

ZEROS OF $\mathcal{R}(s)$ ON THE FOURTH QUADRANT.

J. ARIAS DE REYNA

ABSTRACT. We show that there is a sequence of zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the fourth quadrant. We show that the n -th zero $\rho_{-n} = \beta_{-n} + i\gamma_{-n}$, with $\beta_{-n} \sim 4\pi^2 n / \log^2 n$ and $\gamma_{-n} \sim -4\pi n / \log n$. We give the first terms of an asymptotic development of ρ_{-n} and an algorithm to calculate ρ_{-n} from n .

1. INTRODUCTION

Numerical evidence suggests that the auxiliary function of Riemann $\mathcal{R}(s)$ [1], has three main lines of zeros.




FIGURE 1. Zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ on the rectangle $(-200, 200)^2$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M06; Secondary 30D99.

Key words and phrases. función zeta, Riemann's auxiliary function.

One line along the negative real axis is formed with the trivial zeros at $s = -2n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. The more interesting zeros follow approximately the positive imaginary axis; some of his properties are studied in [5], [3], and numerically in [2]. Here we try to get some information about the zeros on the fourth quadrant.

In Section 2 we give a parametric form (1) of the curve defined by the zeros. This is achieved because this line of zeros is contained in the limit of two asymptotic expansions given in [4] that are valid at each side of the line.

In Section 3 we obtain an approximate equation for the zeros in the third quadrant. First, the main equation $\zeta(s) = \mathcal{R}(s) + \chi(s)\overline{\mathcal{R}}(1-s)$ implies that $\rho \notin \mathbf{Z}$ is a zero of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ if and only if the symmetric $\tilde{\rho}$ with respect to the critical line satisfies the equation $\chi(1-\tilde{\rho})\mathcal{R}(\tilde{\rho}) = \zeta(1-\tilde{\rho})$. The zero is at a position where no asymptotic is known, but in $\tilde{\rho}$ there is a nice approximation. This is what allows us to obtain approximate equations. The equation for a zero $f(\eta) = 1$ is approximated by a simple function $g(\eta)$, with $f(\eta) = g(\eta)(1 + \mathcal{O}(|\eta|^{-1}))$ (see Proposition 8). Here η is an auxiliar variable connected to s .

In Section 4 we give a general theorem showing that two functions related by $f(\eta) = g(\eta)(1 + \mathcal{O}(|\eta|^{-1}))$ and satisfying some mild conditions will have very close solutions of equations $f(\eta) = 1$ and $g(\eta) = 1$. In Section 5 we apply this theorem to relate the zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the fourth quadrant to the zeros of $g(\eta) = 1$. We have to introduce a simpler approximate equation $h(\eta) = 1$ that can be solved using the Lambert W function.

Section 6 contains an algorithm to calculate the n -th zero ρ_{-n} for $n \geq 0$ of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the fourth quadrant starting from the value n . We have used this algorithm to obtain the first 2122 zeros with 25 correct decimal digits. The table of zeros is included after the `\end{document}` line of the \TeX file associated with this document.

In Section 7 we give the first terms of the asymptotic expansion of ρ_{-n} .

2. LOCATION OF ZEROS

Proposition 1. *There is some $R > 0$ such that for any zero ρ of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the fourth quadrant with $|\rho| > R$, there are some $r > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$ such that*

$$\rho = 2\pi r^2 \exp\left\{i\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2\log r} - \frac{\pi^3}{24\log^3 r} + \frac{\pi^3\epsilon}{24\log^4 r}\right)\right\}. \quad (1)$$

Proof. Let ρ be a zero of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the fourth quadrant. Let $r = \sqrt{|\rho|/2\pi}$ and $\rho = 2\pi r^2 e^{i\theta}$ with $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < 0$. By [4, Thm. 16] and [4, Prop. 6] the point $\rho \notin M$. It follows (see [4, Remark 17]) that for $|\rho| > 2\pi e^2$

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2\log r} - \frac{\pi^3}{24\log^3 r} + \frac{\pi^5}{160\log^5 r} + \mathcal{O}(\log^{-6} r) < \theta.$$

Therefore, if $|\rho| > R$ we will have $\text{Re } \rho > 2$. By [4, Cor. 14] $\rho \notin L$. Therefore, if $|\rho| > R$ we have (see Remark 12 and Theorem 12 in [4])

$$\theta < -\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2\log r} - \frac{\pi^3}{24\log^3 r} + \frac{\pi^3}{48\log^4 r} + \mathcal{O}(\log^{-5} r).$$

So for $|\rho| > R$ with R large enough, we will have

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2\log r} - \frac{\pi^3}{24\log^3 r} < \theta < -\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2\log r} - \frac{\pi^3}{24\log^3 r} + \frac{\pi^3}{24\log^4 r}.$$

It follows that there exists $0 < \epsilon < 1$ satisfying (1). \square

3. APPROXIMATE EQUATION FOR ZEROS

Recall that we denote by $\chi(s) := 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin \frac{\pi s}{2} \Gamma(1-s)$ the meromorphic function appearing in the functional equation $\zeta(s) = \chi(s) \zeta(1-s)$.

Proposition 2. *For any number $s \in \mathbf{C}$ let \tilde{s} be the symmetric respect the critical line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, that is $\tilde{s} := 1 - \bar{s}$. Let $\rho \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{Z}$, the two following equations are equivalent*

$$\mathcal{R}(\rho) = 0, \quad \chi(1 - \tilde{\rho}) \mathcal{R}(\tilde{\rho}) = \zeta(1 - \tilde{\rho}).$$

Proof. Siegel [7, Eq. (56)] (but see also [1, Eq. (10)]) proved that

$$\zeta(s) = \mathcal{R}(s) + \chi(s) \overline{\mathcal{R}(1 - \bar{s})}, \quad \text{with } \overline{\mathcal{R}(s)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(\bar{s})}.$$

If we assume that $\mathcal{R}(\rho) = 0$, then putting $s = \tilde{\rho}$ in this equation, we get $\overline{\mathcal{R}(1 - \tilde{\rho})} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(\tilde{\rho})} = 0$. Therefore, $\zeta(\tilde{\rho}) = \mathcal{R}(\tilde{\rho})$. Multiplying by $\chi(1 - \tilde{\rho})$ we get $\chi(1 - \tilde{\rho}) \mathcal{R}(\tilde{\rho}) = \zeta(1 - \tilde{\rho})$.

We may reverse the reasoning except when $\chi(1 - \tilde{\rho}) = 0$ or $\chi(1 - \tilde{\rho}) = \infty$. But the zeros of $\chi(s)$ are $s = 0, -2, -4, \dots$ and its poles are $s = 1, s = 3, \dots$ are all integers. \square

If ρ is a zero of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the fourth quadrant, we do not have an asymptotic expansion valid for $\mathcal{R}(s)$ at this point. But we have one valid for $\mathcal{R}(s)$ for $s = \tilde{\rho}$.

Proposition 3. *We define the following region of the complex plane*

$$\Omega = \left\{ re^{i\phi} : e < r, \frac{\pi}{4} < \phi < \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\log r}{r^2} \right\}. \quad (2)$$

For $\eta \in \Omega$ we have $\zeta(-2\pi i \eta^2) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(|\eta|^{-1})$.

Proof. For $\eta \in \Omega$ we have $-2\pi i \eta^2 = 2\pi r^2 e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2} + 2i\phi}$ with the argument $0 < -\frac{\pi}{2} + 2\phi < \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{2\log r}{r^2}$. So, it is in the first quadrant. Its real part is

$$\operatorname{Re}(-2\pi i \eta^2) = 2\pi r^2 \cos(-\frac{\pi}{2} + 2\phi) \geq 2\pi r^2 \sin(\pi - \frac{2\log r}{r^2}) > 12, \quad \text{for } r > e.$$

It follows that $\zeta(-2\pi i \eta^2) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(2^{2\pi i \eta^2})$. And we have

$$\begin{aligned} |2^{2\pi i \eta^2}| &= \exp(\operatorname{Re}(2\pi i \eta^2) \log 2) \leq \exp(-2\pi r^2 \log 2 \sin(\frac{2\log r}{r^2})) \\ &\leq \exp(-(\pi \log 2) \log r) < \frac{1}{r}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 4. *For $\eta \in \Omega$ we have*

$$\mathcal{R}(1 + 2\pi i \eta^2) = -\chi(1 + 2\pi i \eta^2) \eta^{2\pi i \eta^2} e^{-\pi i \eta^2} \frac{\sqrt{2} e^{\frac{3\pi i}{8}} \sin(\pi \eta)}{2 \cos(2\pi \eta)} (1 + \mathcal{O}(|\eta|^{-1})). \quad (3)$$

Proof. For $\eta \in \Omega$ we have $\eta = re^{i\phi}$ with $r > e$ and $\frac{\pi}{4} < \phi < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Therefore, $2\pi i \eta^2$ is in the third quadrant and $s = 1 + 2\pi i \eta^2$ is in the set N considered in [4, Thm. 29]. Therefore, with the notations in that paper ([4, eq. (79) and (80)])

$$\mathcal{R}(s) = \frac{(-1)^m}{2i} \chi(s) \eta^{2\pi i \eta^2} e^{-\pi i \eta^2} \overline{G(p)} (1 + \mathcal{O}(|\eta|^{-1})). \quad (4)$$

By definition here $\eta = \sqrt{\frac{s-1}{2\pi i}}$, taking the root so that its argument is in the interval $(-\pi/4, 3\pi/4)$, therefore, coincides with our initial η . The numbers η_1 and η_2 are the real and imaginary parts of η . Then $m = \lfloor \eta_1 + \eta_2 \rfloor$ and

$$p = -2(m + \frac{1}{2} - (\eta_1 + i\eta_2)) = 2\left\{(\eta_1 + \eta_2 - \lfloor \eta_1 + \eta_2 \rfloor - \frac{1}{2}) - (1 - i)\eta_2\right\}.$$

So, with λ a real number with $-1 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ we have

$$p = \lambda - 2\sqrt{2}e^{-\pi i/4}\eta_2.$$

The function $G(q)$ is defined in [4, Eq. (13)]

$$G(q) := \frac{e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}q^2} - \sqrt{2}e^{\frac{\pi i}{8}} \cos \frac{\pi q}{2}}{\cos \pi q}, \quad \overline{G}(p) = \overline{G(\overline{p})} = \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2}p^2} - \sqrt{2}e^{-\frac{\pi i}{8}} \cos \frac{\pi p}{2}}{\cos \pi p}.$$

$$\overline{G}(p) = -\frac{\sqrt{2}e^{-\frac{\pi i}{8}} \cos \frac{\pi p}{2}}{\cos \pi p} \left(1 - \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2}p^2}}{\sqrt{2}e^{-\frac{\pi i}{8}} \cos \frac{\pi p}{2}}\right).$$

$$-\frac{\pi i}{2}p^2 = -\frac{\pi i}{2}(\lambda - 2\sqrt{2}e^{-\pi i/4}\eta_2)^2 = -\frac{\pi i}{2}(\lambda^2 - 4\sqrt{2}\lambda e^{-\pi i/4}\eta_2 - 8i\eta_2^2).$$

$$|e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2}p^2}| = e^{2\pi\lambda\eta_2 - 4\pi\eta_2^2} \leq e^{-4\pi\eta_2^2 + 2\pi\eta_2}.$$

$$|\cos \frac{\pi p}{2}| \geq \sinh(|\operatorname{Im}(\pi p)/2|) = \sinh(\pi\eta_2).$$

Since $\eta = re^{i\phi}$ with $\frac{\pi}{4} < \phi < \frac{\pi}{2}$ it follows that $\eta_2 > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\eta| > e/\sqrt{2}$, it follows that

$$\left| \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2}p^2}}{\sqrt{2}e^{-\frac{\pi i}{8}} \cos \frac{\pi p}{2}} \right| \leq \frac{e^{-4\pi\eta_2^2 + 2\pi\eta_2}}{\sqrt{2} \sinh(\pi\eta_2)} \ll e^{-2\pi\eta_2^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{R}(1 + 2\pi i\eta^2) = -\frac{(-1)^m}{2i} \chi(1 + 2\pi i\eta^2) \eta^{2\pi i\eta^2} e^{-\pi i\eta^2} \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{-\frac{\pi i}{8}} \cos \frac{\pi p}{2}}{\cos \pi p} (1 + \mathcal{O}(|\eta|^{-1})).$$

Since m is an integer, we have

$$\cos \pi p = \cos(-2\pi m - \pi + 2\pi\eta) = -\cos(2\pi\eta), \quad \cos \frac{\pi p}{2} = \cos(\pi m + \frac{\pi}{2} - \pi\eta) = (-1)^m \sin \pi\eta.$$

This proves (3). \square

Definition 5. We will consider several functions defined for $\operatorname{Im}(\eta) > 0$ with values in \mathbf{C}

$$f(\eta) := \frac{\chi(-2\pi i\eta^2) \mathcal{R}(1 + 2\pi i\eta^2)}{\zeta(-2\pi i\eta^2)},$$

$$u(\eta) := 2\eta^2 \log \eta - \eta^2 + \eta + i\frac{\log 2}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{8}, \quad v(\eta) := 2\eta^2 \log \eta - \eta^2 + i\frac{\log 2}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{8},$$

$$g(\eta) := e^{\pi i u(\eta)}, \quad h(\eta) := e^{\pi i v(\eta)},$$

where $\log \eta$ denotes the main branch of the logarithm with $0 < \operatorname{Im} \log \eta < \pi$.

Proposition 6. Let $\eta \in \Omega$ be such that $f(\eta) = 1$, then $\rho = 2\pi i\eta^2$ is a zero of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the fourth quadrant.

Proof. Let $\rho = 2\pi i\eta^2$. Since $\eta = re^{i\phi} \in \Omega$ we have $\rho = 2\pi r^2 e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} - 2i\phi}$, with $0 > \frac{\pi}{2} - 2\phi > -\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{2\log r}{r^2}$ and $r > e$. Therefore, ρ is in the fourth quadrant. We have

$$\bar{\rho} = -2\pi i\eta^2, \quad \tilde{\rho} = 1 + 2\pi i\eta^2, \quad 1 - \tilde{\rho} = -2\pi i\eta^2.$$

Then $f(\eta) = 1$ implies

$$\chi(1 - \tilde{\rho}) \mathcal{R}(\tilde{\rho}) = \zeta(1 - \tilde{\rho}).$$

By Proposition 2, this is equivalent to $\mathcal{R}(\rho) = 0$. \square

Proposition 7. There is some $R \geq 2\pi e^2$ such that if ρ be a zero of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the fourth quadrant with $|\rho| > R$, then $\rho = 2\pi i\eta^2$, where $\eta \in \Omega$ is such that $f(\eta) = 1$.

Proof. Let ρ be a zero of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the fourth quadrant. By Proposition 1 it can be put in the form (1). Then define

$$\eta = \sqrt{\frac{i\bar{\rho}}{2\pi}} = r \exp\left\{i\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4\log r} + \frac{\pi^3}{48\log^3 r} - \frac{\pi^3\epsilon}{48\log^4 r}\right)\right\}. \quad (5)$$

Since $R > 2\pi e^2$, we have $|\eta| > e$. It is clear that there is some constant R such that if $|\rho| = 2\pi r^2 > R$ then this $\eta \in \Omega$. And by Proposition 2 we will have $f(\eta) = 1$.

A numerical study shows that $R = 2\pi e^2$ is a good election, but we will not show this. In any case, there are 8 zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the fourth quadrant with $|\rho| < 2\pi e^2$. \square

Proposition 8. *For $\eta \in \Omega$, we have $f(\eta) = g(\eta)(1 + \mathcal{O}(|\eta|^{-1}))$.*

Proof. In Proposition 4 we have proved that for $\eta \in \Omega$ we have (3) and by Proposition 3 $\zeta(-2\pi i\eta^2) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(|\eta|^{-1})$. Therefore,

$$f(\eta) = \chi(-2\pi i\eta^2) \cdot \left(-\chi(1 + 2\pi i\eta^2)\eta^{2\pi i\eta^2} e^{-\pi i\eta^2} \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{\frac{3\pi i}{8}} \sin(\pi\eta)}{2\cos(2\pi\eta)}(1 + \mathcal{O}(|\eta|^{-1}))\right).$$

The function $\chi(s)$ satisfies $\chi(s)\chi(1-s) = 1$, so that

$$f(\eta) = -\eta^{2\pi i\eta^2} e^{-\pi i\eta^2} \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{\frac{3\pi i}{8}} \sin(\pi\eta)}{2\cos(2\pi\eta)}(1 + \mathcal{O}(|\eta|^{-1})). \quad (6)$$

As mentioned above for $\eta \in \Omega$ we have $\eta_2 > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\eta|$. Therefore,

$$\sin(\pi\eta) = -\frac{1}{2i}e^{-\pi i\eta}(1 - e^{2\pi i\eta}) = \frac{i}{2}e^{-\pi i\eta}(1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\pi\eta_2})) = \frac{i}{2}e^{-\pi i\eta}(1 + \mathcal{O}(|\eta|^{-1})).$$

In the same way

$$2\cos(2\pi\eta) = e^{-2\pi i\eta}(1 + \mathcal{O}(|\eta|^{-1})).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\eta) &= -\eta^{2\pi i\eta^2} e^{-\pi i\eta^2} \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{\frac{3\pi i}{8}} \frac{i}{2}e^{-\pi i\eta}}{e^{-2\pi i\eta}}(1 + \mathcal{O}(|\eta|^{-1})) \\ &= \exp(2\pi i\eta^2 \log \eta - \pi i\eta^2 + \pi i\eta - \frac{\log 2}{2} - \frac{\pi i}{8})(1 + \mathcal{O}(|\eta|^{-1})) \\ &= g(\eta)(1 + \mathcal{O}(|\eta|^{-1})). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

4. X-RAYS OF SIMILAR FUNCTIONS

We first prove a general theorem. This theorem explains why the X-rays of two functions with the same asymptotic behavior almost coincide (under certain conditions). Applying it to the functions f and g of Definition 5, we reduce the study of the complicated equation $f(\eta) = 1$ to the simpler equation $g(\eta) = 1$.

Theorem 9. *Let $V \subset \mathbf{C}$ be an open set of the complex plane. Assume that for each $r > 0$ the open set $\Omega_r := \{z \in V : |z| > r\}$ is non empty. Let $f, g: V \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be holomorphic functions such that:*

- $f(z) = g(z)(1 + \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1}))$.
- There are constants $0 < c < C$, such that $c|z| \log |z| \leq |g'(z)/g(z)| \leq C|z| \log |z|$, for all $z \in V$.
- Let $A = \{a \in V : f(a) = 1\}$ and $B = \{b \in V : g(b) = 1\}$. There exist two positive constants r_0 and ρ_0 such that if $w \in A \cup B$ satisfies $|w| > r_0$, then $\overline{D}(w, \rho_0) \subset V$.

Then there is R such that for any $b \in B$ with $|b| > R$ there is a unique $a \in A$ such that $|b - a| < |b|^{-2}$. The induced map $b \mapsto a$ is injective, and its image contains all $a \in A$ with $|a| > R + 1$.

Proof. Let $b \in B$, with $|b| > R > 1$, by hypothesis (c), taking $R > r_0$ the disc $\overline{D}(b; \rho_0) \subset \Omega$. To prove the main assertion we will apply Rouché's Theorem to the functions $f(z) - 1$ and $g(z) - 1$ on the circle $|z - b| = |b|^{-2}$. Taking R large enough so that $R^{-1} < \rho_0$. First, we need a bound of $g(z)$ on a larger disc. For $|z - b| \leq \rho_0$ we have

$$\log |g(z)| = \log |g(z)| - \log |g(b)| = \operatorname{Re} \int_b^z \frac{g'(u)}{g(u)} du,$$

integrating along the segment $[b, z]$. Therefore, for $|z - b| \leq |b|^{-3/2}$

$$\log |g(z)| \leq \int_b^z \left| \frac{g'(u)}{g(u)} \right| |du| \leq C(|b| + |b|^{-3/2}) \log(|b| + |b|^{-3/2}) \cdot |z - b| \leq C'|b| \log |b| \cdot |z - b|.$$

On $|z - b| = |b|^{-3/2}$ we have

$$|g(z)| \leq \exp(C'|b| \log |b| \cdot |z - b|) \leq \exp(C'|b|^{-1/2} \log |b|) < 2,$$

taking R big enough. With this bound for $g(z)$, Cauchy's inequality for the coefficients of a power series yields

$$g(z) = g(b) + g'(b)(z - b) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n(z - b)^n, \quad |a_n| \leq 2|b|^{3n/2}.$$

Therefore, for $|z - b| \leq |b|^{-2}$ and R large enough

$$\begin{aligned} |g(z) - 1| &= |g(z) - g(b)| \geq |g'(b)(z - b)| - 2|z - b| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |b|^{3n/2} |z - b|^{n-1} \\ &\geq \left(c|b| \log |b| - 2|b|^2 \frac{1}{|b|(1 - |b|^{-1/2})} \right) |z - b| \geq (c|b| \log |b| - 4|b|) |z - b| \\ &> (c'|b| \log |b|) |z - b|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $z = b$ is the unique zero of $g(z) - 1$ in the disc $|z - b| \leq |b|^{-3/2}$, and is a simple zero.

By the above reasoning for $|z - b| = |b|^{-2}$ we have

$$|g(z) - 1| > \frac{c' \log |b|}{|b|}. \quad (7)$$

We are in position to apply Rouché's Theorem to $f(z) - 1$ and $g(z) - 1$ on the disc $|z - b| \leq |b|^{-2}$. We have for $|z - b| = |b|^{-2}$

$$\begin{aligned} |(f(z) - 1) - (g(z) - 1)| &= |f(z) - g(z)| \leq \frac{B}{|z|} |g(z)|, && \text{by hypothesis (a)} \\ &\leq \frac{2B}{|b| - |b|^{-2}} \leq \frac{4B}{|b|} \\ &\leq \frac{c' \log |b|}{|b|}, && \text{taking } R \text{ large enough} \\ &< |g(z) - 1|, && \text{by (7)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Rouché's Theorem implies that $f(z) - 1$ and $g(z) - 1$ have the same number of zeros in the disc $|z - b| \leq |b|^{-2}$. By (7) the function $g(z) - 1$ vanishes only at b , and this is simple zero because $g'(b) \neq 0$.

We have seen that for any point $b \in B$ with $|b| > R$, there is a unique point $a \in A$ with $|b - a| \leq |b|^{-2}$.

To see that the correspondence is injective and covers the large zeros of $f(z) - 1$, we notice that the above reasoning is only local near a point $b \in B$. The roles of f and g can be reversed locally, as we see below.

Condition (a) implies that $g(z) = f(z)(1 + \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1}))$, since $(1 + \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1}))^{-1}$ is equal to $(1 + \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1}))$.

Condition (a) implies $\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} + \frac{u'(z)}{1+u(z)}$ where $u(z) \leq B/|z|$ for $z \in \Omega_r$ for some fixed r , (take R greater than this r). If $f(a) = 1$ and $|a| > R + 1$, by hypothesis (c), the disc with center a and radius ρ_0 is contained in Ω_r and then for $|z - a| < \rho_0/2$, we have (assuming $|a| > R > 2\rho_0$)

$$|u'(z)| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta - a| = \rho_0} \frac{u(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\zeta \right| \leq \frac{B}{|a| - \rho_0} \frac{2\pi\rho_0}{2\pi\rho_0^2} \leq \frac{C}{|a|}$$

It follows that taking $|a| > R$ with R large enough

$$c'|z| \log |z| \leq c|z| \log |z| - \frac{C}{|a|} \frac{1}{1 - B/|z|} \leq \left| \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} \right| + \frac{C}{|a|} \frac{1}{1 - B/|z|} \leq C'|z| \log |z|.$$

This is only locally around each point a , but this is sufficient to apply Rouché's Theorem to the two discs $|z - a| \leq 4|a|^{-2}$ and $|z - a| \leq \frac{1}{4}|a|^{-2}$. Therefore, for $|a| > R + 1$ we get a unique b with $g(b) = 1$ and $|a - b| \leq 4|a|^{-2}$ and then this same b satisfies $|a - b| \leq \frac{1}{4}|a|^{-2}$.

Then $|b| \geq |a| - |b - a| \geq R + 1 - \frac{1}{4}|a|^{-2} > R$. Therefore, there is a unique $a' \in A$ with $|b - a'| \leq |b|^{-2}$. But we know that $|a - b| \leq \frac{1}{4}|a|^{-2}$. Therefore, $a = a'$ if we have $\frac{1}{4}|a|^{-2} < |b|^{-2}$. This is equivalent to $|b| \leq 2|a|$. This is true since $|b| \leq |a| + |b - a| \leq |a| + \frac{1}{4}|a|^{-2} \leq 2|a|$, because $|a| > R > 1$. \square

Corollary. *In the hypothesis of the Proposition, all the zeros of $f(z) - 1 = 0$ in Ω_R are simple.*

Because condition (c) implies that g' does not vanish on the set Ω . A similar reasoning applies to f locally near each zero.

5. THE APPROXIMATE EQUATION

Before using Theorem 9 we need some information about the points $\eta \in \Omega$ with $g(\eta) = 1$. First, we consider the points where $h(\eta) = 1$.

Proposition 10. *For each point $\eta \in \Omega$ with $h(\eta) = 1$ there is a natural number n such that*

$$\log \frac{\eta^2}{e} = W_1 \left(\frac{1}{8e} - \frac{2n}{e} - i \frac{\log 2}{2\pi e} \right). \quad (8)$$

where W_1 is the first branch of the Lambert W function (see [6]).

Proof. By definition $h(\eta) = e^{\pi i v(\eta)}$. Therefore, if $h(\eta) = 1$ there is an integer n such that $v(\eta) = -2n$. This equation is equivalent to

$$\frac{\eta^2}{e} \log \frac{\eta^2}{e} = \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{1}{8} - 2n - i \frac{\log 2}{2\pi} \right). \quad (9)$$

The Lambert W function is the inverse of $z \mapsto ze^z$. Therefore, the above equation is equivalent $\log(\eta^2/e) = W(\frac{1}{8e} - \frac{2n}{e} - i\frac{\log 2}{2\pi e})$. The function W as the logarithm has many branches. They are defined in [6]. The X-ray of the function ze^z (that is, the lines where this function is real or purely imaginary; see Figure 2) divides the plane into regions that are transformed in a quadrant. The derivative of ze^z only vanishes at $z = -1$ where the function is real. It follows that each of the regions defined by the X-ray transform bijectively in a quadrant (see [6, p. 344]). In Figure 2, I have put a numeral 1, 2, 3 or 4 in each region, indicating the quadrant in which it transforms. We also put in each region a symbol W_{-1} , W_0 and W_1 indicating that the corresponding region is in the image by the corresponding branch of W . The real and imaginary lines of the X-ray are asymptotic to the lines $\text{Im } z = k\frac{\pi}{2}$.

If $\eta = re^{i\phi} \in \Omega$, then $\pi/4 < \phi < \pi/2$ and $r > e$. Then $\log(\eta^2/e) = 2\log r - 1 + 2i\phi$ is contained between the two dashed lines $\text{Im } z = \pi/2$ and $\text{Im } z = \pi$. Its image by ze^z is $\frac{1}{8} - 2n - i\frac{\log 2}{2\pi}$ is in the third or fourth quadrant. These two conditions implies that $\log(\eta^2/e)$ is the image of the branch W_1 . Therefore, we have (8).

When $\eta \in \Omega$ the real part of η^2/e is positive. Therefore, the image of W_1 is not only between the two dashed lines, but also has a positive real part. This is only possible if η^2/e is the image of a point $e^{-1}(\frac{1}{8} - 2n - i\frac{\log 2}{2\pi})$ in the third quadrant. This means $n \geq 1$. \square




FIGURE 2. X-ray of the function ze^z in $(-20, 20) \times (-10, 10)$.

Definition 11. Let η''_n be the number η defined in (8), taking the square root of η^2 with $-\pi/4 \leq \arg(\eta''_n) < 3\pi/4$.

Proposition 12. *There is a natural number N such that for $n \geq N$ we have $\eta''_n \in \Omega$.*

$$\eta''_n = \eta_1 + i\eta_2, \quad \eta_1 \sim \frac{\pi}{2 \log n} \sqrt{\frac{2n}{\log n}}, \quad \eta_2 \sim \sqrt{\frac{2n}{\log n}}. \quad (10)$$

The circle with center at η''_n and radius 2 is contained in Ω .

Proof. Each branch of the Lambert W function has an asymptotic expansion. We may obtain an asymptotic expansion of η_n'' when $n \rightarrow +\infty$ or $n \rightarrow -\infty$. We start with $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

In [6, eq. 4.19] we find that

$$W(z) = L_1 - L_2 + \frac{L_2}{L_1} + \mathcal{O}(L_2^2/L_1^2),$$

where $L_1 = \text{Log } z$ and $L_2 = \log \text{Log } z$, \log denoting the principal branch of the logarithm and Log any determination. In particular, the W_k branch of the Lambert function is obtained taking $\text{Log } z = 2k\pi i + \log z$.

In our case when $n \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= 2k\pi i + \log\left(\frac{1}{8e} - \frac{2n}{e} - \frac{\log 2}{2\pi e}i\right) = 2k\pi i + \log\left\{-\frac{2n}{e}\left(1 - \frac{1}{16n} + \frac{\log 2}{4\pi n}i\right)\right\} \\ &= \log n + (2k-1)\pi i + \log 2 - 1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 &= \log(\log n + (2k-1)\pi i + \log 2 - 1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})) \\ &= \log \log n + \log\left(1 + \frac{(2k-1)\pi i + \log 2 - 1}{\log n} + \mathcal{O}(1/n \log n)\right) \\ &= \log \log n + \frac{(2k-1)\pi i + \log 2 - 1}{\log n} - \frac{((2k-1)\pi i + \log 2 - 1)^2}{2\log^2 n} + \mathcal{O}(\log^{-3} n). \end{aligned}$$

And then we get

$$\frac{L_2}{L_1} = \frac{\log \log n}{\log n} \left(1 - \frac{(2k-1)\pi i + \log 2 - 1}{\log n} + \frac{(2k-1)\pi i + \log 2 - 1}{\log n \log \log n} + \mathcal{O}(\log^{-2} n)\right).$$

Combining all this

$$\begin{aligned} W_k\left(\frac{1}{8e} - \frac{2n}{e} - i\frac{\log 2}{2\pi e}\right) &= \log n - \log \log n + (2k-1)\pi i + \log 2 - 1 + \frac{\log \log n}{\log n} \\ &\quad - \frac{(2k-1)\pi i + \log 2 - 1}{\log n} + \mathcal{O}((\log \log n / \log n)^2). \end{aligned}$$

This number will be η^2/e with $\eta \in \Omega$ only if its imaginary part is in the interval $(\pi/2, \pi)$. For n large, this is only true taking $k = 1$.

Now $\eta^2 = ee^W$ and $\eta = e^{1/2}e^{W/2}$. Therefore,

$$\eta_n'' = -\frac{2n}{\log n} \exp\left(\frac{\log \log n}{\log n} - \frac{\log 2 - 1 + \pi i}{\log n}\right) \left(1 + \mathcal{O}((\log \log n / \log n)^2)\right), \quad (11)$$

and

$$\eta_n'' = i\sqrt{\frac{2n}{\log n}} \exp\left(\frac{\log \log n}{2\log n} - \frac{\log 2 - 1 + \pi i}{2\log n}\right) \left(1 + \mathcal{O}((\log \log n / \log n)^2)\right).$$

Expanding the exponential and retaining only terms smaller than $(\log \log n / \log n)^2$ we get

$$\eta_n'' = i\sqrt{\frac{2n}{\log n}} \left(1 + \frac{\log \log n}{2\log n} - \frac{\log 2 - 1 + \pi i}{2\log n}\right) \left(1 + \mathcal{O}((\log \log n / \log n)^2)\right). \quad (12)$$

This proves (10). It follows that $\eta_n'' = re^{i\phi}$ with $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha$, where

$$r \sim \sqrt{\frac{2n}{\log n}}, \quad \alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arg(\eta_n'') \sim \arctan \frac{\pi}{2 \log n} \sim \frac{\pi}{4 \log r}.$$

The definition (2) of Ω implies that there is some N such that $\eta_n'' \in \Omega$ for $n \geq N$. A similar reasoning proves that $re^{i\phi}(1 + \delta) \in \Omega$ for $|\delta| \leq 2/r$. So that the disc $\overline{D}(\eta_n'', 2) \subset \Omega$. \square

Remark 13. There is an asymptotic expansion for η_n'' in terms of n of the form

$$\eta_n'' = i \sqrt{\frac{2n}{\log n}} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(\log \log n)}{\log^k n} \right), \quad (13)$$

where $U_k(x)$ is a polynomial of degree k .

Lemma 14. *Let $\eta \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\operatorname{Im}(\eta) > 0$ and $|\eta| > e^2$. Then for $|\arg(\eta) - \frac{\pi}{4}| \leq 1/10$ or $\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\log r}{r^2} \leq \arg(\eta) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{10}$, we have $\operatorname{Im} v(\eta) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let $\eta = re^{\frac{\pi i}{4} + i\phi}$, with $|\phi| \leq \frac{1}{10}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im}(v(\eta)) &= \operatorname{Im}\left(2r^2 i e^{2i\phi} (\log r + \frac{\pi i}{4} + \phi i) - 2r^2 i e^{2i\phi} + i \frac{\log 2}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{8}\right) \\ &= 2r^2 (\log r \cos(2\phi) - (\frac{\pi}{4} + \phi) \sin(2\phi)) - 2r^2 \cos(2\phi) + \frac{\log 2}{\pi} \\ &= 2r^2 \log \frac{r}{e} \cos(2\phi) - 2r^2 (\frac{\pi}{4} + \phi) \sin(2\phi) + \frac{\log 2}{\pi} \\ &\geq 2 \cos(1/5) r^2 \log \frac{r}{e} - 2r^2 (\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{10}) \sin(1/5) + \frac{\log 2}{\pi} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

because $\cos(1/5) > (\pi/4 + 1/10) \sin(1/5)$.

If $\eta = re^{\frac{\pi i}{2} + i\phi}$, with $|\phi| \leq \frac{1}{10}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im}(v(\eta)) &= \operatorname{Im}\left(-2r^2 e^{2i\phi} (\log r + \frac{\pi i}{2} + \phi i) + 2r^2 e^{2i\phi} + i \frac{\log 2}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{8}\right) \\ &= -2r^2 (\log r \sin(2\phi) + (\frac{\pi}{2} + \phi) \cos(2\phi)) + 2r^2 \sin(2\phi) + \frac{\log 2}{\pi} \\ &= -2r^2 (\frac{\pi}{2} + \phi) \cos(2\phi) - 2r^2 \log \frac{r}{e} \sin(2\phi) + \frac{\log 2}{\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $\sin(2\phi) > -2 \log r/r^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{Im}(v(\eta)) &= 2r^2 (\frac{\pi}{2} + \phi) \cos(2\phi) + 2r^2 \log \frac{r}{e} \sin(2\phi) - \frac{\log 2}{\pi} \\ &\geq \pi \cos(1/5) r^2 - 4 \log r \log \frac{r}{e} - \frac{\log 2}{\pi} > 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 15. *There is a positive constant R such that if $a \in \Omega$ with $g(a) = e^{\pi i u(a)} = 1$, satisfies $|a| > R$, then there is some natural number n such that $b = \eta_n'' \in \Omega$ satisfies $b = a + \delta$ with $\delta = 1/4 \log a + \mathcal{O}(|a|^{-1})$.*

There is no other point $a' \in \Omega$ with $u(a') = 2n$.

Proof. Since $e^{\pi i u(a)} = 0$, there is an integer n with $u(a) = 2n$. We apply Rouché's Theorem to $u(\eta) - 2n$ and $v(\eta) - 2n$ in the disc $|\eta - a| \leq 1$, to show that there is a solution b of $v(\eta) = 2n$ with $|\delta| < 1$. For $|\eta - a| = 1$, we have

$$|(u(\eta) - 2n) - (v(\eta) - 2n)| = |\eta| \leq 1 + |a|.$$

The derivatives are

$$u'(\eta) = 4\eta \log \eta + 1, \quad u''(\eta) = 4 \log \eta + 4, \quad u'''(\eta) = \frac{4}{\eta},$$

$$u^{(k+2)}(\eta) = 4(-1)^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{\eta^k}.$$

Since $u(a) - 2n = 0$, we have for $|\eta - a| = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} |u(\eta) - 2n| &= \\ &= \left| (4a \log a + 1)(\eta - a) + (2 \log a + 2)(\eta - a)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{4(-1)^{k-1}}{(k+2)(k+1)ka^k} (\eta - a)^{k+2} \right| \\ &\geq 4|a| |\log a| - 1 - 2 - 2|\log a| - \frac{1}{|a|} > 1 + |a|, \quad \text{for } |a| > 4, \end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 4/(k+2)(k+1)k = 1$ and $|a| > 1$.

Therefore, for $|\eta - a| = 1$ we have

$$|(u(\eta) - 2n) - (v(\eta) - 2n)| \leq 1 + |a| < |u(\eta) - 2n|.$$

And there is a zero b of $v(\eta) - 2n$ in the circle of center a and radius 1. We have $v(a + \delta) - 2n = v(b) - 2n = 0$. Therefore, $u(a + \delta) - (a + \delta) - 2n = 0$. Expanding $u(a + \delta) - 2n$ in Taylor series, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -a - \delta + (4a \log a + 1)\delta + (2 \log a + 2)\delta^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{4(-1)^{k-1}}{(k+2)(k+1)ka^k} \delta^{k+2} &= 0. \\ \delta = \frac{1}{4 \log a} - \frac{2 \log a + 2}{4a \log a} \delta^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(k+2)(k+1)ka^{k+1} \log a} \delta^{k+2}. \end{aligned}$$

So that $\delta = 1/4 \log a + \mathcal{O}(|a|^{-1})$.

Taking R large enough, we then have $|b - a| < 1$ with $a \in \Omega$ and $v(b) = 2n$. It follows that $\text{Im } v(b) = 0$. The Lemma 14 implies that $b \in \Omega$. Proposition 10 proof that in this case n is a natural number and $b = \eta_n''$.

If there were another point $a' \in \Omega$ with $u(a') = 2n$, and we take R large enough, then $|a - a'| < |a - \eta_n''| + |\eta_n'' - a'| < 1$, and Rouché's Theorem will give two solutions of $v(\eta) = 2n$, contrary to Proposition 10. \square

Proposition 16. *There is a natural number N such that for each $n > N$ there is a zero ρ_{-n} of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the fourth quadrant such that $|\rho_{-n} - 2\pi i \bar{\eta}_n^2| < (2\pi |\eta_n|)^{-2}$, where $g(\eta_n) = 1$. For each zero ρ of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the fourth quadrant with $|\rho| > R$ there is some n with $\rho = \rho_{-n}$.*

Proof. We apply Theorem 9 to the functions $f, g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$

$$f(\eta) = \frac{\chi(-2\pi i \eta^2) \mathcal{R}(1 + 2\pi i \eta^2)}{\zeta(-2\pi i \eta^2)}, \quad g(\eta) = \exp(2\pi i \eta^2 \log \eta - \pi i \eta^2 + \pi i \eta - \frac{1}{2} \log 2 - \frac{\pi i}{8}).$$

The conditions of Theorem 9 are satisfied. The region Ω is the one defined in Proposition 3. It is clear that Ω_r is connected for $r > 0$. We have seen (Proposition 8) that $f(\eta) = g(\eta)(1 + \mathcal{O}(|\eta|^{-1}))$ and we have

$$\frac{g'(\eta)}{g(\eta)} = 4\pi i \eta \log \eta + \pi i,$$

so that condition (b) in Theorem 9 is satisfied.

To prove condition (c) we notice that if $f(\eta) = 1$, then $\rho = 2\pi i \bar{\eta}^2$ is a zero of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the fourth quadrant and then η can be put in the form (5). Comparing with the definition of Ω in Proposition 3 we see that for R large enough the ball with center η and radius

1 is contained in Ω . If $g(\eta') = e^{\pi i u(\eta')} = 1$ with $|\eta'|$ large, then Proposition 15 gives us a η'' with $|\eta' - \eta''| < 1$ and such that $e^{\pi i v(\eta'')} = 1$. Then Proposition 10 gives a natural number n such that $\eta'' = \eta''_n$. If R is large enough, we will have $n > N$ and η''_n satisfies Proposition 12. So $\overline{D}(\eta''_n, 2) \subset \Omega$. This shows that the circle $\overline{D}(\eta', 1) \subset \Omega$. Theorem 9 applies.

In what follows, we apply several propositions. In each one we can find a constant R satisfying some properties. Here we take R greater than all these different constants.

By Proposition 7, there is R such that if ρ is a zero of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the fourth quadrant with $|\rho| > R$, then $\rho = 2\pi i \overline{\eta}^2$ where $\eta \in \Omega$ satisfies $f(\eta) = 1$. By Theorem 9 if $|\eta| > R$ there is some η' with $g(\eta') = 1$ with $|\eta - \eta'| < |\eta'|^{-2}$. Since $e^{\pi i u(\eta')} = 1$ there is an integer n with $u(\eta') = 2n$. By Proposition 15 there is a solution of $v(\eta'') = 2n$ such that $|\eta' - \eta''| < 1/4 \log |\eta'| + \mathcal{O}(|\eta'|^{-1})$ and $\eta'' \in \Omega$. Then the Proposition 10 shows that n is a natural number and $\eta'' = \eta''_n$. Therefore, $\eta = \eta_n$ the unique solution in Ω of $u(\eta) = 2n$. This shows that each zero ρ is one of the ρ_{-n} . (We denote by ρ_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ the zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ with $\text{Im}(\rho_n) > 0$. Therefore, the zeros in the fourth quadrant are denoted by $\rho_{-1} \approx 10.64 - i 0.95$, $\rho_{-2} \approx 16.43 - i 4.79$, \dots . If we start at the index 0 we have to change the notation in this paper, so there is no zero ρ_0). \square

6. ALGORITHM TO COMPUTE THE ZEROS

We have seen that ρ is a zero of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the fourth quadrant if and only if $\rho = 2\pi i \overline{\eta}^2$ with $\eta \in \Omega$ such that $f(\eta) = 1$. By (6) these are approximated by the zeros of

$$S(\eta) := 1 + \eta^{2\pi i \eta^2} e^{-\pi i \eta^2} \frac{\sqrt{2} e^{\frac{3\pi i}{8}} \sin(\pi \eta)}{2 \cos(2\pi \eta)}.$$

This is very nice, as can be seen in the X-ray of $S(\eta)$ in Figure 3 below, where we have added a little dot at every point

$$\eta_n = \sqrt{\frac{i \overline{\rho_{-n}}}{2\pi}},$$

where ρ_{-n} are the zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the fourth quadrant ordered by the absolute value. This means that in practice our theorems are valid for small R and small N .

Algorithm 1 Computing ρ_{-n}

- 1: Compute $a_n = \frac{1}{8} - 2n - \frac{\log 2}{2\pi} i$
 - 2: Compute η''_n from $\eta''_n{}^2 = a_n / W_1(a_n/e)$ with $\pi/4 < \arg(\eta''_n) < \pi/2$
 - 3: Use η''_n as an initial point to compute the solution η'_n of $\eta^2 \log \eta^2 - \eta^2 + \eta = a_n$
 - 4: Compute $\tilde{\rho}_n$, solution of $\mathcal{R}(s) - \chi(s)\zeta(1-s) = 0$ starting from the approximate solution $\tilde{\rho}' = 1 + 2\pi i (\eta'_n)^2$
 - 5: Extract the zero $\rho_{-n} = 1 - \overline{\tilde{\rho}_n}$
-

Let $a_n = \frac{1}{8} - 2n - \frac{\log 2}{2\pi} i$, where n is a natural number. Let $W := W_1(a_n/e)$. By (8) we have $\log(\eta^2/e) = W$. By the definition of the Lambert function $W e^W = a_n/e$, or $e^W = \frac{a_n}{eW}$. Therefore, $\eta''_n{}^2 = a_n/W$.

The next table gives an idea of the approximation obtained for small values of n ,

n	1	100	1000
η_n''	$1.022367 + i 0.644959$	$2.447556 + i 6.789936$	$4.658712 + i 18.391214$
η_n'	$0.875036 + i 0.967592$	$2.355945 + i 6.846082$	$4.587993 + i 18.422872$
η_n	$0.880367 + i 0.962502$	$2.355977 + i 6.846003$	$4.587996 + i 18.422864$

A table with the first 2122 zeros in the fourth quadrant is included in the `.tex` file of this document. They are computed with the algorithm presented in this section. The real and imaginary parts of each zero are given with 25 correct decimal digits.




FIGURE 3. X-ray of $S(\eta)$ on the rectangle $(0, 5)^2$ and dots at the η_n .

7. ASYMPTOTIC OF ZEROS

Proposition 17. *The zero ρ_{-n} of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the fourth quadrant has an asymptotic expansion whose first terms are given in (16). In particular $\rho_{-n} = \beta_{-n} + \gamma_{-n}$ with*

$$\beta_{-n} \sim \frac{4\pi^2 n}{\log^2 n}, \quad \gamma_{-n} \sim -\frac{4\pi n}{\log n}. \quad (14)$$

Proof. For n large, the number η_n'' satisfies the equation (8). By [6] we get an asymptotic expansion for η_n'' as in (13). After substituting this expansion into (9), and eliminating terms of smaller order than any negative power of $(\log n)$ we obtain the relation

$$-\frac{2}{x} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(y)}{x^k} \right)^2 \left\{ A + x - y + 2 \log \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(y)}{x^k} \right) - 1 \right\} + 2 = 0, \quad (15)$$

where $A = \pi i + \log 2$, $x = \log n$ and $y = \log \log n$. Expanding (15) to powers of x^{-1} and equating the coefficients to 0 we get the values of the polynomials $U_k(y)$.

Therefore, we get

$$\eta_n'' = i\sqrt{\frac{2n}{\log n}} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{U_k(\log \log n)}{\log^k n} + \mathcal{O}(\log^{-K-1} n) \right).$$

Since $|\eta_n'' - \eta_n'| \leq 1/4 \log |\eta_n'| + \mathcal{O}(|\eta_n'|^{-1})$, the same approximation is true for η_n' (note that the error in the above equation for η_n'' is of order $\mathcal{O}(n^{1/2} \log^{-K-3/2} n)$). In the same way, this same expansion is true for η_n . Since $\rho_{-n} = 2\pi i \bar{\eta}_n^2$, we get an expansion for ρ_{-n} of the form

$$\rho_{-n} = -2\pi i \frac{2n}{\log n} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{\overline{U_k(\log \log n)}}{\log^k n} + \mathcal{O}(\log^{-K-1} n) \right)^2.$$

Expanding the square and eliminating terms greater than the error, we get the asymptotic for ρ_{-n} .

The first terms of this expansion are (with $\ell_n = \log \log n$)

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{-n} = & \frac{4\pi^2 n}{\log^2 n} \left(1 + \frac{2\ell_n - 2 \log 2 - 1}{\log n} + \frac{3\ell_n^2 - (5 + 6 \log 2)\ell_n + 3 \log^2 2 + 5 \log 2 + 1 - \pi^2}{\log^2 n} \right) \\ & - i \frac{4\pi n}{\log n} \left(1 + \frac{\ell_n - \log 2}{\log n} + \frac{\ell_n^2 - (2 \log 2 + 1)\ell_n + \log^2 2 + \log 2 - \pi^2}{\log^2 n} \right) \\ & + \mathcal{O}(n(\log \log n)^3 / (\log n)^4). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] ARIAS DE REYNA, J., *Riemann's auxiliary function. Basic results*, [arXiv:2406.02403](#).
- [2] ARIAS DE REYNA, J., *Statistics of zeros of the auxiliary function*, [arXiv:2406.03041](#).
- [3] ARIAS DE REYNA, J., *Regions without zeros for the auxiliary function of Riemann*, [arXiv:2406.03825](#).
- [4] ARIAS DE REYNA, J., *Asymptotic expansions of the auxiliary function*, [arXiv:2406.04714](#).
- [5] ARIAS DE REYNA, J., *Riemann's auxiliary function. Right limit of zeros*, [arXiv:2406.07014](#).
- [6] R. M. CORLESS, G. H. GONNET, D. E. G. HARE, D. J. JEFFREY, AND D. E. KNUTH, *On the Lambert W function*, *Adv. Comp. Math.* 5 (1996) 329–359.
- [7] C. L. SIEGEL, *Über Riemann's Nachlaß zur analytischen Zahlentheorie*, *Quellen und Studien zur Geschichte der Mathematik, Astronomie und Physik* 2 (1932), 45–80. [English version](#).

UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, C/TARFIA, SN, 41012-SEVILLA, SPAIN.
Email address: arias@us.es, ariasdereyna1947@gmail.com