

Reissner-Nordström dyonic black holes with gauged scalar hair

Carlos Herdeiro¹, Eugen Radu¹ and Yakov Shnir^{2,3,4},

¹Departamento de Matemática da Universidade de Aveiro and
Centre for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications (CIDMA),
Campus de Santiago, 3810-183 Aveiro, Portugal

²BLTP, JINR, Dubna 141980, Moscow Region, Russia

³Institute of Physics, Carl von Ossietzky University Oldenburg, Germany Oldenburg D-26111, Germany

⁴Hanse-Wissenschaftskolleg, Lehmkuhlenbusch 4, 27733 Delmenhorst, Germany

June 2024

Abstract

For gauged scalar fields minimally coupled to Einstein-Maxwell theory, the Mayo-Bekenstein no-hair theorem can be circumvented when including appropriate scalar self-interactions, allowing static, electrically charged black holes to be endowed with (Abelian) gauged scalar hair. Here we show these spherically symmetric solutions can be extended to include a magnetic charge in a model with scalar multiplets. The resulting dyonic configurations share most of the properties of the electrically charged solutions, in particular satisfying the same *resonance* condition, with the existence of a mass gap with respect to the bald Reissner-Nordström dyonic black holes. A distinctive feature, however, is that no solitonic limit exists for a non-zero magnetic charge.

1 Introduction

Even if magnetic monopoles are not realized in the physical world, there is surely much to be gained, in both physics and mathematics, by studying the theory of monopoles - for reviews, see, [1, 2]. In the simplest setting, these hypothetical particles naturally occur as sources in the electric-magnetic symmetric extension of Maxwell's equations. Moreover, their existence leads to far reaching consequences within the framework of quantum mechanics, since, as found by Dirac [3], it would explain electric charge quantization, with the simple relation (where we consider natural units with $\hbar = c = 1$):

$$qQ_m = \pm \frac{N}{2}, \quad N = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1.1)$$

Q_m being the magnetic charge and q the gauge coupling constant, *i.e.* the electric charge of field quanta.

The theory of Abelian monopoles is somewhat obscured by the unphysical string-like singularity¹ of the Dirac potential [3], as well as a rather cumbersome modification of the Lagrangian formulation of theory. Another undesirable feature is the existence of a central singularity², which, however, can be hidden beyond an event horizon when including General Relativity effects. In the absence of rotation, the uniqueness theorems [8] show that the resulting configuration corresponds to a Reissner-Nordström (RN) (magnetic) black hole (BH).

¹This singularity is removed in the Wu-Yang formulation of theory [4].

²The situation is different in models with non-Abelian fields. As found independently by 't Hooft [5] and Polyakov [6], globally regular, particle-like solutions with a magnetic charge may exist in $SU(2)$ -Yang-Mills-Higgs theory. Magnetic monopoles may exist also in the Standard Models of particle physics, although they possess a central singularity and an infinite mass [7].

The interaction between a magnetic monopole and a ($U(1)$ -gauged) scalar field is a well known problem, see *e.g.* [9]. Nevertheless, most of the studies have focused on the case of a flat spacetime background. In particular, to the best of our knowledge, the possible coexistence of $U(1)$ -gauged scalar fields with a RN magnetic BH has not been addressed in the literature.

In this context, a central result is the Mayo-Bekenstein no-hair theorem [10], showing the absence of gauged scalar hair for *electric* RN and scalar fields *without* self-interactions. A few years ago, however, it was pointed out that the Mayo-Bekenstein theorem *can* be circumvented and a static, spherically symmetric, electrically charged RN BH can be endowed with (Abelian) gauged scalar hair in the presence of *appropriate scalar self-interactions* [11, 12, 13]. This result came somehow as a surprise, since for a model with a global $U(1)$ invariance (*i.e.* an ungauged complex scalar field) the only spherically symmetric solution³ is the Schwarzschild BH [15].

The hairy BH configurations in [11, 12, 13] require the scalar field to be self-interacting, include a mass term and vanish at infinity, which excludes the case of a Higgs field. Their existence relies on the *resonance condition*:

$$\omega = q\Phi , \quad (1.2)$$

where $\omega > 0$ is the scalar field frequency and Φ is the electrostatic chemical potential. The hairy BHs possess a non-trivial horizonless (*i.e.* solitonic) limit, reducing to spherical, gauged boson stars [16, 17, 18].⁴

Electric-magnetic duality is a symmetry of Einstein-Maxwell theory, making electric and magnetically charged BHs belong to the same symmetry orbit [20, 21]. Since electric magnetic-duality is not a symmetry of the Einstein-Maxwell-scalar model allowing for the aforementioned hairy BHs, one may ask how the results above are affected by the presence of a magnetic charge. In particular: (i) do such hairy solutions exist in the absence of an electric charge? (ii) What is the generalization of the resonance condition (1.2) for $Q_m \neq 0$?

This work is a step to address these questions. We shall restrict our study to the case of a static, spherically symmetric spacetime. We first prove the absence of linear scalar clouds (*i.e.* bound states) on a dyonic RN background, in particular for a purely magnetic one. This does not exclude, however, the existence of non-linear solutions, which are the only clouds allowed in the $Q_m = 0$ limit [11, 12, 13]. Since a configuration with a single gauged scalar field cannot be spherically symmetric in the presence of a magnetic charge, we next consider a model with *scalar multiplets*. There we employ a specific ansatz which factorizes the angular dependence, such that the total energy-momentum tensor is consistent with a spherically symmetric geometry. The solutions presented - all of them numerical - are found for the simplest case of a model with two scalars and a unit magnetic charge monopole.

Using this framework, we prove that the RN dyonic BH (*i.e.* with both electric and magnetic charges) possesses generalizations with scalar hair. The existence of hairy solutions is still anchored in the resonance condition (1.2), which is not affected by the presence of a magnetic charge. Moreover, as in the purely electric case, the existence of hair requires the scalar field to be massive *and* self-interacting; no such solutions exist for massive but free scalar fields. As a new feature, we find that a smooth horizonless solitonic limit of the hairy BHs ceases to exist in the presence of a magnetic charge.

2 The action and field equations

We consider the $D = 3 + 1$ Einstein's gravity minimally coupled to a Maxwell field and a set of n massive, complex, gauged scalar fields $\Psi^{(k)}$. The Einstein-Maxwell-Klein-Gordon (EMKG) system is described by the action (with ‘*’ denoting complex conjugate)

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{R}{16\pi G} - \frac{1}{4} F_{ab} F^{ab} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} g^{ab} \left(D_a \Psi^{(k)*} D_b \Psi^{(k)} + D_b \Psi^{(k)*} D_a \Psi^{(k)} \right) - U(|\Psi|) \right] , \quad (2.1)$$

³Here, a number of physical conditions are assumed, but self-interactions are allowed - see the review work [14].

⁴A similar feature occurs for the two-component scalar Einstein-Maxwell-Friedberg-Lee-Sirlin model with a symmetry breaking potential [19].

where G is the gravitational constant, R is the Ricci scalar associated with the spacetime metric g_{ab} , $F_{ab} = \partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a$ is the Maxwell two-form, with A_a the gauge 4-potential. All scalar fields are gauged *w.r.t.* the same $U(1)$ field, with the gauged derivative

$$D_a \Psi^{(k)} = (\partial_a + iqA_a) \Psi^{(k)}. \quad (2.2)$$

the potential of the scalar fields, $U(|\Psi|) > 0$, is a function of $|\Psi^{(k)}|^2 = \Psi^{(k)*} \Psi^{(k)}$, and may contains interaction terms.

Variation of this action with respect to the metric, gauge potential and scalar fields gives the EMKG equations:

$$R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}R = 8\pi G \left[T_{ab}^{(\Psi)} + T_{ab}^{(\text{EM})} \right], \quad (2.3)$$

$$D_\alpha D^a \Psi^{(k)} = \frac{dU}{d|\Psi^{(k)}|^2} \Psi^{(k)}, \quad \nabla_a F^{ba} = iq \sum_{k=1}^n [\Psi^{(k)*} (D^b \Psi^{(k)}) - (D^b \Psi^{(k)*}) \Psi^{(k)}] \equiv qj^b, \quad (2.4)$$

where the two components of the energy-momentum tensor are

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ab}^{(\Psi)} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(D_a \Psi^{(k)*} D_b \Psi^{(k)} + D_b \Psi^{(k)*} D_a \Psi^{(k)} \right) - g_{ab} \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{g^{cd}}{2} \left(D_c \Psi^{(k)*} D_d \Psi^{(k)} + D_d \Psi^{(k)*} D_c \Psi^{(k)} \right) + U(|\Psi|) \right], \\ T_{ab}^{(\text{EM})} &= F_a{}^c F_{bc} - \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} F_{cd} F^{cd}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

This model is invariant under a *local* $U(1)$ gauge transformation $\Psi^{(k)} \rightarrow e^{-iq\chi(x^\alpha)} \Psi^{(k)}$, $A_a \rightarrow A_a + \partial_a \chi(x^\alpha)$, where $\chi(x^\alpha)$ is any real function. The Maxwell equations in (2.4) define a 4-current j^a , which is conserved, $\nabla_a j^a = 0$.

3 No (linear) scalar clouds in a dyonic RN background

Before addressing the full EMKG system, it is of interest to consider a decoupling limit, solving the Klein-Gordon (KG) equation on the background of a solution of the Einstein-Maxwell equations, and thus ignoring the backreaction of the scalars. The study of this *test field limit* is technically easier and informative about the fully non-linear system.

Restricting to spherical symmetry, the background corresponds to a RN dyonic BH with a line element

$$ds^2 = -H(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{H(r)} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2), \quad \text{with } H(r) \equiv 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{4\pi G(Q_e^2 + Q_m^2)}{r^2}, \quad (3.1)$$

and a $U(1)$ potential with the nonvanishing components $A_\varphi = Q_m \cos \theta$, $A_t = \frac{Q_e}{r}$, Q_m and Q_e representing the magnetic and electric charge, respectively, while M is the BH's mass. Observe that Q_m is not arbitrary, being subject to Dirac's quantization condition (1.1). The metric (3.1) possesses an (outer) event horizon at $r_H = M + \sqrt{M^2 - 4\pi G(Q_e^2 + Q_m^2)}$.

3.1 A single scalar field

The simplest case here corresponds to a single scalar field, $\Psi^{(1)} \equiv \Psi$, without self-interaction, $U(|\Psi|) = \mu^2 |\Psi|^2$, where μ is the field's mass. Then the KG equation admits separation of variables. The expression of an individual mode of the field is⁵

$$\Psi = \psi(r) Y_{N,\ell,m}(\theta, \varphi) e^{-i\omega t}, \quad (3.2)$$

⁵In what follows, we shall restrict to the 'plus sign' in Dirac's condition (1.1).

where $Y_{N,\ell,m}$ are the spherical monopole harmonics, with

$$Y_{N,\ell,m}(\theta, \varphi) = \Theta_{N,\ell,m}(\theta)e^{im\varphi}, \quad \text{with } \ell = \frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2} + 1, \dots, \quad m = -\ell, -\ell + 1, \dots, \ell, \quad (3.3)$$

and the expression of $\Theta_{N,\ell,m}(\theta)$ is given, *e.g.* in [22]. The radial function of a scalar field (3.2) satisfies the equation (where a prime denotes the derivative with respect to r):

$$(r^2 H \psi')' - \mu^2 r^2 \psi + \frac{r^2 \psi}{H} \left(w - q \frac{Q_e}{r} \right)^2 - \lambda \psi = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

with $\lambda = \ell(\ell + 1) - N^2/4 > 0$ being a separation constant which originates in the angular dependence of Ψ .

We are interested in the possible existence of bound state solutions of (3.4); these would describe (linear) *charged scalar clouds*. For the solutions to be physically meaningful, the scalar field should be regular on the horizon. Then, similar arguments to those discussed in Section 4.1. show that the resonance condition (1.2) still holds, with $\Phi = Q_e/r_H$. A straightforward computation then casts eq. (3.4) as

$$(r^2 H \psi \psi')' = r^2 \left[H \psi'^2 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{r^2} + \mu^2 - q^2 \frac{Q_e^2}{r_H^2} + \frac{r_H^2 - 4\pi G(Q_e^2 + Q_m^2)}{r r_H - 4\pi G(Q_e^2 + Q_m^2)} \right) \psi^2 \right]. \quad (3.5)$$

The localization of the field (and the synchronization) impose the condition $\omega^2 = q^2 \frac{Q_e^2}{r_H^2} \leq \mu^2$ while $H'(r_H) \geq 0$ results in $r_H^2 \geq 4\pi G Q^2$. Therefore the *r.h.s.* of the eq. (3.5) is a strictly non-negative quantity outside the horizon. Then, integrating the relation (3.5) between the horizon and infinity results⁶ in $\psi \equiv 0$, using the fact that the *l.h.s.* part vanishes at infinity, due to the exponential decay of $\psi(r)$.

A possible loophole in the above argument is when $\mu^2 = q^2 \frac{Q_e^2}{r_H^2} = \omega^2$ (maximal frequency), and $r_H^2 = 4\pi G Q^2$ (extremal RN background), which prevents the exponential decay. For $Q_m = 0$ this is the case discussed in a more general context in Ref. [24]. However, eq. (3.4) can be solved in this case, with the solution $\psi(r) = c_1 (r - r_H)^{\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{4\lambda+1}-1)} + c_2 (r - r_H)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{4\lambda+1}+1)}$, (c_1, c_2 being two constants), which diverges at the horizon or at infinity, implying no regular bound state solution exists.

It is also interesting to consider the limiting case of a massless scalar field with $\omega = 0$ on a purely magnetic RN background. The above argument fails in this case, since the scalar field may decay asymptotically as $1/r$, and thus the integral of the *l.h.s.* term in (3.5) would not vanish. However, also in this case eq. (3.4) has an exact solution, with $\psi(r) = c_1 P_{\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{4\lambda+1}-1)}\left(\frac{r-M}{\sqrt{M^2-4\pi G Q_m^2}}\right) + c_2 Q_{\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{4\lambda+1}-1)}\left(\frac{r-M}{\sqrt{M^2-4\pi G Q_m^2}}\right)$, where P and Q are the Legendre functions, which again fails to be regular for any non-trivial choice of the constants c_1, c_2 and thus cannot describe a bound state.

3.2 The multi-scalar case: a specific ansatz

The results of the previous subsection generalize for the case of (suitable) scalar multiplets, $n > 1$, without self-interaction.

Let us start by remarking that, from (3.2), (3.3), a single gauged scalar field cannot be spherically symmetric in the presence of a magnetic charge; additionally, it would carry a non-vanishing angular momentum density. Configurations compatible with a spherically symmetric geometry (and also with a nonzero magnetic charge) are found, however, in models with scalar field multiplets, with a common field mass and a common radial and (harmonic) time dependence. The (θ, φ) -dependence, on the other hand, is specific for each field, being chosen such that it vanishes at the level of the *total* energy-momentum tensor⁷. Then for each $\Psi^{(k)}$, the KG equation reduces to the same equation for the radial amplitude.

For the case of N -monopoles, the simplest such ansatz⁸ contains $N + 1$ scalars, with

$$\Psi^{(k)} = c_k \psi(r) \left[\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \right]^{N-k+1} \left[\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \right]^{k-1} e^{i(\frac{1}{2}(N-2k+2)\varphi - \omega t)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N + 1, \quad (3.6)$$

⁶This generalizes for $Q_m \neq 0$ a result in Ref. [23].

⁷A construction in the same spirit exists for (ungauged) boson stars [25].

⁸The ansatz (3.6) is not unique; other choices with $n > N + 1$ scalars are possible.

where c_k are suitable constants chosen such that the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \Psi^{(k)} \Psi^{*(k)} = \psi(r)^2, \quad (3.7)$$

is satisfied (with $c_k^2 = 2^N$ and $c_1 = c_{N+1} = 1$). The explicit ansatz for $N = 1$ is given in eq. (4.1) below; for comparison, we include here the expression for $N = 2$:

$$\Psi^{(1)} = \psi(r) \left[\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \right]^2 e^{i(\varphi - \omega t)}, \quad \Psi^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \psi(r) \sin\theta e^{-i\omega t}, \quad \Psi^{(3)} = \psi(r) \left[\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \right]^2 e^{-i(\varphi + \omega t)}. \quad (3.8)$$

For any number N , the radial function $\psi(r)$ in the Ansatz (3.6) solves the same eq. (3.5), this time, however, with $\lambda = N$. This equation can also be derived from the reduced action

$$S_{red} = \int_{r_H}^{\infty} dr \left(Hr^2 \phi'^2 + \frac{N}{2} \psi^2 - \frac{(\omega - q \frac{Q_e}{r})^2 r^2 \psi^2}{H} + r^2 U(\psi) \right). \quad (3.9)$$

Taking $U(\psi) = \mu^2 \psi^2$, it follows that all results in subsection (3.1) generalize for the Ansatz (3.6), with the absence of linear scalar clouds also in this case.

Moreover, in contrast to the electric case, one can show additionally that *no non-linear scalar clouds* exist on a RN background with a magnetic charge, only. Taking $\omega = Q_e = 0$ in (3.9), a Derrick-type scaling technique [26, 27], results in the virial identity

$$\int_{r_H}^{\infty} dr \left[(r - r_H)^2 \phi'^2 + \frac{N}{2} \psi^2 + r^2 \left(3 - \frac{2r_H}{r} \right) U(\psi) \right] = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

which, for $U(\psi)$ an arbitrary *positive* function of $\sum_k |\Psi^{(k)}|^2$, implies $\psi = 0$, necessarily.

4 Spherically symmetric dyonic BHs with non-linear scalar hair

4.1 The framework

The above no-go results do not exclude the existence of *non-linear* clouds on (or backreacting) a *dyonic* BH, which would be necessarily disconnected from the dyonic RN BH. Indeed, as shown in [11, 12, 13], this is the case for purely electric, spherically symmetric configurations, the solutions being supported by the self-interaction of the (single) scalar field. However, for a single scalar field, the dependence on the polar angular coordinate θ does not factorize for a non-linear potential, leading to axially symmetric configurations. This is not the case when considering the multiplet scalar field ansatz (3.6), provided that the potential $U(|\Psi|)$ is a function of $\sum_k |\Psi^{(k)}|^2$. In what follows we shall restrict ourselves to the simplest case with *two* scalars and a single monopole, $N = 1$.

Employing spherical coordinates with the usual range, a consistent ansatz reads⁹

$$\Psi^{(1)} = \psi(r) \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) e^{i(\frac{\varphi}{2} - \omega t)}, \quad \Psi^{(2)} = \psi(r) \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) e^{-i(\frac{\varphi}{2} + \omega t)}, \quad (4.1)$$

which is compatible with a unit magnetic charge $Q_m = 1/(2q)$, only. For the $U(1)$ -field we take a dyonic ansatz

$$A = Q_m \cos\theta d\varphi + V(r) dt, \quad (4.2)$$

$V(r)$ being the electric potential. The generic solutions herein are electrically charged, since $V = 0$ is not a consistent truncation, unless $\omega = 0$.

⁹Ansatz (4.1) has already been proposed in [28]), emerging via a Kaluza-Klein reduction of a specific five dimensional scalar doublet. Some properties of this scalar ansatz (including regularity) are discussed in a more general context in [29, 30].

As for the metric ansatz, we have found convenient to employ isotropic coordinates, with a line element containing two unknown functions¹⁰ $\mathcal{F}_0(r)$, $\mathcal{F}_1(r)$:

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\mathcal{F}_0(r)} \frac{S_0^2(r)}{S_1^2(r)} dt^2 + e^{2\mathcal{F}_0(r)} S_1^4(r) \left(dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2) \right), \quad \text{with } S_0(r) = 1 - \frac{r_H}{r}, \quad S_1(r) = 1 + \frac{r_H}{r}. \quad (4.3)$$

We observe that *each* scalar field carries a non-zero angular momentum density; however, they cancel at the level of the total energy momentum tensor, which is compatible with (4.3). As such, the equations satisfied by the metric and the matter functions can be derived from the following effective Lagrangian¹¹:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{eff} = & 8e^{\mathcal{F}_0+\mathcal{F}_1} \left(\frac{1}{2} r S_1 \mathcal{F}'_1 + S_0 - 1 \right) \left[S_0(r) \left(\mathcal{F}'_0 + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}'_1 \right) - 1 \right] - 8\pi G \frac{e^{\mathcal{F}_0+\mathcal{F}_1} r^2 S_0}{S_1^3} \left[\frac{Q_m^2}{r^4} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{e^{-2\mathcal{F}_0+2\mathcal{F}_1} S_1^6}{S_0^2} V'^2 + 2e^{\mathcal{F}_1} S_1^4 \left(\psi'^2 + \frac{\psi^2}{2r^2} + e^{\mathcal{F}_1} S_1^4 U(\psi) - \frac{e^{-2\mathcal{F}_0+2\mathcal{F}_1} S_1^6 (\omega - qV)^2}{S_0^2} \psi^2 \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

The finite energy requirement imposes that $\psi \rightarrow 0$ asymptotically and also that it should possess a mass term, which localizes the field.

The numerical results in this work are found for the simplest choice of the scalar potential in the Q -ball literature [31, 32, 33, 34], with

$$U(|\Psi|) = \mu^2 \psi^2 - \lambda \psi^4 + \nu \psi^6, \quad \psi^2 = |\Psi^{(1)}|^2 + |\Psi^{(2)}|^2, \quad (4.5)$$

where μ is the scalar field mass while λ, ν are positive parameters controlling the self-interactions of the scalar field (with $\lambda^2 \geq 4\mu^2\nu$, such that $U \geq 0$). A usual choice in the literature of the parameters in (4.5) is $\mu^2 = 1.1$, $\lambda = 2$ and $\nu = 1$, being also employed in the numerics herein. We also briefly note that no solutions seem to exist for the choice $\nu = 0$ and $\lambda \leq 0$.

The BH horizon is located at $r = r_H > 0$, where the functions $\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1$ are finite. Then, requiring finiteness on the horizon of the energy-momentum tensor (2.5) (or of the current density j^a), implies that the following condition is satisfied

$$\psi(r_H)[\omega - qV(r_h)] = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

If one chooses $\psi(r_H) = 0$, this implies that the derivatives of the scalar field vanish order by order in a power series expansion close to the horizon, *i.e.* the scalar field trivializes. Thus, in order to consider a non-zero scalar field and finite physical quantities at the horizon, we are forced to consider the second choice, *i.e.* the *resonance condition* (1.2), without an explicit contribution of the magnetic charge.

With this choice of the field frequency, the approximate expression of the solution near the horizon reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_0(r) &= f_{00} + f_{02}(r - r_H)^2 + \dots, \quad \mathcal{F}_1(r) = f_{10} + f_{12}(r - r_H)^2 + \dots, \\ V(r) &= \frac{\omega}{q} + v_2(r - r_H)^2 + \dots, \quad \psi(r) = \psi_0 + \psi_2(r - r_H)^2 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

leaving the free parameters f_{00}, f_{10}, ψ_0 and v_2 , to fix the coefficients of all higher terms in (4.7); one finds *e.g.* $\psi_2 = \frac{\psi_0}{8r_H^2} + 2e^{2f_{10}} \frac{dU(\psi)}{d\psi}|_{\psi=\psi_0}$. Let us remark that the presence of a magnetic charge clearly excludes regular boson star-like solutions in the limit $r_H \rightarrow 0$, no consistent small- r expansion existing in that case. This feature can be traced to the presence in the field equations of a (Maxwell) term proportional with $F_{\theta\varphi} F^{\theta\varphi} \sim Q_m^2/r^4$, which cannot be regularized in the absence of an horizon.

In the far field region, the scalar field decays exponentially, a RN configuration being approached, with

$$\mathcal{F}_0(r) = \frac{(2r_H - M)}{r} + \dots, \quad \mathcal{F}_1(r) = -\frac{(2r_H - M)}{r} + \dots, \quad V(r) = \frac{Q_e}{r} + \dots, \quad \psi(r) = \frac{c_0}{r} e^{-\sqrt{\mu^2 - \omega^2} r} + \dots, \quad (4.8)$$

with c_0 a constant, and M, Q_e the ADM mass and the electric charge, respectively; also $\omega \leq \mu$, which translates into an upper bound for the chemical potential, $\Phi \leq \mu/q$.




Figure 1: The profile of a typical dyonic BH solution with scalar hair is shown together with the corresponding energy density $\rho = -T_t^t$, the temporal component of the current density j^t and the Ricci scalar R .

Most of the quantities of interest are encoded in the coefficients which enter the approximate solutions (4.7), (4.8). The horizon area A_H , the Hawking temperature T_H and the horizon electric charge Q_H are:

$$A_H = 64\pi r_H^2 e^{2\mathcal{F}_1(r_H)}, \quad T_H = \frac{1}{64\pi r_H} e^{\mathcal{F}_0(r_H) - \mathcal{F}_1(r_H)}, \quad Q_H = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_H dS_r F^{rt} = e^{\mathcal{F}_1(r_H) - \mathcal{F}_0(r_H)} r_H^3 v_2. \quad (4.9)$$

The electric charge Q_e can also be expressed as a sum of an event horizon contribution plus a bulk term,

$$Q_e = Q_H + Q_{(int)}, \quad \text{with} \quad Q_{(int)} = \int_{r_H}^{\infty} dr \frac{2U_1}{U_0} e^{-\mathcal{F}_0 + 3\mathcal{F}_1} r^2 (w - qV) \psi^2. \quad (4.10)$$

The ratio Q_H/Q_e provides a measure of the hairiness of the solutions.

4.2 Numerical results

The set of four second order coupled ordinary differential equations for \mathcal{F}_0 , \mathcal{F}_1 , V and ψ has been solved numerically subject to the boundary conditions $\psi'(r_H) = \mathcal{F}_0'(r_H) = \mathcal{F}_1'(r_H) = 0$, $V(r_H) = w/q$ at the horizon, while all functions were imposed to vanish at infinity. In the numerics we have made use of a sixth-order finite difference scheme, where the system of equations is discretized on a grid with a typical size of 530 points in radial direction. The emerging system of nonlinear algebraic equations has been solved using the Newton-Raphson scheme. Calculations have been performed by employing a professional solver [35], with typical errors of order of 10^{-4} . Some of the solutions were also constructed by using a standard Runge-Kutta ordinary differential equation solver.

The system still possesses a residual scaling symmetry [12], which reveals the existence of a coupling constant α denoting the strength of the gravitational coupling [34]. As such, the input parameters of our calculations are α , the gauge coupling q (*i.e.* the magnetic charge), the horizon radius r_H and the scalar field frequency ω .

The profile of a typical solution is shown in Fig. 1. One can see that the functions $\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1$ and V are monotonic, while the scalar profile is nodeless and vanishes asymptotically; no sign of a divergent behaviour is found.

¹⁰The (vacuum) Schwarzschild metric is recovered for $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_0 = 0$.

¹¹In addition, the Einstein equations (2.3) provide another second order (constraint) equation, which is not solved directly, being used to verify the accuracy of the numerical results.




Figure 2: ADM mass M (left panel) and electric charge Q_e of dyonic BHs with resonant scalar hair, for a fixed chemical potential Φ and several values of the coupling constant α , vs. the horizon area A_H . Here and in Figs. 3-5, the corresponding quantities for the (bald) RN BHs with the same input parameters are also shown for comparison.




Figure 3: The Hawking temperature T_H (left panel) and the hairiness parameter Q_H/Q_e (right panel) vs. the horizon area A_H , for the same solutions as in Fig. 2.

In Fig. 2 we consider the mass and electric charge of hairy BHs with the same value of the electric chemical potential $\Phi = 0.8$ and gauge coupling constant $q = 0.1$, in terms of their horizon area A_H . Several values of α have been considered, with a general pattern emerging. One notices the existence of two branches of solutions, which join for a maximal BH size. Along the lower branch, both the mass and the electric charge take values not very different from those of RN BHs with the same Φ and q . This is also the case for the Hawking temperature, see Fig. 3 (left panel). As $A_H \rightarrow A_H^{(\max)}$, a secondary branch emerges, with a backbending in A_H . In Fig. 3 (right panel) one can see that the hairiness parameter Q_H/Q_e never approaches the unit value, and also does not vanish. This is consistent with *i*) the existence of a mass gap with respect to the bald RN BHs, $Q_H/Q_e \neq 1$ (no bifurcation), and *ii*) the absence of a solitonic limit $Q_H/Q_e \neq 0$.




Figure 4: ADM mass M (left panel) and electric charge Q_e of dyonic BHs with resonant scalar hair, for a fixed value of the event horizon radius $r_H = 0.1$ and several values of the coupling constant α , vs. the electric chemical potential Φ .




Figure 5: The Hawking temperature T_H (left panel) and the hairiness parameter Q_H/Q_e (right panel) vs. the electric chemical potential Φ , for the same solutions as in Fig. 4.

Although the numerics becomes increasingly challenging for small A_H , the extrapolated data suggest that, for all α , both branches start with critical solutions possessing nonzero values of horizon area, while their mass and electric charge are finite. At the same time, the extrapolated Hawking temperature appears to vanish, and thus we conjecture that the limiting solutions describe extremal dyonic BHs with scalar hair¹². However, the study of these solutions would require a different metric ansatz, and we hope to report it elsewhere.

A more complicated picture is found when investigating instead the properties of solutions for a varying chemical potential at a fixed value of the horizon radius (and also a fixed magnetic charge). The results

¹²This conjecture is also supported by the existence of an attractor solution, with an $AdS_2 \times S^2$ geometry and a nonzero (constant) scalar field.

in this case are shown in Figs. 4, 5, for several values of the coupling constant α (and $q = 0.1$, $r_H = 0.1$). The picture there reveal a qualitative similarity with the pattern observed for the spherically symmetric electrically charged BHs with resonant Q-hair in [19]. First, the solutions exist within a restricted interval of values of the angular frequency $\omega \in [\omega_{min}, \omega_{max}]$, with the occurrence of two branches of solutions joining¹³ at ω_{min} . As the gravitational coupling α remains relatively weak, $\alpha \lesssim 0.21$, the maximal value ω_{max} corresponds to the mass of the excitations of the scalar fields, $\omega_{max} = \mu$. This picture changes for large enough values of α , the solutions stopping to exist for some $\omega_{max} < \mu$. At that point, a secondary branch emerges, extending backwards in Φ towards $\omega_{min} > 0$, the full set of solutions describing a closed loop in terms of Φ .

In all cases, the lower (fundamental) branch of solutions follows close enough to the corresponding RN one (with the same Q_m, Φ and r_H). Also, on the upper branch the mass of the hairy BHs is much higher, and the corresponding hairiness is significantly larger than on the lower branch, as shown in Fig. 4. As expected, $0 < Q_H < Q_e$, the maximal hairiness being approached for lower branch solutions with maximal Φ .

5 Conclusions

While the only static, spherically symmetric solution in a model with a gravitating scalar field with a global $U(1)$ -symmetry is the (vacuum) Schwarzschild BH, Refs. [11, 12, 13] have shown that the situation is different for a $U(1)$ gauged scalar field, with the existence of electrically charged hairy BHs. The main purpose of this work was to show that these solutions possess generalizations with an additional magnetic charge, describing dyonic BHs with gauged scalar hair. Since a magnetically charged configuration with a single gauged scalar field cannot be spherically symmetric, we have considered a more general model with a scalar doublet, which is compatible with a unit magnetic charge monopole and a spherically symmetric geometry.

An interesting new feature in this case is the absence of a smooth horizonless solitonic limit of the solutions. Otherwise the dyonic solutions share most of the basic properties of the BHs with electric charge. In particular, the resonance condition (1.2) between the field frequency and the electric chemical potential is still satisfied, without a contribution of the magnetic charge. Furthermore, the existence of hairy configurations requires the scalar field to be massive *and* self-interacting, no such solutions being found for massive but free scalar fields.

Most of the existing literature on the subject of BHs with gauged scalar hair deals with the case of static solutions¹⁴. It would be interesting to investigate the generalizations of the dyonic Kerr-Newman BHs with gauged scalar hair - see the recent work [37] which discusses the superradiant instability for a massive charged scalar field in a magnetic Kerr-Newman background.

Acknowledgements

We thank Nuno Santos for useful comments on the manuscript. The work of C.H. and E.R. is supported by the Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications (CIDMA) through the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia) through projects: UIDB/04106/2020 (DOI identifier <https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDB/04106/2020>); UIDP/04106/2020 (DOI identifier <https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDP/04106/2020>); PTDC/FIS-AST/3041/2020 (DOI identifier <http://doi.org/10.54499/PTDC/FIS-AST/3041/2020>); CERN/FIS-PAR/0024/2021 (DOI identifier <http://doi.org/10.54499/CERN/FIS-PAR/0024/2021>); and 2022.04560.PTDC (DOI identifier <https://doi.org/10.54499/2022.04560.PTDC>). Y.S. would like to thank the Hanse-Wissenschaftskolleg Delmenhorst for support and hospitality.

¹³Note that ω_{min} is always non-vanishing, and thus, as expected, no indication is found for the existence of purely magnetic solutions.

¹⁴Kerr-Newman BHs with gauged scalar hair have been reported in Ref. [36]. However, those solutions possess an electric charge, only (and no net magnetic charge).

References

- [1] Y.M. Shnir. *Magnetic Monopoles*. Theoretical and Mathematical Physics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [2] N. S. Manton and P. Sutcliffe. *Topological solitons*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [3] Paul Adrien Maurice Dirac. Quantised singularities in the electromagnetic field,. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A*, 133(821):60–72, 1931.
- [4] Tai Tsun Wu and Chen Ning Yang. Concept of Nonintegrable Phase Factors and Global Formulation of Gauge Fields. *Phys. Rev. D*, 12:3845–3857, 1975.
- [5] Gerard 't Hooft. Magnetic Monopoles in Unified Gauge Theories. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 79:276–284, 1974.
- [6] Alexander M. Polyakov. Particle Spectrum in Quantum Field Theory. *JETP Lett.*, 20:194–195, 1974.
- [7] Y. M. Cho and D. Maison. Monopoles in Weinberg-Salam model. *Phys. Lett. B*, 391:360–365, 1997.
- [8] Piotr T. Chrusciel, Joao Lopes Costa, and Markus Heusler. Stationary Black Holes: Uniqueness and Beyond. *Living Rev. Rel.*, 15:7, 2012.
- [9] David G Boulware, Lowell S Brown, Robert N Cahn, SD Ellis, and Choonkyu Lee. Scattering on magnetic charge. *Physical Review D*, 14(10):2708, 1976.
- [10] Avraham E. Mayo and Jacob D. Bekenstein. No hair for spherical black holes: Charged and nonminimally coupled scalar field with selfinteraction. *Phys. Rev. D*, 54:5059–5069, 1996.
- [11] Jeong-Pyong Hong, Motoo Suzuki, and Masaki Yamada. Charged black holes in non-linear Q-clouds with O(3) symmetry. *Phys. Lett. B*, 803:135324, 2020.
- [12] Carlos A. R. Herdeiro and Eugen Radu. Spherical electro-vacuum black holes with resonant, scalar Q-hair. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 80(5):390, 2020.
- [13] Jeong-Pyong Hong, Motoo Suzuki, and Masaki Yamada. Spherically Symmetric Scalar Hair for Charged Black Holes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 125(11):111104, 2020.
- [14] Carlos A. R. Herdeiro and Eugen Radu. Asymptotically flat black holes with scalar hair: a review. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, 24(09):1542014, 2015.
- [15] I. Pena and D. Sudarsky. Do collapsed boson stars result in new types of black holes? *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 14:3131–3134, 1997.
- [16] P. Jetzer and J. J. van der Bij. Charged boson stars. *Phys. Lett. B*, 227:341–346, 1989.
- [17] P. Jetzer, P. Liljenberg, and B. S. Skagerstam. Charged boson stars and vacuum instabilities. *Astropart. Phys.*, 1:429–448, 1993.
- [18] Daniela Pugliese, Hernando Quevedo, Jorge A. Rueda H., and Remo Ruffini. On charged boson stars. *Phys. Rev. D*, 88:024053, 2013.
- [19] Jutta Kunz and Ya. Shnir. Charged hairy black holes in the gauged Einstein-Friedberg-Lee-Sirlin model. *Phys. Rev. D*, 107(10):104062, 2023.
- [20] S. W. Hawking and Simon F. Ross. Duality between electric and magnetic black holes. *Phys. Rev. D*, 52:5865–5876, 1995.
- [21] Carlos A. R. Herdeiro and João M. S. Oliveira. Electromagnetic dual Einstein-Maxwell-scalar models. *JHEP*, 07:130, 2020.

- [22] Tai Tsun Wu and Chen Ning Yang. Dirac Monopole Without Strings: Monopole Harmonics. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 107:365, 1976.
- [23] Gustavo García and Marcelo Salgado. Regular scalar charged clouds around a Reissner-Nordstrom black hole and no-hair theorems. *Phys. Rev. D*, 104(6):064054, 2021.
- [24] Juan Carlos Degollado and Carlos A. R. Herdeiro. Stationary scalar configurations around extremal charged black holes. *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, 45:2483–2492, 2013.
- [25] Miguel Alcubierre, Juan Barranco, Argelia Bernal, Juan Carlos Degollado, Alberto Diez-Tejedor, Miguel Megevand, Dario Nunez, and Olivier Sarbach. ℓ -Boson stars. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 35(19):19LT01, 2018.
- [26] G. H. Derrick. Comments on nonlinear wave equations as models for elementary particles. *J. Math. Phys.*, 5:1252–1254, 1964.
- [27] Carlos A. R. Herdeiro, João M. S. Oliveira, Alexandre M. Pombo, and Eugen Radu. Virial identities in relativistic gravity: 1D effective actions and the role of boundary terms. *Phys. Rev. D*, 104(10):104051, 2021.
- [28] Yves Brihaye, Carlos Herdeiro, João P. A. Novo, and Eugen Radu. Kaluza-Klein monopole with scalar hair. *JHEP*, 01:181, 2024.
- [29] Romain Gervalle and Mikhail S. Volkov. Electroweak monopoles and their stability. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 984:115937, 2022.
- [30] Romain Gervalle and Mikhail S. Volkov. Electroweak multi-monopoles. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 987:116112, 2023.
- [31] Sidney R. Coleman. Q-balls. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 262(2):263, 1985. [Addendum: Nucl.Phys.B 269, 744 (1986)].
- [32] Ki-Myeong Lee, Jaime A. Stein-Schabes, Richard Watkins, and Lawrence M. Widrow. Gauged q Balls. *Phys. Rev. D*, 39:1665, 1989.
- [33] Mikhail S. Volkov and Erik Wohnert. Spinning Q balls. *Phys. Rev. D*, 66:085003, 2002.
- [34] Burkhard Kleihaus, Jutta Kunz, and Meike List. Rotating boson stars and Q-balls. *Phys. Rev. D*, 72:064002, 2005.
- [35] W. Schönauer and R. Weiß. Efficient vectorizable pde solvers. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 27(1):279–297, 1989. Special Issue on Parallel Algorithms for Numerical Linear Algebra.
- [36] Jorge F. M. Delgado, Carlos A. R. Herdeiro, Eugen Radu, and Helgi Runarsson. Kerr–Newman black holes with scalar hair. *Phys. Lett. B*, 761:234–241, 2016.
- [37] David Pereñiguez, Marina de Amicis, Richard Brito, and Rodrigo Panosso Macedo. Superradiant Instability of Magnetic Black Holes. 2 2024.