

LONG-TIME BEHAVIOR TOWARD COMPOSITE WAVE OF SHOCKS FOR 3D BAROTROPIC NAVIER-STOKES SYSTEM

MOON-JIN KANG AND HOBIN LEE

ABSTRACT. We consider the barotropic Navier-Stokes system in three space dimensions with periodic boundary condition in the transversal direction. We show the long-time behavior of the 3D barotropic Navier-Stokes flow perturbed from a composition of two shock waves with suitably small amplitudes. We prove that the perturbed Navier-Stokes flow converges, uniformly in space, towards a composition of two planar viscous shock waves as time goes to infinity, up to dynamical shifts. This is the first result on time-asymptotic stability of composite wave of two shocks for multi-D Navier-Stokes system. The main part of proof is based on the method of a -contraction with shifts.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Reformulation of the main result	5
2.1. Estimates on viscous shocks	6
2.2. Statement for the main result	6
3. Main proposition for proof of Theorem 2.1	7
3.1. Local existence	7
3.2. Construction of shifts	7
3.3. Main proposition for a priori estimates	8
3.4. Global-in-time existence for perturbation	9
3.5. Useful estimates	9
3.6. Notations	11
4. Estimates on weighted relative entropy with shifts	11
4.1. Construction of weight functions	12
4.2. Evolution of the weighted relative entropy	13
4.3. Maximization on $\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}$	14
4.4. Decompositions	15
4.5. Estimate of the main part \mathcal{R}_1	17
4.6. Estimate of the remaining part \mathcal{R}_2	24
4.7. Estimate in small time	37
4.8. Proof of Lemma 4.1	38
5. Proof of Proposition 3.2	38
Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 4.2	39
Appendix B. Proof of Lemma 5.1	43
Appendix C. Proof of Lemma 5.2	45
Appendix D. Proof of Lemma 5.3	48
Appendix E. Proof of Lemma 5.4	55

Date: June 18, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 76N15, 35B35, 35Q30.

Key words and phrases. 3D barotropic Navier-Stokes equations; composition of viscous shock waves; long-time behavior; a -contraction with shifts.

Acknowledgment. The authors are partially supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2019R1C1C1009355, NRF-2019R1A5A1028324 and NRF-RS-2024-00361663).

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the 3D barotropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}_x(\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}_x(\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x p(\rho) = \mu \Delta_x \mathbf{u} + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here, $\rho = \rho(t, x)$, $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(t, x) = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^T(t, x)$ denote the mass density and the velocity field of a fluid, respectively. The pressure $p(\rho) = b\rho^\gamma$ ($b > 0, \gamma > 1$) follows the γ -law, and the two constants μ and λ represent the viscosity coefficients satisfying the physical constraints

$$\mu > 0, \quad 2\mu + 3\lambda \geq 0.$$

We handle the system (1.1) for $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \Omega := \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$ with $\mathbb{T}^2 := \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, where the periodic boundary condition is considered for the transversal direction.

Consider an initial datum of the system (1.1) that connects prescribed far-field constant states :

$$(\rho, \mathbf{u})|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, \mathbf{u}_0) \rightarrow (\rho_\pm, \mathbf{u}_\pm), \quad \text{as } x_1 \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\rho_\pm > 0$ and $\mathbf{u}_\pm = (u_\pm, 0, 0)$.

It is known from a heuristic argument (c.f. [32]) that the asymptotic profile of large-time behavior of Navier-Stokes solutions is related to the Riemann solution to the associated Euler system with the Riemann data composed of the above states:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}_x(\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}_x(\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x p(\rho) = 0, \\ (\rho, \mathbf{u})(0, x) = \begin{cases} (\rho_-, \mathbf{u}_-), & x_1 < 0 \\ (\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+), & x_1 > 0. \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

In this paper, we are interested in the long-time behavior of solutions to the Navier-Stokes system (1.1)-(1.2) when the Riemann data with the end states (v_\pm, u_\pm) that generate the Riemann solution composed of two shock waves. The Riemann solution is an one-dimensional (self-similar entropy) solution to the Riemann problem of (1.3) as a solution to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \partial_{x_1}(\rho u_1) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho u_1) + \partial_{x_1}(\rho u_1^2 + p(\rho)) = 0, \\ (\rho, u_1)(0, x_1) = \begin{cases} (\rho_-, u_-), & x_1 < 0 \\ (\rho_+, u_+), & x_1 > 0. \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

To consider the Riemann solution composed of two shock waves, we assume that there exists a unique intermediate state (ρ_m, u_m) which is connected with (ρ_-, u_-) by 1-shock curve and with (ρ_+, u_+) by 2-shock curve. That is, there exists a unique (ρ_m, u_m) such that the following Rankine-Hugoniot condition and Lax entropy condition hold:

$$\begin{cases} -\sigma_1(\rho_m - \rho_-) + (\rho_m u_m - \rho_- u_-) = 0, \\ -\sigma_1(\rho_m u_m - \rho_- u_-) + (\rho_m u_m^2 - \rho_- u_-^2) + (p(\rho_m) - p(\rho_-)) = 0, & \rho_- < \rho_m, \quad u_- > u_m; \\ -\sigma_2(\rho_+ - \rho_m) + (\rho_+ u_+ - \rho_m u_m) = 0, \\ -\sigma_2(\rho_+ u_+ - \rho_m u_m) + (\rho_+ u_+^2 - \rho_m u_m^2) + (p(\rho_+) - p(\rho_m)) = 0, & \rho_m > \rho_+, \quad u_m > u_+. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

For such a Riemann data, the associated Riemann solution is the superposition $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}) = (\rho_1^s, u_1^s) + (\rho_2^s, u_2^s) - (\rho_m, u_m)$ of 1-shock wave (ρ_1^s, u_1^s) and 2-shock wave (ρ_2^s, u_2^s) defined as

$$(\rho_1^s, u_1^s)(t, x_1) = \begin{cases} (\rho_-, u_-), & x_1 < \sigma_1 t, \\ (\rho_m, u_m), & x_1 > \sigma_1 t, \end{cases}, \quad (\rho_2^s, u_2^s)(t, x_1) = \begin{cases} (\rho_m, u_m), & x_1 < \sigma_2 t, \\ (\rho_+, u_+), & x_1 > \sigma_2 t. \end{cases}$$

The viscous counterpart of the Riemann solution (\bar{v}, \bar{u}) is given by the composite wave:

$$(\tilde{\rho}(t, x), \tilde{u}(t, x)) := \left(\tilde{\rho}_1(x_1 - \sigma_1 t), \tilde{u}_1(x_1 - \sigma_1 t) \right) + \left(\tilde{\rho}_2(x_1 - \sigma_2 t), \tilde{u}_2(x_1 - \sigma_2 t) \right) - (v_m, u_m), \quad (1.6)$$

which is composed of 1-viscous shock $(\tilde{\rho}_1, \tilde{u}_1)(x_1 - \sigma_1 t)$ and 2-viscous shock $(\tilde{\rho}_2, \tilde{u}_2)(x_1 - \sigma_2 t)$ satisfying: for each $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{cases} -\sigma_i(\tilde{\rho}_i)' + (\tilde{\rho}_i \tilde{u}_i)' = 0, \\ -\sigma_i(\tilde{\rho}_i \tilde{u}_i)' + (\tilde{\rho}_i (\tilde{u}_i)^2)' + p(\tilde{\rho}_i)' = (2\mu + \lambda)(\tilde{u}_i)'', \\ (\tilde{\rho}_1, \tilde{u}_1)(-\infty) = (\rho_-, u_-), \quad (\tilde{\rho}_1, \tilde{u}_1)(+\infty) = (\rho_m, u_m), \\ (\tilde{\rho}_2, \tilde{u}_2)(-\infty) = (\rho_m, u_m), \quad (\tilde{\rho}_2, \tilde{u}_2)(+\infty) = (\rho_+, u_+). \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

Notice that each viscous shock $(\tilde{v}_i(x_1 - \sigma_i t), \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i(x_1 - \sigma_i t))$ is a traveling wave solution to (1.1), where we use the notations $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i(x_1 - \sigma_i t) := (\tilde{u}_i(x_1 - \sigma_i t), 0, 0)^T$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(t, x) := (\tilde{u}(t, x), 0, 0)^T$.

For previous results on time-asymptotic stability for the barotropic Navier-Stokes system when the far-field states are connected by a single shock, we refer to the first result by Matsumura-Nishihara [33] in 1D, and its improvements [33, 30, 31, 39, 13, 35]. Recently, Wang-Wang [41] show the time-asymptotic stability towards a single planar viscous (weak) shock for (1.1)-(1.2) under 3D perturbations with periodic boundary condition in transversal direction. We also refer to [14] for stability result of a planar viscous shock with large amplitude under multi-dimensional perturbations on whole space \mathbb{R}^n . We aim to extend the result [41] to more general case where the far-field states are connected by two shocks. More precisely, we prove that solutions to the 3D Navier-Stokes system (1.1)-(1.2) with (1.5) converge to the composite wave $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u})$ up to shifts, uniformly in x as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

For the 1D results on long-time behavior towards two shocks, we refer to Huang-Matsumura [12] and Han-Kang-Kim [10]. We also refer to [24, 27] for generic superposition case of shock and rarefaction wave possibly with contact discontinuity. For the results on stability of planar rarefaction waves for 3D Navier-Stokes, we refer to [28, 29].

We now state the main result as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *For a given constant state $(\rho_+, u_+) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$, there exist positive constants δ^0, ε^0 such that the following holds.*

For any constant states (ρ_m, u_m) and (ρ_-, u_-) satisfying (1.5) with

$$|\rho_+ - \rho_m| + |\rho_m - \rho_-| < \delta^0, \quad (1.8)$$

let $(\tilde{\rho}_i, \tilde{u}_i)(x_1 - \sigma_i t)$ be the i -viscous shock wave satisfying (1.7) for each $i = 1, 2$. In addition, let (ρ_0, \mathbf{u}_0) be any initial data satisfying

$$\sum_{\pm} (\|\rho_0 - \rho_{\pm}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{\pm} \times \mathbb{T}^2)} + \|\mathbf{u}_0 - \mathbf{u}_{\pm}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{\pm} \times \mathbb{T}^2)}) + \|\nabla_x \rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \varepsilon^0,$$

where $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0, +\infty)$ and $\mathbb{R}_- := (-\infty, 0)$. Then, the compressible Navier-Stokes system (1.1)-(1.2) with (1.5) admits a unique global-in-time solution (ρ, \mathbf{u}) in the following sense: there exist Lipschitz

continuous shift functions $X_1(t), X_2(t)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t, x) - (\tilde{\rho}_1(x_1 - \sigma_1 t - X_1(t)) + \tilde{\rho}_2(x_1 - \sigma_2 t - X_2(t)) - \rho_m) &\in C(0, +\infty; H^2(\Omega)), \\ \mathbf{u}(t, x) - (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1(x_1 - \sigma_1 t - X_1(t)) + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_2(x_1 - \sigma_2 t - X_2(t)) - \mathbf{u}_m) &\in C(0, +\infty; H^2(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{u}_m := (u_m, 0, 0)^T$. Moreover, we have the long-time behavior:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \in \Omega} \left| \rho(t, x) - (\tilde{\rho}_1(x_1 - \sigma_1 t - X_1(t)) + \tilde{\rho}_2(x_1 - \sigma_2 t - X_2(t)) - \rho_m) \right| &= 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \in \Omega} \left| \mathbf{u}(t, x) - (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1(x_1 - \sigma_1 t - X_1(t)) + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_2(x_1 - \sigma_2 t - X_2(t)) - \mathbf{u}_m) \right| &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |\dot{X}_i(t)| = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2. \quad (1.9)$$

Especially,

$$X_1(t) + \sigma_1 t \leq \frac{3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{4} t < \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{2} t < \frac{\sigma_1 + 3\sigma_2}{4} t \leq X_2(t) + \sigma_2 t, \quad t > 0. \quad (1.10)$$

Remark 1.1. 1. The property (1.9) implies that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{X_i(t)}{t} = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Thus, the shift functions $|X_i(t)|$ grow at most sub-linearly, and so the shifted composite wave tends to the original composite wave $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}})$ time-asymptotically.

2. The two shifts are well-separated in the sense of (1.10), which holds from our construction on the shifts in the proof. Indeed, it will be ensured that $|\dot{X}_i(t)| \ll 1$ with $X_i(0) = 0$ and so,

$$|X_i(t)| \leq \frac{\sigma_2 - \sigma_1}{4} t, \quad t > 0.$$

Remark 1.2. We will use the method of a -contraction with shifts to prove the main theorem. The method of a -contraction with shifts was introduced in [17, 40] for the study on stability of extreme shocks in the hyperbolic system of conservation laws (especially for the Euler system). The first extension of the method to viscous conservation laws was done in the 1D scalar case [18] ([15] for more general fluxes), and then in the multi-D case [16, 23]. This method was extended to the 1D Navier-Stokes system to show the contraction property of any large perturbations for a single shock in [25, 21], and for a composite wave of two shocks in [19]. Furthermore, it was also used to show the long-time behavior of the barotropic NS system towards the composition of shock and rarefaction under the 1D perturbation in [24], and towards a single shock under the multi-D perturbation in [41]. Recently, it was extended to the study on long-time behavior towards generic Riemann solution for 1D Navier-Stokes-Fourier system in [27]. For extension to more general Cauchy problem of Euler and Navier-Stokes systems (and related models), we refer to [7, 6, 5]. We also refer to [37, 38, 36] for the extension to abstract study on hyperbolic system of conservation laws.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present a reformulation for the NS system with the specific volume, together with a new statement for the main result. In Section 3, we present the main proposition for the a priori estimates that completes the proof of the main result. In Section 4, we use the method of a -contraction with shifts to obtain the zeroth order estimates. Then, the higher order estimates will be obtained in Section 5.

2. REFORMULATION OF THE MAIN RESULT

A starting point of the main strategy for the proof is to rewrite the system by using the specific volume $v = \frac{1}{\rho}$ in the Eulerian coordinates. This allows us to use the method of a -contraction with shifts easily as done in [25, 21, 19, 41], but we prefer to use the Eulerian frame rather than the mass Lagrangian frame due to the multi dimensionality.

As in [41], we use the decomposition of the Laplacian $\Delta \mathbf{u}$ into the irrotational part $\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})$ and the rotational part $\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{u}$ as

$$\Delta_x \mathbf{u} = \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} - \nabla_x \times \nabla_x \times \mathbf{u},$$

which is importantly used to control the transversal perturbations around the planar shock. Together with the decomposition, we use the specific volume $v = \frac{1}{\rho}$ to rewrite the system (1.1) into (as in [34] for 1D case)

$$\begin{cases} \rho (\partial_t v + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x v) = \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}, \\ \rho (\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \nabla_x \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x p(v) = (2\mu + \lambda) \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} - \mu \nabla_x \times \nabla_x \times \mathbf{u}, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

with the initial datum

$$(v, \mathbf{u})|_{t=0} = (v_0, \mathbf{u}_0) \rightarrow (v_{\pm}, \mathbf{u}_{\pm}), \quad \text{as } x_1 \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad (2.2)$$

where $p(v) = v^{-\gamma}$ with normalized coefficient $b = 1$ for simplicity.

Similarly, by using $\tilde{v}_i := \frac{1}{\rho_i}$ for each $i = 1, 2$, the ODE system (1.7) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\rho}_i (-\sigma_i(\tilde{v}_i) + \tilde{u}_i(\tilde{v}_i)') = (\tilde{u}_i)', \\ \tilde{\rho}_i (-\sigma_i(\tilde{u}_i)' + \tilde{u}_i(\tilde{u}_i)') + p(\tilde{v}_i)' = (2\mu + \lambda)(\tilde{u}_i)'', \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where $p(\tilde{v}_i) = (\tilde{v}_i)^{-\gamma}$. Integrating (1.7)₁ on $(-\infty, x_1 - \sigma_i t)$, we have

$$\begin{cases} -\sigma_1 \tilde{\rho}_1 + \tilde{\rho}_1 \tilde{u}_1 = -\sigma_1 \rho_- + \rho_- u_-, \\ -\sigma_2 \tilde{\rho}_2 + \tilde{\rho}_2 \tilde{u}_2 = -\sigma_2 \rho_m + \rho_m u_m. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Let

$$\sigma_1^* := \sigma_1 \rho_- - \rho_- u_-, \quad \sigma_2^* := \sigma_2 \rho_m - \rho_m u_m.$$

Then, by (2.4), the system (2.3) and the far-field conditions can be rewritten as for each $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{cases} -\sigma_i^* (\tilde{v}_i)' = (\tilde{u}_i)', \\ -\sigma_i^* (\tilde{u}_i)' + p(\tilde{v}_i)' = (2\mu + \lambda)(\tilde{u}_i)'', \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{u}_1)(-\infty) = (v_-, u_-), & (\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{u}_1)(+\infty) = (v_m, u_m), & v_- = \frac{1}{\rho_-}, & v_m = \frac{1}{\rho_m}, \\ (\tilde{v}_2, \tilde{u}_2)(-\infty) = (v_m, u_m), & (\tilde{v}_2, \tilde{u}_2)(+\infty) = (v_+, u_+), & v_+ = \frac{1}{\rho_+}. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{cases} -\sigma_1^* (v_m - v_-) = u_m - u_-, & -\sigma_2^* (v_+ - v_m) = u_+ - u_m, \\ -\sigma_1^* (u_m - u_-) + p(v_m) - p(v_-) = 0, & -\sigma_2^* (u_+ - u_m) + p(v_+) - p(v_m) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

from which,

$$\sigma_1^* = -\sqrt{\frac{p(v_m) - p(v_-)}{v_m - v_-}}, \quad \sigma_2^* = \sqrt{\frac{p(v_+) - p(v_m)}{v_+ - v_m}}. \quad (2.8)$$

2.1. Estimates on viscous shocks. Since the ODE system (2.5) with (2.6)-(2.8) is the same as the one for viscous shocks of Navier-Stokes system in the mass Lagrangian coordinates, the following lemma on estimates of viscous shocks is verified by the previous results [11, 22, 20, 33].

Lemma 2.1. *For a given constant $U_* := (v_*, u_*) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$, there exist positive constants δ_0, C, C_1 , and C_2 such that the following holds. Let $U_- := (v_-, u_-)$, $U_m := (v_m, u_m)$, and $U_+ := (v_+, u_+) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ be any constants such that $U_-, U_m, U_+ \in B_{\delta_0}(U_*)$, and $|p(v_-) - p(v_m)| =: \delta_1 < \delta_0$ and $|p(v_m) - p(v_+)| =: \delta_2 < \delta_0$. Let $\tilde{U}_1 = (\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{u}_1)$ and $\tilde{U}_2 = (\tilde{v}_2, \tilde{u}_2)$ be the 1- and 2- shocks connecting from U_- to U_m and from U_m to U_+ respectively, satisfying $\tilde{v}_1(0) = \frac{v_- + v_m}{2}$ and $\tilde{v}_2(0) = \frac{v_m + v_+}{2}$ without loss of generality. Let $\xi_i := x_1 - \sigma_i t$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

Then the following estimates holds : for each $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}'_i \sim \tilde{u}'_i \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad C^{-1} \tilde{v}'_i(\xi_i) \leq \tilde{u}'_i(\xi_i) \leq C \tilde{v}'_i(\xi_i), \quad \xi_i \in \mathbb{R}, \\ C^{-1} \delta_i^2 e^{-C_1 \delta_i |\xi_i|} \leq \tilde{v}''_i(\xi_i) \leq -C \delta_i^2 e^{-C_2 \delta_i |\xi_i|}, \quad \xi_i \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

in addition,

$$\begin{aligned} |(\tilde{v}''_i(\xi_i), \tilde{u}''_i(\xi_i))| \leq C \delta_i |(\tilde{v}'_i(\xi_i), \tilde{u}'_i(\xi_i))|, \\ |(\tilde{v}'''_i(\xi_i), \tilde{u}'''_i(\xi_i))| \leq C \delta_i^2 |(\tilde{v}'_i(\xi_i), \tilde{u}'_i(\xi_i))|. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.1. *Throughout the paper, we will use Lemma 2.1 with $U_* = U_+$. Thus, the constants δ_0, C, C_1 and C_2 depend only on U_+ .*

2.2. Statement for the main result. In the remaining part of the paper, we will prove the following theorem that is stated in terms of the volume variable. Then, Theorem 2.1 obviously implies Theorem 1.1, since we are considering small perturbations in $L^\infty((0, \infty) \times \Omega)$ and so the values of v and ρ stay near the reference point.

Theorem 2.1. *For a given constant state $(v_+, u_+) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$, there exists constants $\delta_0, \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that the following holds true.*

For any constant states (v_m, u_m) and (v_-, u_-) satisfying (2.7) with

$$|v_+ - v_m| + |v_m - v_-| < \delta_0, \quad (2.9)$$

let $(\tilde{v}_i, \tilde{u}_i)(x_1 - \sigma_i t)$ be the i -viscous shock wave satisfying (2.3). In addition, let (v_0, \mathbf{u}_0) be any initial data satisfying

$$\sum_{\pm} (\|v_0 - v_{\pm}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{\pm} \times \mathbb{T}^2)} + \|\mathbf{u}_0 - \mathbf{u}_{\pm}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{\pm} \times \mathbb{T}^2)}) + \|\nabla_x v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)} < \varepsilon_0,$$

where $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0, +\infty)$ and $\mathbb{R}_- := (-\infty, 0)$. Then the compressible Navier-Stokes system (2.1)-(2.2) admits a unique global-in-time solution (v, \mathbf{u}) in the following sense: there exist Lipschitz continuous functions $X_1(t), X_2(t)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} v(t, x) - (\tilde{v}_1(x_1 - \sigma_1 t - X_1(t)) + \tilde{v}_2(x_1 - \sigma_2 t - X_2(t)) - v_m) &\in C(0, +\infty; H^2(\Omega)), \\ \mathbf{u}(t, x) - (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1(x_1 - \sigma_1 t - X_1(t)) + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_2(x_1 - \sigma_2 t - X_2(t)) - \mathbf{u}_m) &\in C(0, +\infty; H^2(\Omega)), \\ \nabla_x(v(t, x) - (\tilde{v}_1(x_1 - \sigma_1 t - X_1(t)) + \tilde{v}_2(x_1 - \sigma_2 t - X_2(t)) - v_m)) &\in L^2(0, +\infty; H^1(\Omega)), \\ \nabla_x(\mathbf{u}(t, x) - (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1(x_1 - \sigma_1 t - X_1(t)) + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_2(x_1 - \sigma_2 t - X_2(t)) - \mathbf{u}_m)) &\in L^2(0, +\infty; H^2(\Omega)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Moreover, we have the large-time behavior:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \in \Omega} |v(t, x) - (\tilde{v}_1(x_1 - \sigma_1 t - X_1(t)) + \tilde{v}_2(x_1 - \sigma_2 t - X_2(t)) - v_m)| &= 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \in \Omega} |\mathbf{u}(t, x) - (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1(x_1 - \sigma_1 t - X_1(t)) + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_2(x_1 - \sigma_2 t - X_2(t)) - \mathbf{u}_m)| &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{X_i(t)}{t} = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$X_1(t) + \sigma_1 t \leq \frac{3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{4} t < \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{2} t < \frac{\sigma_1 + 3\sigma_2}{4} t \leq X_2(t) + \sigma_2 t, \quad t > 0. \quad (2.13)$$

3. MAIN PROPOSITION FOR PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

In this section, we present a main proposition on the a priori estimates for the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3.1. Local existence. We first recall the classical result on local-in-time existence of H^2 solutions to (1.1) connecting the two different constant states at far-fields.

Proposition 3.1. *Let \underline{v} and \underline{u} be some smooth monotone functions in \mathbb{R} such that*

$$\underline{v} = v_{\pm}, \quad \underline{u} = u_{\pm}, \quad \text{for } \pm x \geq 1.$$

In addition, let $\underline{\mathbf{u}} = (\underline{u}, 0, 0)$.

Then for any constants $M_0, M_1, \underline{\kappa}_0, \bar{\kappa}_0, \underline{\kappa}_1, \bar{\kappa}_1$ with

$$0 < M_0 < M_1, \quad \text{and } 0 < \underline{\kappa}_1 < \underline{\kappa}_0 < \bar{\kappa}_0 < \bar{\kappa}_1,$$

there exists a constant $T_0 > 0$ such that if the initial data (v_0, \mathbf{u}_0) satisfy

$$\|v_0 - \underline{v}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{u}_0 - \underline{\mathbf{u}}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq M_0, \quad \text{and } \underline{\kappa}_0 \leq v_0(x) \leq \bar{\kappa}_0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega,$$

then the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) admit a unique solution (v, \mathbf{u}) on $[0, T_0]$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} v - \underline{v} &\in C([0, T_0]; H^2(\Omega)), \\ \mathbf{u} - \underline{\mathbf{u}} &\in C([0, T_0]; H^2(\Omega) \cap L^2([0, T_0]; H^3(\Omega))), \end{aligned}$$

together with

$$\|v - \underline{v}\|_{L^\infty([0, T_0]; H^2(\Omega))} + \|\mathbf{u} - \underline{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^\infty([0, T_0]; H^2(\Omega))} \leq M_1,$$

and

$$\underline{\kappa}_1 \leq v(t, x) \leq \bar{\kappa}_1, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T_0] \times \Omega. \quad (3.1)$$

3.2. Construction of shifts. As desired, we will show the orbital stability of a composite wave of viscous shocks. More precisely, we will prove that a small H^2 -perturbation of a composite wave of two viscous shocks is stable and uniformly converges to the composite wave up to shifts where each shock is shifted by $X_i(t)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\tilde{v}^{X_1, X_2}, \tilde{u}^{X_1, X_2})(t, x) \\ &:= \left(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}(x_1 - \sigma_1 t) + \tilde{v}_2^{X_2}(x_1 - \sigma_2 t) - v_m, \tilde{u}_1^{X_1}(x_1 - \sigma_1 t) + \tilde{u}_2^{X_2}(x_1 - \sigma_2 t) - u_m \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where f^{X_i} denotes a function f shifted by X_i , that is, $f^{X_i}(x_1) := f(x_1 - X_i(t))$ for any function f . This notation will be used throughout the paper.

We here introduce the shift functions explicitly, from which we could obtain a bound of derivative of shifts (at least locally-in-time) in Lemma 3.1, and obtain the desired a priori estimates in Proposition 3.2. We define a pair of shifts (X_1, X_2) as a solution to the system of ODEs:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}_1(t) = -\frac{M}{\delta_1} \left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{a^{X_1, X_2}}{\sigma_1^*} (\tilde{h}_1)_{x_1}^{X_1} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v}^{X_1, X_2})) dx - \int_{\Omega} a^{X_1, X_2} (p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}))_{x_1} (v - \tilde{v}^{X_1, X_2}) dx \right], \\ \dot{X}_2(t) = -\frac{M}{\delta_2} \left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{a^{X_1, X_2}}{\sigma_2^*} (\tilde{h}_2)_{x_2}^{X_2} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v}^{X_1, X_2})) dx - \int_{\Omega} a^{X_1, X_2} (p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2}))_{x_2} (v - \tilde{v}^{X_1, X_2}) dx \right], \\ X_1(0) = X_2(0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

where a^{X_1, X_2} is the shifted weight function as defined in (4.11), $\tilde{h}_i := \tilde{u}_i - (2\mu + \lambda)\partial_{x_1}\tilde{v}_i$, and M is the specific constant chosen as $M := \frac{5}{4}\sigma_m^4 v_m^2 \alpha_m$ with $\sigma_m = \sqrt{-p'(v_m)}$ and $\alpha_m = \frac{\gamma+1}{2\gamma\sigma_m p(v_m)}$. For well-posedness of the above ODEs in Lemma 3.1, we only need the following assumption for the shifted weight function at this point: a^{X_1, X_2} is a C^1 -function of (x_1, X_1, X_2) with finite C^1 -norm. This is verified by the explicit one defined in (4.11).

The following lemma ensures that (3.3) has a unique Lipschitz continuous solution at least for the lifespan $[0, T_0]$ of solution v satisfying (3.1). We refer to [11, Lemma 3.1] for its proof.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $c_1, c_2 > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the following is true. For any $T > 0$, and any function $v \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$ verifying*

$$c_1 \leq v(t, x) \leq c_2, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.4)$$

the system (3.3) has a unique Lipschitz continuous solution (X_1, X_2) on $[0, T]$. Moreover,

$$|X_1(t)| + |X_2(t)| \leq Ct, \quad \forall t \leq T. \quad (3.5)$$

3.3. Main proposition for a priori estimates. We here present the main proposition for a priori estimates.

Proposition 3.2. *For a given constant $U_+ := (v_+, \mathbf{u}_+) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \times \{0\})$, there exist positive constants δ_0, C_0 , and ε_1 such that the following holds: For any constant states $U_m := (v_m, u_m)$ and $U_- := (v_-, u_-)$ satisfying (2.7) satisfying $|p(v_-) - p(v_m)| =: \delta_1 < \delta_0$ and $|p(v_m) - p(v_+)| =: \delta_2 < \delta_0$, let (\tilde{v}, \tilde{u}) denote the composite wave of two shifted shocks as in (1.6), where (X_1, X_2) solves (3.3). Suppose that (v, u) is the solution to (1.1) on $[0, T]$ for some $T > 0$, and satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} v - \tilde{v}^{X_1, X_2} &\in C([0, T]; H^2(\Omega)), & \nabla_x(v - \tilde{v}^{X_1, X_2}) &\in L^2([0, T]; H^1(\Omega)), \\ \mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{X_1, X_2} &\in C([0, T]; H^2(\Omega)), & \nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{X_1, X_2}) &\in L^2([0, T]; H^2(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\|v - \tilde{v}^{X_1, X_2}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega))} + \|\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{X_1, X_2}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega))} \leq \varepsilon_1, \quad (3.6)$$

then for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v - \tilde{v}^{X_1, X_2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{X_1, X_2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \sqrt{\int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i |\dot{X}_i|^2 d\tau} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\int_0^t (\mathcal{G}^S(U) + \mathbf{D}(U) + \mathbf{D}_1(U) + \mathbf{D}_2(U)) + \mathbf{D}_3(U) d\tau} \\ &\leq C_0(\|v_0 - \tilde{v}(0, \cdot)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{u}_0 - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(0, \cdot)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}) + C_0\delta_0^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

In particular, for all $t \leq T$,

$$|\dot{X}_1(t)| + |\dot{X}_2(t)| \leq C_0\|(v - \tilde{v}^{X_1, X_2})(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$X_1(t) + \sigma_1 t \leq \frac{3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{4}t < \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{2}t < \frac{\sigma_1 + 3\sigma_2}{4}t \leq X_2(t) + \sigma_2 t. \quad (3.9)$$

Here, the constant C_0 is independent of T and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}^S(U) &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\Phi_i(v - \tilde{v}^{X_1, X_2})|^2 dx_1 dx', \\
\mathbf{D}(U) &:= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}^{X_1, X_2}))|^2 dx_1 dx', \\
\mathbf{D}_1(U) &:= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{X_1, X_2})|^2 dx_1 dx', \\
\mathbf{D}_2(U) &:= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x^2(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{X_1, X_2})|^2 dx_1 dx', \\
\mathbf{D}_3(U) &:= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x^3(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{X_1, X_2})|^2 dx_1 dx',
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where Φ_1, Φ_2 are cutoff functions defined by

$$\Phi_1(t, x_1) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_1 < \frac{3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{4}t, \\ 0, & \text{if } x_1 > \frac{\sigma_1 + 3\sigma_2}{4}t, \\ \text{linearly decreasing 1 to 0,} & \text{if } \frac{3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{4}t \leq x_1 \leq \frac{\sigma_1 + 3\sigma_2}{4}t, \end{cases} \quad \Phi_2(t, x_1) := 1 - \Phi_1(t, x_1). \tag{3.11}$$

Remark 3.1. *Because the shifted composite wave $(\tilde{v}^{X_1, X_2}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{X_1, X_2})$ is not a solution to the Navier-Stokes equations, we have to control the interaction term of the 1- and 2-waves to have the desired results of Proposition 3.2. For that, we localize the perturbation near each wave by applying the cutoff functions above. More precisely, ϕ_1 (resp. ϕ_2) localizes the perturbation near the 1-wave (resp. 2-wave) shifted by X_1 (resp. X_2).*

3.4. Global-in-time existence for perturbation. Based on Proposition 3.1 and 3.2, we use the continuation argument to prove (2.10) for the global-in-time existence of perturbations. We can also use Proposition 3.2 to prove (2.11) for the long-time behavior. Those proofs are typical and use the same arguments as in [11]. Therefore, we omit those details, and complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Hence, the remaining part of the paper is dedicated to the proof of Proposition 3.2.

3.5. Useful estimates. We here present the useful estimates for the proofs.

3.5.1. Sobolev inequalities. The following inequality is an extension of the 1D Poincare-type inequality [20, Lemma 2.9] to the multi-D domain $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{T}^2$.

Lemma 3.2. [41, Lemma 3.1] *For any $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 \left[y_1(1 - y_1) |\partial_{y_1} f|^2 + \frac{|\nabla_{y'} f|^2}{y_1(1 - y_1)} \right] dy_1 dy' < \infty,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |f - \bar{f}|^2 dy_1 dy' &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 y_1(1 - y_1) |\partial_{y_1} f|^2 dy_1 dy' \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 \frac{|\nabla_{y'} f|^2}{y_1(1 - y_1)} dy_1 dy'.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

We will use the following interpolation inequality. We refer to [1] for its proof.

Lemma 3.3. *For any $g \in H^2(\Omega)$ where $\Omega = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$, we have*

$$\|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_{x_1} g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \|\nabla_x g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

3.5.2. *Estimate on the relative quantities.* Our approach is based on the relative entropy method, which was first employed by Dafermos [8] and Diperna [9] for the L^2 stability and uniqueness of Lipschitz solution to the hyperbolic conservation laws. We here present some useful estimates for the relative quantities, such as

$$p(v|w) = p(v) - p(w) - p'(w)(v - w), \quad Q(v|w) = Q(v) - Q(w) - Q'(w)(v - w).$$

To use the Taylor-Expansion, these must be almost locally quadratic quantities. The estimates below show the precise estimate on this local behavior of the relative quantities. The proof of the lemma can be found in [20].

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\gamma > 1$ and v_+ be given constants. Then there exist constants $C, \delta_* > 0$ such that the following assertions hold:*

(1) *For any v, w satisfying $0 < w < 2v_+$ and $0 < v < 3v_+$,*

$$|v - w|^2 \leq CQ(v|w), \quad |v - w|^2 \leq Cp(v|w). \quad (3.13)$$

(2) *For any v, w satisfying $v, w > v_+/2$,*

$$|p(v) - p(w)| \leq C|v - w|. \quad (3.14)$$

(3) *For any $0 < \delta < \delta_*$ and any $(v, w) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ satisfying $|p(v) - p(w)| < \delta$ and $|p(w) - p(v_+)| < \delta$,*

$$\begin{aligned} p(v|w) &\leq \left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2\gamma} \frac{1}{p(w)} + C\delta \right) |p(v) - p(w)|^2, \\ Q(v|w) &\geq \frac{p(w)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-1}}{2\gamma} |p(v) - p(w)|^2 - \frac{1+\gamma}{3\gamma^2} p(w)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-2} (p(v) - p(w))^3, \\ Q(v|w) &\leq \left(\frac{p(w)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-1}}{2\gamma} + C\delta \right) |p(v) - p(w)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

3.5.3. *Estimate on shifts.* Here, we prove the estimates (3.8) and (3.9). To use the Lemma 3.4, choose ε_1 and δ_0 such that $\varepsilon_1, \delta_0 \in (0, \delta_*)$, where δ_* is the constant in Lemma 3.4.

Using the assumption (3.6), Sobolev inequality, and (3.14), we have

$$\|p(v) - p(\tilde{v})\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \Omega)} \leq C\|v - \tilde{v}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon_1. \quad (3.16)$$

Note that from (4.3), we know for each $i = 1, 2$,

$$\sigma_i^*(\tilde{h}_i)' = p(\tilde{v}_i)'. \quad (3.17)$$

Thus, applying (3.16) and (3.17) to the definition of X_i (3.3), we get for each $i = 1, 2$,

$$|\dot{X}_i(t)| \leq \frac{C}{\delta_i} (\|p(v) - p(\tilde{v})\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|v - \tilde{v}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 \leq C\|v - \tilde{v}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \quad t \leq T. \quad (3.18)$$

This completes the proof of (3.8).

On the other hand, since $\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 > 0$, taking ε_1 small enough together with (3.18) and (3.16) we can get

$$|\dot{X}_i(t)| \leq \frac{\sigma_2 - \sigma_1}{8}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad t \leq T. \quad (3.19)$$

Integrating (3.19) over $[0, t]$ for any $t \leq T$, we can get

$$X_1(t) \leq \frac{\sigma_2 - \sigma_1}{4}t, \quad X_2(t) \geq \frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2}{4}t, \quad (3.20)$$

and especially,

$$X_1(t) + \sigma_1 t \leq \frac{3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{4}t, \quad X_2(t) + \sigma_2 t \geq \frac{\sigma_1 + 3\sigma_2}{4}t. \quad (3.21)$$

Because of (3.21), this completes the proof of (3.9). Thanks to the estimates above, we can prove Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 on the interaction estimates.

3.5.4. *Interaction estimates.* We present Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 on interaction estimates. Those proofs are similar to [10, Lemma 3.2] and [10, Lemma 3.3].

Lemma 3.5. [10, Lemma 3.2] *Given $v_+ > 0$, there exist positive constant δ_0, C such that for any $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in (0, \delta_0)$, the following estimates hold. For each $i = 1, 2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}||\tilde{v}^{X_1, X_2} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}| &\leq C\delta_1\delta_2 \exp(-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}t), \quad t > 0, \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}||\tilde{v}^{X_1, X_2} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}| dx_1 &\leq C\delta_1\delta_2 \exp(-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}t), \quad t > 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_{x_1}^{X_1}||(\tilde{v}_2)_{x_1}^{X_2}| dx_1 &\leq C\delta_1\delta_2 \exp(-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}t), \quad t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.6. [10, Lemma 3.3] *Let Φ_i be the functions defined in (3.11). Given $v_+ > 0$, there exist positive constant δ_0, C such that for any $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in (0, \delta_0)$, the following estimates hold.*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2|(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1})_{x_1}| &\leq C\delta_1^2 \exp(-C\delta_1 t), \quad \Phi_1|(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})_{x_1}| \leq C\delta_2^2 \exp(-C\delta_2 t), \quad t > 0, \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi_2|(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1})_{x_1}| dx_1 &\leq C\delta_1 \exp(-C\delta_1 t), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi_1|(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})_{x_1}| \leq C\delta_2 \exp(-C\delta_2 t), \quad t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

3.6. **Notations.** In what follows, we use the following notations for simplicity.

1. C denotes a positive $O(1)$ -constant that may change from line to line, but is independent of the small constants $\delta_0, \varepsilon_1, \delta_1, \delta_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ (to be introduced below) and the time T .
2. We omit the dependence on the pair of shifts (X_1, X_2) of the composite wave (3.2) without confusion as:

$$(\tilde{v}, \tilde{u})(t, x) := (\tilde{v}^{X_1, X_2}, \tilde{u}^{X_1, X_2})(t, x).$$

4. ESTIMATES ON WEIGHTED RELATIVE ENTROPY WITH SHIFTS

Using the a -contraction method with shifts, we can get the bounds of perturbations in (3.7). For simplicity of our analysis, we employ the effective velocity $\mathbf{h} := \mathbf{u} - (2\mu + \lambda) \nabla_x v$ as in [24, 20, 21], which is related to the BD entropy [4, 2, 3]). With this effective velocity, we transform the Navier-Stokes equations (2.1) to the system:

$$\begin{cases} \rho(\partial_t v + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x v) - \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{h} = (2\mu + \lambda) \Delta_x v, \\ \rho(\partial_t \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{u} \nabla_x \mathbf{h}) + \nabla_x p(v) = R, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$R = \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{v} (\nabla_x \mathbf{u} \nabla_x v - \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \nabla_x v) - \mu \nabla_x \times \nabla_x \times \mathbf{u}. \quad (4.2)$$

Then it follows from (2.3) - (2.6) that the associated viscous shocks \tilde{v}_i and $\tilde{h}_i := \tilde{u}_i - (2\mu + \lambda) \partial_{x_1} \tilde{v}_i$ satisfy the following ODEs:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\rho}_i^{X_i} (-\sigma_i (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + \tilde{u}_i^{X_i} (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}) - (\tilde{h}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} = (2\mu + \lambda) (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1 x_1}^{X_i}, & (i = 1, 2) \\ \tilde{\rho}_i^{X_i} ((-\sigma_i + \tilde{u}_i^{X_i}) (\tilde{h}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}) + p(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} = 0, & (i = 1, 2) \\ (\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{h}_1)(-\infty) = (v_-, u_-), & (\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{h}_1)(+\infty) = (v_m, u_m), \\ (\tilde{v}_2, \tilde{h}_2)(-\infty) = (v_m, u_m), & (\tilde{v}_2, \tilde{h}_2)(+\infty) = (v_+, u_+). \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

Let (\tilde{v}, \tilde{h}) denote the shifted composite wave such that

$$(\tilde{v}, \tilde{h})(t, x) := \left(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}(x_1 - \sigma_1 t) + \tilde{v}_2^{X_2}(x_1 - \sigma_2 t) - v_m, \tilde{h}_1^{X_1}(x_1 - \sigma_1 t) + \tilde{h}_2^{X_2}(x_1 - \sigma_2 t) - u_m \right).$$

In addition, we denote

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1(t, x) := (\tilde{h}_1^{X_1}(x_1 - \sigma_1 t), 0, 0), \quad \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_2(t, x) := (\tilde{h}_2^{X_2}(x_1 - \sigma_2 t), 0, 0).$$

It follows from (4.1) and (4.3) that

$$\begin{cases} \rho(v - \tilde{v})_t + \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x(v - \tilde{v}) - \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}) - \rho \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t)(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + \sum_{i=1}^2 F_i(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} = (2\mu + \lambda)\Delta_x(v - \tilde{v}), \\ \rho(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}})_t + \rho \mathbf{u} \nabla_x(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}) + \nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) - \rho \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t)(\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_i)_{x_1} + \sum_{i=1}^2 F_i(\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_i)_{x_1} = R^*, \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

where for each $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_i &= -\sigma_i(\rho - \tilde{\rho}_i^{X_i}) + \rho u_1 - \tilde{\rho}_i^{X_i} \tilde{u}_i^{X_i} \\ &= -\frac{\sigma_i^*}{\tilde{\rho}_i^{X_i}}(\rho - \tilde{\rho}_i^{X_i}) + \rho(u_1 - \tilde{u}_i^{X_i}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

and,

$$R^* = R - \nabla_x(p(\tilde{v}) - p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}) - p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})). \quad (4.6)$$

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} + Q(v|\tilde{v}) \right) dx_1 dx' + \int_0^t \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i |\dot{X}_i(\tau)|^2 + \mathbf{G}_1(\tau) + \mathbf{G}_3(\tau) + \mathcal{G}^S(\tau) + \mathbf{D}(\tau) \right) d\tau \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{h}_0(x) - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}(0, x)|^2}{2} + Q(v_0(x)|\tilde{v}(0, x)) \right) dx_1 dx' + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) \int_0^t \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{H^1}^2 d\tau + C\delta_0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_1 &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right|^2 dx_1 dx', \\ \mathbf{G}_3 &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| (h_2^2 + h_3^2) dx_1 dx', \\ \mathcal{G}^S &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\Phi_i(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2 dx_1 dx', \\ \mathbf{D} &:= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2 dx_1 dx'. \end{aligned}$$

4.1. Construction of weight functions. In order to deal with the two shock waves, we first introduce two weight functions a_1, a_2 associated with 1-shock and 2-shock respectively: for each $i = 1, 2$, we define

$$a_i(x_1 - \sigma_i t) = 1 + \frac{\nu_i(p(v_m) - p(\tilde{v}_i(x_1 - \sigma_i t)))}{\delta_i}, \quad (4.8)$$

where δ_i is the i th-shock strength. At this time, for each $i = 1, 2$, ν_i is a small constant satisfying $\delta_i \ll \nu_i < C\sqrt{\delta_i}$ for so that it is large enough compared to the i th-shock strength. In addition, we define $\nu := \nu_1 + \nu_2$, which satisfies $\nu \leq 2 \max(\nu_1, \nu_2) \leq C\sqrt{\delta_0}$.

Note that $\frac{3}{4} \leq 1 - \nu \leq a_i \leq 1 + \nu \leq \frac{5}{4}$ and

$$(a_i)_{x_1} = -\frac{\nu_i}{\delta_i}(p(\tilde{v}_i))_{x_1}, \quad (4.9)$$

from which we have

$$|(a_i)_{x_1}| \sim \frac{\nu_i}{\delta_i}|(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}|, \quad \text{and so,} \quad \|(a_i)_{x_1}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq \nu_i \delta_i, \quad \|(a_i)_{x_1}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \nu_i. \quad (4.10)$$

To deal with the shifted composite wave, we think about the following composition of shifted weight functions as

$$a^{X_1, X_2}(t, x_1) := a_1^{X_1}(x_1 - \sigma_1 t) + a_2^{X_2}(x_1 - \sigma_2 t) - 1 = a_1(x_1 - \sigma_1 t - X_1(t)) + a_2(x_1 - \sigma_2 t - X_2(t)) - 1. \quad (4.11)$$

For notational simplicity as before, we will omit the dependence on shifts:

$$a(t, x_1) := a^{X_1, X_2}(t, x_1).$$

4.2. Evolution of the weighted relative entropy. We present the representation for the evolution of the relative entropy. The computation is typical but we present its proof in Appendix for the readers' convenience.

Lemma 4.2. *Let a be the weighted function defined by (4.8) and (4.11). It holds*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \rho \left(Q(v|\tilde{v}) + \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \right) dx_1 dx' = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\dot{X}_i(t) Y_i(t) \right) + \mathcal{J}^{\text{bad}}(U) - \mathcal{J}^{\text{good}}(U), \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\dot{X}_i(t) Y_i(t) \right) &= - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho Q(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t) (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \rho p'(\tilde{v})(v - \tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t) (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx', \\ \mathcal{J}^{\text{bad}}(U) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} Q(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 F_i(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a p(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 F_i(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a p(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 \sigma_i^* (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \sum_{i=1}^2 F_i(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad - (2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \partial_{x_1} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \partial_{x_1} p(\tilde{v}) \left(\frac{1}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} - \frac{1}{\gamma p(\tilde{v})^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \right) dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad - (2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \frac{\partial_{x_1} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \sum_{i=1}^2 (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad - (2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \partial_{x_1} p(\tilde{v}) \left(\frac{1}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} - \frac{1}{\gamma p(\tilde{v})^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \right) \sum_{i=1}^2 (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx', \\ \mathcal{J}^{\text{good}}(U) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} Q(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 \sigma_i^* (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' + (2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{|\nabla_x (p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} dx_1 dx' \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Remark 4.1. *Since $\sigma_i^* (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} > 0$ and $a > \frac{1}{2}$, $-\mathcal{G}(t)$ consists of five terms with good sign, while $\mathcal{B}(t)$ consists of bad terms.*

Remark 4.2. *We note that the definition of the weight a_i implies*

$$\sigma_i^* (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} = - \frac{\nu_i \sigma_i^*}{\delta_i} (p(\tilde{v}_i^{X_i}))_{x_1} = \frac{\gamma \nu_i \sigma_i^*}{\delta_i} (\tilde{v}_i^{X_i})^{-\gamma-1} (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} > 0,$$

from which we observe that $\mathcal{J}^{\text{good}}$ consists of good terms.

4.3. **Maximization on $\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}$.** Among the terms in $\mathcal{J}^{\text{bad}}(U)$, a main bad term is

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) (h_1 - \tilde{h}) dx_1 dx'$$

where the perturbations for $p(v)$ and h_1 are multiplied. To exploit the parabolic term on v -variable and so use the Poincaré-type inequality, we separate $h_1 - \tilde{h}$ from $p(v) - p(\tilde{v})$ by using the quadratic structure of $\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}$. Exactly, using

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) (h_1 - \tilde{h}) - \frac{\sigma_i^*}{2} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} |\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2 \\ &= -\frac{\sigma_i^* (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}}{2} \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right|^2 + \frac{(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}}{2\sigma_i^*} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 - \sigma_i^* (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \left(\frac{h_2^2 + h_3^2}{2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

we can rewrite the terms $\mathcal{J}^{\text{bad}}(U) - \mathcal{J}^{\text{good}}(U)$ in the above lemma (4.12) as

$$\mathcal{J}^{\text{bad}}(U) - \mathcal{J}^{\text{good}}(U) = \mathcal{B}(U) - \mathcal{G}(U),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(U) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\frac{1}{2\sigma_i^*} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' + \sigma_i^* \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ap(v|\tilde{v})(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \right. \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ap'(\tilde{v})(v - \tilde{v}) F_i(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} - a(h_1 - \tilde{h}) F_i(\tilde{h}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(Q(v|\tilde{v}) + \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \right) F_i(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad - \frac{(2\mu + \lambda)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \partial_{x_1} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \partial_{x_1} p(\tilde{v}) \left(\frac{1}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} - \frac{1}{\gamma p(\tilde{v})^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \right) dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad - (2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \frac{\partial_{x_1} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad \left. - (2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \partial_{x_1} p(\tilde{v}) \left(\frac{1}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} - \frac{1}{\gamma p(\tilde{v})^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \right) dx_1 dx' \right], \\ \mathcal{G}(U) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\frac{\sigma_i^*}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right|^2 dx_1 dx' \right. \\ &\quad + \sigma_i^* \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} Q(v|\tilde{v})(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\sigma_i^*}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (h_2^2 + h_3^2) dx_1 dx' \right], \\ &\quad + (2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{|\nabla_x (p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} dx_1 dx'. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we estimate the right-hand side of the below equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \rho \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' = \sum_{i=1}^2 (\dot{X}_i Y_i(U)) + \mathcal{B}(U) - \mathcal{G}(U). \quad (4.14)$$

4.4. **Decompositions.** First, we name each term of $\mathcal{B}(U)$ and $\mathcal{G}(U)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}(U) &= \sum_{i=1}^8 \mathcal{B}_i(U), \\ \mathcal{G}(U) &= \mathcal{G}_1(U) + \mathcal{G}_2(U) + \mathcal{G}_3(U) + \mathcal{D}(U),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_1(U) &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{2\sigma_i^*} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\ \mathcal{B}_2(U) &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sigma_i^* \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ap(v|\tilde{v})(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\ \mathcal{B}_3(U) &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ap'(\tilde{v})(v - \tilde{v})F_i(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} - a(h_1 - \tilde{h})F_i(\tilde{h}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\ \mathcal{B}_4(U) &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(Q(v|\tilde{v}) + \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \right) F_i(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\ \mathcal{B}_5(U) &:= -(2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\partial_{x_1}(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))\partial_{x_1}p(\tilde{v}) \left(\frac{1}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} - \frac{1}{\gamma p(\tilde{v})^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \right) dx_1 dx' \\ \mathcal{B}_6(U) &:= -(2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \frac{\partial_{x_1}(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} dx_1 dx' \\ \mathcal{B}_7(U) &:= -(2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))\partial_{x_1}p(\tilde{v}) \left(\frac{1}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} - \frac{1}{\gamma p(\tilde{v})^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \right) dx_1 dx' \\ \mathcal{B}_8(U) &:= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}) \cdot R^* dx_1 dx', \quad (R^* \text{ was defined in (4.2) and (4.6)})\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_1(U) &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\sigma_i^*}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right|^2 dx_1 dx' \\ \mathcal{G}_2(U) &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sigma_i^* \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} Q(v|\tilde{v}) dx_1 dx' \\ \mathcal{G}_3(U) &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\sigma_i^*}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (h_2^2 + h_3^2) dx_1 dx' \\ \mathcal{D}(U) &:= (2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{|\nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} dx_1 dx'.\end{aligned}$$

For each Y_i in (4.13), we initially write Y_i more explicitly as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}Y_i(U) &= - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \left(Q(v|\tilde{v}) + \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \right) dx_1 dx' + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\rho(\tilde{h}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (h_1 - \tilde{h}_1) dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\rho p'(\tilde{v})(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (v - \tilde{v}) dx_1 dx' .\end{aligned}$$

Because an essential idea in our analysis is to apply Poincaré-type inequality of Lemma 3.2 by extracting a good term on an average of perturbation $p(v) - p(\tilde{v})$ from the shift part $\sum_{i=1}^2(\dot{X}_i Y_i(U))$, we decompose Y_i as follows: for each $i = 1, 2$,

$$Y_i = \sum_{j=1}^6 Y_{ij},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{i1} &:= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{a}{\sigma_i^*} \rho(\tilde{h}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) dx_1 dx', \\ Y_{i2} &:= - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \rho p(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (v - \tilde{v}) dx_1 dx', \\ Y_{i3} &:= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \rho(\tilde{h}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \left(h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right) dx_1 dx' \\ Y_{i4} &:= - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \rho(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \left(p'(\tilde{v}) - p'(\tilde{v}_i^{X_i}) \right) (v - \tilde{v}) dx_1 dx', \\ Y_{i5} &:= - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \left(h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right) \left(h_1 - \tilde{h} + \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right) dx_1 dx' \\ Y_{i6} &:= - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \left(Q(v|\tilde{v}) + \frac{|p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2}{2\sigma_i^{*2}} + \frac{h_2^2 + h_3^2}{2} \right) dx_1 dx'. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that it follows from our construction (3.3) on shifts X_i that

$$\dot{X}_i = -\frac{M}{\delta_i} (Y_{i1} + Y_{i2}),$$

which implies

$$\dot{X}_i Y_i(U) = -\frac{\delta_i}{M} |\dot{X}_i|^2 + \dot{X}_i \sum_{j=3}^6 Y_{ij}. \quad (4.15)$$

Here, the good term $-\frac{\delta_i}{M} |\dot{X}_i|^2$ would give an average of linear perturbation on v -variable as mentioned above, whereas the remaining part would be controlled by the good terms in $\mathcal{G}(U)$. To show it, we combine (4.14) and (4.15) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' &= \mathcal{R}, \quad \text{where} \\ \mathcal{R} &:= - \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\delta_i}{M} |\dot{X}_i|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\dot{X}_i \sum_{j=3}^6 Y_{ij} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^8 \mathcal{B}_i - \mathcal{G}_1 - \mathcal{G}_2 - \mathcal{G}_3 - \mathcal{D}. \\ &= \underbrace{- \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\delta_i}{2M} |\dot{X}_i|^2 + \mathcal{B}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2 - \mathcal{G}_2 - \frac{3}{4} \mathcal{D}}_{=: \mathcal{R}_1} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\delta_i}{2M} |\dot{X}_i|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\dot{X}_i \sum_{j=3}^6 Y_{ij} \right) + \sum_{i=3}^8 \mathcal{B}_i - \mathcal{G}_1 - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}}_{=: \mathcal{R}_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

A reason of the decomposition (4.16) is that the bad terms contained in \mathcal{R}_1 must be estimated delicately. To estimate these terms, we use the sharp Poincaré-type inequality of Lemma 3.2. The

remaining terms in \mathcal{R}_2 can be estimated in a rather rough way. First, we concentrate on the estimate of \mathcal{R}_1 .

4.5. Estimate of the main part \mathcal{R}_1 . An essential idea for estimates of \mathcal{R}_1 is to apply the Poincaré-type inequality (3.12) in Lemma 3.2. To apply the Poincaré-type inequality, we require to localize the perturbation near each wave by using the cutoff functions Φ_1, Φ_2 defined in (3.11) (see Remark 3.1), and then change of variables from whole space \mathbb{R} to a bounded interval $(0, 1)$ for each wave. For any fixed $t > 0$, we will consider the following change of variables in space:

$$y_1 := 1 - \frac{p(v_m) - p(\tilde{v}_1(x_1 - \sigma_1 t - X_1(t)))}{\delta_1}, \quad y_2 := \frac{p(v_m) - p(\tilde{v}_2(x_1 - \sigma_2 t - X_2(t)))}{\delta_2}.$$

Surely, for each $i = 1, 2$, $y_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ is a monotone function such that

$$\frac{dy_1}{dx_1} = \frac{1}{\delta_1} p(\tilde{v}_1)' > 0, \quad \frac{dy_2}{dx_1} = -\frac{1}{\delta_2} p(\tilde{v}_2)' > 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{x_1 \rightarrow -\infty} y_i = 0, \quad \lim_{x_1 \rightarrow +\infty} y_i = 1.$$

In addition, both $|X_1(t)|$ and $|X_2(t)|$ are bounded on $[0, T]$ by (3.18).

With regard to the new variables, we will apply the Poincaré-type inequality to each perturbation w_i localized by Φ_i respectively:

$$w_1 := \Phi_1(t, x_1) \left(p(v(t, x)) - p\left(\tilde{v}_1(x_1 - \sigma_1 t - X_1(t)) + \tilde{v}_2(x_1 - \sigma_2 t - X_2(t)) - v_m\right) \right),$$

$$w_2 := \Phi_2(t, x_1) \left(p(v(t, x)) - p\left(\tilde{v}_1(x_1 - \sigma_1 t - X_1(t)) + \tilde{v}_2(x_1 - \sigma_2 t - X_2(t)) - v_m\right) \right).$$

In what follows, for simplicity, we use the following notations to denote constants of $O(1)$ -scale:

$$\sigma_m := \sqrt{-p'(v_m)}, \quad \alpha_m := \frac{\gamma + 1}{2\gamma\sigma_m p(v_m)} = \frac{p''(v_m)}{2\sigma_m |p'(v_m)|^2},$$

which are surely independent of the shock strengths δ_i since $v_+/2 \leq v_m \leq v_+$.

Because the δ_i are bounded by δ_0 respectively, the following estimates on the $O(1)$ -constants hold:

$$|\sigma_1^* - (-\sigma_m)| \leq C\delta_1, \quad |\sigma_2^* - \sigma_m| \leq C\delta_2, \quad (4.17)$$

and

$$\|\sigma_m^2 - |p'(\tilde{v}_i)|\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\delta_i, \quad \left\| \frac{1}{\sigma_m^2} - \frac{p(\tilde{v}_i)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-1}}{\gamma} \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\delta_i, \quad \left\| \frac{1}{\sigma_m^2} - \frac{p(\tilde{v})^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-1}}{\gamma} \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\delta_0. \quad (4.18)$$

We are now ready to estimate the terms in \mathcal{R}_1 . As mentioned, we need to extract a good term on an average of the perturbation w_i from the shift part $\frac{\delta_i}{2M} |\dot{X}_i|^2$ as follows, so that we could apply Lemma 3.2.

• **(Estimate of shift part $\frac{\delta_i}{2M} |\dot{X}_i|^2$):** Our goal is that: for each $i = 1, 2$,

$$-\frac{\delta_i}{2M} |\dot{X}_i|^2 \leq -\frac{M\delta_i}{\sigma_m^4 v_m^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 w_i dy_i dx' \right)^2 + C\delta_i (\delta_0 + \delta_i + \nu)^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |w_i|^2 dy_i dx' \\ + C\delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'. \quad (4.19)$$

As the estimates of $\frac{\delta_1}{2M}|\dot{X}_1|^2$ and $\frac{\delta_2}{2M}|\dot{X}_2|^2$ are the same, we enough to handle the case of X_1 . Since $\dot{X}_1 = -\frac{M}{\delta_1}(Y_{11} + Y_{12})$, we first estimate Y_{11} and Y_{12} .

Using (4.3) and the relation $\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 = 1$, we have

$$Y_{11} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{a}{\sigma_1^{*2}v} \Phi_1 p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1})_{x_1} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) dx_1 dx' + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{a}{\sigma_1^{*2}v} \Phi_2 p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1})_{x_1} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) dx_1 dx'.$$

Then using (4.17) and $\|a - 1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})} \leq \nu$, we have

$$\left| Y_{11} - \frac{\delta_1}{\sigma_m^2 v_m} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 w_1 dy_1 dx' \right| \leq C\delta_1(\delta_0 + \nu) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |w_1| dy_1 dx' + C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_{x_1}^{X_1}| |\Phi_2| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})| dx_1 dx'.$$

When we estimate Y_{12} , we first use Taylor expansion in terms of $v = p(v)^{-1/\gamma}$ to get

$$\left| v - \tilde{v} - \left(-\frac{p(\tilde{v})^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-1}}{\gamma} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \right) \right| \leq C|p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2,$$

which together with the estimates (4.18) and (3.16) implies

$$\left| v - \tilde{v} - \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma_m^2} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \right) \right| \leq C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|.$$

As above,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| Y_{12} - \frac{\delta_1}{\sigma_m^2 v_m} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 w_1 dy_1 dx' \right| &\leq C\delta_1(\nu + \delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |w_1| dy_1 dx' \\ &\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_{x_1}^{X_1}| |\Phi_2| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})| dy_1 dx'. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates for Y_{11} and Y_{12} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \dot{X}_1 + \frac{2M}{\sigma_m^2 v_m} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 w_1 dy_1 dx' \right| &\leq \frac{M}{\delta_1} \left(\left| Y_{11} - \frac{\delta_1}{\sigma_m^2 v_m} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 w_1 dy_1 dx' \right| + \left| Y_{12} - \frac{\delta_1}{\sigma_m^2 v_m} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 w_1 dy_1 dx' \right| \right) \\ &\leq C(\nu + \delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |w_1| dy_1 dx' \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\delta_1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_{x_1}^{X_1}| |\Phi_2| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})| dx_1 dx', \end{aligned}$$

which implies by squaring both sides and using Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2M^2}{\sigma_m^4 v_m^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 w_1 dy_1 dx' \right)^2 - |\dot{X}_1|^2 &\leq C(\nu + \delta_0 + \varepsilon_1)^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |w_1|^2 dy_1 dx' \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\delta_1^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_{x_1}^{X_1}| |\Phi_2| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})| dx_1 dx' \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

In addition, using Lemmas 2.1, 3.4, and 3.6,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C}{\delta_1^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_{x_1}^{X_1}| |\Phi_2| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})| dx_1 dx' \right)^2 &\leq \frac{C}{\delta_1^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|(\tilde{v}_1)_{x_1}^{X_1}| |\Phi_2|)^2 dx_1 dx' \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\delta_1^2} \sup_{t,x} (\Phi_2^2 |(\tilde{v}_1)_{x_1}^{X_1}|) \|(\tilde{v}_1)_{x_1}^{X_1}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} Q(v|\tilde{v}) dx_1 dx' \\ &\leq C\delta_1 \exp(-C\delta_1 t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'. \end{aligned}$$

In short, combining the estimate above, we have following estimate on \dot{X}_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\delta_1}{2M}|\dot{X}_1|^2 &\leq -\frac{M\delta_i}{\sigma_m^4 v_m^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 w_i dy_i dx' \right)^2 + C\delta_i(\delta_0 + \delta_i + \nu)^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |w_i|^2 dy_i dx', \\ &\quad + C\delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'. \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired inequality (4.19).

• **(Estimate of the bad term \mathcal{B}_1 and good term \mathcal{G}_2):** Recall

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_1(U) &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\sigma_i^*} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx'}_{=: \mathcal{B}_{i1}}, \\ \mathcal{G}_2(U) &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 \underbrace{\sigma_i^* \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} Q(v|\tilde{v}) dx_1 dx'}_{=: \mathcal{G}_{i2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the estimates for the two cases $i = 1, 2$ are the same, we enough to deal with the case of $i = 2$ for simplicity.

First, we use the estimate on $Q(v|\tilde{v})$ in Lemma 3.4 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{22} &\geq \sigma_2^* \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_2)_{x_1}^{X_2} \frac{p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-1}}{2\gamma} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad - \sigma_2^* \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_2)_{x_1}^{X_2} \frac{1+\gamma}{3\gamma^2} \tilde{p}^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-2} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))^3 dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad + \frac{\sigma_2^*}{2\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_2)_{x_1}^{X_2} \left(p(\tilde{v})^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-1} - p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-1} \right) |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx'. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, let $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{22}$ denote the good term given as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{22} := \sigma_2^* \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_2)_{x_1}^{X_2} \frac{p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-1}}{2\gamma} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx'.$$

Using (4.17) and (4.18), we have

$$\mathcal{B}_{21} \leq \frac{1}{2\sigma_m} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_2)_{x_1}^{X_2} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' + \frac{C\delta_2}{2\sigma_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_2)_{x_1}^{X_2} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx',$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{22} \geq \frac{1}{2\sigma_m} (1 - C\delta_2) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_2)_{x_1}^{X_2} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx'$$

Then using $\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 = 1$ and (4.9), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{21} - \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{22} &\leq C\delta_2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_2)_{x_1}^{X_2} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\ &\leq C\delta_2 \nu_2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})_{x_1}|}{\delta_2} |\Phi_2(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2 dx_1 dx' + C\nu_2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_2)_{x_1}^{X_2}| \Phi_1^2 |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx'. \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

The first term of the right-hand side of (4.21) is rewritten in the new variables w_2, y_2 :

$$C\delta_2 \nu_2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})_{x_1}|}{\delta_2} |\Phi_2(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2 dx_1 dx' = C\delta_2 \nu_2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |w_2|^2 dy_2 dx'.$$

Using Lemma 3.6, the last term of (4.21) can be estimated as

$$C\nu_2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_2)_{x_1}^{X_2} |\Phi_1^2| p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \leq C\nu_2 \delta_2^2 \exp(-C\delta_2 t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'.$$

Hence, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_1 - \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 C\nu_i \delta_i \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |w_i|^2 dy_i dx' + C\nu_i \delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'.$$

• **(Estimate of the bad term \mathcal{B}_2):** Recall

$$\mathcal{B}_2(U) := \sum_{i=1}^2 \sigma_i^* \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} p(v|\tilde{v}) dx_1 dx'}_{=:\mathcal{B}_{i2}}.$$

Also, we only deal with the case of $i = 2$ for simplicity. First, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_{22} = \sigma_2^* \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{v}_2)_{x_1}^{X_2} \Phi_2^2 p(v|\tilde{v}) dx_1 dx' + \sigma_2^* \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{v}_2)_{x_1}^{X_2} (1 - \Phi_2^2) p(v|\tilde{v}) dx_1 dx'.$$

Using Lemma 3.4, (4.17), (4.18), and then the integration by substitution, we estimate the first term as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2^* \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{v}_2)_{x_1}^{X_2} \Phi_2^2 p(v|\tilde{v}) dx_1 dx' &= \sigma_2^* \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})_{x_1}}{p'(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})} \Phi_2^2 p(v|\tilde{v}) dx_1 dx' \\ &\leq \sigma_2^* \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{|p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})_{x_1}|}{|p'(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})|} \Phi_2^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2\gamma p(\tilde{v})} + C\varepsilon_1 \right) |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma+1}{2\gamma\sigma_m p(v_m)} (1 + C(\delta_0 + \nu + \varepsilon_1)) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})_{x_1}| |\Phi_2(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2 dx_1 dx' \\ &\leq \delta_2 \alpha_m (1 + C(\delta_0 + \nu + \varepsilon_1)) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |w_2|^2 dy_2 dx', \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\alpha_m = \frac{\gamma+1}{2\gamma\sigma_m p(v_m)}$.

On the other hand, using Lemma (3.6) we estimate the last interaction term of \mathcal{B}_{22} as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2^* \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{v}_2)_{x_1}^{X_2} (1 + \Phi_2) \Phi_1 p(v|\tilde{v}) dx_1 dx' &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_2)_{x_1}^{X_2} |\Phi_1| p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\ &\leq C\delta_2^2 \exp(-C\delta_2 t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{22} &\leq \delta_2 \alpha_m (1 + C(\delta_0 + \nu + \varepsilon_1)) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |w_2|^2 dy_2 dx' \\ &\quad + C\delta_2^2 \exp(-C\delta_2 t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx', \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_2 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i \alpha_m (1 + C(\delta_0 + \nu + \varepsilon_1)) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |w_i|^2 dy_i \\ &\quad + C\delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'. \end{aligned}$$

In short, combining the estimates above on $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$, and \mathcal{B}_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{G}_2 + \mathcal{B}_2 \leq & \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\delta_i \alpha_m (1 + C(\delta_0 + \nu + \varepsilon_1)) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |w_i|^2 dy_i dx' + C\delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' \right] \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[-\sigma_i^* \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \frac{1+\gamma}{3\gamma^2} p(\tilde{v})^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-2} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))^3 dx_1 dx' \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\sigma_i^*}{2\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \left(p(\tilde{v})^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-1} - p(\tilde{v}_i^{X_i})^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-1} \right) |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

• **(Estimate of the diffusion term $\mathcal{D}(U)$):** First of all, using the fact that $\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 = 1$ and $1 \geq \Phi_i \geq \Phi_i^2 \geq 0$ for each $i = 1, 2$, we separate $\mathcal{D}(U)$ into

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(U) &= (2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{|\partial_{x_1}(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} dx_1 dx' + (2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{|\nabla_{x'}(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} dx_1 dx' \\ &\geq (2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \Phi_i^2 \frac{|\partial_{x_1}(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} dx_1 dx' + (2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \Phi_i^2 \frac{|\nabla_{x'}(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} dx_1 dx' \end{aligned}$$

Since Young's inequality yields: for any $1 > \delta_* > 0$ small enough, (to be determined below)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{|\partial_{x_1}(\Phi_i(p(v) - p(\tilde{v})))|^2}{p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} dx_1 dx' &\leq (1 + \delta_*) \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{\Phi_i^2 |\partial_{x_1}(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2}{p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} dx_1 dx', \\ &+ \frac{C}{\delta_*} \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{|\partial_{x_1} \Phi_i|^2 |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2}{p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} dx_1 dx' \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{D}_1(U) &\leq -\frac{1}{1 + \delta_*} \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{|\partial_{x_1}(\Phi_i(p(v) - p(\tilde{v})))|^2}{p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} dx_1 dx' \\ &+ \frac{C}{\delta_*} \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{|\partial_{x_1} \Phi_i|^2 |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2}{p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} dx_1 dx' \\ &=: J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

We want to write J_1 in terms of the variables y_i and w_i . So, we apply the following estimates in the proof of [26, Lemma 4.5] to J_1 :

$$\left| \frac{1}{y_i(1 - y_i)} \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{\gamma p^{\frac{1}{\gamma}+1}(\tilde{v}_i^{X_i})} \frac{dy_i}{dx_1} - \frac{\delta_i p''(v_m)}{2|p'(v_m)|^2 \sigma_m} \right| \leq C\delta_i^2 \quad (4.23)$$

From $\left\| a \frac{p(\tilde{v}_i)}{p(v)} - 1 \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1 + \nu)$, (4.23) implies

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= -\frac{1}{1 + \delta_*} \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 a \frac{|\partial_{y_i} w_i|^2}{p^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \frac{dy_i}{dx_1} dy_i dx' \\ &\leq -\sum_{i=1}^2 (1 - C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1 + \nu + \delta_*)) \left(\frac{\delta_i p''(v_m)}{2p'(v_m)^2 \sigma_m} - C\delta_i^2 \right) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 y_i (1 - y_i) |\partial_{y_i} w_i|^2 dy_i dx' \\ &\leq -\sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i \alpha_m (1 - C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1 + \nu + \delta_*)) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 y_i (1 - y_i) |\partial_{y_i} w_i|^2 dy_i dx', \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\frac{p''(v_m)}{2|p'(v_m)|^2 \sigma_m} = \frac{\gamma+1}{2\gamma \sigma_m p(v_m)} = \alpha_m$.

To deal with the term J_2 , note that from the definition of cutoff functions (3.11) for each $i = 1, 2$,

$$|\partial_{x_1} \Phi_i(t, x_1)| \leq \frac{2}{(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)t}, \quad \forall x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \in (0, T]. \quad (4.24)$$

Using this, we can estimate as

$$J_2 \leq \frac{C}{\delta_* t^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'.$$

Thus, combining the estimates of J_1 and J_2 above, we have

$$-\mathcal{D}_1(U) \leq -\sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i \alpha_m (1 - C(\delta_0 + \nu + \varepsilon_1 + \delta_*)) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 y_i (1 - y_i) |\partial_{y_i} w_i|^2 dy_i dx' + \frac{C}{\delta_* t^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'. \quad (4.25)$$

Since both Φ_1 and Φ_2 are independent of the variables x' and $1 = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2 \geq \Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{D}_2 &= -(2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{|\nabla_{x'}(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} dx_1 dx' \\ &\leq -(2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{|\nabla_{x'}(\Phi_i(p(v) - p(\tilde{v})))|^2}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} dx_1 dx' \\ &= -(2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 a \frac{|\nabla_{x'} w_i|^2}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \left(\frac{dx_1}{dy_i} \right) dy_i dx'. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.23),

$$y_i (1 - y_i) \frac{dx_1}{dy_i} \geq \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{\gamma p(\tilde{v}_i^{X_i})^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}} (\alpha_m \delta_i + C\delta_i^2)} \geq \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{2\alpha_m \delta_i |p'(v_m)|}.$$

This implies

$$\int_0^1 a \frac{|\nabla_{x'} w_i|^2}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \left(\frac{dx_1}{dy_i} \right) dy_i \geq (1 - C(\delta_i + \nu)) \frac{\sigma_m (2\mu + \lambda)^2}{\delta_i p''(v_m)} \int_0^1 \frac{|\nabla_{x'} w_i|^2}{y_i (1 - y_i)} dy_i.$$

Thus, we get

$$-\mathcal{D}_2(U) \leq -\sum_{i=1}^2 (1 - C(\delta_i + \nu)) \frac{\sigma_m (2\mu + \lambda)^2}{\delta_i p''(v_m)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 \frac{|\nabla_{x'} w_i|^2}{y_i (1 - y_i)} dy_i dx'. \quad (4.26)$$

• **(Conclusion):** Combining the estimates (4.22), (4.25), and (4.26) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2 - \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_2 - \frac{3}{4}\mathcal{D} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i \alpha_m \left(1 + C(\delta_0 + \nu + \varepsilon_1) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |w_i|^2 dy_i dx' \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{3}{4}(1 - C_0(\delta_0 + \nu + \varepsilon_1 + \delta_*)) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 y_i(1 - y_i) |\partial_{y_i} w_i|^2 dy_i dx' \right) \\
& + C \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) + \frac{1}{\delta_* t^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx \\
& - \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^2 (1 - C(\delta_i + \nu)) \frac{\sigma_m(2\mu + \lambda)^2}{\delta_i p''(v_m)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 \frac{|\nabla_{x'} w_i|^2}{y_i(1 - y_i)} dy_i dx'.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

At this time, we take δ_* as

$$\delta_* = \frac{1}{24C_0},$$

which together with the smallness of $\delta_0, \nu, \varepsilon_1$ yields

$$C_0(\delta_0 + \nu + \varepsilon_1 + \delta_*) < \frac{1}{12}.$$

Substituting this into (4.27)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2 - \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_2 - \frac{3}{4}\mathcal{D} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i \alpha_m \left(\frac{11}{10} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |w_i|^2 dy_i dx' - \frac{2}{3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 y_i(1 - y_i) |\partial_{y_i} w_i|^2 dy_i dx' \right) \\
& + C \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i \exp(-C\delta_i t) + \frac{1}{t^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' \\
& - \frac{5}{8} (2\mu + \lambda)^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\sigma_m}{\delta_i p''(v_m)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 \frac{|\nabla_{x'} w_i|^2}{y_i(1 - y_i)} dy_i dx'.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the identity:

$$\int_0^1 |w_i - \bar{w}_i|^2 dy_i = \int_0^1 w_i^2 dy_i - \bar{w}_i^2, \quad \bar{w}_i := \int_0^1 w_i dy_i, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Using Lemma 3.2 with identity above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2 - \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_2 - \frac{3}{4}\mathcal{D} \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[-\frac{7\delta_i \alpha_m}{30} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |w_i|^2 dy_i dx' + \frac{4\delta_i \alpha_m}{3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 w_i dy_i dx' \right)^2 \right] \\
& + C \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) + \frac{1}{t^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' \\
& - \frac{5}{8} \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{(2\mu + \lambda)^2 \sigma_m}{\delta_i p''(v_m)} - \frac{2\delta_i \alpha_m}{15\pi} \right) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 \frac{|\nabla_{x'} w_i|^2}{y_i(1 - y_i)} dy_i dx'.
\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, using (4.19) with the choice $M = \frac{4}{3}\sigma_m^4 v_m^2 \alpha_m$ and smallness of δ_i , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\delta_i}{2M} |\dot{X}_i|^2 + \mathcal{B}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2 - \mathcal{G}_2 - \frac{3}{4}\mathcal{D} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[-\frac{\delta_i \alpha_m}{5} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |w_i|^2 dy_i dx' + \sigma_i^* \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \frac{1+\gamma}{3\gamma^2} p(\tilde{v})^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-2} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))^3 dx_1 dx' \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{\sigma_i^*}{2\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \left(p(\tilde{v})^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-1} - p(\tilde{v}_i^{X_i})^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-1} \right) |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx \right] \\
& \quad + C \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) + \frac{1}{t^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_1 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[-C_1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\Phi_i(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2 dx_1 dx' + C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^3 dx_1 dx' \right. \\
& \quad \left. + C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \right] \\
& \quad + C \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) + \frac{1}{t^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

4.6. Estimate of the remaining part \mathcal{R}_2 . Substituting (4.28) into (4.16) and using Young's inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\dot{X}_i \sum_{j=3}^6 Y_{ij} \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\delta_i}{4M} |\dot{X}_i|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{C}{\delta_i} \sum_{j=3}^6 |Y_{ij}|^2, \tag{4.29}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \rho \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' \\
& \leq -C_1 \mathcal{G}^S + \mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{K}_2 \\
& \quad + C \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) + \frac{1}{t^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' \\
& \quad - \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\delta_i}{4M} |\dot{X}_i|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{C}{\delta_i} \sum_{j=3}^6 |Y_{ij}|^2 + \sum_{i=3}^8 \mathcal{B}_i - \mathcal{G}_1 - \mathcal{G}_3 - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{D},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}^S &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\Phi_i(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2 dx_1 dx' \\ \mathcal{K}_1 &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 C \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^3 dx_1 dx'}_{=:\mathcal{K}_{i1}} \\ \mathcal{K}_2 &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 C \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx'}_{=:\mathcal{K}_{i2}}.\end{aligned}$$

In what follows, to control the remaining terms, we will use the good terms \mathcal{G}_1 , \mathcal{G}^S and the diffusion term \mathcal{D} .

• **(Estimate of \mathcal{K}_1):** To control the term \mathcal{K}_{i1} by the good terms above, we localize it via Φ_i as

$$\mathcal{K}_{i1} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\nu_i}{\delta_i} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\Phi_i| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^3 dx_1 dx' + C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\nu_i}{\delta_i} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| (1 - \Phi_i) |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^3 dx_1 dx'. \quad (4.31)$$

Let $w = p(v) - p(\tilde{v})$. Using the Lemma 3.3 and $\delta_i \ll \nu_i \leq C\sqrt{\delta_i}$, the first term is controlled by the good terms as

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\nu_i}{\delta_i} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\Phi_i| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^3 dx_1 dx' \\ & \leq C \frac{\nu_i}{\delta_i} \|w\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |w_i| dx_1 dx' \\ & \leq C \frac{\nu_i}{\delta_i} (\|w\|_{L^2} \|\partial_{x_1} w\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x w\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_x^2 w\|_{L^2}) \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |w_i|^2 dx_1 dx'} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx'} \\ & \leq C \frac{\nu_i}{\sqrt{\delta_i}} (\|w\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x^2 w\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla_x w\|_{L^2} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |w_i|^2 dx_1 dx'} \\ & \leq C \varepsilon_1 \|\nabla_x w\|_{L^2} \sqrt{\mathcal{G}^S} \\ & \leq C \varepsilon_1 (\mathcal{D} + C_1 \mathcal{G}^S).\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.6, the second term in (4.31) is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\nu_i}{\delta_i} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| (1 - \Phi_i) |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^3 dx_1 dx' & \leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \varepsilon_1 \delta_i \nu_i e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\ & \leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \varepsilon_1 \delta_i \nu_i e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates above for each $i = 1, 2$, we get

$$\mathcal{K}_1 \leq \varepsilon_1 (\mathcal{D} + C_1 \mathcal{G}^S) + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \varepsilon_1 \delta_i \nu_i e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'.$$

- **(Estimate of \mathcal{K}_2):** To estimate \mathcal{K}_2 , we use Lemma 3.5 for each $i = 1, 2$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{i2} &= \frac{\nu_i}{\delta_i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\ &\leq C\delta_1\delta_2 e^{-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}t} \sum_{i=1}^2 \nu_i \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

which gives the estimate on \mathcal{K}_2 :

$$\mathcal{K}_2 \leq C\nu\delta_1\delta_2 \exp(-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'.$$

- **(Estimate of Special Term):** Especially, as in $C\mathcal{K}_1$, we get also

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \leq \mathcal{G}^S + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'. \quad (4.33)$$

This estimate is used in later calculations. Since we get this similar way of calculation of \mathcal{K}_1 , we write this here in advance.

- **(Estimate of $\frac{C}{\delta_i}|Y_{ij}|^2$ for $i = 1, 2, j = 3, \dots, 6$):** Using (4.3)₂ and (4.10), we estimate Y_{i3} as

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_{i3}| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\delta_i}{\nu_i} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right| dx_1 dx' \\ &\leq C \frac{\delta_i}{\sqrt{\nu_i}} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sigma_i^*}{2} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right|^2 dx_1 dx'} \leq C \frac{\delta_i}{\sqrt{\nu_i}} \sqrt{\mathcal{G}_1}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\frac{C}{\delta_i} |Y_{i3}|^2 \leq \frac{C\delta_i}{\nu_i} \mathcal{G}_1.$$

Using Lemma 3.5, we control Y_{i4} as

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_{i4}| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})| |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx' \\ &= C \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}|^2 |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}|^2 dx_1 dx'} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'} \\ &\leq C \sqrt{\delta_1\delta_2} \exp(-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}t) \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\frac{C|Y_{i4}|^2}{\delta_i} \leq C\delta_1^2\delta_2^2 \exp(-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'.$$

For Y_{i5} , we first estimate $\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}$ in terms of $\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ and $v - \tilde{v}$ as follows. Using $(\tilde{v})_{x_1} = (\tilde{v}_1)_{x_1}^{X_1} + (\tilde{v}_2)_{x_1}^{X_2}$ and $C^{-1} \leq v, \tilde{v}_1^{X_1}, \tilde{v}_2^{X_2} \leq C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}\|_{L^2} &\leq C\|\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla_x(v - \tilde{v})\|_{L^2} \leq C\varepsilon_1, \\ \|p(v) - p(\tilde{v})\|_{L^2} &\leq C\|v - \tilde{v}\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon_1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

Hence, we estimate Y_{i5} as

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_{i5}| &\leq C \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sigma_i^*}{2} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right|^2 dx_1 dx'} \cdot \|(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot C\varepsilon_1 \\ &\leq C\varepsilon_1 (\nu_i \delta_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sigma_i^*}{2} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right|^2 dx_1 dx'} \\ &\leq C\nu_i \delta_i (\varepsilon_1 + C\delta_1 \delta_2) \sqrt{\mathcal{G}_1}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\frac{C}{\delta_i} |Y_{i5}|^2 \leq C\nu_i (\varepsilon_1 + C\delta_1 \delta_2)^2 \mathcal{G}_1.$$

Lastly, $\nu_i \leq C\sqrt{\delta_i}$, by Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we estimate Y_{i6} as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C}{\delta_i} |Y_{i6}|^2 &\leq \frac{C}{\delta_i} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx' \right)^2 + \frac{C}{\delta_i} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{h_2^2 + h_3^2}{2} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx' \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\nu_i \delta_i} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx' \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx' \right) + C \frac{\mathcal{G}_{i3}^2}{\delta_i} \\ &\leq \frac{C\varepsilon_1^2 \|(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}\|_{L^\infty}}{\nu_i \delta_i} \left(\mathcal{G}_i^S + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' \right) + \frac{C\mathcal{G}_3}{\delta_i} \|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}\|_{L^2}^2 \|a_i^{X_i}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon_1^2 \left(\mathcal{G}^S + \mathcal{G}_3 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining all the estimates for Y_{ij} and using the smallness of the parameters, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{C}{\delta_i} \sum_{j=3}^6 |Y_{i,j}|^2 &\leq \frac{C_1 \mathcal{G}^S + \mathcal{G}_1 + \mathcal{G}_3}{10} + C\delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 e^{-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \varepsilon_1^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'. \end{aligned}$$

• **(Estimate of \mathcal{B}_3):** To compute $\mathcal{B}_3 = \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ap'(\tilde{v})(v - \tilde{v})F_i(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} - a(h_1 - \tilde{h})F_i(\tilde{h}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx'$, we first find from (4.5) and the assumption (3.6) on that

$$\|F_i\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \left(\|\rho - \tilde{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\tilde{\rho} - \tilde{\rho}_i^{X_i}\|_{L^\infty} + \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\tilde{u} - \tilde{u}_i^{X_i}\|_{L^\infty} \right) \leq C(\varepsilon_1 + \delta_0). \quad (4.35)$$

Also, using the definition of ρ and h from (4.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_i &= \sigma_i^* \frac{v - \tilde{v}}{v} + \sigma_i^* \frac{\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}}{v} + \frac{h_1 - \tilde{h}}{v} + \frac{\tilde{h} - \tilde{h}_i^{X_i}}{v} \\ &\quad + (2\mu + \lambda) \frac{\partial_{x_1}(v - \tilde{v})}{v} + (2\mu + \lambda) \frac{\partial_{x_1}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i})}{v}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the equality above and the fact that $-\sigma_i^*(\tilde{h}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + p(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& F_i a p'(\tilde{v})(v - \tilde{v})(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} - F_i a (h_1 - \tilde{h})(\tilde{h}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \\
&= \frac{a p'(\tilde{v})(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \sigma_i^*}{v} |v - \tilde{v}|^2 - \frac{a p'(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}}{v \sigma_i^*} |h_1 - \tilde{h}|^2 \\
&+ \frac{a p'(\tilde{v})(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \sigma_i^*}{v} (v - \tilde{v})(\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}) + \frac{a p'(\tilde{v})(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}}{v} (v - \tilde{v})(\tilde{h} - \tilde{h}_i^{X_i}) \\
&- \frac{a p'(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}}{v} (h_1 - \tilde{h})(\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}) - \frac{a p'(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}}{v \sigma_i^*} (h_1 - \tilde{h})(\tilde{h} - \tilde{h}_i^{X_i}) \\
&+ \frac{(2\mu + \lambda) a p'(\tilde{v})(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \partial_{x_1}(v - \tilde{v})(v - \tilde{v})}{v} - \frac{(2\mu + \lambda) a p'(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \partial_{x_1}(v - \tilde{v})(h_1 - \tilde{h})}{\sigma_i^* v} \\
&+ \frac{(2\mu + \lambda) a p'(\tilde{v})(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \partial_{x_1}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i})(v - \tilde{v})}{v} - \frac{(2\mu + \lambda) a p'(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \partial_{x_1}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i})(h_1 - \tilde{h})}{\sigma_i^* v}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

Note that the two quadratic terms above in first equality right hand side.

Using the Mean-Value theorem and the fact that $p'(\tilde{v}) < 0 < (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \sigma_i^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{a p'(\tilde{v})(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \sigma_i^*}{v} |v - \tilde{v}|^2 &= \frac{a p'(\tilde{v})(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \sigma_i^*}{v} \frac{|p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2}{|p'(c)|^2} \\
&\leq -(1 - C(\delta_0 + \delta_i + \nu)) \frac{\sigma_m |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2}{v_m |p'(v_m)|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality and the fact that $p'(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} < 0 < \frac{(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}}{\sigma_i^*}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{a p'(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}}{v \sigma_i^*} |h_1 - \tilde{h}|^2 &\leq (1 + \kappa) \frac{a p'(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}}{(\sigma_i^*)^3 v} (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 \\
&+ C(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa}) |p'(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right|^2 \\
&\leq (1 + \kappa + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1 + \nu)) \frac{p'(v_m) (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}}{\sigma_m^3 v_m} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 \\
&+ C(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa}) \left| \frac{\delta_i}{\nu_i} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \right| \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, using $\sigma_m = \sqrt{-p'(v_m)}$ and choosing for enough small κ , δ_0 , and ε_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{a p'(\tilde{v}) \delta_i \sigma_i^* (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}}{v p'(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \nu_i} |v - \tilde{v}|^2 + \frac{a p'(\tilde{v}) \delta_i (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}}{v p'(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \sigma_i^* \nu_i} |h_1 - \tilde{h}|^2 \\
&\leq (\kappa + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1 + \delta_i + \nu)) \frac{|(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \sigma_m| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2}{v_m |p'(v_m)|} \\
&+ C(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa}) \frac{\delta_i}{\nu_i} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{C_1 |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2}{64} + C \frac{\delta_i}{\nu_i} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, applying results above with the facts $\partial_{x_1}(v - \tilde{v}) < \varepsilon_1 < C$ and $\partial_{x_1}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}) < \delta_0 < C$ to the last line in (4.36) for each $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_3 &\leq \frac{C_1}{80} \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' + \frac{1}{80} \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\sigma_i^*| |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
&+ C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |v - \tilde{v}| (|\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}| + |\tilde{h} - \tilde{h}_i^{X_i}|) dx_1 dx' \\
&+ C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |h_1 - \tilde{h}| (|\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}| + |\tilde{h} - \tilde{h}_i^{X_i}|) dx_1 dx' \\
&+ C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\partial_{x_1}(v - \tilde{v})| \left| v - \tilde{v} - \frac{h_1 - \tilde{h}}{\sigma_i^*} \right| dx_1 dx' \\
&+ C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\partial_{x_1}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i})| \left| v - \tilde{v} - \frac{h_1 - \tilde{h}}{\sigma_i^*} \right| dx_1 dx'.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| v - \tilde{v} - \frac{h_1 - \tilde{h}}{\sigma_i^*} \right| &\leq \left| p(v) - p(\tilde{v}) - \frac{h_1 - \tilde{h}}{\sigma_i^*} \right| + |v - \tilde{v}| + |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})| \\
&\leq C \left(\left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right| + |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})| \right).
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, by the definition of $p(v) = v^{-\gamma}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_{x_1}(v - \tilde{v})| &= \left| \frac{\partial_{x_1}(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))}{p'(v)} + p(\tilde{v})_{x_1} \left(\frac{1}{p'(v)} - \frac{1}{p'(\tilde{v})} \right) \right| \\
&\leq C (|\nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))| + |(\tilde{v})_{x_1}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\partial_{x_1}(v - \tilde{v})| \left| v - \tilde{v} - \frac{h_1 - \tilde{h}}{\sigma_i^*} \right| dx_1 dx' \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| (|\nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))| + |(\tilde{v})_{x_1}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|) \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right| + |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})| dx_1 dx' \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))| \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right| dx_1 dx' \\
& \quad + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})| dx_1 dx' \\
& \quad + C(\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2) \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})| \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right| dx_1 dx' \\
& \quad + C(\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2) \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx'.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

By Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))| \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right| dx_1 dx' \\
& \leq C\delta_0 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2 dx_1 dx' + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
& \leq C\sqrt{\delta_0}(\mathcal{G}_1 + \mathcal{D}).
\end{aligned}$$

Apply the same way to other terms in (4.38) and to last line in (4.37).

Also apply (4.33) to first line and Lemma 3.5 to second and third line in (4.37).

Then using the smallness of δ_0 , we get

$$\mathcal{B}_3 \leq \frac{1}{40}(C_1\mathcal{G}^S + \mathcal{G}_1 + \mathcal{D}) + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' + C\varepsilon_1\delta_1\delta_2 e^{-C\min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}t}.$$

- **(Estimate of \mathcal{B}_4):** Once again using (4.5) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{B}_4 &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(Q(v|\tilde{v}) + \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \right) F_i(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\
 &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |F_i|(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \left(|p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 + \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right|^2 + \frac{h_2^2 + h_3^2}{2} \right) dx_1 dx' \\
 &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^3 dx_1 dx' + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}| |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
 &+ C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |h_1 - \tilde{h}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\tilde{h} - \tilde{h}_i^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
 &+ C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\partial_{x_1}(v - \tilde{v})| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
 &+ C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\partial_{x_1}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i})| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
 &+ C(\varepsilon_1 + \delta_0)(\mathcal{G}_1 + \mathcal{G}_3).
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that for the right hand side, the first and second terms already were estimated in (4.31) and (4.32).

On the other hand, for third term, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |h_1 - \tilde{h}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
 &\leq C \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx'}_{:=\mathcal{B}_{4,3,1}} \\
 &+ C \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^3 dx_1 dx'}_{=\mathcal{K}_1 \text{ in section 4.5}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Already we got the estimate on \mathcal{K}_1 in section 4.5 :

$$\mathcal{K}_1 \leq \varepsilon_1(\mathcal{D} + C_1\mathcal{G}^S) + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \varepsilon_1 \delta_i \nu_i e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'.$$

To estimate $\mathcal{B}_{4,3,1}$, use Lemma 3.3 as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{4,3,1} &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \|p(v) - p(\tilde{v})\|_{L^\infty}^2 \sqrt{\mathcal{G}_1} \sqrt{\frac{\nu_i}{\delta_i}} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx'} \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|p(v) - p(\tilde{v})\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x^2(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))\|_{L^2} \sqrt{\mathcal{G}_1} \sqrt{\nu_i} \\
&\leq C \varepsilon_1 \sum_{i=1}^2 \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \sqrt{\mathcal{G}_1} \\
&\leq \varepsilon_1 (\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{G}_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For 4th term, we can easily get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\tilde{h} - \tilde{h}_i^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
&= C \mathcal{K}_2 \text{ (in section 4.5)} \\
&\leq C \delta_1 \delta_2 e^{-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}t} \sum_{i=1}^2 \nu_i \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for 5th and 6th terms, as in \mathcal{B}_3 , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\partial_{x_1}(v - \tilde{v})| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq \varepsilon_1 (\mathcal{D} + C_1 \mathcal{G}^S) + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \varepsilon_1 \nu_i \delta_i e^{-C \delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx', \\
&\sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\partial_{x_1}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i})| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq \delta_0 \mathcal{G}^S + C \delta_0^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \nu_i \delta_i e^{-C \delta_i t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, combining all the estimates above and using the smallness of δ_0 and ε_1 , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_4 &\leq \frac{1}{40} (\mathcal{G}_1 + \mathcal{D} + C_1 \mathcal{G}^S + \mathcal{G}_3) \\
&\quad + C \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \varepsilon_1 \nu_i \delta_i e^{-C \delta_i t} + \delta_1 \delta_2 e^{-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}t} \right) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' + C \delta_0^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \nu_i \delta_i e^{-C \delta_i t}.
\end{aligned}$$

•(Estimate for \mathcal{B}_5 , \mathcal{B}_6 , and \mathcal{B}_7): As above, we can easily get some estimates of \mathcal{B}_5 , \mathcal{B}_6 , and \mathcal{B}_7 .

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_5 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v})_{x_1}| |\nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})| dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2 dx_1 dx' + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}|^{\frac{3}{2}} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq \frac{1}{40} (\mathcal{D} + C_1 \mathcal{G}^S) + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx', \\
\mathcal{B}_6 &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\nu_i (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}}{\delta_i} \right| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})| |\partial_{x_1}(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))| dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\nu_i^2}{\delta_i^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}|^{\frac{7}{4}} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}|^{\frac{1}{4}} |\partial_{x_1}(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \sqrt{\delta_i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' + C \sqrt{\delta_i} \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq \frac{1}{40} (\mathcal{D} + C_1 \mathcal{G}^S) + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx', \\
\mathcal{B}_7 &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\nu_i}{\delta_i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}|^2 |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i \nu_i \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq \frac{C_1}{40} \mathcal{G}^S + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, combining the estimates above, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_5 + \mathcal{B}_6 + \mathcal{B}_7 \leq \frac{3}{40} (C_1 \mathcal{G}^S + \mathcal{D}) + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'.$$

•(Estimate for \mathcal{B}_8): Note that

$$R^* = \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{v} (\nabla_x \mathbf{u} \nabla_x v - \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \nabla_x v) - \mu \nabla_x \times \nabla_x \times \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x (-p(\tilde{v}) + p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}) + p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})).$$

Decompose \mathcal{B}_8 as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_8 &= (2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}) \cdot \frac{(\nabla_x \mathbf{u} \nabla_x v - \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \nabla_x v)}{v} dx_1 dx' \\
&\quad - \mu \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}) \cdot \nabla_x \times \nabla_x \times \mathbf{u} dx_1 dx' \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}) \cdot \nabla_x (-p(\tilde{v}) + p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}) + p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})) dx_1 dx' \\
&=: \mathcal{B}_{8,1} + \mathcal{B}_{8,2} + \mathcal{B}_{8,3}
\end{aligned}$$

To get some bound of $\mathcal{B}_{8,1}$, decompose this into

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{8,1} &= (2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(h_1 - \tilde{h}) \frac{\partial_{x_1} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla v - \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \partial_{x_1} v}{v} dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad + (2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ah_2 \frac{\partial_{x_2} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla v - \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \partial_{x_2} v}{v} dx_1 dx' + (2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ah_3 \frac{\partial_{x_3} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla v - \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \partial_{x_3} v}{v} dx_1 dx' \\ &=: \mathcal{B}_{8,1,1} + \mathcal{B}_{8,1,2} + \mathcal{B}_{8,1,3}. \end{aligned}$$

First, to estimate $\mathcal{B}_{8,1,1}$, let $\mathbf{u}' := (u_2, u_3)$, $\nabla_{x'} := (\partial_{x_2}, \partial_{x_3})$, and $\nabla_{x'} \cdot \mathbf{u}' := \partial_{x_2} u_2 + \partial_{x_3} u_3$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &|\partial_{x_1} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x v - \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \partial_{x_1} v| \\ &= |\partial_{x_1} \mathbf{u}' \cdot \nabla_{x'} v - \nabla_{x'} \cdot \mathbf{u}' \partial_{x_1} v| \\ &= \left| \partial_{x_1} \mathbf{u}' \cdot \frac{\nabla_{x'} p(v)}{-\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} - \nabla_{x'} \cdot \mathbf{u}' \frac{\partial_{x_1} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))}{-\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} - \nabla_{x'} \cdot \mathbf{u}' \frac{\partial_{x_1} p(\tilde{v})}{-\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \right| \\ &\leq C (|\nabla_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})| |\nabla_x (p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))| + |\nabla_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})| |(\tilde{v})_{x_1}|), \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (\tilde{u}, 0, 0)$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{8,1,1} &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1 - \tilde{h}| (|\nabla_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})| |\nabla_x (p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))| + |\nabla_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})| |(\tilde{v})_{x_1}|) dx_1 dx' \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1 - \tilde{h}| |\nabla_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})| |\nabla_x (p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))| dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\delta_i}{\nu_i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right| |\nabla_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})| |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})| |\nabla_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})| |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx' \\ &\leq C \underbrace{\|h_1 - \tilde{h}\|_{L^3} \|\nabla_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^6} \sqrt{\mathcal{D}}}_{:=\mathcal{S}} \\ &\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right|^2 |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}|^2 dx_1 dx' + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\delta_i^2}{\nu_i^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx_1 dx' + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}|^{\frac{1}{2}} dx_1 dx'. \end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

To estimate \mathcal{S} , we will use the following inequalities : for any $f : \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (or \mathbb{R}^3) belonging to H^1 , it holds from Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality that

$$\|f\|_{L^3} \leq C \sqrt{\|f\|_{L^6}} \sqrt{\|f\|_{L^2}}. \tag{4.40}$$

On the other hand, using Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we have

$$\|f\|_{L^6} \leq C \|\nabla_x f\|_{L^2}. \tag{4.41}$$

Combining (4.40) and (4.41), we get

$$\|f\|_{L^3} \leq C \|f\|_{H^1}. \tag{4.42}$$

Using (3.6) and applying (4.42) to $h_1 - \tilde{h}$ and (4.41) to $\nabla_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})$, we have

$$\mathcal{S} \leq C \varepsilon_1 (\|\nabla_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{H^1}^2 + \mathcal{D}).$$

Therefore, from (4.39),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{8,1,1} &\leq C\varepsilon_1(\|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{H^1}^2 + \mathcal{D}) + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{G}_1 + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i \mathcal{G}^S + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' \\ &\leq (\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) (C_1 \mathcal{G}^S + \mathcal{G}_1 + \mathcal{D} + \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{H^1}^2) + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{8,1,2} + \mathcal{B}_{8,1,3} &\leq C(\|h_2\|_{L^3} + \|h_3\|_{L^3}) \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^6} \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^{\frac{5}{4}} \sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3} (\|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{\mathcal{D}}) \\ &\leq C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) (\mathcal{G}_3 + \mathcal{D} + \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{H^1}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Altogether,

$$\mathcal{B}_{8,1} \leq (\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) (C_1 \mathcal{G}^S + \mathcal{G}_1 + \mathcal{G}_3 + \mathcal{D} + \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{H^1}^2) + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'.$$

To estimates $\mathcal{B}_{8,2}$, we decompose it again.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{8,2} &= -\mu \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot \nabla_x \times \nabla_x \times \mathbf{u} dx_1 dx' + \mu(2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \nabla_x(v - \tilde{v}) \cdot \nabla_x \times \nabla_x \times \mathbf{u} dx_1 dx' \\ &=: \mathcal{B}_{8,2,1} + \mathcal{B}_{8,2,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the integration by parts and the fact that $\nabla_x \times \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \equiv 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{8,2,1} &= -\mu \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla_x \times (a(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})) \cdot \nabla_x \times \mathbf{u} dx_1 dx' \\ &= -\mu \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla_x \times (a(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})) \cdot \nabla_x \times (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) dx_1 dx' \\ &= -\mu \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\nabla_x a \times (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) + a \nabla_x \times (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})] \cdot \nabla_x \times (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) dx_1 dx' \\ &= -\mu \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a |\nabla_x \times (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad - \mu \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (u_2(\partial_{x_1} u_2 - \partial_{x_2} u_1) - u_3(\partial_{x_3} u_1 - \partial_{x_1} u_3)) dx_1 dx' \tag{4.43} \\ &\leq -\mu \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a |\nabla_x \times (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad + \mu \sum_{i=1}^2 \sqrt{\nu_i \delta_i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| (u_2^2 + u_3^2) dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad + \mu \sum_{i=1}^2 \sqrt{\nu_i \delta_i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\partial_{x_1} u_2 - \partial_{x_2} u_1|^2 + |\partial_{x_3} u_1 - \partial_{x_1} u_3|^2) dx_1 dx'. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{h} + (2\mu + \lambda)\nabla_x v$ into the second term of the r.h.s. in (4.43), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{8,2,1} &\leq -\mu \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a |\nabla_x \times (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
&\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \sqrt{\nu_i \delta_i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| (h_2^2 + h_3^2) dx_1 dx' + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \sqrt{\nu_i \delta_i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_{x'} v|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
&\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \sqrt{\nu_i \delta_i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x \times (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq -\frac{3\mu}{4} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a |\nabla_x \times (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 dx_1 dx' + C \sqrt{\delta_0} (\mathcal{G}_3 + \mathcal{D}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{8,2,2} &= -\mu(2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v - \tilde{v}) \nabla_x a \cdot \nabla_x \times \nabla_x \times \mathbf{u} dx_1 dx' \\
&= -\mu(2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v - \tilde{v}) (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (\partial_{x_2} (\partial_{x_1} u_2 - \partial_{x_2} u_1) - \partial_{x_3} (\partial_{x_3} u_1 - \partial_{x_1} u_3)) dx_1 dx' \\
&= \mu(2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \partial_{x_2} (v - \tilde{v}) (\partial_{x_1} u_2 - \partial_{x_2} u_1) dx_1 dx' \\
&\quad - \mu(2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \partial_{x_3} (v - \tilde{v}) (\partial_{x_3} u_1 - \partial_{x_1} u_3) dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \nu_i \delta_i \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x \times (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 dx_1 dx' + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \nu_i \delta_i \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_{x'} (v - \tilde{v})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{4} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a |\nabla_x \times (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 dx_1 dx' + C \delta_0 \mathcal{D}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_{8,2} \leq -\frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a |\nabla_x \times (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 dx_1 dx' + \sqrt{\delta_0} (\mathcal{G}_3 + \mathcal{D}).$$

Finally to estimate \mathcal{B}_3 , note that

$$\mathcal{B}_{8,3} = - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a (h_1 - \tilde{h}) \partial_{x_1} (p(\tilde{v}) - p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}) - p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})) dx_1 dx'.$$

Since $\partial_{x_1} (p(\tilde{v}) - p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}) - p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})) = (p'(\tilde{v}) - p'(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}))(\tilde{v}_1)_{x_1}^{X_1} + (p'(\tilde{v}) - p'(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2}))(\tilde{v}_2)_{x_1}^{X_2}$, we use Lemma 3.5 to have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{8,3} &\leq C \varepsilon_1 \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}| |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq C \varepsilon_1 \delta_1 \delta_2 e^{-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_8 &\leq \frac{1}{40}(C_1\mathcal{G}^S + \mathcal{G}_1 + \mathcal{G}_3 + \mathcal{D}) + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1)\|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{H^1}^2 \\ &\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' + C\varepsilon_1\delta_1\delta_2 e^{-C\min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}t}. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, we summarize that (4.30) implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\rho\eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' + \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\delta_i}{4M} |\dot{X}_i|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_3 + \frac{C_1}{2}\mathcal{G}^S + \frac{1}{10}\mathcal{D} \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 (\delta_i \exp(-C\delta_i t) + \varepsilon_1\nu_i\delta_i \exp(-C\delta_i t) + \delta_1\delta_2 \exp(-C\min(\delta_1, \delta_2)t) + \frac{1}{t^2}) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\rho\eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1)\delta_1\delta_2 \exp(-C\min(\delta_1, \delta_2)t) + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1)\|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{H^1}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

4.7. Estimate in small time. Notice that the estimate (4.28) on \mathcal{R}_1 has the coefficient $\frac{1}{t^2}$, which is not integrable near $t = 0$. Hence, to have the desired result, we would find a rough estimate for a short time $t \leq 1$ and then we return to the preceding right-hand side \mathcal{R} in (4.16):

$$\mathcal{R} = - \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\delta_i}{M} |\dot{X}_i|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\dot{X}_i \sum_{j=3}^6 Y_{ij} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^8 \mathcal{B}_i - \mathcal{G}_1 - \mathcal{G}_2 - \mathcal{G}_3 - \mathcal{D}.$$

Using Young's inequality (4.29), we first get

$$\mathcal{R} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\delta_i}{4M} |\dot{X}_i|^2 + \mathcal{G}_1 + \mathcal{G}_3 + \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{G}^S \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{C}{\delta_i} \sum_{j=3}^6 |Y_{ij}|^2 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^8 \mathcal{B}_i + \mathcal{G}^S.$$

In addition, by (3.6) and Lemma 2.1 with (4.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=3}^6 |Y_{ij}| &\leq C \left\| (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \right\|_{L^2} (\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{L^2} + \|p(v) - p(\tilde{v})\|_{L^2}) \\ &\quad + \left\| (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \right\|_{L^\infty} (\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|p(v) - p(\tilde{v})\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq C\delta_i\varepsilon_1, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{C}{\delta_i} \sum_{j=3}^6 |Y_{ij}|^2 \right) \leq C\varepsilon_1^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i.$$

Similarly, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^8 \mathcal{B}_i \leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\left\| (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\| (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \right\|_{L^\infty} \right) \left(\|v - \tilde{v}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{H^1}^2 \right) \leq C\varepsilon_1^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i,$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}^S \leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \left\| (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \right\|_{L^\infty} \|p(v) - p(\tilde{v})\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\varepsilon_1^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2.$$

Thus, the estimates above provide a rough bound: for any $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in (0, \delta_0)$,

$$\mathcal{R} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\delta_i}{4M} |\dot{X}_i|^2 + \mathcal{G}_1 + \mathcal{G}_3 + \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{G}^S \leq C\delta_0, \quad t > 0. \quad (4.45)$$

4.8. Proof of Lemma 4.1. We here finish the proof of Lemma 4.1. First of all, from (4.45) with (4.16), we have a rough estimate for $t \leq 1$ as follows:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\rho\eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' + \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\delta_i}{4M} |\dot{X}_i|^2 + \mathcal{G}_1 + \mathcal{G}_3 + \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{G}^S \leq C\delta_0,$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\rho\eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' \right|_{t=1} + \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\delta_i}{4M} |\dot{X}_i|^2 + \mathcal{G}_1 + \mathcal{G}_3 + \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{G}^S \right) dt \\ & \leq \left. \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\rho\eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' \right|_{t=0} + C\delta_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

On the other hand, for $t \geq 1$, we apply Grönwall inequality to (4.44) to have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\rho\eta(U(t,x)|\tilde{U}(t,x)) dx_1 dx' + \int_1^t \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\delta_i}{4M} |\dot{X}_i|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_3 + \frac{C_1}{2}\mathcal{G}^S + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{D} \right) ds \\ & \leq C \left. \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\rho\eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' \right|_{t=1} + C\delta_0 + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) \int_1^t \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{H^1}^2 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

In the end, combining the estimates (4.46) and (4.47), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\rho\eta(U(t,x)|\tilde{U}(t,x)) dx_1 dx' + \int_0^t \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i |\dot{X}_i|^2 + \mathcal{G}_1 + \mathcal{G}_3 + \mathcal{G}^S + \mathcal{D} \right) ds \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(0,x)\rho(0,x)\eta(U_0(x)|\tilde{U}(0,x)) dx_1 dx' + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) \int_1^t \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{H^1}^2 ds + C\delta_0, \end{aligned}$$

which together with $\frac{1}{2} \leq a \leq \frac{3}{2}$, $\mathbf{G}_1(U) \sim \mathcal{G}_1(U)$, $\mathbf{G}_3(U) \sim \mathcal{G}_3(U)$, and $\mathbf{D}(U) \sim \mathcal{D}(U)$, completes the proof of Lemma 4.1.

5. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.2

In this section, we present the higher order estimates as in the following lemmas. Then the following lemmas and Lemma 4.1 complete the proof of Proposition 3.2. The proofs for the higher order estimates follows the typical energy method. So, we only present the statements for the lemmas here, and postpone those proofs in Appendix.

Lemma 5.1. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.2, there exists positive constant C , which is independent of ν_i , δ_i , ε_1 , and T such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v - \tilde{v}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i |\dot{X}_i(\tau)|^2 + \mathbf{G}_1(\tau) + \mathbf{G}_3(\tau) + \mathcal{G}^S(\tau) + \mathbf{D}(\tau) \right) d\tau + \int_0^t \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \\ & \leq C (\|v_0 - \tilde{v}(0, \cdot)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_0 - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2) + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) \int_0^t \|\nabla_x^2(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau + C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Lemma 5.2. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.2, there exists positive constant C , which is independent of ν_i , δ_i , ε_1 , and T , such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, it holds*

$$\|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla_x^2(u - \tilde{u})(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq C (\|v_0 - \tilde{v}(0, \cdot)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_0 - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(0, \cdot)\|_{H^1}^2) + C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5.2)$$

Lemma 5.3. *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 3.2, there exists positive constant C , which is independent of ν_i , δ_i , ε_1 , and T , such that for all $t \in [0, t]$, it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla_x^2(v - \tilde{v})(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla_x^2(v - \tilde{v})(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \\ & \leq C (\|v_0 - \tilde{v}(0, \cdot)\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_0 - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(0, \cdot)\|_{H^1}^2) + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) \int_0^t \|\nabla_x^3(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Lemma 5.4. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.2, there exists positive constant C , which is independent of ν_i , δ_i , ε_1 , and T , such that for all $t \in [0, t]$, it holds*

$$\|\nabla_x^2(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla_x^3(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \leq C (\|v_0 - \tilde{v}(0, \cdot)\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_0 - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(0, \cdot)\|_{H^2}^2) + C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5.4)$$

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF LEMMA 4.2

First, it follows from the equations that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(a\rho Q(v|\tilde{v})) &= -\rho Q(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 (\sigma_i + \dot{X}_i(t))(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + a\rho_t Q(v|\tilde{v}) + a\rho[-v_t(p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) + p'(\tilde{v})(v - \tilde{v})(\tilde{v})_t] \\ &= -\rho Q(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t)(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + a\rho_t Q(v|\tilde{v}) - \rho Q(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 \sigma_i(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \\ &\quad - a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))\rho(v - \tilde{v})_t + \rho a p(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 (\sigma_i + \dot{X}_i(t))(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}, \\ \operatorname{div}_x(a\rho\mathbf{u}Q(v|\tilde{v})) &= \rho u_1 Q(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + aQ(v|\tilde{v}) \operatorname{div}_x(\rho\mathbf{u}) \\ &\quad - a\rho u_1 p(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} - a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))\rho \nabla_x(v - \tilde{v}) \cdot \mathbf{u}. \end{aligned}$$

These imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
-a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))\rho(v - \tilde{v})_t &= \partial_t(a\rho Q(v|\tilde{v})) - aQ(v|\tilde{v})\partial_t\rho \\
&\quad + \rho Q(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 (\sigma_i + \dot{X}_i(t))(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \\
&\quad - \rho a p(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 (\sigma_i + \dot{X}_i(t))(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}, \\
-a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))\rho\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x(v - \tilde{v}) &= \operatorname{div}_x(a\rho\mathbf{u}Q(v|\tilde{v})) - aQ(v|\tilde{v})\operatorname{div}_x(\rho\mathbf{u}) \\
&\quad - \rho u_1 Q(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \\
&\quad + a\rho u_1 p(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Thus, multiplying (4.4)₁ by $-a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))$ and using the definition of σ_i^* , it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t(a\rho Q(v|\tilde{v})) + \operatorname{div}_x(a\rho\mathbf{u}Q(v|\tilde{v})) + a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))\operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}) \\
&= -\rho Q(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t)(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} - a\rho p'(\tilde{v})(v - \tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t)(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \\
&\quad + Q(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 F_i(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} - Q(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 \sigma_i^*(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \\
&\quad - a p(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 F_i(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + a p(v|\tilde{v}) \sum_{i=1}^2 \sigma_i^*(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \\
&\quad + a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \sum_{i=1}^2 F_i(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \\
&\quad - (2\mu + \lambda)a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))\Delta_x(v - \tilde{v}).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

Note that

$$\nabla_x v = \frac{\nabla_x p(v)}{-\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_x \tilde{v} = \frac{\nabla_x p(\tilde{v})}{-\gamma p(\tilde{v})^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}}.$$

To get the desired diffusion term, we manipulate the last term in (A.2) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (2\mu + \lambda)a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))\Delta_x(v - \tilde{v}) \\
= & - (2\mu + \lambda)\operatorname{div}_x(a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))\nabla_x(v - \tilde{v})) \\
& + (2\mu + \lambda)\nabla_x(a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))) \cdot \nabla_x(v - \tilde{v}) \\
= & - (2\mu + \lambda)\operatorname{div}_x(a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))\nabla_x(v - \tilde{v})) \\
& + (2\mu + \lambda)a\nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla_x p(v)}{-\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} - \frac{\nabla_x p(\tilde{v})}{-\gamma p(\tilde{v})^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \right) \\
& + (2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^2 (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \left(\frac{\partial_{x_1}(p(v))}{-\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} - \frac{\partial_{x_1} p(\tilde{v})}{-\gamma p(\tilde{v})^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \right) \\
= & - (2\mu + \lambda)\operatorname{div}_x(a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))\nabla_x(v - \tilde{v})) \\
& - (2\mu + \lambda)a \frac{|\nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \\
& - (2\mu + \lambda)a \partial_{x_1}(p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \partial_{x_1} p(\tilde{v}) \left(\frac{1}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} - \frac{1}{\gamma p(\tilde{v})^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \right) \\
& - (2\mu + \lambda)(p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \frac{\partial_{x_1}(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \sum_{i=1}^2 (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \\
& - (2\mu + \lambda)(p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \partial_{x_1} p(\tilde{v}) \left(\frac{1}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} - \frac{1}{\gamma p(\tilde{v})^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \right) \sum_{i=1}^2 (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, note that

- $\partial_t \left(a\rho \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \right) = -\rho \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 (\sigma_i + \dot{X}_i(t)) (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + a\rho_t \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} + a\rho(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}})(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}})_t$
- $\operatorname{div}_x \left(a\rho \mathbf{u} \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \right) = \rho u_1 \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + a \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \operatorname{div}_x(\rho \mathbf{u})$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + a\rho u_1(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}) \cdot \partial_{x_1}(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}) + a\rho u_2(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}) \cdot \partial_{x_2}(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}) + a\rho u_3(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}) \cdot \partial_{x_3}(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}) \\
& = \rho u_1 \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + a \operatorname{div}_x(\rho \mathbf{u}) \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} + a(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}) \cdot \rho \mathbf{u} \nabla_x(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}})
\end{aligned}$$
- $\operatorname{div}_x(a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}})) - a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))\operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}})$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \partial_{x_1}(a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))) (h_1 - \tilde{h}) + \partial_{x_2}(a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))) h_2 + \partial_{x_3}(a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))) h_3 \\
& = (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) (h_1 - \tilde{h}) \sum_{i=1}^2 (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + a(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}) \cdot \nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v})).
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (4.4)₂ by $a(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}})$ and using these equalities above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \left(a\rho \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \right) + \operatorname{div}_x \left(a\rho \mathbf{u} \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \right) \\
& + \operatorname{div}_x (a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}})) - a(p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}) \\
& = -\rho \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t) (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + \rho \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 F_i (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} - \rho \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sigma_i^* (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \\
& \quad + a\rho (h_1 - \tilde{h}) \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t) (\tilde{h}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} - a(h_1 - \tilde{h}) \sum_{i=1}^2 F_i (\tilde{h}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + a(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}) \cdot R^* \\
& \quad + \rho u_1 \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) (h_1 - \tilde{h}) \sum_{i=1}^2 (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

Adding (A.2) and (A.3) together and then integrating the result by parts over Ω , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\rho \left(Q(v|\tilde{v}) + \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \right) dx_1 dx' \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dot{X}_i(t) \rho \left(-Q(v|\tilde{v}) (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} - \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} - ap'(\tilde{v})(v - \tilde{v})(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + a(h_1 - \tilde{h})(\tilde{h}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \right) dx_1 dx' \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) (h_1 - \tilde{h}) dx_1 dx' + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_i^* ap(v|\tilde{v})(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ap'(\tilde{v})(v - \tilde{v}) F_i (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' - \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(h_1 - \tilde{h}) F_i (\tilde{h}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(Q(v|\tilde{v}) + \frac{|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2}{2} \right) F_i (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\
& \quad - (2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \partial_{x_1} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \partial_{x_1} p(\tilde{v}) \left(\frac{1}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} - \frac{1}{\gamma p(\tilde{v})^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \right) \\
& \quad - (2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \frac{\partial_{x_1} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} dx_1 dx' \\
& \quad - (2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \partial_{x_1} p(\tilde{v}) \left(\frac{1}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} - \frac{1}{\gamma p(\tilde{v})^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \right) dx_1 dx' \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}) \cdot R^* dx_1 dx' - \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_i^* Q(v|\tilde{v})(a_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\
& \quad - (2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{|\nabla_x (p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2}{\gamma p(v)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}} dx_1 dx',
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

which complete the proof of Lemma 4.2.

APPENDIX B. PROOF OF LEMMA 5.1

Recall

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho(v - \tilde{v})_t + \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x (v - \tilde{v}) - \rho \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t) (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + \sum_{i=1}^2 F_i(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} = \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}), \\ \rho(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})_t + \rho \mathbf{u} \nabla_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) + \nabla_x (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) - \rho \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t) (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i)_{x_1} + \sum_{i=1}^2 F_i(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i)_{x_1} \\ = \mu \Delta_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) - \nabla_x (p(\tilde{v}) - p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}) - p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})). \end{array} \right. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Multiplying (B.5)₁ by $-(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))$ and using the similar way as in Section 4.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t (\rho Q(v|\tilde{v})) + \operatorname{div}_x (\rho \mathbf{u} Q(v|\tilde{v})) + (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \\ &= -\rho \sum_{i=1}^2 \rho p'(\tilde{v})(v - \tilde{v}) \dot{X}_i(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + \sum_{i=1}^2 p(v|\tilde{v}) \sigma_i^*(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + \sum_{i=1}^2 p'(\tilde{v})(v - \tilde{v}) F_i(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (B.5)₂ by $\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ and using the similar way as in Section 4.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \left(\rho \frac{|\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2}{2} \right) + \operatorname{div}_x \left(\rho \mathbf{u} \frac{|\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2}{2} \right) + \operatorname{div}_x [(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})] - (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \\ &= \rho (u_1 - \tilde{u}) \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(\tilde{u}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} - (u_1 - \tilde{u}) \sum_{i=1}^2 F_i(\tilde{u}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} - \mu |\nabla_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 - (\mu + \lambda) |\operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 \\ & \quad + \mu \operatorname{div}_x [\nabla_x (p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})] + (\mu + \lambda) \operatorname{div} [\operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})] \\ & \quad - \nabla_x (p(\tilde{v}) - p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1})_{x_1} - p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})_{x_1}) \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}). \end{aligned}$$

Adding two equalities above and integrating that over Ω , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \left(Q(v|\tilde{v}) + \frac{|\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2}{2} \right) dx_1 dx' \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu |\nabla_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 + (\mu + \lambda) |\operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t) Z_i(t) + \sum_{i=1}^4 I_i(t), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_i(t) &= - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho p'(\tilde{v})(v - \tilde{v})(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho (u_1 - \tilde{u})(\tilde{u}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\ &=: Z_{1,i}(t) + Z_{2,i}(t), \\ I_{1,i}(t) &= \sigma_i^* \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(v|\tilde{v})(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx', \\ I_{2,i}(t) &= \sigma_i^* \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_i p'(\tilde{v})(v - \tilde{v})(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx', \\ I_{3,i}(t) &= - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_i (u_1 - \tilde{u})(\tilde{u}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx', \\ I_4(t) &= - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla_x (p(\tilde{v}) - p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1})_{x_1} - p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})_{x_1}) \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) dx_1 dx'. \end{aligned}$$

First, by (4.33) we get the estimates of $Z_i(t)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
|Z_{1,i}(t)| &\leq C \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx'} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v - \tilde{v}|^2 |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx'} \\
&\leq C \sqrt{\delta_i} \sqrt{\left(\mathcal{G}^S + \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' \right)} \\
\implies |\dot{X}_i| |Z_{1,i}| &\leq \frac{\delta_i}{8} |\dot{X}_i|^2 + C\mathcal{G}^S + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx', \\
|Z_{2,i}(t)| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right| + |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |\partial_{x_1}(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))| + |(\tilde{v}_i^{X_i})_{x_1}| |v - \tilde{v}| \right) |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq C \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx'} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| h_1 - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{v})}{\sigma_i^*} \right|^2 |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx'} \\
&\quad + C \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx'} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx'} \\
&\quad + C \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}|^2 dx_1 dx'} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))|^2 dx_1 dx'} \\
&\leq C \sqrt{\delta_i} (\sqrt{\mathbf{G}_1} + \sqrt{\mathcal{G}^S + \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' + \delta_i \sqrt{\mathbf{D}}}) \\
\implies |\dot{X}_i| |Z_{2,i}| &\leq \frac{\delta_i}{8} |\dot{X}_i|^2 + C\mathbf{G}_1 + C\mathcal{G}^S + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' + C\delta_i^2 \mathbf{D}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, we estimate I -terms.

By (4.33),

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_{1,i}| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})|^2 |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq C\mathcal{G}^S + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'.
\end{aligned}$$

As in \mathcal{B}_4 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_{2,i}| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |F_i| |p(v) - p(\tilde{v})| |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq C\mathcal{G}^S + C \frac{\delta_i}{\nu_i} (\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_3 + \mathbf{D}) + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'.
\end{aligned}$$

Using $u_1 - \tilde{u} = h_1 - \tilde{h} + (2\mu + \lambda)\partial_{x_1}(v - \tilde{v})$, as in \mathcal{B}_4 , we get

$$|I_{3,i}| \leq C\mathcal{G}^S + C \frac{\delta_i}{\nu_i} (\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_3 + \mathbf{D}) + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'.$$

Finally, to estimate I_4 , note that

$$\nabla_x(p(\tilde{v}) - p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}) - p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})) \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) = \partial_{x_1}(p(\tilde{v}) - p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}) - p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2}))(u_1 - \tilde{u}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_1}(p(\tilde{v}) - p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}) - p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})) &= p'(\tilde{v})((\tilde{v}_1)_{x_1}^{X_1} + (\tilde{v}_2)_{x_1}^{X_2}) - p'(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1})(\tilde{v}_1)_{x_1}^{X_1} - p'(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})(\tilde{v}_2)_{x_1}^{X_2} \\ &= (\tilde{v}_1)_{x_1}^{X_1}(p'(\tilde{v}) - p'(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1})) + (\tilde{v}_2)_{x_1}^{X_2}(p'(\tilde{v}) - p'(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})). \end{aligned}$$

Then as in \mathcal{B}_3 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |I_4| &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}| \left(|h_1 - \tilde{h}| + |\partial_{x_1}(v - \tilde{v})| \right) dx_1 dx' \\ &\leq C \delta_1 \delta_2 e^{-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}t} + C \delta_0 (\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{D} + \mathcal{G}^S) + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_0 \delta_i^2 e^{-C \delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \left(Q(v|\tilde{v}) + \frac{|\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2}{2} \right) dx_1 dx' + \mu \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\delta_i}{4} |\dot{X}_i|^2 + \mathcal{C}_1 (\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_3 + \mathcal{G}^S + \mathbf{D}) \\ &\quad + C \delta_1 \delta_2 e^{-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}t} + C \delta_0 \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i e^{-C \delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx', \end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_1 > 0$ is some constant.

Integrate (B.7) over $[0, t]$ for any $t \leq T$ and then multiply the result by $\frac{1}{2 \max\{1, \mathcal{C}_1\}}$.

Then by the smallness of the parameters, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2 \max\{1, \mathcal{C}_1\}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \left(Q(v|\tilde{v}) + \frac{|\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2}{2} \right) dx_1 dx' + \frac{\mu}{2 \max\{1, \mathcal{C}_1\}} \int_0^t \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2 \max\{1, \mathcal{C}_1\}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(Q(v_0(x)|\tilde{v}(0, x)) + \frac{|\mathbf{u}_0(x) - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(0, x)|^2}{2} \right) dx_1 dx' \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i |\dot{X}_i|^2 + \mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_3 + \mathcal{G}^S + \mathbf{D} \right) d\tau + \frac{\sqrt{\max\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\delta_0} \varepsilon_1}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.8}$$

Adding (B.8) to Lemma 4.1, we complete the proof of the Lemma 5.1.

APPENDIX C. PROOF OF LEMMA 5.2

Multiply (B.5)₂ by $-v \Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})$ and then integrate that over Ω .

Using the integration by parts, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2}{2} dx_1 dx' \\
& + \mu \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v |\Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 dx_1 dx' + (\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot v \Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) dx_1 dx' \\
= & - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((\nabla_x \mathbf{u} \nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})) : \nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) - \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \frac{|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2}{2} \right) dx_1 dx' \tag{C.9} \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\tilde{u}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \Delta_x(u_1 - \tilde{u}) dx_1 dx' + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v F_i(\tilde{u}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \Delta_x(u_1 - \tilde{u}) dx_1 dx' \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v \Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot \nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) dx_1 dx'.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot v \Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) dx_1 dx' \\
= & (\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v |\nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 dx_1 dx' \\
& + (\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot [\Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) - \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})] dx_1 dx'.
\end{aligned}$$

For the above last term, by integration by parts and by the formula

$$\nabla_x \cdot [\Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) - \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})] = 0,$$

it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) [\Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) - \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})] dx_1 dx' \\
= & - (\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \nabla_x \cdot (v [\Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) - \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})]) dx_1 dx' \\
= & - (\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \nabla_x v \cdot ([\Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) - \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})]) dx_1 dx'.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (C.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2}{2} dx_1 dx' \\
& + \underbrace{\mu \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v |\Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 dx_1 dx + (\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v |\nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 dx_1 dx'}_{:=\mathcal{D}_2} \\
& = - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((\nabla_x \mathbf{u} \nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})) : \nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) - \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \frac{|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2}{2} \right) dx_1 dx' \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\tilde{u}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \Delta_x(u_1 - \tilde{u}) dx_1 dx' + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v F_i(\tilde{u}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \Delta_x(u_1 - \tilde{u}) dx_1 dx' \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v \Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot \nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v})) dx_1 dx' \\
& + (\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \nabla_x v \cdot ([\Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) - \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})]) dx_1 dx' \\
& := \sum_{i=1}^5 J_i(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall $\varepsilon_1 = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|(v - \tilde{v}), \mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{H^2}$.

Using (4.42),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^3} &\leq C \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{H^1} \leq C\varepsilon_1, \\
\|\nabla_x(v - \tilde{v})\|_{L^3} &\leq C \|\nabla_x(v - \tilde{v})\|_{H^1} \leq C\varepsilon_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.10}$$

From this, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1(t) &\leq C \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^3} \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^6} \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla_x \tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C\varepsilon_1 \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{H^1} \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2} + C(\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2) \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C(\varepsilon_1 + \delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2) (\mathcal{D}_2(t) + \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

By Young's inequality,

$$J_2(t) \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 |\dot{X}_i(t)| \|(\tilde{u}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}\|_{L^2} \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_2} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 |\dot{X}_i(t)| \delta_i^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_2} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 |\dot{X}_i(t)|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i \mathcal{D}_2(t).$$

As in J_2 and \mathcal{B}_4 , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3(t) &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i \sqrt{\frac{\delta_i}{\nu_i}} (\sqrt{\mathbf{G}_1} + \sqrt{\mathbf{G}_3} + \sqrt{\mathcal{G}^S} + \sqrt{\mathbf{D}}) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_2} \\
&\leq C(\delta_1 + \delta_2) (\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_3 + \mathcal{G}^S + \mathbf{D} + \mathcal{D}_2).
\end{aligned}$$

By Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_4(t) &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v |\Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})| |\nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))| dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{5} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v |\Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|^2 dx_1 dx' + C \|\nabla_x(p(v) - p(\tilde{v}))\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{5} \mathcal{D}_2 + C\mathbf{D}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Holder's inequality and Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_5 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})| |\nabla_x(v - \tilde{v})| (|\Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})| + |\nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|) dx_1 dx' \\
&\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})| |(\tilde{v})_{x_1}| (|\Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})| + |\nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})|) dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq C \|\operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^6} \|\nabla_x(v - \tilde{v})\|_{L^3} (\|\Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2}) \\
&\quad + C \|\operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2} \|(\tilde{v})_{x_1}\|_{L^\infty} (\|\Delta_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2}) \\
&\leq C(\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2 + \varepsilon_1) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_2} \|\operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{H^1} \\
&\leq C(\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2 + \varepsilon_1) (\mathcal{D}_2 + \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from the estimates above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathcal{D}_2(t) \\
&\leq C_2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 |\dot{X}_i(t)|^2 + \mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_3 + \mathcal{G}^S + \mathbf{D} + \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2}^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_2 > 0$ is some constant.

Integrate the inequality above over $[0, t]$ for any $t \leq T$ and then multiply the result by $\frac{1}{2 \max\{1, C_2\}}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2 \max\{1, C_2\}} \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2 \max\{1, C_2\}} \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_2 d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2 \max\{1, C_2\}} \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u}_0 - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(0, \cdot))\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i |\dot{X}_i|^2 + \mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_3 + \mathcal{G}^S + \mathbf{D} \right) d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\nabla_x(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.11}$$

Using $\|\nabla_x^2(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \sim \mathcal{D}_2(t)$ and adding (C.11) to (5.1), we finish the proof of the Lemma 5.2.

APPENDIX D. PROOF OF LEMMA 5.3

We set $\phi := v - \tilde{v}$, $\psi := \mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ for simplicity, and substitute this into (5.2) as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \phi + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \phi - \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t) (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + v \sum_{i=1}^2 F_i(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} = v \operatorname{div}_x \psi, \\ \partial_t \psi + \mathbf{u} \nabla_x \psi + v p'(v) \nabla_x \phi + v(p'(v) - p'(\tilde{v})) \nabla_x \tilde{v} - \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t) (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i)_{x_1} + v \sum_{i=1}^2 F_i(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i)_{x_1} \\ = \mu v \Delta_x \psi + (\mu + \lambda) v \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \psi - \nabla_x(p(\tilde{v}) - p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}) - p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})). \end{cases} \tag{D.12}$$

Taking $\nabla_x \partial_{x_j}$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) to (5.9)₁ and ∂_{x_j} ($j = 1, 2, 3$) to (5.9)₂, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} & \partial_t \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi + \mathbf{u} \nabla_x (\nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi) - \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t) \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \\ & + v \sum_{i=1}^2 F_i \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \mathbf{u} \nabla_x \phi + \nabla_x \mathbf{u} \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi + \partial_j \mathbf{u} \nabla_x (\nabla_x \phi) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^2 \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} (v F_i) (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \nabla_x (v F_i) \partial_{x_j} (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \partial_{x_j} (v F_i) \nabla_x (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \\ & = v \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \operatorname{div}_x (\psi) + \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} v \operatorname{div}_x \psi + \partial_{x_j} v \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \psi + \nabla_x v \partial_{x_j} \operatorname{div}_x \psi, \\ & \partial_t \partial_{x_j} \psi + \mathbf{u} \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \psi + \partial_{x_j} \mathbf{u} \nabla_x \psi + v p'(v) \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi + \partial_{x_j} (v p'(v)) \nabla_x \phi \\ & + \partial_{x_j} (v (p'(v) - p'(\tilde{v}))) \nabla_x \tilde{v} + v (p'(v) - p'(\tilde{v})) \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} (\tilde{v}) \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t) \partial_{x_j} (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i)_{x_1} + \sum_{i=1}^2 v F_i \partial_{x_j} (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i)_{x_1} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \partial_{x_j} (v F_i) (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i)_{x_1} \\ & = \mu v \Delta_x \partial_{x_j} \psi + (\mu + \lambda) v \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \operatorname{div}_x \psi \\ & + \partial_{x_j} v (\mu \Delta_x \psi + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \psi) - \partial_{x_j} \nabla_x (p(\tilde{v}) - p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}) - p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})). \end{aligned} \right. \quad (\text{D.13})$$

Multiply (D.13)₁ by $\rho(2\mu + \lambda) \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi$ and then sum i from 1 to 3.

In addition, integrating the result over Ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\mu + \lambda) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \frac{|\nabla_x^2 \phi|^2}{2} dx_1 dx' - (2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi \cdot \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \operatorname{div}_x \psi dx_1 dx' \\ & = (2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \partial_{x_1}^2 \phi (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1 x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' - (2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_i \partial_{x_1} \phi (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1 x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\ & - (2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi \cdot [\nabla_x \partial_j \mathbf{u} \nabla_x \phi + \nabla_x \mathbf{u} \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi + \partial_{x_j} \mathbf{u} \nabla_x (\nabla_x \phi)] dx_1 dx' \\ & - (2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho (\nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi \cdot \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} (v F_i) (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_j} \phi \partial_{x_j} (v F_i) (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1 x_1}^{X_i}) dx_1 dx' \\ & - (2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \nabla_x \partial_{x_1} \phi \cdot \nabla_x (v F_i) (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1 x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\ & + (2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi \cdot [\nabla_x \partial_{x_j} v \operatorname{div}_x \psi + \partial_{x_j} v \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \psi + \nabla_x v \partial_{x_j} \operatorname{div}_x \psi] dx_1 dx'. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.14})$$

Especially, in this calculation above, we significantly use the equality below which comes from integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} -\rho_t \frac{|\nabla_x^2 \phi|^2}{2} + \rho \nabla_x^2 \phi \cdot \mathbf{u} (\nabla_x^3 \phi) dx_1 dx' &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} -\rho_t \frac{|\nabla_x^2 \phi|^2}{2} - \operatorname{div}_x (\rho \mathbf{u}) \frac{|\nabla_x^2 \phi|^2}{2} dx_1 dx' \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Multiply (D.13)₁ by $\rho(2\mu + \lambda) \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi$ and then sum i from 1 to 3.

In addition, integrating the result over Ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} -p'(v) |\nabla_x^2 \phi|^2 dx_1 dx' + (2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi \cdot \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \operatorname{div}_x \psi dx_1 dx' \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \partial_{x_j} \psi \cdot \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi dx_1 dx' + \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi \cdot \partial_{x_j} \mathbf{u} \nabla_x \psi dx_1 dx' \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \partial_{x_j} \psi \cdot [\nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \partial_t \phi + \mathbf{u} \nabla_x (\nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi)] dx_1 dx' \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \partial_{x_j} (vp'(v)) \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi \cdot \nabla_x \phi dx_1 dx' - \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \partial_{x_1}^2 \phi (\tilde{u}_i)_{x_1 x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \partial_{x_j} (v(p'(v) - p'(\tilde{v}))) \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_j} \phi (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \tag{D.15} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (p'(v) - p'(\tilde{v})) \partial_{x_1}^2 \phi (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1 x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_i \partial_{x_1}^2 \phi (\tilde{u}_i)_{x_1 x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \partial_{x_j} (v F_i) \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_j} \phi (\tilde{u}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \partial_j v (\mu \Delta_x \psi + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \psi) \cdot \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi dx_1 dx' \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi \cdot \partial_{x_j} \nabla_x (p(\tilde{v}) - p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}) - p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})) dx_1 dx'
\end{aligned}$$

As in (D.14), we use the equality below in this calculation above.

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi \cdot (\Delta_x \partial_{x_j} \psi - \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \operatorname{div}_x \psi) dx_1 dx' = - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x_j} \phi \nabla_x \cdot (\Delta_x \partial_{x_j} \psi - \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \operatorname{div}_x \psi) dx_1 dx',$$

from $\nabla_x \cdot [\Delta_x \partial_{x_j} \psi - \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \partial_{x_j} \psi] = 0$.

Adding (D.14) and (D.15) together and then integrating the result over $[0, t]$ for $t \in [0, T]$, we get

$$(2\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \frac{|\nabla_x^2 \phi|^2}{2} dx_1 dx' \Big|_{\tau=0}^{\tau=t} + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \frac{|\nabla_x^2 \phi|^2}{2} dx_1 dx' d\tau = \sum_{j=1}^8 K_j(t), \tag{D.16}$$

where

$$K_1(t) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \partial_{x_j} \psi \cdot \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi \, dx_1 \, dx' \Big|_{\tau=0}^{\tau=t},$$

$$K_2(t) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^t \dot{X}_i(\tau) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \partial_{x_1}^2 \phi [(2\mu + \lambda)(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1 x_1 x_1}^{X_i} - (\tilde{u}_i)_{x_1 x_1}^{X_i}] \, dx_1 \, dx' \, d\tau,$$

$$K_3(t) = - \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_i \partial_{x_1}^2 \phi [(2\mu + \lambda)(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1 x_1 x_1}^{X_i} - (\tilde{u}_i)_{x_1 x_1}^{X_i}] \, dx_1 \, dx' \, d\tau,$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_4(t) = & - (2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho (\nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi \cdot \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} (v F_i) (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_j} \phi \partial_{x_j} (v F_i) (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1 x_1}^{X_i}) \, dx_1 \, dx' \, d\tau \\ & - (2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \nabla_x \partial_{x_1} \phi \cdot \nabla_x (v F_i) (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1 x_1}^{X_i} \, dx_1 \, dx' \, d\tau \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \partial_{x_j} (v F_i) \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_j} \phi (\tilde{u}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \, dx_1 \, dx' \, d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

$$K_5(t) = - \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \partial_{x_j} \psi \cdot [\nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \partial_t \phi + \mathbf{u} \nabla_x (\nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi)] \, dx_1 \, dx' \, d\tau,$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_6(t) = & - (2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi \cdot [\nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \mathbf{u} \nabla_x \phi + \nabla_x \mathbf{u} \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi + \partial_{x_j} \mathbf{u} \nabla_x (\nabla_x \phi)] \, dx_1 \, dx' \, d\tau \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi \cdot [\partial_{x_j} \mathbf{u} \nabla_x \psi + \partial_{x_j} (v p'(v)) \nabla_x \phi] \, dx_1 \, dx' \, d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_7(t) = & \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \partial_{x_j} (v(p'(v) - p'(\tilde{v}))) \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_j} \phi (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \, dx_1 \, dx' \, d\tau \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (p'(v) - p'(\tilde{v})) \partial_{x_1}^2 \phi (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1 x_1}^{X_i} \, dx_1 \, dx' \, d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_8(t) = & (2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi \cdot [\nabla_x \partial_{x_j} v \operatorname{div}_x \psi + \partial_{x_j} v \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \psi + \nabla_x v \partial_{x_j} \operatorname{div}_x \psi] \, dx_1 \, dx' \, d\tau \\ & - \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \partial_{x_j} v \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi \cdot [\mu \Delta_x \psi + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \psi] \, dx_1 \, dx' \, d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

$$K_9(t) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi \cdot \partial_{x_j} \nabla_x (p(\tilde{v}) - p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}) - p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})) \, dx_1 \, dx' \, d\tau.$$

By Young's inequality,

$$K_1(t) \leq \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{16} \|(\sqrt{\rho} \nabla_x^2 \phi)(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + C (\|(\nabla_x \psi)(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(\nabla_x^2 \phi)(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(\nabla_x \psi)(0)\|_{L^2}^2).$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$K_2(t) \leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i \int_0^t |\dot{X}_i(\tau)| \|\partial_{x_1}^2 \phi\|_{L^2} \|(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}\|_{L^2} d\tau \leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \int_0^t |\dot{X}_i(\tau)|^2 \|\sqrt{|p'(v)|} \partial_{x_1}^2 \phi\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau.$$

Using Lemma 2.1, as in \mathcal{B}_4 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_3(t) &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \int_0^t (\sqrt{\mathbf{G}_1} + \sqrt{\mathbf{G}_3} + \sqrt{\mathcal{G}^S} + \sqrt{\mathbf{D}} \\ &\quad + C (\varepsilon_1 \nu_i \delta_i e^{-C\delta_i t} + \delta_1 \delta_2 e^{-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}t}) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' \|\partial_{x_1}^2 \phi\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \int_0^t (\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_3 + \mathcal{G}^S + \mathbf{D} + \|\sqrt{|p'(v)|} \partial_{x_1}^2 \phi\|_{L^2}^2) d\tau + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \varepsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate $K_4(t)$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} vF_i &= \sigma_i^*(v - \tilde{v}) + \sigma_i^*(\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}) + (u_1 - \tilde{u}) + (\tilde{u} - \tilde{u}_i^{X_i}) \\ &= \sigma_i^* \phi + \psi_1 + \sigma_i^*(\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i}) + (\tilde{u} - \tilde{u}_i^{X_i}). \end{aligned} \tag{D.17}$$

From this,

$$\begin{aligned} K_4(t) &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x^2 \phi| (|\nabla_x^2 \phi| + |\nabla_x^2 \psi|) |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx' d\tau \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x^2 \phi| |(\tilde{v}_1)_{x_1}^{X_1}| |(\tilde{v}_2)_{x_1}^{X_2}| dx_1 dx' d\tau \\ &\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x^2 \phi| (|\nabla_x \phi| + |\nabla_x \psi|) |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx' d\tau \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla_x \phi\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{H^1}) d\tau + C \int_0^t \|\sqrt{|p'(v)|} \nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau + C \int_0^t \delta_1 \delta_2 e^{-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}t} d\tau \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \int_0^t \left(\|\sqrt{|p'(v)|} \nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2} + \mathbf{D}(\tau) + \mathcal{G}^S(\tau) + \varepsilon_1 \nu_i \delta_i e^{-C\delta_i t} + \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{H^1}^2 \right) d\tau + C\delta_0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the equation (5.10)₁, we can compute the term $K_5(t)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
K_5(t) &= - \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^t \dot{X}_i(\tau) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \partial_{x_1} \psi_1(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1 x_1 x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' d\tau \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^t \dot{X}_i(\tau) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_i \partial_{x_1} \psi_1(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1 x_1 x_1}^{X_i} dx_1 dx' d\tau \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \partial_{x_j} \psi \cdot [\nabla_x \partial_j \mathbf{u} \nabla_x \phi + \nabla_x \mathbf{u} \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi + \partial_{x_j} \mathbf{u} \nabla_x (\nabla_x \phi)] dx_1 dx' d\tau \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \partial_j \psi \cdot [\nabla_x \partial_{x_j} (v F_i) (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + \nabla_x (v F_i) \partial_{x_j} (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} + \partial_{x_j} (v F_i) \nabla_x (\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}] dx_1 dx' d\tau \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \partial_{x_j} \psi \cdot [\nabla_x \partial_{x_j} v \operatorname{div}_x \psi + \partial_{x_j} v \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \psi + \nabla_x v \partial_{x_j} \operatorname{div}_x \psi] dx_1 dx' d\tau \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x_j} \psi \cdot \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \operatorname{div}_x \psi dx_1 dx' d\tau \\
&=: \sum_{j=1}^6 K_{5,j}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we get

$$K_{5,1}(t) \leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \int_0^t |\dot{X}_i(\tau)|^2 d\tau + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau.$$

As in \mathcal{B}_4 , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{5,2}(t) &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \int_0^t F_i^2 + |\partial_{x_1} \psi_1|^2 d\tau \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \int_0^t (\|\nabla_x \psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_3 + \mathcal{G}^S + \mathbf{D}) d\tau + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \varepsilon_1.
\end{aligned}$$

By (C.10), similarly to \mathcal{B}_4 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{5,3}(t) &\leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x \psi| (|\nabla_x^2 \psi| |\nabla_x \phi| + |\nabla_x \psi| |\nabla_x^2 \phi|) dx_1 dx' d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x \psi| (|(\tilde{u})_{x_1 x_1}| |\partial_{x_1} \phi| + |(\tilde{u})_{x_1}| |\nabla_x \partial_{x_1} \phi|) dx_1 dx' d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{L^6} \|\nabla_x(\phi, \psi)\|_{L^3} \|\nabla_x^2(\phi, \psi)\|_{L^2} d\tau + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{L^2} (\|\partial_{x_1} \phi\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x \partial_{x_1} \phi\|_{L^2}) d\tau \\
&\leq C \varepsilon_1 \int_0^t (\|\sqrt{|p'(v)}| \nabla_x \phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{H^1}^2) d\tau \\
&\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \int_0^t (\|\sqrt{|p'(v)}| \nabla_x \phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathbf{D}(\tau) + \mathcal{G}^S(\tau)) d\tau + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \varepsilon_1.
\end{aligned}$$

We use (D.17) again. Then as in \mathcal{B}_4 ,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{5,4}(t) &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x \psi| (|\nabla_x^2 \phi| + |\nabla_x^2 \psi| + |\nabla_x \phi| + |\nabla_x \psi|) dx_1 dx' d\tau + C \delta_i^2 \int_0^t \delta_1 \delta_2 e^{-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}t} d\tau \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \int_0^t \left(\|\sqrt{|p'(v)|} \nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_x^2 \psi\|_{H^1}^2 + \mathbf{D}(\tau) + \mathcal{G}^S(\tau) + \varepsilon_1 \nu_i \delta_i e^{-C \delta_i t} \right) d\tau + C \delta_0. \end{aligned}$$

The same as $K_{5,3}(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{5,5}(t) &\leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x \psi| (|\nabla_x^2 \phi| |\nabla_x \psi| + |\nabla_x \phi| |\nabla_x^2 \psi|) dx_1 dx' d\tau \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x \psi| (|(\tilde{v})_{x_1 x_1}| |\nabla_x \psi| + |(\tilde{v})_{x_1}| |\nabla_x^2 \psi|) dx_1 dx' d\tau \\ &\leq C \varepsilon_1 \int_0^t \left(\|\sqrt{|p'(v)|} \nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{H^1}^2 \right) d\tau + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{H^1}^2 d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts over Ω ,

$$K_{5,6}(t) = \int_0^t \|\nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \psi\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau.$$

Combining of the estimates above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_5(t) &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t \|\sqrt{|p'(v)|} \nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau + C \int_0^t \|\nabla_x^2 \psi\|^2 d\tau + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \int_0^t |\dot{X}_i(\tau)|^2 d\tau \\ &\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \int_0^t (\mathbf{G}_1(\tau) + \mathbf{G}_3(\tau) + \mathcal{G}^S(\tau) + \mathbf{D}(\tau)) d\tau + C \sum_{i=1}^2 (\delta_i + \varepsilon_1) \int_0^t \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau + C \delta_0. \end{aligned}$$

By Holder's inequality and (C.10),

$$\begin{aligned} K_6(t) &\leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x^2 \phi| \left(|\nabla_x^2 \psi| |\nabla_x \phi| + |\nabla_x \psi| |\nabla_x^2 \phi| + |\nabla_x \psi|^2 + |\nabla_x \phi|^2 \right) dx_1 dx' d\tau \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x^2 \phi| (|\partial_1 \phi| |(\tilde{u})_{x_1 x_1}| + |\partial_1 \psi| |(\tilde{u})_{x_1}| + |\nabla_x^2 \phi| |(\tilde{u})_{x_1}| + |\nabla_x \phi| |(\tilde{v})_{x_1}|) dx_1 dx' d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \|\nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2} \left[\|\nabla_x^2 \psi\|_{L^6} \|\nabla_x \phi\|_{L^3} + \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{L^6} \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{L^3} + \|\nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{L^\infty} \right] d\tau \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t \|\nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_x \phi\|_{L^3} \|\nabla_x \phi\|_{L^6} d\tau + C(\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2) \int_0^t \|\nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla_x(\phi, \psi)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2}) d\tau \\ &\leq C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) \int_0^t \|\sqrt{|p'(v)|} \nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathbf{D}(\tau) + \mathcal{G}^S(\tau) + \|\sqrt{|p'(v)|} \nabla_x \psi\|_{H^2}^2 d\tau + C \delta_0, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that (from Lemma 3.3)

$$\|\nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|\nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{H^2} \leq C \varepsilon_1 \|\nabla_x \phi\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{H^2} \leq C \varepsilon_1 \left(\|\sqrt{|p'(v)|} \nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{H^2}^2 \right).$$

As above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
K_7(t) &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\nabla_x \phi| |\phi| + |\phi| |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| + |\nabla_x \phi|) |\nabla_x^2 \phi| |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx' d\tau \\
&\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi| |\partial_{x_1}^2 \phi| |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| dx_1 dx' d\tau \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i \int_0^t \left(\|\sqrt{|p'(v)|} |\nabla_x^2 \phi|\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathbf{D}(\tau) + \mathcal{G}^S(\tau) \right) d\tau + C\delta_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, using (C.10) we can estimate $K_8(t)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
K_8(t) &\leq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x^2 \psi| |\nabla_x^2 \phi| (|\nabla_x \phi| + |(\tilde{v})_{x_1}|) dx_1 dx' d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x^2 \phi| (|\nabla_x \psi| |\nabla_x^2 \phi| + |\nabla_x \psi| |(\tilde{v})_{x_1 x_1}|) dx_1 dx' d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t \left[\|\nabla_x \phi\|_{L^3} \|\nabla_x^2 \psi\|_{L^6} \|\nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2}^2 \right] d\tau \\
&\quad + C\delta_0^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2} \left[\|\nabla_x^2 \psi\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{L^2} \right] d\tau \\
&\leq C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) \int_0^t \left[\|\sqrt{|p'(v)|} |\nabla_x^2 \phi|\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{H^2}^2 \right] d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, to estimate $K_9(t)$, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x_1}^2 (p(\tilde{v}) - p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}) - p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})) &= (p''(\tilde{v}) - p''(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1})) |(\tilde{v}')^{X_1}|^2 + (p''(\tilde{v}) - p''(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})) |(\tilde{v}')^{X_2}|^2 \\
&\quad + (p'(\tilde{v}) - p'(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1})) (\tilde{v}')^{X_1} + (p'(\tilde{v}) - p'(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})) (\tilde{v}')^{X_2} + 2p''(\tilde{v}) (\tilde{v}')^{X_1} (\tilde{v}')^{X_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using this fact, Lemma 2.1, and 3.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
K_9(t) &\leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x^2 \phi| |\partial_{x_1}^2 (p(\tilde{v}) - p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}) - p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2}))| dx_1 dx' d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t |\nabla_x^2 \phi| \left(\delta_1 |\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_1^{X_1}| |(\tilde{v}_1)_{x_1}^{X_1}| + \delta_2 |\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_2^{X_2}| |(\tilde{v}_2)_{x_1}^{X_2}| + |(\tilde{v}_1)_{x_1}^{X_1}| |(\tilde{v}_2)_{x_1}^{X_2}| \right) d\tau \\
&\leq C(\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2) \int_0^t \|\sqrt{|p'(v)|} |\nabla_x^2 \phi|\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau + C\delta_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates above and using Lemma 4.1, Lemma 5.1, and Lemma 5.2, we can obtain the desired result (5.3), which completes the proof of Lemma 5.3.

APPENDIX E. PROOF OF LEMMA 5.4

Multiply (5.10)₂ by $-\Delta_x \partial_{x_j} \psi$ and then sum j from 1 to 3.

In addition, integrating the result over Ω , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\nabla_x^2 \psi|^2}{2} dx_1 dx' + \underbrace{\mu \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v |\nabla_x \Delta_x \psi|^2 dx_1 dx' + (\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v |\nabla_x^2 \operatorname{div}_x \psi|^2 dx_1 dx'}_{\mathcal{D}_3(t)} \\
=: \sum_{i=1}^8 L_i(t),
\end{aligned}$$

(E.18)

where

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1(t) &= - \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x_k} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \psi \partial_{x_k} \partial_{x_j} \psi \, dx_1 \, dx' + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \frac{|\nabla_x^2 \psi|^2}{2} \, dx_1 \, dx', \\
L_2(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v p'(v) \Delta_x \partial_{x_j} \psi \cdot \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \phi \, dx_1 \, dx', \\
L_3(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x_j} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \psi \Delta_x \partial_{x_j} \psi \, dx_1 \, dx' + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x_j} (v p'(v)) \Delta_x \partial_{x_j} \psi \cdot \nabla_x \phi \, dx_1 \, dx', \\
L_4(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x_j} (v(p'(v) - p'(\tilde{v}))) (\tilde{v})_{x_1} \Delta_x \partial_{x_j} \psi_1 \, dx_1 \, dx' \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(p'(v) - p'(\tilde{v})) (\tilde{v})_{x_1 x_1} \Delta_x \partial_1 \psi_1 \, dx_1 \, dx', \\
L_5(t) &= - \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{X}_i(t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_x \partial_{x_1} \psi_1 (\tilde{u}_i)_{x_1 x_1}^{X_i} \, dx_1 \, dx', \\
L_6(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v F_i (\tilde{u}_i)_{x_1 x_1}^{X_i} \Delta_x \partial_{x_1} \psi_1 \, dx_1 \, dx', \\
L_7(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x_j} (v F_i) (\tilde{u}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} \Delta_x \partial_{x_j} \psi_1 \, dx_1 \, dx', \\
L_8(t) &= (\mu + \lambda) \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Delta_x \partial_{x_j} \psi - \nabla_x \partial_{x_j} \operatorname{div}_x \psi) \cdot \nabla_x v \partial_{x_j} \operatorname{div}_x \psi \, dx_1 \, dx' \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x_j} v (\mu \Delta_x \psi + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \psi) \cdot \Delta_x \partial_{x_j} \psi \, dx_1 \, dx', \\
L_9(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_x \partial_{x_j} \psi \cdot \partial_{x_j} \nabla_x (p(\tilde{v}) - p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}) - p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2})) \, dx_1 \, dx'.
\end{aligned} \tag{E.19}$$

By Holder's inequality and (C.10),

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1(t) &\leq C \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{L^3} \|\nabla_x^2 \psi\|_{L^6} \|\nabla_x^2 \psi\|_{L^2} + (\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2) \|\nabla_x^2 \psi\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) \|\nabla_x^2 \psi\|_{H^1}^2 \\
&\leq C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) (\mathcal{D}_3(t) + \|\nabla_x^2 \psi\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
L_2(t) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x \Delta_x \psi| |\nabla_x^2 \phi| \, dx_1 \, dx' \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{D}_3(t) + C \|\nabla_x^2 \phi\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_3(t) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x \psi|^2 |\nabla_x \Delta_x \psi| dx_1 dx' + C(\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x \psi| |\nabla_x \Delta_x \psi| dx_1 dx' \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x \phi|^2 |\nabla_x \Delta_x \psi| dx_1 dx' + C(\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x \phi| |\nabla_x \Delta_x \psi| dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq C [\|\nabla_x \psi\|_{L^3} \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{L^6} + \|\nabla_x \phi\|_{L^3} \|\nabla_x \phi\|_{L^6} + (\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2) \|\nabla_x(\phi, \psi)\|_{L^2}] \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_3(t)} \\
&\leq C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1)(\mathcal{D}_3(t) + \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla_x \phi\|_{H^1}^2) \\
&\leq C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1)(\mathcal{D}_3(t) + \mathcal{G}^S(t) + \mathbf{D}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx' + \|\nabla_x \psi\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla_x \phi\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

As above,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_4(t) &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\nabla_x \phi| + |(\tilde{v})_{x_1}| |\phi|) |(\tilde{v})_{x_1}| |\nabla_x^3 \psi_1| dx_1 dx' + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi| |(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}| |\nabla_x^3 \psi_1| dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq C\delta_0(\mathcal{D}_3(t) + \mathcal{G}^S(t) + \mathbf{D}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\tilde{U}) dx_1 dx'),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_5(t) &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i |\dot{X}_i(t)| \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_3(t)} \|(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^{\frac{5}{2}} |\dot{X}_i(t)| \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_3(t)} \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^{\frac{5}{2}} (\|\dot{X}_i(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathcal{D}_3(t)).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of F_i , as in \mathcal{B}_3 , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
L_6(t) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}_1} + \sqrt{\mathbf{G}_3} + \sqrt{\mathcal{G}^S} + \sqrt{\mathbf{D}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sqrt{\varepsilon_1 \nu_i \delta_i e^{-C\delta_i t}} + \sqrt{\delta_1 \delta_2 e^{-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} t}} + \sqrt{\delta_0^2 \nu_i \delta_i e^{-C\delta_i t}} \right) \|\Delta_x \partial_{x_1} \psi_1\|_{L^2}. \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \left(\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_3 + \mathcal{G}^S + \mathbf{D} + \varepsilon_1 \nu_i \delta_i e^{-C\delta_i t} + \delta_1 \delta_2 e^{-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} t} + \delta_0^2 \nu_i \delta_i e^{-C\delta_i t} + \mathcal{D}_3 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

As above, with (D.17) and lemma 3.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
L_7(t) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \left(\|\nabla_x(\phi, \psi_1)\|_{L^2} + \|(\tilde{v}_i)_{x_1}^{X_i} (\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_i^{X_i})\|_{L^2} \right) \|\Delta_x \partial_{x_i}(\phi, \psi_1)\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i^2 \left(\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_3 + \mathcal{G}^S + \mathbf{D} + \varepsilon_1 \nu_i \delta_i e^{-C\delta_i t} + \delta_1 \delta_2 e^{-C \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} t} + \delta_0^2 \nu_i \delta_i e^{-C\delta_i t} + \mathcal{D}_3 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Holder's inequality and (C.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
L_8(t) &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\Delta_x \nabla_x \psi| + |\nabla_x^2 \operatorname{div}_x \psi|) (|\phi| + \delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2) |\nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \psi| dx_1 dx' \\
&\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\nabla_x \phi| + \delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2) (|\Delta_x \psi| + |\nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \psi|) |\Delta_x \nabla_x \psi| dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_3(t)} \|\nabla_x \phi\|_{L^3} (\|\Delta_x \psi\|_{L^6} + \|\nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \psi\|_{L^6}) + (\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_3(t)} (\|\Delta_x \psi\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \psi\|_{L^2}) \\
&\leq (\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_3(t)} (\|\Delta_x \psi\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \psi\|_{H^1}) \\
&\leq (\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) (\mathcal{D}_3(t) + \|\Delta_x \psi\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \psi\|_{H^1}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, as in K_9 , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
L_9(t) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla_x \Delta_x \psi| |\partial_{x_1}^2 (p(\tilde{v}) - p(\tilde{v}_1^{X_1}) - p(\tilde{v}_2^{X_2}))| dx_1 dx' \\
&\leq C(\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2) \mathcal{D}_3(t) + C\delta_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Combine the estimates above and then integrate result over $[0, t]$ for $t \in [0, T]$.

Also, using Lemmas 4.1, 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3, we can get the desired result (5.4), which completes the proof of Lemma 5.4.

REFERENCES

1. Robert A Adams and John JF Fournier. *Sobolev spaces*. Elsevier, 2003.
2. D. Bresch and B. Desjardins. Existence of global weak solutions for 2d viscous shallow water equations and convergence to the quasi-geostrophic model. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 238:211–223, 2003.
3. D. Bresch and B. Desjardins. On the construction of approximate solutions for the 2d viscous shallow water model and for compressible navier-stokes models,. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 86(9):362–368, 2006.
4. D. Bresch, B. Desjardins, and C.K. Lin. On some compressible fluid models: Korteweg, lubrication, and shallow water systems,. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations.*, 28:843–868, 2003.
5. G. Chen, M.-J. Kang, and A. Vasseur. From Navier-Stokes to BV solutions of the barotropic Euler equations. *arXiv preprint*, 2024.
6. G. Chen, S. Krupa, and A. Vasseur. Uniqueness and weak-BV stability for 2×2 conservation laws. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 246(1):299–332, 2022.
7. K. Choi, M.-J. Kang, and A. F. Vasseur. Global well-posedness of large perturbations of traveling waves in a hyperbolic-parabolic system arising from a chemotaxis model. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 142:266–297, 2020.
8. C. M. Dafermos. The second law of thermodynamics and stability. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 70(2):167–179, 1979.
9. R. J. DiPerna. Uniqueness of solutions to hyperbolic conservation laws. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 28(1):137–188, 1979.
10. S. Han, M.-J. Kang, and J. Kim. Large-time behavior of composite waves of viscous shocks for the barotropic Navier-Stokes equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 55(5):5526–5574, 2023.
11. S. Han, M.-J. Kang, and J. Kim. Large-time behavior of composite waves of viscous shocks for the barotropic Navier-Stokes equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal. To appear*, 2023.
12. F. Huang and A. Matsumura. Stability of a composite wave of two viscous shock waves for the full compressible Navier-Stokes equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 289(3):841–861, 2009.
13. J. Humpherys, O. Lafitte, and Zumbrun. K. Stability of viscous shock profiles in the high Mach number limit. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 293:1–36, 2010.
14. J. Humpherys, G. Lyng, and Zumbrun. K. Multidimensional stability of large-amplitude Navier-Stokes shocks. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 226:923–973, 2017.
15. M.-J. Kang. L^2 -type contraction for shocks of scalar viscous conservation laws with strictly convex flux. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 145:1–43, 2021.
16. M.-J. Kang and H. Oh. L^2 decay for large perturbations of viscous shocks for multi-D Burgers equation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.08445*, 2024.
17. M.-J. Kang and A. Vasseur. Criteria on contractions for entropic discontinuities of systems of conservation laws. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 222(1):343–391, 2016.
18. M.-J. Kang and A. Vasseur. L^2 -contraction for shock waves of scalar viscous conservation laws. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (C) : Analyse non linéaire*, 34(1):139–156, 2017.

19. M.-J. Kang and A. Vasseur. Well-posedness of the Riemann problem with two shocks for the isentropic Euler system in a class of vanishing physical viscosity limits. *arXiv:2010.16090*, 2020.
20. M.-J. Kang and A. Vasseur. Contraction property for large perturbations of shocks of the barotropic Navier-Stokes system. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 23(2):585–638, 2021.
21. M.-J. Kang and A. Vasseur. Uniqueness and stability of entropy shocks to the isentropic Euler system in a class of inviscid limits from a large family of Navier-Stokes systems. *Invent. Math.*, 224(1):55–146, 2021.
22. M.-J. Kang and A. Vasseur. Well-posedness of the Riemann problem with two shocks for the isentropic Euler system in a class of vanishing physical viscosity limits. *J. Differential Equations*, 338:128–226, 2022.
23. M.-J. Kang, A. Vasseur, and Y. Wang. L^2 -contraction for planar shock waves of multi-dimensional scalar viscous conservation laws. *J. Differential Equations*, 267(5):2737–2791, 2019.
24. M.-J. Kang, A. Vasseur, and Y. Wang. Time-asymptotic stability of composite waves of viscous shock and rarefaction for barotropic Navier-Stokes equations. *Adv. Math.*, 419:Paper No. 108963, 66, 2023.
25. M.-J. Kang and A. F. Vasseur. Contraction property for large perturbations of shocks of the barotropic Navier-Stokes system. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 23(2):585–638, 2021.
26. M.-J. Kang, A. F. Vasseur, and Y. Wang. Time-asymptotic stability of composite waves of viscous shock and rarefaction for barotropic Navier-Stokes equations. *Adv. Math.*, 419:Paper No. 108963, 66, 2023.
27. M.-J. Kang, A. F. Vasseur, and Y. Wang. Time-asymptotic stability of generic Riemann solutions for compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.05604*, 2023.
28. L.-A. Li, D. H. Wang, and Y. Wang. Vanishing dissipation limit to the planar rarefaction wave for the three-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 283(2):Paper No. 109499, 2022.
29. L.-A. Li, T. Wang, and Y. Wang. Stability of planar rarefaction wave to 3D full compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 230(3):911–937, 2018.
30. T. Liu. Nonlinear stability of shock waves for viscous conservation laws. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 56(328):v+108, 1985.
31. T. Liu and Y. Zeng. Shock waves in conservation laws with physical viscosity. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 234(1105):vi+168, 2015.
32. A. Matsumura. Waves in compressible fluids: viscous shock, rarefaction, and contact waves. In *Handbook of mathematical analysis in mechanics of viscous fluids*, pages 2495–2548. Springer, Cham, 2018.
33. A. Matsumura and K. Nishihara. On the stability of traveling wave solutions of a one-dimensional model system for compressible viscous gas. *Japan J. Appl. Math.*, 2:17–25, 1985.
34. M. Matsumura. Inflow and outflow problems in the half space for a one-dimensional isentropic model system of compressible viscous gas. *Methods Appl. Anal.*, 8(4):645–666, 2001.
35. G. Métivier and K. Zumbrun. Hyperbolic boundary value problems for symmetric systems with variable multiplicities. *J. Differential Equations*, (211):61–134, 2005.
36. D. Serre. Long-time stability in system of conservation laws, using relative entropy/energy. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 219(2):679–699, 2016.
37. D. Serre and A. Vasseur. About the relative entropy method for hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. *Contemp. Math. AMS*, 658:237–248, 2016.
38. D. Serre and A. Vasseur. The relative entropy method for the stability of intermediate shock waves; the rich case. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 36(8):4569–4577, 2016.
39. A. Szepessy and Z. P. Xin. Nonlinear stability of viscous shock waves. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 122(1):53–103, 1993.
40. A. Vasseur. Relative entropy and contraction for extremal shocks of conservation laws up to a shift. In *Recent advances in partial differential equations and applications*, volume 666 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 385–404. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016.
41. T. Wang and Y. Wang. Nonlinear stability of planar viscous shock wave to three-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *arXiv:2204.09428*, To appear in *JEMS*, 2022.

(Moon-Jin Kang)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
KOREA ADVANCED INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, DAEJEON 34141, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: moonjinkang@kaist.ac.kr

(Hobin Lee)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
KOREA ADVANCED INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, DAEJEON 34141, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: lcu11@kaist.ac.kr