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#### Abstract

Generalized Kauer moves are local moves of multiple edges in a Brauer graph that yield derived equivalences between Brauer graph algebras of multiplicity identically 1 Sot24]. Moreover, these derived equivalences are given by a tilting mutation. The goal of this paper is to generalize this result first for Brauer graph algebras with arbitrary multiplicity and second for a generalization of Brauer graph algebras called skew Brauer graph algebras. In these contexts, we prove that the generalized Kauer moves induce derived equivalences via tilting mutations. We also show that skew Brauer graph algebras of multiplicity identically 1 can be seen as the trivial extension of skew gentle algebras.
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## Introduction

Tilting theory plays an important role in the representation theory of algebras as it yields derived equivalences Hap87; Ric89. Given a tilting object, one can construct others under some
assumptions via the notion of mutation BGP73 APR79, RS91; Oku98. This operation consists in replacing a direct summand of the given object using an approximation. Unfortunately, tilting mutation does not always exist. This problem has led to the introduction of silting mutations in triangulated categories which generalizes these tilting mutations AI12. The goal of this paper is to describe the silting mutation for a certain class of algebras called skew Brauer graph algebras, which generalizes the class of Brauer graph algebras.

Brauer graph algebras were first introduced in modular representation theory of finite groups by Donovan and Freislich DF78. They are finite dimensional algebras that are constructed thanks to the combinatorial data of a Brauer graph. A Brauer graph is a finite graph equipped with a multiplicity function on each vertex and with a cyclic ordering of the edges around each vertex. Moreover, Brauer graph algebras are symmetric special biserial algebras [Sch15]. Hence, the representation theory of Brauer graph algebras is well-known DF78; WW85; ES92; Gre74; Rog98]. We refer to the survey Sch18] for a detailed list of results on Brauer graph algebras. In the recent years, the study of derived equivalences of Brauer graph algebras has drawn some attention. Antipov and Zvonareva proved that this class of algebras is closed under derived equivalences AZ22]. Moreover, Opper and Zvonareva described a complete numerical derived invariant for Brauer graph algebras [OZ22] (see also Zvo24 for a survey on derived equivalences of Brauer graph algebras).

Silting mutation in Brauer graph algebras can be understood in terms of generalized Kauer moves which are particular moves of edges in the corresponding Brauer graph. These moves were first described by Kauer in the case of one edge Kau98. Aihara proved that these moves of one edge give rise to an irreducible tilting mutation in the case of Brauer tree algebras (i.e. Brauer graph algebras whose underlying graph is a tree). These moves were then generalized in [Sot24 to describe all silting mutations in Brauer graph algebras of multiplicity identically one. Since Brauer graph algebras are symmetric [Sch15], the silting mutation in these algebras are in fact tilting. Hence, these generalized Kauer moves yield derived equivalences of Brauer graph algebras. The first main result of this paper is the generalization of these results for Brauer graph algebras with arbitrary multiplicity.

Theorem (Theorem 2.20. Let $\Gamma=\left(\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma_{1}\right)$ be a Brauer graph and $B$ be its corresponding Brauer graph algebra. We assume that the least common multiple $\bar{m}$ of the multiplicities in $\Gamma$ is invertible in the field $k$. Then, for any subset of edges $E$ in $\Gamma$, the following holds.
(1) The Brauer graph algebra $B^{\prime}$ obtained by a generalized Kauer move of $E$ in $\Gamma$ is derived equivalent to $B$.
(2) The silting mutation of $B$ over the projective $B$-module corresponding to the edges in $\Gamma_{1} \backslash E$ is tilting and its endomorphism algebra is isomorphic to $B^{\prime}$.

The idea of the proof is to construct a $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-covering $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ of $\Gamma$ which is a Brauer graph of multiplicity identically one using Asa19. In this case, one can recover $B$ from the Brauer graph algebra $\widetilde{B}$ associated to $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ thanks to the construction of skew group algebras as defined in RR85 (cf Proposition 2.11). Moreover, there is a commutativity between constructing this covering and applying the generalized Kauer moves. This can be summarized with the following commutative diagram

where $\widetilde{H^{\prime}}$ is the set of half-edges in $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ consisting of all the lifts of the elements in $H^{\prime}$.
In a second part of this paper, we generalize the previous result for skew Brauer graph algebras, which generalize the class of Brauer graph algebras. Their construction relies on the combinatorial data of a skew Brauer graph which is a Brauer graph with possible "degenerate" edges, meaning that the corresponding half-edges are fixed by the pairing. The second main result of this paper describes the combinatorics of silting mutation for skew Brauer graph algebras.

Theorem (Theorem 3.19). Let $\Gamma=\left(\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma_{1}\right)$ be a skew Brauer graph and $B$ be its corresponding skew Brauer graph algebra. We assume that the least common multiple $\bar{m}$ of the multiplicities in $\Gamma$ and 2 are invertible in the field $k$. Then, for any subset of edges $E$ in $\Gamma$, the following holds.
(1) The Brauer graph algebra $B^{\prime}$ obtained by a generalized Kauer move of $E$ in $\Gamma$ is derived equivalent to $B$.
(2) The silting mutation of $B$ over the projective $B$-module corresponding to the edges in $\Gamma_{1} \backslash E$ is tilting and its endomorphism algebra is isomorphic to $B^{\prime}$.

The proof for skew Brauer graph algebras is analogous to the one for Brauer graph algebras with arbitrary multiplicity. We first construct a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-covering $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ of $\Gamma$ which is a standard Brauer graph (with multiplicity). In this case, one can recover $B$ from the Brauer graph algebra $\widetilde{B}$ associated to $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ thanks to the construction of skew group algebras as defined in RR85 (cf Proposition 3.9). Again, there is a commutativity between constructing this covering and applying the generalized Kauer moves. This can be summarized with the following commutative diagram

where $\widetilde{H^{\prime}}$ is the set of half-edges in $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ consisting of all the lifts of the elements in $H^{\prime}$. Using this covering, we also show that skew Brauer graph algebras of multiplicity identically 1 can be understood as trivial extension of skew gentle algebras (cf Theorem 3.11). This generalizes Theorem 3.13 in Sch18 which interprets Brauer graph algebra of multiplicity identically 1 as trivial extension of gentle algebras.

Note that a notion of skew Brauer graph algebra is also studied in an ongoing project by Elsener, Guazzelli and Valdivieso. Some of their results were presented in a conference in Oslo.

Organisation of the paper. In the first section, we recall the definition and some properties of skew group algebras. In particular, we study the trivial extension, the silting mutation and the morphism spaces of $G$-invariant objects in skew group algebras. These are tools needed in the next two sections.

In the second section, we prove our first main result for Brauer graph algebras with arbitrary multiplicity. For this, we first recall the construction of the covering by a Brauer graph of multiplicity identically 1 in Asa19. Then, we explain how one can recover $B$ from the Brauer graph algebra associated to its covering thanks to the construction of skew group algebras. Finally, we show a commutativity between the covering and the generalized Kauer moves.

The third section follows the same outline than the second for skew Brauer graph algebras. We also explain how skew Brauer graph algebras of multiplicity identically 1 can be seen as trivial extensions of skew gentle algebras.
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## Notations and conventions

In this paper, all algebras are supposed to be finite dimensional over an algebraically closed field $k$. For any algebra $\Lambda$, we denote by $\bmod (\Lambda)$ the category of finitely generated right $\Lambda$-modules, $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)$ the bounded derived category associated to $\bmod (\Lambda)$ and $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$ the full subcategory of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)$ consisting of perfect complexes. Moreover, arrows in a quiver will be composed from right to left : for arrows $\alpha, \beta$, we write $\beta \alpha$ for the path from the start of $\alpha$ to the target of $\beta$. Similarly, morphisms will be composed from right to left.

## 1. Skew group algebras

In this section, we collect some properties of skew group algebras. First, we recall the definition of a skew group algebra from RR85. Throughout this section, $G$ denotes a finite abelian group whose order $|G|$ is invertible in the field $k$. By a $G$-action on an algebra, we mean a left action by automorphisms.

Definition 1.1. Let $\Lambda$ be a $k$-algebra with a $G$-action. The skew group algebra $\Lambda G$ associated to $\Lambda$ is the algebra defined by $\Lambda G=\Lambda \otimes_{k} k G$ as a $k$-vector space and whose multiplication is induced by the $G$-action on $\Lambda$ i.e.

$$
(\lambda \otimes g) \cdot(\mu \otimes h)=\lambda(g \cdot \mu) \otimes g h
$$

for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda, g, h \in G$ and we extend this relation by linearity and by distributivity.
Denoting by $\widehat{G}$ the dual of $G$, note that $\Lambda G$ has a natural $\widehat{G}$-action which is given by

$$
\chi \cdot(\lambda \otimes g)=\chi(g) \lambda \otimes g
$$

for all $\chi \in \widehat{G}, \lambda \in \Lambda, g \in G$ and where the relation is extended by linearity.

Moreover, given two algebras $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$ with a $G$-action and $M$ a $\Lambda$ - $\Lambda^{\prime}$-bimodule, one can define $M G:=M \otimes_{k} k G$. It has a natural structure of $\Lambda G-\Lambda^{\prime} G$-bimodule defined by

$$
(\lambda \otimes g) \cdot(m \otimes h)=\lambda(g \cdot m) \otimes g h \quad \text { and } \quad(m \otimes h) \cdot\left(\lambda^{\prime} \otimes g^{\prime}\right)=m\left(h \cdot \lambda^{\prime}\right) \otimes h g^{\prime}
$$

for all $\lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda^{\prime}, g, h, g^{\prime} \in G$ and we extend these relations by linearity and by distributivity.

### 1.1. Trivial extension

We first study the trivial extension of a skew group algebra. Let us recall the definition of the trivial extension of an algebra. We denote by $D=\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(-, k)$ the standard duality on $\bmod (\Lambda)$.

Definition 1.2. Let $\Lambda$ be a $k$-algebra. The trivial extension $\operatorname{Triv}(\Lambda)$ of $\Lambda$ by the $\Lambda$ - $\Lambda$-bimodule $D \Lambda$ is the algebra defined by $\operatorname{Triv}(\Lambda)=\Lambda \oplus D \Lambda$ as a $k$-vector space and whose multiplication is induced by the $\Lambda$ - $\Lambda$-bimodule structure of $D \Lambda$ i.e.

$$
(a, \phi) \cdot(b, \psi)=(a b, a \psi+\phi b)
$$

for all $a, b \in \Lambda$ and $\phi, \psi \in D \Lambda$.
Let $\Lambda$ be a $k$-algebra with a $G$-action. Then, $G$ acts on $D \Lambda$ as follows

$$
g . \phi:\left(b \mapsto \phi\left(g^{-1} \cdot b\right)\right)
$$

for all $g \in G$ and $\phi \in D \Lambda$. In particular, $(D \Lambda) G=D \Lambda \otimes_{k} \Lambda G$ has a natural structure of $\Lambda G$ - $\Lambda G$-bimodule.

Lemma 1.3. For any $k$-algebra $\Lambda$ with a $G$-action, there is an isomorphism of $\Lambda G$ - $\Lambda G$-bimodules

$$
(D \Lambda) G \simeq D(\Lambda G)
$$

Proof. The isomorphism of $\Lambda G$ - $\Lambda G$-bimodules is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(\Lambda G) & \longrightarrow(D \Lambda) G \\
\phi & \longmapsto \sum_{h \in G} \phi_{h} \otimes h \\
\psi_{g} & \longleftrightarrow \psi \otimes g
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\phi_{h}: \lambda \mapsto \phi\left(\left(h^{-1} \cdot \lambda\right) \otimes h^{-1}\right) \in D \Lambda$ and $\psi_{g}:(\lambda \otimes h) \mapsto \psi\left(\delta_{h^{-1}}^{g}(g . \lambda)\right) \in D(\Lambda G)$ with $\delta$ being the Kronecker symbol.

Hence, the $G$-action on $\Lambda$ induces a $G$-action on $\operatorname{Triv}(\Lambda)$ which is given by

$$
g \cdot(a, \phi)=(g \cdot a, g \cdot \phi)
$$

for all $g \in G$ and $(a, \phi) \in \operatorname{Triv}(\Lambda)$. In particular, one can construct the skew group algebra associated to $\operatorname{Triv}(\Lambda)$.

Proposition 1.4. For any $k$-algebra $\Lambda$ with a $G$-action, there is an isomorphism of algebras

$$
\operatorname{Triv}(\Lambda G) \simeq \operatorname{Triv}(\Lambda) G
$$

Proof. Let us define the following linear map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi: & \operatorname{Triv}(\Lambda G) \\
(a \otimes g, \phi) & \longmapsto \operatorname{Triv}(\Lambda) G \\
& \longmapsto(a, 0) \otimes g+\sum_{h \in G}\left(0, \phi_{h}\right) \otimes h
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\phi_{h}$ is the morphism in $D \Lambda$ defined by

$$
\phi_{h}: b \longmapsto \phi\left(h^{-1} . b \otimes h^{-1}\right)
$$

We denote by $A:=\Phi(a \otimes g, \phi) \Phi\left(a^{\prime} \otimes g^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$. We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\left[(a, 0) \otimes g+\sum_{h \in G}\left(0, \phi_{h}\right) \otimes h\right]\left[\left(a^{\prime}, 0\right) \otimes g^{\prime}+\sum_{h \in G}\left(0, \phi_{h}^{\prime}\right) \otimes h\right] \\
& =\left(a\left(g \cdot a^{\prime}\right), 0\right) \otimes g g^{\prime}+\sum_{h \in G}\left(0, \phi_{h} \cdot\left(h \cdot a^{\prime}\right)\right) \otimes h g^{\prime}+\sum_{h \in G}\left(0, a \cdot\left(g \cdot \phi_{h}^{\prime}\right)\right) \otimes g h \\
& =\left(a\left(g \cdot a^{\prime}\right), 0\right) \otimes g g^{\prime}+\sum_{h \in G}\left(0,\left(\phi \cdot\left(a^{\prime} \otimes g^{\prime}\right)\right)_{h}\right) \otimes h+\sum_{h \in G}\left(0,\left((a \otimes g) \cdot \phi^{\prime}\right)_{h}\right) \otimes h \\
& =\Phi\left((a \otimes g, \phi)\left(a^{\prime} \otimes g^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $(a \otimes g, \phi),\left(a^{\prime} \otimes g^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{Triv}(\Lambda G)$. Hence, $\Phi$ is a morphism of algebras. Moreover, one can easily check that $\Phi$ is also invertible. Its inverse is given by

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\operatorname{Triv}(\Lambda) G & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Triv}(\Lambda G) \\
(b, \psi) \otimes g & \longmapsto & \left(b \otimes g, \psi_{g}\right)
\end{array}
$$

where $\psi_{g}$ is the morphism in $D(\Lambda G)$ given by

$$
\psi_{g}:(a \otimes h) \longmapsto \psi\left(\delta_{h^{-1}}^{g}(g . a)\right)
$$

where $\delta$ denotes the Kronecker symbol. This concludes the proof.

### 1.2. Morphism space of G-invariant objects

In this subsection, we are interested in the morphism space between $G$-invariant objects in the bounded derived category. The case of the endomorphism space of a $G$-invariant object has already been examined in AB22, Theorem 2.10].

A $G$-action on a finite dimensional $k$-algebra $\Lambda$ induces a right $G$-action on its bounded derived category $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)$ in the sense of Ela15, Definition 3.1]. This action is given by the autoequivalences $-\stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes_{\Lambda}} \Lambda_{g}$ for all $g \in G$, where $\Lambda_{g}$ is the $\Lambda$-module whose underlying group is $\Lambda$ and whose action is twisted by $g$. In the following, $X^{g}$ denotes $X \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}_{\Lambda} \Lambda_{g}$ for all $X \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)$ and $f^{g}: X^{g} \rightarrow Y^{g}$ denotes $f \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes} \Lambda \Lambda_{g}$ for all morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)$. Moreover,

$$
\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda) \underset{\text { Res }}{\stackrel{-\mathrm{\otimes}_{\Lambda} \Lambda G}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda G)
$$

form an adjoint pair of triangulated functors in both directions and the unit of the adjunction splits LeM20, Lemma 2.3.1]. In particular, we obtain a functorial isomorphism for all $X \in D^{b}(\Lambda)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Res}\left(X \stackrel{\mathrm{®}}{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{L}} \Lambda G\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{g \in G} X^{g} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.5. An object $X \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)$ is said to be $G$-invariant if there exist isomorphisms $\iota_{g}: X^{g^{-1}} \rightarrow X$ for all $g \in G$ satisfying that $\iota_{g h}=\iota_{g}\left(\iota_{h}\right)^{g^{-1}}$ for all $g, h \in G$.

Example 1.6. (1) It is easy to check that $\Lambda$ is a $G$-invariant object in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)$ where the isomorphisms $\iota_{g}: \Lambda^{g^{-1}} \simeq \Lambda_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \Lambda$ are given by the action of $g \in G$. Moreover, if $e$ is an idempotent of $\Lambda$ stable under the action of $G$, the same isomorphisms give us that $e \Lambda$ is also a $G$-invariant object.
(2) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)$ between two $G$-invariant objects. We denote by $\iota_{g}^{X}: X^{g^{-1}} \rightarrow X$ and $\iota_{g}^{Y}: Y^{g^{-1}} \rightarrow Y$ the isomorphisms corresponding to $X$ and $Y$ respectively. Let us assume that for all $g \in G$ the following diagram commutes


Then, the cone of $f$ denoted $\operatorname{Cone}(f)$ is also $G$-invariant. Indeed, one can check that the isomorphisms $\iota_{g}: \operatorname{Cone}(f)^{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Cone}(f)$ defined by

$$
\iota_{g}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\iota_{g}^{X}[1] & 0 \\
0 & \iota_{g}^{Y}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for all $g \in G$ satisfy the condition of Definition 1.5 .
We now adapt the results about the endomorphism algebra of a $G$-invariant object from AB22 to the morphism space of $G$-invariant objects. The proof of the following statements follows the same outline than the proofs of Lemma 2.5 and Proposition 2.8 in AB22.

Lemma 1.7. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two $G$-invariant objects in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)$. Then, $G$ acts on the morphism space $\operatorname{Hom}_{D^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)}(X, Y)$ as follows

$$
g \cdot f=\iota_{g}^{Y} \circ f^{g^{-1}} \circ\left(\iota_{g}^{X}\right)^{-1}
$$

for all $g \in G$ and $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)}(X, Y)$, where $\iota_{g}^{X}: X^{g^{-1}} \rightarrow X$ and $\iota_{g}^{Y}: Y^{g^{-1}} \rightarrow Y$ are the isomorphisms corresponding to $X$ and $Y$ respectively.

Given two $G$-invariant objects $X$ and $Y$ in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda), \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)}(X, Y) G=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)}(X, Y) \otimes_{k}$ $k G$ has a natural structure of $\left(\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)}(Y) G\right)-\left(\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)}(X) G\right)$-bimodule (cf after Definition 1.1. Moreover, thanks to Theorem 2.10 in AB22, there is an isomorphism of algebras

$$
\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)}(Z) G \simeq \operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda G)}\left(Z \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes_{\Lambda}} \Lambda G\right)
$$

for all $G$-invariant object $Z$ in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)$. Hence, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda G)}\left(X \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes} \Lambda \Lambda, Y \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}_{\Lambda} \Lambda G\right)$ has also a natural structure of $\left(\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)}(Y) G\right)-\left(\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)}(X) G\right)$-bimodule.

Proposition 1.8. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two $G$-invariant objects in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)$ and $\iota_{g}^{X}: X^{g^{-1}} \rightarrow X$ and $\iota_{g}^{Y}: Y^{g^{-1}} \rightarrow Y$ be the isomorphisms corresponding to $X$ and $Y$ respectively. Then, there is an isomorphism of $\left(\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)}(Y) G\right)-\left(\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)}(X) G\right)$-bimodules given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda)}(X, Y) G & \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\Lambda G)}\left(X \stackrel{\stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}}{\Lambda} \Lambda G, Y \stackrel{\mathrm{⿺}}{\otimes_{\Lambda}} \Lambda G\right) \\
f \otimes g & \longmapsto\left(\left(f \circ \iota_{g}^{X}\right) \otimes 1_{\Lambda G}\right) \circ L_{g}^{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L_{g}^{X}: X \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes_{\Lambda}} \Lambda G \rightarrow X^{g^{-1}} \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}_{\Lambda} \Lambda G$ is the isomorphism induced by the multiplication on the left by $1 \otimes g$ in $\Lambda G$.

### 1.3. Silting mutation

Let us now study silting mutations for skew group algebras. We first recall the definition and some properties of silting mutations given in AI12. Throughout this section, $\Lambda$ denotes a finite dimensional $k$-algebra. For any object $M$ in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda), \operatorname{add}(M)$ denotes the full subcategory of $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$ consisting of direct sums of direct summands of $M$ and thick $(M)$ denotes the smallest triangulated subcategory of $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$ containing $M$ that is closed under direct summands.

Definition 1.9. Let $M$ be an object in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$.

- $M$ is said to be silting if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)}(M, M[>0])=0$ and $\operatorname{thick}(M)=\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$.
- $M$ is said to be tilting if $M$ is silting and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)}(M, M[<0])=0$.

Definition 1.10. Let $M$ be an object in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$.

- We say that a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$ is left minimal if the only morphisms $h \in \operatorname{End}_{\text {per ( })}(Y)$ satisfying $h f=f$ are isomorphisms.
- We say that a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$ is a left $\operatorname{add}(M)$-approximation of $X$ if $Y \in \operatorname{add}(M)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)}(f, M)$ is surjective.
- We say that a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$ is a left minimal $\operatorname{add}(M)$-approximation of $X$ if $f$ is left minimal and a left $\operatorname{add}(M)$-approximation of $X$. It is unique up to isomorphisms.
- Let $M_{0}$ be a direct summand of $M$ and $f: M / M_{0} \rightarrow M_{0}^{\prime}$ be the left minimal $\operatorname{add}\left(M_{0}\right)$ approximation of $M / M_{0}$. The left mutation of $M$ over $M_{0}$ is the object

$$
\mu^{+}\left(M ; M_{0}\right):=M_{0} \oplus \operatorname{Cone}(f) \in \operatorname{per}(\Lambda)
$$

where Cone $(f)$ denotes the cone of $f$.

Theorem 1.11 (Aihara-Iyama AI12, Theorem 2.32]).

- Any left mutation of a silting object of $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$ is a silting object of $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$.
- For any $M_{0}$ direct summand of a tilting object $M$ in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$, the left mutation $\mu^{+}\left(M ; M_{0}\right)$ is tilting if and only if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)}\left(M_{0}, f\right)$ is injective where $f$ is the left minimal $\operatorname{add}\left(M_{0}\right)$ approximation of $M / M_{0}$.

Remark 1.12. We can define dually a right approximation and a right mutation. Moreover, we have a dual version of Theorem 1.11 for right mutations.

We want now to prove the following result on silting mutations for skew group algebras.

Proposition 1.13. Let $\Lambda$ be a finite dimensional $k$-algebra with a $G$-action. Let $M$ be a silting object in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$ and $M_{0}$ be a direct summand of $M$. We denote by $f: M / M_{0} \rightarrow M_{0}^{\prime}$ the left minimal $\operatorname{add}\left(M_{0}\right)$-approximation of $M / M_{0}$. If $M_{0}, M / M_{0}$ and $M_{0}^{\prime}$ are $G$-invariant, then there is an isomorphism in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda G)$

$$
\mu^{+}\left(M \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes} \Lambda \Lambda ; M_{0} \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}_{\Lambda} \Lambda G\right) \simeq \mu^{+}\left(M ; M_{0}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes} \Lambda \Lambda
$$

Remark 1.14. Let us assume that the hypotheses of the previous proposition hold. Thanks to Theorem 2.10 of AB 22 , we conclude that $\mu^{+}\left(M \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}{ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda G ; M_{0} \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes_{\Lambda}} \Lambda G\right)$ is tilting in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda G)$ if $\mu^{+}\left(M ; M_{0}\right)$ is tilting in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$ and $G$-invariant.

In order to prove the previous proposition, we need to study how the functor $-\stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes_{\Lambda}} \Lambda G$ behaves with left minimal morphisms.

Lemma 1.15. Let $\Lambda$ be a finite dimensional $k$-algebra with a $G$-action and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a left minimal morphism in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$. If $X$ and $Y$ are $G$-invariant, then $f \stackrel{\llcorner }{\otimes}{ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda G$ is a left minimal morphism in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda G)$.

Proof. Let $h: Y \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes_{\Lambda}} \Lambda G \rightarrow Y \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes_{\Lambda}} \Lambda G$ be an endomorphism in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda G)$ such that $h \circ\left(f \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes_{\Lambda}} \Lambda G\right)=$ $f \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}{ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda G$. We want to prove that $h$ is an isomorphism. In the following, we denote by $g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n-1}$ the elements of the group $G$ where $g_{0}=1_{G}$. Thanks to Proposition 2.8 in AB22, $h$ can be written as the sum of $h_{g_{i}} \otimes g_{i}$ for $i=0, \ldots, n-1 \operatorname{in} \operatorname{End}_{\text {per }(\Lambda)}(Y) G$, for some endomorphisms $h_{g_{i}}: Y \rightarrow Y$ in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$. Similarly, using Proposition $1.8, f \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes} \Lambda \Lambda$ can be written as $f \otimes g_{0}$ in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)}(X, Y) G$ and the equality $h \circ\left(f \stackrel{\stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}}{\otimes_{\Lambda}} \Lambda G\right)=f \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes_{\Lambda}} \Lambda G$ gives us

$$
f \otimes g_{0}=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_{g_{i}} \otimes g_{i}\right)\left(f \otimes g_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_{g_{i}} \circ\left(g_{i} \cdot f\right) \otimes g_{i}
$$

By identification, we deduce that $h_{g_{0}} f=f$ and $h_{g_{i}} \circ\left(g_{i} . f\right)=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$. Let us prove that $h$ admits a right inverse i.e. that there exist $t_{g_{0}}, \ldots, t_{g_{n-1}} \in \operatorname{End}_{\text {per }(\Lambda)}(Y)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{id}_{\Lambda} \otimes g_{0}=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_{g_{i}} \otimes g_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_{g_{j}} \otimes g_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h_{g_{i} g_{j}^{-1}} \circ\left(g_{i} g_{j}^{-1} \cdot t_{g_{j}}\right) \otimes g_{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to the relations obtained by identification in (2), one can check by a descending induction that for all $i=1, \ldots, n-1, t_{g_{i}}$ can be written as the sum of $h_{g_{l}}^{\prime} \circ\left(g_{i} g_{l}^{-1} . t_{g_{l}}\right)$ for $l=0, \ldots, i-1$ for some $h_{g_{l}}^{\prime}: Y \rightarrow Y$ in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$ satisfying that $h_{g_{l}}^{\prime} \circ\left(g_{i} g_{l}^{-1} . f\right)=0$. In particular, by identification in (2), we obtain

$$
\mathrm{id}_{\Lambda}=h_{g_{0}} t_{g_{0}}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_{g_{j}^{-1}} \circ\left(g_{j}^{-1} \cdot t_{g_{j}}\right)=h_{g_{0}} t_{g_{0}}+h_{g_{0}}^{\prime \prime} t_{g_{0}}
$$

for some endomorphism $h_{g_{0}}^{\prime \prime}: Y \rightarrow Y$ in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$ satisfying that $h_{g_{0}}^{\prime \prime} f=0$, thanks to the previous induction. Since $\left(h_{g_{0}}+h_{g_{0}}^{\prime \prime}\right) \circ f=f$ and $f$ is left minimal, $h_{g_{0}}+h_{g_{0}}^{\prime \prime}$ is invertible and we can set $t_{g_{0}}$ to be its inverse. Hence, one can use the previous induction to define the $t_{g_{i}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ so that (2) holds. Similarly, one can check that $h$ admits a left inverse which ends the proof.

Proof of Proposition 1.13. We assume that $M_{0}, M / M_{0}$ and $M_{0}^{\prime}$ are $G$-invariant. Since the functor $-\stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes} \Lambda \Lambda: \operatorname{per}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \operatorname{per}(\Lambda G)$ is triangulated, note that we have

$$
\left(M / M_{0}\right) \stackrel{\stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}}{\Lambda} \Lambda G=(M \stackrel{\stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}}{\Lambda} \Lambda G) /\left(M_{0} \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}_{\Lambda} \Lambda G\right)
$$

Thanks to Lemma 1.15 , it remains to prove that $f \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes} \Lambda \Lambda$ is a left $\operatorname{add}\left(M_{0} \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}^{\mathrm{L}} \Lambda G\right)$-approximation of $\left(M / M_{0}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes} \Lambda \Lambda$. Applying the functor $-\stackrel{\stackrel{\mathrm{\otimes}}{\otimes}}{\Lambda}$. $\Lambda G$ on the triangle induced by $f$ in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)$, we obtain the following triangle in $\operatorname{per}(\Lambda G)$

$$
\left(M / M_{0}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes_{\Lambda}} \Lambda G \xrightarrow{f_{\otimes}^{\mathrm{L}} \Lambda G} M_{0}^{\prime} \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes_{\Lambda}} \Lambda G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cone}(f) \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes_{\Lambda}} \Lambda G \longrightarrow\left(M / M_{0}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}^{\mathrm{L}} \Lambda G[1]
$$

where $\operatorname{Cone}(f)$ denotes the cone of $f$. Hence, applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {per }(\Lambda G)}\left(-, M_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda G\right)$ to the previous triangle, it suffices to prove that

$$
H:=\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{per}(\Lambda G)}\left(\operatorname{Cone}(f) \stackrel{\stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}}{ }\left(\Lambda G, M_{0} \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}_{\Lambda} \Lambda G[1]\right)=0\right.
$$

For this, note that we have the following isomorphisms of vector spaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
H & \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)}\left(\operatorname{Cone}(f), \operatorname{Res}\left(M_{0} \stackrel{\stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}}{\Lambda} \Lambda G[1]\right)\right) \\
& \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)}\left(\operatorname{Cone}(f), \oplus_{g \in G}\left(M_{0}\right)^{g}[1]\right) \\
& \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{per}(\Lambda)}\left(\operatorname{Cone}(f), \oplus_{g \in G} M_{0}[1]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second isomorphism comes from (1) and the third arises from the fact that $M_{0}$ is $G$-invariant. Since the left mutation of a silting object is silting by Theorem 1.11, we obtain that $H=0$ which concludes the proof.

## 2. Generalized Kauer moves for Brauer graphs with multiplicity

In this section, we extend the notion of generalized Kauer moves defined for Brauer graphs of multiplicity one in Sot24 to the case of Brauer graphs with arbitrary multiplicity. We will show that these generalized Kauer moves can be understood in terms of silting mutations. This generalizes Theorem 3.10 in Sot24 for arbitrary multiplicity.

### 2.1. Brauer graph algebras with multiplicity

Let us recall the notion of Brauer graph algebras with multiplicity. These are finite dimensional algebras defined thanks to a combinatorial data called a Brauer graph. As in [Sot24], we will use the definition of a Brauer graph using combinatorial maps (see for instance [Laz14]).

Definition 2.1. A Brauer graph is the data $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ where

- $H$ is the set of half-edges;
- $\iota$ is a permutation of $H$ without fixed points satisfying $\iota^{2}=\mathrm{id}_{H}$ : it is called the pairing;
- $\sigma$ is a permutation of $H$ called the orientation;
- $m: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is a map that is constant on a $\sigma$-orbit : it is called the multiplicity.

To the data $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$, one can naturally define a graph whose vertex set is $\Gamma_{0}=H / \sigma$, edge set is $\Gamma_{1}=H / \iota$ and source map is the natural projection $s: H \rightarrow H / \sigma$. Moreover, each cycle in the decomposition of $\sigma$ gives rise to a cyclic ordering of the edges around a vertex. Since $m: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is constant on the $\sigma$-orbits, it induces a map $\widetilde{m}: H / \sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Hence, we recover the usual definition of a Brauer graph with multiplicity as defined in Sch18] for instance.

Remark 2.2. In order to simplify the definition of a Brauer graph algebra, we do not take into consideration the following Brauer graph

which corresponds to $H=\left\{1^{+}, 1^{-}\right\}, \iota=\left(1^{+} 1^{-}\right), \sigma=\operatorname{id}_{H}$ and $m$ being identically 1. More precisely, we assume that such graph does not appear in any connected component of a given Brauer graph. Moreover, the previous graph is not really interesting for our purpose since the generalized Kauer move of the edge 1 is trivial.

From now on, we identify $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ with its corresponding graph that we have constructed above. By convention, the orientation of a Brauer graph will correspond to the local embedding of each vertex into the counterclockwise oriented plane.

Example 2.3. Let $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ be the Brauer graph where the set of half-edges is $H=$ $\left\{1^{+}, 1^{-}, 2^{+}, 2^{-}, 3^{+}, 3^{-}, 4^{-}, 4^{+}\right\}$, the involution $\iota$ is $\left(1^{+} 1^{-}\right)\left(2^{+} 2^{-}\right)\left(3^{+} 3^{-}\right)\left(4^{+} 4^{-}\right)$, the permutation $\sigma$ is $\left(1^{-} 4^{-} 3^{-} 2^{-}\right)\left(2^{+} 3^{+}\right)$and the multiplicity $m: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is given by $m\left(1^{-}\right)=$ $m\left(2^{-}\right)=m\left(3^{-}\right)=m\left(4^{-}\right)=m\left(4^{+}\right)=1$ and $m\left(2^{+}\right)=m\left(3^{+}\right)=m\left(1^{+}\right)=2$. With our previous convention, this Brauer graph can be represented as follows

where the multiplicity of an half-edge is given by the value in the vertex it is incident to. From now on, we will only write on the graph the multiplicities that are strictly greater than one.

Given a Brauer graph $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$, one can construct a quiver $Q_{\Gamma}=\left(Q_{0}, Q_{1}\right)$ as in Sch18, which is reinterpreted with our definition as follows

- The vertex set $Q_{0}$ is the edge set $H / \iota$ of $\Gamma$. In the following, we denote by $[h]$ the edge in $H / \iota$ associated to $h \in H$.
- The arrow set $Q_{1}$ is induced by the orientation $\sigma$ and the multiplicity $m$ of $\Gamma$. More precisely, for any half-edge $h \in H$ that is not fixed by $\sigma$, there is an arrow $\alpha_{h}$ from [ $h$ ] to $[\sigma h]$. Moreover, for any half-edge $h \in H$ that is fixed by $\sigma$, there is an arrow $\alpha_{h}$ from $[h]$ to itself if and only if $m(h)>1$.

Note that any half-edge $h \in H$ inducing an arrow $\alpha_{h}$ from $[h]$ to $[\sigma h]$ in $Q_{\Gamma}$ gives rise to an oriented cycle $C_{h}$ in $Q_{\Gamma}$ that begins and ends at $[h]$. We call such an oriented cycle a special $h$-cycle and it is of the form $C_{h}=\alpha_{\sigma^{n-1} h} \ldots \alpha_{h}$, where $n$ is the size of the $\sigma$-orbit of $h$.

Example 2.4. Let us consider $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ the Brauer graph defined in Example 2.3. Then, its associated quiver $Q_{\Gamma}$ is given by


In this case, the special $h$-cycles are given by $C_{1^{+}}=\alpha_{1^{+}}, C_{1^{-}}=\alpha_{2^{-}} \alpha_{3^{-}} \alpha_{4^{-}} \alpha_{1^{-}}, C_{2^{+}}=\alpha_{3^{+}} \alpha_{2^{+}}$, $C_{2^{-}}=\alpha_{3^{-}} \alpha_{4^{-}} \alpha_{1^{-}} \alpha_{2^{-}}, C_{3^{+}}=\alpha_{2^{+}} \alpha_{3^{+}}, C_{3^{-}}=\alpha_{4^{-}} \alpha_{1^{-}} \alpha_{2^{-}} \alpha_{3^{-}}$and $C_{4^{-}}=\alpha_{1^{-}} \alpha_{2^{-}} \alpha_{3^{-}} \alpha_{4^{-}}$. Note that there is no special $4^{+}$-cycle since $4^{+}$is fixed by $\sigma$ and is of multiplicity one so it does not induce an arrow in $Q_{\Gamma}$.

Definition 2.5. Let $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ be a Brauer graph and $Q_{\Gamma}$ be its associated quiver. The Brauer graph algebra $B_{\Gamma}$ of $\Gamma$ is the path algebra $k Q_{\Gamma} / I_{\Gamma}$ where the ideal of relations $I_{\Gamma}$ is generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(C_{h}\right)^{m(h)}-\left(C_{\iota h}\right)^{m(\iota h)} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any half-edge $h \in H$ such that $h$ and $\iota h$ both induce an arrow in $Q_{\Gamma}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{h}\left(C_{h}\right)^{m(h)} \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any half-edge $h \in H$ such that $h$ induces an arrow in $Q_{\Gamma}$.
(III)

$$
\alpha_{\iota \sigma h} \alpha_{h}
$$

for any half-edge $h \in H$ such that $h$ and $\iota \sigma h$ both induce an arrow in $Q_{\Gamma}$.

In general, the relations that generates the ideal of relations $I_{\Gamma}$ are not minimal as we can see in the following example.

Example 2.6. Let us consider $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ the Brauer graph defined in Example 2.3. Then, its associated Brauer graph algebra $B_{\Gamma}$ is defined as follows: its quiver is described in Example 2.4 and its ideal of relations is generated by
(I) $\alpha_{1^{+}}^{2}-\alpha_{2^{-}} \alpha_{3^{-}} \alpha_{4^{-}} \alpha_{1^{-}},\left(\alpha_{3^{+}} \alpha_{2^{+}}\right)^{2}-\alpha_{3^{-}} \alpha_{4^{-}} \alpha_{1^{-}} \alpha_{2^{-}},\left(\alpha_{2^{+}} \alpha_{3^{+}}\right)^{2}-\alpha_{4^{-}} \alpha_{1^{-}} \alpha_{2^{-}} \alpha_{3^{-}}$;
(II) $\alpha_{1^{+}}^{3}, \alpha_{1^{-}} \alpha_{2^{-}} \alpha_{3^{-}} \alpha_{4^{-}} \alpha_{1^{-}}, \alpha_{2^{-}} \alpha_{3^{-}} \alpha_{4^{-}} \alpha_{1^{-}} \alpha_{2^{-}}, \alpha_{3^{-}} \alpha_{4^{-}} \alpha_{1^{-}} \alpha_{2^{-}} \alpha_{3^{-}}, \alpha_{4^{-}} \alpha_{1^{-}} \alpha_{2^{-}} \alpha_{3^{-}} \alpha_{4^{-}}$,
$\alpha_{2^{+}}\left(\alpha_{3+} \alpha_{2^{+}}\right)^{2}, \alpha_{3^{+}}\left(\alpha_{2+} \alpha_{3+}\right)^{2} ;$
(III) $\alpha_{1^{+}} \alpha_{2^{-}}, \alpha_{1^{-}} \alpha_{1^{+}}, \alpha_{2^{+}} \alpha_{3^{-}}, \alpha_{2^{-}} \alpha_{3^{+}}, \alpha_{3^{-}} \alpha_{2^{+}}, \alpha_{3^{+}} \alpha_{4^{-}}$.

In particular, the relations (II) can be obtained from the relations (I) and (III).

### 2.2. Covering of Brauer graph algebras with multiplicity

In this subsection, we recall how Brauer graph algebras with multiplicity can be covered by a Brauer graph algebra with multiplicity identically one Asa19. We begin with defining the notion of morphisms of Brauer graphs.

Definition 2.7. Let $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}=\left(H^{\prime}, \iota^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right)$ be Brauer graphs. A morphism of Brauer graphs $p: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$ is a map $p: H \rightarrow H^{\prime}$ that commutes with the pairings and the orientations i.e. $p \circ \iota=\iota^{\prime} \circ p$ and $p \circ \sigma=\sigma^{\prime} \circ p$.

To define this covering, we will need to introduce a particular grading on the Brauer graph with multiplicity called an admissible grading.

Definition 2.8. Let $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ be a Brauer graph and $\bar{m}$ be the least common multiple of the $m(h)$ for $h \in H$.

- We say that a $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-grading $d: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$ is admissible if

$$
\sum_{h \in H, s(h)=v} d(h)=\frac{\bar{m}}{\widetilde{m}(v)}
$$

for all $v \in H / \sigma$, where $s: H \rightarrow H / \sigma$ is the source map of $\Gamma$ and $\widetilde{m}: H / \sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is the map induced by $m$ on $H / \sigma$ (cf after Definition 2.1).

- We say that $(\Gamma, d)$ is a $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-graded Brauer graph if $d: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$ is an admissible $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-grading.

Let $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ be a Brauer graph. Denoting by $\bar{m}$ the least common multiple of the $m(h)$ for $h \in H$, we equip $\Gamma$ with an admissible $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-grading $d: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$. One can construct a new Brauer graph $\Gamma_{d}=\left(H_{d}, \iota_{d}, \sigma_{d}\right)$ of multiplicity identically one as follows Asa19]

- $H_{d}=H \times(\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z})$ : an element of $H_{d}$ will be denoted $h_{i}$ for $h \in H$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$;
- For all $h_{i} \in H_{d}, \iota_{d}\left(h_{i}\right)=(\iota h)_{i}$;
- For all $h_{i} \in H_{d}, \sigma_{d}\left(h_{i}\right)=(\sigma h)_{i+d(h)}$.

It is clear that the projection $p_{\Gamma}: H_{d} \rightarrow H$ defines a morphism of Brauer graphs from $\Gamma_{d}$ to $\Gamma$. From now on, $\Gamma_{d}$ will be called the Galois covering of $\Gamma$. This terminology is motivated by the following result.

Proposition 2.9 (Asashiba Asa19, Proposition 1.17 and Theorem 2.11]). Let ( $Q_{\Gamma}, I_{\Gamma}$ ) and $\left(Q_{d}, I_{d}\right)$ the bound quivers corresponding to $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma_{d}$ respectively. Then the morphism of bound quivers $F:\left(Q_{d}, I_{d}\right) \rightarrow\left(Q_{\Gamma}, I_{\Gamma}\right)$ arising from the morphism of Brauer graphs $p: \Gamma_{d} \rightarrow \Gamma$ defined previously is a Galois covering with group $Z / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 2.10. Let $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ be the Brauer graph defined in Example 2.3 . We equip $\Gamma$ with an admissible $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-grading $d: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $d\left(1^{+}\right)=d\left(3^{+}\right)=1$ and $d\left(1^{-}\right)=$ $d\left(2^{-}\right)=d\left(2^{+}\right)=d\left(3^{-}\right)=d\left(4^{-}\right)=d\left(4^{+}\right)=0$ which can be represented on the graph as follows


0

Then the Galois covering $\Gamma_{d}=\left(H_{d}, \iota_{d}, \sigma_{d}\right)$ of the Brauer graph $\Gamma$ equipped with the admissible $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-grading $d: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is given by


In what follows, we will prove that the Brauer graph algebra $B$ associated to $\Gamma$ can be recovered from its Galois covering with the cyclic group $G=\left(\mathbb{C}_{\bar{m}},.\right)$ of order $\bar{m}$ thanks to the construction of the quiver and relations of a skew group algebra for a cyclic group RR85, Section 2.3]. From now on, we assume that $\bar{m}$ is invertible in $k$ and we fix $g$ a generator of the cyclic group $G$. Denoting by $e_{\left[h_{i}\right]}$ the idempotent in $B_{d}$ corresponding to the edge $\left[h_{i}\right] \in H_{d} / \iota_{d}$, there is a natural action of $G$ on $B_{d}=k Q_{d} / I_{d}$ given by

- $g \cdot e_{\left[h_{i}\right]}=e_{\left[h_{i+1}\right]}$ for all $\left[h_{i}\right] \in H_{d} / \iota_{d}$;
- $g$. $\alpha_{h_{i}}=\alpha_{h_{i+1}}$ where $\alpha_{h_{i}}$ is the arrow in $Q_{d}$ induced by the half-edge $h_{i} \in H_{d}$.

Similarly, the dual $\widehat{G}$ of $G$ is also a cyclic group. Let $\chi$ be a generator of $\widehat{G}$. Denoting by $e_{[h]}$ the idempotent in $B$ corresponding to the edge $[h] \in H / \iota$, there is a natural action of $\widehat{G}$ on $B=k Q / I$ given by

- $\chi \cdot e_{[h]}=e_{[h]}$ for all $[h] \in H / \iota$;
- $\chi \cdot \alpha_{h}=\chi(g)^{-d(h)} \alpha_{h}$ where $\alpha_{h}$ is the arrow in $Q$ induced by the half-edge $h \in H$;

Note that the $G$-action on $B_{d}$ arises from a $G$-action on $Q_{d}$ which preserves the ideal of relations $I_{d}$ whereas the $\widehat{G}$-action on $B$ does not come from an action on its quiver.

Proposition 2.11. Let $f$ be the idempotent in $B_{d} G$ given by the sum of the $e_{\left[h_{0}\right]} \otimes 1_{G}$ for all $[h] \in H / \iota$. Then, the algebra $f B_{d} G f$ has a natural $\widehat{G}$-action and the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi: \quad B & \longrightarrow f B_{d} G f \\
e_{[h]} & \longmapsto e_{\left[h_{0}\right]} \otimes 1_{G} \\
\alpha_{h} & \longmapsto \beta_{h}:=\alpha_{h_{-d(h)}} \otimes g^{-d(h)}
\end{aligned}
$$

is an isomorphism of algebras commuting with the $\widehat{G}$-actions.

Proof. Since there is a $G$-action on $B_{d}$, one can naturally construct a $\widehat{G}$-action on $B_{d} G$ as explained after Definition 1.1. Moreover, if $\chi$ denotes a generator of the cyclic group $\widehat{G}$, note that $\chi . f=f$. Hence, the $\dot{G}$-action on $B_{d} G$ extends onto a $\widehat{G}$-action on $f B_{d} G f$. Furthermore, using the construction in RR85, the quiver and relations of $f B_{d} G f$ are given as follows

- The vertices of the quiver of $f B_{d} G f$ are in bijection with the idempotents $e_{\left[h_{0}\right]} \otimes 1_{G}$ for all $[h] \in H / \iota$. Moreover, the arrows are given by the $\beta_{h}:=\alpha_{h_{-d(h)}} \otimes g^{-d(h)}$ for all $h \in H$.
- The relations of $f B_{d} G f$ are determined thanks to a representative in the $G$-orbit of the relations of $B_{d}$. Hence, its ideal of relations is generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\beta_{\sigma^{n-1} h} \ldots \beta_{h}\right)^{m(h)}-\left(\beta_{\sigma^{n^{\prime}-1} \iota h} \ldots \beta_{\iota h}\right)^{m(\iota h)} \tag{I'}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h \in H$ such that $h_{-d(h)}$ and $(\iota h)_{-d(\iota h)}$ both induce an arrow in $Q_{d}$, where $n$ and $n^{\prime}$ are the size of the $\sigma$-orbit of $h$ and $\iota h$ respectively.
(II')

$$
\beta_{h}\left(\beta_{\sigma^{n-1} h} \ldots \beta_{h}\right)^{m(h)}
$$

for all $h \in H$ such that $h_{-d(h)}$ induces an arrow in $Q_{d}$, where $n$ is the size of the $\sigma$-orbit of $h$.
(III')

$$
\beta_{\iota \sigma h} \beta_{h}
$$

for all $h \in H$ such that $h_{-d(h)}$ and $(\iota \sigma h)_{-d(\iota \sigma h)}$ both induce an arrow in $Q_{d}$.

Let us detail how the relations (I') are obtained. For any generator $R$ of $I_{d}$, the ideal of relations of $B_{d}$, there exists a unique relation $R^{\prime}$ in the $G$-orbit of $R$ which is of the form $R^{\prime}: g^{t}\left(e_{\left[h_{0}\right]}\right) \rightarrow e_{\left[h_{0}^{\prime}\right]}$ for some $0 \leq t<\bar{m}$. In this case, the ideal of relations of $f B_{d} G f$ is generated by elements of the form $f\left(R^{\prime} \otimes g^{t}\right) f$. We recall that the type (I) relation generating $I_{d}$ is of the form

$$
R=\alpha_{\sigma_{d}^{n_{d}-1} h_{i}} \ldots \alpha_{h_{i}}-\alpha_{\sigma_{d}^{n_{d}^{\prime}-1} \iota_{d} h_{i}} \ldots \alpha_{\iota_{d} h_{i}}
$$

for all $h_{i} \in H_{d}$ such that $h_{i}$ and $\iota_{d} h_{i}$ both induce an arrow in $Q_{d}$, where $n_{d}$ and $n_{d}^{\prime}$ denotes the size of the $\sigma_{d}$-orbit of $h_{i}$ and $\iota_{d} h_{i}$ respectively. By construction of $\Gamma_{d}, n_{d}=n m(h)$ and $n_{d}^{\prime}=n^{\prime} m(\iota h)$ where $n$ and $n^{\prime}$ denotes the size of the $\sigma$-orbit of $h$ and $\iota h$ respectively. Moreover, since $d: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$ is admissible, the unique representative $R^{\prime}$ in the $G$-orbit of $R$ of the form $R^{\prime}: g^{t}\left(e_{\left[h_{0}\right]}\right) \rightarrow e_{\left[h_{0}\right]}$ for some $0 \leq t<\bar{m}$ is

$$
R^{\prime}=\alpha_{\sigma_{d}^{n m(h)-1} h_{0}} \ldots \alpha_{h_{0}}-\alpha_{\sigma_{d}^{n m(\iota h)-1} \iota_{d} h_{0}} \ldots \alpha_{\iota_{d} h_{0}}
$$

where $t=0$ in this case. Using the definition of $\sigma_{d}$, note that

$$
\beta_{\sigma^{n-1} h} \ldots \beta_{h}=\alpha_{\sigma_{d}^{n-1} h_{-d\left(\sigma^{n-1} h\right)}} \ldots \alpha_{h_{-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d\left(\sigma^{i} h\right)}} \otimes g^{-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d\left(\sigma^{i} h\right)}
$$

Hence, one can check that $f\left(R^{\prime} \otimes 1_{G}\right) f$ is indeed given by the relations (I'). The relations (II') and (III') are obtained similarly from the type (II) and type (III) relation in $B_{d}$ respectively.

It is clear that $\phi$ defines an isomorphism between the quivers of $B$ and $f B_{d} G f$ which clearly extends on an isomorphism of algebras between the path algebras of these quivers. Moreover, since $h_{i}$ induces an arrow in $Q_{d}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $h$ induces an arrow in $Q_{\Gamma}$, it is clear that the ideals of relations of $B$ and $f B_{d} G f$ coincide via the previous isomorphism of path algebras. Thus, $\phi$ defines an isomorphism of algebras between $B$ and $f B_{d} G f$. Moreover, one can easily check that this isomorphism commutes with the $\widehat{G}$-action on these two algebras.

### 2.3. Generalized Kauer moves

Our goal is to define generalized Kauer moves for Brauer graph with arbitrary multiplicity so that they can be understood in terms of silting mutations as for the multiplicity one case [Sot24, Theorem 3.10]. The idea of the proof is to use the Galois covering defined in the previous subsection which can be constructed whenever the Brauer graph is equipped with an admissible grading. Hence, we need to define a graded version of the generalized Kauer moves for Brauer graph with multiplicity. As in Sot24, we begin with defining these for successive half-edges called sectors.

Definition 2.12. Let $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ be a Brauer graph and $H^{\prime} \subset H$ stable under $\iota$.

- We say that $(h, r) \in H \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is a sector in $\Gamma$ of elements in $H^{\prime}$ if $r+1$ is the smallest integer $r^{\prime} \geq 0$ such that $\sigma^{r^{\prime}} h \notin H^{\prime}$.
- We say that $(h, r) \in H \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is a maximal sector in $\Gamma$ of elements in $H^{\prime}$ if it is a sector satisfying that $\sigma^{-1} h \notin H^{\prime}$.

We denote respectively by $\operatorname{sect}\left(H^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ and $\operatorname{Sect}\left(H^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ the set of sectors and maximal sectors in $\Gamma$ of elements in $H^{\prime}$.

From now on, if $m: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is the multiplicity of a Brauer graph $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$, we denote by $\bar{m}$ the least common multiple of the $m(h)$ for $h \in H$.

Definition 2.13. Let $(\Gamma, d)=(H, \iota, \sigma, m, d)$ be a $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-graded Brauer graph and $H^{\prime}$ be a subset of $H$ stable under $\iota$. The $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-graded generalized Kauer move of a sector $(h, r) \in \operatorname{sect}\left(H^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ in $\Gamma$ gives rise to a $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-Brauer graph $\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma, d)=\left(H, \iota, \sigma_{(h, r)}, m_{(h, r)}, d_{(h, r)}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{(h, r)}=\left(h \sigma^{r+1} h\right) \sigma\left(\sigma^{r} h \iota \sigma^{r+1} h\right) \quad \text { and } \quad m_{(h, r)}: H & \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \\
\sigma^{i} h & \mapsto m\left(\iota \sigma^{r+1} h\right) \text { for } i=0, \ldots, r \\
h^{\prime} & \mapsto m\left(h^{\prime}\right) \text { for } h^{\prime} \neq \sigma^{i} h
\end{aligned}
$$

and where the grading $d_{(h, r)}: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{(h, r)}: \iota \sigma^{r+1} h & \mapsto
\end{aligned} \begin{array}{ll}
-\sum_{i=0}^{r} d\left(\sigma^{i} h\right) \\
\sigma^{r} h & \mapsto \begin{cases}d\left(\iota \sigma^{r+1} h\right)+d\left(\sigma^{r} h\right) & \text { if } \iota \sigma^{r+1} h \neq \sigma^{-1} h \\
\sum_{i=-1}^{r} d\left(\sigma^{i} h\right)+d\left(\sigma^{r} h\right) & \text { else }\end{cases} \\
\sigma^{-1} h & \mapsto \begin{cases}\sum_{i=-1}^{r} d\left(\sigma^{i} h\right) & \text { if } \iota \sigma^{r+1} h \neq \sigma^{-1} h \\
-\sum_{i=0}^{r} d\left(\sigma^{i} h\right) & \text { else }\end{cases} \\
& \mapsto d\left(h^{\prime}\right) \text { for } h^{\prime} \neq \iota \sigma^{r+1} h, \sigma^{r} h, \sigma^{-1} h
\end{array}
$$

The underlying Brauer graph $\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma)=\left(H, \iota, \sigma_{(h, r)}, m_{(h, r)}\right)$ can be obtained from $\Gamma$ as follows.


Figure 1: Generalized Kauer move of a sector (h,r)

Remark 2.14. In the special case where $\iota \sigma^{r+1} h=\sigma^{-1} h$, then the $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-graded generalized Kauer move of the sector $(h, r)$ does not change the underlying Brauer graph which is given as follows


However, the degree of the $\sigma^{i} h$ will a priori change in the process. In particular, the Galois covering of $\Gamma$ and $\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma)$ could have different orientation, even in this case.

By construction of the generalized Kauer move described in the previous picture, it is clear that $m_{(h, r)}$ is constant on the $\sigma_{(h, r)}$-orbits. Moreover, $d_{(h, r)}: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$ is admissible. Indeed, one can construct a bijection $\phi: H / \sigma \rightarrow H / \sigma_{(h, r)}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
s_{(h, r)}\left(\sigma^{i} h\right)=\phi\left(s\left(\iota \sigma^{r+1} h\right)\right) & \text { for } i=0, \ldots, r \\
s_{(h, r)}\left(h^{\prime}\right)=\phi\left(s\left(h^{\prime}\right)\right) & \text { for } h^{\prime} \neq \sigma^{i} h, i=0 \ldots, r
\end{array}
$$

where $s: H \rightarrow H / \sigma$ and $s_{(h, r)}: H \rightarrow H / \sigma_{(h, r)}$ are the source map of $\Gamma$ and $\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma)$ respectively. Then, one can easily check that, for all vertex $v \in H / \sigma_{(h, r)}$ in $\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma)$, we have

$$
\sum_{h^{\prime} \in H, s(h, r)\left(h^{\prime}\right)=v} d_{(h, r)}\left(h^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{h^{\prime} \in H, s\left(h^{\prime}\right)=\phi^{-1}(v)} d\left(h^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\bar{m}}{\widetilde{m}\left(\phi^{-1}(v)\right)}
$$

where $\widetilde{m}: H / \sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is induced by $m$ (cf after Definition 2.1). Note that $\overline{m_{(h, r)}}=\bar{m}$. Moreover, one can easily check that $\widetilde{m}\left(\phi^{-1}(v)\right)=\widetilde{m}_{(h, r)}(v)$ for all $v \in H / \sigma_{(h, r)}$ where $\widetilde{m}_{(h, r)}$ : $H / \sigma_{(h, r)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is induced by $m_{(h, r)}$. Hence, $d_{(h, r)}: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$ is indeed admissible.

Remark 2.15. By definition, if $\Gamma$ is a Brauer graph of multiplicity identically one then $\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma)$ is also a Brauer graph of multiplicity identically one. Hence, this definition generalizes Definition 2.2 in Sot24.

Thanks to Lemma 2.4 of Sot24, we can consider successive $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-graded generalized Kauer moves. We denote by

$$
\mu_{\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)}^{+}(\Gamma, d)=\left(H, \iota, \sigma_{\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)}, m_{\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)}, d_{\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)}\right)
$$

the $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-graded Brauer graph defined by $\mu_{\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)}^{+}\left(\mu_{\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)}^{+}(\Gamma, d)\right)$.
Proposition 2.16. Let $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ be a Brauer graph and $H^{\prime}$ be a subset of $H$ stable under $\iota$. Let $\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right),\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)$ be two distinct maximal sectors in $\Gamma$ of elements in $H^{\prime}$. For any admissible $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-grading $d: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$ of $\Gamma$, we have

$$
\mu_{\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)}^{+}(\Gamma, d)=\mu_{\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)}^{+}(\Gamma, d)
$$

Proof. Thanks to Proposition 2.5 in Sot24, it only remains to prove that $m_{\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)}=$ $m_{\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)}$. It is clear that this equality holds for $h^{\prime}$ distinct from the $\sigma^{i} h_{1}$ for $i=0, \ldots, r_{1}$ and from the $\sigma^{i} h_{2}$ for $i=0, \ldots, r_{2}$. Since $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ have a symmetric role, it suffices to check the equality for the $\sigma^{i} h_{1}, i=0, \ldots, r_{1}$. On the one hand, we have

$$
m_{\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)}\left(\sigma^{i} h_{1}\right)=m_{\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)}\left(\sigma_{\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)}^{i} h_{1}\right)=m_{\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)}\left(\iota \sigma_{\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)}^{r_{1}+1} h_{1}\right)=m\left(\iota \sigma^{r_{1}+1} h_{1}\right)
$$

On the other hand, since $\sigma^{i} h_{1}$ is distinct from $\sigma_{\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)}^{j} h_{2}=\sigma^{j} h_{2}$ for $j=0, \ldots, r_{2}$, we have

$$
m_{\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)}\left(\sigma^{i} h_{1}\right)=m_{\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)}\left(\sigma^{i} h_{1}\right)=m\left(\iota \sigma^{r_{1}+1} h_{1}\right)
$$

Definition 2.17. Let $(\Gamma, d)=(H, \iota, \sigma, m, d)$ be a $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-Brauer graph and $H^{\prime}$ be a subset of $H$ stable under $\iota$. The $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-graded generalized Kauer move of $H^{\prime}$ is the succession of the $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$ graded generalized Kauer move of all the maximal sectors $(h, r) \in \operatorname{Sect}\left(H^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ in $\Gamma$. This gives rise to a $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-graded Brauer graph that will be denoted by $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma, d)=\left(H, \iota, \sigma_{H^{\prime}}, m_{H^{\prime}}, d_{H^{\prime}}\right)$.

Example 2.18. Let us consider $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m, d)$ the $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded Brauer graph defined in Example 2.10. Let $H^{\prime}=\left\{1^{+}, 1^{-}, 2^{+}, 2^{-}\right\}$be a subset of $H$ stable under $\iota$. Then, the $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ graded Brauer graph $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma, d)=\left(H, \iota, \sigma_{H^{\prime}}, m_{H^{\prime}}, d_{H^{\prime}}\right)$ obtained from the $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded generalized Kauer move of $H^{\prime}$ is given by

where the orientation $\sigma_{H^{\prime}}$ is $\left(1^{-} 4^{+} 2^{-}\right)\left(2^{+} 4^{-} 3^{-}\right)=\left(2^{-} 4^{-}\right)\left(2^{+} 3^{+}\right) \sigma\left(1^{-} 4^{+}\right)\left(2^{+} 3^{-}\right)$, the multiplicity $m_{H^{\prime}}: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is given by $m_{H^{\prime}}\left(1^{+}\right)=m_{H^{\prime}}\left(3^{+}\right)=2$ and $m_{H^{\prime}}\left(1^{-}\right)=m_{H^{\prime}}\left(2^{-}\right)=$ $m_{H^{\prime}}\left(4^{+}\right)=m_{H^{\prime}}\left(2^{+}\right)=m_{H^{\prime}}\left(4^{-}\right)=m_{H^{\prime}}\left(3^{-}\right)=1$ and the admissible $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-grading $d_{H^{\prime}}: H \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is given by $d_{H^{\prime}}\left(1^{+}\right)=d_{H^{\prime}}\left(3^{+}\right)=1$ and $d_{H^{\prime}}\left(1^{-}\right)=d_{H^{\prime}}\left(2^{-}\right)=d_{H^{\prime}}\left(4^{+}\right)=d_{H^{\prime}}\left(2^{+}\right)=$ $d_{H^{\prime}}\left(4^{-}\right)=d_{H^{\prime}}\left(3^{-}\right)=0$.

Considering a $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-graded generalized Kauer move of a $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-graded Brauer graph $(\Gamma, d)$, one can construct a Galois covering with group $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$ for $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma, d)$ as defined before Proposition 2.9. The following proposition shows a commutativity between constructing this Galois covering and applying a generalized Kauer move.

Proposition 2.19. Let $(\Gamma, d)=(H, \iota, \sigma, m, d)$ be a $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-graded Brauer graph and $H^{\prime}$ be a subset of $H$ stable under $\iota$. We denote by $\Gamma_{d}=\left(H_{d}, \iota_{d}, \sigma_{d}\right)$ the Galois covering of $\Gamma$ constructed before Proposition 2.9 and $H_{d}^{\prime}=H^{\prime} \times \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z} \subset H_{d}$. Then, the Galois covering of $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma, d)$ is the Brauer graph $\mu_{H_{d}^{\prime}}^{+}\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)$.

The previous proposition can be summarized in the following commutative diagram

where $p_{\Gamma}: \Gamma_{d} \rightarrow \Gamma$ and $p_{\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma)}: \mu_{H_{d}^{\prime}}^{+}\left(\Gamma_{d}\right) \rightarrow \mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma)$ are the morphisms of Brauer graphs induced by the natural projection and $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma)$ denotes the underlying Brauer graph of $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma, d)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $H_{d}^{\prime}$ is a subset of $H_{d}$ stable under $\iota_{d}$ and that $(h, r) \in \operatorname{Sect}\left(H^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ is a maximal sector in $\Gamma$ if and only if $\left(h_{i}, r\right) \in \operatorname{Sect}\left(H_{d}^{\prime}, \sigma_{d}\right)$ is a maximal sector in $\Gamma_{d}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$. Thanks to Proposition 2.16. it suffices to prove that the Galois covering of $\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma, d)$ is given by

$$
\mu_{p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(h, r)}^{+}\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)=\left(H_{d}, \iota_{d},\left(\sigma_{d}\right)_{p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(h, r)}\right)
$$

for any maximal sector $(h, r) \in \operatorname{Sect}\left(H^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$, where $p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(h, r)$ is the product of the maximal sectors $\left(h_{i}, r\right)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$. We denote by

$$
\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma)_{d_{(h, r)}}=\left(H_{d_{(h, r)}}, \iota_{d_{(h, r)}},\left(\sigma_{(h, r)}\right)_{d_{(h, r)}}\right)
$$

the Galois covering of $\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma, d)$. Since $\overline{m_{(h, r)}}=\bar{m}$, the Brauer graphs $\mu_{p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(h, r)}^{+}\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)$ and $\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma)_{d_{(h, r)}}$ have the same set of half-edges and the same pairings. It remains to prove the equality of the orientations. By definition, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\sigma_{d}\right)_{p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(h, r)}=\left(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
h_{i} & \sigma_{d}^{r+1} h_{i}
\end{array}\right)\right) \sigma_{d}\left(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma_{d}^{r} h_{i} & \iota_{d} \sigma_{d}^{r+1} h_{i}
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
\left(\sigma_{(h, r)}\right)_{d_{(h, r)}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
h & \left.\left.\sigma^{r+1} h\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma^{r} h & \iota \sigma^{r+1} h
\end{array}\right)\right]_{d_{(h, r)}}
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

It is clear that for any half-edge distinct from the $\sigma_{d}^{-1} h_{i}, \iota_{d} \sigma_{d}^{r+1} h_{i}$ and $\sigma_{d}^{r} h_{i}, i \in \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$, the equality holds. We only detail the computations for $\sigma_{d}^{r} h_{i}$, the other cases being similar. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sigma_{d}\right)_{p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(h, r)}\left(\sigma_{d}^{r} h_{i}\right) & = \begin{cases}\sigma_{d}^{r+1} h_{j} & \text { if } \sigma_{d} \iota_{d} \sigma_{d}^{r+1} h_{i}=h_{j} \text { for some } j \in \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z} \\
\sigma_{d} \iota_{d} \sigma_{d}^{r+1} h_{i} & \text { else }\end{cases} \\
& = \begin{cases}\left(\sigma^{r+1} h\right)_{i+2} \sum_{k=0}^{r} d\left(\sigma^{k} h\right)+d\left(\iota \sigma^{r+1} h\right) & \text { if } \sigma \iota \sigma^{r+1} h=h \\
\left(\sigma \iota \sigma^{r+1} h\right)_{i+\sum_{k=0}^{r} d\left(\sigma^{k} h\right)+d\left(\iota \sigma^{r+1} h\right)} & \text { else }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

since the first condition is equivalent to $\sigma \iota \sigma^{r+1} h=h$ and in this case $j=i+\sum_{k=0}^{r} d\left(\sigma^{k} h\right)+$ $d\left(\iota \sigma^{r+1} h\right)$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sigma_{(h, r)}\right)_{d_{(h, r)}}\left(\sigma_{d}^{r} h_{i}\right) & =\left(\sigma_{(h, r)}\right)_{d_{(h, r)}}\left(\left(\sigma^{r} h\right)_{i+\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} d\left(\sigma^{k} h\right)}\right) \\
& =\left(\sigma_{(h, r)}\left(\sigma^{r} h\right)\right)_{i+\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} d\left(\sigma^{k} h\right)+d_{(h, r)}\left(\sigma^{r} h\right)} \\
& = \begin{cases}\left(\sigma^{r+1} h\right)_{i+\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} d\left(\sigma^{k} h\right)+\sum_{i=-1}^{r} d\left(\sigma^{k} h\right)+d\left(\sigma^{r} h\right)} & \text { if } \sigma \iota \sigma^{r+1} h=h \\
\left(\sigma \iota \sigma^{r+1} h\right)_{i+\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} d\left(\sigma^{k} h\right)+d\left(\iota \sigma^{r+1} h\right)+d\left(\sigma^{r} h\right)} & \text { else }\end{cases} \\
& =\left(\sigma_{d}\right)_{p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(h, r)}\left(\sigma_{d}^{r} h_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.4. Compatibility with silting mutations

The goal of this part is to prove the following theorem which is an analogous version of Theorem 3.10 in Sot24 for the case of Brauer graphs with arbitrary multiplicity.

Theorem 2.20. Let $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ be a Brauer graph and $H^{\prime}$ be a subset of $H$ stable under $\iota$. We assume that $\bar{m}$ is invertible in $k$. Denoting by $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ the Brauer graph algebras associated respectively to $\Gamma$ and $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma)$, there is an equivalence of triangulated categories

$$
\operatorname{per}\left(B^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{-\stackrel{\mathrm{Q}_{B^{\prime}}}{ } \mu^{+}\left(B ; e_{H^{\prime \prime}} B\right)} \operatorname{per}(B)
$$

where $e_{H^{\prime \prime}} B$ is the projective $B$-module corresponding to the edges in $\left(H \backslash H^{\prime}\right) / \iota$.
To prove this theorem, we will need the following result.
Proposition 2.21. Let us consider the setting of Proposition 2.19. We assume that $\bar{m}$ is invertible in $k$. We denote by $B_{d}$ and $B_{d}^{\prime}$ the Brauer graph algebras associated to $\Gamma_{d}$ and $\mu_{H_{d}^{\prime}}^{+}\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)$ respectively. Moreover, let $G$ be the cyclic group $\left(\mathbb{C}_{\bar{m}},.\right)$ of order $\bar{m}$. Then, there is an equivalence of triangulated categories
where $e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d}$ denotes the projective $B_{d}$-module corresponding to the edges in $\left(H_{d} \backslash H_{d}^{\prime}\right) / \iota_{d}$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.10 in [Sot24], we know that there is a triangle equivalence

$$
\operatorname{per}\left(B_{d}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{-\frac{\mathrm{Q}}{B_{d}^{\prime}} T_{d}} \operatorname{per}\left(B_{d}\right)
$$

where $T_{d}:=\mu^{+}\left(B_{d} ; e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d}\right)$. Let us prove that $T_{d}$ is $G$-invariant. In this case, we obtain the following equivalence of triangulated categories thanks to Theorem 2.10 in AB22

$$
\operatorname{per}\left(B_{d}^{\prime} G\right) \xrightarrow{\substack{-\stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{B_{B_{d}^{\prime} G}^{\prime}} \\\left(T_{d} \\{\stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{B_{d}}} B_{d} G\right)}} \operatorname{per}\left(B_{d} G\right)
$$

For all $h_{i} \in H_{d}^{\prime}$, we denote by

$$
\alpha\left(h_{i}, H_{d}^{\prime}\right): e_{\left[h_{i}\right]} B_{d} \longrightarrow e_{\left[\sigma_{d}^{r\left(h_{i}\right)+1} h_{i}\right]} B_{d}
$$

the morphism induced by the path in $B_{d}$ from $h_{i}$ to $\sigma_{d}^{r\left(h_{i}\right)+1} h_{i}$ where $r\left(h_{i}\right)+1=\min \{r \geq$ $\left.0 \mid \sigma_{d}^{r} h_{i} \notin H_{d}^{\prime}\right\}$. By an abuse of notation, we set $e_{\left[\sigma_{d}^{r\left(h_{i}\right)+1} h_{i}\right]} B_{d}=0$ if the $\sigma_{d}$-orbit of $h_{i}$ is contained in $H_{d}^{\prime}$. Then, the left minimal add $\left(e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d}\right)$-approximation of $e_{\left[h_{i}\right]} B_{d}$ is given by

$$
\alpha_{\left[h_{i}\right]}: \quad e_{\left[h_{i}\right]} B_{d} \xrightarrow{\binom{\alpha\left(h_{i}, H_{d}^{\prime}\right)}{\alpha\left(\iota_{d} h_{i}, H_{d}^{\prime}\right)}} e_{\left[\sigma_{d}^{r\left(h_{i}\right)+1} h_{i}\right]} B_{d} \oplus e_{\left[\sigma_{d}^{r\left(\iota_{d} h_{i}\right)+1} \iota_{d} h_{i}\right]} B_{d}
$$

The two paths in $B_{d}$ inducing this approximation can be represented in $\Gamma_{d}$ as follows


Figure 2: Left minimal $\operatorname{add}\left(e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d}\right)$-approximation of $e_{\left[h_{i}\right]} B_{d}$

By definition of the left mutation, we have

$$
\mu^{+}\left(B_{d} ; e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d}\right)=e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d} \oplus \bigoplus_{\left[h_{i}\right] \in H_{d}^{\prime} / \iota_{d}} \operatorname{Cone}\left(\alpha_{\left[h_{i}\right]}\right)=e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d} \oplus \bigoplus_{[h] \in H^{\prime} / \iota} \operatorname{Cone}\left(\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{\left[h_{i}\right]}\right)
$$

Since $G$ acts bijectively on the set of edges $\left(H_{d} \backslash H_{d}^{\prime}\right) / \iota_{d}$, the object $e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d}$ is $G$-invariant by Example $1.6(1)$. Moreover, for all $[h] \in H^{\prime} / \iota$,

$$
\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{\left[h_{i}\right]}: X_{[h]}:=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}} e_{\left[h_{i}\right]} B_{d} \longrightarrow Y_{[h]}:=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}}\left(e_{\left[\sigma_{d}^{r\left(h_{i}\right)+1} h_{i}\right]} B_{d} \oplus e_{\left[\sigma_{d}^{r\left(\iota_{d} h_{i}\right)+1} \iota_{d} h_{i}\right]} B_{d}\right)
$$

is a morphism in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(B_{d}\right)$ between two $G$-invariant objects. Indeed, this follows again from Example 1.6 (1) and the isomorphisms $\iota_{g}^{X_{[h]}}$ and $\iota_{g}{ }^{Y_{[h]}}$ (cf Definition 1.5) are respectively given by the action of $g$ on $X_{[h]}$ and $Y_{[h]}$. Moreover, using the definition of $\alpha_{\left[h_{i}\right]}$, it is not hard to check that the following diagram commutes for all $g \in G$


By Example 1.6 (2) we deduce that $\operatorname{Cone}\left(\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_{\left[h_{i}\right]}\right)$ is $G$-invariant for all $[h] \in H^{\prime} / \iota$. Hence, we conclude that $\mu^{+}\left(B_{d} ; e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d}\right)$ is indeed $G$-invariant.

To complete the proof, it remains to show that

$$
T_{d} G:=\mu^{+}\left(B_{d} G ; e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d} G\right)
$$

is isomorphic to $T_{d} \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}{ }_{B_{d}} B_{d} G$ in $\operatorname{per}\left(B_{d} G\right)$. For this, it suffices to check that the assumptions of Proposition 1.13 hold. We have seen that $e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d}$ is $G$-invariant. One can check with a similar argument that $e_{H_{d}^{\prime}} B_{d}$, the projective $B_{d}$-module corresponding to the edges in $H_{d}^{\prime} / \iota_{d}$, is also $G$-invariant. Moreover, the left minimal add $\left(e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d}\right)$-approximation of $e_{H_{d}^{\prime}} B_{d}$ is of the form

$$
\bigoplus_{[h] \in H^{\prime} / \iota i \in \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{\left[h_{i}\right]}: e_{H_{d}^{\prime}} B_{d}=\bigoplus_{[h] \in H^{\prime} / \iota} X_{[h]} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{[h] \in H^{\prime} / \iota} Y_{[h]}
$$

We have seen previously that $Y_{[h]}$ is $G$-invariant for all $[h] \in H^{\prime} / \iota$. Hence $\oplus_{[h] \in H^{\prime} / \iota} Y_{[h]}$ is also $G$-invariant and this concludes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2.20. Let us define an admissible $\mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$-grading $d: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z}$ on $\Gamma$ as follows : for every vertex $v \in H / \sigma$,

- If there exist half-edges in $H \backslash H^{\prime}$ and in $H^{\prime}$ that are incident with $v$, then we choose any maximal sector $(h, r) \in \operatorname{Sect}\left(H^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ around $v$ and we set $d\left(\sigma^{-1} h\right)=\bar{m} / m\left(\sigma^{-1} h\right)$ and $d\left(h^{\prime}\right)=0$ for all $h^{\prime} \neq \sigma^{-1} h$ incident with $v$.


The blue half-edge represents the only half-edge in the cyclic ordering around $v$ that has a non trivial degree for $d$.

- Else, we choose any $h \in H$ incident with $v$ and we set $d(h)=\bar{m} / m(h)$ and $d\left(h^{\prime}\right)=0$ for all $h^{\prime} \neq h$ incident with $v$.

Let $\Gamma_{d}=\left(H_{d}, \iota_{d}, \sigma_{d}\right)$ be the Galois covering of $\Gamma$ constructed before Proposition 2.9 and $H_{d}^{\prime}=$ $H^{\prime} \times \mathbb{Z} / \bar{m} \mathbb{Z} \subset H_{d}$. We denote by $B_{d}$ and $B_{d}^{\prime}$ the Brauer graph algebras associated to $\Gamma_{d}$ and $\mu_{H_{d}^{\prime}}^{+}\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)$ respectively. Moreover, $G$ denotes the cyclic group $\left(\mathbb{C}_{\bar{m}},.\right)$ of order $\bar{m}$. We have the following commutative diagram

where $f$ is the idempotent given by the sum of the $e_{\left[h_{0}\right]} \otimes 1_{G}$ for all $[h] \in H / \iota$ and $T_{d} G=$ $\mu^{+}\left(B_{d} G ; e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d} G\right)$. The vertical arrows arise from Proposition 2.11 since $\mu_{H_{d}^{\prime}}^{+}\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)$ is the Galois covering of $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma, d)$ by Proposition 2.19. The top arrow is given by Proposition 2.21 . This leads to a derived equivalence between $B^{\prime}$ and $B$ and the associated tilting object $T$ is given by

$$
T=\left(f B_{d}^{\prime} G \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}_{B_{d}^{\prime} G} T_{d} G\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{B_{d} G} B_{d} G f
$$

It remains to prove that $T \simeq \mu^{+}\left(B ; e_{H^{\prime \prime}} B\right)$. We saw in the proof of Proposition 2.11 that there is an isomorphism in $\operatorname{per}(B d G)$

$$
T_{d} G \simeq T_{d} \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}_{B_{d}} B_{d} G
$$

where $T_{d}=\mu^{+}\left(B_{d} ; e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d}\right)$. Hence, denoting by $f_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}}$ the idempotent given by the sum of the $e_{\left[h_{0}\right]} \otimes 1_{G}$ for all $[h] \in\left(H \backslash H^{\prime}\right) / \iota$, we have

$$
f B_{d}^{\prime} G{\stackrel{\stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}}{B_{d}^{\prime} G}}^{T_{d}} G \simeq f_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d} G \oplus \bigoplus_{\left[h_{0}\right] \in H_{d}^{\prime} / \iota} \operatorname{Cone}\left(\alpha_{\left[h_{0}\right]}{\stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{B_{d}}}_{B_{d}} B_{d} G\right)
$$

where $\alpha_{\left[h_{0}\right]}$ is the left minimal $\operatorname{add}\left(e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d}\right)$-approximation of $e_{\left[h_{0}\right]} B_{d}$. By construction of $d$, $\alpha_{\left[h_{0}\right]} \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes} B_{B_{d}} B_{d} G$ is of the form

$$
\left.\alpha_{\left[h_{0}\right]} \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_{B_{d}} B_{d} G: e_{\left[h_{0}\right]} B_{d} G \longrightarrow e_{\left[\left(\sigma^{r\left(h_{0}\right)+1} h\right)_{0}\right]} B_{d} G \oplus e_{\left[\left(\sigma^{r(\iota} \iota_{d} h_{0}\right)+1\right.}\right)_{)_{0}\right]} B_{d} G
$$



$$
f B_{d}^{\prime} G \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}_{B_{d}^{\prime} G} T_{d} G \simeq \mu^{+}\left(f B_{d} G ; f_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d} G\right)
$$

 gories, we conclude that

$$
T \simeq \mu^{+}\left(f B_{d} G ; f_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d} G\right) \stackrel{\stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes} B_{d} G}{ } B_{d} G f \simeq \mu^{+}\left(f B_{d} G f ; f_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d} G f\right)
$$

which is isomorphic to $\mu^{+}\left(B ; e_{H^{\prime \prime}} B\right)$ by Proposition 2.11 .

Remark 2.22. Using the classification of Brauer graph algebras up to derived equivalence given in OZ22, we can directly prove that the algebras $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ are derived equivalent. Indeed, we have to check the following conditions
(i) $\Gamma$ and $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma)$ have the same number of edges and vertices;
(ii) $\Gamma$ and $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma)$ have the same number of faces and their multi-sets of the perimeters of the faces coincide;
(iii) The multi-sets of the multiplicities of the vertices of $\Gamma$ and $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma)$ coincide;
(iv) Either both or none of $\Gamma$ and $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma)$ are bipartite.

Thanks to Proposition 1.17 in Sot24, it only remains to prove the third point. It is clear by the definition of $m_{(h, r)}$ that the multi-sets of the multiplicities of the vertices of $\Gamma$ and $\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma)$ coincide for all $(h, r)$ maximal sector in $\Gamma$ of elements in $H^{\prime}$. Since $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma)$ is obtained from the succession of generalized Kauer moves of all maximal sectors of elements in $H^{\prime}$, we deduce that the third point holds. Hence, the algebras $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ are derived equivalent.

## 3. Generalized Kauer moves for skew Brauer graph algebras

In this section, we define the notion of skew Brauer graph algebras which is a generalization of Brauer graph algebras. Our aim is to define a notion of generalized Kauer moves for skew Brauer graph algebras so that it corresponds to a silting mutation. This will again be a generalization of Theorem 3.10 in Sot24. Throughout this section, the characteristic of the field $k$ is supposed to be different than 2 .

### 3.1. Skew Brauer graph algebras

In this part, we define the notion of skew Brauer graph which is a Brauer graph where the pairing may have some fixed points. From this combinatorial data, we construct a new algebra called a skew Brauer graph algebra. This construction is analogous to the definition of a Brauer graph algebra.

Definition 3.1. A skew Brauer graph is the data $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ where

- $H$ is the set of half-edges;
- $\iota$ is a permutation of $H$ with possible fixed points satisfying $\iota^{2}=\mathrm{id}_{H}$;
- $\sigma$ is a permutation of $H$ called the orientation;
- $m: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is a map that is constant on a $\sigma$-orbit : it is called the multiplicity.

To the data $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$, one can naturally define a graph. For this, let us denote $H_{\circ}$ the set of half-edges that are not fixed by $\iota$ and $H_{\times}$the set of half-edges that are fixed by $\iota$. Hence, the vertex set of this graph is given by $\Gamma_{0} \simeq H / \sigma \cup H_{\times}$and the edge set is given by $\Gamma_{1}=H / \iota$. Moreover, each cycle in the decomposition of $\sigma$ gives rise to a cyclic ordering of the half-edges around a vertex in $H / \sigma$. Since $m: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is constant on a $\sigma$-orbit, it induces a $\operatorname{map} \widetilde{m}: H / \sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We denote by $\circ$ the vertices in $H / \sigma$ and by $\times$ the vertices in $H_{\times}$. By construction of the graph, each $\times$-vertex has a unique edge incident to it. One can understand an edge incident to a $\times$-vertex as a "degenerate" edge. Hence, one can see a skew Brauer graph as a Brauer graph with possible "degenerate" edges. From now on, we identify $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ with the graph that we have constructed above. By convention, the orientation of a skew Brauer graph corresponds to the local embedding of each o-vertex into the counterclockwise oriented plane.

Remark 3.2. For a similar reason than in Remark 2.2, we do not take into consideration the two following skew Brauer graph algebras

where the first one is given in Remark 2.2 and the other is given by $H=\{2\}, \iota=\operatorname{id}_{H}=\sigma$ and $m$ being identically 1. More precisely, we assume that such graphs do not appear in any connected component of a given skew Brauer graph. In particular, since we have excluded such graphs, there is no half-edge that is fixed simultaneously by $\iota$ and $\sigma$.

Example 3.3. Let $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ be a skew Brauer graph where the set of half-edges $H$ is $\left\{1^{+}, 1^{-}, 2,3,4^{+}, 4^{-}, 5^{+}, 5^{-}\right\}$, the involution $\iota$ is $\left(1^{+} 1^{-}\right)\left(4^{+} 4^{-}\right)\left(5^{+} 5^{-}\right)$, the permutation $\sigma$ is $\left(1^{-} 32\right)\left(1^{+} 4^{+} 5^{+}\right)$and the multiplicity $m: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is given by $m\left(4^{-}\right)=3, m(3)=m(2)=$ $m\left(1^{-}\right)=2$ and $m\left(4^{+}\right)=m\left(1^{+}\right)=m\left(5^{+}\right)=m\left(5^{-}\right)=1$. With our previous convention, this skew Brauer graph can be represented as follows

where the multiplicity of a half-edge is given by the value in the o-vertex it is incident to. From now on, we will only write on the graph the multiplicities that are strictly greater than one.

Given a skew Brauer graph $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$, one can construct a quiver $Q_{\Gamma}=\left(Q_{0}, Q_{1}\right)$ as follows

- The vertex set $Q_{0}$ of $Q_{\Gamma}$ is given by $H_{\circ} / \iota \cup\left(H_{\times} / \iota \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}\right)$. We denote by $[h]_{i}$ a vertex of $Q_{\Gamma}$ where $i=\varnothing$ if $[h] \in H_{\circ} / \iota$ and $i=0,1$ if $[h] \in H_{\times} / \iota$.
- The arrow set $Q_{1}$ of $Q_{\Gamma}$ is induced by the orientation $\sigma$ and the multiplicity $m$. More precisely, for any half-edge $h \in H$ that is not fixed by $\sigma$ there are arrows ${ }^{j} \alpha_{h}{ }^{i}$ from $[h]_{i}$ to $[\sigma h]_{j}$ for all $i, j=\varnothing, 0,1$. Moreover, for any half-edge $h$ that is fixed by $\sigma$ there is an arrow $\alpha_{h}$ from $[h]$ to itself if and only if $m(h)>1$.

Note that any half-edge $h \in H$ inducing an arrow in $Q_{\Gamma}$ gives rise to oriented cycles in $Q_{\Gamma}$ that begins and ends at $[h]_{i}$ for $i=\varnothing, 0,1$. We call such an oriented cycle a special $h_{i}$-cycle. By definition, there are $2^{\left|H_{\times} \cap\left(\operatorname{Orb}_{\sigma}(h) \backslash\{h\}\right)\right|}$ such special cycles where $\operatorname{Orb}_{\sigma}(h)$ denotes the $\sigma$-orbit of $h$. We denote by $\mathscr{C}_{h_{i}}$ the set of all special $h_{i}$-cycle. Moreover, an element of $\mathscr{C}_{h_{i}}$ is of the form ${ }^{i} \alpha_{\sigma^{n-1} h}{ }^{i_{n-1}} \ldots{ }^{i_{1}} \alpha_{h}{ }^{i}$ for some $i_{1} \ldots, i_{n-1}=\varnothing, 0,1$ where $n$ is the size of the $\sigma$-orbit of $h$.

Example 3.4. Let $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ be the skew Brauer graph defined in Example 3.3 Its corresponding quiver is given by


In this case, the sets of special $h_{i}$-cycles are given by $\mathscr{C}_{1-}=\left\{\alpha_{2}{ }^{i_{2}} i_{2} \alpha_{3}{ }^{i_{1}} i_{1} \alpha_{1-} \mid i_{1}, i_{2}=0,1\right\}$,
 $\mathscr{C}_{1^{+}}=\left\{\alpha_{5^{+}} \alpha_{4^{+}} \alpha_{1^{+}}\right\}, \mathscr{C}_{4^{+}}=\left\{\alpha_{1^{+}} \alpha_{5^{+}} \alpha_{4^{+}}\right\}, \mathscr{C}_{4^{-}}=\left\{\alpha_{4^{-}}\right\}$and $\mathscr{C}_{5^{+}}=\left\{\alpha_{4^{+}} \alpha_{1+} \alpha_{5^{+}}\right\}$. Note that there is no special $5^{-}$-cycle since $5^{-}$is fixed by $\sigma$ and is of multiplicity 1 so it does not induce an arrow in $Q_{\Gamma}$.

Definition 3.5. Let $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ be a skew Brauer graph and $Q_{\Gamma}$ be its associated quiver. The skew Brauer graph algebra $B_{\Gamma}$ of $\Gamma$ is the path algebra $k Q_{\Gamma} / I_{\Gamma}$ where the set of relations $I_{\Gamma}$ is generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2^{n_{\times}(h)} C_{h}\right)^{m(h)}=\left(2^{n_{\times}(\iota h)} C_{\iota h}\right)^{m(\iota h)} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all special cycles $C_{h} \in \mathscr{C}_{h}$ and $C_{\iota h} \in \mathscr{C}_{\iota h}$ where $n_{\times}(h)$ denotes the number of half-edges in the $\sigma$-orbit of $h$ that are in $H_{\times}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{i_{1}} \alpha_{h}^{i}\left(C_{h_{i}}\right)^{m(h)} \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all special cycles $C_{h_{i}}={ }^{i} \alpha_{\sigma^{n-1} h}{ }^{i_{n-1}} \ldots{ }^{i_{1}} \alpha_{h}{ }^{i} \in \mathscr{C}_{h_{i}}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{\prime} \alpha_{\iota \sigma h} \alpha_{h}{ }^{i} \tag{III}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h \in H$ such that $h$ and $\iota \sigma h$ both induce an arrow with $\sigma h \in H_{\circ}$ and $i, i^{\prime}=\varnothing, 0,1$.
(IV)

$$
{ }^{i+1} \alpha_{\sigma^{n-1} h}{ }^{i_{n-1}} \ldots{ }^{i_{1}} \alpha_{h}{ }^{i}\left(C_{h_{i}}\right)^{m(h)-1}
$$

for all special cycles $C_{h_{i}}={ }^{i} \alpha_{\sigma^{n-1} h}{ }^{i_{n-1}} \ldots{ }^{i_{1}} \alpha_{h}{ }^{i} \in \mathscr{C}_{h_{i}}$ with $h \in H_{\times}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{\prime} \alpha_{\sigma h}{ }^{0}{ }^{0} \alpha_{h}{ }^{i}-{ }^{i^{\prime}} \alpha_{\sigma h}{ }^{11} \alpha_{h}{ }^{i} \tag{V}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h \in H$ not fixed by $\sigma$ such that $\sigma h \in H_{\times}$and $i, i^{\prime}=\varnothing, 0,1$.
In particular, for $H_{\times}=\varnothing$, the definitions of skew Brauer graph and skew Brauer graph algebra coincide with the definitions of Brauer graph and Brauer graph algebra. Thanks to the relation $(\mathrm{V})$, note that all the special $h_{i}$-cycles are equal in $B_{\Gamma}$. Moreover, these relations are not minimal as we can see in the following example.

Example 3.6. Let $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ the skew Brauer graph defined in Example 3.3. The skew Brauer graph algebra associated to $\Gamma$ is defined as follows : its quiver is described in Example 3.4 and its ideal of relations is generated by
(I) $\alpha_{1+} \alpha_{5^{+}} \alpha_{4^{+}}-\alpha_{4^{-}}^{3}$ and $16\left(\alpha_{2}^{i_{2} i_{2}} \alpha_{3}^{i_{1} i_{1}} \alpha_{1^{-}}\right)^{2}-\alpha_{5^{+}} \alpha_{4^{+}} \alpha_{1^{+}}$for all $i_{1}, i_{2}=0,1$;
(II) $\alpha_{1+} \alpha_{5+} \alpha_{4^{+}} \alpha_{1^{+}}, \alpha_{4^{+}} \alpha_{1+} \alpha_{5^{+}} \alpha_{4^{+}}, \alpha_{5+} \alpha_{4^{+}} \alpha_{1+} \alpha_{5^{+}}, \alpha_{4-}^{4}$ and ${ }^{i_{1}} \alpha_{1-}\left(\alpha_{2}{ }^{i_{2} i_{2}} \alpha_{3}{ }^{i_{1} i_{1}} \alpha_{1^{-}}\right)^{2}$,
${ }^{i_{1}} \alpha_{3}{ }^{i}\left({ }^{i} \alpha_{1-} \alpha_{2}{ }^{i_{1} i_{1}} \alpha_{3}{ }^{i}\right)^{2}, \alpha_{2}{ }^{i}\left({ }^{i} \alpha_{3}{ }^{i_{1} i_{1}} \alpha_{1-} \alpha_{2}{ }^{i}\right)^{2}$ for all $i, i_{1}, i_{2}=0,1 ;$
(III) $\alpha_{4^{-}} \alpha_{1+}, \alpha_{4^{+}} \alpha_{4^{-}}$and $\alpha_{1+} \alpha_{2}{ }^{i},{ }^{i} \alpha_{1-} \alpha_{5+}$ for all $i=0,1$;
(IV) ${ }^{i+1} \alpha_{1-} \alpha_{2}^{i_{1}}{ }^{i_{1}} \alpha_{3}{ }^{i}{ }^{i} \alpha_{1-} \alpha_{2}^{i_{1}} i_{1} \alpha_{3}{ }^{i},{ }^{i+1} \alpha_{3}{ }^{i_{1}}{ }^{i_{1}} \alpha_{1-} \alpha_{2}{ }^{i}{ }^{i} \alpha_{3}{ }^{i_{1}} i_{1} \alpha_{1-} \alpha_{2}{ }^{i}$ for all $i_{1}=0,1$;
(V) ${ }^{i} \alpha_{3}{ }^{0}{ }^{0} \alpha_{1^{-}}-{ }^{i} \alpha_{3}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \alpha_{1^{-}}, \alpha_{2}{ }^{0}{ }^{0} \alpha_{3}{ }^{i}-\alpha_{2}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \alpha_{3}{ }^{i}$ for all $i=0,1$.

Note that some of the relations in (II) follows from the relations in (I) and (III).

### 3.2. Covering of skew Brauer graphs

In this section, we explain how skew Brauer graph can be covered by a Brauer graph. This construction is analogous to the Galois covering of a Brauer graph with multiplicity (cf after Definition 2.8). In particular, we need to introduce a particular $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-grading on the skew Brauer graph.

Definition 3.7. Let $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ be a skew Brauer graph.

- We say that a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-grading $d: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is 0 -homogeneous if

$$
\sum_{h \in H, s(h)=v} d(h)=0
$$

for all $v \in H / \sigma$, where $s: H \rightarrow H / \sigma$ is the source map for o-vertex in $\Gamma$.

- We say that $(\Gamma, d)$ is a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded skew Brauer graph if $d: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is 0 -homogeneous.

Let $(\Gamma, d)=(H, \iota, \sigma, m, d)$ be a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded skew Brauer graph. One can construct a Brauer graph $\Gamma_{d}=\left(H_{d}, \iota_{d}, \sigma_{d}, m_{d}\right)$ as follows

- $H_{d}=H \times(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$ : an element of $H_{d}$ will be denoted $h_{i}$ for $h \in H$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$;
- For all $h_{i} \in H_{d}, \iota_{d}\left(h_{i}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}h_{i+1} & \text { if } h \in H_{\times} \\ (\iota h)_{i} & \text { if } h \in H_{\circ}\end{array} ;\right.$
- For all $h_{i} \in H_{d}, \sigma_{d}\left(h_{i}\right)=(\sigma h)_{i+d(h)}$;
- For all $h_{i} \in H_{d}, m_{d}\left(h_{i}\right)=m(h)$.

One can easily check that $\iota_{d}$ has no fixed points and that $m_{d}$ is constant on $\sigma_{d}$-orbits. Hence, $\Gamma_{d}$ is indeed a Brauer graph. Moreover, it is clear that the projection $p_{\Gamma}: H_{d} \rightarrow H$ defined a morphism of skew Brauer graphs from $\Gamma_{d}$ to $\Gamma$ i.e. it commutes with the involutions and the orientations of the skew Brauer graphs (cf Definition 2.7). The Brauer graph $\Gamma_{d}$ will be called the covering of $\Gamma$.

Example 3.8. Let us consider the skew Brauer graph $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ defined in Example 3.3. We equip $\Gamma$ with a 0 -homogeneous $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-grading $d: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $d(h)=0$ for all $h \in H$ which can be represented on the graph as follows


Then, the covering $\Gamma_{d}=\left(H_{d}, \iota_{d}, \sigma_{d}, m_{d}\right)$ of the $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded skew Brauer graph $(\Gamma, d)$ is given by the following Brauer graph


In what follows, we will prove that the skew Brauer graph algebra $B$ associated to $\Gamma$ can be recovered from the Brauer graph algebra $B_{d}$ associated to the covering $\Gamma_{d}$ thanks to the construction of the quiver and relations of a skew group algebra for a cyclic group RR85 Section 2.3]. We first need to define an action of the cyclic group $G:=\left(\mathbb{C}_{2},.\right)$ of order 2 on $B_{d}$ whose generator will be denoted by $g$. Denoting by $e_{\left[h_{i}\right]}$ the idempotent in $B_{d}$ corresponding to the edge $\left[h_{i}\right] \in H_{d} / \iota_{d}$, there is a natural action of $G$ on $B_{d}=k Q_{d} / I_{d}$ given by

- $g$. $e_{\left[h_{i}\right]}=e_{\left[h_{i+1}\right]}$ for all $\left[h_{i}\right] \in H_{d} / \iota_{d}$;
- $g . \alpha_{h_{i}}=\alpha_{h_{i+1}}$ where $\alpha_{h_{i}}$ is the arrow in $Q_{d}$ induced by the half-edge $h_{i} \in H_{d}$.

Similarly, the dual $\widehat{G}$ of $G$ is also a cyclic group and we denote by $\chi$ its generator. Denoting by $e_{[h]_{i}}$ for $i=\varnothing, 0,1$ the idempotent(s) in $B$ arising from the edge $[h] \in H / \iota$, there is a natural action of $\widehat{G}$ on $B=k Q / I$ given by

- $\chi \cdot e_{[h]}=e_{[h]}$ for all $[h] \in H_{\circ} / \iota$ and $\chi \cdot e_{[h]_{i}}=e_{[h]_{i+1}}$ for all $[h] \in H_{\times} / \iota$;
- $\chi \cdot{ }^{j} \alpha_{h}{ }^{i}=(-1)^{-d(h) j+1} \alpha_{h}{ }^{i+1}$ where ${ }^{j} \alpha_{h}^{i}$ is an arrow in $Q$ induced by the half-edge $h \in H$. By an abuse of notation, if $i=\varnothing$ then $i+1=\varnothing$.

Note that the $G$-action on $B_{d}$ arises from a $G$-action on $Q_{d}$ which preserves the ideal of relations $I_{d}$ whereas the $\widehat{G}$-action on $B$ does not come from an action on its quiver.

Proposition 3.9. Let $f$ be the idempotent in $B_{d} G$ given by the sum of the $e_{\left[h_{0}\right]} \otimes 1_{G}$ for all $[h] \in H / \iota$. Then, the algebra $f B_{d} G f$ has a natural $\widehat{G}$-action and the map

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\phi: & \longrightarrow f B_{d} G f \\
e_{[h]} & \longmapsto f_{[h]}:=e_{\left[h_{0}\right]} \otimes 1_{G} & \\
e_{[h]_{i}} & \longmapsto f_{[h]_{i}}:=e_{\left[h_{0}\right]} \otimes \frac{1_{G}+(-1)^{i} g}{2} & & \text { for } i=0,1 \\
{ }^{j} \alpha_{h}{ }^{i} & \longmapsto{ }^{j} \beta_{h}{ }^{i} & \text { for } i, j=\varnothing, 0,1
\end{array}
$$

is an isomorphism of algebras which commutes with the $\widehat{G}$-actions, where ${ }^{j} \beta_{h}^{i}: f_{[h]_{i}} \rightarrow f_{[h]_{j}}$ are the arrows in $f B_{d} G f$.

Proof. Since $\chi . f=f$, the natural $\widehat{G}$-action on $B_{d} G$ extends onto a $\widehat{G}$-action on $f B_{d} G f$. As for the proof of Proposition 2.11, the isomorphism follows again from the construction of the quiver and relations of $f B_{d} G f$ given in RR85.

- The vertices of the quiver of $f B_{d} G f$ are in bijection with the idempotents $f_{[h]_{i}}$ defined in the proposition for $i=\varnothing, 0,1$. By definition, its arrows are of the form ${ }^{j} \beta_{h}{ }^{i}: f_{[h]_{i}} \rightarrow f_{[h]_{j}}$ for $i, j=\varnothing, 0,1$.
- The relations of $f B_{d} G f$ are determined thanks to a representative in the $G$-orbits of the relations of $B_{d}$. Hence, its ideal of relation is generated by
(I')

$$
\sum_{\substack{i_{k}^{l}=\varnothing, 0,1 \\(k, l) \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \times\{1, \ldots, m(h)\} \backslash\{(n, m(h))\}}}^{i+1} \beta_{\sigma^{n-1}} h^{i_{n-1}^{m(h)}} \ldots{ }^{i_{1}^{m(h)}} \beta_{h^{i_{n}^{m(h)-1}}} \ldots{ }^{i_{n}^{1}} \beta_{\sigma^{n-1}} h^{i_{n-1}^{1}} \ldots{ }^{i_{1}^{1}} \beta_{h}^{i}
$$

for all $i=0,1$ and $h \in H_{\times}$inducing an arrow in $Q$, where $n$ is the size of the $\sigma$-orbit of $h$.
(II')

$$
\left(\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n-1}=\varnothing, 0,1} \beta_{\sigma^{n-1}} h^{i_{n-1}} \ldots{ }^{i_{1}} \beta_{h}\right)^{m(h)}-\left(\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n^{\prime}-1}-\varnothing, 0,1} \beta_{\sigma^{n^{\prime}-1} \iota h^{i_{n-1}}} \ldots{ }^{i_{1}} \beta_{\iota h}\right)^{m(\iota h)}
$$

for all $h \in H_{\circ}$ such that $h$ and $\iota h$ both induce an arrow in $Q$, where $n$ and $n^{\prime}$ are the size of the $\sigma$-orbit of $h$ and $\iota h$ respectively.
(III')

$$
\sum_{\substack{i_{k}^{l}=\varnothing, 0,1 \\ k=1, \ldots, n \\ l=1, \ldots, m(h)}}{ }^{j} \beta_{h}{ }_{n}^{i_{n}^{m(h)}} i_{n}^{m(h)} \beta_{\sigma^{n-1}} h_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}^{m(h)}} \ldots{ }^{i_{1}^{m(h)}} \beta_{h} i_{n}^{m(h)-1} \ldots{ }^{i_{n}^{1}} \beta_{\sigma^{n-1}} h^{i_{n-1}^{1}} \ldots{ }^{i_{1}^{1}} \beta_{h}{ }^{i}
$$

for all $i, j=\varnothing, 0,1$ and $h \in H$ inducing an arrow in $Q$, where $n$ is the size of the $\sigma$-orbit of $h$.
(IV')

$$
{ }^{j} \beta_{\sigma h}{ }^{0}{ }^{0} \beta_{h}{ }^{i}-{ }^{j} \beta_{\sigma h}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \beta_{h}{ }^{i}
$$

for all $i, j=\varnothing, 0,1$ and $h \in H$ inducing an arrow in $Q$ such that $\sigma h \in H_{\times}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{j} \beta_{\iota \sigma h} \beta_{h}{ }^{i} \tag{V'}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i, j=\varnothing, 0,1$ and $h \in H$ such that $h$ and $\iota \sigma h$ induce an arrow in $Q$ and $\sigma h \in H_{\circ}$.

The relations are obtained the same way as in the proof of Proposition 2.11. In this case, there are sums appearing in the relations since we have

$$
\alpha_{h_{-d(h)}} \otimes g^{-d(h)}=\sum_{i, j=\varnothing, 0,1}{ }^{i} \beta_{h}^{j}
$$

Note that the relations (I') and (II') arise from the type (I) relation in $B_{d}$, the relation (III') arise from the type (II) relation in $B_{d}$ and the relations (IV') and (V') arise from the type (III) relation in $B_{d}$. In particular, the relation (IV') means that all special $h_{i}$-cycle are equal in $f B_{d} G f$. Hence, these five relations may be reformulated to obtain a correspondence with the relations of $B$ under the morphism $\phi$.

Thanks to this proposition, one can understand skew Brauer graph algebras of multiplicity identically one as the trivial extension of a skew gentle algebra. In particular, this generalizes Theorem 3.13 in Sch18 which interprets Brauer graph algebras of multiplicity identically one as the trivial extension of gentle algebras. We recall that a skew gentle algebra is a basic algebra that is Morita equivalent to the skew group algebra of a gentle algebra equipped with a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-action. (cf for instance Section 3.2 in AB22).

Definition 3.10. Let $B=k Q / I$ be a skew Brauer graph algebra associated to $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ and $\Delta$ be a subset of $H$ consisting of representatives of each $\sigma$-orbits. An admissible cut of $B$ is the set of all arrows in $Q$ induced by the half-edges in $\Delta$. By an abuse of notation, we also denote by $\Delta$ the admissible cut.

Theorem 3.11. Let $B=k Q / I$ be a skew Brauer graph algebra of multiplicity identically one associated to $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma)$ and equipped with an admissible cut $\Delta$. Then, the following holds.
(1) The algebra $B_{\Delta}:=k Q /\langle I \cup \Delta\rangle$ is a skew gentle algebra, where $\langle I \cup \Delta\rangle$ denotes the ideal of $k Q$ generated by $I \cup \Delta$.
(2) The trivial extension of $B_{\Delta}$ is isomorphic to $B$.

Proof. Let us consider $d: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ a 0 -homogeneous $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-grading on $\Gamma$. Hence, one can construct $\Gamma_{d}=\left(H_{d}, \iota_{d}, \sigma_{d}\right)$ the covering of $\Gamma$ which is a Brauer graph of multiplicity identically one by construction. We denote by $B_{d}$ the Brauer graph algebra associated to $\Gamma_{d}$ and by $p_{\Gamma}: \Gamma_{d} \rightarrow \Gamma$ the morphism of skew Brauer graphs given by the projection (cf before Example 3.8. One can easily check that $p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(\Delta)$ is an admissible cut for $\Gamma_{d}$ that is stable under the $G$-action on $\Gamma_{d}$ defined before Proposition 3.9, where $G=\left(\mathbb{C}_{2},.\right)$ denotes the cyclic group of order 2. By Theorem 3.13 in $\operatorname{Sch} 18],\left(B_{d}\right)_{p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(\Delta)}$ is a gentle algebra whose trivial extension is isomorphic to $B_{d}$. Moreover, $\left(B_{d}\right)_{p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(\Delta)}$ has a natural $G$-action which can be extended as a $G$-action onto its trivial extension as explained before Proposition 1.4. One can check that the isomorphism of algebras $\operatorname{Triv}\left(\left(B_{d}\right)_{p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(\Delta)}\right) \simeq B_{d}$ commute with the $G$-actions. Thanks to Proposition 1.4, we deduce the following isomorphisms of algebras

$$
B_{d} G \simeq \operatorname{Triv}\left(\left(B_{d}\right)_{p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(\Delta)}\right) G \simeq \operatorname{Triv}\left(\left(B_{d}\right)_{p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(\Delta)} G\right)
$$

By Proposition 3.9, we have the following isomorphisms of algebras

$$
B \simeq f B_{d} G f \simeq f \operatorname{Triv}\left(\left(B_{d}\right)_{p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(\Delta)} G\right) f
$$

Moreover, denoting by $A$ the algebra $\left(B_{d}\right)_{p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(\Delta)} G$, one can check that the following morphism also gives an isomorphism of algebras

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f \operatorname{Triv}(A) f \longrightarrow \operatorname{Triv}(f A f) \\
& f \cdot(a, \phi) \cdot f \longmapsto \\
& f \cdot\left(b, \psi^{\prime}\right) \cdot f \longleftrightarrow \\
&(f b f, \psi)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\psi^{\prime}: A \rightarrow k$ is defined by $\psi^{\prime}(c)=\psi(f c f)$ for all $c \in A$. Furthermore, one can easily check that the isomorphism of algebras given in Proposition 3.9 restricts to an isomorphism of algebras between $B_{\Delta}=B /\langle\Delta\rangle$ and $f A f=f\left(B_{d} /\left\langle p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(\Delta)\right\rangle\right) G f$. This concludes the proof.

### 3.3. Generalized Kauer moves

Our goal is to define generalized Kauer moves for skew Brauer graph algebras so that they can be interpreted in terms of silting mutations. The idea of the proof is analogous to the one used in Section 2. We will use the covering defined in the previous subsection which can be constructed whenever the skew Brauer graph is equipped with a 0 -homogeneous $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-grading. Hence, we need to define a graded version of these generalized Kauer moves. We begin by defining them for sectors (cf Definition 2.12).

Definition 3.12. Let $(\Gamma, d)=(H, \iota, \sigma, m, d)$ be a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded skew Brauer graph and $H^{\prime} \subset H$ be stable under $\iota$. The $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded generalized Kauer move of a sector $(h, r) \in \operatorname{sect}\left(H^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ in $\Gamma$ gives rise to a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded skew Brauer graph $\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma, d)=\left(H, \iota, \sigma_{(h, r)}, m_{(h, r)}, d_{(h, r)}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{(h, r)}=\left(h \sigma^{r+1} h\right) \sigma\left(\sigma^{r} h \iota \sigma^{r+1} h\right) \quad \text { and } \quad m_{(h, r)}: H & \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \\
\sigma^{i} h & \mapsto
\end{aligned}
$$

and where the $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-grading $d_{(h, r)}: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{(h, r)}: \iota \sigma^{r+1} h & \mapsto \begin{cases}-\sum_{i=0}^{r} d\left(\sigma^{i} h\right) \quad \text { if } \sigma^{r+1} h \in H_{\circ} \\
-\sum_{i=0}^{r} d\left(\sigma^{i} h\right)-1 & \text { if } \sigma^{r+1} h \in H_{\times}\end{cases} \\
\sigma^{r} h & \mapsto \begin{cases}d\left(\iota \sigma^{r+1} h\right)+d\left(\sigma^{r} h\right) & \text { if } \iota \sigma^{r+1} h \neq \sigma^{-1} h \text { and } \sigma^{r+1} h \in H_{\circ} \\
d\left(\iota \sigma^{r+1} h\right)+d\left(\sigma^{r} h\right)+1 & \text { if } \iota \sigma^{r+1} h \neq \sigma^{-1} h \text { and } \sigma^{r+1} h \in H_{\times} \\
\sum_{i=-1}^{r} d\left(\sigma^{i} h\right)+d\left(\sigma^{r} h\right) & \text { if } \iota \sigma^{r+1} h=\sigma^{-1} h \text { and } \sigma^{r+1} h \in H_{\circ} \\
\sum_{i=-1}^{r} d\left(\sigma^{i} h\right)+d\left(\sigma^{r} h\right)+1 & \text { if } \iota \sigma^{r+1} h=\sigma^{-1} h \text { and } \sigma^{r+1} h \in H_{\times}\end{cases} \\
\sigma^{-1} h & \mapsto \begin{cases}\sum_{i=-1}^{r} d\left(\sigma^{i} h\right) & \text { if } \iota \sigma^{r+1} h \neq \sigma^{-1} h \\
d_{(h, r)}\left(\iota \sigma^{r+1} h\right) & \text { if } \iota \sigma^{r+1} h=\sigma^{-1} h\end{cases} \\
h^{\prime} & \mapsto\left(h^{\prime}\right) \text { for } h^{\prime} \neq \iota \sigma^{r+1} h, \sigma^{r} h, \sigma^{-1} h
\end{aligned}
$$

The underlying Brauer graph $\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma)=\left(H, \iota, \sigma_{(h, r)}, m_{(h, r)}\right)$ can be obtained from $\Gamma$ as in Figure 1 and in Remark 2.14 when $\sigma^{r+1} h \in H_{\circ}$ and as follows when $\sigma^{r+1} h \in H_{\times}$


Figure 3: Generalized Kauer move of a sector $(h, r)$ when $\sigma^{r+1} h$ is in $H_{\times}$

Remark 3.13. In the special case where $\iota \sigma^{r+1} h=\sigma^{-1} h$, then the $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded generalized Kauer move of the sector $(h, r)$ does not change the underlying Brauer graph which is given in Remark 2.14 when $\sigma^{r+1} h \in H_{\circ}$ and as follows when $\sigma^{r+1} h \in H_{\times}$


$$
\Gamma=\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma)
$$

Similarly to Remark 2.14 , the degree of the $\sigma^{i} h$ will a priori change in the process. In particular, the covering of $\Gamma$ and $\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma)$ could be different even in this case.

By construction of the generalized Kauer move described in Figure 1 and in the previous figure, it is clear that $m_{(h, r)}$ is constant on the $\sigma_{(h, r)}$-orbits. Moreover, $d_{(h, r)}: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is 0 -homogeneous. Indeed, one can construct a bijection $\phi: H / \sigma \rightarrow H / \sigma_{(h, r)}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
s_{(h, r)}\left(\sigma^{i} h\right)=\phi\left(s\left(\iota \sigma^{r+1} h\right)\right) & \text { for } i=0, \ldots, r \\
s_{(h, r)}\left(h^{\prime}\right)=\phi\left(s\left(h^{\prime}\right)\right) & \text { for } h^{\prime} \neq \sigma^{i} h, i=0 \ldots, r
\end{array}
$$

where $s: H \rightarrow H / \sigma$ and $s_{(h, r)}: H \rightarrow H / \sigma_{(h, r)}$ are the source map for o-vertices of $\Gamma$ and $\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma)$ respectively. Then, one can easily check that for all vertex $v \in H / \sigma_{(h, r)}$ in $\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma)$

$$
\sum_{h^{\prime} \in H, s_{(h, r)}\left(h^{\prime}\right)=v} d_{(h, r)}\left(h^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{h^{\prime} \in H, s\left(h^{\prime}\right)=\phi^{-1}(v)} d\left(h^{\prime}\right)
$$

Remark 3.14. By definition, if $H_{\times}=\varnothing$ then $\Gamma$ is a Brauer graph and by construction $\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma)$ is also a Brauer graph. Hence, this definition generalizes Definition 2.13 .

Thanks to Lemma 2.4 in Sot24], we can consider successive $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded generalized Kauer moves. In the following, we denote by

$$
\mu_{\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)}^{+}(\Gamma, d)=\left(H, \iota, \sigma_{\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)}, m_{\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)}, d_{\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)}\right)
$$

the $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded skew Brauer graph defined by $\mu_{\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)}^{+}\left(\mu_{\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)}^{+}(\Gamma, d)\right)$. Using similar computations as in the proof of Proposition 2.5 in Sot24], we obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.15. Let $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ be a skew Brauer graph and $H^{\prime}$ be a subset of $H$ stable under $\iota$. Let $\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right),\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)$ be two distinct maximal sectors in $\Gamma$ of elements in $H^{\prime}$. For any 0 -homogeneous $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-grading $d: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ of $\Gamma$, we have

$$
\mu_{\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)}^{+}(\Gamma, d)=\mu_{\left(h_{2}, r_{2}\right)\left(h_{1}, r_{1}\right)}^{+}(\Gamma, d)
$$

Definition 3.16. Let $(\Gamma, d)=(H, \iota, \sigma, m, d)$ be a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded skew Brauer graph and $H^{\prime}$ be a subset of $H$ stable under $\iota$. The $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded generalized Kauer move of $H^{\prime}$ is the succession of the $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded generalized Kauer move of all the maximal sectors $(h, r) \in \operatorname{Sect}\left(H^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ in $\Gamma$. This gives rise to a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded skew Brauer graph that will be denoted by $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma, d)=$ $\left(H, \iota, \sigma_{H^{\prime}}, m_{H^{\prime}}, d_{H^{\prime}}\right)$.

Example 3.17. Let us consider $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m, d)$ the $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded skew Brauer graph defined in Example 3.8. Let $H^{\prime}=\left\{1^{+}, 1^{-}, 4^{+}, 4^{-}\right\}$be a subset of $H$ stable under $\iota$. Then, the $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded skew Brauer graph $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma, d)=\left(H, \iota, \sigma_{H^{\prime}}, m_{H^{\prime}}, d_{H^{\prime}}\right)$ obtained from a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded generalized Kauer move is given by

where the orientation $\sigma_{H^{\prime}}$ is $\left(5^{-} 1^{+} 4^{+}\right)\left(1^{-} 23\right)=\left(1^{-} 3\right)\left(1^{+} 5^{+}\right) \sigma\left(4^{+} 5^{-}\right)\left(1^{-} 3\right)$, the multiplicity $m_{H^{\prime}}: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is given by $m_{H^{\prime}}\left(4^{-}\right)=3, m_{H^{\prime}}\left(1^{-}\right)=m_{H^{\prime}}(2)=m_{H^{\prime}}(3)=2$ and $m_{H^{\prime}}\left(5^{-}\right)=$ $m_{H^{\prime}}\left(1^{+}\right)=m_{H^{\prime}}\left(4^{+}\right)=m_{H^{\prime}}\left(5^{+}\right)=1$ and the $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-grading $d_{H^{\prime}}: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is given by $d_{H^{\prime}}(3)=-1, d_{H^{\prime}}\left(1^{-}\right)=1$ and $d_{H^{\prime}}\left(4^{-}\right)=d_{H^{\prime}}\left(4^{+}\right)=d_{H^{\prime}}\left(1^{+}\right)=d_{H^{\prime}}\left(5^{-}\right)=d_{H^{\prime}}\left(5^{+}\right)=$ $d_{H^{\prime}}(2)=0$.

Considering a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded generalized Kauer move of a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded skew Brauer graph $(\Gamma, d)$, one can construct the covering of $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma, d)$ as defined before Example 3.8. The following proposition shows a commutativity between constructing this covering and applying a generalized Kauer move.

Proposition 3.18. Let $(\Gamma, d)=(H, \iota, \sigma, d)$ be $a \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded skew Brauer graph and $H^{\prime}$ be a subset of $H$ stable under $\iota$. We denote by $\Gamma_{d}=\left(H_{d}, \iota_{d}, \sigma_{d}, m_{d}\right)$ the covering of $\Gamma$ constructed before Example 3.8 and $H_{d}^{\prime}=H^{\prime} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \subset H_{d}$. Then, the covering of $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma, d)$ is the Brauer graph $\mu_{H_{d}^{\prime}}^{+}\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)$.

The previous proposition can be summarized in the following commutative diagram

where $p_{\Gamma}: \Gamma_{d} \rightarrow \Gamma$ and $p_{\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}}(\Gamma): \mu_{H_{d}^{\prime}}^{+}\left(\Gamma_{d}\right) \rightarrow \mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma)$ are the morphisms of skew Brauer graphs induced by the natural projection and $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma)$ denotes the underlying skew Brauer graph of $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma, d)$.

Proof. One can easily check that $H_{d}^{\prime}$ is a subset of $H_{d}$ stable under $\iota_{d}$ and that $(h, r) \in$ $\operatorname{Sect}\left(H^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ is a maximal sector in $\Gamma$ if and only if $\left(h_{i}, r\right) \in \operatorname{Sect}\left(H_{d}^{\prime}, \sigma_{d}\right)$ is a maximal sector in $\Gamma_{d}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 3.15, it suffices to prove that the covering of $\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma, d)$ is given by

$$
\mu_{p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(h, r)}^{+}\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)=\left(H_{d}, \iota_{d},\left(\sigma_{d}\right)_{p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(h, r)},\left(m_{d}\right)_{p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(h, r)}\right)
$$

for any maximal sector $(h, r) \in \operatorname{Sect}\left(H^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$, where $p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(h, r)$ is the product of the maximal sectors $\left(h_{i}, r\right)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. We denote by

$$
\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma)_{d_{(h, r)}}=\left(H_{d_{(h, r)}}, \iota_{d_{(h, r)}},\left(\sigma_{(h, r)}\right)_{\left.d_{(h, r)}\right)},\left(m_{(h, r)}\right)_{d_{(h, r)}}\right)
$$

the covering of $\mu_{(h, r)}^{+}(\Gamma, d)$. By definition, these two Brauer graphs have the same set of halfedges. By construction of the covering, it is clear that they have the same pairing since it only depends on $H_{\circ}$ and $H_{\times}$. Moreover, using similar computations as in the proof of Proposition 2.19, one can check that their orientations are equal. It remains to prove the equality of the multiplicities. It is clear that the equality holds for any half-edge different from the $\sigma_{d}^{i} h_{j}$. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(m_{d}\right)_{p^{-1}(h, r)}\left(\sigma_{d}^{i} h_{j}\right)=m_{d}\left(\iota_{d} \sigma_{d}^{r+1} h_{j}\right)=m\left(\iota \sigma^{r+1} h\right) \\
& \left(m_{(h, r)}\right)_{d(h, r)}\left(\sigma_{d}^{i} h_{j}\right)=m_{(h, r)}\left(\sigma^{i} h\right)=m\left(\iota \sigma^{r+1} h\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This concludes the proof of the proposition.

### 3.4. Compatibility with silting mutations

The goal of this part is to prove the following theorem which is an analogous version of Theorem 3.10 in Sot24 for skew Brauer graph algebras.

Theorem 3.19. Let $\Gamma=(H, \iota, \sigma, m)$ be a skew Brauer graph and $H^{\prime}$ be a subset of $H$ stable under $\iota$. We assume that 2 and $\bar{m}$, the least common multiple of the $m(h)$ for $h \in H$, are invertible the field $k$. Denoting by $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ the skew Brauer graph algebras associated respectively to $\Gamma$ and $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma)$, there is an equivalence of triangulated categories

$$
\operatorname{per}\left(B^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{-\mathrm{Q}_{B^{\prime}} \mu^{+}\left(B ; e_{H^{\prime \prime}} B\right)} \operatorname{per}(B)
$$

where $e_{H^{\prime \prime}} B$ is the projective $B$-module corresponding to the edges in $\left(H \backslash H^{\prime}\right) / \iota$.
The idea of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.20. The following result is an analogous version of Proposition 2.21 for skew Brauer graph algebras.

Proposition 3.20. Let us consider the setting of Proposition 3.18. We assume that 2 and $\bar{m}$, the least common multiple of the $m(h)$ for $h \in H$, are invertible in the field $k$. We denote by $B_{d}$ and $B_{d}^{\prime}$ the Brauer graph algebras associated to $\Gamma_{d}$ and $\mu_{H_{d}^{\prime}}^{+}\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)$ respectively. Let $G$ be the cyclic group $\left(\mathbb{C}_{2},.\right)$ of order 2. Then, there is an equivalence of triangulated categories

$$
\operatorname{per}\left(B_{d}^{\prime} G\right) \xrightarrow{-\stackrel{\mathrm{Q}_{B_{d}^{\prime} G}}{ } \mu^{+}\left(B_{d} G ; e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d} G\right)} \operatorname{per}\left(B_{d} G\right)
$$

where $e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d}$ denotes the projective $B_{d}$-module corresponding to the edges in $\left(H_{d} \backslash H_{d}^{\prime}\right) / \iota_{d}$.
Proof. By Theorem 2.20, there is an equivalence of triangulated categories
where $T_{d}:=\mu^{+}\left(B_{d} ; e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d}\right)$. Similarly to the proof of Proposition 2.21 it suffices to prove that $T_{d}$ is $G$-invariant and that the assumptions of Proposition 1.13 hold. Let us first check that $T_{d}$ is indeed $G$-invariant. Considering the same notations as in the proof of Proposition 2.21, the left minimal $\operatorname{add}\left(e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d}\right)$-approximation of $e_{H_{d}^{\prime}} B_{d}$ is given by (cf Figure 2.4)

$$
\alpha_{\left[h_{i}\right]}: \quad e_{\left[h_{i}\right]} B_{d} \xrightarrow{\binom{\alpha\left(h_{i}, H_{d}^{\prime}\right)}{\alpha\left(\iota_{d} h_{i}, H_{d}^{\prime}\right)}} e_{\left[\sigma_{d}^{r\left(h_{i}\right)+1} h_{i}\right]} B_{d} \oplus e_{\left[\sigma_{d}^{r\left(\iota_{d} h_{i}\right)+1} \iota_{d} h_{i}\right]} B_{d}
$$

Moreover, by definition of the left mutation, we have

$$
\mu^{+}\left(B_{d} ; e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d}\right)=e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d} \oplus \bigoplus_{\left[h_{i}\right] \in H_{d}^{\prime} / \iota_{d}} \operatorname{Cone}\left(\alpha_{\left[h_{i}\right]}\right)=e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d} \oplus \bigoplus_{[h] \in H^{\prime} / \iota} \operatorname{Cone}\left(\beta_{[h]}\right)
$$

where $\beta_{[h]}: X_{[h]} \rightarrow Y_{[h]}$ is defined as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta_{[h]}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{\left[h_{i}\right]} & \text { if }[h] \in H_{\circ}^{\prime} / \iota \\
\alpha_{\left[h_{0}\right]} & \text { if }[h] \in H_{\times}^{\prime} / \iota
\end{array}\right. \\
\text { with } \\
X_{[h]}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}} e_{\left[h_{i}\right]} B_{d} & \text { if }[h] \in H_{\circ}^{\prime} / \iota & \text { and } \\
e_{\left[h_{0}\right]} B_{d} & \text { if }[h] \in H_{\times}^{\prime} / \iota & \\
Y_{[h]}= \begin{cases}\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}}\left(e_{\left[\sigma_{d}^{r\left(h_{i}\right)+1} h_{i}\right]} B_{d} \oplus e_{\left[\sigma_{d}^{r\left(\iota_{d} h_{i}\right)+1} \iota_{d} h_{i}\right]} B_{d}\right) & \text { if }[h] \in H_{\circ}^{\prime} / \iota \\
e_{\left[\sigma_{d}^{r\left(h_{0}\right)+1} h_{0}\right]} B_{d} \oplus e_{\left[\sigma_{d}^{r\left(h_{1}\right)+1} h_{1}\right]} B_{d} & \text { if }[h] \in H_{\times}^{\prime} / \iota\end{cases}
\end{array} . \begin{array}{l}
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

where $H_{\circ}^{\prime}=H^{\prime} \cap H_{\circ}$ and $H_{\times}^{\prime}=H^{\prime} \cap H_{\times}$. Since $G$ acts bijectively on the set of edges $\left(H_{d} \backslash H_{d}^{\prime}\right) / \iota_{d}$, the object $e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d}$ is $G$-invariant by Example 1.6 (1). Moreover, one can easily check that $X_{[h]}$ and $Y_{[h]}$ are $G$-invariant objects for all $[h] \in H^{\prime} / \iota$ thanks to Example 1.6 (1). In this case, the isomorphisms $\iota_{g}^{X_{[h]}}$ and $\iota_{g}^{Y[h]}$ (cf Definition 1.5) are respectively given by the action of $g$ on $X_{[h]}$ and $Y_{[h]}$. Furthermore, using the definition of the $\alpha_{\left[h_{i}\right]}$, it is not hard to check that the following diagram commutes for all $g \in G$.


By Example 1.6 (2), we deduce that $\operatorname{Cone}\left(\beta_{[h]}\right)$ is $G$-invariant for all $[h] \in H^{\prime} / \iota$. Hence, we conclude that $\mu^{+}\left(B_{d} ; e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d}\right)$ is indeed $G$-invariant. With similar arguments than in the proof of Proposition 2.21, one can check that the assumptions of Proposition 1.13holds. This concludes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 3.19. Let us consider the 0 -homogeneous $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-grading $d: H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $d(h)=0$ for all $h \in H$. Let $\Gamma_{d}=\left(H_{d}, \iota_{d}, \sigma_{d}, m_{d}\right)$ be the covering of $\Gamma$ constructed after Definition 3.7 and $H_{d}^{\prime}=H^{\prime} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \subset H_{d}$. We denote by $B_{d}$ and $B_{d}^{\prime}$ the Brauer graph algebras associated to $\Gamma_{d}$ and $\mu_{H_{d}^{\prime}}^{+}\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)$ respectively. Moreover, $G$ denotes the cyclic group $\left(\mathbb{C}_{2},.\right)$ of order 2. We have the following commutative diagram

where $f$ is the idempotent given by the sum of the $e_{\left[h_{0}\right]} \otimes 1_{G}$ for all $[h] \in H / \iota$ and $T_{d} G=$ $\mu^{+}\left(B_{d} G ; e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d} G\right)$. The vertical arrows arise from Proposition 3.9 since $\mu_{H_{d}^{\prime}}^{+}\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)$ is the covering of $\mu_{H^{\prime}}^{+}(\Gamma, d)$ by Proposition 3.18 . The top arrow is given by Proposition 3.20 This leads to a derived equivalence between $\bar{B}^{\prime}$ and $B$ and the associated tilting object $T$ is given by

$$
T=\left(f B_{d}^{\prime} G \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes}_{B_{d}^{\prime} G} T_{d} G\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{B_{d} G} \quad B_{d} G f
$$

It remains to prove that $T \simeq \mu^{+}\left(B ; e_{H^{\prime \prime}} B\right)$. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.20 it suffices to show that $\alpha_{\left[h_{0}\right]} \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes_{B_{d}}} B_{d} G$ is isomorphic to the left minimal add $\left(f_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d} G\right)$-approximation of $e_{\left[h_{0}\right]} B_{d} G$ where $f_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}}$ denotes the idempotent in $B_{d} G$ given by the sum of the $e_{\left[h_{0}\right]} \otimes 1_{G}$ for all $[h] \in\left(H \backslash H^{\prime}\right) / \iota$. Thanks to Proposition 3.9. we know that

$$
\alpha_{\left[h_{0}\right]} \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{B_{d}} B_{d} G: e_{\left[h_{0}\right]} B_{d} G \longrightarrow e_{\left[\sigma_{d}^{r\left(h_{0}\right)+1} h_{0}\right]} B_{d} G \oplus e_{\left[\sigma_{d}^{r\left(\iota_{d} h_{0}\right)+1} \iota_{d} h_{0}\right]} B_{d} G
$$

is the left minimal $\operatorname{add}\left(e_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d} G\right)$-approximation of $e_{\left[h_{0}\right]} B_{d} G$. By definition of $d$, we have

$$
\sigma_{d}^{r\left(\iota_{d} h_{0}\right)+1} \iota_{d} h_{0}= \begin{cases}\left(\sigma^{r\left(\iota_{d} h_{0}\right)+1} \iota h\right)_{0} & \text { if } h \in H_{\circ} \\ \left(\sigma^{r\left(\iota_{d} h_{0}\right)+1} h\right)_{1} & \text { if } h \in H_{\times}\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, we have the following isomorphism in $\operatorname{per}\left(B_{d} G\right)$

$$
e_{\left[\left(\sigma^{r\left(\iota_{d} h_{0}\right)+1} h\right)_{1}\right]} B_{d} G \xrightarrow{\sim} e_{\left[\left(\sigma^{r\left(\iota_{d} h_{0}\right)+1} h\right)_{0}\right]} B_{d} G
$$

Indeed, if $\sigma^{r\left(\iota_{d} h_{0}\right)+1} h \in H_{\times}$, then $\left[\left(\sigma^{r\left(\iota_{d} h_{0}\right)+1} h\right)_{0}\right]=\left[\left(\sigma^{r\left(\iota_{d} h_{0}\right)+1} h\right)_{1}\right]$ and the isomorphism is just the identity. On the other hand, if $\sigma^{r\left(\iota_{d} h_{0}\right)+1} h \in H_{\circ}$, the isomorphism is given by the multiplication on the left by $(1 \otimes g)$ where $g$ denotes the generator of $G$. Hence, in each case $\alpha_{\left[h_{0}\right]} \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes_{B d}} B_{d} G$ can be written as

$$
\alpha_{\left[h_{0}\right]} \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes} B_{d} B_{d} G: e_{\left[h_{0}\right]} B_{d} G \longrightarrow e_{\left[\left(\sigma^{r\left(h_{0}\right)+1} h\right)_{0}\right]} B_{d} G \oplus e_{\left[\left(\sigma^{r\left(\iota_{d} h_{0}\right)+1} \iota h\right)_{0}\right]} B_{d} G
$$

Thus, $\alpha_{\left[h_{0}\right]} \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}{ }_{B_{d}} B_{d} G$ is isomorphic to the left minimal $\operatorname{add}\left(f_{H_{d}^{\prime \prime}} B_{d} G\right)$-approximation of $e_{\left[h_{0}\right]} B_{d} G$. This concludes the proof.
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