

# INJECTIVITY THEOREMS AND CUBICAL DESCENT FOR SCHEMES, STACKS, AND ANALYTIC SPACES

TAKUMI MURAYAMA

ABSTRACT. We prove relative injectivity, torsion-freeness, and vanishing theorems for generalized normal crossing pairs on schemes, algebraic stacks, formal schemes, semianalytic germs of complex analytic spaces, rigid analytic spaces, Berkovich spaces, and adic spaces locally of weakly finite type over a field, all in equal characteristic zero. We give a uniform proof for all these theorems in all the categories of spaces mentioned above, which were previously only known for varieties and complex analytic spaces due to work of Ambro and Fujino. Ambro and Fujino’s results are integral in the proofs of the fundamental theorems of the minimal model program for (semi-)log canonical pairs and the theory of quasi-log structures. Our results resolve a significant barrier to extending these results on (semi-)log canonical pairs and quasi-log structures beyond the setting of varieties and complex analytic spaces.

In order to prove our most general injectivity theorems, we generalize to all these categories of spaces a criterion due to Guillén and Navarro Aznar characterizing when functors defined on smooth varieties extend to all varieties. This extension result uses cubical hyperresolutions, which we construct in all categories of spaces mentioned above. Our extension result is very general and is of independent interest. We use this extension result to prove our injectivity theorems for generalized normal crossing pairs. We also apply our extension result to develop the theoretical foundations for the Deligne–Du Bois complex in these categories of spaces and to construct a weight filtration on the (pro-)étale cohomology of schemes and rigid analytic spaces. These results establish some aspects of Deligne–Hodge theory in all categories of spaces mentioned above.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries on spaces	8
3. Diagrams and codiagrams	9
<b>Part I. Cubical hyperresolutions and cubical descent</b>	<b>14</b>
4. Very weak resolutions and augmented cubical hyperresolutions	14
5. The homotopy category of iterated cubical hyperresolutions and cubical descent	23
<b>Part II. Applications</b>	<b>30</b>
6. An extension criterion for functors defined on regular spaces	30
7. The Deligne–Du Bois complex and Du Bois singularities	38
8. Injectivity, torsion-freeness, and vanishing theorems	43
9. A weight filtration on cohomology of schemes and compactifiable rigid analytic spaces	57
Acknowledgments	59
References	59

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14F17, 14E30; Secondary 32L20, 14C30, 14F40, 14G22, 14F20.  
*Key words and phrases*. injectivity theorems, cubical hyperresolutions, cohomological descent, Deligne–Du Bois complex, Du Bois singularities, weight filtration.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-2201251.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Background.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a locally projective morphism of spaces of equal characteristic zero in one of the following categories:

- (0) Decent locally Noetherian algebraic stacks over a scheme  $S$ .
- (I) Locally Noetherian formal schemes.
- (II) Semianalytic germs of complex analytic spaces.
- (III) Berkovich  $k$ -analytic spaces over a complete non-Archimedean field  $k$ .
- (III') Rigid  $k$ -analytic spaces over a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field  $k$ .
- (IV) Adic spaces locally of weakly finite type over a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field  $k$ .

Even if one's primary interest is smooth complex projective varieties, there are compelling reasons to consider morphisms  $f$  between spaces of the form (0)–(IV). For example, moduli spaces are often not schemes or even algebraic spaces. Studying deformations of varieties sometimes requires working with formal schemes and their birational geometry (see, e.g., [AAB]). Working over formal power series rings has been useful in studying Shokurov's conjectures on the ascending chain condition for invariants of singularities like the log canonical threshold [dFEM10; dFEM11] and the minimal log discrepancy [Kaw15]. Even Hironaka's proof that complex varieties have resolutions of singularities requires leaving the world of complex varieties [Hir64]. See [Mur, p. 2; LM, p. 4] for more discussion.

Until recently, two extremely useful techniques originally developed for complex varieties were unavailable for morphisms  $f$  as above:

- (1) Relative Kawamata–Viehweg-type vanishing theorems [Kaw82; Vie82; KMM87].
- (2) The relative minimal model program with scaling for klt pairs [BCHM10; HM10].

For (1), the case of varieties in case (0) was shown by Kawamata [Kaw82], Viehweg [Vie82], and Kawamata, Matsuda, and Matsuki [KMM87]. Case (II) was shown by Nakayama [Nak87]. For (2), the case of varieties in case (0) was shown by Birkar, Cascini, Hacon, and McKernan [BCHM10; HM10]. The case of algebraic spaces of finite type over a field of characteristic zero in case (0) was shown by Vilallobos-Paz [VP] and case (II) was shown by Fujino [Fuj<sub>1</sub>] (see also [DHP24; LM]). For the rest of the categories (0)–(IV), the author of the present paper resolved (1) in [Mur] and resolved (2) in joint work with Lyu [LM], where in case (0), we restricted to the subcategory of algebraic spaces.

For complex varieties, advances in the minimal model program for log canonical and semi-log canonical pairs require injectivity theorems due to Ambro [Amb03; Amb14; Amb20], Fujino [Fuj04; Fuj11; Fuj16; Fuj17], and Fujino and Fujisawa [FF14], which are strong generalizations of Kawamata–Viehweg-type vanishing theorems. Working with log canonical and semi-log canonical pairs is critical in algebraic geometry, especially in moduli theory, where introducing semi-log canonical degenerations is necessary to obtain compact moduli spaces [KSB88; Ale96; Kol23]. Existing proofs of these injectivity theorems rely on Hodge theory or complex analysis. None of these proofs can be adapted to arbitrary spaces in the categories (0)–(IV). Thus, the lack of injectivity theorems has been a significant barrier to extending results on (semi-)log canonical pairs and quasi-log structures beyond the setting of varieties and complex analytic spaces.

1.2. **Overview of this paper.** In this paper, our goal is to prove the injectivity theorems mentioned above in the categories (0)–(IV). For varieties and complex analytic spaces, these injectivity theorems have proved critical for advancing the minimal model program for log canonical and semi-log canonical pairs. Proving these injectivity theorems in full generality requires difficult techniques that are of independent interest and have other applications.

Our main results are as follows (all in equal characteristic zero):

- (1) The injectivity, torsion-freeness, and vanishing theorems for generalized normal crossing pairs in the categories (0)–(IV) (Theorem A).
- (2) The construction of cubical hyperresolutions in the categories (0)–(IV) (Theorem B).
- (3) An extension criterion for functors defined on regular spaces, generalizing a result of Guillén and Navarro Aznar proved for varieties to all categories (0)–(IV).
- (4) The construction of the Deligne–Du Bois complex and the basic theory of Du Bois singularities in the categories (0)–(IV) (§7).
- (5) The construction of a weight filtration on the (pro-)étale cohomology of schemes and compactifiable rigid analytic spaces (Theorem 9.2.1).

A common theme throughout the paper is that we must build suitable replacements for Hodge theory. We also do not have a direct analogue of the degeneration of the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence available because our spaces may not be proper over a field or a point. As a consequence of Theorems B and C, we are able to prove some aspects of Hodge theory in all the categories (0)–(IV) listed above. See Remark 7.2.6 and [GNA02, (4.2)].

We will discuss the results listed above in the remainder of this introduction. In the remainder of this introduction, we always work in equal characteristic zero.

**1.3. Injectivity, torsion-freeness, and vanishing theorems.** Our first main result is that injectivity theorems and related torsion-freeness and vanishing theorems hold in all the categories (0)–(IV) mentioned above, generalizing the results for complex varieties and complex analytic spaces that have proved to be indispensable in the theory of (semi-)log canonical pairs and quasi-log structures for complex varieties [Amb03; Fuj11; Bir12; Fuj14; Has] and complex analytic spaces [Fuj3; Fuj4; EH]. Our theorems therefore resolve a substantial roadblock for studying the birational geometry of compact moduli spaces beyond the case of varieties or complex analytic spaces. For complex varieties (beyond the smooth or klt settings), these injectivity, torsion-freeness, and vanishing theorems are due to Kawamata [Kaw85] (when  $B$  below has coefficients in  $(0, 1)$ ), Ambro [Amb03; Amb14; Amb20], Fujino [Fuj04; Fuj11; Fuj16; Fuj17], and Fujino and Fujisawa [FF14]. In the complex analytic setting, the special case of this result for simple normal crossing pairs is due to Fujino [Fuj2].

See §§8.2–8.3 for the definitions of *generalized normal crossings log pairs* and of  $\omega_{(X,B)}^{[r]}$  needed for the most general statements below. For simplicity, one can consider the special case of the statement below where  $(X, B)$  is a pair consisting of a regular space  $X$  and a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor  $B$  with normal crossing support and coefficients in  $[0, 1]$ , in which case

$$\omega_{(X,B)}^{[r]} = \mathcal{O}_X(r(K_X + B))$$

for all sufficiently divisible  $r$ . The nomenclature for the statements (i)–(iv) below follows [Amb20].

**Theorem A.** *Let  $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Y$  be a locally projective morphism of spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) such that  $(X, B)$  is a generalized normal crossing pair. In case (0) (resp. (I)), suppose that  $Y$  is locally quasi-excellent of equal characteristic zero and has a dualizing complex (resp. dualizing complex)  $\omega_Y^\bullet$ . In cases (III), (III'), and (IV), suppose that  $k$  is of characteristic zero. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.*

- (i) (The Esnault–Viehweg injectivity theorem) *Suppose that*

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \simeq \omega_{(X,B)}^{[r]}$$

*for an integer  $r \geq 1$  such that  $rB$  has integer coefficients. Let  $D$  be an effective Cartier divisor with support in  $\text{Supp}(B)$ . Then, the natural map*

$$R^i f_* \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow R^i f_* (\mathcal{L}(D))$$

*is injective for every  $i$ .*

(ii) (The Tankeev–Kollár injectivity theorem) *Suppose that*

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \simeq \omega_{(X,B)}^{[r]} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{H}$$

for an integer  $r \geq 1$  such that  $rB$  has integer coefficients and for an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $f^*f_*\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is surjective. Let  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H})$  be a global section that is invertible at the generic point of every log canonical center of  $(X, B)$  and let  $D$  be the effective Cartier divisor defined by  $s$ . Then, the natural maps

$$R^i f_* \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow R^i f_* (\mathcal{L}(D))$$

are injective for every  $i$ .

(iii) (The Kollár torsion-freeness theorem) *Suppose that*

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \simeq \omega_{(X,B)}^{[r]} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{H}$$

for an integer  $r \geq 1$  such that  $rB$  has integer coefficients and for an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $f^*f_*\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is surjective. In case (0), suppose that  $Y$  is an algebraic space. Then, every associated subspace of  $R^i f_* \mathcal{L}$  is the  $f$ -image of an irreducible component of  $X$  or a log canonical center of  $(X, B)$ .

(iv) (The Ohsawa–Kollár vanishing theorem) *Let  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  be another locally projective morphism, where  $Z$  satisfies the same hypotheses as  $Y$  above. Suppose that*

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \simeq \omega_{(X,B)}^{[r]} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} f^* \mathcal{A}$$

for an integer  $r \geq 1$  such that  $rB$  has integer coefficients and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $g$ -ample invertible  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module. Then, we have

$$R^p g_* R^q f_* \mathcal{L} = 0$$

for all  $q$  and for all  $p \neq 0$ .

See [EV92, Theorem 5.1(a)], [Tan71, Proposition 1; Kol86, Theorem 2.2], [Kol86, Theorem 2.1(i)], and [Ohs84, Theorem 3.1; Kol86, Theorem 2.1(iii)], respectively, for the original versions of these statements that inspired the nomenclature for these results used above that we have adopted from [Amb20]. In [Fuj17; Fuj2], Fujino refers to these statements as the Hodge-theoretic injectivity theorem, the injectivity theorem, the torsion-freeness theorem or the strict support condition, and the vanishing theorem, respectively.

As far as we are aware, the only known cases of Theorem A outside of the smooth or klt case are the case for complex varieties due to Ambro [Amb20] and the case for simple normal crossing pairs on complex analytic spaces due to Fujino [Fuj2]. An earlier result of Kawamata [Kaw85] proves the case when  $B$  above has coefficients in  $(0, 1)$  using a slightly stronger definition for generalized normal crossings. Thus, the results for schemes and algebraic stacks that are not of finite type over a field (0), formal schemes (I), and non-Archimedean analytic spaces (III), (III'), (IV) are completely new, and the results for generalized normal crossing pairs on semianalytic germs of complex analytic spaces (II) generalize [Fuj2]. Moreover, our proof method gives a uniform proof of the injectivity, torsion-freeness, and vanishing theorems in all the categories (0)–(IV) simultaneously that recovers the results from [Amb20; Fuj2].

As in our previous work [Mur], we first prove Theorem A for schemes and then extend these results to the categories (0)–(IV) using the GAGA theorems from [EGAIII<sub>1</sub>; Köp74; Ber93; Hub07; Poi10; AT19; LM]. The proof of Theorem A for schemes is a subtle application of relative Noetherian approximation [EGAIV<sub>3</sub>, §8] and our version of Grothendieck’s limit theorem [SGA4<sub>2</sub>, Exposé VI, Théorème 8.7.3] for local cohomology [Mur, Theorem 3.13]. In [Mur], a surprising aspect of our proof was the appearance of the Zariski–Riemann space  $ZR(X)$ , which is a locally ringed space but is not a scheme when  $\dim(X) \geq 2$ . Because of the boundary divisors  $B$ , we do not know of an

appropriate analogue for the Zariski–Riemann space, even if  $B$  is a reduced simple normal crossing divisor.

In this paper, we instead work one element of local cohomology at a time and construct boundary divisors that may depend on the element of local cohomology chosen. This strategy is enough to prove the case when  $X$  is regular and  $B$  has normal crossing support in Theorem A. However, extending our results to the case when  $X$  is itself a normal crossing divisor, or more generally when  $(X, B)$  is a generalized normal crossing pair, introduces a host of new difficulties. We use simplicial resolutions to reduce to the normal crossing case, following the approach in [Kaw85; Amb20]. Since simplicial resolutions are infinite and the theoretical foundations on the Deligne–Du Bois complex  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet$  obtained from such a simplicial resolution in the categories (0)–(IV) do not exist in the literature, we prove the necessary foundational results in Part I of this paper.

As an application of Theorem A, in Theorem 8.9.1, we extend Ma’s characterization [Ma18, Theorem 5.5] of derived splinters of equal characteristic zero as the class of  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebras satisfying Hochster and Huneke’s vanishing conjecture for maps of Tor [HH95, Theorem 4.1 and (4.4)] to the complete local case. Ma’s result applies to local rings essentially of finite type over fields of characteristic zero. Our proof also recovers Hochster and Huneke’s vanishing theorem for maps of Tor for all regular domains of equal characteristic zero [HH95, Theorem 4.1]. In contrast with [HH95], this proof does not use reduction modulo  $p$ . See [Ma18, Remark 5.6(2)].

**1.4. Cubical hyperresolutions and an extension criterion for functors defined on regular spaces.** The second group of results in this paper concern cubical hyperresolutions. Cubical hyperresolutions are the cubical analogue of simplicial resolutions. Deligne [Del74] used simplicial resolutions to construct mixed Hodge structures on the singular cohomology of singular complex varieties.

For varieties, the existence of cubical hyperresolutions is due to Navarro Aznar (see [Gui87, §2]) and was published by Guillén [GNAPGP88, Exposé I]. The complex analytic case appears in [GNAPGP88, Exposé I] as well. The theory of cubical hyperresolutions and various applications to Hodge theory, vanishing theorems, and more were shown in [GNAPGP88]. Our existence result for cubical hyperresolutions shows that cubical hyperresolutions exist in all categories of spaces of the form (0)–(IV).

**Theorem B** (Cubical hyperresolutions exist; special case of Theorem 4.5.4). *Let  $\mathbf{Sp}$  be a category of reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. Let  $I$  be a finite orderable category and let*

$$S: I^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}$$

be a functor such that

$$\dim(S) := \sup\{\dim(S_i) \mid i \in \text{Ob}(I)\}$$

is finite. In cases (0) and (I), suppose that  $S_i$  is quasi-excellent of equal characteristic zero for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ . In cases (III), (III’), and (IV), suppose that  $k$  is of characteristic zero. Then, there exists an augmented cubical hyperresolution

$$Z_\bullet: (\square_r^+ \times I)^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}$$

of  $S$  such that

$$\dim(Z_\alpha) \leq \dim(S) - |\alpha| + 1$$

for every  $\alpha \in \square_r$ .

Our proof of Theorem B largely follows that in [GNAPGP88, Exposé I], except for some corrections due to Steenbrink [Ste17] and our use of Temkin’s resolutions of singularities [Tem12; Tem18] instead of Hironaka’s [Hir64]. We work through the proof of Theorem B and other material from [GNAPGP88, Exposé I] carefully in this paper for two reasons.

- (1) For our applications, we need to construct cubical hyperresolutions using only (locally) blowup morphisms. This extra condition is necessary for our proof of Theorem C below.
- (2) Even for complex varieties, there are some subtleties concerning the definitions of resolutions, 2-resolutions, and cubical hyperresolutions in [GNAPGP88; GNA02]. Specifically, Steenbrink [Ste17] constructed a counterexample to the existence results in [GNAPGP88, Exposé I] and gave corrections that we incorporate into this paper. See Remark 4.3.12.

Compared to simplicial hyperresolutions, cubical hyperresolutions have the advantage of only having finitely many irreducible components. Cubical objects are also convenient because products of cubes are cubes, and hence cubical objects are stable under products.

However, the most significant advantage of cubical hyperresolutions in the context of this paper is the following result, which provides a powerful and precise categorical framework for extending functors from the subcategory of regular spaces to an entire category of spaces. This result was first proved for complex varieties by Guillén and Navarro Aznar [GNA02] and for compactifiable complex analytic spaces by Cirici and Guillén [CG14<sub>2</sub>]. A special case of their extension criterion says that given a functor  $G$  defined on regular spaces with values in a category  $C^b(\mathcal{A})$  of bounded complexes over an Abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$ , if  $G$  is additive and compatible with blowups along regular subspaces in a suitable sense, then  $G$  can be extended to a functor on *all* spaces. The extension  $G'$  in Theorem C below is computed by taking a cubical hyperresolution  $X_\bullet$  of a space  $X$ , evaluating  $G$  on each component of  $X_\bullet$ , and then taking the associated simple (or total) complex.

Because the full statement requires substantial 2-categorical preliminaries, we state a special case of our result below. See Theorem 6.4.1 for the full statement, which works for  $\Phi$ -rectified functors  $G$  (see Definition 6.3.6) with values in a category of cohomological descent (see Definition 6.2.1). Below, a space  $U$  is *compactifiable* if there exists a quasi-compact space  $X$  and a closed subspace  $Z \subseteq X$  such that  $U \simeq X - Z$ .

**Theorem C** (An extension criterion for functors defined on regular compactifiable spaces; special case of Theorem 6.4.1). *Let  $\mathbf{Sp}$  be a small category of compactifiable finite-dimensional reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. In cases (0) and (I), suppose that all objects in  $\mathbf{Sp}$  are Noetherian and quasi-excellent of equal characteristic zero. In cases (III), (III'), and (IV), suppose that  $k$  is of characteristic zero.*

*Let  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Sp}$  consisting of regular spaces. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an Abelian category and consider a functor*

$$G: (\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}})^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow C^b(\mathcal{A})$$

*satisfying the following conditions:*

- (F1)  $G(\emptyset) = 0$  and the canonical morphism  $G(X \sqcup Y) \rightarrow G(X) \times G(Y)$  is a quasi-isomorphism.
- (F2) For every Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y} & \xleftarrow{j} & \tilde{X} \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y & \xleftarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

*in  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}}$  where  $i, j$  are closed immersions and  $f$  is the blowup of  $X$  along  $Y$ , the morphism*

$$G(X) \xrightarrow{f^*+i^*} \mathbf{s}\left(G(\tilde{X}) \oplus G(Y) \xrightarrow{j^*-g^*} G(\tilde{Y})\right)$$

*is a quasi-isomorphism, where  $\mathbf{s}(\cdot)$  denotes the simple (or total) complex.*

*Then, there exists an essentially unique extension of  $G$  to a functor*

$$G': \mathbf{Sp}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow D^b(\mathcal{A})$$

*satisfying the analogue of (F2) for proper modifications  $f$  such that  $f|_{X-Y}$  is an isomorphism.*

The proof of Theorem C and the original version in [GNA02] is difficult. The proof in [GNA02] relies on two main ingredients:

- (1) Hironaka’s resolutions of singularities [Hir64, Chapter 0, §3, Main theorem I].
- (2) The Chow–Hironaka lemma [Hir64, pp. 144–145; Hir75, p. 505], which says that any proper birational morphism between smooth varieties can be dominated by a finite sequence of blowups along smooth centers.

The main difference between our proof and that in [GNA02] is that we do not have a version of the Chow–Hironaka lemma available for arbitrary spaces in the categories (0)–(IV). Instead, our new insight is that the proof in [GNA02] works just as well if we replace compositions of blowups in one direction with compositions of blowups and their inverses. Thus, we are able to replace the Chow–Hironaka lemma with the weak factorization theorem [AKMW02; Wlo03], which holds in all categories (0)–(IV) by work of Abramovich and Temkin [AT19]. However, to apply this weak factorization theorem, we must construct cubical hyperresolutions that only use projective morphisms (see (1) on p. 6).

**1.5. Additional applications.** We discuss two additional applications of Theorem C and its proof.

**1.5.1. The Deligne–Du Bois complex and Du Bois singularities.** In Theorem 7.2.1, we use Theorem C and its proof to construct the Deligne–Du Bois complex  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet$  in all categories (0)–(IV). While the construction only makes sense when sheaves of differential forms  $\Omega_X$  make sense, we can always define the sheaf  $\underline{\Omega}_X^0$ . We use the sheaf  $\underline{\Omega}_X^0$  to define Du Bois singularities for all spaces in the categories (0)–(IV). See Definition 7.3.1. The construction of  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet$  for varieties is originally due to Du Bois [DB81], who used simplicial resolutions. A cubical construction is given in [GNAPGP88, Exposés III and V]. For complex analytic spaces, Guillén and Navarro Aznar constructed  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet$  using their version of Theorem C. Guo recently gave a construction for  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet$  for rigid analytic spaces over  $p$ -adic fields [Guo23].

By Theorem C, our constructions of  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet$  and  $\underline{\Omega}_X^0$  are automatically functorial and these objects are essentially unique. As mentioned in §1.3, these results on  $\underline{\Omega}_X^0$  are critical in our proof of our injectivity theorems (Theorem A) because they allow us to use specific cubical hyperresolutions to prove that generalized normal crossings log pairs have at most Du Bois singularities, and the finiteness of the hyperresolutions allows us to prove they are compatible with localization and completion (Corollary 7.2.7). As far as we are aware, existing proofs of these formal properties of  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet$  in the literature (see [DB81; GNAPGP88]) do not work for arbitrary spaces of the form (0)–(IV), which led us to generalize [GNA02] to our setting.

**1.5.2. A weight filtration on the (pro-)étale cohomology of schemes and compactifiable rigid analytic spaces.** Finally, we mention one last application of Theorem C. Deligne’s original motivation for working with simplicial resolutions comes from Hodge theory, where he constructed a mixed Hodge structure on the singular cohomology of arbitrary complex varieties using simplicial resolutions [Del74]. Gillet and Soulé later constructed the weight filtration on singular cohomology using resolutions of singularities and algebraic  $K$ -theory [GS95].

The work of Guillén and Navarro Aznar [GNA02] and our generalization of their work in Theorem C gives a new approach to constructing the weight filtration using only resolutions of singularities and either the Chow–Hironaka lemma (in [GNA02]) or the weak factorization theorem (in this paper). Using [GNA02], Totaro constructed the weight filtration on singular cohomology with compact support on complex or real analytic spaces [Tot02]. McCrory and Parusiński [McP11; McP14] also used [GNA02] to construct analogous weight filtrations for Borel–Moore homology and compactly supported singular homology on real algebraic varieties with  $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  coefficients.

Using [GNA02], Cirici and Guillén [CG14<sub>2</sub>] showed that Deligne’s construction can be used to construct a weight filtration on the singular cohomology of *compactifiable* complex analytic

spaces. In this paper, we adapt their construction to construct a weight filtration on the (pro-)étale cohomology of schemes and compactifiable rigid analytic spaces (Theorem 9.2.1).

**1.6. Notation.** By a *ring* we mean a commutative ring with identity and by a *ring map* we mean unital ring homomorphisms. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a separated morphism of locally Noetherian schemes and  $Y$  is assumed to have a dualizing complex  $\omega_Y^\bullet$ , then we will always use the induced dualizing complex  $\omega_X^\bullet := f^! \omega_Y^\bullet$ . We will follow the analogous convention for other kinds of spaces when the exceptional pullback  $f^!$  is defined. See [LM, §23].

We denote by  $\mathbf{Cat}$  the (large) category of small categories. We will sometimes work with the structure of  $\mathbf{Cat}$  as a 2-category. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a small category, we denote by  $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$  the sets of objects and morphisms of  $\mathcal{C}$ , respectively. For every natural number  $n \geq 0$ , we denote by  $\underline{n}$  the well-ordered set  $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Sites, topoi, and their morphisms are defined as in [Stacks, Tag 00VH, Tag 00X1, and Tag 00XA].

**1.7. Set-theoretic conventions.** We prefer to work within Zermelo–Fraenkel set theory with the Axiom of Choice (ZFC). We will also sometimes use the language of proper classes. This is allowed since introducing classes, for example by using von Neumann–Bernays–Gödel set theory (NBG) with the Axiom of Choice, yields a conservative extension of ZFC [McL20, §4.1].

*Remark 1.7.1* (Choosing small categories of spaces). When we need to work with a *small* subcategory  $\mathbf{Sp}$  of one of the categories of spaces of the form (0)–(IV), we choose  $\mathbf{Sp}$  so that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms, and so that these constructions coincide with what they would be in the (large) category of spaces. For schemes, such a choice for  $\mathbf{Sp}$  can be made by taking the category  $\mathrm{Sch}_\alpha$  constructed in [Stacks, Tag 000J], which is essentially stable under more constructions than those listed above [Stacks, Tag 000R], and replacing  $\mathrm{Sch}_\alpha$  with a smaller subcategory. For example, we could take all objects in  $\mathrm{Sch}_\alpha$  that are quasi-excellent or of finite type over a (varying or fixed) field  $k$ . The 2-category of algebraic stacks over a scheme  $S$  is already small by definition in [Stacks, Tag 03YP]. In cases (I)–(IV), one adapts the proofs in [Stacks, Tag 000J and Tag 000R].

Many results in the literature use the Axiom of Universes (AU) from [SGA4<sub>1</sub>, Exposé I, Appendix, §4, (A.6)]. When we can, we cite references that do not assume (AU), for example [Stacks]. The results we need from [EGAII; EGAI<sub>1</sub>; EGAI<sub>2</sub>; EGAI<sub>3</sub>; EGAI<sub>4</sub>; SGA1<sub>new</sub>; SGA4<sub>1</sub>; SGA4<sub>2</sub>; SGA4<sub>3</sub>; SGA5] do not need (AU) [McL10; McL20].

We prefer to work in ZFC to avoid introducing additional axioms. The Axiom of Universes (AU) implies the existence of a strongly inaccessible cardinal [McL10, p. 360]. This in turn implies the consistency of ZFC and therefore cannot be proved in ZFC by Gödel’s second incompleteness theorem [Kan09, pp. 18–19].

## 2. PRELIMINARIES ON SPACES

In this section, we fix some terminology that will be convenient to unify our presentation for all spaces of the form (0)–(IV).

**2.1. Affinoids.** We adopt the terminology *affinoid subdomain* from non-Archimedean geometry. See [AT19, §6.2.3(2)] for the definition in cases (I)–(III’). For case (0), we will mean smooth morphisms from an affine scheme. For case (IV), see [Hub94, Definition on p. 521].

**2.2. Associated subspaces.** For a space  $X$ , we define the set of associated subspaces of a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module following [Stacks, Tag OCTX] in case (0) when  $X$  is an algebraic space, [Siu69, p. 379] in case (II), and [Duc21, Définition 2.7] in cases (III) and (III’). In cases (I) and (IV), we define associated subspaces affinoid-locally, in which case the excellence results necessary to adapt the argument in [Duc21, §2] hold by assumption and by [LM, Lemma 24.9], respectively.



**2.3. Closures.** In each of the categories (0)–(IV), there is a good notion of scheme-theoretic closures (for open subsets) or analytic closures (for analytic subdomains). See [Stacks, Tag 0834] for case (0) and [Yas09, Proposition 3.29] for case (I).

For the analytic cases, we proceed as follows. Case (III) is defined in [Duc21, Lemme-Définition 2.17]. Case (II) can be defined similarly by working on affinoid subdomains. Note that the excellence results necessary to adapt the argument in [Duc21, §2] hold for affinoid semianalytic germs of complex analytic spaces by [Fri67, Théorème (I, 9); Mat73, Theorem 2.7; AT19, Lemma B.6.1(i)]. By [Ber93, Theorem 1.6.1], (III′) can be reduced to case (III). Finally, for case (IV), we work locally to reduce to the case of taking closures in  $\mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$  where  $A$  is topologically of finite type over  $k$ . We then think of  $\mathrm{Spa}(A, A^\circ)$  as an open subspace of  $\mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$  (see [Man23, Proof of Proposition 3.2]) and reduce to case (III′) using [Hub94, Proposition 4.5(iv); Man23, Lemma 3.1].

**2.4. Compactifiable spaces.** We will use the following terminology.

**Definition 2.4.1.** Let  $U$  be a space of one of the types (0)–(IV). We say that  $U$  is *compactifiable* if there exists a quasi-compact space  $X$  and closed subspace  $Z \subseteq X$  such that  $U \simeq X - Z$ .

**2.5. Dimension.** We define dimension as in [Stacks, Tag 0AFP] in case (0), [EGAIV<sub>1</sub>, Chapitre 0, Définition 14.1.2] in case (I), [GR84, 5.1.1] in case (II), [Ber93, p. 23] in case (III), [Con99, p. 496] in case (III′), and [Hub96, Definition 1.8.1] in case (IV).

**2.6. The étale and pro-étale topologies.** When discussing sheaves on diagrams of spaces, we will mostly work with sheaves on the étale topology. In case (0), we instead work with the lisse-étale topology [Stacks, Tag 0787] using the six-functor formalism established in [LO08; LZ].

In order to treat the other cases (I)–(IV) at once, we will refer to the Euclidean topology as the étale topology in the complex analytic setting (case (II)) and use the étale topology in the other cases. In case (III), see [Ber93, §4.1]. In case (III′), see [SS91, p. 58]. In case (IV), see [Hub96, §2.1]. In case (I), note the étale site on a formal scheme and the scheme defined (locally) by an ideal of definition are equivalent [SGA1<sub>new</sub>, Exposé I, Corollaire 8.4].

We will also use the pro-étale topology in cases (0) and (IV). See [BS15; Cho20] for (0) (for arbitrary schemes and for algebraic stacks of finite type over a Noetherian scheme  $S$ ). See [Sch13, §3] for case (IV).

**2.7. Irreducibility and irreducible components.** In case (0), we say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is irreducible if the topological space  $|\mathcal{X}|$  is irreducible and define irreducible components by taking closures of generic points in  $|\mathcal{X}|$ . The assumption that  $\mathcal{X}$  is decent (see [Stacks, Tag 0GW1] for the definition) is used to guarantee that generic points for irreducible components are unique [Stacks, Tag 0GW8]. In case (I), we use the topological definition from [EGAI<sub>new</sub>, §2.1]. In case (II), we define irreducibility as in [GR84, 9.1.2] and apply the Global Decomposition Theorem [GR84, 9.2.2] to a representative of a semianalytic germ of a complex analytic space to obtain a decomposition of the germ into irreducible components. In case (III), we follow [Duc09, p. 1455]. In case (III′), we follow [Con99, Definition 2.2.2]. In case (IV), we follow [Man23, Definitions 2.11 and 2.14].

**2.8. Relative Proj and blowing up.** We define relative **Proj** and blowups as in [Tem12, §5.1.2] in case (0), [FK06, Definition 6.3] in case (I), [Nak04, Chapter II, §1.b] in case (II), [Duc21, (5.4)] in case (III), [Con06, Definition 2.3.3] in case (III′), and [Guo23, §2.2; Zav, Definition 6.7] in case (IV).

### 3. DIAGRAMS AND CODIAGRAMS

We collect the definitions we need on diagrams and codiagrams that are necessary for the theory of cubical hyperresolutions. This material combines some of the material in [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, §1] and in [GNA02, §1]. We have tried to use the notation in [GNAPGP88; GNA02] as much

as possible. We recommend the reader skip this section on first reading and return back to it as needed.

**3.1. Conventions.** In this section,  $\mathcal{C}$  denotes a (not necessarily small) category and  $I, J$  denote small categories.

**3.2. 1-diagrams and 1-codiagrams.** We start with the notion of a 1-diagram and a 1-codiagram. We will not use 1-codiagrams until §6.

**Definition 3.2.1** (1-diagrams and 1-codiagrams [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (1.2); GNA02, (1.2.1) and (1.6.1)]). A *1-diagram of  $\mathcal{C}$  of type  $I$* , which we also call an  *$I$ -object of  $\mathcal{C}$* , is a functor  $I^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . A *1-codiagram of  $\mathcal{C}$  of type  $I$*  is a functor  $I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two 1-diagrams (resp. 1-codiagrams) of  $\mathcal{C}$  of types  $I$  and  $J$ , respectively. A *morphism of 1-diagrams of  $\mathcal{C}$  from  $X$  to  $Y$*  (resp. a *morphism of 1-codiagrams of  $\mathcal{C}$  from  $X$  to  $Y$* ) is a pair consisting of a functor  $\varphi: I \rightarrow J$  and a natural transformation  $f: X \rightarrow \varphi^*(Y)$ . Such a morphism  $f$  is called a  $\varphi$ -*morphism* and can be visualized using the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{\text{op}}} & J^{\text{op}} \\ & \searrow \scriptstyle f & \swarrow \\ & X & Y \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ & & \mathcal{C} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} I & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & J \\ & \searrow \scriptstyle f & \swarrow \\ & X & Y \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ & & \mathcal{C} \end{array} \quad (3.2.2)$$

If  $\varphi$  is the identity functor on  $I$ , we simply say that  $f$  is an  *$I$ -morphism* or (for 1-diagrams only) a *morphism of  $I$ -objects*.

The 1-diagrams (resp. 1-codiagrams) of  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $\varphi$ -morphisms as defined above form a category  $\text{Diag}_1(\mathcal{C})$  (resp.  $\text{Codiag}_1(\mathcal{C})$ ). If  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a subcategory of  $\mathbf{Cat}$ , we denote by  $\text{Diag}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{C})$  (resp.  $\text{Codiag}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{C})$ ) the subcategory of  $\text{Diag}_1(\mathcal{C})$  (resp.  $\text{Codiag}_1(\mathcal{C})$ ) whose types lie in  $\mathfrak{J}$ . When  $\mathfrak{J}$  consists of one object  $I$  and the identity on  $I$ , we denote this subcategory by  $\text{Diag}_I(\mathcal{C})$  (resp.  $\text{Codiag}_I(\mathcal{C})$ ).

We have functors

$$\text{typ}: \text{Diag}_1(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{typ}: \text{Codiag}_1(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$$

defined by setting  $\text{typ}(X) = I$  for every 1-diagram or 1-codiagram  $X$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\text{typ}(f) = \varphi$  for every  $\varphi$ -morphism  $f$  of 1-diagrams or 1-codiagrams of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

If  $X$  is a 1-diagram of  $\mathcal{C}$  of type  $I$ ,  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ , and  $u \in \text{Mor}(I)$ , we denote by  $X_i$ ,  $X_u$ , and  $f_i$  the images of  $i$ ,  $u$ , and  $i$  under  $X$ ,  $X$ , and  $f$ , respectively. If  $X$  is a 1-codiagram of  $\mathcal{C}$  of type  $I$ , we use the notation  $X^i$ ,  $X^u$ , and  $f^i$  instead of  $X_i$ ,  $X_u$ , and  $f_i$ .

**Definition 3.2.3** (Augmented 1-diagrams and 1-codiagrams [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (1.3)]). Let  $S$  be an object of  $\mathcal{C}$ . A *1-diagram (resp. 1-codiagram) of type  $I$  augmented by  $S$*  is a 1-diagram (resp. 1-codiagram)  $X^+$  of type  $I$  of the slice category  $\mathcal{C}/S$  (resp. the coslice category  $S \backslash \mathcal{C}$ ). We identify  $X^+$  with the 1-diagram (resp. 1-codiagram)  $X$  of type  $I$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  together with a morphism  $a: X \rightarrow S$  (resp.  $a: S \rightarrow X$ ) of 1-diagrams (resp. 1-codiagrams) of  $\mathcal{C}$  where  $\text{typ}(a)$  is the canonical functor  $I \rightarrow \underline{1}$  (resp. the canonical functor  $\underline{0} \rightarrow I$ ).

Let  $\varphi: I \rightarrow J$  be a functor between small categories and let  $u: S \rightarrow T$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $a: X \rightarrow S$  (resp.  $a: S \rightarrow X$ ) and  $b: Y \rightarrow T$  (resp.  $a: T \rightarrow Y$ ) be 1-diagrams (resp. 1-codiagrams) of type  $I$  and  $J$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  augmented by  $S$  and  $T$ , respectively. A  $\varphi$ -*morphism augmented by  $u$*  is a  $\varphi$ -morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ a \downarrow & & \downarrow b \\ S & \xrightarrow{u} & T \end{array} \quad \text{resp.} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{u} & T \\ a \downarrow & & \downarrow b \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array} \quad (3.2.4)$$

of 1-diagrams of  $\mathcal{C}$  commutes. The augmented 1-diagrams (resp. 1-codiagrams) of  $\mathcal{C}$  together with augmented  $\varphi$ -morphisms form a category  $\text{Diag}_1^+(\mathcal{C})$  (resp.  $\text{Codiag}_1^+(\mathcal{C})$ ). We have two projection functors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} &\xleftarrow{\pi_0} \text{Diag}_1^+(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \text{Diag}_1(\mathcal{C}) \\ \mathcal{C} &\xleftarrow{\pi_0} \text{Codiag}_1^+(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \text{Codiag}_1(\mathcal{C}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\pi_0(X \rightarrow S) = S$  (resp.  $\pi_0(S \rightarrow X) = S$ ) and  $\pi_1(X \rightarrow S) = X$  (resp.  $\pi_1(S \rightarrow X) = X$ ) on objects and  $\pi_0(f) = u$  and  $\pi_1(f) = f$  for a morphism  $f$  as in (3.2.4).

**3.3. The total 1-diagram of a 2-diagram.** Next, we define 2-diagrams and total 1-diagrams.

**Definition 3.3.1** (2-diagrams [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (1.4)]). The category of 2-diagrams of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the category  $\text{Diag}_2(\mathcal{C}) := \text{Diag}_1(\text{Diag}_1(\mathcal{C}))$ . We have a functor

$$\text{typ}_1: \text{Diag}_2(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \text{Diag}_1(\mathcal{C})$$

defined by  $\text{typ}_1(X) = \text{typ} \circ X$  for every 2-diagram  $X$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 3.3.2** (Total categories [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (1.5)]). Let  $K$  be an  $I$ -object of  $\mathbf{Cat}$ . The *total category*  $\text{tot}(K)$  of the functor  $K$  is the category obtained as follows:

- The objects of  $\text{tot}(K)$  are pairs  $(i, x)$  where  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$  and  $x \in \text{Ob}(K_i)$ .
- The morphisms  $(i, x) \rightarrow (j, y)$  of  $\text{tot}(K)$  are pairs  $(u, a)$  consisting of a morphism  $u: i \rightarrow j$  of  $I$  and a morphism  $a: x \rightarrow K_u(y)$  of  $K_i$ .

Let  $(u, a): (i, x) \rightarrow (j, y)$  and  $(v, b): (j, y) \rightarrow (k, z)$  be two morphisms of  $\text{tot}(K)$ . The composition is defined by  $(v, b) \circ (u, a) := (v \circ u, K_u(b) \circ a)$ .

If  $f: K \rightarrow L$  is a morphism of 1-diagrams of  $\mathbf{Cat}$  such that  $\text{typ}(f) = \varphi$ , then  $f$  defines a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tot}(f): \text{tot}(K) &\longrightarrow \text{tot}(L) \\ (i, x) &\longmapsto (\varphi(i), f_i(x)) \\ (u, a) &\longmapsto (\varphi(u), f_i(a)). \end{aligned}$$

With these definitions, we obtain a functor  $\text{tot}: \text{Diag}_1(\mathbf{Cat}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ .

If  $K$  is an  $I$ -object of  $\mathbf{Cat}$ , we have the projection functor  $\pi: \text{tot}(K) \rightarrow I$ .

**Definition 3.3.3** (Total 1-diagrams [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (1.6)]). Let  $K$  be an  $I$ -object of  $\mathbf{Cat}$ . Let  $X$  be a 2-diagram of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\text{typ}_1(X) = K$ . The *total 1-diagram* of  $X$  is the 1-diagram

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tot}(X): \text{tot}(K) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \\ (i, x) &\longmapsto X_i(x) \\ (u, a) &\longmapsto X_i(a) \circ X_u(y). \end{aligned}$$

With these definitions, we obtain a functor  $\text{tot}: \text{Diag}_2(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Diag}_1(\mathcal{C})$ . By [SGA1<sub>new</sub>, Exposé VI, Proposition 12.1], the commutative diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Diag}_2(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\text{tot}} & \text{Diag}_1(\mathcal{C}) \\ \text{typ}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{typ} \\ \text{Diag}_1(\mathbf{Cat}) & \xrightarrow{\text{tot}} & \mathbf{Cat} \end{array}$$

is Cartesian. This diagram allows us to identify a 2-diagram  $X$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  with a pair  $(\text{tot}(X), \text{typ}_1(X))$ .

We can reinterpret augmentation using total diagrams.

**Definition 3.3.4** (Augmented categories [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (1.7)]). We identify a functor  $X_\bullet: \underline{2}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  with the morphism  $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_0$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . In particular, if  $\varphi: K_1 \rightarrow K_0$  is a functor between small categories, then  $\varphi$  is identified with the functor  $K_\bullet: \underline{2}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ .

If  $\varphi: K_1 \rightarrow K_0$  is a functor between small categories, we denote by  $\text{tot}(\varphi)$  the total category of the functor  $K_\bullet$  defined by  $\varphi$ . If  $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_0$  is a  $\varphi$ -morphism of 1-diagrams of a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we denote by  $\text{tot}(f)$  the total 1-diagram of the 2-diagram

$$X_\bullet: \underline{2}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Diag}_1(\mathcal{C})$$

defined by  $f$ .

Let  $\varepsilon: I \rightarrow \underline{1}$  be the canonical functor from  $I$  to the singleton category  $\underline{1} = \{0\}$ . The *augmented category of  $I$*  is the category  $I^+ := \text{tot}(\varepsilon)$ . The unique object  $0 \in \text{Ob}(\underline{1})$  is identified with the initial object of  $I^+$ . Now let  $X$  be an  $I$ -object of  $\mathcal{C}$  and let  $a: X \rightarrow S$  be an augmentation of  $X$  by an object  $S$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then,  $X^+ := \text{tot}(a)$  is an  $I^+$ -object of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Conversely, every  $I^+$ -object of  $\mathcal{C}$  is associated to an augmented  $I$ -object of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 3.3.5** ( $\pi$ -augmentations [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (1.8)]). Let  $K$  be an  $I$ -object of  $\mathbf{Cat}$ , let  $\pi: \text{tot}(K) \rightarrow I$  be the projection functor, and let  $X$  be a 2-diagram of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\text{typ}_1(X) = K$ . Let  $S$  be an  $I$ -object of  $\mathcal{C}$ . A  $\pi$ -*augmentation of  $X$  by  $S$*  is a  $\pi$ -morphism  $a: \text{tot}(X) \rightarrow S$  of 1-diagrams of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Giving such a  $\pi$ -morphism of 1-diagrams of  $\mathcal{C}$  is equivalent to giving a functor

$$X^+: I^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Diag}_1^+(\mathcal{C})$$

such that  $\pi_0 \circ X^+ = S$  and  $\pi_1 \circ X^+ = X$ . See Definition 3.2.3.

**3.4. Orderable categories.** An important notion for us will be the following notion of an orderable category, which is a categorical generalization of a partially ordered set (see Example 3.4.2).

**Definition 3.4.1** (Orderable categories [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (1.9) and (1.11); GNA02, (1.1.2)]). The set  $\text{Ob}(I)$  can be given a preorder in the following manner:  $i \leq j$  if and only if  $\text{Hom}_I(i, j)$  is nonempty. We say that the category  $I$  is *orderable* if this preorder is a partial order and if, for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ , the set of endomorphisms of  $i$  consists only of the identity on  $i$ .

Note that a small category  $I$  is orderable if and only if  $I$  is rigid and reduced, i.e., if every isomorphism and every endomorphism in  $I$  is an identity morphism.

**Example 3.4.2** [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (1.10)]. Let  $E$  be a partially ordered set. Then, the category associated to  $E$  (see [GNA02, (1.1)]) is an orderable category.

We now define finite orderable categories and the notion of induction on such a category.

**Definition 3.4.3** (The category  $\Phi$  of finite orderable categories [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (1.13); GNA02, (1.1.2)]). Suppose that  $I$  is orderable. We say that  $I$  is *finite* if the set  $\text{Mor}(I)$  is finite. We say that  $I$  is *finite to the left* (resp. *finite to the right*) if, for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ , the slice category  $I/i$  (resp. the coslice category  $i \setminus I$ ) is finite. If  $I$  is finite, then  $I$  is both finite to the left and finite to the right.

We denote by  $\Phi$  the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Cat}$  whose objects are finite orderable categories.

**Definition 3.4.4** (Noetherian induction [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (1.14)]). Suppose that  $I$  is orderable. Assume that  $I$  is finite to the right (resp. finite to the left). From the definitions, we see that the order associated to  $I$  (resp.  $I^{\text{op}}$ ) is Noetherian. We call descending induction (resp. increasing induction) on  $I$  *Noetherian induction on  $I$*  (resp.  $I^{\text{op}}$ ).

**3.5. Cubical categories.** Finally, we define cubical categories, which will be the main example of an orderable category that we work with to construct hyperresolutions.

**Definition 3.5.1** (Cubical categories [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (1.15); GNA02, (1.1.1), (1.4.1), and (1.4.2)]). Let  $S$  be a finite set (resp. a finite nonempty set). We denote by  $\square_S^+$  (resp.  $\square_S$ ) the category associated to the set of subsets of  $S$  (resp. the set of nonempty subsets of  $S$ ), partially ordered by

inclusion. The objects of  $\square_S^+$  (resp.  $\square_S$ ) can be identified with elements  $(\alpha(s))_{s \in S} \in \{0, 1\}^S$  (resp.  $(\alpha(s))_{s \in S} \in \{0, 1\}^S - (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ ). Note that

$$\text{Ob}(\square_S^+) - \{\emptyset\} = \text{Ob}(\square_S)$$

and that  $\square_S^+$  is the augmented category of  $\square_S$  (see Definition 3.3.4).

Now let  $n \geq -1$  be an integer. We set

$$\square_n^+ := \square_{\underline{n}}^+ = \underline{2}^{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \square_n := \square_{\underline{n}}.$$

The objects of  $\square_n^+$  (resp.  $\square_n$ ) can be identified with sequences (resp. nonzero sequences)  $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  where  $\alpha_i \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . For  $n = -1$  we have  $\square_{-1}^+ = \underline{1}$  and for  $n = 0$  we have  $\square_0^+ = \underline{2}$ . The category  $\square_n^+$  (resp.  $\square_n$ ) is called the *standard augmented cubical* (resp. *standard cubical*) *category of order n*. The 1-diagrams and 1-codiagrams of type  $\square_n^+$  (resp.  $\square_n$ ) of a category  $\mathcal{C}$  are called *augmented cubical* (resp. *cubical*) *1-diagrams and 1-codiagrams of  $\mathcal{C}$* , respectively. The 1-diagrams of  $\square_n^+$  (resp.  $\square_n$ ) of a category  $\mathcal{C}$  are also called *augmented cubical objects* (resp. *cubical objects*) of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Since  $\square_S^+$  and  $\square_S$  arise as the orderable categories associated to finite partially ordered sets as in Example 3.4.2, we will omit the notation  $\text{Ob}(-)$  when discussing objects in  $\square_S^+$  and  $\square_S$ . If  $\alpha \in \square_S^+$ , we set

$$|\alpha| := \sum_{i \in S} \alpha(s).$$

**Definition 3.5.2** (The categories  $\Pi^+$  and  $\Pi$  [GNA02, (1.1.1) and (1.4.1)]). We denote by  $\Pi^+$  the category whose objects are  $\square_S^+$  for finite sets  $S$  and whose morphisms are morphisms of the form  $\square_u^+ : \square_S^+ \rightarrow \square_T^+$  where  $\square_u^+(\alpha) = u(\alpha)$  for injective maps  $u : S \rightarrow T$ . The category  $\Pi^+$  has a symmetric monoidal structure given by the disjoint union, where the unit is the empty set  $\emptyset$ .

We denote by  $\Pi$  the category whose objects are finite products  $\square_S := \prod_{i \in I} \square_{S_i}$  for a finite family of finite nonempty sets  $(S_i)_{i \in I}$ , and whose morphisms are of the form  $\square_u : \square_S \rightarrow \square_T$  where  $\square_u(\alpha) = u(\alpha)$  for maps  $u : S \rightarrow T$  that are injective on each factor  $S_i$ . The category  $\Pi$  has a symmetric monoidal structure: the product of  $\square_S$  where  $S = (S_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $\square_T$  where  $T = (T_j)_{j \in J}$  is  $\square_{S \sqcup T}$  where  $S \sqcup T = (U_k)_{k \in K}$ , and where  $K = I \sqcup J$  and

$$U_k = \begin{cases} S_k & \text{if } k \in I, \\ T_k & \text{if } k \in J. \end{cases}$$

The unit of this monoidal structure on  $\Pi$  is the family parametrized by the empty set.

The maps  $\square_u^+$  and  $\square_u$  are strictly increasing, where  $\square_S = \prod_{i \in I} \square_{S_i}$  is given the product order.

We now define the cubical analogue of face maps.

**Definition 3.5.3** (Face functors [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (1.16) and (1.17)]). Let  $n \geq -1$  be an integer and let  $i$  be an integer such that  $0 \leq i \leq n + 1$ . We denote by  $\delta_i : \square_n^+ \rightarrow \square_{n+1}^+$  the strictly increasing map defined by

$$\delta_i(\alpha) = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, 0, \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_n)$$

for  $\alpha \in \square_n^+$ . For  $n = -1$ , we set  $\delta_0(0) = 0$ . A *face functor* is a composition of a finite number of maps of the form  $\delta_i$ :

$$\delta = \delta_{i_p} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{i_2} \circ \delta_{i_1} : \square_n^+ \longrightarrow \square_{n+p}^+.$$

A face functor  $\delta : \square_n^+ \rightarrow \square_{n+p}^+$  induces a functor  $\delta : \square_n \rightarrow \square_{n+p}$  by restriction, which we also call a *face functor*.

**3.6. Inverse images and direct images.** We define inverse images and direct images for diagrams and codiagrams. We can define inverse images for diagrams and codiagrams of arbitrary type.

**Definition 3.6.1** (Inverse images of 1-diagrams and 1-codiagrams [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (1.2); GNA02, (1.2.2)]). Let  $\varphi: I \rightarrow J$  be a functor between small categories. If  $Y$  is a 1-diagram (resp. 1-codiagram) of  $\mathcal{C}$  of type  $J$ , the functor  $Y \circ \varphi^{\text{op}}$  (resp.  $Y \circ \varphi$ ) is a 1-diagram (resp. 1-codiagram) of  $\mathcal{C}$  of type  $I$ , which we denote by  $\varphi^{\text{op}*}(Y)$  (resp.  $\varphi^*(Y)$ ) or  $Y \times_I J$  depending on the context.

If  $X$  is an object of  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have functors

$$i_I: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \text{Diag}_I(\mathcal{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad i_I: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \text{Codiag}_I(\mathcal{C})$$

mapping  $X$  to the constant 1-diagram (resp. 1-codiagram) which sending every object of  $I^{\text{op}}$  (resp.  $I$ ) to  $X$ . This is the same functor as the inverse image functor for  $I^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{1}$  (resp.  $I \rightarrow \underline{1}$ ).

We can use inverse images to define realization and corealization.

**Definition 3.6.2** ( $\text{Real}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\text{Coreal}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{C})$  [GNA02, (1.2.1)]). Let  $\mathfrak{J}$  be a subcategory of  $\mathbf{Cat}$ . Consider the following functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Diag}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{C}): \mathfrak{J}^{\text{op}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Cat} & & \text{Codiag}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{C}): \mathfrak{J}^{\text{op}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Cat} \\ I & \longmapsto & \text{Diag}_I(\mathcal{C}) & \text{and} & I & \longmapsto & \text{Codiag}_I(\mathcal{C}) \\ \varphi & \longmapsto & \varphi^{\text{op}*} & & \varphi & \longmapsto & \varphi^*. \end{array}$$

By the Grothendieck construction [Joh02<sub>1</sub>, Theorem B1.3.5], these functors correspond to categories  $\text{Real}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\text{Coreal}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{C})$  cofibered and fibered over  $\mathfrak{J}$ , respectively.

We only define direct images for (a slight generalization of) *cubical* diagrams and codiagrams.

**Definition 3.6.3** (Direct images of cubical 1-diagrams and 1-codiagrams [GNA02, (1.2.2)]). Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a category with an initial object  $0$ . If  $\delta: \square \rightarrow \square'$  is a morphism of  $\Pi$ , the *direct image of a 1-diagram*  $X: \square^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and the *direct image of a 1-codiagram*  $X: \square \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_* X)_{\beta} &:= \begin{cases} X_{\alpha} & \text{if } \beta = \delta(\alpha) \text{ for } \alpha \in \square \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \beta \in \square' - \delta(\square) \end{cases} \\ (\delta_* X)^{\beta} &:= \begin{cases} X^{\alpha} & \text{if } \beta = \delta(\alpha) \text{ for } \alpha \in \square \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \beta \in \square' - \delta(\square) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

respectively. The direct image defines functors

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_*: \text{Diag}_{\square}(\mathcal{D}) &\longrightarrow \text{Diag}_{\square'}(\mathcal{D}) \\ \delta_*: \text{Codiag}_{\square}(\mathcal{D}) &\longrightarrow \text{Codiag}_{\square'}(\mathcal{D}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_* u$  for a morphism  $u$  is defined using composition of functors.

## Part I. Cubical hyperresolutions and cubical descent

In this part, we construct cubical hyperresolutions for all reduced spaces of the types (0)–(IV). We then prove that cubical hyperresolutions satisfy a form of descent that applies to functors and to cohomology.

### 4. VERY WEAK RESOLUTIONS AND AUGMENTED CUBICAL HYPERRESOLUTIONS

In this section, we construct very weak resolutions (Theorem 4.3.4) and augmented cubical hyperresolutions (Theorem 4.5.4) of  $I$ -spaces. There are two main differences in this section compared to [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, §2]. First, we work with spaces of the form (0)–(IV) and therefore generalize the results stated for complex varieties and complex analytic spaces in [GNAPGP88] to these other categories of spaces. Second, we adopt the definition of a *very weak resolution* (see Definition

4.3.2) from [Ste17, Definition 5] to avoid the counterexamples to [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Théorème 2.6] constructed by Steenbrink in [Ste17, Example 1]. Note that Steenbrink in [Ste17] explains how this new notion of a very weak resolution suffices to construct cubical hyperresolutions of cubical varieties.

4.1. **Conventions.** Throughout this section, we denote by  $\mathbf{Sp}$  a subcategory of a (not necessarily small) category of *reduced* spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. We will assume  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is chosen according to the strategy outlined in Remark 1.7.1. We denote by  $I$  a small category. While we will remind the reader of these assumptions in statements of results in this section, we will not restate these assumptions in definitions or remarks.

We will also use the following terminology.

**Definition 4.1.1.** An  $I$ -space is an object of the category  $\text{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})$ .

We will also use the following notion of pairs of spaces.

**Definition 4.1.2** (cf. [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (3.11.1) and Exposé IV, §1F]). The *category of pairs of spaces* is the category whose objects are pairs  $(X, X')$  where  $X$  is a space and  $X'$  is a closed subspace of  $X$ , and whose morphisms  $f: (X, X') \rightarrow (Y, Y')$  are morphisms  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of spaces such that  $f^{-1}(Y') \subseteq X'$ . An  $I$ -pair of spaces is an  $I$ -object in the category of pairs of spaces.

A pair  $(X, X')$  is *regular* if  $X$  is regular and if  $X'$  is a union of connected components of  $X$  and normal crossing divisors on other connected components of  $X$ . In the latter case, we mean that  $X'$  is étale-locally a simple normal crossing divisor on those connected components.

Note that if  $(X, X')$  is a pair of spaces, then  $X - X'$  is an open  $I$ -subspace of  $X$  (see Definition 4.2.1) but  $X'$  is not an  $I$ -space in general.

4.2.  **$I$ -spaces and discriminants.** We define the following terminology for  $I$ -spaces.

**Definition 4.2.1** (cf. [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (2.1)]). Let  $X$  be an  $I$ -space and let  $f$  be a morphism of  $I$ -spaces. Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be a property of spaces or morphisms of spaces.

- (i) We say that  $X$  is  $\mathbf{P}$  if  $X_i$  is  $\mathbf{P}$  for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ .
- (ii) We say that  $X$  is *relatively*  $\mathbf{P}$  if  $X_u$  is  $\mathbf{P}$  for every  $u \in \text{Mor}(I)$ .
- (iii) We say that  $f$  is  $\mathbf{P}$  if  $f_i$  is  $\mathbf{P}$  for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ .

We can now define proper modifications of  $I$ -spaces and the loci where these modifications are not isomorphisms. The notation for the discriminant is from [PS08, Definition 5.14(2)].

**Definition 4.2.2** (Discriminants [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (2.1) and Définition 2.2]). Let  $f: X \rightarrow S$  be a proper morphism of spaces. We say that  $f$  is a *proper modification* if there exists a closed subspace  $Z$  of  $S$  such that  $f$  induces an isomorphism

$$f|_{X-f^{-1}(Z)}: X - f^{-1}(Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} S - Z \quad (4.2.3)$$

where  $S - Z$  is dense in  $S$ . For an arbitrary morphism  $f: X \rightarrow S$  of spaces, the *discriminant of  $f$*  is the smallest closed subspace  $\Delta(f)$  of  $S$  such that  $f$  induces an isomorphism (4.2.3) for  $Z = \Delta(f)$ . Note that if  $f$  is not a proper modification, then  $\Delta(f)$  can be the whole space  $S$ .

Now let  $f: X \rightarrow S$  be a morphism of  $I$ -spaces. The *discriminant of  $f$*  is the smallest closed sub- $I$ -space  $\Delta(f)$  of  $S$  such that  $f$  induces an isomorphism

$$f_i|_{X_i-f_i^{-1}(\Delta(f)_i)}: X_i - f_i^{-1}(\Delta(f)_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} S_i - \Delta(f)_i$$

for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ .

**4.3. Very weak resolutions.** To define an analogue of a resolution of singularities for  $I$ -spaces, we first define the dimension of an  $I$ -space. See §2.5 for the definition of dimension in  $\mathbf{Sp}$ .

**Definition 4.3.1** (Dimension of an  $I$ -space [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Définition 2.9]). Let  $S$  be an  $I$ -space. We say that  $S$  is *finite-dimensional* if the set of integers

$$\{\dim(S_i) \mid i \in \text{Ob}(I)\}$$

is bounded. If  $S$  is finite-dimensional, the *dimension of  $S$*  is

$$\dim(S) := \sup\{\dim(S_i) \mid i \in \text{Ob}(I)\}.$$

We define the analogue of a resolution of singularities for  $I$ -spaces as follows, adjusted for  $I$ -pairs following [GNAPGP88, Exposé IV, (1.24)].

**Definition 4.3.2** (Very weak resolutions; cf. [Ste17, Definition 5]). Let  $f: X \rightarrow S$  be a proper morphism of  $I$ -spaces and let  $D := \Delta(f)$  be the discriminant of  $f$ . We say that  $f$  is a *very weak resolution of  $S$*  if  $X$  is regular and if

$$\dim(D_i) < \dim(S) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim(f_i^{-1}(D_i)) < \dim(S)$$

for all  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ .

Now suppose  $(S, S')$  is an  $I$ -pair of spaces. A very weak resolution  $f: X \rightarrow S$  is a *very weak resolution of the  $I$ -pair  $(S, S')$*  if  $(X, f^{-1}(S'))$  is a regular  $I$ -pair.

*Remark 4.3.3.* We cannot use the definition of a *resolution* in [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Définition 2.5] (called a *weak resolution* in [Koll13, Definition 10.73]) since even for complex varieties, a resolution of this form may not exist by an example of Steenbrink [Ste17, Example 1]. See Remark 4.3.12.

We can now state one of the main results in this section. Note that (i)–(iii) are not stated in [GNAPGP88], although the construction in [GNAPGP88] yields a very weak resolution that satisfies these two properties. This flexibility in choosing  $X$  will be necessary in §6 because we do not have versions of the Chow–Hironaka lemma from [Hir64, pp. 144–145; Hir75, p. 505]. See §2.8 for the definition of blowups used below.

**Theorem 4.3.4** (Very weak resolutions exist; cf. [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Théorème 2.6 and (3.11.2) and Exposé IV, (1.24); Ste17, Theorem 1]). *Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a category of reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. Let  $I$  be a finite orderable category and let  $(S, S')$  be an  $I$ -pair of spaces. In cases (0) and (I), suppose that  $S$  is quasi-excellent of equal characteristic zero. In cases (III), (III'), and (IV), suppose that  $k$  is of characteristic zero. Then, there exists a very weak resolution*

$$f: (X, f^{-1}(S')) \longrightarrow (S, S')$$

of the  $I$ -pair  $(S, S')$ . Moreover:

- (i) Let  $g: Y \rightarrow S$  be a proper morphism such that  $g_i$  is a proper modification for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ . We can construct  $f$  such that  $f$  factors through  $g$ .
- (ii) If the morphism  $g$  in (i) is locally a birational/bimeromorphic blowup, then we can construct  $f$  such that the morphisms in  $X_i$  are locally birational/bimeromorphic blowups and the morphisms  $f_i$  are locally birational/bimeromorphic blowups for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ , where locality is in terms of affinoid subdomains of  $S$ .
- (iii) If  $S$  is compactifiable and the morphism  $g$  in (i) is a birational/bimeromorphic blowup, then we can construct  $f$  such that the morphisms in  $X_i$  are all birational/bimeromorphic blowups and the morphisms  $f_i$  are birational/bimeromorphic blowups for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ .

To prove Theorem 4.3.4, we first prove two preliminary results. See §2.7 for a discussion on irreducibility and irreducible components in  $\mathbf{Sp}$ . We use  $|X|$  to denote the topological space underlying  $X$  (see [Stacks, Tag 04Y8] for the definition in case (0)).



**Lemma 4.3.5** (Strict transforms; cf. [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Lemme 2.6.1]). *Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a category of reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products and immersions. Let  $I$  be a small category. Let  $X$ ,  $Y$ , and  $Y'$  be irreducible spaces in  $\mathbf{Sp}$ , let  $a: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism, and let  $f: Y' \rightarrow Y$  be a proper modification. Suppose that  $a(|X|)$  is dense in  $|Y|$ .*

- (i) *Consider the category whose objects are triples  $(Z, b, g)$  where  $Z$  is a space in  $\mathbf{Sp}$ ,  $g: Z \rightarrow X$  is a proper modification, and  $b: Z \rightarrow Y'$  is a morphism such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{b} & Y' \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ X & \xrightarrow{a} & Y \end{array} \quad (4.3.6)$$

*commutes. This category has a final object which we call the strict transform of  $a$  by  $f$ .*

- (ii) *Given a proper modification  $g: Z \rightarrow X$ , there exists a morphism  $b: Z \rightarrow Y'$  such that the diagram (4.3.6) commutes, in which case  $b(|Z|)$  is dense in  $|Y'|$ .*

*Proof.* We first prove (i). Let  $D := \Delta(f)$  be the discriminant of  $f$ . Set  $U = Y - D$ ,  $U' = f^{-1}(U)$ , and  $V = a^{-1}(U)$ . Let  $X'$  be the closure of  $(U' \times_U V)_{\text{red}}$  in  $(Y' \times_Y X)_{\text{red}}$  in the sense of §2.3. Then, the projection morphisms from  $Y' \times_Y X$  to  $Y'$  and  $X$  define morphisms  $a': X' \rightarrow Y'$  and  $f': X' \rightarrow X$ , respectively. By the universal property of fiber products and reductions, we see that  $(X', a', f')$  is the strict transform of  $a$  by  $f$ .

For (ii), it suffices to note that  $f$  is an isomorphism away from the discriminant  $D$  of  $f$ , and hence  $\overline{b(|Z|)} \supseteq \overline{|U'|} = |Y'|$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.7** (Upper envelopes; cf. [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Lemme 2.6.2]). *Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a category of reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products and immersions. Let  $I$  be a small category. Let  $X_0$  be an irreducible space in  $\mathbf{Sp}$  and let  $\{f_r: X_r \rightarrow X_0\}_{1 \leq r \leq n}$  be a finite family of proper modifications of  $X_0$ . Consider the category whose objects are families  $\{h_r: Z \rightarrow X_r\}_{1 \leq r \leq n}$  such that  $f_r \circ h_r = f_1 \circ h_1$  for all  $r$ . This category has a final object*

$$\sup_{1 \leq r \leq n} \{f_r: X_r \rightarrow X_0\},$$

*which we call the upper envelope for the family  $\{f_r\}_{1 \leq r \leq n}$ . Moreover, if the  $f_r$  are (locally) birational/bimeromorphic blowups, then their upper envelope is (locally) a birational/bimeromorphic blowup.*

The notation for the upper envelope is from [PS08, Lemma-Definition 5.24].

*Proof.* Let  $D_r := \Delta(f_r)$  be the discriminant of  $f_r$  for each  $r$ . Set  $D = \bigcup_r D_r$  and  $U = X_0 - D$ . Let

$$X := \overline{U} \subseteq (X_1 \times_{X_0} X_2 \times_{X_0} \cdots \times_{X_0} X_n)_{\text{red}}$$

and let  $g_r: X \rightarrow X_r$  be the canonical morphisms induced by the projection morphisms for each  $r$ . By the universal property of fiber products and reductions, we see that  $\{g_r\}_{1 \leq r \leq n}$  is the upper envelope of  $\{f_r\}_{1 \leq r \leq n}$ . The “Moreover” statement is true by definition.  $\square$

Next, we define an auxiliary  $I$ -set that will be used to construct the very weak resolution in Theorem 4.3.4. See §2.7 for a discussion on irreducibility and irreducible components in  $\mathbf{Sp}$ .

**Definition 4.3.8** [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (2.6.3)]. Let  $S$  be an  $I$ -space. We associate to  $S$  an  $I$ -set  $\Sigma S$  in the following manner. If  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ , then  $\Sigma S_i$  is the set of irreducible, reduced subspaces  $S_{i,\alpha}$  of  $S_i$  for which there exists a morphism  $u: i \rightarrow j$  of  $I$  and an irreducible component  $T_j$  of  $S_j$  such that  $S_{i,\alpha} = \overline{S_u(T_j)}$ . If  $u: i \rightarrow j$  is a morphism of  $I$  and  $\beta \in \Sigma S_j$ , then we define  $\Sigma S_u(\beta)$  to be the index corresponding to  $\overline{S_u(S_{j,\beta})}$ .

Note that if  $I$  is finite to the right and both  $S$  and every space  $S_i$  has finitely many irreducible components, then the set  $\Sigma S_i$  is finite for all  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ . However, if one starts with a non-algebraic object  $X$ , for example a complex analytic space that is not compactifiable, the set  $\Sigma S_i$  may be infinite even if  $X$  is irreducible. See [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Remark 3.2].

We can now prove Theorem 4.3.4.

*Proof of Theorem 4.3.4.* We prove Theorem 4.3.4 in all cases (0)–(IV) simultaneously. Replacing  $S$  by  $Y$ , we may assume that  $g = \text{id}_S$ .

We define a regular  $I$ -space  $X$  and a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow S$  of  $I$ -spaces by ascending induction on  $I$  so that the following properties hold:

- (a)  $f$  induces an isomorphism of  $I$ -sets  $\Sigma f: \Sigma X \rightarrow \Sigma S$ .
- (b) For every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$  and every  $\alpha \in \Sigma X_i$ , the morphisms  $f_i$  restrict to proper modifications  $X_{i,\alpha} \rightarrow S_{i,\alpha}$ . In situation (ii) (resp. (iii)), these morphisms are locally birational/bimeromorphic blowups (resp. are birational/bimeromorphic blowups).
- (c)  $\dim(D_i) < \dim(S)$  and  $\dim(f_i^{-1}(D_i)) < \dim(S)$  for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ .

For the base case, let  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$  be a minimal element. By resolution of singularities for pairs [Tem18, Theorems 1.1.11 and 1.1.13], for each  $\alpha \in \Sigma S_i$ , there exists a resolution of singularities  $X_{i,\alpha} \rightarrow S_{i,\alpha}$  for the pair  $(S_{i,\alpha}, S_{i,\alpha} \cap S'_i)$  that is locally a birational/bimeromorphic blowup (where locality is in terms of affinoid subdomains of  $S$ ), and in situation (iii), the adverb “locally” can be omitted. We set

$$X_i := \coprod_{\alpha \in \Sigma S_i} X_{i,\alpha}$$

and let  $f_i: X_i \rightarrow S_i$  be the coproduct over  $\alpha \in \Sigma S_i$  of the compositions  $X_{i,\alpha} \rightarrow S_{i,\alpha} \rightarrow S_i$ . Note that over every affinoid subdomain  $U$  of  $S_i$ , the space  $X_i \times_{S_i} U$  is proper over  $U$  since there are only finitely many components of  $X_i$  lying over  $U$ , and hence  $X_i$  is proper over  $S_i$ . We then see that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(D_i) &< \sup_{\alpha \in \Sigma S_i} \{\dim(S_{i,\alpha})\} \leq \dim(S_i) \leq \dim(S) \\ \dim(f_i^{-1}(D_i)) &< \dim(X_i) = \sup_{\alpha \in \Sigma S_i} \{\dim(S_{i,\alpha})\} \leq \dim(S_i) \leq \dim(S). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3.9}$$

For the inductive step, suppose that  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$  is arbitrary and that we have constructed  $X_j$  for all  $j < i$ . For each morphism  $u: j \rightarrow i$  of  $I$  such that  $j \neq i$  and each  $\alpha \in \Sigma S_i$ , we set

$$\beta := \Sigma S_u(\alpha) \in \Sigma S_j$$

and let  $W_{i,\alpha}^u$  be the strict transform (in the sense of Lemma 4.3.5(i)) of the dominant morphism  $S_{i,\alpha} \rightarrow S_{j,\beta}$  under the proper modification  $X_{j,\beta} \rightarrow S_{j,\beta}$ . Note the proper morphisms  $X_{j,\beta} \rightarrow S_{j,\beta}$  are locally birational/bimeromorphic blowups (birational/bimeromorphic blowups in situation (iii)) by the inductive hypothesis. Let

$$\{W_{i,\alpha} \rightarrow W_{i,\alpha}^u\}_u := \sup_{\substack{u: j \rightarrow i \\ j \neq i}} \{W_{i,\alpha}^u \rightarrow S_{i,\alpha}\}_u$$

be the upper envelope (in the sense of Lemma 4.3.7) of the family of proper modifications  $\{W_{i,\alpha}^u \rightarrow S_{i,\alpha}\}_u$ . Consider the composition

$$h_{i,\alpha}: W_{i,\alpha} \rightarrow S_{i,\alpha}.$$

Let  $X_{i,\alpha} \rightarrow W_{i,\alpha}$  be a resolution of singularities for the pair  $(W_{i,\alpha}, h_{i,\alpha}^{-1}(S'_i))$  for each  $\alpha$ , which exists by [Tem18, Theorems 1.1.11 and 1.1.13]. Moreover, these resolutions of singularities are locally birational/bimeromorphic blowups and in situation (iii), they are birational/bimeromorphic blowups globally. Then, the composition

$$X_{i,\alpha} \rightarrow W_{i,\alpha} \xrightarrow{h_{i,\alpha}} S_{i,\alpha} \tag{4.3.10}$$

is a resolution of singularities for the pair  $(S_{i,\alpha}, S_{i,\alpha} \cap S')$  that does not depend on  $u$ . We set

$$X_i := \coprod_{\alpha \in \Sigma S_i} X_{i,\alpha}$$

and let  $f_j: X_i \rightarrow S_i$  be the coproduct over  $\alpha \in \Sigma S_i$  of the compositions (4.3.10). Finally, if  $u: j \rightarrow i$  is a morphism of  $I$ , we define the morphism  $X_u: X_i \rightarrow X_j$  as the coproduct over  $\alpha \in \Sigma S_i$  of the compositions

$$X_{i,\alpha} \longrightarrow W_{i,\alpha}^u \longrightarrow X_{j,u(\alpha)}.$$

Note that over every affinoid subdomain  $U$  of  $S_i$ , the morphism  $X_i \times_{S_i} U \rightarrow X_j \times_{S_i} U$  is proper. Since  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  only have finitely many components lying over  $U$ , we see that  $X_i \rightarrow X_j$  is proper. By Lemma 4.3.5(ii), the  $X_i$ ,  $X_u$ , and  $f_i$  defined above define a  $I$ -space  $X$  and a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow S$  of  $I$ -spaces satisfying the extra properties in (ii) and (iii) under those respective hypotheses. Since  $X$  is regular by construction and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(D_i) &< \sup_{\alpha \in \Sigma S_i} \{\dim(S_{i,\alpha})\} \leq \sup_{\substack{j < i \\ \beta \in \Sigma S_j}} \{\dim(S_{j,\beta})\} \leq \dim(S) \\ \dim(f_i^{-1}(D_i)) &< \dim(X_i) = \sup_{\alpha \in \Sigma S_i} \{\dim(S_{i,\alpha})\} \leq \sup_{\substack{j < i \\ \beta \in \Sigma S_j}} \{\dim(S_{j,\beta})\} \leq \dim(S) \end{aligned} \tag{4.3.11}$$

by the inductive hypothesis, we see that  $f: X \rightarrow S$  is a very weak resolution of the pair  $(S, S')$  satisfying the extra properties in (ii) and (iii) under those respective hypotheses.  $\square$

*Remark 4.3.12.* Steenbrink's definition of a very weak resolution is necessary because to verify the definition of a resolution in [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Définition 2.5], one would have to prove stronger versions of the inequalities (4.3.9) and (4.3.11) where  $\dim(S)$  is replaced by  $\dim(S_i)$ . These stronger inequalities do not hold for Steenbrink's example [Ste17, Example 1].

**4.4. Augmented 2-resolutions.** To define augmented cubical hyperresolutions, we first define a distinguished class of Cartesian diagrams in  $\mathbf{Sp}$  and use it to define augmented 2-resolutions. See §2.8 for references to the notion of relative **Proj** and blowing up in  $\mathbf{Sp}$ .

**Definition 4.4.1** (Acyclic squares [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Proposition 6.8; GNA02, Définition 2.1.1]). Consider the Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y} & \xleftarrow{j} & \tilde{X} \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y & \xleftarrow{i} & X \end{array} \tag{4.4.2}$$

in  $\mathbf{Sp}$ . We say the square (4.4.2) is an *acyclic square* if the following properties hold:

- (i)  $i$  is a closed immersion.
- (ii)  $f$  is proper.
- (iii)  $Y$  contains the discriminant of  $f$ . In other words,  $f$  induces an isomorphism

$$\tilde{X} - \tilde{Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} X - Y.$$

We say an acyclic square (4.4.2) is an *elementary acyclic square* and that the morphism  $f$  is an *elementary proper modification* if the following additional properties hold:

- (iv) All spaces in (4.4.2) are regular and irreducible.
- (v)  $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is the blowup of  $X$  along  $Y$  (see §2.8).

We now define augmented 2-resolutions. The definition we adopt is from [GNAPGP88] and is weaker than the definition from [GNA02]. We do so because augmented 2-resolutions in the sense of [GNA02] do not always exist (see Remark 4.4.5). Note that [GNAPGP88] omits the adjective “augmented” in “augmented 2-resolution.”

**Definition 4.4.3** (Augmented 2-resolutions; cf. [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Définition 2.7; GNA02, p. 43]). Let  $S$  be an  $I$ -space and let  $Z_\bullet$  be a  $(\square_1^+ \times I)$ -space. We say that  $Z_\bullet$  is a *augmented 2-resolution* of  $S$  if  $Z_\bullet$  is defined by the Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_{11} & \hookrightarrow & Z_{01} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z_{10} & \hookrightarrow & Z_{00} \end{array} \quad (4.4.4)$$

of  $I$ -spaces where the following properties hold:

- (i)  $Z_{00} = S$ .
- (ii) (4.4.4) is an acyclic square in  $\mathbf{Sp}$  after restricting to each  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ .
- (iii)  $Z_{01}$  is a regular  $I$ -space.
- (iv)  $Z_{10}$  contains the discriminant of  $f$ . In other words,  $f$  induces an isomorphism

$$f|_{Z_{01i} - Z_{11i}} : Z_{01i} - Z_{11i} \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_{00i} - Z_{10i}$$

for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ .

*Remark 4.4.5.* We have changed condition (iv) in Definition 4.4.3 compared to [GNA02] because Steenbrink's example [Ste17, Example 1] (see Remarks 4.3.3 and 4.3.12) does not have an augmented 2-resolution in the sense of [GNA02, p. 43]. The same example shows this stronger version of an augmented 2-resolution and the corresponding notion of a cubical hyperresolution do not satisfy the analogues of Propositions 4.4.6 and 4.5.3.

The following result is immediate from the definitions.

**Proposition 4.4.6** (cf. [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Proposition 2.8]). *Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a category of reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. Let  $I$  be a small category. Let  $K$  be an  $I$ -object of  $\mathbf{Cat}$  and let  $S$  be a  $\text{tot}(K)$ -space. Then,  $Z_\bullet$  is an augmented 2-resolution of  $S$  if and only if  $Z_{\bullet i}$  is an augmented 2-resolution of the  $K_i$ -space  $S_i$  for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ .*

Very weak resolutions yield augmented 2-resolutions.

**Proposition 4.4.7** (Augmented 2-resolutions exist; cf. [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Proposition 2.10]). *Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a category of reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. Let  $I$  be an orderable small category that is finite to the right. Let  $S$  be a finite-dimensional  $I$ -space and let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be a very weak resolution of  $S$ . Then, there exists an augmented 2-resolution  $Z_{\bullet\bullet}$  of  $S$  such that  $Z_{0\bullet} = (X \rightarrow S)$  and*

$$\dim(Z_{1\bullet}) < \dim(S).$$

*Proof.* Let  $Z_{10} := \Delta(f)$  be the discriminant of  $f$ , which is a closed sub- $I$ -space of  $S$ . It then suffices to define  $Z_{11}$  by setting  $Z_{11i} := (Z_{10i} \times_{S_i} X_i)_{\text{red}}$  for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ .  $\square$

**4.5. Augmented cubical hyperresolutions.** The goal of this subsection is to construct a cubical version of resolutions of singularities. We start with the following definition.

**Definition 4.5.1** (Reductions [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (2.11)]). Let  $r \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $X_\bullet^n$  be  $(\square_n^+ \times I)$ -spaces for each  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ . Suppose that for every  $n$ , the  $(\square_{n-1}^+ \times I)$ -spaces  $X_{00\bullet}^{n+1}$  and  $X_{1\bullet}^n$  are equal. We define the  $(\square_r^+ \times I)$ -space

$$Z_\bullet = \text{rd}(X_\bullet^1, X_\bullet^2, \dots, X_\bullet^r),$$

which we call the *reduction* of  $(X_{\bullet}^1, X_{\bullet}^2, \dots, X_{\bullet}^r)$ , by induction on  $r$  as follows. If  $r = 1$ , then  $Z_{\bullet} = X_{\bullet}^1$ . If  $r = 2$ , we define  $Z_{\bullet\bullet} = \text{rd}(X_{\bullet}^1, X_{\bullet\bullet}^2)$  by

$$Z_{\alpha\beta} := \begin{cases} X_{0\beta}^1 & \text{if } \alpha = (0, 0) \\ X_{\alpha\beta}^2 & \text{if } \alpha \in \square_1 \end{cases}$$

for each  $\beta \in \square_0^+$  with morphisms coming from  $X_{0\beta}^1$  and  $X_{\alpha\beta}^2$  using the equality  $X_{1\beta}^1 = X_{00\beta}^2$ . Finally, if  $r > 2$ , we set

$$Z_{\bullet} := \text{rd}\left(\text{rd}(X_{\bullet}^1, X_{\bullet}^2, \dots, X_{\bullet}^{r-1}), X_{\bullet}^r\right).$$

We can now define augmented cubical hyperresolutions.

**Definition 4.5.2** (Augmented cubical hyperresolutions [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (2.11)]). Let  $S$  be an  $I$ -space. An *augmented cubical hyperresolution* of  $S$  is a  $(\square_r^+ \times I)$ -space  $Z_{\bullet}$  for an integer  $r \geq 1$  such that

$$Z_{\bullet} = \text{rd}(X_{\bullet}^1, X_{\bullet}^2, \dots, X_{\bullet}^r)$$

where the following properties hold:

- (i)  $X_{\bullet}^1$  is an augmented 2-resolution of  $S$ .
- (ii) For each  $1 \leq n < r$ ,  $X_{\bullet}^{n+1}$  is an augmented 2-resolution of  $X_{1\bullet}^n$ .
- (iii)  $Z_{\alpha}$  is regular for every  $\alpha \in \square_r$ .

Now suppose  $(S, S')$  is an  $I$ -pair of spaces. An *augmented cubical hyperresolution* of  $(S, S')$  is an augmented cubical hyperresolution  $a: Z_{\bullet} \rightarrow S$  of  $S$  such that setting  $Z'_{\bullet} = a^{-1}(S')$ , the  $I$ -pair  $(Z_{\bullet}, Z'_{\bullet})$  is regular.

The following result is immediate from the definitions and Proposition 4.4.6.

**Proposition 4.5.3** (cf. [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Proposition 2.14]). *Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a category of reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. Let  $I$  be a small category. Let  $K$  be an  $I$ -object of  $\mathbf{Cat}$ , let  $S$  be a  $\text{tot}(K)$ -space, and let  $Z_{\bullet}$  be an  $(\square_r^+ \times \text{tot}(K))$ -space augmented over  $S$ . Then,  $Z_{\bullet}$  is an augmented cubical hyperresolution of the  $\text{tot}(K)$ -space  $S$  if and only if  $Z_i$  is an augmented cubical hyperresolution of the  $K_i$ -space  $S_i$  for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ .*

We now prove the second main result of this section.

**Theorem 4.5.4** (Augmented cubical hyperresolutions exist; cf. [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Théorème 2.15 and (3.11.2) and Exposé IV, Théorème 1.26; Ste17, Theorem 3]). *Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a category of reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. Let  $I$  be a finite orderable category and let  $(S, S')$  be an  $I$ -pair of spaces such that  $S$  is finite-dimensional and  $S - S'$  is dense in  $S$ . In cases (0) and (I), suppose that  $S$  is quasi-excellent of equal characteristic zero. In cases (III), (III'), and (IV), suppose that  $k$  is of characteristic zero. Then, there exists an augmented cubical hyperresolution*

$$Z_{\bullet} = \text{rd}(X_{\bullet}^1, X_{\bullet}^2, \dots, X_{\bullet}^r)$$

of the pair  $(S, S')$  such that

$$\dim(Z_{\alpha}) \leq \dim(S) - |\alpha| + 1$$

for every  $\alpha \in \square_r$ . Moreover:

- (i) Let  $g: Y \rightarrow S$  be a proper morphism such that denoting by  $D$  the discriminant  $\Delta(g)$  of  $g$ , the open subspaces  $S_i - D_i$  are dense in  $S_i$  for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ . We can construct  $Z_{\bullet}$  such that every non-identity morphism to  $Z_0 = S$  in  $Z_{\bullet}$  factors through  $g$ .
- (ii) Suppose that  $g$  in (i) is locally a birational/bimeromorphic blowup. We can construct  $Z_{\bullet}$  such that all morphisms in  $Z_{\bullet i}$  are locally birational/bimeromorphic blowups for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ , where locality is in terms of affinoid subdomains of  $S$ .

(iii) Suppose that  $S$  is compactifiable and that  $g$  in (i) is projective. We can construct  $Z_\bullet$  such that all morphisms in  $Z_{\bullet, i}$  are birational/bimeromorphic blowups for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $\dim(S)$ . If  $\dim(S) = 0$ , we set  $Z_\bullet = S$ , which we think of as a  $(\square_{-1}^+ \times I)$ -space.

It remains to show the inductive case, when  $\dim(S) > 0$ . By Theorem 4.3.4 and Proposition 4.4.7, there exists an augmented 2-resolution  $X_{\bullet\bullet}^1$  of  $S$  such that  $\dim(X_{1\bullet}^1) < \dim(S)$  and the augmentation morphism factors through  $g$ . Note that  $X_{\bullet\bullet}^1$  is a  $(\square_1^+ \times I)$ -space and that  $X_{1\bullet}^1$  is a  $(\square_0^+ \times I)$ -space. By the inductive hypothesis, there exists an augmented cubical hyperresolution

$$X'_\bullet = \text{rd}(X_{\bullet\bullet}^2, X_{\bullet\bullet}^3, \dots, X_{\bullet\bullet}^r)$$

of  $X_{1\bullet}^1$  such that

$$\dim(X'_{\alpha\beta}) \leq \dim(X_{1\bullet}^1) - |\alpha| + 1$$

for every  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \square_{r-1} \times \square_0^+$ . Note that

$$\text{typ}(X_{\bullet\bullet}^i) = \square_{i-1}^+ \times \square_0^+ \times I = \square_i^+ \times I$$

for every  $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, r'\}$ . Thus, we can define the reduction

$$X_\bullet = \text{rd}(X_{\bullet\bullet}^1, X_{\bullet\bullet}^2, \dots, X_{\bullet\bullet}^r)$$

which is an augmented cubical hyperresolution of  $S$  such that

$$X_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{cases} X_{0\beta}^1 & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \\ X'_{\alpha\beta} & \text{if } \alpha \in \square_{r-1} \end{cases}$$

for every  $\beta \in \square_0^+$ . Moreover,  $X_\bullet$  satisfies the inequality

$$\dim(X_{0\beta}) \leq \dim(S) - |\beta| + 1$$

for every  $\beta \in \square_0$  by the definition of a very weak resolution (Definition 4.3.2) and the inequality

$$\dim(X_{\alpha\beta}) \leq \dim(X_{1\bullet}^1) - |\alpha| + 1 \leq \dim(S) - |\alpha| - |\beta| + 1$$

for every  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \square_{r-1} \times \square_0^+$ . The ‘‘Moreover’’ statements are true by construction because of what we showed in Theorem 4.3.4 and by construction.  $\square$

As a consequence, we obtain the following.

**Corollary 4.5.5** (cf. [Del74, §6.2; Gui87, Corollaire 2.5.6]). *Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a category of reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. Let  $(S, S')$  be a pair of spaces such that  $S$  is finite-dimensional and  $S - S'$  is dense in  $S$ . In cases (0) and (I), suppose that  $S$  is quasi-excellent of equal characteristic zero. In cases (III), (III'), and (IV), suppose that  $k$  is of characteristic zero. Then, there exists a simplicial resolution  $X_\bullet \rightarrow S$  of the pair  $(S, S')$ . There also exists a semi-simplicial resolution of the pair  $(S, S')$  such that*

$$\dim(X_n) \leq \dim(S) - n$$

for every  $n \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* The statement for semi-simplicial resolutions holds by applying the construction in [Gui87, (1.1.5) and (2.1.6)] to the cubical hyperresolution constructed in Theorem 4.5.4. We can then apply Guillén’s version of the Dold–Puppe transform [Gui87, (1.2.1) and Proposition 1.3.7] to obtain a simplicial resolution.  $\square$

5. THE HOMOTOPY CATEGORY OF ITERATED CUBICAL HYPERRESOLUTIONS  
AND CUBICAL DESCENT

In this section, we discuss the homotopy category  $\mathrm{Ho}\mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}))$  of iterated cubical hyperresolutions. This is new for spaces of the form (0)–(IV) that are not varieties or complex analytic spaces. We will show that  $\mathrm{Ho}\mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}))$  is equivalent to  $\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})$  (Theorem 5.4.1), which allows us to prove a version of descent for cubical spaces (Corollary 5.5.1). This gives a precise analogue of the fact that any two resolutions of singularities can be dominated by another resolution. As in [GNAPGP88], we will show that any two cubical hyperresolutions are connected by a sequence of *iterated* cubical hyperresolutions (see Lemma 5.4.2 and Remark 5.4.3). Although in applications we compute objects and functors like  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet$  using cubical hyperresolutions, *iterated* cubical hyperresolutions are unavoidable when showing these objects and functors are well-defined. See Definition 5.2.3 for the definition of an iterated cubical hyperresolution.

**5.1. Conventions.** This section is where we start imposing smallness conditions on  $\mathbf{Sp}$ . Throughout this section, we denote by  $\mathbf{Sp}$  a subcategory of a small category of *reduced* spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. We will assume  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is chosen according to the strategy outlined in Remark 1.7.1. We denote by  $I$  a small category. While we will remind the reader of these assumptions in statements of results in this section, we will not restate these assumptions in definitions or remarks.

We will also continue to use the terminology *I-space* from Definition 4.1.1 to refer to objects in  $\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})$ .

*Remark 5.1.1.* For simplicity, we do not work with pairs in the sense of Definition 4.1.2 in this section. However, one can readily adapt the proofs to the context of pairs using our results for pairs in the previous section as was pointed out in the context of varieties by Puerta [GNAPGP88, Exposé IV, (1.27)]. We will use this generality in the proof of the extension criterion for pairs (Theorem 6.5.5).

**5.2. Iterated and non-augmented cubical hyperresolutions.** We define iterated and non-augmented versions of augmented cubical hyperresolutions. These notions will be used to define a category of cubical hyperresolutions in the next subsection. The two definitions in this subsection do not require  $\mathbf{Sp}$  to be small despite our conventions in the rest of this section.

**Definition 5.2.1** (Iterated augmented cubical hyperresolutions; cf. [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Définition 3.1]). Let  $S$  be an  $I$ -space. For every integer  $n \geq 1$ , we define the notion of an  $n$ -iterated augmented cubical hyperresolution  $X_\bullet$  of  $S$  as follows. A *1-iterated augmented cubical hyperresolution*  $X_\bullet$  of  $S$  is an augmented cubical hyperresolution of  $S$ . For each  $n \geq 2$ , an  *$n$ -iterated augmented cubical hyperresolution*  $X_\bullet$  of  $S$  is a 1-iterated augmented cubical hyperresolution of a  $(n - 1)$ -iterated augmented cubical hyperresolution of  $S$ .

An *iterated augmented cubical hyperresolution of  $S$*  refers to an  $n$ -iterated augmented cubical hyperresolution of  $S$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$ .

*Remark 5.2.2.* In [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Définition 3.1], Guillén drops the adjective “iterated” in “iterated augmented cubical hyperresolution.” This new terminology is used in the rest of [GNAPGP88]. We keep the adjective “iterated” since these iterations are important for the proofs of the results in [GNAPGP88; GNA02] and in the rest of this paper.

**Definition 5.2.3** (Cubical hyperresolutions and iterated cubical hyperresolutions [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Définition 3.2]). Let  $X_\bullet^+$  be a  $(\square_r^+ \times I)$ -space and let  $\pi: \square_r \times I \rightarrow I$  be the projection functor. If  $X_\bullet^+$  is an augmented cubical hyperresolution (resp. an iterated augmented cubical hyperresolution, an  $n$ -iterated augmented cubical hyperresolution for an integer  $n \geq 1$ ) of an  $I$ -space  $S$ , the restriction of  $X_\bullet^+$  to  $\square_r \times I$  defines a  $(\square_r \times I)$ -space  $X_\bullet$  together with a  $\pi$ -augmentation  $a: X_\bullet \rightarrow S$  such that  $X_\bullet^+ = \mathrm{tot}(a)$ . In this situation, we will call  $a$  or  $X_\bullet$  a *cubical hyperresolution of  $S$*  (resp. *iterated cubical hyperresolution of  $S$ ,  $n$ -iterated cubical hyperresolution of  $S$* ).

**5.3. The homotopy category  $\mathrm{Ho}\mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}))$  of iterated cubical hyperresolutions.** We now define the category of iterated cubical hyperresolutions. Starting here, we need to impose the condition that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is small.

**Definition 5.3.1** (The category of iterated cubical hyperresolutions [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Définition 3.3]). Let  $u: S \rightarrow T$  be a morphism of  $I$ -spaces. Suppose  $a: X_\bullet \rightarrow S$  and  $b: Y_\bullet \rightarrow T$  are iterated cubical hyperresolutions of  $S$  and  $T$ , respectively. A *morphism of iterated cubical hyperresolutions from  $X_\bullet$  to  $Y_\bullet$  over  $u$*  is a morphism  $f_\bullet: X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$  of 1-diagrams of  $\mathbf{Sp}$  augmented by  $u$  such that  $\mathrm{typ}(f_\bullet): I \times \square_r \rightarrow I \times \square_s$  is  $\mathrm{id}_I \times \delta$  for a face functor  $\delta$  (see Definition 3.5.3). By Definition 3.2.3,  $f_\bullet$  is a morphism of augmented 1-diagrams of  $\mathbf{Sp}$  if and only if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\bullet & \xrightarrow{f_\bullet} & Y_\bullet \\ a \downarrow & & \downarrow b \\ S & \xrightarrow{u} & T \end{array}$$

of morphisms of 1-diagrams of spaces commutes.

We denote by  $\mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}))$  the category of iterated cubical hyperresolutions of  $I$ -spaces with the notion of morphisms defined above. We obtain a functor

$$w_I: \mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})$$

defined by  $w_I(X_\bullet) = S$  if  $X_\bullet$  is an iterated cubical hyperresolution of  $S$  and  $w_I(f_\bullet) = u$  if  $f_\bullet$  is a morphism of iterated cubical hyperresolutions over  $u$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{locproj}}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}))$  (resp.  $\mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{proj}}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}))$ ) the full subcategories of  $\mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}))$  whose objects are the iterated cubical hyperresolutions  $X_\bullet$  of  $S$  such that all morphisms in  $X_{\bullet i}$  are locally birational/bimeromorphic blowups (resp. birational/bimeromorphic blowups) and such that  $X_{\bullet i} \rightarrow S_i$  is locally a birational/bimeromorphic blowup (resp. a birational/bimeromorphic blowup) for every  $i \in \mathrm{Ob}(I)$ .

To define the homotopy category of iterated cubical hyperresolutions, we use the notion of a fiber category recalled in [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (3.4)] (see also [Stacks, Tag 02XJ; Joh02<sub>1</sub>, §B1.3]). We start by defining a set of morphisms in  $\mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}))$  that we will later invert.

**Definition 5.3.2** [GNAPGP88, (3.5) and (3.6)]. Let  $S$  be an  $I$ -space. We denote by  $\mathbf{Hrc}(S)$  the fiber category  $w_I^{-1}(S)$ . If  $u: S \rightarrow T$  is a morphism of  $I$ -spaces, we denote by  $\mathbf{Hrc}(u)$  the category  $w_I^{-1}(u)$ . We denote by  $\Sigma_{\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})}$  the set of morphisms  $f$  of  $\mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}))$  such that  $w_I(f)$  is an identity morphism in  $\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})$ . Similarly, if  $S$  is an  $I$ -space, we denote by  $\Sigma_S$  the set of all morphisms of  $\mathbf{Hrc}(S)$ . We use the same notation for  $\mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{locproj}}$  and  $\mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{proj}}$ .

We now localize the category of iterated cubical hyperresolutions with respect to the sets of morphisms defined above using categories of fractions [Bor94, §5.2]. Localizing the categories  $\mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}))$  and  $\mathbf{Hrc}(S)$  with respect to the sets  $\Sigma_{\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})}$  and  $\Sigma_S$ , respectively, we obtain the localization functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ho}\mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})) \\ \mathbf{Hrc}(S) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ho}\mathbf{Hrc}(S) \end{array}$$

We use the same notation for  $\mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{locproj}}$  and  $\mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{proj}}$ . By the universal property of categories of fractions [Bor94, Proposition 5.2.2], we can define the following functors on  $\mathrm{Ho}\mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}))$ .

**Definition 5.3.3** (cf. [GNAPGP88, (3.6) and (3.7)]). Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a small category of reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. In cases (0) and (I), suppose that all objects in  $\mathbf{Sp}$  are quasi-excellent of equal characteristic zero. In cases (III), (III'), and (IV), suppose that  $k$  is of characteristic zero.



Let  $I$  be a finite orderable small category and let  $S$  be an  $I$ -space. By the universal property of categories of fractions [Bor94, Proposition 5.2.2], we have the commutative diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{Hrc}(S) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ho} i_S} & \mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ho} w_I} & \mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \parallel \\
\mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{locproj}}(S) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ho} i_{S,\mathrm{locproj}}} & \mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{locproj}}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ho} w_{I,\mathrm{locproj}}} & \mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{proj}}(S) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ho} i_{S,\mathrm{proj}}} & \mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{proj}}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}_{\mathrm{comp}})) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ho} w_{I,\mathrm{proj}}} & \mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}_{\mathrm{comp}}).
\end{array}$$

In the third line, we assume that  $S$  is an object of  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\mathrm{comp}}$ , the subcategory whose objects are compactifiable. Moreover,  $i_S: \mathbf{Hrc}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}))$  is the inclusion functor, and we use analogous notation for  $\mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{locproj}}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{proj}}$ ).

**5.4.  $\mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}))$  and  $\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})$  are equivalent.** We can now state the main result of this section.

**Theorem 5.4.1** (cf. [GNAPGP88, Théorème 3.8, Remarque 3.8.4, and (3.11.2)]). *Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a small category of finite-dimensional reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. In cases (0) and (I), suppose that all objects in  $\mathbf{Sp}$  are quasi-excellent of equal characteristic zero. In cases (III), (III'), and (IV), suppose that  $k$  is of characteristic zero.*

Let  $I$  be a finite orderable category and let  $S$  be an  $I$ -space. Consider the functors

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
\mathrm{Ho} w_I: & \mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})) & \longrightarrow \mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}) \\
\mathrm{Ho} w_{I,\mathrm{locproj}}: & \mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{locproj}}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})) & \longrightarrow \mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}) \\
\mathrm{Ho} w_{I,\mathrm{proj}}: & \mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{proj}}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}_{\mathrm{comp}})) & \longrightarrow \mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}_{\mathrm{comp}}) \\
\mathrm{Ho} i_S: & \mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{Hrc}(S) & \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Ho} w_I)^{-1}(S) \\
\mathrm{Ho} i_{S,\mathrm{locproj}}: & \mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{locproj}}(S) & \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Ho} w_{I,\mathrm{locproj}})^{-1}(S) \\
\mathrm{Ho} i_{S,\mathrm{proj}}: & \mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{proj}}(S) & \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Ho} w_{I,\mathrm{proj}})^{-1}(S)
\end{array}$$

where  $S$  is compactifiable in the last line. We have the following:

- (i) The functors  $\mathrm{Ho} w_I$  and  $\mathrm{Ho} i_S$  are equivalences of categories. The source and target of  $\mathrm{Ho} i_S$  are equivalent to the punctual category  $\underline{1}$ .
- (ii) The functors  $\mathrm{Ho} w_{I,\mathrm{locproj}}$  and  $\mathrm{Ho} i_{S,\mathrm{locproj}}$  are equivalences of categories. The source and target of  $\mathrm{Ho} i_{S,\mathrm{locproj}}$  are equivalent to the punctual category  $\underline{1}$ .
- (iii) Suppose  $S$  is compactifiable. The functors  $\mathrm{Ho} w_{I,\mathrm{proj}}$  and  $\mathrm{Ho} i_{S,\mathrm{proj}}$  are equivalences of categories. The source and target of  $\mathrm{Ho} i_{S,\mathrm{proj}}$  are equivalent to the punctual category  $\underline{1}$ .

Given the results in this paper so far, the proof of Theorem 5.4.1 is almost identical to that in [GNAPGP88]. We state some of the preliminary results from [GNAPGP88] explicitly.

**Lemma 5.4.2** (cf. [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Lemme 3.8.3, Remarque 3.8.4, and Lemme 3.8.5]). *Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a small category of finite-dimensional reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. In cases (0) and (I), suppose that all objects in  $\mathbf{Sp}$  are quasi-excellent of equal characteristic zero. In cases (III), (III'), and (IV), suppose that  $k$  is of characteristic zero.*

Let  $I$  be a finite orderable category and let  $u: S \rightarrow T$  be a morphism of  $I$ -spaces. We have the following:

- (i) The categories  $(\mathrm{Ho} w_I)^{-1}(S)$ ,  $(\mathrm{Ho} w_{I,\mathrm{locproj}})^{-1}(S)$ ,  $\mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{Hrc}(S)$ , and  $\mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{locproj}}(S)$  are groupoids that are connected and simply connected. If  $S$  is compactifiable, the categories  $(\mathrm{Ho} w_{I,\mathrm{proj}})^{-1}(S)$  and  $\mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{proj}}(S)$  are groupoids that are connected and simply connected.
- (ii) The fiber categories  $(\mathrm{Ho} w_I)^{-1}(u)$  and  $(\mathrm{Ho} w_{I,\mathrm{locproj}})^{-1}(u)$  are groupoids that are connected and nonempty. If  $S$  and  $T$  are compactifiable, then  $(\mathrm{Ho} w_{I,\mathrm{proj}})^{-1}(u)$  is a groupoid that is connected and nonempty.

*Proof of Theorem 5.4.1 and Lemma 5.4.2.* The proofs of [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Lemme 3.8.1, Lemme 3.8.2, Lemme 3.8.3, Lemme 3.8.5, Lemme 3.8.6, and Théorème 3.8] apply using our existence result for augmented cubical hyperresolutions (Theorem 4.5.4).  $\square$

*Remark 5.4.3.* In the proof of Lemma 5.4.2(i) in [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Lemme 3.8.3], Guillén shows the following: With assumptions as in Lemma 5.4.2, if  $S$  is an  $I$ -space and if  $X$  and  $Y$  are two iterated cubical hyperresolutions of  $S$ , then there is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & & S' & & Y \\ & \searrow & & \swarrow & \\ & & X^t & & Y^t \end{array}$$

of morphisms of iterated cubical hyperresolutions of  $S$ , where every morphism in this diagram maps to the identity on  $S$  under  $w$ .

Using the Axiom of Choice, we can specify an inverse functor for  $\mathrm{Ho} w$  by specifying its behavior on objects.

**Corollary 5.4.4** (cf. [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (3.9)]). *Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a small category of finite-dimensional reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV). Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a category of finite-dimensional reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. In cases (0) and (I), suppose that all objects in  $\mathbf{Sp}$  are quasi-excellent of equal characteristic zero. In cases (III), (III'), and (IV), suppose that  $k$  is of characteristic zero.*

*Let  $I$  be a finite orderable category. Consider a section*

$$\mathrm{Ob}(\eta): \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})))$$

*of the map  $\mathrm{Ob}(w_I)$ . Then, there exists a unique extension of  $\mathrm{Ob}(\eta)$  to a functor*

$$\eta: \mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}))$$

*that is quasi-inverse to  $\mathrm{Ho}(w_I)$ . Moreover, if  $\mathrm{Ob}(\eta)$  and  $\mathrm{Ob}(\eta')$  are two sections of  $\mathrm{Ob}(w_I)$ , there exists a unique natural equivalence of functors  $\Theta: \eta' \Leftrightarrow \eta$ . In particular, by Theorem 4.5.4 and the Axiom of Choice, there exists a quasi-inverse  $\eta$  of  $\mathrm{Ho} w_I$  such that*

- (i)  $\eta(S) = S$  if  $S$  is a regular  $I$ -space.
- (ii)  $\dim(\eta(S)_\alpha) \leq \dim(S) - |\alpha| + 1$  for every  $\alpha \in \square_r$ .

*The analogous statement holds for  $\mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{locproj}}$ . If we replace  $\mathbf{Sp}$  with  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\mathrm{comp}}$ , then the analogous statement holds for  $\mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{proj}}$ .*

*Proof.* This result follows from Theorem 5.4.1 and [Bor94, Proposition 3.4.3].  $\square$

**5.5. Cubical descent for functors.** We also obtain the following descent result as an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.4.1.

**Corollary 5.5.1** (Cubical descent for functors; cf. [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Corollaire 3.10]). *Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a small category of finite-dimensional reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms.*

In cases (0) and (I), suppose that all objects in  $\mathbf{Sp}$  are quasi-excellent of equal characteristic zero. In cases (III), (III'), and (IV), suppose that  $k$  is of characteristic zero.

Let  $I$  be a finite orderable category and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category. We denote by

$$w_I^*: \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}), \mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})), \mathcal{C})$$

the functor defined by  $w_I^*(F) = F \circ w_I$ . Then,  $w_I^*$  induces an equivalence of categories between  $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}), \mathcal{C})$  and the full subcategory of  $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})), \mathcal{C})$  consisting of functors

$$G: \mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

satisfying the following condition:

(DC) For every morphism  $f \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})}$ , its image  $G(f)$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

In particular, if  $\eta$  is a quasi-inverse of  $\mathrm{Ho} w$ , for every functor  $G: \mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  satisfying (DC), there exists a natural equivalence of functors  $\tau_\eta: w_I^*(G_\eta) \Leftrightarrow G$ , where

$$G_\eta := (\mathrm{Ho} G) \circ \eta: \mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}.$$

If  $\eta'$  is another quasi-inverse of  $\mathrm{Ho} w_I$ , there exists an equivalence of functors  $G_\Theta: G_{\eta'} \Leftrightarrow G_\eta$  such that  $\tau_{\eta'} = \tau_\eta \circ (G_\Theta \star w_I)$ , where  $G_\Theta$  is defined by  $G_\Theta = (\mathrm{Ho} G) \star \Theta$ .

The analogous statement holds for  $\mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{locproj}}$ . If we replace  $\mathbf{Sp}$  with  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\mathrm{comp}}$ , then the analogous statement holds for  $\mathbf{Hrc}_{\mathrm{proj}}$ .

*Proof.* Use the universal property of categories of fractions [Bor94, Proposition 5.2.2].  $\square$

We therefore obtain the following diagram of functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}_{\mathrm{reg}}) & \xrightarrow{G \circ j} & \mathcal{C} \\
 \downarrow i & \searrow j & \uparrow G \\
 & \mathbf{Hrc}(\mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})) & \xrightarrow{G} \\
 & \swarrow w_I & \updownarrow \\
 \mathrm{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}) & \xrightarrow{G_\eta} & \mathcal{C}
 \end{array}$$

Here,  $i$  and  $j$  are the inclusion functors and  $G$  is a functor satisfying (DC). The left triangle is commutative and the bottom right triangle commutes up to the equivalence  $\tau_\eta$  that we denote by  $\updownarrow$ . The outermost triangle is commutative as long as  $\eta$  satisfies condition (i) from Corollary 5.4.4.

**5.6. Cubical descent for cohomology.** Finally, we discuss (lisse-)étale and pro-étale sheaves on  $I$ -spaces and their cohomology. In this subsection, we do not need to assume the category  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is small.

We will mostly work with the étale topology  $X_{\mathrm{ét}}$  on spaces  $X$  (see §2.6). In case (0) where we use the lisse-étale topology  $X_{\mathrm{lisse-ét}}$ . As mentioned in §2.6, in the complex analytic case (II), we will call the Euclidean topology the étale topology to treat all cases (0)–(IV) at once.

We will also work with the pro-étale topology when it is defined.

**Definition 5.6.1** (Sheaves on 1-diagrams of spaces [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (5.3) and Définition 5.4]). Let  $X_\bullet$  be an  $I$ -space and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category. A (lisse-)étale presheaf on  $X_\bullet$  with values in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a 2-diagram

$$\mathcal{F}^\bullet: I \longrightarrow \mathrm{Diag}_1(\mathcal{C})$$

of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\text{typ}_1(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) = X_{\text{ét}\bullet}$  ( $X_{\text{lis-ét}\bullet}$  in case (0)). Equivalently (see Definition 3.3.3),  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  is a  $\text{tot}(X_{\text{ét}\bullet})$ -object (a  $\text{tot}(X_{\text{lis-ét}\bullet})$ -object in case (0)) of  $\mathcal{C}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tot}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) &: \text{tot}(X_{\text{ét}\bullet})^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}, \\ \text{tot}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) &: \text{tot}(X_{\text{lis-ét}\bullet})^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}. \end{aligned}$$

The data of  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  is equivalent to specifying the following data:

- (i) A (lisse-)étale presheaf  $\mathcal{F}^i$  on  $X_i$  with values in  $\mathcal{C}$  for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ , and
- (ii) An  $X_u$ -morphism of (lisse-)étale presheaves  $\mathcal{F}^u: \mathcal{F}^i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^j$ , i.e., a morphism  $X_u^{-1}\mathcal{F}^i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^j$ , for every morphism  $u: i \rightarrow j$  of  $I$ .

We say that a (lisse-)étale presheaf  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  is a (lisse-)étale sheaf on  $X_\bullet$  if for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ , the (lisse-)étale presheaf  $\mathcal{F}^i$  is a (lisse-)étale sheaf on  $X_i$ . We denote by  $\text{Sh}(X_{\text{ét}\bullet}, \mathcal{C})$  ( $\text{Sh}(X_{\text{lis-ét}\bullet}, \mathcal{C})$  in case (0)) the category of (lisse-)étale sheaves on  $X_\bullet$  with values in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

We define pro-étale (pre)sheaves in the same way in cases (0) and (IV).

We can compare this definition to the definition of the total topos associated to a fibered site from [SGA4<sub>2</sub>, Exposé VI, §7] and use this description to discuss derived categories of sheaves on  $X_\bullet$ .

*Remark 5.6.2* (Total topoi; cf. [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (5.8) and Remarque 5.18]). By the Grothendieck construction [Ill72, Chapitre VI, Exemple 5.6(b); Joh02<sub>1</sub>, Theorem B1.3.5], we can think of  $\text{Sh}(X_{\text{ét}\bullet}, \mathbf{Sets})$  ( $\text{Sh}(X_{\text{lis-ét}\bullet}, \mathcal{C})$  in case (0)) as the total topos associated to a fibered site as follows. First, by taking associated sites for each  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ , the (lisse-)étale sites on each  $X_i$  define a fibered site over  $I^{\text{op}}$  in the sense of [SGA4<sub>2</sub>, Exposé VI, Définition 7.1.1]. The associated total site [SGA4<sub>2</sub>, Exposé VI, Définition 7.4.1] has an associated topos of sheaves, which is called the *total topos*  $\text{Top}(X_{\text{ét}\bullet})$  ( $\text{Top}(X_{\text{lis-ét}\bullet})$  in case (0)) [SGA4<sub>2</sub>, Exposé VI, Remarque 7.4.3(3)]. This topos is equivalent to the category  $\text{Sh}(X_{\text{ét}\bullet}, \mathbf{Sets})$  ( $\text{Sh}(X_{\text{lis-ét}\bullet}, \mathbf{Sets})$  in case (0)) by [Ill72, Chapitre VI, Exemple 5.6(b)].

Now let  $A$  be a torsion ring whose characteristic is a unit on every  $X_i$ . Since  $\text{Top}(X_{\text{ét}\bullet})$  ( $\text{Top}(X_{\text{lis-ét}\bullet})$  in case (0)) arises as the topos of sheaves on a site, the subcategory  $\text{Sh}(X_{\text{ét}\bullet}, A\text{-Mod})$  ( $\text{Sh}(X_{\text{lis-ét}\bullet}, A\text{-Mod})$  in case (0)) of sheaves of  $A$ -modules is Grothendieck Abelian by [Stacks, Tag 07A5]. Even though this category is not small, we can still consider the associated derived categories  $D^{\natural}(X_{\text{ét}\bullet}, A\text{-Mod})$  ( $D^{\natural}(X_{\text{lis-ét}\bullet}, A\text{-Mod})$  in case (0)) for  $\natural \in \{*, +, -, b\}$  by [Stacks, Tag 05RU and Tag 09PA]. We can then define right derived functors for additive functors out of  $\text{Sh}(X_{\text{ét}\bullet}, A\text{-Mod})$  ( $\text{Sh}(X_{\text{lis-ét}\bullet}, \mathbf{Sets})$  in case (0)) using  $K$ -injective resolutions [Stacks, Tag 07A5].

The same discussion applies to the pro-étale site  $X_{\text{proét}}$  in cases (0) and (IV).

We will use this translation between the language of 1-diagrams and of total topoi to utilize results from [Ill72, Chapitre VI] instead of reproving them in the context of 1-diagrams.

Inverse images and direct images for morphisms of 1-diagrams of spaces are defined as follows.

**Definition 5.6.3** (Inverse images and direct images of sheaves on 1-diagrams of spaces [Ill72, Chapitre VI, (5.5) and (5.8); GNAPGP88, Exposé I, (5.5)]). Let  $X_\bullet$  and  $Y_\bullet$  be 1-diagrams of spaces of types  $I$  and  $J$ , respectively. Let  $\varphi: I \rightarrow J$  be a functor and let  $f_\bullet: X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$  be a  $\varphi$ -morphism of 1-diagrams of spaces. If  $\mathcal{G}^\bullet$  is a sheaf on  $Y_\bullet$  with values in a cocomplete category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we denote by  $f_\bullet^{-1}\mathcal{G}^\bullet$  the sheaf on  $X_\bullet$  defined by

$$(f_\bullet^{-1}\mathcal{G}^\bullet)^i := f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{G}^{\varphi(i)})$$

for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ . This definition yields a functor

$$f_\bullet^{-1}: \text{Sh}(Y_\bullet, \mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \text{Sh}(X_\bullet, \mathcal{C}).$$

If  $\mathcal{C}$  is also complete, then  $f_\bullet^{-1}$  admits a right adjoint

$$f_{\bullet*}: \text{Sh}(X_\bullet, \mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \text{Sh}(Y_\bullet, \mathcal{C})$$

(see [SGA4<sub>1</sub>, Exposé I, Proposition 5.1]), defined as follows. If  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  is a sheaf on  $X_\bullet$  with values in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $f_{\bullet*}\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  is the sheaf on  $Y_\bullet$  defined by

$$(f_{\bullet*}\mathcal{F}^\bullet)^j := \varinjlim_{(i,u) \in \text{Ob}(j \setminus \varphi)} (Y_u)_*(f_{i*}\mathcal{F}^i)$$

for every  $j \in \text{Ob}(J)$ .

We can compute derived direct images component-wise as follows.

**Proposition 5.6.4** (cf. [Ill72, Chapitre VI, (5.8) and Proposition 6.3.1; GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Proposition 5.10, Proposition 5.11, (5.12), Corollaire 5.1, and Corollaire 5.14]). *Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a category of spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. Let  $\varphi: I \rightarrow J$  be a functor between small categories. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be an  $I$ -space and a  $J$ -space, respectively, and consider a  $\varphi$ -morphism  $f_\bullet: X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ . Consider the factorization*

$$f_\bullet: X_\bullet \xrightarrow{f_{\bullet\bullet}} Y_\bullet \times_J I \xrightarrow{\varphi_\bullet} Y_\bullet.$$

*Consider an object  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  of  $D^*(X_{\bullet\text{ét}}, A\text{-Mod})$  and an object  $\mathcal{G}^\bullet$  of  $D^*(Y_{\bullet\text{ét}} \times_J I, A\text{-Mod})$ . For every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$  and every  $j \in \text{Ob}(J)$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}f_{\bullet*} &= \mathbf{R}\varphi_{\bullet*} \circ \mathbf{R}f_{\bullet\bullet*}, \\ (\mathbf{R}f_{\bullet*}\mathcal{F}^\bullet)^j &= \mathbf{R}\varinjlim_{(i,u) \in \text{Ob}(j \setminus \varphi)} (\mathbf{R}f_{i*}\mathcal{F}^i), \\ (\mathbf{R}\varphi_{\bullet*}\mathcal{G}^\bullet)^j &= \mathbf{R}\varinjlim_{i \in \text{Ob}(\varphi^{-1}(j))} \mathcal{G}^i, \\ (\mathbf{R}f_{\bullet\bullet*}\mathcal{F}^\bullet)^i &= \mathbf{R}f_{i*}\mathcal{F}^i. \end{aligned}$$

*Now suppose  $K_\bullet$  is an  $I$ -object of  $\mathbf{Cat}$  and let  $\pi: \text{tot}(K_\bullet) \rightarrow I$  be the projection functor. Let  $X_{\bullet\bullet}$  be a  $\text{tot}(K_\bullet)$ -space with a  $\pi$ -augmentation  $a_\bullet: X_{\bullet\bullet} \rightarrow S_\bullet$  to an  $I$ -space  $S_\bullet$ . Denote by  $a_i: X_{i\bullet} \rightarrow S_i$  the augmentation for the  $K_i$ -space  $X_{i\bullet}$  induced by  $a_\bullet$ . Consider an object  $\mathcal{F}^{\bullet\bullet}$  of  $D^*(X_{\bullet\bullet\text{ét}}, A\text{-Mod})$ . For every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ , we have*

$$(\mathbf{R}a_{\bullet*}\mathcal{F}^{\bullet\bullet})^i = \mathbf{R}a_{i*}\mathcal{F}^{i\bullet} = \mathbf{R}\varinjlim_{k \in \text{Ob}(K_i)} \mathbf{R}a_{ik*}\mathcal{F}^{ik}.$$

*The same statements hold for the pro-étale topology in cases (0) and (IV).*

*Proof.* Since restricting to each  $X_i$  or  $(Y_\bullet \times_J I)_i$  has a left adjoint that is exact by definition in [Ill72, Chapitre VI, (5.3)], restricting to each  $X_i$  or  $(Y_\bullet \times_J I)_i$  preserves  $K$ -injective objects by [Stacks, Tag 08BJ]. Thus, all properties follow from taking  $K$ -injective resolutions and computing component-wise because direct images are defined component-wise. For the last equation, we apply the third equation and the fact that  $K_i$  is coinital with the fiber category  $\pi^{-1}(i)$ .  $\square$

To state the main result of this subsection, we define morphisms of cohomological descent.

**Definition 5.6.5** (Morphisms of cohomological descent; cf. [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Définition 5.16]). Suppose  $K_\bullet$  is an  $I$ -object of  $\mathbf{Cat}$  and let  $\pi: \text{tot}(K_\bullet) \rightarrow I$  be the projection functor. Let  $X_{\bullet\bullet}$  be a  $\text{tot}(K_\bullet)$ -space with a  $\pi$ -augmentation  $a_\bullet: X_{\bullet\bullet} \rightarrow S_\bullet$  to an  $I$ -space  $S_\bullet$ . We say that  $a_\bullet$  or  $X_{\bullet\bullet}$  is of (lisse)-étale (resp. pro-étale) cohomological descent over  $S_\bullet$  if, for every torsion ring  $A$  (resp. every ring  $A$ ) and every (lisse)-étale (resp. pro-étale) sheaf  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  of  $A$ -modules, the unit morphism

$$\mathcal{F}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}a_{\bullet*}a_\bullet^{-1}\mathcal{F}^\bullet$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Since  $K$ -injectivity in  $D^{\mathfrak{h}}(X_{\text{ét}\bullet}, A\text{-Mod})$  can be checked component-wise by the proof of Proposition 5.6.4 and derived functors are computed component-wise, we can check whether a morphism is of cohomological descent component-wise.

**Proposition 5.6.6** (cf. [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Proposition 5.17]). *Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a category of spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. Let  $I$  be a small category. Suppose  $K_{\bullet}$  is an  $I$ -object of  $\mathbf{Cat}$  and let  $\pi: \text{tot}(K_{\bullet}) \rightarrow I$  be the projection functor. Let  $X_{\bullet\bullet}$  be a  $\text{tot}(K_{\bullet})$ -space with a  $\pi$ -augmentation  $a_{\bullet}: X_{\bullet\bullet} \rightarrow S_{\bullet}$  to an  $I$ -space  $S_{\bullet}$ . Then,  $a_{\bullet}$  is of (lisse-)étale (resp. pro-étale) cohomological descent over  $S_{\bullet}$  if and only if for every  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$ , the augmentation  $a_i: X_{i\bullet} \rightarrow S_i$  over the  $K_i$ -space  $X_{i\bullet}$  is of (lisse-)étale (resp. pro-étale) cohomological descent over  $S_i$ .*

Using Proposition 5.6.6, the proof of the following cubical descent result is identical to that in [GNAPGP88] after replacing topological spaces with sites.

**Theorem 5.6.7** (Cubical descent for cohomology; cf. [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Théorème 6.9]). *Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a category of spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. Let  $I$  be a small category and let  $S$  be an  $I$ -space. If  $X_{\bullet} \rightarrow S$  is an iterated cubical hyperresolution of  $S$ , then  $X_{\bullet}$  is of cohomological descent over  $S$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Théorème 6.9] applies directly to case (II) and applies to the other cases once we have a six functor formalism for (lisse-)étale sheaves and pro-étale sheaves (when the latter are defined). For (lisse-)étale sheaves, see [SGA4<sub>3</sub>; LO08; LZ] in case (0) (which also applies to formal schemes by [SGA1<sub>new</sub>, Exposé I, Corollaire 8.4]) and [Ber93; Hub96] in cases (III), (III'), and (IV). For pro-étale sheaves, see [BS15, §6; Cho20] in case (0) and see [Sch13, §3] in case (IV).  $\square$

## Part II. Applications

In this part, we prove our applications of our results on cubical hyperresolutions from Part I.

### 6. AN EXTENSION CRITERION FOR FUNCTORS DEFINED ON REGULAR SPACES

The goal of this section is to generalize the extension criterion for functors defined on regular spaces, originally due to Guillén and Navarro Aznar for smooth varieties [GNA02, Théorème 2.1.5] and to Cirici and Guillén for compactifiable complex analytic spaces [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Theorem 3.3] to spaces of the form (0)–(IV).

**6.1. Conventions.** We denote by  $\mathbf{Sp}$  a subcategory of a small category of reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. We will assume  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is chosen according to the strategy outlined in Remark 1.7.1. We denote by  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}}$  the subcategory of  $\mathbf{Sp}$  consisting of regular spaces. We denote by  $I$  a small category. While we will remind the reader of these assumptions in statements of results in this section, we will not restate these assumptions in definitions or remarks.

We will also continue to use the terminology  $I$ -space from Definition 4.1.1 to refer to objects in  $\text{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})$ .

The categories  $\mathbf{Sp}$  and  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}}$  correspond to what Guillén and Navarro Aznar denote as  $\mathcal{M}'$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  in [GNA02], respectively.

**6.2. Categories of cohomological descent.** We define categories of cohomological descent. See [GNA02, (1.5.2)] for the definition of a *quasi-strict monoidal functor* and see [GNA02, Définition 1.5.3] for a more explicit description of some of the properties listed below.

**Definition 6.2.1** (Categories of cohomological descent [GNA02, Définitions 1.5.3 and 1.7.1]). A category of cohomological descent is a quintuple  $(\mathcal{D}, E, \mathbf{s}, \mu, \lambda)$  satisfying the following properties.

- (CD1)<sup>op</sup>  $\mathcal{D}$  is a (not necessarily small) Cartesian category and has an initial object 0.
- (CD2)<sup>op</sup>  $E$  is a saturated class of morphisms of  $\mathcal{D}$  stable under finite products.
- (CD3)<sup>op</sup>  $\mathbf{s}: \text{Codiag}_{\Pi}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a functor such that if  $\delta: \square \rightarrow \square'$  is a morphism of  $\Pi$  and  $X: \square \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a 1-codiagram of  $\mathcal{D}$ , then the morphism  $\mathbf{s}_{\square}X \rightarrow \mathbf{s}_{\square'}\delta_*X$  induced by the canonical morphism  $X \rightarrow \delta_*X$  is in  $E$ .
- (CD4)<sup>op</sup> For every object  $\square$  of  $\Pi$ , the functor  $\mathbf{s}_{\square}: \text{Codiag}_{\square}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  obtained from  $\mathbf{s}$  by restriction is a quasi-strict monoidal functor.
- (CD5)<sup>op</sup> Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of 1-codiagrams of  $\mathcal{D}$  of type  $\square$  for an object  $\square$  of  $\Pi$ . If  $f_{\alpha}$  is a member of  $E$  for every  $\alpha \in \square$ , then  $\mathbf{s}_{\square}(f): \mathbf{s}_{\square}X \rightarrow \mathbf{s}_{\square}Y$  is in  $E$ .
- (CD6)<sup>op</sup> The functor

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{s}, \mu, \lambda_0): \Pi &\longrightarrow \text{Coreal}_{\Pi}(\mathcal{D}) \\ \square &\longmapsto (\mathbf{s}_{\square}: \text{Codiag}_{\square}(\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}) \end{aligned}$$

is a quasi-strict monoidal functor.

- (CD7)<sup>op</sup>  $\lambda$  is a monoidal natural transformation between the monoidal functors  $\square \mapsto \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$  to the functor  $\square \mapsto \mathbf{s}_{\square} \circ i_{\square}$ , which coincides with  $\lambda_0$  over  $\square_0$ .
- (CD8)<sup>op</sup> Let  $X$  be a 1-codiagram of  $\mathcal{D}$  of type  $\square := \square_S$ , where  $S$  is a finite nonempty set, and consider an augmentation  $\varepsilon: X_0 \rightarrow X$ . The morphism  $\lambda_{\varepsilon} := \mathbf{s}_{\square}(\varepsilon) \circ \lambda_{\square}(X_0): X_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{s}_{\square}X$  is in  $E$  if and only if the canonical morphism  $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{s}_{\square+}X^+$  is in  $E$ .

By [GNA02, (1.5.1)], the product on  $\mathcal{D}$  induces a monoidal structure on the category of fractions  $\text{Ho } \mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}[E^{-1}]$  defined as in [Bor94, §5.2] as long as  $\mathcal{D}[E^{-1}]$  exists.

The functor  $\mathbf{s}$  is called the *simple functor on  $\mathcal{D}$* . Morphisms in  $E$  are called *quasi-isomorphisms* or *weak equivalences* and objects of  $\mathcal{D}$  quasi-isomorphic to 0 are called *acyclic*.

**Examples 6.2.2** (Categories of cohomological descent). The following are some examples of categories of cohomological descent. We do not specify all the data necessary for the definition. See the references for details.

Note that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Grothendieck Abelian category in cases (i) or (ii) below, then the homotopy category  $\text{Ho } \mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}[E^{-1}]$  exists even though  $E$  is not a set by [Stacks, Tag 05RU and Tag 09PA].

- (i) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an additive category and consider the category  $C^{\natural}(\mathcal{A})$  of cochain complexes of  $\mathcal{A}$ , where  $\natural \in \{*, +, b\}$ . By [GNA02, Proposition 1.7.2] (resp. [GNA02, Proposition 1.7.7]),  $C^{\natural}(\mathcal{A})$  is of cohomological descent where  $E$  is the class of quasi-isomorphisms (resp. homotopy equivalences) and  $\mathbf{s}$  is the totalization functor.
- (ii) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an additive category and consider the category  $C^{\natural}(\mathbf{F}\mathcal{A})$  of cochain complexes of  $\mathcal{A}$  together with a decreasing biregular filtration  $F$ , where  $\natural \in \{*, +, b\}$ . By [GNA02, Proposition 1.7.5],  $C^{\natural}(\mathbf{F}\mathcal{A})$  is of cohomological descent where  $E$  is the class of filtered quasi-isomorphisms and  $\mathbf{s}$  is the filtered totalization functor.

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is Abelian, then  $C^+(\mathbf{F}\mathcal{A})$  is also of cohomological descent with respect to the class  $\mathcal{E}_r$  of filtered morphisms that induce quasi-isomorphisms on the  $r$ -th page of the spectral sequence associated to the filtrations [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Theorem 2.8].

- (iii) Let  $X$  be a complex analytic space. Following [DB81, §1], consider the category  $CF_{\text{diff}}(X)$  (resp.  $CF_{\text{diff,coh}}(X)$ ) of cochain complexes  $K$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules that are bounded from below, together with a decreasing biregular filtration  $F$  by sub- $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules and with a differential  $d$  that is a differential operator of order  $\leq 1$  compatible with  $F$  such that  $\text{Gr}_F(d)$  is  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear (resp. such that  $\text{Gr}_F(d)$  is  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear and the cohomology of  $\text{Gr}_F(K)$  is coherent). By [GNA02, Proposition 1.7.6],  $CF_{\text{diff}}(X)$  (resp.  $CF_{\text{diff,coh}}(X)$ ) is of cohomological descent where  $E$  is the class of filtered quasi-isomorphisms and  $\mathbf{s}$  is the filtered totalization functor.

- The same proof works when  $X$  is a space of type (II)–(IV) or whenever  $X$  is differentially smooth over a field of characteristic zero and  $\Omega_X^1$  is of finite type in cases (0) and (I).
- (iv) Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic zero and consider the category  $\mathbf{DGA}(k)$  of anticommutative unital  $k$ -algebras differentially graded in degrees  $\geq 0$ . By [GNA02, Proposition 1.7.4],  $\mathbf{DGA}(k)$  is of cohomological descent where  $E$  is the class of quasi-isomorphisms and  $\mathbf{s}$  is a cubical variant of the Thom–Whitney simple functor defined in [NA87, (3.2)].
  - (v) By [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Example 1.4(3)] (resp. [CG14<sub>1</sub>, Theorem 4.3]), the category of mixed Hodge complexes  $\mathbf{MHC}$  (resp. the category of mixed Hodge diagrams  $\mathbf{MHD}$ ) together with a cubical analogue of Deligne’s simple functor from [Del74, §8.1] defined in [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Definition 2.5] (resp. the Thom–Whitney simple functor [NA87, (3.2); GNA02, Proposition 1.7.4]) is of cohomological descent.
  - (vi) By [RiP07, Theorem 6.1], the category  $\mathcal{M}_f$  of fibrant objects of a pointed simplicial model category where the acyclicity criterion of [RiP07, Definition 3.3.1] holds is of cohomological descent, where  $E$  is the class of weak equivalences.
  - (vii) By [PRiP09, Proposition 3.6], the category of fibrant spectra is of cohomological descent, where  $E$  is the class of homotopy equivalences and  $\mathbf{s}$  is the homotopy limit.
  - (viii) Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a cofibrant  $E_\infty$ -operad over  $R$ . By [CC22, Theorem 4.7], the category  $\mathbf{E}_R$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ -algebras over  $R$  is of cohomological descent, where  $E$  is the class of quasi-isomorphisms.

**6.3. Rectified functors.** To define rectified functors, we first describe how the Grothendieck construction can be applied to the indexed category of 1-diagrams and 1-codiagrams over a homotopy category, where the indexing is by type. This is a localized version of  $\mathbf{Real}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathbf{Coreal}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{C})$  from Definition 3.6.2.

**Definition 6.3.1** [GNA02, (1.6.1)]. Let  $\mathfrak{J}$  be a subcategory of  $\mathbf{Cat}$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a category together with a saturated set of morphisms  $E$ . We can form the localization functor  $\gamma: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ho} \mathcal{D}$  and if  $\mathcal{D}$  is small, then  $\mathbf{Ho} \mathcal{D}$  is also small [Bor94, Proposition 5.2.2]. The same discussion applies to the categories of 1-diagrams  $\mathbf{Diag}_I(\mathcal{D})$  and 1-codiagrams  $\mathbf{Codiag}_I(\mathcal{D})$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  of type  $I$ , where  $I$  is an object of  $\mathfrak{J}$ . We therefore obtain functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{J}^{\text{op}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Cat} & & \mathfrak{J}^{\text{op}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Cat} \\ I & \longmapsto & \mathbf{Ho} \mathbf{Diag}_I(\mathcal{D}) & \text{and} & I & \longmapsto & \mathbf{Ho} \mathbf{Codiag}_I(\mathcal{D}) \\ \varphi & \longmapsto & \varphi^{\text{op}*} & & \varphi & \longmapsto & \varphi^* \end{array}$$

which by the Grothendieck construction [Joh02<sub>1</sub>, Theorem B1.3.5] correspond to the categories

$$\mathbf{Ho}_{\mathfrak{J}} \mathbf{Diag}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{D}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Ho}_{\mathfrak{J}} \mathbf{Codiag}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{D})$$

cofibered and fibered over  $\mathfrak{J}$ , respectively.

We need a 2-categorical version of the category of small categories  $\mathbf{Cat}$ .

**Definition 6.3.2** (2-categories of types of diagrams [GNA02, Définition 1.6.3]). Let  $\mathfrak{J}$  be a 2-subcategory of the 2-category of small categories. We say that  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a 2-category of types of diagrams if, for every pair of objects  $I, J$  of  $\mathfrak{J}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathfrak{J}}(I, J) = \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{2Cat}}(I, J) \tag{6.3.3}$$

and if the punctual category  $\mathbf{1}$  is an object of  $\mathfrak{J}$ .

**Example 6.3.4** [GNA02, (1.6.3)]. The category  $\Phi$  of finite orderable categories is a 2-category of types of diagrams with the induced 2-categorical structure induced from  $\mathbf{2Cat}$ , but  $\mathbf{II}$  and  $\mathbf{II}^+$  are not 2-categories of types of diagrams since they do not satisfy (6.3.3).

To discuss rectified functors, we need to consider the category of 1-diagrams as a 2-functor.



**Definition 6.3.5** [GNA02, (1.6.4)]. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small category. Let  $\mathfrak{J}$  be a 2-category of types of diagrams. We then have the 2-functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Diag}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{C}): \mathfrak{J} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{2Cat} & & \text{Codiag}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{C}): \mathfrak{J} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{2Cat} \\ I & \longmapsto & \text{Diag}_I(\mathcal{C}) & \text{and} & I & \longmapsto & \text{Codiag}_I(\mathcal{C}) \\ \varphi & \longmapsto & \varphi^{\text{op}*} & & \varphi & \longmapsto & \varphi^* \\ \tau & \longmapsto & \tau^{\text{op}*} & & \tau & \longmapsto & \tau^* \end{array}$$

where the 2-categorical structures on 1-diagrams and 1-codiagrams of type  $I$  are given by the diagrams (3.2.2), and where for every 1-diagram  $Y: I^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , the natural transformation

$$\tau^{\text{op}*}(Y): \varphi^{\text{op}*}(Y) \longrightarrow \varphi^{\text{op}*}Y$$

is defined by the family  $(Y(\tau_i))_{i \in I}$ . If  $\mathcal{D}$  is a category together with a saturated set of morphisms  $E$ , we also consider the corresponding 2-functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ho}_{\mathfrak{J}} \text{Codiag}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{D}): \mathfrak{J} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{2Cat} \\ I & \longmapsto & \text{Ho}_{\mathfrak{J}} \text{Diag}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{D}) \\ \text{Ho}_{\mathfrak{J}} \text{Diag}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{D}): \mathfrak{J} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{2Cat} \\ I & \longmapsto & \text{Ho}_{\mathfrak{J}} \text{Codiag}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{D}) \end{array}$$

using the definitions in Definition 6.3.1.

We can now define rectified functors. The class of functors defined below when  $\mathfrak{J}$  is the category  $\Phi$  of finite orderable categories is the class of functors that we will be able to extend.

**Definition 6.3.6** (Rectified functors [GNA02, Définition 1.6.5 and (2.1.4)]). Let  $\mathfrak{J}$  be a 2-category of types of diagrams. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a category together with a saturated set of morphisms  $E$ . Consider a functor  $G: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ho } \mathcal{D}$ . A  $\mathfrak{J}$ -rectification of  $G$  is a pseudo-2-natural transformation of 2-functors

$$G_{\mathfrak{J}}: (\text{Diag}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{C}))^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Ho}_{\mathfrak{J}} \text{Codiag}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{D})$$

whose restriction to  $\mathcal{C}$  under the restriction pseudo-2-natural transformation induced by  $\underline{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is  $G$ . We say that  $G: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ho } \mathcal{D}$  is a  $\mathfrak{J}$ -rectified functor if there exists a  $\mathfrak{J}$ -rectification of  $G$ .

Now consider two functors  $G$  and  $F$  with  $\mathfrak{J}$ -rectifications  $G_{\mathfrak{J}}$  and  $F_{\mathfrak{J}}$ . A *morphism of  $\mathfrak{J}$ -rectified functors from  $G$  to  $F$*  is a modification  $\theta: G_{\mathfrak{J}} \rightarrow F_{\mathfrak{J}}$ .

See [GNA02, pp. 33–34] for an explicit list of conditions for a rectification and a morphism of rectified functors.

**6.4. An extension criterion for functors defined on compactifiable regular spaces.** We are now ready to state the main result of this section. As mentioned before, the case for varieties is due to Guillén and Navarro Aznar [GNA02, Théorème 2.1.5] and the case for complex analytic spaces is due to Cirici and Guillén [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Theorem 3.3]. The key ingredients used to prove their results are the following:

- (1) Hironaka’s resolutions of singularities [Hir64, Chapter 0, §3, Main theorem I].
- (2) A birational version of Chow’s lemma using elementary proper modifications [Hir64, pp. 144–145; Hir75, p. 505], called the *Chow–Hironaka lemma* in [GNA02, (2.1.3)].

We extend the results of Guillén–Navarro Aznar [GNA02] and Cirici–Guillén [CG14<sub>2</sub>] to compactifiable spaces of types (0)–(IV). The key innovation in our proof is to use the version of the weak factorization theorem [AKMW02; Wlo03] due to Abramovich and Temkin [AT19] to replace the Chow–Hironaka lemma. This is necessary because appropriate versions of the Chow–Hironaka lemma are not yet known for arbitrary spaces of the form (0)–(IV).

**Theorem 6.4.1** (An extension criterion for functors defined on compactifiable regular spaces). *Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a small category of finite-dimensional reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV). In cases (0) and (I), suppose that all objects in  $\mathbf{Sp}$  are Noetherian and quasi-excellent of equal characteristic zero. In cases (III), (III'), and (IV), suppose that  $k$  is of characteristic zero.*

*Let  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Sp}$  consisting of regular spaces. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a small category of cohomological descent and let*

$$G: (\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}})^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathcal{D})$$

*be a  $\Phi$ -rectified functor satisfying the following conditions:*

- (F1)  $G(\emptyset) = 1$  and the canonical morphism  $G(X \sqcup Y) \rightarrow G(X) \times G(Y)$  is an isomorphism.
- (F2) For every elementary acyclic square  $X_{\bullet}$  in  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}}$ ,  $\mathbf{s}G(X_{\bullet})$  is acyclic.

*Then, there exists an extension of  $G$  to a  $\Phi$ -rectified functor*

$$G': \mathbf{Sp}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathcal{D})$$

*satisfying the following condition:*

- (D) For every acyclic square  $X_{\bullet}$  in  $\mathbf{Sp}$ ,  $\mathbf{s}G'(X_{\bullet})$  is acyclic.

*Moreover, suppose  $F: (\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathcal{D})$  and  $G: (\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathcal{D})$  are  $\Phi$ -rectified functors satisfying conditions (F1) and (F2) with extensions  $F'$  and  $G'$  satisfying (D). If  $\tau: F \rightarrow G$  is a morphism of  $\Phi$ -rectified functors, then  $\tau$  extends uniquely to a morphism of  $\Phi$ -rectified functors  $\tau': F' \rightarrow G'$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the proofs in [GNA02; CG14<sub>2</sub>], using  $\mathbf{Hrc}_{\text{proj}}$  instead of  $\mathbf{Hrc}$  and replacing the definition of an augmented 2-resolution in [GNA02, p. 43] with ours (see Remark 4.4.5). The main idea is to consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(\mathbf{Hrc}_{\text{proj}}(\text{Diag}_{\Phi}(\mathbf{Sp})))^{\text{op}} & \longleftarrow & (\text{Diag}_{\Phi}(\text{Diag}_{\Pi}(\mathcal{M})))^{\text{op}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow G_{\Phi} \\
(\text{Ho}_{\Phi} \mathbf{Hrc}_{\text{proj}}(\text{Diag}_{\Phi}(\mathbf{Sp})))^{\text{op}} & & \text{Ho}_{\Phi} \text{Codiag}_{\Phi}(\text{Diag}_{\Pi}(\mathcal{D})) \\
(\text{Ho } w_{\Phi, \text{proj}})^{\text{op}} \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \mathbf{s} \\
(\text{Diag}_{\Phi}(\mathbf{Sp}))^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\quad G'_{\Phi} \quad} & \text{Ho}_{\Phi} \text{Codiag}_{\Phi}(\mathcal{D})
\end{array} \tag{6.4.2}$$

of pseudo-2-natural transformations of 2-functors. The goal is to construct  $G'_{\Phi}$  as a dashed 2-natural pseudo-transformation of 2-functors that makes the diagram commute. In the top right,  $G_{\Phi}$  comes from restricting the  $\Phi$ -rectification induced by the action of  $G$  on 1-diagrams with types in  $\Pi \times \Pi^+$  [GNA02, Proposition 1.6.8]. The pseudo-2-natural equivalence in the bottom left comes from Theorem 5.4.1, [Joh02<sub>1</sub>, Theorem B1.3.6], and the compatibility of  $w_{I, \text{proj}}$  (resp.  $\text{Ho } w_{I, \text{proj}}$ ) with pullbacks and natural transformations in  $\Phi$ . The assignment  $I \mapsto \mathbf{Hrc}_{\text{proj}}(\text{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}))$  (resp.  $I \mapsto \text{Ho}_{\Phi} \mathbf{Hrc}_{\text{proj}}(\text{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp}))$ ) is the 2-functor which we denote by  $\mathbf{Hrc}_{\text{proj}}(\text{Diag}_{\Phi}(\mathbf{Sp}))$  (resp.  $\text{Ho}_{\Phi} \mathbf{Hrc}_{\text{proj}}(\text{Diag}_{\Phi}(\mathbf{Sp}))$ ). By [Joh02<sub>1</sub>, Theorem B1.3.6], it suffices to show that the dashed pseudo-2-natural transformation in (6.4.2) exists after taking fibers over each object  $I$  of  $\Phi$ . By Corollary 5.5.1, it suffices to show that for every morphism of iterated cubical hyperresolutions  $X_{\bullet} \rightarrow X'_{\bullet}$  of a fixed  $I$ -space  $X$ , the induced morphism

$$G(X'_{\bullet}) \longrightarrow G(X_{\bullet}) \tag{6.4.3}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. By Lemma 5.4.2 and Remark 5.4.3, we reduce to the case when  $X_{\bullet} \rightarrow X'_{\bullet}$  is a cubical hyperresolution. Moreover, since cubical hyperresolutions and morphisms between them are defined component-wise as  $i \in \text{Ob}(I)$  varies by Proposition 4.5.3, Definition 5.3.1, and Definition 5.2.3, we reduce to the case when  $I = \underline{1}$  and  $X_{\bullet} = X$ .

The main ingredients in the remainder of the proof in [GNA02] are [GNAPGP88, Exposé I, Proposition 2.10, Théorème 2.15, Théorème 3.8, and Corollaire 3.10] and the application of the Chow–Hironaka lemma in [GNA02, Lemme 1]. The former can be replaced with our existence results for augmented 2-resolutions (Proposition 4.4.7) and projective cubical hyperresolutions (Theorem 4.5.4(iii)) in  $\mathbf{Sp}$ , together with our results for the homotopy category of iterated hyperresolutions in  $\mathbf{Sp}$  (Theorem 5.4.1(iii) and Corollary 5.5.1). Our assumption on compactifiability is used to ensure the spaces involved have only finitely many irreducible components, which is used in [GNA02, Lemme 1] (see Lemma 6.4.4 below) and in [GNA02, (2.1.7)]. See [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Remark 3.2].

It therefore remains to show the analogue of [GNA02, Lemme 1] in our context. To make sense of the statement of this result, we explain some of the notation in [GNA02]. For every integer  $n \geq 0$ , denote by  $\mathbf{Sp}_n$  the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Sp}$  consisting of objects of dimension  $\leq n$  and let  $\mathbf{Sp}_{n,0}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Sp}_n$  whose objects are disjoint unions of objects in  $\mathbf{Sp}_{n-1}$  and objects in  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}}$  of dimension  $\leq n$ . For  $\nu \in \{n, (n, 0)\}$ , consider the following assertion:

( $\mathbf{T}_\nu$ )  $G$  induces a  $\Phi$ -rectified functor  $G': (\mathbf{Sp}_\nu)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ho } \mathcal{D}$  that satisfies (D).

The proof in [GNA02] shows that to show Theorem 6.4.1, it suffices to show that ( $\mathbf{T}_n$ ) holds for every  $n \geq 0$ . To prove ( $\mathbf{T}_n$ ) holds for every  $n \geq 0$ , the proof can be broken up into the following two assertions:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{T}_{n-1}) &\implies (\mathbf{T}_{n,0}) && \text{for every } n > 0, \\ (\mathbf{T}_{n,0}) &\implies (\mathbf{T}_n) && \text{for every } n > 0. \end{aligned}$$

With the replacements listed in the second paragraph of this proof, the proofs of ( $\mathbf{T}_0$ ) and of ( $\mathbf{T}_{n,0}) \implies (\mathbf{T}_n$ ) in [GNA02] work in our context.

Most of the proof of ( $\mathbf{T}_{n-1}) \implies (\mathbf{T}_{n,0})$  works in our context as well. The only part of the proof that is substantially different is the following:

**Lemma 6.4.4** (cf. [GNA02, Lemme 1]). *Suppose ( $\mathbf{T}_{n-1}$ ) holds. Let  $X_\bullet$  be an augmented 2-resolution*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y} & \xleftarrow{j} & \tilde{X} \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y & \xleftarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

of an object  $X$  of  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}}$  of pure dimension  $n$  such that  $f$  is a birational/bimeromorphic blowup morphism. Then,  $\mathbf{s}G'(X_\bullet)$  is acyclic.

In [GNA02], there is no assumption that  $f$  is a blowup. In our context, we are allowed to assume that  $f$  is a blowup because we use Theorem 4.5.4(iii) to construct iterated cubical hyperresolutions using blowups and are working with  $\mathbf{Hrc}_{\text{proj}}$ . Following the proof in [GNA02] (we use the compactifiability of  $X_{00}$  to induce on the number of irreducible components in case (iv) in [GNA02, p. 48]; cf. [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Remark 3.2]), it suffices to show the special case of Lemma 6.4.4 when both  $X$  and  $\tilde{X}$  are irreducible objects of  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}}$  of dimension  $n$ .

Instead of using a version of the Chow–Hironaka lemma, we use the weak factorization theorem for spaces of types (0)–(III') due to Abramovich and Temkin [AT19, Theorem 1.4.1]. In case (IV), the same proof as in [AT19] applies using the GAGA theorems from [Hub07, §6] together with the fact that transition morphisms between affinoid subdomains of adic spaces locally of weakly finite type over a field are regular by [LM, Lemma 24.9]. The weak factorization theorem [AT19, Theorem 1.4.1] says that  $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  can be written as a composition

$$\tilde{X} = V_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} V_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{l-1}} V_{l-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_l} V_l = X$$

of rational/meromorphic maps between regular spaces such that given a choice of normal crossing divisor  $D \subseteq X$ , the following properties hold:

- (1)  $f = \varphi_l \circ \varphi_{l-1} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_1$ .
- (2) The compositions  $V_i \dashrightarrow X$  are morphisms and induce isomorphisms along  $X - (\Delta(f) \cup D)$ .
- (3) For every  $i$ , either  $\varphi_i$  or  $\varphi_i^{-1}$  is the blowup along a regular closed subspace  $Z_i$  in  $V_i$  or  $V_{i-1}$ , respectively, where the  $Z_i$  are disjoint from the inverse image of  $X - (\Delta(f) \cup D)$ .
- (4) The inverse images  $D_{V_i} \subseteq V_i$  of the  $D \subseteq X$  are normal crossing divisors and have normal crossings with  $Z_i$ .
- (5) For every  $i$ , the morphism  $V_i \rightarrow X$  is the blowup along a coherent ideal sheaf cosupported in  $D \cup \Delta(f)$ .

We proceed by induction on the length  $l \geq 1$  of the weak factorization. The base case when  $l = 1$  is case (i) in [GNA02, pp. 45–46]. Consider the inductive case when  $l > 1$ . Then, either  $\varphi_1$  or  $\varphi_1^{-1}$  is a blowup along a regular closed subspace. The case when  $\varphi_1$  is a blowup along  $Z_1 \subseteq V_1$  follows by the same argument as for case (ii) in [GNA02, pp. 46–47] using the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{Y} & \longrightarrow & W_1 & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & V_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_2} & X \end{array}$$

where both squares are Cartesian. Now suppose that  $\varphi_1$  is a blowup along  $Z_1 \subseteq \tilde{X}$ . We then have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{Y} & \longleftarrow & W_1 & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{X} & \xleftarrow{\varphi_1} & V_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_2} & X \end{array}$$

where both squares are Cartesian (using the fact that in  $\mathbf{Sp}$ , fiber products are reduced). By case (i) in [GNA02, pp. 45–46] and the inductive hypothesis, respectively, we know that the morphisms

$$\mathbf{s}_{\square^+} G'(\tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{X}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}_{\square^+} G'(W_1 \rightarrow V_1) \longleftarrow \mathbf{s}_{\square^+} G'(Y \rightarrow X)$$

are quasi-isomorphisms. The special case of Lemma 6.4.4 when both  $X$  and  $\tilde{X}$  are irreducible objects of  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}}$  of dimension  $n$  now follows from [GNA02, Propositions 1.5.7(2), 1.5.9(1), and 1.5.6(1)] together with (CD8)<sup>op</sup>.  $\square$

**6.5. An extension criterion for functors defined on regular pairs  $(X, U)$ .** To prove the existence of weight filtrations on cohomology of compactifiable spaces, we need a version of our extension criterion (Theorem 6.4.1) for pairs consisting of a space and an *open* subspace. For varieties and complex analytic spaces, this version of the extension criterion for pairs is due to Guillén and Navarro Aznar [GNA02, Théorèmes 2.3.3, 2.3.6, and 4.8] and to Cirici and Guillén [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Theorem 3.9], respectively.

We start by defining what we mean by pairs. Note that this notion of a pair is equivalent to the notion of pairs  $(S, S')$  from Definition 4.1.2, except we replace the second argument  $S'$  by its complement. Because compactifications of spaces are not unique, even up to birational/bimeromorphic equivalence (in case (II), see Serre's example in [Har70, Chapter VI, Example 3.2] and [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Example 6.5]), we have to work with a category that remembers the birational/bimeromorphic equivalence class of a choice of compactification. Recall that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  denotes a small category of spaces.

**Definition 6.5.1** (The category  $\mathbf{Sp}^2$  [GNA02, (2.3) and (4.5); CG14<sub>2</sub>, pp. 89–90]). Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a small category of reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV). We let  $\mathbf{Sp}^2$  denote the category of pairs  $(X, U)$  where  $X$  is a space and  $U$  is an open subspace such that

$D = X - U$  is a closed subspace of  $X$ . Morphisms  $f: (X', U') \rightarrow (X, U)$  are morphisms  $f: X' \rightarrow X$  such that  $f$  is a morphism of pairs in the sense of Definition 4.1.2. We let  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}}^2$  denote the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Sp}^2$  consisting of pairs  $(X, U)$  such that  $X$  is regular and  $D = X - U$  is a normal crossing divisor. We let  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{comp}}^2$  and  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg,comp}}^2$  denote the full subcategories of  $\mathbf{Sp}^2$  and  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}}^2$ , respectively, consisting of pairs  $(X, U)$  such that  $X$  is compactifiable.

We define acyclic squares as follows.

**Definition 6.5.2** (Acyclic squares in  $\mathbf{Sp}^2$  [GNA02, p. 60; CG14<sub>2</sub>, Definitions 3.4–3.6]). Consider the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{U} \cap \tilde{Y}) & \xleftarrow{j} & (\tilde{X}, \tilde{U}) \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ (Y, U \cap Y) & \xleftarrow{i} & (X, U) \end{array} \quad (6.5.3)$$

in  $\mathbf{Sp}^2$ . We say the square (6.5.3) is an *acyclic square* if the following properties hold:

- (i)  $i: Y \rightarrow X$  is a closed immersion.
- (ii)  $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is proper.
- (iii) The diagram of the first components is Cartesian.
- (iv)  $f^{-1}(U) = \tilde{U}$ .
- (v) The diagram of the second components is an acyclic square of  $\mathbf{Sp}$ .

We say an acyclic square (6.5.3) is an *elementary acyclic square* and that the morphism  $f$  is an *elementary proper modification* if the following additional properties hold:

- (vi)  $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is the blowup of  $X$  along the center  $Y$  which is regular and has normal crossings with  $D = X - U$ .
- (vii) The diagram of the second components is an elementary acyclic square of  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}}$ .

We will in fact work with a localization of the category  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{comp}}^2$ . We denote by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma: \mathbf{Sp}_{\text{comp}}^2 &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Sp} \\ (X, U) &\longmapsto U \end{aligned}$$

the forgetful functor and let  $\Sigma$  be the set of morphisms  $s$  of  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{comp}}^2$  such that  $\gamma(s)$  is an isomorphism. By the universal property of categories of fractions [Bor94, Proposition 5.2.2], we have the induced functor

$$\eta: \mathbf{Sp}_{\text{comp}}^2[\Sigma^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}.$$

By [GNA02, Lemme 4.6] (whose proof applies in  $\mathbf{Sp}$  with only notational changes), the localized category  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{comp}}^2[\Sigma^{-1}]$  is equivalent to the category  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\infty}$  defined as follows.

**Definition 6.5.4** (The category  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\infty}$ ; cf. [GNA02, (4.7); CG14<sub>2</sub>, Definition 3.8]). Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a small category of reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV). The category  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\infty}$  is defined as follows. An object  $U_{\infty}$  of  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\infty}$  is a space  $U$  in  $\mathbf{Sp}$  together with an equivalence class of compactifications  $X$  of  $U$ , where two compactifications are *equivalent* if they are birational/bimeromorphic. In this situation, we say that  $U$  is a *compactifiable space with the birational/bimeromorphic structure  $U_{\infty}$  at infinity*. A *compactification* of a morphism  $g: U' \rightarrow U$  of compactifiable spaces with birational/bimeromorphic structures  $U'_{\infty}$  and  $U_{\infty}$  at infinity is an extension of  $f: X' \rightarrow X$  of  $g$  where  $X'$  and  $X$  are representatives of  $U'_{\infty}$  and  $U_{\infty}$ , respectively.

Two compactifications  $f'_1: X'_1 \rightarrow X_1$  and  $f'_2: X'_2 \rightarrow X_2$  of  $g$  are *equivalent* if there exists a third compactification  $f'_3: X'_3 \rightarrow X_3$  that dominates  $f'_1$  and  $f'_2$ . Morphisms  $g_{\infty}: U'_{\infty} \rightarrow U_{\infty}$  of  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\infty}$  are equivalence classes of morphisms  $g: U' \rightarrow U$  with respect to the structures  $U'_{\infty}$  and  $U_{\infty}$ .

We are now ready to state the extension criterion for pairs  $(X, U)$ .

**Theorem 6.5.5** (An extension criterion for functors defined on regular pairs  $(X, U)$ ). *Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a small category of finite-dimensional reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV). In cases (0) and (I), suppose that all objects in  $\mathbf{Sp}$  are Noetherian and quasi-excellent of equal characteristic zero. In cases (III), (III'), and (IV), suppose that  $k$  is of characteristic zero.*

*Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a small category of cohomological descent and let*

$$G: (\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg,comp}}^2)^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathcal{D})$$

*be a  $\Phi$ -rectified functor satisfying the following conditions:*

(F1)<sup>2</sup>  $G(\emptyset, \emptyset) = 1$  and the canonical morphism  $G((X, U) \sqcup (Y, V)) \rightarrow G(X, U) \times G(Y, V)$  is an isomorphism.

(F2)<sup>2</sup> For every elementary acyclic square  $(X_\bullet, U_\bullet)$  in  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg,comp}}^2$ ,  $\mathbf{s}G(X_\bullet, U_\bullet)$  is acyclic.

*Then, there exists a  $\Phi$ -rectified functor*

$$G': (\mathbf{Sp}_\infty)^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathcal{D})$$

*satisfying the following conditions:*

(1) For every object  $(X, U)$  of  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg,comp}}^2$ , we have  $G'(U_\infty) \simeq G(X, U)$ .

(2) For every acyclic square  $(X_\bullet, U_\bullet)$  in  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{comp}}^2$ ,  $\mathbf{s}G'(X_\bullet, U_\bullet)$  is acyclic.

*Moreover, suppose  $F: (\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{comp}}^2)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathcal{D})$  and  $G: (\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{comp}}^2)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathcal{D})$  are  $\Phi$ -rectified functors satisfying conditions (F1)<sup>2</sup> and (F2)<sup>2</sup> with functors  $F'$  and  $G'$  satisfying (1) and (2). If  $\tau: F \rightarrow G$  is a morphism of  $\Phi$ -rectified functors, then  $\tau$  extends uniquely to a morphism of  $\Phi$ -rectified functors  $\tau': F' \rightarrow G'$ .*

*Proof.* We combine the proof of [GNA02, Théorème 2.3.3] with our changes in Theorem 4.5.4. First, we need versions of augmented 2-resolutions and cubical hyperresolutions for pairs, which exist by Theorem 4.3.4, Proposition 4.4.7, and Theorem 4.5.4(iii). Next, we need versions of our results for the homotopy category of iterated hyperresolutions in  $\mathbf{Sp}$  (Theorem 5.4.1(iii) and Corollary 5.5.1) for pairs. These hold by Remark 5.1.1. As in the proof of Theorem 4.5.4, we replace the Chow–Hironaka lemma used in [GNA02] with the weak factorization theorem proved in [AT19, Theorem 1.4.1]. Note that this weak factorization theorem is already adapted to the setting of pairs  $(X, U)$ ; for the normal crossings condition in Definition 6.5.2(vi), see (4) on p. 36. With these changes, we follow the proofs of [GNA02, Théorème 2.3.3] and Theorem 4.5.4 to construct a  $\Phi$ -rectified functor

$$G'': (\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{comp}}^2)^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Ho} \mathcal{D}$$

satisfying analogues of (1) and (2) such that  $G''$  is an essentially unique extension of  $G$ . We can then follow the proof of [GNA02, Théorème 2.3.6] to construct the  $\Phi$ -rectified functor  $G'$  using the equivalence of categories

$$\eta: \mathbf{Sp}_{\text{comp}}^2[\Sigma^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Sp}_\infty,$$

which holds by [GNA02, Lemme 4.6] (whose proof applies in  $\mathbf{Sp}$  with only notational changes).  $\square$

## 7. THE DELIGNE–DU BOIS COMPLEX AND DU BOIS SINGULARITIES

In this section, we construct the Deligne–Du Bois complex  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet$  and the logarithmic Deligne–Du Bois complex  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet \langle D \rangle$  for many spaces in the categories (0)–(IV). The construction for varieties is due to Du Bois [DB81, §§3–4] (see also [GNAPGP88, Exposé V, §§3–4]), the construction for complex analytic spaces is due to Guillén and Navarro Aznar [GNA02, Théorèmes 4.1 and 4.3], and the construction for adic spaces of finite type over a  $p$ -adic field is due to Guo [Guo23, §5]. We extend their results to algebraic spaces and formal schemes of finite type over a field of characteristic zero and various kinds of non-Archimedean analytic spaces over more general fields of characteristic zero.

Additionally, we construct the 0-th graded piece  $\underline{\Omega}_X^0$  of the Deligne–Du Bois complex whenever our existence result for cubical hyperresolutions (Theorem 4.5.4) applies. We will use  $\underline{\Omega}_X^0$  to define Du Bois singularities in this generality (Definition 7.3.1).

**7.1. Conventions.** We denote by  $\mathbf{Sp}$  a subcategory of a (not necessarily small) category of reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. We will assume  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is chosen according to the strategy outlined in Remark 1.7.1. We denote by  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}}$  the subcategory of  $\mathbf{Sp}$  consisting of regular spaces. We denote by  $I$  a small category. While we will remind the reader of these assumptions in statements of results in this section, we will not restate these assumptions in definitions or remarks.

We will also continue to use the terminology  $I$ -space from Definition 4.1.1 to refer to objects in  $\text{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})$ .

**7.2. The Deligne–Du Bois complex.** We prove the Deligne–Du Bois complex  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet$  exists using our version of the extension criterion (Theorem 6.4.1). In [GNA02], Guillén and Navarro Aznar used their extension criterion to construct  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet$  for complex analytic spaces.

Our analogue of the Poincaré lemma (3) in case (IV) uses the pro-étale topology, the (positive) de Rham sheaf, and the (positive) structural de Rham sheaf from [Sch13, §3 and Definition 6.8(iii)] (with the correction in [Sch16, (3)]). See [EGAIV<sub>4</sub>, Définition 16.10.1] for the definition of differentially smooth morphisms used in (i) below.

**Theorem 7.2.1** (The Deligne–Du Bois complex exists). *Let  $\mathbf{Sp}$  be a subcategory of a category of reduced spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV). Let  $X$  be a finite-dimensional space in  $\mathbf{Sp}$ . In cases (0) and (I), suppose that  $X$  is quasi-excellent of equal characteristic zero. In cases (III), (III'), and (IV), suppose that  $k$  is of characteristic zero.*

- (i) *Let  $D \subseteq X$  be a closed subspace of  $X$  and set  $U := X - D$ . In case (0), suppose that  $X$  is an algebraic space over a field  $k$  of characteristic zero and that either  $D = \emptyset$  and the regular objects  $Y$  in  $\mathbf{Sp}$  of finite type over  $X$  are differentially smooth over  $k$  with  $\Omega_Y^1$  of finite rank, or  $D \neq \emptyset$  and  $X$  is essentially of finite type over  $k$ . In case (I), suppose that  $X$  is locally of finite type over a field  $k$  of characteristic zero and  $D = \emptyset$ . In cases (III), (III'), and (IV), suppose that  $D = \emptyset$ . Then, there exists an object  $(\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet \langle D \rangle, \mathbb{F})$  of  $\text{Ho } CF_{\text{diff, coh}}(X)$  satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) *There exists a natural morphism of filtered complexes*

$$(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow (\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet \langle D \rangle, \mathbb{F})$$

*that is a filtered quasi-isomorphism if  $X$  is regular and  $D$  is a normal crossing divisor. On the left-hand side,  $\mathbb{F}$  is the Hodge filtration and coincides with the brutal truncation  $\sigma_{\geq \bullet}$  when  $(X, D)$  is regular.*

- (2) *If  $f: (X', U') \rightarrow (X, U)$  is a morphism (resp. a proper morphism) in  $\mathbf{Sp}^2$ , then*

$$\mathbf{R}f_*(\underline{\Omega}_{X'}^\bullet \langle D' \rangle, \mathbb{F})$$

*is a well-defined object of  $\text{Ho } CF_{\text{diff}}(X)$  (resp.  $\text{Ho } CF_{\text{diff, coh}}(X)$ ) where  $D' = X' - U'$ . Moreover, the assignments*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{Sp}_{/(X,U)}^2)^{\text{op}} &\longrightarrow \text{Ho } CF_{\text{diff}}(X) \\ (f: (X', U') \rightarrow (X, U)) &\longmapsto \mathbf{R}f_*(\underline{\Omega}_{X'}^\bullet \langle D' \rangle, \mathbb{F}) \\ (\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{proper}/(X,U)}^2)^{\text{op}} &\longrightarrow \text{Ho } CF_{\text{diff, coh}}(X) \\ (f: (X', U') \rightarrow (X, U)) &\longmapsto \mathbf{R}f_*(\underline{\Omega}_{X'}^\bullet \langle D' \rangle, \mathbb{F}) \end{aligned} \tag{7.2.2}$$

*are  $\Phi$ -rectified functors satisfying property (D) from Theorem 6.4.1.*

- (3) (Poincaré lemma) Let  $j: U \hookrightarrow X$  be the inclusion morphism. In case (0), if  $X$  is separated and of finite type over a field  $k \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ , then the complex

$$(\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet \langle D \rangle \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{X \otimes_k \mathbf{C}})^{\text{an}}$$

is naturally quasi-isomorphic to the complex  $\mathbf{R}j_* \mathbf{C}_U$ . In case (II), the complex  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet \langle D \rangle$  is naturally quasi-isomorphic to the complex  $\mathbf{R}j_* \mathbf{C}_U$ . In case (IV), if  $X$  is locally of finite type over  $\text{Spa}(k, \mathcal{O}_k)$  where  $k$  is a discretely valued non-Archimedean extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and we consider the functors (7.2.2) as landing in the homotopy category of filtered complexes of sheaves on the pro-étale topology, then the complex

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{proét}}}} \underline{\Omega}_{X_{\text{proét}}}^\bullet$$

is naturally quasi-isomorphic to the complex  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$ , where the tensor product is as sheaves on the pro-étale topology.

- (4) In case (0), if  $X$  is a separated scheme of finite type over  $\mathbf{C}$ , then  $(\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet, \mathbf{F})^{\text{an}}$  coincides with the Deligne–Du Bois complex constructed by Du Bois in [DB81, Notation 3.18].
- (5) We have  $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{F}}^p \underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet \langle D \rangle \simeq 0$  if  $p \notin [0, \dim(X)]$ .
- (ii) In cases (0)–(IV), there exists an object  $\underline{\Omega}_X^0$  of  $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$  satisfying the following properties:
- (1)<sup>0</sup> There exists a natural morphism of complexes  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \underline{\Omega}_X^0$  that is a quasi-isomorphism if  $X$  is regular.
- (2)<sup>0</sup> If  $f: X' \rightarrow X$  is a morphism (resp. a proper morphism) in  $\mathbf{Sp}$ , then  $\mathbf{R}f_* \underline{\Omega}_{X'}^0$  is a well-defined object of  $D^b(X)$  (resp.  $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ ). Moreover, the assignments

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{Sp}/X)^{\text{op}} &\longrightarrow D^b(X) \\ (f: X' \rightarrow X) &\longmapsto \mathbf{R}f_* \underline{\Omega}_{X'}^0, \\ (\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{proper}/X})^{\text{op}} &\longrightarrow D_{\text{coh}}^b(X) \\ (f: X' \rightarrow X) &\longmapsto \mathbf{R}f_* \underline{\Omega}_{X'}^0 \end{aligned} \tag{7.2.3}$$

are  $\Phi$ -rectified functors satisfying property (D) from Theorem 6.4.1.

- (4)<sup>0</sup> If  $X$  is a separated scheme of finite type over  $\mathbf{C}$ , then  $(\underline{\Omega}_X^0)^{\text{an}}$  coincides with the 0-th graded piece of the Deligne–Du Bois complex constructed by Du Bois in [DB81, Notation 3.18].

Finally, the objects  $(\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet, \mathbf{F})$  and  $\underline{\Omega}_X^0$  are essentially uniquely determined by properties (1) and (2) and properties (1)<sup>0</sup> and (2)<sup>0</sup>, respectively.

*Proof.* We prove (i) and (ii) simultaneously. We want to define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}f_* (\underline{\Omega}_{X'}^\bullet \langle D' \rangle, \mathbf{F}) &:= \mathbf{R}(f \circ \varepsilon)_* \left( \underline{\Omega}_{X'_\bullet}^\bullet (\log D'_\bullet), \sigma_{\geq \bullet} \right) \\ \mathbf{R}f_* \underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet &:= \mathbf{R}(f \circ \varepsilon)_* \mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon: X'_\bullet \rightarrow X'$  is a cubical hyperresolution of a pair  $(X', D')$  over  $(X, D)$  for (i) and a space  $X'$  over  $X$  for (ii), respectively. Here,  $f: X' \rightarrow X$  is the structure morphism for  $X'$  and the “differentially smooth” assumption is used to make sense of  $\Omega_{X'_\bullet}$ . Theorems 6.4.1 and 6.5.5 do not apply directly since  $X$  is not necessarily compactifiable. However, following the proof of Theorem 6.4.1, to prove a version of Theorems 6.4.1 and 6.5.5 that applies in our setting it suffices to show that (6.4.3) in the proof of Theorem 6.4.1 is a quasi-isomorphism. For (i), we want to show that for every cubical hyperresolution as above such that  $(X', D')$  is a regular pair, the induced morphism

$$\mathbf{R}f_* (\Omega_{X'}^\bullet (\log D'), \sigma_{\geq \bullet}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}(f \circ \varepsilon)_* (\Omega_{X'_\bullet}^\bullet (\log D'_\bullet), \sigma_{\geq \bullet})$$



is a quasi-isomorphism. For (ii), we want to show that for every cubical hyperresolution as above such that  $X$  is regular, the induced morphism

$$\mathbf{R}f_*\mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}(f \circ \varepsilon)_*\mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Since these questions are étale-local on  $X$ , we may replace  $X$  by an affinoid subdomain. In particular,  $X$  is compactifiable, and hence the rest of the proofs of Theorems 6.4.1 and 6.5.5 apply.

We now apply the versions of Theorems 6.4.1 and 6.5.5 proved in the previous paragraph to show (1), (2), (1)<sup>0</sup>, (2)<sup>0</sup>, and the “essentially uniquely determined” statements. First, we note that  $CF_{\text{diff,coh}}(X)$ ,  $CF_{\text{diff}}(X)$ ,  $C_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ , and  $C^b(X)$  are categories of cohomological descent by [GNA02, Propositions 1.7.2 and 1.7.6] (see Examples 6.2.2(i),(iii)) and that the functors (7.2.2) and (7.2.3) restricted to regular objects in  $\mathbf{Sp}^2$  are  $\Phi$ -rectified since they factor through  $CF_{\text{diff,coh}}(X)$ ,  $CF_{\text{diff}}(X)$ ,  $C_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ , and  $C^b(X)$ , respectively [GNA02, p. 34]. It therefore suffices to show that the functors in (7.2.2) and (7.2.3) satisfy (F1)<sup>2</sup> and (F2)<sup>2</sup>. Condition (F1)<sup>2</sup> holds by the additivity of higher direct images, and hence it remains to show (F2)<sup>2</sup>. Condition (F2)<sup>2</sup> holds by definition of structure sheaves for (ii). For (i), since the assertion is local, we may replace  $X$  by an affinoid subdomain to assume that  $X$  is affinoid. Cases (0) and (II) now follow from [GNA02, Propositions 3.3 and 4.4]. Otherwise, we can reduce to the scheme case (a subcase of (0)) using the GAGA correspondence [EGAIII<sub>1</sub>, §5; Köp74; Poi10, Annexe A; Hub07, §6] since sheaves of differentials are compatible with analytification [Ber93, Proposition 3.3.11].

Next, we show (3). The subcase of (0) where  $X$  is separated and of finite type over a field  $k \subseteq \mathbf{C}$  and case (II) can be proved in the same way as in [GNA02, Théorèmes 4.1 and 4.3]. It remains to consider case (IV). The assignments

$$\begin{aligned} (f: X' \rightarrow X) &\longmapsto \mathbf{R}f_*(\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{proét}}}} \underline{\Omega}_{X_{\text{proét}}}^\bullet) \\ (f: X' \rightarrow X) &\longmapsto \mathbf{R}f_*\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \end{aligned}$$

are isomorphic  $\Phi$ -rectified functors on the subcategory of regular spaces of finite type over  $X$  by [Sch13, Corollary 6.13]. They therefore extend to isomorphic functors on  $\mathbf{Sp}/X$ , and these extension functors are defined by taking a cubical hyperresolution of  $X'$  and then computing the pushforward to  $X$  of the sheaves on the right. This construction yields the functor  $f \mapsto \mathbf{R}f_*\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$  for the second functor described above by cohomological descent in the pro-étale topology (Theorem 5.6.7). Statement (3) now follows by the essential uniqueness statement in Theorem 6.4.1.

Finally, (4) and (4)<sup>0</sup> are proved in [GNAPGP88, Exposé V, Corollaire 3.7], and (5) follows from Theorem 4.5.4, which says there exists a cubical hyperresolution  $X_\bullet$  of  $X$  of type  $\square_r \in \text{Ob}(\text{II})$  such that  $\dim(X_\alpha) \leq \dim(X) - |\alpha| + 1$  for every  $\alpha \in \square_r$ .  $\square$

*Remark 7.2.4.* Other than in cases (0) and (II), we have assumed that  $D = \emptyset$  in Theorem 7.2.1(i). Logarithmic versions of these statements would hold in the other cases if one could show the analogue of [GNA02, Proposition 4.4] in these categories.

As a result, we can make the following definition.

**Definition 7.2.5** (The (logarithmic) Deligne–Du Bois complex; cf. [DB81, Notation 3.18 and Proposition-Notation 6.4; GNAPGP88, Définition 3.5 and (3.6); GNA02, (4.2)]). With notation as in Theorem 7.2.1(i), the *Deligne–Du Bois complex* is  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet$  and the *logarithmic Deligne–Du Bois complex* is  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet\langle D \rangle$ . We set

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Omega}_X^p &:= \text{Gr}_F^p \underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet[p] \\ \underline{\Omega}_X^p\langle D \rangle &:= \text{Gr}_F^p \underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet\langle D \rangle[p] \end{aligned}$$

which is a coherent complex functorial in  $X$ . Note that if  $p = 0$ , this notation matches the definition in Theorem 7.2.1(ii).

*Remark 7.2.6.* With notation as in Definition 7.2.5, by (5) in Theorem 7.2.1(i), we see that  $\underline{\Omega}_X^p \langle D \rangle$  is nonzero if and only if  $p \in [0, \dim(X)]$ . Moreover, by our existence result for cubical hyperresolutions (Theorem 4.5.4) and the spectral sequence associated to a cubical hyperresolution [GNAPGP88, p. 39], we know that  $H^i(\underline{\Omega}_X^p \langle D \rangle) = 0$  for all  $i < 0$  or  $i > \dim(X)$  and that

$$\dim\left(\text{Supp}\left(H^i(\underline{\Omega}_X^p \langle D \rangle)\right)\right) \leq \dim(X) - i$$

for all  $i \in [0, \dim(X)]$ . See [GNAPGP88, Exposé III, Proposition 1.17 and Exposé V, (3.6)]. We can also define Hodge cohomology and the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence in this setting. See [GNA02, (4.2)].

We note two useful facts coming from the construction of the Deligne–Du Bois complex.

**Corollary 7.2.7** (Compatibility of the Deligne–Du Bois complex with étale base change and ground field extensions; cf. [DB81, (4.3)]). *With notation as in Theorem 7.2.1, the formation of  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet$  and  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet \langle D \rangle$  are compatible with étale base change on  $X$  and the formation of  $\underline{\Omega}_X^0$  is compatible with regular base change on  $X$ . All three objects are compatible with ground field extensions where in case (0) (resp. (I)),  $X$  is assumed to be essentially of finite type (resp. of finite type) over a field  $k$  of characteristic zero.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 7.2.1 and its proof, all three objects are constructed from taking the derived pushforward of the de Rham complex on a cubical hyperresolution  $X_\bullet$  of  $X$ . For the Deligne–Du Bois complexes, it suffices to note that cubical hyperresolutions, the de Rham complex on a regular space, and the logarithmic de Rham complex for a regular pair are compatible with pulling back along étale morphisms. For  $\underline{\Omega}_X^0$ , it suffices to note that cubical hyperresolutions and structure sheaves are compatible with pulling back along smooth morphisms. For ground field extensions, we use the compatibility of cubical hyperresolutions with ground field extensions.  $\square$

The following fact says that we can compute the Deligne–Du Bois complex using regular simplicial resolutions in the sense of [DB81, Définition 2.1.1].

**Corollary 7.2.8** (Computing the Deligne–Du Bois complex via regular simplicial resolutions). *With notation as in Theorem 7.2.1,  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet$ ,  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet \langle D \rangle$ , and  $\underline{\Omega}_X^0$  can be computed using regular simplicial resolutions.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 7.2.1, it suffices to show that the (filtered) complex obtained using regular simplicial resolutions (in the sense of [DB81, Définition 2.1.1]) satisfies properties (1) and (2) and properties (1)<sup>0</sup> and (2)<sup>0</sup>, respectively.

For regular simplicial resolutions, we first note that regular simplicial resolutions exist by using the construction in [Del74, §6.2] together with the version of resolutions of singularities in [Tem12, Theorems 1.2.1, 5.1.1, and 5.3.2], and the fact that admissible hypercoverings are of cohomological descent by [Del74, (5.3.5)(V)] (see [Con04, Theorem 7.22] for a proof). One can prove functoriality ((2) and (2)<sup>0</sup>) as in [DB81, (3.2)].  $\square$

**7.3. Du Bois singularities.** We can now define Du Bois singularities for all spaces that locally satisfy the hypotheses in Theorem 7.2.1. Du Bois singularities were originally defined by Steenbrink [Ste83] for complex varieties.

**Definition 7.3.1** (Du Bois singularities; cf. [Ste83, (3.5)]). Let  $X$  be a space of one of the types (0)–(IV) and consider a point  $x \in X$ . In cases (0) and (I), suppose that  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is quasi-excellent of equal characteristic zero. In cases (III), (III'), and (IV), suppose that  $k$  is of characteristic zero. We say that  $X$  has Du Bois singularities at  $x$  or is Du Bois at  $x$  if  $X$  is reduced at  $x$  and if the natural morphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \longrightarrow \underline{\Omega}_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})}^0 \tag{7.3.2}$$

from (1)<sup>0</sup> in Theorem 7.2.1(ii) is a quasi-isomorphism. We say that  $X$  has Du Bois singularities or is Du Bois if  $X$  is Du Bois at every  $x \in X$ .

We note that if  $\underline{\Omega}_X^0$  exists globally on  $X$ , then (7.3.2) is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \underline{\Omega}_X^0$  is a quasi-isomorphism at  $x$  by Corollary 7.2.7.

## 8. INJECTIVITY, TORSION-FREENESS, AND VANISHING THEOREMS

In this section, we prove our injectivity, torsion-freeness, and vanishing theorems (Theorem A) uniformly in all categories (0)–(IV). The only known previous cases of these results (beyond the smooth or klt settings) are the generalized normal crossing case for varieties, which is due to Ambro [Amb03; Amb14; Amb20], Fujino [Fuj04; Fuj11; Fuj16; Fuj17], and Fujino–Fujisawa [FF14], and the simple normal crossing case for complex analytic spaces, which is due to Fujino [Fuj2]. The corresponding vanishing and injectivity results for klt pairs in the categories (0)–(IV) are due to the author of the present paper [Mur]. As in our previous work [Mur], the key idea is to approximate proper morphisms of schemes of equal characteristic zero by proper morphisms of schemes essentially of finite type over  $\mathbf{Q}$  and then to deduce the other cases (0)–(IV) using GAGA theorems.

A key obstacle when reducing from the generalized normal crossing case to the case when  $X$  is regular and  $B$  has normal crossing support is that we do not have sufficiently strong versions of resolutions of singularities of the form in [Koll13, Proposition 10.59; BVP13] available. These results of resolutions of singularities hold for varieties. A version of these resolution statements was shown for complex analytic spaces by Fujino [Fuj2, Lemma 5.1], who used his resolution result to prove the injectivity, torsion-freeness, and vanishing theorems for complex analytic spaces [Fuj2]. Instead, we use the theory we have built up so far in the paper concerning the 0-th graded piece  $\underline{\Omega}_X^0$  of the Deligne–Du Bois complex  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet$ .

**8.1. Outline.** As in §1, we follow [Amb20] for the nomenclature for these results. In §§8.2–8.3, we review the definitions of  $\omega_{(X,B)}$  and of generalized normal crossing pairs due to Ambro [Amb18; Amb20]. We then prove our version of the Esnault–Viehweg injectivity theorem for schemes (Theorem 8.4.10). As consequences, we deduce the Tankeev–Kollár injectivity theorem for schemes (Theorem 8.5.2), the Kollár torsion-freeness theorem for schemes (Theorem 8.6.1), and the Ohsawa–Kollár vanishing theorem for schemes (Theorem 8.7.6) following the strategy in [Amb20]. In §8.8, we extend our injectivity, torsion-freeness, and vanishing theorems to all categories (0)–(IV). Finally, in Theorem 8.9.1, we prove our extension of a result of Ma [Ma18] to the complete local case, which characterizes derived splinters of equal characteristic zero in terms of the vanishing condition on maps of Tor. Theorem 8.9.1 is an application of the Kollár torsion-freeness theorem (Theorem 8.5.2).

*Remark 8.1.1.* We have not formulated explicit statements of our theorems when  $(X, B)$  is a normal crossing pair (in the sense of [Amb03, Definition 2.3; Fuj17, Definition 5.8.4]) when  $B$  has  $\mathbf{R}$  coefficients. These statements follow from statements for  $\mathbf{Q}$  coefficients by perturbing the coefficients of  $B$  [Fuj17, Lemma 5.2.13].

**8.2.  $\omega_{(X,B)}$  and weakly log canonical pairs.** We start by defining a version of the canonical sheaf that works well for pairs  $(X, B)$  when  $X$  is not necessarily normal.

**Definition 8.2.1** (cf. [Amb18, §4]). Let  $X$  be a weakly normal,  $S_2$ , and locally Noetherian scheme satisfying J-1 [Mat80, (32.B)]. Suppose also that  $X$  satisfies N-1 [Mat80, (31.A)], i.e., the normalization morphism

$$\pi: \bar{X} \longrightarrow X$$

is finite. Denote by  $C \subseteq X$  and  $\bar{C} \subseteq \bar{X}$  the conductor subschemes. Let  $B$  be the closure in  $X$  of a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor  $B^0$  on  $X_{\text{reg}}$  and let  $\bar{B}$  be the analogous closure in  $\bar{X}$ . Both  $B$  and  $\bar{B}$  are  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Weil divisors.

Suppose that  $X$  has a dualizing complex  $\omega_X^\bullet$  with associated canonical sheaf  $\omega_X$ . For every integer  $n$ , we define the coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module

$$\omega_{(X,B)}^{[n]} \subseteq \omega_{\mathcal{H}(X)}^{\otimes n}$$

as follows: For every open subset  $U \subseteq X$ , we set

$$\Gamma\left(U, \omega_{(X,B)}^{[n]}\right) := \left\{ \omega \in \omega_{\mathcal{H}(X)}^{\otimes n} \left| \begin{array}{l} (a) \ (\pi^*\omega) + n(\bar{C} + \bar{B}) \geq 0 \text{ on } \pi^{-1}(U). \\ (b) \ \text{For every irreducible component } P \text{ of } C \cap U, \text{ there exists} \\ \eta \in \omega_{\mathcal{H}(P)}^{\otimes n} \text{ such that } \text{Res}_Q \pi^*\omega = \pi^*\eta \text{ for every irreducible} \\ \text{component } Q \text{ of } \bar{C} \cap \pi^{-1}(U) \text{ lying over } P. \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

We can now define weakly normal and weakly log canonical pairs.

**Definition 8.2.2** (cf. [Amb18, Definition 4.6 and §4.1]). A *weakly normal pair*  $(X, B)$  consists of the following data:

- (i)  $X$  a weakly normal,  $S_2$ , and locally Noetherian scheme satisfying J-1 and N-1 with a dualizing complex  $\omega_X^\bullet$ ; and
- (ii)  $B$  the closure of a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor  $B^0$  on  $X_{\text{reg}}$  satisfying the following property: There exists an integer  $r \geq 1$  such that  $rB$  has integer coefficients and  $\omega_{(X,B)}^{[r]}$  is invertible.

With notation as in Definition 8.2.1, we say that a pair  $(X, B)$  is *weakly log canonical* if it is weakly normal and  $(\bar{X}, \bar{C} + \bar{B})$  is log canonical.

**8.3. Generalized normal crossing pairs.** We define generalized normal crossing pairs following Ambro [Amb20, §3]. This notion generalizes Ambro's previous notion of normal crossing pairs defined in [Amb03, Definition 2.3] (see also [Fuj17, Definition 5.8.4]) and a different notion of generalized normal crossing pairs due to Kawamata [Kaw85, §4].

We start by defining the local models for generalized normal crossing pairs.

**Definition 8.3.1** [Amb20, Definition 4]. Let  $k$  be a field. For every subset  $F \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , set

$$\mathbf{A}_{k,F} := \bigcap_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}} \{z \in \mathbf{A}_k^N \mid z_i = 0\} \subseteq \mathbf{A}_k^N.$$

A *generalized normal crossing local model* is a pair  $(X, B)$  consisting of the following:

- (i)  $X = \bigcup_F \mathbf{A}_{k,F} \subseteq \mathbf{A}_k^N$  where the union is indexed by a finite set of subsets  $F \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  called *facets*, not contained in one another. We assume  $X$  satisfies  $S_2$ , which by [Amb18, Corollary 3.11] is equivalent to the condition that for every pair of facets  $F \neq F'$ , there exists a chain of facets  $F = F_0, F_1, \dots, F_l = F'$  such that for every  $0 \leq i < l$ , the intersection  $F_i \cap F_{i+1}$  contains  $F \cap F'$  and  $F_i \cap F_{i+1}$  has codimension 1 in both  $F_i$  and  $F_{i+1}$ .
- (ii) Set  $\sigma := \bigcap_F F$ . If  $\sigma \preceq \tau \preceq F$  and  $\tau$  has codimension 1 in  $F$ , then there exists a facet  $F'$  such that  $\tau = F \cap F'$ .
- (iii)  $B = (\sum_{i \in \sigma} b_i H_i)|_X$ , where  $b_i \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [0, 1]$  and  $H_i = \{z \in \mathbf{A}_k^N \mid z_i = 0\}$ . We may write

$$B = \sum_F \sum_{i \in \sigma} b_i \mathbf{A}_{k, F - \{i\}}.$$

We now define generalized normal crossing pairs.

**Definition 8.3.2** (cf. [Amb20, Definition 8]). Let  $(X, B)$  be a weakly log canonical pair of equal characteristic. We say that  $(X, B)$  is a *generalized normal crossing pair* if, for every closed point  $x \in X$ , there exists a generalized normal crossing local model  $(X', B')$  over the residue field  $\kappa(x)$  and an isomorphism of complete local  $\kappa(x)$ -algebras

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \simeq \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X',0}$$

such that  $\left(\omega_{(X,B)}^{[r]}\right)_x^\wedge$  corresponds to  $\left(\omega_{(X',B')}^{[r]}\right)_0^\wedge$  for all sufficiently divisible  $r$ .

Note that since generalized normal crossing pairs are defined using completions, they will automatically be compatible with GAGA.

**8.4. The Esnault–Viehweg injectivity theorem.** We start with our version of the Esnault–Viehweg injectivity theorem [EV92, Theorem 5.1(a)]. Because we do not know how dualizing complexes  $\omega_X^\bullet$  or canonical divisors  $K_X$  behave under limits of schemes, we formulate our results in terms of local cohomology instead of in terms of higher direct images.

Compared to our previous work [Mur], the approximation process is more subtle because of the presence of boundary divisors, making it difficult to pass to the Zariski–Riemann space  $\mathrm{ZR}(X)$  as we did in [Mur]. Instead of passing to the Zariski–Riemann space  $\mathrm{ZR}(X)$ , we work one element of local cohomology at a time to be able to construct appropriate boundary divisors on the approximations we work with.

We first show our version of the Esnault–Viehweg injectivity theorem for reduced simple normal crossing pairs.

**Theorem 8.4.1** (The Esnault–Viehweg injectivity theorem for reduced simple normal crossing pairs on regular schemes). *Let  $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of locally Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero such that  $X$  is regular and  $B$  is a simple normal crossing divisor. Let  $D$  be an effective Cartier divisor with support in  $\mathrm{Supp}(B)$ . Then, for every point  $y \in Y$ , the natural maps*

$$H_{f_y^{-1}(y)}^i(X_y, \mathcal{O}_{X_y}(-B_y - D_y)) \longrightarrow H_{f_y^{-1}(y)}^i(X_y, \mathcal{O}_{X_y}(-B_y))$$

are surjective for every  $i$ , where the subscripts  $(\cdot)_y$  denote base change to  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y})$ .

*Proof.* We first make some reductions. Working one connected component of  $X$  at a time, we may assume that  $X$  is integral. Replacing  $Y$  by the image of  $X$ , we may assume that  $Y$  is integral and that  $f$  is surjective. Working one point  $y \in Y$  at a time, we may replace  $Y$  with  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y})$  to assume that  $Y = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$  for an integral Noetherian local  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ . By flat base change for local cohomology [HO08, Theorem 6.10], we may replace  $R$  by  $\hat{R}$  to assume that  $R$  is excellent by applying [EGAIV<sub>2</sub>, Lemme 7.9.3.1] to each stratum of  $(X, B)$ . In this case, since  $X$  is of finite type over  $R$ , we know that  $X$  is also excellent [EGAIV<sub>2</sub>, Scholie 7.8.3(ii)]. Moreover,  $R$  has a dualizing complex  $\omega_R^\bullet$  and  $\omega_X^\bullet := f^! \omega_R^\bullet$  is a dualizing complex on  $X$  [Har66, p. 299].

**Step 1.** *Approximating  $f$  by morphisms over rings essentially of finite type over  $\mathbf{Q}$ .*

Write  $R$  as the direct limit

$$R \simeq \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda$$

of its sub- $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebras of finite type. By [Mur, Lemma 4.2], we can construct a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathrm{Spec}(R) \\ v_\lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_\lambda & \xrightarrow{f_\lambda} & \mathrm{Spec}(R_\lambda) \end{array} \quad (8.4.2)$$

where the  $f_\lambda$  are proper and surjective. We replace  $R_\lambda$  with their localizations at  $\mathfrak{m} \cap R_\lambda$  to assume that the  $R_\lambda$  are local with maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_\lambda$ . This replacement does not affect the inverse limits of the inverse systems in (8.4.2) since limits of the inverse systems satisfy the same universal property.

**Step 2.** *Approximating  $B$  and  $D$  by objects on the  $X_\lambda$ .*

By [Stacks, Tag 0B8W(3)], there exist  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  and coherent ideal sheaves  $\mathcal{I}_\alpha, \mathcal{J}_\alpha, \mathcal{J}_\alpha^0 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_X(-B) &\simeq v_\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{I}_\alpha \cdot \mathcal{O}_X, \\ \mathcal{O}_X(-D) &\simeq v_\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{J}_\alpha \cdot \mathcal{O}_X, \\ \mathcal{O}_X(-D_{\text{red}}) &\simeq v_\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{J}_\alpha^0 \cdot \mathcal{O}_X.\end{aligned}$$

We can then replace the schemes  $X_\lambda$  in the bottom row of (8.4.2) by their normalized blowups along  $v_{\alpha\lambda}^{-1}\mathcal{I}_\alpha\mathcal{J}_\alpha\mathcal{J}_\alpha^0 \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda}$  to assume that  $\mathcal{I}_\alpha, \mathcal{J}_\alpha$ , and  $\mathcal{J}_\alpha^0$  are invertible and that  $X_\lambda$  is normal. This replacement does not change the inverse limit of the inverse system

$$\{f_\lambda: X_\lambda \longrightarrow \text{Spec}(R_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$$

in (8.4.2) by the universal property of blowing up [Stacks, Tag 0806] and the universal property of normalization [Stacks, Tag 035Q(4)]. Let  $B_\alpha, D_\alpha$ , and  $D_\alpha^0$  be the effective Cartier divisors corresponding to  $\mathcal{I}_\alpha, \mathcal{J}_\alpha$ , and  $\mathcal{J}_\alpha^0$ , respectively. As a result, we can write the injection  $\mathcal{O}_X(-B - D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-B)$  as the pullback of the injections

$$v_\lambda^*(\mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda}(-B_\lambda - D_\lambda)) \hookrightarrow v_\lambda^*(\mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda}(-B_\lambda))$$

where we denote  $B_\lambda := v_{\alpha\lambda}^*B_\alpha$  and  $D_\lambda := v_{\alpha\lambda}^*D_\alpha$ , and since  $\text{Supp}(D) = \text{Supp}(D_{\text{red}})$ , we have

$$\text{Supp}(v_{\alpha\lambda}^*D_\alpha) \subseteq \text{Supp}(v_{\alpha\lambda}^*B_\alpha)$$

for all  $\lambda \geq \alpha$ .

For the remainder of the proof, we consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{f^{-1}(\{m\})}^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-B - D)) & \longrightarrow & H_{f^{-1}(\{m\})}^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-B)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H_{f_\lambda^{-1}(\{m_\lambda\})}^i(X_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda}(-B_\lambda - D_\lambda)) & \longrightarrow & H_{f_\lambda^{-1}(\{m_\lambda\})}^i(X_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda}(-B_\lambda)). \end{array} \quad (8.4.3)$$

Let

$$\eta \in H_{f^{-1}(\{m\})}^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-B))$$

be an arbitrary element. We want to show that  $\eta$  is in the image of the top horizontal map in (8.4.3). By the Grothendieck limit theorem for local cohomology [Mur, Theorem 3.13], the direct limit of the maps in the bottom row of (8.4.3) is the map in the top row of (8.4.3). Thus, there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $\eta$  is the image of

$$\eta_\lambda \in H_{f_\lambda^{-1}(\{m_\lambda\})}^i(X_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda}(-B_\lambda))$$

under the bottom horizontal map in (8.4.3).

**Step 3.** *Finding a preimage for  $\eta_\lambda$  after pulling  $\eta_\lambda$  back to a log resolution  $W_{\lambda,p}$  of  $(X_\lambda, B_\lambda)$ .*

Choose a log resolution of singularities

$$g_{\lambda,p}: W_{\lambda,p} \longrightarrow X_\lambda$$

such that  $\text{Exc}(g_{\lambda,p}) \cup g_{\lambda,p}^{-1}B_\lambda$  has simple normal crossing support. Such a log resolution exists by [Hir64, Chapter I, §3, Main theorems I(n) and II(N)]. We then set

$$B_{\lambda,p} := g_{\lambda,p}^{-1}B_\lambda + E_{\lambda,p} \quad \text{and} \quad D_{\lambda,p} := g_{\lambda,p}^*D_\lambda$$

where  $E_{\lambda,p}$  is the sum of  $g_{\lambda,p}$ -exceptional divisors that appear in  $g_{\lambda,p}^*B_\lambda$ , all with coefficient 1. Note that  $B_{\lambda,p}$  is an effective divisor with simple normal crossing support on  $W_{\lambda,p}$  by the choice of the  $W_{\lambda,p}$  as a log resolution. Moreover, we have  $\text{Supp}(D_{\lambda,p}) \subseteq \text{Supp}(B_{\lambda,p})$  because we have added

$E_{\lambda,p}$  to  $g_{\lambda,p}^{-1}B_\lambda$  in  $B_{\lambda,p}$ . We also choose a log resolution  $g: W \rightarrow X$  of  $(X, B)$  fitting into the commutative diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{g} & X \\ u_{\lambda,p} \downarrow & & \downarrow v_\lambda \\ W_{\lambda,p} & \xrightarrow{g_{\lambda,p}} & X_\lambda. \end{array} \quad (8.4.4)$$

We can find such a resolution by taking a log resolution factoring through the closure of the unique irreducible component of  $W_{\lambda,p} \times_{X_\lambda} X$  dominating  $W_{\lambda,p}$ . We then have

$$u_{\lambda,p}^* B_{\lambda,p} \leq u_{\lambda,p}^* g_{\lambda,p}^* B_\lambda = g^* v_\lambda^* B_\lambda = g^* B. \quad (8.4.5)$$

Since all morphisms in (8.4.4) are dominant morphisms between integral schemes, we see that all coefficients in  $B_{\lambda,p}$  must be equal to 1.

We now pull back the map on local cohomology to each  $W_{\lambda,p}$  and extend the commutative diagram (8.4.3) as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{f^{-1}(\{m\})}^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-B-D)) & \longrightarrow & H_{f^{-1}(\{m\})}^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-B)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H_{f_\lambda^{-1}(\{m_\lambda\})}^i(X_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda}(-B_\lambda - D_\lambda)) & \longrightarrow & H_{f_\lambda^{-1}(\{m_\lambda\})}^i(X_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda}(-B_\lambda)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{(f_\lambda \circ g_{\lambda,p})^{-1}(\{m_\lambda\})}^i(W_{\lambda,p}, \mathcal{O}_{W_{\lambda,p}}(-B_{\lambda,p} - D_{\lambda,p})) & \twoheadrightarrow & H_{(f_\lambda \circ g_{\lambda,p})^{-1}(\{m_\lambda\})}^i(W_{\lambda,p}, \mathcal{O}_{W_{\lambda,p}}(-B_{\lambda,p})). \end{array} \quad (8.4.6)$$

The bottom horizontal morphism is surjective by the the local-global dual [Lip78, Theorem on p. 188] (see [Mur, Lemma 5.2]) of Fujino's relative Hodge-theoretic injectivity theorem [Fuj17, Theorem 5.5.1]. We can therefore find

$$\tilde{\eta}_{\lambda,p} \in H_{(f_\lambda \circ g_{\lambda,p})^{-1}(\{m_\lambda\})}^i(W_{\lambda,p}, \mathcal{O}_{W_{\lambda,p}}(-B_{\lambda,p} - D_{\lambda,p}))$$

mapping to the image of  $\eta_\lambda$  in the bottom right term of the diagram (8.4.6) under the bottom horizontal morphism in (8.4.6).

**Step 4. Conclusion of proof.**

We first note that the difference  $E := g^*B - u_{\lambda,p}^*B_{\lambda,p}$  is an effective Cartier divisor by (8.4.5). Moreover,  $E$  is a sum of  $g$ -exceptional divisors all with coefficient 1 because  $B$  is a simple normal crossing divisor. We then claim that the natural pullback morphism

$$\mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}g_* \mathcal{O}_W(E)$$

has a retraction in the derived category  $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ . Since  $X$  is regular and  $E$  is a sum of  $g$ -exceptional divisors all with coefficient 1, we know that  $E \leq K_{W/X}$ , where  $K_{W/X}$  is defined as the nonzero cohomology sheaf of lowest degree of the relative dualizing sheaf  $g^! \mathcal{O}_X$ . The composition

$$\mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}g_* \mathcal{O}_W(E) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}g_* g^! \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \quad (8.4.7)$$

is the identity, where the last morphism is the Grothendieck trace for  $g$  [Har66, Chapter VII, Corollary 3.4(b)], since this composition coincides with the identity after restricting to the isomorphism locus of  $g$  and using the reflexivity of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ .

Now since  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}g_* \mathcal{O}_W(E)$  has a retraction, tensoring everything by  $\mathcal{O}_X(-B)$ , we see that

$$\mathcal{O}_X(-B) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}g_* \mathcal{O}_W(-g^*B + E) = \mathbf{R}g_* \mathcal{O}_W(-u_{\lambda,p}^*B_{\lambda,p})$$

has a retraction, and similarly after further tensoring everything by  $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)$ . By definition of the retraction in (8.4.7), this retraction is natural with respect to the inclusion  $\mathcal{O}_X(-B-D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-B)$ . Thus, we obtain the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H_{(f \circ g)^{-1}(\{\mathfrak{m}\})}^i(W, \mathcal{O}_W(-u_{\lambda,p}^* B_{\lambda,p} - g^* D)) & \longrightarrow & H_{(f \circ g)^{-1}(\{\mathfrak{m}\})}^i(W, \mathcal{O}_W(-u_{\lambda,p}^* B_{\lambda,p})) \\
\uparrow \curvearrowright & & \uparrow \curvearrowright \\
H_{f^{-1}(\{\mathfrak{m}\})}^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-B-D)) & \longrightarrow & H_{f^{-1}(\{\mathfrak{m}\})}^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-B)) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
H_{f_\lambda^{-1}(\{\mathfrak{m}_\lambda\})}^i(X_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda}(-B_\lambda - D_\lambda)) & \longrightarrow & H_{f_\lambda^{-1}(\{\mathfrak{m}_\lambda\})}^i(X_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda}(-B_\lambda)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H_{(f_\lambda \circ g_{\lambda,p})^{-1}(\{\mathfrak{m}_\lambda\})}^i(W_{\lambda,p}, \mathcal{O}_{W_{\lambda,p}}(-B_{\lambda,p} - D_{\lambda,p})) & \twoheadrightarrow & H_{(f_\lambda \circ g_{\lambda,p})^{-1}(\{\mathfrak{m}_\lambda\})}^i(W_{\lambda,p}, \mathcal{O}_{W_{\lambda,p}}(-B_{\lambda,p}))
\end{array}$$

where the curved dashed arrows are retractions for the morphisms the curved dashed arrows are next to. By the commutativity of the diagram,  $\eta$  is the image of the image of  $\tilde{\eta}_{\lambda,p}$  in  $H_{f^{-1}(\{\mathfrak{m}\})}^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-B-D))$ .  $\square$

We can now use cyclic covers and resolutions of singularities to reduce the normal crossing case to the *reduced* simple normal crossing case.

**Theorem 8.4.8** (The Esnault–Viehweg injectivity theorem for normal crossing pairs on regular schemes). *Let  $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of locally Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero such that  $X$  is regular and  $B$  is an  $\mathbf{R}$ -divisor with normal crossing support and with coefficients in  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $L$  be a Cartier divisor on  $X$  such that  $L \sim_{\mathbf{R}} B$  and let  $D$  be an effective Cartier divisor with support in  $\text{Supp}(B)$ . Then, for every point  $y \in Y$ , the natural maps*

$$H_{f_y^{-1}(y)}^i(X_y, \mathcal{O}_{X_y}(-L_y - D_y)) \longrightarrow H_{f_y^{-1}(y)}^i(X_y, \mathcal{O}_{X_y}(-L_y))$$

are surjective for every  $i$ , where the subscripts  $(\cdot)_y$  denote base change to  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y})$ .

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 8.4.1, we may assume that  $X$  is integral and  $Y = \text{Spec}(R)$  for an excellent integral local  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ . By perturbing the coefficients of  $B$  [Fuj17, Lemma 5.2.13], we can replace  $\mathbf{R}$  coefficients with  $\mathbf{Q}$  coefficients.

**Step 1.** *The case when  $f$  is projective and  $B$  has simple normal crossing support.*

It suffices to reduce to the case when  $B$  is reduced. We need the following variant of the version of the covering lemma we showed in [Mur, Lemma 8.1 and Claim 8.2.1].

*Claim 8.4.9.* *Let  $X$  be an integral regular scheme projective over an integral Noetherian local  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ . Let  $M$  be a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier divisor on  $X$  and let  $B$  be a simple normal crossing divisor on  $X$ . Let  $L$  be a Cartier divisor on  $X$  such that*

$$L \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} M + \sum_{j=1}^s a_j D_j + \sum_{j=s+1}^r D_j,$$

where the  $D_j$  are regular (possibly disconnected) divisors,  $\sum_j D_j$  is a simple normal crossing divisor, and the  $a_j$  are rational numbers in  $[0, 1)$ . Then, there exist a finite surjective morphism  $p: W \rightarrow X$  from a regular integral scheme  $W$  and a divisor  $M_W$  on  $W$  such that  $M_W \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} p^* M$  and such that  $\mathcal{O}_X(-L)$  is a direct summand of  $p_* \mathcal{O}_W(-M_W - \sum_{j=1}^s D'_j)$  where  $D'_j = (p^* D_j)_{\text{red}}$  are regular and

$$\sum_{j=1}^s D'_j + \sum_{j=s+1}^r D_j$$



is a simple normal crossing divisor.

*Proof of Claim 8.4.9.* We proceed by induction on  $s$ . If  $s = 0$ , setting  $p = \text{id}_X$  works. Suppose  $s > 0$ . Write  $a_s = b/m$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer. By [Mur, Lemma 8.1] applied to  $\sum_j D_j$ ,  $N_s = m$ , and  $N_j = 1$  for all  $j \neq s$ , there exists a surjective morphism  $p_1: X_1 \rightarrow X$  such that  $p_1^* D_s \sim mD'$  for some divisor  $D'$  on  $X_1$ . Moreover,  $D'$  and  $p_1^* D_j$  for  $j \neq s$  are regular and  $D' + \sum_{j \neq s} p_1^* D_j$  is a simple normal crossing divisor. By [KM98, Theorem 2.64, Step 1], the canonical morphism  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow p_{1*} \mathcal{O}_{X_1}$  splits, and hence  $\mathcal{O}_X(-L) \rightarrow p_{1*} \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-p_1^* L)$  also splits.

Now  $p_1^* D_s$  corresponds to a section of  $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(mD')$ , and hence we can take the associated  $m$ -th cyclic cover  $p_2: X_2 \rightarrow X_1$  as in [KM98, Definition 2.50]. Then, [KM98, Lemma 2.51] implies that  $X_2$  is regular, the  $p_2^* p_1^* D_j$  are regular, and  $\sum_{j \neq s} p_2^* p_1^* D_j$  is a simple normal crossing divisor. We have the decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} p_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{X_2} &= \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-\ell D') \\ p_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{X_2}(-p_2^* p_1^* L + (b-1)p_2^* D') &= \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-p_1^* L + (b-\ell-1)D'). \end{aligned}$$

The  $\ell = b-1$  summand shows that  $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-p_1^* L)$  is a direct summand of

$$p_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{X_2}(-p_2^* p_1^* L + (b-1)p_2^* D').$$

We now have the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear equivalence

$$p_2^* p_1^* L - (b-1)p_2^* D' \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} p_2^* p_1^* M + \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} a_j p_2^* p_1^* D_j + p_2^* D' + \sum_{j=s}^r p_2^* p_1^* D_j,$$

which satisfies the hypotheses of Claim 8.4.9. By the inductive hypothesis, there exists a finite surjective morphism  $W \rightarrow X_2$  satisfying the conclusion of Claim 8.4.9 for  $X_2$ . The composition  $W \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X$  then satisfies the conclusion of Claim 8.4.9 for  $X$ .  $\square$

We now return to the proof of the special case in Step 1. Write

$$L \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} \{B\} + [B]$$

and let  $p: W \rightarrow X$  be the finite surjective morphism constructed in Claim 8.4.9. We then obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{f^{-1}(\{m\})}^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-L-D)) & \xleftarrow{\text{---}} & H_{(f \circ p)^{-1}(\{m\})}^i(W, \mathcal{O}_W(-(p^*\{B\})_{\text{red}} - [B] - p^*D)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{f^{-1}(\{m\})}^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-L)) & \xleftarrow{\text{---}} & H_{(f \circ p)^{-1}(\{m\})}^i(W, \mathcal{O}_W(-(p^*\{B\})_{\text{red}} - [B])) \end{array}$$

where the curved dashed arrows are retractions for the horizontal injections. Since the right vertical map is surjective by Theorem 8.4.1, we are done.

**Step 2.** *The general case.*

By Chow's lemma [EGAII, Corollaire 5.6.2] and [Hir64, Chapter I, §3, Main theorems I( $n$ ) and II( $N$ )], we can find a projective log resolution  $g: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  for the pair  $(X, B)$  such that  $f \circ g$  is projective and  $\text{Exc}(g) \cup g_*^{-1} B$  has simple normal crossing support. We then obtain the commutative

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{f^{-1}(\{m\})}^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-L - D)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_{(f \circ g)^{-1}(\{m\})}^i(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-g^*L - g^*D)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{f^{-1}(\{m\})}^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-L)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_{(f \circ g)^{-1}(\{m\})}^i(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-g^*L)) \end{array}$$

where both horizontal maps are isomorphisms since regular  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebras are pseudo-rational [LT81, §4], and hence  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}g_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$  is a quasi-isomorphism [Mur, Theorem 6.2]. The right vertical map is surjective by Step 1 since  $g^*L \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} g^*B$  and  $g^*B$  is a simple normal crossings divisor.  $\square$

We now extend our Esnault–Viehweg injectivity theorem 8.4.8 to the generalized normal crossing case. We will use *simplicial* schemes in the proof below. See [Gui87, (1.2.1), Proposition 1.3.7, and (2.1.6)] for the relationship between cubical and simplicial objects. This injectivity theorem will follow from applying Theorem 8.4.8 to each component of a regular simplicial resolution. A similar strategy using simplicial schemes was originally used by Kawamata [Kaw85] to prove related theorems (see Theorems 8.5.2 and 8.6.1 below).

**Theorem 8.4.10** (The Esnault–Viehweg injectivity theorem for generalized normal crossing pairs). *Let  $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of locally Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero such that  $(X, B)$  is a generalized normal crossing pair and  $Y$  is locally quasi-excellent and has a dualizing complex  $\omega_Y^\bullet$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module such that*

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \simeq \omega_{(X, B)}^{[r]}$$

for an integer  $r \geq 1$  such that  $rB$  has integer coefficients. Let  $D$  be an effective Cartier divisor with support in  $\text{Supp}(B)$ . Then, the natural map

$$R^i f_* \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow R^i f_*(\mathcal{L}(D))$$

is injective for every  $i$ .

*Proof.* Since the question is local, we may assume that  $Y$  is affine and Noetherian. Set  $\Sigma := \text{Supp}(B)$  and  $U := X - \Sigma$ . Since  $rB$  is Cartier, we have the isomorphism

$$\varinjlim_{m \geq 0} H^i(X, \mathcal{L}(mrB)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(U, \mathcal{L}|_U).$$

The assertion in the theorem as  $D$  ranges over all possible  $D$  is therefore equivalent to the injectivity of the restriction map

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow H^i(U, \mathcal{L}|_U).$$

Denote by  $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  the normalization morphism. Following [DBJ74, p. 746], we can construct a simplicial scheme

$$X_\bullet = \left( \cdots \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \bar{X} \times_X \bar{X} \times_X \bar{X} \begin{array}{c} \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \bar{X} \times_X \bar{X} \begin{array}{c} \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \bar{X} \right)$$

where  $X_n = (\bar{X}/X)^{n+1}$  for every  $n \geq 0$ . By [Amb20, Lemma 10(1)], since  $(X, B)$  is a generalized normal crossings log pair, the augmentation morphism  $\varepsilon: X_\bullet \rightarrow X$  is a regular simplicial resolution in the sense of [DB81, Définition 2.1.1]. Set  $\Sigma_n := \varepsilon_n^{-1}(\Sigma)$  and  $U_n := X_n - \Sigma_n$ . By Corollary 7.2.8, which allows us to compute the Deligne–Du Bois complex using regular simplicial resolutions,  $\mathbf{R}\varepsilon_*\mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet}$  coincides with  $\underline{\Omega}_X^0$ . Since  $\underline{\Omega}_X^0$  is compatible with localization and completion (Corollary 7.2.7), the natural maps

$$\mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\varepsilon_*\mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}_U \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\varepsilon_*\mathcal{O}_{U_\bullet}$$

are quasi-isomorphisms by [Amb20, Lemma 10(1)]. It therefore suffices to show that the restriction maps

$$\alpha: H^i(X_\bullet, \mathcal{L}_\bullet) \longrightarrow H^i(U_\bullet, \mathcal{L}_\bullet|_{U_\bullet})$$

are injective, where  $\mathcal{L}_\bullet := \varepsilon^* \mathcal{L}$ . Now the rest of the proof of [Amb20, Theorem 12] applies, replacing [Amb20, Lemma 11] with the local-global dual [Lip78, Theorem on p. 188] (see [Mur, Lemma 5.2]) of Theorem 8.4.8.  $\square$

**8.5. The Tankeev–Kollár injectivity theorem.** Next, we prove our version of the Tankeev–Kollár injectivity theorem [Tan71, Proposition 1; Kol86, Theorem 2.2] for normal crossing pairs.

**Theorem 8.5.1** (The Tankeev–Kollár injectivity theorem for normal crossing pairs on regular schemes). *Let  $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of locally Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero such that  $X$  is regular and  $B$  is an  $\mathbf{R}$ -divisor with normal crossing support and with coefficients in  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $L$  be a Cartier divisor on  $X$  such that the  $\mathbf{R}$ -Cartier divisor  $A = L - (K_X + B)$  is  $f$ -semiample. Let  $D$  be an effective Cartier divisor such that  $D \sim_{\mathbf{R}} uA$  for some  $u > 0$  and  $D$  contains no strata of  $(X, B)$ . Then, for every point  $y \in Y$ , the natural maps*

$$H_{f_y^{-1}(y)}^i(X_y, \mathcal{O}_{X_y}(-L_y - D_y)) \longrightarrow H_{f_y^{-1}(y)}^i(X_y, \mathcal{O}_{X_y}(-L_y))$$

are surjective for every  $i$ , where the subscripts  $(\cdot)_y$  denote base change to  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y})$ .

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 8.4.1, we may assume that  $X$  is integral and  $Y = \text{Spec}(R)$  for an excellent integral local  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  admitting a dualizing complex  $\omega_R^\bullet$ . By perturbing the coefficients of  $B$  [Fuj17, Lemma 5.2.13], we may replace  $\mathbf{R}$  coefficients with  $\mathbf{Q}$  coefficients.

**Step 1.** *The case when  $B + \varepsilon D$  has simple normal crossing support for some  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/u$ .*

We have

$$L = K_X + B + \varepsilon D + (A - \varepsilon D) \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} K_X + B + \varepsilon D + (1 - \varepsilon u)A.$$

Let  $n \geq 1$  be such that  $\mathcal{O}_X(nA)$  is  $f$ -generated. By our Bertini theorem for  $f$ -generated Cartier divisors over integral Noetherian local  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebras [LM, Theorem 10.1 and Remark 10.2], there exists an effective Cartier divisor  $S$  on  $X$  such that

$$L \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} K_X + B + \varepsilon D + \frac{1 - \varepsilon u}{n} S$$

and  $B + \varepsilon D + \frac{1 - \varepsilon u}{n} S$  has simple normal crossing support. Note that  $D$  has support in  $\text{Supp}(B + \varepsilon D + \frac{1 - \varepsilon u}{n} S)$ . We are now done by the local-global dual [Lip78, Theorem on p. 188] (see [Mur, Lemma 5.2]) of Theorem 8.4.1.

**Step 2.** *The general case.*

By log resolution of singularities [Hir64, Chapter I, §3, Main theorems I( $n$ ) and II( $N$ )], there exists a resolution of singularities  $\mu: X' \rightarrow X$  such that  $\text{Exc}(\mu) \cup \mu_*^{-1}B$  has simple normal crossing support. We can now follow the proof of [Amb20, Lemma 13], replacing Step 1 of [Amb20, Lemma 13] with our version of Step 1 above.  $\square$

We can now extend Theorem 8.5.1 to the generalized normal crossing case.

**Theorem 8.5.2** (The Tankeev–Kollár injectivity theorem for generalized normal crossing pairs). *Let  $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of locally Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero such that  $(X, B)$  is a generalized normal crossing pair and  $Y$  is locally quasi-excellent and has a dualizing complex  $\omega_Y^\bullet$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module such that*

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \simeq \omega_{(X,B)}^{[r]} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{H}$$

for an integer  $r \geq 1$  such that  $rB$  has integer coefficients and for an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $f^* f_* \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is surjective. Let  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H})$  be a global section that is invertible at the generic

point of every log canonical center of  $(X, B)$  and let  $D$  be the effective Cartier divisor defined by  $s$ . Then, the natural maps

$$R^i f_* \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow R^i f_* (\mathcal{L}(D))$$

are injective for every  $i$ .

*Proof.* Since the question is local on  $Y$ , we may replace  $Y$  by  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y})$  for each  $y \in Y$  to assume that  $Y$  is the spectrum of an excellent local  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra. The proof is then the same as that of Theorem 8.4.10 after replacing Theorem 8.4.8 with Theorem 8.5.1.  $\square$

**8.6. The Kollár torsion-freeness theorem.** Next, we prove our version of the Kollár torsion-freeness theorem [Kol86, Theorem 2.1(i)] for generalized normal crossing pairs.

**Theorem 8.6.1** (The Kollár torsion-freeness theorem for generalized normal crossing pairs). *Let  $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of locally Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero such that  $(X, B)$  is a generalized normal crossing pair and  $Y$  is locally quasi-excellent and has a dualizing complex  $\omega_Y^\bullet$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module such that*

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \simeq \omega_{(X,B)}^{[r]} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{H}$$

for an integer  $r \geq 1$  such that  $rB$  has integer coefficients and for an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $f^* f_* \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is surjective. Then, every associated point of  $R^i f_* \mathcal{L}$  is the  $f$ -image of the generic point of an irreducible component of  $X$  or a log canonical center of  $(X, B)$ .

*Proof.* Working one connected component of  $X$  at a time, we may assume that  $X$  is connected by [EGAIV<sub>2</sub>, Proposition 3.1.7(iii)]. We prove the contrapositive: If  $y \in Y$  is not the  $f$ -image of the generic point of any irreducible component of  $X$  or any log canonical center of  $(X, B)$ , then  $y \notin \mathrm{Ass}_Y(R^i f_* \mathcal{L})$ . Replacing  $Y$  with  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y})$  we may assume that  $Y = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$  for a quasi-excellent local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ . Moreover, we may replace  $R$  by  $R_{\mathrm{red}}$  to assume that  $R$  is reduced by [EGAIV<sub>2</sub>, Lemme 3.1.10.1].

If  $R$  is a field, then either  $R^i f_* \mathcal{L} = 0$ , in which case  $\mathrm{Ass}_Y(R^i f_* \mathcal{L}) = \emptyset$  by [EGAIV<sub>2</sub>, Corollaire 3.1.5] and there is nothing to show, or  $R^i f_* \mathcal{L} \neq 0$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = (0)$  is the image of every irreducible component of  $X$ . We may therefore assume that  $R$  is not a field and that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is not a minimal prime of  $R$ .

Let  $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$  be the set of prime ideals in  $R$  consisting of the minimal primes of  $R$ , the  $f$ -images of the generic points of the irreducible components of  $X$ , and the  $f$ -images of the log canonical centers of  $(X, B)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{p}_i$  for any  $i$ , there exists  $h \in \mathfrak{m} - \bigcup_i \mathfrak{p}_i$  by prime avoidance. Then,  $h$  is a nonzerodivisor on  $R$ , and the pullback of  $h$  to  $X$  then defines an effective Cartier divisor  $D$  on  $X$  that does not contain any log canonical center of  $(X, B)$ .

Now consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{h \cdot} & R \\ \parallel & & \downarrow 1 \mapsto h^{-1} \\ R & \longrightarrow & R(h) \end{array}$$

where  $R(h) = \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(V(h)))$ . Tensoring by  $R^i f_* \mathcal{L}$ , we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^i f_* \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{h \cdot} & R^i f_* \mathcal{L} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ R^i f_* \mathcal{L} & \hookrightarrow & R^i f_* (\mathcal{L}(D)) \end{array}$$

by the projection formula, where the bottom horizontal map is injective by Theorem 8.5.2. By the commutativity of the diagram, the top horizontal map is injective, and hence  $h$  is a nonzerodivisor on  $R^i f_* \mathcal{L}$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{m} \notin \mathrm{Ass}_Y(R^i f_* \mathcal{L})$  as claimed.  $\square$

**8.7. The Ohsawa–Kollár vanishing theorem.** We prove our version of the Ohsawa–Kollár vanishing theorem for normal crossing pairs.

**Theorem 8.7.1** (The Ohsawa–Kollár vanishing theorem for normal crossing pairs on regular schemes). *Let*

$$(X, B) \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$$

*be morphisms of locally Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero such that  $f$  is proper,  $g$  is locally projective,  $X$  is regular,  $B$  is an  $\mathbf{R}$ -divisor with normal crossing support and coefficients in  $[0, 1]$ , and  $Z$  is locally quasi-excellent and has a dualizing complex  $\omega_Z^\bullet$ . Let  $L$  be a Cartier divisor on  $X$  such that  $L \sim_{\mathbf{R}} K_X + B + f^*A$  for a  $g$ -ample Cartier divisor  $A$  on  $Y$ . Then, we have*

$$R^p g_* R^q f_* (\mathcal{O}_X(L)) = 0$$

for all  $q$  and for all  $p \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $\dim(X)$ . The  $\dim(X) = 0$  case is trivial, and hence it suffices to show the inductive case when  $\dim(X) > 0$ . As in the proof of Theorem 8.4.1, we may assume that  $X$  is integral,  $f$  and  $g$  are surjective, and  $Z = \text{Spec}(R)$  for an excellent integral local  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  admitting a dualizing complex  $\omega_R^\bullet$ . By perturbing the coefficients of  $B$  [Fuj17, Lemma 5.2.13], we may replace  $\mathbf{R}$  coefficients with  $\mathbf{Q}$  coefficients.

We denote by  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  the invertible sheaves associated to  $L$  and  $A$ , respectively. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $g$ -ample, we know that  $f^*\mathcal{A}$  is  $(g \circ f)$ -semi-ample by [CT20, Lemma 2.11(i)]. Let  $m$  be a sufficiently large integer such that

$$R^p g_* R^q f_* (\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} f^* \mathcal{A}^{\otimes m}) = 0 \tag{8.7.2}$$

for all  $p \neq 0$ , which exists by Serre vanishing, and such that  $f^*\mathcal{A}^{\otimes m}$  is  $(g \circ f)$ -generated. By our Bertini theorem for relatively generated invertible sheaves over integral Noetherian local  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebras [LM, Theorem 10.1 and Remark 10.2], there exists an effective Cartier divisor  $S$  on  $Y$  such that setting  $T := f^*S$ , we have  $\mathcal{O}_X(T) \simeq f^*\mathcal{A}^{\otimes m}$ ,  $T$  is regular, and  $B + T$  has normal crossing support.

Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(T) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{L}(T))|_T \longrightarrow 0.$$

In the long exact sequence associated to pushing forward by  $f$ , the connecting map

$$R^q f_* \left( (\mathcal{L}(T))|_T \right) \longrightarrow R^{q+1} f_* \mathcal{L}$$

is the zero map by Theorem 8.6.1 since the image is supported by  $T$ , which contains no strata of  $(X, B)$  and  $L \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} K_X + B$  locally over  $Y$ . This long exact sequence therefore breaks up into the short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow R^q f_* \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow R^q f_* (\mathcal{L}(T)) \longrightarrow R^q f_* \left( (\mathcal{L}(T))|_T \right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{8.7.3}$$

for every  $q$ .

We now consider the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow R^p g_* R^q f_* \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow R^p g_* R^q f_* (\mathcal{L}(T)) \longrightarrow R^p g_* R^q f_* \left( (\mathcal{L}(T))|_T \right) \longrightarrow \cdots \tag{8.7.4}$$

associated to pushing forward the short exact sequence (8.7.3) by  $g$ . For  $p \geq 2$ , we first note that the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear equivalence  $L + T \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} K_X + B + T + f^*A$  restricts to the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear equivalence

$$(L + T)|_T \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} K_T + B_T + (f|_T)^*(A|_S).$$

Since the long exact sequence (8.7.4) implies

$$R^p g_* R^q f_* (\mathcal{L}(T)) \simeq R^p g_* R^q f_* (\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} f^* \mathcal{A}^{\otimes m}) = 0 \tag{8.7.5}$$

for all  $p \neq 0$  by the projection formula and (8.7.2), the inductive hypothesis and the long exact sequence (8.7.4) imply

$$0 = R^{p-1}g_*R^qf_*\left((\mathcal{L}(T))|_T\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^pg_*R^qf_*\mathcal{L}.$$

It remains to show  $R^1g_*R^qf_*\mathcal{L} = 0$ . Consider the morphism connecting the two Leray spectral sequences

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{p,q} &= R^pg_*R^qf_*\mathcal{L} \Rightarrow R^{p+q}(g \circ f)_*\mathcal{L}, \\ E_2^{p,q} &= R^pg_*R^qf_*(\mathcal{L}(T)) \Rightarrow R^{p+q}(g \circ f)_*(\mathcal{L}(T)). \end{aligned}$$

Since the terms of the two spectral sequences vanish for all  $p \notin \{0, 1\}$ , we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^{1+q}(g \circ f)_*\mathcal{L} & \xhookrightarrow{\beta} & R^{1+q}(g \circ f)_*(\mathcal{L}(T)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ R^1g_*R^1f_*\mathcal{L} & \longrightarrow & R^1g_*R^qf_*(\mathcal{L}(T)) \end{array}$$

with injective vertical arrows. The map  $\beta$  is injective by Theorem 8.5.2 since  $T$  contains no strata of  $(X, B)$ . By the commutativity of the diagram, we see that

$$R^1g_*R^qf_*\mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow R^1g_*R^qf_*(\mathcal{L}(T)) = 0$$

where the vanishing on the right follows from (8.7.5).  $\square$

Finally, we extend our Ohsawa–Kollár vanishing theorem 8.7.1 to the generalized normal crossing case.

**Theorem 8.7.6** (The Ohsawa–Kollár vanishing theorem for generalized normal crossing pairs). *Let*

$$(X, B) \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$$

*be morphisms of locally Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero such that  $f$  is proper,  $g$  is locally projective,  $(X, B)$  is a generalized normal crossing pair, and  $Z$  is locally quasi-excellent and has a dualizing complex  $\omega_Z^\bullet$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module such that*

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \simeq \omega_{(X, B)}^{[r]} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} f^*\mathcal{A}$$

*for an integer  $r \geq 1$  such that  $rB$  has integer coefficients and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $g$ -ample invertible  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module. Then, we have*

$$R^pg_*R^qf_*\mathcal{L} = 0$$

*for all  $q$  and for all  $p \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  the normalization morphism. As in the proof of Theorem 8.4.10, we can construct a simplicial scheme

$$X_\bullet = \left( \cdots \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \bar{X} \times_X \bar{X} \times_X \bar{X} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \bar{X} \times_X \bar{X} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \bar{X} \right)$$

where  $X_n = (\bar{X}/X)^{n+1}$  for every  $n \geq 0$ . As before, by [Amb20, Lemma 10(1)] and Corollaries 7.2.7 and 7.2.8, since  $(X, B)$  is a generalized normal crossings log pair, the natural maps

$$\mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\varepsilon_*\mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}_U \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\varepsilon_*\mathcal{O}_{U_\bullet}$$

are quasi-isomorphisms where  $\varepsilon: X_\bullet \rightarrow X$  is the augmentation morphism,  $\Sigma_n := \varepsilon_n^{-1}(\Sigma)$ , and  $U_n := X_n - \Sigma_n$ . It therefore suffices to show that

$$R^pg_*R^q(f \circ \varepsilon)_*\mathcal{L}_\bullet = 0$$

for all  $q$  and for all  $p \neq 0$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_\bullet := \varepsilon^* \mathcal{L}$ . As in the proof of [Amb20, Theorem 12], the generalized normal crossing pair  $(X, B)$  induces a pair  $(X_n, B_n)$  such that  $X_n$  is regular and  $B_n$  has normal crossing support and coefficients in  $[0, 1]$  for every  $n$ . We can then apply Theorem 8.7.1.  $\square$

**8.8. Extensions to other categories of spaces.** We now extend our injectivity and torsion-freeness theorems to the other categories of spaces. For simplicity, we assume the morphism  $f$  is locally projective.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Y$  be a locally projective morphism of spaces of one of the types (0)–(IV) such that  $(X, B)$  is a generalized normal crossing pair. In case (0) (resp. (I)), suppose that  $Y$  is locally quasi-excellent of equal characteristic zero and has a dualizing complex (resp.  $c$ -dualizing complex)  $\omega_Y^\bullet$ . In cases (III), (III'), and (IV), suppose that  $k$  is of characteristic zero. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.*

(i) (The Esnault–Viehweg injectivity theorem) *Suppose that*

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \simeq \omega_{(X, B)}^{[r]}$$

*for an integer  $r \geq 1$  such that  $rB$  has integer coefficients. Let  $D$  be an effective Cartier divisor with support in  $\text{Supp}(B)$ . Then, the natural map*

$$R^i f_* \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow R^i f_* (\mathcal{L}(D))$$

*is injective for every  $i$ .*

(ii) (The Tankeev–Kollár injectivity theorem) *Suppose that*

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \simeq \omega_{(X, B)}^{[r]} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{H}$$

*for an integer  $r \geq 1$  such that  $rB$  has integer coefficients and for an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $f^* f_* \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is surjective. Let  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H})$  be a global section that is invertible at the generic point of every log canonical center of  $(X, B)$  and let  $D$  be the effective Cartier divisor defined by  $s$ . Then, the natural maps*

$$R^i f_* \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow R^i f_* (\mathcal{L}(D))$$

*are injective for every  $i$ .*

(iii) (The Kollár torsion-freeness theorem) *Suppose that*

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \simeq \omega_{(X, B)}^{[r]}$$

*for an integer  $r \geq 1$  such that  $rB$  has integer coefficients and for an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $f^* f_* \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is surjective. In case (0), suppose that  $Y$  is an algebraic space. Then, every associated subspace of  $R^i f_* \mathcal{L}$  is the  $f$ -image of an irreducible component of  $X$  or of a log canonical center of  $(X, B)$ .*

(iv) (The Ohsawa–Kollár vanishing theorem) *Let  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  be another locally projective morphism, where  $Z$  satisfies the same hypotheses as  $Y$  above. Suppose that*

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \simeq \omega_{(X, B)}^{[r]} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} f^* \mathcal{A}$$

*for an integer  $r \geq 1$  such that  $rB$  has integer coefficients and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $g$ -ample invertible  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module. Then, we have*

$$R^p g_* R^q f_* \mathcal{L} = 0$$

*for all  $q$  and for all  $p \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since all four statements are local, we may replace  $Y$  by an affinoid subdomain to assume that  $Y$  is affinoid and  $f$  is projective. In case (0), we obtain a projective morphism of schemes after smooth base change, and the dualizing complex  $\omega_Y^\bullet$  is compatible with this base change [AB10, Definition 2.16]. In the other cases, we apply the GAGA theorems from [EGAIII<sub>1</sub>, Proposition 5.1.2; AT19, Theorem C.1.1; Poi10, Théorème A.1(i); Köp74, Folgerung 6.6; Hub07, Corollary

6.4], which say that the relevant cohomology modules are compatible with GAGA, and [LM, §§23–25], which says that Grothendieck duality and dualizing complexes are compatible with GAGA. Note that the sheaves  $\omega_{(X,B)}^{[r]}$  and the generalized normal crossings conditions are compatible with GAGA because these notions are defined in terms of completions of local rings. In case (0), instead of constructing  $\omega_{(X,B)}^{[r]}$  on  $X$ , the hypothesis of each statement is that  $\mathcal{L}$  is an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module such that after replacing  $Y$  by a smooth covering by a scheme, we have an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r}$  and (the twist of)  $\omega_{(X,B)}^{[r]}$ . Now that we have reduced to the scheme case, we may apply Theorems 8.4.10, 8.5.2, 8.6.1, and 8.7.6.  $\square$

**8.9. Derived splinters and the vanishing property for maps on Tor.** We now give an application of our version of the Kollár torsion-freeness theorem (Theorem 8.6.1) to commutative algebra. Specifically, we extend Ma’s characterization [Ma18, Theorem 5.5] of derived splinters of equal characteristic zero as the class of  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebras satisfying Hochster and Huneke’s vanishing conjecture for maps of Tor [HH95, Theorem 4.1 and (4.4)] to the complete local case. Ma’s result applies to local rings essentially of finite type over fields of characteristic zero.

As mentioned in §1, our proof recovers Hochster and Huneke’s vanishing theorem for maps of Tor for all regular domains of equal characteristic zero [HH95, Theorem 4.1]. In contrast with [HH95], this proof does not use reduction modulo  $p$ . See [Ma18, Remark 5.6(2)].

**Theorem 8.9.1** (cf. [Ma18, Theorem 5.5]). *Let  $S$  be a Noetherian complete local domain of equal characteristic zero. The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$S$  satisfies the vanishing conditions for maps of Tor, i.e., for all maps  $A \rightarrow R \rightarrow S$  of  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebras such that  $A$  is a regular domain and  $A \rightarrow R$  is a module-finite torsion-free extension, the natural map*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^A(M, R) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_i^A(M, S)$$

*vanishes for every  $A$ -module  $M$  and every  $i \geq 1$ .*

- (2)  *$S$  is a derived splinter.*
- (3) *For every regular local ring  $A$  with  $S = A/P$  and every module-finite torsion-free extension  $A \rightarrow B$  with  $Q \in \mathrm{Spec}(B)$  lying over  $P$ , the map  $P \rightarrow Q$  splits as a map of  $A$ -modules.*

*Proof.* First, (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) holds by [Ma18, Theorem 4.3]. Moreover, derived splinters are the same as pseudo-rational rings in this context by [Mur, Theorem 9.5], and hence (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) follows from [Ma18, Proposition 3.4].

It remains to show (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). By [HH95, (4.5)], we may assume that  $A$  is local,  $R$  is a domain,  $A \rightarrow S$  is surjective, and  $M$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module. Since  $A$  is regular local, the module  $M$  has finite projective dimension. To show that (1) holds, it now suffices to show that [Ma18, Corollary 5.4] holds after replacing the local domain  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  essentially of finite type over a field of characteristic zero in the statement of [Ma18, Corollary 5.4] with a Noetherian complete local domain  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  of equal characteristic zero. The only place where this assumption is used in the proof of [Ma18, Theorem 5.2], which (in equal characteristic zero) uses resolutions of singularities [Hir64] and Kollár’s vanishing theorem [Kol86, Theorem 2.1(ii)]. Resolutions of singularities exist in this context by [Hir64, Chapter I, §3, Main theorem I(n)]. Kollár’s vanishing theorem holds by our version of the Kollár torsion-freeness theorem: If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a proper surjective morphism of integral regular excellent schemes of equal characteristic zero and  $Y$  has a dualizing complex  $\omega_Y^\bullet$ , then  $R^i f_* \omega_X = 0$  for all  $i$  greater than the generic fiber dimension since  $R^i f_* \omega_X$  must be torsion-free by Theorem 8.6.1.  $\square$



9. A WEIGHT FILTRATION ON COHOMOLOGY  
OF SCHEMES AND COMPACTIFIABLE RIGID ANALYTIC SPACES

In this section, we construct a weight filtration on (pro-)étale cohomology of schemes and compactifiable rigid analytic spaces. The case of varieties is due to Deligne [Del74] and the case of compactifiable complex analytic spaces is due to Cirić and Guillén [CG14<sub>2</sub>]. The key point is that as in [CG14<sub>2</sub>], the data necessary to construct the weight filtration for complex varieties  $X$  in [Del74] is all contained in a hyperresolution for  $X$ , and hence we can apply our extension criterion (Theorems 6.4.1 and 6.5.5) to construct an analogous weight filtration for schemes and for rigid analytic spaces.

To construct the weight filtration, Deligne used Hodge theory [Del74, (8.1.19)]. Later, Gillet and Soulé constructed the weight filtration using resolutions of singularities and algebraic  $K$ -theory [GS95, Theorem 3]. However, the extension criterion of Guillén and Navarro Aznar [GNA02, Théorème 2.1.5] gives a new approach to constructing the weight filtration that only uses resolutions of singularities and either the Chow–Hironaka lemma (in [GNA02]) or the weak factorization theorem (in this paper). This observation is due to Totaro, who constructed the weight filtration on singular cohomology with compact support on complex or real analytic spaces [Tot02, Theorems 2.2 and 2.3] using [GNA02, Théorème 2.1.5]. Using similar ideas, McCrory and Parusiński constructed the weight filtration on Borel–Moore homology [McP11, Theorem 1.1] and on compactly supported singular homology [McP14, Theorem 8.1] on real algebraic varieties, both with  $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  coefficients. Cirić and Guillén showed that a similar construction (with the version of the Guillén–Navarro Aznar extension criterion considered in §6.5) can be used to construct the weight filtration on compactifiable complex analytic spaces [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Theorem 6.1].

We follow the approach in [CG14<sub>2</sub>, §6]. We work with the (pro-)étale topology and (pro-)étale cohomology. See §2.6 for references discussing the (pro-)étale topology.

**9.1. Conventions.** We denote by  $\mathbf{Sp}$  a subcategory of a small category of reduced spaces of one of the types (I) or (IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. We will restrict to the subcases when  $\mathbf{Sp}$  consists of ordinary schemes and when  $k$  is a  $p$ -adic field, respectively. We will assume  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is chosen according to the strategy outlined in Remark 1.7.1. We denote by  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}}$  the subcategory of  $\mathbf{Sp}$  consisting of regular spaces. See Definition 6.5.1 for definitions of  $\mathbf{Sp}^2$ ,  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg}}^2$ ,  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{comp}}^2$ , and  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg,comp}}^2$ . We denote by  $I$  a small category. While we will remind the reader of these assumptions in statements of results in this section, we will not restate these assumptions in definitions or remarks.

We will also continue to use the terminology  $I$ -space from Definition 4.1.1 to refer to objects in  $\text{Diag}_I(\mathbf{Sp})$ .

**9.2. (Pro-)étale cohomology of a pair  $(X, U)$ .** Following the strategy of [CG14<sub>2</sub>, §6], we can use Theorem 6.5.5 to construct a weight filtration on (pro-)étale cohomology of schemes or adic spaces over  $p$ -adic fields. The case of germs of complex analytic spaces (case (II)) also holds by the proof in [CG14<sub>2</sub>].

For the statement below, for an object  $(X, U)$  of  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg,comp}}^2$ , we denote by  $j: U \hookrightarrow X$  the inclusion morphism. We first discuss the étale case. Consider the étale sheaf  $\mu_{n,U}$  on  $U$  where  $\mu_n$  is the multiplicative group of  $n$ -th roots of unity for an integer  $n > 0$ . We can then compute  $\mathbf{R}j_*\mu_{n,U}$  using the Godement resolution as defined in [SGA4<sub>3</sub>, Exposé XVII, Définition 4.2.2], where we note that the étale sites for schemes [Stacks, Tag 040S] and for adic spaces [Hub96, Proposition 2.5.5] have enough points. Next, consider the filtered complex of sheaves

$$(\mathbf{R}j_*\mu_{n,U}, \tau_{\leq \bullet})$$

where  $\tau_{\leq \bullet}$  is the canonical filtration. For each integer  $n > 0$ , taking the right derived functor of global sections yields a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_n: \mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg,comp}}^2 &\longrightarrow D_1^+(\mathbf{FAb}) \\ (X, U) &\longmapsto \mathbf{R}\Gamma(X_{\text{ét}}, (\mathbf{R}j_*\mu_{n,U}, \tau_{\leq \bullet})) \end{aligned}$$

that is  $\Phi$ -rectified since it factors through  $C^+(\mathbf{F}A\text{-Mod})$  [GNA02, p. 34]. Here,

$$D_1^+(\mathbf{FAb}) := C^+(\mathbf{FAb})[\mathcal{E}_1^{-1}]$$

denotes the category of filtered complexes of Abelian groups localized with respect to the class of filtered morphisms that induce isomorphisms on the  $E_1$  page of the spectral sequences associated to the filtrations [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Definition 2.2].

In the pro-étale topology, we can work directly with the constant sheaf  $\underline{\mathbf{Z}}_{l,U}$  since the pro-étale site has enough points [Stacks, Tag 0992; Sch13, Proposition 3.13].

**Theorem 9.2.1.** *Suppose that  $\mathbf{Sp}$  is a subcategory of a small category of finite-dimensional reduced spaces of type (I) or (IV) that is essentially stable under fiber products, immersions, and proper morphisms. In case (I), suppose that all objects in  $\mathbf{Sp}$  are ordinary schemes that are Noetherian, quasi-excellent, and of equal characteristic zero. In case (IV), suppose that  $k$  is a  $p$ -adic field of characteristic zero. Then, for every integer  $n > 0$  such that  $p \nmid n$ , there exists a  $\Phi$ -rectified functor*

$$\mathcal{W}'_n: \mathbf{Sp}_\infty \longrightarrow D_1^+(\mathbf{FAb})$$

satisfying the following properties:

- (i) *If  $U_\infty$  is an object of  $\mathbf{Sp}_\infty$ , then  $\mathbf{h}^i(\mathcal{W}'_n(U_\infty)) \simeq H_{\text{ét}}^i(U, \mu_{n,U})$  for every  $i$ .*
- (ii) *If  $(X, U)$  is an object of  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg,comp}}^2$  then  $\mathcal{W}'_n(U_\infty) \simeq \mathcal{W}_n(X, U)$ .*
- (iii) *For every  $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$  and every acyclic square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y}_\infty & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X}_\infty \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_\infty & \longrightarrow & X_\infty \end{array}$$

in  $\mathbf{Sp}_\infty$ , there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow E_2^{*,q}(\mathcal{W}'_n(X_\infty)) \longrightarrow E_2^{*,q}(\mathcal{W}'_n(\tilde{X}_\infty)) \oplus E_2^{*,q}(\mathcal{W}'_n(Y_\infty)) \longrightarrow E_2^{*,q}(\mathcal{W}'_n(\tilde{Y}_\infty)) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

The same results hold for pro-étale cohomology with  $\mathbf{Z}_l$  or  $\mathbf{Q}_l$  coefficients when  $l \neq p$ .

*Proof.* By [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Theorem 2.8] (see Example 6.2.2(ii)), the triple  $(C^+(\mathbf{FAb}), \mathcal{E}_1, \mathbf{s}^1)$  is a category of cohomological descent. By Theorem 6.5.5, to show (i)–(iii), it suffices to show the functor  $\mathcal{W}_n$  satisfies conditions (F1)<sup>2</sup> and (F2)<sup>2</sup> in the statement of Theorem 6.5.5. Condition (F1)<sup>2</sup> holds by definition of the global sections functor, and hence it remains to show (F2)<sup>2</sup>.

We want to show that for every acyclic square  $(X_\bullet, U_\bullet)$  augmented over  $(X, U)$  in  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\text{reg,comp}}^2$ , the simple  $\mathbf{s}^1(\mathcal{W}_n(X_\bullet, U_\bullet))$  is  $E_1$ -acyclic. By [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Proposition 2.7], for every cubical codiagram of filtered complexes  $K^\bullet$ , we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$E_1^{*,q}(\mathbf{s}(K^\bullet)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{s}E_1^{*,q}(K^\bullet).$$

Thus, it suffices to show that for every fixed integer  $q$ , the sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow E_2^{*,q}(\mathcal{W}_n(X, U)) \longrightarrow E_2^{*,q}(\mathcal{W}_n(\tilde{X}, \tilde{U})) \oplus E_2^{*,q}(\mathcal{W}_n(Y, U \cap Y)) \longrightarrow E_2^{*,q}(\mathcal{W}_n(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{U} \cap \tilde{Y})) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

is exact. By definition of  $\mathcal{W}_n$ , the spectral sequence  $E_2^{*,q}(\mathcal{W}_n(X, U))$  is the Leray spectral sequence for the inclusion  $j: U \hookrightarrow X$  (with a shift) and therefore coincides with the Gysin complex  $G^q(X, U)^*$  (see [GNA02, p. 81; CG14<sub>2</sub>, 4.3]) for every  $q$ .

We can therefore apply [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Proposition 4.5] and its proof with the following changes. In the proof of [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Proposition 4.5(1)], it suffices to replace Poincaré–Verdier duality and the six functor formalism used in the proof of [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Proposition 4.1] with the corresponding results from [SGA4<sub>3</sub>] (which also applies to formal schemes by [SGA1<sub>new</sub>, Exposé I, Corollaire 8.4]) in case (I) and from [Hub96] in case (IV) in the étale topology. In the pro-étale topology, we instead use [BS15, §6] and [Sch13, §3], respectively. In the proof of [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Proposition 4.5(2)], we make the following changes in the base case  $(r, s) = (1, 0)$ :

- In case (I), we apply [SGA5, Exposé VII, Théorèmes 2.2.1 and 8.1] over  $U$  and then apply  $H^i(X, \mathbf{R}j_*(\cdot))$  instead of using [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Proposition 4.2]. Note that in the pro-étale case, we cannot reduce to the étale case directly. Instead, we follow the first steps of the proof of [SGA5, Exposé VII, Théorème 8.1] in the pro-étale topology using the fact that the pro-étale site has enough points [Stacks, Tag 0992] and proper base change [BS15, Lemma 6.7.5] to reduce to the computation for projective space in [SGA5, Exposé VII, Lemme 8.2]. This computation can now be reduced to the étale case using [BS15, Proposition 5.6.2].
- In case (IV), we need a rigid analytic analogue of [SGA5, Exposé VII, Théorèmes 2.2.1 and 8.1] over  $U$ , which can then replace [CG14<sub>2</sub>, Proposition 4.2] after applying  $H^i(X, \mathbf{R}j_*(\cdot))$ . As in the previous case, we follow the first steps of the proof of [SGA5, Exposé VII, Théorème 8.1] using the fact that the étale site and pro-étale site have enough points [Hub96, Proposition 2.5.5; Sch13, Proposition 3.13] and proper base change [Hub96, Theorem 4.4.1; Sch, Theorem 19.2]. This reduces to a computation of (pro-)étale cohomology of projective space, which can be done algebraically by [Hub96, Theorem 3.8.1; Sch, Proposition 27.5].  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Theorem A arose from a question of Osamu Fujino. I would like to thank him for encouraging me to write this paper. I am grateful to Osamu Fujino and Haoyang Guo for helpful comments on a preliminary draft of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [AAB] Valery Alexeev, Hülya Argüz, and Pierrick Bousseau, *The KSBA moduli space of stable log Calabi–Yau surfaces*, Mar. 30, 2024; [arXiv:2402.15117v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.15117v2) [math.AG]. 2
- [AB10] Dmitry Arinkin and Roman Bezrukavnikov, *Perverse coherent sheaves*, Mosc. Math. J. **10** (2010), no. 1, 3–29; [doi:10.17323/1609-4514-2010-10-1-3-29](https://doi.org/10.17323/1609-4514-2010-10-1-3-29); MR 2668828. 55
- [AKMW02] Dan Abramovich, Kalle Karu, Kenji Matsuki, and Jarosław Włodarczyk, *Torification and factorization of birational maps*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), no. 3, 531–572; [doi:10.1090/S0894-0347-02-00396-X](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-02-00396-X); MR 1896232. 7, 33
- [Ale96] Valery Alexeev, *Moduli spaces  $M_{g,n}(W)$  for surfaces*, Higher-dimensional complex varieties (Trento, 1994), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, pp. 1–22; [doi:10.1006/jcat.1996.0357](https://doi.org/10.1006/jcat.1996.0357); MR 1463171. 2
- [Amb03] Florin Ambro, *Quasi-log varieties*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **240** (2003): Birational geometry: Linear systems and finitely generated algebras, 220–239; <http://mi.mathnet.ru/tm385>; MR 1993751. 2, 3, 43, 44
- [Amb14] ———, *An injectivity theorem*, Compos. Math. **150** (2014), no. 6, 999–1023; [doi:10.1112/S0010437X13007768](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X13007768); MR 3223880. 2, 3, 43
- [Amb18] ———, *On toric face rings II*, Multigraded algebra and applications, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., vol. 238, Springer, Cham, 2018, pp. 1–40; [doi:10.1007/978-3-319-90493-1\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-90493-1_1); MR 3815077. 43, 44
- [Amb20] ———, *An injectivity theorem II*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **65** (2020), no. 3, 205–225; [http://imar.ro/journals/Revue\\_Mathematique/pdfs/2020/3/2.pdf](http://imar.ro/journals/Revue_Mathematique/pdfs/2020/3/2.pdf); MR 4216527. 2, 3, 4, 5, 43, 44, 50, 51, 54, 55
- [AT19] Dan Abramovich and Michael Temkin, *Functorial factorization of birational maps for  $qg$  schemes in characteristic 0*, Algebra Number Theory **13** (2019), no. 2, 379–424; [doi:10.2140/ant.2019.13.379](https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2019.13.379); MR 3927050. 4, 7, 8, 9, 33, 35, 38, 55
- [BCHM10] Caucher Birkar, Paolo Cascini, Christopher D. Hacon, and James McKernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 2, 405–468; [doi:10.1090/S0894-0347-09-00649-3](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-09-00649-3); MR 2601039. 2

- [Ber93] Vladimir G. Berkovich, *Étale cohomology for non-Archimedean analytic spaces*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **78** (1993), 5–161; doi:10.1007/BF02712916; MR 1259429. 4, 9, 30, 41
- [Bir12] Caucher Birkar, *Existence of log canonical flips and a special LMMP*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **115** (2012), 325–368; doi:10.1007/s10240-012-0039-5; MR 2929730. 3
- [Bor94] Francis Borceux, *Handbook of categorical algebra. 1. Basic category theory*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl., vol. 50, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1994; doi:10.1017/CB09780511525858; MR 1291599. 24, 25, 26, 27, 31, 32, 37
- [BS15] Bhargav Bhatt and Peter Scholze, *The pro-étale topology for schemes*, Astérisque **369** (2015), 99–201; doi:10.24033/ast.960; MR 3379634. 9, 30, 59
- [BVP13] Edward Bierstone and Franklin Vera Pacheco, *Resolution of singularities of pairs preserving semi-simple normal crossings*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **107** (2013), no. 1, 159–188; doi:10.1007/s13398-012-0092-4; MR 3031268. 43
- [CC22] David Chataur and Joana Cirici, *Sheaves of  $E$ -infinity algebras and applications to algebraic varieties and singular spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **375** (2022), no. 2, 925–960; doi:10.1090/tran/8569; MR 4369240. 32
- [CG14<sub>1</sub>] Joana Cirici and Francisco Guillén,  *$E_1$ -formality of complex algebraic varieties*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **14** (2014), no. 5, 3049–3079; doi:10.2140/agt.2014.14.3049; MR 3276854. 32
- [CG14<sub>2</sub>] ———, *Weight filtration on the cohomology of complex analytic spaces*, J. Singul. **8** (2014), 83–99; doi:10.5427/jsing.2014.8g; MR 3395240. 6, 7, 18, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 57, 58, 59
- [Cho20] Chang-Yeon Chough, *The pro-étale topology for algebraic stacks*, Comm. Algebra **48** (2020), no. 9, 3761–3770; doi:10.1080/00927872.2020.1745820; MR 4124656. 9, 30
- [Con99] Brian Conrad, *Irreducible components of rigid spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **49** (1999), no. 2, 473–541; doi:10.5802/aif.1681; MR 1697371. 9
- [Con04] ———, *Cohomological descent*, Version of Aug. 11, 2004; <https://math.stanford.edu/conrad/papers/hypercover.pdf>. 42
- [Con06] ———, *Relative ampleness in rigid geometry*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **56** (2006), no. 4, 1049–1126; doi:10.5802/aif.2207; MR 2266885. 9
- [CT20] Paolo Cascini and Hiromu Tanaka, *Relative semi-ampleness in positive characteristic*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **121** (2020), no. 3, 617–655; doi:10.1112/plms.12323; MR 4100119. 53
- [DB81] Philippe Du Bois, *Complexe de de Rham filtré d’une variété singulière*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **109** (1981), no. 1, 41–81; doi:10.24033/bsmf.1932; MR 613848. 7, 31, 38, 40, 41, 42, 50
- [DBJ74] Philippe Du Bois and Pierre Jarraud, *Une propriété de commutation au changement de base des images directes supérieures du faisceau structural*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A **279** (1974), 745–747; ark:/12148/bpt6k6226936t/f29.item; MR 376678. 50
- [Del74] Pierre Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge. III*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **44** (1974), 5–77; doi:10.1007/BF02685881; MR 498552. 5, 7, 22, 32, 42, 57
- [dFEM10] Tommaso de Fernex, Lawrence Ein, and Mircea Mustață, *Shokurov’s ACC conjecture for log canonical thresholds on smooth varieties*, Duke Math. J. **152** (2010), no. 1, 93–114; doi:10.1215/00127094-2010-008; MR 2643057. 2
- [dFEM11] ———, *Log canonical thresholds on varieties with bounded singularities*, Classification of algebraic varieties, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011, pp. 221–257; doi:10.4171/007-1/10; MR 2779474. 2
- [DHP24] Omprokash Das, Christopher Hacon, and Mihai Păun, *On the 4-dimensional minimal model program for Kähler varieties*, Adv. Math. **443** (2024), Paper No. 109615, 68 pp.; doi:10.1016/j.aim.2024.109615; MR 4719824. 2
- [Duc09] Antoine Ducros, *Les espaces de Berkovich sont excellents*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **59** (2009), no. 4, 1443–1552; doi:10.5802/aif.2470; MR 2566967. 9
- [Duc21] ———, *Dévisser, découper, éclater et aplatiser les espaces de Berkovich*, Compos. Math. **157** (2021), no. 2, 236–302; doi:10.1112/s0010437x20007459; MR 4228257. 8, 9
- [EGAI<sub>new</sub>] Alexander Grothendieck and Jean A. Dieudonné, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. I*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., vol. 166, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971; ark:/13960/t42s6kw4b; MR 3075000. 9
- [EGAI] ———, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. II. Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **8** (1961), 222 pp.; doi:10.1007/BF02699291; MR 217084. 8, 49
- [EGAI<sub>III</sub><sub>1</sub>] ———, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents. I*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **11** (1961), 167 pp.; doi:10.1007/BF02684273, doi:10.1007/BF02684274; MR 217085. 4, 8, 41, 55

- [EGAIV<sub>1</sub>] ———, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. I*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **20** (1964), 259 pp.; doi:10.1007/BF02684747; MR 173675. 9
- [EGAIV<sub>2</sub>] ———, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **24** (1965), 231 pp.; doi:10.1007/BF02684322; MR 199181. 8, 45, 52
- [EGAIV<sub>3</sub>] ———, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. III*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **28** (1966), 255 pp.; doi:10.1007/BF02684343; MR 217086. 4, 8
- [EGAIV<sub>4</sub>] ———, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. IV*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **32** (1967), 361 pp.; doi:10.1007/BF02732123; MR 238860. 8, 39
- [EH] Makoto Enokizono and Kenta Hashizume, *Minimal model program for log canonical pairs on complex analytic spaces*, Apr. 8, 2024; arXiv:2404.05126v1 [math.AG]. 3
- [EV92] Hélène Esnault and Eckart Viehweg, *Lectures on vanishing theorems*, DMV Seminar, vol. 20, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992; doi:10.1007/978-3-0348-8600-0; MR 1193913. 4, 45
- [FF14] Osamu Fujino and Taro Fujisawa, *Variations of mixed Hodge structure and semipositivity theorems*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **50** (2014), no. 4, 589–661; doi:10.4171/PRIMS/145; MR 3273305. 2, 3, 43
- [FK06] Kazuhiro Fujiwara and Fumiharu Kato, *Rigid geometry and applications*, Moduli spaces and arithmetic geometry, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 45, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2006, pp. 327–386; doi:10.2969/aspm/04510327; MR 2310255. 9
- [Fri67] Jacques Frisch, *Points de platitude d'un morphisme d'espaces analytiques complexes*, Invent. Math. **4** (1967), 118–138; doi:10.1007/BF01425245; MR 222336. 9
- [Fuj04] Osamu Fujino, *Higher direct images of log canonical divisors*, J. Differential Geom. **66** (2004), no. 3, 453–479; doi:10.4310/jdg/1098137840; MR 2106473. 2, 3, 43
- [Fuj11] ———, *Fundamental theorems for the log minimal model program*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **47** (2011), no. 3, 727–789; doi:10.2977/PRIMS/50; MR 2832805. 2, 3, 43
- [Fuj14] ———, *Fundamental theorems for semi log canonical pairs*, Algebr. Geom. **1** (2014), no. 2, 194–228; doi:10.14231/AG-2014-011; MR 3238112. 3
- [Fuj16] ———, *Vanishing theorems*, Minimal models and extremal rays (Kyoto, 2011), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 70, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2016, pp. 299–321; doi:10.2969/aspm/07010299; MR 3618264. 2, 3, 43
- [Fuj17] ———, *Foundations of the minimal model program*, MSJ Memoirs, vol. 35, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2017; doi:10.2969/msjmemoirs/035010000; MR 3643725. 2, 3, 4, 43, 44, 47, 48, 51, 53
- [Fuj<sub>1</sub>] ———, *Minimal model program for projective morphisms between complex analytic spaces*, Jan. 27, 2022; arXiv:2201.11315v1 [math.AG]. 2
- [Fuj<sub>2</sub>] ———, *Vanishing theorems for projective morphisms between complex analytic spaces*, Oct. 14, 2023, To appear in Math. Res. Lett.; arXiv:2205.14801v7 [math.AG]. 3, 4, 43
- [Fuj<sub>3</sub>] ———, *Cone and contraction theorem for projective morphisms between complex analytic spaces*, Aug. 11, 2023; arXiv:2209.06382v4 [math.AG]. 3
- [Fuj<sub>4</sub>] ———, *Quasi-log structures on complex analytic spaces*, Dec. 25, 2022; arXiv:2209.11401v3 [math.AG]. 3
- [GNA02] Francisco Guillén and Vicente Navarro Aznar, *Un critère d'extension des foncteurs définis sur les schémas lisses*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **95** (2002), 1–91; doi:10.1007/s102400200003; MR 1953190. 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 19, 20, 23, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, 42, 57, 58
- [GNAPGP88] Francisco Guillén, Vicente Navarro Aznar, Pere Pascual Gainza, and Fernando Puerta, *Hyperrésolutions cubiques et descente cohomologique*, Papers from the Seminar on Hodge-Deligne Theory held in Barcelona, 1982, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1335, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988; doi:10.1007/BFb0085054; MR 972983. 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 35, 38, 41, 42
- [GR84] Hans Grauert and Reinhold Remmert, *Coherent analytic sheaves*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., vol. 265, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984; doi:10.1007/978-3-642-69582-7; MR 755331. 9
- [GS95] Henri Gillet and Cristophe Soulé, *Descent, motives and K-theory*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **478** (1996), 127–176; doi:10.1515/crll.1996.478.127; MR 1409056. 7, 57
- [Gui87] Francisco Guillén, *Une relation entre la filtration par le poids de Deligne et la filtration de Zeeman*, Compositio Math. **61** (1987), no. 2, 201–227; http://www.numdam.org/item/CM\_1987\_\_61\_2\_201\_0; MR 882975. 5, 22, 50
- [Guo23] Haoyang Guo, *Hodge-Tate decomposition for non-smooth spaces*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **25** (2023), no. 4, 1553–1625; doi:10.4171/jems/1222; MR 4577971. 7, 9, 38

- [Har66] Robin Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Lecture notes of a seminar on the work of Alexander Grothendieck given at Harvard, 1963/64, With an appendix by Pierre Deligne, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1966; doi:10.1007/BFb0080482; MR 222093. 45, 47
- [Har70] ———, *Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties*, Notes written in collaboration with C. Musili, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970; doi:10.1007/BFb0067839; MR 282977. 36
- [Has] Kenta Hashizume, *Finiteness of log abundant log canonical pairs in log minimal model program with scaling*, Michigan Math. J. Advanced Publication (2023), 56 pp.; doi:10.1307/mmj/20226207. 3
- [HH95] Melvin Hochster and Craig Huneke, *Applications of the existence of big Cohen-Macaulay algebras*, Adv. Math. **113** (1995), no. 1, 45–117; doi:10.1006/aima.1995.1035; MR 1332808. 5, 56
- [Hir64] Heisuke Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **79** (1964), no. 1, 109–203; doi:10.2307/1970486; MR 199184. 2, 5, 7, 16, 33, 46, 49, 51, 56
- [Hir75] ———, *Flattening theorem in complex-analytic geometry*, Amer. J. Math. **97** (1975), no. 2, 503–547; doi:10.2307/2373721; MR 393556. 7, 16, 33
- [HM10] Christopher D. Hacon and James McKernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type. II*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 2, 469–490; doi:10.1090/S0894-0347-09-00651-1; MR 2601040. 2
- [HO08] Mitsuyasu Hashimoto and Masahiro Ohtani, *Local cohomology on diagrams of schemes*, Michigan Math. J. **57** (2008): Special volume in honor of Melvin Hochster, 383–425, See also [HO09]; doi:10.1307/mmj/1220879415; MR 2492459. 45
- [HO09] ———, *Errata: “Local cohomology on diagrams of schemes,”* Michigan Math. J. **58** (2009), no. 2, 599–600; doi:10.1307/mmj/1250169078; MR 2595555. 62
- [Hub94] Roland Huber, *A generalization of formal schemes and rigid analytic varieties*, Math. Z. **217** (1994), no. 4, 513–551; doi:10.1007/BF02571959; MR 1306024. 8, 9
- [Hub96] ———, *Étale cohomology of rigid analytic varieties and adic spaces*, Aspects Math., vol. E30, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1996; doi:10.1007/978-3-663-09991-8; MR 1734903. 9, 30, 57, 59
- [Hub07] ———, *A finiteness result for the compactly supported cohomology of rigid analytic varieties. II*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **57** (2007), no. 3, 973–1017; doi:10.5802/aif.2283; MR 2336836. 4, 35, 41, 55
- [Ill72] Luc Illusie, *Complexe cotangent et déformations. II*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 283, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972; doi:10.1007/BFb0059573; MR 491681. 28, 29
- [Joh02<sub>1</sub>] Peter T. Johnstone, *Sketches of an elephant: a topos theory compendium. Vol. 1*, Oxford Logic Guides, vol. 43, The Clarendon Press, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 2002; doi:10.1093/oso/9780198534259.001.0001; MR 1953060. 14, 24, 28, 32, 34
- [Kan09] Akihiro Kanamori, *The higher infinite. Large cardinals in set theory from their beginnings*, Second edition, Paperback reprint of the 2003 edition, Springer Monogr. Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009; doi:10.1007/978-3-540-88867-3; MR 2731169. 8
- [Kaw82] Yujiro Kawamata, *A generalization of Kodaira-Ramanujam’s vanishing theorem*, Math. Ann. **261** (1982), no. 1, 43–46; doi:10.1007/BF01456407; MR 675204. 2
- [Kaw85] ———, *Pluricanonical systems on minimal algebraic varieties*, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), no. 3, 567–588; doi:10.1007/BF01388524; MR 782236. 3, 4, 5, 44, 50
- [Kaw15] Masayuki Kawakita, *A connectedness theorem over the spectrum of a formal power series ring*, Internat. J. Math. **26** (2015), no. 11, 1550088, 27 pp.; doi:10.1142/S0129167X15500883; MR 3413983. 2
- [KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, With the collaboration of C. Herbert Clemens and Alessio Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original, Cambridge Tracts in Math., vol. 134, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998; doi:10.1017/CB09780511662560; MR 1658959. 49
- [KMM87] Yujiro Kawamata, Katsumi Matsuda, and Kenji Matsuki, *Introduction to the minimal model problem*, Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 283–360; doi:10.2969/aspm/01010283; MR 946243. 2
- [Kol86] János Kollár, *Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **123** (1986), no. 1, 11–42; doi:10.2307/1971351; MR 825838. 4, 51, 52, 56
- [Kol13] ———, *Singularities of the minimal model program*, With a collaboration of Sándor Kovács, Cambridge Tracts in Math., vol. 200, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2013; doi:10.1017/CB09781139547895; MR 3057950. 16, 43
- [Kol23] ———, *Families of varieties of general type*, With the collaboration of Klaus Altmann and Sándor J. Kovács, Cambridge Tracts in Math., vol. 231, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2023; doi:10.1017/9781009346115; MR 4566297. 2

- [Köp74] Ursula Köpf, *Über eigentliche Familien algebraischer Varietäten über affinoiden Räumen*, Schr. Math. Inst. Univ. Münster (2) (1974), Heft 7, iv+72 pp.; MR [422671](#). [4](#), [41](#), [55](#)
- [KSB88] János Kollár and Nicholas I. Shepherd-Barron, *Threefolds and deformations of surface singularities*, Invent. Math. **91** (1988), no. 2, 299–338; doi:[10.1007/BF01389370](#); MR [922803](#). [2](#)
- [Lip78] Joseph Lipman, *Desingularization of two-dimensional schemes*, Ann. of Math. (2) **107** (1978), no. 1, 151–207; doi:[10.2307/1971141](#); MR [491722](#). [47](#), [51](#)
- [LM] Shiji Lyu and Takumi Murayama, *The relative minimal model program for excellent algebraic spaces and analytic spaces in equal characteristic zero*, Aug. 28, 2023; arXiv:[2209.08732v2](#) [math.AG]. [2](#), [4](#), [8](#), [35](#), [51](#), [53](#), [56](#)
- [LO08] Yves Laszlo and Martin Olsson, *The six operations for sheaves on Artin stacks. I. Finite coefficients*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2008), no. 107, 109–168; doi:[10.1007/s10240-008-0011-6](#); MR [2434692](#). [9](#), [30](#)
- [LT81] Joseph Lipman and Bernard Teissier, *Pseudorational local rings and a theorem of Briançon-Skoda about integral closures of ideals*, Michigan Math. J. **28** (1981), no. 1, 97–116; doi:[10.1307/mmj/1029002461](#); MR [600418](#). [50](#)
- [LZ] Yifeng Liu and Weizhe Zheng, *Enhanced six operations and base change theorem for higher Artin stacks*, Sep. 26, 2017, arXiv:[1211.5948v3](#) [math.AG]. [9](#), [30](#)
- [Ma18] Linqun Ma, *The vanishing conjecture for maps of Tor and derived splinters*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **20** (2018), no. 2, 315–338; doi:[10.4171/JEMS/768](#); MR [3760297](#). [5](#), [43](#), [56](#)
- [Man23] Lucas Mann, *Normal and irreducible adic spaces, the openness of finite morphisms, and a Stein factorization*, Nagoya Math. J. **250** (2023), 498–510; doi:[10.1017/nmj.2022.40](#); MR [4583138](#). [9](#)
- [Mat73] Hideyuki Matsumura, *Formal power series rings over polynomial rings. I*, Number theory, algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, in honor of Yasuo Akizuki, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1973, pp. 511–520; MR [366909](#). [9](#)
- [Mat80] ———, *Commutative algebra*, Second edition, Mathematics Lecture Note Series, vol. 56, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, MA, 1980; MR [575344](#). [43](#)
- [McL10] Colin McLarty, *What does it take to prove Fermat’s last theorem? Grothendieck and the logic of number theory*, Bull. Symbolic Logic **16** (2010), no. 3, 359–377; doi:[10.2178/bsl/1286284558](#); MR [2731249](#). [8](#)
- [McL20] ———, *The large structures of Grothendieck founded on finite-order arithmetic*, Rev. Symb. Log. **13** (2020), no. 2, 296–325; doi:[10.1017/s1755020319000340](#); MR [4092251](#). [8](#)
- [McP11] Clint McCrory and Adam Parusiński, *The weight filtration for real algebraic varieties*, Topology of stratified spaces, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 58, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011, pp. 121–160; <https://library.slmath.org/books/Book58/files/22mccrory.pdf>; MR [2796410](#). [7](#), [57](#)
- [McP14] ———, *The weight filtration for real algebraic varieties II: classical homology*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **108** (2014), no. 1, 63–94; doi:[10.1007/s13398-012-0098-y](#); MR [3183108](#). [7](#), [57](#)
- [Mur] Takumi Murayama, *Relative vanishing theorems for  $\mathbf{Q}$ -schemes*, Apr. 6, 2024; arXiv:[2101.10397v5](#) [math.AG]. [2](#), [4](#), [43](#), [45](#), [46](#), [47](#), [48](#), [49](#), [50](#), [51](#), [56](#)
- [NA87] Vicente Navarro Aznar, *Sur la théorie de Hodge-Deligne*, Invent. Math. **90** (1987), no. 1, 11–76; doi:[10.1007/BF01389031](#); MR [906579](#). [32](#)
- [Nak87] Noboru Nakayama, *The lower semicontinuity of the plurigenera of complex varieties*, Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 551–590; doi:[10.2969/aspm/01010551](#); MR [946250](#). [2](#)
- [Nak04] ———, *Zariski-decomposition and abundance*, MSJ Memoirs, vol. 14, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2004; doi:[10.2969/msjmemoirs/014010000](#); MR [2104208](#). [9](#)
- [Ohs84] Takeo Ohsawa, *Vanishing theorems on complete Kähler manifolds*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **20** (1984), no. 1, 21–38; doi:[10.2977/prims/1195181825](#); MR [736089](#). [4](#)
- [Poi10] Jérôme Poineau, *Raccord sur les espaces de Berkovich*, Algebra Number Theory **4** (2010), no. 3, 297–334; doi:[10.2140/ant.2010.4.297](#); MR [2602668](#). [4](#), [41](#), [55](#)
- [PRiP09] Pere Pascual and Llorenç Rubió i Pons, *Algebraic  $K$ -theory and cubical descent*, Homology Homotopy Appl. **11** (2009), no. 2, 5–25; doi:[10.4310/HHA.2009.v11.n2.a2](#); MR [2529230](#). [32](#)
- [PS08] Chris A. M. Peters and Joseph H. M. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structures*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3), vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008; doi:[10.1007/978-3-540-77017-6](#); MR [2393625](#). [15](#), [17](#)
- [RiP07] Llorenç Rubió i Pons, *Model categories and cubical descent*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (3) **13** (2007), no. 2, 293–305; [https://www.smm.org.mx/boletin\\_anterior/v13/n2.pdf](https://www.smm.org.mx/boletin_anterior/v13/n2.pdf); MR [2472507](#). [32](#)
- [Sch13] Peter Scholze,  *$p$ -adic Hodge theory for rigid-analytic varieties*, Forum Math. Pi **1** (2013), e1, 77 pp., See also [Sch16]; doi:[10.1017/fmp.2013.1](#); MR [3090230](#). [9](#), [30](#), [39](#), [41](#), [58](#), [59](#)

- [Sch16] ———, *p-adic Hodge theory for rigid-analytic varieties—corrigendum*, Forum Math. Pi **4** (2016), e6, 4 pp.; doi:10.1017/fmp.2016.4; MR 3535697. 39, 63
- [Sch] ———, *Étale cohomology of diamonds*, Jan. 27, 2022; arXiv:1709.07343v3 [math.AG]. 59
- [SGA1<sub>new</sub>] *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1960–1961 (SGA 1), Dirigé par Alexander Grothendieck, Augmenté de deux exposés de Michèle Raynaud, Updated and annotated reprint of the 1971 original, Doc. Math. (Paris), vol. 3, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2003; MR 2017446. 8, 9, 11, 30, 59
- [SGA4<sub>1</sub>] *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 1: Théorie des topos*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), Dirigé par Michael Artin, Alexander Grothendieck et Jean-Louis Verdier, Avec la collaboration de Nicolas Bourbaki, Pierre Deligne et Bernard Saint-Donat, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 269, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972; doi:10.1007/BFb0081551; MR 354652. 8, 29
- [SGA4<sub>2</sub>] *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 2*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), Dirigé par Michael Artin, Alexander Grothendieck et Jean-Louis Verdier. Avec la collaboration de Nicolas Bourbaki, Pierre Deligne et Bernard Saint-Donat, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 270, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972; doi:10.1007/BFb0061319; MR 354653. 4, 8, 28
- [SGA4<sub>3</sub>] *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 3*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), Dirigé par Michael Artin, Alexander Grothendieck et Jean-Louis Verdier. Avec la collaboration de Pierre Deligne et Bernard Saint-Donat, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 305, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973; doi:10.1007/BFb0070714; MR 354654. 8, 30, 57, 59
- [SGA5] *Cohomologie l-adique et fonctions L*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1965–1966 (SGA 5), Dirigé par Alexander Grothendieck. Avec la collaboration de Ionel Bucur, Christian Houzel, Luc Illusie, Jean-Pierre Jouanolou et Jean-Pierre Serre, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 589, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977; doi:10.1007/BFb0096802; MR 491704. 8, 59
- [Siu69] Yum Tong Siu, *Noether-Lasker decomposition of coherent analytic subsheaves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (1969), 375–385; doi:10.2307/1995021; MR 234019. 8
- [SS91] Peter Schneider and Ulrich Stuhler, *The cohomology of p-adic symmetric spaces*, Invent. Math. **105** (1991), no. 1, 47–122; doi:10.1007/BF01232257; MR 1109620. 9
- [Stacks] The Stacks project authors, *The Stacks project*, 2024; https://stacks.math.columbia.edu. 8, 9, 16, 24, 28, 29, 31, 46, 57, 58, 59
- [Ste83] Joseph H. M. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structures associated with isolated singularities*, Singularities, Part 2 (Arcata, Calif., 1981), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 40, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983, pp. 513–536; doi:10.1090/pspum/040.2/713277; MR 713277. 42
- [Ste17] ———, *Some remarks on hyperresolutions*, Singularities and computer algebra, Springer, Cham, 2017, pp. 323–327; doi:10.1007/978-3-319-28829-1\_15; MR 3675733. 5, 6, 15, 16, 19, 20, 21
- [Tan71] S. G. Tankeev, *On n-dimensional canonically polarized varieties and varieties of fundamental type*, Translated by D. L. Johnson, Math. USSR Izvestija **5** (1971), no. 1, 29–43; doi:10.1070/IM1971v005n01ABEH001005; MR 277528. 4, 51
- [Tem12] Michael Temkin, *Functorial desingularization of quasi-excellent schemes in characteristic zero: the nonembedded case*, Duke Math. J. **161** (2012), no. 11, 2207–2254; doi:10.1215/00127094-1699539; MR 2957701. 5, 9, 42
- [Tem18] ———, *Functorial desingularization over  $\mathbf{Q}$ : boundaries and the embedded case*, Israel J. Math. **224** (2018), no. 1, 455–504; doi:10.1007/s11856-018-1656-6; MR 3799764. 5, 18
- [Tot02] Burt Totaro, *Topology of singular algebraic varieties*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Beijing, 2002), Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2002, pp. 533–541; doi:10.1142/4962; MR 1957063. 7, 57
- [Vie82] Eckart Viehweg, *Vanishing theorems*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **335** (1982), 1–8; doi:10.1515/crll.1982.335.1; MR 667459. 2
- [VP] David Villalobos-Paz, *Moishezon spaces and projectivity criteria*, May 30, 2021; arXiv:2105.14630v1 [math.AG]. 2
- [Wlo03] Jarosław Włodarczyk, *Toroidal varieties and the weak factorization theorem*, Invent. Math. **154** (2003) (2003), no. 2, 223–331; doi:10.1007/s00222-003-0305-8; MR 2013783. 7, 33
- [Yas09] Takehiko Yasuda, *Non-adic formal schemes*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2009), no. 13, 2417–2475; doi:10.1093/imrn/rnp021; MR 2520785. 9
- [Zav] Bogdan Zavyalov, *Notes on adic geometry*, Version of Mar. 6, 2024; https://bogdanzavyalov.com/refs/notes/adic\_notes.pdf. 9



DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, 150 N. UNIVERSITY STREET, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN  
47907-2067, USA

*Email address:* [murayama@purdue.edu](mailto:murayama@purdue.edu)

*URL:* <https://www.math.purdue.edu/~murayama/>