

Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras on the two-sphere and the two-torus

Rutwig Campoamor-Stursberg and Michel Rausch de Traubenberg

Abstract We particularise the construction of generalised Kac-Moody algebras associated to compact real manifolds to the case of the two-torus \mathbb{T}_2 and the two-sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . It is shown that these algebras, as well as a Virasoro algebra associated to the two-torus and the two-sphere, can also be derived considering the usual Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras.

1 Introduction

Soon after their original formulation, Kac-Moody algebras [1, 2], as well as their associated Virasoro algebras, were recognised to constitute a powerful tool in the context of symmetry unification and the study of locality properties in several physical problems [3]. These constructions have motivated several generalisations, mostly based on analytical procedures, and complementary to the axiomatic approach [4, 5, 6], and where the prominent role played by the one-dimensional sphere \mathbb{S}^1 has been emphasised. This fact has suggested to look for generalisations of the procedure for higher dimensional either compact or general manifolds, for which additional criteria to ensure the convergence of integrals are given [7]. This approach, besides its mathematical or geometrical interest, constitutes a possible path for extending the description of physical phenomena to theories formulated in higher dimensional space-times, where the Kaluza-Klein formalism or the supergravity theories may be the most prominent candidates for such generalisations.

Rutwig Campoamor-Stursberg
Instituto de Matemática Interdisciplinar and Dpto. Geometría y Topología, UCM,
E-28040 Madrid, Spain e-mail: rutwig@ucm.es

Michel Rausch de Traubenberg
Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR7178, F-67037 Strasbourg Cedex, France
e-mail: Michel.Rausch@iphc.cnrs.fr

2 Kac-Moody algebras associated to compact manifolds

In [7] and [8] it was shown that to any Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} (for simplicity, we assume that \mathfrak{g} is the compact real form of a complex simple Lie algebra) one can define a generalised Kac-Moody algebra associated to a compact real manifold \mathcal{M} , denoted by $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{M})$. In this short note (for details on the algorithm leading to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{M})$, the reader is referred to the previous references) we focus on the simplest manifold, namely, when \mathcal{M} is either the two-torus \mathbb{T}_2 or the two-sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . Kac-Moody algebras associated to these manifolds have been studied by several authors from different perspectives [9, 10, 11, 12, 13].

2.1 Kac-Moody algebras associated to \mathbb{T}_2 and \mathbb{S}^2

Let f^{ab}_c denote the structure constants of \mathfrak{g} , while δ^{ab} denotes the Killing form.

The Kac-Moody algebra associated to the two-torus, also called toroidal algebra in [10], is generated by

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{T}_2) = \left\{ T_{mn}^a, a = 1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, d_1, d_2, k_1, k_2 \right\}$$

with non-vanishing Lie brackets:

$$\begin{aligned} [T_{mn}^a, T_{pq}^b] &= i f^{ab}_c T_{m+pn+q}^c + (k_1 m + k_2 n) \delta^{ab} \delta_{m+p} \delta_{n+q}, \\ [d_1, T_{mn}^a] &= m T_{mn}^a, \quad [d_2, T_{mn}^a] = n T_{mn}^a, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. It can be easily seen that $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{T}_2)$ possesses two Hermitian operators d_1, d_2 that correspond to the dimension of the two-torus. The generators k_1, k_2 are central extensions, in duality with d_1, d_2 (see [7] for details).

For the two-sphere, the associated Kac-Moody algebra is generated by

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{S}^2) = \left\{ T_{\ell m}^a, a = 1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \ell \geq |m|, d, k \right\}$$

and the non-vanishing Lie brackets are given by

$$\begin{aligned} [T_{\ell_1 m_1}^{a_1}, T_{\ell_2 m_2}^{a_2}] &= i f^{a_1 a_2}_{a_3} c_{\ell_1, m_1, \ell_2, m_2}^{\ell_3, m_3} T_{\ell_3 m_3}^{a_3} + (-1)^{m_2} k m_1 \delta^{a_1 a_2} \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 + m_2}, \\ [d, T_{\ell m}^a] &= m T_{\ell m}^a, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where

$$c_{\ell_1, m_1, \ell_2, m_2}^{\ell_3, m_3} = \sum_{\ell_3 = |\ell_1 - \ell_2|}^{\ell_1 + \ell_2} \sqrt{\frac{(2\ell_1 + 1)(2\ell_2 + 1)}{2\ell_3 + 1}} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_1 + m_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & \ell_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{m_1+m_2}^{\ell_3}$ denote the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of $SO(3)$ [7]. The algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ only admits one Hermitian operator d , whilst \mathbb{S}^2 is two-dimensional, implying that there is an important difference, when compared to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{T}_2)$ (see in particular Sec. 2.2). The generator k is a central extension, in duality with d . Note that when the compact manifold \mathcal{M} is either a compact Lie group G_c (not to be confused with G , the Lie group associated to \mathfrak{g}) or a coset space G_c/H with H a subgroup of G_c , as a consequence of the Peter-Weyl theorem, the structure constants of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{M})$ always involve the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of the manifold G_c [7].

The two algebras (1) and (2) have different structural properties. Indeed, for the two-torus the subalgebra

$$\{T_{m,0}^a, a = 1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}, m \in \mathbb{Z}, d_1, k_1\} \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{T}_2) \quad (3)$$

is isomorphic to the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ associated to \mathfrak{g} (in our language, we could write $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{S}^1)$, *i.e.*, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the algebra associated to the circle \mathbb{S}^1). In the case of the two-sphere, it is not possible to identify a subalgebra isomorphic to the affine Lie algebra.

2.2 Root structure

The algebras introduced so far, *i.e.*, Kac-Moody algebras associated to \mathbb{S}^2 and \mathbb{T}_2 , can be seen as a natural extension of affine Lie algebras, since affine Lie algebras are related to the circle \mathbb{S}^1 . However, there exist other extensions of affine Lie algebras, called Kac-Moody algebras, defined by means of a system of simple roots or a generalised Cartan matrix [1, 2, 4]. Thus, a comparison of Kac-Moody algebras associated to generalised Cartan matrices and the algebras introduced in Sec. 2.1 is necessary.

Suppose that the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} has rank r . Introduce a system of simple roots $\alpha_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(r)}$ and let Σ denotes the root system of \mathfrak{g} . Then, we have $\mathfrak{g} = \{H^i, i = 1, \dots, r, E_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma\}$ with $H^i, i = 1, \dots, r$ the generators of the Cartan subalgebra and $E_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma$ the generators associated to the roots α .

With this decomposition of \mathfrak{g} , the Kac-Moody algebras associated to the two-torus (1) takes the form [7]:

$$\begin{aligned} [H_{m_1, m_2}^i, H_{n_1, n_2}^j] &= (k_1 m_1 + k_2 m_2) \delta^{ij} \delta_{m_1+n_1} \delta_{m_2+n_2} , \\ [H_{m_1, m_2}^i, E_{\alpha, n_1, n_2}] &= \alpha^i E_{\alpha, m_1+n_1, m_2+n_2} , \\ [E_{\alpha, m_1, m_2}, E_{\beta, n_1, n_2}] &= \begin{cases} \epsilon(\alpha, \beta) E_{\alpha+\beta, m_1+n_1, m_2+n_2} & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \in \Sigma \\ \alpha \cdot H_{m_1+n_1, m_2+n_2} + \\ (m_1 k_1 + m_2 k_2) \delta_{m_1+n_1} \delta_{m_2+n_2} & \text{if } \alpha + \beta = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ [d_i, H_{m_1, m_2}^j] &= m_i H_{m_1, m_2}^j , \quad [d_i, E_{\alpha, m_1, m_2}] = m_i E_{\alpha, m_1, m_2} , \quad i = 1, 2 . \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

For the two-sphere (2) the algebra reduces to (see [7]):

$$\begin{aligned}
[H_{\ell_1, m_1}^i, H_{\ell_2, m_2}^j] &= (-1)^{m_1} k m_1 \delta^{ij} \delta_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 + m_2} , \\
[H_{\ell_1, m_1}^i, E_{\alpha, \ell_2, m_2}] &= c_{\ell_1, m_1, \ell_2, m_2}^{\ell_3, m_3} \alpha^i E_{\alpha, \ell_3, m_3} , \\
[E_{\alpha, \ell_1, m_1}, E_{\beta, \ell_2, m_2}] &= \begin{cases} \epsilon(\alpha, \beta) c_{\ell_1, m_1, \ell_2, m_2}^{\ell_3, m_3} E_{\alpha + \beta, \ell_3, m_3} & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \in \Sigma \\ c_{\ell_1, m_1, \ell_2, m_2}^{\ell_3, m_3} \alpha \cdot H_{\ell_3, m_3} + (-1)^{m_1} m_1 k \delta_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 + m_2} & \text{if } \alpha + \beta = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
[d, H_{\ell, m}^i] &= m H_{\ell, m}^i , \quad [d, E_{\alpha, \ell, m}] = m E_{\alpha, \ell, m} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The coefficients $\epsilon(\alpha, \beta) = -\epsilon(\beta, \alpha)$ characterise the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} (and are equal to ± 1 if \mathfrak{g} is simply laced).

Thus, for the two-torus the Cartan subalgebra is generated by $\{H_{00}^i, i = 1, \dots, r, d_1, d_2, k_1, k_2\}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{T}_2)$ is of rank $r + 4$, whilst for the two-sphere, the Cartan subalgebra is generated by $\{H_{00}^i, i = 1, \dots, r, d, k\}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ is of rank $r + 2$. With respect to these Cartan subalgebras, the root spaces reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g}_{(\alpha, m, n)} &= \{E_{\alpha, m, n}\} , \quad \alpha \in \Sigma, m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \\
\mathfrak{g}_{(0, m, n)} &= \{H_{m, n}^i, i = 1, \dots, r\} , \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

for the two-torus, and to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g}_{(\alpha, m)} &= \{E_{\alpha, \ell, m}, \ell \geq |m|\} , \quad \alpha \in \Sigma, m \in \mathbb{Z} \\
\mathfrak{g}_{(0, m)} &= \{H_{\ell, m}^i, i = 1, \dots, r, \ell \geq |m|\} , \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

for the two-sphere. We have respectively the obvious commutation relations for the two-torus

$$\left[\mathfrak{g}_{(\alpha, m, n)}, \mathfrak{g}_{(\beta, p, q)} \right] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{(\alpha + \beta, m + p, n + q)} , \quad \left[\mathfrak{g}_{(\alpha, m, n)}, \mathfrak{g}_{(0, p, q)} \right] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{(\alpha, m + p, n + q)} \tag{8}$$

and for the two-sphere

$$\left[\mathfrak{g}_{(\alpha, m)}, \mathfrak{g}_{(\beta, p)} \right] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{(\alpha + \beta, m + p)} , \quad \left[\mathfrak{g}_{(\alpha, m)}, \mathfrak{g}_{(0, p)} \right] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{(\alpha, m + p)} . \tag{9}$$

For both the two-sphere and the two-torus we can define an ordering relation:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha, m, n) > 0 &\Leftrightarrow n > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (n = 0, m > 0) \quad \text{or} \quad (m = n = 0, \alpha > 0) \\
(0, m, n) > 0 &\Leftrightarrow n > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (n = 0, m > 0) ,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha, m) > 0 &\Leftrightarrow m > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (m = 0, \alpha > 0) \\
(0, m) > 0 &\Leftrightarrow m > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

respectively, a fact that allows us to define a set of positive and negative roots.

Even if (8) and (9) look similar, these two relations are substantially different. Indeed, in the case of the two-torus, the root-space $\mathfrak{g}_{(\alpha, n, m)}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{(0, n, m)}$) is one dimensional (resp. r -dimensional), but we cannot extract a system of simple roots [7]. Whereas in the case of the two-sphere, the root spaces (7) are infinite dimensional (recall that $\ell \geq |m|$). This means that we can introduce an infinite number of simple roots corresponding to all possible values of $\ell \geq |m|$ in the root spaces in (7). This alternative is not satisfactory for a rank $r + 2$ Lie algebra. Consequently, in the case of the two-sphere, the commutation relations (9) depend also on the representation theory of $SO(3)$ through the appearance of Clebsch-Gordon coefficients in the Lie brackets. The reason why the root-spaces are one-dimensional for the two-torus and infinite dimensional for the two-sphere is simply related to the fact that for the Kac-Moody algebras associated to the former, we have two (equal to the dimension of \mathbb{T}_2) Hermitian operators, while for the two-sphere, we have only one Hermitian operator. These observations extend to Kac-Moody algebras associated to the compact manifold \mathcal{M} equal to G_c or G_c/H .

This short analysis clearly shows that Kac-Moody algebras associated to compact manifolds $\mathcal{M} = G_c, G_c/H$ don't belong to the class of Kac-Moody algebras defined through Cartan matrices. Indeed, for the former, all roots are known, whilst this is not the case for the latter. Secondly, for the former we do not have a system of simple roots whereas the latter admit simple roots.

2.3 Chevalley-Serre presentation of the Kac-Moody algebra associated to the two-torus

Even if we can't find a system of simple roots for $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{T}_2)$, it is possible to have a Chevalley-Serre presentation (observe that a Chevalley-Serre presentation is not possible \mathbb{S}^2). There are in fact two equivalent ways, either using the simple roots of \mathfrak{g} or, as a consequence of (3), using the simple roots of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ the affine extension of \mathfrak{g} .

In the former case, the Cartan matrix of \mathfrak{g} is given by $A_{ij} = 2(\alpha_{(i)} \cdot \alpha_{(j)})/(\alpha_{(i)} \cdot \alpha_{(i)})$. Define

$$h_{imp} = \alpha_{(i)} \cdot H_{mp}, \quad e_{mp}^{\pm i} = E_{\pm \alpha_{(i)} mp}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$$

The Chevalley-Serre relations, with $\text{ad}(x) \cdot y = [y, x]$, are given by $(i, j = 1, \dots, r$ and $n, m, p, q \in \mathbb{Z})$

$$\begin{aligned}
[k_1, h_{imn}] &= [k_1, e^{\pm i}_{mn}] = [k_2, h_{imn}] = [k_2, e^{\pm i}_{mn}] = 0 \\
[h_{imp}, h_{jnq}] &= (k_1 m + k_2 p) \alpha_{(i)} \cdot \alpha_{(j)} \delta_{m+n} \delta_{p+q} \\
[h_{imn}, e^{\pm j}_{pq}] &= \pm \alpha_{(i)} \cdot \alpha_{(j)} e^{\pm j}_{m+pn+q} \\
[e^{\pm i}_{mn}, e^{\pm j}_{pq}] &= \delta^{ij} (h_{im+pn+q} + (k_1 m + k_2 n) \delta_{m+n} \delta_{p+q}) \\
\text{ad}^{1-A_{ij}}(e^{\pm i}_{mn}) \cdot e^{\pm j}_{pq} &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The simple roots of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ are given by $\hat{\alpha}_{(i)}$, $i = 0, \dots, r$ with $\hat{\alpha}_{(i)} = (\alpha_{(i)}, 0, 0)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, $\hat{\alpha}_{(0)} = (-\psi, 0, 1)$, where ψ is the highest root of \mathfrak{g} . The corresponding Cartan matrix is denoted by \hat{A}_{ij} , $i, j = 0, \dots, r$, with scalar product (see for example [14])

$$\hat{\alpha}_1 \cdot \hat{\alpha}_2 = (\alpha_1, k_1, m_1) \cdot (\alpha_2, k_2, m_2) = \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2 + k_1 m_2 + k_2 m_1 .$$

Introduce also the generators associated to the simple roots of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{e}^{\pm i}_m &= e^{\pm i}_{0m}, \quad \hat{h}_{im} = h_{i0m} & i = 1, \dots, r \\
\hat{e}^{\pm 0}_m &= E^{\mp\psi}_{\pm 1m}, \quad \hat{h}_{0m} = (-\psi \cdot H + k_1 m).
\end{aligned}$$

This determines a presentation of the algebra (with $i, j = 0, \dots, r$ and $m, p \in \mathbb{Z}$) as

$$\begin{aligned}
[k_2, \hat{h}_{im}] &= [k_2, \hat{e}^{\pm i}_m] = \text{ad}^{1-\hat{A}_{ij}}(\hat{e}^{\pm i}_m) \cdot \hat{e}^{\pm j}_p = 0 \\
[\hat{h}_{im}, \hat{h}_{jn}] &= k_2 m \delta_{m+n} \hat{\beta}_{(i)} \cdot \hat{\beta}_{(j)}, \quad [\hat{h}_{im}, \hat{e}^{\pm j}_p] = \pm \hat{\alpha}_{(i)} \cdot \alpha_{(i)} \hat{e}^{\pm j}_{m+p} \\
[\hat{e}^{\pm i}_m, \hat{e}^{\pm j}_n] &= \delta^{ij} (\hat{h}_{im+p} + k_2 m \delta_{m+n})
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The presentations (10) and (11) lead to the same algebra. Indeed, using the last relation in (10), we construct the operators $e^{\mp\psi}_{\psi, \pm 1, m} \equiv \hat{e}^{\pm}_{0m}$ associated to the highest root ψ and then define $\hat{h}_{0m} = [\hat{e}^+_{0m}, \hat{e}^-_{00}]$. Thus, (10) leads to (11) with $\hat{e}^{\pm}_{0m}, \hat{h}_{0m}$ and $\hat{e}^{\pm}_{im} = e^{\pm}_{i0m}, \hat{h}_{im} = h_{i0m}$. Conversely, we set $\hat{e}^{\pm}_{i0m} = \hat{e}^{\pm}_{im}, h_{i0m} = \hat{h}_{im}$ and the action of the operators associated to the root $\hat{\beta}_{(0)}$ leads to the operators e^{\pm}_{ipm}, h_{ipm} , as it is usual in affine Lie algebras. Thus (11) leads to (10). Even if the two presentations define the same algebra, they are very different, as the former uses the roots of \mathfrak{g} and the Cartan matrix A , whilst the latter is based on the roots of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and the Cartan matrix \hat{A} . The fundamental difference resides in the fact that the Cartan matrices A and \hat{A} have very different properties. While A is an invertible matrix, \hat{A} has corank equal to one (*i.e.*, its kernel is one-dimensional). Finally observe that the presentation (11) involves the quantum numbers of \mathfrak{g} and the presentation (10) the quantum numbers of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

The two presentations presented in this section have been used on a different footing. In [10], the presentation (11) was used to construct a vertex realisation of the algebra (1). In [15, 16], the presentation (10) was used to

construct an explicit fermionic and bosonic realisation of the Kac-Moody algebra of the two-torus (in these articles, a fermionic and bosonic realisation of the Kac-Moody algebra of the two-sphere was also obtained).

3 Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras associated to the two-sphere and the two-torus

We now show that it is possible to reproduce directly the Lie algebras of Sec. 2.1, but also a Virasoro algebra associated to the two-torus and the two-sphere, considering the usual Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras.

The Virasoro and Kac-Moody algebras have a semi-direct sum structure

$$\text{Vir} \ltimes \hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \{L_m, c, m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \ltimes \{T_m^a, k, a = 1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}, m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad (12)$$

with Lie brackets:

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_n] &= (m-n)L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12}m(m^2-1)\delta_{m+n} \\ [T_{m_1}^{a_1}, T_{m_2}^{a_2}] &= if^{a_1 a_2}{}_{a_3} T_{m_1+m_2}^{a_3} + km_1 \delta^{a_1 a_2} \delta_{m_1+m_2} \\ [L_m, T_n^a] &= -nT_{m+n}^a. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Observe that the grading operator d is identified with $-L_0$. The generators in (12) can be understood as mode expansions of a conserved quantity on the circle \mathbb{S}^1 (with $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$ being a parameterisation of the circle):

$$L(\varphi) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} L_m e^{-im\varphi}, \quad T^a(\varphi) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} T_m^a e^{-im\varphi} \quad (14)$$

The basic idea using (12) to reproduce (1) and (2) is to consider the embedding $\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{T}_2$ and $\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ in a certain way.

Consider in the first place the case of the two-torus, which is structurally simpler. The two-torus is now parameterised by $0 \leq \theta, \varphi < 2\pi$. Assume firstly to have a mode expansion analogous to (14), but on the two-torus, *i.e.*, the conserved quantities write now as $L(\theta, \varphi)$ and $T^a(\theta, \varphi)$. Performing the φ -integration, the Virasoro and Kac-Moody generators T_m^a, L_m depend now on the variable φ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\varphi e^{im\theta} T^a(\theta, \varphi) &= T_m^a(\theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} T_{m,n}^a e^{-in\theta} \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\varphi e^{im\theta} L(\theta, \varphi) &= L_m(\theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} L_{m,n} e^{-in\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

If we assume the Lie brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m(\theta), L_n(\theta')] &= (m-n)L_{m+n}(\theta)\delta(\theta-\theta') + \frac{c}{12}m(m^2-1)\delta_{m+n}\delta(\theta-\theta') \\ [T_{m_1}^{a_1}(\theta), T_{m_2}^{a_2}(\theta')] &= if^{a_1 a_2}{}_{a_3} T_{m_1+m_2}^{a_3}(\theta)\delta(\theta-\theta') + km_1\delta^{a_1 a_2}\delta_{m_1+m_2}\delta(\theta-\theta') \\ [L_m(\theta), T_n^a(\theta')] &= -nT_{m+n}^a(\theta)\delta(\theta-\theta') . \end{aligned}$$

Integration by $\int d\theta e^{in_1\theta} \int d\theta' e^{in_2\theta'}$ on both sides leads to

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{m_1, n_1}, L_{m_2, n_2}] &= (m_1 - m_2)L_{m_1+m_2, n_1+n_2} + \frac{c}{12}m_1(m_1^2 - 1)\delta_{m_1+m_2}\delta_{n_1+n_2} \\ [T_{m_1, n_1}^{a_1}, T_{m_2, n_2}^{a_2}] &= if^{a_1 a_2}{}_{a_3} T_{m_1+m_2, n_1+n_2}^{a_3} + k\delta^{a_1 a_2}m_1\delta_{m_1+m_2}\delta_{n_1+n_2} \quad (15) \\ [L_{m_1, n_1}, T_{m_2, n_2}^a] &= -m_2T_{m_1+m_2, n_1+n_2}^a . \end{aligned}$$

The second line reproduced the algebra (1), but with one central charge and one differential operator $d_1 = -L_{00}$. This algebra is denoted $\text{Vir}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \times \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{(1)}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1)$. The symbol $\mathfrak{g}_{(1)}$ indicates that we have only one central extension. This will be clarified in the sequel.

The analysis can be extended to the two-sphere as follows. Let $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi, 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ be a parameterisation of the two-sphere. Introduce the spherical harmonics

$$Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \varphi) = \sqrt{\frac{(\ell-m)!}{(\ell+m)!}} P_{\ell m}(\cos\theta) e^{im\varphi} = Q_{\ell, m}(\cos\theta) e^{im\varphi}, \quad (16)$$

where $P_{\ell m}$ are the associated Legendre functions, satisfying the orthonormality property for $\ell_1, \ell_2 \geq |m|$:

$$(Q_{\ell_1 m}, Q_{\ell_2 m}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi \sin\theta d\theta Q_{\ell_2, m}(\cos\theta) Q_{\ell_1, m}(\cos\theta) = \delta_{\ell_2}^{\ell_1}, \quad (17)$$

As done for the case of the two torus, we assume now that the conserved quantities on \mathbb{S}^2 are

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta, \varphi) &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{\ell=|m|}^{+\infty} L_{\ell, m} Q_{\ell, m}(\cos\theta) \right) e^{im\varphi} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} L_m(\theta) e^{im\varphi}, \\ T^a(\theta, \varphi) &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{\ell=|m|}^{+\infty} T_{\ell, m}^a Q_{\ell, m}(\cos\theta) \right) e^{im\varphi} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} T_m^a(\theta) e^{im\varphi}, \end{aligned}$$

We further assume that $L_m(\theta), T_m^a(\theta)$ satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_m(\theta), L_n(\theta')] &= (m-n)L_{m+n}(\theta)\delta(\cos\theta - \cos\theta') \\
&\quad + \frac{c}{12}m(m^2-1)\delta_{m+n}\delta(\cos\theta - \cos\theta') \\
[T_{m_1}^{a_1}(\theta), T_{m_2}^{a_2}(\theta')] &= if^{a_1 a_2}{}_{a_3} T_{m_1+m_2}^{a_3}(\theta)\delta(\cos\theta - \cos\theta') \\
&\quad + km_1\delta^{a_1 a_2}\delta_{m_1+m_2}\delta(\cos\theta - \cos\theta') \\
[L_m(\theta), T_n^a(\theta')] &= -nT_{m+n}^a(\theta)\delta(\cos\theta - \cos\theta') .
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using again the orthonormality relation (17), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_{\ell_1, m_1}, L_{\ell_2, m_2}] &= (m-n)c_{\ell_1, m_1, \ell_2, m_2}{}^{\ell_3, m_1+m_2} L_{\ell_3, m_1+m_2} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{m_1} \frac{c}{12} m_1(m_1^2-1)\delta_{m_1+m_2}\delta_{\ell_1\ell_2} \quad (18) \\
[T_{\ell_1, m_1}^{a_1}, T_{\ell_2, m_2}^{a_2}] &= if^{a_1 a_2}{}_{a_3} c_{\ell_1, m_1, \ell_2, m_2}{}^{\ell_3, m_1+m_2} T_{\ell_3, m_1+m_2}^{a_3} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{m_1} km_1\delta_{m_1+m_2}\delta_{\ell_1\ell_2} \\
[L_{\ell_1, m_1}, T_{\ell_2, m_2}^{a_2}] &= -m_2 c_{\ell_1, m_1, \ell_2, m_2}{}^{\ell_3, m_1+m_2} T_{\ell_3, m_1+m_2}^{a_2} ,
\end{aligned}$$

The second line reproduces the algebra in (2) with differential operator $d = -L_{00}$. This algebra is denoted by $\text{Vir}(\mathbb{S}^2) \times \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{S}^2)$.

This construction provides a natural way to obtain extensions of the Virasoro and Kac-Moody algebras on the two-torus and the two-sphere, respectively. The first line in (15) and (18) can be seen as a subalgebra of vector fields on either the two-torus or the two-sphere. These algebras are centrally extended. To extend centrally a Lie algebra is far from being a trivial task. In the case of the semi-direct structure above, the situation is even more difficult. Note that our procedure leads naturally to centrally extended algebras. Only for the two-torus, we have $\text{Vir}(\mathbb{S}^2) \times \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{S}^2) \subset \text{Vir} \times \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. For the study of centrally extended algebras of vector fields on \mathbb{T}_2 and \mathbb{S}^2 , see for instance [17]. The compatibility condition of centrally extended algebras of vector field of the two-torus and the two-sphere and the corresponding Kac-Moody algebra has been studied in [12, 5, 6].

The analysis of the representation theory of the algebras (5) and (15) deserves a deeper analysis. However, our process could be a way to construct unitary representations of $\text{Vir}(\mathbb{T}_2) \times \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{(1)}(\mathbb{T}_2)$ or $\text{Vir}(\mathbb{S}^2) \times \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ by means of representations of $\text{Vir} \times \mathfrak{g}$. This observation is in accordance with the property that we obtain only one central charge and one Hermitian operator in (15), as it was proved in [7] that unitarity implies that only one central charge is non-vanishing.

Finally, we observe that the construction presented in this section has been used to obtain fermions and bosons realisations of the algebras $\text{Vir}(\mathbb{T}_2) \times \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{(1)}(\mathbb{T}_2)$ or $\text{Vir}(\mathbb{S}^2) \times \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ [15, 16].

Acknowledgements RCS acknowledges financial support by the research grant PID2019-106802GB-I00/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 (AEI/ FEDER, UE).

References

1. V. Kac, *Simple graded Lie algebras of finite growth*, *Func. Anal. Appl.* **1** (1967) 82-83.
2. R. V. Moody, *Lie algebras associated with generalized Cartan matrices*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **73** (1967) 217-221.
3. L. Dolan, *Kac-Moody algebras and exact solvability in hadronic physics*, *Phys. Reports* **109**, (1984) 1-94.
4. I. G. Macdonald, *Kac-Moody-algebras*, (*Lie Algebras and Related Topics*, Proc. Semin., Windsor/Ont. 1984, CMS Conf. Proc. 5, 69-109 (1986).
5. E. Ragoucy, E. and P. Sorba, *Extended Kac-Moody algebras and applications*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **7** (1992) 2883-2972.
6. E. Ragoucy and Sorba, P., *An Attempt to relate area preserving diffeomorphisms to Kac-Moody algebras*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **21** (1991) 329-342.
7. R. Campoamor-Stursberg, M. de Montigny and M. Rausch de Traubenberg, *An overview of generalised Kac-Moody algebras on compact real manifolds*, *J. Geom. Phys.* **180** (2022) 104624.
8. R. Campoamor-Stursberg, M. de Montigny and M. Rausch de Traubenberg, *Generalisation of affine Lie algebras on compact real manifolds*, *SciPost Phys. Proc.* **14** (2023) 022.
9. I. Bars, *Local charge algebras in quantum chiral models and gauge theories*, In *Vertex Operators in Mathematics and Physics*, Ed. J. Leponky, S. Mandelstam and I. M. Singer (Springer, Berlin 1984), pp 373-391.
10. R. Moody, S. Eswara Rao and T. Yokonuma, *Toroidal Lie algebras and vertex representations*, *Geom. Dedicata* **1-3** (1990) 283-307.
11. R. Høegh-Krohn and B. Torresani, *Classification and construction of quasisimple Lie algebras*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **89** (1990) 106.
12. L. Frappat, E. Ragoucy, P. Sorba, F. Thuillier and H. Hogaasen, *Generalized Kac-Moody algebras and the diffeomorphism group of a closed surface*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **334** (1990) 250.
13. K. Harada, P.-M. Ho, Y. Matsuo and A. Watanabe, *Dimensional oxidization on coset space*, *JHEP* **10** (2020) 198.
14. P. Goddard and D. Olive, *Kac-Moody and Virasoro Algebras in relation to Quantum Physics*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **1** (1986) 303-404.
15. R. Campoamor-Stursberg and M. Rausch de Traubenberg, *Fermion realisations of generalised Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras associated to the two-sphere and the two-torus*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **37** (2022) 2250240, [arXiv:2211.04199].
16. R. Campoamor-Stursberg and M. Rausch de Traubenberg, *Vertex operator for generalized Kac-Moody algebras associated to the two-sphere and the two-torus*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **37** (2022) 2250239, [arXiv:2211.04201].
17. E. G. Floratos and J. Iliopoulos, *A Note on the Classical Symmetries of the Closed Bosonic Membranes*, *Phys. Lett. B* **201** (1988) 237-240.