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#### Abstract

We analyze the instantaneous growth of analyticity radius for three dimensional generalized Navier-Stokes equations. For the subcritical $H^{\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ case with $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$, we prove that there exists a positive time $t_{0}$ so that for any $\left.\left.t \in\right] 0, t_{0}\right]$, the radius of analyticity of the solution $u$ satisfies the pointwise-in-time lower bound $$
\operatorname{rad}(u)(t) \geq \sqrt{(2 \gamma-1) t\left(|\ln t|+\ln |\ln t|+K_{t}\right)}
$$ where $K_{t} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$. This in particular gives a nontrivial improvement of the previous result by Herbst and Skibsted in [18] for the case $\gamma \in] 1 / 2,3 / 2[$ and also settles the decadelong open question in [18], namely, whether or not $\liminf _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\operatorname{rad}(u)(t)}{\sqrt{t|\ln t|}} \geq \sqrt{2 \gamma-1}$ for all $\gamma \geq \frac{3}{2}$. In the critical case $H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, we prove that there exists $t_{1}>0$ so that for any $\left.\left.t \in\right] 0, t_{1}\right]$, $\operatorname{rad}(u)(t) \geq \lambda(t) \sqrt{t}$ with $\lambda(t)$ satisfying $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \lambda(t)=\infty$.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the instantaneous growth of analyticity radius for the solutions to the following 3-D generalized Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}$ :

$$
(G N S) \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u=Q(u, u), \quad(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $u=\left(u^{1}, u^{2}, u^{3}\right): \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ denotes the velocity of the fluid under study. The viscosity preceding the Laplacian term is set to be one. Throughout this paper we shall denote by $Q=\left(Q^{1}, Q^{2}, Q^{3}\right)$ any bilinear map of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{j}(u, v) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{k, \ell, m=1}^{3} q_{k, \ell}^{j, m}(D) \partial_{m}\left(u^{k} v^{\ell}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{k, \ell}^{j, m}(D)$ is a Fourier multiplier with symbol $q_{k, \ell}^{j, m}(\xi) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{n, p=1}^{3} \alpha_{k, \ell}^{j, m, n, p} \frac{\xi_{n} \xi_{p}}{\mid \xi \xi^{2}}$, and $\alpha_{k, \ell}^{j, m, n, p}$ are real numbers. The precise numerical values of $\alpha_{k, \ell}^{j, m, n, p}$ will not play any role in our analysis. Henceforth from a practical point of view it is often useful to regard $Q(u, v)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(u, v)=\mathcal{R} \partial(u v), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ denotes a general Riesz transform. Using this abstraction it is easy to deduce scaling transformations associated with $(G N S)$. Namely if $u=u(t, x)$ is a smooth solution to $(G N S)$, then for $\lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\lambda}(t, x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \lambda u\left(\lambda^{2} t, \lambda x\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]forms a one-parameter family of smooth solutions to $(G N S)$. The homogeneous space $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is critical in the sense that $\left\|u_{\lambda}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}=\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ for any $\lambda>0$. By a slight generalization we designate the inhomogeneous spaces $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), s=\frac{1}{2}, s>\frac{1}{2}$ as critical and subcritical spaces respectively.

The motivation for us to study the system (GNS) comes from the following classical 3-D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations:

$$
(N S) \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u+u \cdot \nabla u-\Delta u=-\nabla P, \quad(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \\
\operatorname{div} u=0 \\
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u$ stands for the fluid velocity and $P$ for the scalar pressure function, which guarantees the divergence free condition of the velocity field. In fact, by applying Leray projection operator, $\mathbb{P}=\mathrm{I}+\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}$ div, to $(N S)$, we obtain equations of the type $(G N S)$. One may check pages 206-207 of [2] for a motivating discussion of the system (GNS). See also Chapter 5 therein for an extensive review of classical wellposedness results for (GNS).

In the magnificent seminal paper [27], Leray proved the global existence of weak solution and local existence of strong solution to $(N S)$. It is well-known that strong solutions of ( $N S$ ) are in fact analytic in both space and time variables (see [26] for instance). In fluid mechanics, the space analyticity radius of solutions to Navier-Stokes equations yields a Kolmogrov type length scale encountered in turbulence theory, one may check $[3,4,10,11,17,23]$ and the references therein for more details.

Mathematically, the study of analyticity of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations goes back to Masuda in [29], where the authors used complex-analytic techniques to investigate the analyticity in both space and time for the solutions of 2-D Navier-Stokes equations in a bounded domain with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Foias and Temam [13] introduced the notion of Gevrey norm, which allows one to study analyticity properties of solutions via energy method. In particular, they [13] proved the analyticity of periodic solutions of $(N S)$ in space and time with initial data $u_{0} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3}\right)$ (see also [12]). Grujić and Kukavica [16] investigated the analyticity radius of the solution to ( $N S$ ) with initial data in $L^{p}$ for $p$ greater than the space dimensions. The related result was later extended by the authors in [7,22,24, 25] to show that: there exists a positive time $T$ so that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\xi|\left(\sup _{t \leq T} e^{\sqrt{t}|\xi|}|\widehat{u}(t, \xi)|\right)^{2} d \xi+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\xi|^{3}\left(e^{\sqrt{t}|\xi|}|\widehat{u}(t, \xi)|\right)^{2} d \xi d t<\infty
$$

This in particular implies the Fujita-Kato solution of (NS), which was constructed by Fujita and Kato in [14], with initial data $u_{0} \in \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is analytic for any positive time $t$. One may check related results in the survey book [26].

We remark that in the previous works [8, 13, 18], the authors used Gevrey norm of the form $\left\|e^{\sqrt{\mathrm{r}(t) \mid}|D|} u(t)\right\|_{X}$ with a $L^{2}$ based Sobolev space $X$. In [24] Lemarié-Rieusset studied Gevrey regularities of the solution $u$ to $(N S)$ in the $L^{p}$ framework. One may check $[1,20,30]$ for more recent development in this direction.

Before proceeding, we recall the definition of Sobolev spaces from [2]:
Definition 1.1. (1) For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the inhomogeneous Sobolev space $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ to be the space of those tempered distributions $f$ which satisfy

$$
\|f\|_{H^{s}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s} \widehat{f}(\xi)\right\|_{L^{2}}<\infty,
$$

where $\widehat{f}$ denotes the Fourier transform of $f$. Here and in all that follows, we always denote the quantity $\langle\xi\rangle \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
(2) For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ to be the space of those homogeneous distributions $f$ which satisfy

$$
\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\||\xi|^{s} \widehat{f}(\xi)\right\|_{L^{2}}<\infty .
$$

From a scaling perspective, the $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{t})$-radius of analyticity of the solution to (NS) seems to be optimal since it almost fully utilizes the heat kernel. Thus it is somewhat surprising that Herbst and Skibsted [18] proved the following sharpened result:

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 1.3 of [18]). Suppose $u_{0} \in H^{\gamma}$ for some $\left.\gamma \in\right] 1 / 2,3 / 2[$. Then the system $(N S)$ with initial data $u_{0}$ has a unique local solution $u$ on $[0, T]$. Let $\left.\varepsilon \in\right] 0,2 \gamma-1[$. Then there exist constant $\left.\left.t_{0}=t_{0}\left(\varepsilon, \gamma,\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}}\right) \in\right] 0, T\right]$ and $C=C\left(\varepsilon, \gamma,\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}}\right)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left\|e^{\sqrt{2 \gamma-1-\varepsilon} \sqrt{t|\ln t| \mid} \mid} u(t)\right\|_{H^{\gamma}} \leq C t^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \quad \text { for all } t \in\right] 0, t_{0}\right] . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\operatorname{rad}(u(t))}{\sqrt{t|\ln t|}} \geq \sqrt{2 \gamma-1} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Henceforth, we always denote $\operatorname{rad}(u(t))$ to be the space analyticity radius of $u(t)$.
Remark 1.1. Herbst and Skibsted asked the questions below (see page 194 of [18]):
(i) Are the bounds (1.4) and (1.5) optimal for $\gamma \in] 1 / 2,3 / 2[$ ?
(ii) Are there better bounds than those deducible from Theorem 1.1 if $\gamma>\frac{3}{2}$ ?
(iii) Can the asymptotic

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\operatorname{rad}(u(t))}{\sqrt{t}}=\infty \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

be improved for the critical case $\gamma=\frac{1}{2}$ ?
The purpose of this paper is to settle the questions in Remark 1.1 proposed by Herbst and Skibsted. Our first main result addresses the subcritical case $H^{\gamma}$ with $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$.
Theorem 1.2. Let $u_{0} \in H^{\gamma}$ with $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$ and be divergence-free. There exists $T>0$ so that the system $(G N S)$ has a unique solution $u \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{\gamma}\right) \cap L^{2}(] 0, T\left[; \dot{H}^{\gamma+1}\right)$. Furthermore, there exists $t_{0} \leq T$ so that for any sufficiently small $\delta>0$ with $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}+2 \delta$, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left\|e^{\lambda(t) \sqrt{t}|D|} u(t)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \leq C t^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma+\delta-\frac{1}{2}\right)}|\ln t|^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)} e^{\frac{3}{4} \beta(t)} \quad \text { for all } t \in\right] 0, t_{0}\right] \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sqrt{(2 \gamma-1)(|\ln t|+\ln |\ln t|)+3 \beta(t)} \quad \text { with } \\
& \eta_{J}^{\gamma}(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \max _{0 \leq \tau \leq t}\left\|1_{|\xi| \geq 0.01 J J}|\xi|^{\gamma} \widehat{u}(\tau, \xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \quad \text { and }  \tag{1.8}\\
& \beta(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \begin{cases}\min \left\{\left|\ln \eta_{t^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{\gamma}(t)\right|,\right. & \left.\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)|\ln t|\right\}, \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)|\ln t|, & \text { if } \eta_{t^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{\gamma}(t)=0 .\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\frac{\operatorname{rad}(u(t))}{\lambda(t) \sqrt{t}} \geq 1 \quad \text { for all } t \in\right] 0, t_{0}\right] \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.2. We observe from (1.8) and (1.9) that

$$
\left.\left.\frac{\operatorname{rad}(u(t))}{\sqrt{t(|\ln t|+\ln |\ln t|)}} \geq \sqrt{2 \gamma-1} \quad \text { for all } t \in\right] 0, t_{0}\right]
$$

which not only improves the analyticity radius of the solution to $(N S)$ obtained in (1.5), but also completes the case in Theorem 1.1 for any $\gamma \geq \frac{3}{2}$. We thus completely settle the questions (i) and (ii) in Remark 1.1 proposed by Herbst and Skibsted in [18].

Remark 1.3. (1) For any given solution $u \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{\gamma}\right)$ of the system (GNS) with initial data $u_{0} \in H^{\gamma}$, we shall prove in Lemma 2.2 that $\eta_{J}^{\gamma}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $J \rightarrow \infty$. We should point it out that the case $\eta_{t^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{\gamma}(t)=0$ in the definition of $\beta(t)$ is trivial in the following sense: according to the definition of $\eta_{J}^{\gamma}(t)$, when $\eta_{t^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{\gamma}(t)=0$, it holds that $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{u}(\tau, \cdot)) \subset\left\{\xi: \quad|\xi| \leq 0.01 t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\}$ for all $\left.\left.\tau \in\right] 0, t\right]$. In particular the space analyticity radius of $u(\tau)$ is arbitrarily large for $\tau \in] 0, t]$. This scenario does not seem to be easily ruled out for the nonlinear problem. For example if we consider twodimensional Navier-Stokes in vorticity form, the solution $\omega(t)=e^{t \Delta} \omega_{0}$ with $\omega_{0}$ being radial is an explicit solution to the nonlinear equation. If one takes $\widehat{\omega_{0}}$ to be compactly supported, then clearly $\omega(t)$ also has the same compact support in the frequency space.
(2) Roughly speaking, the definition of $\beta(t)$ given by (1.8) is to accommodate the situation when the initial data $u_{0}$ has higher smoothness (say in $H^{m}$ with $m>\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$ ) whereas the working space is $H^{\gamma}$. Apparently in the case $u_{0} \in H^{m}$ with $m>\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$, we have $\eta_{J}^{\gamma}(t) \lesssim J^{-(m-\gamma)}$ and $\left|\log \eta_{t^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{\gamma}(t)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}(m-\gamma)|\ln t|-C(C>0$ is a constant $)$. If $m$ is large, we clearly see an "upgrade" of analyticity radius of the amount $\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)|\ln t|$ thanks to our definition of $\beta(t)$.
(3) The cut-off $\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)|\ln t|$ is for the convenience of analysis only. In principle it can be replaced by other suitable $\mathcal{O}(|\ln t|)$ term but the corresponding running parameters (in our nonlinear analysis, see for example the estimate of the low frequency piece (2.3)) will have to be adjusted accordingly. In practice we tacitly assume that the working space $H^{\gamma}$ "saturates" the smoothness of $u_{0}$ so that $\eta_{J}^{\gamma}(t)$ decays suitably slowly as $J \rightarrow \infty$. For this reason we chose the working cut-off $\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)|\ln t|$ in order to ease the presentation. We shall not dwell on this subtle technical issue here.

A fundamental insight leading to the proof of Theorem 1.2 is that the high frequency part of the solution to $(G N S)$ controls its space analyticity radius. In fact, we shall reformulate (GNS) to the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=e^{t \Delta} u_{0}+\mathcal{B}(u, u) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall use the classical iteration scheme to construct the approximate solution sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of (1.10). We first prove that there exists a positive time $T$ so that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges to the solution $u$ of $(G N S)$ in $L^{\infty}\left([0, T] ; H^{\gamma}\right) \cap L^{2}(] 0, T\left[; \dot{H}^{\gamma+1}\right)$. Then we prove that there exists a positive time $t_{0} \leq T$ so that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{X_{t_{0}}}$ is uniformly bounded, where the working norm
$\|\cdot\|_{X_{T}}$ is judiciously chosen as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{X_{T}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} 1_{|\xi| \geq 0.01 \lambda T^{-\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}+\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|} \widehat{u}(t, \xi)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Henceforth, $\delta$ is a positive constant satisfying $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}+2 \delta$. Finally we prove the convergence of the approximate solution sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the norm:

$$
\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}+\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|} \widehat{u}(t, \xi)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)}
$$

for $\lambda=\lambda(T)$ with $\lambda(T)$ being given by (1.8).
To answer the question (iii) of Remark 1.1 for the critical case $\gamma=\frac{1}{2}$, we have the following result:
Theorem 1.3. Let $u_{0} \in \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and be divergence free. The system (GNS) with initial data $u_{0}$ has a unique solution $u \in C\left([0, T] ; \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \cap L^{2}(] 0, T\left[; \dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ for some positive time $T$. We denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{J}^{\gamma}(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \max _{0 \leq \tau \leq t}\left\|1_{|\xi| \geq J}|\xi|^{\gamma} \widehat{u}(\tau, \xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sqrt{3 \min \left\{\left|\ln \zeta_{t^{-\frac{1}{4}}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\right|,|\ln t|\right\}}, \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\log \zeta_{t^{-\frac{1}{4}}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\right|$ is tacitly defined as $\infty$ if $\zeta_{t^{-\frac{1}{4}}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)=0$. Then there exists a positive time $t_{1} \leq T$ so that for all $\left.\left.t \in\right] 0, t_{1}\right]$, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{\lambda(t) \sqrt{t}|D|} u(t)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\left(t^{-\frac{\delta}{4}}+t^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} e^{\left(\frac{1}{4}+10^{-4}\right) \lambda^{2}(t)} \zeta_{t^{-\frac{1}{4}}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\right) . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.4. (1) It is easy to observe from (1.13) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{rad}(u(t)) \geq \lambda(t) \sqrt{t} \quad \text { for all } t \in] 0, t_{1}\right] \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Proposition 3.1 we show that $\zeta_{J}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $J \rightarrow \infty$. This implies $\lambda(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$. By (1.12) and (1.13), we deduce (1.6), i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\operatorname{rad}(u(t))}{\sqrt{t}}=\infty \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this sense the point-wise-in-time bound (1.14) offers a minuscule yet nontrivial improvement. Note that (1.14) also gives an " $\epsilon$ "-improvement of $[8]$.
(2) In $[8]$, the authors proved that for any global solution $u \in C\left(\left[0, \infty\left[; \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)\right.\right.$ of $(N S)$, there holds

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{rad}(u(t))}{\sqrt{t}}=\infty
$$

We expect that similar result as (1.14) should be true for any global solution u of $(N S)$ with time $t$ being large enough. However we shall not pursue this interesting direction here.

Let us end this section with some notations that we shall use throughout this paper.

## Notations:

- We denote $C$ to be an absolute constant whose value may vary from line to line. For any two positive quantities $X$ and $Y$, we write $X \lesssim Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{k} Y$ if $X \leq C_{1} Y$ for some positive constant $C_{1}$ depending on $\left(Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{k}\right)$. We write $X \lesssim Y$ if $X \leq C_{2} Y$ for some harmless constant $C_{2}>0$. Occasionally we use the notation $X \ll Y$ or $Y \gg X$ to denote $X \leq c Y$, where $c>0$ is a sufficiently small constant.
- We adopt the following convention for Fourier transform. For Schwartz function $a=a(x): \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we denote the Fourier transform

$$
(\mathcal{F} a)(\xi)=\widehat{a}(\xi) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(2 \pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} a(x) e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x .
$$

For Schwartz function $b=b(\xi): \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we denote the inverse Fourier transform

$$
\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1} b\right)(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(2 \pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} b(\xi) e^{i x \cdot \xi} d \xi .
$$

The action of Fourier transform and inverse Fourier transform on tempered distributions can be defined accordingly.

- We use the notation $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$to denote $t \rightarrow 0$ with $t>0$.
- We shall use the Japanese bracket notation $\langle x\rangle=\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote the smoothed fractional Laplacian $\langle D\rangle^{s}=(I-\Delta)^{s / 2}$ which corresponds to the Fourier multiplier $\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{s / 2}$. We also use $|D|^{s}=(-\Delta)^{s / 2}$ to denote the fractional Laplacian which corresponds to the symbol $|\xi|^{s}$. We denote by $(f, g)_{\dot{H}^{s}}$ the usual $\dot{H}^{s}$ inner product, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f, g)_{\dot{H}^{s}}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|D|^{s} f|D|^{s} \bar{g} d x . \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For vector-valued Schwartz function $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right): \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{3}$, we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{p}=\left\|\left(\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{3}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}, \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L^{p}$ is the usual Lebesgue $L^{p}$-norm. The vector-valued Sobolev norm $H^{s}$ is similarly defined. In yet other words we shall suppress the notational dependence of the vector-valued spaces. For example we write $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3}$ simply as $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

- We use $*$ to denote the convolution of two functions, namely for Schwartz functions $f_{1}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $f_{2}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\left(f_{1} * f_{2}\right)(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f_{1}(x-y) f_{2}(y) d y .
$$

- For a nonempty set $A$, we use $1_{A}$ to denote the usual indicator function, i.e.

$$
1_{A} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } x \in A  \tag{1.18}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

For example in Section 2, we have

$$
1_{|\xi| \geq 0.01 N_{1}}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if }|\xi| \geq 0.01 N_{1}  \tag{1.19}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

- For two vectors $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, we employ the usual tensor notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u \otimes v)_{i j} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} u_{i} v_{j} . \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For a Banach space $B$, we shall use the shorthand $L_{T}^{p}(B)$ for $\left\|\|\cdot\|_{B}\right\|_{L^{p}(0, T ; d t)}$. We denote by $C([0, T] ; B)$ the Banach space of continuous functions from $[0, T]$ to $B$ endowed with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{C([0, T] ; B)} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\|u(t)\|_{B} . \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. The subcritical case: $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$

The goal of this section is to present the proof of Theorem 1.2. Henceforth, for $\lambda, T>0$ and $a \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, we always denote $N_{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \lambda T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\widehat{a}(t, \xi) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow \xi}(a)(t, \xi)$, the Fourier transform of $a$ with respect to the space variable, and we decompose $a$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a_{1}+a_{\mathrm{h}} \quad \text { with } \quad \widehat{a_{1}}(t, \xi) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \widehat{a}(t, \xi) \cdot 1_{|\xi|<0.01 N_{1}} \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{a_{\mathrm{h}}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \widehat{a}(t, \xi) \cdot 1_{|\xi| \geq 0.01 N_{1}} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathfrak{T}(a)}(t, \xi) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}+\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|} \widehat{a}(t, \xi) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us first deal with the low frequency part of $a$. Throughout this paper we shall tacitly assume $T \ll 1$ since $T$ will be eventually taken sufficiently small. Since $\lambda$ will also eventually be taken sufficiently large $(\lambda=\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{|\ln T|})$ in the main order), we shall also tacitly assume $\lambda \gg 1$ to avoid any pathologies in the computation. For example in Lemma 2.1 below, we have $(\lambda \sqrt{T})^{-1}<0.01 N_{1}=0.01 \lambda T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.
Lemma 2.1. Let $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}+\delta$ with $\delta>0$ and $a \in L^{\infty}\left([0, T] ; H^{\gamma}\right)$. Then for any $t \leq T$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathfrak{T}\left(a_{1}\right)(t)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \lesssim\left(1+\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta+\gamma} T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)} e^{0.01 \lambda^{2}}\right) e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}}\|a\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We observe that for $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}+\delta$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \frac{e^{\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|}}{\langle\xi\rangle^{\gamma}} 1_{|\xi|<0.01 N_{1}} \leq & \leq\left.\xi\right|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \frac{e^{\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|}}{\langle\xi\rangle^{\gamma}} 1_{|\xi| \leq(\lambda \sqrt{T})^{-1}} \\
& +|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \frac{e^{\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|}}{\langle\xi\rangle^{\gamma}} \cdot 1_{(\lambda \sqrt{T})^{-1}<|\xi|<0.01 N_{1}} \\
& \lesssim 1+\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta+\gamma} T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)} e^{0.01 \lambda^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.2), we infer

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\||D|^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \mathfrak{T}\left(a_{1}\right)(t)\right\|_{L^{2}} & \lesssim\left\||\xi|^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}+\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|} 1_{|\xi|<0.01 N_{1}} \widehat{a}(t, \xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\left(1+\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta+\gamma} T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)} e^{0.01 \lambda^{2}}\right) e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}}\|a\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We remark that there is a saving of $e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}}$ in the estimate (2.3) which will be used in the nonlinear estimates later.

Note from (1.11) and (2.2) that the the $X_{T}$ semi-norm of $a$ is just $\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|D|^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \mathfrak{T}\left(a_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(t)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L^{2}\right)}$. To estimate $\|a\|_{X_{T}}$, we first observe that for any $t \leq T \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|1_{0.01 N_{1} \leq|\xi| \leq 0.1 N_{1}} t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}+\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|} \widehat{a}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \lesssim T^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left(N_{1}\right)^{-\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)} e^{-\left(\frac{1}{4}-0.1\right) \frac{\lambda^{2} t}{T}}\left\|a_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}  \tag{2.4}\\
& \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \lambda^{-\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)}\left\|a_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore to complete the estimate of $\|u\|_{X_{T}}$ for any solution $u$ of $(G N S)$ in $L^{\infty}\left([0, T] ; H^{\gamma}\right)$, it remains for us to handle the main piece

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}} t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}+\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|} \widehat{u}(t, \xi)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this, we appeal to the following integral reformulation of (GNS):

$$
u=e^{t \Delta} u_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) \Delta} Q(u, u)(s) d s
$$

with the bilinear form $Q(f, g)$ being given by (1.1). The avid reader should think of $Q(f, g) \approx$ $\mathcal{R} \partial(f g)$, where $\mathcal{R}$ is Riesz-type transform. On the Fourier side we need to estimate

$$
1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} \widehat{Q(f, g)}(s, \xi) d s
$$

Thanks to the high frequency cut-off $1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}}$, there will be no low-low interactions of $f$ and $g$ in the nonlinear estimate. Our main technical result is stated in the next proposition. This is the most crucial ingredient used in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 2.1. Let $Q(f, g)$ be the bilinear form given by (1.1). Then for $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}+2 \delta$ and $\eta_{0}$ being a small enough positive constant, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} 1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}}|\xi|^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} \widehat{Q(f, g)}(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
& \quad \lesssim\left(e^{4 \eta_{0} \lambda^{2}}+\lambda^{-\delta}\right) \lambda^{-\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}+\delta\right)} T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}+2 \delta\right)}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \quad \times\left(\|f\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\left\|g_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}+\left\|f_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\|g\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right)+\lambda^{-\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}}\|f\|_{X_{T}}\|g\|_{X_{T}} \\
& \quad+\lambda^{2-\delta} T^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left(1+\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta+\gamma} T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)} e^{0.01 \lambda^{2}}\right)\left(\|g\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\|f\|_{X_{T}}+\|f\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\|g\|_{X_{T}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{\mathrm{h}}$ and $g_{\mathrm{h}}$ are given by (2.1).
Proof. For any $\eta_{0}>0$, which will be taken sufficiently small later, we split the integral $\int_{0}^{t}=\int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t}+\int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}$ and shall estimate each piece separately.

In view of (1.1), we decompose the piece $\int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t}$ into the following two parts:

$$
\begin{align*}
& t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\||\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}} e^{\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}} \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} \widehat{Q(f, g)}(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \\
& =t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\||\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}} \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t} e^{-t\left(|\xi|-\frac{\lambda}{2 \sqrt{T}}\right)^{2}} e^{s|\xi|^{2}} \widehat{Q(f, g)}(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\left.\left|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} 1_{|\xi| \leq 2 N_{1}} \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t}\right| \xi\right|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} e^{s|\xi|^{2}} 1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}}|\widehat{f} * \widehat{g}(s, \xi)| d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}}  \tag{2.7}\\
& \quad+\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} 1_{|\xi| \geq 2 N_{1}} \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} e^{-\frac{t}{10}|\xi|^{2}}|\widehat{f} * \widehat{g}(s, \xi)| d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}},
\end{align*}
$$

provided that $\eta_{0}$ is small enough. Here the smallness of $\eta_{0}$ is needed for the second piece so that $e^{s|\xi|^{2}} e^{-t \cdot \frac{9}{16}|\xi|^{2}} \leq e^{-\frac{t}{10}|\xi|^{2}}$. In particular $\eta_{0}<0.1$ suffices here.

By frequency localization and (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}} \widehat{f} * \widehat{g}=1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}}\left(\widehat{f}_{1} * \widehat{g}_{\mathrm{h}}+\widehat{f}_{\mathrm{h}} * \widehat{g}_{1}+\widehat{f}_{\mathrm{h}} * \widehat{g}_{\mathrm{h}}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we get, by applying Young's inequality, $\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p}}$ for $p \in[1,2]$ and $p^{\prime} \xlongequal{\text { def }} \frac{p}{p-1}$, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t}\left\|\left.1_{|\xi| \leq 2 N_{1}}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} e^{s|\xi|^{2}}\left|1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}}\right| \widehat{f} * \widehat{g}(s, \xi) \right\rvert\,\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} d s \\
& \lesssim \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t} e^{4 s N_{1}^{2}} N_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}\left\|1_{|\xi| \leq 2 N_{1}}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\frac{6}{1-40}}}\left\|1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}} \widehat{f} * \widehat{g}(s, \cdot)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\frac{3}{1+2 \delta}}} d s \\
& \lesssim \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t} e^{4 s N_{1}^{2}} N_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \cdot N_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}-2 \delta}\left(\left\|f_{1} g_{\mathrm{h}}(s)\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}}}+\left\|f_{\mathrm{h}} g_{1}(s)\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}}}+\left\|f_{\mathrm{h}} g_{\mathrm{h}}(s)\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}}}\right) d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

from which, Sobolev imbedding inequality and (2.1), we infer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t}\left\|\left.1_{|\xi| \leq 2 N_{1}}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} e^{s|\xi|^{2}}\left|1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}}\right| \widehat{f} * \widehat{g}(s, \xi) \right\rvert\,\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} d s \\
& \lesssim \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t} e^{4 s N_{1}^{2}} N_{1}^{2-\delta}\left(\left\||D|^{\frac{1}{2}+2 \delta} f_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\||D|^{\frac{1}{2}} g_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\||D|^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\||D|^{\frac{1}{2}+2 \delta} g_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left\||D|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} f_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\||D|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} g_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right) d s \\
& \lesssim \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t} e^{4 s N_{1}^{2}} N_{1}^{2-\delta} d s\left(N_{1}^{-\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\|f\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\left\|g_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}+\left\|f_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\|g\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+N_{1}^{-2\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)}\left\|f_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\left\|g_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

due to $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}+2 \delta$, we achieve

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t}\left\|\left.1_{|\xi| \leq 2 N_{1}}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} e^{s|\xi|^{2}}\left|1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}}\right| \widehat{f} * \widehat{g}(s, \xi) \right\rvert\,\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} d s \\
& \lesssim N_{1}^{-\delta} e^{4 \eta_{0} T N_{1}^{2}} N_{1}^{-\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\|f\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\left\|g_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}+\left\|f_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\|g\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right) \\
& \lesssim\left(\lambda^{-1} T^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}+\delta} e^{4 \eta_{0} \lambda^{2}}\left(\|f\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\left\|g_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}+\left\|f_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\|g\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Along the same line, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\|1_{|\xi| \geq 2 N_{1}} \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} e^{-\frac{t}{10}|\xi|^{2}} \widehat{f} * \widehat{g}(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \lesssim t^{-1}\left\||\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} 1_{|\xi| \geq 2 N_{1}}\right\|_{L^{\frac{6}{1-4 \delta}}} \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t}\left\|1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}} \widehat{f} * \widehat{g}(s, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{1+2 \delta}}} d s \\
& \lesssim t^{-1} N_{1}^{-2 \delta} \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t}\left(\left\|f_{1} g_{\mathrm{h}}(s)\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}}}+\left\|f_{\mathrm{h}} g_{1}(s)\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}}}+\left\|f_{\mathrm{h}} g_{\mathrm{h}}(s)\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}}}\right) d s \\
& \lesssim\left(\lambda^{-1} T^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}+2 \delta}\left(\|f\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\left\|g_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}+\left\|f_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\|g\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging the above estimates into (2.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}} e^{\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}} \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} \widehat{Q(f, g)}(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left(e^{4 \eta_{0} \lambda^{2}}+\lambda^{-\delta}\right) \lambda^{-\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}+\delta\right)} T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}+2 \delta\right)}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \quad \times\left(\|f\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\left\|g_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}+\left\|f_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\|g\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

To deal with the other piece $\int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}$, we need the following lemma, the proof of which will be postponed after we finish the proof of Proposition 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. Let $N_{0} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{N_{1}}{2} \gg 1$. Then for all $0<t \leq T$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} 1_{|\xi| \leq 2 N_{0}} e^{N_{0}^{2} s}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \widehat{F}(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \lesssim \lambda^{-\delta} e^{N_{0}^{2} T} \cdot \sup _{0<s \leq T}\left(s^{\delta}\|F(s)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}}}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} 1_{|\xi| \geq 2 N_{0}} e^{N_{0}^{2} s}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} e^{-\frac{1}{10}(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} \widehat{F}(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)}  \tag{2.11}\\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-2 \delta} T^{\delta} e^{N_{0}^{2} T} \cdot \sup _{0<s \leq T}\left(s^{\delta}\|F(s)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We now continue our estimate of the piece $\int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}$. In view of (2.2), we write

$$
\begin{align*}
& t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\||\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}} e^{\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} \widehat{Q(f, g)}(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\||\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}|\xi| e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}}|\widehat{f} * \widehat{g}(s, \xi)| d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}}  \tag{2.12}\\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\||\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t-s}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2 T}-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}} 1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}}|\widehat{\mathfrak{T}(f)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}(g)}(s, \xi)| d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

By frequency localization (2.8), it amounts to handle the estimates related to the terms: $\widehat{T\left(f_{1}\right)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{\mathrm{h}}\right)}, \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{1}\right)}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{\mathrm{h}}\right)}$.

We first estimate the contribution due to $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{\mathrm{h}}\right)}$. We further decompose the integrand into the high and low frequency parts so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\||\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t-s}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2 T}-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}}\left|\widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{\mathrm{h}}\right)}(s, \xi)\right| d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\|\int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} e^{-(t-s)\left(|\xi|-\frac{\lambda}{2 \sqrt{T}}\right)^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}}\left|\widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{\mathrm{h}}\right)}(s, \xi)\right| d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\|\int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \leq N_{1}} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}}\left|\widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{\mathrm{h}}\right)}(s, \xi)\right| d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \\
& \quad+t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\|\int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq N_{1}} e^{-\frac{1}{10}(t-s)|\xi|^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}}\left|\widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{\mathrm{h}}\right)}(s, \xi)\right| d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which and Lemma 2.2, for $\lambda \geq 1$ and $T \leq 1$, we infer

$$
\begin{align*}
& t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\||\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t-s}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2 T}-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}}\left|\widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{\mathrm{h}}\right)}(s, \xi)\right| d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}} \cdot \sup _{0<s \leq T}\left(s^{\delta}\left\|\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \mathfrak{T}\left(g_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2} \frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}}\right)  \tag{2.13}\\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}} \cdot \sup _{0<s \leq T}\left(s^{\delta}\left\||D|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\||D|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \mathfrak{T}\left(g_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}}\right) \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}}\|f\|_{X_{T}}\|g\|_{X_{T}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Next we estimate the contribution due to $\mathfrak{T}\left(\widehat{\left.f_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \mathfrak{T}}\left(g_{1}\right)\right.$. Indeed along the same line as the estimate of (2.13), we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\||\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t-s}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2 T}-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}}\left|\widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{1}\right)}(s, \xi)\right| d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\|\int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \leq N_{1}} \frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}\left|\widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{1}\right)}(s, \xi)\right| d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \\
& \quad+t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\|\int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq N_{1}} e^{-\frac{1}{10}(t-s)|\xi|^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}}\left|\widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{1}\right)}(s, \xi)\right| d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Yet it follows from Young's inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\|\int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \leq N_{1}} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}}\left|\widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{1}\right)}(s, \xi)\right| d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} N_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}\left\|1_{|\xi| \leq N_{1}}\right\|_{L^{\frac{6}{11-4 \delta}}} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}}\left\|\widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{1}\right)}(s, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{1+2 \delta}}} d s \\
& \lesssim N_{1}^{2-\delta} T^{1-\frac{\delta}{2}} \sup _{0<s \leq T}\left(s^{\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}}\left\|\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \mathfrak{T}\left(g_{1}\right)(s)\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\|\int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq N_{1}} e^{-\frac{1}{10}(t-s)|\xi|^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}}\left|\widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{1}\right)}(s, \xi)\right| d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}(t-s)^{-1+\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\||\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} 1_{|\xi| \geq N_{1}}\right\|_{L^{\frac{6}{1-40}}} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}}\left\|\widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{1}\right)}(s, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{1+2 \delta}}} d s \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-1+\frac{\delta}{2}} s^{-\delta} d s N_{1}^{-2 \delta} \sup _{0<s \leq T}\left(s^{\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}}\left\|\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \mathfrak{T}\left(g_{1}\right)(s)\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}}}\right) \\
& \lesssim N_{1}^{-2 \delta} \sup _{0<s \leq T}\left(s^{\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}}\left\|\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \mathfrak{T}\left(g_{1}\right)(s)\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence, we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\||\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t-s}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2 T}-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}}\left|\widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{1}\right)}(s, \xi)\right| d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\left(\lambda^{2-\delta}+1\right) \cdot \sup _{0<s \leq T}\left(s^{\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}}\left\|\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \mathfrak{T}\left(g_{1}\right)(s)\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}}}\right) \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{2-\delta} \cdot \sup _{0<s \leq T}\left(s^{\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}}\left\||D|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s)\right\|_{L^{2}} \cdot\left\||D|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \mathfrak{T}\left(g_{1}\right)(s)\right\|_{L^{2}}\right)  \tag{2.14}\\
& \lesssim \lambda^{2-\delta} T^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\|f\|_{X_{T}} \sup _{0<s \leq T}\left(e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}}\left\|\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{1}\right)(s)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

from which and (2.3), we infer $t \leq T$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t-s}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2 T}-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}} \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(f_{\mathrm{h}}\right)} * \widehat{\mathfrak{T}\left(g_{1}\right)(s, \xi) \mid d s \|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)}} \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{2-\delta} T^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left(1+\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta+\gamma} T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)} e^{0.01 \lambda^{2}}\right)\|f\|_{X_{T}}\|g\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By substituting the above estimate and (2.13) into (2.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}} e^{\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} \widehat{Q(f, g)}(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}}\|f\|_{X_{T}}\|g\|_{X_{T}}+\lambda^{2-\delta} T^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left(1+\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta+\gamma} T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)} e^{0.01 \lambda^{2}}\right)  \tag{2.15}\\
& \times\left(\|g\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\|f\|_{X_{T}}+\|f\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\|g\|_{X_{T}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By summarizing the estimates (2.9) and (2.15), we obtain (2.6). This completes the proof of Proposition 2.1.

Let us now present the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. We first get, by applying Young's inequality, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{s N_{0}^{2}}\left\|1_{|\xi| \leq 2 N_{0}}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \widehat{F}(s, \xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} d s \\
& \lesssim \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{s N_{0}^{2}} N_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}\left\|1_{|\xi| \leq 2 N_{0}}\right\|_{L^{1-4 \delta}}\|\widehat{F}(s, \xi)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{1+2 \delta}}} d s \\
& \lesssim \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{s N_{0}^{2}} N_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \cdot N_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}-2 \delta}\|F(s)\|_{L^{2} \frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}} d s \\
& \lesssim \sup _{0<s \leq T}\left(s^{\delta}\|F(s)\|_{L^{2}} \frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}\right) \cdot t^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}\left(N_{0} \sqrt{t}\right)^{-\delta} \cdot\left(e^{N_{0}^{2} t}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the function $f(x)=x^{-\delta}\left(e^{x^{2}}-1\right)$ is monotonically increasing in $x>0$. In particular, for $t \leq T$, one has (recall $2 N_{0}=N_{1}=\lambda T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ )

$$
f\left(N_{0} \sqrt{t}\right) \leq f\left(N_{0} \sqrt{T}\right) \leq 2^{\delta} \lambda^{-\delta} e^{N_{0}^{2} T}
$$

which leads to (2.10).
Similarly, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\|\int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{N_{0}^{2} s}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq 2 N_{0}} e^{-\frac{1}{10}(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} \widehat{F}(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{N_{0}^{2} s}(t-s)^{-1+\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\||\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} 1_{|\xi| \geq 2 N_{0}}\right\|_{L^{\frac{6}{1-4 \delta}}}\|\widehat{F}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{1+2 \delta}}} d s \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{N_{0}^{2} s}(t-s)^{-1+\frac{\delta}{2}} N_{0}^{-2 \delta}\|F(s, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}}} d s \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} N_{0}^{-2 \delta} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{N_{0}^{2} s}(t-s)^{-1+\frac{\delta}{2}} s^{-\delta} d s \sup _{0<s \leq T}\left(s^{\delta}\|F(s, \cdot)\|_{L^{2(1-\delta)}}\right) \\
& \lesssim N_{0}^{-2 \delta} \int_{0}^{1} e^{N_{0}^{2} t \tau}(1-\tau)^{-1+\frac{\delta}{2}} \tau^{-\delta} d \tau \cdot \sup _{0<s \leq T}\left(s^{\delta}\|F(s, \cdot)\|_{\left.L^{\frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}}\right)}\right) \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-2 \delta} T^{\delta} e^{N_{0}^{2} T} \sup _{0<s \leq T}\left(s^{\delta}\|F(s, \cdot)\|_{\left.L^{\frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}}\right)}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

which ensures (2.11). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.

We shall first prove the local well-posedness of $(G N S)$ in the classical Sobolev spaces. In order to do so, for $Q(u, v)$ being the bilinear form given by (1.1), we define $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}(u, v)$ via

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \mathcal{B}-\Delta \mathcal{B}=Q(u, v), \quad(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{3},  \tag{2.16}\\
\left.\mathcal{B}\right|_{t=0}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

In yet other words, $\mathcal{B}$ is related to $Q(u, v)$ by the integral:

$$
\mathcal{B}=\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) \Delta} Q(u(s), v(s)) d s
$$

Proposition 2.2. Let $\gamma \in\left[1 / 2, \infty\left[, p_{\gamma} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{\begin{array}{ll}4, & \text { if } \gamma>1, \\ \frac{8}{3-2 \gamma} & \text { if } \gamma \in[1 / 2,1],\end{array}\right.\right.\right.$ and $u$, v belong to $L^{p_{\gamma}}([0, T]$; $\left.H^{\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}}\right)$. Then (2.16) has a unique solution $\mathcal{B}(u, v) \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{\gamma}\right) \cap L^{2}(] 0, T\left[; \dot{H}^{\gamma+1}\right)$. Moreover, there holds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}+\|\nabla \mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L_{T}^{2}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq C_{\gamma}(T)\|u\|_{L_{T}^{p_{\gamma}}\left(H^{\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}}\right)}\|v\|_{L_{T}^{p_{\gamma}}\left(H^{\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}}\right)} \quad \text { with } \quad C_{\gamma}(T) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
C T^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad \text { if } \gamma>1, \\
T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{p \gamma}} \text { if } \gamma \in[1 / 2,1],
\end{array}\right. \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L_{T}^{p \gamma}\left(H^{\left.\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}\right)}\right.} \leq C_{\gamma}(T)\left(1+T^{\frac{1}{p \gamma}}\right)\|u\|_{L_{T}^{p_{\gamma}}\left(H^{\left.\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}\right)}\right.}\|v\|_{L_{T}^{p \gamma}\left(H^{\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}}\right)} . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For simplicity, we just present the a priori estimates (2.17) and (2.18). We first get, by taking $H^{\gamma}$ inner product of (2.16) with $\mathcal{B}(u, v)$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|\mathcal{B}(u, v)(t)\|_{H^{\gamma}}^{2}+\|\nabla \mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{H^{\gamma}}^{2}=\left(\langle D\rangle^{\gamma} Q(u, v),\langle D\rangle^{\gamma} \mathcal{B}(u, v)\right)_{L^{2}} . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case when $\gamma>1$, we deduce from (1.1) and the law of product in Sobolev space (see Theorem 8.3.1 of [19] for instance), that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\langle D\rangle^{\gamma} Q(u, v),\langle D\rangle^{\gamma} \mathcal{B}(u, v)\right)_{L^{2}}\right| & \lesssim\left\||D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q(u, v)\right\|_{H^{\gamma}}\left\|\left.D D\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{B}(u, v)\right\|_{H^{\gamma}} \\
& \lesssim\|u\|_{H^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}}\|v\|_{H^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}}\|\mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{H^{\gamma}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla \mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{H^{\gamma}}^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left|\left(\langle D\rangle^{\gamma} Q(u, v),\langle D\rangle^{\gamma} \mathcal{B}(u, v)\right)_{L^{2}}\right| d t \\
& \quad \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{4}}\|u\|_{L_{T}^{4}\left(H^{\left.\gamma+\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right.}\|v\|_{L_{T}^{4}\left(H^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}\right)}\|\mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla \mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L_{T}^{2}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}^{\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{2.20}\\
& \quad \leq C T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_{L_{T}^{4}\left(H^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2}\|v\|_{L_{T}^{4}\left(H^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\|\mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}^{2}+\|\nabla \mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L_{T}^{2}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, in the case when $\gamma \in[1 / 2,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\langle D\rangle^{\gamma} Q(u, v),\langle D\rangle^{\gamma} \mathcal{B}(u, v)\right)_{L^{2}}\right| & \lesssim\|u \otimes v\|_{H^{\gamma}}\|\nabla \mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{H^{\gamma}} \\
& \lesssim\|u\|_{H^{\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}}}\|v\|_{H^{\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}}}\|\nabla \mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{H^{\gamma}},
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left|\left(\langle D\rangle^{\gamma} Q(u, v),\langle D\rangle^{\gamma} \mathcal{B}(u, v)\right)_{L^{2}}\right| d t \\
& \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{p \gamma}}\|u\|_{L_{T}^{p \gamma}\left(H^{\left.\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}\right)}\right.}\|v\|_{L_{T}^{p_{\gamma}\left(H^{\left.\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}\right)}\right.}}\|\nabla \mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L_{T}^{2}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}  \tag{2.21}\\
& \leq C T^{1-\frac{4}{p \gamma}}\|u\|_{L_{T}^{p \gamma}\left(H^{\left.\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}\right)}\right.}^{2}\|v\|_{L_{T}^{p \gamma}\left(H^{\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}}\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla \mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L_{T}^{2}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the fact: $\gamma \geq \frac{1}{2}$, so that $p_{\gamma} \geq 4$.
By integrating (2.19) over $[0, T]$ and then substituting the estimate (2.20) or (2.21) into the resulting inequality, we obtain (2.17).

On the other hand, we deduce from the interpolation inequality in Sobolev spaces that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L_{T}^{p \gamma}\left(H^{\left.\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}\right)}\right.} & \leq\|\mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}^{1-\frac{2}{p \gamma}}\|\langle D\rangle \mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L_{T}^{2}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}^{\frac{2}{p \gamma}} \\
& \lesssim\|\mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}^{1-\frac{2}{p \gamma}}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}+\|\nabla \mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L_{T}^{2}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p_{\gamma}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which and (2.17), we deduce (2.18). This concludes the proof of Proposition 2.2.
We are now in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. We divide the proof of Theorem 1.2 into the following three steps:
Step 1. The local existence of classical solution.
In view of $(G N S)$ and (2.16), we can equivalently reformulate $(G N S)$ as (1.10). We are going to use the following iteration scheme to construct the approximate solutions of (1.10).

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} e^{t \Delta} u_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad u_{n+1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} e^{t \Delta} u_{0}+\mathcal{B}\left(u_{n}, u_{n}\right) . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p_{\gamma}$ be determined by Proposition 2.2. Due to $p_{\gamma}>2$, we get, by using Minkowski inequality, that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|e^{t \Delta} u_{0}\right\|_{L_{T}^{p_{\gamma}}\left(H^{\left.\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}\right)}\right.} & \lesssim \|\langle\xi\rangle^{\gamma} e^{-t|\xi|^{2} \widehat{u_{0}}(\xi)\left\|_{L_{T}^{p \gamma}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)}+\right\|\langle\xi\rangle^{\gamma}|\xi|^{\frac{2}{p_{\gamma}}} e^{-t|\xi|^{2} \widehat{u_{0}}(\xi)} \|_{L_{T}^{p_{\gamma}}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)}} \\
& \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{p^{p \gamma}}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}}+\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{\gamma}|\xi|^{\frac{2}{p \gamma}}\right\| e^{-t|\xi|^{2}}\left\|_{L_{T}^{p \gamma}} \widehat{u_{0}}(\xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}}  \tag{2.23}\\
& \leq C\left(1+T^{\frac{1}{p_{\gamma}}}\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then it follows from (2.18) and the proof of Lemma 5.5 of [2] that in order to prove the convergence of the approximate solution sequence, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, constructed by $(2.22)$, in $L_{T}^{p_{\gamma}}\left(H^{\gamma+\frac{2}{p_{\gamma}}}\right)$, we need to take the time $T$ to be sufficiently small. Indeed notice from (2.17) that for $\gamma \in] 1 / 2,1]$, $p_{\gamma}>4$, for $C_{\gamma}(T)$ being determined by (2.17), we can thus define

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sup \left\{T \leq 1, \quad 4 C C_{\gamma}(T)\left(1+T^{\frac{1}{p_{\gamma}}}\right)^{2}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}}<1\right\} . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this definition of $T_{1}$, there exists $u \in L_{T_{1}}^{p_{\gamma}}\left(H^{\gamma+\frac{2}{p_{\gamma}}}\right)$ so that $u$ satisfies (1.10) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L_{T_{1}}^{p \gamma}\left(H^{\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}}\right)}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sup _{n \geq 1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L_{T_{1}}^{p \gamma}\left(H^{\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}}\right)} \leq 2 C\left(1+T_{1}^{\frac{1}{p \gamma}}\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H \gamma} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which, (2.17) and (2.22), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \geq 1}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L_{T_{1}}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}+\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L_{T_{1}}^{2}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right) \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}}\left(1+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}}\right) . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice from (2.22) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{n+1}-u & =\mathcal{B}\left(u_{n}, u_{n}\right)-\mathcal{B}(u, u) \\
& =B\left(u_{n}-u, u_{n}\right)+\mathcal{B}\left(u, u_{n}-u\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

from which, (2.17) and (2.25), we deduce that for $T \leq T_{1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(u_{n+1}-u\right)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}+\left\|\nabla\left(u_{n+1}-u\right)\right\|_{L_{T}^{2}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)} \\
& \leq C\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L_{T}^{p \gamma}\left(H^{\left.\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}\right)}\right.}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{p \gamma}\left(H^{\left.\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}\right)}\right.}+\|u\|_{L_{T}^{p \gamma}\left(H^{\left.\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}\right)}\right.}\right)  \tag{2.27}\\
& \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L_{T}^{p \gamma}\left(H^{\gamma+\frac{2}{p \gamma}}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Step 2. The uniform estimate of $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{X_{T}}$.
On the other hand, by virtue of (2.1) and (2.22), we write

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{u}_{n+1, \mathrm{~h}}(t, \xi) & \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \widehat{u}_{n+1}(t, \xi) 1_{|\xi| \geq 0.01 N_{1}}  \tag{2.28}\\
& =\widehat{u}_{n+1}(t, \xi) 1_{0.01 N_{1} \leq|\xi|<0.1 N_{1}}+\widehat{e^{t \Delta u_{0}}} 1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}}+\mathcal{B}\left(\widehat{u_{n}, u_{n}}\right)(t, \xi) 1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}},
\end{align*}
$$

from which, (1.11) and (2.4), we infer

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n+1}\right\|_{X_{T}} \leq & C T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \lambda^{-\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)}\left\|u_{n+1, \mathrm{~h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}+\left\|e^{t \Delta} u_{0}\right\|_{X_{T}} \\
& +\| t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} 1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}}|\xi|^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} \widehat{Q\left(u_{n}, u_{n}\right)(s, \xi) d s \|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e^{t \Delta} u_{0}\right\|_{X_{T}} & =\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left\lvert\, \xi \xi^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq 0.01 N_{1}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}+\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|} e^{-t|\xi|^{2}} \widehat{u_{0}}(\xi)\right.\right\|_{L_{T}^{2}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
& \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \lambda^{-\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)}\left\|1_{|\xi| \geq 0.01 N_{1}}|\xi|^{\gamma} \widehat{u_{0}}(\xi)\right\|_{L_{T}^{2}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
& \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \lambda^{-\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)}\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step we invoke the limit solution $u$ determined by (2.25) (note that by (2.26) $\left.u \in L_{T}^{\infty} H^{\gamma}\right)$. Using Proposition 2.1 for the nonlinear piece, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n+1}\right\|_{X_{T}} \leq & C\left(T ^ { \frac { 1 } { 2 } ( \gamma - \frac { 1 } { 2 } ) } \lambda ^ { - ( \gamma - \frac { 1 } { 2 } - \delta ) } \left[\left(\left\|u_{n+1, \mathrm{~h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}+\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1+e^{4 \eta_{0} \lambda^{2}}\right) T^{\delta}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\left\|u_{n, \mathrm{~h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right]+\lambda^{-\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{X_{T}}^{2} \\
& \left.+\lambda^{2-\delta} T^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left(1+\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta+\gamma} T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)} e^{0.01 \lambda^{2}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{X_{T}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we deduce from (2.27) that for arbitrary but fixed small enough constant $\varepsilon>0$, there exists an integer N so that for any $n \geq \mathrm{N}$, there holds

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n+1}\right\|_{X_{T}} \leq & C\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \lambda^{-\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)}\left[1+\left(1+e^{4 \eta_{0} \lambda^{2}}\right) T^{\delta}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}}\right]\right. \\
& \times\left(\varepsilon+\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}(H \gamma)}\right)+\lambda^{-\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{X_{T}}^{2}  \tag{2.29}\\
& \left.+\lambda^{2-\delta} T^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left(1+\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta+\gamma} T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)} e^{0.01 \lambda^{2}}\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{X_{T}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Before proceeding, we present the following lemma:
Lemma 2.3 (Uniform high frequency smallness in $\left.H^{\gamma}\right)$. Let $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$ and $u \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{\gamma}\right)$ be a mild solution of $(G N S)$ with initial data $u_{0} \in H^{\gamma}$. We denote the Fourier multiplier $\widehat{P_{>J}}(\xi) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} 1_{|\xi| \geq 0.01 J}$. Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{J}^{\gamma}(T) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \max _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|P_{>J} u(t)\right\|_{H^{\gamma}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } J \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We admit the lemma for the time being and postpone its proof till the end of this section.
We now continue our proof of Theorem 1.2. For $\eta_{0}<\frac{\delta}{8(2 \gamma-1)}$ and $\eta_{J}^{\gamma}(t)$ being given by (1.8), we define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{\varepsilon}(T) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sqrt{(2 \gamma-1)(|\ln T|+\ln |\ln T|)+3 \beta_{\varepsilon}(T)} \quad \text { with } \\
& \beta_{\varepsilon}(T) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \min \left\{\left|\ln \left(\varepsilon+\eta_{T^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{\gamma}(T)\right)\right|, \frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)|\ln T|\right\}, \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{2} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sup \{ & T \leq T_{1}, e^{4 \eta_{0} \lambda_{\varepsilon}^{2}(T)} T^{\delta} \leq 1, \\
& \left.C \lambda_{\varepsilon}^{2-\delta}(T) T^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left(1+\lambda_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta+\gamma}(T) T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)} e^{0.01 \lambda_{\varepsilon}^{2}(T)}\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\} . \tag{2.32}
\end{align*}
$$

We observe that due to $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}+\delta, T_{2}$ is well-defined for $\delta$ being sufficiently small.
Then by virtue of (2.29), for any $n \geq \mathrm{N}$ and $T \leq T_{2}$, we achieve

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n+1}\right\|_{X_{T}} \leq & C\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \lambda_{\varepsilon}^{-\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)}(T)\left(1+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}}\right)\left(\varepsilon+\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\lambda_{\varepsilon}^{-\delta}(T) e^{\frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}^{2}(T)}{4}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{X_{T}}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{X_{T}} . \tag{2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us compare $\left\|u_{n+1}\right\|_{X_{T}}$ with $Z$, which is determined by

$$
2 Z=C\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \lambda_{\varepsilon}^{-\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)}(T)\left(1+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}}\right)\left(\varepsilon+\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right)+\lambda_{\varepsilon}^{-\delta}(T) e^{\frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}^{2}(T)}{4}} Z^{2}\right) .
$$

In particular, thanks to Lemma 2.3 and (2.31), for any sufficiently small positive constant $c_{0}$, which will be determined later on, we can shrink $t_{0} \leq T_{2}$ to be so small that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{0} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sup \left\{T \leq T_{2}, C^{2} T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \lambda_{\varepsilon}^{-\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(T)\left(1+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}}\right)\left(\varepsilon+\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right) e^{\frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}^{2}(T)}{4}} \leq c_{0}\right\} . \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

We notice that for $\lambda_{\varepsilon}(T)$ defined by (2.31), $t_{0}$ defined by above can be reached.
Then we deduce that for $n \geq \mathrm{N}$ and $T \leq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n+1}\right\|_{X_{T}} \leq C T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \lambda_{\varepsilon}^{-\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)}(T)\left(1+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}}\right)\left(\varepsilon+\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right) . \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3. The convergence of $\left\{t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \mathfrak{T}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}$ in $L^{\infty}\left(\left[0, t_{0}\right] ; \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\right)$.
Indeed we deduce from (2.1) and Lemma 2.1 that for $T \leq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \mathfrak{T}\left(u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\right)} & \leq\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \mathfrak{T}\left(\left(u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right)_{1}\right)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\right)}+\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|_{X_{T}}  \tag{2.36}\\
& \leq C\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}+\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|_{X_{T}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Notice from (2.28) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|_{X_{T}} \leq\left|\left|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right| \xi\right|^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} 1_{0.01 N_{1} \leq|\xi|<0.1 N_{1}} e^{\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}}\left(\widehat{u}_{n+1}-\widehat{u}_{n}\right) \|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
&+\|\left.\left|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} 1_{|\xi| \geq 0.1 N_{1}}\right| \xi\right|^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}}\left(\widehat{Q}\left(u_{n}-u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\widehat{Q}\left(u_{n-1}, u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right)\right)(s, \xi) d s \|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which, (2.4) and Proposition 2.2, we infer

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|_{X_{T}} \leq & C\left(\left(T ^ { \frac { 1 } { 2 } ( \gamma - \frac { 1 } { 2 } ) } \lambda _ { \varepsilon } ^ { - ( \gamma - \frac { 1 } { 2 } - \delta ) } ( T ) \left[\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.+T^{\delta}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}+\left\|u_{n-1}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right)\left\|u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right] \\
& +\lambda_{\varepsilon}^{2-\delta}(T) T^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{X_{T}}+\left\|u_{n-1}\right\|_{X_{T}}\right)\left\|u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)} \\
& +\left\|u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right\|_{X_{T}}\left[\lambda_{\varepsilon}^{-\delta}(T) e^{\frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}^{2}(T)}{4}}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{X_{T}}+\left\|u_{n-1}\right\|_{X_{T}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\lambda_{\varepsilon}^{2-\delta} T^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}+\left\|u_{n-1}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right)\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (2.34) and (2.35) that for $T \leq t_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\varepsilon}^{-\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}^{2}(T)}{4}}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{X_{T}}+\left\|u_{n-1}\right\|_{X_{T}}\right) \leq & 2 C T^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \lambda_{\varepsilon}^{-\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(T) \\
& \times\left(1+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}}\right)\left(\varepsilon+\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right) e^{\frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}^{2}(T)}{4}} \leq \frac{2}{C} c_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then if we take $c_{0}$ to be so small that $c_{0} \leq \frac{1}{8}$, we deduce from (2.26) that for $T \leq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|_{X_{T}} \leq & C\left(\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}}\left\|u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{4}+C \lambda_{\varepsilon}^{2-\delta}(T) T^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}}\right)\left\|u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right\|_{X_{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which and (2.32), we infer for $T \leq t_{0}$

$$
\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|_{X_{T}} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}+\left\|u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right\|_{X_{T}} .
$$

By inserting the above estimate into (2.36), we find for $T \leq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \mathfrak{T}\left(u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\right)} \leq & \frac{1}{2}\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \mathfrak{T}\left(u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\right)}  \tag{2.37}\\
& +C\left(\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}+\left\|u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\left\{t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \mathfrak{T}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\right)$ for any $T \leq t_{0}$. As a result, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \mathfrak{T}\left(u_{n+1}-u\right)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\delta\right.}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}^{2} t}{4 T}} e^{\frac{\lambda_{\delta} t}{\sqrt{T}}|D|} u(t)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\delta\right.}\right) \leq C \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $T \leq t_{0}$.
In particular, by taking $t=T$ in (2.38), we obtain

$$
\left\|e^{\lambda_{\varepsilon}(T) \sqrt{T}|D|} u(T)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\delta} \leq C T^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} e^{\frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}^{2}(T)}{4}} \quad \text { for any } T \leq t_{0}
$$

By taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the above inequality, we obtain

$$
\left\|e^{\lambda(T) \sqrt{T}|D|} u(T)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \leq C T^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}(T)}{4}},
$$

for any $T \leq t_{0}$ and $\lambda(T)$ being given by (1.8), which together with (1.8) ensures (1.7). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Let us now present the proof of Lemma 2.3.
Proof of Lemma 2.3. We first observe that the linear part satisfies

$$
\sup _{t \geq 0}\left\|P_{>J} e^{t \Delta} u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}} \leq\left\|P_{>J} u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } J \rightarrow \infty
$$

For the nonlinear part, we first deal with the case when $\gamma \in] 1 / 2,3 / 2[$. Then for $J \geq 1$ and sufficiently small constant, $\epsilon>0$, satisfying $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon$, we get, by using the law of product in Sobolev spaces (see Theorem 8.3.1 of [19] for instance or see [28]), that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\int_{0}^{t} P_{>J}\langle D\rangle^{\gamma} e^{(t-s) \Delta} Q(u, u)(s) d s\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \lesssim J^{-\epsilon} \int_{0}^{t}\left\||D|^{1+\epsilon+\gamma} P_{>J} e^{(t-s) \Delta}(u \otimes u)(s)\right\|_{L^{2}} d s \\
& \lesssim J^{-\epsilon} \int_{0}^{t}\left\||D|^{\frac{5}{2}-\gamma+\epsilon} P_{>J} e^{(t-s) \Delta}|D|^{2 \gamma-\frac{3}{2}}(u \otimes u)(s)\right\|_{L^{2}} d s \\
& \lesssim J^{-\epsilon} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{5}{2}-\gamma+\epsilon\right)}\|u(s)\|_{H^{\gamma}}^{2} d s \\
& \lesssim J^{-\epsilon} t^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon\right)}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}^{2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } J \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step, we used the fact that $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon$.
For $\gamma=\frac{3}{2}$, we take $\left.\epsilon \in\right] 0,1[$. By a slight modification of the above argument, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\int_{0}^{t} P_{>J}\langle D\rangle^{\gamma} e^{(t-s) \Delta} Q(u, u)(s) d s\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \lesssim J^{-\epsilon} \int_{0}^{t}\left\||D|^{1+\epsilon+\frac{3}{2}} P_{>J} e^{(t-s) \Delta}(u \otimes u)(s)\right\|_{L^{2}} d s \\
& \lesssim J^{-\epsilon} \int_{0}^{t}\left\||D|^{1+2 \epsilon} P_{>J} e^{(t-s) \Delta}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon}(u \otimes u)(s)\right\|_{L^{2}} d s \\
& \lesssim J^{-\epsilon} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+2 \epsilon)}\|u(s)\|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{2} d s \lesssim J^{-\epsilon} t^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)}^{2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } J \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally for $\gamma>\frac{3}{2}$, we note that $H^{\gamma}$ is an algebra. As a consequence, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\int_{0}^{t} P_{>J}\langle D\rangle^{\gamma} e^{(t-s) \Delta} Q(u, u)(s) d s\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \lesssim J^{-\epsilon} \int_{0}^{t}\left\||D|^{1+\epsilon+\gamma} P_{>J} e^{(t-s) \Delta}(u \otimes u)(s)\right\|_{2} d s \\
& \lesssim J^{-\epsilon} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon)}\|u(s)\|_{H^{\gamma}}^{2} d s \lesssim J^{-\epsilon} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\epsilon)}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\gamma}\right)}^{2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } J \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Collecting the above estimates, we conclude the proof of (2.30).

## 3. The critical case: $\gamma=\frac{1}{2}$

The goal of this section is to present the proof of Theorem 1.3, namely, the critical case of Theorem 1.2 for $\gamma=\frac{1}{2}$. In order to do so, we first need to adapt Lemma 2.3 to the critical case $\gamma=\frac{1}{2}$.

Proposition 3.1 (Uniform high frequency smallness in $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ). Let $u_{0} \in \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. There exists a positive time $T=T\left(u_{0}\right)$ so that the system $(G N S)$ with initial data $u_{0}$ has a unique solution $u \in C\left([0, T] ; \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \cap L^{2}(] 0, T\left[; \dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|u\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}+\|\nabla u\|_{L_{T}^{2}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}+\left\|t^{\frac{m+\delta}{2}} u\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}}\right)}  \tag{3.1}\\
& \left.\quad+\left\|t^{\frac{m+\delta}{2}} \nabla u\right\|_{L_{T}^{2}\left(\dot{H}^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad \text { for any integer } m \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \delta \in\right] 0,1[.
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{J}^{\frac{1}{2}}(T) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \max _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|1_{|\xi| \geq J}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}(t, \xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } J \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.1. It is possible to obtain all polynomial smoothing estimates in (3.1) at one stroke if we take $T=T\left(u_{0}\right)$ to be sufficiently small. We sketch an alternative argument as follows. The key is to estimate the following $Z$-norm:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{z_{T}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\|t^{\frac{1}{8}}|D|^{\frac{3}{4}} v\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L^{2}\right)}, \quad \text { with } \quad \widehat{v}(t, \xi) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} e^{\frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}|\xi|} \widehat{u}(t, \xi) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the linear part, it is not difficult to check that

$$
\begin{align*}
& t^{\frac{1}{8}}\left\||D|^{\frac{3}{4}} e^{\frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}|D|} e^{t \Delta} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; L^{2}\right)} \lesssim\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} ;  \tag{3.4}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} t^{\frac{1}{8}}\left\||D|^{\frac{3}{4}} e^{\frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}|D|} e^{t \Delta} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, for the nonlinear part, we first get, by using the triangle inequality, $|\xi| \leq|\xi-\eta|+|\eta|$ for $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{\frac{1}{8}} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|e^{\frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}|D|}|D|^{\frac{3}{4}} e^{(t-s) \Delta} Q(u(s), u(s))\right\|_{L^{2}} d s \\
& \leq t^{\frac{1}{8}} \int_{0}^{t}\left\||\xi|^{\frac{7}{4}} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{t}-\sqrt{s})|\xi|} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}}|\widehat{v}(s)| *|\widehat{v}(s)|\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} d s \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{8}} \int_{0}^{t}\left\||\xi|^{\frac{7}{4}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)|\xi|^{2}}|\widehat{v}(s)| *|\widehat{v}(s)|\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} d s \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{8}} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\frac{7}{8}}\||\widehat{v}(s)| *|\widehat{v}(s)|\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} d s \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{8}} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\frac{7}{8}}\left\|\mathcal{F}_{\xi \rightarrow x}(|\widehat{v}(s, \xi)|)\right\|_{L^{4}}^{2} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by applying Sobolev imbedding inequality, $H^{\frac{3}{4}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{4}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
t^{\frac{1}{8}} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|e^{\frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}|D|}|D|^{\frac{3}{4}} e^{(t-s) \Delta} Q(u(s), u(s))\right\|_{L^{2}} d s & \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{8}} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\frac{7}{8}}\left\||D|^{\frac{3}{4}} \mathcal{F}_{\xi \rightarrow x}(|\widehat{v}(s, \xi)|)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d s \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{8}} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\frac{7}{8}} s^{-\frac{1}{4}} d s\|u\|_{Z_{T}}^{2} \\
& \lesssim\|u\|_{Z_{T}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

from which and (3.4), we deduce from the fixed point theorem (see Lemma 5.5 of [2] for instance) that there exists $T$ so that (1.10) has a unique solution $u$ on $[0, T]$ with $\|u\|_{Z_{T}} \lesssim$ $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$, which in particular implies all polynomial smoothing estimates.
Proof of Proposition 3.1. We first get, by a similar derivation of (2.23), that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e^{t \Delta} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; \dot{H}^{1}\right)} & =\left\||\xi| e^{-t|\xi|^{2}} \widehat{u}_{0}(\xi)\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
& \leq\||\xi|\| e^{-t|\xi|^{2}}\left\|_{L_{t}^{4}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} \widehat{u}_{0}(\xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which in particular implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{T \rightarrow 0}\left\|e^{t \Delta} u_{0}\right\|_{L_{T}^{4}\left(\dot{H}^{1}\right)}=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mathcal{B}(u, v)$ being determined by (2.16), we deduce from (2.18) that

$$
\|\mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L_{T}^{4}\left(\dot{H}^{1}\right)} \leq C_{0}\|u\|_{L_{T}^{4}\left(\dot{H}^{1}\right)}\|v\|_{L_{T}^{4}\left(\dot{H}^{1}\right)} \quad \text { if } \quad T \leq 1 .
$$

Take $T\left(u_{0}\right)>0$ sufficiently small such that

$$
4 C_{0}\left\|e^{t \Delta} u_{0}\right\|_{L_{T}^{4}\left(\dot{H}^{1}\right)}<1
$$

The fixed point theorem (see Lemma 5.5 of [2] for instance) ensures that (1.10) admits a unique solution $u$ in $L^{4}(] 0, T\left[; \dot{H}^{1}\right)$. Moreover, it follows from (2.17) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|u\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}+\|\nabla u\|_{L_{T}^{2}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\left.\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \quad\right. \text { and } \\
& \|u\|_{L_{T}^{q}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{q}}\right)} \leq\|u\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{1-\frac{2}{2}}\|u\|_{L_{T}^{2}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)}^{\frac{2}{q}} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \quad \text { for any } \quad q \in[2, \infty] . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

For any $p \in] 1, \infty[$, we choose $q \in] 2, \infty\left[\right.$ with $p>\frac{q}{2}$. Then we get, by applying the law of product in Sobolev space, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{B}(u, u)(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{p}}} & \lesssim \int_{0}^{t}\left\||\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{p}} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}}|\xi| \widehat{u \otimes u}(s)\right\|_{L^{2}} d s \\
& \lesssim \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\left.\left|\xi^{2+\frac{2}{p}-\frac{4}{q}} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}}\right| \xi\right|^{\frac{4}{q}-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u \otimes u}(s)\right\|_{L^{2}} d s \\
& \lesssim \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{2}{q}}\|u(s)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{q}}} d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

from which, (3.6) and Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we infer

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{B}(u, u)\|_{L_{T}^{p}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{p}}\right)} & \lesssim\left\|\int_{0}^{\infty}|t-s|^{-1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{2}{q}} \chi_{[0, T]}(s)\right\| u(s)\left\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{q}}}^{2} d s\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
& \lesssim\|u\|_{L_{T}^{q}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{q}}\right)}^{2} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by applying interpolation inequality, we obtain
where $\|a\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ denotes the homogeneous Besov norm of $a$ in the space $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}$ (see Definition 2.15 of [2]; see also Lemma 2.7 of [28] and the discussion therein for more general interpolation inequalities).

Whereas it follows from Theorem 2.34 that

$$
\left\|e^{t \Delta} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; L^{\infty}\right)}=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left\|t^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{t \Delta} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, 2}^{-1}} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}},
$$

which together with (3.7) ensures that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L_{T}^{2}\left(L^{\infty}\right)} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next let us turn to the time-weighted energy estimate. For simplicity, we just present the a priori estimate. Indeed, for any $\delta \in] 0,1\left[\right.$, we get, by taking $\dot{H}^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}}$ inner product of (GNS) with $u$, that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}^{2} & =\left(|D|^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}} Q(u, u),|D|^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}} u\right)_{L^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\|u \otimes u\|_{\dot{H}^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}\|\nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \leq C\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2}\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used Bony's decomposition [5] that $u \otimes u=T_{u} \otimes u+u \otimes T_{u}+R(u, u)$ so that

$$
\|u \otimes u\|_{\dot{H}^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}}} .
$$

Multiplying the inequality (3.9) by $t^{m+\delta}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left\|t^{\frac{m+\delta}{2}} u(t)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}^{2}+\left\|t^{\frac{m+\delta}{2}} \nabla u\right\|_{\dot{H}^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}^{2} \\
& \leq(m+\delta)\left\|t^{\frac{m-1+\delta}{2}} u(t)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}^{2}+C\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2}\left\|t^{\frac{m+\delta}{2}} u(t)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality gives rise to

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|t^{\frac{m+\delta}{2}} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2}+\left\|t^{\frac{m+\delta}{2}} \nabla u\right\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(\dot{H}^{m+\delta+\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} \leq\left(\left\|t^{\frac{m-1+\delta}{2}} \nabla u(t)\right\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(\dot{H}^{m+\delta-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2}\right.  \tag{3.10}\\
&\left.+(m+\delta)\left\|t^{\frac{m-1+\delta}{2}} u(t)\right\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(\dot{H}^{m+\delta-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2}\right) \exp \left(C\|u\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(L^{\infty}\right)}^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

With (3.8) and (3.10), to conclude the proof of the time-weighted estimate part in (3.1) via induction argument, we still need the following lemma, the proof of which will be postponed after we finish the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Lemma 3.1. Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1, for any $t \leq T\left(u_{0}\right)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2}+\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \nabla u\right\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we present the proof of (3.2). Due to $u \in C\left([0, T] ; \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$, for any $\epsilon>0$, we can choose $\tau_{0}>0$ so small that

$$
\max _{0 \leq t \leq \tau_{0}}\left\|u(t)-u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq 0.001 \epsilon,
$$

which implies that for any $J \geq 1$,

$$
\max _{0 \leq t \leq \tau_{0}}\left\|1_{|\xi| \geq J}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\widehat{u}(t, \xi)-\widehat{u_{0}}(\xi)\right)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \leq 0.01 \epsilon
$$

While by taking $J_{0} \geq 1$ so large that

$$
\left\|1_{|\xi| \geq J_{0}}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u_{0}}(\xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \leq 0.01 \epsilon,
$$

we deduce that for any $J \geq J_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{0 \leq t \leq \tau_{0}}\left\|1_{|\xi| \geq J}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}(t, \xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \leq 0.02 \epsilon \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.1) that $t^{\frac{3}{4}} u \in L^{\infty}\left([0, T] ; \dot{H}^{2}\right)$, from which, we infer

$$
\max _{\tau_{0} \leq t \leq T}\left\|P_{>J} u(t, \cdot)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C \tau_{0}^{-\frac{3}{4}} J^{-\frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } J \rightarrow \infty .
$$

In particular, we can take $J_{1} \geq 1$ so large that

$$
\max _{\tau_{0} \leq t \leq T_{0}}\left\|1_{|\xi| \geq J_{1}}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}(t, \xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \leq 0.1 \epsilon,
$$

which together with (3.12) ensures that for $J \geq \max \left\{J_{0}, J_{1}\right\}$,

$$
\max _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|1_{|\xi| \geq J}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}(t, \xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \leq \epsilon .
$$

This leads to (3.2), and we complete the proof of Proposition 3.1.
Let us present the proof of Lemma 3.1.
Proof of Lemma 3.1. We first observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|t^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} e^{t \Delta} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; \dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\xi|^{1+2 \delta} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\delta-1} e^{-2 t|\xi|^{2}} d t\left|\widehat{u_{0}}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi  \tag{3.13}\\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \tau^{\delta-1} e^{-2 \tau} d \tau\left|\xi\left\|\left.\widehat{u_{0}}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi=C\right\| u_{0} \|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{2} .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

This estimate will be used in the nonlinear computation below.
By taking the $\dot{H}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}$ inner product of (2.16) with $u=v$ and then multiplying the resulting inequality by $t^{\delta-1}$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|t^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} \mathcal{B}(u, u)(t)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}^{2}+\left\|t^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} \mathcal{B}(u, u)(t)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}^{2}+(1-\delta)\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}-1} \mathcal{B}(u, u)(t)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}^{2} \\
& =t^{\delta-1}(Q(u, u), \mathcal{B}(u, u))_{\dot{H}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& \leq t^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}}\left\|\left(e^{t \Delta} u_{0}+\mathcal{B}(u, u)\right) \otimes\left(e^{t \Delta} u_{0}+\mathcal{B}(u, u)\right)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|t^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} \mathcal{B}(u, u)(t)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{\delta-1}\left\|\left(e^{t \Delta} u_{0}+\mathcal{B}(u, u)\right) \otimes\left(e^{t \Delta} u_{0}+\mathcal{B}(u, u)\right)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|t^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} \mathcal{B}(u, u)(t)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which and the law of product in Sobolev spaces, we infer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left\|t^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} \mathcal{B}(u, u)(t)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}^{2}+\left\|t^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} \mathcal{B}(u, u)(t)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}^{2}+(1-\delta)\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}-1} \mathcal{B}(u, u)(t)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}^{2} \\
& \lesssim\left\|t^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} e^{t \Delta} u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}^{2}\left(\left\|e^{t \Delta} u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{2}+\|\mathcal{B}(u, u)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{2}\right)+\|\mathcal{B}(u, u)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{2}\left\|t^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} \mathcal{B}(u, u)(t)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying Gronwall's inequality and using (3.6), (3.7), we find for $t \leq T\left(u_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\| t^{\frac{\delta-1}{2} \mathcal{B}(u, u)(t) \|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} \leq} \begin{array}{c} 
\\
\\
\\
\times\left\|t^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} e^{t \Delta} u_{0}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} \exp \left(C\|\mathcal{B}(u, u)\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2}\right) \\
\leq
\end{array}\right) \|\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which together with (3.13) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|t^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that estimate of this type was first proposed by Chemin and Planchon in [9] for the classical 3-D Navier-Stokes system. The avid reader may view it as a natural $L_{t}^{2}$ version of the classical Kato spaces (see [21]).

On the other hand, we get, by taking $\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}$ inner product of (GNS) with $u$ and using the law of product in Sobolev spaces, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}^{2} & =(Q(u, u), u)_{\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& \lesssim\|u \otimes u\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}\|\nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& \lesssim\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}\|\nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying $t^{\delta}$ to the above inequality yields

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} u(t)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}^{2}+\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \nabla u\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}^{2} \leq \delta\left\|t^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} u(t)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}^{2}+C\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2}\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} u\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}}^{2} .
$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality gives rise to

$$
\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2}+\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \nabla u\right\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} \leq C\left\|t^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} u\right\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(\dot{H}^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} \exp \left(C\|u\|_{L_{t}^{2}\left(L^{\infty}\right)}^{2}\right) \quad \text { for } t \leq T\left(u_{0}\right),
$$

which together with (3.8) and (3.14) ensures (3.11). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

By analogy of the corresponding norm of $\|\cdot\|_{X_{T}}$ defined in (1.11) for the subcritical case, for sufficiently small positive constant $\delta$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{Y_{T}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} 1_{|\xi| \geq T^{-\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}+\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|} \widehat{u}(t, \xi)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note here we introduce the special cut-off $1_{|\xi| \geq T^{-\frac{1}{4}}}$ to break the scaling. In what follows, we shall focus on the estimating of the the $Y_{T}$-norm of $u$.
Proposition 3.2. Let $u_{0} \in \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. There exists a sufficiently small positive constant $t_{1}$ so that the system (GNS) with initial data $u_{0}$ has a unique solution $u$ on $\left[0, t_{1}\right]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{Y_{T}} \leq C e^{10^{-4} \lambda^{2}(T)}\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \quad \text { for any } T \leq t_{1} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda(T)$ is defined by (1.12) and $u_{\mathrm{h}}$ is defined by (3.17) below.
Proof. For simplicity, we just present the a priori estimates. We take $M \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} T^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ and split the solution $u$ of $(G N S)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=u_{1}+u_{\mathrm{h}} \quad \text { with } \quad \widehat{u_{1}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \underbrace{\widehat{u} \cdot 1_{|\xi|<M}} \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{u_{\mathrm{h}}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \underbrace{\widehat{u} \cdot 1_{|\xi| \geq M}},  \tag{3.17}\\
& \widehat{\mathcal{S}(u)}(t, \xi) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}+\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|} \widehat{u}(t, \xi) .
\end{align*}
$$

We first observe from Proposition 3.1 that for all $0<t \leq T \leq 1$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}}\left\||D|^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{S}\left(u_{1}\right)(t)\right\|_{L^{2}} & \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\||\xi|^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|} 1_{|\xi|<T^{-\frac{1}{4}}} \widehat{u}(t, \xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} T^{-\frac{\delta}{4}} e^{\lambda T^{\frac{1}{4}}}\left\||\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}(t, \xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}}  \tag{3.18}\\
& \lesssim T^{\frac{\delta}{4}} e^{\lambda T^{\frac{1}{4}}} C\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that due to our low frequency cut-off $1_{|\xi| \leq T^{-\frac{1}{4}}}$, there is a saving of $T^{\frac{\delta}{4}}$ in the low frequency estimate (3.18).

In view of (3.15), the the $Y_{T^{-n o r m}}$ of $u$ is just $\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|D|^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L^{2}\right)}$. To estimate $\|u\|_{Y_{T}}$, we first notice that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} 1_{M \leq|\xi| \leq 3 M}|\xi|^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}+\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|} \widehat{u}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
& \leq T^{\frac{\delta}{2}} M^{\delta}\left\|e^{-t\left(\frac{\lambda}{2 \sqrt{T}}-|\xi|\right)^{2}} e^{9 t M^{2}}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} 1_{|\xi| \geq M} \widehat{u}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)}  \tag{3.19}\\
& \lesssim T^{\frac{\delta}{4}}\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

To complete the estimate of $\|u\|_{Y_{T}}$, it remains for us to estimate the term below:

$$
\left\|1_{|\xi| \geq 3 M} t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}+\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|} \widehat{u}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L^{2}\right)}
$$

For this, as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we shall turn to nonlinear estimates. Again thanks to the frequency cut-off $1_{|\xi| \geq 3 M}$, there will be no low-low interactions in the nonlinear estimate below.

Indeed similar to the proof of Proposition 2.1, for any $\eta_{0}>0$, which will be chosen sufficiently small later on, we split the integral $\int_{0}^{t}=\int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t}+\int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}$ and estimate each pieces separately.

Step 1. The estimate of the short-time piece $\int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t}$.
Recall that $N_{1}=\lambda T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq 3 M} \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}} \widehat{Q(u \cdot u)}(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} 1_{|\xi|<2 N_{1}} \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} e^{s|\xi|^{2}} 1_{|\xi| \geq 3 M} \widehat{u \otimes u}(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)}  \tag{3.20}\\
& \quad+\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} 1_{|\xi| \geq 2 N_{1}} \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} e^{-\frac{1}{10} t|\xi|^{2}} \widehat{u \otimes u}(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\eta_{0}>0$ will be taken sufficiently small.
We first deduce from Young's inequality and (2.8) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t}\left\|1_{|\xi|<2 N_{1}}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} e^{s|\xi|^{2}} 1_{|\xi| \geq 3 M} \widehat{u \otimes u}(s, \xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} d s \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t} e^{4 s N_{1}^{2}} N_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}\left\|1_{|\xi| \leq 2 N_{1}}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{6}}\left\|1_{|\xi| \geq 3 M} \widehat{u \otimes u}(s, \xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{3}} d s \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t} e^{4 s N_{1}^{2}} N_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \cdot N_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left\|u_{1} \otimes u_{\mathrm{h}}(s)\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}+\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}} \otimes u_{\mathrm{h}}(s)\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) d s \\
& \lesssim\left(N_{1}^{2} T\right)^{1+\frac{\delta}{2}} e^{4 \eta_{0} T N_{1}^{2}}\|u\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L^{3}\right)}\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L^{3}\right)} \\
& \lesssim e^{5 \eta_{0} \lambda^{2}}\|u\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step, we invoke the usual Sobolev embedding: $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

Along the same line, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\|\int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq 2 N_{1}} e^{-\frac{t}{10}|\xi|^{2}} \widehat{u \otimes u}(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t}\left\||\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} e^{-\frac{t}{10}|\xi|^{2}}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{6}}\left\|1_{|\xi| \geq 2 N_{1}} \widehat{u \otimes u}(s, \xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{3}} d s \\
& \lesssim t^{-1} \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t}\left(\left\|u_{1} \otimes u_{\mathrm{h}}(s)\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}+\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}} \otimes u_{\mathrm{h}}(s)\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) d s \\
& \lesssim\|u\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By inserting the above estimates into (3.20), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\| t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq 3 M} \int_{0}^{\eta_{0} t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}} & \widehat{Q(u, u)}(s, \xi) d s \|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)}  \tag{3.21}\\
& \lesssim e^{5 \eta_{0} \lambda^{2}}\|u\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Step 2. The estimate of the piece $\int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}$.
In view of (1.1) and (3.17), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}| | \xi\right|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq 3 M} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}} \widehat{Q(u, u)}(s, \xi) d s \right\rvert\, \\
& \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t-s}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2 T}-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}} 1_{|\xi| \geq 3 M}|\mathcal{S}(u) \widehat{\otimes \mathcal{S}}(u)(s, \xi)| d s . \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

By frequency localization (2.8), we find

$$
1_{|\xi| \geq 3 M} \mathcal{S}\left(\widehat{u) \otimes \mathcal{S}}(u)=1_{|\xi| \geq 3 M} \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{l}}\right) \widehat{\otimes \mathcal{S}}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)+1_{|\xi| \geq 3 M} \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}} \widehat{) \otimes \mathcal{S}}\left(u_{\mathrm{l}}\right)+1_{|\xi| \geq 3 M} \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}} \widehat{) \otimes \mathcal{S}}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)\right.\right.\right.
$$

We first handle the contribution due to $\mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \otimes \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$. Indeed, it is easy to observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t-s}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2 T}-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}} \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \otimes \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} e^{-(t-s)\left(|\xi|-\frac{\lambda}{2 \sqrt{T}}\right)^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}} \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \otimes \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \| t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \leq N_{1}}{\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}}^{\mathcal{S}}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}} \widehat{) \otimes \mathcal{S}}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s, \xi) d s \|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)}\right. \\
& \quad+\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq N_{1}} e^{-\frac{1}{10}(t-s)|\xi|^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}} \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \widehat{\mathcal{S}}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which, $N_{1} \geq 1$ and Lemma 2.2, we infer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t-s}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2 T}-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}} \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \widehat{\mathcal{S}}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}} \cdot \sup _{0<s \leq T}\left(s^{\delta}\left\|\mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s) \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L^{\left.\frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}\right)}\right.}\right) \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}} \cdot \sup _{0<s \leq T}\left(s^{\delta}\left\||D|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L^{2}\right)}^{2}\right) \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}}\|u\|_{Y_{T}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step, we applied the usual Sobolev embedding $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{3}{1-\delta)}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.
Along the same line, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t-s}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2 T}-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}} \mathcal{S}\left(u_{1}\right) \otimes \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} e^{-(t-s)\left(|\xi|-\frac{\lambda}{2 \sqrt{T}}\right)^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}} \mathcal{S}\left(u_{1}\right) \otimes \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \leq N_{1}} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}} \mathcal{S}\left(u_{1}\right) \otimes \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
& \quad+\left\|\left.t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq N_{1}} e^{-\frac{1}{10}(t-s)|\xi|^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{l}}\right) \widehat{\mathcal{S}}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which and Lemma 2.2, we get, by a similar derivation of (2.14), that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t-s}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|+\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2 T}-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}} \mathcal{S}\left(u_{1}\right) \otimes \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left(\lambda^{2-\delta}+1\right) \cdot \sup _{0<s \leq T}\left(s^{\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}}\left\|\mathcal{S}\left(u_{1}\right)(s) \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L^{\left.\frac{3}{2(1-\delta)}\right)}\right.}\right) \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{2-\delta} \cdot \sup _{0<s \leq T}\left(s^{\frac{\delta}{2}} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{4 T}}\left\||D|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \mathcal{S}\left(u_{1}\right)(s)\right\|_{L^{2}} \cdot s^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left\||D|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \mathcal{S}\left(u_{\mathrm{h}}\right)(s)\right\|_{L^{2}}\right) \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{2-\delta} T^{\frac{\delta}{4}} e^{\lambda T^{\frac{1}{4}}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\|u\|_{Y_{T}}, \quad(\text { by }(3.18)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging the above estimates into (3.22), we achieve

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq 3 M} \int_{\eta_{0} t}^{t} e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^{2}} e^{\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}} \widehat{Q(u, u)}(s, \xi) d s\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)}  \tag{3.23}\\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}}\|u\|_{Y_{T}}^{2}+\lambda^{2-\delta} T^{\frac{\delta}{4}} e^{\lambda T^{\frac{1}{4}}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\|u\|_{Y_{T}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Step 3. The estimate of $\|u\|_{Y_{T}}$.
Now let us return to the estimate of (3.16). Indeed by virtue of (1.10) and (3.15), we get, by summing up the estimates (3.19), (3.21) and (3.23), that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\|u\|_{Y_{T}} \leq\left\|e^{t \Delta} u_{0}\right\|_{Y_{T}}+C_{1}\left(T^{\frac{\delta}{4}}\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}+e^{5 \eta_{0} \lambda^{2}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right.}\right)  \tag{3.24}\\
&\left.+\lambda^{-\delta} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}}\|u\|_{Y_{T}}^{2}+T^{\frac{\delta}{4}} \lambda^{2-\delta} e^{\lambda T^{\frac{1}{4}}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\|u\|_{Y_{T}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

To see the smallness of the linear term $\left\|e^{t \Delta} u_{0}\right\|_{Y_{T}}$, we observe that for all $0<t \leq T$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e^{t \Delta} u_{0}\right\|_{Y_{T}}= & \left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \geq T^{-\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{4 T}+\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}|\xi|} e^{-t|\xi|^{2}} \widehat{u_{0}}(\xi)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|1_{|\xi| \geq 2 N_{1}}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\delta} e^{-\frac{1}{10} t|\xi|^{2} \widehat{u_{0}}(\xi)}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
& +\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} 1_{|\xi| \leq 2 N_{1}} 1_{|\xi| \geq T^{-\frac{1}{4}}} \widehat{u_{0}}(\xi)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}+\lambda^{\delta}\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \leq C_{1} \lambda^{\delta}\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us now take $\lambda=\lambda(T)$, which is given by (1.12). Then by shrinking $\mathcal{T}>0$ to be so small that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{T: C_{1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} T^{\frac{\delta}{4}} \cdot \lambda^{2-\delta}(T) e^{\lambda(T) T^{\frac{1}{4}}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

we deduce from (3.24) that for any $T \leq \mathcal{T}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{Y_{T}} \leq C_{2}\left(e^{5 \eta_{0} \lambda^{2}(T)}\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}+\lambda^{-\delta}(T) e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}(T)}{4}}\|u\|_{Y_{T}}^{2}\right) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We further shrink $t_{1}>0$ to be so small that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{T \leq \mathcal{T}: 4 C_{2}^{2}\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)} e^{5 \eta_{0} \lambda^{2}(T)} \cdot \lambda^{-\delta}(T) e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}(T)}{4}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that thanks to the definition of $\lambda(T)$ given by (1.12) and (3.2), $t_{1}$ defined by (3.27) can be reached.

Then we deduce from (3.26) that for any $T \leq t_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{Y_{T}} \leq 2 C_{2} e^{5 \eta_{0} \lambda^{2}(T)}\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, by taking $\eta_{0}=10^{-5}$, we conclude the proof of (3.16). We thus complete the proof of Proposition 3.2.

Now we are in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 1.3.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. Again for simplicity, we just present the a priori estimates. In view of (3.17), we get, by summing up (3.16) and (3.18), that for any $T \leq t_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \mathcal{S}(u)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\right)} & \leq\left\|t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \mathcal{S}\left(u_{1}\right)\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\right)}+\|u\|_{Y_{T}} \\
& \leq C\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\left.\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)} T^{\frac{\delta}{4}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2}(T)}{4}}+C e^{10^{-4} \lambda^{2}(T)}\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, by taking $t=T$ in the above inequality, we achieve for $T \leq t_{1}$, which is determined by Proposition 3.2,

$$
T^{\frac{\delta}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2}(T)}{4}}\left\|e^{\lambda(T) \sqrt{T}|D|} u(T)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) T^{\frac{\delta}{4}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2}(T)}{4}}+C e^{10^{-4} \lambda^{2}(T)}\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(H^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)},
$$

which leads to (1.13). We thus complete the proof of Theorem 1.3.
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