

Strong field behavior of Wang-Yau Quasi-local energy

Bowen Zhao¹, Lars Andersson¹, and Shing-Tung Yau²

¹*Beijing Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, Beijing, China*

²*Yau Mathematical Sciences Center, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China*

Abstract

We look at the strong field behavior of the Wang-Yau quasi-local energy. In particular, we examine the limit of the Wang-Yau quasi-local energy as the defining spacelike 2-surface Σ approaches an apparent horizon from outside. Assuming any smooth isometric embedding, we find that the Wang-Yau quasi-local energy blows up in this limit, unless the horizon can be isometrically embedded into R^3 . Moreover, if the horizon cannot be embedded into \mathbb{R}^3 , the optimal embedding equation is not solvable for Σ near the horizon. We discuss the implications of our results in the conclusion section.

1 Introduction

The energy-momentum tensor in general relativity only accounts for matter contributions. To preserve the notion of energy conservation, it is necessary to consider gravitational energy. The equivalence principle of Einstein gravity theory excludes the existence of a point-wise gravitational energy density. On the other hand, there exist well-defined notions of total gravitational energy for an asymptotically flat spacetime $\mathcal{M}^{3,1}$, e.g. ADM mass at spatial infinity

and Bondi mass at null infinity. A quasi-local mass aims to measure gravitational energy within a finite, extended region, whose definition remains a major unresolved problem in general relativity [Pen82, Col17].

There exist several propositions for quasi-local energy/mass, e.g. Hawking mass [Haw68], Bartnik mass [Bar89], Brown-York mass [BYJ93], Liu-Yau mass [LY03, LY06] etc. However, they all possess some undesirable features [Sza09, Wan15]. For example, the Hawking mass could be negative even in the Minkowski spacetime; the Bartnik mass has no closed-form expression; the Brown-York mass depends on the choice of a spacelike 3-manifold Ω bounded by the 2-surface under consideration. Moreover, there exist surfaces in the Minkowski spacetime with strictly positive Liu-Yau mass as well as Brown-York mass [MST04], violating the rigidity property that surfaces in the Minkowski spacetime should have zero mass. In order to overcome these difficulties, Wang and Yau proposed a definition that incorporates both metric and momentum information about the 2-surface under consideration [WY09a, WY09c]. Their definition is intrinsic to the spacelike 2-surface Σ under consideration and most importantly, the positivity was proved.

The Wang-Yau quasi-local mass was shown to be consistent with the ADM mass at spatial infinity [WY10] and Bondi mass at null infinity [CWY11]. It also attains a small-sphere limit that's related to either the energy-momentum tensor (non-vacuum spacetime) or the Bel-Robinson tensor (vacuum spacetime) [CWY18a]. In establishing these limits, the fourth order optimal embedding equation was solved perturbatively, assuming the solution is close to an isometric embedding into R^3 . These limits all fall in the weak field regime. It is also important to examine the limit of Wang-Yau quasi-local energy in the strong field regime. In this paper, we examine the limit of the Wang-Yau quasi-local energy as a spacelike 2-surface Σ approaches an apparent horizon from outside, assuming a smooth isometric embedding. We find that the Wang-Yau quasi-

local energy blows up as Σ approaches the horizon, unless the horizon can be isometrically embedded into \mathbb{R}^3 . Moreover, if the horizon can not be isometrically embedded into \mathbb{R}^3 , the optimal embedding equation is not solvable for surfaces near the horizon.

This paper is organized as follows. We briefly review the setup of Wang-Yau quasi-local energy/mass in section 2. We examine the limit of Wang-Yau quasi-local energy and solution to the optimal embedding equation as Σ approaches the horizon from outside in section 3. We discuss the implication of our results about the Wang-Yau quasi-local energy and the optimal embedding equation in section 4.

2 Review of Wang-Yau quasi-local energy

The Wang-Yau quasi-local energy is defined as a difference between a reference term and a physical term, like Brown-York mass and Liu-Yau mass. This ensures that for surfaces lying in the reference spacetime, typically taken as $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$, the quasi-local energy vanishes. Let Σ be a spacelike 2-surface from a general spacetime $\mathcal{M}^{3,1}$. We denote covariant derivative on Σ by ∇ and covariant derivative in $\mathcal{M}^{3,1}$ by $\bar{\nabla}$. The Wang-Yau quasi-local energy requires the following information about $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{M}^{3,1}$: the metric $d\sigma^2$, the mean curvature vector H which is assumed to be spacelike and the connection one-form

$$\alpha_H = \left\langle \bar{\nabla} \frac{-H}{|H|}, \frac{J}{|H|} \right\rangle$$

where $|H| = |J| = \sqrt{\langle H, H \rangle}$ with J the reflection of H along the ingoing null cone in the normal bundle $N\Sigma$.

Let $X : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ be an isometric embedding and denote the image by $\Sigma_0 = X(\Sigma)$. Also fix a future-pointing, timelike, unit vector T_0 in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{3,1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be the projection along T_0 . Denote the projected image by

$\hat{\Sigma} = \pi \circ X(\Sigma)$. One records the following information about the isometric embedding Σ_0 , the time function along T_0

$$\tau = -\langle X, T_0 \rangle$$

the mean curvature H_0 and the connection one-form

$$\alpha_{H_0} = \left\langle \nabla^{\mathbb{R}^{3,1}} \frac{-H_0}{|H_0|}, \frac{J_0}{|H_0|} \right\rangle$$

where $\nabla^{\mathbb{R}^{3,1}}$ is the covariant derivative for $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ and J_0 the reflection of H_0 along the ingoing null cone in the normal bundle $N\Sigma_0$.

The isometric embedding X induces a natural bijection between the two tangent spaces $T\Sigma$ and $T\Sigma_0$. One builds a bijection between the two normal bundles $N\Sigma$ and $N\Sigma_0$ through the canonical gauge condition

$$\langle T_0, H_0 \rangle = \langle T, H \rangle \tag{1}$$

The unit, future-pointing, time-like vector T_0 (T) determines a unique timelike unit normal u_0 (u) for Σ_0 (Σ) through

$$T_0 = \sqrt{1 + |\nabla\tau|^2} u_0 - \nabla\tau, \quad T = \sqrt{1 + |\nabla\tau|^2} u - \nabla\tau$$

Complete the basis for the normal bundle through

$$\langle v_0, u_0 \rangle = \langle v_0, T_0 \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle = \langle v, T \rangle = 0$$

This yields a *canonical* basis $\{v_0, u_0\}$ for the normal bundle $N\Sigma_0$ and a *canonical* basis $\{v, u\}$ for the normal bundle $N\Sigma$. The bijection between $N\Sigma$ and $N\Sigma_0$ is built through $u \longleftrightarrow u_0$ and $v \longleftrightarrow v_0$.

Further, [WY09b] employed the frame independent mean curvature vector

H_0 and its conjugate vector J_0 to define a *reference* basis for $N\Sigma_0$,

$$e_{H_0} = -\frac{H_0}{|H_0|}, e_{J_0} = \frac{J_0}{|H_0|}$$

The basis transformation between the *reference* and the *canonical* basis is

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 &= \cosh \theta_0 e_{H_0} - \sinh \theta_0 e_{J_0} \\ u_0 &= -\sinh \theta_0 e_{H_0} + \cosh \theta_0 e_{J_0} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\sinh \theta_0 = \frac{-\Delta\tau}{|H_0|\sqrt{1+|\nabla\tau|^2}}$$

Similarly, one can define $\{e_H = -\frac{H}{|H|}, e_J = \frac{J}{|J|}\}$ as the *reference* basis for $N\Sigma$.

The basis transformation in $\mathcal{M}^{3,1}$ is similar as above but with

$$\sinh \theta = \frac{-\Delta\tau}{|H|\sqrt{1+|\nabla\tau|^2}} \quad (2)$$

The Wang-Yau quasi-local energy is defined as

$$QLE = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\int_{\hat{\Sigma}} \hat{k} - \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{1+|\nabla\tau|^2} |H| \cosh \theta - \nabla\tau \cdot \nabla\theta - \alpha_H(\nabla\tau) \right) \quad (3)$$

where \hat{k} is the mean curvature of $\hat{\Sigma} = \pi \circ X(\Sigma)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . The first integral is the reference term while the second term is the physical term. We also recall that

$$\int_{\hat{\Sigma}} \hat{k} = \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{(\Delta\tau)^2 + |H_0|^2(1+|\nabla\tau|^2)} + \nabla\tau \cdot \nabla\theta_0 - \alpha_{H_0}(\nabla\tau)$$

By a theorem by Pogorelov [Pog64], a positive definite metric on a topological 2-sphere Σ with Gaussian curvature $K_{\Sigma} > -\kappa^2$ can be isometrically embedded into any hyperboloid in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ with $\eta_{\mu\nu}X^{\mu}X^{\nu} = C$ where $C > -\frac{1}{\kappa^2}$. So an isometric embedding $X : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ is far from unique. Wang and Yau defined the minimum of quasi-local energy among all possible isometric embed-

dings as the quasi-local mass. The variational problem can be solved through varying the time function $\tau = \langle X, T_0 \rangle$. The Euler-Lagrangian equation or the optimal embedding equation is

$$\nabla \cdot j = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$j = \rho \nabla \tau - \alpha_{v_0} + \alpha_v = \rho \nabla \tau - \alpha_{H_0} - \nabla \theta_0 + \alpha_H + \nabla \theta \quad (5)$$

where $\alpha_{v_0} = \langle \nabla^{\mathbb{R}^{3,1}} v_0, u_0 \rangle = \alpha_{H_0} + \nabla \theta_0$ and $\alpha_v = \langle \bar{\nabla} v, u \rangle = \alpha_H + \nabla \theta$. The solvability of the optimal embedding equation is only proved in the large sphere and small sphere limit [CWY11, CWY18b].

Lastly, we note that the canonical gauge (1) is equivalent to

$$\langle u_0, H_0 \rangle = \langle u, H \rangle \quad (6)$$

which matches the “time” component of mean curvature vectors. Difference in the other component of mean curvature vectors measures the gravitational energy through the mass energy surface density

$$\rho = \frac{\langle H, v \rangle - \langle H_0, v_0 \rangle}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \tau|^2}} = \frac{\sqrt{|H_0|^2 + \frac{\Delta \tau}{1 + |\nabla \tau|^2}} - \sqrt{|H|^2 + \frac{\Delta \tau}{1 + |\nabla \tau|^2}}}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \tau|^2}}$$

In terms of ρ and j , the quasi-local energy is

$$QLE = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \rho + j \cdot \nabla \tau$$

which reduces to the quasi-local mass after imposing optimal embedding equation $\nabla \cdot j = 0$

$$QLM = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \rho$$

3 Limit of Wang-Yau quasi-local energy at an apparent horizon

We first observe that the canonical gauge condition (1) cannot be extended to an horizon where the mean curvature vector H becomes null. Consider a future-untrapped 2-surface Σ with outgoing null expansion $\Theta_+ > 0$ while ingoing null expansion $\Theta_- < 0$. A future-pointing, timelike, unit vector T decomposes as

$$T = Nu + \vec{N}$$

where $N > 0$, $\vec{N} \in T\Sigma$ and u is a future-pointing, unit normal vector. Let $\{u, v\}$ form an orthonormal basis for $N\Sigma$. In this basis, one has

$$H = -kv + pu, \quad \Theta_{\pm} = p \pm k$$

where $k = -\langle H, v \rangle = \frac{\Theta_+ - \Theta_-}{2} > 0$ and $p = -\langle H, u \rangle$. As Σ approaches an apparent horizon, $\Theta_+ \rightarrow 0$ and $|H| = \sqrt{-\Theta_+ \Theta_-} \rightarrow 0$ while $k = -p > 0$ at the horizon. Then at an horizon,

$$\langle H, T \rangle = \langle -k(u + v), Nu + \vec{N} \rangle = kN > 0$$

On the other hand, $\langle T_0, H_0 \rangle$, unless vanish identically, cannot be sign definite over Σ as

$$\langle T_0, H_0 \rangle = -\Delta\tau$$

where $H_0 = \Delta X$ and $\tau = -\langle X, T_0 \rangle$ is the time function for an isometric embedding $X : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. Therefore, the canonical gauge (1) cannot hold at an horizon.

Moreover, the frame-independent *reference* basis $\{e_H = \frac{-H}{|H|}, e_J = \frac{J}{|H|}\}$ for the normal bundle $N\Sigma$ fails to be defined since $H = -J$ and $|H| = 0$ at

an horizon. Nevertheless, one can examine the limit of Wang-Yau quasi-local energy expression as $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$.

Let us start with rewriting the Wang-Yau quasi-local energy and the optimal embedding equation. Recall that

$$\sinh^{-1}(x) = \ln(x + \sqrt{x^2 + 1}), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (7)$$

and that for Σ with spacelike H , the connection 1-form α_H on Σ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_H &= \left\langle \bar{\nabla} \frac{-H}{|H|}, \frac{J}{|H|} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{-1}{|H|^2} \left\langle \bar{\nabla} \frac{\Theta_+ l^- + \Theta_- l^+}{2}, \frac{\Theta_+ l^- - \Theta_- l^+}{2} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{\nabla |H|}{|H|} - \frac{\nabla \Theta_-}{\Theta_-} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{\nabla} l^-, l^+ \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $l^\pm = u \pm v$ are normalized to satisfy $\langle l^+, l^- \rangle = -2$. Then using (7) and (8), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_H + \nabla \theta &= \nabla \ln |H| - \nabla \ln |\Theta_-| - \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{\nabla} l^-, l^+ \rangle + \nabla \sinh^{-1} \frac{-\Delta \tau}{|H| \sqrt{1 + |\nabla \tau|^2}} \\ &= \nabla \ln \left[\frac{-\Delta \tau}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \tau|^2}} + \sqrt{|H|^2 + \frac{(\Delta \tau)^2}{1 + |\nabla \tau|^2}} \right] - \nabla \ln |\Theta_-| - \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{\nabla} l^-, l^+ \rangle \end{aligned}$$

From now on we denote $y = \ln \left[\frac{-\Delta \tau}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \tau|^2}} + \sqrt{|H|^2 + \frac{(\Delta \tau)^2}{1 + |\nabla \tau|^2}} \right]$. We reach at the following form of the Wang-Yau quasi-local energy (without imposing the optimal embedding equation) and the optimal embedding equation.

Lemma 3.1. *The Wang-Yau quasi-local energy can be written as*

$$\begin{aligned} 8\pi QLE &= \\ &= \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} \hat{k} - \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{(\Delta \tau)^2 + |H|^2(1 + |\nabla \tau|^2)} + \nabla \tau \cdot \nabla \ln |\Theta_-| - \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{\nabla} l^-, l^+ \rangle + \tau \Delta y \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

while the optimal embedding equation can be written as

$$\Delta y = -\nabla \cdot \left[\rho \nabla \tau - \nabla \theta_0 - \alpha_{H_0} - \nabla \ln |\Theta_-| + \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{\nabla} l^-, l^+ \rangle \right] \quad (10)$$

To study the limit of $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$, we begin with the following observation.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that an isometric embedding of Σ remains smooth such that $|\nabla \tau|$, $\Delta \tau$ all remain bounded as Σ approaches an horizon from outside, i.e. $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$. Further assume that $|\Theta_-| = -\Theta_-$ is bounded away from zero for the horizon under consideration. Then in taking the limit $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$, the term involving Δy is the only term that may blow up in both the quasi-local energy expression and the optimal embedding equation.*

Proof. Let $\hat{\nabla}$ denote covariant derivative on the projected image $\hat{\Sigma} = \pi \circ X(\Sigma)$.

Recall that

$$1 + |\nabla \tau|^2 = \frac{1}{1 - |\hat{\nabla} \tau|^2}$$

So $|\nabla \tau|$ blowing up would indicate that the image of the isometric embedding turns to null locally, violating that the metric is positive definite. Also note that

$$\langle H_0, u_0 \rangle = \langle H_0, \frac{T_0 + \nabla \tau}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \tau|^2}} \rangle = \frac{-\Delta \tau}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \tau|^2}}$$

It thus seems reasonable to assume boundedness of $\Delta \tau$ and $|\nabla \tau|$ for the generic case. We emphasize that τ here is not a solution to the optimal embedding equation but any smooth isometric embedding of Σ into $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$.

The reference term of the quasi-local energy

$$\int_{\hat{\Sigma}} \hat{k} = \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{(\Delta \tau)^2 + |H_0|^2(1 + |\nabla \tau|^2)} + \Delta \tau \sinh^{-1} \frac{-\Delta \tau}{|H_0| \sqrt{1 + |\nabla \tau|^2}} - \alpha_{H_0}(\nabla \tau)$$

is not related to $|H|$ and hence remain bounded as $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$. Among the

physical terms,

$$\sqrt{(\Delta\tau)^2 + |H|^2(1 + |\nabla\tau|^2)} \rightarrow |\Delta\tau|, \quad |H| \rightarrow 0^+$$

is clearly bounded in the limit of $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$. Since $|\Theta_-| = -\Theta_-$ is assumed to be bounded away from 0 for the horizon under consideration, then the following two terms are also bounded

$$\nabla\tau \cdot \nabla \ln |\Theta_-| + \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla\tau} l^-, l^+ \rangle$$

Therefore, all terms in the quasi-local energy expression (9) remain bounded as $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$ except that $\int_{\Sigma} \tau \Delta y$ may blowup.

Now examine the optimal embedding equation. It is easy to see that

$$\rho \nabla\tau = \frac{\nabla\tau}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\tau|^2}} \left(\sqrt{|H_0|^2 + \frac{\Delta\tau}{1 + |\nabla\tau|^2}} - \sqrt{|H|^2 + \frac{\Delta\tau}{1 + |\nabla\tau|^2}} \right)$$

remains bounded as $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$. The other terms except Δy does not involve $|H|$ so all remain bounded in taking the limit $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$. \square

Indeed if the optimal embedding equation is solvable, one can plug (10) into (9) to get

$$QLM = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \rho = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\sqrt{|H_0|^2 + \frac{\Delta\tau}{1 + |\nabla\tau|^2}} - \sqrt{|H|^2 + \frac{\Delta\tau}{1 + |\nabla\tau|^2}}}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\tau|^2}}$$

which has a well-defined limit at an horizon assuming the isometric embedding remain smooth as $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$. This is simply stating that $QLE = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \rho + j \cdot \nabla\tau$ yields $QLM = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \rho$ when the optimal embedding equation $\nabla \cdot j = 0$ is

imposed. Therefore the problem reduces to study the integral

$$\int_{\Sigma} \tau \Delta y = \int_{\Sigma} \Delta \tau \ln [\sqrt{|H|^2(1 + |\nabla \tau|^2) + (\Delta \tau)^2} - \Delta \tau] - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \tau \ln(1 + |\nabla \tau|^2) \quad (11)$$

and in particular the term $\int_{\Sigma} \Delta \tau \ln [\sqrt{|H|^2(1 + |\nabla \tau|^2) + (\Delta \tau)^2} - \Delta \tau]$

Lemma 3.3. *Assume the same conditions as in Lemma 3.2. Then the integral*

$$\mathcal{I} = \int_{\Sigma} \Delta \tau \ln [\sqrt{|H|^2(1 + |\nabla \tau|^2) + (\Delta \tau)^2} - \Delta \tau]$$

blows up unless τ approaches to a constant function as $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$.

Proof. Let $z := \Delta \tau$ and take $|z| < C$ on the compact surface Σ . We need to examine the limit of the function

$$h(z) = z \ln(\sqrt{z^2 + a^2} - z), \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow 0$$

For $z \leq 0$, as $a \rightarrow 0$

$$h(z) = z \ln(\sqrt{z^2 + a^2} + |z|) \rightarrow z \ln |2z| \leq C \ln 2 + \max\{C \ln(C), \frac{1}{e}\}$$

For $0 < z \leq a$, let $\lambda = \frac{z}{a}$ then $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. As $a \rightarrow 0$

$$h(z) = \lambda a \ln [a\sqrt{1 + \lambda^2} - \lambda a] = \lambda a \ln a + \lambda a \ln(\sqrt{1 + \lambda^2} - \lambda) \rightarrow 0$$

where we used that $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} a \ln |a| = 0$.

For $z > a$, let $\lambda = \frac{a}{z} > 0$, which can be arbitrarily small as $a \rightarrow 0$. Now

$$h(z) = z \ln z + z \ln(\sqrt{1 + \lambda^2} - 1) \rightarrow z \ln z + z \ln\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda^4}{8} + \dots\right)$$

whose modulus can be arbitrary large as $|h(z)| \geq z \ln \frac{2}{\lambda^2} - C |\ln C|$ for $\lambda \ll 1$.

Therefore, if τ does not approach to a constant function as $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$, \mathcal{I} can be arbitrarily negative. \square

Remark 3.4. *On the other hand, if*

$$x := \lim_{|H| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta\tau}{|H|\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\tau|^2}} < \infty$$

i.e. τ approaches to a constant function in a faster or comparable rate as $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta\tau \ln \left(\sqrt{|H|^2(1 + |\nabla\tau|^2) + (\Delta\tau)^2} - \Delta\tau \right) \\ &= x \cdot (|H|\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\tau|^2}) \ln (|H|\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\tau|^2}) + x \ln[\sqrt{1 + x^2} - x] |H|\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\tau|^2} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

In this case, $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\lim_{|H| \rightarrow 0^+} QLE = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma} |H_0| \quad (12)$$

where H_0 is the mean curvature of the isometric embedding $\Sigma_0 = X(\Sigma)$.

From (9) and Lemma 3.3, it directly follows that that $QLE \rightarrow +\infty$ as $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$, unless τ approaches to a constant function toward the horizon. We summarize in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *Assume the same conditions as in Lemma 3.2. Then the Wang-Yau quasi-local energy blows up to $+\infty$ as Σ approaches the horizon, unless the horizon can be isometrically embedded into \mathbb{R}^3 .*

Note that

$$\int_{\Sigma} \tau \Delta y = \int_{\Sigma} \nabla\tau \cdot \left[\rho \nabla\tau - \nabla\theta_0 - \alpha_{H_0} - \nabla \ln |\Theta_-| - \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{\nabla} l^-, l^+ \rangle \right]$$

is a solvability condition for the optimal embedding equation (10). The RHS remains bounded as $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$, as was argued in Lemma 3.2. However, the LHS could be arbitrarily large as $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$ if the horizon cannot be embedded into \mathbb{R}^3 . We thus reach the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *Assume the same conditions as in Lemma 3.2, the optimal embedding equation does not admit a solution for untrapped surfaces near the horizon, unless the horizon can be isometrically embedded into \mathbb{R}^3 .*

Remark 3.7. *If instead*

$$x := \lim_{|H| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta \tau}{|H| \sqrt{1 + |\nabla \tau|^2}} < \infty$$

i.e. τ approaches to a constant function in a faster or comparable rate as $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$, then the solvability of the optimal embedding equation in the limit of $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$ reduces to the solvability of the following equation

$$\Delta \sinh^{-1} x = \lim_{|H| \rightarrow 0^+} \nabla \cdot \alpha_H \tag{13}$$

In Lemma 3.10 below, we build an explicit crossing-horizon foliation near the horizon, for which

$$\nabla \cdot \alpha_H = \Delta \ln |H| - \Delta \ln |\Theta_-| - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \langle \bar{\nabla} l^-, l^+ \rangle$$

remains bounded as $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$. Then the RHS of (13) is bounded and independent of τ . The solvability of (13) will be studied elsewhere.

In particular, for coordinate spheres, including the horizon, of a Kerr black hole, $\nabla \cdot \alpha_H = 0$. Then $x = 0$ solves the optimal embedding equation in the limit $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$. As noted in Remark 3.4, the quasi-local mass also attains a finite limit

$$\lim_{|H| \rightarrow 0} QLM = \int_{\Sigma} |H_0|$$

Remark 3.8. *The results here are consistent with the previous extension of Wang-Yau quasi-local mass to an horizon in the numerical study [PKZA⁺23] through*

$$QLM = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma} |H_0|$$

where τ was shown to approach a constant toward the horizon at a particular rate to maintain $\alpha_H + \nabla\theta = 0$ ($j \equiv 0$ in this axisymmetric, vanishing-angular-momentum case).

Remark 3.9. *It is known that the outer horizon (and nearby surfaces) of a rapidly rotating ($a > \sqrt{3}/2M$) Kerr black hole has negative Gaussian curvature near the poles; furthermore, explicit calculations show that in this case the outer horizon (and nearby surfaces) does not admit an (axisymmetric) isometric embedding into \mathbb{R}^3 [MRWY18]. Theorem 3.5 and 3.6 then imply that for a rapidly rotating Kerr black hole, the Wang-Yau quasi-local energy blows up as Σ approaches the horizon and the optimal embedding equation is not solvable for surfaces near the horizon.*

Let us build an explicit foliation near an horizon, for which α_H and $\nabla \cdot \alpha_H$ remain bounded as $|H| \rightarrow 0$. We only consider strictly stable horizons here and leave the marginally stable case to a separate paper.

Lemma 3.10. *Let Σ be a strictly stable horizon, whose ingoing null expansion $\Theta_- < 0$ is bounded away from 0. Around Σ , one can build a horizon crossing foliation $\Sigma(t)$ for $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, with $\Sigma = \Sigma(t = 0)$ and positive t labelling surfaces lying outside Σ while negative t labelling surfaces lying inside Σ . For this foliation, $\frac{\nabla|H|}{|H|}$, α_H and $\nabla \cdot \alpha_H$ all remain bounded as $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$.*

Proof. Let us consider the outside region of the horizon, i.e. the untrapped region. A similar argument applies to the trapped region by reversing the direction of the normal. First recall that an apparent horizon Σ as the outermost MOTS is stable [AEM11]. Let L_{Σ} be the MOST stability operator for Σ ,

which then has nonnegative principal eigenvalue $\lambda \geq 0$. Since we assume Σ is strictly stable, $\lambda > 0$. Let φ be the corresponding positive eigenfunction, i.e. $L\varphi = \lambda\varphi > 0$. Consider the flow

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = \varphi\nu$$

with $F(t=0) = \Sigma$ being the horizon. Recall that the variation of outgoing null expansion at Σ under this flow is

$$\frac{d\Theta_+}{dt}|_{t=0} = L\varphi = \lambda\varphi > 0$$

For small t , as Σ_t approaches Σ , i.e. $t \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\frac{\nabla\Theta_+}{\Theta_+} \approx \frac{\nabla(\lambda\varphi t)}{\lambda\varphi t} = \lambda \frac{\nabla\varphi}{\varphi} < \infty$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{\nabla|H|}{|H|} = \frac{\nabla\sqrt{-\Theta_+\Theta_-}}{-\Theta_+\Theta_-} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\nabla\Theta_+}{\Theta_+} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\nabla|\Theta_-|}{|\Theta_-|} < \infty$$

where we used the assumption $|\Theta_-| = -\Theta_-$ is bounded away from 0. Recall that

$$\alpha_H = \frac{\nabla|H|}{|H|} - \frac{\nabla\Theta_-}{\Theta_-} - \frac{1}{2}\langle \bar{\nabla}l^-, l^+ \rangle$$

Then it follows directly that α_H and $\nabla \cdot \alpha_H$ also remain bounded. □

4 Conclusion and discussion

Note that the optimal embedding equation is a fourth order PDE for the time function τ , whose solution is difficult to characterize in general. However, there are cases where the solvability can be shown. Assuming the mean curvature vector is spacelike, i.e. $\langle H, H \rangle > 0$, constant τ is an solution to the

optimal embedding equation if and only if

$$\nabla \cdot \alpha_H = 0$$

as $\theta = \sinh^{-1} \frac{-\Delta\tau}{|H|\sqrt{1+|\nabla\tau|^2}} = 0$ and $\alpha_{v_0} = \langle \nabla^{\mathbb{R}^{3,1}} v_0, T_0 \rangle = 0$ when $\tau = 0$ and $|H| > 0$. One can show that coordinate spheres in a Kerr (or Kerr-Newman) black hole satisfy $\nabla \cdot \alpha_H = 0$. Let us start the discussion with a Kerr black hole.

For a large coordinate sphere, either in an asymptotically flat Cauchy slice or in a null cone, of a Kerr black hole, the Gaussian curvature is positive and hence can be embedded into \mathbb{R}^3 by Weyl's theorem [Nir53, Pog52]. So constant τ solves the optimal embedded equation and indeed yields a global minimum, i.e. the quasi-local mass. The horizon of a slowly rotating Kerr with $a < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}M$ still has positive Gaussian curvature. So for a slowly rotating Kerr black hole, taking τ to be a fixed constant function for coordinate spheres approaching the horizon yields a finite limit for the quasi-local mass and also solves the optimal embedding equation as $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$ (falls in the case of Remark 3.4 and 3.7). However, as noted above, the horizon of a rapidly rotating Kerr cannot be isometrically embedded into \mathbb{R}^3 . Then by Theorem 3.5, the quasi-local energy blows up as $|H| \rightarrow 0^+$ and by Theorem 3.6, the optimal embedding equation is not solvable near the horizon. This indicates that near the horizon of a rapidly rotating Kerr black hole, the minimum of the quasi-local energy, i.e. the quasi-local mass by definition, is not a critical point of the variational problem but merely lies on the boundary separating allowable τ functions from unallowable τ functions. This is what Figure 4 of the numerical study [MRWY18] shows. It remains a question whether there exists any solution to the optimal embedding equation for a general surface in Kerr which does not admit an isometric embedding into \mathbb{R}^3 . Also, as the surface under consideration moves from the infinity toward the horizon, the Wang-Yau quasi-local energy takes a different

trajectory in the phase for Kerr black holes with small versus large angular momentum. This is summarized in Figure 1.

One can easily generalize the above discussion with a Kerr black hole to an asymptotically flat spacetime. Bearing in mind that the Wang-Yau quasi-local energy is invariant under the action of the Poincare group on $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$, we will use $\tau = \text{const}$ and $\tau = 0$ interchangeably. Since a large coordinate sphere in an asymptotically flat spacetime, either in an asymptotically flat Cauchy slice or in a null cone, is close to a coordinate sphere in the Schwarzschild spacetime, one expects $\tau \sim o(r)$ solves the optimal embedding equation for them. Indeed, assuming that the optimal embedding is close to the isometric embedding into \mathbb{R}^3 , previous works solved the optimal embedding equation order by order and proved that the solution is a local minimum of the quasi-local energy for large spheres in an asymptotically flat Cauchy slice [WY10] and in a null cone [CWY11]). Therefore for large spheres near the infinity in an asymptotically flat spacetime, $\tau = 0$ (or any constant) is close to a solution of the optimal embedding equation and yields a local minimum of QLE. Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6 in section 3 applies a general black hole spacetime whose horizon satisfy mild assumptions in Lemma 3.2. Therefore, a similar scenario as depicted in Figure 1 applies to a general asymptotically flat, black hole spacetime. For a general black hole spacetime, presumably it is also the angular momentum that determines whether a horizon can be embedded into \mathbb{R}^3 or not. Thus, the Wang-Yau quasi-local energy exhibit different limits as Σ approaches an horizon for black hole spacetimes with different angular momentum.

Acknowledgement

We acknowledge Sergio Cecotti for his inspiring comments and discussions. We also acknowledge a discussion with Mu-Tao Wang during his visit in Beijing.




Figure 1: Illustration of Wang-Yau quasi-local energy as a functional of τ -function in the (r, a) space. Here a measures the angular momentum of the black hole spacetime and is related to whether the horizon can be embedded into \mathbb{R}^3 while r denotes the distance to the gravitational source and indicates the gravitational field strength. Dashed lines indicate that the Wang-Yau QLE blows up for such τ . Blue shading indicates that isometric embedding into $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ with such τ does not exist and hence not allowed. A phase transition related to the angular momentum is manifest.

References

- [AEM11] Lars Andersson, Michael Eichmair, and Jan Metzger. Jang’s equation and its applications to marginally trapped surfaces. *Complex Analysis and Dynamical Systems IV: Part, 2*:13–46, 2011.
- [Bar89] Robert Bartnik. New definition of quasilocal mass. *Physical review letters*, 62(20):2346, 1989.
- [BYJ93] J David Brown and James W York Jr. Quasilocal energy and conserved charges derived from the gravitational action. *Physical Review D*, 47(4):1407, 1993.
- [Col17] Alan A Coley. Open problems in mathematical physics. *Physica Scripta*, 92(9):093003, 2017.
- [CWY11] Po-Ning Chen, Mu-Tao Wang, and Shing-Tung Yau. Evaluating quasilocal energy and solving optimal embedding equation at null infinity. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 308:845–863, 2011.
- [CWY18a] Po-Ning Chen, Mu-Tao Wang, and Shing-Tung Yau. Evaluating small sphere limit of the wang–yau quasi-local energy. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 357:731–774, 2018.
- [CWY18b] Po-Ning Chen, Mu-Tao Wang, and Shing-Tung Yau. Evaluating small sphere limit of the wang–yau quasi-local energy. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 357:731–774, 2018.
- [Haw68] Stephen W Hawking. Gravitational radiation in an expanding universe. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 9(4):598–604, 1968.
- [LY03] Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu and Shing-Tung Yau. Positivity of quasilocal mass. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 90:231102, Jun 2003.
- [LY06] Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu and Shing-Tung Yau. Positivity of quasi-local mass II. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 19(1):181–204, 2006.

- [MRWY18] Warner A Miller, Shannon Ray, Mu-Tao Wang, and Shing-Tung Yau. Wang and yau’s quasi-local energy for an extreme kerr space-time. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 35(5):055007, 2018.
- [MST04] N. Ó Murchadha, L. B. Szabados, and K. P. Tod. Comment on “positivity of quasilocal mass”. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 92:259001, Jun 2004.
- [Nir53] Louis Nirenberg. The weyl and minkowski problems in differential geometry in the large. *Communications on pure and applied mathematics*, 6(3):337–394, 1953.
- [Pen82] R. Penrose. *Some Unsolved Problems in Classical General Relativity*, pages 631–668. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1982.
- [PKZA⁺23] Daniel Pook-Kolb, Bowen Zhao, Lars Andersson, Badri Krishnan, and Shing-Tung Yau. Properties of quasilocal mass in binary black hole mergers. *Physical Review D*, 108(12):124031, 2023.
- [Pog52] Aleksei Vasil’evich Pogorelov. Regularity of a convex surface with given gaussian curvature. *Matematicheskii Sbornik*, 73(1):88–103, 1952.
- [Pog64] AV Pogorelov. Some results on surface theory in the large. *Advances in mathematics*, 1(2):191–264, 1964.
- [Sza09] László B Szabados. Quasi-local energy-momentum and angular momentum in general relativity. *Living reviews in relativity*, 12:1–163, 2009.
- [Wan15] Mu-Tao Wang. Four lectures on quasi-local mass. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.02931*, 2015.
- [WY09a] Mu-Tao Wang and Shing-Tung Yau. Isometric embeddings into the minkowski space and new quasi-local mass. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 288(3):919–942, 2009.

- [WY09b] Mu-Tao Wang and Shing-Tung Yau. Isometric embeddings into the minkowski space and new quasi-local mass. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 288(3):919–942, 2009.
- [WY09c] Mu-Tao Wang and Shing-Tung Yau. Quasilocal mass in general relativity. *Physical review letters*, 102(2):021101, 2009.
- [WY10] Mu-Tao Wang and Shing-Tung Yau. Limit of quasilocal mass at spatial infinity. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 296(1):271–283, 2010.