

# Bundles of metric structures as left ultrafunctors

Ali Hamad

June 2024

## Abstract

We pursue the study of Ultracategories initiated by Makkai and more recently Lurie by looking at properties of Ultracategories of complete metric structures, i.e. coming from continuous model theory, instead of ultracategories of models of first order theories. Our main result is that for any continuous theory  $\mathbb{T}$ , there is an equivalence between the category of left ultrafunctors from a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  to the category of  $\mathbb{T}$ -models and a notion of bundle of  $\mathbb{T}$ -models over  $X$ . The notion of bundle of  $\mathbb{T}$ -models is new but recovers many classical notions like Bundle of Banach spaces, or (semi)-continuous field of  $C^*$ -algebras or Hilbert spaces.

## Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
Outline of results and methodology . . . . .	4
<b>1 Preliminary constructions</b>	<b>6</b>
1.1 Some ultracategories constructions . . . . .	8
1.1.1 Ultrasets . . . . .	8
1.1.2 Ultracategories arising from directed colimits . . . . .	9
<b>2 The Ultracategory <math>k\text{-CompMet}</math></b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 Description of the categorical Fubini transform $\Delta$ in the category $k\text{-CompMet}$ . . . . .	12
<b>3 The bundle (The first functor)</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1 Bundles of complete metric spaces . . . . .	13
3.2 The Bundle's Topology . . . . .	14
3.3 Characterisation of the topology . . . . .	18
3.3.1 Proof of the only if direction . . . . .	18
3.3.2 Proof of the if direction . . . . .	19

---

Affiliation: University of Ottawa, Email: ahama099@uottawa.ca

3.4	The topology definition satisfies the axioms of 3.4 . . . . .	20
3.4.1	Axiom 1 . . . . .	20
3.4.2	Axiom 2 . . . . .	20
3.4.3	Axiom 3 . . . . .	20
3.5	$\mathcal{L}$ is a functor . . . . .	22
<b>4</b>	<b>The inverse functor</b>	<b>23</b>
4.1	The inverse functor construction . . . . .	23
4.2	Adjunction . . . . .	27
4.2.1	The counit is an isomorphism . . . . .	30
4.2.2	The unit is an isomorphism . . . . .	30
4.2.3	A nice property of bundles . . . . .	31
4.2.4	Another construction of the left-ultrastructure of $\mathcal{R}(E)$ . . . . .	31
<b>5</b>	<b>Generalising to any structure</b>	<b>31</b>
5.1	The Ultracategory of Models . . . . .	32
5.1.1	Signature . . . . .	32
5.1.2	Structures and Models . . . . .	32
5.1.3	Ultraproducts and models . . . . .	33
5.2	Bundles of structures . . . . .	34
5.3	Maps of bundles . . . . .	34
5.4	The functor $\mathcal{L}$ . . . . .	35
5.4.1	Axiom 3 (Functions) . . . . .	35
5.4.2	Axiom 4 (Relations) . . . . .	36
5.4.3	Functoriality of $L$ . . . . .	36
5.5	The inverse functor . . . . .	36
5.5.1	Compatibility of function symbols . . . . .	37
5.5.2	Compatibility of relation symbols . . . . .	37
5.6	Adjunction . . . . .	38
<b>6</b>	<b>Models</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Functoriality in CompHaus</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Examples</b>	<b>44</b>
8.1	Banach bundles . . . . .	44

8.1.1	Axiomatisation of Banach spaces . . . . .	44
8.1.2	Definition Banach bundles . . . . .	46
8.1.3	Relating the definition of Banach bundles to our work . . . . .	47
8.2	Bundles of $C^*$ algebras . . . . .	50
8.3	Bundles of Hilbert spaces . . . . .	51
8.4	Tracially continuous $W^*$ bundles . . . . .	52
8.5	Note regarding the examples . . . . .	58
<b>9</b>	<b>Application: Another proof of Lurie’s result</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Bundles of pointed metric spaces</b>	<b>60</b>

## Introduction

Ultracategories are categories equipped with functors:

$$\int_X \bullet d\mu : A^X \rightarrow A,$$

for each set  $X$  and ultrafilter  $\mu$  on  $X$ , that are meant to axiomatise the idea of ultraproduct of a family of objects. See Section 1 for the precise definition. The notion has originally been introduced by Makkai in [Mak88], but a simplified (non-equivalent) version of the definition was given more recently by Lurie in [Lur18], which is the notion we use in the present paper.

One very important class of Ultracategories is given by compact Hausdorff spaces: Manes’ theorem tells us that a compact Hausdorff topology on a set  $X$  can be encoded by a function which to every ultrafilter on  $X$  associate its limits, subject to some axioms, and this is exactly what an Ultracategory structure on a set, seen as a category with no non-identity arrows, is. More precisely, Lurie shows in [Lur18] that an Ultracategory with no non-identity morphism is the same as a Compact Hausdorff topological space.

In particular, for each compact Hausdorff space and each ultracategory  $\mathcal{M}$  we can consider *Left Ultrafunctors* (one of the notions of morphisms between ultracategories) from  $X$  to  $\mathcal{M}$ , and think of it as something like a “continuous family of objects of  $\mathcal{M}$  indexed by  $X$ . For example if  $\mathcal{M} = \text{Set}$  is the ultracategory of sets, then it is shown in [Lur18] that this is the same as a sheaf of sets on  $X$ .

Both Makkai and Lurie have studied Ultracategories mostly toward application to the model theory of first order logic. By Löf’s theorem for any first order theory  $\mathbb{T}$ , it’s class of models is closed under ultraproduct, and this endows its category of models with an ultracategory structure. Taking this ultrastructure into account allows to obtain very strong reconstruction theorem that allows to recover information about the theory from its category of models. For example, both Lurie and Makkai prove what they call a “Conceptual completeness theorem” which says that for a coherent theory  $\mathbb{T}$  (that is a finitary geometric theory), there is an equivalence of categories between the category of left ultrafunctors from  $\mathbb{T}$ -Models to  $\text{Set}$  and the classifying topos of the theory  $\mathbb{T}$ .

However, the theory of Ultracategory has not been studied a lot beyond this. In this paper we propose to initiate the study of a new class of Ultracategories: categories of complete metric

structures, for example the ultracategories of Banach spaces, Hilbert spaces,  $C^*$ -Algebras, etc. . .

Our main result is, for  $X$  a compact Hausdorff space, an equivalence between the category  $\text{Left ultrafunctor}(X, \mathcal{M})$ , with  $\mathcal{M}$  is any category of complete metric structures and a category of “continuous bundle of objects of  $\mathcal{M}$  over  $X$ ”. In many cases, our notions of bundle recover already studied notion, like the bundles of Banach spaces as defined in [Hof77, Fel77] and the continuous fields of  $C^*$  algebras (for various equivalent definitions see [Dup74, Nil96, Dad09, Dix82]). This is of course a generalization of Lurie’s equivalence between  $\text{Left ultrafunctor}(X, \text{Set})$  and  $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{O}(X))$  and we recover it as a special case at the very end of the paper.

It turned out that a very good framework to deal with metric structures is continuous model theory. In our work, we used [FHL<sup>+</sup>21] as a reference for this. The major advantage of continuous model theory is that it allows us to consider the notion of ultraproducts and hence makes this category of models an ultracategory. Although the simplest structure studied by continuous model theory is a complete metric space bounded by a certain constant, it is capable of axiomatising many structures like Banach spaces, Hilbert spaces,  $C^*$  algebras, preduals of von Neumann algebras, and Von Neumann algebras with a faithful normal state (usually called  $\sigma$ -finite von Neumann algebras, or  $W^*$  probability spaces). For  $C^*$  algebras see [FHL<sup>+</sup>21, page 11 example 2.2.1], for  $\sigma$ -finite von Neumann algebras see [Dab19] or a different approach in [GHS19, section 6], for for tracial von Neumann algebras see [GH23] and for a general survey on continuous model theory see [Har23].

## Outline of results and methodology

Sections 1 and 2 are overview of the literature on Ultracategories and on the category of complete metric spaces. The goal is only to introduce the definitions and results we will need throughout the paper.

### Establishing the equivalence for bounded complete metric spaces

In section 3 and 4 we study the case  $\mathcal{M} = k\text{-CompMet}$  the category of complete metric spaces where the distance function is bounded by a certain  $k$  and with *contractions* as morphism, that is morphisms satisfying  $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq d(x, y)$ , or 1-Lipschitz map. In section 3 we defined what we mean by the category of bundles of complete metric space. The next step is to define an assignment that gives a bundle of bounded metric space for each left ultrafunctor from  $X$  to  $k\text{-CompMet}$ . In section 4 we constructed an inverse process to the previous one which leads us to our first important theorem:

**Theorem.** 4.4 *There exists an equivalence of categories between  $\text{Left ultrafunctors}(X, k\text{-CompMet})$  and  $\text{Bun}(k\text{-CompMet}, X)$*

Here  $\text{Bun}(k\text{-CompMet}, X)$  are bundles of bounded complete metric spaces bounded by  $k$  over  $X$ .

### Extending the equivalence to structures of continuous model theory

In the context of model theory, one can think of a signature as a theory with an empty set of axioms, in the sense that  $\Sigma$ -structures are the model of the empty theory in the signature  $\Sigma$ . In section 5 we generalize the theorem above to the continuous version of such empty theories: We extended our work from just defining bundles of bounded complete metric spaces to defining bundles of structure of continuous model theory. And we show that our previous result can be extended to:

**Theorem.** 5.2 *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space then there is an equivalence of categories between Left ultrafunctors( $X, \text{CompMet}_{\mathcal{L}}$ ) and the category  $\text{Bun}(\text{CompMet}_{\mathcal{L}}, X)$*

### Extending the equivalence to models of continuous model theory

Finally, in section 6 we show that the equivalence above is restricted to one between “bundle of models of a continuous theory”, i.e. the bundle of structures in which every fibre is a model of the theory and ultrafunctor taking value in the category of models. In particular:

**Theorem.** 6.1 *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space then there is an equivalence of categories between Left ultrafunctors( $X, \text{CompMet}_{\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{T}}$ ) and the category  $\text{Bun}(\text{CompMet}_{\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{T}}, X)$*

### Showing that the construction is natural in the Compact Hausdorff space

Let  $Y \xrightarrow{f} X$  be a continuous map between compact Hausdorff spaces, and suppose we have a left ultrafunctor  $\mathcal{F}$  from  $X$  to  $\mathcal{M}$  where  $\mathcal{M}$  is the category of models of some continuous model theory (could be as simple as complete metric spaces bounded by a certain  $k$  or more complex like Banach spaces), since continuous maps between compact Hausdorff spaces are a particular example of left ultrafunctors then the composition  $\mathcal{F} \circ f$  gives a left ultrafunctor  $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ , and this allows the construction of the category  $\text{CompHaus}_{\mathcal{M}}$  in which the objects are left ultrafunctors from some compact Hausdorff space  $X$  to  $\mathcal{M}$  and as morphisms between  $\mathcal{F} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{G} : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  consists of a pair  $(f, \alpha)$  where  $f$  is a continuous map from  $X$  to  $Y$  and  $\alpha$  is a natural transformation of left ultrafunctors from  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\mathcal{G} \circ f$ , and this category is fibered over  $\text{CompHaus}$ .

In section 7 we show that the bundle over  $Y$  resulting from the composition  $\mathcal{F} \circ f$  is the pullback along  $Y \xrightarrow{f} X$  in  $\text{Top}$ , and we extended the equivalence between  $\text{Bun}(X, \mathcal{M})$  and left ultrafunctors( $X, \mathcal{M}$ ) to an equivalence between  $\text{CompMet}_{\mathcal{X}}$  and  $\text{Bun}$  the category of bundles of  $\mathcal{M}$  over any compact Hausdorff space.

### Examples

Section 8 is dedicated to showing examples where our notion of bundles agrees with previously existing notions of bundles of metric structures used in functional analysis. We start with a toy example, bundles of pointed metric space, a construction that follows nearly the existing ones of Banach spaces present in [Hof77] and [Fel77], and we showed that this notion corresponds exactly to our notion of bundles. After that we establish that the two slightly different notions of bundles already in the literature ([Hof77] and [Fel77]) already correspond to slightly two different continuous theories of Banach spaces whose category of models are respectively Banach spaces with linear contractions and Banach space with linear isometries. After that we show that bundles of  $C^*$  algebras ([Wil07, Appendix C]) is the notion of bundle that corresponds to the continuous theory of  $C^*$ -algebras, we also show that  $W^*$ -bundles (see [Oza13, section 5] or [BBS<sup>+</sup>19, subsection 3.1] or [EP16, definition 2.1]) is the notion of bundles which corresponds to the continuous model theory of tracial von Neumann algebra [GH23].

## Giving an alternative proof of Lurie’s result

As mentioned above, in [Lur18] Lurie shows that for a compact Hausdorff space over  $X$  there is an equivalence of categories between  $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{O}(X))$  and bundles left ultrafunctors from  $X$  to  $\text{Set}$ . On the other hand it is known that there is an equivalence between  $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{O}(X))$  and the category of étale bundles over  $X$ . The category of sets is equivalent to the category of discrete metric structures which is axiomatisable using continuous model theory. In section 7 we show that bundles of discrete metric spaces are equivalent to étale bundles, which allows us to write the following chain of equivalences for any compact Hausdorff space:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sh}(\mathcal{O}(X)) &\simeq \text{étale bundles over } X \\ &\simeq \text{bundles of discrete metric spaces over } X \\ &\simeq \text{Left ultrafunctors}(X, \text{Set}) \end{aligned}$$

This allows to reobtain the result shown by Lurie, while giving it an entirely different proof. Our construction relies on the étale space description of sheaves while the one given by Lurie uses more or less the functorial description.

## A non example

Finally in section 10, we study a new notion of “bundles of pointed complete spaces over  $X$ ”, and we show that when the  $X$  is compact Hausdorff that such concept is equivalent to left ultrafunctors from  $X$  to the category of pointed complete metric spaces, the reason this does not fit the framework of bundles of models is that we don’t know if it is possible to have a continuous first order axiomatisation of complete pointed metric spaces.

# 1 Preliminary constructions

## Definition of an ultracategory

Following [Lur18]

**Definition 1.1.** *An ultrastructure on a category  $A$  consists of the following data:*

1. For every set  $X$  a functor from  $A^X$  to  $A$  which we are going to call the ultraproduct functor and we denote it by

$$\int_X \bullet \, d\mu$$

2. Given a set  $X$  and family of ultrafilters on  $X$   $(\nu_s)_{s \in S}$  and an ultrafilter  $\mu$  on  $S$ , we require the existence of a morphism  $\Delta_{\mu, \nu_\bullet} : \int_X M_x \, d(\int_S \nu_s \, d\mu) \rightarrow \int_S (\int_X M_x \, d\mu) \, d\mu$ , which is natural in the family  $(M_x)_{x \in X}$ , the map  $\Delta_{\mu, \nu_\bullet}$  is called the categorical Fubini transform.

3. for every principal ultrafilter  $\delta_{x_0}$  on a set  $X$ , we require a natural family of isomorphisms  $\epsilon_{X, x_0}$  from  $\int_X M_x \, d\delta_{x_0}$  to  $M_{x_0}$ .

This data is required to satisfy the following axioms :

- A Given a family of ultrafilters  $(\nu_s)_{s \in S}$  on a set  $X$ , and a family of objects of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $(M_x)_{x \in X}$  then the map  $\Delta_{\delta_{s_0}, \nu_\bullet} : \int_X M_x d \int \nu_s d\delta_{s_0} \rightarrow \int_S \int_X M_x d\nu_s d\delta_{s_0}$ , is the inverse of the map  $\epsilon_{S, s_0}$  from  $\int_S \int_X M_x d\nu_s d\delta_{s_0}$  to  $\int_X M_x d\nu_{s_0}$
- B Suppose that we have a monomorphism of sets  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  then the categorical Fubini transform from  $\int_Y M_y df\mu = \int_Y M_y d \int_X \delta_{f(x)} d\mu$  to  $\int_X \int_Y M_y d\delta_{f(x)} d\mu$  is an isomorphism.
- C Suppose that we have a set  $R$  and an ultrafilter  $\lambda$  on, and suppose we have  $(\mu_r)_{r \in R}$  a family of ultrafilters on a set  $S$ , and  $(\nu_s)_{s \in S}$  is a family of ultrafilters on some set  $T$  then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\int_T M_t d\rho & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\lambda, \int_S \nu_s d\mu_\bullet}} & \int_R (\int_T M_t d \int_S \nu_s d\mu_r) d\lambda \\
\downarrow \Delta_{\int_R \mu_r d\lambda, \nu_\bullet} & & \downarrow \int_R \Delta_{\mu_r, \nu_\bullet} d\lambda \\
\int_S \int_T M_t d\nu_s d \int_R \mu_r d\lambda & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\lambda, \mu_\bullet}} & \int_R (\int_S (\int_T M_t d\nu_s) d\mu_r) d\lambda
\end{array}$$

Here  $\rho = \int_R (\int_S \nu_s d\mu_r d\lambda) = \int_S \nu_s d(\int_R \mu_r d\lambda)$

In this definition  $\int_X \nu_s d\mu$  is defined by  $B \in \int_X \nu_s d\mu \iff \{s \in S : B \in \nu_s\} \in \mu$ .  
Now we define an ultracategory to be a category with an ultrastructure.

## Left ultrafunctors

Suppose that  $M$  and  $N$  are two ultracategories, we define a left ultrafunctors from  $M$  to  $N$  to be a functor equipped with a left ultrastructure.

**Definition 1.2.** A left ultrastructure on a functor consists of the following: for every ultrafilter  $\mu$  on a set  $X$  and every family of objects  $(M_x)_{x \in X}$  of  $M$ , we have a family of morphisms in  $N$  which we call all of them  $\sigma_\mu$  by abuse of language from  $F(\int_X M_x d\mu)$  to  $\int_X F(M_x) d\mu$ .

Such that they satisfy the following axioms :

0. The following diagram commutes for every family of morphisms  $(\psi_x)_{x \in X}$  from  $M_x$  to  $N_x$  in  $M$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(\int_X M_x d\mu) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\mu} & \int_X F(M_x) d\mu \\
\downarrow F(\int_X \psi_x d\mu) & & \downarrow \int_X F(\psi_x) d\mu \\
F(\int_X N_x d\mu) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\mu} & \int_X F(N_x) d\mu
\end{array}$$

1. For every principal ultrafilter  $\delta_{x_0}$  the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(\int_X M_x d\delta_{x_0}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\mu} & \int_X F(M_x) d\delta_{x_0} \\
\searrow F(\epsilon_{X, x_0}) & & \swarrow \epsilon_{X, x_0} \\
& F(M_{x_0}) &
\end{array}$$

2. For any sets  $S$  and  $T$  and an ultrafilter  $\mu$  on  $S$  and a family of ultrafilters  $(\nu_s)_{s \in S}$  on  $T$  indexed by  $S$ , the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(\int_T M_t d(\int_S \nu_s d\mu)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\int_S \nu_s d\mu}} & \int_T F(M_t) d \int_S \nu_s d\mu \\
\downarrow F(\Delta_{\mu, \nu_\bullet}) & & \downarrow \Delta_{\mu, \nu_\bullet} \\
F(\int_S (\int_T M_t d\nu_s) d\mu) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\mu} \int_S F(\int_T M_t d\nu_s) d\mu \xrightarrow{\int_S \sigma_{\nu_s} d\mu} & \int_S \int_T F(M_t) d\nu_s d\mu
\end{array}$$

**Note.** The dual notion is a right ultrafunctor in which the comparison maps go in the other direction, we omit writing the axioms which can be found in[Lur18].

**Definition 1.3.** An ultrafunctor is a left ultrafunctor for which all the comparison maps are isomorphism.

## Natural transformations of left ultrafunctors

Suppose that  $M$  and  $N$  are two ultracategories, and let  $F, G$  be left ultrafunctors between  $M$  and  $N$ , a natural transformation of left ultrafunctors from  $F$  to  $G$ , is a natural transformation  $\phi$  satisfying the additional condition: For every family  $(M_i)$  of objects if  $M$  and for every ultrafilter  $\mu$  on  $I$  the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(\int_I M_i d\mu) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\mu} & \int_I F(M_i) d\mu \\
\downarrow \phi_{\int_I M_i d\mu} & & \downarrow \int_I \phi_{M_i} d\mu \\
G(\int_I M_i d\mu) & \xrightarrow{\sigma'_\mu} & \int_I G(M_i) d\mu
\end{array}$$

A natural transformation of right ultrafunctors is defined similarly.

## 1.1 Some ultracategories constructions

### 1.1.1 Ultrasets

You may have noticed that at this point we are using the notation  $\int \nu_s d\mu$  to denote the ultrafilter defined by  $A \in \int \nu_s d\mu$  iff  $\{s \mid A \in \nu_s\} \in \mu$ . This notation is not a coincidence, as this is a special case of ultracategories.

**Definition 1.4.** An ultraset is a small ultracategory with no non-identity morphisms.

Now the next theorem is due to Lurie[Lur18, theorem 3.1.5]

**Theorem 1.1.** There is an equivalence of categories between ultrasets(with either left ultrafunctors or ultrafunctors, they are the same in this case), and the category of compact Hausdorff spaces with continuous maps.

Suppose that  $S$  is a compact Hausdorff space and let  $(a_x)_{x \in X}$  be a family of points and  $\mu$  an ultrafilter on  $S$  then this equivalence is exhibited by defining  $\int_X a_x d\mu$  as the limit of the pushforward of the ultrafilter  $\mu$  by the map  $x \mapsto a_x$ .



Now a particular case of the former is  $\beta X$  the set of ultrafilters on  $X$  which is a Stone Space (has a totally separated compact Hausdorff topology), thus an ultraset, which justifies the notation  $\int \nu_s d\mu$ .

**Ultrasets corresponding to compact subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}$**  One particular case of compact Hausdorff space is compact subsets of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ , in this section we are going to give a nice characterisation of the ultraproduct functor for such sets, which will come in handy when studying the ultraproduct of metric spaces.

Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on  $X$  and suppose that  $\phi$  is a function taking values in  $M$  where  $M$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  (we can take  $M$  for simplicity to be a closed interval since every compact can be included in such set and the inclusion is continuous). Now take the ultraproduct  $\int_X \phi(x) d\mu$  this is the limit of the ultrafilter  $\phi\mu$  (the pushforward of  $\mu$  by  $\phi$ ) which translates to the fact that  $\phi\mu$  contains the neighbourhood filter of  $\int_X \phi(x) d\mu$ , in other words for arbitrarily small  $\epsilon$  the set  $\{x \in X : |\phi(x) - \int_X \phi(x) d\mu| < \epsilon\} \in \mu$  (such ultraproduct is what is usually referred to as an ultralimit and usually denote by  $\lim_\mu \phi(x)$ ).

Now claim the following:

**Lemma.**  $\int_X \phi(x) d\mu = \text{Inf}_{U \in \mu} \text{Sup}_{x \in U} \phi(x)$

*Proof.* Let us call  $m = \int_X \phi(x) d\mu$ . First let us prove that  $m$  is a lower bound for  $\{\text{Sup}_{x \in U} \phi(x) \mid U \in \mu\}$ , to do this suppose by contradiction that there exists some  $U \in \mu$  such that  $m > \text{sup}_{x \in U} \phi(x)$ , let us call  $\epsilon = m - \text{sup}_{x \in U} \phi(x)$  then the set  $\{z \in X \mid |\phi(z) - m| < \epsilon\} \in \mu$  but  $\{z \in X \mid |\phi(z) - m| < \epsilon\} \subseteq \{z \in X \mid m - \epsilon < \phi(z)\}$  but this would imply that  $V = \{z \in X \mid \text{sup}_{x \in U} \phi(x) < \phi(z)\} \in \mu$ , but  $V \cap U = \emptyset$  on one hand and on the other  $V \cap U \in \mu$ , hence a contradiction ( $\emptyset \in \mu$ ).

So  $m$  is a lower bound for  $\{\text{Sup}_{x \in U} \phi(x), U \in \mu\}$ . To prove it is the greatest lower bound notice that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  the set  $V_\epsilon = \{x \in X \mid \phi(x) < m + \epsilon\} \in \mu$  thus  $\text{Sup}_{x \in V_\epsilon} \phi(x) \leq m + \epsilon$  so  $\text{Inf}_{U \in \mu} \text{Sup}_{x \in U} \phi(x) \leq m + \epsilon$  and since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary then we get that  $\text{Inf}_{U \in \mu} \text{Sup}_{x \in U} \phi(x) \leq m$  and thus since  $m$  is a lower bound we get that  $m = \text{Inf}_{U \in \mu} \text{Sup}_{x \in U} \phi(x)$ .  $\square$

### 1.1.2 Ultracategories arising from directed colimits

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose that we have a category  $\mathcal{M}$  that has products and direct colimits, then in this case it has an ultrastructure given by*

$$\int_X M_x d\mu = \lim_{U \in \mu} \left( \prod_{x \in U} M_x \right)$$

Here we consider the set of sets of  $\mu$  as a direct set by reverse inclusion.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a full subcategory of an ultracategory  $\mathcal{M}^+$  which is closed under the ultraproduct functor, then it is an ultracategory with such restriction of the ultraproduct functor*

Theorem 1.2 and lemma 1.1 are just restating proposition 1.3.7 of [Lur18], and a proof can be found there.

The lemma 1.1 allows us not only to consider categories having directed colimits and products, but full subcategories of those closed under the ultraproduct construction given by such directed

colimit of products. The main example of such ultracategories is the ultracategory of models of first order theory which is a full subcategory of the category of structures of the same signature (similarity type).

We highlight this construction in the case of set and this extends to all first order theories. The construction is an application of 1.2:

First we define the ultraproduct of non empty sets by

$$\int_I M_i d\mu = \prod_I M_i / \sim$$

Here  $\sim$  identifies tuples that agree on any set of the ultrafilter, and you can notice that this is just a direct limit of products in Set. Now the case where some sets of  $(M_i)$  are empty we have two cases either the set  $\{i \in I \mid M_i = \emptyset\} \in \mu$  in this case we define  $\int_I M_i d\mu = \emptyset$ , otherwise the set  $I' = \{i \in I \mid M_i \neq \emptyset\} \in \mu$  so we define

$$\int_I M_i d\mu = \prod_{I'} M_i / \sim$$

In other words we restrict our attention to a set of the ultrafilter for which the sets are non-empty, so in what follows we are going to denote the elements of the ultraproduct  $\int_I M_i d\mu$  by  $(a_i)_{i \in J}$  where  $J \in \mu$ .

Now suppose that we have a first order theory with structure  $\langle \mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_n, \mathcal{R}_1, \dots, \mathcal{R}_n, f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$  and a set of axioms  $\mathcal{A}$ . The category of structures has ultraproducts resulting from applying theorem 1.2 and 1.1 which are constructed as follows: Suppose we have structures  $(E^i)_{i \in I}$ , in what follows we are going to denote by  $E_{\mathcal{M}_j}^i$  the set of sort  $\mathcal{M}_j$  corresponding to  $E_i$ . Now let  $\mu$  be some ultrafilter on  $I$  and define  $\int_I E^i d\mu$  as follows: For each sort  $\mathcal{M}_j$ ,  $(\int_I E^i d\mu)_{\mathcal{M}_j} = \int_I E_{\mathcal{M}_j}^i d\mu$ .

Now for a relation symbol  $\mathcal{R}$  with formal domain  $S_1 \times \dots \times S_l$ , here each  $S_m \in \{\mathcal{M}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ , we define  $\mathcal{R}_{\int_I M_i d\mu}$  by  $((a_i^m)_{i \in I})_{m \in M} \in \mathcal{R}_{\int_I M_i d\mu}$  iff  $\{i \in I : (a_i^m) \in \mathcal{R}_{E^i}\} \in \mu$ .

Now for a function symbol  $f$  with formal domain  $S_1 \times \dots \times S_l$  and formal range  $S'$ , we define  $f_{\int_I E^i d\mu}((a_i^m)_{i \in I})_{m \in M}$  by  $(f(a_i^m))_{i \in I}$ .

Then we can regard the category of models in Set of  $\mathcal{A}$  as a full subcategory of the category of structures of similarity type  $\langle \mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_n, \mathcal{R}_1, \dots, \mathcal{R}_n, f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$ .

As a result of Łos theorem this subcategory is closed under the categorical ultraproduct of the category of structures which allows the application of 1.1.

## 2 The Ultracategory k-CompMet

Given  $k$  a positive real number, we denote by  $k\text{-CompMet}$  the category of  $k$ -bounded complete metric spaces, with contractions (1-Lipschitz functions) as morphisms. More precisely, the objects are the complete metric spaces satisfying  $d(x, y) \leq k$  for all  $x, y$ , and the morphisms are functions satisfying  $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *The category  $k\text{-CompMet}$  has all products and all directed colimits.*

This proposition is very classical, we will just give an explicit description of these products and directed colimits:

The product of a family  $(B_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $k$ -bounded complete metric spaces, is computed by taking the products of their underlying sets, and equipping it with the distance:

$$d((b_i), (c_i)) = \text{Sup}_I(d(b_i, c_i))$$

It should be noted that if we were working with unbounded metric space, without allowing for the possibility that  $d(x, y)$  can be infinite then this construction would not work and the resulting category would not have all products. This is the main reason why we work with this specific category  $k\text{-CompMet}$ .

For directed colimits, we consider the category  $k\text{-PsMet}$  of  $k$ -bounded pseudo-metric spaces with contractions as morphisms. That is we remove the requirement that  $d(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$ , as well as the completeness requirement.

The category  $k\text{-CompMet}$  is reflexive in  $k\text{-PsMet}$ : to each  $k$ -bounded pseudo-metric space, one can associate a metric space by quotienting it by the relation  $x \sim y$  if  $d(x, y) = 0$ , and take the completion of the resulting metric space. Hence colimits in  $k\text{-CompMet}$  can be obtained by first taking the colimit in the category  $k\text{-PsMet}$  and then applying this quotient-completion construction.

Finally, direct colimits in  $k\text{-PsMet}$  are computed as follows: Let  $I$  be a directed set viewed as a category and let  $B$  be a functor from this directed set to the category of  $k$  bounded pseudo-metric spaces. Then one first takes the colimit of the underlying sets:  $\varinjlim_I B_i \simeq \coprod_{i \in I} B_i / \approx$  where  $\coprod$  denotes the disjoint union and the equivalence relation is the equivalence relation generated by:  $f \approx g$  iff  $f \in B_{i_1}$  and  $g \in B_{i_2}$  and  $i_1 \leq i_2$  then  $e_{i_1, i_2}(f) = g$  (here  $e_{i_1, i_2}$  is the image by the functor  $B$  of the morphism between  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  in the directed set viewed as category). And we equip it with the following pseudo-metric: if  $f \in B_i$  and  $g \in B_j$  then  $d(f, g) = \text{Inf}_{i, j \leq k} d_k(f, g)$ . In particular, it should be noted that  $f \in B_i$  and  $g \in B_j$  then, in the colimits in  $k\text{-CompMet}$ , we have that  $f = g$  iff  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k \geq i, j$  such that  $d_k(f, g) < \epsilon$ .

We can deduce from this the following by virtue of 1.2:

**Proposition 2.2.** *The category  $k\text{-CompMet}$  has an ultrastructure, where the ultraproduct functors are given by*

$$\int_S M_s d\mu = \lim_{\mu} \left( \prod_{s \in U} M_s \right)$$

Where  $\mu$  is seen as a category with arrow  $A \rightarrow B$  if  $B \subseteq A$ .

We can however give a slightly more explicit description of this ultraproduct construction. We fix  $S$  a set and  $\mu$  an ultrafilter on  $S$  suppose we have a family of non-empty complete  $k$ -bounded metric spaces  $(M_s)_{s \in S}$  (that is an object in  $k\text{-CompMet}^S$ ).

We endow the set-theoretic product  $\prod_{s \in S} M_s$  with the equivalence relation defined by  $(f_s) \sim (g_s)$  iff for every  $\epsilon > 0$  the set  $\{s \in S : d_s(f_s, g_s) < \epsilon\} \in \mu$ , and the distance given by:

$$d((f_s)_{s \in S}, (g_s)_{s \in S}) = \lim_{\mu} d(f_s, g_s) = \text{Inf}_{M \in \mu} \sup_{s \in M} d(f_s, g_s)$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *The distance defined above makes  $(\prod_{s \in S} M_s / \sim)$  a complete metric space, which identifies up to canonical isometry with the ultraproduct  $\lim_{\rightarrow \mathcal{U} \in \mu} (\prod_{s \in \mathcal{U}} M_s)$ .*

For a proof see [BYBHU08].

**Note.** We should be more precise that the construction above would work if the family of metric spaces  $(M_s)_{s \in S}$  are all non-empty, if some  $M_s$  are empty we can do the same trick as in the case of Set and looking whether  $S' = \{s \in S \mid M_s = \emptyset\}$  is in the ultrafilter or not.

Although we are going to write proofs assuming that no metric space is empty, this trick can always be used so our proofs also encompass the case where some metric spaces are allowed to be empty.

## 2.1 Description of the categorical Fubini transform $\Delta$ in the category $k\text{-CompMet}$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $k\text{-CompMet}$  denote the category of Complete metric spaces bounded by a certain  $k$  with contractions as morphisms, and let  $S, T$  be sets and let  $\nu_\bullet = (\nu_s)_{s \in S}$  be a collection of ultrafilters on  $T$  and let  $(M_t)_{t \in T}$  be a collection of complete metric spaces indexed by  $T$ , Then we have:*

$$\Delta_{\mu, \nu_\bullet}((b_t)_{t \in T}) = ((b_t)_{t \in T})_{s \in S}$$

*Proof.* We need to make sure that the map  $(b_t)_{t \in T} \mapsto ((b_t)_{t \in T})_{s \in S}$  is well defined : Suppose that

$$(b_t)_{t \in T} = (b'_t)_{t \in T}$$

we know that that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  the set

$$\{t \in T \mid d_t(b_t, b'_t) < \epsilon\} \in \int_S \nu_s d\mu$$

which translates to the fact that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  the set

$$\{s \in S \mid \{t \in T \mid d_t(b_t, b'_t) < \epsilon\} \in \nu_s\} \in \mu$$

so we get that for any  $\epsilon > 0$

$$\{s \in S \mid d_{\nu_s}((b_t)_{t \in T}, (b'_t)_{t \in T}) < \epsilon\} \in \mu$$

so

$$((b'_t)_{t \in T})_{s \in S} = ((b_t)_{t \in T})_{s \in S}$$

So the map defined the way above is well defined, and we can see that it is a contraction.

Now the map  $\Delta_{\mu, \nu_\bullet}$  for the ultrastructure on  $k\text{-CompMet}$  is the unique map that makes the following diagram commutes for every set  $S_0 \subseteq S$  satisfying  $S_0 \in \mu$  and every set  $T_0 \subseteq T$  such that  $T_0 \in \nu_s \forall s \in S$  [Lur18][proposition 1.2.8]

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{t \in T_0} M_t & \xrightarrow{(q_{\nu_s}^{T_0})_{s \in S}} & \prod_{s \in S_0} \int_S M_t d\nu_s \\ \downarrow q_{\int_S \nu_s d\mu}^{T_0} & & \downarrow q_{\mu}^{S_0} \\ \int_T M_t d(\int_S \nu_s d\mu) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mu, \nu_\bullet}} & \int_S (\int_T M_t d\nu_s) d\mu \end{array}$$

Now clearly the map  $(b_t)_{t \in T} \mapsto ((b_t)_{t \in T})_{s \in S}$  makes the diagram above commutes for every set  $S_0 \subseteq S$  satisfying  $S_0 \in \mu$  and every set  $T_0 \subseteq T$  such that  $T_0 \in \nu_s$  for all  $s \in S$ .

□

One particular case which is important to consider is when we have a map of sets  $p$  from  $S$  to  $T$  and then we consider the family  $\delta_\bullet = (\delta_{p(s)})_{s \in S}$  the family of all the principal ultrafilters of the points in the image of  $p$ . Then in this case we get the map

$$\Delta_{\mu, \delta_\bullet}((b_t)_{t \in T}) = (b_{p(s)})_{s \in S}$$

Here  $\int_T M_t d\delta_{p(s)}$  was identified with  $M_{p(s)}$  (more precisely, without this identification then the above is a description of the ultraproduct diagonal map as defined in [Lur18, Notation 1.3.3]).

### 3 The bundle (The first functor)

In this section, we are going to define the category of bundles of complete metric spaces bounded by some constant  $k$  over some compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , which we are going to denote by  $\text{Bun}(k\text{-CompMet}, X)$  or alternatively  $\text{Bun}(k\text{-CompMet})/X$ , and construct a functor from the category  $\text{Left ultrafunctors}(X, k\text{-CompMet})$ , to the category  $\text{Bun}(k\text{-CompMet}, X)$ .

#### 3.1 Bundles of complete metric spaces

**Definition 3.1.** A function  $f$  from a topological space  $E$  to  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$  is said to be upper semi-continuous (respectively lower semi-continuous) in a point  $a$  iff for every  $y > f(a)$  (respectively  $y < f(a)$ ) there exists a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $a$  such that for every  $x \in V$   $f(x) < y$  (respectively  $f(x) > y$ ).

A function  $f$  from a topological space  $E$  with values in  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$  is upper semi-continuous (respectively lower semi-continuous) iff it is upper semi-continuous (respectively lower semi-continuous) in every point of its domain.

**Note.** It is easy to see that being upper semi-continuous is equivalent to being continuous when equipping  $[-\infty, +\infty]$  with the topology generated by  $\{[-\infty, b), b \in (-\infty, \infty]\}$  which is called the left order topology. The subspace topology of the left order topology of  $[0, +\infty]$  is generated by sets of the form  $[0, b)$  with  $b \in (0, +\infty]$ .

**Definition 3.2.** let  $E$  be a topological space and let  $\pi$  be a surjection from  $E$  to  $X$  such that for each  $x \in X$   $\pi^{-1}(x)$  is a metric space with distance  $d_x$ , let  $V$  be an open set then we define

$$V_\epsilon = \{f \in E \mid \exists g \in V \pi(f) = \pi(g) \text{ and } d_{\pi(f)}(f, g) < \epsilon\}$$

**Definition 3.3.** In the same context as 3.2, let  $V, W$  be open sets in  $E$  we say that  $V \subseteq_\epsilon W$  if there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $V \subseteq V_\epsilon \subseteq W$

Let  $A, B, C$  be topological spaces and let  $f, g$  be a continuous map from  $A$  to  $C$  and  $g$  be a continuous map from  $B$  to  $C$ , we define the fibre product space  $A \times_C B$  to be the space  $\{(x, y) \in$

$A \times B \mid f(x) = g(y) \}$  with the subspace topology of  $A \times B$ , this space is the pullback of  $f, g$  in the category of topological spaces.

Now We need to give an adequate definition of a continuous family of complete metric spaces bounded by some constant  $k$ :

**Definition 3.4.** A bundle of complete metric space bounded by  $k$  is defined to be a triple  $(E, X, \pi)$  with  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  a surjection such that for every  $x \in X$   $\pi^{-1}(x)$  is a complete metric space bounded by  $k$ , if it satisfies the axioms:

- Axiom(1): The global distance function defined from  $E \times_X E$  to  $[0, k]$  is upper semi-continuous.
- Axiom(2) :  $\pi$  is continuous and open.
- Axiom(3) : For every open set  $W$  and every  $f \in W$  there exist an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $f$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $V \subseteq_{\epsilon} W$ .

**Definition 3.5.** If  $(E, X, \pi)$  and  $(E', X, \pi')$  are two bundles with base space  $X$ , we define a map of bundles  $\psi$  to be a continuous map from  $E$  to  $E'$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\psi} & E' \\ & \searrow \pi & \swarrow \pi' \\ & X & \end{array}$$

and such that for each  $x \in X$  the map  $\psi|_{\pi^{-1}(x)}$  is a contraction.

This makes bundles with a fixed base space a category. The case where the base space is allowed to vary will be treated in section 7.

### 3.2 The Bundle's Topology

Given a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , and a left ultrafunctor  $\mathcal{F}$  from  $X$  to  $k\text{-CompMet}$  we want to endow the space  $\coprod_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}(x)$  with a canonical topology making it a bundle as in our definition 3.4. A common idea usually used in the definition of bundles, is that the bundle space is some sort of section space (or germs of section space) to the projection map, the definition that we gave starts from the realisation that the image by the left-ultrastructure maps of a point in the base space can be regarded as some sort of "generalised" local section maps at this point, and hence one can use these to define a topology on the space  $\coprod_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}(x)$  similar in spirit to [FD88, 13.18] (constructing a bundle from a family of sections is abundant in functional analysis literature, the same kind of idea can be seen for example in [EP16] or [Nil96, Wil07]).

Let us call  $\mathcal{L}$  the assignment that we are going to define, which gives a bundle for each left ultrafunctor from a compact Hausdorff set  $X(\text{ultraset})$  to  $k\text{-CompMet}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** let  $X$  be an ultraset, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a left ultrafunctor from  $X$  to  $k\text{-CompMet}$ , let  $E = \coprod_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}(x)$  then there is a unique topology  $\tau$  on  $E = \coprod_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}(x)$  such that an ultrafilter  $\eta$  converges to a point  $f \in E$  iff:

- $C_1$  :  $\pi\eta$  converges to  $\pi f$
- $C_2$  : for any  $\epsilon > 0$  if  $\sigma_{\pi\eta}(f) = (b_x)_{x \in X}$  then  $\coprod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon) \in \eta$

And this topology is characterized by a set  $U$  being open iff for any ultrafilter  $\eta$  converging to a point  $f \in U$  then  $U \in \eta$ .

*Proof.*

**Lemma.** The condition  $C_2$  is well defined, that is it does not depend on the representative of the equivalence class of  $(b_x)_{x \in X}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\sigma_{\pi\eta}(f) = (b_x)_{x \in X} = (b'_x)_{x \in X}$ , and suppose that for any  $\epsilon > 0$   $\prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon) \in \eta$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  then :

$$S = \{x \in X : d_{\pi(x)}(b_x - b'_x) < \epsilon/2\} \in \pi\eta,$$

so

$$\prod_{x \in S} \mathcal{F}(x) \in \eta,$$

so

$$\prod_{x \in S} \mathcal{F}(x) \cap \prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon/2) = \prod_{x \in S} B(b_x, \epsilon/2) \in \eta.$$

Now let  $g \in \prod_{x \in S} B(b_x, \epsilon/2)$  then

$$d(g, b'_x) \leq d(g, b_x) + d(b_x, b'_x) < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon,$$

hence

$$\prod_{x \in S} B(b_x, \epsilon/2) \subseteq \prod_{x \in X} B(b'_x, \epsilon),$$

and this implies that

$$\prod_{x \in X} B(b'_x, \epsilon) \in \eta$$

□

Now back to the proof of the theorem, the proof relies on [Wyl96, Theorem 4.4], namely every relation satisfying conditions UQ1 and UQ4 of [Wyl96] defines a topology characterized by this relation being the convergence relation on ultrafilters, we are going to summarise these conditions in the following theorem :

**Theorem 3.2.** let  $X$  be a set and let  $\beta X$  be the set of all ultrafilters on  $X$  (the Stone-Cech compactification of its discrete structure), let  $q$  be a relation on  $\beta X \times X$  satisfying the following:

- UQ1:  $\forall x \in X \delta_x q x$ , here  $\delta_x$  is the principal ultrafilter at  $x$ .
- UQ4: If  $t : S \rightarrow X$  and  $u : S \rightarrow \beta X$  are maps such that  $u(s) q t(s)$  for every  $s \in S$ , and if  $t\phi q x$  for an ultrafilter  $\phi$  on  $S$ , then  $\int_S u(s) d\phi q x$ .

Here the ultrafilter  $\int_S u(s) d\phi$  is the ultrafilter defined in 1.

Then there exists a topology on  $X$  characterised by being the unique topology such that the ultrafilter  $\phi$  converges to  $x \in X$  in the usual sense iff  $\phi q x$ .

**Property UQ1** In what follows let us say that for an ultrafilter  $\mu$  on  $E$  and a point  $f \in E$ ,  $\mu \text{qf}$  if  $(\mu, f)$  satisfies conditions  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  of 3.1. We need to prove that  $\delta_f \text{qf}$ . First it is obvious that  $\pi(\delta_f) = \delta_{\pi(f)}$  so it converges to  $\pi(f)$ , so  $\delta_f$  has property  $C_1$  of 3.1. Now if  $\sigma_{\pi(f)} = (b_x)_{x \in X}$  then the equivalence class is completely determined by  $b_{\pi(f)} = f$  (using [Lur18, definition 1.4.1(1)]), so  $\delta_f$  satisfies property  $C_2$  of 3.1, so  $\delta_f \text{qf}$ .

**Property UQ4** Now let us prove that the convergence relation defined with the two properties above ( $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ) satisfies the second condition of 3.2: let  $S$  be a set and let  $t$  be a map of sets from  $T$  to  $E$ , let  $u$  be a map from  $S$  to  $\beta E$  (where  $\beta E$  is the sets of all ultrafilters on  $E$ ) such that each  $u(s) \text{qt}(s)$  (that means satisfies the conditions  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ), and suppose  $t\mu \text{qf} \in E$ , we need to show that  $\alpha = \int_S u(s) d\mu \text{qf}$ .

Now showing that  $\pi\alpha$  converges to  $\pi f$  (in the usual sense of converging in a topological space) is trivial, to see why we know that we have a morphism  $\Delta_{\mu, \pi u \bullet}$  from  $\int_X x d\pi\alpha$  to  $\int_S (\int_X x d\pi u(s)) d\mu = \int_S \pi(t(s)) d\mu$  the latter can be shown to be equal to  $\int_X x d\pi t\mu = \pi(f)$  (since  $t\mu$  satisfies the 2 conditions), since the only morphisms in ultraset are identities, this proves that  $\pi\alpha$  converges to  $\pi(f)$ . Thus  $\alpha$  satisfies property  $C_1$  of 3.1.

So it remains to show that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and supposing  $\sigma_{\pi\alpha}(f) = (b_x)_{x \in X}$  then  $\prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon) \in \alpha$ . Before proceeding let us use the description we have for the categorical Fubini transforms to find a relation between the 2 maps  $\sigma_\mu$  and  $\sigma_{t\mu}$ . By the definition of left ultrafunctors ([Lur18, definition 1.4.1(2)] if we take the family of ultrafilters  $(\delta_{t(s)})_{s \in S}$ , then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(\pi(f)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{t\mu}} & \int_E F(\pi t(e)) dt\mu \\
 & \searrow \sigma_\mu & \swarrow \Delta_{\mu, \delta_{t \bullet}} \\
 & & \int_S F(\pi t(s)) d\mu
 \end{array}$$

Here we identified  $\int_E F(\pi t(e)) d\delta_{t(s)}$  with  $F(\pi(t(s)))$  using [Lur18, definition 1.4.1(1)] and also using the fact that  $\int_S \delta_{t(s)} d\mu = t\mu$ . So for any element  $h$  of  $F(\pi(f))$  if  $\sigma_{t\mu}(h) = (a_e)_{e \in E}$  and  $\sigma_\mu(h) = (a'_s)_{s \in S}$  then  $(a'_s)_{s \in S} = (a_{t(s)})_{s \in S}$  (using (2.1)). Now we state the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that  $\sigma_\mu(f) = (q'_s)_{s \in S}$  and  $\sigma_{t\mu}(f) = (q_e)_{e \in E}$  then  $(q'_s)_{s \in S} = (q_{t(s)})_{s \in S} = (t(s))_{s \in S}$*

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , Then since  $t\mu$  converges to  $f$  then

$$\prod_{x \in X} B(q_x, \epsilon) \in t\mu,$$

and this implies that

$$t^{-1}\left(\prod_{x \in X} B(q_x, \epsilon)\right) \in \mu,$$

and so

$$\{s \in S \mid d_{t(s)}(q_{t(s)}, t(s)) < \epsilon\} \in \mu,$$

which completes the proof of lemma. □



Now let  $\epsilon > 0$ , let us start by writing the diagram [Lur18, definition 1.4.1(2)] for the family  $(\pi(u(s)))_{s \in S}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\pi(f)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\pi\alpha}} & \int_X F(x) d\pi\alpha \\ \sigma_\mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta_{\mu, u} \\ \int_S F(\pi t(s)) d\mu & \xrightarrow{\int_S \sigma_{\pi(u(s))} d\mu} & \int_S (\int_X F(x) d\pi u(s)) d\mu \end{array}$$

since  $f \in F(\pi(f))$  and since  $\sigma_\mu(f) = (t(s))_{s \in S}$  then the commutativity of the diagram tells us that if  $\sigma_{u(s)}(t(s)) = (a_x^s)_{x \in X}$  then

$$((a_x^s)_{x \in X})_{s \in S} = ((b_x)_{x \in X})_{s \in S},$$

which translates to the fact that for any  $\epsilon'$  we have that

$$\{s \in S \mid d_{\pi u(s)}((a_x^s)_{x \in X}, (b_x)_{x \in X}) < \epsilon'\} \in \mu,$$

in particular

$$\{s \in S \mid d_{\pi(u(s))}((a_x^s)_{x \in X}, (b_x)_{x \in X}) < \epsilon/2\} \in \mu.$$

We also know that

$$\prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon/2) \in t\mu,$$

which implies that

$$t^{-1}(\prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon/2)) \in \mu.$$

It follows that their intersection

$$\{s \in S \mid d_{\pi(u(s))}((a_x^s)_{x \in X}, (b_x)_{x \in X}) < \epsilon/2\} \cap t^{-1}(\prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon/2)) \in \mu.$$

Now our goal is to show that

$$\{s \in S \mid d_{\pi(u(s))}((a_x^s)_{x \in X}, (b_x)_{x \in X}) < \epsilon/2\} \cap t^{-1}(\prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon/2)) \subseteq \{s \in S \mid \prod_{x \in X} B(x, \epsilon) \in u(s)\},$$

to do so consider any  $s$  in the intersection, we have for such  $s$

$$t(s) \in B(b_{\pi t(s)}, \epsilon/2),$$

and on the other hand

$$d_{\pi u(s)}((a_x^s)_{x \in X}, (b_x)_{x \in X}) < \epsilon/2,$$

which implies that the set

$$\{x \in X \mid d_x(b_x, a_x^s) < \epsilon/2\} \in \pi u(s),$$

which is equivalent to saying that

$$\pi^{-1}(\{x \in X \mid d_x(b_x, a_x^s) < \epsilon/2\}) \in u(s).$$

Now we already know that

$$\prod_{x \in X} (a_x^s, \epsilon/2) \in u(s),$$

thus

$$\pi^{-1}(\{(x \in X \mid d_x(b_x, a_x^s) < \epsilon/2\}) \cap \prod_{x \in X} (a_x^s, \epsilon/2) \in u(s),$$

So it remains to show that this is subset of  $\prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon)$ ,

to do so let  $h \in \pi^{-1}(\{(x \in X \mid d_x(b_x, a_x^s) < \epsilon/2\}) \cap \prod_{x \in X} (a_x^s, \epsilon/2)$ , we have that

$$d_{\pi(h)}(h, b_{\pi(h)}) \leq d_{\pi(h)}(h, a_{\pi(h)}^s) + d_{\pi(h)}(a_{\pi(h)}^s, b_{\pi(h)}) < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon,$$

so

$$h \in \prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon),$$

and this proves that

$$\pi^{-1}(\{(x \in X \mid d_x(b_x, a_x^s) < \epsilon/2\}) \cap \prod_{x \in X} (a_x^s, \epsilon/2) \subseteq \prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon),$$

hence

$$\prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon) \in u(s),$$

and thus

$$\{s \in S \mid d_{\pi(t(s))}(a_{t(s)}^s, t_s) < \epsilon/2\} \cap t^{-1}(\prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon/2)) \subseteq \{s \in S \mid \prod_{x \in X} B(x, w\epsilon) \in u(s)\},$$

so as a result  $\prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon) \in \alpha$ , and this is true for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . So  $\alpha$  satisfies property  $C_2$  of 3.1, so we may deduce that  $\alpha$  qf.  $\square$

**Note.** By definition the topology characterised by properties  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  of 3.1, makes  $\pi$  continuous, since the condition  $C_1$  implies that if  $\eta$  converges to  $f$  then  $\pi\eta$  converges to  $\pi(f)$ .

### 3.3 Characterisation of the topology

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and let  $\mathcal{F} : X \rightarrow k\text{-CompMet}$  be a left ultrafunctor. A set  $C = \prod_{x \in X} U(x) \subseteq \prod_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}(x)$  is open in the topology  $\tau$  defined in 3.2, iff it satisfies the following condition:*

*For every ultrafilter  $\mu$  on  $X$  converging to a point  $x \in \pi(\prod_{x \in X} U(x))$  and  $\forall g \in U(x)$  if  $\sigma_\mu(g) = (b(y))_{y \in X}$  then  $\exists W \in \mu$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B_y(b_y, \epsilon) \subseteq U(y)$  for any  $y \in W$  (in other words  $\prod_{x \in W} B(b_x, \epsilon) \subseteq C$ ).*

**Note.** Although  $\epsilon$  and  $W$  depend on the representative of the class of  $\sigma_\mu(g)$ , their existence does not depend on the representative, so this condition is well defined.

#### 3.3.1 Proof of the only if direction

Let  $V = \prod_{x \in X} U(x)$  be a set satisfying this condition of theorem 3.3. Our goal is to show that  $V$  is open by showing that for any ultrafilter  $\mu$  converging to  $f \in V$ , we have  $V \in \mu$ . Let  $\mu$  be such ultrafilter converging to some  $f \in V$ . Now by definition of convergence relation on  $E$ , we get that  $\pi\mu$  converges to  $\pi(f)$ . Now we must note that  $f \in U(\pi(f))$  so if  $\sigma_{\pi\mu}(f) = (b_x)_{x \in X}$  then using

the condition of the theorem  $\exists W \in \pi\mu$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for any  $x \in W$   $B(b_x, \epsilon) \subseteq U(x)$  so  $\coprod_{x \in W} B(b_x, \epsilon) \subseteq V$  Now take  $\epsilon' < \epsilon$  then  $\coprod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon') \in \mu$  by property  $C_2$ , but also is  $\pi^{-1}(W)$  (since  $\pi$  is continuous), so their intersection  $\pi^{-1}(W) \cap \coprod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon') \in \mu$ , but now we see that:

$$\pi^{-1}(W) \cap \coprod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon') \subseteq \coprod_{x \in W} B(b_x, \epsilon) \subseteq V$$

thus  $V \in \mu$  so  $V$  is open.

### 3.3.2 Proof of the if direction

We recall classical results regarding ultrafilters:

**Lemma 3.2.** *let  $E$  be set and let  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(E)$  (the powerset of  $E$ ) be a set of nonempty subsets of  $E$ , satisfying the finite intersection property then there exists an ultrafilter  $\nu$  such that  $A \in \nu$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{U}$ .*

*Proof.* define the filter  $\alpha$  by setting  $A \in \alpha$  iff  $A \supseteq A'$  for some  $A' \in \mathcal{U}$ , now it can be checked that  $\alpha$  is a filter and thus must be contained in some ultrafilter  $\nu$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *let  $E$  be set and let  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(E)$  be a set of subsets of  $E$ , such that for every finite  $\mathcal{U}' \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\bigcap \mathcal{U}' \neq \emptyset$  then there exists an ultrafilter  $\nu$  such that  $A \in \nu$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{U}$ .*

*Proof.* Apply lemma 3.2 to  $\{\bigcap \mathcal{U}' \mid \mathcal{U}' \text{ finite and } \mathcal{U}' \subseteq \mathcal{U}\}$   $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *let  $E$  be a set and let  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(E)$  be a family of subsets, Let  $A \subseteq E$  such that  $A$  satisfies the following:*

$$\forall \mu \in \beta E, (\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mu \implies A \in \mu)$$

*then  $\exists B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $B_1 \cap \dots \cap B_n \subseteq A$ .*

*Proof.* In the case where for some finite family  $\mathcal{U}' \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\bigcap \mathcal{U}'$  is empty we can say that  $\emptyset \subseteq A$ . Otherwise, Assume by contradiction that no such finite family exists, apply lemma 3.3 to the family  $\mathcal{U} \cup \{A^c\}$  to get a contradiction, thus such finite family must exist.  $\square$

Now Let  $V = \coprod_{x \in X} U(x)$  be an open set, and let  $\eta$  be an ultrafilter on  $X$  converging to a point  $x \in \pi(V)$ . Now let  $f \in U(x)$  suppose that  $\sigma_\eta(f) = (b_x)_{x \in X}$ , and let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on  $E$  and suppose that :

$$(*) \quad \forall S \in \eta, \forall \epsilon > 0 \coprod_{x \in S} B(b_x, \epsilon) \in \mu$$

We can show that in this case  $\eta = \pi\mu$  and since we assumed the condition (\*), Then  $\mu$  converges to  $f$  and thus since  $V$  is open, we may deduce that  $V \in \mu$ . So we have proved that

$$\forall \mu \in \beta E ((\forall S \in \eta \text{ such that } \pi^{-1}(S) \in \mu, \forall \epsilon > 0 \coprod_{x \in S} B(b_x, \epsilon) \subseteq V) \implies V \in \mu)$$

Hence we may deduce by lemma 3.4 that:

$$\exists \epsilon > 0 \text{ and } W \in \eta \text{ such that } \prod_{x \in W} B(b_x, \epsilon) \subseteq V$$

So the set  $V$  satisfies the condition of theorem 3.3.

### 3.4 The topology definition satisfies the axioms of 3.4

Now we want to prove that our definition of the topology on  $\prod_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}(x)$  from theorem 3.1, gives rise to a bundle of complete metric spaces so will check that our definition satisfies the three axioms of definition 3.4.

#### 3.4.1 Axiom 1

To prove that the distance function is upper semi-continuous. let  $\pi : \prod_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}(x) \rightarrow X$  be the projection map, suppose that  $\mu$  is an ultrafilter on  $E \times_X E$  such that  $\mu$  converges to  $(f, g)$ . Now let  $r > d(f, g)$ . First notice that  $\pi \circ \pi_1 = \pi \circ \pi_2$ . Now we have that  $\pi_1 \mu$  converges to  $f$  and  $\pi_2 \mu$  converges to  $g$  (simply because projections are continuous).

Suppose that  $\sigma_{\pi_1 \mu}(f) = (b_x)_{x \in X}$  and  $\sigma_{\pi_2 \mu}(g) = (c_x)_{x \in X}$ . Take  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$  such that they satisfy  $r - (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) > d(f, g)$ , we know that  $\prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon_1) \in \pi_1 \mu$  and that  $\prod_{x \in X} B(c_x, \epsilon_2) \in \pi_2 \mu$ . We also know that  $d_{\pi_1 \mu}((b_x)_{x \in X}, (c_x)_{x \in X}) \leq d(f, g)$  so that means that there exists some set  $L \in \pi_1 \mu = \pi_2 \mu$  such that  $\forall x \in L \ d(b_x, c_x) \leq d(f, g)$ . So we deduce that  $\prod_{x \in L} B(b_x, \epsilon_1) \in \pi_1 \mu$  and that  $\prod_{x \in L} B(c_x, \epsilon_2) \in \pi_2 \mu$  (by intersecting with  $\pi^{-1}(L)$ ).

Now let  $(h, l) \in \pi_1^{-1}(\prod_{x \in L} B(b_x, \epsilon_1)) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(\prod_{x \in L} B(c_x, \epsilon_2))$ , then

$$d(h, l) < \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + d(b_{\pi(h)}, c_{\pi(h)}) < \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + d(f, g) < r$$

And thus

$$d^{-1}([0, r]) \supseteq \pi_1^{-1}(\prod_{x \in L} B(b_x, \epsilon_1)) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(\prod_{x \in L} B(c_x, \epsilon_2)) \in \mu$$

So  $d\mu$  converges to  $d(x, y)$  (if we equip  $[0, k]$  with the left order topology of course).

#### 3.4.2 Axiom 2

By definition of the topology on  $E$ ,  $\pi$  is continuous. Now to prove that  $\pi$  is open, let  $V = \prod_{x \in \pi(V)} U(x)$  be a non-empty open set of  $E$ , and let  $\eta$  be an ultrafilter on  $X$  converging to  $x \in \pi(V)$ . We wish to show that  $\pi(V) \in \eta$ . Since  $x \in \pi(V)$  then  $U(x)$  is non-empty then let  $a \in U(x)$ . If  $\sigma_\eta(a) = (b_x)_{x \in X}$  then  $\exists W \in \eta$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\prod_{x \in W} B(b_x, \epsilon) \subseteq V$ , thus  $\pi(\prod_{x \in W} B(b_x, \epsilon)) = W \subseteq \pi(V)$ . But since  $W \in \eta$  thus  $\pi(V) \in \eta$ . So  $\pi(V)$  is open.

#### 3.4.3 Axiom 3

We to prove that our definition of topology associated to left ultrafunctors satisfies axiom (3) of the definition of bundle topology, which informally means that if  $V \subseteq W$  are open sets in  $E =$

$\prod_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}(x)$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a left ultrafunctor from  $k$  to the category  $k\text{-PsMet}$ , we can "enlarge"  $V$  by some  $\epsilon$  and remain inside  $W$  (we have of course given a more formal statement).

Before showing that our construction satisfies axiom (3), we give two lemmas, which are true for every pair of topological spaces  $(E, X)$ , satisfying that there exists a surjection  $\pi$  from  $E$  to  $X$  such that for every  $x \in X$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  is a metric space and such that the distance function from  $E \times_X E$  to  $E$  is upper semi-continuous.

**Definition 3.6.** *let  $E, X$  be topological spaces and let  $\pi$  be a surjection from  $E$  to  $X$  such that for every  $x \in X$   $\pi^{-1}(x)$  is a complete metric space bounded by some  $k$ , we call  $V \subseteq E$   $\epsilon$ -thin iff for every  $f, f' \in V$ , if  $\pi(f) = \pi(f')$  then  $d_{\pi(f)}(f, f') < \epsilon$ .*

**Lemma 3.5.** *let  $E, X$  be topological spaces and let  $\pi$  be a surjection from  $E$  to  $X$  such that for every  $x \in X$   $\pi^{-1}(x)$  is a metric space and such that the distance function from  $E \times_X E$  to  $E$  is upper semi-continuous then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $E$  has a basis consisting of  $\epsilon$ -thin neighbourhoods.*

*Proof.* The distance  $E \times_X E$  is upper semi-continuous which implies that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  the set  $\{(v, v') \in E \times_X E \mid d_{\pi(v)}(v, v') < \epsilon\}$  is open. This implies that the sets of form  $U_i \times_X V_i$ , where  $U_i$  and  $V_i$  are open sets such that:  $U_i \times_X V_i \subseteq \{(v, v') \in E \times_X E \mid d_{\pi(v)}(v, v') < \epsilon\}$  form a basis for the subspace topology of  $\{(v, v') \in E \times_X E \mid d_{\pi(v)}(v, v') < \epsilon\}$ . Now the subspace topology of the diagonal is generated by the intersection of the diagonal with these basic open neighbourhoods. So applying the projection map (which is a homeomorphism between the diagonal of  $E \times_X E$  and  $E$ ) we may deduce that for any  $\epsilon > 0$   $E$  has a topology generated by open sets  $W_i = \Delta^{-1}(U_i \times_X V_i)$  where  $\Delta : E \rightarrow E \times_X E$  is the diagonal map. Now by construction, each set of these satisfies the following:

$$\forall g, g' \in W_i \text{ if } \pi(g) = \pi(g') \text{ then } d_{\pi(g)}(g, g') < \epsilon$$

□

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $E, X$  be topological spaces and let  $\pi$  be a surjection from  $E$  to  $X$  such that for every  $x \in X$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  is a metric space and such that the distance function from  $E \times_X E$  to  $E$  is upper semi-continuous then the sets  $V_\epsilon$  as defined in 3.2 are open in the topology of  $E$ .*

*Proof.* Take the distance map from  $E \times_X V$  to  $[0, \infty)$ , by upper semi-continuity each  $(d|_{E \times_X V})^{-1}([0, \epsilon))$  is open in  $E \times_X V$ , now since  $E \times_X V$  is open in  $E \times_X E$ , then  $d|_{E \times_X V}^{-1}([0, \epsilon))$  is open in the topology of  $E \times E$ , so we can apply the first projection (open map) to  $d|_{E \times_X V}^{-1}([0, \epsilon))$  to get the open set  $V_\epsilon$ . □

Now let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a left ultrafunctor from  $X$  to the category of metric spaces bounded by a certain  $k$ , and let  $E = \prod_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}(x)$  equipped with the topology defined in 3.2. And let  $W$  be an open set of  $E$  and let  $f \in W$  and let  $\mathcal{N}_f$  denote the set of open neighbourhoods of  $f$ . We want to show that there exists an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $f$  such that  $V \subseteq_\epsilon W$  using lemma 3.4. Take the family of sets  $\{V_\epsilon \mid \epsilon > 0, V \in \mathcal{N}_f\}$ , let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on  $E$  and suppose that  $\{V_\epsilon \mid \epsilon > 0, V \in \mathcal{N}_f\} \subseteq \mu$ , We want to show that  $\mu$  converges to  $f$ , this will allow us to use lemma 3.4.

First to prove that  $\pi\mu$  converges to  $\pi f$ , notice that for any neighbourhood  $S$  of  $\pi(f)$  if we take any  $\epsilon > 0$   $\pi^{-1}(S)_\epsilon = \pi^{-1}(S)$  so  $S \in \mu$  thus  $\pi\mu$  converges to  $\pi f$ . And also suppose that we have chosen a representative  $(b_x)_{x \in X}$  of the class of  $\sigma_{\pi\mu}(f)$  (in other words  $\sigma_{\pi\mu}(f) = (b_x)_{x \in X}$ ). Now we regard  $b$  as a map from  $X$  to  $E$ . We will show that  $b\pi\mu$  converges to  $f$ , first notice that  $\pi b\pi\mu$  converges to

$\pi(f)$  since  $\pi b = \text{id}$ . Now we prove that for any  $\epsilon$ ,  $\prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon) \in b\pi\mu$ , this follows from the fact that  $b^{-1}(\prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon)) = X \in \pi\mu$  so  $b\pi\mu$  converges to  $f$ .

We have already shown that the distance  $E \times_X E$  is upper semi-continuous which implies by lemma 3.5 that for any  $r > 0$ ,  $E$  has a basis consisting of  $r$ -thin neighbourhoods, thus take an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $f$  such that this neighbourhood is  $\epsilon/2$ -thin and take the set  $V_{\epsilon/2}$ .

Since the set  $V$  is an open neighbourhood of  $f$  and the projection  $\pi$  is open we may deduce that the set  $\{x : b_x \in V\} = \pi(V) \in \pi\mu$  so  $\pi^{-1}(\{x : b_x \in V\}) = \pi^{-1}(\pi(V)) \in \mu$ . Let us prove that  $\pi^{-1}(\{x : b_x \in V\}) \cap V_{\epsilon/2} \subseteq \prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon)$ . Let  $g \in \pi^{-1}(\{x : b_x \in V\}) \cap V_{\epsilon/2}$  now  $\exists h \in V$  such that  $\pi(g) = \pi(h)$  and such that  $d(g, h) < \epsilon/2$  now  $d(g, b_{\pi(g)}) \leq d(g, h) + d(h, b_{\pi(g)}) < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon$ . So  $\mu$  converges to  $f$ , thus  $W \in \mu$ . So we can apply lemma 3.4.

Thus there exists some neighbourhoods  $V^1, \dots, V^n$  of  $f$  and some  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n > 0$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n V^i \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{\epsilon_i}^i \subseteq W$  (using lemma 3.4). Now if we call  $\epsilon = \min_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i$  and  $V = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V^i$  (these are not  $V$  and  $\epsilon$  of the previous paragraph), then  $V \subseteq V_\epsilon \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{\epsilon_i}^i \subseteq W$ .

**Note.** We can also conclude that the sets  $V_\epsilon$  for  $V$  neighbourhood of  $f$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  form a basis for the neighbourhood system at  $f$ .

### 3.5 $\mathcal{L}$ is a functor

We have described the way  $\mathcal{L}$  acts on objects. Now let us describe how it acts on morphisms:

Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}'$  be two left ultrafunctors and let  $\nu$  be a natural transformation of left ultrafunctors between  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}'$ . Then the induced map of bundles is  $\psi = \mathcal{L}(\nu)$  defined by

$$\psi|_{\pi^{-1}(x)} = \nu_x$$

. Now we need to prove that this induced map  $\psi$  is indeed a map of bundles. Let  $E = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $E' = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}')$ , we already have the commutativity of the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\psi} & E' \\ & \searrow \pi & \swarrow \pi' \\ & & X \end{array}$$

by definition, we also already have the fact that  $\psi|_{\pi^{-1}(x)}$  is a contraction by definition.

It remains to show that  $\psi$  is continuous, to do so let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on  $E$  that converges to  $f \in E$ . Let us show that  $\psi\mu$  converges to  $\nu_{\pi(f)}(f)$ . We start by proving that  $\pi'\psi\mu$  converges to  $\pi(f) = \pi'(\nu_{\pi(f)}(f))$  but this follows from the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\psi} & E' \\ & \searrow \pi & \swarrow \pi' \\ & & X \end{array}$$

Now suppose that  $\sigma'_{\pi'\psi\mu}(\nu_{\pi(f)}(f)) = \sigma'_{\pi\mu}(\nu_{\pi(f)}(f)) = (b'_x)_{x \in X'}$  and  $\sigma_{\pi\mu}(f) = (b_x)_{x \in X}$ . We know that since  $\nu$  is a natural transformation of left ultrafunctors then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{F}(\pi(f)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\pi\mu}} & \int_X \mathcal{F}(x) d\pi\mu \\
\downarrow \nu_{\pi(f)} & & \downarrow \int_X \nu_x d\mu \\
\mathcal{F}'(\pi(f)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma'_{\pi\mu}} & \int_X \mathcal{F}'(x) d\pi\mu
\end{array}$$

Which implies that  $(b'_x)_{x \in X} = (\nu_x(b_x))_{x \in X}$ , so for simplicity we are going to take  $(\nu_x(b_x))_{x \in X}$  as representative of the class. Now let  $\epsilon > 0$  we are going to show that :

$$\prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon) \subseteq \psi^{-1} \left( \prod_{x \in X} B(\nu_x(b_x), \epsilon) \right)$$

Remember that  $\psi$  is the map such that  $\psi|_{\mathcal{F}(x)} = \nu_x$ . Now let  $x \in X$ , take  $g \in \mathcal{F}(x)$  such that  $d(g, b_x) < \epsilon$ , since  $\nu_x$  is a contraction we get  $d(\nu_x(g), \nu_x(b_x)) < \epsilon$ . So

$$g \in \psi^{-1} \left( \prod_{x \in X} B(\nu_x(b_x), \epsilon) \right)$$

This proves that:

$$\prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon) \subseteq \psi^{-1} \left( \prod_{x \in X} B(\nu_x(b_x), \epsilon) \right)$$

And since  $\prod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon) \in \mu$  then :

$$\prod_{x \in X} B(\nu_x(b_x), \epsilon) \in \psi\mu$$

So  $\psi\mu$  converges to  $\psi(f)$  which completes the Functoriality proof.

## 4 The inverse functor

The first process we defined is a functorial assignment from the category of left ultrafunctors from a fixed ultraset  $X$  to the adequate category  $k\text{-CompMet}$ .

Now we want to define an inverse process, a functorial assignment  $\mathcal{R}$  that sends a bundle with base space  $X$  to a left ultrafunctor, moreover, we claim that the pair  $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$  is an equivalence of categories between left ultrafunctors and bundles.

But first let us define  $\mathcal{R}$  :

### 4.1 The inverse functor construction

Let  $(E, X, \pi)$  be a bundle of complete metric spaces (bounded by some  $k$ ) and let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space, Let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter that converges to  $x$ . Our goal is to define a left ultrafunctor from  $X$  to  $k\text{-CompMet}$ .

Defining  $\mathcal{R}(E)$  as a functor is straightforward: we send each  $x$  to the fibre at  $x$ , and this is a functor since  $X$  has no non identity morphism. Now we search an adequate left-ultrastructure on this functor, i.e we wish to construct for every ultrafilter  $\mu$  on some set  $S$  and every map of set  $f$  from  $S$  to  $X$  a morphism  $\sigma_\mu$  from  $F(\int_S f(s)d\mu)$  to  $\int_S F(f(s))d\mu$ , which satisfies certain axioms indicated in [Lur18]. We will see soon that it's enough to take the case  $S = X$  and  $f = \text{id}$ .

Now we turn to the construction:

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on  $X$  converging to  $x$

For every  $W$  neighbourhood of  $f \in \pi^{-1}(x)$  define the following:

$$A_W = \{ (b_y)_{y \in X} \mid \exists U \in \mu, \epsilon > 0 \prod_{y \in U} B(b_y, \epsilon) \subseteq W \}$$

The condition " $\exists U \in \mu, \epsilon > 0 \prod_{y \in U} B(b_y, \epsilon) \subseteq W$ " is well defined in the sense that it's independent of the representative of the class of  $(b_y)_{y \in X}$ . Let us call as usual  $\mathcal{N}_f$  the set of open neighbourhoods of  $f$ .

**Lemma.** The family  $(A_W)_{W \in \mathcal{N}_f}$  is a filter basis.

*Proof.* We need to check that each set of this family is non-empty, and that the intersection of any two contains a third. Let  $W$  be a neighbourhood of  $f$ , we want to show that  $A_W$  is nonempty: We know that there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and an open set  $V$  such that  $V \subseteq_\epsilon W$  using facts we showed in 3.4.3. Now take any family  $(b_y)_{y \in \pi(V)}$  such that each  $b_y \in V$ . We already know that  $\pi(V) \in \mu$  since  $\mu$  converges to  $x$  and the map  $\pi$  is open. Now let us take  $\prod_{x \in \pi(V)} B(b_x, \epsilon)$  then by our assumption that  $V \subseteq_\epsilon W$ , we conclude that  $\prod_{x \in \pi(V)} B(b_x, \epsilon) \subseteq W$  thus the equivalence class of the family  $(b_y)_{y \in \pi(V)}$  is in  $A_W$  thus  $A_W$  is non empty. Finally it also clear that  $A_W \cap A_{W'} = A_{W \cap W'}$   $\square$

**Theorem 4.1.** the filter  $\{ B \mid B \supseteq A_W, W \in \mathcal{N}_f \}$  is a Cauchy filter

*Proof.* Since  $E$  is a bundle of complete metric spaces bounded by  $k$ , then the hypothesis of lemma 3.5 is satisfied, meaning that there exists an  $\epsilon$ -thin neighbourhood of  $f$ . Now take  $L_\epsilon$  to be an  $\epsilon$ -thin neighbourhood of  $f$  then we can directly see that the set  $A_{L_\epsilon}$  is of diameter  $\leq \epsilon$ .  $\square$

Now since the ultraproduct  $\int_X \pi^{-1}(x)$  is complete the constructed Cauchy filter converges to some element which we are going to denote by  $(b_y^f)_{y \in X}$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** Define  $\sigma_\mu(f) = (b_y^f)_{y \in X}$  the limit of the Cauchy filter generated by the family  $(A_W)_{W \in \mathcal{N}(f)}$ , then the map  $\sigma_\mu$  is a contraction from  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  to  $\int_X \pi^{-1}(x)d\mu$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  and  $f' \in \pi^{-1}(x)$  are such that  $d(f, f') = \epsilon$ , since that distance map is upper semi-continuous there exists a neighbourhood  $W_1$  of  $f$  and a neighbourhood  $W_2$  of  $f'$  such that  $d(g, g') < \epsilon$  for every  $(g, g') \in W_1 \times_X W_2$ . Now take arbitrary  $\epsilon' > 0$  then  $B((b_y^f), \epsilon'/2)$  intersects any element of the Cauchy filter in particular  $A_{W_1}$ . Same thing  $B((b_y^{f'}), \epsilon'/2)$  intersects any element of the second Cauchy filter converging to  $(b_y^{f'})_{y \in X}$  in particular  $A_{W_2}$ .



**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $f \in E$  and let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on  $X$  converging to  $\pi(f)$ , suppose  $\sigma_\mu(f) = (b_y^f)_{y \in X}$  then for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and any  $W$  neighbourhood of  $f$  if  $(g_y)_{y \in X} \in A_W \cap B((b_y^f), \epsilon)$ , there exists some  $U \in \mu$  such that  $U \subseteq \pi(W)$  and such that  $\forall y \in U$   $g_y \in W$  and  $d(g_y, b_y^f) < \epsilon$ .

*Proof.* Take  $(g_y)_{y \in X} \in A_W$ , then there exists  $U_1$  such that  $U_1 \subseteq \pi(W)$  and  $U_1 \in \mu$  and  $\exists r > 0$  such that  $\coprod_{y \in U_1} B(g_y, r) \subseteq W$ . Now since  $(g_y)_{y \in X} \in B((b_y^f), \epsilon)$  then there exists  $U_2 \in \mu$  such that  $d(b_y^f, g_y) < \epsilon$  thus  $U = U_1 \cap U_2$  will satisfy the requirements above. □

Using the lemma 4.1 if  $(g_y)_{y \in X} \in A_{W_1} \cap B((b_y^f), \epsilon'/2)$  then there exists some  $U \in \mu$  and  $U \subseteq \pi(W_1)$  such that  $\forall y \in U$   $g_y \in W_1$  and  $d(g_y, b_y^f) < \epsilon'/2$ . Same thing take  $(h_y)_{y \in X} \in A_{W_2} \cap B((b_y^{f'}), \epsilon'/2)$  such that  $\exists V \in \mu$  such that  $V \subseteq \pi(W_2)$  such that  $\forall y \in V$   $h_y \in W_2$  and  $d(g_y, b_y^{f'}) < \epsilon'/2$ .

Now this would mean that for any  $y \in V \cap U \in \mu$ ,  $d(b_y^{f'}, b_y^f) < \epsilon + \epsilon'$  and since  $\epsilon'$  was arbitrary then when passing to the ultraproduct  $d((b_y^{f'}), (b_y^f)) \leq \epsilon$  so  $\sigma_\mu$  is a contraction (and we get for free that it is also continuous). □

**Note.** We gave the definition for the maps  $\sigma_\mu$  for ultrafilters on  $X$ . Now this can be easily extended to an arbitrary set  $S$  as follows : if we have an ultrafilter  $\mu$  on a set  $S$  a map  $M$  of sets from  $S$  to  $X$  then if  $M\mu$  converges to  $x$  and  $f$  in  $\pi^{-1}(x)$ , then if  $\sigma_{M\mu}(f) = (b_y^f)_{y \in X}$  we define  $\sigma_\mu(f) = (b_{M(s)}^f)_{s \in S}$ . Notice that this is the only valid way to define  $\sigma_\mu$  for arbitrary  $S$  to ensure that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(x) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\mu} & \int_S F(M_s) d\mu \\
 & \searrow \sigma_{M\mu} & \uparrow \Delta_{\mu, M} \\
 & & \int_X F(y) dM\mu
 \end{array}$$

For a proof that this diagram must commute for any left ultrafunctor from an ultraset to an arbitrary ultracategory, check the end of section 7.

Now let us prove that this gives a Left ultrastructure on the functor  $x \mapsto \pi^{-1}(x)$  (which means that we need to check that our definition satisfies axioms(0-1-2) of [Lur18, definition 1]. Axiom 0 is automatic since the ultraset does not have any non-identity morphism so it remains to check axioms (1-2)

**Axiom 1** Suppose that we have a principal ultrafilter  $\delta_x$  for some  $x \in X$ , let  $f$  in  $\pi^{-1}(x)$ , let us prove that the limit of the Cauchy filter  $\{A_W\}$  is converging to an element that belongs to the equivalence class of  $f$  which we are going by  $(f)$ , to do so take any  $\epsilon > 0$  and take the open ball  $B((f), \epsilon)$  now take any  $\epsilon$  thin neighbourhood  $L_\epsilon$ , now we have that  $A_{L_\epsilon} \subseteq B((f), \epsilon)$ , thus the Cauchy filter is converging to the  $(f)$ , and this also proves the case when we have an ultrafilter on a set  $S$  and a map of sets  $M$  from  $S$  to  $x$  since  $M\delta_s = \delta_{M(s)}$ .

**Axiom 2** First, let us do the case where we have a collection of ultrafilters on  $X$ :

Let  $(\alpha_s)_{s \in S}$  be a collection of filters on  $X$  each converging to  $x_s$  (that means that they define a map  $\chi : s \mapsto x_s$ , so we will be writing  $\chi(s)$  instead of  $x_s$ ) and let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on  $S$ . We need to check that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(z) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\int_S \alpha_s d\mu}} & \int_X F(y) d(\int_S \alpha_s d\mu) \\ \downarrow \sigma_\mu & & \downarrow \Delta_{\mu, \alpha \bullet} \\ \int_S F(x_s) d\mu & \xrightarrow{\int_S \sigma_{\alpha_s} d\mu} & \int_S (\int_X F(y) d\alpha_s) d\mu \end{array}$$

Here  $z$  denotes the limit of the ultrafilter  $\int_S \alpha_s d\mu$ . Now suppose that  $f \in F(z)$  and let  $\sigma_{\int_S \alpha_s d\mu}(f) = (a_y^f)_{y \in X}$ . By our description of the categorical Fubini transform we have  $\Delta_{\mu, \alpha \bullet}(\sigma_{\int_S \alpha_s d\mu}(f)) = ((a_y^f)_{y \in X})_{s \in S}$ .

Let  $\sigma_{x\mu}(f) = (b_y^f)_{y \in X}$  (which implies that  $\sigma_\mu(f) = (b_{x(s)}^f)_{s \in S}$  using 4.1). Let  $\sigma_{\alpha_s}(b_{x(s)}^f) = (c_y^{b_{x(s)}^f})_{y \in X}$ . Our goal is to show that  $\Delta_{\mu, \alpha \bullet}(\sigma_{\int_S \alpha_s d\mu}(f)) = \int_S \sigma_{\alpha_s}(\sigma_\mu(f))$  which translates to saying that  $((a_y^f)_{y \in X})_{s \in S} = ((c_y^{b_{x(s)}^f})_{y \in X})_{s \in S}$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , take an  $\epsilon/4$ -thin open neighbourhood  $W_1$  of  $f$ . Now  $A_{W_1}^{x\mu}$  must intersect  $B((b_y^f)_{y \in X}, \epsilon/4)$  since the Cauchy filter converges to  $(b_y^f)_{y \in X}$  which implies that  $(b_y^f)_{y \in X}$  is in the closure of every element in the filter. Thus using 4.1 we can find an element  $(h_y)_{y \in X}$  and a set  $U_2 \in x\mu$  such that  $U_2 \subseteq \pi(W_1)$  and  $d(h_y, b_y^f) < \epsilon/4$  for all  $y \in U_2$  and such that  $h_y \in W_1 \forall y \in U_2$ . And also  $A_{W_1}^{\int_S \alpha_s d\mu}$  must intersect  $B((a_y^f)_{y \in X}, \epsilon/4)$  for the same reason. That means we can find an element  $(g_y)_{y \in X}$  and a set  $U_1 \in \int_S \alpha_s d\mu$  such that  $U_1 \subseteq \pi(W_1)$  and  $d(g_y, a_y^f) < \epsilon/4$  for all  $y \in U_1$  and such that  $g_y \in W_1$ . Now since  $U_1 \in \int_S \alpha_s d\mu$  then the set  $H = \{s \in S : U_1 \in \alpha_s\} \in \mu$ . And since  $U_2 \in x\mu$  then the set  $J = \chi^{-1}U_2 \in \mu$ . Now take  $s \in J \cap H$ . Since  $s \in J$  then  $x_s \in U_2$  then  $d(h_{x(s)}, b_{x(s)}^f) < \epsilon/4$ .

Suppose that  $\sigma_{\alpha_s}(h_{x(s)}) = (k_y)_{y \in X}$ . Now since each  $\sigma_{\alpha_s}$  is a contraction then we can deduce that  $d((c_y^{b_{x(s)}^f})_{y \in X}, (k_y)_{y \in X}) < \epsilon/4$ , so there exists some set  $U_3 \in \alpha_s$  such that  $d(c_y^{b_{x(s)}^f}, k_y) < \epsilon/4$  for every  $y \in U_3$ . We know that, since  $W_1$  is a neighbourhood of  $h_{x(s)}$ , the set  $A_{W_1}^{\alpha_s}$  must intersect any neighbourhood of  $(k_y)_{y \in X}$ , thus we deduce that there exists some  $U_4 \in \alpha_s$  and an element  $(l_y)_{y \in X}$  such that  $U_4 \subseteq \pi(W_1)$  and  $d(l_y, k_y) < \epsilon/4$  for all  $y \in U_4$  and such that  $l_y \in W_1 \forall y \in U_4$ .

Now since  $s \in H$  then  $U_1 \in \alpha_s$ . Take  $y \in U_1 \cap U_3 \cap U_4 \in \alpha_s$ . We have

$$d(a_y^f, c_y^{b_{x(s)}^f}) \leq \underbrace{d(a_y^f, g_y)}_{y \in U_1} + \underbrace{d(g_y, l_y)}_{W_1 \text{ is } \epsilon/4 \text{-thin}} + \underbrace{d(l_y, k_y)}_{y \in U_4} + \underbrace{d(k_y, c_y^{b_{x(s)}^f})}_{y \in U_3} < \epsilon,$$

thus

$$J \cap H \subseteq \{s \in S : d_{\alpha(s)}((c_y^{b_{x(s)}^f})_{y \in X}, (a_y^f)_{y \in X}) < \epsilon, \}$$

and since  $J \cap H \in \mu$

$$\{s \in S : d_{\alpha(s)}((c_y^{b_{x(s)}^f})_{y \in X}, (a_y^f)_{y \in X}) < \epsilon\} \in \mu.$$

This implies that  $(a_y^f)_{y \in X})_{s \in S} = ((c_y^{b_{x(s)}^f})_{y \in X})_{s \in S}$ , and hence  $\Delta_{\mu, \alpha \bullet}(\sigma_{\int_S \alpha_s d\mu}(f)) = \int_S \sigma_{\alpha_s}(\sigma_\mu(f))$  so the diagram commutes.

Now in the more general case when we have a family of ultrafilters  $(\alpha_s)_{s \in S}$  on some set  $T$  and a function  $t \mapsto M_t$  from  $T$  to  $X$ . We need to prove that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(z) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\int_S \alpha_s d\mu}} & \int_T F(M(t)) d(\int_S \alpha_s d\mu) \\ \downarrow \sigma_\mu & & \downarrow \Delta_{\mu, \alpha \bullet} \\ \int_S F(x_s) d\mu & \xrightarrow{\int_S \sigma_{\alpha_s} d\mu} & \int_S (\int_T F(M_t)) d\alpha_s d\mu \end{array}$$

To do so let  $f \in F(z)$  suppose that  $\sigma_{M \int_S \alpha_s d\mu} = (a_y^f)_{y \in X}$  then  $\sigma_{\int_S \alpha_s d\mu} = (a_{M(t)}^f)_{t \in T}$ , on the other hand suppose that  $\sigma_\mu(f) = (b_s^f)_{s \in S}$ . Now for each  $x_s = \int_S F(M(t)) d\alpha_s = \int_X F(y) dM\alpha_s$ , suppose  $\sigma_{M\alpha_s}(b_s) = (c_y^{b_x^f(s)})_{y \in X}$ , then  $\sigma_{\alpha_s}(b_s^f) = (c_{M_t}^{b_x^f(s)})_{t \in T}$  then  $\int_S \sigma_{\alpha_s} d\mu((b_s^f)_{s \in S}) = ((c_{M_t}^{b_x^f(s)})_{t \in T})_{s \in S}$ . We already proved that  $((a_y^f)_{y \in X})_{s \in S} = ((c_y^{b_x^f(s)})_{y \in X})_{s \in S}$ . We want to prove that  $((c_{M_t}^{b_x^f(s)})_{t \in T})_{s \in S} = ((a_{M_t}^f)_{t \in T})_{s \in S}$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , then the first equality means that  $\{s \in S \mid d((a_y^f)_{y \in X}, (c_y^{b_x^f(s)})_{y \in X}) < \epsilon\} \in \mu$ . Now take any  $s$  in the set above then since  $d((a_y^f)_{y \in X}, (c_y^{b_x^f(s)})_{y \in X}) < \epsilon$  then the set  $\{y \mid d(a_y^f, c_y^{b_x^f(s)}) < \epsilon\} \in M \int_S \alpha_s d\mu$  which allows us to conclude that  $\{t \in T \mid d(a_{M_t}^f, c_{M_t}^{b_x^f(s)}) < \epsilon\} \in \int_S \alpha_s d\mu$  thus  $d((a_{M_t}^f)_{t \in T}, (c_{M_t}^{b_x^f(s)})_{t \in T}) < \epsilon$  so we can deduce that  $\{s \in S \mid d((a_{M_t}^f)_{t \in T}, (c_{M_t}^{b_x^f(s)})_{t \in T}) < \epsilon\} \in \mu$  which shows that  $((c_{M_t}^{b_x^f(s)})_{t \in T})_{s \in S} = ((a_{M_t}^f)_{t \in T})_{s \in S}$ .

## 4.2 Adjunction

We state a basic category theory fact:

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  from  $C'$  to  $C$  be a functor and let  $\mathcal{R}$  be an assignment on objects from  $C$  to  $C'$  such that  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}(X), Y) \simeq \text{Hom}(X, \mathcal{R}(Y))$  for every object  $X \in C'$  and  $Y \in C$  such that this bijection is natural in  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}$  has a functor structure defined as follows :*

*The naturality in  $X$  allows us to define a natural transformation  $\epsilon$  from  $\text{Id}_C$  to  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{R}$  (which would be the counit of adjunction), then if  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(X, X')$  we define  $\mathcal{R}(\sigma)$  to be the unique map that corresponds to  $\epsilon_X \circ \sigma$  by this bijection.*

We are going to apply this lemma in our case where  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes the functor from the category of left ultrafunctors between an ultraset and the ultracategory  $k\text{-CompMet}$  (with natural transformations of left ultrafunctors as morphisms as defined in [Lur18]) to bundles over  $X$  as we already defined it, and  $\mathcal{R}$  is the reverse assignment defined above.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be left ultrafunctor and let  $E$  be a bundle, then  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}), E) \simeq \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{R}(E))$  and this bijection is natural in  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\nu$  be a morphism of bundles from  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$  to  $E$  then define a natural transformation from  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\mathcal{R}(E)$  by  $\nu_x = \nu|_{\pi^{-1}(x)}$ . Naturality is immediate since the category  $X$  has no morphisms but identities. Now to check it is really a natural transformation of left ultrafunctors: Let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on a set  $S$  and  $M$  a map of sets from  $S$  to  $X$  (alternatively a family of points of  $X$  indexed by  $X$ ,  $(M_s)_{s \in S}$ ) such that  $M\mu$  converges to  $x$  we need to check that the following diagram commutes :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{F}(x) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{M\mu}} & \int_S \mathcal{F}(M_s) d\mu \\
\downarrow \nu_x & & \downarrow \int_S \nu_x dM\mu \\
\mathcal{R}E(x) & \xrightarrow{\sigma'_{M\mu}} & \int_X \mathcal{R}(E)(M_s) dM\mu
\end{array}$$

First we observe that it is enough check this diagram in the case where  $S = X$ ,  $M = \text{id}$ . Indeed consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & & & \int_S \mathcal{F}(M_s) d\mu \\
& & \nearrow \sigma_\mu & \nearrow \Delta_{\mu, M} & \downarrow \int_S \nu_{M_s} d\mu \\
\mathcal{F}(x) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{M\mu}} & \int_X \mathcal{F}(y) dM\mu & & \int_S \mathcal{R}(E)(M_s) d\mu \\
\downarrow \nu_x & & \downarrow \int_X \nu_y dM\mu & \nearrow \sigma'_\mu & \nearrow \Delta_{\mu, M} \\
\mathcal{R}E(x) & \xrightarrow{\sigma'_{M\mu}} & \int_X \mathcal{R}(E)(y) dM\mu & & 
\end{array}$$

Our goal is to show that the back square diagram commutes assuming the front square diagram does (here  $x$  is the limit if the ultrafilter  $M\mu$ ), notice that the two triangles commute by the property 4.1. The side square commutes by naturality of the ultraproduct diagonal map (it is easy to check that the naturality condition for these maps follows from their definition (composition of the (natural) categorical Fubini transform and the natural isomorphisms  $\epsilon$ )).

So we will be restricting our attention to ultrafilters on  $X$ , and we will be checking the commutativity of the following diagram (again here  $x$  is the limit of the ultrafilter  $\mu$ ):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{F}(x) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\mu} & \int_X \mathcal{F}(y) d\mu \\
\downarrow \nu_x & & \downarrow \int_S \nu_y dM\mu \\
\mathcal{R}E(x) & \xrightarrow{\sigma'_\mu} & \int_X \mathcal{R}(E)(y) d\mu
\end{array}$$

Now take  $f \in \mathcal{F}(x)$  and suppose  $\nu_x(f) = g$  and  $\sigma_\mu(f) = (b_y)_{y \in X}$ , Our goal is to show that  $\sigma'_\mu(g) = (\nu_y(b_y))_{y \in X}$ . Suppose that  $\sigma'_\mu(g) = (c_y^g)_{y \in X}$ , let  $W$  be an  $\epsilon/2$ -thin neighbourhood of  $g$  then by definition of  $(c_y^g)_{y \in X}$   $A_W$  must intersect any neighbourhood of  $(c_y^g)_{y \in X}$  in particular  $B((c_y^g)_{y \in X}, \epsilon/2)$  thus there exists  $L \in M\mu$  and  $(f_y)_{y \in X}$  such that  $\forall y \in L$  each  $f_y \in W$  and  $d(f_y, c_y^g) < \epsilon/2$ . Now since  $\nu^{-1}(W)$  is a neighbourhood of  $f$  then there exists  $H \in M\mu$  and  $\epsilon' > 0$  such that  $\prod_{y \in H} B(b_y, \epsilon') \subseteq \nu^{-1}(W)$ . Thus for any  $y \in H$  we get that  $\sigma_y(b_y) \in W$ . Now take  $y \in H$  (remember that  $H \in M\mu$ ) then we have  $d(\sigma_y(b_y), c_y^g) \leq d(\sigma_y(b_y), f_y) + d(f_y, c_y^g) < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon$ . Thus we get that  $(\sigma_y(b_y))_{y \in X} = (c_y^g)_{y \in X}$ , and this terminates the proof showing the commutativity

of the diagram above.

Conversely suppose that we have a natural transformation  $\nu$  of left ultrafunctors from  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\mathcal{R}(E)$ , we need to show that the map  $\nu$  defined by  $\nu|_{\pi^{-1}(x)} = \nu_x$  is a continuous map from  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$  to  $E$  (since the other requirements for being a map of bundles are automatically satisfied). To do so suppose that  $\mu$  is an ultrafilter on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$  that converges to  $f$ , now to prove that  $\sigma\mu$  converges to  $\nu(f) = \nu_{\pi(f)}(f)$  in  $E$ : We know that since  $\nu$  is a natural transformation of left ultrafunctors then if  $\sigma_\mu(f) = (b_y)_{y \in X}$  then  $\sigma'_\mu(\nu(f)) = (\nu_y(b_y))_{y \in X}$ . Also we know that  $\prod_{y \in X} B(b_y, \epsilon) \in \mu$  (by definition of the topology  $f$  of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$ ). Now since each  $\nu_y$  is a contraction then

$$\prod_{y \in X} B(b_y, \epsilon) \subseteq \nu^{-1} \prod_{y \in X} (\nu_y(b_y), \epsilon),$$

thus

$$\prod_{y \in X} (\nu_y(b_y), \epsilon) \in \nu\mu.$$

Thus we have a map of bundles from  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$  to  $E$ . Also, it is clear that these two processes between  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}), E)$  and  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{R}(E))$  are inverse of each other. Now it remains to show naturality in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Notation Convention.** If we have a map  $\psi$  in  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}), E)$ , we will denote  $\widehat{\psi}$  the corresponding map in  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{R}(E))$ , conversely if we have a map  $\kappa$  in  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{R}(E))$  then we are going to denote by  $\bar{\kappa}$  the corresponding map in  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}), E)$ .

Now to do the proof let  $\nu$  be a natural transformation of left ultrafunctors from  $\mathcal{F}'$  to  $\mathcal{F}$ , we need to show that the following diagram commutes :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}), E) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{R}(E)) \\ \downarrow -\circ \mathcal{L}(\nu) & & \downarrow -\circ \nu \\ \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}'), E) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{R}(E)) \end{array}$$

To do so consider a map  $\psi$  of bundles from  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$  to  $E$ , we need to show  $\widehat{\psi \circ \mathcal{L}(\nu)} = \widehat{\psi} \circ \nu$ . To do so let  $x \in X$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{F}'(x)$  then

$$(\widehat{\psi \circ \mathcal{L}(\nu)})_x(f) = (\psi \circ \mathcal{L}(\nu))(f) = \psi(\nu_x(f)),$$

on the other hand

$$(\widehat{\psi} \circ \nu)_x(f) = (\widehat{\psi}_x \circ \nu_x)(f) = \widehat{\psi}_x(\nu_x(f)) = \psi(\nu_x(f)),$$

so for each  $x$

$$((\widehat{\psi \circ \mathcal{L}(\nu)})_x) = (\widehat{\psi} \circ \nu)_x,$$

so

$$(\widehat{\psi \circ \mathcal{L}(\nu)}) = (\widehat{\psi} \circ \nu),$$

so the diagram commutes. □

A last thing that we should enlighten is that the functor structure of  $\mathcal{R}$  comes from the adjunction, suppose that we have a map of bundles  $\nu : E \rightarrow E'$ , we defined  $R(\nu) = (\widehat{\epsilon_E \circ \nu})$ , where  $\epsilon_E$  is the counit of adjunction. We are going to give a better description of this map once we prove that the counit is an isomorphism.

Now we turn into showing our main theorem, in what follows  $\text{Bun}(k\text{-CompMet}, X)$  denotes the category of bundles with base space  $X$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $X$  be compact Hausdorff space then the pair of functors  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  consist and equivalence of categories between  $\text{Left ultrafunctors}(X, k\text{-CompMet})$  and  $\text{Bun}(k\text{-CompMet}, X)$*

#### 4.2.1 The counit is an isomorphism

Let

$$\epsilon_E : \mathcal{LR}(E) \mapsto E$$

be the counit of adjunction.

**Theorem 4.5.** *for every bundle  $E$ ,  $\epsilon_E$  is a homeomorphism.*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\epsilon_E$  is a bijection on sets so it remains to show that  $E$  and  $\mathcal{LR}(E)$  have the same topology.

**The topology of  $E$  is coarser than  $\mathcal{LR}(E)$**  First we already get that  $\epsilon_E$  is continuous from  $\mathcal{LR}(E)$  to  $E$  (by the fact that  $\epsilon_E$  is a counit which implies it's a map of bundles).

**The topology of  $E$  is finer than  $\mathcal{LR}(E)$**  For the other direction suppose that  $\mu$  is an ultrafilter on  $E$  that converges to  $f$ , we need to prove that  $\mu$  converges to  $f$  in the topology of  $\mathcal{LR}(E)$ . Suppose that  $\sigma_{\pi\mu}(f) = (b_y^f)_{y \in \epsilon}$ , we need to show that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  the set  $\prod_{y \in X} B(b_y^f, \epsilon) \in \mu$ , to do this take an  $\epsilon/2$ -thin neighbourhood  $W$  (in the topology of  $E$  of course) of  $f$ . Now we know that  $A_W$  must intersect any neighbourhood of  $(b_y)_{y \in X}$  in particular  $B((b_y)_{y \in X}, \epsilon'/2)$ , thus there exists  $L \in \pi\mu$  and  $(c_y)_{y \in X}$  such that for each  $y \in L$   $c_y \in W$  and  $d(c_y, b_y^f) < \epsilon/2$ , so  $\pi^{-1}L \in \mu$ . On the other hand,  $W \in \mu$  since  $\mu$  converges to  $f$  in the first topology (topology of  $E$ ).

Now let us prove that  $W \cap \pi^{-1}L \subseteq \prod_{y \in X} B(b_y^f, \epsilon)$ , take  $g \in W \cap \pi^{-1}L$  then  $d(g, b_{\pi(g)}) < \epsilon/2$  and  $d(c_{\pi(g)}, b_{\pi(g)}) < \epsilon/2$ . Thus  $d(g, c_{\pi(g)}) + d(c_{\pi(g)}, b_{\pi(g)}) < \epsilon$ . Thus  $\prod_{y \in X} B(b_y^f, \epsilon) \in \mu$ . So by the definition of the topology of  $\mathcal{LR}(E)$   $\mu$  converges to  $f$ . Thus the two topologies coincide and  $E$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{LR}(E)$  as bundles.  $\square$

This allows us to describe better how  $\mathcal{R}$  acts on morphisms, suppose that we have a map of bundles  $\nu : E \rightarrow E'$ . Then  $R(\nu) = (\widehat{\epsilon_E \circ \nu})$ . More precisely from the fact that  $\epsilon_E$  is an isomorphism we get that  $R(\nu)_x(f) = \nu(f)$  for  $f \in \mathcal{R}(E)(x)$  (which is exactly the thing we expected it to be).

#### 4.2.2 The unit is an isomorphism

Now to prove that the unit is an isomorphism consider :

$$\eta_F : \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{RL}(\mathcal{F})$$

Now the two left ultrafunctors from  $X$  to  $k\text{-CompMet}$  are the same thing as functors, it remains to show that they have the same left ultrastructure. but this immediately follows from  $\eta_F$  being a natural transformation of left ultrafunctors which is an isomorphism for every  $x \in X$ .

### 4.2.3 A nice property of bundles

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $E$  be a bundle of complete bounded metric spaces, then the induced topology and the complete metric space topology agree on every fibre.*

*Proof.* Let  $W_x$  be an open set in the subspace topology of the fibre  $E_x$  for some  $x \in X$ , and let  $f \in E_x$ , there exists an open set  $W$  of  $E$  such that  $W_x = E \cap E_x$ , now we know that there exists a set  $V$  such that  $f \in V \subseteq_\epsilon W$ . In other words  $f \in V \subseteq V_\epsilon \subseteq W$ . Now by definition of  $V_\epsilon$   $B(f, \epsilon) \subseteq V_\epsilon \cap E_x$  hence  $W_x$  is open in metric topology.

On the other hand, let  $(b_i)$  be a net of elements in  $E_x$  that converges to  $b$  in the topology of  $E$ , we need to show that  $(b_i)$  converges to  $b$  in metric topology, to do so consider the net  $(b_i, b) \in E \times_X E$ , this net converges to  $(b, b)$  which satisfy  $d(b, b) = 0$ , by upper semi-continuity of the distance, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $W$  in  $E \times_X E$  and some  $i_0$  such that every two points in the same fibre in  $W$  have distance  $\leq \epsilon$ , and such that for any  $i \succ i_0$   $(b_i, b) \in W$ , thus  $(b_i)$  converges to  $b$  in metric topology. So both topologies on  $E_x$  agree. The proof in the last paragraph is inspired by a similar one in [Fel69].  $\square$

### 4.2.4 Another construction of the left-ultrastructure of $\mathcal{R}(E)$

We give another construction of the left ultrastructure of  $\mathcal{R}(E)$  for a bundle  $E$ , that works only when the bundle  $E$  has enough cross sections.

**Theorem.** *let  $\mathcal{M} = \coprod_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_x$  be a bundle of complete metric spaces bounded by a certain  $k$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the left ultrafunctor  $x \mapsto \mathcal{M}_x$ , then for any  $x \in X$ , if  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on  $X$  converging to  $x$ , and if  $\alpha : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a local continuous section to the projection map  $\pi$  (here  $\mathcal{U}$  open in  $X$ ) then we claim that  $\sigma_\mu(f) = (\alpha(x))_{x \in \mathcal{U}}$ .*

**Note.** It is enough to define a member of the ultraproduct on  $\mathcal{U} \in \mu$

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be an open neighbourhood of  $f$ , by continuity of  $\alpha$  the ultrafilter  $\alpha\mu$  converges to  $f$ , that means that for any  $\epsilon > 0$   $\coprod_{x \in \mathcal{U}} B(\alpha(x), \epsilon) \in \mu$ , hence  $(\alpha(x))_{x \in \mathcal{U}} \in A_W$ , and the Cauchy filter converges to  $(\alpha(x))_{x \in \mathcal{U}}$ .  $\square$

## 5 Generalising to any structure

In this section, we give the construction of bundles of structures of continuous model theory, a structure of continuous model theory is an interpretation of sorts, relation, and function symbol not required to satisfy any axiom. this is a necessary intermediate step before defining bundles of models of continuous model theory. But we give a necessary introduction to continuous model theory.

## 5.1 The Ultracategory of Models

We first recall a few concepts from continuous model theory, this exposition follows mostly [FHL<sup>+</sup>21] and [Har23].

### 5.1.1 Signature

The signature of continuous model theory consists of the following triplet  $\langle \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R} \rangle$  where

1.  $\mathfrak{S}$  the set of *sorts symbols*, such that each symbol comes equipped with a symbol  $d_S$  (should be interpreted as the distance function) and a constant  $k_S$  (actual constant not just a symbol) (which should be interpreted as an upper bound for the distance function).
2.  $\mathfrak{F}$  the family of *function symbols*, and for each symbol  $f$  we specify a formal domain  $\text{dom}(f) = (S_1, \dots, S_n)$  and a formal range  $\text{rng}(f) = S'$  and a function  $\delta_f$  which should be interpreted as the uniform continuity modulus of  $f$ .
3.  $\mathfrak{R}$  the set of *relation symbols*, each equipped with a compact interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  (which should be interpreted as the range of these relations) as well as a uniform continuity modulus  $\delta_\phi$  for every  $\phi \in \mathfrak{R}$ .

**Note.** we can (and we are going to) treat the distance symbol as a relation symbol.

Now we are in a position to define terms and formulae in continuous model theory:

As usual the definition is inductive starting by first considering infinitely many variable symbols for each sort  $x_i^S$  as terms, after that if  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are terms of sorts  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  and  $f$  is a function symbol with range  $S'$  then  $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is a term of sort  $S'$ . All terms get uniform continuity moduli inductively. An example of a term is  $x^*x$  in the language of  $C^*$  algebras (to be more precise to specify the sort in that language but we will make this more clear in the examples section)

Now for formulae: First we consider atomic formulae: these are defined using relations symbols, i.e. if  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are terms of range  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  and  $\phi$  is a relation symbol then  $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is a formula. Now connectives are just continuous function from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  so if  $f$  is such a function and  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are connectives then  $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is a formula.

Finally we consider quantifiers: if  $\phi$  is a formula and  $x_i^S \in \text{FV}(\phi)$  then  $\text{Sup}_{x_i^S \in S} \phi$  and  $\text{Inf}_{x_i^S \in S} \phi$  are both formulae. A formula with no free variable is called a sentence. Again formulae inherit uniform continuity moduli by their inductive construction. An example of such formulae in the language of  $C^*$  algebras would be:  $x^*x + 2, x^*yx, \text{Sup}_x x^*x + y^*y \dots$

**Note.** Here free variables of a formula are defined the same way as in the case of regular model theory.

### 5.1.2 Structures and Models

A  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure is triplet  $M = \langle \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{R} \rangle$  such that for each symbol in  $S \in \mathfrak{S}$  there is a complete metric in  $\mathcal{S}$  bounded by  $k_S$ , and each element of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a function  $f^M$  that corresponds to some element  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that if  $\text{dom}(f)$  with formal domain  $(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  and with formal range  $S'$  then its interpretation  $f^M$  has domain  $M^{S_1} \times \dots \times M^{S_n}$  and range  $M^{S'}$ , such that this function is uniformly continuous with uniform continuity modulus  $\delta_f$ .



The elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  are the interpretations of the relations symbols, that means that if  $\phi \in \mathcal{R}$  with formal domain  $(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  and range  $B$  a compact interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  then it's interpretation is a function  $\phi^M$  with domain  $M^{S_1} \times \dots \times M^{S_n}$  and with range  $B$  such that this function is uniformly continuous with uniform continuity modulus  $\delta_\phi$ . In the same manner, we interpret terms and formulae.

Now let  $M$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure, and let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a set of sentences in the language  $\mathcal{L}$  then we say that  $M$  is a model of  $\mathbb{T}$  if for every  $\psi \in \mathbb{T}$   $\psi^M = 0$ , and in this case we write  $M \models \mathbb{T}$ . We say that  $\mathbb{T}$  is consistent if it has a model. Notice that if we take  $\mathbb{T} = \emptyset$  then its models in this case are exactly  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures.

Let  $\mathcal{L} = \langle \mathcal{S}, \mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R} \rangle$  be a Language (or signature, or similarity type), and let  $\mathbb{T}$  be family of sentences in the language  $\mathcal{L}$ , we are going to denote by  $\text{CompMet}_{\mathcal{L}}$  the category of structures of  $\mathcal{L}$  and by  $\text{CompMet}_{\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{T}}$  the full subcategory of models of  $\mathbb{T}$ . To be more precise we should specify what a morphism in this category: let  $M$  and  $N$  be two models then a morphism of models  $g$  is a family of morphisms  $g^S$  for each sort (we will omit the superscript if the context is clear) iff for every function symbol  $f$  with domain  $(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  and with range  $S'$ , we have that for every  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in M^{S_1} \times \dots \times M^{S_n}$  we have  $f^N(g^{S_1}(a_1), \dots, g^{S_n}(a_n)) = g^{S'}(f^M(a_1, \dots, a_n))$ . And for every relation symbol with domain  $(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  we have the following  $\phi^N(g^{S_1}(a_1), \dots, g^{S_n}(a_n)) \leq \phi^M(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .

One important particular case of this is when we have only one sort  $S$  and only one relation (the distance relation on this sort), in this case we get a category equivalent to the category of complete metric spaces bounded by a certain  $k$  with contractions as morphisms, which we denoted by  $k\text{-CompMet}$ .

### 5.1.3 Ultraproducts and models

In all the previous cases the ultraproduct construction given explicitly in [FHL<sup>+</sup>21] and [Har23], makes these categories ultracategories. We think it's important to highlight this construction, which is similar to the ultraproduct construction in usual model theory (after all these are just direct colimits of products). Of course we assume that the reader is at this point familiar with the ultraproduct of metric spaces bounded by a certain constant.

Suppose we have a similarity type  $\mathcal{L} = \langle \mathcal{S}, \mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R} \rangle$  and a family of structures  $(V^i)_{i \in I}$  of that similarity type, we define their ultraproduct as follows for each sort  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  we define  $(\int_I V^i d\mu)_S$  by  $(\int_I V^i d\mu)_S = \int_I V_S^i d\mu$ . Now for relation symbol we define  $\mathcal{R}_{\int_I V^i d\mu}((a_i^m)_{i \in I})_{m \in M} = \lim_{\mu} (\mathcal{R}_{V^i}(a_i^m))$ . Here  $\lim_{\mu}$  is the ultralimit in  $[0, \infty)$  (Remember that the family  $(\mathcal{R}_{V^i}(a_i^m))$  is bounded so we can replace  $[0, \infty)$  by  $[0, k]$  and define this as the limit of the push forward of the ultrafilter  $\mu$  by the map  $(a_i^m)_{i \in I} \mapsto \mathcal{R}_{V^i}(a_i^m)_{m \in M}$ ). Now for function symbols, things are the same as in usual model theory. That means that for  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ , we define  $f_{\int_I (V^i) d\mu}((a^i), \dots, (z^i)) = (f(a^i, \dots, z^i))$ . The fact that models are closed under taking this construction above follows Łos theorem, an important result in classic model theory is Łos theorem which has a version in continuous model theory:

**Theorem 5.1** (Łos). *for any formula  $m$  and for any family of structures  $\{M_x\}_{x \in X}$  if  $\mu$  is  $n$  ultrafilter on  $X$ , if we call  $M = \int_X M_x d\mu$  then we have the following : for any formula  $\phi$  and any  $\bar{m} = (m_x)_{x \in X}$  we have  $\phi^M(\bar{m}) = \int_X \phi^{M_x}(m_x) d\mu$ .*

We see clearly that a similar version of classical Łos theorem is a consequence of the theorem above if  $\phi$  is a sentence and if for every  $x \in X$   $M_x \models \phi$  (which is the same thing as saying that  $\phi^{M_x} = 0$ ) then  $\int_X M_x d\mu \models \phi$ .

## 5.2 Bundles of structures

We define what it means to be a bundle of structures:

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\mathcal{L} = \langle \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{R} \rangle$  be a language, we define a bundle of structures  $E$  of that Language with base space  $X$ , to be a family of bundles of complete bounded metric spaces  $\{(E_S, \pi_S)\}_{S \in \mathcal{S}}$  equipped with with a family of maps  $\{\pi_S\}_{S \in \mathcal{S}}$  such that for any  $x \in X$ ,  $(\pi_S^{-1}(x))_{S \in \mathcal{S}}$  is a structure of the language  $\mathcal{L}$  (so in particular it comes with the function and relation symbols data) such that the following axioms are satisfied :

For any function symbol  $f$  with formal domain  $\text{dom}(f)$  and formal range  $\text{rng}(f)$  and for any relation symbol  $\phi$  with formal domain  $\text{dom}(\phi)$  we are going to denote by  $f^E$  and  $\phi^E$  the global function and relations respectively (so for any  $x \in X$   $f^E$  restricts to the interpretation of the function symbol  $f$  of  $(\pi_S^{-1}(x))_{S \in \mathcal{S}}$ , same thing for relation symbols).

- Axiom(1): Each  $\pi_S$  is continuous and open.
- Axiom(2): for every  $E^S$  and for every open set  $W \in E_S$  and every  $e \in W$  there exist an open set  $V$  open neighbourhood of  $e$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $V \subseteq_{\epsilon} W$ .
- Axiom(3): For every function symbol  $f$  the map  $f^E$  is continuous
- Axiom(4): For every relation symbol  $\phi$  the map  $\phi^E$  is upper semi-continuous.

**Note.** As stated before we are going to treat the distance symbols as relation symbols on structures, so in particular, axiom (4) says that the global distance functions are also upper semi-continuous.

**Note.** We are going to denote by  $E^S$  the bundle of structures that corresponds to a sort  $S$  and by  $E_x$  the fibre over  $x$  which is a structure, So following this convention  $E_x^S$  is the  $x$ -th fibre of the bundle of structure corresponding to the sort  $S$ .

## 5.3 Maps of bundles

Let  $E$  and  $E'$  be a two bundles, a morphism  $\psi$  in the category of bundles consists of the following:

For each sort  $S$ , a map of bundles of bounded metric spaces  $\psi^S$  between the bundles  $E^S$  and  $E'^S$  such that the following diagram commutes(in Top) :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E^S & \xrightarrow{\psi^S} & E'^S \\
 \pi_S \searrow & & \swarrow \pi_S \\
 & X & 
 \end{array}$$

and such that for any  $x$   $\psi_x$  is a map of structures of the language  $\mathcal{L}$  from  $E_x$  to  $E'_x$ .

Now We want to extend the equivalence obtained in section 3(theorem 4.4) to structures of continuous model theory:

In Other words we want to show the following:

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space then there is an equivalence of categories between Left ultrafunctors( $X, \text{CompMet}_{\mathcal{L}}$ ) and the category  $\text{Bun}(\text{CompMet}_{\mathcal{L}}, X)$

The rest of section 5 is devoted to showing the theorem above 5.2.

In order to define this equivalence of categories we are going to expand the definitions of the functors  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  already defined to the categories above.

## 5.4 The functor $\mathcal{L}$

Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and suppose we have  $\mathcal{F}$  a left ultrafunctor from  $X$  to the ultra-category of structures of some language  $\mathcal{L}$ . We know that the functor  $\mathcal{F}$  will give rise to a family of functors  $\mathcal{F}^S$  for each sort  $S$ . If we define each mono-sorted bundle  $E_S$  to be  $\coprod_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}^S(x)$  with its bundle topology given in 3.1, then we have already seen that the first three axioms are satisfied for this multi-sorted bundle.

It remains to check axioms 3 and 4 :

### 5.4.1 Axiom 3 (Functions)

To prove that axiom 3 is satisfied by our definition of multi-sorted bundle suppose that  $f$  is a function symbol, and suppose that  $\text{dom}(f) = S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$  and  $\text{rng}(f) = S'_1$ . Suppose that  $\mu$  is an ultrafilter on  $E^{S_1} \times_X \dots \times E^{S_n}$  (in the case where we have a constant symbol this space is  $X$  the 0-th product in  $\text{Top}/X$ ) that converges to  $(a^1, \dots, a^n) \in M_{\mathcal{Y}}^{S_1} \times \dots \times M_{\mathcal{Y}}^{S_n} \subseteq E^{S_1} \times_X \dots \times_X E^{S_n}$ , and suppose that  $f^{M_{\mathcal{Y}}}(a^1, \dots, a^n) = a'$ . Now suppose that for each  $S_i$   $\sigma_{\pi_{S_i} \mu}^{S_i}(a^i) = (b_x^i)_{x \in X}$ . Now since  $\sigma_{\pi_{S_i} \mu}$  is a map of  $\mathcal{L}$  structures we get that  $\sigma_{\pi_{S_i} \mu}^{S'_1}(a') = f^M((b_x^1), \dots, (b_x^n))$  so we may use  $(f^{M_x}(b_x^1, \dots, b_x^n))_{x \in X}$  as representative of the class of  $\sigma_{\pi_{S_i} \mu}^{S'_1}(a')$ . (this by definition of the structure of the ultraproduct) (in the case of constant symbol  $c$  of sort  $S'_1$  we use  $(c_x)_{x \in X}$  as representative of its class).

Now let  $\epsilon > 0$ . We know that for any  $x$ ,  $f^{M_x}$  is uniformly continuous with uniform continuity modulus independent of  $x$ , thus we can deduce that there exist some  $\delta$  such that if  $d(m_x^i, b_x^i) < \delta$ , we have that  $|f(m_x^1, \dots, m_x^n) - f(b_x^1, \dots, b_x^n)| < \epsilon$ . We want to show that that  $f^{M_{\mathcal{Y}}} \mu$  converges to  $a'$  : We have that  $\coprod_{x \in X} B(b_x^i, \delta) \in \pi_{S_i} \mu$ . Now take the following set  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \pi_{S_i}^{-1}(\coprod_{x \in X} B(b_x^i, \delta)) \in \mu$ . If we take  $(l_1, \dots, l_n) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \pi_{S_i}^{-1}(\coprod_{x \in X} B(b_x^i, \delta))$ , and suppose that  $\pi_{S_i}(l_i) = z$  we have the following :

$$|f^E(l_1, \dots, l_n) - f^E(b_z^1, \dots, b_z^n)| = |f^{M_z}(l_1, \dots, l_n) - f^{M_z}(b_z^1, \dots, b_z^n)| < \epsilon$$

then this set satisfies

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \pi_{S_i}^{-1}(\coprod_{x \in X} B(b_x^i, \delta)) \subseteq (f^E)^{-1}(\coprod_{x \in X} B(f(b_x^1, \dots, b_x^n), \epsilon))$$

thus we get that

$$\coprod_{x \in X} B(f(b_x^1, \dots, b_x^n), \epsilon) \in f^E \mu$$

thus  $f^E$  is continuous (In the case we have a constant symbol we have that  $\coprod_{x \in X} B(c_x, \epsilon) \in c^E \mu$  trivially since  $(c^E)^{-1} \coprod_{x \in X} B(c_x, \epsilon) = X \in \mu$ ).

### 5.4.2 Axiom 4 (Relations)

We are going to denote  $\mathcal{F}(x)$  by  $M_x$ . Now we want to prove that the family of  $S$ -bundles for  $S \in \mathfrak{S}$  satisfies the upper semi-continuity for each global relation. To do so suppose that  $\phi$  is a relation symbol, and now suppose that  $\mu$  is an ultrafilter on  $X$  that converges to  $y$ , now suppose that  $\text{dom}(\phi) = S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$ . From this point forward let us denote by  $M$  the ultraproduct  $\int_X M_x d\mu$ .

Let us prove that  $\phi^E$  is upper semi-continuous: Let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on  $E^{S_1} \times_X \dots \times_X E^{S_n}$  such that  $\mu$  converges to  $(a^1, \dots, a^n) \in M_y^{S_1} \times \dots \times M_y^{S_n} \subseteq E^{S_1} \times_X \dots \times_X E^{S_n}$  (for some  $y \in X$ ) and take  $r > 0$  such that  $\phi^{M_y}(a^1, \dots, a^n) < r$ . Now we call the quantity  $r - \phi^{M_y}(a^1, \dots, a^n) = \epsilon$ . Notice that for any  $i, j$  we get that  $\pi_{S_i} \mu = \pi_{S_j} \mu$  is the same ultrafilter on  $X$ , so we'll call this ultrafilter  $\pi_{S_i} \mu$  regardless of which  $i$  this ultrafilter come from. Since  $\sigma_{\pi_{S_i} \mu}$  is a morphism of  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures then if  $\sigma_{\pi_{S_i} \mu}^{S_i}(a^i) = (b_x^i)_{x \in X}$  then  $\phi^M((b_x^1), \dots, (b_x^n)) \leq \phi^{M_y}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  thus for any  $\epsilon' > 0$  there exists  $L \in \mu$  such that for every  $x \in L$  we have  $\phi^{M_x}(b_x^1, \dots, b_x^n) \leq \phi^{M_y}(a^1, \dots, a^n) + \epsilon'$ . So let us pick the  $L$  corresponding to  $\epsilon' = \epsilon/2$ .

We know that for each  $x$  the functions  $\phi^{M_x}$  are uniformly continuous with the same uniform continuity modulus (independent of  $x$ ) which implies that there exists some  $\delta$  such that for any  $m_x^i \in M_x^{S_i}$  if  $d(m_x^i, b_x^i) < \delta$  we get that  $|\phi^{M_x}(b_x^1, \dots, b_x^n) - \phi^{M_x}(m_x^1, \dots, m_x^n)| < \epsilon/2$ . Let us take the family  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \pi_{S_i}^{-1}(\prod_{x \in L} B(b_x^i, \delta))$ . First we know that each  $\prod_{x \in L} B(b_x^i, \delta) \in \pi_{S_i} \mu$  which allows us to deduce that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (\pi_{S_i}^{-1} \prod_{x \in L} B(b_x^i, \delta)) \in \mu$ . Suppose that  $(l^1, \dots, l^n) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \pi_{S_i}^{-1}(\prod_{x \in L} B(b_x^i, \delta))$ , let us call  $z = \pi_{S_i}(l_i)$  then we have that:

$$\phi^{M_z}(l^1, \dots, l^n) < \phi^{M_z}(b_z^1, \dots, b_z^n) + \epsilon/2 \leq \phi^{M_y}(a^1, \dots, a^n) + \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = r$$

this implies that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \pi_{S_i}^{-1}(\prod_{x \in L} B(b_x^i, \delta)) \subseteq (\phi^E)^{-1}([0, r))$$

Thus  $(\phi^E)^{-1}([0, r)) \in \mu$ . Thus we may deduce that  $\phi^E \mu$  converges to  $\phi(a^1, \dots, a^n)$  (if we equip  $[0, \infty]$  with the left order topology) thus  $\phi^E$  is upper semi-continuous.

### 5.4.3 Functoriality of $\mathcal{L}$

Since each  $\mathcal{L}^S$  is a functor by the previous construction we may deduce that  $\mathcal{L}$  defined this way is a functor.

## 5.5 The inverse functor

Now suppose we have a bundle  $E$  of structures, we define the inverse functor by sending a bundle  $E$  to the left ultrafunctor  $\mathcal{F}(E)$  defined as follows: for every  $x \in X$  we define  $\mathcal{F}(x) = E_x$  (the fibre at  $x$ ). Now the left ultrastructure of the functor  $\mathcal{R}(E)$  is constructed from the left-ultrastructure of the restriction of the functor to each sort as described in 4.1.

Now it remains to check compatibility for both functions and relations symbols (which means that we are going to show that the  $\sigma_\mu$  constructed sort-wise is really a morphism in the category of structures).

### 5.5.1 Compatibility of function symbols

The proof in section 4.1 shows that for each sort  $S$  the maps  $\sigma_\mu^S$  are contractions and thus continuous. Suppose that  $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is a finite family of sorts. We are going to denote by  $\sigma_\mu^{S_1 \times \dots \times S_n}$  the map such that  $\pi_{S_i} \circ \sigma_\mu^{S_1 \times \dots \times S_n} = \sigma_\mu^{S_i}$ . Let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on  $X$  that converges to  $y$ . As stated before our goal is to show the compatibility of the morphism  $\sigma_\mu$ . To do so suppose that  $f$  is a function symbol, and suppose that  $\text{dom}(f) = S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$  and  $\text{rng}(f) = S'_1$ .

Suppose that  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in M_y^{S_1} \times \dots \times M_y^{S_n}$  (in case we have a constant symbol this space is  $X$ ) and suppose that for each  $i$  the already constructed Cauchy filter converges in  $\int_X M_x^{S_i} d\mu$  to  $(b_x^i)_{x \in X}$  (this means that  $\sigma_\mu^{S_i}(a_i) = (b_x^i)_{x \in X}$ ).

For simplicity, we are going to call the space  $\int_X M_x^{S_i} d\mu = M$ . We know that  $f^M((b_x^1), \dots, (b_x^n)) = (f^{M_x}(b_x^1, \dots, b_x^n))_{x \in X}$  (by definition), and let us call  $f^{M_y}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a'$  and furthermore we call the limit of the Cauchy filter corresponding to  $a'$  converges to  $(a_x)_{x \in X}$  (this means that  $\sigma_\mu^{S'_1}(a') = (a_x)_{x \in X}$ ).

Our goal is to show that  $(f^{M_x}(b_x^1, \dots, b_x^n))_{x \in X} = (a_x)_{x \in X}$  (as equivalence classes of the ultra-product), to do so let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $f^M$  is uniformly continuous with uniform continuity modulus independent of  $x$  then there exists some  $\delta$  such that if for all  $i$  if  $d((b_x^i), (h_x^i)) < \delta$  we get that  $d(f(b_x^i), (h_x^i)) < \epsilon/3$ . Now take a neighbourhood  $W$  of  $a'$  that is  $\epsilon/3$ -thin. Now using Lemma 4.1, we know that we can find  $(g_x)_{x \in X}$  such that there exists some  $U \in \mu$  such that  $U \subseteq \pi(W)$  and such that  $\forall x \in x \ g_x \in W$  and  $d(g_x, a_x) < \epsilon/3$ . Now since  $E$  is a bundle, we may deduce that there exist neighbourhoods  $W_i$  of each  $a_i$  such that  $f^E(\prod_{i=1}^n (W_i)) \subseteq W$  (using the continuity of  $f^E$ ) (In the case of constant symbol  $c$  we deduce the existence of  $W'$  neighbourhood of  $y$ , such that for any  $x \in W', c_x \in W$ ). Now again using the lemma 4.1 we know there exist  $(V_i)_{i=1}^n$  such that each  $V_i \in \mu$  and such that  $V_i \subseteq \pi_i(W_i)$  and  $(e_x^i)$  such that for any  $x \in V_i$  we have  $d(e_x^i, b_x^i) < \delta$  and  $e_x^i \in W_i$ . Now take the set  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i \cap U \in \mu$ , for any  $x$  in this set we have

$$d(f^{M_x}(e_x^1, \dots, e_x^n), f(b_x^1, \dots, b_x^n)) < \epsilon/3$$

On the other hand we have  $d(g_x, f^{M_x}(e_x^1, \dots, e_x^n)) < \epsilon/3$  this follows from the fact that  $f^{M_y}(W_1 \times_X \dots \times_X W_n) \subseteq W$ , and that  $W$  is  $\epsilon/3$ -thin. This implies that for any  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i \cap U$  we have

$$d(f^{M_x}(b_x^1, \dots, b_x^n), a_x) < \epsilon$$

thus  $(f^{M_x}(b_x^1, \dots, b_x^n))_{x \in X} = (a_x)_{x \in X}$  as equivalence classes, thus we get compatibility for each function symbol. In the case of a constant symbol it suffices to take  $W' \cap U$  in the previous argument.

### 5.5.2 Compatibility of relation symbols

Let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on  $X$  that converges to  $y$  and let  $\phi$  be a relations symbol such that  $\text{dom}(\phi) = S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$ . Suppose that  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in M_y^{S_1} \times \dots \times M_y^{S_n}$  and suppose that for each  $i$  we have the already constructed the Cauchy filter that converges in  $\int_X M_x^{S_i} d\mu$  to some  $(b_x^i)_{x \in X}$ . (that means that  $\sigma_\mu^{S_i}(a_i) = (b_x^i)_{x \in X}$ ).

Now our objective is to show that  $\phi^M((b_x^1), \dots, (b_x^n)) \leq \phi^{M_y}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . To do so we do an argument by contradiction, suppose it's not the case then we have that  $\phi^{M_y}(a_1, \dots, a_n) <$

$\phi^M((b_x^1), \dots, (b_x^n))$ . Let us call their difference  $\epsilon$ . Now by upper semi-continuity there exists neighbourhoods  $W_i$  of  $a_i$  such that  $\phi^E(W_1 \times_X \dots \times_X W_n) \subseteq [0, \phi^{M_y}(a_1, \dots, a_n))$ . Now using the fact that each  $\phi^{M_x}$  is continuous with the same modulus, we get that there exists  $\delta$  such that if for every  $i$  such that  $|h_x^i - b_x^i| < \delta$ , we have  $\phi^{M_x}(h_x^i) < \epsilon$ . Now using the lemma 4.1 we know there exist a family of sets  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that each  $V_i \in \mu$  and such that  $V_i \subseteq \pi_i(W_i)$  and  $(e_x^i)$  such that for any  $x \in V_i$  we have  $d(e_x^i, b_x^i) < \delta$  and  $e_x^i \in W_i$ .

Now let  $\epsilon' > 0$ , we know that there exists  $U \in \mu$  such that for any  $x \in U$   $\phi^M((b_x^1), \dots, (b_x^n)) < \phi^{M_x}(b_x^1, \dots, b_x^n) + \epsilon'$  (this follows from the fact that  $\phi^M((b_x^1), \dots, (b_x^n)) = \int_X \phi^{M_x}(b_x^1, \dots, b_x^n) d\mu$  and then we apply the fact that  $\int_X \phi^{M_x}(b_x^1, \dots, b_x^n) d\mu = \text{Inf}_{U \in \mu} \text{Sup}_{x \in U} \phi^{M_x}(b_x^1, \dots, b_x^n)$ ). Now take the set  $(\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i) \cap U$ , for any  $x$  in this set we have  $|\phi(b_x^1, \dots, b_x^n) - \phi(e_x^1, \dots, e_x^n)| < \epsilon$ , but this implies, substituting  $\epsilon$  by its value, that  $\phi(e_x^1, \dots, e_x^n) > \phi(a_1, \dots, a_n) - \epsilon'$ , and since  $\epsilon'$  was arbitrary we get that  $\phi(e_x^1, \dots, e_x^n) \geq \phi(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  contradiction with  $\phi^E(W_1 \times_X \dots \times_X W_n) \subseteq [0, \phi^{M_y}(a_1, \dots, a_n))$ .

## 5.6 Adjunction

We have already established that for each sort  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}^S(\mathcal{F}^S, E^S)) \simeq \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}^S, \mathcal{R}^S(E^S))$ , so the only thing left is to prove that the Hom functor is compatible with the structure.

Let us make clear what we exactly mean by the compatibility of the Hom functor: We have already established the fact that if we have a left ultrafunctor  $\mathcal{F}$  and a map of bundles  $\psi$  from  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$  to  $E$  then we get a natural transformation of left ultrafunctors  $\widehat{\psi}^S$  for each sort. Also we have established that if we have a natural transformation of left ultrafunctors, we have already seen that for every sort we get a map of bundles  $\kappa^S$  from  $\mathcal{L}^S(\mathcal{F}^S)$  to  $E^S$ . And we know that these two processes are inverses of each other at the level of each sort. So the question is can we extend this equivalence to the level of the whole structure.

Suppose we have a left ultrafunctor  $\mathcal{F}$  and a map of bundles  $\psi$  from  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$  to some bundle  $E$  then we get a natural transformation of left ultrafunctors  $\widehat{\psi}^S$  for each sort. Then we define for each  $x$  the map  $\widehat{\psi}_x$  by  $\widehat{\psi}_x = \psi_x$  from  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})_x = \mathcal{F}(x)$  to  $E_x = \mathcal{R}(E)(x)$ . Now the fact then we have a natural transformation follows from the fact that for every  $x$  the map  $\psi_x = \widehat{\psi}_x$  (by definition) is a map of sorts, and the fact that it's a natural transformation of left ultrafunctors follows from the commutativity of this for each ultrafilter on the base space  $X$  converging to arbitrary  $y$  :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(y) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\mu} & \int_X \mathcal{F}(x) d\mu \\ \downarrow \psi_y & & \downarrow \int_X \psi_x d\mu \\ \mathcal{R}(E)(y) = E_y & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\mu} & \int_X E_x d\mu \end{array}$$

which means exactly that for every sort the following diagram commutes :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{F}(y)^S & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\mu^S} & \int_X \mathcal{F}(x)^S d\mu \\
\downarrow \psi_y^S & & \downarrow \int_X \psi_x^S d\mu \\
\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E})(y)^S = E_y^S & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\mu^S} & \int_X E_x^S d\mu
\end{array}$$

which we already showed. So we get that  $\hat{\psi}$  is well-defined.

Now for the other direction suppose that we have a natural transformation of left ultrafunctors  $\kappa$  from  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E})$ . Define  $\bar{\kappa}_x = \kappa_x$ , first from the fact that  $\kappa$  is a natural transformation of left ultrafunctors we get that for each  $x$ ,  $\bar{\kappa}_x = \kappa_x$  we get that  $\bar{\kappa}_x$  is also a map of structures. The only thing remaining to check that  $\bar{\kappa}$  is a map of bundles is to check that for each sort  $\bar{\kappa}^S$  is a map of bundles, but this follows immediately from our work for bounded complete metric spaces. Finally we know that these two processes are inverses of each other on the level of each sort thus they are inverses of each other and the two functors  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  are adjoints.

Now the unit and the counit of adjunctions are isomorphisms at the level of each sort, and hence we get an equivalence of categories between Left ultrafunctors( $X, \text{CompMet}_\mathcal{L}$ ) and the category  $\text{Bun}(X, \text{CompMet}_\mathcal{L})$ .

## 6 Models

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a language Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a theory (set of sentences) in this language, we define the category of models of  $\mathbb{T}$  to be the category whose objects are  $\mathcal{L}$ - structures that are models of  $\mathbb{T}$  (that means for any object  $M$  in this category we have that for any sentence  $\phi \in \mathbb{T}$   $\phi^M = 0$ ) and having as morphisms just morphisms of structures.

We can see that the category of models defined this particular way is a full subcategory of the category of structures, and thus it inherits the ultrastructures since it's closed under the ultraproduct functor by Łos theorem. Let us denote by  $\text{Met}_\mathcal{L}$  the category of structures of the language  $\mathcal{L}$ , and by  $\text{Met}_{\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{T}}$  the full subcategory of models of  $\mathbb{T}$ . Now we turn to the next important but easy to show lemma:

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space (an ultraset), take the category of left ultrafunctors from  $X$  to  $\text{Met}_\mathcal{L}$ , then left ultrafunctors from  $X$  to  $\text{Met}_{\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{T}}$  form a full subcategory of the previous category.*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from the fact that the condition of being a natural transformation of left ultrafunctors, doesn't depend on whether a functor  $F$  is taking values in  $\text{Met}_{\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{T}}$  or not.  $\square$

The important thing regarding this discussion is that we already know that the concept of full subcategory is carried over by equivalence of categories thus we get an equivalence between left ultrafunctors from  $X$  to models and between bundles of structure whose every fibre is a model of the theory  $\mathbb{T}$ . This inspires our next definition

**Definition 6.1.** *We define a bundle of models of a theory  $\mathbb{T}$  in a Language  $\mathcal{L}$  to be a bundle of structures such that every fibre of the bundle is a model. As for morphisms of bundles of models, we define them to be just morphisms of the bundle of structures.*

In other words, we can see that the category  $\text{Bun}(X, \text{Met}_{\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{T}})$  is a full subcategory of the category of bundles of structures  $\text{Bun}(X, \text{Met}_{\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{T}})$ . This definition allows us to deduce the following theorem:

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space then the functor  $\mathcal{L}$  restricts to an equivalence of categories between  $\text{Left ultrafunctors}(X, \text{CompMet}_{\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{T}})$  and the category  $\text{Bun}(X, \text{CompMet}_{\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{T}})$ .*

## 7 Functoriality in CompHaus

Let  $\text{CompHaus}$  denote the category of compact Hausdorff spaces. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a category of models of continuous model theory, the category  $\text{CompHaus}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is defined to have as objects: left ultrafunctors from some compact Hausdorff space  $X$  to  $\mathcal{M}$  and a morphism between  $\mathcal{F} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{G} : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  consists of a pair  $(f, \alpha)$  where  $f$  is continuous map from  $X$  to  $Y$  and  $\alpha$  is a natural transformation of left ultrafunctors from  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\mathcal{G} \circ f$  (this construction is called  $\text{Comp}_{\mathcal{M}}$  in [Lur18]). In his paper Lurie showed this construction to be a stack over  $\text{CompHaus}$  with the latter equipped with the coherent topology. Now we claim the following result :

**Theorem 7.1.** *The construction  $X \mapsto \text{Bun}(\mathcal{M}, X)$  depends contravariantly on  $X$  (which means it defines a Grothendieck fibration over  $\text{CompHaus}$ ).*

*Proof.* First we start by the case where  $\mathcal{M} = k\text{-CompMet}$ , we did not define what  $X \mapsto \text{Bun}(\mathcal{M}, X)$  should do on morphisms so we do that we define a functor from  $\text{Bun}/X$  (which is another way of calling  $\text{Bun}(\mathcal{M}, X)$ , here  $\mathcal{M}$  is fixed to be  $k\text{-CompMet}$ ), to  $\text{Bun}/Y$  as follows : suppose that we have a continuous map  $Y \rightarrow X$  and some bundle  $E$  over  $X$  then we define a bundle  $E'$  over  $Y$  as the pullback in  $\text{Top}$  :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E' & \xrightarrow{f} & E \\ \downarrow \pi_2 & & \downarrow \pi_1 \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

We need of course to verify this is a bundle. Notice that  $E'$  as a set is equal to  $\coprod_{y \in Y} E_{f(y)}$ . The fact that the distance function is upper semi-continuous on  $E' \times_Y E'$  follows from the following diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E' \times_Y E' & \xrightarrow{\langle f \circ \pi_5, f \circ \pi_6 \rangle} & E \times_X E \\ \swarrow \pi_5 \quad \searrow \pi_6 & & \swarrow \pi_3 \quad \searrow \pi_4 \\ & E' \xrightarrow{f} E & \\ \downarrow \pi_2 & & \downarrow \pi_1 \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \downarrow d \\ [0, k]_{\text{left order topology}} \end{array}$$



The distance function on  $E'$  is equal to the composition  $d \circ \langle \underline{f} \circ \pi_5, \underline{f} \circ \pi_6 \rangle$ , thus it's upper semi-continuous (here  $[0, k]$  was equipped with the lower order topology, and  $\pi_1 \dots \pi_6$  denotes the pull-back maps).

Next we need to show axiom (2) of the definition of bundles is satisfied, which means that we need to show that  $\pi_1$  is continuous and open but this straightforward:  $\pi_1$  is continuous by definition and open since the pullback along an open map is an open map.

Finally we need to show axiom (3) of the definition of bundle, suppose that we have an element  $g \in E'$  contained in some open set  $W$  and suppose without loss of generality that  $W$  is basic which means that  $W = \pi_2^{-1}(K) \cap \underline{f}^{-1}(\omega)$  where  $K$  is an open set in  $Y$  and  $\omega$  is an open set in  $E$ . Now since  $E$  is a bundle there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $V$  neighbourhood of  $f^*(g)$  such that  $V \subseteq_{\epsilon} \omega$ . Now using the fact that by definition  $\underline{f}$  is isometric on each fibre, we have  $g \in \pi_2^{-1}(K) \cap \underline{f}^{-1}(V) \subseteq_{\epsilon} W$ . So the pullback of a bundle in Top is again a bundle.

Before continuing let us describe the morphisms in the fibred category in the case  $\mathcal{M} = \text{k-CompMet}$ : suppose that  $E \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X$  and  $E' \xrightarrow{\pi_2} Y$  are two bundles a morphism from  $E$  to  $E'$  is a pair  $(f, h)$  where  $f$  is a continuous map from  $X$  to  $Y$  and  $h$  is a map in  $\text{Bun}(\mathcal{M}, X)$ , equivalently such morphism can be defined to be a pair  $(f, h')$  where  $h'$  is a continuous map from  $E$  to  $E'$  such that the following diagram commutes :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{h'} & E' \\ \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \pi_2 \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

And such that for every  $y$   $h'|_{\pi_1^{-1}(x)}$  is a contraction.

Now we want to extend the same construction when  $\mathcal{M} = \text{Comp}_{\mathcal{G}}$  and also the case  $\mathcal{M} = \text{Comp}_{\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{T}}$ . So suppose we are given a continuous first order signature  $\text{Comp}_{\mathcal{G}}$  and a theory (family of axioms)  $\mathbb{T}$ . For each sort  $S$  we already know that the pullback of  $E_S$  is going to be a bundle of complete metric spaces bounded by  $k_S$ , it remains to show that for every function symbol  $g^{E'}$  the global function defined from  $E'_{S_1} \times_Y \dots \times_Y E'_{S_n}$  to  $E'_{S'}$  is continuous and for every relation symbol  $\phi$  the global relation defined on  $E'_{S_1} \times_Y \dots \times_Y E'_{S_n}$  is upper semi-continuous, the proof of both those facts follows exactly the proof that the global distance function is upper semi-continuous. First starting with a function symbol  $g$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
E'_{S_1} \times_Y \dots \times_Y E'_{S_n} & \xrightarrow{\langle f_{S_1} \circ \pi_{2,S_1}, \dots, f_{S_n} \circ \pi_{2,S_n} \rangle} & E_{S_1} \times_X \dots E_{S_n} \\
\downarrow g^{E'} & & \downarrow g^E \\
E'_{S'} & \xrightarrow{f_{S'}} & E_{S'}
\end{array}$$

The map  $g^{E'}$  is the unique map that exists because of the universal property of  $E'_{S'}$ , being a pullback in Top Hence it's continuous (here  $\pi_{2,S_i}$  is the projection maps of  $E'_{S_1} \times_Y \dots \times_Y E'_{S_n}$  onto  $E'_{S_i}$ . Now for relation symbols, suppose that we have a relation symbol  $\phi$  then the global relation function  $\phi^{E'}$  for the bundle  $E'$  is the composition  $\phi^E \circ \langle f_{S_1}^* \circ \pi_{4,S_1}, \dots, f_{S_n}^* \circ \pi_{4,S_n} \rangle$ :

$$E'_{S_1} \times_Y \dots \times_Y E'_{S_n} \xrightarrow{\langle f_{S_1} \circ \pi_{2,S_1}, \dots, f_{S_n} \circ \pi_{2,S_n} \rangle} E_{S_1} \times_X \dots E_{S_n} \xrightarrow{\phi^E} V$$

Here  $V$  is a compact interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  (i.e of the form  $[a, b]$  where  $a, b$  are reals) equipped with the left order topology. Thus we get that  $\phi^{E'}$  is upper semi-continuous.

Now the fact that the construction  $E \mapsto E'$  where  $E'$  is the pullback along  $f : Y \rightarrow X$ , is a contravariant pseudo-functor comes from the fact that the pullback along  $f \circ f'$  is the pullback along  $f'$  of the pullback along  $f$  up to natural isomorphism.  $\square$

We define Bun to be the fibred category for this pseudofunctor from CompHaus to Cat. We are going to replace the category Bun with the equivalent category  $Bun'$ , in which for every sort  $S$  the bundle  $E^S$  as a set is equal (not just isomorphic) to  $\coprod_{x \in X} E_x^S$  and in which the projection is defined by sending  $(x, g) \in E$  to  $x$ . The reason we did this is because this is going to force the pullback along the identity to be just the same bundle, also it forces the pullback along  $f \circ f'$  to be the pullback along  $f'$  of the pullback along  $f$  not just up to isomorphism, hence this forces the assignment  $X \mapsto Bun'/X$  to be a functor and not just a pseudofunctor. And we are going to rename  $Bun'$  to Bun (since they are essentially the same). Now we claim the following result:

**Theorem 7.2.** *the functors defined by  $\mathcal{L}$  on each fibre extends to a Cartesian equivalence of categories between the fibred category (which we denoted by Bun) and the category  $CompHaus_{\mathcal{M}}$ .*

*Proof.* For the category of bundles over  $X$   $Bun/X$  let us denote by  $\mathcal{L}_X$  the equivalence of categories between  $Bun/X$  and  $Left\ ultrafunctors(X, \mathcal{M})$  and suppose that we have a continuous function  $f$

from  $Y$  to  $X$  we want to show that the following diagram commutes :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Bun}/Y & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{L}_Y} & \text{Left ultrafunctors}(Y, \mathcal{M}) \\
\downarrow f^* & & \downarrow - \circ f \\
\text{Bun}/X & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{L}_X} & \text{Left ultrafunctors}(X, \mathcal{M})
\end{array}$$

Here  $f^*$  sends a bundle over  $Y$  to the bundle over  $X$  obtained by pulling back in Top sortwise. Suppose that we have a left ultrafunctor  $\mathcal{F}$  from  $Y$  to  $\mathcal{M}$ . First suppose that  $\mathcal{M} = \text{k-CompMet}$  :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
E' & \xrightarrow{f} & E \\
\downarrow \pi_2 & & \downarrow \pi_1 \\
Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X
\end{array}$$

The set  $E' = \coprod_{y \in Y} E_{f(y)}$  admits two bundle topologies with the same projection map  $\pi_2$ , the first being the pullback topology, and the second being the topology resulting from the left ultrafunctors  $f \circ \mathcal{F}$ , we now show they coincide:

Before that let us introduce a notation convention: Let  $E = \coprod_{x \in X} M_x$  then for any element  $g \in M_x$ , we are going to denote the element  $(x, g) \in E$  by  $g^{(x)}$ .

Now suppose that  $\mu$  is an ultrafilter on  $E' = \coprod_{y \in Y} \mathcal{F}(f(y))$  with the pullback topology that converges to some point  $g^{(y)}$ . First we have that  $\pi_2 \mu$  converges  $y$  by definition of the pullback topology, now suppose that  $\sigma_{f \pi_2 \mu}(g^{(f(y))}) = (b_x)_{x \in X}$ , then we get that  $\sigma_{\pi_2 \mu} = (b_{f(y)})_{y \in Y}$  (We are going to show this result but after this proof).

Now notice the following  $\coprod_{y \in Y} B(b_{f(y)}, \epsilon) \in \mu \iff \coprod_{y \in Y} B(b_x, \epsilon)$ . Hence  $\mu$  converges to  $g^{(y)}$  in the topology resulting from the left ultrafunctors  $f \circ \mathcal{F}$ . On the other hand suppose that  $\mu$  converges to  $g^{(y)}$  in the topology resulting from the left ultrafunctors  $f \circ \mathcal{F}$ , first we get that  $\pi_2 \mu$  converges to  $\pi_2(g)$  Also  $\pi_1 \mu$  converges to  $\pi_1 g$  and using  $\coprod_{y \in Y} B(b_{f(y)}, \epsilon) \in \mu \iff \coprod_{y \in Y} B(b_x, \epsilon)$  we get that  $f^* \mu$  converges to  $g^{(f(y))}$ . This implies that the ultrafilter  $\mu$  converges to  $g$  in the pullback topology.

Now we turn to the case where  $\mathcal{M} = \text{CompMet}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  or  $\mathcal{M} = \text{CompMet}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{T}}$ , in this case we know that for each sort the two topologies on the bundles corresponding to each sort agree as well as the projection maps. So the family of functors  $\{\mathcal{L}_X\}_{X \in \mathcal{S}}$  (where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the set of sorts) defines an equivalence of categories between the functors composition with  $f$  of left ultrafunctors and pullback along  $f$  of bundles, or in other words defines a Cartesian equivalence of categories between  $\text{Bun}$  and  $\text{CompHaus}_{\mathcal{M}}$ .  $\square$

Now we turn back to showing the result that we used: Suppose that we have a map of sets  $f$  from  $Y$  to  $X$  where  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space and suppose that we have a left ultrafunctor  $\mathcal{F}$  from  $X$  to  $\mathcal{M}$ . And suppose that  $\mu$  is an ultrafilter on  $Y$  that converges to  $y$ .

Our goal is to show the following diagram commutes :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{F}(\int_X x d \int_Y \delta_{f(y)} d\mu) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{f,\mu}} & \int_X \mathcal{F}(x) d\mu \\
 & \searrow \sigma_\mu & \downarrow \Delta_{\mu, f} \\
 & & \int_Y \mathcal{F}(f(y)) d\mu
 \end{array}$$

Which by our description of the ultraproduct diagonal map (see section) means that if  $g \in \mathcal{F}(f(y))$  then  $\sigma_{f,\mu}(g) = (b_y)_{y \in Y}$  then  $\sigma_\mu(g) = (b_{f(y)})$ .

Now to show we use the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathcal{F}(\int_X x d \int_Y \delta_{f(y)} d\mu) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{f,\mu}} & \int_X \mathcal{F}(x) d\mu & & & & \\
 \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \Delta_{\delta_{f(\bullet)}, \mu} & & & & \\
 \mathcal{F}(\int_Y \int_X x d \delta_{f(y)} d\mu) = \mathcal{F}(\int_Y f(y) d\mu) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\mu} & \int_Y \mathcal{F}(\int_X x d \delta_{f(y)} d\mu) & \xrightarrow{\int_Y \sigma_{\delta_{f(y)}} d\mu} & \int_Y \int_X \mathcal{F}(x) d \delta_{f(y)} d\mu & & \\
 & & \searrow \int_Y \mathcal{F}(\epsilon_{X, f(y)}) d\mu = \text{id} & & \downarrow \int_Y \epsilon_{X, f(y)} d\mu & & \\
 & & & & \int_Y \mathcal{F}(f(y)) d\mu & & \\
 & & & & \uparrow \Delta_{f, \mu} & & 
 \end{array}$$

The upper diagram commutes by axiom (2) of [Lur18, definition 1.4.1] and the lower diagram commutes by axiom (1) of [Lur18, definition 1.4.1].

## 8 Examples

At this point, it is important for us to give examples of our constructions of bundles and show that they correspond to the already existing notions of continuous families of metric structures. But first, we need to explain how to axiomatise some structures in continuous model theory.

### 8.1 Banach bundles

#### 8.1.1 Axiomatisation of Banach spaces

The signature of Banach spaces includes a sort for each ball of radius  $n$ , inclusion symbols between sorts and additional symbols for the  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space structure

1. A constant symbol  $0$  (a function symbol) with formal range  $D_1$ , this symbol should be interpreted as the  $0$  of the vector space (we can get rid of that symbol since we can get  $0$  by

multiplication by 0, strictly speaking, we must also check whether including this symbol or not is going to affect the continuous model-theoretic ultraproduct since we want to be able to recover the usual definition of ultraproduct of Banach spaces or any related structure).

2. For each natural number  $n \geq 1$  we define a sort  $D_n$  this sort should be interpreted as the closed ball of radius  $n$ .
3. For every pair of sorts we define a function symbol  $+_{n,m}$  which has formal domain  $D_n \times D_m$  and formal range  $D_{n+m}$  and should be interpreted as the addition, the modulus of continuity of this symbol is  $2\text{Id}$ .
4. For every sort pair of sorts  $D_n$  and  $D_m$  such that  $n < m$  we define a function symbol  $\iota_{n,m}$ , which should be interpreted as the inclusion of the ball  $D_n$  inside the ball  $D_m$ , this symbol is of uniform continuity modulus the identity function.
5. For every sort  $D_n$  and every  $k \in \mathbb{C}$ , we define a function symbol  $m_{n,k}$ , this function should be interpreted as the multiplication, the formal domain of this symbol is  $S_n$  and the formal range is  $S_m$  where  $m = \lceil |k| \cdot n \rceil$ , the modulus of this symbol is  $\text{kid}$ .
- 6' If we want to have isometries between Banach space, we are going to add the following relation symbols  $k_n$  with domain  $D_n$ , which takes values in the interval  $[0, n]$ , with uniform continuity modulus the identity function.  $k_n(x)$  should be interpreted as  $n - \|x\|$ . Note that these symbols have not been introduced in literature before.

Now we are going to list the necessary axioms informally, here  $\|x\|$  means  $d(x, 0)$  (notice that it is possible to make the norm an additional function symbol (sortwise) and add axioms ensuring that the distance and the norm define the same metric):

1.  $\mathbb{K}$  vector space axioms ( $\mathbb{K}$  is by default  $\mathbb{C}$  unless it's indicated to be  $\mathbb{R}$ )
2. Norm axioms: which are axioms ensuring that the norm (which is defined for each sort  $\|x\| = d(x, 0)$ ) is a norm.
3. Axioms that ensure that the inclusion function is compatible with distance, addition, and additive inverse.
4. Axioms ensuring that each  $D_n$  is interpreted as the ball of radius  $n$ , these are  $(\text{Sup}_{x \in D_1} (\|x\| \dot{-} 1))$ , and  $\text{Sup}_{x \in D_n} \text{Inf}_{y \in D_1} (d(x, \iota_{1,n}(y)) \dot{-} (\|x\| \dot{-} 1))$ . (what the last axiom is telling us informally is that if some element  $x$  in  $B_n$  has norm less than or equal to 1, iff there exists an element  $y$  in  $B_1$  such  $\iota_{1,n}(y) = x$ , see [FHL<sup>+</sup>21]).
- 5' Axiom ensuring that the new symbol  $k_n$  is interpreted as  $n - \|x\|$ , formally speaking this axiom should be  $\text{Sup}_{x \in D_n} |(k_n(x) - (n - \|x\|))|$ .

As stated before, axiomatising Banach spaces without this newly introduced symbol leads to the category of Banach space with contractions, while axiomatising Banach spaces with the newly introduced symbol  $k_n$ , will force maps to be isometries, and hence this is going to lead to two different notions of bundles of Banach spaces, which turned out to be already existing in the literature.

### 8.1.2 Definition Banach bundles

This definition is the one present in [Hof77], and we are going to be calling it a semi-continuous bundle of Banach spaces.

We say that a triple  $(E, X, \pi)$  defines a bundle of Banach space, where  $E$  and  $X$  are topological spaces ( $X$  is usually required to be Hausdorff, in our work we studied the case where the  $X$  is compact Hausdorff) and  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  is a function required to satisfy the following conditions:

1. For every  $x, \pi^{-1}(x)$  is a Banach space.
2.  $\pi$  is continuous and open.
3. scalar multiplication from  $\mathbb{K} \times E$  to  $E$ , addition from  $E \times_X E$  to  $E$  are continuous.
4. norm  $\| \cdot \|$  from  $E$  to  $[0, \infty)$  is upper semi-continuous (it is not hard to see that in the presence of the other axioms, this is equivalent to saying that the distance from  $E \times_X E$  to  $[0, \infty)$  is upper semi-continuous)
5. for any  $x \in X$ , if we call  $\mathcal{N}_x$  the set of all open neighbourhoods of  $x$ , then  $\{\prod_{y \in U} B(0_y, \tau)\}_{\tau > 0, U \in \mathcal{N}_x}$  is neighbourhood basis at  $0_x$ .

First notice that axiom 3 can be replaced with the following apparently weaker axiom 3\*:

- 3\* for each  $k \in \mathbb{K}$  the function from  $E$  to  $E$  defined by multiplication by  $k$  is continuous, also addition from  $E \times_X E$  to  $E$  is continuous.

An unnecessary condition is imposed in the definition [Hof77] which is requiring the map  $x \mapsto 0_x$  to be continuous (we can deduce this easily from the condition 5).

Another unnecessary condition required in [Hof77] is the requirement that the subspace topology agrees with the Banach space topology on each bundle. The argument for dropping it can be found in [Fel69, proposition 1.3] (notice that the argument uses the fact that the norm is continuous, but this can be easily replaced by the requirement that the norm is upper semi-continuous since the neighbourhood filter of  $0 \in [0, \infty)$  is the same in the left order topology and the usual topology), also the argument uses a different equivalent version of axiom 5.

In what follows a section (or local section) from  $U \subseteq X$  to  $E$ , here  $U$  is open, means a continuous map such that  $\sigma \circ f = \text{Id}_U$ , such section is called global if  $U = X$ .

In [Hof77] the definition above is called a pre-bundle, an additional condition is imposed in [Hof77] in order to obtain the definition of bundle: for every  $f \in E$ , and for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a local section  $\gamma$  such that  $\|\gamma(\pi(f)) - f\| < \epsilon$ .

A bundle for which every element has a global section that hits it is called a full bundle in [Hof77], another name for this property is a bundle with enough cross sections. A good result is that every pre-bundle over a locally paracompact space is a full bundle (so when it comes to our work in which we studied bundles over a compact Hausdorff, every pre-bundle is a full bundle). This is due to a result by Douady and Dal Soglio-Hérault which can be found in the appendix of [Fel77].

In what follows we are going to call a semi-continuous Banach bundle a triple  $(E, X, \pi)$  satisfying these five conditions.

Next we state the following theorem regarding this definition of bundles:

**Theorem 8.1.** Let  $(E, X, \pi)$  be a bundle and suppose that  $\mu$  is an ultrafilter on  $E$  such that  $\pi\mu$  converges to  $y$  and suppose that  $\gamma$  is a section such that for some  $\gamma(y) = f$  then the set  $\{\prod_{x \in U} B(\gamma(x), r)\}_{U \in \mathcal{N}_y, r > 0}$  is a basis for the neighbourhood system at  $f$ , here  $\mathcal{N}_y$  is the set of all open neighbourhoods at  $y$ .

*Proof.* Take the homeomorphism from  $E$  to itself defined by  $g \mapsto g + \gamma(\pi(g))$ , and use axiom 5 in the first definition.  $\square$

Before continuing we should note that there is an alternative way to state axiom 5 above:

5\* Suppose that  $(b_i)$  is a net such that  $\|b_i\| \rightarrow 0$ , and such that  $\pi(b_i) \rightarrow x$  then  $(b_i)$  converges to  $0_x$  (we can write this axiom in ultrafilter terms as follows if  $\mu$  is a ultrafilter on  $E$  such that  $\|\mu$  converges to  $0 \in [0, \infty)$  and  $\pi\mu$  converges to  $x$  then  $\mu$  converges to  $0_x$ ).

Here we should note that when we say that  $\|\mu$  (or  $\|b_i\|$ ) converges to  $0 \in [0, \infty)$ , we are either equipping  $[0, \infty)$  with the left order topology, or with the usual topology because we reminder the reader that the neighbourhood filter of  $0$  is the same in these two topologies. We show that the axioms 5 and 5\* are equivalent (in the presence of the other four axioms):

Let  $E$  be a bundle satisfying axioms 1–2–3–4–5\*. We need to check the axiom which states that the set  $\{\prod_{y \in U} B(0_x, r)\}_{U \in \mathcal{N}_x, r > 0}$ , is a neighbourhood basis for  $0_x$ , to show that we can use the lemma 3.4, towards this let  $V$  be an open nhood of  $0_x$  and let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on  $E$ . If  $\{\prod_{x \in U} B(\gamma(x), r)\}_{U \in \mathcal{N}_y, r > 0} \subseteq \mu$  this would imply that  $\|\mu$  converges to  $0$  and that  $\pi\mu$  converges to  $x$ . and hence  $\mu$  converges to  $0_x$ , but this implies that  $V \in \mu$ , and hence by 3.4 there exists  $r > 0$  and  $U$  open neighbourhood of  $x$  such that  $\prod_{y \in U} B(0_y, r) \subseteq V$ .

Now suppose that we have a bundle satisfying axioms 1–2–3–4–5, we need to check that axiom 5\* holds, so suppose that  $\mu$  is an ultrafilter on  $E$  such that  $\|\mu$  converges to  $0 \in [0, \infty)$  and  $\pi\mu$  converges to  $x$ , both these conditions implies that for any  $r > 0$  and  $U$  open neighbourhood of  $x$   $\prod_{y \in U} B(0_y, r) \in \mu$  then  $\mu$  converges to  $0_x$  since  $\{\prod_{y \in U} B(0_y, r)\}_{U \in \mathcal{N}_x}$  is a basis of the neighbourhood filter of  $x$ .

There is another definition of Banach bundles given in [Fel77]. In that definition, the norm function is required to be continuous instead of being just semi-continuous. And we are going to call such a bundle a continuous Banach bundle. Note that in that definition the bundle space is required to be Hausdorff, but this requirement can be dropped provided the base space is Hausdorff (see [Gie82, 16.4]), our work provides proof of this fact when the base space is compact Hausdorff.

### 8.1.3 Relating the definition of Banach bundles to our work

**Semi-continuous bundles** Now we should explain how to relate the concept of semi-continuous Banach bundles as defined in [Hof77], to the bundles of models for the continuous model theory of Banach spaces (the classic definition not including the symbol  $k_n$ ). The idea is clear: giving a family of bundles of balls  $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  over  $X$  (a bundle for which every fibre is the ball of radius  $n$  of the Banach space) which is the notion of bundles corresponding to the continuous model theory of Banach spaces, we can construct a bundle of Banach space as introduced by Hoffman by taking  $E = \bigcup_n E_n$  equipped with the final topology along the inclusion maps, on the other hand, given a bundle in the definition of Hoffman we can easily recover the bundle in our definition by defining  $E_n = \{f \in E \mid \|f\| \leq n\}$ .

**Theorem 8.2.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space, then there exists an equivalence of categories of Banach bundles over  $X$ , and that of bundles of models of the continuous model of Banach spaces over  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let us explain further to the reader what we are trying to do, we already have an equivalence of ultracategories between dissections of Banach spaces and the category of Banach spaces, we want to extend this equivalence to the level of bundles and we claim that our notion of bundles as developed in section 3 through 6 (the bundles of the continuous theory of Banach spaces, each of which is a family of sorted bundles  $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where each fibre is exactly the ball of radius  $n$  of the Banach space, satisfying certain axioms), and bundles as a single topological space, as defined above.

Suppose that we have a family of sorted bundles  $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , take the topological space  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  (equipped with the final topology along the inclusion maps i.e the colimit of  $E_1 \hookrightarrow E_2 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow E_n \hookrightarrow \dots$ ). This space clearly satisfies the fact that the projection  $\pi$  and scalar multiplication by any  $K \in \mathbb{K}$  are continuous by the universal property of the  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , also the continuity of the global distance function, and addition follows from the universal property of the final topology, as well as the fact that directed colimits commute with pullbacks in  $\text{Top}$ . The fact that  $\pi$  is open follows from the fact that an open set  $V$  in  $E$  can be written as  $V = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V \cap E_n$  hence  $\pi(V) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi(V \cap E_n)$ , and hence since the restriction of  $\pi$  to every sorted bundle is open then  $\pi$  is open. So we have shown that the bundle  $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$  satisfies axioms 1-2-3\*-4.

Finally, let us show that the bundle  $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$  in our definition satisfies axiom 5\*. Let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on  $E$  such that  $\pi\mu$  converges to  $x$ , and also suppose that for any  $\|\cdot\|$   $\|\cdot\|_{\mu}$  converges to 0.

We know that every bundle of the continuous theory corresponds to a left ultrafunctor  $\mathcal{F}$  from  $X$  to the ultracategory  $\text{Ban}_1$  i.e Banach spaces with contractions, this can be done by regarding the following composition:

$$X \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}} \text{Ban}_{\text{diss}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Ban}_1$$

Here  $\simeq$  is the equivalence of ultracategories between  $\text{Ban}_{\text{diss}}$ , the category of dissections of Banach spaces and  $\text{Ban}_1$  the category of Banach spaces, and  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is the family of left ultrafunctors to  $n\text{-CompMet}$  which defines a left ultrafunctor from  $X$  to  $\text{Ban}_{\text{diss}}$ , thus by construction  $\mathcal{F}$  (defined on objects by  $\mathcal{F}(x) = E_x$ ) satisfies the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{x_n} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\pi\mu}^{(n)}} & \int_X E_{y_n} d\mu \simeq (\int_X E_y d\mu)_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_x & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\pi\mu}} & \int_X E_y d\mu \end{array}$$

Now we know the topology of  $E$  has  $\epsilon$  thin nhood basis for arbitrary small  $\epsilon$ , we can deduce that  $\mu$  restricts to an ultrafilter on  $E_1$ . Thus since  $\sigma_{\pi\mu}(0_x) = (0_y)_{y \in X}$  (because it's a Banach spaces map), we can deduce by the diagram above that  $\sigma_{\pi\mu}^{(1)} = (0_y)_{y \in X}$ . Now using semi-continuity of the norm and the fact that  $\|\cdot\|_{\mu}$  converges to 0, we get that  $\prod_{x \in U} B(0_y, \epsilon) \in \mu$  for any  $U$  open neighbourhood of  $x$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , thus  $\mu$  converges to  $0_x$  (this follows from the definition of topology associated to a left ultrafunctor 3.1, and we know that every bundle of metric spaces bounded my  $n$  over  $X$ , comes



from a left ultrafunctor from  $X$  to  $n\text{-CmpMet}$ ) thus we showed that our definition satisfies axiom 5\* which we showed to be equivalent to axiom 5.

Now suppose that we have a bundle of Banach spaces in the definition above  $(E, \pi, X)$ , we claim that  $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where each  $E_n = \{f \in B \mid \|f\| \leq n\}$  equipped with the subspace topology, is a bundle of the continuous of Banach spaces, first of all for each  $B_n$  the global distance function is upper semi-continuous and the restriction of  $\pi$  to each  $B_n$  is continuous. Now let us show that for each  $B_n$  axiom (3) of 5.1 is satisfied:

Since the base space is compact Hausdorff then the bundle  $E$  has enough cross sections. Let  $W$  be an open set and let  $f \in W$ , we know that by 8.1 there exists a set of the form  $\coprod_{y \in U} B(\sigma(y), \epsilon)$  such that  $\coprod_{y \in U} B(\sigma(y), \epsilon) \subseteq W$ . Now we get  $\coprod_{y \in U} B(\sigma(y), \epsilon/2) \subseteq_{\epsilon/2} W$  here  $U$  is some open neighbourhood of  $\pi(f)$ . The final thing is to justify why the sets of the form  $\coprod_{y \in U} B(\sigma(y), \epsilon)$  are open. To answer this notice that they are the image of the sets of form  $\coprod_{y \in U} B(0, \epsilon)$  by the homeomorphism defined in the proof of 8.1, and these are open by semi-continuity of the norm.

Now to show that  $\pi|_{E_n}$  is open let  $O$  be an open set in  $E_n$ . Define  $E_n^o$  to be  $\{f \in E \mid \|f\| < n\}$ , this set is open by upper semi-continuity of the norm. Let  $x \in \pi(O)$  Take  $f \in O \cap \pi^{-1}(x)$ . Since the subspace topology of  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  agrees with the metric topology of  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  (this result follows from axiom (5)) then  $O \cap \pi^{-1}(x)$  is an open set in the metric topology of  $\pi^{-1}(x) \cap E_n$ , which is the closed ball  $B(0_x, n)$  in the Banach space  $\pi^{-1}(x)$ . this means that there exists a sequence of elements  $(y_i)$  of  $O$  that converges into  $f$  such that  $\|y_i\| < n$  for every  $i$ , this means that for any  $\pi(O) = \pi(O \cap E_n^o) = \pi(O' \cap E_n^o)$  which is open since  $\pi$  is open.

Finally, we have that the function from  $X$  to  $E_1$  defined by  $x \mapsto 0_x$  is continuous (as we stated before this can be deduced from axiom 5 of the definition of Banach bundles), and for any  $n, m$  the inclusion of  $B_n$  inside  $B_m$  is continuous. So the collection  $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a bundle of structures of the language of Banach spaces as we defined it in 5.1, where each fibre is a model of the theory of Banach space, so this is a bundle of the continuous theory of Banach spaces as we defined it in 6.1. So far we have shown that the nested union of every family of sorted bundles as defined above, and vice versa. We need to check that these two processes (which are obviously functorial) are inverses, given a bundle of the continuous model theory  $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , it is clear that the topology of each  $E_n$  is the subspace topology inside  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ , on the other hand, suppose that we are given a Banach bundle  $E$ , we want to show that its topology is the final topology of the colimit of  $E_1 \hookrightarrow E_2 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow E_n \dots$ , by the universal property of the colimit the topology of the colimit is finer that of  $E$ , on the other hand let  $\mu$  be a converging ultrafilter on  $E$ , since  $E$  has a basis of some  $\epsilon$ -thin nhood by 3.5, there exists  $n$ , such that  $B_n \in \mu$ , which shows the colimit topology is coarser than that of  $B$  (notice that this is just a generalisation of the argument that shows the topology of any normed space  $M$  is the colimit of  $M_1 \hookrightarrow M_2 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow M_n \dots$ ).

□

Before continuing let us state a useful lemma that also follows from the last argument, which extends the result 3.3.

**Lemma 8.1.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a left ultrafunctor from  $X$  to  $\text{Ban}_1$ , and let  $E$  the corresponding semi-continuous Banach bundle, then a set  $V \subseteq E$  is open, if for every  $\mu$  ultrafilter on  $X$  such that  $\mu$  converges to  $x \in \pi(V)$ , and every  $f \in V \cap \pi^{-1}(x)$  if  $\sigma_\mu(f) = (b_x)_{x \in X}$ , then there exists  $U \in \mu$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , such that  $\coprod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon) \subseteq U$ .*

## Continuous bundles

**Theorem 8.3.** *Continuous Bundles over  $X$  are the bundles of the theory of Banach space as defined above with the new function symbol and the new corresponding axiom.*

*Proof.* Since we added new relation symbols  $k_n$ , upper semi-continuity in the global function corresponding to these symbols, implies lower semi-continuity in norm on each  $E_n$ , which in term implies lower semi-continuity of the norm on the semi-continuous bundle  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ . And thus the norm function is continuous), hence we obtain continuous Banach bundles. □

Now we show that the bundle space of continuous Banach bundles is always Hausdorff, for that we first show the following theorem:

**Theorem 8.4.** *Let  $E$  be a bundle of models of continuous model theory, which is a single topological space (this could be just the simple case where we have metric spaces bounded by  $\mathfrak{n}$ , or Banach spaces where we can form the bundle by taking the union bundles of balls and equipping it with the final topology along the inclusions), then the bundle is Hausdorff iff the maps  $\sigma_\mu$  of the left ultrafunctor associated with the bundle are injective.*

*Proof.* In this proof, we use the left ultrafunctor bundle equivalence, so in particular we show the theorem above for bundles constructed using the functor  $\mathcal{L}$  from left ultrafunctors to bundles.

We start the proof as follows: let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter converging to  $f$  and  $g$ , suppose that  $\sigma_{\pi\mu}(f) = (a_x)_{x \in X}$  and also suppose that  $\sigma_{\pi\mu}(g) = (b_x)_{x \in X}$ . We already now that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we have  $\prod_{x \in X} (b_x, \epsilon) \in \mu$ , also we have that  $\prod_{x \in X} B(a_x, \epsilon) \in \mu$ , but this simply implies that there exists a set  $U \in \pi\mu$  such that for every  $x \in U$   $d(b_x, a_x) < \epsilon$ , thus  $d((b_x), (a_x)) \leq \epsilon$ , and since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary this implies that  $(a_x) = (b_x)$  and since  $\sigma_\mu$  is injective we deduce that  $f = g$  and hence the bundle topology is Hausdorff. On the other hand suppose that the bundle is Hausdorff, let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on  $X$  converging to  $x$ , and suppose that  $\sigma_\mu(f) = \sigma_\mu(g)$ , we need to show that  $f = g$ , suppose  $\sigma_\mu(f) = (a_x)_{x \in X}$ . Take the set  $(\prod_{x \in \mu} B(a_x, \epsilon))_{\epsilon > 0, A \in \mu}$ , this is clearly a filter basis, and thus extends to an ultrafilter, this ultrafilter converges to  $f$  and to  $g$  and thus since  $E$  is Hausdorff, we get that  $f = g$ . □

Now we know that in the cases of Banach spaces with isometries, the maps  $\sigma_\mu$  are isometries, hence injective, hence the bundle is Hausdorff.

## 8.2 Bundles of $C^*$ algebras

The signature of  $C^*$  algebras is built on that of Banach spaces. So we require on top Banach spaces signature, these additional symbols:

- For every sort  $D_n$  we define a function symbol  $*_n$  from  $D_n$  to  $D_n$ , the modulus of this symbol is the identity function.

- For every pair of sorts  $D_n, D_m$  we define a function symbol  $\text{dot}_{n,m}$  with formal domain  $D_n \times D_m$  and formal range  $D_{n,m}$ , the modulus of this symbol is  $(n + m)\text{Id}$  (we are of course going to be writing  $xy$  instead of  $\text{dot}_{n,m}(x, y)$ ).

Of course we require  $*$  operation and multiplication axioms including in the case of  $C^*$  algebras  $\|x\| = \|x^*\|$  (which formally stated is an infinite family of axiom for every sort of the form:  $\sup_{x \in D_n} |\|x\| - \|x^*\||$ ), the  $C^*$  identity, which can be stated as an infinite family of axioms of the form  $\sup_{x \in D_n} (|\|x^*x\| - \|x\|^2|)$ , and of course the fact that  $(x^*)^* = x$  (again axiomatised with an infinite family of axioms), and axioms ensuring that the algebra is a Banach algebra (for example we need:  $\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$ , this can be written formally by  $\sup_{x \in D_n} \sup_{y \in D_n} \|\|xy\| - \|x\| \cdot \|y\|\|$ ). For a detailed account of the axioms see [FHL<sup>+</sup>21].

A semi-continuous bundle of  $C^*$  algebra is a semi-continuous bundle of Banach spaces such that every fibre is a  $C^*$  algebra, and such that the global multiplication and  $*$  maps are continuous [FP13, Wil07, Nil96].

This concept is equivalent to  $C_0(X)$  algebras as defined in [Dad09, BK04, Nil96] (sometimes called  $C(X)$  algebra) (a  $C_0(X)$  algebra  $A$  is defined to be an inclusion  $\iota$  of  $C_0(X)$  inside  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}(A))$  such that  $C_0(X)A$  is dense in  $A$ ), a detailed account of this equivalence can be found in [Wil07, Appendix C] or [Nil96], an important detail to note is that  $A$  is the  $C^*$  algebra of continuous sections to the “topological” bundle, so in particular the space  $A$  can be used to define the left ultrastructure on the left ultrafunctor corresponding to the bundle. Also it should be noted that semi-continuous bundles over  $X$  are equivalent to continuous functions from  $\text{Prim}(A)$  to  $X$  where  $A$  is a  $C^*$  algebra [Wil07].

Similarly, we may require the global norm function to be continuous, so we can get continuous bundles of  $C^*$  algebras as defined in [Dup74, Nil96], this turns out to be equivalent to continuous fields of  $C^*$  algebras as defined in [Dix82, CH.10] (for this equivalence see [Dup74]), and to  $C_0(X)$  algebras satisfying that for each  $a \in A$  the map  $N(a)$  on  $X$  defined by  $x \mapsto \|\alpha_x\|$  is continuous, here  $\alpha_x$  is the image of  $a$  in the quotient  $A/\mathcal{I}_x$ , where  $\mathcal{I}_x$  is the ideal of  $A$  generated by elements  $\{ \iota(f) \mid f(x) = 0 \}$  [BK04], equivalently these are  $C_0(X)$  algebras satisfying that  $\text{Res}_\iota : \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(C_0(X)) \simeq X : \ker(\sigma) \mapsto \ker(\bar{\sigma}) \circ \iota$  is open [Nil96] (here  $\bar{\sigma}$  is the extension of the representation  $\sigma$  to  $\mathcal{M}(A)$ ).

These definitions fit our framework since we want every map corresponding to a function symbol to be continuous. Of course, when dealing with continuous  $C^*$  bundles we should add the additional relation symbols  $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as we did with Banach spaces, in other words, semi-continuous bundles corresponds to the usual axiomatisation of  $C^*$  algebras which has  $*$  homomorphisms as morphisms, while continuous bundles arise from the axiomatisation of  $C^*$  algebras with the additional symbols  $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  which gives injective  $*$  homomorphisms as morphisms.

### 8.3 Bundles of Hilbert spaces

The axiomatisation of Hilbert spaces is also built upon that of Banach spaces, there are two different ways, one should give us Hilbert spaces with isometries and the other with contractions. If we want isometries, we add a family of symbols for the real and imaginary part of the inner product with specific axioms ensuring it’s an inner product, but if we want the maps of models to be just contraction, this can be done by adding the parallelogram law as an axiom to the axioms of Banach spaces with contractions, the parallelogram law can be stated as the following axiom:  $\sup_{y \in D} \sup_{x \in D} (|\|x - y\|^2 + \|x + y\|^2 - 2\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2|)$ .

A bundle of Hilbert spaces is a continuous bundle of Banach spaces, where each fibre is a Hilbert space, more precisely We say that a triple  $(E, X, \pi)$  defines a bundle of Banach space, where  $E$  and  $X$  are topological spaces ( $X$  is usually required to be Hausdorff, in our work we studied the case where the  $X$  is compact Hausdorff) and  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  is a function required to satisfy the following conditions:

1. For every  $x, \pi^{-1}(x)$  is a Hilbert space
2.  $\pi$  is continuous and open
3. scalar multiplication from  $\mathbb{K} \times E$  to  $E$ , addition from  $E \times_X E$  to  $E$  are continuous
4. norm  $\| \cdot \|$  from  $E$  to  $[0, \infty)$  is continuous
5. for any  $x \in X$ , if we call  $\mathcal{N}_x$  the set of all open neighbourhoods of  $x$ , then  $\{\prod_{y \in U} B(0_y, r)\}_{r>0, U \in \mathcal{N}_x}$  is neighbourhood basis at  $0_x$ .

this corresponds exactly to the bundle of the model theory of Hilbert space with isometries, which is the theory of Hilbert spaces with real and imaginary parts of the inner product symbols (for each sort).

To see why we necessarily get continuity of the norm, notice that in a Hilbert space  $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ , Now by our requirement for a bundle the function  $E \times_X E$  to  $[0, \infty)$  defined by  $\Re(\langle x, y \rangle)$  is upper semi-continuous, but this implies that it is also lower semi-continuous since the map defined by  $\Re(\langle -x, y \rangle) = -\Re(\langle x, y \rangle)$  is upper semi-continuous (using the fact that multiplying by  $-1$  is continuous), now this implies necessarily that  $\Re(\langle x, y \rangle)$  is continuous hence the norm is continuous.

If we want Bundles of Hilbert spaces with semi-continuous norm, we need to use the second axiomatisation of Hilbert spaces (with the parallelogram identity as an axiom), which corresponds to the category of Hilbert spaces with contractions (these bundles are to our best knowledge not explored in literature).

## 8.4 Tracially continuous $W^*$ bundles

Now we move to a more subtle case which is tracially continuous  $W^*$  bundles, or bundles of tracial von Neumann algebras, we use the axiomatisation of tracial von Neumann algebras as present in [GH23], in which the ultraproduct corresponds to the Ocneanu ultraproduct [GHS19]. The interesting dichotomy in this axiomatisation is the fact that sorts are interpreted as bounded operator unit balls with the  $\| \cdot \|_2$  topology.

We want to show the following theorem

**Theorem 8.5.** *There is an equivalence of categories, between tracially continuous  $W^*$  bundles over  $X$ , and the bundle of models of the continuous model theory of tracial von Neumann algebras over  $X$ .*

Tracially continuous  $W^*$  bundles are defined ([Oza13], [BBS<sup>+</sup>19], [EP16]) as a unital inclusion of  $C(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}(A)$  where  $A$  is a  $C^*$  algebra, together with a  $C^*$  conditional expectation  $E$  from  $A$  to  $C(X)$  satisfying the following properties:

1.  $E(a_1 a_2) = E(a_2 a_1)$

2.  $E(a^*a) = 0$  iff  $a = 0$

3. the unit ball of  $A$  is complete with respect to the 2-norm defined by  $\|a\| = \|E(a^*a)^{1/2}\|_{C(X)}$

However in [EP16], the authors showed a theorem allowing us to express a bundle as a topological space  $B$  over  $X$  (which they called the topological bundles) satisfying the following axioms:

- (i) (Global) Addition  $B \times_X B \rightarrow B$  is continuous.
- (ii) (Global) Scalar multiplication, viewed as a map  $\mathbb{C} \times B \rightarrow B$  is continuous.
- (iii) The global  $*$  operation viewed as a map  $B \rightarrow B$ , is continuous.
- (iv) The map  $X \rightarrow B$  which sends  $x$  to the additive identity  $0_x$  of  $B_x$  is continuous and so is the analogous map  $X \rightarrow B$  which sends  $x$  to the multiplicative identity  $1_x$  of  $B_x$ .
- (v) The map  $\tau : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which restricts to the corresponding trace on each fibre is continuous and so is the map  $\|\cdot\|_2 : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  arising from combining the 2-norms from each fibre.
- (vi) A net  $(b_\lambda) \subseteq B$  converges to  $0_x$  whenever  $\pi(b_\lambda) \rightarrow x$  and  $\|b_\lambda\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ .
- (vii) Multiplication, viewed as a map  $B \times_p B \rightarrow B$ , is continuous on  $\|\cdot\|$ -bounded subsets.
- (viii) The restriction  $\pi|_{B_1} : B_1 \rightarrow X$  is open.

Before continuing we can immediately notice that in the presence of the other axioms, and as in every other definition of metric bundles, axiom (ii) can be replaced with

(ii') for every scalar  $k$ , the map  $B \xrightarrow{k} B$ , defined by scalar multiplication by  $k$  is continuous.

Also we can notice that the axiom (v) can be replaced with either one of the following equivalent (in the presence of other axioms) axioms:

(v') The maps  $\tau : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which restricts to the corresponding trace on each fibre is continuous

(v'') The maps  $\|\cdot\|_2 : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  arising from combining the 2-norms from each fibre is continuous

To see why notice that  $\tau(a) = (1/4) \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \|a + i^k \cdot 1\|_2$ , here  $i$  is the root of  $x^2 + 1$ .

We also prefer to write axiom (vi) in a filter language for our convenience:

(vi') an ultrafilter  $\mu$  on  $B$  converges to  $0_x$  iff  $\pi\mu$  converges to  $x$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2\mu$  converges to 0.

Showing that (vi) implies (vi') is straightforward, for the other direction we use lemma 3.4, we omit the details.

## Proof description

1. Showing that the **GNS** construction from the category of tracial von Neumann algebras to the category of Hilbert spaces is a left ultrafunctor.
  2. Starting from a topological bundle as defined by [EP16], we construct a bundle of models of the continuous model theory of tracial von Neumann algebras. this bundle looks like  $(E_n)_{n \geq 1}$  where each bundle is a bundle of balls of operator norm radius  $n$  each equipped with the 2-norm on the tracial von Neumann algebra, and hence using the left ultrafunctor-bundle of models equivalence we get a left ultrafunctor from  $X$  to the category of tracial von Neumann algebras. Let us call this process  $\mathcal{F}$ .
  3. starting from a left ultrafunctor from  $X$  to the category of tracial von Neumann algebras, we construct a topological bundle as follows, we take the composition with the **GNS** construction to construct a Hilbert bundle and then we construct the topological  $W^*$  bundle by equipping the disjoint union of fibres with the initial topology along the inclusion map defined by the **GNS** construction on each fibre. Let us call this process  $\mathcal{G}$ .
- At this point, we want to show that the two constructions  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are inverse of each other.
4. We show that given a left ultrafunctor, then every bundle of operator norm balls  $E_n$  equipped with the 2-norm, coming from the corresponding bundle of models  $(E_n)_{n > 1}$  is homeomorphic inside its image inside the Hilbert bundle.
  5. We show that given a topological bundle  $E$ , then after constructing the associated left ultrafunctor and composing with the **GNS** construction,  $E$  is going to be homeomorphic onto its image inside the Hilbert bundle.

This result shows that the two processes are really inverses, to see why, starting from a topological bundle  $E$ , if  $\mathcal{F}(E) = F$ , where the corresponding bundle of models to  $E(F)$  is  $(E_n)_{n > 1}$ , now the corresponding topological bundle  $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}(E)$  is homeomorphic to  $E$  using the result 5 above, on the other hand, suppose that we have a left ultrafunctor  $F$ , with corresponding bundle of models  $(E_n)$ , then take  $\mathcal{G}(E)$  we have shown that each  $E_n$  as well as  $\mathcal{G}(E)$  are homeomorphic onto their images inside the Hilbert bundle and hence the bundle of models corresponding to  $\mathcal{G}(E)$  is going to be just the collection of operator norm balls of  $\mathcal{G}(E)$  inside the Hilbert bundle, hence by result 4 the bundle of models of tracial von Neumann algebras  $(\mathcal{G}(E))_{n \geq 1}$  and  $(E_n)_{n \geq 1}$  are isomorphic and hence the left ultrafunctors  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}(F)$  and  $F$  are isomorphic.

## The proof

Before starting our proof let us briefly explain the constructions done by Evington and Pennig[EP16], given a  $W^*$  bundle  $\mathcal{M}$  over  $X$ , one defines the fibres of the topological bundle by taking  $\mathcal{M}_x = \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{I}_x$ , where  $\mathcal{I}_x = \{a \mid E(a^*a)(x) = 0\}$ . Now the bundle topology on the space  $\coprod_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_x$  is defined to be the topology generated by the basic open sets of the form  $\coprod_{x \in X} B(a(x), \epsilon)$ , for a continuous bounded section of the projection map. On the other hand, given a topological bundle, one may define an inclusion  $C(X) \hookrightarrow A$  where  $A$  is  $C^*$  algebra of all bounded, continuous sections to the projection map, and we define the conditional expectation by  $E(a)(x) = \tau_x(a(x))$ .

Now we get to see why the case of relating the bundles of the continuous model theory of tracial von Neumann algebras to that of the corresponding concept above is more subtle, let us look at the trivial case where  $X = \{*\}$ , in that case the bundle reduces to a von Neumann algebra with the  $\|\cdot\|_2$

norm topology, and such topology is **not** the inductive limit topology of the operator norm balls with the  $\|\cdot\|_2$  norm. So the question becomes, for an arbitrary compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , to find a suitable topology on the nested union of bundles of bounded operator norm balls (with the  $\|\cdot\|_2$  topology fibre-wise). In order to do so, we will attempt to construct a bundle of Hilbert spaces for which every fibre is the GNS Hilbert space corresponding to the tracial von Neumann algebra. Before that, we start by stating a necessary theorem:

**Theorem 8.6.** *The GNS construction is a left ultrafunctor from the category of tracial von Neumann algebras to that of Hilbert spaces (with isometries).*

*Proof.* Now let  $(B_i, \phi_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family tracial von Neumann algebras and let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on  $I$ , and define the map  $\sigma'_\mu : \mathcal{H}_{\phi_\mu} \rightarrow \int_I \mathcal{H}_{\phi_i} d\mu$  by sending  $\widehat{(a_i)}$  to  $(\widehat{a_i})$ . Of course we need to make sure that such construction is well defined, to do that notice that  $\|\widehat{(a_i)}\|_{2,\mu} = \tau_\mu(\widehat{(a_i)}^* \widehat{(a_i)}) = \lim_\mu \tau_i(a_i^* a_i) = \lim_\mu \|a_i\|_{2,i}$  which is by definition the norm in  $\int_I \mathcal{H}_{\phi(i)} d\mu$ , this shows that such construction is an isometry and hence well defined. Now showing that the GNS construction is a left ultrafunctor is mostly trivial, and requires, for axiom (3) of left ultrafunctor axioms, using the description of the categorical Fubini transform that we explained in 2.1.

**Note.** We defined **GNS** only on objects, but its definition on morphisms is clear. Notice that maps between tracial von Neumann algebras (seen as models of their continuous model as defined in [GH23]) are 2-norm isometries (this follows from a similar argument to that that showed that maps of the continuous model theory of Hilbert spaces with an inner product symbol are isometries), and hence the induced maps between the **GNS** Hilbert spaces are isometries as we want them to be (since we want to work with continuous Hilbert bundles).

□

**Every topological bundle defines a bundle of models** Let  $B$  be a topological  $W^*$  bundle, first we claim that  $(B_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a sorted bundle (that means that each  $B_i$  is a bundle corresponding to a sort in the continuous first order axiomatisation of tracial von Neumann algebras appearing in [GH23]), which in turn implies that it's a left ultrafunctor from  $X$  to the ultracategory of tracial von Neumann algebras. The first thing we need is that the restriction of the projection to each sorted ball is open but this is just axiom (vii), the next thing we are going to show is that the global functions corresponding to relation and function symbols appearing in the axiomatisation [GH23] are continuous, the continuity for the 1 and 0 symbols for each sorted bundle follows from axioms (iv), continuity of scalar multiplication with appropriate source and target sorted bundle follows from axiom (ii), continuity of addition from appropriate fibre product of sorted bundles follows from axiom ((i)), while continuity of subtractions follows from continuity of addition and multiplication by  $-1$ , continuity of  $*$  operation on each sorted bundle follows from axiom (iii), continuity of multiplication on sorted norm balls is just axiom (vii) (reminder that the sorted bundles are by definition operator norm bounded on each fibre). Now the continuity of 2-norm and trace operations on each sorted bundle follows from (v). So by our equivalence of sorted bundles and left ultrafunctors we can deduce that every bundle in the definition of [EP16] defines a left ultrafunctor.

**Every left ultrafunctor defines a topological bundle** Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff and suppose that we have a left ultrafunctor  $\mathcal{F}$  from  $X$  to the ultracategory of tracial von Neumann algebras (reminder that, by composing with **GNS** we get a left ultrafunctor from  $X$  to **Hilb**, which as we saw

earlier defines a Hilbert bundles as defined in [Fel77]), now we claim that the space  $\coprod_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}(x)$  equipped with the initial topology of its inclusion in  $\coprod_{x \in X} (\mathbf{GNS} \circ \mathcal{F})(x)$  is a topological  $W^*$  bundle where  $\coprod_{x \in X} (\mathbf{GNS} \circ \mathcal{F})(x)$  is regarded as bundle of Hilbert spaces by the adequate topology resulting from the left ultrafunctor bundle equivalence, to show that we need to show the topological bundle axioms :

In this proof we denote 2-norm ball by  $B(a, r)$  (these can be subsets of tracial von Neumann algebras or their Hilbert 2-norm completion), while if  $B$  is a von Neumann algebra bundle we denote by  $B_n$  the subset of  $B$  of all elements with operator norm less than or equal to  $n$ , we are also going to denote by  $\sigma_\mu$  the left ultrastructure of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\sigma'_\mu$  the left ultrastructure of the  $\mathbf{GNS}$  and by  $\sigma''_\mu$  the left ultrastructure of  $\mathbf{GNS} \circ \mathcal{F}$ .

Axioms (i) and (ii) are Hilbert bundle properties so they extend to subspaces (reminder that what we claim that  $\coprod_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}(x)$  is a  $W^*$  bundle when equipped with the initial topology of its inclusion by the Hilbert bundle whose fibres are the GNS construction of each  $\mathcal{F}(x)$ ). Now we turn to axiom (iii), let us call the  $B = \coprod_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}(x)$  with projection map  $\pi$ , and  $B' = \coprod_{x \in X} (\mathbf{GNS} \circ \mathcal{F})(x)$  with projection map  $\pi'$ , and let us call  $\hat{\cdot}$  the inclusion map (so the inclusion of  $a$  is  $\hat{a}$  for example).

Let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on  $B$  and let  $\hat{\mu}$  be the ultrafilter  $\hat{\cdot}$  ( which is the pushforward of  $\mu$  by the map  $\hat{\cdot}$ ), and suppose that  $\mu$  converges to  $a$ , we want to show that  $*\mu$  converges to  $a^*$  or equivalently  $*\hat{\mu}$  converges to  $\hat{a}^*$  (because we defined the topology on  $B$  to be the initial topology by the map that sends  $a \in B_i$  to  $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{H}_{\phi_i}$ ). We have by definition that  $\pi^*\hat{\mu} = \pi' \hat{\mu}$  converges to  $\pi(a)$ , now suppose that  $\sigma_{\pi\mu}(a) = (b_x)_{x \in X}$  then let us remind how the map  $\sigma''_{\pi\mu}$  which corresponds to left ultrastructure of the composition of  $\mathcal{F}$  with the GNS construction, is constructed. We define  $\sigma''_{\pi\mu} = \sigma'_{\pi\mu} \circ \sigma_{\pi\mu}$ . Then  $\sigma''_\mu(\hat{a}) = (\hat{b}_x)_{x \in X}$ , now since  $\sigma_{\pi\mu}(a) = (b_x)_{x \in X}$  and since  $\sigma_{\pi\mu}$  is a  $*$  homomorphism, then  $\sigma_{\pi\mu}(a^*) = (b_x^*)_{x \in X}$  then we get that  $\sigma''_{\pi\mu}(\hat{b}^*) = (\hat{b}_x^*)_{x \in X}$ . We want to show that for any  $\epsilon > 0$   $\coprod_{x \in X} B(b_x^*, \epsilon) \in *\hat{\mu}$  but we already have that  $\coprod_{x \in X} B(\hat{b}_x, \epsilon) \in \hat{\mu}$  (by definition of the topology of Hilbert bundle coming from left ultrafunctor) which implies that  $\coprod_{x \in X} B(b_x, \epsilon) \in \mu$ , which implies that  $\coprod_{x \in X} B(b_x^*, \epsilon) \in *\mu$ , which in turn implies that  $\coprod_{x \in X} B(\hat{b}_x^*, \epsilon) \in *\hat{\mu}$ .

Now we get into axiom (iv), we already have the continuity of the 0 selection by a property of Hilbert bundles, for the 1 selection we do a same proof as in the case of adjoint by noticing that  $\sigma''_\mu(\hat{1}_x) = (\hat{1}_y)_{y \in Y}$ . Axiom (v'') is true for any Hilbert bundle. Now we showed that axiom (vi') holds for Banach bundles and so it holds in our case.

For axiom (vii), we should first remind that the  $\|\cdot\|_2$  norm satisfies the following inequality  $\|ab\|_2 \leq \|a\| \|b\|_2$  (because left multiplication on the Hilbert space is a representation of the von Neumann algebra) also we have  $\|ab\|_2 \leq \|b\| \|a\|_2$  (because  $\|ab\|_2 = \|b^*a^*\|_2$  since the state is tracial). Now let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on  $B \times_X B$  such that there exists some  $n$  such that  $B_n \times_X B_n \in \mu$ , that converges to  $(a, b)$ , which is equivalent to saying that  $\hat{\mu}$  converges to  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b})$  (here  $\hat{\mu}$  is the pushforward of  $\mu$  by the map  $\langle \hat{\cdot}, \hat{\cdot} \rangle$ ). Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and suppose that  $\sigma_{\pi\pi_1\mu}(a) = (a_x)_{x \in X}$  and that  $\sigma_{\pi\pi_1\mu}(b) = (b_x)_{x \in X}$ . Now using the fact that  $\sigma_{\pi\pi_1\mu}$  is a  $*$  homomorphism and hence a contraction we get that there exists a set  $X' \in \pi\pi_1\mu$  such that for any  $x \in X'$   $\|a_x\| \leq a + \epsilon'' \leq n + \epsilon''$  where  $\epsilon''$  is to be chosen later. Now we get that that, using the definition of topology associated to a left ultrafunctor that  $\coprod_{x \in X'} B(\hat{b}_x, \epsilon') \in \widehat{\pi_2\mu}$  and  $\coprod_{x \in X'} B(\hat{a}_x, \epsilon') \in \widehat{\pi_1\mu}$  for some  $\epsilon'$  that we are going to choose later to make the proof work. Now take any  $f$  such that  $\hat{f} \in \coprod_{x \in X'} B(\hat{a}_x, \epsilon') \cap \widehat{B}_n$  and any  $g$  such that  $\hat{g} \in \coprod_{x \in X'} B(\hat{a}_x, \epsilon') \cap \widehat{B}_n$  and such that  $f, g$  in the same fibre, we have that  $\|a_x b_x - fg\|_2 \leq \|a_x\| \|b_x - g\|_2 + \|b\| \|a_x - f\|_2 \leq 2(n + \epsilon'')\epsilon'$ , so we choose  $\epsilon'$  and  $\epsilon''$  such that



$2(n + \epsilon'')\epsilon' \leq \epsilon$ . Now we know that  $\prod_{x \in X'} B(\widehat{a}_x, \epsilon') \cap \widehat{B}_n \times_X \prod_{x \in X'} B(\widehat{b}_x, \epsilon') \cap \widehat{B}_n \in \widehat{\mu}$ , and hence  $\prod B(\widehat{ab}, \epsilon) \in \widehat{\mu}$ , and hence  $\widehat{\mu}$  converges to  $\widehat{ab}$ , and thus  $\mu$  converges to  $ab$ .

Now we turn to the last axiom (vii), let  $V = \widehat{B}_1 \cap W$  be an open set in the subspace topology of  $\widehat{B}_1$  (remember that we are equipping  $B_1$  with the subspace topology of its image  $\widehat{B}_1$ ), here  $W$  is an open set in the topology of the Hilbert bundle, let  $\mu$  be an ultrafilter on  $X$  that converges to some  $x \in \pi(B_1 \cap W)$ , then there exists  $a \in (B_1 \cap W)$  in the fibre over  $x$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $\|a\| < 1$ , why is that? since  $W$  is open then its intersection with any fibre is open in that fibre (in a Hilbert bundle the subspace topology agrees with the metric topology on each fibre) then there exists some  $\delta$  such that if  $\|a - a'\|_2 < \delta$ , we have then  $a' \in W_x$ , let us take the element  $a' = (1 - \delta)a$  then  $\|a'\| < \|a\| \leq 1$  and also  $a' \in W_x$ , so we can always pick  $\|a\| < 1$ . Suppose that  $\sigma_\mu(a) = (c_x)_{x \in X}$  which implies that  $\sigma''_\mu(\widehat{a}) = (\widehat{c}_x)_{x \in X}$ . By the fact that  $\sigma''_\mu$  is contractive (\* homomorphism), we may deduce that  $\|(c_x)\| \leq \|a\| < 1$ .

Now since  $W$  is open there exists  $U_1 \in \mu$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\prod_{x \in U_1} B(\widehat{c}_x, \epsilon) \subseteq W$  (using 8.1), Now the fact that  $\|(c_x)\| \leq \|a\|$  means that for any  $\epsilon'$  there exists a set  $U_2 \in \mu$  such that for any  $y \in U_2$ , we have  $\|c_y\| \leq \|a\| + \epsilon'$ , we choose  $\epsilon'$  such that  $\|a\| + \epsilon' < 1$ . Now we get that  $U_1 \cap U_2 \subseteq \pi(W \cap B_1)$ , this implies that  $\pi(W \cap B_1) \in \mu$  thus  $\pi(W \cap B_1)$  is open (by the ultrafilter characterisation of open sets in topological spaces).

We conclude by stating the following theorems:

**Theorem 8.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a leftultrafunctor from  $X$  to the category of tracial von Neumann algebras, and let  $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the corresponding family of sorted bundles then any sorted bundle  $E_n$  is homeomorphic onto its image by the GNS construction on each fibre inside the Hilbert bundle.*

*Proof.* The proof easily follows from the fact that for any ultrafilter  $\mu$  on the base space  $X$ , we have the following: if  $\sigma_\mu(b) = (c_x)_{x \in X}$  then  $\prod_{x \in X} B(c_x, \epsilon) \in \mu \iff \prod_{x \in X} B(\widehat{c}_x, \epsilon) \in \widehat{\mu}$   $\square$

**Theorem 8.8.** *let  $E$  be a topological  $W^*$  bundle, then  $E$  is homeomorphic onto its image by the GNS on each fibre inside the Hilbert bundle.*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a topological  $W^*$  bundle over  $X$ , the Hilbert bundle is constructed by showing that the set of sorted bundles  $(E_n)$  corresponds to a left ultrafunctor from  $X$  to the category of tracial von Neumann algebras and then by composing with the GNS left ultrafunctor we obtained a left ultrafunctor from  $X$  to Hilb which corresponds to a bundle of Hilbert spaces.

Let  $(b_\alpha)$  be a net on  $E$  converging to  $b$ , let us call  $\pi(b) = x$ . By the equivalence established by [EP16], this bundle can be regarded as a certain inclusion of  $C(X)$  inside the centre of  $A$ , the  $C^*$  algebra of bounded, continuous section over the topological bundle, and each fibre in this case is isomorphic to the quotient of  $A$  by  $\mathcal{I}_x$ , all this implies that there exists a bounded, continuous section  $a$ , such that  $a(x) = b$ , Now the net  $(a(\pi(b_\alpha)) - b_\alpha)$  converges to  $0_x$ , this implies that  $\pi(a(\pi(b_\alpha)) - b_\alpha)$  converges to  $x$  and the norm of  $\|(a(\pi(b_\alpha)) - b_\alpha)\|_2$  converges to 0, now since the GNS construction on each fibre is 2-norm isometric, we get by the axiom 5 of the definition of Banach bundles, that  $(a(\widehat{\pi(b_\alpha)}) - \widehat{b_\alpha})$  converges to  $\widehat{0_x}$ , now we show that  $(a(\widehat{\pi(b_\alpha)}))$  converges to  $\widehat{b}$ , we know that for sorted bundles the inclusion is homeomorphism, so it's enough to show that the net  $(a(\pi(b_\alpha)))$  is operator norm bounded, but this net is operator norm bounded by  $\|a\|$  so we have that.

On the other hand suppose that  $\widehat{(b_\alpha)}$  converges to  $\widehat{b}$ , we wish to show that  $(b_\alpha)$  converges to  $b$ , in order to do that we summon the continuous section  $a$  to do the reverse of the last argument, we know that  $(a(\widehat{\pi(b_\alpha)})) = (a(\widehat{\pi'(b_\alpha)}))$  (here  $\pi'$  is the projection map on the Hilbert bundle) converges to  $a(\widehat{x}) = \widehat{b}$ , hence we may deduce that  $(a(\widehat{\pi(b_\alpha)}) - \widehat{b_\alpha})$  converges to  $0_x$ .

Now  $\widehat{\cdot}$  is a 2-norm isometry on each fibre and  $\pi(a(\widehat{\pi(b_\alpha)}) - \widehat{b_\alpha}) = \pi'(a(\widehat{\pi(b_\alpha)}) - \widehat{b_\alpha})$  converges to  $x$ , this implies that  $(a(\widehat{\pi(b_\alpha)}) - \widehat{b_\alpha})$  converges to  $0_x$ . Now we use the fact that  $(a(\widehat{\pi(b_\alpha)}))$  is operator norm bounded to deduce that  $(a(\widehat{\pi(b_\alpha)}))$  converges to  $a(x) = b$  and hence  $(b_\alpha)$  converges to  $b$ .  $\square$

So the last two theorems indicate that the two processes we showed first are inverses of each other as we have explained in the proof description. We finish by stating a nice theorem/conclusion to this section:

**Theorem 8.9.** *Let  $E$  be a topological  $W^*$  bundle then there exists a Hilbert bundle whose fibres are the corresponding GNS constructions for each trace, and such that the subspace topology of the Hilbert bundle of the subspace which equals on each fibre the image of the tracial von Neumann algebra by the GNS construction, is homeomorphic to the  $W^*$  bundle.*

## 8.5 Note regarding the examples

The reader may notice that in the definition of bundles existing in the literature, we can always define a category of bundles by defining a morphism of bundles between  $(E', Y, \pi')$  and  $(E, X, \pi)$  to be a continuous map  $f$  and  $f'$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E' & \xrightarrow{f} & E \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y \end{array}$$

Now it's not difficult to see that with the equivalence we showed is functorial. We already know that bundles of models form a topological stack over the category of compact Hausdorff spaces, with Cartesian lifts given by pullback in Top sortwise, this allows us to extend the result to all bundles above, indeed the argument is easy for Banach, Hilbert and  $C^*$  bundles, since we know that in that case  $E = \varinjlim E_n$ , where  $(E_n)_{n \geq 1}$  are the sorted bundles, and taking pullbacks commutes with colimits, for  $W^*$  bundles, the argument is more subtle as usual since it's not true in general  $E = \varinjlim E_n$ , so let us make clear what we want to show:

**Theorem 8.10.** *Suppose that  $(E, X, \pi)$  is a  $W^*$  bundle and let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  to be a map of compact Hausdorff topological spaces then the following are true:*

- *The pullback along  $f$  is a  $W^*$  bundle.*
- *this pullback is the Cartesian lift over  $f$  (in other words it corresponds to the composition of the left ultrafunctor corresponding to the bundle with  $f$  (regarded as a left ultrafunctor)).*

*Proof.* let  $F$  be the left ultrafunctor corresponding to the bundle  $E$  we know that the Hilbert bundle resulting from composition  $\mathbf{GNS} \circ F \circ f$  is the pullback along  $f$  of the Hilbert bundle resulting from the composition  $\mathbf{GNS} \circ F$ , let us call this resulting bundle  $H'$ , let  $E'$  be the  $W^*$  bundle corresponding to composition  $F \circ f$ ,  $E'$  is homeomorphic onto its image in  $H'$ , and  $E'$  as a set is the pullback of  $E$  (the justification of this statement is that at the level of each sort  $E'_n$  is the pullback of  $E_n$  as we have shown), but since pullbacks commute with taking subspaces, then  $E'$  is the pullback of  $E$  in  $\mathbf{Top}$ .  $\square$

## 9 Application: Another proof of Lurie's result

Now we use this already developed theory to find another proof of Lurie's result of equivalence between Sheaves of sets (where the site is  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  where  $X$  is compact Hausdorff) and left ultrafunctors from  $X$  to  $\mathbf{Set}$ :

**Theorem 9.1.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space then there is an equivalence of categories between  $\mathbf{Sh}(X)$  and the category  $\mathbf{Leftultrafunctor}(X, \mathbf{Set})$*

Before proving this result notice that the category  $\mathbf{Set}$  is equivalent to the category of discrete metric spaces. Now let us axiomatise discrete metric spaces using the previous theory: The language of discrete metric space is mono-sorted with an upper bound to distance the constant 1, with no function symbols, and no relation symbols (unless you want to count the distance as a relation symbol). If we call  $S$  the single sort we get that the set  $\mathbb{T}$  of axioms for discrete metric spaces contains only one sentence  $\text{Sup}_{x \in S} \text{Sup}_{y \in S} \min(d(x, y), |1 - d(x, y)|)$  which translates to the fact that the metric is discrete.

Now we want to show that the bundle  $E_s$  is an étale space over  $X$ , remember that this is equivalent to saying that the diagonal map:

$$E_s \xrightarrow{\Delta} E_s \times_X E_s$$

is open (which is equivalent to saying that the diagonal of  $E_s \times_X E_s$  is open since the diagonal map is an embedding). It follows from upper semi-continuity of the distance function on  $E_s$  that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  the set  $\{(v, v') \in E_s \times_X E_s \mid d_{\pi(v)}(v, v') < \epsilon\}$  is open, so if we take  $\epsilon < 1$  we get the diagonal of  $E_s \times_X E_s$  (since the metric on each fibre is discrete).

On the other hand suppose that we have an étale space  $(E, X, \pi)$  then let us prove that in this case we get a bundle of discrete metric spaces: The three axioms are easily verifiable: for axiom (1) let  $(e, f) \in E \times_X E$ , the case where  $e \neq f$  is trivial, thus let us suppose that  $e = f$ , in that case we know that there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $e$ , such that  $\pi(U) \simeq U$ , now take the neighbourhood  $U \times_X U$  of the  $(e, e)$ , for any  $(g, h) \in U \times_X U$ , we have that  $g = h$  and hence  $d(g, h) = 0$ , and hence distance is upper semi-continuous. For axiom(2)  $\pi$  is continuous by definition and also it's known that  $\pi$  is open([MM12]). For axiom(3) let  $e \in E$  and let  $W$  be a neighbourhood of  $E$  since  $e \in E$  there exists some neighbourhood  $U$  of  $e$  such that if  $\pi(U) \simeq U$  (via  $\pi|_U$ ), now take  $V = U \cap W$  and any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , we claim that  $V_\epsilon = V$  and it's easy to see why.

These maps extend to morphisms since morphisms of étale spaces over  $X$  and maps of bundles of discrete metric spaces are defined the same way.

So we get an equivalence between étale spaces and bundles of sets (seen as discrete metric spaces), now we already know that étale spaces are sheaves of sets on  $X$ , on the other hand we

also know that bundles of discrete metric spaces are equivalent to left ultrafunctors from  $X$  to the category of discrete metric spaces which is equivalent to that of sets (we should also mention that the equivalence between discrete metric space and  $\text{Set}$  is an equivalence of ultracategories (preserves the ultraproduct)).

**Note.** let  $E$  be an étale space over  $X$ , then we have a good description of the left ultrastructure of the associated left ultrafunctor, since by definition the étale space has enough local sections. So if  $\mu$  converges to  $x \in X$ , then  $\sigma_\mu(a) = (f(y))_{y \in U}$ , where  $f$  is the local homeomorphism that hits  $a \in E_x$ .

## 10 Bundles of pointed metric spaces

There is a natural notion of ultraproduct of complete pointed metric spaces, constructed in a similar fashion to the ultraproduct of bounded metric space as follows: suppose  $(M_i, p_i)_{i \in I}$  is a family of pointed metric spaces and suppose that  $\mu$  is an ultrafilter on  $I$ , we define  $\int_I M_i d\mu$  to be the space of all bounded sequences (with respect to the point of each space) quotiented by the equivalence relation  $(x_i) \sim (y_i)$  iff  $\lim_\mu d_i(x_i, y_i) = 0$ , and by taking as point for the space the equivalence class of  $(p_i)_{i \in I}$ , the same argument as in the bounded case shows that such space is complete, this allows the definition of an ultracategory of metric spaces with contractions.

**Definition 10.1.** We say that  $(E, X, \pi)$  where  $X$  and  $E$  are topological spaces and  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  define a bundle of pointed metric spaces if they satisfy the following set of axioms:

- *Axiom(1): The global distance function is upper semi-continuous.*
- *Axiom(2):  $\pi$  is continuous and open.*
- *Axiom(3): For every open set  $W$  and every  $f \in W$  there exist an open set  $V$  open neighbourhood of  $f$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $V \subseteq_\epsilon W$ .*
- *Axiom(4): The point selection function  $x \mapsto p(x)$  is continuous*

Let  $\text{Point}_1$  denote the category of pointed metric spaces with contractions.

**Theorem 10.1.** Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space, then there exists an equivalence of categories of bundles of pointed complete metric spaces over  $X$ , and left ultrafunctors from  $X$  to  $\text{Point}_1$ .

We are not going to do the proof of this theorem. The proof is just repeating the steps of the equivalence between left ultrafunctors from  $X$  to  $k\text{-CompMet}$  and bundles of metric spaces bounded by  $k$  as was done in 3.

This equivalence is not part of the examples section, because we don't know of any axiomatisation of pointed complete metric spaces in continuous model theory. Indeed if we try to imitate the Banach space case and define a language with a sort intended to be interpreted as the closed ball of a radius  $n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and a constant symbol for the point of the space ( $p \in B_1$ ), then dissections of pointed metric spaces are not an elementary class in this language indeed take the following example: for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $X_i = \{p_i, x_i\}$  and such that  $d(p_i, x_i) = 1 + 1/i$ , the dissection of such space is  $X_{i1} = \{p_i\}$  and  $X_{in} = \{p_i, x_i\}$  for  $n > 1$ . Let  $\mu$  be a non-principal ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ , then  $(\int X_{i1} d\mu) = \{(p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\}$ , but if  $(\int X_{in} d\mu)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  was a "model of the continuous theory of pointed complete spaces", then  $(\int X_{i1} d\mu)$  should be  $\{(p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\}$ . Then dissections of pointed spaces in this language are not axiomatisable.

One final thing to note is that when we showed that Banach (Hilbert,  $C^*$ , etc) bundles are equivalent to left ultrafunctors we used an approach based on bundles of completed bounded metric spaces as our main building block, another viable approach could have been using bundles of pointed metric spaces as the main ingredient. Indeed some of the results may have been easier to show, but our approach has the advantage of having a notion of bundles that works for any continuous theory.

## References

- [BBS<sup>+</sup>19] Joan Bosa, Nathaniel P. Brown, Yasuhiko Sato, Aaron Tikuisis, Stuart White, and Wilhelm Winter. Covering dimension of  $C^*$ -algebras and 2-coloured classification. Number 1233 in *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*. American Mathematical Society, January 2019.
- [BK04] Etienne Blanchard and Eberhard Kirchberg. Global glimm halving for  $C^*$ -bundles. *Journal of Operator Theory*, 52(2):385–420, 2004.
- [BYBHU08] Itai Ben Yaacov, Alexander Berenstein, C. Ward Henson, and Alexander Usvyatsov. Model theory for metric structures. In *Model Theory with Applications to Algebra and Analysis*, number 350 in *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, page 315–427. Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [Dab19] Yoann Dabrowski. Continuous model theories for von Neumann algebras. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 277(11):108308, 2019.
- [Dad09] Marius Dadarlat. Continuous fields of  $C^*$ -algebras over finite dimensional spaces. *Advances in Mathematics*, 222(5):1850–1881, 2009.
- [Dix82] Jacques Dixmier.  $C^*$ -algebras. North-Holland mathematical library. North-Holland, 1982.
- [Dup74] Maurice J. Dupré. Hilbert bundles with infinite dimensional fibres. In *Recent advances in the representation theory of rings and  $C^*$ -algebras by continuous sections* (Seminar held at Tulane University, New Orleans, La., 1973), number 148 in *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, pages 165–176. American Mathematical Society, 1974.
- [EP16] Samuel Evington and Ulrich Pennig. Locally trivial  $W^*$  bundles. *international Journal of Mathematics*, 27(11):1650088, 2016.
- [FD88] James Michael Gardner Fell and Robert S. Doran. Representations of  $*$ -algebras, Locally Compact Groups, and Banach  $*$ -algebraic Bundles, volume 1 Basic Representation Theory of Groups and Algebras. Academic press, 1988.
- [Fel69] James Michael Gardner Fell. An extension of Mackey’s method to Banach  $*$ -algebraic bundles. Number 90 in *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*. American Mathematical Society, 1969.
- [Fel77] James Michael Gardner Fell. Induced representations and Banach  $*$ -algebraic bundles: with an appendix due to A. Douady and L. Dal Soglio-Hérault. volume 582 of *Lecture notes in mathematics*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1977.
- [FHL<sup>+</sup>21] Ilijas Farah, Bradd Hart, Martino Lupini, Leonel Robert, Aaron Tikuisis, Alessandro Vignati, and Wilhelm Winter. Model Theory of  $C^*$ -Algebras. Number 1324 in *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*. American Mathematical Society, 2021.
- [FP13] Michael Forger and Daniel V. Paulino. Locally  $C^*$  algebras,  $C^*$  bundles and noncommutative spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1307.4458*, 2013.
- [GH23] Isaac Goldbring and Bradd Hart. A survey on the model theory of tracial von Neumann algebras. In *Model Theory of Operator Algebras*, volume 11 of *De Gruyter Series in Logic and Its Applications*. De Gruyter, 2023.
- [GHS19] Isaac Goldbring, Bradd Hart, and Thomas Sinclair. Correspondences, ultraproducts and model theory. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.00049*, 2019.

- [Gie82] Gerhard Gierz. Bundles of topological vector spaces and their duality. volume 955 of *Lecture notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Berlin, 1982.
- [Har23] Bradd Hart. An introduction to continuous model theory. In *Model Theory of Operator Algebras*, volume 11 of *De Gruyter Series in Logic and Its Applications*. De Gruyter, 2023.
- [Hof77] Karl Heinrich Hofmann. Bundles and sheaves are equivalent in the category of Banach spaces. In *K-theory and operator algebras*, volume 575 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 53–69. Springer, 1977.
- [Lur18] Jacob Lurie. Ultracategories. *Preprint available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/Conceptual.pdf>*, 2018.
- [Mak88] Michael Makkai. Strong conceptual completeness for first-order logic. *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 40(2):167–215, 1988.
- [MM12] Saunders MacLane and Ieke Moerdijk. Sheaves in geometry and logic: A first introduction to topos theory. *Universitext*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [Nil96] May Nilsen.  $C^*$ -bundles and  $C_0(X)$ -algebras. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 45(2):463–477, 1996.
- [Oza13] Narutaka Ozawa. Dixmier approximation and symmetric amenability for  $C^*$ -algebras. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences The University of Tokyo*, 20:349–374, 2013.
- [Wil07] Dana P. Williams. Crossed products of  $C^*$ -algebras, volume 134 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, 2007.
- [Wyl96] Oswald Wyler. Convergence axioms for topology. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 806(1):465–475, 1996.