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#### Abstract

We review some classic works on ground state entanglement entropy in (1+1)dimensional free scalar field theory. We point out identifications between the methods for the calculation of entanglement entropy and we show how the formalism developed for the discretized theory can be utilized in order to obtain results in the continuous theory. We specify the entanglement spectrum and we calculate the entanglement entropy for the theory defined on an interval of finite length $L$. Finally, we derive the modular Hamiltonian directly, without using the modular flow, via the continuous limit of the expressions obtained in the discretized theory. In a specific coordinate system, the modular Hamiltonian assumes the form of a free field Hamiltonian on the Rindler wedge.
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## 1 Introduction

Entanglement [1,2 is a property of quantum systems without a classical analogue. Let us consider two quantum systems that are part of a larger composite system. When these subsystems are entangled, then the results of local measurements performed on these subsystems are correlated. The converse is not generally true, since the measurements may include classical correlations. Whenever the overall system lies in a pure state there is a simple measure of entanglement, the so called entanglement entropy The entanglement entropy is defined as the von Neumann entropy of the reduced density matrix. The latter, which describes subsystem $A$, is obtained from the density matrix via tracing out the degrees of freedom of the complementary subsystem $A^{C}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{A}=\operatorname{Tr}_{A^{C}}[\rho] . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the entanglement entropy of the system $A$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{A}=-\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left[\rho_{A} \ln \rho_{A}\right] . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Entanglement entropy has many applications. For example, it is related to quantum phase transitions [4, as well as to the topological order [5, 6].

The study of entanglement in free Quantum Field Theory was initiated a long time ago [7, 8]. The basic finding of these works is the following: for a free scalar field at its ground state, considering the degrees of freedom of some spatial region as a subsystem, the entanglement entropy is proportional to the area of this region and not to the volume, as one would naively expect. Remarkably, this behaviour resembles the area law obeyed by the entropy of Black Holes [9,10. Actually, the study of quantum contributions to the entropy of Black Holes was the original motivation of [7]. This motivation lies at the very heart of contemporary research, but not exactly in this form, since now the question concerns the nature/origin of Black Holes entropy itself. We will return to these questions although we do not tackle them directly, because they are in many ways the motivation for the present work. As a final comment, both aforementioned works rely on the study of a quantum mechanical system that is obtained after the discretization of a Quantum Field Theory.

Another method for the calculation of entanglement entropy was introduced in [11, 12 (see also [13 for a discussion on fermions), where a Euclidean time/Path Integral approach is followed. One calculates the traces of powers of the reduced density matrix $\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho_{A}^{n}\right]$, which are essentially the so-called Rényi entanglement entropies (14, and the entanglement entropy is obtained by analytic continuation, as the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{A}=\lim _{n \rightarrow 1^{+}} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho_{A}^{n}\right]-1}{1-n}=-\left.\frac{d}{d n} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho_{A}^{n}\right]\right|_{n=1} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]This method came to be known as the "replica trick", since the calculations are performed using multiple copies of the original system. It was further developed for CFTs in 15, where many results are obtained based only on first principles. The study of entanglement in Quantum Field Theory was iniciated by considerations relevant to Black Holes physics, but eventually became a very active field on its own, probing many interesting properties of field theories such as the renormalization group flow [16, 17]. For further information consult the reviews 18,20 , along with 21,22 , which focus on discrete systems.

Entanglement entropy is also an important line of research in the framework of the AdS/CFT correspondence [23-25]. Ruy and Takayanagi proposed a prescription for the calculation of holographic entanglement entropy [26, 27. It goes without saying that the direct calculation of entanglement entropy in the strong-coupling regime of theories is practically impossible. The single exception is two-dimensional CFTs, since for such theories the entanglement entropy depends only on the central charge. The Ruy and Takayanagi prescription tackles this shortcoming by enabling the study of entanglement in the nonperturbative regime of theories with holographic duals. The prescription was generalized for time-dependent setups [28] and was proven [29] by introducing the notion of the generalized gravitational entropy. The first quantum correction to this formula is calculated in [30], whereas a quantum-mechanically exact prescription is suggested in [31]. An impressive outcome of this program is the derivation of the Page curve of an evaporating Black Hole [32] in the framework of AdS/CFT [33, 34]. Another compelling finding concerns the use of holographic entanglement entropy as an order parameter for confinement 35. The reader may consult $[36-40]$ for an overview of this vast subject.

In this context, Raamsdonk put forth the idea that AdS/CFT implies that entanglement and gravitation are intertwined [41, 42]. This idea became more concrete in subsequent works. In particular, it was shown that the first law of entanglement thermodynamics is equivalent to the linearized Einstein equations over the AdS backround [43,44, see also (45). Besides the shortcomings of these calculations, namely the fact that they are performed only for spherical entangling surfaces, they hint that gravity originates from entanglement. In a similar manner, Maldacena and Susskind proposed that entanglement is the analogue of gravitational wormholes, or, as it became known, $\mathrm{ER}=\mathrm{EPR}$ 46]. Susskind took a step further and argued in an open letter that we already know that General Relativity and Quantum Mechanics are exactly the same thing [47]. In his words: "Where there is quantum mechanics, there is also gravity". Let us also mention that a statistical/emergent interpretation of gravity was considered long ago, either in the context of general relativity [48) or in a more abstract approach (49].

In the spirit of the above, it is worth probing these considerations beyond the AdS/CFT paradigm by returning to the pioneering works that introduced the study of entanglement in Quantum Field Theory. The question that deserves serious thought is the following: besides the fact that entanglement entropy obeys an Area Law at the vacuum stat ${ }^{2}$,

[^1]which is a striking similarity with the entropy of Black Holes, is there any other signature of gravitational physics in this system? After all entanglement entropy is only a particular measure of entanglement. The spectrum of the reduced density matrix, which is known as entanglement spectrum, contains much more information that enables us to probe many more aspects of the system under consideration. We already know that this is the case for instance when it comes to the Quantum Hall Effect 51,52 .

A basic shortcoming in the quest to probe further the relation between entanglement and gravity is the fact that most calculations of entanglement entropy concern free field theories. These toy models may replicate features of a semiclassical approach to Quantum Gravity, similar for instance to the study of Quantum Field Theory on a curved background, but their trivial dynamics could be an obstactle to the study of more fundamental questions. The reason for holding a small basket is the fact that there has to be a dynamical "creation" of the entangling surface, i.e. a physical obstacle preventing the measurements in a specific region. In the gravitational theory the event horizon has by definition this property, therefore, the underlying non-gravitational quantum theory should contain the same concept. In an interacting strongly-coupled theory the presence of a non-perturbative object preventing particle excitations to probe its region. This mechanism introduces a "Schwarzschild radius" that relates the radius of the entangling surface to physical parameters for observers who use apparatuses that measure the fundamental excitations of the field. In particular the radius of the entangling surface would be related to the energy of the probe particle. On the contrary, in free field theory the radius of the entangling surface is a free parameter set by hand.

Even when considering a free scalar field it is not always possible to calculate the entanglement entropy. There is special class of states of the composite system having the property that both the density matrix and reduced density matrix are Gaussian in position representation, making the calculations possible. The entanglement entropy depends on the state and not on the dynamics of the systems. Obviously the latter determines the time evolution of the state and thus of the entanglement entropy. These states have the special property that their density matrix remains Gaussian at any time instant. These states are:

1. the ground state $[7,8,11,12,53$
2. thermal states 54,55
3. coherent states, which share the same entanglement spectrum with the vacuum 56 57]. As a result, the time evolution of the reduced system is unitary.
4. squeezed states [58 60], whose entanglement is time dependent.

These states have another very interesting property. The modular Hamiltonian, which is defined as the logarithm of the reduced density matrix, describes a non-interacting theory. One can define non-interacting modes on the subsystem.

For free scalar field theory at its vacuum state, when tracing out half of the space, one can calculate the modular Hamiltonian using the mapping from the Minkowski to the Rindler space. The time evolution is generated by the boost generator, which coincides with the modular Hamiltonian 61,62. In general, the latter is a non-local function of the stress-energy tensor, but for this setup it is local. Due to this fact one is able to calculate the modular Hamiltonian via the modular flow [63], see also [64]. The locality of the modular flow manifests itself in the fact that the modular Hamiltonian is a weighted integral of the energy density. This subject is further discussed in [65 68].

Gaussian density matrices have another interesting property. The correlation functions split to products of two-point function. Using this fact one can relate the eigenvalues of the modular Hamiltonian and thus the entanglement spectrum to the two-point correlation functions [69], see also appendix E of [59].

Another motivation for this work has to do with the formal definition of entanglement entropy. Entanglement entropy in a Quantum Field Theory is divergent and is considered ill-defined. This divergence originates neither from the UV nor the IR. It originates from the density of states of the subsystem, which diverges in the continuous theory [11]. When working directly in the continuous theory, the origin of this divergence is related to the question of whether the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of the overall system $A \cup A^{C}$ factorizes as $\mathcal{H}_{A} \times \mathcal{H}_{A^{C}}$, where each of these Hilbert spaces is attributed to subsystem $A$ and its complement $A^{C}$ respectively. Even in the case of lattice gauge theory, because of Gauss's law, the Hilbert spaces do not factorize. One has to concider a larger Hilbert space, which relaxes the constraints imposed by the Gauss's law at the entangling surface $70-73$.

On a more formal basis, entanglement entropy is associated with the algebra of observables corresponding to the subsystem $A$. This is a von Neumann algebra and depending on its type, one may be unable to even define a trace. In the case of quantum mechanics the corresponding algebra is Type I and entanglement entropy is finite. For a Quantum Field Theory the algebra is type $I I I_{1}$. This subject has drawn a lot of attention recently 7480 . In the context of AdS/CFT this issue is intertwined with the entenglement wedge reconstruction 81 83. One should keep in mind that this problem is usually approached in the infinite $N$ limit. Interestingly enough, it was shown that $1 / N$ corrections turn the type $I I I_{1}$ algebra into type $I I$ [84, 85]. Inspired by this ongoing discussion, we demonstrate that a natural way out of this complication is to use lattice discretization, i.e. a quantum mechanical system, where everything is well defined, and then take the continuous limit.

It this work we revisit the calculation of entanglement entropy in (1+1)-dimensional free scalar field theory. Initially, we review the distinct approaches for its calculation, namely Srednicki's method, the replica trick and the correlations functions method. We point out the relations between them. More specifically we show that Srednicki's method and the correlation functions method, which are applicable to the discretized theory, are equivalent. Then we show that for $(1+1)$-dimensional massless scalar field theory the continuous limit of these methods gives rise to the results obtained using the replica trick 11. Recall that the latter applies to the Euclidean continuous theory, thus we show in practice how the discrete theory can be used in order to define unambiguously the entanglement
entropy of the continuous theory. By exploiting the correspondance between the aforementioned methods we derive the entanglement spectrum in the continuous theory for a system of finite length. In the infinite-size limit and when the the subsystem corresponds to the halfspace, the spectrum was derived in [11], even though this fact was not explicitly stated. Finally, using this twofold approach, we tackle a long-standing problem, which has recently been discussed [86, 87] using numerical methods, namelly the derivation of the modular Hamiltonian of the continuous ( $1+1$ )-dimensional massless scalar field theory starting from the discretized theory, see also 88. The reader interested in the implications of the boundary conditions may consult [89]. A key element in our derivation is a coordinate transformation that enables the systematic derivation of the entanglement spectrum. This transformation is directly related to that used in the implementation of the replica trick in the CFT calculation.

The structure of the paper is as follows: In Section 2 we review the calculation of entanglement entropy for a system of harmonic oscillators. In Section 3 we briefly review the discretized (1+1)-dimensional scalar field theory. We present the entanglement spectrum and the matrices required for the calculations. Then, we derive the entanglement spectrum of the continuous theory, along with the corresponding continuous kernels. In Section 4 we derive the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of the reduced density matrix, the entanglement entropy and the modular Hamiltonian. Finally, in Section 5 we discuss our results. There is an appendix containing details of the calculations.

## 2 Entanglement of a Bosonic Harmonic System

In this section we review Srednicki's approach for the calculation of entanglement entropy in a harmonic bosonic system at its vacuum state [8]. We show that this method is equivalent to the calculation of entanglement entropy using correlation functions introduced by Peschl 69.

### 2.1 Srednicki's Method

We consider a bosonic harmonic system, with dynamics determined by the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2} P_{X}^{T} P_{X}+\frac{1}{2} X^{T} K X, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is a positive-definite symmetric matrix. The vector $X$ contains the positions of the degrees of freedom, whereas the vector $P_{X}$ contains the conjugate momenta. One can introduce normal coordinates $Y=O X$, where $O$ is an orthogonal matrix, so that $K=O^{T} K_{D} O$ with $K_{D}$ a diagonal matrix. In terms of these coordinates the Hamiltonian assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2} P_{Y}^{T} P_{Y}+\frac{1}{2} Y^{T} K_{D} Y \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also introduce the positive-definite matrix $\Omega_{D}$ that obeys $K_{D}=\Omega_{D}^{2}$. The elements of $\Omega_{D}$ are the eigenfrequencies of the system. The ground state wave function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi=\left(\frac{\operatorname{det} \Omega_{D}}{\pi^{N}}\right)^{1 / 4} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} Y^{T} \Omega_{D} Y\right)=\left(\frac{\operatorname{det} \Omega}{\pi^{N}}\right)^{1 / 4} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} X^{T} \Omega X\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In position representation the density matrix, which is defined as $\rho\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=\Psi(X) \Psi^{*}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$, reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{det} \Omega}{\pi^{N}}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(X^{T} \Omega X+X^{T} \Omega X^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We trace out the oscillators 1 to $n$. We consider the following blocks of the matrix $\Omega$, along with the coordinate vectors $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ :

$$
\Omega=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Omega_{A} & \Omega_{B}  \tag{2.5}\\
\Omega_{B}^{T} & \Omega_{C}
\end{array}\right), \quad X=\binom{x_{c}}{x}, \quad X^{\prime}=\binom{x_{c}^{\prime}}{x^{\prime}}
$$

Naturally, the block $\Omega_{A}$ is an $n \times n$ matrix, $\Omega_{C}$ is an $(N-n) \times(N-n)$ one and so on.
It is trivial to calculate the reduced density matrix by setting $x_{c}^{\prime}=x_{c}$ and integrating over $x_{c}$. Doing so, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\mathrm{red}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{det}(\gamma-\beta)}{\pi^{N-n}}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{T} \gamma x+x^{\prime T} \gamma x^{\prime}\right)+x^{T} \beta x\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrices $\gamma$ and $\beta$ read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\Omega_{C}-\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{B}^{T} \Omega_{A}^{-1} \Omega_{B}, \quad \beta=\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{B}^{T} \Omega_{A}^{-1} \Omega_{B} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that both $\gamma$ and $\beta$ are symmetric matrices. In can be shown 8 that the spectrum of the reduced density matrix has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\vec{n}}=\prod_{i=1}^{N-n}\left(1-\xi_{i}\right) \xi_{i}^{n_{i}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{i}$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi=\frac{\gamma^{-1} \beta}{I+{\sqrt{I-\left(\gamma^{-1} \beta\right)}}^{2}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that the eigenvalues $\xi_{i}$ of the matrix $\Xi$ are determined by the eigenvalues of the matrix $\gamma^{-1} \beta$. The entanglement entropy $S_{\mathrm{EE}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{EE}}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-n}\left[-\ln \left(1-\xi_{i}\right)-\frac{\xi_{i}}{1-\xi_{i}} \ln \xi_{i}\right] \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to show that the matrix $\Xi$ can also be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi=\frac{\sqrt{\frac{I+\gamma^{-1} \beta}{I-\gamma^{-1} \beta}}-I}{\sqrt{\frac{I+\gamma^{-1} \beta}{I-\gamma^{-1} \beta}}+I} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is crucial in order to establish the connection between Srednicki's method and the correlation matrix method.

### 2.2 Entanglement Entropy \& Correlation Functions

In this section we relate the formulae of the previous section to the correlation functions of the positions and the momenta of the system. The sum and the difference of the matrices $\gamma$ and $\beta$, defined in (2.7), have very simple expressions, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma+\beta=\Omega_{C}, \quad \gamma-\beta=\Omega_{C}-\Omega_{B}^{T} \Omega_{A}^{-1} \Omega_{B} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $\gamma-\beta$ is actually the Schur complement of $\Omega_{C}$. In other words, denoting the blocks of the matrix $\Omega^{-1}$ as

$$
\Omega^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\Omega^{-1}\right)_{A} & \left(\Omega^{-1}\right)_{B}  \tag{2.13}\\
\left(\Omega^{-1}\right)_{B}^{T} & \left(\Omega^{-1}\right)_{C}
\end{array}\right)
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma-\beta=\left(\left(\Omega^{-1}\right)_{C}\right)^{-1} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining the matrix $M$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M:=\left(\Omega^{-1}\right)_{C} \Omega_{C} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

the matrix $\gamma^{-1} \beta$ can be expressed in terms of the matrix $M$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{-1} \beta=\frac{M-I}{M+I} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Interestingly enough, using (2.11) the matrix $\Xi$ also assumes a very simple form, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi=\frac{\sqrt{M}-I}{\sqrt{M}+I} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that the spectrum of the reduced density matrix is determined solely by the matrix $M$. This is the famous prescription for the calculation of entanglement entropy in terms of correlation functions 69]. Indeed, it is straightforward to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}_{i j}:=\left\langle X_{i} X_{j}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Omega^{-1}\right)_{i j}, \mathcal{P}_{i j}:=\left\langle P_{i} P_{j}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{i j},\left\langle X_{i} P_{j}\right\rangle=-\left\langle P_{j} X_{i}\right\rangle=\frac{i}{2} \delta_{i j} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, we conclude that $M=4 \mathcal{X} \mathcal{P}$.
Equation 2.15 implies that the entanglement spectrum is determined solely by the blocks of the matrices $\Omega$ and $\Omega^{-1}$, which correspond to the subsystem under consideration. Even though these matrices refer to the overall system, their $C$-blocks determine the correlation functions of the degrees of freedom and the conjugate momenta in the subsystem under consideration. As a consequence, equation (2.17) connects Srednicki's method to the correlation-functions method.

The idea behind the calculation of entanglement entropy using correlation functions is the following: Since the ground state is Gaussian, $n$-point correlation functions factorize into 2-point correlation functions, in accordance with Wick's theorem. Postulating that
the modular Hamiltonian describes a harmonic theory and further demanding that it reproduces the correct 2-point functions, determines it. More specifically, one assumes that the reduced density matrix is written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\mathrm{red}}=\mathcal{N} \exp \left(-H_{M}\right), \quad H_{M}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-n} \epsilon_{i} a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{i}, \quad \mathcal{N}=\prod_{i=1}^{N-n}\left(1-e^{-\epsilon_{i}}\right), \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{M}$ is the modular Hamiltonian and $\mathcal{N}$ is a normalization factor that ensures $\operatorname{Tr} \rho_{\text {red }}=1$. One can show that the eigenvalues of the matrix $M$, that we denoted as $M_{i}$, are related to the parameters $\epsilon_{i}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{i}=2 \operatorname{coth}^{-1}\left(\sqrt{M_{i}}\right)=-\ln \xi_{i}, \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{i}$ are the eigenvalues of matrix $\Xi$. The last equality follows directly from equation (2.17). See [19] for more details.

### 2.3 Eigenstates of the Reduced Density Matrix

So far we have focused on the entanglement spectrum. In his seminal paper Srednicki derived it via a series of coordinate transformations, namely, a rotation that diagonalizes $\gamma$, a rescaling that sets $\gamma$ to identity and one more rotation that diagonalizes the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}=\gamma^{-1 / 2} \beta \gamma^{-1 / 2} . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In an obvious manner, the matrix $\hat{\beta}$ has the same eigenvalues with the matrix $\gamma^{-1} \beta$. The eigenfunctions of the reduced density matrix read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\vec{n}}(\overrightarrow{\hat{x}})=\prod_{i=1}^{N-n} \phi_{n_{i}}\left(\hat{x}_{i}\right), \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{x}_{i}$ are the coordinates that diagonalize the matrix $\hat{\beta}$ and $\phi_{n}(x)$ is the wave function of the $n$-th excited state of the harmonic oscillator with eigenfrequency $\alpha_{i}=\sqrt{1-\hat{\beta}_{i}^{2}}$, where $\hat{\beta}_{i}$ are the eigenvalues of $\hat{\beta}$, namely $\exists^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n_{i}}\left(\hat{x}_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{i}!2^{n_{i}}}}\left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\pi}\right)^{1 / 4} H_{n_{i}}\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{i}} \hat{x}_{i}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{i} \hat{x}_{i}^{2}\right) . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]The above coordinate transformations include a non-trivial rescaling, which messes up the normalization of the reduced density matrix. As a consistency check in Appendix A we derive the spectrum and the eigenfunctions using creation and annihilation operators.

### 2.4 The Modular Hamiltonian

The calculation of the previous section implies that the reduced density matrix can be expressed in terms the creation and annihilation operators associated to the wave functions of equation (2.25) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\rho}_{\text {red }}=\operatorname{det}(I-\Xi) \exp \left(-\hat{H}_{M}\right), \quad \hat{H}_{M}=-\sum_{i=1}^{N-n} \ln \left(\xi_{i}\right) \hat{A}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{A}_{i} . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The explicit forms of the operators $\hat{A}_{i}$ and $\hat{A}_{i}^{\dagger}$ are given by A.20 and A.21, respectively. The modular Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{M}$ describes a free theory. It is a matter of algebra to show that the modular Hamiltonian $H_{M}$ may be written as a differential operator in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{M}=\sum_{m, \ell}\left(-\tilde{K}_{m \ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell}}+\tilde{V}_{m \ell} x_{m} x_{\ell}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \ln (\operatorname{det} \Xi), \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrices $\tilde{K}$ and $\tilde{V}$ are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{K}_{m \ell}=-\sum_{i=1}^{N-n} \frac{v_{\rho}^{i} v_{k}^{i}}{\alpha_{i}}\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)_{\rho \ell}\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)_{k m} \ln \xi_{i}, \quad \tilde{V}_{m \ell}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-n} \alpha_{i} v_{m}^{i} v_{\ell}^{i} \ln \xi_{i} . \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (A.12) and A.13), it turns out that the matrices $\tilde{K}$ and $\tilde{V}$ read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{K}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln \Xi}{\sqrt{I-\left(\gamma^{-1} \beta\right)^{2}}} \gamma^{-1}, \quad \tilde{V}=-\frac{1}{2} \gamma \sqrt{I-\left(\gamma^{-1} \beta\right)^{2}} \ln \Xi . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above expressions assume a much simpler form in terms of the matrix $M$, defined in (2.15), namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{K}=\frac{\operatorname{arccoth} \sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{M}}\left(\Omega^{-1}\right)_{C}, \quad \tilde{V}=\Omega_{C} \frac{\operatorname{arccoth} \sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{M}} . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Bearing in mind that the matrix $M$ is expressed in terms of $\Omega_{C}$ and $\left(\Omega^{-1}\right)_{C}$, the modular Hamiltonian is determined by the 2-point correlation functions in the subsystem under consideration, as expected.

## 3 (1+1)-dimensional Field Theory

### 3.1 The Discrete Theory

In the previous section we have shown that the calculation of the spectrum of the reduced density matrix is equivalent to the calculation of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the
matrix $M=\left(\Omega^{-1}\right)_{C} \Omega_{C}$. So, given a Hamiltonian $H=\frac{1}{2} P^{T} P+\frac{1}{2} X^{T} K X$, where the couplings matrix $K$ is positive definite, we are interested in finding the matrix $\Omega$ that is positive-definite and obeys that $K=\Omega^{2}$.

We focus on (1+1)-dimensional free real scalar field theory defined on an interval of length $L$. In order to apply the formalism that we developed for a system of coupled harmonic oscillators, we have to discretize the degrees of freedom. Introducing a homogeneous lattice, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[\pi_{j}^{2}+\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left(\varphi_{j+1}-\phi_{j}\right)^{2}+\mu^{2} \varphi_{j}^{2}\right], \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is the lattice spacing. We used the scheme

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} d x \rightarrow a \sum_{j=1}^{N}, \quad x=j a, \quad \varphi(x) \rightarrow \frac{\phi_{j}}{\sqrt{a}}, \quad \pi(x) \rightarrow \frac{\pi_{j}}{\sqrt{a}}, \quad L=(N+1) a \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This Hamiltonian describes a system of coupled harmonic oscillators. The couplings matrix $K$ of the system has a very simple form, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i j}=\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left[\left(2+\mu^{2} a^{2}\right) \delta_{i, j}-\left(\delta_{i, j+1}+\delta_{i+1, j}\right)\right] \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a Toplitz tridiagonal matrix with known eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Its spectral decomposition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i j}=\frac{2}{N+1} \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left[\mu^{2}+\frac{4}{a^{2}} \sin ^{2} \frac{\pi k}{2(N+1)}\right] \sin \frac{i k \pi}{N+1} \sin \frac{j k \pi}{N+1} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, the square bracket contains the eigenvalues.

### 3.2 Back to the Continuum

So far we have taken advantage of the discretization in order to deal with a well-posed problem, namely the calculation of the entanglement entropy of a system of quantum harmonic oscillators. The formalism developed in Section 2 is directly applicable to the discretized theory. Unfortunately, we are not able to proceed much further in this framework. We will work in the continuous limit of the expressions that were obtained in the discretized theory. This way the discrete theory resolves the ambiguities of the definition of entanglement entropy that emerge when we study directly the continuous theory.

In the continuous limit the discrete indices become continuous variables, the column vectors become functions of these continuous variables and the matrices become bilinear kernels. Essentially we have to invert the discretization procedure appearing in equation (3.2). Regarding the size of the overall system, the continuous limit corresponds to $a \rightarrow 0$, $N \rightarrow \infty$, so that $L=(N+1) a$ is fixed. Similarly, for the rest of the variables it corresponds to $a \rightarrow 0, i \rightarrow \infty$, so that $x=i a$ is fixed and so on. Regarding the bilinear kernels the continuous limit is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\lim _{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{a} K_{i j}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ are the continuous coordinates associated to $i$ and $j$ respectively. The factor of $1 / a$ is inserted because the sums over the indices become integrals over a continuous variable. This factor is required in order to have the correct measure of integration.

It turns out that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{2}{L} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\mu^{2}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{L^{2}} k^{2}\right) \sin \frac{k \pi x}{L} \sin \frac{k \pi x^{\prime}}{L} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ are valued in $[0, L]{ }^{1}$. The kernels $\Omega$ and $\Omega^{-1}$ read

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{2}{L} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\mu^{2}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{L^{2}} k^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \sin \frac{k \pi x}{L} \sin \frac{k \pi x^{\prime}}{L},  \tag{3.8}\\
\Omega^{-1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{2}{L} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\mu^{2}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{L^{2}} k^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \sin \frac{k \pi x}{L} \sin \frac{k \pi x^{\prime}}{L} . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

In the massless limit it is possible to obtain a closed form of these kernels, namely,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{\pi}{4 L^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)}{2 L}}-\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)}{2 L}}\right),  \tag{3.10}\\
\Omega^{-1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =-\frac{1}{\pi} \ln \left|\frac{\left.\sin \frac{\pi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)}{2 L} \right\rvert\,}{\sin \frac{\pi\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)}{2 L}}\right|, \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

which coincide with the massless limit of the kernels appearing in [11. Notice that $\Omega\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=-\frac{\pi}{L^{2}} \frac{\sin \frac{\pi x}{L} \sin \frac{\pi x^{\prime}}{L}}{\left(\cos \frac{\pi x}{L}-\cos \frac{\pi x^{\prime}}{L}\right)^{2}}, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

implying that this kernels is non-positive and it vanishes only when either variable is 0 or $L$. This in turn implies that $\Omega^{-1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is non-negative and it vanishes only when either variable is 0 or $L$.

In the continuous theory, the kernels associated to blocks of the matrices are given by the same expressions as the kernels associated to the matrices themselves, but the range of values of the corresponding coordinates is restricted appropriately. The entangling "surface" is considered to lie between the nodes $n$ and $n+1$. In the continuous theory it lies at $x=\ell$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) a . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]As an indicative example, $\Omega_{A}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is given by the same expression as $\Omega\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$, namely equation (3.10), where $x \in[0, \ell]$ and $x^{\prime} \in[0, \ell]$. In a similar manner for $\Omega_{B}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ it follows that $x \in[0, \ell]$ and $x^{\prime} \in[\ell, L]$.

At this point let us make a technical remark. So far we have formulated the calculation of entanglement entropy in terms of the matrix $M=\left(\Omega^{-1}\right)_{C} \Omega_{C}$. Since $\Omega^{-1} \Omega=I$, it follows that $\left(\Omega^{-1}\right)_{C} \Omega_{C}+\left(\Omega^{-1}\right)_{B}^{T} \Omega_{B}=I_{N-n}$. As a result, we could equally well use the matrix $\tilde{M}:=\left(\Omega^{-1}\right)_{B}^{T} \Omega_{B}=I_{N-n}-M$. The matrix $\Xi$ is related to the matrix $\tilde{M}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi=\frac{\sqrt{1-\tilde{M}}-1}{\sqrt{1-\tilde{M}+1}} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the continuous limit the kernel $\tilde{M}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{M}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{\ell} d y \Omega^{-1}(x, y) \Omega\left(y, x^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where both $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ are valued in $[\ell, L]$. This way, we avoid the singularities that appear when $y \rightarrow x$ or $y \rightarrow x^{\prime}$. These singularities are related to the fact that the kernels $M$ and $\tilde{M}$ obey $M\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+\tilde{M}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)$. Formulating the calculation in terms of $\tilde{M}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ allows us to avoid this delta function singularity.

The kernel (3.15) coincides with the kernel used in [11]. Even though it was not explicitly stated, this work derived the spectrum of the reduced density matrix for a subsystem that is half the infinite line and not just the entanglement entropy. In the next section we derive the entanglement spectrum in the case of an overall system of finite length $L$ and a subsystem corresponding to the interval $[\ell, L]$.

## 4 Entanglement of (1+1)-dimensional Field Theory in a Finite Interval

We consider ( $1+1$ )-dimensional field theory defined in $[0, L]$. We trace out the degrees of freedom in the interval $[0, \ell]$. We would like to calculate the spectrum of the reduced density matrix and the modular Hamiltonian. As we have shown in Sections 2 and 3, in order to achieve this goal we have to derive the spectrum and the eigenfunctions of the kernel $\tilde{M}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$, which is defined in (3.15). Substituting (3.10) and (3.11) in equation (3.15), this kernel reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{M}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{4 L^{2}} \int_{0}^{\ell} d y\left(\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi\left(x^{\prime}-y\right)}{2 L}}-\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi\left(x^{\prime}+y\right)}{2 L}}\right) \ln \frac{\sin \frac{\pi(x-y)}{2 L}}{\sin \frac{\pi(x+y)}{2 L}}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \in[\ell, L]$ and $x^{\prime} \in[\ell, L]{ }^{5}$. The integral can be performed analytically and we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{M}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi L} \frac{\cos \frac{\pi \ell}{L}-\cos \frac{\pi x}{L}}{\cos \frac{\pi x}{L}-\cos \frac{\pi x^{\prime}}{L}}\left[\frac{\sin \frac{\pi x}{L}}{\cos \frac{\pi \ell}{L}-\cos \frac{\pi x}{L}} \ln \frac{\sin \frac{\pi\left(x^{\prime}-\ell\right)}{2 L}}{\sin \frac{\pi\left(x^{\prime}+\ell\right)}{2 L}}\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{\sin \frac{\pi x^{\prime}}{L}}{\cos \frac{\pi \ell}{L}-\cos \frac{\pi x^{\prime}}{L}} \ln \frac{\sin \frac{\pi(x-\ell)}{2 L}}{\sin \frac{\pi(x+\ell)}{2 L}}\right] . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The eigenvalue problem to be solved reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\ell}^{L} d x^{\prime} \tilde{M}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\lambda f(x) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are continuously infinite eigenfunctions and eigenvalues. We parametrized them with the continuous variable $\omega$. They read

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x ; \omega)=\sin (\omega u(x)), \quad \lambda(\omega)=-\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2}(\pi \omega)} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u(x)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\ln \frac{\sin \frac{\pi(x+\ell)}{2 L}}{\sin \frac{\pi(x-\ell)}{2 L}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to find an analytic expression for the modular Hamiltonian we need the spectral decomposition of $\tilde{M}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$. This kernel is not symmetric; Its left and right eigenfunctions do not coincide. After some algebra one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{M}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{2}{L} \frac{\cosh u\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\cos \frac{\pi \ell}{L}}{\sin \frac{\pi \ell}{L}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \omega\left[-\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2}(\pi \omega)}\right] \sin (\omega u(x)) \sin \left(\omega u\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the square bracket contains the eigenvalues $\lambda(\omega)$. Obviously, this expression can be utilized in order to obtain an integral representation of any function of $\tilde{M}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$.

More details on the derivation of the spectrum and the eigenfunctions of $\tilde{M}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ are presented in Appendix C.

### 4.1 Entanglement Entropy

In order to calculate the entanglement entropy in the continuous theory we may write equation 2.10 in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{EE}}=\operatorname{Tr}\left[-\ln (1-\Xi)-\frac{\Xi}{1-\Xi} \ln \Xi\right] \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, using the spectral decomposition (4.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{EE}}=\frac{2}{L} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \omega S(\omega) \int_{\ell+\epsilon}^{L} d x \frac{\cosh u(x)-\cos \frac{\pi \ell}{L}}{\sin \frac{\pi \ell}{L}} \sin ^{2}(\omega u(x)) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^4]where
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\omega)=\frac{2 \pi \omega}{e^{2 \pi \omega}-1}-\ln \left(1-e^{-2 \pi \omega}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Since the integral over $x$ diverges, we introduced a regulator $\epsilon$, see footnote 5 . Changing the integration variable $x$ to $u$ via the inversion of equation 4.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{EE}}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \omega S(\omega) \int_{0}^{u_{\max }} d u-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \omega S(\omega) \int_{0}^{u_{\max }} \cos (2 \omega u) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\max }=\ln \left(\frac{2 L}{\pi \epsilon} \sin \frac{\pi \ell}{L}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us focus on the first term of 4.10 . The integral over $u$ trivially is equal to $u_{\text {max }}$. The integral over $\omega$ is an integral that appears frequently in statistical physics. It is equal to $\zeta(2) / \pi=\pi / 6$, where $\zeta(z)$ is Riemann's zeta function. Regarding the second term of 4.10) we may send $u_{\max } \rightarrow \infty$ so that the integral over $u$ is equal to a delta function, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{u_{\max } \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{u_{\max }} d u \cos (2 \omega u)=\frac{1}{2} \delta(\omega) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As this function is non-vanishing only on $\omega=0$ and this is the lower bound of integration of the integral over $\omega$, there is no contrubution to the entanglement entropy. In other words, one may regularize the lower bound of integration of the integral over $\omega$, and since we are interested in $\omega \rightarrow 0^{+}$, there is no contribution from the delta function. Putting everything together, we obtain the well known formula 12

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{EE}}=\frac{1}{6} \ln \left(\frac{2 L}{\pi \epsilon} \sin \frac{\pi \ell}{L}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is an alternative approach to this calculation that we will outline in order to make some comments. As it is evident from equation (4.4) and (4.5), the eigenfunctions automatically obey Dirichlet boundary conditions at $x=L$. The regulator $\epsilon$ at the lower bound of integration of 4.8 can be utilized in order to impose Dirichlet boundary conditions at $x=\ell+\epsilon$. Doing so, the spectrum is discretized according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n} \ln \left(\frac{2 L}{\pi \epsilon} \sin \frac{\pi \ell}{L}\right)=n \pi, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^{*} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the entanglement entropy is given by the discrete sum appearing in equation (2.10), where $\xi_{i}=e^{-2 \pi \omega_{i}}$ and the upper bound of summation is set to infinity. For $L \gg \epsilon$ the eigenvalues become dense and they can be approximated by a continuous distribution [11]. In this approach it is evident that entanglement entropy is divergent because the density of eigenvalues blows up. The divergence is associated to neither the UV nor the IR of the theory. However, this line of reasoning is linked to a fine technical detail; in order to properly perform the above calculation one has to implement the Euler-McLaurin summation formula. The leading integral term yields the above result, but there are also remainder terms. Due to the fact that 2.10 is singular at $\xi_{i}=1$ the latter include divergent terms. These terms should be neglected, but in this approach doing so is kind of ad hoc. In our approach this fact is more transparent.

### 4.2 Modular Hamiltonian

Having calculated the entanglement entropy, in this section we derive the corresponding modular Hamiltonian. Equation (2.27) is the modular Hamiltonian of the discrete theory. In the continuous theory the modular Hamiltonian is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{M}=\int d x \int d y(\Pi(x) \tilde{K}(x, y) \Pi(y)+\Phi(x) \tilde{V}(x, y) \Phi(y)) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Pi(x)$ is the conjugate momentum of the field $\Phi(x)$, i.e. it is the operator $-i \frac{\delta}{\delta \Phi(x)}$. The kernels $\tilde{K}(x, y)$ and $\tilde{V}(x, y)$ are the continuous limit of the matrices defined in 2.30).

Using equation (3.11) along with (4.6), it turns out that the kernel $\tilde{K}(x, y)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{K}(x, y)=-\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d u^{\prime} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \omega \omega \tanh (\pi \omega) \sin \left(\omega u_{x}\right) \sin \left(\omega u^{\prime}\right) \ln \left|\frac{\sinh \frac{u^{\prime}-u_{y}}{2}}{\sinh \frac{u^{\prime}+u_{y}}{2}}\right| \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \in(\ell, L]$ and $y \in(\ell, L]$. We also used the notation $u_{x} \equiv u(x), u_{y} \equiv u(y)$ and $u^{\prime} \equiv u\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. The function $u(x)$ is defined in equation (4.5). After some algebra that is presented in Appendix C, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{K}(x, y)=\pi \delta\left(u_{x}-u_{y}\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the original coordinates the kernel reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{K}(x, y)=L \frac{\cos \frac{\pi \ell}{L}-\cos \frac{\pi x}{L}}{\sin \frac{\pi \ell}{L}} \delta(x-y) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the kernel $\tilde{V}(x, y)$ is given by the integral

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{V}(x, y)= & \frac{\pi}{2 L^{2}}
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \left(\cosh u_{x}-\cos \frac{\pi \ell}{L}\right)\left(\cosh u_{y}-\cos \frac{\pi \ell}{L}\right) \\
& \sin ^{2} \frac{\pi \ell}{L}  \tag{4.19}\\
& \\
& \\
& \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} d \omega \frac{\omega \sin \left(\omega u_{y}\right)}{\operatorname{coth}(\pi \omega)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d u^{\prime} \sin \left(\omega u^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{u_{x}+u^{\prime}}{2}}-\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{u_{x}-u^{\prime}}{2}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

After some algebra that is also presented in Appendix C, we may write this formula as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{V}(x, y)=-\pi \frac{d u_{x}}{d x} \frac{d u_{y}}{d y} \frac{d^{2}}{d u_{x}^{2}} \delta\left(u_{x}-u_{y}\right) \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the original coordinates the kernel reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{V}(x, y)=-L \frac{d}{d x}\left[\frac{\cos \frac{\pi \ell}{L}-\cos \frac{\pi x}{L}}{\sin \frac{\pi \ell}{L}} \frac{d}{d x} \delta(x-y)\right] \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

As expected for this specific setup [65], the modular Hamiltonian is a local functional of the stress-energy tensor. Substituting our results in 4.15 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{M}=2 \pi \frac{L}{\pi} \int_{\ell}^{L} d x \frac{\cos \frac{\pi \ell}{L}-\cos \frac{\pi x}{L}}{\sin \frac{\pi \ell}{L}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \Pi^{2}(x)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{x} \Phi(x)\right)^{2}\right) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we dropped a surface term. One may consider the complementary subsystem, i.e. the degrees of freedom in $[0, \ell]$. Then the above formula assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{M}=2 \pi \frac{L}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\ell} d x \frac{\cos \frac{\pi x}{L}-\cos \frac{\pi \ell}{L}}{\sin \frac{\pi \ell}{L}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \Pi^{2}(x)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{x} \Phi(x)\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a theory defined on an infinite half-line $63 \sqrt{65}$, i.e. $L \gg \ell$, using $\sqrt{4.23)}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{M}=2 \pi \int_{0}^{\ell} d x \frac{\ell^{2}-x^{2}}{2 \ell}\left(\frac{1}{2} \Pi^{2}(x)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{x} \Phi(x)\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, one may study the case of a theory defined on an infinite line and a subsystem consisting of the degrees of freedom in the region $x>0$ [61,62. This is achieved by defining the theory in $[-L / 2, L / 2]$, setting $\ell=0$ and considering the limit $L \gg x$. Doing so, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{M}=2 \pi \int_{0}^{\infty} d x x\left(\frac{1}{2} \Pi^{2}(x)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{x} \Phi(x)\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5 Discussion

From the early days of quantum mechanics and the question arisen by the EPR paradox to nowadays and the modern era of the $E R=E P R$ conjecture in the context of the holographic duality [46], quantum entanglement has always been providing us with new insight for our quest to understand the laws of nature. Entanglement is the very essence of quantum mechanics, in the sense that it is a property with no classical analogue. The fact is that a quantum system is always quantum even if it presents classical behaviour. When we characterize a system as classical, we refer to the fact that the "quantumness" of this system is not apparent.

In this context it is natural to wonder how classical gravity would emerge from a quantum theory. The fact that a bottom-up approach is plagued with dozens of problems indicates that we need new principles. The AdS/CFT correspondace has been proven a valuable tool for such an investigation. Via this duality questions about non-perturbative gravity are rephrased as questions about perturbative field theory and vice-versa. For example, there has been a tremendous progress in understanding the Black Hole Information Paradox in AdS/CFT [33, 34]. AdS/CFT indicates that classical gravity is an emergent entropic force, originating from quantum entanglement $[41-44]$. If we really believe that gravity and quantum mechanics are essentially the same [47], we should be able to support this claim beyond the framework of AdS/CFT.

In this quest, we have to relate the dynamics of a subsystem, which is the dynamics perceived by an observer who does not have access to the whole system, to some gravitational dynamics. This information is encoded in the modular Hamiltonian of the subsystem. Naturally the modular Hamiltonian contains much more information than merely the entanglement entropy. In order to derive the modular Hamiltonian one needs not only the whole entanglement spectrum, but also the corresponding eigenfunctions of the
reduced density matrix. The modular Hamiltonian can be calculated using the modular flow 61 64, but this tool is not always applicable. For this reason we demonstrate how the modular Hamiltonian can be derived via the continuous limit of Srednicki's method.

In the continuous limit the modular Hamiltonian is given by (4.15). In section (2.4) we obtained explicit expressions for the kernels appearing in modular Hamiltonian in terms of the original coordinates $x$ and $y$. Let us express it in terms of the coordinates $u_{x}=u(x)$ and $u_{y}=u(y)$, defined via the coordinate transformation 4.5). Substituting the kernels 4.17 and 4.20 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{M}=2 \pi \int_{0}^{\infty} d u_{x}\left(\frac{1}{2} \Pi\left(u_{x}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \Phi\left(u_{x}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{d u_{x}^{2}} \Phi\left(u_{x}\right)\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used the fact that $\Pi(x)=\frac{d u_{x}}{d x} \Pi\left(u_{x}\right)$, which is required in order to preserve the canonical commutation relations. In this coordinates the modular Hamiltonian density coincides with the Hamiltonian density of the overall theory. The reduced density matrix describes a system at finite temperature $2 \pi$. It follows that the mapping (4.5) actually maps the interval $(\ell, L]$ to the Rindler wedg $母^{6}$. The Unruh effect 90 is imprinted in the modular Hamiltonian. Regarding infinite-size systems, works from the early 90 s have argued about this fact based on the interpretation of the Euclidean path integral 91,92 . In this work we reach the same conclusion relying only on elementary quantum mechanics. For an observer that performs local measurements inside a subsystem, the density matrix that determines the outcome of all measurements is thermal. 7 . The subsystem appears at finite temperature and there is no local measurement that could indicate otherwise. The physical reality of this observer is emergent.

It is well known that entanglement entropy at the ground state of free scalar field theory obeys an area law 7,8 . The similarity between the entropy of black holes and entanglement entropy is very intriguing, but, as we discussed, we would like to take things even further. In this spirit we revisit some classical works on entanglement in quantum field theory. We analyze a system of coupled harmonic oscillators and show that Srednicki's method, the replica trick and the correlation functions method for the calculation of entanglement entropy are interrelated and obviously equivalent. We apply this formalism to discretized $(1+1)$-dimensional scalar field theory, defined on a finite interval. Working in the framework quantum mechanics all formulae are well-defined and there are no ambiguities related to the definition of traces, the measure of path integral etc. Having set up a well-posed problem we study its continuous limit. We relate the eigenvalues of the reduced density matrix to the eigenvalues of a bilinear kernel. We are able to solve this problem even when the overall system has finite length. Thus we obtain the entanglement spectrum of $(1+1)$-dimensional scalar field theory defined on a finite interval.

Besides probing further the relation between gravity and quantum mechanics, it would be interesting to employ this interplay between the discretized and continuous theory in

[^5]order to study different aspects of entanglement entropy in field theory. For instance, one could study spherical entangling surfaces in higher dimensions, by expressing the problem in terms of effective $(1+1)$-dimensional radial systems, one for each angular momentum sector. Such an approach is followed in [93], but the starting point of this work is the modular Hamiltonian and not the discretized theory. An equally interesting problem would be the study of non-compact subsystems in $(1+1)$-dimensional field theory.

The techniques we developed could also be used for the study of other measures of entanglement and related quantities such as the entanglement negativity [94], the capacity of entanglement [95], the symmetry resolved entanglement [96] and the reflected entropy 97.
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## A Algebraic Construction of the Eigenstates of the Reduced Density Matrix

In this section we derive the spectrum and the eigenstates of the reduced density matrix, which were obtained in Section 2.3, using creation and annihilation operators.

## A. 1 The Ground Eigenfunction

The reduced density matrix given by equation (2.6) has a Gaussian "ground" eigenfunction of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{0}(x)=c_{0} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} x^{T} \mathcal{A} x\right) \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x^{\prime} \rho_{\mathrm{red}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \Psi_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{2^{N-n} \operatorname{det}(\gamma-\beta)}{\operatorname{det}(\gamma+\mathcal{A})}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi_{0}(x) \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=\gamma-\beta(\gamma+\mathcal{A})^{-1} \beta \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of this equation that corresponds to a normalizable eigenfunction is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=\gamma \sqrt{I-\left(\gamma^{-1} \beta\right)^{2}}=\gamma^{1 / 2} \sqrt{I-\hat{\beta}^{2}} \gamma^{1 / 2} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\beta}$ is given by 2.21 . It is a matter of algebra to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{2^{N-n} \operatorname{det}(\gamma-\beta)}{\operatorname{det}(\gamma+\mathcal{A})}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\operatorname{det}(I-\Xi) \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Xi$ is defined in 2.9 , i.e. the eigenvalue corresponding to the "ground" eigenfunction is equal to $\operatorname{det}(I-\Xi)$.

## A. 2 The First Excited Eigenfunctions

Having obtained the "ground" eigenfunction, we search for eigenfunctions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{1 i}(x)=c_{1 i}\left(v_{i}^{T} x\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} x^{T} \mathcal{A} x\right) \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{i}$ is a column matrix and $i=1, \ldots, N-n$. It is a matter of algebra to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x^{\prime} \rho_{\mathrm{red}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \Psi_{1 i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=c_{1 i} \operatorname{det}(I-\Xi)\left(v_{i}^{T} \Xi\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} x^{T} \mathcal{A} x\right) \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\Psi_{1 i}(x)$ is an eigenfunction provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi^{T} v_{i}=\xi_{i} v_{i} \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $v_{i}$ is a left eigenvector of the matrix $\Xi$ and $\xi_{i}$ is the corresponding eigenvalue. The eigenvalues of the reduced density matrix read $\operatorname{det}(I-\Xi) \xi_{i}$. Since $\Xi$ is a function of the matrix $\gamma^{-1} \beta$, see equation $(2.9)$, this requirement is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \gamma^{-1} v_{i}=\hat{\beta}_{i} v_{i}, \quad \xi_{i}=\frac{\hat{\beta}_{i}}{1+\sqrt{1-\hat{\beta}_{i}^{2}}} . \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the above relation implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}\left(\gamma^{-1 / 2} v_{i}\right)=\hat{\beta}_{i}\left(\gamma^{-1 / 2} v_{i}\right) \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\gamma^{-1 / 2} v_{i}$ are the eigenvectors of a Hermitian matrix, they can be normalized, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}^{T} \gamma^{-1} v_{j}=\delta_{i j} \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following we use component notation for the eigenvectors $v_{i}$, which follows the convention $v_{j}^{T} x=v_{k}^{j} x_{k}$. In particular, we are interested in the normalization and completeness relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{a}^{i} \gamma_{a b}^{-1} v_{b}^{j}=\delta_{i j}, \quad \delta_{a b}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-n} v_{a}^{i} v_{c}^{i} \gamma_{c b}^{-1} \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as in the fact that (A.9) assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\beta \gamma^{-1}\right)_{a b}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-n} \hat{\beta}_{i} v_{a}^{i} v_{c}^{i} \gamma_{c b}^{-1} \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to complete the derivation we have to specify the normalization constant $c_{1 i}$. To do so, we calculate the inner product of the eigenfunctions $\Psi_{1 i}$ and $\Psi_{1 j}$. It is straightforward to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d x \Psi_{1 i}(x) \Psi_{1 j}^{*}(x)=c_{1 i} c_{1 j}^{*} \int d x\left(v_{i}^{T} x\right)\left(v_{j}^{T} x\right) \exp \left(-x^{T} \mathcal{A} x\right)=\frac{c_{1 i} c_{1 j}^{*}}{2 c_{0}^{2}} v_{j}^{T} \mathcal{A}^{-1} v_{i} \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling that $\mathcal{A}=\gamma \sqrt{1-\left(\gamma^{-1} \beta\right)^{2}}=\sqrt{1-\left(\beta \gamma^{-1}\right)^{2}} \gamma$, it follows that $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ is given by $\mathcal{A}^{-1}=\gamma^{-1}\left(1-\left(\beta \gamma^{-1}\right)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$. As a result, the right-hand-side of A.14) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c_{1 i} c_{1 j}^{*}}{2 c_{0}^{2}} \mathbf{v}_{j}^{T} \mathcal{A}^{-1} \mathbf{v}_{i}=\frac{\left|c_{1 i}\right|^{2}}{2 c_{0}^{2} \alpha_{i}} \delta_{i j}, \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}=\sqrt{1-\hat{\beta}_{i}^{2}}$. Thus, the normalization constant of the eigenstates $\Psi_{1 i}(x)$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1 i}=\sqrt{2 \alpha_{i}} c_{0} . \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## A. 3 Creation and Annihilation Operators

Using the "ground" state and the "first" excited eigenfunctions, we may introduce creation and annihilation operators that connect them. In the following when an index variable appears twice in a single term, summation of that term over all the values of the index is implied. We impose that the annihilation operators $\hat{A}_{i}$ have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}_{i}=C_{i k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}+\mathcal{A}_{k \ell} x_{\ell}\right), \tag{A.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is given by A.4. It is straightforward to show that $\hat{A}_{i} \Psi_{0}(x)=0$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}_{i} \Psi_{1 j}(x)=\sqrt{2 \alpha_{i}} C_{i k} v_{k}^{j} \Psi_{0}(x) \tag{A.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking equation A.12) into account, we obtain $\hat{A}_{i} \Psi_{1 j}(x)=\delta_{i j} \Psi_{0}(x)$ as long as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \alpha_{i}}} v_{\ell}^{i}\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)_{\ell k} . \tag{A.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting everything together, the annihilation and creation operators read

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{A}_{i}=\frac{v_{m}^{i}}{\sqrt{2 \alpha_{i}}}\left(\alpha_{i} x_{m}+\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)_{m k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\right),  \tag{A.20}\\
& \hat{A}_{i}^{\dagger}=\frac{v_{m}^{i}}{\sqrt{2 \alpha_{i}}}\left(\alpha_{i} x_{m}-\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)_{m k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\right) . \tag{A.21}
\end{align*}
$$

It is straightforward to show that $\left[\hat{A}_{i}, \hat{A}_{j}^{\dagger}\right]=\delta_{i j}$ and $\left[\hat{A}_{i}, \hat{A}_{j}\right]=0$. For what follows, it is helpful to invert these relations and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{k} & =\sum_{i=1}^{N-n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \alpha_{i}}}\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)_{k n} v_{n}^{i}\left(\hat{A}_{i}+\hat{A}_{i}^{\dagger}\right)  \tag{А.22}\\
p_{k} & =\sum_{i=1}^{N-n} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{i}}{2}} v_{k}^{i}\left(\hat{A}_{i}-\hat{A}_{i}^{\dagger}\right) . \tag{A.23}
\end{align*}
$$

It remains to show that these operators generate the whole tower of eigenstates of the reduced density matrix.

## A. 4 The Tower of Eigenstates

Assume that $\Psi(x)$ is an eigenfunction of the reduced density matrix $\rho_{\text {red }}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d x^{\prime} \rho_{\mathrm{red}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \Psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\lambda \Psi(x) \tag{A.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show that $\hat{A}_{i}^{\dagger} \Psi(x)$ is also an eigenfunction. For this purpose we are going to calculate the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d x^{\prime} \rho_{\mathrm{red}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \hat{A}_{i}^{\dagger} \Psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{v_{m}^{i}}{\sqrt{2 \alpha_{i}}} \int d x^{\prime} \rho_{\mathrm{red}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\left[\alpha_{i} x_{m}^{\prime}-\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)_{m k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}^{\prime}}\right] \Psi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \tag{A.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating by parts yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d x^{\prime} \rho_{\mathrm{red}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \hat{A}_{i}^{\dagger} \Psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\lambda v_{m}^{i}}{\sqrt{2 \alpha_{i}}}\left[\hat{\beta}_{i} x_{m} \Psi(x)-\frac{1-\alpha_{i}}{\lambda} \int d x^{\prime} \rho_{\mathrm{red}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) x_{m}^{\prime} \Psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{A.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that by differentiating (A.24) with respect to $x$ one can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{m}^{i} \int d x^{\prime} \rho_{\mathrm{red}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) x_{m}^{\prime} \Psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\lambda v_{m}^{i}}{\hat{\beta}_{i}}\left[x_{m}+\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)_{m k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\right] \Psi(x) \tag{A.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

After some algebra we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d x^{\prime} \rho_{\text {red }}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \hat{A}_{i}^{\dagger} \Psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\lambda \xi_{i} v_{m}^{i}}{\sqrt{2 \alpha_{i}}}\left[\alpha_{i} x_{m}-\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)_{m k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\right] \Psi(x)=\lambda \xi_{i} \hat{A}_{i}^{\dagger} \Psi(x) \tag{A.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{i}$ is defined in A.9). Therefore that show that if $\Psi(x)$ is an eigenfunction of the reduced density matrix corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda$, then $\hat{A}_{i}^{\dagger} \Psi(x)$ is also an eigenfunction, corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda \xi_{i}$. It follows that the reduced density matrix has eigenfunctions and eigenvalues that read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\vec{n}}(x)=\left[\prod_{i=1}^{N-n} \frac{\left(\hat{A}_{i}^{\dagger}\right)^{n_{i}}}{\sqrt{n_{i}!}}\right] \Psi_{0}(x), \quad \lambda_{\vec{n}}=\prod_{i=1}^{N-n}\left(1-\xi_{i}\right) \xi_{i}^{n_{i}} \tag{A.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector $\vec{n}$ denotes the set of the non-negative integers $n_{i}$. We also took into account that the eigenvalue corresponding to the "ground" eigenfunction is $\operatorname{det}(I-\Xi)=\prod_{i=1}^{N-n}\left(1-\xi_{i}\right)$. The spectrum is normalized correctly, since $\sum_{n_{i}=0}^{\infty}\left(1-\xi_{i}\right) \xi_{i}^{n_{i}}=1$. Essentially the reduced density matrix factorizes to $N-n$ density matrices, one for each pair of creation and annihilation operators. Each of these density matrices has normalized spectrum. Thus, there are no other eigenfunctions corresponding to non-vanishing eigenvalues.

## B Correlation Functions of $(1+1)$-dimensional Discretized Field Theory

In this appendix we calculate the correlation functions in $(1+1)$-dimensional discretized field theory and derive the continuous limit at the very end of the calculation resulting in the equations (3.10) and (3.11).

## B. 1 The Powers of the Couplings Matrix

We write the couplings matrix (3.3) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i j}=\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left[k_{d} \delta_{i, j}-\left(\delta_{i, j+1}+\delta_{i+1, j}\right)\right], \quad \text { where } \quad k_{d}=2+\mu^{2} a^{2} . \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $K$ can be diagonalized as $K=O^{T} K_{D} O$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(K_{D}\right)_{i j}=d_{k}^{2} \delta_{i, j}, \quad \text { where } \quad d_{k}=\frac{1}{a} \sqrt{k_{d}-2 \cos \frac{\pi k}{N+1}} \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{i j}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{N+1}} \sin \frac{i j \pi}{N+1} . \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously the half-integer powers of $K$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{i j}^{2 n+1}=\sum_{k=1}^{N} d_{k}^{2 n+1} O_{i k} O_{j k} . \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{i j}^{2 n+1}=\frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=1}^{N} d_{k}^{2 n+1}\left(\cos \frac{(i-j) k \pi}{N+1}-\cos \frac{(i+j) k \pi}{N+1}\right) . \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will use the expansions

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-x)^{n+\frac{1}{2}}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{\ell}\binom{n+1 / 2}{\ell} x^{\ell} \equiv \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} c_{\ell}^{(n)+} x^{\ell},  \tag{B.6}\\
& \frac{1}{(1-x)^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\binom{n+\ell-1 / 2}{\ell} x^{\ell} \equiv \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} c_{\ell}^{(n)-} x^{\ell} . \tag{B.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Using them in order to expand $d_{k}^{2 n+1}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{i j}^{ \pm(2 n+1)}=\frac{1}{N+1}\left(\frac{k_{d}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{a^{2 n+1}}\right)^{ \pm 1} & \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} c_{\ell}^{(n) \pm}\left(\frac{2}{k_{d}}\right)^{\ell} \\
& \times \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(\cos \frac{(i-j) k \pi}{N+1}-\cos \frac{(i+j) k \pi}{N+1}\right) \cos ^{\ell} \frac{\pi k}{N+1} . \tag{B.8}
\end{align*}
$$

At this point we make use of the sum

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
S(a) & =\frac{2^{\ell}}{N+1} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \cos \frac{a k \pi}{N+1} \cos ^{\ell} \frac{k \pi}{N+1} \\
& =\frac{1+(-1)^{\ell+a}}{2}\left[\frac{2^{\ell}}{N+1}+\sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\ell+a \\
2
\end{array}-p(N+1)\right.\right. \tag{B.9}
\end{array}\right)\right],
$$

in order to replace the summation over $k$ with an infinite sum over finite- $N$ wrapping effects, namely

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{i j}^{ \pm(2 n+1)}=\left(\frac{k_{d}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{a^{2 n+1}}\right)^{ \pm 1} & \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{\ell}^{(n) \pm}}{k_{d}^{\ell}} \frac{1+(-1)^{\ell+i+j}}{2} \\
& \times \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\binom{\ell}{\frac{\ell+i-j}{2}-p(N+1)}-\binom{\ell}{\frac{\ell+i+j}{2}-p(N+1)}\right] . \tag{B.10}
\end{align*}
$$

We interchange the summation over wrappings and the summation over powers of $k_{d}$ and perform the latter using the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{\ell}^{(n) \pm}}{k_{d}^{\ell}} \frac{1+(-1)^{\ell+\alpha}}{2}\binom{\ell}{\frac{\ell+\alpha}{2}}=\frac{1}{k_{d}^{|\alpha|}}\binom{\alpha_{ \pm}-1}{|\alpha|}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{\alpha_{ \pm}}{2}, \frac{\alpha_{ \pm}+1}{2} ;|\alpha|+1 ; \frac{4}{k_{d}^{2}}\right) \tag{B.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{ \pm}=\mp n+|\alpha| \mp \frac{1}{2}$. For the specific values of the parameters, the hypergeometric function can be expressed in terms of Associated Legendre functions of the second kind of type 3 using the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, a+\frac{1}{2} ; c ; z\right)=\frac{2^{c-\frac{1}{2}} z^{\frac{1}{4}(1-2 c)} \Gamma(c)(1-z)^{\frac{1}{4}(2 c-1)-a} \mathfrak{Q}_{c-\frac{3}{2}}^{2 a-c+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{z}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2 a) e^{i \pi\left(2 a-c+\frac{1}{2}\right)}} . \tag{B.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting everything together, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{i j}^{2 n+1}=\frac{1}{a^{2 n+1}} \frac{e^{(n+1) i \pi}\left(k_{d}^{2}-4\right)^{(n+1) / 2}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(-n-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\mathfrak{Q}_{\left\lvert\, a_{-\left\lvert\,-\frac{1}{2}\right.}^{-1-n}\right.}\left(k_{d} / 2\right)-\mathfrak{Q}_{\left|a_{+}\right|-\frac{1}{2}}^{-1-n}\left(k_{d} / 2\right)\right],  \tag{B.13}\\
& \Omega_{i j}^{-(2 n+1)}=a^{2 n+1} \frac{e^{-n i \pi}\left(k_{d}^{2}-4\right)^{-n / 2}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\mathfrak{Q}_{\left\lvert\, a_{-\left\lvert\,-\frac{1}{2}\right.}^{n}\right.}^{n}\left(k_{d} / 2\right)-\mathfrak{Q}_{\left|a_{+}\right|-\frac{1}{2}}^{n}\left(k_{d} / 2\right)\right], \tag{B.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{ \pm}=i \pm j-2 p(N+1)$. The parameter $n$ can be analytically continued to $\mathbb{C}$ excluding the poles of the Gamma function that appear above.

## B. 2 The Continuous Limit

Unfortunately, we are unable to continue the calculation of the entanglement spectrum in the lattice model. Therefore we derive the continuous limit of (B.13) and (B.14) in order to continue the calculation. For matrices this limit is given by equation (3.5). For the matrices of interest the continuous limit is obtained using the limit representation of the modified Bessel function of the 2nd kind $K$ in terms of the Associated Legendre functions of the second kind of type 3 , namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \nu^{-\mu} \mathfrak{Q}_{\nu}^{\mu}\left(\cosh \frac{z}{\nu}\right)=e^{\mu \pi i} K_{\mu}(z) . \tag{B.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a massive $(1+1)$-dimensional field theory $d_{k}=2+\mu^{2} a^{2}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cosh (\mu a)=\frac{d_{k}}{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(a^{4}\right) . \tag{B.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{a \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\left(d_{k}-2\right)^{(n+1) / 2}}{a^{n+1}} \mathfrak{Q}_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{-1-n}\left(d_{k} / 2\right) & =\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\mu}{z^{\prime}}\right)^{n+1} \nu^{n+1} \mathfrak{Q}_{\nu}^{-1-n}\left(\cosh \frac{\mu z^{\prime}}{\nu}\right)  \tag{B.17}\\
& =\left(\frac{\mu}{z}\right)^{n+1}(-1)^{n+1} K_{n+1}(\mu z),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu=z^{\prime} / a, z=k a$, and $z^{\prime}=z-(a / 2)$. We took into account that $K_{-n}(z)=K_{n}(z)$. Notice that $z$ it kept fixed as $a \rightarrow 0$. Thus, we conclude that the kernels $\Omega^{2 n+1}(x, y)$ and $\Omega^{-(2 n+1)}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega^{2 n+1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{(2 \mu)^{n+1}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(-n-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{K_{n+1}\left(\mu\left|x_{-}\right|\right)}{\left|x_{-}\right|^{n+1}}-\frac{K_{n+1}\left(\mu\left|x_{+}\right|\right)}{\left|x_{+}\right|^{n+1}}\right)  \tag{B.18}\\
\Omega^{-(2 n+1)}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{(2 \mu)^{-n}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\left|x_{-}\right|^{n} K_{n}\left(\mu\left|x_{-}\right|\right)-\left|x_{+}\right|^{n} K_{n}\left(\mu\left|x_{+}\right|\right)\right), \tag{B.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x_{ \pm}=x \pm x^{\prime}-2 p L$.

## B. 3 Integral Representation and Resummation

Since equations B.18) and B.19) contain infinite sums, they are not very handy for calculations. We use the integral representations of the Modified Bessel functions of the 2nd kind

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{\nu}(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} d t e^{-z \cosh t} \cosh (\nu t), \quad \operatorname{Re}(z)>0,  \tag{B.20}\\
& K_{\nu}(z)=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\nu} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t e^{-z \cosh t} \sinh ^{2 \nu} t, \quad \operatorname{Re}(z)>0, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\nu)>-\frac{1}{2} \tag{B.21}
\end{align*}
$$

and perform the summation over $p$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega^{2 n+1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{2\left(-\mu^{2}\right)^{n+1}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t \frac{\sinh \left(\mu\left(L-x_{>}\right) \cosh t\right) \sinh \left(\mu x_{<} \cosh t\right)}{\sinh (\mu L \cosh t)} \sinh ^{2 n+2} t,  \tag{B.22}\\
\Omega^{-1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t \frac{\sinh \left(\mu\left(L-x_{>}\right) \cosh t\right) \sinh \left(\mu x_{<} \cosh t\right)}{\sinh (\mu L \cosh t)} \tag{B.23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x_{>}:=\max \left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ and $x_{<}:=\min \left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$. Notice that these integrals are convergent. A formula for $\Omega^{-(2 n+1)}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ for a generic value of $n$ is more complicated and we omit it, since it is not necessary for our analysis.

We calculate the kernel $\Omega^{-1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ using contour integration. First we extend the range of integration from $[0, \infty)$ to $(-\infty, \infty)$ using the fact that the integrand is an even function of $t$. Then we shift the integration variable $t \rightarrow t+i \pi$ to half of the integral to yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega^{-1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t & \frac{\sinh \left(\mu\left(L-x_{>}\right) \cosh t\right) \sinh \left(\mu x_{<} \cosh t\right)}{\sinh (\mu L \cosh t)} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\infty+i \pi}^{-\infty+i \pi} d t \frac{\sinh \left(\mu\left(L-x_{>}\right) \cosh t\right) \sinh \left(\mu x_{<} \cosh t\right)}{\sinh (\mu L \cosh t)} \tag{B.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider the integral in the complex plane along the rectangular contour defined by the edges $(-R, R),(R, R+i \pi),(R+i \pi,-R+i \pi)$ and $(-R+i \pi, R)$. It is easy to see that in the $R \rightarrow \infty$ limit, the sides that are parallel to the imaginary axis do not contribute, implying that it reduces to equation $(\overline{\mathrm{B} .24})$. Its value is determined solely by its residues that lie at $z=\ln \left[\frac{k \pi}{\mu L}+\sqrt{1+\frac{k^{2} \pi^{2}}{\mu^{2} L^{2}}}\right]+i \frac{\pi}{2}$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}$. Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{-1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{2}{L} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\omega_{k}} \sin \frac{k \pi x}{L} \sin \frac{k \pi x^{\prime}}{L}, \quad \text { where } \quad \omega_{k}=\left(\mu^{2}+\frac{k^{2} \pi^{2}}{L^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{B.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, $\Omega^{2 n+1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{2 n+1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{2}{L} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_{k}^{2 n+1} \sin \frac{k \pi x}{L} \sin \frac{k \pi x^{\prime}}{L} \tag{B.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify than in the massless case one obtains $8^{8}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega^{2 n+1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{2}{L} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k \pi}{L}\right)^{2 n+1} \sin \frac{k \pi x}{L} \sin \frac{k \pi y}{L}  \tag{B.28}\\
& =\frac{\pi^{2 n+1}}{L^{2 n+2}}\left[C l_{-(2 n+1)}\left(\frac{\pi(x-y)}{L}\right)-C l_{-(2 n+1)}\left(\frac{\pi(x+y)}{L}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The result can be analytically continued to $\mathbb{C}$ excluding even powers of $\Omega$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{-(2 n+1)}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{L^{2 n}}{\pi^{2 n+1}}\left[C l_{2 n+1}\left(\frac{\pi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)}{L}\right)-C l_{2 n+1}\left(\frac{\pi\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)}{L}\right)\right] \tag{B.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consistency check, taking the massless limit of (B.18) and B.19) one is able to verify that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{\pi}{4 L^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)}{2 L}}-\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)}{2 L}}\right)  \tag{B.30}\\
\Omega^{-1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \ln \frac{\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)}{2 L}}{\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)}{2 L}} \tag{B.31}
\end{align*}
$$

[^6]which coincide with (3.10) and (3.11). Finally, the kernels are normalized since
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{L} d y \Omega^{-1}(x, y) \Omega\left(y, x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{2}{L} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin \frac{n \pi x}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi x^{\prime}}{L}=\delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \tag{B.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

## B. 4 Massless Limit Verification

In this section we calculate the kernels $\Omega\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ and $\Omega^{-1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ in the massless limit directly from (B.18) and (B.19), without the contour integration of the previous section. For this purpose we need the expansions

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{0}(\mu z) & =-\left(\gamma+\ln \frac{\mu z}{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\mu^{2}\right)  \tag{B.33}\\
\mu^{n+1} K_{n+1}(\mu z) & =\frac{n!2^{n}}{z^{n+1}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\mu^{2}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{B.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Using them, it is straightforward to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega^{2 n+1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{(-1)^{n+1}(2 n+1)!}{\pi} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(x_{-}^{-2(n+1)}-x_{+}^{-2(n+1)}\right)  \tag{B.35}\\
\Omega^{-1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =-\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \ln \left|\frac{x_{-}}{x_{+}}\right| \tag{B.36}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x_{ \pm}=x \pm x^{\prime}-2 p L$. In particular the kernels $\Omega\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ and $\Omega^{-1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ read

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =-\frac{1}{4 \pi L^{2}} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\left(\frac{x-x^{\prime}}{2 L}-p\right)^{-2}-\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{2 L}-p\right)^{-2}\right]  \tag{B.37}\\
\Omega^{-1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =-\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \ln \left|\frac{\frac{x-x^{\prime}}{2 L}-p}{\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{2 L}-p}\right| \tag{B.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the following infinite products and sums:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{x-x^{\prime}}{2 L}-p}{\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{2 L}-p}=\frac{x-x^{\prime}}{x+x^{\prime}} \prod_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{x-x^{\prime}}{2 L}\right)^{2}-p^{2}}{\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{2 L}\right)^{2}-p^{2}}=\frac{\sin \frac{\pi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)}{2 L}}{\sin \frac{\pi\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)}{2 L}} \tag{B.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x-p)^{2}}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{\sin ^{2}(\pi x)} \tag{B.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{\pi}{4 L^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)}{2 L}}-\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)}{2 L}}\right),  \tag{B.41}\\
\Omega^{-1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =-\frac{1}{\pi} \ln \left|\frac{\sin \frac{\pi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)}{2 L}}{\sin \frac{\pi\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)}{2 L}}\right|, \tag{B.42}
\end{align*}
$$

which coincide with (3.10) and (3.11).

## C The Eigenvalue Problem and the Modular Hamiltonian

In this appendix, we present the technical details of the derivation of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the kernel $\tilde{M}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ in the case of one-dimensional field theory defined on a finite interval and a single-point boundary separating the two subsystems, given by equation (4.2). Furthermore we present some technical details on the derivation of the modular Hamiltonian in the same configuration.

## C. 1 The Eigenvalue Problem

The eigenvalue problem that we would like to solve is given in equation 4.3). We introduce new coordinates $u=u(x)$ and $u^{\prime}=u\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ that facilitate its solution. In these coordinates the kernel becomes a sum of two terms: one that is a function of $u+u^{\prime}$ and another one that is the same function of $u-u^{\prime}$. This is achieved by defining the logarithms in equation (4.2) as the new coordinates, namely $y^{9}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u(x)=\ln \frac{\sin \frac{\pi(x+\ell)}{2 L}}{\sin \frac{\pi(x-\ell)}{2 L}}=\pi \Omega^{-1}(x, \ell), \quad u^{\prime}=u\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\ln \frac{\sin \frac{\pi\left(x^{\prime}+\ell\right)}{2 L}}{\sin \frac{\pi\left(x^{\prime}-\ell\right)}{2 L}}=\pi \Omega^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}, \ell\right) . \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These relations can be inverted as $\sqrt[10]{10}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{2 L}{\pi} \arctan \left(\tan \frac{\pi \ell}{2 L} \operatorname{coth} \frac{u}{2}\right), \quad x^{\prime}=\frac{2 L}{\pi} \arctan \left(\tan \frac{\pi \ell}{2 L} \operatorname{coth} \frac{u^{\prime}}{2}\right) . \tag{C.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{u \rightarrow 0^{+}} x=L, \quad \lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} x=\ell . \tag{C.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above imply that in terms of the coordinates $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ the eigenvalue problem assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d u^{\prime}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{u^{\prime}-u}{\tanh \frac{u^{\prime}-u}{2}}-\frac{u^{\prime}+u}{\tanh \frac{u^{\prime}+u}{2}}\right) f\left(u^{\prime}\right)=\lambda f(u) . \tag{C.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of this problem is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u ; \omega)=\sin (\omega u), \quad \lambda(\omega)=-\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2}(\pi \omega)}, \tag{C.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega>0$. To prove this statement let us search for eigenfunctions of the form $f(u ; \omega)$. We denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d u^{\prime}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{u-u^{\prime}}{\tanh \frac{u-u^{\prime}}{2}}-\frac{u^{\prime}+u}{\tanh \frac{u+u^{\prime}}{2}}\right) \sin \left(\omega u^{\prime}\right) . \tag{C.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we may split the two terms of the integrand and set $u^{\prime} \rightarrow-u^{\prime}$ on the first term. Then, the two integrands coincide, thus we obtain a single integral, but the range of integration

[^7]has been extended from $[0, \infty)$ to $(-\infty, \infty)$. This in turn implies that we are free to shift the variable of integration, i.e.
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d u^{\prime}}{2 \pi^{2}} \frac{u^{\prime}+u}{\tanh \frac{u+u^{\prime}}{2}} \sin \left(\omega u^{\prime}\right)=-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d u^{\prime}}{2 \pi^{2}} \frac{u^{\prime}}{\tanh \frac{u^{\prime}}{2}} \sin \left(\omega\left(u^{\prime}-u\right)\right) . \tag{C.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Finally, since the integral is defined in a symmetric domain, only the symmetric part of $\sin \left(\omega\left(u^{\prime}-u\right)\right)$ contributes, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\sin (\omega u) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d u^{\prime}}{2 \pi^{2}} \frac{u^{\prime}}{\tanh \frac{u^{\prime}}{2}} \cos \left(\omega u^{\prime}\right) . \tag{C.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This integral can be calculated using contour integration and one ends up with

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=-\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2}(\pi \omega)} \sin (\omega u) . \tag{C.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The kernel $\tilde{M}$ is not symmetric, which implies that its left and right eigenfunctions do not coincide. As a result, in order to obtain the spectral decomposition of $\tilde{M}$ we have to specify the left eigenfunctions too. It turns out that this is straightforward. The eigenvalue problem for the left eigenfunctions $g(u)$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d u}{2 \pi^{2}} \frac{\cosh u^{\prime}-\cos \frac{\pi \ell}{L}}{\cosh u-\cos \frac{\pi \ell}{L}}\left(\frac{u^{\prime}-u}{\tanh \frac{u^{\prime}-u}{2}}-\frac{u^{\prime}+u}{\tanh \frac{u^{\prime}+u}{2}}\right) g(u)=-\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2}(\pi \omega)} g\left(u^{\prime}\right) . \tag{C.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, trivially, the eigenfunctions read

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(u)=\left(\cosh u-\cos \frac{\pi \ell}{L}\right) f(u) . \tag{C.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{d x}{d u}\right|=\frac{L}{\pi} \frac{\sin \frac{\pi \ell}{L}}{\cosh u-\cos \frac{\pi \ell}{L}}, \tag{C.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

so this extra factor in equation (C.11) is related to the change of variable from $x$ to $u$.
We have obtained the left and right eigenfunctions separately. We need to fix their normalization. Since we have parametrized the eigenfunctions using the continuous parameter $\omega$, we specify the normalization factor $h(\omega)$ demanding that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\omega) \int_{\ell}^{L} d x g(u(x) ; \omega) f\left(u(x) ; \omega^{\prime}\right)=\delta\left(\omega-\omega^{\prime}\right) . \tag{C.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Changing the variable of integration to $u$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L}{\pi} h(\omega) \sin \frac{\pi \ell}{L} \int_{0}^{\infty} d u \sin (\omega u) \sin \left(\omega^{\prime} u\right)=\delta\left(\omega-\omega^{\prime}\right)=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d u \sin (\omega u) \sin \left(\omega^{\prime} u\right) . \tag{C.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, the normalization factor is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\omega)=\frac{2}{L} \frac{1}{\sin \frac{\pi \ell}{L}} . \tag{C.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting everything together, the spectral decomposition of the kernel $\tilde{M}$ is given by 4.6).

## C. 2 The Modular Hamiltonian

In this appendix we derive the closed form of the kernels $\tilde{K}(x, y)$ and $\tilde{V}(x, y)$, which are given by (4.16) and 4.19). This calculation results in the modular Hamiltonian 4.22).

Recall that we use the notation $u_{x}=u(x), u_{y}=u(y)$ and $u^{\prime}=u\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. First we perform the integration over $u^{\prime}$. We express the logarithm in 4.16) as difference of two logarithms and split the integral into two terms. Then we perform the change of variables $u^{\prime} \rightarrow-u^{\prime}$ on the second integral only. After this change of variable the integrands of the two integrals become identical. We combine both integrals into a single integral that has range of integration from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. Then, we perform the change of variable $u^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime}+u_{y}$ to yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{K}(x, y)=-\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \omega \frac{\omega \sin \left(\omega u_{x}\right)}{\operatorname{coth}(\pi \omega)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d u^{\prime} \sin \left(\omega\left(u^{\prime}+u_{y}\right)\right) \ln \left|\sinh \frac{u^{\prime}}{2}\right| \tag{C.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Only the symmetric part of $\sin \left(\omega\left(u^{\prime}+u_{y}\right)\right)$ contributes to the result, thus we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{K}(x, y)=-\frac{4}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \omega \frac{\omega \sin \left(\omega u_{x}\right) \sin \left(\omega u_{y}\right)}{\operatorname{coth}(\pi \omega)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d u^{\prime} \cos \left(\omega u^{\prime}\right) \ln \sinh \frac{u^{\prime}}{2} \tag{C.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $u^{\prime}$ integral can be calculated as ${ }^{11}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d u^{\prime} \cos \left(\omega u^{\prime}\right) \ln \sinh \frac{u^{\prime}}{2}=\frac{1}{2 \omega} \operatorname{coth}(\pi \omega)+\delta(\omega) \ln 2 \tag{C.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, putting everything together, the kernel reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{K}(x, y)=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} d \omega \sin \left(\omega u_{x}\right) \sin \left(\omega u_{y}\right)=\pi \delta\left(u_{x}-u_{y}\right) \tag{C.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Restoring the original coordinates, it turns out that the kernel $\tilde{K}(x, y)$ is given by 4.18.
We treat in a similar manner the kernel $\tilde{V}(x, y)$, which is given by 4.19). We perform the integral over $u^{\prime}$ first. We implement the same strategy in order to express this integral as an integral of a single term over the region $(-\infty, \infty)$ and then we shift the coordinate $u^{\prime}$. Doing so, the integral assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} d u^{\prime} \sin \left(\omega u^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{u_{x}+u^{\prime}}{2}}-\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{u_{x}-u^{\prime}}{2}}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d u^{\prime} \frac{\sin \left(\omega\left(u^{\prime}-u_{x}\right)\right)}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{u^{\prime}}{2}} \tag{C.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^8]Only the symmetric part of $\sin \left(\omega\left(u^{\prime}-u_{x}\right)\right)$ contributes. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} d u^{\prime} \sin \left(\omega u^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{u_{x}+u^{\prime}}{2}}-\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{u_{x}-u^{\prime}}{2}}\right)=-2 \sin \left(\omega u_{x}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} d u^{\prime} \frac{\cos \left(\omega u^{\prime}\right)}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{u^{\prime}}{2}} \tag{C.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This integral can be calculated using contour integration ${ }^{12}$, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} d u^{\prime} \sin \left(\omega u^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{u_{x}+u^{\prime}}{2}}-\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{u_{x}-u^{\prime}}{2}}\right)=4 \pi \omega \sin \left(\omega u_{x}\right) \operatorname{coth}(\pi \omega) \tag{C.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting in (4.19) and using (C.12 the kernel $\tilde{V}(x, y)$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{V}(x, y)=2 \frac{d u_{x}}{d x} \frac{d u_{y}}{d y} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \omega \omega^{2} \sin \left(\omega u_{y}\right) \sin \left(\omega u_{x}\right)=-\frac{d u_{x}}{d x} \frac{d u_{y}}{d y} \frac{d^{2}}{d u_{x}^{2}} \delta\left(u_{x}-u_{y}\right) . \tag{C.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using properties of the delta function, it is straightforward to show that $\tilde{V}(x, y)$ assumes the form 4.21.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is natural to wonder how classical correlations and correlations due to quantum entanglement can be distinguished for mixed states. The answer to this question is much more complicated. Such an analysis is beyond our scope. The reader may consult 3 .

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Keep in mind that the vacuum is a very special state. For an arbitrary state entanglement entropy is extensive 50.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ There is a simple way to expand the reduced density matrix in terms of its eigenfunctions. After the coordinate transformations the reduced density matrix has the form

    $$
    \begin{equation*}
    \rho_{\mathrm{red}}\left(\hat{x}, \hat{x}^{\prime}\right)=\prod_{i=n}^{N} \sqrt{\frac{1-\hat{\beta}_{i}}{\pi}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{x}_{i}^{2}+\hat{x}_{i}^{\prime 2}\right)+\hat{x}_{i}^{T} \hat{\beta} \hat{x}_{i}^{\prime}\right] . \tag{2.23}
    \end{equation*}
    $$

    Then, using the Melher's formula

    $$
    \begin{equation*}
    \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\sigma^{2}}} \exp \left[-\frac{\sigma^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-2 \sigma x y}{1-\sigma^{2}}\right]=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\sigma / 2)^{n}}{n!} H_{n}(x) H_{n}(y), \tag{2.24}
    \end{equation*}
    $$

    with $x=\sqrt{\alpha_{i}} \hat{x}_{i}, y=\sqrt{\alpha_{i}} \hat{x}_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\sigma=\xi_{i}$, where $\xi_{i}$ is defined in A.99, we obtain the expansion of the reduced density matrix to its eigenfunctions.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ One may wonder about the behaviour of the sum over $k$. The upper bound of summation is $N$ and we use the limit

    $$
    \begin{equation*}
    \lim _{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{4}{a^{2}} \sin ^{2} \frac{a \pi k}{2 L}=\left(\frac{\pi k}{L}\right)^{2} \tag{3.7}
    \end{equation*}
    $$

    which is justified by the result we obtain, but is questionable in the first place because $k$ gets arbitrarily large values. One could derive the spectrum directly in the continuous theory via the eigenvalue problem $-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}} f(x)=\lambda f(x), f(0)=f(L)=0$, which obviously leads to 3.6. In Appendix B we calculate the matrix elements $\Omega_{i j}$ and $\left(\Omega^{-1}\right)_{i j}$ in the discretized theory and obtain the corresponding kernels at the very end of the calculation.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ As we discussed in the previous section, using the kernel $\tilde{M}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$, instead of $M\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$, allows us to avoid the singularities at $y \rightarrow x$ and at $y \rightarrow x^{\prime}$. However there is still one singularity left that appears when $x \rightarrow \ell$ or $x^{\prime} \rightarrow \ell$ and $y \rightarrow \ell$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ The same mapping is used in 65 .
    ${ }^{7}$ In the case of the discrete system each mode of the subsystem lies in a thermal state, but the corresponding temperatures differ 8 .

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ Clausen functions of order $n$ are defined in terms of polylogarithms as

    $$
    C l_{n}(\theta)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
    \frac{i}{2}\left[L_{n}\left(e^{-i \theta}\right)-L_{n}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right], & n & \text { even }  \tag{B.27}\\
    \frac{1}{2}\left[L_{n}\left(e^{-i \theta}\right)+L_{n}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right], & n & \text { odd }
    \end{array}\right.
    $$

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ Had we considered the subsystem defined in $[0, \ell]$ we should substitute $(x-\ell)$ with $(\ell-x)$.
    ${ }^{10}$ For the subsystem defined in $[0, \ell]$ one should substitute coth with tanh.

[^8]:    ${ }^{11}$ The following Fourier transforms are required:

    $$
    \begin{align*}
    & \int_{0}^{\infty} d u^{\prime} \cos \left(\omega u^{\prime}\right) \log \left[1-\exp \left(-u^{\prime}\right)\right]=\frac{1-\pi \omega \operatorname{coth}(\pi \omega)}{2 \omega^{2}},  \tag{C.18}\\
    & \int_{0}^{\infty} d u^{\prime} \cos \left(\omega u^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime}=\frac{d}{d \omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} d u^{\prime} \sin \left(\omega u^{\prime}\right)=\frac{d}{d \omega} \frac{1}{\omega}=-\frac{1}{\omega^{2}},  \tag{C.19}\\
    & \int_{0}^{\infty} d u^{\prime} \cos \left(\omega u^{\prime}\right)=\pi \delta(\omega) . \tag{C.20}
    \end{align*}
    $$

[^9]:    ${ }^{12}$ We express the cosine as a sum of exponentials and set $u^{\prime} \rightarrow-u^{\prime}$ in the term $\exp \left(-i \omega u^{\prime}\right)$. We obtain an integral of a single exponential from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. We can close the contour using the upper half plane. The integral has poles on the imaginary axis at $u^{\prime}=2 i n \pi$. Using the contour that does not include the pole at $u^{\prime}=0$, we obtain

    $$
    \begin{equation*}
    \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i \omega u^{\prime}}}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{u^{\prime}}{2}}=\frac{1}{2}(-8 \pi \omega)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(-8 \pi \omega e^{-2 n \pi \omega}\right)=-4 \pi \omega \operatorname{coth}(\pi \omega) \tag{C.25}
    \end{equation*}
    $$

    where the first term is the contribution of the integration around the pole at $u^{\prime}=0$ after dropping the divergent term.

