it exists.

*Proof. Step 1*: We prove that if (4) has a positive solution  $(H_i^*, V_i^*)$ , then  $\lambda(V_*) < 0$ . Define

$$\mathscr{L}\psi = \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla \cdot d_1(x)\nabla\psi_1 + \rho(x)\psi_1, \\ -\nabla \cdot d_2(x)\nabla\psi_2 + \mu(x)V_*(x)\psi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(V_*) & \sigma_1(x)H_u(x) \\ \sigma_2(x)V_*(x) & \lambda(V_*) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\mathscr{L}$  is reversible and  $\mathscr{L}^{-1}$  is a strongly positive compact operator. By (5),  $(\phi, \varphi) = (\mathscr{L}^{-1}A(x))(\phi, \varphi)$ . If  $\lambda(V_*) \geq 0$ , then the operator  $T = \mathscr{L}^{-1}A(x)$  is also a strongly positive compact operator, and r(T) = 1 as  $(\phi, \varphi) = (\mathscr{L}^{-1}A(x))(\phi, \varphi)$ .

As  $(H_i^*, V_i^*)$  is a positive solution of (4), then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_i^*, \\ V_i^* \end{pmatrix} = T \begin{pmatrix} H_i^*, \\ V_i^* \end{pmatrix} - \mathscr{L}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(V_*) & 0 \\ \sigma_2(x)V_i^* & \lambda(V_*) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H_i^*, \\ V_i^* \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathscr{L}^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\lambda(V_*) & 0\\ \sigma_2(x)V_iH_i \ \lambda(V_*)\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}H_i^*,\\ V_i^*\end{pmatrix} \ge \mathscr{L}^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}0\\ \sigma_2(x)V_iH_i\end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix}0\\ \chi(x)\end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\chi(x) > 0$ . This is impossible by the conclusion [5, Theorem 3.2 (iv)].

Step 2: We prove that if  $\lambda(V_*) < 0$ , then (4) has at least one positive solution  $(H_i^*, V_i^*)$ . Let  $\overline{H}_i^*$  be the unique positive solution of the linear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot d_1(x) \nabla \bar{H}_i^* + \rho(x) \bar{H}_i^* = \sigma_1(x) H_u(x) V_*(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial \bar{H}_i^*}{\partial n} = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then  $(H_i^*, V_*)$  is an upper solution of (4). Let  $(\phi, \varphi)$  be the positive eigenfunction corresponding to  $\lambda(V_*)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be a constant. It is easy to verify that  $\varepsilon(\phi, \varphi)$  is a lower solution of (4) and  $\varepsilon(\phi, \varphi) \leq (\bar{H}_i^*, V_*)$  provided  $\varepsilon > 0$  is suitable small. By the upper and lower solutions method, (4) as at least one positive solution.

Step 3: We shall prove the uniqueness of positive solution of (4). Let  $(H_i^*, V_i^*)$  be a positive solution of (4). Then  $\lambda(V_*) < 0$  by Step 1. Consider the linear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot d_2(x)\nabla U = \sigma_2(x)(V_*(x) - U)H_i^* - \mu(x)V_*(x)U, & x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial U}{\partial n} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$
(6)

Obviously,  $V_*$  is a strict upper solution of (6), and there exists  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$  such that  $\varepsilon \varphi \leq V_*$ and  $\varepsilon(\sigma_2 V_* \phi + \lambda(V_*) \varphi) \leq \sigma_2 (V_* - \varepsilon \phi) H_i^*$  in  $\Omega$ . Then we can verify that  $\varepsilon \varphi$  is a lower solution of (6). Thus (6) has a solution  $U : \varepsilon \varphi \leq U < V_*$ . Since  $V_i^*$  solves (6), we see  $V_i^* = U < V_*$  by the uniqueness. It then follows from the first equation of (4) that  $H_i^* < \overline{H}_i^*$ . Noticing that  $(\bar{H}_i^*, V_*)$  is an upper solution of (4). By the monotone iterative method, (4) has a positive solution  $(\hat{H}_i^*, \hat{V}_i^*)$  and  $(H_i^*, V_i^*) \leq (\hat{H}_i^*, \hat{V}_i^*) \leq (\bar{H}_i^*, V_*)$ . We shall prove  $(H_i^*, V_i^*) = (\hat{H}_i^*, \hat{V}_i^*)$ . Clearly, we can find  $\zeta > 1$  such that  $\zeta(H_i^*, V_i^*) \geq (\hat{H}_i^*, \hat{V}_i^*)$  in  $\Omega$ . Set

$$\underline{\zeta} = \inf\{\zeta \ge 1 : \zeta(H_i^*, V_i^*) \ge (\hat{H}_i^*, \hat{V}_i^*) \text{ in } \Omega\}.$$

Then  $\underline{\zeta}$  is well defined,  $\underline{\zeta} \ge 1$  and  $\underline{\zeta}(H_i^*, V_i^*) \ge (\hat{H}_i^*, \hat{V}_i^*)$  in  $\Omega$ . We shall prove  $\underline{\zeta} = 1$ . Assume  $\underline{\zeta} > 1$ . Let  $u = \underline{\zeta}H_i^* - \hat{H}_i^*$  and  $v = \underline{\zeta}V_i^* - \hat{V}_i^*$ . Then  $u, v \ge 0$ , and by the carefully calculations,

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot d_1(x)\nabla u + \rho(x)u = \sigma_1(x)H_u(x)v, & x \in \Omega, \\ -\nabla \cdot d_2(x)\nabla v + (\sigma_2 H_i^* + \mu(x)V_*)v > \sigma_2(V_* - \hat{V})u, & x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$
(7)

It follows that u, v > 0 in  $\overline{\Omega}$  by the maximum principle. We can find  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(u, v) \ge \varepsilon(H_i^*, V_i^*)$ , i.e.,  $(\underline{\zeta} - \varepsilon)(H_i^*, V_i^*) \ge (\hat{H}_i^*, \hat{V}_i^*)$  in  $\Omega$ . This is contradicts to the definition of  $\underline{\zeta}$ . Then  $\underline{\zeta} = 1$ , i.e.,  $(H_i^*, V_i^*) = (\hat{H}_i^*, \hat{V}_i^*)$ . The proof is complete.

# **3** Stabilities of nonnegative solutions of (2)

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(H_i, V_u, V_i)$  be the unique positive solution of (1). Then the following holds.

(i) If  $\lambda(\beta) < 0$  and  $\lambda(V_*) < 0$ , then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (H_i, V_u, V_i) = (H_i^*, V_* - V_i^*, V_i^*) = (H_i^*, V_u^*, V_i^*) \quad \text{in } [C^2(\bar{\Omega})]^3.$$
(8)

(ii) If  $\lambda(\beta) < 0$  and  $\lambda(V_*) \ge 0$ , then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (H_i, V_u, V_i) = (0, V_*, 0) \quad \text{in } [C^2(\bar{\Omega})]^3.$$
(9)

(iii) If  $\lambda(\beta) \ge 0$ , then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (H_i, V_u, V_i) = (0, 0, 0) \quad \text{in} \ [C^2(\bar{\Omega})]^3.$$
(10)

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda(V_* \pm \varepsilon)$  be the principal eigenvalue of

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot d_1(x)\nabla\phi + \rho(x)\phi - \sigma_1(x)H_u(x)\varphi = \lambda\phi, & x \in \Omega, \\ -\nabla \cdot d_2(x)\nabla\varphi - \sigma_2(x)(V_*(x) \pm \varepsilon)\phi + \mu(x)(V_*(x) \mp \varepsilon)\varphi = \lambda\varphi, & x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial n} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$
(11<sub>± $\varepsilon$</sub> )

As  $\lambda(V_*) < 0$ , there is  $0 < \varepsilon_0 \ll 1$  such that, when  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ ,  $\lambda(V_* \pm \varepsilon) < 0$ , and the problems

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot d_1(x)\nabla H_i = -\rho(x)H_i + \sigma_1(x)H_u(x)V_i, & x \in \Omega, \\ -\nabla \cdot d_2(x)\nabla V_i = \sigma_2(x)(V_*(x) \pm \varepsilon - V_i)^+ H_i - \mu(x)(V_*(x) \mp \varepsilon)V_i, & x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial H_i}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial V_i}{\partial n} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$
(12<sub>±ε</sub>)

#### M.X. Wang

has a unique positive solution  $(H_{i,\pm\varepsilon}^*, V_{i,\pm\varepsilon}^*)$ , and  $V_{i,\pm\varepsilon}^* < V_* \pm \varepsilon$  and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} (H_{i,\pm\varepsilon}^*, V_{i,\pm\varepsilon}^*) = (H_i^*, V_i^*) \quad \text{in } [C^2(\bar{\Omega})]^2.$$
(13)

Let  $(H_i, V_u, V_i)$  be the unique solution of (1), and set  $V = V_u + V_i$ . Then V satisfies

$$\begin{cases} V_t - \nabla \cdot d_2(x)\nabla V = \beta(x)V - \mu(x)V^2, & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial n} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \ t > 0, \\ V(x,0) = V_u(x,0) + V_i(x,0) > 0, & x \in \bar{\Omega}, \end{cases}$$

and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} V = V_*$  in  $C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ . For any given  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ , there exists  $T_{\varepsilon} \gg 1$  such that

$$0 < V_*(x) - \varepsilon \le V(x,t) \le V_*(x) + \varepsilon, \quad \forall t \ge T_\varepsilon, \ x \in \bar{\Omega}.$$
 (14)

Let  $(\overline{H}_i, \overline{V}_i)$  and  $(\underline{H}_i, \underline{V}_i)$  be the unique positive solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{H}_i - \nabla \cdot d_1(x) \nabla \bar{H}_i = -\rho(x) \bar{H}_i + \sigma_1(x) H_u(x) \bar{V}_i, & x \in \Omega, \ t > T_{\varepsilon}, \\ \partial_t \bar{V}_i - \nabla \cdot d_2(x) \nabla \bar{V}_i = \sigma_2(x) (V_*(x) + \varepsilon - \bar{V}_i)^+ \bar{H}_i - \mu(x) (V_*(x - \varepsilon) \bar{V}_i, \ x \in \Omega, \ t > T_{\varepsilon}, \\ \frac{\partial \bar{H}_i}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial \bar{V}_i}{\partial n} = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega, \ t > T_{\varepsilon}, \\ \bar{H}_i(x, T_{\varepsilon}) = k H^*_{i, +\varepsilon}, \ \bar{V}_i(x, T_{\varepsilon}) = k V^*_{i, +\varepsilon}, & x \in \bar{\Omega}, \end{cases}$$
(15)

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \underline{H}_i - \nabla \cdot d_1(x) \nabla \underline{H}_i = -\rho(x) \underline{H}_i + \sigma_1(x) H_u(x) \underline{V}_i, & x \in \Omega, \ t > T_{\varepsilon}, \\ \partial_t \underline{V}_i - \nabla \cdot d_2(x) \nabla \underline{V}_i = \sigma_2(x) (V_*(x) - \varepsilon - \underline{V}_i) \underline{H}_i - \mu(x) (V_*(x) + \varepsilon) \underline{V}_i, & x \in \Omega, \ t > T_{\varepsilon}, \\ \frac{\partial \underline{H}_i}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial \underline{V}_i}{\partial n} = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega, \ t > T_{\varepsilon}, \\ \underline{H}_i(x, T_{\varepsilon}) = \delta \phi_{-\varepsilon}, & \underline{V}_i(x, T_{\varepsilon}) = \delta \varphi_{-\varepsilon}, & x \in \bar{\Omega}, \end{cases}$$

respectively, where  $(\phi_{-\varepsilon}, \varphi_{-\varepsilon})$  is the positive eigenfunction corresponding to  $\lambda(V_*; -\varepsilon)$ ,  $k \gg 1$  and  $0 < \delta \ll 1$  are constants such that

$$(kH_{i,+\varepsilon}^*, kV_{i,+\varepsilon}^*) \ge (H_i(x, T_{\varepsilon}), V_i(x, T_{\varepsilon})), \quad (\delta\phi_{-\varepsilon}, \delta\varphi_{-\varepsilon}) \le (H_i(x, T_{\varepsilon}), V_i(x, T_{\varepsilon}))$$

It is clear that  $(kH_{i,+\varepsilon}^*, kV_{i,+\varepsilon}^*)$  is an upper solution of  $(12_{+\varepsilon})$  and  $(\delta\phi_{-\varepsilon}, \delta\varphi_{-\varepsilon})$  is a lower solution of  $(12_{-\varepsilon})$ . Hence,  $(\bar{H}_i, \bar{V}_i) \ge (\underline{H}_i, \underline{V}_i)$ , and  $(\bar{H}_i, \bar{V}_i)$  and  $(\underline{H}_i, \underline{V}_i)$  are decreasing and increasing in t, respectively. Then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (\bar{H}_i, \bar{V}_i) = (H^*_{i, +\varepsilon}, V^*_{i, +\varepsilon}), \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} (\underline{H}_i, \underline{V}_i) = (H^*_{i, -\varepsilon}, V^*_{i, -\varepsilon}) \quad \text{in} \ [C^2(\bar{\Omega})]^2 \tag{16}$$

by the uniform estimate and compact arguments (cf. [6, Theorems 2.11, 3.14]).

On the other hand, using (14) and the comparison principle we deduce that  $(H_i, V_i)$  satisfies  $(\bar{H}_i, \bar{V}_i) \ge (H_i, V_i) \ge (\underline{H}_i, \underline{V}_i)$  for  $t \ge T_{\varepsilon}$  and  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ . Hence, by (16),

$$(H_{i,-\varepsilon}^*, V_{i,-\varepsilon}^*) \le \liminf_{t \to \infty} (H_i, V_i) \le \limsup_{t \to \infty} (H_i, V_i) \le (H_{i,+\varepsilon}^*, V_{i,+\varepsilon}^*) \quad \text{in } [C^2(\bar{\Omega})]^2.$$

This combines with (13) gives  $\lim_{t\to\infty}(H_i, V_i) = (H_i^*, V_i^*)$  in  $[C^2(\bar{\Omega})]^2$ . As we have shown that  $\lim_{t\to\infty}(V_u + V_i) = V_*$ , it is to see that (8) holds.

(ii) Let  $\lambda(V_* + \varepsilon)$  be the principal eigenvalue of  $(11_{+\varepsilon})$ . If  $\lambda(V_*) > 0$ , then  $\lambda(V_* + \varepsilon) > 0$  when  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ . So  $(12_{+\varepsilon})$  has no positive solution. Let  $(\bar{H}_i, \bar{V}_i)$  be the unique positive solution of (15) with initial data  $\bar{H}_i(x, T_{\varepsilon}) = C_1, \bar{V}_i(x, T_{\varepsilon}) = C_2$ , where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are large positive constants, for example,

$$C_1 \ge \max_{\bar{\Omega}} \max\{V_*(x) + \varepsilon, H_i(x, T_{\varepsilon})\}, \quad C_2 \ge \max_{\bar{\Omega}} \max\{C_1 \sigma_1(x) H_u(x) / \rho(x), V_i(x, T_{\varepsilon})\}.$$

Then  $(C_1, C_2)$  is an upper solution of  $(12_{+\varepsilon})$ , and  $(\bar{H}_i, \bar{V}_i)$  is decreasing in t. Similar to the above, limit  $\lim_{t\to\infty}(\bar{H}_i, \bar{V}_i)$  exists and is a nonnegative solution of  $(12_{+\varepsilon})$ . Certainly,  $\lim_{t\to\infty}(\bar{H}_i, \bar{V}_i) = (0,0)$  since  $(12_{+\varepsilon})$  has no positive solution. Then, by the comparison principle,  $\lim_{t\to\infty}(H_i, V_i) = (0,0)$ . This together with  $\lim_{t\to\infty}(V_u + V_i) = V_*$  gives (9).

If  $\lambda(V_*) = 0$ , then  $\lambda(V_* + \varepsilon) < 0$  and  $(12_{+\varepsilon})$  has a unique positive solution  $(H_{i,+\varepsilon}^*, V_{i,+\varepsilon}^*)$  and  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} (H_{i,+\varepsilon}^*, V_{i,+\varepsilon}^*) = (0,0)$  in  $[C^2(\bar{\Omega})]^2$  since (4) has no positive solution in the present case. Similar to the above,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} (H_i, V_i) = (0,0)$  and (9) holds.

(iii) In such case, (3) has no positive solution and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} (V_u + V_i) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} H_i = 0$  by the first equation of (1). The proof is complete.

### References

- W.E. Fitzgibbon, J.J. Morgan and G.F. Webb, An outbreak vector-host epidemic model with spatial structure: the 2015-2016 Zika outbreak in Rio De Janeiro. Theor. Biol. Med. Modell. 14, 2-17 (2017)
- P. Magal, G. Webb and Y. Wu, On a vector-host epidemic model with spatial structure. Nonlinearity 31, 5589-5614 (2018)
- [3] P. Magal, G. Webb and Y. Wu, On the basic reproduction number of reaction-diffusion epidemic models. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 79, 284-304 (2019)
- [4] G. Sweers, Strong positivity in  $C(\overline{\Omega})$  for elliptic systems. Math. Z. 209, 251-271 (1992)
- [5] H. Amann, Fixed point equations and nonlinear eigenvalue problems in ordered Banach spaces. SIAM Rev. 18, 620-709 (1976)
- [6] M.X. Wang, Nonlinear Second Order Parabolic Equations. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2021.