On the conjecture of non-inner automorphisms of finite p -groups

Mandeep Singh[∗] and Mahak Sharma∗∗

[∗]Department of Mathematics, Arya College, Ludhiana - 141 001, India

∗∗Department of Mathematics, Goswami Ganesh Dutta Sanatan Dharma College, Chandigarh - 160019, India

Let p be a prime number. A longstanding conjecture asserts that every finite non-abelian p-group has a non-inner automorphism of order p. In this paper, we prove that if G is an odd order finite non-abelian monolithic p -group such that every maximal subgroup of G is non-abelian and $[Z(M), g] \leq Z(G)$ for every maximal subgroup M of G and $g \in G \setminus M$. Then G has a non-inner automorphism of order p leaving the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(G)$ elementwise fixed.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 20D15, Secondary: 20D45.

Keywords: Finite p-groups, Non-inner automorphisms.

1 Introduction Let G be a finite non-abelian p-group, where p is a prime number. In 1966, Gaschütz [\[16\]](#page-3-0) proved that G has a non-inner automorphism of p -power order. In 1973, Berkovich [\[5\]](#page-3-1) proposed the following conjecture (see for eg. [\[13,](#page-3-2) Problem 4.13]).

Prove that every finite non-abelian p-group admits an automorphism of order p which is not an inner one.

Mathematicians are interested in finding the non-inner automorphism of order p which fixes one of the subgroups $Z(G)$, $\Phi(G)$, $\Omega_1(Z(G))$ or $\Omega_1(\Phi(G))$ elementwise. In 1965, Liebeck [\[12\]](#page-3-3) proved that if G is of class 2, then G has a non-inner automorphism of order p if $p > 2$, and order 2 or 4 if $p = 2$ which fixes $\Phi(G)$ elementwise. This conjecture has been settled for the following classes of p-groups: G is regular [\[6,](#page-3-4) [15\]](#page-3-5); the nilpotency class of G is 2 or 3 [\[1,](#page-3-6) [12\]](#page-3-3); $C_G(Z(\Phi(G))) \neq \Phi(G)$ [\[6\]](#page-3-4); $G/Z(G)$ is powerful [\[2\]](#page-3-7); G is a p-group, where $p > 2$ such that $(G, Z(G))$ is a Camina pair [\[8\]](#page-3-8); or $Z(G)$ is non-cyclic and $W(G)$ is non-abelian, where $W(G)/Z(G) = \Omega_1(Z_2(G)/Z(G))$ [\[9\]](#page-3-9). For more details on this conjecture, the readers are advised to see ([\[3\]](#page-3-10), [\[7\]](#page-3-11), [\[11\]](#page-3-12), [\[17\]](#page-3-13)) and references therein.

It is observed that in most of the cases if the conjecture is false, then the center $Z(G)$ is cyclic. The main motivation behind this paper is the following natural question:

Question 1: Let G be a finite non-abelian p-group with cyclic center $Z(G)$. Under what additional conditions on G does the conjecture hold?

Most recently, in 2024, Komma [\[11\]](#page-3-12) answered this question by proving that if G is an odd order p-group with cyclic center satisfying $C_G(G^p\gamma_3(G)) \cap Z_3(G) \leq Z(\Phi(G))$, then the conjecture is true.

It follows from the main result of Attar [\[4\]](#page-3-14) that if G is a finite non-abelian p-group such that $Z_2(G)/Z(G)$ is cyclic. Then G has a non-inner central automorphism of order p which fixes $\Phi(G)$ elementwise. Therefore the following natural question also arises.

Question 2: Let G be a finite non-abelian p-group such that $Z_2(G)/Z(G)$ is non-cyclic abelian. Under what additional conditions on G does the conjecture hold?

In this paper, we give an affirmative answer to these questions in case of monolithic p-groups. Infact, we prove that if G is an odd order finite non-abelian monolithic p -group such that every maximal subgroup of G is non-abelian and $[Z(M), g] \leq Z(G)$ for every maximal subgroup M of G and $g \in G \setminus M$, then G has a non-inner automorphism of order p leaving the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(G)$ elementwise fixed.

2 Main results We first recall from [\[10\]](#page-3-15) that a group G is said to be monolithic if and only if there exists a non-trivial normal subgroup of G which is contained in every non-trivial normal subgroup of G. Equivalently, a p-group G is said to be monolithic if $Z(G) \simeq C_p$. For $x \in G$, $\{[x, G]\}\$ denotes the set $\{[x, g] | g \in G\}$. It can be checked that for a finite non-abelian p-group G if $[Z(M), g] \subseteq Z(G)$ for every non-abelian maximal subgroup M of G and $g \in G \setminus M$, then $[Z(M), g] \leq Z(G)$. All other unexplained notations are standard.

We will use the following well known lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. Let $n \in N$, $x \in Z_2(G)$ and $y \in G$. Then

- (i) $(xy)^n = x^n y^n [y, x]^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$.
- (*ii*) $[x^n, y] = [x, y]^n = [x, y^n].$

Lemma 2.2. Let G be a finite p-group, M be a maximal subgroup of G and $g \in G \setminus M$. Let $u \in Z(M)$ such that $(gu)^p = g^p$. Then the map α given by $g \to gu$ and $m \to m$, for all $m \in M$, can be extended to an automorphism of G of order p that fixes M elementwise.

Theorem 2.3. Let G be a finite non-abelian monolithic p-group $(p > 2)$ such that every maximal subgroup of G is non-abelian and $[Z(M), g] \leq Z(G)$ for every maximal subgroup M of G and $q \in G \backslash M$. Then G has a non-inner automorphism of order p which fixes $\Phi(G)$ elementwise.

Notice that we cannot drop the condition that every maximal subgroup M in the hypothesis is non-abelian. Because if G has an abelian maximal subgroup M, then $C_G(Z(\Phi(G))) \neq \Phi(G)$. Suppose, if possible, $C_G(Z(\Phi(G))) = \Phi(G)$. Then $C_G(\Phi(G)) = Z(\Phi(G))$. Therefore

$$
M \leq C_G(M) \leq C_G(\Phi(G)) = Z(\Phi(G)) = \Phi(G).
$$

Thus $M = \Phi(G)$, which is a contradiction. It follows from [\[6\]](#page-3-4) that G has a non-inner automorphism of order p which fixes $\Phi(G)$ elementwise.

Proof. Since G is a finite non-abelian monolithic p-group, $|Z(G)| = p$. Suppose if possible that G has no non-inner automorphism of order p leaving the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(G)$ elementwise fixed. It follows from [\[4\]](#page-3-14) that $Z_2(G)/Z(G)$ is not cyclic. Also by [\[6\]](#page-3-4), $C_G(Z(\Phi(G))) = \Phi(G)$.

We claim that $Z_2(G)$ is abelian. It follows from [\[14,](#page-3-16) 5.2.22] that

$$
\exp(Z_2(G)/Z(G)) \le \exp(Z(G)) = p.
$$

Since $Z_2(G)/Z(G) = Z(G/Z(G))$ is abelian, $Z_2(G)/Z(G)$ is elementary abelian. Therefore $g^p \in Z(G)$ for all $g \in Z_2(G)$ which implies that $[x, g^p] = 1$ for all $x \in G$. Now for $g \in Z_2(G)$ and $x \in G$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $[x^p, g] = [x, g]^p = [x, g^p] = 1$. Also by Grun's Lemma $[Z_2(G), G'] = 1$. Thus

$$
[Z_2(G), \Phi(G)] = [Z_2(G), G^p][Z_2(G), G'] = 1.
$$

Therefore $Z_2(G) \leq C_G(\Phi(G)) = Z(\Phi(G))$. Thus $Z_2(G)$ is abelian.

Next we prove that $Z(M) \leq Z_2(G)$ for every maximal subgroup M of G. Suppose, if possible that $Z(M)$ is not contained in $Z_2(G)$ for some maximal subgroup M of G. Let $m \in Z(M) \setminus Z(G)$ such that $m \notin Z_2(G)$. Then there exists some $x \in G \setminus M$ such that $[m, x] \notin Z(G)$, which is a contradiction to the hypothesis.

Next we prove that $Z_2(G)$ contains an element of order p which does not belong to $Z(G)$. Since $d(Z(G)) = 1$, it follows from [\[2,](#page-3-7) corollary 2.3] that $d(Z_2(G)/Z(G)) = d(G)$. Observe that $Z_2(G)$ can not be cyclic. Because if $d(Z_2(G)) = 1$, then $d(Z_2(G)/Z(G)) = 1$, and therefore

$$
d(G) = d(Z_2(G)/Z(G)) = 1,
$$

which is a contradiction. As $Z_2(G)$ is abelian, it implies that $d(\Omega_1(Z_2(G))) = d(Z_2(G)) \geq 2$. Since $|Z(G)| = p$, therefore $Z_2(G)$ contains an element of order p which does not belong to $Z(G)$.

Consider $u \in \Omega_1(Z_2(G)) \setminus Z(G)$. Define a map $\sigma : G \to Z(G)$ by $\sigma(x) = [x, u]$ for all $x \in G$. The map σ is surjective with ker $\sigma = C_G(u)$. Therefore the subgroup $M = C_G(u)$ is a maximal subgroup of G. Let $g \in G \setminus M$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
(gu)^p=g^pu^p[u,g]^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}}=g^p.
$$

By Lemma 2.2, the map $\alpha : G \to G$ defined by $\alpha(g) = gu$ and $\alpha(m) = m$ for all $m \in M$ can be extended to an automorphims of order p. By hypothesis α must be an inner automorphism. Let $\alpha = \beta_t$, the inner automorphim induced by t. Since α is the identity on M, $t \in C_G(M)$. If $t \notin M$, then $G = M\langle t \rangle$. It implies that $t \in Z(G) \leq \Phi(G) \leq M$, which is a contradiction. It follows that $t \in Z(M) \leq Z_2(G)$. Thus $u = g^{-1}\alpha(g) = [g, t] \in Z(G)$, which contradicts the choice of u. Hence G has a non-inner automorphism of order p which fixes $\Phi(G)$ elementwise. \Box

Attar [\[4\]](#page-3-14) proved that if G is a finite non-abelian p-group such that $C_G(Z(\Phi(G))) = \Phi(G)$ and $\frac{Z_2(G)\cap Z(\Phi(G))}{Z(G)}$ is not elementary abelian of rank rs, where $r = d(G)$ and $s = d(Z(G))$, then G has a non-inner central automorphism of order p which fixes $\Phi(G)$ elementwise. The following corollary, which is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.3, confirms that the conjecture holds if G is a finite non-abelian monolithic p-group of odd order such that $C_G(Z(\Phi(G))) = \Phi(G)$ and $\frac{Z_2(G) \cap Z(\Phi(G))}{Z(G)}$ is elementary abelian of rank r, where $r = d(G)$.

Corollary 2.4. Let G be a finite non-abelian monolithic p-group $(p > 2)$ such that $C_G(Z(\Phi(G)))$ = $\Phi(G)$ and $[Z(M), g] \leq Z(G)$ for every maximal subgroup M of G and $g \in G \setminus M$. Then G has a non-inner automorphism of order p which fixes $\Phi(G)$ elementwise.

Proof. Since $C_G(Z(\Phi(G))) = \Phi(G)$, every maximal subgroup of G is non-abelian. It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.3 that $Z_2(G) \leq Z(\Phi(G))$. Thus $\frac{Z_2(G) \cap Z(\Phi(G))}{Z(G)} = \frac{Z_2(G)}{Z(G)}$ is elementary abelian and $d(\frac{Z_2(G) \cap Z(\Phi(G))}{Z(G)}) = d(G)$. Rest of the proof is similar.

We conclude this paper with an example of a group that satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.3.

Consider

$$
G = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6, f_7 \rangle,
$$

with the relations: $[f_2, f_1] = f_3, [f_1, f_7] = [f_2, f_7] = [f_3, f_6] = [f_4, f_5] = 1, f_1^3 = f_4, f_2^3 =$ $f_3, f_3^3 = f_5, f_4^3 = f_6, f_5^3 = f_7, f_6^3 = f_7^3 = 1$. This group is the group number 194 in the GAP Library of groups of order 3⁷. The nilpotency class of G is 4. In this group $Z(G) = \langle f_7 \rangle$ and therefore $|Z(G)| = 3$. Thus G is a monolithic 3-group. This group has four maximal subgroups M_1, M_2, M_3 and M_4 , where

$$
M_1 = \langle f_1, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6, f_7 \rangle, \ Z(M_1) = \langle f_6, f_7 \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
M_2 = \langle f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6, f_7 \rangle, \ Z(M_2) = \langle f_5, f_7 \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
M_3 = \langle f_1 f_2^2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6, f_7 \rangle, \ Z(M_3) = \langle f_5^2 f_6 f_7, f_7^2 \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
M_4 = \langle f_1 f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6, f_7 \rangle, \ Z(M_4) = \langle f_5 f_6 f_7^2, f_7 \rangle.
$$

Therefore $\Phi(G) = \langle f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6, f_7 \rangle$. Observe that since $\Phi(G)$ is non-abelian, it implies that M_1, M_2, M_3 and M_4 are also non-abelian.

One can check that $[Z(M), g] \leq Z(G)$ for all $M \in \{M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4\}$ and $g \in G \setminus M$. This group has 4374 automorphisms. One of these automorphism is α , where

 $\alpha(f_i) = f_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ and $\alpha(f_2) = f_2 f_6$.

Note that α is a non-inner automorphism of order 3 which fixes $\Phi(G)$ element-wise.

References

- [1] A. Abdollahi, Finite p-groups of class 2 have a noninner automorphism of order p, J. Algebra, 312 (2007), 876-879.
- [2] A. Abdollahi, Powerful p-groups have noninner automorphism of order p and some cohomology, J. Algebra 323 (2010), 779-789.
- [3] A. Abdollahi and S. M. Ghoraishi, On Noninner 2-automorphisms of finite 2-groups, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 90 (2014), 227–231.
- [4] M. S. Attar, On a conjecture about automorphisms of finite p-groups, Arch. Math., 93 (2009), 399–403.
- [5] Y. Berkovich, Groups of Prime Power Order, vol. 1, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, (2008).
- [6] M. Deaconescu and G. Silberberg, Noninner automorphism of order p of finite p-groups, J. Algebra, 250 (2002), 283-287.
- [7] S. Fouladi and R. Orfi, Noninner automorphisms of order p in finite p-groups of coclass 2, when $p > 2$, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 90 (2014), 232-236.
- [8] S. M. Ghoraishi, A note on automorphisms of finite p-groups, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 87 (2013), 24-26.
- [9] R. Garg and M. Singh, Finite p-groups with non-cyclic center have a non-inner automor*phism of order p*, Arch. Math., 117 (2) (2021), 129–132.
- [10] P. Hall, On the finiteness of certain soluble groups, Proc. London Math. Soc., 3(9) (1959), 595-622.
- [11] P. Komma, Non-inner automorphisms of order p in finite p-groups of coclass 4 and 5, Int. J. Group Theory, 13(1) (2024), 97–114.
- [12] H. Liebeck, Outer automorphisms in nilpotent p-groups of class 2, J. London Math. Soc., 40 (1965), 268-275.
- [13] V. D. Mazurov and E. I. Khukhro, Unsolved problems in group theory, the kourovka notebook, Vol. 16, Russian Academy of Sciences, Siberian Branch, Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk (2006).
- [14] D. J. S. Robinson, A course in the Theory of Groups, New York Inc.: Springer-Verlag, (1996).
- [15] P. Schmid, A cohomological property of regular p-groups, Math. Z., 175 (1980), 1-3.
- [16] W. Gaschütz, Nichtabelsche p-Gruppen besitzen äuBere p-Automorphismen, J. Algebra, 4 1966, 1-2.
- [17] M. Ruscitti, L. Legarreta and M. K. Yadav, Non-inner automorphisms of order p in finite p-groups of coclass 3, Monatsh Math., 183(4) (2016), 679-697.