

# ON THE EXISTENCE OF WEIGHTED-CSCK METRICS

JIYUAN HAN AND YAXIONG LIU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that on a smooth Kähler manifold, the  $G$ -coercivity of the weighted Mabuchi functional implies the existence of weighted-cscK (extremal) metric (firstly studied in [Lah19]), e.g. cscK metric, Kähler–Ricci soliton. Furthermore, we generalize this result to the case of  $G$ -equivariant  $Q$ -Gorenstein smoothable projective klt varieties.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Organization	4
Relation with Di-Nezza–Jubert–Lahdili’s work	4
Acknowledgement	4
2. Preliminaries	4
2.A. Weighted Monge–Ampère measures, space of potentials, and functionals	5
2.B. Weighted-cscK equations	6
2.C. Examples	9
3. Apriori estimates	10
3.A. Uniform $C^0$ -estimate	10
3.B. $W^{2,p}$ -estimate	12
3.C. Gradient estimate of $F - \log v + f_*$	16
4. Proof of Continuity Method	22
4.A. Openness	22
4.B. Closedness	25
5. An application to smoothable klt varieties	30
6. Appendix	35
6.A. Apriori estimates for weighted cscK equation	35
6.B. Linearization of operators	40
References	41

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we apply the variational approach and continuity method to study the weighted-cscK (extremal) metrics, whose study is initiated in papers [Lah19, Lah23, AJL23]. The weighted-cscK (extremal) metric is a natural generalization of cscK metric. The (generalized) Yau–Tian–Donaldson conjecture predicts the equivalence between the existence of the cscK metric and the  $G$ -uniform K-stability condition. By the work of many authors (see an incomplete list: [DR17, BDL20, CC21a, CC21b, Li22b, BJ23]), much progress has been

made, and it is known that the existence of the cscK metric is equivalent to the  $\mathbb{G}$ -coercivity of the Mabuchi functional, and can be implied by the  $\mathbb{G}$ -uniformly K-stability for models.

The category of weighted-cscK metrics includes a large class of important metrics, such as Kähler–Einstein metrics, cscK metrics, Kähler–Ricci solitons, Mabuchi solitons,  $\mu$ -cscK metrics, etc. It provides a universal framework to treat these different canonical metrics. This viewpoint has some interesting applications, e.g. in [Li21], the author finds the Ricci-flat Kähler cone metric on an irregular cone by transforming it (within the weighted-cscK category) to a  $g$ -soliton on a quasi-regular cone. Because of its importance, it is attempting to generalize the Yau–Tian–Donaldson type theory to the weighted-cscK case which would give an algebraic criterion for the resolution of the equation.

Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Kähler manifold. Let  $T$  be an  $\ell$ -dimensional real torus generated by Hamiltonian vector fields of  $(X, \omega)$ . The complexified torus  $T_{\mathbb{C}}$  acts holomorphically on  $(X, \omega)$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t} := \text{Lie}(T)$  is identified with  $N_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ . Similarly, we identify the dual  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  with  $M_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$  with the corresponding dual real coordinates, which will be denote by  $y := (y_1, \dots, y_{\ell})$ .

Let  $\{\zeta^{\alpha}\}$  be a standard basis of  $\mathfrak{t}$ , and let  $m_{\phi}^{\zeta^{\alpha}} \in C^{\infty}(X)$  be the associated Hamiltonian function for a Kähler form  $\omega_{\phi} \in [\omega]$ . We have

$$\iota_{\zeta^{\alpha}} \omega_{\phi} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \bar{\partial} m_{\phi}^{\zeta^{\alpha}}.$$

By [Ati82], the image of  $m_{\phi} : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^* = \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$  is a convex polytope  $P$ , which is called the *associated moment polytope*. It is explicitly given by

$$m_{\phi}(x) := (m_{\phi}^{\zeta^1}(x), \dots, m_{\phi}^{\zeta^{\ell}}(x)), \quad P := m_{\phi}(X).$$

We use  $\alpha, \beta$  to represent the index of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}$ , and  $i, j$  to represent the index of base manifold  $X$ . Let  $y = (y_{\alpha}) \in P$  denote for the coordinate. For any smooth function  $v$  on  $P$ , we will always use  $v_{,\alpha}$  to denote the derivative of  $v$  over  $y_{\alpha}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** *We define the Lie algebra*

$$\text{aut}_T(X) = \{\zeta \in \text{aut}(X) : [\zeta, c] = 0, \forall c \in \mathfrak{t}\}.$$

Let  $\text{Aut}_T(X)$  be the connected subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  that is generated by  $\text{aut}_T(X)$ . Let  $\mathbb{G} = K_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \text{Aut}_T(X)$  be a reductive Lie group, and  $K$  contains a maximal torus of  $\text{Aut}_T(X)$ . Denote  $\mathbb{T}$  as the center of  $\mathbb{G}$ . Note that, since  $T$  is a subgroup of the center of  $\text{Aut}_T(X)$  and  $K$  contains a maximal torus, we have  $T \subset K$ .

In [Lah19], the author considers the following weighted-cscK equation

$$(1.1) \quad S_v(\phi) = w(m_{\phi}) \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}(m_{\phi}),$$

where  $v, w \in C^{\infty}(P, \mathbb{R}_{>0})$ ,  $\ell_{\text{ext}}$  is an affine function on  $P$ , and the weighted-scalar curvature is given by

$$S_v(\phi) := v(m_{\phi})S(\phi) - 2v_{,\alpha}\Delta_{\phi}(m_{\phi}^{\zeta^{\alpha}}) - v_{,\alpha\beta}(m_{\phi})\langle \zeta^{\alpha}, \zeta^{\beta} \rangle_{\phi}.$$

Note that when  $v = w = \text{const}$ , the solution of (1.1) is the extremal metric.

Equation (1.1) can be equivalently written as a system of second-order PDEs:

$$(1.2a) \quad \begin{cases} v(m_{\phi})(\omega + \text{dd}^c \phi)^n = e^F \omega^n, \\ \left( \Delta_{\phi} - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_{\phi})}{v(m_{\phi})} J \zeta^{\alpha} \right) F = -\ell_{\text{ext}}(m_{\phi}) \frac{w(m_{\phi})}{v(m_{\phi})} + \frac{\text{tr}_{v,\phi} \text{Ric}(\omega)}{v(m_{\phi})}, \end{cases}$$

where for a  $(1,1)$ -form  $\eta$ ,  $\text{tr}_{v,\phi}(\eta)$  is defined by

$$\text{tr}_{v,\phi}(\eta) := v(m_\phi)\text{tr}_\phi\eta + \langle (dv)(m_\phi), m_\eta \rangle,$$

for the detail definition of  $m_\eta$  see Subsection 2.A.

From the variational point of view, the equation (1.1) can also be considered as the Euler-Lagrangian of the weighted Mabuchi functional  $\mathbb{M}_{v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}$  (see Definition 2.5). In [Lah23], the author shows that the weighted-cscK metric is a minimizer of  $\mathbb{M}_{v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}$  and such metric is unique up to automorphism. It is proved in [AJL23] that the existence of the solution of (1.1) implies the  $\mathbb{G}$ -coercivity of  $\mathbb{M}_{v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}$ . In this article, we aim to prove the inverse direction and conclude the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.2.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1). *Up to the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}_T(X)$ , there exists a unique solution of the weighted-cscK (extremal) equation (1.1).*
- (2). *The weighted Mabuchi functional for weighted-cscK (extremal) equation is  $\mathbb{G}$ -coercive, i.e.,*

$$\mathbb{M}_{v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi) \geq \delta \cdot \mathbb{J}_{v,T}(\phi) - C,$$

for some constants  $\delta > 0$ ,  $C > 0$ , and any  $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1(X, \omega)$ .

To show (2) implies (1), we consider the following weighted version of the continuity path of Chen [Che18] for weighted extremal metrics,

$$(1.3) \quad t \left( \frac{S_v(\phi)}{w(m_\phi)} - \ell_{\text{ext}}(m_\phi) \right) - (1-t) \left( \frac{\Lambda_{v,\phi}(\theta)}{w(m_\phi)} - \underline{\theta} \right) = 0,$$

where  $\theta$  is a  $K$ -invariant smooth positive  $(1,1)$ -form in the same class of  $\omega$ .

**Remark 1.3.** The idea of the continuity path (1.3) can be seen from the variational picture. In terms of the weighted Mabuchi functional, since  $-\text{Ric}(\omega)$  may not be positive, replace a small part of  $-\mathbb{E}_v^{\text{Ric}}$  by  $\mathbb{E}_v^\theta$  for a positive  $(1,1)$ -form  $\theta$  will make the weighted Mabuchi functional more coercive. Intuitively, this makes (1.3) always solvable for  $t \in [0, 1)$ .

Similar with [CC21a], the equation (1.3) can be re-written as a coupled PDE system

$$(1.4a) \quad \begin{cases} v(m_\phi)\omega_\phi^n = e^F\omega^n, \\ \left( \Delta_\phi - \frac{v,\alpha(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)}J_S^{\alpha} \right) F = -\ell_{\text{ext}}(m_\phi)\frac{w(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right)\frac{\text{tr}_{v,\phi}(\theta)}{v(m_\phi)} \\ (1.4b) \quad \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right)\frac{\underline{\theta}}{v(m_\phi)} + \frac{\text{tr}_{v,\phi}\text{Ric}(\omega)}{v(m_\phi)}. \end{cases}$$

Compared with the equations in [CC21a], the first equation (1.4a) is a weighted Monge-Ampère equation, and the second linear equation (1.4b) also contains first-order derivative terms. This makes the  $C^0$ -estimate and  $C^2$ -estimate of  $\phi$ , the gradient estimate of  $F$  more challenging.

We prove a uniform  $C^0$ -estimate by employing the argument in [GP22]. This also enables us to study the existence of weighted-cscK metrics on a smoothable klt variety. In addition, in the calculation of  $C^2$ -estimate of  $\phi$  and gradient estimate of  $F$ , we need to choose new test functions and integral weights in order to deal with the first-order derivative terms produced from the Bochner formula.

In the study of the moduli problem (e.g, of general type or Fano type varieties), it is inevitable to solve canonical metric equations on varieties with singularities ([SSY16, LWX19, LTW21, LTW22, Li22a, DNGG23, MW24]). Therefore, we are also interested in finding

weighted-cscK metrics on projective varieties with klt singularities. As our first attempt, we state an application of our uniform estimates above to the case of  $\mathbf{G}$ -equivariant  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Gorenstein smoothable klt varieties, which gives a generalization of the result [PTT23] in weighted-cscK setting.

**Definition 1.4.** *Let  $X$  be a normal klt variety with an ample line bundle  $L$ . Let  $\mathbf{G} \subset \text{Aut}_T(X)$  be a reductive Lie group as defined before. A  $\mathbf{G}$ -equivariant  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Gorenstein smoothing of  $X$  is a pair  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ , which satisfies:*

- (1).  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Gorenstein scheme associated with a flat projective morphism  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ , such that  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $\pi$ -relatively ample,  $\mathcal{X}_t$  is smooth for  $t \neq 0$ ,  $(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0) \simeq (X, L)$ .
- (2).  $\mathbf{G}$  acts on  $\mathcal{X}$ , such that on each  $\mathcal{X}_t$ ,  $\mathbf{G} \subset \text{Aut}(X_t)$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $(X, L, T)$  be a normal klt variety with an ample line bundle  $L$  and a torus action  $T$ . Let  $\mathbf{G} \subset \text{Aut}_T(X)$  be a reductive Lie group which contains a maximal torus of  $\text{Aut}_T(X)$ . Assume  $(X, L)$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ -equivariant  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Gorenstein smoothable. Then, the weighted Mabuchi functional  $M_{v,w}$  on  $X$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ -coercive implies that there exists a singular weighted-cscK (extremal) metric on  $X$ .*

The definition of singular weighted-cscK metric is given in definition 5.2.

**Organization.** In Section 2, we recall some backgrounds on weighted-cscK metrics and rewrite the weighted-cscK equation to a coupled PDE system.

In Section 3, we consider a modified coupled PDE system and prove the uniform  $C^0$  estimate and  $W^{2,p}$ -estimate for  $\phi$ , and the gradient estimate for  $F + f_* - \log(v(m_\phi))$ .

In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2 by using the continuity method. We give a sketched proof of the openness part in Subsection 4.A, where we follow the argument in [Che18], [Has19]. We prove the closedness part in Subsection 4.B, where we follow the strategy of [CC21b].

In Section 5, we prove the uniform  $W^{2,p}$ -estimate for the  $\mathbf{G}$ -equivariant  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Gorenstein family with respect to the reference metric used in [PTT23]. Then we finish the proof of Theorem 1.5 by combining the uniform  $L^\infty$ -estimate in Subsection 3.A, uniform  $W^{2,p}$ -estimate and interior estimates in Section 6.

In Section 6 (Appendix), we provide  $C^2$ -estimate, higher-order estimates, and interior  $C^2$ -estimate for the weighted-cscK equation. In addition, we give a detailed computation of the linearized operators used in the openness part.

**Relation with Di-Nezza–Jubert–Lahdili’s work.** As our project was nearing completion, we were informed that Eleonora Di-Nezza, Simon Jubert and Abdellah Lahdili just finished a preprint that could also prove Theorem 1.2 by using a method quite different from ours. Our two groups finished our projects independently.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors would like to thank Abdellah Lahdili for sharing his idea on showing the openness near the starting point of the continuity path around 2020. The authors would like to thank Jianchun Chu, Lifan Guan, Chang Li for their helpful discussions. The authors would like to thank Chi Li for his interest and helpful comments. J. Han is supported by National Key R&D Program of China 2023YFA1009900, NSFC-20701003A012401.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we will collect the foundations of the variational approach and continuity method that are needed in further analysis. In particular, we will review the weighted

Monge-Ampère measure  $\nu(m_\phi)\omega_\phi^n$ ,  $\mathcal{E}^1$ -space and  $d_1$ -distance, the functionals  $\mathbb{M}_{\nu, \mathbb{W}}, \mathbb{J}_\nu, \mathbb{E}_\nu$ , coercivity, weighted-cscK (extremal) equations and continuity path.

**2.A. Weighted Monge-Ampère measures, space of potentials, and functionals.** The variational approach has successful applications in the resolution of Kähler-Einstein problem, see [Tia97, BBGZ13, BBE<sup>+</sup>19, BBJ21]. In this subsection, we will define the notions needed in the variational approach. We will follow the lines in [BN14, HL23, AJL23].

We will consider  $K$ -invariant  $\omega$ -psh functions:

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Psh}_K(X, \omega) = \{\phi \in \text{Psh}(X, \omega) : \phi \text{ is invariant under the } K\text{-action}\},$$

In [BN14], the authors define the weighted Monge-Ampère measure by using Kiselman's partial Legendre transform and approximation by series of step functions. Then in [HL23], the authors present an alternative definition by using a more direct fibration construction, which is more adapted in defining the non-Archimedean functionals.

**Proposition 2.1** ([BN14, HL23]). *Let  $\nu$  be a smooth positive function on the moment polytope  $P$ . For any  $\omega$ -psh function  $\phi \in \text{Psh}_K(X, \omega)$ , the weighted Monge-Ampère measure  $\text{MA}_\nu(\phi) := \nu(m_\phi)\omega_\phi^n$  is well-defined as a Radon measure.*

The following weighted functionals and potential spaces are defined in [BN14, HL23, Lah19, AJL23].

**Definition 2.2.** *For  $\phi \in \text{Psh}_K(X, \omega)$ ,  $\phi = \phi_0 + u$ ,  $\phi_t = \phi_0 + tu$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ), we define the weighted energy functionals:*

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbb{E}_\nu(\phi, \phi_0) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{V}_\nu(X)} \int_0^1 \int_X (\phi - \phi_0) \nu(m_{\phi_t}) \frac{\omega_{\phi_t}^n}{n!} dt,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbb{E}_\nu^\eta(\phi, \phi_0) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{V}_\nu(X)} \int_0^1 \int_X (\phi - \phi_0) \left( \nu(m_{\phi_t}) \eta \wedge \frac{\omega_{\phi_t}^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \langle d\nu(m_{\phi_t}), m_\eta \rangle_{\phi_t} \frac{\omega_{\phi_t}^n}{n!} \right) dt,$$

where  $\eta$  is a smooth  $(1, 1)$ -form, which can be locally expressed as  $\eta = dd^c \psi$ . And  $m_\eta(\xi) := -J\xi(\psi)$ , which is globally well-defined. And  $\langle d\nu(m_\phi), m_\eta \rangle_\phi = -\sum_\alpha \nu_\alpha J\xi^\alpha(\psi)$ .

We denote  $\mathbb{E}_\nu(\phi) = \mathbb{E}_\nu(\phi, 0)$ . (The same convention applies for other energy functionals.) We define the weighted  $I$ -functional:

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbb{I}_\nu(\phi, \phi_0) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{V}_\nu(X)} \int_X (\phi - \phi_0) \left( \nu(m_{\phi_0}) \frac{\omega_{\phi_0}^n}{n!} - \nu(m_\phi) \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \right),$$

and the weighted  $J$ -functional:

$$(2.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{J}_\nu(\phi, \phi_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbb{V}_\nu(X)} \int_X (\phi - \phi_0) \nu(m_{\phi_0}) \frac{\omega_{\phi_0}^n}{n!} - \mathbb{E}_\nu(\phi, \phi_0) =: \Lambda_\nu(\phi, \phi_0) - \mathbb{E}_\nu(\phi, \phi_0) \\ &= \int_X \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_0^1 \int_0^t \nu(m_{\phi_s}) \frac{1}{k!(n-k-1)!} (1-s)^k s^{n-k-1} ds dt \cdot d(\phi - \phi_0) \wedge d^c(\phi - \phi_0) \wedge \omega_\phi^k \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We also define the reduced weighted  $J$ -functional:

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbb{J}_{\nu, \mathbb{T}}(\phi) = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathbb{T}} \mathbb{J}_\nu(\sigma^* \phi).$$

**Remark 2.3.** There exists a constant  $C$  which depends on  $v$ , such that

$$\frac{1}{C}\mathbb{J}(\phi) \leq \mathbb{J}_v(\phi) \leq C\mathbb{J}(\phi),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{C}(\mathbb{I}(\phi) - \mathbb{J}(\phi)) \leq \mathbb{I}_v(\phi) - \mathbb{J}_v(\phi) \leq C(\mathbb{I}(\phi) - \mathbb{J}(\phi)).$$

**Definition 2.4.** We define the following spaces of potentials:

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{E}_K(X, \omega) = \{\phi \in \text{Psh}_K(X, \omega) : \int_X v(m_\phi) \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = \int_X v(m_\omega) \frac{\omega_\omega^n}{n!} =: \mathbb{V}_v(X)\},$$

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{E}_K^1(X, \omega) = \{\phi \in \mathcal{E}_K(X, \omega) : \mathbb{E}_v(\phi) > -\infty\}.$$

**Definition 2.5.** For any  $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1(X, \omega)$ , we define the (weighted) Mabuchi functional (for weighted-cscK problem) as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}_{v,w}(\phi) &= \int_X \log\left(\frac{v(m_\phi)\omega_\phi^n}{\omega^n}\right) v(m_\phi) \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} - \int_X \log(v(m_\omega)) v(m_\omega) \frac{\omega_\omega^n}{n!} \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}_v^{\text{Ric}(\omega)}(\phi) + \mathbb{E}_w(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

We also define the (weighted) Mabuchi for (weighted-extremal problem) as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi) &= \int_X \log\left(\frac{v(m_\phi)\omega_\phi^n}{\omega^n}\right) v(m_\phi) \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} - \int_X \log(v(m_\omega)) v(m_\omega) \frac{\omega_\omega^n}{n!} \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}_v^{\text{Ric}(\omega)}(\phi) + \mathbb{E}_{w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

In [Che00, Dar15, BN14, BB17, BDL17, Lah19, HL23, Lah23], the authors show that space  $\mathcal{E}_K^1(X, \omega)$  is granted with a Finsler structure, under which the functionals have controlled behaviours.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $\phi_0, \phi_1$  be two  $\omega$ -psh functions in  $\mathcal{E}_K^1(X, \omega)$ . Then there exists a unique geodesic segment  $\phi_t$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ) in  $\mathcal{E}_K^1(X, \omega)$  that connects  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_1$ . The space  $\mathcal{E}_K^1(X, \omega)$  is geodesically complete and associated with a distance  $d_1$ . In addition, along any geodesic,  $\mathbb{E}_v$  is affine,  $\mathbb{J}_v, \mathbb{M}_{v,w}$  are convex.

**Remark 2.7.** Since  $v$  has positive lower and upper bounds, the strong topology defined by  $\mathbb{E}_v$  is equivalent to the strong topology defined by  $\mathbb{E}$ . And the entropies  $\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H}_v$  are equivalent, i.e., there exists  $C > 0$ , such that  $\frac{1}{C}(\mathbb{H} + 1) \leq \mathbb{H}_v \leq C(\mathbb{H} + 1)$ .

**Definition 2.8** (Coercivity). Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a functional defined over the potential space  $\mathcal{E}_K^1(X, \omega)$ . We say that  $\mathbb{F}$  is coercive if there exist constant  $\delta > 0, C$ , such that for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1(X, \omega)$ ,

$$\mathbb{F}(\phi) \geq \delta \cdot \mathbb{J}_v(\phi) - C.$$

We say that  $\mathbb{F}$  is  $\mathbb{G}$ -coercive if there exist constant  $\delta > 0, C$ , such that for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1(X, \omega)$ ,

$$\mathbb{F}(\phi) \geq \delta \cdot \mathbb{J}_{v,T}(\phi) - C.$$

**Remark 2.9.** All the definitions and propositions of this subsection can be generalized to the setting of projective klt varieties. See for example [HL23, Section 2].

**2.B. Weighted-cscK equations.** In this subsection, following the idea in [CC21a], we rewrite weighted-cscK equation (1.1) to a coupled PDE system, in which the first one is a  $g$ -Monge-Ampère (as studied in [BN14], [HL23]) and the second one is a linear elliptic equation. One main difference from the cscK equation in [CC21a] is that, the first order derivative

will appear in the second equation. In the following of this subsection, we collect some computations that are frequently used in latter sections.

Let

$$F = \log \left( \frac{v(m_\phi) \omega_\phi^n}{\omega^n} \right) \quad \text{i.e., } v(m_\phi) \omega_\phi^n = e^F \omega^n.$$

Then the linearization of L.H.S. is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (v(m_{\phi_t}) \omega_{\phi_t}^n) &= v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi) \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \langle m_{\phi+t\psi}, \bar{\zeta}^\alpha \rangle \omega_\phi^n + v(m_\phi) \Delta_\phi \psi \omega_\phi^n \\ &= \left( \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} d^c \psi(\bar{\zeta}^\alpha) + \Delta_\phi(\psi) \right) v(m_\phi) \omega_\phi^n \\ &= \left( \Delta_\phi - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J \bar{\zeta}^\alpha \right) (\psi) v(m_\phi) \omega_\phi^n \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi_t = \phi + t\psi$  and  $\Delta_\phi := \text{tr}_\phi \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}$ , we have used [Lah19, Lemma 1]

$$m_\phi^{\bar{\zeta}} = m_\omega^{\bar{\zeta}} + t d^c \phi(\bar{\zeta}).$$

Note that

$$(2.9) \quad |\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 = \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi) v_{,\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)^2} \langle \bar{\zeta}^\beta, \bar{\zeta}^\alpha \rangle_\phi$$

We denote  $m_\phi^{\bar{\zeta}} = \langle m_\phi, \bar{\zeta} \rangle$ .

One has

$$(2.10) \quad \Delta_\phi \log v(m_\phi) = \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \Delta_\phi(m_\phi^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha}) + \frac{v_{,\alpha\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \langle \bar{\zeta}^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta \rangle_\phi - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi) v_{,\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)^2} \langle \bar{\zeta}^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta \rangle_\phi$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad -J \bar{\zeta}^\alpha \log \left( \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{\omega^n} \right) = d^c \left( \log \left( \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{\omega^n} \right) \right) (\bar{\zeta}^\alpha) = \Delta_\phi(m_\phi^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha}) - \Delta_\omega(m_\omega^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha}),$$

(see [Lah19, Lemma 5]). Thus one obtains

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} -\frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J \bar{\zeta}^\alpha (F) &= \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} d^c F(\bar{\zeta}^\alpha) \\ &= \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi) v_{,\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)^2} \langle \bar{\zeta}^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta \rangle_\phi + \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \Delta_\phi(m_\phi^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha}) - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \Delta_\omega(m_\omega^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \Delta_\phi - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J \bar{\zeta}^\alpha \right) F &= \Delta_\phi \log v(m_\phi) + \Delta_\phi \log \left( \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{\omega^n} \right) - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J \bar{\zeta}^\alpha (F) \\ &= 2 \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \Delta_\phi(m_\phi^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha}) + \frac{v_{,\alpha\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \langle \bar{\zeta}^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta \rangle_\phi - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \Delta_\omega(m_\omega^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha}) \\ &\quad - S(\phi) + \text{tr}_\phi \text{Ric}(\omega) \\ &= -\frac{S_v(\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \Delta_\omega(m_\omega^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha}) + \text{tr}_\phi \text{Ric}(\omega) \\ &= -\frac{S_v(\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} + v(m_\phi)^{-1} \left( \langle (dv)(m_\phi), m_{\text{Ric}(\omega)} \rangle + v(m_\phi) \text{tr}_\phi \text{Ric}(\omega) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\frac{S_v(\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} + \frac{\text{tr}_{v,\phi}\text{Ric}(\omega)}{v(m_\phi)}$$

where

$$S_v(\phi) = v(m_\phi)S(\phi) - 2v_{,\alpha}\Delta_\phi(m_\phi^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha}) - v_{,\alpha\beta}(m_\phi)\langle\bar{\zeta}^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta\rangle_\phi.$$

and  $m_{\text{Ric}(\omega)} := \Delta_\omega(m_\omega)$ .

The continuity path (1.3) can be reformulated into the following coupled PDE system

$$(2.13a) \left\{ v(m_\phi)\omega_\phi^n = e^F \omega^n, \right.$$

$$(2.13b) \left\{ \left( \Delta_\phi - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J\bar{\zeta}^\alpha \right) F = -\ell_{\text{ext}}(m_\phi) \frac{w(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} + \frac{\text{tr}_{v,\phi}(\eta_t)}{v(m_\phi)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right) \underline{\theta} \frac{w(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)}, \right.$$

where we denote  $\eta_t := (1 - \frac{1}{t})\theta + \text{Ric}(\omega)$ . By (2.12) and (2.13b),

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\phi F &= -\ell_{\text{ext}}(m_\phi) \frac{w(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} + \frac{\text{tr}_{v,\phi}(\eta_t)}{v(m_\phi)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right) \underline{\theta} \frac{w(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \\ &\quad - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)v_{,\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)^2} \langle\bar{\zeta}^\beta, \bar{\zeta}^\alpha\rangle_\phi - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \Delta_\phi(m_\phi^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha} - m_\omega^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\phi(F - \log v(m_\phi)) &= -\ell_{\text{ext}}(m_\phi) \frac{w(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} + \frac{\text{tr}_{v,\phi}(\eta_t)}{v(m_\phi)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right) \underline{\theta} \frac{w(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \\ &\quad - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)v_{,\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)^2} \langle\bar{\zeta}^\beta, \bar{\zeta}^\alpha\rangle_\phi - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \Delta_\phi m_\phi^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha} + \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \Delta_\omega m_\omega^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha} \\ &\quad - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \Delta_\phi(m_\phi^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha}) - \frac{v_{,\alpha\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \langle\bar{\zeta}^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta\rangle_\phi + \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)v_{,\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)^2} \langle\bar{\zeta}^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta\rangle_\phi \\ &= -\left( (\ell_{\text{ext}} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right)\underline{\theta}) \frac{w}{v} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{\langle(\text{d}v)(m_\phi), m_\theta\rangle}{v} \right) + \text{tr}_\phi \eta_t \\ &\quad - 2 \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \Delta_\phi m_\phi^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha} - \frac{v_{,\alpha\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \langle\bar{\zeta}^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta\rangle_\phi. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, by (2.12),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J\bar{\zeta}^\alpha(F - \log v(m_\phi)) &= \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J\bar{\zeta}^\alpha(F) - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J\bar{\zeta}^\alpha(\log v(m_\phi)) \\ &= \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J\bar{\zeta}^\alpha(F) - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \frac{J\bar{\zeta}^\alpha(v(m_\phi))}{v(m_\phi)} \\ &= \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J\bar{\zeta}^\alpha(F) - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)^2} v_{,\beta}(m_\phi) J\bar{\zeta}^\alpha(\langle m_\phi, \bar{\zeta}^\beta \rangle) \\ &= \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J\bar{\zeta}^\alpha(F) + \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)v_{,\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)^2} \langle\bar{\zeta}^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta\rangle_\phi \\ (2.14) \quad &= -\frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \Delta_\phi(m_\phi^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha}) + \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \Delta_\omega(m_\omega^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

(2.15)

$$\Delta_\phi(F - \log v(m_\phi)) = -f'_t + \text{tr}_\phi \eta_t + 2 \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J\bar{\zeta}^\alpha(F - \log v(m_\phi)) - \frac{v_{,\alpha\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \langle\bar{\zeta}^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta\rangle_\phi,$$



where

$$f'_t := (\ell_{\text{ext}} + (1 - \frac{1}{t})\underline{\theta})\frac{w}{v} - (1 - \frac{1}{t})\frac{\langle (dv)(m_\phi), m_\theta \rangle}{v} + 2\frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)}\Delta_\omega(m_\omega^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha}).$$

In addition, by (2.10) and (2.14)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\phi \log v(m_\phi) &= -\frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)}J\bar{\zeta}^\alpha(F - \log v(m_\phi)) + \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)}\Delta_\omega(m_\omega^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha}). \\ (2.16) \quad &+ \frac{v_{,\alpha\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)}\langle \bar{\zeta}^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta \rangle_\phi - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)v_{,\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)^2}\langle \bar{\zeta}^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta \rangle_\phi \end{aligned}$$

One also has

$$(2.17) \quad \Delta_\phi(F + \log v(m_\phi)) = -f_t + \text{tr}_\phi \eta_t - 2\frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)v_{,\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)^2}\langle \bar{\zeta}^\beta, \bar{\zeta}^\alpha \rangle_\phi + \frac{v_{,\alpha\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)}\langle \bar{\zeta}^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta \rangle_\phi,$$

where

$$f_t = (\ell_{\text{ext}} + (1 - \frac{1}{t})\underline{\theta})\frac{w}{v} - (1 - \frac{1}{t})\frac{\langle (dv)(m_\phi), m_\theta \rangle}{v}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.18) \quad \left(2\frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)v_{,\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)^2} - \frac{v_{,\alpha\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)}\right)\langle \bar{\zeta}^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta \rangle_\phi &\leq A'_{v,\bar{\zeta}}\langle \bar{\zeta}^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta \rangle_\phi \\ &= A'_{v,\bar{\zeta}}\frac{\bar{\zeta}_{\alpha,i}\bar{\zeta}_{\beta,\bar{i}}}{1 + \phi_{\bar{i}\bar{i}}} \leq A_{v,\bar{\zeta}}\text{tr}_\phi \omega, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $A_{v,\bar{\zeta}} > 0$  only depends on  $v$  and  $\{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha\}$ .

In the study of the closedness part of the continuity method, it is also necessary to study the following modified (by automorphism) coupled equations (see Lemma 4.7):

$$\begin{cases} (2.19a) \quad v(m_\phi)\omega_\phi^n = e^F \omega^n, \\ (2.19b) \quad \begin{cases} \left(\Delta_\phi - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)}J\bar{\zeta}^\alpha\right)F = -\ell_{\text{ext}}(m_\phi)\frac{w(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} + (1 - \frac{1}{t})\frac{\text{tr}_{v,\phi}(\theta)}{v(m_\phi)} \\ -\frac{\text{tr}_{v,\phi}(\text{dd}^c f_*)}{v(m_\phi)} - (1 - \frac{1}{t})\underline{\theta}\frac{w(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} + \frac{\text{tr}_{v,\phi}\text{Ric}(\omega)}{v(m_\phi)}, \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

where  $f_* \in \text{Psh}(X, (\frac{1-t}{t})\theta)$ ,  $\sup_X f_* = 0$ .

**2.C. Examples.** By choosing the functions  $v, w$  accordingly, the expression of the weighted-cscK metric can be formulated into Kähler-Einstein metric, cscK metric, Kähler Ricci soliton, extremal metrics. We refer the interested readers to the examples listed in[Lah19, Section 3].

Among others, one interesting example is studied in [Ino22], where the author defined the constant  $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ -scalar curvature Kähler metric ( $\mu$ -cscK for short) as a generalization of cscK metric. The  $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ -scalar curvature is defined by

$$S_\xi^\lambda(\omega_\phi) = S(\omega_\phi) - 2\Delta_\phi m_\phi^{\bar{\zeta}} - J\bar{\zeta}(m_\phi^{\bar{\zeta}}) - \lambda m_\phi^{\bar{\zeta}},$$

where  $J\bar{\zeta} + \sqrt{-1}\bar{\zeta}$  is a holomorphic vector field,  $m_\phi^{\bar{\zeta}}$  is the corresponding Hamiltonian function of  $\bar{\zeta}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . The  $\mu$ -cscK metric can be expressed as a weighted-cscK metric by letting  $v = w = e^{m_\phi^{\bar{\zeta}}}$ . When  $X$  is a Fano manifold, one interesting feature of the  $\mu$ -cscK metric  $\omega_\phi$

is that, it interpolates the extremal metric and the Kähler Ricci soliton metric under the assumption of the existence. In particular, as  $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$ ,  $\omega_\phi$  converges to the extremal metric; as  $\lambda$  converges to the average scalar curvature  $\underline{S} > 0$ ,  $\omega_\phi$  converges to the Kähler Ricci soliton.

Another interesting feature is, when the  $\mu$ -cscK metric exists, the vector field  $\xi$  is a critical point of the volume functional  $\text{Vol}^\lambda(\xi)$ , where

$$\log(\text{Vol}^\lambda)(\xi) = \frac{1}{\int_X e^{m_\phi^\xi} \omega_\phi^n} \int_X \left( S(\omega_\phi) - \Delta_\phi m_\phi^\xi - \lambda m_\phi^\xi \right) e^{m_\phi^\xi} \omega_\phi^n + \lambda \cdot \log\left(\int_X e^{m_\phi^\xi} \omega_\phi^n\right).$$

After a normalization,  $\log(\text{Vol}^\lambda)$  has the same expression as Perelman's  $W$ -functional [Per02]. When restricted on Fano manifolds,  $\log(\text{Vol}^\lambda)$  is equal to Tian-Zhu's volume functional [TZ02] up to the difference of a constant.

### 3. APRIORI ESTIMATES

In this section, we provide a uniform  $C^0$ -estimate,  $W^{2,p}$ -estimate of  $\phi$  and gradient estimate of  $F + f_* - \log(v(m_\phi))$  for equation (2.19a), (2.19b). The proof of the uniform  $C^0$ -estimate is based on a modification of Guo-Phong's method [GP22]. The proof of  $W^{2,p}$ -estimate and gradient estimate follows from Chen-Cheng's method [CC21b] with a new choice of test functions and integral weights.

**3.A. Uniform  $C^0$ -estimate.** Let  $\omega_X$  be a fixed smooth Kähler form, and let  $\omega = \chi + s\omega_X$  be a smooth Kähler form, where  $\chi$  is a smooth  $(1,1)$ -form in a nef class,  $s \geq 0$ . We will first consider the uniform  $C^0$ -estimate of the following PDE system

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \omega_\phi^n = c_\omega e^{\tilde{F}} \omega_X^n, \\ \Delta_\phi(\tilde{F} + 2\log(v(m_\phi)) + f_*) = -f + \text{tr}_\phi \eta, \end{cases}$$

where  $c_\omega = V_\omega(X)/V_{\omega_X}(X)$ ,  $c_\omega e^{\tilde{F}} \omega_X^n = \frac{1}{v(m_\phi)} e^F \omega^n$ . We assume that  $f$  is a smooth function on  $X$ . Furthermore, we also assume that we can find a constant  $p > 0$  that is sufficiently large (to be determined later), and  $\int_X e^{-pf_*} \omega^n < C(p)$ .

**Remark 3.1.** In the modified continuity path, for the  $(\frac{1-t}{t})\theta$ -psh function  $f_*$ , for arbitrarily large  $p$ , we have  $\int_X e^{-pf_*} \omega^n$  uniformly bounded from above when  $t$  is sufficiently close to 1. And  $\frac{v_\alpha}{v} J\xi^\alpha(f_*)$  is determined by the class  $[\theta]$ , which is bounded. Thus the modified continuity path (2.19a),(2.19b) can be reformulated into (3.1) when  $t$  is sufficiently close to 1.

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume  $\omega \leq \kappa\omega_X$  for some  $\kappa > 0$  and  $\int_X \tilde{F} e^{\tilde{F}} \omega_X^n \leq K_1$ ,  $\eta \geq -K_2\omega$ ,  $\int_X e^{-pf_*} \omega^n < C(p)$  for some  $p \geq q^* r \delta$  (to be determined in the proof),  $\sup_X f_* = 0$ . Then  $\sup_X |\phi| \leq C$ ,  $\sup_X (F + f_*) \leq C$ , where the constant  $C$  depends on  $\omega_X, n, \kappa, \ell_{\text{ext}}, v, w, C(p), K_1, K_2$ .

If we further assume  $\eta \leq K_3\omega$ , then  $\tilde{F} + f_*$  is bounded from below by another constant depending further on  $K_3$ .

**Remark 3.3.** The format of the statement of Theorem 3.2 is to make it suitable for the future application in resolution.

*Proof.* By applying [GP22, Theorem 2] to equation  $\omega_\phi^n = c_\omega e^{\tilde{F}} \omega_X^n$ , for  $p > n$ , we have  $\sup_X |\phi| \leq C$ , where  $C$  depends on  $\omega_X, n, p, \kappa, \text{Ent}_p(e^{\tilde{F}})$ .

Therefore, we just need to modify [GP22, Theorem 3] to use  $\int_X \tilde{F} e^{\tilde{F}} \omega_X^n$  to bound  $\text{Ent}_p(\tilde{F})$ .

We need to use the auxiliary function  $\psi_k$  which satisfies the following equation

$$(3.2) \quad (\omega + \text{dd}^c \psi_k)^n = \frac{\tau_k(-\phi + \delta(\tilde{F} + f_* + 2 \log(v(m_\phi))))}{A_k} \cdot c_\omega \cdot e^{\tilde{F}} \omega_X^n,$$

where  $\delta = \frac{1}{10}K_2^{-1}$ ,  $\tau_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a sequence of positive smooth functions that converges monotonically decreasingly to the function  $x \cdot \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x)$ . And

$$A_k = \frac{c_\omega}{V_\omega} \int_X \tau_k(-\phi + \delta(\tilde{F} + f_* + 2 \log(v(m_\phi)))) \cdot e^{\tilde{F}} \omega_X^n,$$

which converges to

$$\begin{aligned} A_\infty &= \frac{c_\omega}{V_\omega} \int_\Omega (-\phi + \delta(\tilde{F} + f_* + 2 \log(v(m_\phi)))) e^{\tilde{F}} \omega_X^n \\ &\leq \frac{c_\omega}{V_\omega} \int_\Omega (-\phi + \delta(\tilde{F} + 2 \log(v(m_\phi)))) e^{\tilde{F}} \omega_X^n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega = \{x \in X : \tilde{F} + f_* + 2 \log(v(m_\phi)) > 0\}$  and  $0 \leq A_\infty \leq C(K_1)$ .

Consider

$$(3.3) \quad \Psi = -\epsilon(-\psi_k + \Lambda)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} - \phi + \delta(\tilde{F} + f_* + 2 \log(v(m_\phi))),$$

where  $\epsilon = \left(\frac{(n+1)(n+\delta C_f)}{n^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} A_k^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$ ,  $\Lambda^{\frac{1}{n+1}} = \frac{2n}{n+1}\epsilon$ , where the constant  $C_f$  is chosen such that  $|-f| \leq C_f$ .

The next is to show that  $\Psi \leq 0$ . It suffices to assume  $\sup_X \Psi$  is achieved at a point  $x_0 \in \Omega$ .

Then at  $x_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \Delta_\phi \Psi \\ &\geq \frac{\epsilon n}{n+1} (-\psi_k + \Lambda)^{\frac{-1}{n+1}} (\text{tr}_\phi \omega_{\psi_k} - \text{tr}_\phi \omega) - (n - \text{tr}_\phi \omega) + \delta \Delta_\phi (\tilde{F} + f_* + 2 \log(v)) \\ &\geq \frac{\epsilon n^2}{n+1} (-\psi_k + \Lambda)^{\frac{-1}{n+1}} \left(\frac{-\phi + \delta(\tilde{F} + f_* + 2 \log(v))}{A_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} - n - \delta C_f \\ &\quad + \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon n}{n+1} (-\psi_k + \Lambda)^{\frac{-1}{n+1}} - \frac{1}{10}\right) \text{tr}_\phi \omega \\ &\geq \frac{\epsilon n^2}{n+1} (-\psi_k + \Lambda)^{\frac{-1}{n+1}} \left(\frac{-\phi + \delta(\tilde{F} + f_* + 2 \log(v))}{A_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} - (n + \delta C_f). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$-\phi + \delta(\tilde{F} + f_* + 2 \log(v)) \leq \left(\frac{(n+1)(n+\delta C_f)}{\epsilon n^2}\right)^n A_k (-\psi_k + \Lambda)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} = \epsilon (-\psi_k + \Lambda)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}.$$

This concludes that  $\sup_X \Psi = \Psi(x_0) \leq 0$ . Therefore

$$\delta(\tilde{F} + f_*) \leq -\phi + \delta(\tilde{F} + f_*) \leq C((-\psi_k + 1)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} + 1) \leq -\tilde{\epsilon} \psi_k + C_{\tilde{\epsilon}},$$

where  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  can be chosen arbitrarily small. Choose  $r \tilde{\epsilon}$  such that  $r\delta > 1$  and  $r q \tilde{\epsilon} \leq \alpha(X, \kappa \omega_X)$  for some  $q > 1$  that is close to 1. Denote  $q^* > 1$  such that  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q^*} = 1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X e^{r\delta \tilde{F}} \omega_X^n &= \int_X e^{r\delta(\tilde{F} + f_* - f_*)} \omega_X^n \\ &\leq \left(\int_X e^{qr\delta(\tilde{F} + f_*)} \omega_X^n\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \cdot \left(\int_X e^{-q^* r \delta f_*} \omega_X^n\right)^{\frac{1}{q^*}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_X e^{-qr\tilde{\epsilon} \psi_k} \omega_X^n\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C'. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, this implies  $\text{Ent}_p(\tilde{F})$  is uniformly bounded. Then by [GPT23, Theorem] we have  $\sup_X |\phi| \leq C$ , where the constant  $C$  depends on parameters listed in the statement of the theorem. (Alternatively, we can also use the  $C^0$ -estimate in [EGZ09]).

In order to prove the upper and lower bounds of  $\tilde{F} + f_*$ , we need to use the mean-value type inequality proved in [GP22].

Let  $u := \tilde{F} + f_* + 2 \log(v) - K_2 \phi$ , which satisfies  $\Delta_\phi u \geq -a$  for some constant  $a \geq 0$ . Apply Lemma 3 in [GP22] to  $u$ , we can obtain the upper bound of  $\tilde{F} + f_*$ .

Similarly, let  $u := -(\tilde{F} + f_* + 2 \log(v)) - K_3 \phi$ , which satisfies  $\Delta_\phi u \geq -a$  for some  $a \geq 0$ , we can obtain a lower bound of  $\tilde{F} + f_*$ .  $\square$

**3.B.  $W^{2,p}$ -estimate.** For the convenience of the computation, we rewrite the coupled system (2.19a),(2.19b) into

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} v(m_\phi) \omega_\phi^n &= e^F \omega^n, \\ \left( \Delta_\phi - \frac{v, \alpha(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J \bar{\zeta}^\alpha \right) F &= \tilde{f}_t + \text{tr}_\phi(\eta_t) - \frac{\text{tr}_{v, \phi}(\text{dd}^c f_*)}{v(m_\phi)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_* \in \text{Psh}(X, (\frac{1}{t} - 1)\theta)$ ,  $\sup_X f_* = 0$ ,  $\tilde{f}_t = -(\ell_{\text{ext}} + (1 - \frac{1}{t})\theta) \frac{w}{v} + (1 - \frac{1}{t}) \frac{\langle (dv)(m_\phi), m_\theta \rangle}{v} - \frac{v, \alpha}{v} \Delta_\omega m_\omega^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha}$  (which is a bounded function),  $\eta_t = (1 - \frac{1}{t})\theta + \text{Ric}(\omega)$ , and  $\int_X e^{-p f_*} \omega^n < C$  for a sufficiently large positive constant  $p$ . (which can be achieved when  $t \rightarrow 1$  in the modified continuity path.)

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\phi$  be a solution of (3.4), then*

$$\Delta_\phi \log \text{tr} \omega_\phi \geq \frac{\Delta(F - \log v(m_\phi)) - S(\omega)}{\text{tr} \omega_\phi} - B \text{tr}_\phi \omega,$$

where  $B > 0$  is a constant depending on the lower bound of the holomorphic bisectional curvature of  $\omega$ .

*Proof.* By [Szfrm[o]-4, Lemma 3.7], then

$$\Delta_\phi \log \text{tr} \omega_\phi \geq -B \text{tr}_\phi \omega - \frac{g^{j\bar{k}} \text{Ric}(\phi)_{j\bar{k}}}{\text{tr} \omega_\phi},$$

where  $B > 0$  is a constant depending on the lower bound of the holomorphic bisectional curvature of  $\omega$ . Since

$$\text{Ric}(\omega_\phi) = \text{Ric}(\omega) - \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{\omega^n} = \text{Ric}(\omega) - \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} (F - \log v(m_\phi)),$$

then

$$g^{j\bar{k}} \text{Ric}(\phi)_{j\bar{k}} = S(\omega) - \Delta(F - \log v(m_\phi)).$$

Therefore the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $\phi$  be a solution of (3.4), for any  $p \geq 1$ , then*

$$(3.5) \quad \int_X e^{(p-1)f_*} (n + \Delta\phi)^p \omega^n \leq C,$$

where  $C$  is a constant depending on  $p, v, w, \|\phi\|_{C^0}, \|F + f_*\|_{C^0}$ , an upper bound of  $\theta$  and  $\text{Ric}(\omega)$ , and a lower bound of the holomorphic bisectional curvature of  $\omega$ .

**Remark 3.6.** By Hölder inequality, we also have

$$(3.6) \quad \int_X (n + \Delta\phi)^p \omega^n \leq C,$$

for a constant  $C$  that depends on the same parameters as stated above.

*Proof.* We consider

$$u := e^{-a(F+\log v(m_\phi)+\delta f_*+b\phi)} \text{tr} \omega_\phi,$$

where  $a, b > 1$  are uniform constants determined later. Since

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}e^f = e^f \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f + e^f \sqrt{-1}\partial f \wedge \bar{\partial}f,$$

then one has

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\phi u &= \Delta_\phi e^{\log u} = e^{\log u} \Delta_\phi \log u + u \nabla^\phi(\log u) \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi(\log u) \\ (3.7) \quad &= -au \Delta_\phi(F + \log v(m_\phi) + \delta f_* + b\phi) + u \Delta_\phi \log \text{tr} \omega_\phi + u^{-1} |\nabla^\phi u|_\phi^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta_\phi(F + \log v(m_\phi) + \delta f_* + b\phi) \\ &= -f_t + \text{tr}_\phi \eta_t - 2|\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 + \frac{v_{,\alpha\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \langle \zeta^\alpha, \zeta^\beta \rangle_\phi + bn - b \text{tr}_\phi \omega - (1-\delta) \Delta_\phi f_* \\ (3.8) \quad &\leq (bn - f_t) + (A_1 - b) \text{tr}_\phi \omega - 2|\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 + \frac{v_{,\alpha\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \langle \zeta^\alpha, \zeta^\beta \rangle_\phi - (1-\delta) \Delta_\phi f_*, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_1 > 0$  is a constant satisfying  $\eta_t \leq A_1 \omega$ . By Lemma 3.4, (3.7) and (3.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\phi u &\geq e^{-a(F+\log v+\delta f_*+b\phi)} \left( a(f_t - bn + (1-\delta) \Delta_\phi f_*) \text{tr} \omega_\phi + (ab - aA_1 - B) \text{tr}_\phi \omega \text{tr} \omega_\phi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Delta F' - s(\omega) \right) + 2au |\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 - au \frac{v_{,\alpha\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \langle \zeta^\alpha, \zeta^\beta \rangle_\phi + u^{-1} |\nabla^\phi u|_\phi^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we denote  $F' := F - \log v(m_\phi)$ . One observes

$$(3.9) \quad \text{tr} \omega_\phi \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} (\text{tr}_\phi \omega)^{n-1} \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{\omega^n}.$$

We will firstly compute a contribution from integration by parts.

Recall that  $\omega_\phi^n = e^{F-\log v} \omega^n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &-\int_X u^{p-1} e^{-a(F+\log v+\delta f_*+b\phi)} \Delta(F - \log v) e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\ &= -\int_X u^{p-1} e^{(1-a)(F-\log v)-a\delta f_*-ab\phi} \frac{1}{1-a} \Delta\left((1-a)(F - \log v)\right) \omega^n \\ &= -\int_X u^{p-1} e^{(1-a)(F-\log v)-a\delta f_*-ab\phi} \cdot \frac{1}{1-a} \Delta\left((1-a)(F - \log v) - a\delta f_* - ab\phi\right) \omega^n \\ &\quad - \int_X u^{p-1} e^{(1-a)(F-\log v)-a\delta f_*-ab\phi} \cdot \frac{a\delta \Delta f_* + ab \Delta \phi}{1-a} \omega^n \\ &=: I + II. \end{aligned}$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned} I &= -\int_X u^{p-1} e^{(1-a)(F-\log v)-a\delta f_*-ab\phi} \cdot \frac{1}{1-a} \Delta\left((1-a)(F - \log v) - a\delta f_* - ab\phi\right) \omega^n \\ &= -\int_X \frac{u^{p-1} e^{(1-a)(F-\log v)-a\delta f_*-ab\phi}}{a-1} \cdot |\nabla\left((1-a)(F - \log v) - a\delta f_* - ab\phi\right)|^2 \omega^n \\ &\quad - \int_X \frac{p-1}{a-1} u^{p-2} e^{(1-a)(F-\log v)-a\delta f_*-ab\phi} \cdot \langle \nabla u, \nabla\left((1-a)(F - \log v) - a\delta f_* - ab\phi\right) \rangle \omega^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq - \int_X \frac{u^{p-1} e^{(1-a)(F-\log v) - a\delta f_* - ab\phi}}{a-1} \cdot |\nabla((1-a)(F-\log v) - a\delta f_* - ab\phi)|^2 \omega^n \\
&\quad + \int_X \frac{u^{p-1} e^{(1-a)(F-\log v) - a\delta f_* - ab\phi}}{2(a-1)} \cdot |\nabla((1-a)(F-\log v) - a\delta f_* - ab\phi)|^2 \omega^n \\
&\quad + \int_X \frac{(p-1)^2}{2(a-1)} u^{p-3} e^{(1-a)(F-\log v) - a\delta f_* - ab\phi} \cdot |\nabla u|^2 \omega^n \\
&\leq \frac{(p-1)^2}{2(a-1)} \int_X u^{p-3} e^{-a(F-\log v) - a\delta f_* - ab\phi} \cdot |\nabla u|^2 \omega_\phi^n \\
&= \frac{(p-1)^2}{2(a-1)} \int_X u^{p-3} e^{-a(F+\log v + \delta f_* + b\phi)} \cdot |\nabla u|^2 e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\
&\leq \frac{(p-1)^2}{2(a-1)} \int_X u^{p-3} e^{-a(F+\log v + \delta f_* + b\phi)} \cdot |\nabla_\phi u|_\phi^2 (n + \Delta\phi) e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\
&= \frac{(p-1)^2}{2(a-1)} \int_X u^{p-2} |\nabla_\phi u|_\phi^2 e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

(3.10)

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \int_X u^{p-1} e^{-a(F+\log v + \delta f_* + b\phi)} \Delta(F-\log v) e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\
&\leq \frac{(p-1)^2}{2(a-1)} \int_X u^{p-2} |\nabla_\phi u|_\phi^2 e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n - \int_X u^{p-1} e^{(1-a)(F-\log v) - a\delta f_* - ab\phi} \cdot \frac{a\delta\Delta f_* + ab\Delta\phi}{1-a} \omega^n \\
&\leq \frac{(p-1)^2}{2(a-1)} \int_X u^{p-2} |\nabla_\phi u|_\phi^2 e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n - \int_X u^{p-1} e^{-a(F+\log v + \delta f_* + b\phi)} \cdot \frac{a\delta\Delta f_* + ab\Delta\phi}{1-a} e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_X (p-1) u^{p-2} \cdot |\nabla_\phi u|_\phi^2 \cdot e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\
&= \int_X u^{p-1} \left( -\Delta_\phi u - \langle \nabla_\phi u, 2a \nabla_\phi \log v \rangle_\phi \right) \cdot e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\
&\leq -2a \int_X u^{p-1} \langle \nabla_\phi u, \nabla_\phi \log v \rangle_\phi e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\
&\quad - \int_X u^{p-1} e^{-a(F+\log v + \delta f_* + b\phi)} \left\{ a \left( f_t - bn + (1-\delta)\Delta_\phi f_* \right) \text{tr} \omega_\phi + (ab - aA_1 - B) \text{tr}_\phi \omega \text{tr} \omega_\phi \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \Delta(F-\log v) - S(\omega) \right\} e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\
&\quad - 2a \int_X u^p |\nabla_\phi \log v|_\phi^2 e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n - \int_X u^{p-2} |\nabla_\phi u|_\phi^2 e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\
&\quad + a \int_X u^p \frac{V_{\alpha,\beta}}{v} \langle \zeta_\alpha, \zeta_\beta \rangle_\phi e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Use the fact that  $|\frac{V_{\alpha,\beta}}{v} \langle \zeta_\alpha, \zeta_\beta \rangle_\phi|, |\nabla_\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 \leq C \cdot \text{tr}_\phi \omega, f_t - bn \geq -(n+1)b$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
&-2a \int_X u^{p-1} \langle \nabla_\phi u, \nabla_\phi \log v \rangle_\phi e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\
&\leq 2a^2 \int_X u^p |\nabla_\phi \log v|_\phi^2 e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n + \frac{1}{2} \int_X u^{p-2} |\nabla_\phi u|_\phi^2 e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n,
\end{aligned}$$

and the fact that  $|S(\omega)| < C$  and by letting  $b$  large enough so that  $|a(f_t - bn)| \geq -(n+1)ab$ , the inequality above can be simplified into

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X (p - \frac{1}{2})u^{p-2} \cdot |\nabla_\phi u|_\phi^2 \cdot e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\ & \leq Ca^2 \int_X u^p \text{tr}_\phi \omega e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n + (n+1)ab \int_X u^p e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\ & \quad - \frac{ab}{2} \int_X u^p \text{tr}_\phi \omega e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n + C \int_X u^{p-1} e^{-a(F+\log v+\delta f_*+b\phi)} e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\ & \quad - a(1-\delta) \int_X u^p \Delta_\phi f_* e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n - \int_X u^{p-1} e^{-a(F+\log v+\delta f_*+b\phi)} \Delta(F-\log v) e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n. \end{aligned}$$

We can choose  $b > a > 1$  sufficiently large so that  $ab - aA_1 - B \geq \frac{ab}{2}$ ,  $b > 4aC$ , the inequality above can be simplified into

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X (p - \frac{1}{2})u^{p-2} \cdot |\nabla_\phi u|_\phi^2 \cdot e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n + \frac{ab}{4} \int_X u^p \text{tr}_\phi \omega e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\ & \leq (n+1)ab \int_X u^p e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n + C \int_X u^{p-1} e^{-a(F+\log v+\delta f_*+b\phi)} e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\ & \quad - a(1-\delta) \int_X u^p \Delta_\phi f_* e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n + \frac{(p-1)^2}{2(a-1)} \int_X u^{p-2} |\nabla_\phi u|_\phi^2 e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\ & \quad - \int_X u^{p-1} e^{-a(F+\log v+\delta f_*+b\phi)} \left( \frac{a\delta \Delta f_*}{1-a} + \frac{ab\Delta\phi}{1-a} \right) e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n. \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $a$  sufficiently large so that  $\frac{(p-1)^2}{2(a-1)} \leq (p - \frac{1}{2})$ . Use the inequality (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{ab}{4} \int_X u^p \cdot \text{tr}_\phi \omega \cdot e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\ & \leq (n+1)ab \int_X u^p e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\ & \quad + \int_X u^{p-1} e^{-a(F+\log v+\delta f_*+b\phi)} \left( C - \frac{ab}{1-a} \cdot \Delta\phi \right) e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \\ & \quad + \int_X u^{p-1} e^{-a(F+\log v+\delta f_*+b\phi)} \left( -a(1-\delta)\Delta_\phi f_* \cdot (n+\Delta\phi) - \frac{ab}{1-a} \cdot \delta \cdot \Delta f_* \right) e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $a, b$  large so that

$$C - \frac{ab}{1-a} \Delta\phi \leq C + \frac{ab}{a-1} (n+\Delta\phi) - \frac{abn}{a-1} \leq C'b(n+\Delta\phi),$$

and let  $\delta = \frac{a-1}{a}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -a(1-\delta)\Delta_\phi f_* (n+\Delta\phi) + \frac{a}{a-1} \cdot \delta \cdot \Delta f_* = -\Delta_\phi f_* \cdot (n+\Delta\phi) + \Delta f_* \\ & = -\sum_{i \neq j} \frac{(f_*)_{\bar{i}\bar{i}}(1+\phi_{\bar{j}\bar{j}})}{1+\phi_{\bar{i}\bar{i}}} \leq \left( \frac{1-t}{t} \right) \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\theta_{\bar{i}\bar{i}}(1+\phi_{\bar{j}\bar{j}})}{1+\phi_{\bar{i}\bar{i}}} \leq C \cdot \text{tr}_\phi \omega \cdot (n+\Delta\phi), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\frac{ab}{8} \int_X u^p \text{tr}_\phi \omega e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \leq C'b \int_X u^p e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n,$$

which implies

$$\int_X u^p (n+\Delta\phi)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} e^{\frac{-1}{n-1}(F-\log v)} e^{2a \log v} \omega_\phi^n \leq C \int_X u^p \omega_\phi^n.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X e^{(-ap + \frac{n-2}{n-1})F - p(a-1)f_* - apb\phi + (2a + \frac{1}{n-1})\log v} (n + \Delta\phi)^{p + \frac{1}{n-1}} \omega^n \\ & \leq C \int_X e^{(1-ap)F + (2a-ap)\log v - p(a-1)f_* - bp\phi} (n + \Delta\phi)^p \omega^n. \end{aligned}$$

Use  $\|\phi\|_{C^0}, \|F + f_*\|_{C^0}, |\log v| \leq C$ , then we derive

$$\int_X e^{(p - \frac{n-2}{n-1})f_*} (n + \Delta\phi)^{p + \frac{1}{n-1}} \omega^n \leq C \int_X e^{(p-1)f_*} (n + \Delta\phi)^p \omega^n.$$

Take  $p = 1 + k \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$ , then the inductive argument concludes the proof.  $\square$

### 3.C. Gradient estimate of $F - \log v + f_*$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $\phi$  be a solution of (3.4), then*

$$\sup_X (|\nabla^\phi(F - \log v(m_\phi) + f_*)|_\phi) \leq C,$$

where  $C$  is a constant depending on  $\omega, [\theta], v, w, \|\phi\|_{C^0}, \|F + f_*\|_{C^0}, \|\text{tr}\omega_\phi\|_{L^p}$  for some  $p$ .

Furthermore, for any  $p > 0$ , with a constant  $C_p$  that depends on the same parameters above,

$$(3.11) \quad \int_X |\nabla^\phi(F + f_*)|_\phi^p \omega^n < C_p.$$

Note that, by the arithmetic-geometric inequality, we have the lower bound

$$\text{tr}\omega_\phi \geq ne^{\frac{F'}{n}} \geq C > 0,$$

thanks to the lower bound of  $F'$ .

*Proof.* Let  $W = F' + f_* = F - \log v + f_*$ .

Let's begin by reviewing some preliminaries that are needed along the proof. We have the following formulas and inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(\phi)_{i\bar{j}} &= \text{Ric}_{i\bar{j}} - F'_{i\bar{j}} = \text{Ric}_{i\bar{j}} - W_{i\bar{j}} + (f_*)_{i\bar{j}}, \\ \text{tr}_\phi \omega &\leq n^{-1} e^{-F'} (\text{tr}\omega_\phi)^{n-1} \leq C (\text{tr}\omega_\phi)^{n-1}, \\ |\text{Ric}(\omega)(\nabla^\phi W, \nabla^\phi W)| &\leq C \cdot e^{-F'} \cdot (\text{tr}\omega_\phi)^{n-1} \cdot |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(\phi)_{i\bar{j}} W_i W_{\bar{j}} &= \text{Ric}(\omega)(\nabla^\phi W, \nabla^\phi W) - \frac{W_{i\bar{j}} W_i W_{\bar{j}}}{(1 + \phi_{i\bar{i}})(1 + \phi_{j\bar{j}})} + \frac{(f_*)_{i\bar{j}} W_i W_{\bar{j}}}{(1 + \phi_{i\bar{i}})(1 + \phi_{j\bar{j}})} \\ &\geq -C e^{-F'} (\text{tr}\omega_\phi)^{n-1} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 - \frac{W_{i\bar{j}} W_i W_{\bar{j}}}{(1 + \phi_{i\bar{i}})(1 + \phi_{j\bar{j}})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_*$  is a  $(\frac{1-t}{t})\theta$ -psh function,  $\frac{v_\alpha}{v} J\zeta^\alpha(f_*)$  is determined by class  $[\theta]$ , which is bounded. And by Theorem 3.2,  $|W|_{C^0}$  is bounded. With the preliminaries in mind, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\frac{-W}{2}} \Delta_\phi (e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2) &\geq (\Delta_\phi W)_i W_{\bar{i}} + (\Delta_\phi W)_{\bar{i}} W_i + \text{Ric}(\phi)_{i\bar{j}} W_i W_{\bar{j}} + |W_{i\bar{j}}|_\phi^2 + |W_{ij}|_\phi^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^4 + \frac{1}{2} (W_i W_{\bar{j}} W_{i\bar{j}} + W_{\bar{i}} W_j W_{i\bar{j}} + W_i W_j W_{i\bar{j}} + W_{\bar{i}} W_{\bar{j}} W_{ij}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_\phi W \cdot |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 \\ &\geq (\Delta_\phi W)_i W_{\bar{i}} + (\Delta_\phi W)_{\bar{i}} W_i + |W_{i\bar{j}}|_\phi^2 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_\phi W \cdot |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 - C e^{-F'} (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{n-1} \cdot |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 \\
& \geq 2 \nabla^\phi W \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \Delta_\phi W + \frac{|W_{i\bar{j}}|^2}{(1 + \phi_{i\bar{i}})(1 + \phi_{j\bar{j}})} - C e^{-F'} (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{n-1} \cdot |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \left( -C - C e^{-F'} (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{n-1} + 2 \frac{V_\alpha}{V} J \zeta^\alpha(W) \right) |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 \\
& \geq 2 \nabla^\phi W \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \Delta_\phi W + \frac{|W_{i\bar{j}}|^2}{(1 + \phi_{i\bar{i}})(1 + \phi_{j\bar{j}})} \\
(3.12) \quad & - C(1 + e^{-F'} (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{n-1}) \cdot |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 + \frac{V_\alpha}{V} J \zeta^\alpha(W) |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\phi W & = \Delta_\phi (F + f_*) - \Delta_\phi \log v \\
& = \frac{V_{,\alpha}}{V} J \zeta^\alpha (F + f_* - \log v) + \frac{V_{,\alpha}}{V} J \zeta^\alpha \log v - \Delta_\phi \log v + \tilde{f}_t + \operatorname{tr}_\phi \eta_t \\
(3.13) \quad & = \frac{V_{,\alpha}}{V} J \zeta^\alpha (W) + \frac{V_{,\alpha}}{V} J \zeta^\alpha (F' + f_*) - \frac{V_{,\alpha}}{V} J \zeta^\alpha (f_*) + \left( \frac{V_{,\alpha} V_{,\beta}}{V^2} - \frac{V_{,\alpha\beta}}{V} \right) \langle \zeta^\alpha, \zeta^\beta \rangle_\phi \\
& \quad + \frac{V_{,\alpha}}{V} J \zeta^\alpha \log v + \tilde{f}_t + \operatorname{tr}_\phi \eta_t \\
& \geq 2 \frac{V_\alpha}{V} J \zeta^\alpha (W) - C - \operatorname{Ctr}_\phi \omega,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use formula (2.16) for the third equality above.

To control the derivative term  $J \zeta^\alpha(W) |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2$ , we need to add another barrier function. One computes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_\phi (e^{-\log v(m_\phi)} \cdot e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2) \\
& = g_\phi^{i\bar{j}} \partial_{i\bar{j}} \left( e^{-\log v(m_\phi)} (-\log v(m_\phi))_{\bar{j}} (e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2) + e^{-\log v(m_\phi)} \partial_{\bar{j}} (e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2) \right) \\
& = e^{\frac{W}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 |\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 + e^{-\log v(m_\phi)} (-\Delta_\phi \log v(m_\phi)) e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 \\
& \quad - g_\phi^{i\bar{j}} e^{-\log v(m_\phi)} (\log v(m_\phi))_{\bar{j}} (e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2)_i - g_\phi^{i\bar{j}} e^{-\log v(m_\phi)} (\log v(m_\phi))_i (e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2)_{\bar{j}} \\
& \quad + e^{-\log v(m_\phi)} \Delta_\phi (e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2) \\
& = e^{\frac{W}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 |\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 - 2e^{-\log v(m_\phi)} \nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi) \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi (e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2) \\
& \quad + e^{-\log v(m_\phi)} \left( \left( \frac{V_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J \zeta^\alpha(W) - \frac{V_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(\phi)} J \zeta^\alpha(f_*) - \frac{V_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \Delta_\omega (m_\phi^{\zeta^\alpha}) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \frac{V_{,\alpha\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \langle \zeta^\alpha, \zeta^\beta \rangle_\phi + \frac{V_{,\alpha}(m_\phi) V_{,\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)^2} \langle \zeta^\alpha, \zeta^\beta \rangle_\phi \right) e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 + \Delta_\phi (e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2) \right) \\
& \geq e^{\frac{W}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 |\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 - 2e^{-\log v(m_\phi)} \nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi) \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi (e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2) \\
& \quad + e^{\frac{W}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} 2 \nabla^\phi W \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \Delta_\phi W - C_{v,\zeta} (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{n-1} e^{\frac{W}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 \\
(3.14) \quad & + \frac{|W_{i\bar{j}}|^2}{(1 + \phi_{i\bar{i}})(1 + \phi_{j\bar{j}})} e^{\frac{F'}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} + 2 \frac{V_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J \zeta^\alpha(W) e^{\frac{W}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use (2.16) for the third equality, (2.18) and (3.12) for the last inequality.

Set

$$u = e^{\frac{W}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 + 1,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\phi u &\geq -C_{v,\xi}(\operatorname{tr}\omega_\phi)^{n-1}u + 2e^{\frac{W}{2}-\log v(m_\phi)}\nabla^\phi W \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \Delta_\phi W + 2\frac{V_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)}J_{\xi^\alpha}(W)u \\
&\quad - 2\frac{V_{,\alpha}}{v}J_{\xi^\alpha}(W) + e^{\frac{W}{2}-\log v(m_\phi)}|\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2|\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 \\
(3.15) \quad &\quad - 2e^{-\log v(m_\phi)}\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi) \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi (e^{\frac{W}{2}}|\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2).
\end{aligned}$$

For any  $p > 0$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2p+1}\Delta_\phi(u^{2p+1}) = u^{2p}\Delta_\phi u + 2pu^{2p-1}|\nabla^\phi u|_\phi^2.$$

Taking the integration with respect to the weighted measure, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_X 2pu^{2p-1}|\nabla^\phi u|_\phi^2 e^{2\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n \\
(3.16) \quad &= \int_X u^{2p}(-\Delta_\phi u)e^{2\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n + \frac{1}{2p+1}\int_X \Delta_\phi(u^{2p+1})e^{2\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n.
\end{aligned}$$

On the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2p+1}\int_X \Delta_\phi(u^{2p+1})e^{2\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n \\
&= -\frac{1}{2p+1}\int_X \nabla^\phi(u^{2p+1}) \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi e^{2\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n \\
&= -\int_X 2u^{2p}\nabla^\phi(e^{-\log v(m_\phi)}e^{\frac{W}{2}}|\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2) \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)e^{2\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n \\
&= -2\int_X u^{2p}\nabla^\phi(e^{\frac{W}{2}}|\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2) \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)e^{\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n \\
(3.17) \quad &\quad + 2\int_X u^{2p}e^{\frac{W}{2}}|\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2|\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 e^{\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (3.15),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_X u^{2p}(-\Delta_\phi u)e^{2\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n \\
&\leq C\int_X u^{2p+1}(\operatorname{tr}\omega_\phi)^{n-1}e^{2\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n - 2\int_X u^{2p+1}\frac{V_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)}J_{\xi^\alpha}(W)e^{2\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n \\
&\quad - \int_X u^{2p}e^{\frac{W}{2}}|\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2|\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 e^{\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n \\
&\quad + 2\int_X u^{2p}\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi) \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi (e^{\frac{W}{2}}|\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2)e^{\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n \\
(3.18) \quad &\quad - 2\int_X u^{2p}e^{\frac{W}{2}}\nabla^\phi W \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \Delta_\phi W e^{\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
&-2\int_X u^{2p}e^{\frac{W}{2}}\nabla^\phi W \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \Delta_\phi W e^{\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n \\
&= \int_X 4pu^{2p-1}e^{\frac{W}{2}}\Delta_\phi W(\nabla^\phi W \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi u)e^{\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n + \int_X 2u^{2p}e^{\frac{W}{2}}(\Delta_\phi W)^2 e^{\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n \\
&\quad + \int_X u^{2p}e^{\frac{W}{2}}|\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2(\Delta_\phi W)e^{\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n \\
(3.19) \quad &\quad + 2\int_X u^{2p}e^{\frac{W}{2}}(\Delta_\phi W)(\nabla^\phi W \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi))e^{\log v(m_\phi)}\omega_\phi^n.
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the four terms on the right side of (3.19). First,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_X 4pu^{2p-1} e^{\frac{W}{2}} \Delta_\phi W (\nabla^\phi W \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi u) e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\
& \leq \int_X pu^{2p-1} |\nabla^\phi u|_\phi^2 e^{2\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n + \int_X 4pu^{2p-1} e^W (\Delta_\phi W)^2 |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 \omega_\phi^n \\
(3.20) \quad & \leq \int_X pu^{2p-1} |\nabla^\phi u|_\phi^2 e^{2\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n + \int_X 4pu^{2p} e^{\frac{W}{2}} (\Delta_\phi W)^2 e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n.
\end{aligned}$$

By the third equality in (3.13),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int u^{2p} e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 (\Delta_\phi W) e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\
& \leq \int u^{2p} e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 \left( C + \text{Ctr}_\phi \omega + 2 \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J\zeta^\alpha(W) \right) e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\
& = 2 \int_X u^{2p+1} \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J\zeta^\alpha(W) e^{2\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n - 2 \int_X u^{2p} \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J\zeta^\alpha(W) e^{2\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\
& \quad + \int u^{2p} e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 (C + \text{Ctr}_\phi(\omega)) e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\
(3.21) \quad & \leq 2 \int_X u^{2p+1} \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J\zeta^\alpha(W) e^{2\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n + C_{v,\zeta} \int_X u^{2p+1} (\text{tr}\omega_\phi)^{n-1} e^{2\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n
\end{aligned}$$

Again by the third equality in (3.13),

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Delta_\phi W| & \leq C + B_{v,\zeta} \text{tr}_\phi \omega + 2 \left| \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \right| |J\zeta^\alpha(W)| \leq B_{v,\zeta} (\text{tr}\omega_\phi)^{n-1} + C_v |\nabla W| \\
& \leq B_{v,\zeta} (\text{tr}\omega_\phi)^{n-1} + C_v (\text{tr}\omega_\phi)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi.
\end{aligned}$$

where  $B_{v,\zeta}$  is a positive constant such that

$$|\text{tr}_\phi \eta_t| + \left| \left( \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi) v_{,\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)^2} - \frac{v_{,\alpha\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \right) \langle \zeta^\alpha, \zeta^\beta \rangle_\phi \right| \leq B_{v,\zeta} \text{tr}_\phi \omega.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_X u^{2p} e^{\frac{W}{2}} (\Delta_\phi W)^2 e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\
& \leq \int_X u^{2p} e^{\frac{W}{2}} C \left( (\text{tr}\omega_\phi)^{2n-2} + (\text{tr}\omega_\phi)^{n-1} \sqrt{\text{tr}\omega_\phi} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi + \text{tr}\omega_\phi |\nabla W|_\phi^2 \right) e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\
(3.22) \quad & \leq \int_X C (\text{tr}\omega_\phi)^{2(n-1)} u^{2p+1} e^{2\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n.
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_X u^{2p} e^{\frac{W}{2}} (\Delta_\phi W) (\nabla^\phi W \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)) e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\
& \leq \int_X u^{2p} e^{\frac{W}{2}} (\Delta_\phi W)^2 |\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n + \int_X u^{2p} e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\
(3.23) \quad & \leq \int_X C (\text{tr}\omega_\phi)^{3(n-1)} u^{2p+1} e^{2\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n + \int_X u^{2p+1} e^{2\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.22) and

$$|\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 = \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi) v_{,\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)^2} \langle \zeta^\beta, \zeta^\alpha \rangle_\phi \leq C_v \frac{\zeta_{\alpha,i} \bar{\zeta}_{\beta,\bar{i}}}{1 + \phi_{\bar{i}\bar{i}}} \leq C_{v,\zeta} \text{tr}_\phi \omega \leq C_{v,\zeta} (\text{tr}\omega_\phi)^{n-1}.$$

By (3.19), (3.20), (3.21), (3.22) and (3.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -2 \int_X u^{2p} e^{\frac{W}{2}} \nabla \phi W \cdot \phi \nabla \phi \Delta_\phi W e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\ & \leq \int_X p u^{2p-1} |\nabla \phi u|_\phi^2 e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n + C(p+1) \int_X (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{3(n-1)} u^{2p+1} e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\ & \quad + 2 \int_X u^{2p+1} \frac{V, \kappa(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J \zeta^\alpha(W) e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n + \int_X u^{2p+1} e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (3.16), (3.17), (3.18) together with the above inequality, we deduce

$$\int_X p u^{2p-1} |\nabla \phi u|_\phi^2 e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \leq C(p+1) \int_X (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{3(n-1)} u^{2p+1} e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n.$$

It follows that

$$\int_X |\nabla \phi (u^{p+\frac{1}{2}})|_\phi^2 \omega^n \leq \frac{C_{v, \zeta} (p + \frac{1}{2})^2 (p+1)}{p} \int_X C_\zeta (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{3(n-1)} u^{2p+1} \omega_\phi^n.$$

In the inequality above, we use  $\omega^n = e^{f_*} \cdot e^{-(F'+f_*)} \omega_\phi^n \leq C \omega_\phi^n$ , since  $F' + f_*$  is uniformly bounded, and  $f_* \leq 0$ .

For any  $0 < \varepsilon < 2$ , by Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{3(n-1)} u^{2p+1} \omega_\phi^n &= \int_X (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{3(n-1)} u^{2p+1} e^{-f_*} e^{F'+f_*} \omega^n \\ &\leq C \left( \int_X u^{(p+\frac{1}{2})(2+\varepsilon)} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{2}{2+\varepsilon}} \left( \int_X (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{3(n-1) \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} e^{-\frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} f_*} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2+\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote  $v := u^{p+\frac{1}{2}}$ , then the above inequalities imply

$$\int_X |\nabla \phi v|_\phi^2 \omega^n \leq \frac{C_{v, \zeta} (p + \frac{1}{2})^3}{p} \left( \int_X v^{(2+\varepsilon)} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{2}{2+\varepsilon}} \left( \int_X (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{3(n-1) \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} e^{-\frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} f_*} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2+\varepsilon}}$$

Similar with (4.26) in the proof of [CC21a, Proposition 4.1], we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_X |\nabla v|^{2-\varepsilon} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{2}{2-\varepsilon}} &\leq n^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2-\varepsilon}} \left( \int_X (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{\frac{2-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2-\varepsilon}} \int_X |\nabla \phi v|_\phi^2 \omega^n \\ &\leq C_{v, \zeta} p^2 K_\varepsilon \left( \int_X v^{(2+\varepsilon)} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{2}{2+\varepsilon}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_\varepsilon := n^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2-\varepsilon}} \left( \int_X (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{\frac{2-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2-\varepsilon}} \left( \int_X (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{3(n-1) \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} e^{-\frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} f_*} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2+\varepsilon}}$$

is bounded, which can be seen by using Theorem 3.5, the assumption of  $f_*$  and Hölder inequality.

Applying the Sobolev inequality ([Aub13, Theorem 2.21]) with exponent  $2 - \varepsilon$ , and denote  $\theta := \frac{2n(2-\varepsilon)}{2n-2+\varepsilon}$  to be the index, we obtain

$$\|v\|_{L^\theta(\omega^n)} \leq C_s (\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2-\varepsilon}(\omega^n)} + \|v\|_{L^{2-\varepsilon}(\omega^n)}).$$

It follows that

$$\left( \int_X u^{(p+\frac{1}{2})\theta} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \leq C_s \left( \left( \int_X |\nabla (u^{p+\frac{1}{2}})|^{2-\varepsilon} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{2-\varepsilon}} + \left( \int_X u^{(p+\frac{1}{2})(2-\varepsilon)} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{2-\varepsilon}} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C_s \left( C_{v,\xi} p \sqrt{K_\varepsilon} \left( \int_X u^{(p+\frac{1}{2})(2+\varepsilon)} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{2+\varepsilon}} + \left( \int_X u^{(p+\frac{1}{2})(2-\varepsilon)} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{2-\varepsilon}} \right) \\ &\leq C_\varepsilon p \left( \int_X u^{(p+\frac{1}{2})(2+\varepsilon)} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{2+\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

One can choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small such that  $\theta > (2 + \varepsilon)$  (e.g.  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2n}$ ). We deduce

$$\|u\|_{L^{(p+\frac{1}{2})\theta}} \leq (C_\varepsilon p)^{\frac{1}{p+\frac{1}{2}}} \|u\|_{L^{(p+\frac{1}{2})(2+\varepsilon)}}.$$

Denote  $\chi := \frac{\theta}{2+\varepsilon} > 1$  and choose  $p + \frac{1}{2} = \chi^i$ , then we have

$$\|u\|_{L^{(2+\varepsilon)\chi^{i+1}}} \leq C \chi^{\frac{1}{i}} (\chi^i)^{\frac{1}{\chi^i}} \|u\|_{L^{(2+\varepsilon)\chi^i}}.$$

It follows that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C^{\sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{\chi^i}} \chi^{\sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{i}{\chi^i}} \|u\|_{L^{(2+\varepsilon)\chi^i}}$$

Then we

$$(3.24) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|u\|_{L^{2+\varepsilon}} \leq C \|u\|_{L^1}^{\frac{1}{2+\varepsilon}} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2+\varepsilon}}.$$

Thus, by (3.24), Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.8, we derive the  $\|u\|_{L^\infty}$ , which implies  $\sup_X |\nabla^\phi(F + f_* - \log(v(m_\phi)))|_\phi \leq C$ .

Furthermore, since  $|\nabla^\phi \log v|_\phi^2 \leq C \cdot \text{tr}_\phi \omega$ , by Theorem 3.5, we have

$$(3.25) \quad \int_X |\nabla^\phi(F + f_*)|_\phi^p \omega^n < C_p.$$

□

**Lemma 3.8.** *As above notation, the following estimate holds*

$$(3.26) \quad \|u\|_{L^1} \leq C.$$

*Proof.* Recall  $u = e^{\frac{W}{2} - \log(v(m_\phi))} |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 + 1$ . It suffices to show that

$$\int_X |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 \omega_\phi^n \leq C.$$

By (2.16), one observes that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\phi(F + f_* + \log v(m_\phi)) &= \frac{V,\alpha}{V} J\zeta^\alpha(F + f_*) + \Delta_\phi \log(v(m_\phi)) + \tilde{f}_t + \text{tr}_\phi \tilde{\eta}_t \\ &= \frac{V,\alpha}{V} J\zeta^\alpha(f_*) + \left( \frac{V,\alpha\beta}{V} - 2 \frac{V,\alpha V\beta}{V^2} \right) \langle \zeta^\alpha, \zeta^\beta \rangle_\phi + \tilde{f}_t + \text{tr}_\phi \tilde{\eta}_t. \end{aligned}$$

Denote  $\tilde{W} = F + f_* + \log v(m_\phi) = W + 2 \log v(m_\phi)$ . It implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\phi(\tilde{W}^2) &= 2\tilde{W} \Delta_\phi \tilde{W} + 2|\nabla^\phi \tilde{W}|_\phi^2 \\ &= 2\tilde{W} \left( \frac{V,\alpha}{V} J\zeta^\alpha(f_*) + \left( \frac{V,\alpha\beta}{V} - 2 \frac{V,\alpha V\beta}{V^2} \right) \langle \zeta^\alpha, \zeta^\beta \rangle_\phi + \tilde{f}_t + \text{tr}_\phi \tilde{\eta}_t \right) + 2|\nabla^\phi \tilde{W}|_\phi^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |\nabla^\phi \tilde{W}|_\phi^2 \omega_\phi^n &\leq \int_X \tilde{W} \left( \tilde{f}_t + \frac{V,\alpha}{V} J\zeta^\alpha(f_*) \right) \omega_\phi^n + \int_X \tilde{W} \left( \left( \frac{V,\alpha\beta}{V} - 2 \frac{V,\alpha V\beta}{V^2} \right) \langle \zeta^\alpha, \zeta^\beta \rangle_\phi + \text{tr}_\phi \tilde{\eta}_t \right) \omega_\phi^n \\ &\leq C + \|\tilde{W}\|_{C^0 B_{v,\xi}} \int_X \text{tr}_\phi \omega \omega_\phi^n \\ &\leq C + C \int_X (\text{tr}_\phi \omega)^{n-1} \omega_\phi^n \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_X |\nabla^\phi W|_\phi^2 \omega_\phi^n &= \int_X |\nabla^\phi (\tilde{W} - 2 \log v(m_\phi))|_\phi^2 \omega_\phi^n \\
&\leq \int_X |\nabla^\phi \tilde{W}|_\phi^2 \omega_\phi^n + 2 \int_X |\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 \omega_\phi^n \\
&\leq C + 4 \int_X \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi) v_{,\beta}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)^2} \langle \zeta^\beta, \zeta^\alpha \rangle_\phi \omega_\phi^n \\
&\leq C + A_{v,\zeta} \int_X \text{tr}_\phi \omega \omega_\phi^n \leq C + C \int_X (\text{tr} \omega_\phi)^{n-1} \omega_\phi^n \leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

□

#### 4. PROOF OF CONTINUITY METHOD

In this section, we prove the Theorem 1.2 by finishing the continuity method, concluding the openness and closedness of continuity path.

The weighted Mabuchi functional associated to the continuity path (2.13a), (2.13b) is

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbb{M}_{v,w,t} = \text{Ent}_v(\phi) - \mathbb{E}_v^{\text{Ric}}(\phi) + \mathbb{E}_{w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi) + \frac{1-t}{t} (\mathbb{E}_v^\theta(\phi) - \underline{\theta} \cdot \mathbb{E}_w(\phi)) - C_0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_0 &= \int_X \log(v(m_\omega)) v(m_\omega) \omega^n, \\
\int_X \Lambda_{v,\omega}(\theta) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} &= \int_X v(m_\omega) \theta \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \int_X \langle dv(m_\omega), m_\theta \rangle \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = \underline{\theta} \cdot \int_X w(m_\omega) \frac{\omega^n}{n!}.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote  $\mathbb{J}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}^{\text{Ric}}(\phi) = \mathbb{E}_v^{\text{Ric}}(\phi) - \mathbb{E}_{w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi)$ ,  $\mathbb{J}_{v,w}^\theta(\phi) = \mathbb{E}_v^\theta(\phi) - \underline{\theta} \cdot \mathbb{E}_w(\phi)$ .

Then

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbb{M}_{v,w,t} = \text{Ent}_v(\phi) - \mathbb{J}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}^{\text{Ric}}(\phi) + \frac{1-t}{t} \cdot \mathbb{J}_{v,w}^\theta(\phi) - C_0.$$

**4.A. Openness.** In this subsection, we show the openness of the continuity path (1.3), following the standard implicit function theory ([Che18], [Has19]). Consider

$$\mathcal{H}_K^{4,\alpha} := \{\phi \in C_K^{4,\alpha}(X) \mid \omega_\phi > 0\}$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F} &: \mathcal{H}_K^{4,\alpha} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow C^\alpha(X) \\
(\phi, t) &\mapsto t \left( \frac{S_v(\phi)}{w(m_\phi)} - \ell_{\text{ext}}(m_\phi) \right) - (1-t) \left( \frac{\Lambda_{v,\phi}(\theta)}{w(m_\phi)} - \underline{\theta} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose there exists  $(\phi_0, t_0) \in \mathcal{H}_K^{4,\alpha} \times [0, 1]$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(\phi_0, t_0) = 0$ . We will consider the following modified map with a normalization:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{F}} &: \mathcal{H}_{K,0}^{4,\alpha} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow C^\alpha(X)_{K,0} \\
(\phi, t) &\mapsto \mathcal{F}(\phi, t) - \int_X \mathcal{F}(\phi, t) \omega_{\phi_0}^n,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{K,0}^{4,\alpha} := \{\phi \in \mathcal{H}_K^{4,\alpha} \mid \int_X \phi \omega_{\phi_0}^n = 0\}.$$

Note that  $\int_X \mathcal{F}(\phi, t) \omega_\phi^n = 0$ . Therefore if  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\phi, t) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(\phi, t) = 0$ . Thus it suffices to apply implicit function theorem on the map  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  near  $(\phi_0, t_0)$ . Denote

$$\mathcal{R}(\phi) := \frac{S_v(\phi)}{w(m_\phi)} - \ell_{\text{ext}}(m_\phi), \text{ and } \mathcal{T}(\phi) := \frac{\Lambda_{v,\phi}(\theta)}{w(m_\phi)}.$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi, t) = t\mathcal{R}(\phi) - (1-t)(\mathcal{T}(\phi) - \underline{\theta}).$$

Compute the linearization of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} D_{(\phi,t)}\tilde{\mathcal{F}} : C^{4,\alpha}(X)_{K,0} \times \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow C^\alpha(X)_{K,0} \\ (u, s) &\mapsto D_{(\phi,t)}\mathcal{F}(u, s) - \int_X D_{(\phi,t)}\mathcal{F}(u, s) \omega_{\phi_0}^n, \\ (D_{(\phi,t)}\mathcal{F})(u, s) &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{F}(\phi + \delta u, t + \delta s) - \mathcal{F}(\phi, t)}{\delta} \\ &= tD_\phi\mathcal{R}(u) - (1-t)D_\phi\mathcal{T}(u) + s(\mathcal{R}(\phi) + \mathcal{T}(\phi) - \underline{\theta}). \end{aligned}$$

Using [Lah19, Lemma B.1], one computes

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad t(D_\phi\mathcal{R})(u) &= -t \frac{(\mathcal{D}_\phi^* v(m_\phi) \mathcal{D}_\phi)u}{w(m_\phi)} + t \langle d(\mathcal{R}(\phi)), du \rangle_\phi, \\ &= -t \frac{(\mathcal{D}_\phi^* v(m_\phi) \mathcal{D}_\phi)u}{w(m_\phi)} + \langle d(\mathcal{F}(\phi, t)), du \rangle_\phi + \langle d(\mathcal{T}(\phi)), du \rangle_\phi, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_\phi u := \sqrt{2}(\nabla^\phi du)^-$  is the  $J$ -anti-invariant part of the tensor  $(\nabla^\phi du)$ , with  $\nabla^\phi$  the  $g_\phi$ -Levi-Civita connection, and  $\mathcal{D}_\phi^*$  is the formal adjoint of  $\mathcal{D}_\phi$ .

We denote the operator  $F_\phi : C^{4,\alpha}(X)_{K,0} \rightarrow C^\alpha(X)_{K,0}$  by

$$F_\phi(u) = -D_\phi\mathcal{T}(u) + \langle d(\mathcal{T}(\phi)), du \rangle_\phi.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{(\phi,t)}\mathcal{F})(u, s) &= -t \frac{(\mathcal{D}_\phi^* v(m_\phi) \mathcal{D}_\phi)u}{w(m_\phi)} + \langle d(\mathcal{F}(\phi, t)), du \rangle_\phi + (1-t)F_\phi(u) + s(\mathcal{R}(\phi) + \mathcal{T}(\phi) - \underline{\theta}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular at  $(\phi_0, t_0)$ , we have

$$(D_{(\phi_0,t_0)}\mathcal{F})(u, s) = -t_0 \frac{(\mathcal{D}_{\phi_0}^* v(m_{\phi_0}) \mathcal{D}_{\phi_0})u}{w(m_{\phi_0})} + (1-t_0)F_{\phi_0}(u) + s(\mathcal{R}(\phi_0) + \mathcal{T}(\phi_0) - \underline{\theta}).$$

We denote

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\phi_0,t_0)}u := -t_0 \frac{(\mathcal{D}_{\phi_0}^* v(m_{\phi_0}) \mathcal{D}_{\phi_0})u}{w(m_{\phi_0})} + (1-t_0)F_{\phi_0}(u).$$

Thus

$$D_{(\phi_0,t_0)}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(u) = \mathcal{L}_{(\phi_0,t_0)}u + s(\mathcal{R}(\phi_0) + \mathcal{T}(\phi_0) - \underline{\theta}).$$

By Lemma 6.5, we have that the operator  $\mathcal{L}_{(\phi_0, t_0)}$  is a  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{w, \phi}$ -self-adjoint  $K$ -invariant linear operator satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{(\phi_0, t_0)} u_1, u_2)_{w, \phi} &= \int_X \mathcal{L}_{(\phi_0, t_0)} u_1 \cdot u_2 w(m_{\phi_0}) \omega_{\phi_0}^n \\ &= -t_0 \int_X v(m_{\phi_0}) \mathcal{D}_{\phi_0} u_1 \mathcal{D}_{\phi_0} u_2 \omega_{\phi_0}^n - (1-t_0) \int_X \langle \theta, du_1 \wedge d^c u_2 \rangle_{\phi_0} v(m_{\phi_0}) \omega_{\phi_0}^n \\ &= (u_1, \mathcal{L}_{(\phi_0, t_0)} u_2)_{w, \phi}. \end{aligned}$$

When  $t_0 > 0$ , following the argument in [Che18], we divide the remaining argument into three steps:

Step 1: show the inverse operator  $\mathcal{L}_{(\phi_0, t_0)}^{-1}$  is bounded, that is, if  $u \in C_K^{4, \alpha}(X)$  satisfies the equation  $\mathcal{L}_{(\phi_0, t_0)} u = f$  for some  $f \in C_K^\alpha(X)$  and  $\int_X u \omega_{\phi_0}^n = 0$ , then there exists a constant  $C$  depending on  $\omega_{\phi_0}$  such that

$$\|u\|_{C^{4, \alpha}(X)} \leq C \|f\|_{C^\alpha(X)}.$$

The proof follows from [Che18, Lemma 4.3] by using Schauder estimates, Poincaré inequality.

Step 2: Show that  $D_{(\phi_0, t_0)} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\cdot, 0) = \mathcal{L}_{(\phi_0, t_0)}(\cdot) : C^{4, \alpha}(X)_{K, 0} \rightarrow C^\alpha(X)_{K, 0}$  is an isomorphism.

Similar to [Che18, Lemma 4.4], the proof is given by a standard Fredholm theorem for elliptic operators.

Step 3: By the standard implicit function theory, there exists  $\phi(t) \in \mathcal{H}_K^{4, \alpha}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(\phi(t), t) = 0$ .

When  $t_0 = 0$ , following [Has19], we modify the operator  $\mathcal{F}$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_0 : \mathcal{H}_{K, 0}^{4, \alpha} &\rightarrow C^\alpha(X)_{K, 0} \\ \phi &\mapsto \frac{S_v(\phi)}{w(m_\phi)} - \ell_{\text{ext}}(m_\phi) - r \left( \frac{\Lambda_{v, \phi}(\theta)}{w(m_\phi)} - \underline{\theta} \right) \\ &\quad - \int_X \frac{S_v(\phi)}{w(m_\phi)} - \ell_{\text{ext}}(m_\phi) - r \left( \frac{\Lambda_{v, \phi}(\theta)}{w(m_\phi)} - \underline{\theta} \right) \omega_{\phi_0}^n \end{aligned}$$

for some  $r \gg 0$ . Its linearization is

$$\mathcal{L}_{0, \phi_0}(u) := (D_{\phi_0} \mathcal{F}_0)(u) = -\frac{(\mathcal{D}_{\phi_0}^* v(m_{\phi_0}) \mathcal{D}_{\phi_0})u}{w(m_{\phi_0})} + r F_{\phi_0}(u),$$

which is a  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{w, \phi}$ -self-adjoint  $K$ -invariant linear operator with a trivial kernel (see Lemma 6.5).

By the detailed computation of the linearized operators in Appendix 6.B, we find that  $F_{\phi_0}$  has a negative first eigenvalue. Thus, for  $r$  sufficiently large, the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_{1, r}$  of  $\mathcal{L}_{0, \phi_0}$  is always negative.

At the end, the implicit function theorem argument in [Has19] implies that there exists a solution  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_K$  of  $\mathcal{F}_0(\phi) = 0$  for  $r$  large enough.

Combining the two cases of  $t_0 = 0$  and  $0 < t_0 < 1$ , we obtain the following openness property.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{F}(\phi_0, t_0) = 0$  for some  $t_0 \in [0, 1)$ . Then for any  $t \in [0, 1)$  that is sufficiently close to  $t_0$ , there exists  $\phi(t) \in \mathcal{H}_K^{4, \alpha}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(\phi(t), t) = 0$ .*



**4.B. Closedness.** In this subsection, we will always assume the weighted Mabuchi functional  $\mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ -coercive. Recall that  $\mathbf{G} = K_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a reductive Lie subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_T(X)$  and  $K$  contains a maximal torus, and  $\mathbb{T}$  is the center of  $\mathbf{G}$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** (1) *The weighted Mabuchi functional  $\mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}$  is bounded from below. Furthermore,  $\mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext},t}}$  is coercive for  $0 < t < 1$ .*

(2) *The weighted Mabuchi functional  $\mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}$  is invariant under the group action  $\sigma \in \mathbf{G}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) The lower bound is a direct consequence of  $\mathbf{G}$ -coercivity.

For  $t \in [0, 1)$ , by the definition of  $\mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext},t}}$ , it suffices to show that  $\mathbb{J}_{v,w}^{\theta}$  is coercive. We take a suitable choice of  $\theta := \omega + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_0$  such that

$$\frac{\Lambda_{v,\omega} \theta}{w(m_{\omega})} = \underline{\theta}.$$

Then  $\theta \geq \varepsilon \omega$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Recall that for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{K,0}$ ,

$$\mathbb{J}_{v,w}^{\omega}(\phi) = (\mathbb{I}_v - \mathbb{J}_v)(\phi) - \underline{\theta} E_w(\phi) \geq C \cdot \mathbb{J}_v(\phi) - C$$

Thus we have

$$\mathbb{J}_{v,w}^{\theta}(\phi) \geq \varepsilon \mathbb{J}_{v,w}^{\omega}(\phi) \geq C\varepsilon \cdot \mathbb{J}_v(\phi) - C,$$

which shows that  $\mathbb{J}_{v,w}^{\theta}$  is coercive.

(2) Let  $\sigma \in \mathbb{T}$ , then there exists a holomorphic vector field  $\zeta$  which generates a one-parameter subgroup  $\{\sigma(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\sigma(0) = \text{id}$  and  $\sigma(1) = \sigma$ . By the definition of weighted Mabuchi functional and weighted Futaki invariant (see [Lah19, Section 6]), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\sigma(t)^* \phi) = \mathbb{F}_{v,w}(\zeta) = a,$$

where  $a$  is a constant and  $\mathbb{F}_{v,w}$  is the weighted Futaki invariant. Since  $\mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}$  is bounded from below, then  $a = 0$ . It implies  $\mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\sigma^* \phi) = \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Suppose  $\mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext},t}}$  is coercive. Then there exists a solution  $\varphi_t$  to (1.3), which is also the minimizer of  $\mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext},t}}$  for  $t \leq 1$ .*

*Proof.* This corresponds to the case  $\text{Aut}_0(X) = 0$  in [CC21b, Section 4.1]. We have the higher order estimate of equations (2.13a), (2.13b) (see Theorem 6.2 and Corollary 6.3). Exactly, using the standard continuity method in [CC21b, Section 4.1] implies the existence of the solution to (2.13a), (2.13b).  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** *There exists a sequence  $\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $t_i \rightarrow 1$ , satisfying*

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext},t_i}}(\varphi_i) \rightarrow \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1} \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi)$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\varphi_i) \rightarrow \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1} \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi)$$

where  $\varphi_i = \varphi_{t_i}$  is the solution of (2.13a), (2.13b) for  $t = t_i < 1$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.3, one has  $\mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext},t_i}}(\varphi_i) = \inf_{\mathcal{E}_K^1} \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext},t_i}}$ . By Proposition 4.2 (1),  $\inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1} \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi) > -\infty$ . Let  $\varphi^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_K^1$  such that  $\mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\varphi^\varepsilon) \leq \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1} \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi) + \varepsilon$ . We

obtain

(4.6)

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}, t_i}(\varphi_i) \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}, t_i}(\varphi^\varepsilon) = \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\varphi^\varepsilon) \leq \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1} \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi) + \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}, t_i}(\varphi_i) = \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\varphi_i) + \frac{1-t_i}{t_i} \cdot \mathbb{J}_{v,w}^\theta(\varphi_i) \geq \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1} \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi) + \frac{1-t_i}{t_i} \mathbb{J}_{v,w}^\theta(0),$$

where we take a suitable choice of  $\theta := \omega + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_0$  such that

$$\frac{\Lambda_{v,\omega} \theta}{w(m_\omega)} = \underline{\theta},$$

of which the solution  $\phi = 0$  is the minimizer of  $\mathbb{J}_{v,w}^\theta(\cdot)$ . Then we obtain

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}, t_i}(\varphi_i) \geq \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1} \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi).$$

Together with (4.6), we obtain (4.4).

The remaining part is the (4.5). By (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1} \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi) + \varepsilon &\geq \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\varphi_i) + \frac{1-t_i}{t_i} \cdot \mathbb{J}_{v,w}^\theta(\varphi_i) \\ &\geq \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\varphi_i) + \frac{1-t_i}{t_i} \mathbb{J}_{v,w}^\theta(0). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limsup on the right hand side, we obtain (4.5).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\mathbb{J}_{v,\mathbb{T}}(\varphi_i) < C$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from the assumption of  $\mathbb{G}$ -coercivity of weighted  $K$ -energy and (4.5).  $\square$

Let  $\phi_i = \sigma_i^* \varphi_i$ , such that  $\mathbb{J}_v(\phi_i) = \mathbb{J}_{v,\mathbb{T}}(\varphi_i)$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *There exists a constant  $C > 0$ , such that  $\text{Ent}_v(\phi_i) < C$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Due to the  $\mathbb{G}$ -invariance of weighted  $K$ -energy as in Proposition 4.2 (2) and (4.5), we have

$$\sup_i \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi_i) = \sup_i \mathbb{M}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\varphi_i) < \infty.$$

One has

$$\sup_i |\mathbb{J}_{v,w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}^{\text{Ric}}(\phi_i)| \leq C |\text{Ric}|_\omega \sup_i \mathbb{J}_v(\phi_i) < \infty.$$

By the formula of weighted  $K$ -energy, we finish the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $u_i$  be the potential function which satisfies  $\sigma_i^* \theta = \theta + \text{dd}^c u_i$ ,  $\sup_X u_i = 0$ . Then*

$$(4.7) \quad v(m_{\phi_i}) \omega_{\phi_i}^n = e^{\tilde{F}_i} \omega^n,$$

$$(4.8) \quad \left( \Delta_{\phi_i} - \frac{v, \alpha(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})} J \zeta^\alpha \right) \tilde{F}_i = -\ell_{\text{ext}}(m_{\phi_i}) \frac{w(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{\text{tr}_{v,\phi_i}(\theta_{u_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})} \\ - \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right) \underline{\theta} \frac{w(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})} + \frac{\text{tr}_{v,\phi_i} \text{Ric}(\omega)}{v(m_{\phi_i})}.$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $\phi_i = \sigma_i^* \varphi_i$ . Then

$$\sigma_i^*(\omega_{\phi_i}^n) = (\sigma_i^* \omega_{\varphi_i})^n = \omega_{\phi_i}^n, \quad \sigma_i^*(v(m_{\varphi_i}) \omega_{\varphi_i}^n) = v(m_{\phi_i}) \omega_{\phi_i}^n$$

and

$$\sigma_i^*(e^{F_i}\omega^n) = e^{F_i \circ \sigma_i}(\sigma_i^*\omega^n).$$

Taking pullback in the both sides of the first equation (2.13a), we obtain

$$v(m_{\phi_i})\omega_{\phi_i}^n = \sigma_i^*(e^{F_i}\omega^n) = e^{\tilde{F}_i}\omega^n$$

where  $\tilde{F}_i = F_i \circ \sigma_i + \log\left(\frac{\sigma_i^*\omega^n}{\omega^n}\right)$ .

Take  $\sigma_i^*$ -action on the second equation (2.13b), one has

$$(4.9) \quad \text{L.H.S.} = \sigma_i^* \left( \left( \Delta_{\phi_i} - \frac{v, \alpha(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})} J\zeta^\alpha \right) F_i \right) = \left( \Delta_{\phi_i} - \frac{v, \alpha(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})} J\zeta^\alpha \right) F_i \circ \sigma_i,$$

and

$$(4.10) \quad \text{R.H.S.} = -\ell_{\text{ext}}(m_{\phi_i}) \frac{w(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{\text{tr}_{v, \phi_i}(\sigma_i^*\theta)}{v(m_{\phi_i})} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right) \theta \frac{w(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})} + \frac{\text{tr}_{v, \phi_i} \text{Ric}(\sigma_i^*\omega)}{v(m_{\phi_i})}.$$

On the other hand,

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{tr}_{v, \phi_i}(\text{Ric}(\sigma_i^*\omega) - \text{Ric}(\omega)) \\ &= v(m_{\phi_i}) \text{tr}_{\phi_i} \left( \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \frac{\omega^n}{\sigma_i^*\omega^n} \right) + \langle \text{dv}(m_{\phi_i}), m_{\text{Ric}(\sigma_i^*\omega)} - m_{\text{Ric}(\omega)} \rangle \\ &= v(m_{\phi_i}) \Delta_{\phi_i} \log \frac{\omega^n}{\sigma_i^*\omega^n} + v, \alpha(m_{\phi_i}) J\zeta^\alpha \left( \log \frac{\omega^n}{\sigma_i^*\omega^n} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.11) for the last equality. Compare (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11), we derive (4.8).  $\square$

**Proposition 4.8.** *Let  $f_i = \frac{1-t_i}{t_i} u_i$ . Let  $\alpha = \alpha(X, \omega)$  be the alpha-invariant of the Kähler class  $[\omega]$ . Then there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\int_X e^{p f_i} \omega^n < C$  for any  $p < \frac{t_i \alpha}{1-t_i}$ . Moreover, we have  $e^{-f_i} \rightarrow 1$  in  $L^p(\omega^n)$  as  $t_i \rightarrow 1$  for any  $p < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $p > 1$ , suppose  $t_i$  is sufficiently close to 1 such that  $p \frac{1-t_i}{t_i} < \alpha$ , due to Tian's  $\alpha$ -invariant, then

$$\int_X e^{-p f_i} \omega^n = \int_X e^{-p \frac{1-t_i}{t_i} u_i} < C.$$

By (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1} \mathbb{M}_{v, w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi) + \varepsilon_i &\geq \mathbb{M}_{v, w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}, t_i}}(\varphi_i) = \mathbb{M}_{v, w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\varphi_i) + \frac{1-t_i}{t_i} \cdot \mathbb{J}_{v, w}^\theta(\varphi_i) \\ &\geq \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1} \mathbb{M}_{v, w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi) + \frac{1-t_i}{t_i} \mathbb{J}_v(\varphi_i). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $(1-t_i) \mathbb{J}_v(\varphi_i) \rightarrow 0$ . In particular,  $\int_X |f_i| \omega^n \rightarrow 0$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for  $i$  sufficiently large, there exists  $A_\varepsilon \subset X$ , such that  $|f_i| \leq \varepsilon$  on  $A_\varepsilon$ , and  $\text{Vol}_\omega(X \setminus A_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |e^{-f_i} - 1|^p \omega^n &\leq C \cdot (\varepsilon^p \cdot \text{Vol}_\omega(X) + \int_{X \setminus A_\varepsilon} 1 + e^{-p f_i} \omega^n) \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon + \left( \int_{X \setminus A_\varepsilon} e^{-p q f_i} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \cdot \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{q^*}}) \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{q^*}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $e^{-f_i} \rightarrow 1$  in  $L^p(\omega^n)$  as  $t_i \rightarrow 1$  for any  $p < \infty$ .  $\square$

Applying Theorem 3.7 to equations (4.7), (4.8), we obtain

**Proposition 4.9.** *By a priori estimates,  $\phi_i \in W^{2,p}, \tilde{F}_i + f_i - \log v(m_{\phi_i}) \in W^{1,p}$ .*

Hence we may take a subsequence of  $\phi_i$  (without relabeled), and a function  $\phi_* \in W^{2,p}$  for any  $p < \infty$ , and another function  $F_* \in W^{1,p}$  for any  $p < k$ , such that

$$(4.12) \quad \phi_i \rightarrow \phi_* \text{ in } C^{1,\alpha} \text{ for any } 0 < \alpha < 1 \text{ and } \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_i \rightarrow \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_* \text{ weakly in } L^p,$$

$$(4.13) \quad \tilde{F}_i - \log v(m_{\phi_i}) + f_i \rightarrow F_* \text{ in } C^\alpha \text{ for any } 0 < \alpha < 1 \text{ and}$$

$$\nabla(\tilde{F}_i - \log v(m_{\phi_i}) + f_i) \rightarrow \nabla F_* \text{ weakly in } L^p.$$

It follows from [CC21b, Lemma 4.22] that

$$\omega_{\phi_i}^k \rightarrow \omega_{\phi_*}^k \text{ weakly in } L^p \text{ for any } 1 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } p < \infty.$$

**Proposition 4.10.** *Let  $\phi_*, F_*$  be the limits as above. Then  $\phi_*$  is a weak solution of the weighted cscK equation in the following sense:*

$$(1) \quad \omega_{\phi_*}^n = e^{F_*} \omega^n,$$

(2) *For any  $u \in C^\infty(X)$ , we have*

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_X F_* \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u \wedge \omega_{\phi_*}^{n-1} &= \int_X u \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_{\phi_*})}{v(m_{\phi_*})} J\zeta^\alpha(F_*) \omega_{\phi_*}^n - \int_X \log v(m_{\phi_*}) \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u \wedge \omega_{\phi_*}^{n-1} \\ &\quad - \int_X u \left( \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_{\phi_*})v_{,\beta}(m_{\phi_*})}{v(m_{\phi_*})^2} \langle \zeta^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta \rangle_{\phi_*} + \ell_{\text{ext}}(m_{\phi_*}) \frac{w(m_{\phi_*})}{v(m_{\phi_*})} \right) \omega_{\phi_*}^n \\ &\quad + \int_X u \text{Ric}(\omega) \wedge \omega_{\phi_*}^{n-1} - \int_X u \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_{\phi_*})}{v(m_{\phi_*})} \Delta_\omega m_\omega^{\zeta^\alpha} \omega_{\phi_*}^n. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For each  $i$ , we have  $\omega_{\phi_i}^n = e^{\tilde{F}_i - \log v(m_{\phi_i})} \omega^n$ . By (4.12), we have  $\omega_{\phi_i}^n \rightarrow \omega_{\phi_*}^n$  converge weakly in  $L^p$  for any  $p < \infty$ . Note that  $e^{\tilde{F}_i - \log v(m_{\phi_i})} \omega^n = e^{\tilde{F}_i - \log v(m_{\phi_i}) + f_i} e^{-f_i} \omega^n$ . Since  $\tilde{F}_i - \log v(m_{\phi_i}) + f_i$  is uniformly bounded and converges to  $F_*$ , then  $e^{\tilde{F}_i - \log v(m_{\phi_i}) + f_i} \rightarrow e^{F_*}$  in  $L^p$  for any  $p < \infty$ . On the other hand, by Proposition 4.8, one has  $e^{-f_i} \rightarrow 1$  in  $L^p$  for any  $p < \infty$ . Thus,  $e^{\tilde{F}_i - \log v(m_{\phi_i})} \rightarrow e^{F_*}$  in  $L^p$  for any  $p < \infty$ . We obtain the first equation  $\omega_{\phi_*}^n = e^{F_*} \omega^n$ .

To obtain the second equation, by (2.10), we first re-write the equation (4.8) to

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &\Delta_{\phi_i}(\tilde{F}_i + f_i - \log v(m_{\phi_i})) \\ &= \Delta_{\phi_i}(\tilde{F}_i + f_i) - \Delta_{\phi_i} \log v(m_{\phi_i}) \\ &= \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})} J\zeta^\alpha(\tilde{F}_i + f_i - \log v(m_{\phi_i})) + \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})} J\zeta^\alpha(\log v(m_{\phi_i})) - \Delta_{\phi_i} \log v(m_{\phi_i}) \\ &\quad - \ell_{\text{ext}}(m_{\phi_i}) \frac{w(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{t_i}\right) \frac{\text{tr}_{v,\phi_i}(\theta)}{v(m_{\phi_i})} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{t_i}\right) \frac{\underline{\theta}}{v(m_{\phi_i})} + \frac{\text{tr}_{v,\phi_i} \text{Ric}(\omega)}{v(m_{\phi_i})}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote  $W_i = \tilde{F}_i + f_i - \log v(m_{\phi_i})$ . For any  $u \in C^\infty(X)$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_X W_i \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u \wedge \omega_{\phi_i}^{n-1} \\ &= \int_X u \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})} J\zeta^\alpha(W_i) \omega_{\phi_i}^n - \int_X \log v(m_{\phi_i}) \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u \wedge \omega_{\phi_i}^{n-1} \\ &\quad - \int_X u \left( \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_{\phi_i})v_{,\beta}(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})^2} \langle \zeta^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta \rangle_{\phi_i} + \ell_{\text{ext}}(m_{\phi_i}) \frac{w(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{t_i}\right) \frac{\underline{\theta}}{v(m_{\phi_i})} \right) \omega_{\phi_i}^n \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int_X u \left( \left(1 - \frac{1}{t_i}\right) \frac{\text{tr}_{v,\phi_i}(\theta)}{v(m_{\phi_i})} + \frac{\text{tr}_{v,\phi_i} \text{Ric}(\omega)}{v(m_{\phi_i})} \right) \omega_{\phi_i}^n.$$

For the left hand side, since  $W_i \rightarrow F_*$  strongly in  $L^p$ ,  $\omega_{\phi_i}^{n-1} \rightarrow \omega_{\phi_*}^{n-1}$  weakly in  $L^p$  for any  $p < \infty$ , we obtain

$$\int_X W_i \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u \wedge \omega_{\phi_i}^{n-1} \rightarrow \int_X F_* \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u \wedge \omega_{\phi_*}^{n-1}.$$

For the right hand side, by (4.13), (4.12),  $\phi_i \rightarrow \phi_*$  in  $C^{1,\alpha}$  for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then following the construction in the proof of [HL23, Proposition 10], we have

$$\frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_{\phi_i}) v_{,\beta}(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})^2} \omega_{\phi_i}^n \rightarrow \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_{\phi_*}) v_{,\beta}(m_{\phi_*})}{v(m_{\phi_*})^2} \omega_{\phi_*}^n$$

converges weakly as signed measures. In addition,  $J\zeta^\alpha(W_i) \in L^p$  and  $\langle \zeta^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta \rangle_{\phi_i} \in L^p$  for any  $p < \infty$ . Thus, we have

$$\int_X u \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})} J\zeta^\alpha(W_i) \omega_{\phi_i}^n \rightarrow \int_X u \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_{\phi_*})}{v(m_{\phi_*})} J\zeta^\alpha(F_*) \omega_{\phi_*}^n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_X u \left( \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_{\phi_i}) v_{,\beta}(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})^2} \langle \zeta^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta \rangle_{\phi_i} + \ell_{\text{ext}}(m_{\phi_i}) \frac{w(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{t_i}\right) \theta \frac{w(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})} \right) \omega_{\phi_i}^n \\ & \rightarrow - \int_X u \left( \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_{\phi_*}) v_{,\beta}(m_{\phi_*})}{v(m_{\phi_*})^2} \langle \zeta^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta \rangle_{\phi_*} + \ell_{\text{ext}}(m_{\phi_*}) \frac{w(m_{\phi_*})}{v(m_{\phi_*})} \right) \omega_{\phi_*}^n. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X u \left( \left(1 - \frac{1}{t_i}\right) \frac{\text{tr}_{v,\phi_i}(\theta)}{v(m_{\phi_i})} + \frac{\text{tr}_{v,\phi_i} \text{Ric}(\omega)}{v(m_{\phi_i})} \right) \omega_{\phi_i}^n \\ & = \int_X u \left(1 - \frac{1}{t_i}\right) \theta \wedge \omega_{\phi_i}^{n-1} + \int_X u \text{Ric}(\omega) \wedge \omega_{\phi_i}^{n-1} \\ & \quad + \int_X u \left( \left(1 - \frac{1}{t_i}\right) \frac{\langle \text{dv}(m_{\phi_i}), m_\theta \rangle}{v(m_{\phi_i})} - \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_{\phi_i})}{v(m_{\phi_i})} \Delta_\omega m_\omega^{\zeta^\alpha} \right) \omega_{\phi_i}^n \\ & \rightarrow \int_X u \text{Ric}(\omega) \wedge \omega_{\phi_*}^{n-1} - \int_X u \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_{\phi_*})}{v(m_{\phi_*})} \Delta_\omega m_\omega^{\zeta^\alpha} \omega_{\phi_*}^n \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_X \log v(m_{\phi_i}) \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u \wedge \omega_{\phi_i}^{n-1} \rightarrow \int_X \log v(m_{\phi_*}) \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u \wedge \omega_{\phi_*}^{n-1}.$$

Thus, we obtain (2).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.11.** *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $C^{-1}\omega \leq \omega_{\phi_*} \leq C\omega$ .*

*Proof.* We have obtained that  $F_* \in W^{1,p}$  for any  $p < \infty$ , then we may find a sequence  $G_k \in C^\infty(X)$ , uniformly bounded, and  $G_k \rightarrow F_*$  in  $W^{1,p}$ . Let  $\psi_k$  be the solution of  $\omega_{\psi_k}^n = e^{G_k} \omega^n$  with  $\sup_X \psi_k = 0$ . By [CH12, Theorem 1.1], for any  $p < \infty$ , one has

$$\sup_k \|\psi_k\|_{W^{3,p}} < \infty.$$

Up to a subsequence, we have that there exists  $\psi_* \in W^{3,p}$  such that  $\psi_k \rightarrow \psi_*$  in  $W^{2,p}$  for any finite  $p$ . It follows that  $\omega_{\psi_*}^n = e^{F_*} \omega^n$ . By uniqueness of Monge-Ampère equations, we obtain that  $\phi_* = \psi_* + c$  for some constant  $c$ . Hence  $\omega_{\phi_*} = \omega_{\psi_*} \leq C\omega$  and  $\omega_{\phi_*} = \omega_{\psi_*} \geq C^{-1}\omega$  follows from  $F_*$  is bounded from below.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.12.**  $\phi_*$  is the smooth solution to the weighted cscK equation (1.1).

*Proof.* In the proof of Lemma 4.11, we have obtain that  $\phi_* \in W^{3,p}$  for any  $p < \infty$ . Hence  $\omega_{\phi_*} \in C^\alpha$  for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . By (4.14) and Schauder estimate, we derive  $F_* \in C^{2,\alpha}$  for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then the higher regularity follows from bootstrap (see Corollary 6.3).  $\square$

## 5. AN APPLICATION TO SMOOTHABLE KLT VARIETIES

In this section, we consider the existence of weighted-cscK metrics on smoothable klt varieties. We will prove Theorem 1.5 for a  $\mathbb{G}$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein smoothable variety  $X$ . Denote  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$  as a  $\mathbb{G}$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein smoothing of  $X$ . From the definition, we have the following facts.

- (1). There exists a positive integer  $m$ , such that  $m \cdot K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{D}}$  is a Cartier divisor.
- (2). For each  $t \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $T \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}_t)$ , and the image of the moment map is a fixed moment polytope  $P$ . Therefore the weighted-cscK equation can be defined for each smooth variety  $\mathcal{X}_t$ ,  $t \neq 0$ .
- (3).  $\mathbb{G} = K_{\mathbb{C}}|_{\mathcal{X}_t}$  is a reductive Lie group which contains a maximal torus of  $\text{Aut}_T(\mathcal{X}_t)$ .

We will first recall some preliminaries as stated in [PTT23]. There exists a smooth psh-current  $\omega$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ , such that the restriction on each fiber  $\omega_t$  is a smooth Kähler metric in class  $c_1(\mathcal{L}_t)$ . There also exists a smooth Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $mK_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{D}}$ , which corresponds to a smooth  $(1,1)$ -form  $\Theta \in c_1(-K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{D}})$ , such that on each fiber,  $h_t$  defines an adapted measure  $\mu_t$ . We can find another reference metric  $\hat{\omega}_t := \omega_t + \text{dd}_t^c \psi_t$  by solving the Monge-Ampère equation

$$(\omega_t + \text{dd}_t^c \psi_t)^n = \mu_t, \quad \sup_{\mathcal{X}_t} \psi_t = 0.$$

We have  $\|\psi_t\|_{C^0(\mathcal{X}_t)}$  uniformly bounded independent of  $t$ . In particular,  $\text{Ric}(\hat{\omega}_t) = \Theta_t$  and  $\psi_t \in \mathcal{E}_K^1(\mathcal{X}_t, \omega_t)$ , and as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\psi_t$  converges strongly in families to  $\psi_0$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{E}_t(\psi_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_0(\psi_0)$ .

As stated in remark 2.7, the strong topologies defined by  $\mathbb{E}_v$  and  $\mathbb{E}$  are equivalent. Therefore, we can adapt the strong convergence properties in [PTT23] in our weighted-cscK case. In particular, we will use the following results (with minor modification) in [PTT23].

**Proposition 5.1** ([PTT23]). (1). For a sequence of  $K$ -invariant  $\omega_{t_i}$ -psh (or  $\omega_{\psi_{t_i}}$ -psh) functions  $\phi_i$ ,  $t_i \rightarrow 0$ . Assume  $\mathbb{H}_{t_i, v}(\phi_i)$  (or  $\mathbb{H}_{t_i, \mu, v}(\phi_i)$ ) is uniformly bounded. Then up to a subsequence,  $\phi_i$  converges strongly in families to  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{E}_K^1(\mathcal{X}_0, \omega_0)$ .

(2). The weighted entropy  $\mathbb{H}_{t, v}$  (or  $\mathbb{H}_{t, \mu, v}$ ) is lower semi-continuous with respect to the strong topology in families.

(3). The weighted Mabuchi functional  $\mathbb{M}_{t, v, w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}$  (or  $\widetilde{\mathbb{M}}_{t, v, w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}, \psi} = \mathbb{M}_{t, v, w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}} - \mathbb{M}_{t, v, w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}(\psi_t)$ ) is lower semi-continuous with respect to the strong topology in families.

(4). For any  $\phi_0, \phi_1 \in \mathcal{E}_K^1(\mathcal{X}_t, \omega_t)$ , The weighted Mabuchi functional  $\mathbb{M}_{t, v, w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}$  (or  $\widetilde{\mathbb{M}}_{t, v, w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}, \psi}$ ) is convex along the geodesic segment that connects  $\phi_0, \phi_1$ .

*Proof.* The proof of (1) follows from [PTT23, Corollary 3.11]. The proof of (2), (3) follows from a combination of [HL23, Lemma 24] and [PTT23, Lemma 3.9, Lemma 3.12, Proposition 4.6]. The proof of (4) follows from [PTT23, Proposition 4.7].  $\square$

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $(X, L)$  be a polarized klt variety. Let  $\omega \in c_1(L)$  be a smooth Kähler metric on  $X$  (in the sense as the restriction of a smooth metric of the ambient projective space). A singular Kähler metric  $\omega_\phi$  is called a singular weighted-cscK (extremal) metric if  $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$  is a minimizer of  $\mathbb{M}_{v, w \cdot \ell_{\text{ext}}}$ , and  $\omega_\phi$  satisfies the weighted-cscK (extremal) equation on  $X^{\text{reg}}$ .

The G-coercivity threshold is defined as

**Definition 5.3.**

(5.1)

$\sigma_t = \sup\{\delta \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{M}_{t,v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi) \geq \delta \cdot \mathbb{J}_{t,v,\mathbb{T}}(\phi) - C, \forall \phi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1(\mathcal{X}_t, \omega_t), \text{ for some constant } C \in \mathbb{R}\}.$

**Theorem 5.4.** *For a G-equivariant Q-Gorenstein smoothing, the coercivity threshold  $\sigma_t$  is lower semi-continuous with respect to  $t \in \mathbb{D}$ .*

**Remark 5.5.** In the theorem above, the G-equivariant condition is a technical assumption which cannot be removed. There are counter-examples under the Fano Kähler-Einstein setting already, that is, there exists a K-polystable Fano variety, whose Q-Gorenstein smoothing is only K-semistable.

*Proof.* The proof basically follows from [PTT23, Theorem 4.11]. We will give a sketch of the proof. Without the loss of generality, we may normalize  $\sup_X \phi = 0$ . Under such a normalization,  $\mathbb{J}_v$  is comparable with  $-\mathbb{E}_v$ . Assume that  $\sigma_t$  is not lower semi-continuous, then up to shrinking  $t$  smaller, there exist  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , such that  $\mathbb{M}_{0,v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi_i) \geq \delta \cdot \inf_{\sigma \in \mathbb{T}}(-\mathbb{E}_{0,v}(\sigma^* \phi)) - C$  for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1(\mathcal{X}_0, \omega_0)$ ; meanwhile, there exist  $t_i \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\phi_i \in \mathcal{E}_K^1(\mathcal{X}_{t_i}, \omega_{t_i})$ , such that  $\mathbb{M}_{t_i,v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi) \leq (\delta - \epsilon) \cdot \inf_{\sigma \in \mathbb{T}}(-\mathbb{E}_{t_i,v}(\sigma^* \phi_i)) - C_i$ , with  $C_i \rightarrow +\infty$ . Denote  $\tilde{\phi}_i = \sigma_i^* \phi_i$  that achieves the  $\inf_{\sigma \in \mathbb{T}}(-\mathbb{E}_{t_i,v}(\sigma^* \phi_i))$ . Note that  $\mathbb{M}_{t_i,v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi_i) = \mathbb{M}_{t_i,v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}(\tilde{\phi}_i)$  by Proposition 4.2 (2).

Since the weighted entropy has non-negative lower bound, and  $\mathbb{E}_v^{\text{Ric}}, \mathbb{E}_w$  can be controlled by  $\mathbb{E}_v$ , we have  $-\mathbb{E}_{t_i,v}(\tilde{\phi}_i) \rightarrow +\infty$ . Connect  $0, \tilde{\phi}_i$  by a geodesic and denote  $v_i$  as the point on the geodesic with  $-\mathbb{E}_{t_i,v}(v_i) = D$  for some positive constant  $D$ . By our choice of  $\tilde{\phi}_i$ , we can see that  $-\mathbb{E}_{t_i,v}(v_i) = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathbb{T}}(-\mathbb{E}_{t_i,v}(\sigma^* v_i))$ . By the convexity of the weighted Mabuchi functional,  $\mathbb{M}_{t_i,v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}(v_i) \leq (\delta - \epsilon)D$ .

The uniform bound of  $\mathbb{H}_{t_i,v}(v_i)$  implies that  $v_i$  converges strongly in families to  $v_0 \in \mathcal{E}_K^1(\mathcal{X}_0, \omega_0)$ . Then  $-\mathbb{E}_{0,v}(v_0) = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathbb{T}}(-\mathbb{E}_{0,v}(\sigma^* v_0)) = D$ . This implies  $(\delta - \epsilon)D \geq \delta D - C$  for some fixed constant  $C$ . We can choose  $D$  to be sufficiently large. This gives us a contradiction.  $\square$

An immediate consequence of Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 1.2 is

**Corollary 5.6.** *Assume  $\mathcal{X}_0$  is G-coercive, then there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_t$  admits a unique weighted cscK (extremal) metric, up to group action, for any  $t \in \mathbb{D}_r^*$ .*

It follows from Corollary 5.6 that there exists the weighted cscK potential  $\phi_t \in \mathcal{H}_K(\mathcal{X}_t, \omega_t)$  satisfying

$$(5.2a) \quad \begin{cases} v(m_{\phi_t})(\omega_t + \text{dd}_t^c \phi_t)^n = e^{\hat{F}_t} \omega_t^n, \\ \left( \Delta_{\phi_t} - \frac{v, \alpha(m_{\phi_t})}{v(m_{\phi_t})} J \zeta^\alpha \right) \hat{F}_t = -\ell_{\text{ext}}(m_{\phi_t}) \frac{w(m_{\phi_t})}{v(m_{\phi_t})} + \frac{\text{tr}_{v, \phi_t} \text{Ric}(\omega_t)}{v(m_{\phi_t})}. \end{cases}$$

$$(5.2b)$$

Denote  $\chi_t$  the density of  $\hat{\omega}_t^n$  with respect to  $\omega_t^n$ , i.e.  $\hat{\omega}_t^n = e^{\chi_t} \omega_t^n$ . One has  $\text{Ric}(\omega_t) = \text{Ric}(\hat{\omega}_t) + \text{dd}_t^c \chi_t$ . Thus, we can reformulate the weighted-cscK equations (5.2a), (5.2b) for the new reference metric  $\hat{\omega}_t$

$$(5.3a) \quad \begin{cases} v(\hat{m}_{\hat{\phi}_t})(\hat{\omega}_t + \text{dd}_t^c \hat{\phi}_t)^n = e^{\hat{F}_t} \hat{\omega}_t^n, & \sup_{\mathcal{X}_t} \hat{\phi}_t = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(5.3b) \quad \begin{cases} \left( \Delta_{\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\phi}_t}} - \frac{v, \alpha(\hat{m}_{\hat{\phi}_t})}{v(\hat{m}_{\hat{\phi}_t})} J \zeta^\alpha \right) \hat{F}_t = -(\ell_{\text{ext}} \frac{w}{v})(\hat{m}_{\hat{\phi}_t}) + \frac{\text{tr}_{v(\hat{m}_{\hat{\phi}_t}), \hat{\omega}_{\hat{\phi}_t}} \text{Ric}(\hat{\omega}_t)}{v(\hat{m}_{\hat{\phi}_t})}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\widehat{\phi}_t := \phi_t - \psi_t$ ,  $\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}$  denote the moment map of  $\widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} = \widehat{\omega}_t + \text{dd}_t^c \widehat{\phi}_t = \omega_t + \text{dd}_t^c \phi_t$ , and  $\widehat{F}_t := F_t - \chi_t$ .

By chasing the constants in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have the following uniform  $C^0$ -estimate for a family.

**Proposition 5.7.** *Fix the polytope  $P$ , torus  $T$  and constant  $V > 0$ . For a Kähler manifold  $(X, \omega)$  with a  $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ -action on it, suppose the image of the moment map is the polytope  $P$ . Suppose  $\text{Vol}_{\omega}(X) = V$ . Suppose  $\omega_{\phi} = \omega + \text{dd}^c \phi$  is the solution to the weighted-cscK equation (1.2a), (1.2b). Normalize  $\sup_X \phi = 0$ . Suppose there are constants  $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4$  such that*

$$-C_1\omega \leq \text{Ric}(\omega) \leq C_2\omega,$$

$$\int_X \log\left(\frac{\omega_{\phi}^n}{\omega^n}\right) \omega_{\phi}^n \leq C_3,$$

and there exists  $\alpha > 0$ , such that for any  $\psi \in \text{Psh}(X, \omega)$ ,

$$\int_X e^{-\alpha(\psi - \sup \psi)} \omega^n \leq C_4.$$

Then there exists a uniform constant  $C$ , which depends on  $n, P, T, V, \alpha, C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, v, w, \ell_{\text{ext}}$ , such that

$$\sup_{x \in X} (|\phi| + |F|) \leq C.$$

The reference metric  $\widehat{\omega}_t$  satisfies the requirement of Proposition 5.7. Therefore, we can apply Proposition 5.7 to (5.3a), (5.3b) and prove that

$$\sup_{\mathcal{X}_t} (|\widehat{\phi}_t| + |\widehat{F}_t|) \leq C$$

with the constant  $C$  independent of  $t$ . Since  $\|\psi_t\|_{C^0}$  is uniformly bounded, we also have  $\|\phi_t\|_{C^0} \leq C$  uniformly independent of  $t$ .

Motivated by [PTT23, Proposition 5.6], we obtain the following  $W^{2,p}$ -estimate by adapting the approach of Chen–Cheng. Meanwhile, due to the argument above, we have  $\omega_t, \widehat{\omega}_t, \chi_t, \widehat{F}_t, \phi_t$  in our family weighted-cscK equations satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 5.8.

**Proposition 5.8.** *Let  $\phi$  be a solution of (5.3a), (5.3b). Suppose*

$$\text{Bisec}(\omega_t) \leq B, \quad -\text{Ric}(\widehat{\omega}_t) \leq A_1\omega_t, \quad \text{dd}_t^c \chi_t \leq A_0\omega_t \quad \text{and} \quad \|\widehat{F}_t\|_{L^\infty} + \|\phi_t\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_0,$$

where  $A_1, B, C_0$  are constants. then, for any  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$\|\text{tr}_{\omega_t + \text{dd}_t^c \phi_t} \omega_t\|_{L^p(\mathcal{X}_t, \omega_t^n)} \leq C = C(n, p, v, w, \ell_{\text{ext}}, A_0, A_1, B, C_0, C_{v, \xi}, C'_{v, \xi}),$$

where  $C_{v, \xi}$  and  $C'_{v, \xi}$  are constant defined by (5.6) and (5.15).

*Proof.* We consider

$$u := e^{a(\widehat{F}_t - b\phi_t)} e^{-c\chi_t} \text{tr}_{\omega_t + \text{dd}_t^c \phi_t} \omega_t = e^{a(\widehat{F}_t - b\phi_t)} e^{-c\chi_t} \text{tr}_{\widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}} \omega_t,$$

where  $\widetilde{F}_t := \widehat{F}_t + \log v(\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\phi}_t})$  and  $a, b > 1, c > 0$  are uniform constants determined later. Since

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}e^f = e^f \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f + e^f \sqrt{-1}\partial f \wedge \bar{\partial}f,$$

then one has

$$\Delta_{\widehat{\phi}_t} u = \Delta_{\phi} e^{\log u} = e^{\log u} \Delta_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \log u + u \nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t}(\log u) \cdot_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t}(\log u)$$



$$(5.4) \quad = -u\Delta_{\widehat{\phi}_t}(-a\widetilde{F}_t + ab\phi_t + c\chi_t) + u\Delta_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \log \operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}} \omega_t + u^{-1} |\nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t} u|_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^2.$$

By (5.3a), (5.3b), or (2.17), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\widehat{\phi}_t}(\widetilde{F}_t) &= \frac{V, \alpha}{V} J \zeta^\alpha(\widehat{F}_t) + \Delta_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \log v(\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}) - (\ell_{\text{ext}} \frac{W}{V})(\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}) + \frac{\operatorname{tr}_{v, \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}} \operatorname{Ric}(\widehat{\omega}_t)}{v(\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\phi}_t})} \\ &= -(\ell_{\text{ext}} \frac{W}{V})(\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}) + \operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \operatorname{Ric}(\widehat{\omega}_t) + \frac{V, \alpha \beta}{V}(\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}) \langle \zeta^\alpha, \zeta^\beta \rangle_{\widehat{\phi}_t} - 2|\nabla^\phi \log v(\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\phi}_t})|_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^2. \end{aligned}$$

It implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta_{\widehat{\phi}_t}(-a\widetilde{F}_t + ab\phi_t + c\chi_t) \\ &= a(\ell_{\text{ext}} \frac{W}{V})(\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}) - a \operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \operatorname{Ric}(\widehat{\omega}_t) + 2a|\nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t} \log v|_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^2 - a \frac{V, \alpha \beta}{V}(\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}) \langle \zeta^\alpha, \zeta^\beta \rangle_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \\ &\quad + abn - ab \operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}} \omega_t + c \operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \operatorname{dd}_c^* \chi_t \\ (5.5) \quad &\leq (abn + a \ell_{\text{ext}} \frac{W}{V}) + (aA_1 + cA_0 + aC_{v, \zeta} - ab) \operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \omega_t + 2a|\nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t} \log v|_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_{v, \zeta}$  is a constant such that

$$(5.6) \quad \frac{V, \alpha \beta}{V}(\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}) \langle \zeta^\alpha, \zeta^\beta \rangle_{\widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}} = \frac{V, \alpha \beta}{V}(\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}) \langle \zeta^\alpha, \zeta^\beta \rangle_{\omega_t + \operatorname{dd}_c^* \phi_t} \leq C_v \frac{\zeta_{\alpha, i} \bar{\zeta}_{\beta, \bar{i}}}{1 + \phi_{t, i\bar{i}}} \leq C_{v, \zeta} \operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \omega_t.$$

On the other hand, by Chern–Lu inequality (see [Rub14, Proposition 7.2]), one has

$$\Delta_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \log \operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \omega_t \geq \frac{g_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^{i\bar{l}} g_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^{k\bar{j}} g_{t, k\bar{l}} \operatorname{Ric}(\widehat{\phi}_t)_{i\bar{j}}}{\operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \omega_t} - 2B \operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \omega_t,$$

where  $B$  is a constant such that  $\operatorname{Bisec}(\omega_t) \leq B$ . By (5.4), (5.5) and the above inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\phi u &\geq -au(\ell_{\text{ext}} \frac{W}{V} + bn) + (ab - aA_1 - cA_0 - aC_{v, \zeta} - 2B) \operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \omega_t + e^{a(\widetilde{F}_t - b\phi_t) - c\chi_t} \vartheta_t^{i\bar{j}} \operatorname{Ric}(\widehat{\phi}_t)_{i\bar{j}} \\ &\quad - 2au|\nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t} \log v|_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^2 + u^{-1} |\nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t} u|_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^2 \\ &\geq -au(\ell_{\text{ext}} \frac{W}{V} + bn) + \frac{ab}{2} \operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \omega_t + e^{a(\widetilde{F}_t - b\phi_t) - c\chi_t} \vartheta_t^{i\bar{j}} \operatorname{Ric}(\widehat{\phi}_t)_{i\bar{j}} \\ (5.7) \quad &\quad - 2au|\nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t} \log v|_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^2 + u^{-1} |\nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t} u|_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we choose  $b \geq 4 \max(A_1 + C_{v, \zeta}, B)$  (in order to  $ab - aA_1 - cA_0 - aC_{v, \zeta} - 2B \geq \frac{1}{2}ab$ ) and denote a Kähler form  $\vartheta_t$  as  $\vartheta_t^{i\bar{j}} := g_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^{i\bar{l}} g_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^{k\bar{j}} g_{t, k\bar{l}}$ . Then  $\vartheta_t$  satisfies

$$(5.8) \quad \vartheta_t^n = e^{\widetilde{F}_t + \chi_t} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^n, \quad \operatorname{tr}_{\vartheta_t} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} = \operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \omega_t \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{tr}_{\vartheta_t} \omega_t \leq (\operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \omega_t)^2,$$

where we denote  $\widehat{F}_t' := \widehat{F}_t - \log v(\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\phi}_t})$ . Note that

$$\frac{1}{2p+1} \Delta_{\widehat{\phi}_t} u^{2p+1} = u^{2p} \Delta_{\widehat{\phi}_t} u + 2pu^{2p-1} |\nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t} u|_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^2.$$

Together with (5.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2p+1} \int_X \Delta_{\widehat{\phi}_t} u^{2p+1} e^{-2a \log v} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^n \\ &\geq (2p+1) \int_X u^{2p-1} |\nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t} u|_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^2 e^{-2a \log v} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^n - a(C_{\ell_{\text{ext}}, v, W} + bn) \int_X u^{2p+1} e^{-2a \log v} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{ab}{2} \int_X u^{2p+1} \operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \omega_t e^{-2a \log v} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^n - 2a \int_X u^{2p+1} |\nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t} \log v(\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\phi}_t})|_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^2 e^{-2a \log v} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^n \\
(5.9) \quad & + \int_X u^{2p} e^{a(\widetilde{F}_t - b\phi_t) - c\chi_t} \vartheta_t^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} \operatorname{Ric}(\widehat{\phi}_t)_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} e^{-2a \log v} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^n,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C_{\ell_{\text{ext}}, w, w} = \sup \ell_{\text{ext}} \frac{w}{v}$ .

Firstly, we estimate the left hand side of the above inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2p+1} \int_X \Delta_{\widehat{\phi}_t} u^{2p+1} e^{-2a \log v} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^n \\
& = 2a \int_X u^{2p} \nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t} u \cdot_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t} \log(v) e^{-2a \log v} \omega_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^n \\
(5.10) \quad & \leq \frac{a}{2} \int_X u^{2p-1} |\nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t} u|_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^2 e^{-2a \log v} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^n + 2a \int_X u^{2p+1} |\nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t} \log v|_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^2 e^{-2a \log v} \omega_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^n
\end{aligned}$$

Next, note that  $\operatorname{Ric}(\widehat{\phi}_t)_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = \operatorname{Ric}(\widehat{\omega}_t)_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} - \widehat{F}'_{t, \bar{i}\bar{j}}$ . For simplicity, we denote  $G_t := (a-1)\widehat{F}'_t - ab\phi_t - (c+1)\chi_t$ . One has

$$\begin{aligned}
I & := \int_X u^{2p} e^{a(\widetilde{F}_t - b\phi_t) - c\chi_t} \vartheta_t^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} \operatorname{Ric}(\widehat{\phi}_t)_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} e^{-2a \log v} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^n \\
& = \int_X u^{2p} e^{a(\widetilde{F}_t - b\phi_t) - c\chi_t} \vartheta_t^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} (\operatorname{Ric}(\widehat{\omega}_t)_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} - \widehat{F}'_{t, \bar{i}\bar{j}}) e^{-2a \log v} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^n \\
& \geq -A_1 \int_X u^{2p} e^{a(\widetilde{F}_t - ab\phi_t) - c\chi_t} \operatorname{tr}_{\vartheta_t} \omega_t e^{-2a \log v} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^n - \int_X u^{2p} \Delta_{\vartheta_t} \widehat{F}'_t e^{(a-1)\widehat{F}'_t - ab\phi_t - (c+1)\chi_t} \vartheta_t^n \\
& = -A_1 \int_X u^{2p} e^{a(\widetilde{F}_t - ab\phi_t) - c\chi_t} (\operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \omega_t)^2 e^{-2a \log v} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^n + \frac{1}{1-a} \int_X u^{2p} \Delta_{\vartheta_t} G_t e^{G_t} \vartheta_t^n \\
(5.11) \quad & + \frac{ab}{1-a} \int_X u^{2p} \Delta_{\vartheta_t} \phi_t e^{G_t} \vartheta_t^n + \frac{c+1}{1-a} \int_X u^{2p} \Delta_{\vartheta_t} \chi_t e^{G_t} \vartheta_t^n.
\end{aligned}$$

By Stokes' theorem and Young inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.12) \quad I_1 & := \frac{1}{1-a} \int_X u^{2p} \Delta_{\vartheta_t} G_t e^{G_t} \vartheta_t^n \\
& = \frac{1}{a-1} \int_X u^{2p} |\nabla^{\vartheta_t} G_t|_{\vartheta_t}^2 e^{G_t} \vartheta_t^n + \frac{2p}{a-1} \int_X u^{2p-1} \nabla^{\vartheta_t} u \cdot \nabla^{\vartheta_t} G_t e^{G_t} \vartheta_t^n \\
& \geq \frac{1}{a-1} \int_X u^{2p} |\nabla^{\vartheta_t} G_t|_{\vartheta_t}^2 e^{G_t} \vartheta_t^n - \frac{p^2}{a-1} \int_X u^{2p-2} |\nabla^{\vartheta_t} u|_{\vartheta_t}^2 e^{G_t} \vartheta_t^n - \frac{1}{a-1} \int_X u^{2p} |\nabla^{\vartheta_t} G_t|_{\vartheta_t}^2 e^{G_t} \vartheta_t^n \\
& \geq -\frac{p^2}{a-1} \int_X u^{2p-2} |\nabla^{\vartheta_t} u|_{\vartheta_t}^2 e^{G_t} \vartheta_t^n \\
& \geq -\frac{p^2}{a-1} \int_X u^{2p-1} |\nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t} u|_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^2 e^{-2a \log v} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^n.
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$|\nabla^{\vartheta_t} u|_{\vartheta_t}^2 \leq |\nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t} u|_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^2 \operatorname{tr}_{\vartheta_t} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} = |\nabla^{\widehat{\phi}_t} u|_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^2 \operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} \omega_t$$

for the last inequality. In addition, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.13) \quad I_2 & := \frac{ab}{1-a} \int_X u^{2p} \Delta_{\vartheta_t} \phi_t e^{G_t} \vartheta_t^n = \frac{ab}{1-a} \int_X u^{2p} (\operatorname{tr}_{\vartheta_t} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t} - \operatorname{tr}_{\vartheta_t} \omega_t) e^{G_t} \vartheta_t^n \\
& \geq -\frac{ab}{a-1} \int_X u^{2p+1} e^{-2a \log v} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\phi}_t}^n,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_3 &:= \frac{c+1}{1-a} \int_X u^{2p} \Delta_{\vartheta_t} \chi_t e^{G_t} \vartheta_t^n \geq \frac{c+1}{1-a} A_0 \int_X u^{2p} \operatorname{tr}_{\vartheta_t} \omega_t e^{G_t} \vartheta_t^n \\
 (5.14) \quad &\geq \frac{c+1}{1-a} A_0 \int_X u^{2p} (\operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\varphi}_t} \omega_t)^2 e^{G_t} \vartheta_t^n = \frac{c+1}{1-a} A_0 \int_X u^{2p+1} \operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\varphi}_t} \omega_t e^{-2a \log v} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\varphi}_t}^n
 \end{aligned}$$

By (5.9), (5.10), (5.11), (5.12), (5.13), (5.14) and choosing  $a = p + 1$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\geq (2p+1 - \frac{a}{2} - \frac{p^2}{a-1}) \int_X u^{2p-1} |\nabla^{\widehat{\varphi}_t} u|_{\widehat{\varphi}_t}^2 e^{-2a \log v} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\varphi}_t}^n \\
 &\quad - (aC_{\ell_{\text{ext},v,w}} + bn + \frac{ab}{a-1}) \int_X u^{2p+1} e^{-2a \log v} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\varphi}_t}^n \\
 &\quad + \left( \frac{ab}{2} - 4aC'_{v,\zeta} - A_1 - \frac{c+1}{a-1} A_0 \right) \int_X u^{2p+1} \operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\varphi}_t} \omega_t e^{-2a \log v} \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\varphi}_t}^n \\
 &\geq -C_1 \int_X (\operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\varphi}_t} \omega_t)^{2p+1} e^{(1-(2p+1)c)\chi_t} \omega_t^n + C_2 \int_X (\operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\varphi}_t} \omega_t)^{2p+2} e^{(1-(2p+1)c)\chi_t} \omega_t^n,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  are uniform constant depending on  $n, p, v, w, A_0, A_1, B, C_{v,\zeta}, C'_{v,\zeta}, C_0$ , and we have used

$$(5.15) \quad |\nabla^{\widehat{\varphi}_t} \log v(\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\varphi}_t})|_{\widehat{\varphi}_t}^2 = \frac{v,\alpha v,\beta}{v^2} (\widehat{m}_{\widehat{\varphi}_t}) \langle \zeta^\beta, \zeta^\alpha \rangle_{\widehat{\varphi}_t} \leq C'_{v,\zeta} \operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\varphi}_t} \omega_t.$$

Then taking  $c = (2p + 1)^{-1}$  and Hölder inequality imply that

$$\|\operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\varphi}_t} \omega_t\|_{L^{2p+2}(\mathcal{X}_t, \omega_t^n)}^{2p+2} \leq C \|\operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\varphi}_t} \omega_t\|_{L^{2p+1}(\mathcal{X}_t, \omega_t^n)}^{2p+1} \leq C' \|\operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\varphi}_t} \omega_t\|_{L^{2p+2}(\mathcal{X}_t, \omega_t^n)}^{2p+1}.$$

This gives the theorem for  $p \geq 2$ . Again by Hölder inequality, we obtain  $L^p$  estimate of  $\operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\varphi}_t} \omega_t$  for any  $p \geq 1$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* By uniform  $L^\infty$ -estimate Proposition 5.7, Proposition 5.8, Theorem 6.4, and the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem, there exists a sequence  $(\phi_{t_k})_{t_k}$  converging smoothly in families to  $\phi_0 \in \text{Psh}_K(X, \omega_0) \cap L^\infty$ . Moreover, on  $X^{\text{reg}}$ , the metric  $\omega_{0, \phi_0}$  satisfies the weighted-cscK equation. It suffices to show that  $\phi_0$  is a minimizer of  $\mathbb{M}_{0,v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}$ .

Given a  $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1(X, \omega_0)$ , without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\mathbb{H}_{0,v}(\phi) < +\infty$ . Since  $\mathbb{H}_0$  and  $\mathbb{H}_{0,v}$  are equivalent stated in Remark 2.7, then  $\mathbb{H}_0(\phi) < \infty$ . By [PTT23, Lemma 4.5], there exists a  $u_j \in \mathcal{H}_K(X, \omega_0)$  such that  $\mathbb{H}_0(u_j) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_0(\phi)$ . Thus  $\mathbb{M}_{0,v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}(u_j) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{0,v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi)$ . As in [PTT23, Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.5], for each  $j$ , there exists a sequence  $u_{j,k} \in \mathcal{E}_K^1(\mathcal{X}_{t_k}, \omega_{t_k})$  converging strongly in family to  $u_j$  and  $\mathbb{H}_{t_k}(u_{j,k}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_0(u_j)$ . Then  $\mathbb{M}_{t_k,v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}(u_{j,k}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{0,v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}(u_j)$ . It follows that

$$\mathbb{M}_{0,v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi_0) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{M}_{t_k,v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi_{t_k}) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{M}_{t_k,v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}(u_{j,k}) = \mathbb{M}_{0,v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}(u_j),$$

where we have used the fact weighted-cscK potential  $\phi_{t_k}$  is the minimizer of  $\mathbb{M}_{t_k,v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}$  (see [Lah23, Corollary 1]) for the second inequality. Let  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain  $\mathbb{M}_{0,v,w}(\phi_0) \leq \mathbb{M}_{0,v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}(\phi)$ . Thus  $\phi_0$  is the minimizer of  $\mathbb{M}_{0,v,w,\ell_{\text{ext}}}$ .  $\square$

## 6. APPENDIX

**6.A. Apriori estimates for weighted cscK equation.** In this subsection, we provide the apriori estimates required to show that the weighted-cscK metric is smooth, and also the required interior estimates.

We consider the weighted cscK equations (1.2a), (1.2b). Applying Proposition 5.8 with  $\widehat{\omega}_t = \omega, \chi_t = 0$  induces

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $\phi$  be a solution of (1.2a), (1.2b), for any  $p \geq 1$ , then*

$$\|\mathrm{tr}_\phi \omega\|_{L^p} \leq C = C(n, p, v, w, \ell_{\mathrm{ext}}, A_1, B, C_0, C_{v,\xi}),$$

where  $A_1, B, C_0, C_{v,\xi}$  are constant

$$\mathrm{Bisec}(\omega) \leq B, \quad -\mathrm{Ric}(\omega) \leq A_1 \omega, \quad \|F\|_{L^\infty} + \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_0.$$

and

$$\left( \frac{v_{,\alpha\beta}}{v} + 4 \frac{v_{,\alpha} v_{,\beta}}{v^2} \right) (m_\phi) \langle \bar{\zeta}^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta \rangle_\phi \leq C_v \frac{\bar{\zeta}_{\alpha,i} \bar{\zeta}_{\beta,\bar{i}}}{1 + \phi_{\bar{i}\bar{i}}} \leq C_{v,\xi} \mathrm{tr}_\phi \omega.$$

Adapting the similar argument in the proof of Theorem 3.7, we obtain the gradient estimate of  $F$  and  $C^2$ -estimate of  $\phi$  for equations (1.2a), (1.2b).

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $\phi$  be a solution of (1.2a), (1.2b), then*

$$\max_X (|\nabla^\phi F|_\phi + \mathrm{tr}_\phi \omega) \leq C,$$

where  $C$  is a constant depending on  $\omega, v, w, \|\phi\|_{C^0}, \|F\|_{C^0}, \|\mathrm{tr}_\phi \omega\|_{L^p}$  for some  $p$ .

Note that, by the arithmetic-geometric inequality, we have the lower bound

$$\mathrm{tr}_\phi \omega \geq n e^{\frac{F'}{n}} \geq n e^{-\frac{\|F'\|_{C^0}}{n}} > 0.$$

*Proof.* Comparing with the setting in the proof of Theorem 3.7,  $f_* = 0$ . Applying  $f_* = 0$  in (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_\phi (e^{-\log v(m_\phi)} \cdot e^{\frac{F'}{2}} |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2) \\ & \geq e^{\frac{F'}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2 |\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 - 2e^{-\log v(m_\phi)} \nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi) \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi (e^{\frac{F'}{2}} |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2) \\ & \quad + e^{\frac{F'}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} 2 \nabla^\phi F' \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \Delta_\phi F' - C_{v,\xi} (\mathrm{tr}_\phi \omega)^{n-1} e^{\frac{F'}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2 \\ (6.1) \quad & + \frac{|F'_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}|^2}{(1 + \phi_{\bar{i}\bar{i}})(1 + \phi_{\bar{j}\bar{j}})} e^{\frac{F'}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} + 2 \frac{v_{,\alpha}(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J \bar{\zeta}^\alpha (F') e^{\frac{F'}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2. \end{aligned}$$

By [CC21a, formula (3.6)],

$$\Delta_\phi \mathrm{tr}_\phi \omega \geq -C (\mathrm{tr}_\phi \omega)^n + \frac{\sum_i |\phi_{p\bar{q}i}|^2}{(1 + \phi_{p\bar{p}})(1 + \phi_{q\bar{q}})} + \Delta F' - R(g).$$

By Young inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta F'| & \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{|F'_{\bar{i}\bar{i}}|^2}{(1 + \phi_{\bar{i}\bar{i}})^2} + (2\delta)^{-1} (1 + \phi_{\bar{i}\bar{i}})^2 \\ & \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{|F'_{\bar{i}\bar{i}}|^2}{(1 + \phi_{\bar{i}\bar{i}})^2} + n(2\delta)^{-1} (\mathrm{tr}_\phi \omega)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathrm{tr}_\phi \omega$  has uniformly lower bound, then there exists a constant  $C$  such that  $1 \leq C(\mathrm{tr}_\phi \omega)^{n-1}$ . Now, by taking a suitable constant  $\delta$  and (6.1), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_\phi (e^{-\log v(m_\phi)} \cdot e^{\frac{F'}{2}} |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2 + \mathrm{tr}_\phi \omega) \\ & \geq e^{\frac{F'}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2 |\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 - 2e^{-\log v(m_\phi)} \nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi) \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi (e^{\frac{F'}{2}} |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2) \\ & \quad + e^{\frac{F'}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} 2 \nabla^\phi F' \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \Delta_\phi F' - C_{v,\xi} (\mathrm{tr}_\phi \omega)^{n-1} (e^{\frac{F'}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2 + \mathrm{tr}_\phi \omega) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ 2 \frac{v, \alpha(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J \zeta^\alpha(F') (e^{\frac{F'}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2 + \text{tr} \omega_\phi) - 2 \frac{v, \alpha(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J \zeta^\alpha(F') \text{tr} \omega_\phi.$$

Set

$$u = e^{\frac{F'}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2 + \text{tr} \omega_\phi + 1,$$

then we have

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_\phi u &\geq -C_{v, \zeta} (\text{tr} \omega_\phi)^{n-1} u + 2e^{\frac{F'}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} \nabla^\phi F' \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \Delta_\phi F' + 2 \frac{v, \alpha(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J \zeta^\alpha(F') u \\ &+ e^{\frac{F'}{2} - \log v(m_\phi)} |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2 |\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 - 2e^{-\log v(m_\phi)} \nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi) \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi (e^{\frac{F'}{2}} |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2). \end{aligned}$$

For any  $p > 0$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2p+1} \Delta_\phi (u^{2p+1}) = u^{2p} \Delta_\phi u + 2pu^{2p-1} |\nabla^\phi u|_\phi^2.$$

Taking the integration, one has

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_X 2pu^{2p-1} |\nabla^\phi u|_\phi^2 e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\ &= \int_X u^{2p} (-\Delta_\phi u) e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n + \frac{1}{2p+1} \int_X \Delta_\phi (u^{2p+1}) e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n. \end{aligned}$$

On the one hand, by (3.17),

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2p+1} \int_X \Delta_\phi (u^{2p+1}) e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n &= -2 \int_X u^{2p} \nabla^\phi (e^{\frac{F'}{2}} |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2) \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi) e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\ &+ 2 \int_X u^{2p} e^{\frac{F'}{2}} |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2 |\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\ &- 2 \int_X u^{2p} \nabla^\phi \text{tr} \omega_\phi \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi) e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the third term on the right hand side of (6.4)

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &-2 \int_X u^{2p} \nabla^\phi \text{tr} \omega_\phi \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi) e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\ &= 2 \int_X 2pu^{2p-1} \text{tr} \omega_\phi \nabla^\phi u \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi) e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\ &+ 2 \int_X u^{2p} \text{tr} \omega_\phi \Delta_\phi \log v(m_\phi) e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n + 4 \int_X u^{2p} \text{tr} \omega_\phi |\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\ &\leq \frac{p}{2} \int_X u^{2p-1} |\nabla^\phi u|_\phi^2 e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n + \int_X 8pu^{2p-1} |\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 (\text{tr} \omega_\phi)^2 e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\ &+ 2 \int_X u^{2p} \text{tr} \omega_\phi \left( -\frac{v, \alpha(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J \zeta^\alpha(F') + \frac{v, \alpha(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \Delta_\omega (m_\omega^{\bar{\zeta}^\alpha}) \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{v, \alpha\beta(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \langle \bar{\zeta}^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta \rangle_\phi - \frac{v, \alpha(m_\phi) v, \beta(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)^2} \langle \bar{\zeta}^\alpha, \bar{\zeta}^\beta \rangle_\phi \right) e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\ &+ 4 \int_X u^{2p} \text{tr} \omega_\phi |\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\ &\leq \frac{p}{2} \int_X u^{2p-1} |\nabla^\phi u|_\phi^2 e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n + C_{v, \zeta} (p+1) \int_X u^{2p+1} (\text{tr} \omega_\phi)^{2n} e^{2 \log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.16) for the second inequality, (2.18) and (2.9) for the third inequality.

On the other hand, by (6.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_X u^{2p} (-\Delta_\phi u) e^{2\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\
&= C \int_X u^{2p+1} (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{n-1} e^{2\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n - 2 \int_X u^{2p+1} \frac{v, \alpha(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J \zeta^\alpha(F') e^{2\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\
&\quad - \int_X u^{2p} e^{\frac{F'}{2}} |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2 |\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\
&\quad + 2 \int_X u^{2p} \nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi) \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi (e^{\frac{F'}{2}} |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2) e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\
(6.6) \quad & - 2 \int_X u^{2p} e^{\frac{F'}{2}} \nabla^\phi F' \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \Delta_\phi F' e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the same computation as in (3.19), (3.20), (3.21), (3.22) and (3.23) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& - 2 \int_X u^{2p} e^{\frac{F'}{2}} \nabla^\phi F' \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \Delta_\phi F' e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\
& \leq \int_X p u^{2p-1} |\nabla^\phi u|_\phi^2 e^{2\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n + C(p+1) \int_X (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{3(n-1)} u^{2p+1} e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \\
(6.7) \quad & + 2 \int_X u^{2p+1} \frac{v, \alpha(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} J \zeta^\alpha(F') e^{2\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n + \int_X u^{2p+1} e^{2\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (6.3), (6.4), (6.5), (6.6) together with the above inequality, we deduce

$$\int_X \frac{p}{2} u^{2p-1} |\nabla^\phi u|_\phi^2 e^{2\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \leq C(p+1) \int_X (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{3(n-1)} u^{2p+1} e^{\log v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n.$$

It follows that

$$\int_X |\nabla^\phi (u^{p+\frac{1}{2}})|_\phi^2 \omega_\phi^n \leq \frac{C_{v, \xi} 2(p+\frac{1}{2})^2 (p+1)}{p} \int_X C_\xi (\operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi)^{3(n-1)} u^{2p+1} \omega_\phi^n.$$

Then Applying the standard Moser iteration steps as in the proof of Theorem 3.7 and  $f_* = 0$  in Lemma 3.8, we have  $\|u\|_{C^0} \leq C$ , which implies that  $|\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2 + \operatorname{tr} \omega_\phi \leq C$ .

Note that

$$|\nabla^\phi F|_\phi^2 \leq |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2 + |\nabla^\phi \log v(m_\phi)|_\phi^2 \leq C.$$

We finish the proof.  $\square$

Once we have the  $C^2$ -estimate, then, by standard bootstrapping argument, we obtain the higher order estimates.

**Corollary 6.3.** *Suppose  $C^{-1}\omega \leq \omega_\phi \leq C\omega$  for some constant  $C > 0$ , then all higher derivatives can be estimated in terms of  $C$ .*

*Proof.* By the assumption, then (2.13b) is uniformly elliptic with bounded right hand side. It follows that  $\|F\|_{C^\alpha} \leq C_1$  where  $\alpha$  and  $C_1$  depend on  $C$ . Then going back to (2.13a), we conclude that  $\|\phi\|_{C^{2, \alpha'}} \leq C_2$  for  $\alpha' < \alpha$ , thanks to Evans-Krylov estimate. Hence the coefficients of the elliptic operator of (2.13b) are in  $C^{\alpha'}$ . By [GT77, Theorem 8.32], we may obtain  $\|F\|_{C^{1, \alpha'}} \leq C_3$ .

By differentiating both sides of (2.13a), we obtain the linear elliptic equation with  $C^{\alpha'}$  coefficient

$$(6.8) \quad \Delta_\phi(\partial_k \phi) - \frac{v, \alpha(m_\phi)}{v(m_\phi)} \omega_\phi(\zeta^\alpha, \partial_k) = \partial_k F - g_\phi^{i\bar{j}}(\partial_k g_{i\bar{j}}) + g^{i\bar{j}}(\partial_k g_{i\bar{j}}).$$

By Schauder estimate, we deduce  $\|\phi\|_{C^{3,\alpha'}} \leq C_4$ . Going back to (2.13b) again, the coefficient are in  $C^\gamma$  for any  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , hence we have  $\|F\|_{C^{1,\gamma}} \leq C_5$ . Now, the coefficients of (6.8) are in  $C^\gamma$  for any  $0 < \gamma < 1$ . Thus we derive  $\|\phi\|_{C^{3,\gamma}} \leq C_6$  for any  $0 < \gamma < 1$ .

Now the second equation (2.13b) has  $C^{1,\gamma}$  coefficients and bounded right hand side, the classical  $L^p$  estimate ([GT77, Theorem 9.11]) implies  $F \in W^{2,p}$  for any finite  $p$ . Differentiating the equation (6.8), we obtain a linear elliptic equation in terms of the second derivatives of  $\phi$ , which has  $C^{2,\gamma}$  coefficients and  $L^p$  right hand side. Thus  $\phi \in W^{4,p}$ . The bootstrapping argument concludes the higher order estimates of  $\phi$  and  $F$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.4** (Local  $C^2$ -estimate). *Let  $B_2 = B_2(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , consider the following local weighted-cscK equation on  $B_2$*

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{cases} v(m_\phi)(\text{dd}^c\phi)^n &= e^F \\ (\Delta_\phi - \frac{v_\alpha}{v} J \zeta^\alpha)F &= -\frac{l \cdot w}{v}. \end{cases}$$

Denote  $\omega$  as the Euclidean metric. Assume that  $\text{tr}\omega_\phi, \text{tr}_\phi\omega \in L^p(B_2)$  for  $p$  sufficiently large (the value of  $p$  will be determined in the proof). Then there exists  $C > 0$ , which depends on  $p, \|\text{tr}\omega_\phi\|_{L^p(B_2)}, \|\text{tr}_\phi\omega\|_{L^p(B_2)}$ , such that

$$(6.10) \quad \sup_{x \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} \left( |\nabla^\phi F|_\phi^2 + \text{tr}\omega_\phi \right) < C.$$

*Proof.* The second equation of (6.9) can be re-written into

$$\partial_i \left( e^{-\log(v)} g_\phi^{i\bar{j}} (\omega_\phi)^n \partial_{\bar{j}} F \right) = \frac{-l \cdot w}{v} \cdot e^F.$$

Since the right-hand-side is  $L^p$ -bounded, by [CC17, Lemma 6.3], we have  $\|F\|_{C^0(B_1)} \leq C$ .

Let  $F' = F - \log v$ ,  $u = e^{\frac{F'}{2} - \log v} |\nabla^\phi F'|_\phi^2 + \text{tr}\omega_\phi + 1$ . For  $0 < r < R < 1$ , let  $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B_1)$  be a smooth cut-off function, such that  $\eta = 1$  in  $B_r$ ,  $\eta = 0$  in  $B_1 \setminus B_R$ ,  $|\nabla\eta| \leq \frac{C}{R-r}$  in  $B_R \setminus B_r$ .

Following the same procedure as in the proof of Theorem 6.2 to compute

$$\int_{B_1} 2pu^{2p-1} |\nabla^\phi u|_\phi^2 e^{2\log v} \cdot \eta^2 \omega_\phi^n,$$

we find the presence of the extra cut-off function will produce three new terms I, II, III showing up in (6.4), (6.5), (6.7) correspondingly.

The term I is  $I = -2 \int_{B_1} u^{2p} \eta (\nabla^\phi \eta \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi u) e^{2\log v} \omega_\phi^n$ . By Holder inequality, we have

$$I \leq \delta \int_{B_1} u^{2p-1} \cdot |\nabla^\phi u|_\phi^2 \cdot \eta^2 \cdot e^{2\log v} \omega_\phi^n + \frac{C}{\delta(R-r)^2} \int_{B_R} u^{2p+1} \cdot \text{tr}_\phi\omega \omega_\phi^n.$$

The term II is  $II = 4 \int_{B_1} u^{2p} \cdot \text{tr}\omega_\phi \cdot \eta (\nabla^\phi \eta \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \log v) e^{2\log v} \omega_\phi^n$ . Since  $2\nabla^\phi \eta \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \log v \leq \frac{C}{(R-r)^2} \text{tr}_\phi\omega$ , we have

$$II \leq \frac{C}{(R-r)^2} \int_{B_1} u^{2p+1} \cdot \eta \cdot \text{tr}\omega_\phi \cdot \text{tr}_\phi\omega \cdot e^{2\log v} \omega_\phi^n.$$

The term III is  $III = 4 \int_{B_1} u^{2p} \cdot e^{\frac{W}{2}} \cdot \eta \cdot (\nabla^\phi W \cdot_\phi \nabla^\phi \eta) \cdot \Delta_\phi W \cdot e^{2\log v} \omega_\phi^n$ . By Hölder inequality, we have

$$III \leq 2\delta \int_{B_1} u^{2p} e^{\frac{W}{2}} \eta^2 (\Delta_\phi W)^2 e^{2\log v} \omega_\phi^n + \frac{C}{\delta(R-r)^2} \int_{B_R} u^{2p+1} \cdot \text{tr}_\phi\omega \omega_\phi^n.$$

Then following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 6.2, we have

$$(6.11) \quad \int_{B_r} |\nabla^\phi(u^{p+\frac{1}{2}})|_\phi^2 \omega^n \leq \frac{C(p+1)^2}{\delta(R-r)^2} \int_{B_R} (1 + \text{tr}\omega_\phi)^{3(n-1)} u^{2p+1} \omega_\phi^n.$$

Then by the standard Moser-iteration procedure as in the proof of Theorem 3.7, we get  $\sup_{x \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} (|\nabla^\phi F|_\phi^2 + \text{tr}\omega_\phi) < C$ .  $\square$

**6.B. Linearization of operators.** In this subsection, we present the details of the computation for the linearization of operators required in Subsection 4.A. The computation basically follows [Has19, Lah19].

Let  $\mathcal{R} = \frac{\text{Scal}_v}{w} - \ell_{\text{ext}}$ ,  $\mathcal{T} = \frac{\Lambda_{v,\phi}\theta}{w} = \frac{v \cdot \text{tr}_\phi \theta + \langle dv, m_\theta \rangle}{w}$ . Locally we can write  $\theta = \text{dd}^c \psi$ . Then  $m_\theta^\alpha = -J\zeta^\alpha(\psi)$ , and  $\langle dv, m_\theta \rangle = -\sum_\alpha v_{,\alpha} J\zeta^\alpha(\psi)$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{R} - r\mathcal{T}$  for some  $r > 0$  large enough.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \mathcal{F}_0(\phi) &= \frac{-\mathcal{D}^*(vD\phi)}{w} + \langle \partial \mathcal{R}, \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi - r \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{T} \\ &= \frac{-\mathcal{D}^*(vD\phi)}{w} + \langle \partial \mathcal{F}, \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi - r \left( \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{T} - \langle \partial \mathcal{T}, \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi \right). \end{aligned}$$

By direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{T} &= \frac{\mathcal{T}}{w} \langle \partial w, \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi - \frac{1}{w} \frac{d}{dt} \left( v \cdot \text{tr}_\phi \theta - \sum_\alpha v_{,\alpha} J\zeta^\alpha(\psi) \right), \\ &= \frac{1}{w} \frac{d}{dt} \left( v \cdot \text{tr}_\phi \theta - \sum_\alpha v_{,\alpha} J\zeta^\alpha(\psi) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{w} \left( -\langle \partial v, \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi \cdot \text{tr}_\phi \theta + v \langle \theta, \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi + \sum_\alpha \langle \partial v_{,\alpha}, \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi J\zeta^\alpha(\psi) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{T} = \frac{\mathcal{T}}{w} \langle \partial w, \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi + \frac{1}{w} \left( -\langle \partial v, \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi \cdot \text{tr}_\phi \theta + v \langle \theta, \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi + \sum_\alpha \langle \partial v_{,\alpha}, \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi J\zeta^\alpha(\psi) \right).$$

And

$$\langle \partial \mathcal{T}, \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi = \frac{-\mathcal{T}}{w} \langle \partial w, \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi + \frac{\text{tr}_\phi \theta}{w} \langle \partial v, \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi + \frac{v}{w} \langle \partial(\text{tr}_\phi \theta), \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi - \frac{1}{w} \langle \partial \left( \sum_\alpha v_{,\alpha} J\zeta^\alpha(\psi) \right), \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi.$$

Thus

$$\langle \partial \mathcal{T}, \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi - \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{T} = \frac{v}{w} \langle \theta, \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi + \frac{v}{w} \langle \partial(\text{tr}_\phi \theta), \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi - \frac{1}{w} \sum_\alpha v_{,\alpha} \langle \partial(J\zeta^\alpha(\psi)), \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi.$$

Let  $f$  be a test function. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X (\langle \partial \mathcal{T}, \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi - \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{T}) \cdot f \cdot w \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_X v \langle \theta, \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi \cdot f \cdot \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} + \int_X v \cdot \langle \partial(\text{tr}_\phi \theta), \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi \cdot f \cdot \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\ & \quad - \int_X \sum_\alpha v_{,\alpha} \langle \partial(J\zeta^\alpha(\psi)), \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi \cdot f \cdot \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $-\sum_\alpha v_{,\alpha} \langle \partial(J\zeta^\alpha(\psi)), \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi = \langle \theta, \partial v \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle$ .



For two  $(1, 1)$ -forms  $\alpha, \beta$ , we have the formula

$$n(n-1)\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-2} = (\text{tr}_\phi \alpha \cdot \text{tr}_\phi \beta - \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_\phi) \omega_\phi^n.$$

Apply this formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X \mathbf{v} \langle \theta, \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi \cdot f \cdot \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_X \mathbf{v} \cdot \text{tr}_\phi \theta \cdot \Delta_\phi(\phi) \cdot f \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} - \int_X \mathbf{v} \cdot f \cdot \theta \wedge \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge \frac{\omega_\phi^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}, \end{aligned}$$

Among which,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X \mathbf{v} \cdot \text{tr}_\phi \theta \cdot \Delta_\phi(\phi) \cdot f \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} = \int_X \mathbf{v} \cdot \text{tr}_\phi \theta \cdot f \cdot \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge \frac{\omega_\phi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\ &= - \int_X \mathbf{v} \cdot \text{tr}_\phi \theta \cdot \partial f \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge \frac{\omega_\phi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} - \int_X \text{tr}_\phi \theta \cdot f \cdot \partial \mathbf{v} \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge \frac{\omega_\phi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\ & \quad - \int_X \mathbf{v} \cdot f \cdot \partial(\text{tr}_\phi \theta) \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge \frac{\omega_\phi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}, \end{aligned}$$

and we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_X \mathbf{v} \cdot f \cdot (\theta \wedge \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi) \wedge \frac{\omega_\phi^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\ &= \int_X \mathbf{v} \cdot \partial f \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge \theta \wedge \frac{\omega_\phi^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} + \int_X f \cdot (\partial \mathbf{v} \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi) \wedge \theta \wedge \frac{\omega_\phi^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\ &= \int_X \mathbf{v} \cdot \partial f \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge \theta \wedge \frac{\omega_\phi^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} + \int_X f \cdot \text{tr}_\phi(\partial \mathbf{v} \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi) \cdot \text{tr}_\phi \theta \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} - \int_X f \langle \partial \mathbf{v} \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi, \theta \rangle_\phi \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X \left( \langle \partial \mathcal{T}, \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi - \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{T} \right) \cdot f \cdot \mathbf{w} \cdot \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_X -\mathbf{v} \cdot \text{tr}_\phi \theta \cdot \text{tr}_\phi(\partial f \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi) \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!} + \int_X \mathbf{v} \cdot \partial f \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge \theta \wedge \frac{\omega_\phi^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\ &= - \int_X \mathbf{v} \cdot \langle \theta, \partial f \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \rangle_\phi \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

By the computation above, we can see that

**Lemma 6.5.** *The linearized operator  $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{T} - \langle \partial \mathcal{T}, \bar{\partial} \cdot \rangle_\phi$  is a  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbf{w}, \phi}$ -self-adjoint second order elliptic linear operator.*

#### REFERENCES

- [AJL23] Vestislav Apostolov, Simon Jubert, and Abdellah Lahdili. Weighted K-stability and coercivity with applications to extremal Kähler and Sasaki metrics. *Geom. Topol.*, 27(8):3229–3302, 2023.
- [Ati82] M. F. Atiyah. Convexity and commuting Hamiltonians. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 14(1):1–15, 1982.
- [Aub13] Thierry Aubin. *Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.

- [BB17] Robert J. Berman and Bo Berndtsson. Convexity of the  $K$ -energy on the space of Kähler metrics and uniqueness of extremal metrics. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 30(4):1165–1196, 2017.
- [BBE<sup>+</sup>19] Robert J. Berman, Sébastien Boucksom, Philippe Eyssidieux, Vincent Guedj, and Ahmed Zeriahi. Kähler-Einstein metrics and the Kähler-Ricci flow on log Fano varieties. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 751:27–89, 2019.
- [BBGZ13] Robert J. Berman, Sébastien Boucksom, Vincent Guedj, and Ahmed Zeriahi. A variational approach to complex Monge-Ampère equations. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 117:179–245, 2013.
- [BBJ21] Robert J. Berman, Sébastien Boucksom, and Mattias Jonsson. A variational approach to the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 34(3):605–652, 2021.
- [BDL17] Robert J. Berman, Tamás Darvas, and Chinh H. Lu. Convexity of the extended  $K$ -energy and the large time behavior of the weak Calabi flow. *Geom. Topol.*, 21(5):2945–2988, 2017.
- [BDL20] Robert J. Berman, Tamás Darvas, and Chinh H. Lu. Regularity of weak minimizers of the  $K$ -energy and applications to properness and  $K$ -stability. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 53(2):267–289, 2020.
- [BJ23] Sébastien Boucksom and Mattias Jonsson. A non-Archimedean approach to  $K$ -stability, II: Divisorial stability and openness. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 805:1–53, 2023.
- [BN14] Robert J. Berman and David Witt Nyström. Complex optimal transport and the pluripotential theory of Kähler-Ricci solitons, 2014.
- [CC17] Xiuxiong Chen and Jingrui Cheng. On the constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics, a priori estimates, 2017.
- [CC21a] Xiuxiong Chen and Jingrui Cheng. On the constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics (I)—A priori estimates. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 34(4):909–936, 2021.
- [CC21b] Xiuxiong Chen and Jingrui Cheng. On the constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics (II)—Existence results. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 34(4):937–1009, 2021.
- [CH12] Xiuxiong Chen and Weiyong He. The complex Monge-Ampère equation on compact Kähler manifolds. *Math. Ann.*, 354(4):1583–1600, 2012.
- [Che00] Xiuxiong Chen. The space of Kähler metrics. *J. Differential Geom.*, 56(2):189–234, 2000.
- [Che18] Xiuxiong Chen. On the existence of constant scalar curvature Kähler metric: a new perspective. *Ann. Math. Qué.*, 42(2):169–189, 2018.
- [Dar15] Tamás Darvas. The Mabuchi geometry of finite energy classes. *Adv. Math.*, 285:182–219, 2015.
- [DNGG23] Eleonora Di Nezza, Vincent Guedj, and Henri Guenancia. Families of singular Kähler-Einstein metrics. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 25(7):2697–2762, 2023.
- [DR17] Tamás Darvas and Yanir A. Rubinstein. Tian’s properness conjectures and Finsler geometry of the space of Kähler metrics. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 30(2):347–387, 2017.
- [EGZ09] Philippe Eyssidieux, Vincent Guedj, and Ahmed Zeriahi. Singular Kähler-Einstein metrics. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 22(3):607–639, 2009.
- [GP22] Bin Guo and Duong H. Phong. Uniform entropy and energy bounds for fully non-linear equations. *arXiv: 2207.08983 (accepted by Comm. Anal. Geom.)*, 2022.
- [GPT23] Bin Guo, Duong H. Phong, and Freid Tong. On  $L^\infty$  estimates for complex Monge-Ampère equations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 198(1):393–418, 2023.
- [GT77] David Gilbarg and Neil S Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, volume 224. Springer, 1977.
- [Has19] Yoshinori Hashimoto. Existence of twisted constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics with a large twist. *Math. Z.*, 292(3-4):791–803, 2019.
- [HL23] Jiyuan Han and Chi Li. On the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture for generalized Kähler-Ricci soliton equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 76(9):1793–1867, 2023.
- [Ino22] Eiji Inoue. Constant  $\mu$ -scalar curvature Kähler metric—formulation and foundational results. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 32(5):Paper No. 145, 53, 2022.

- [Lah19] Abdellah Lahdili. Kähler metrics with constant weighted scalar curvature and weighted K-stability. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 119(4):1065–1114, 2019.
- [Lah23] Abdellah Lahdili. Convexity of the weighted Mabuchi functional and the uniqueness of weighted extremal metrics. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 30(2):541–576, 2023.
- [Li21] Chi Li. Notes on weighted Kähler-Ricci solitons and application to Ricci-flat Kähler cone metrics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.02088*, 2021.
- [Li22a] Chi Li. G-uniform stability and Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 227(2):661–744, 2022.
- [Li22b] Chi Li. Geodesic rays and stability in the cscK problem. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 55(6):1529–1574, 2022.
- [LTW21] Chi Li, Gang Tian, and Feng Wang. On the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture for singular Fano varieties. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 74(8):1748–1800, 2021.
- [LTW22] Chi Li, Gang Tian, and Feng Wang. The uniform version of Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture for singular Fano varieties. *Peking Math. J.*, 5(2):383–426, 2022.
- [LWX19] Chi Li, Xiaowei Wang, and Chenyang Xu. On the proper moduli spaces of smoothable Kähler-Einstein Fano varieties. *Duke Math. J.*, 168(8):1387–1459, 2019.
- [MW24] Minghao Miao and Linsheng Wang. Optimal degenerations of K-unstable Fano three-folds, 2024.
- [Per02] Grisha Perelman. The entropy formula for the ricci flow and its geometric applications, 2002.
- [PTT23] Chung-Ming Pan, Tat Dat Tô, and Antonio Trusiani. Singular cscK metrics on smoothable varieties. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.13653*, 2023.
- [Rub14] Yanir A. Rubinstein. Smooth and singular Kähler-Einstein metrics. In *Geometric and spectral analysis*, volume 630 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 45–138. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014.
- [SSY16] Cristiano Spotti, Song Sun, and Chengjian Yao. Existence and deformations of Kähler-Einstein metrics on smoothable Q-Fano varieties. *Duke Math. J.*, 165(16):3043–3083, 2016.
- [Szfrm[o]–4] Gábor Székelyhidi. *An introduction to extremal Kähler metrics*, volume 152 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014.
- [Tia97] Gang Tian. Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature. *Invent. Math.*, 130(1):1–37, 1997.
- [TZ02] Gang Tian and Xiaohua Zhu. A new holomorphic invariant and uniqueness of Kähler-Ricci solitons. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 77(2):297–325, 2002.

JIYUAN HAN, INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL SCIENCES, WESTLAKE UNIVERSITY, NO.600 DUNYU ROAD, HANGZHOU, 310030, CHINA

*Email address:* hanjiyuan@westlake.edu.cn

YAXIONG LIU, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, 4176 CAMPUS DR, COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742, USA

*Email address:* yxliu238@umd.edu