# Complete Positivity and Thermal Relaxation in Quadratic Quantum Master Equations 

F. Nicacio ${ }^{1, *}$ and T. Koide ${ }^{1,2, \dagger}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ 21941-972, Brazil<br>${ }^{2}$ Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies (FIAS), Frankfurt am Main, Germany

(Dated: June 18, 2024)


#### Abstract

The ultimate goal of this project is to develop a systematic method for deriving quantum master equations that satisfy the requirements of a completely positive and trace-preserving (СРТР) map, further describing thermal relaxation processes. In this paper, we assume that the quantum master equation is obtained through the canonical quantization of the generalized Brownian motion proposed in [Physics Letters A, 494, 129277 (2024)]. At least classically, this dynamics describes the thermal relaxation process regardless of the choice of the system Hamiltonian. The remaining task is to identify the parameters ensuring that the quantum master equation meets complete positivity. We limit our discussion to many-body quadratic Hamiltonians and establish a CPTP criterion for our quantum master equation. This criterion is useful for applying our quantum master equation to models with interaction such as a network model, which has been used to investigate how quantum effects modify heat conduction.


## I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been considerable discussion about how thermodynamical descriptions can be extended to small fluctuating systems. For this, there are at least two approaches: stochastic thermodynamics [1-3] and quantum thermodynamics [4,5]. The former considers classical systems and assumes stochastic dynamics like Brownian motion and the latter considers open quantum systems.

Compared to classical systems, our understanding of quantum dissipative dynamics is quite limited [6]. In classical systems, it is possible to introduce a model of Brownian motion applicable to any particle Hamiltonian, allowing for the definitions of heat, work, and entropy in a manner consistent with thermodynamics [7]. In open quantum systems, the time evolution is often assumed to be given by a completely positive and trace-preserving (CPTP) map with the Gorini-Kossakowski-SudarshanLindblad (GKSL) equation exemplifying such dynamics. Admittedly heat, work, and entropy can be defined in line with thermodynamics through the GKSL equation, at least when the system's Hamiltonian is given by a harmonic oscillator, but its applicability to general Hamiltonians remains unclear. It is thus still worthwhile to study the systematic derivation of a master equation applicable to describe thermal relaxation processes.

Recently, the present authors introduced the generalized model of Brownian motion and considered its canonical quantization [7]. Compared to the GKSL equation, this approach has at least two advantages. One is its simplicity: our master equation is determined only by the system Hamiltonian and a few parameters (coupling constants and temperatures). The other is that, regardless of the choice of the system Hamiltonian, the classical

[^0]limit of the quantum master equation always describes the thermal relaxation process, as we will show. Nevertheless, the obtained evolution is generally non-CPTP. Interestingly, however, for a harmonic oscillator a tuning of the coupling parameters ensures the conformity with a CPTP map [7]. Therefore, it is important to investigate whether our quantum master equation satisfies the CPTP requirement for arbitrary Hamiltonians.

In this paper, we assume that the quantum dynamics is governed by a master equation obtained through the canonical quantization of generalized Brownian motion [7], and apply it to a general many-body quadratic Hamiltonian. We then establish a CPTP map in our quantum master equation providing a necessary and sufficient criterion, which represents quantum constraints for physically admissible parameters of a genuine quantum dynamics, like an uncertainty principle. Although the discussion is limited only to quadratic Hamiltonians, this simplified situation remains of interest for discussing heat conduction, where the system Hamiltonian is represented by linearly interacting harmonic oscillators, known as the network model.

This paper is organized as follows. The derivation of a new quantum master equation proposed in Ref. [7] is briefly summarized in Sec. II. This quantum master equation is mapped to a general quadratic form, which is called the quadratic time-convolutionless master equation and then the criterion to obtain a CPTP evolution is discussed in Sec. III. The derived criterion is applied to one degree of freedom systems in Sec. IV, while in Sec. V, it is applied to the network model. Section VI is devoted to concluding remarks.

## II. CANONICAL QUANTIZATION OF GENERALIZED BROWNIAN MOTION

In this section, we give a brief summary of the derivation of the quantum master equation developed in Ref. [7].

Let us consider a general many-body system which is characterized by the canonical pairs of position and momentum in Cartesian coordinates, $\left\{q_{i}, p_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$. In the absence of interaction with the environment, the dynamics of this system is described by a general Hamiltonian $H$ which can be non-linear. Upon introducing this interaction, the phase space distribution is determined by the following Fokker-Planck-Kramers (FPK) equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{t} \rho=\{H, \rho\}_{\mathrm{PB}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FPK}}^{(i)}(\rho),  \tag{1}\\
& \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FPK}}^{(i)}(\rho):= \beta_{i}^{-1} \gamma_{p_{i}}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-\beta_{i} H}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i} H} \rho, q_{i}\right\}_{\mathrm{PB}}, q_{i}\right\}_{\mathrm{PB}}+ \\
& \beta_{i}^{-1} \gamma_{q_{i}}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-\beta_{i} H}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i} H} \rho, p_{i}\right\}_{\mathrm{PB}}, p_{i}\right\}_{\mathrm{PB}},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\{\bullet, \bullet\}_{\text {PB }}$ denotes the Poisson bracket and, $\gamma_{p_{i}}$ and $\gamma_{q_{i}}$ are real parameters. In this equation, the $i$-th degree of freedom, described by the pair $\left\{q_{i}, p_{i}\right\}$, interacts with a heat bath with $\beta_{i}=\left(k_{B} T_{i}\right)^{-1}$, where $k_{B}$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T_{i}$ is temperature. When all bathes have the same temperature $\beta_{1}=\ldots=\beta_{n}=\beta$, the stationary state is given by the thermal equilibrium state $\sim \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}$ for any Hamiltonian $H$ bounded from below, including the relativistic Hamiltonian [8-10]. Independently of the choice of $\gamma_{q_{i}}$ and $\gamma_{p_{i}}$, heat, work, and entropy are introduced in such a way that the laws analogous to the first and second laws of thermodynamics are satisfied [7].

As shown in Ref. [7], the canonical quantization of Eq. (1) leads to the quantum master equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \hat{\rho}(t)= & \frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{\rho}(t), \hat{H}]+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Q}}^{(i)}[\hat{\rho}]  \tag{2}\\
\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Q}}^{(i)}[\hat{\rho}]:= & -\frac{\gamma_{p_{i}}}{\beta_{i} \hbar^{2}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\beta_{i}}{2} \hat{H}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\beta_{i}}{2} \hat{H}} \hat{\rho} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\beta_{i}}{2}} \hat{H}, \hat{q}_{i}\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\beta_{i}}{2} \hat{H}}, \hat{q}_{i}\right] \\
& -\frac{\gamma_{q_{i}}}{\beta_{i} \hbar^{2}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\beta_{i}}{2} \hat{H}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\beta_{i}}{2} \hat{H}} \hat{\rho} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\beta_{i}}{2} \hat{H}}, \hat{p}_{i}\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\beta_{i}}{2} \hat{H}}, \hat{p}_{i}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

When all particle interacts with the same heat bath of $\beta$, again, this equation describes a thermal equilibration process. Moreover, effortlessly, one can show that this master equation ensures trace preservation, $\frac{d}{d t} \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{\rho})=0$. In the case of harmonic oscillators,

$$
\hat{H}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\hat{p}_{i}^{2}}{2 m_{i}}+\frac{m_{i} \omega_{i}^{2}}{2} \hat{q}_{i}^{2}\right)
$$

with $m_{i}$ being masses and $\omega_{i}$ angular frequencies, we have shown that this evolution is CPTP, by choosing $\gamma_{p_{i}}=\left(m_{i} \omega_{i}\right)^{2} \gamma_{q_{i}}$, i.e., it reduces to a GKSL form, thus ensuring complete positivity [7].

For the sake of later convenience, let us express the FPK equation for a quadratic Hamiltonian. A point in a $2 n$-dimensional phase space is denoted as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the column vector composed by $n$ Cartesian coordinates together with $n$ canonical conjugate momenta. The $2 n \times 2 n$ symplectic matrix is introduced by

$$
\mathrm{J}:=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\mathbf{0}_{n} & \mathrm{I}_{n}  \tag{4}\\
-\mathrm{I}_{n} & \mathbf{0}_{n}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathrm{J}^{-1}=\mathrm{J}^{\top}=-\mathrm{J}
$$

where $\mathrm{I}_{n}$ and $\mathbf{0}_{n}$ are, respectively, the $n \times n$ block identity and block zero matrices. A general quadratic Hamiltonian is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}(x-\xi) \cdot \mathbf{H}(x-\xi)+\varphi \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ is a column vector, $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant, and the Hessian of the Hamiltonian, $\mathbf{H}_{i j}=\partial_{x_{i} x_{j}}^{2} H(x)$, is a $2 n \times 2 n$ symmetric real matrix. Under this setup, Eq. (1) is reexpressed in the form of a continuity equation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{t} \rho(x, t)+\partial_{x} \cdot \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{K}}=0 \\
& \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{K}}=\left(\mathrm{I}_{2 n}+\mathbf{C J}\right) \mathrm{J} \mathbf{H}(x-\xi) \rho-\mathbf{D} \partial_{x} \rho \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\partial_{x}:=\left(\partial_{q_{1}}, \ldots, \partial_{q_{n}}, \partial_{p_{1}}, \ldots, \partial_{p_{n}}\right)^{\top}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{C}:=\operatorname{Diag}\left(\gamma_{q_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{q_{n}}, \gamma_{p_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{p_{n}}\right) \\
& \mathbf{D}:=\operatorname{Diag}\left(\frac{\gamma_{q_{1}}}{\beta_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\gamma_{q_{n}}}{\beta_{n}}, \frac{\gamma_{p_{1}}}{\beta_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\gamma_{p_{n}}}{\beta_{n}}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

## III. CPTP CRITERION

Canonical quantization promotes the column vector (3) to the following column vector of operators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{x}:=\left(\hat{q}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{q}_{n}, \hat{p}_{1}, \ldots \hat{p}_{n}\right)^{\top}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and each of its $2 n$ components, generically called $\hat{x}_{j}$, is Hermitian. In this notation, the canonical commutation relations are compactly written as $\left[\hat{x}_{j}, \hat{x}_{k}\right]=\mathrm{i} \hbar \mathrm{J}_{j k}$.

A general quadratic Hamiltonian operator corresponding to Eq. (5) is given by ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\frac{1}{2}(\hat{x}-\xi) \cdot \mathbf{H}(\hat{x}-\xi)+\varphi \hat{1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides a unitary generator for the above Hamiltonian operator, we will consider environmental effects which are described by quadratic forms of momentum and position operators. In order to preserve the trace of

[^1]$\hat{\rho}$ and the Hermiticity of the generator, an open system evolution should be written as the quadratic timeconvolutionless (QTCL) master equation:
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \hat{\rho}(t) & =\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}[\hat{\rho}(t), \hat{H}]+\mathcal{D}[\hat{\rho}], \\
\mathcal{D}[\hat{\rho}]= & -\frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{j, k=1}^{2 n} \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{j k}^{\top} \hat{\rho}\left(\hat{x}_{j}-\eta_{j}\right)\left(\hat{x}_{k}-\eta_{k}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{j, k=1}^{2 n}\left(\boldsymbol{\Xi}+\boldsymbol{\Xi}^{\dagger}\right)_{j k}\left(\hat{x}_{j}-\eta_{j}\right) \hat{\rho}\left(\hat{x}_{k}-\eta_{k}\right)  \tag{10}\\
& -\frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{j, k=1}^{2 n} \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{j k}^{*}\left(\hat{x}_{j}-\eta_{j}\right)\left(\hat{x}_{k}-\eta_{k}\right) \hat{\rho},
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{j k}$ are the elements of a $2 n \times 2 n$ complex matrix $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$. By choosing this matrix and this vector appropriately, our quantum master equation (2) is reproduced. For the moment, however, we will continue the discussion without their specifications.

Performing the decomposition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Xi}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}+\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{A}}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}:=\boldsymbol{\Xi}+\boldsymbol{\Xi}^{\dagger} \\
& \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{A}}:=\boldsymbol{\Xi}-\boldsymbol{\Xi}^{\dagger},
\end{aligned}
$$

the QTCL equation is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \hat{\rho} & =\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}\left[\hat{\rho}, \hat{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}\right]-\frac{1}{2 \hbar}\left\{\hat{\rho},(\hat{x}-\eta) \cdot \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}^{*}(\hat{x}-\eta)\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 n}\left(\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}\right)_{i j}\left(\hat{x}_{i}-\eta_{i}\right) \hat{\rho}\left(\hat{x}_{j}-\eta_{j}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\{\bullet, \bullet\}$ denotes the anti-commutator and

$$
\hat{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}:=\hat{H}-\frac{i}{2}(\hat{x}-\eta) \cdot \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{A}}^{*}(\hat{x}-\eta) .
$$

Note that $i \Xi_{\mathrm{A}}^{*}$ is Hermitian and that such shift of the system Hamiltonian, induced by the interaction with the environment, is commonly observed in various different approaches $[6,11]$.

Due to the Hermiticity of $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}$, one can introduce the eigenvectors $v_{\mu} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 n}$ as

$$
\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}} v_{\mu}=a_{\mu} v_{\mu}, \quad \mu=1, \ldots, 2 n
$$

where $a_{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}$ are the respective eigenvalues and the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}$ can be represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}=\sum_{\mu=1}^{2 n} a_{\mu} v_{\mu} v_{\mu}^{\dagger}=\sum_{\mu=1}^{2 n} \lambda_{\mu} g_{\mu \nu} \lambda_{\nu}^{\dagger} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{\mu \nu}:=\operatorname{sgn}\left(a_{\mu}\right) \delta_{\mu \nu}$. In short, the master equation in Eq. (12) takes the form of a general timeconvolutionless (GTCL) equation [12]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \hat{\rho}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}\left[\hat{\rho}, \hat{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}\right]-\sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^{2 n} \frac{g_{\mu \nu}}{2 \hbar}\left(\left\{\hat{\rho}, \hat{L}_{\mu}^{\dagger} \hat{L}_{\nu}\right\}-2 \hat{L}_{\mu} \hat{\rho} \hat{L}_{\nu}^{\dagger}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{L}_{\mu}=\lambda_{\mu} \cdot(\hat{x}-\eta)$ are the Lindblad operators representing the action of the environment in the system evolution.

Now we are in a position to examine the criterion on the parameters in the QTCL equation (12), or equivalently Eq. (14), to ensure that the resulting time evolution is a CPTP map. As well-known $[12,13]$, a GKSL equation is given by a GTCL equation (14) for $g_{\mu \nu}=\delta_{\mu \nu}$. To satisfy this, we should require that $a_{\mu} \geq 0, \forall \mu$ in Eq. (13). Consequently, the time evolution of the QTCL equation is a CPTP map if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}} \geq 0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that its eigenvalues are non-negative.
When the GTCL equation is given by our quantum master equation (2) for the Hamiltonian in Eq. (9), see Appendix B, we have $\eta=\xi$ and the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{\Xi}_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{2 n} \mathbf{K}_{i k}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\beta_{k}}\right)_{k j}=\mathbf{K}_{i i}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\beta_{i}}\right)_{i j}, \quad\left(\beta_{i+n}=\beta_{n}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{K} & :=\operatorname{Diag}\left(\frac{\gamma_{p_{1}}}{\hbar \beta_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\gamma_{p_{n}}}{\hbar \beta_{n}}, \frac{\gamma_{q_{1}}}{\hbar \beta_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\gamma_{q_{n}}}{\hbar \beta_{n}}\right)  \tag{17}\\
\mathrm{S}_{\beta_{k}} & :=\exp \left[i \hbar \beta_{k} \mathrm{JH} / 2\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Matrix $\mathrm{S}_{\beta_{k}}$ is discussed in Appendix A.
Note that the different rows of $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$ depend on different values of temperature, e.g.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{12}=\mathbf{K}_{11}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\beta_{1}}\right)_{12}=\frac{\gamma_{p_{1}}}{\hbar \beta_{1}}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\beta_{1}}\right)_{12} \\
& \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{21}=\mathbf{K}_{22}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\beta_{2}}\right)_{12}=\frac{\gamma_{p_{2}}}{\hbar \beta_{2}}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\beta_{2}}\right)_{21}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{i j}^{\dagger}=\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{j i}^{*} & =\mathbf{K}_{j j}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\beta_{j}}^{*}\right)_{j i}=\mathbf{K}_{j j}\left(\exp \left[\mathrm{i} \hbar \beta_{j} \mathrm{JH} / 2\right]\right)_{j i} \\
& =\mathbf{K}_{j j}\left(\exp \left[-\mathrm{i} \hbar \beta_{j} \mathbf{H J} / 2\right]\right)_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

The criterion (15) imposes a constraint on the systembath interaction parameters, as detailed in Eq. (17). We can assert that the bath-momentum couplings $\gamma_{p_{i}}$ are intrinsically linked to the position-bath couplings $\gamma_{q_{i}}$ in Eq. (2). In the end, the criterion determines the permissible values for a genuine physical evolution represented by a CPTP map.

Before the application of the above criterion, we study its meaning in the corresponding classical theory. To this end, we determine the Wigner function $W(x, t)$ associated with the density operator $\hat{\rho}(t)$. Because we are considering quadratic Hamiltonians, the quantum dynamics is mapped exactly into its phase space representation, see Appendix C. Applying this to our quantum master equation (2) for the quadratic Hamiltonian (9), we obtain a continuity equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t} W(x, t)+\partial_{x} \cdot \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{W}}=0 \\
& \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{W}} \\
& =\mathrm{J}\left(\mathbf{H}-i \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{A}}^{*}-\operatorname{Im} \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}\right)(x-\xi) W \\
& \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2} \hbar \mathrm{~J}\left(\operatorname{Re} \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}\right) \mathrm{J}^{\top} \partial_{x} W
\end{aligned}
$$

The classical limit of the current $\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{W}}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{W}}=\left(\mathrm{I}_{2 n}+\mathbf{C J}\right) \mathbf{J} \mathbf{H}(x-\xi) W-\mathbf{D} \partial_{x} W
$$

It is easy to see that this is equivalent to the current of the FPK equation $\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{K}}$ in Eq. (6). This is reasonable because our quantum master equation is obtained by applying the canonical quantization to Eq. (6). As discussed in Ref. [7], the FPK equation permits any value of $\gamma_{q_{i}}$ and $\gamma_{p_{i}}$, differently from its quantum counterpart. Therefore, the criterion for a CPTP map (15) will be satisfied in the classical limit of the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$. Expanding the matrix $\mathrm{S}_{\beta_{k}}$ in Eq. (16), this classical limit is calculated by

$$
\boldsymbol{\Xi} \approx \mathbf{K}-\frac{i}{2} \mathrm{JCH}
$$

and it is easy to see that the criterion (15) is automatically satisfied, since $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathbf{K}>0$. Again, we can employ the analogy with the uncertainty principle that does not provide constraints to classical variables.

## IV. APPLICATION TO $n=1$

Let us apply our criterion to a quadratic Hamiltonian of a system with one-degree of freedom $(n=1)$. We then find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{S}_{\beta}=\cosh (\Theta) \mathrm{I}_{2}-\mathrm{i} \frac{\sinh \Theta}{\Theta} \mathbf{J} \mathbf{H}, \\
& \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}=2 \cosh (\Theta) \mathbf{K}-\mathrm{i}\left(\gamma_{q} H_{11}+\gamma_{p} H_{22}\right) \frac{\sinh \Theta}{2 \Theta} \mathrm{~J}, \\
& \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{i} \frac{\sinh \Theta}{2 \Theta}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-2 \gamma_{p} H_{12} & \gamma_{q} H_{11}-\gamma_{p} H_{22} \\
\gamma_{q} H_{11}-\gamma_{p} H_{22} & 2 \gamma_{q} H_{12}
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H_{i j}$ denote the elements of the Hessian $\mathbf{H}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=\frac{\hbar \beta}{2} \sqrt{\operatorname{det} \mathbf{H}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The indexes $i=1$ in $\beta, \gamma_{p}$ and $\gamma_{q}$ are omitted for simplicity. In this calculation, we used $(\mathrm{JH})^{2}=-(\operatorname{det} \mathbf{H}) \mathrm{I}_{2}$ for a $2 \times 2$ matrix $\mathbf{H}$.

## A. Elliptic case: $\operatorname{det} \mathbf{H}>0$

Lets us assume $\operatorname{det} \mathbf{H}>0$. The eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}$ are given by

$$
\psi_{ \pm}\left(\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}\right)^{2}-4 \operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}} & =\frac{2 \cosh \Theta}{\hbar \beta} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{C} \geq 0 \\
\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}} & =\frac{4 \cosh ^{2} \Theta}{\hbar^{2} \beta^{2}} \operatorname{det} \mathbf{C}-\left[\frac{\sinh \Theta}{2 \Theta} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{C H})\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{C}$ is defined by Eq. (7).

Note that $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}$ is Hermitian and thus its eigenvalues are real, $\left(\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}\right)^{2} \geq 4 \operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}$. Therefore, to satisfy our criterion (15), we require

$$
\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}} \geq 0 \Longleftrightarrow \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{C H})^{2}}{\operatorname{det} \mathbf{C H}} \tanh ^{2} \Theta \leq 1
$$

which for an arbitrary temperature becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} \frac{(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{C H})^{2}}{\operatorname{det} \mathbf{C H}} \leq 1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\tanh \Theta \leq 1$.
For example, when the Hamiltonian satisfies $H_{12}=0$, noting that $H_{21}=H_{12}$, Eq. (19) leads to

$$
\frac{\gamma_{q} H_{11}}{\gamma_{p} H_{22}}+\frac{\gamma_{p} H_{22}}{\gamma_{q} H_{11}} \leq 2
$$

Therefore, the dynamics governed by the quantum master equation (2), for any temperature of the bath and this specific Hamiltonian, corresponds to a CPTP evolution when its parameters satisfies $\gamma_{q} H_{11}=\gamma_{p} H_{22}$. For a harmonic oscillator, where $H_{11}=m \omega^{2}$ and $H_{22}=1 / m$, this condition reproduces the result in Ref. [7],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{p}=(m \omega)^{2} \gamma_{q} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Even after employing the condition (20), there still remains some freedom for the choice of the parameters which can be utilized to introduce further temperature dependence. For example, let us assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{p}=\frac{m \beta \hbar \omega}{2 \sinh (\beta \hbar \omega / 2)} \tilde{\gamma} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a non-negative constant. Using Eqs. (20) and (21), the quantum master equation (2) for a harmonic oscillator becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \hat{\rho} & =\frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{\rho}, \hat{H}]-\mathrm{i} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{4 \hbar}([\hat{q},\{\hat{p}, \hat{\rho}\}]-[\hat{p},\{\hat{q}, \hat{\rho}\}]) \\
& -\frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{4 \hbar} \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{1}{2} \beta \hbar \omega\right)\left(m \omega[\hat{q},[\hat{q}, \hat{\rho}]]+\frac{1}{m \omega}[\hat{p},[\hat{p}, \hat{\rho}]]\right), \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

which is essentially the same as the master equation for a harmonic oscillator interacting with thermal radiation through the Born-Markov approximations [11, 14]. Indeed, this is rewritten as Eq. (14) by choosing $g_{\mu \nu}=\delta_{\mu \nu}$, $\eta=0$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{L}_{1}=\sqrt{\hbar \tilde{\gamma}(\bar{n}+1)} \hat{a} \\
& \hat{L}_{2}=\sqrt{\hbar \tilde{\gamma} \bar{n}} \hat{a}^{\dagger} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\bar{n}=[\exp (\hbar \beta \omega)-1]^{-1}$ and $\hat{a}=\sqrt{m \omega /(2 \hbar)} \hat{q}+$ $\mathrm{i} \hat{p} / \sqrt{2 \hbar m \omega}$. Noteworthy,

$$
\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \gamma_{p}=m \tilde{\gamma}
$$

and thus the classical limit of the master equation (22) works as well as the discussion in Sec. III.

## B. Hyperbolic and parabolic cases: $\operatorname{det} \mathbf{H} \leq 0$

For the hyperbolic case, $\operatorname{det} \mathbf{H}<0$, we replace $\sqrt{\operatorname{det} \mathbf{H}}$ by $i \sqrt{|\operatorname{det} \mathbf{H}|}$ in Eq. (18), such that $\Theta=\frac{\hbar \beta}{2} \sqrt{|\operatorname{det} \mathbf{H}|}$. Differently from the elliptic case, note that $\operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}=$ $2 \cos (\Theta) \operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{C} /(\hbar \beta)$ can take negative values, which would imply $\Xi_{\mathrm{H}} \nsupseteq 0$. Thus, to satisfy the criterion (15), we should have

$$
0 \leq \Theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \text { or } \frac{\pi}{2}+(2 N+1) \pi \leq \Theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}+2(N+1) \pi
$$

for $N=0,1,2 \ldots$, and

$$
\left|\frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{C H})}{2 \sqrt{\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{C H})}} \tan \Theta\right| \leq 1
$$

As an example, scattering through a parabolic barrier is described by the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}=\frac{1}{2} H_{22} p^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left|H_{11}\right| q^{2}$ with $H_{11}>0, H_{22}<0$, and $H_{12}=0$. Although the CPTP criterion is applied, such a (quantum or classical) system will not reach thermal equilibrium due to the Hamiltonian not being bounded from below, as discussed in Sec.II.

For the parabolic case, $\operatorname{det} \mathbf{H}=0$, we find that $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}=$ $2 \mathbf{K}-\frac{i}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{C H}) \mathrm{J}$, and the CPTP condition becomes

$$
\frac{1}{4} \frac{[\hbar \beta \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{C H})]^{2}}{\operatorname{det} \mathbf{C}} \leq 1
$$

the simplest example of such a system is the free particle Hamiltonian.

## C. Application to Caldeira-Leggett Model

Our criterion is still applicable to a GTCL equation which is not cast into the form of Eq. (2). Let us consider the Caldeira-Leggett equation for a quantum Brownian particle in a high-temperature environment [15]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} \hat{\rho} & =\frac{i}{\hbar}\left[\hat{\rho}, \hat{H}_{\mathrm{o}}+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\mathrm{o}}\{\hat{q}, \hat{p}\}\right]-\frac{\zeta}{\hbar^{2} \beta}[\hat{q},[\hat{q}, \hat{\rho}]] \\
& -\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_{\mathrm{o}}[\hat{q}, \hat{\rho} \hat{p}]+\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_{\mathrm{o}}[\hat{p}, \hat{\rho} \hat{q}]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\hat{H}_{\text {o }}$ is a "renormalized" version of the original system Hamiltonian, $\gamma_{0}$ is a relaxation constant and $\zeta$ is a damping coefficient.

This equation is not expressed in the form of our quantum master equation (2), but can be mapped to the form of the GTCL for the following identifications:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}=\frac{2 \zeta}{\hbar \beta}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)-\mathrm{i} \gamma_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{A}}=-\mathrm{i} \gamma_{\mathrm{o}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

note that $\hat{H}_{\text {eff }}=\hat{H}_{\mathrm{o}}+\frac{\gamma_{0}}{2}\{\hat{q}, \hat{p}\}$. One can see that one of the eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}$ takes a negative value,

$$
\psi_{ \pm}\left(\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}\right)=\frac{\zeta}{\hbar \beta} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\zeta^{2}}{\hbar^{2} \beta^{2}}+\gamma_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}} \gtrless 0
$$

showing that the Caldeira-Leggett equation is not a GKSL equation from Eq. (15), as expected [11].

Now we study the conditions for our master equation (2) to be reduced to this Caldeira-Leggett equation. To this end, we need to find matrices $\mathbf{K}$ and $S_{\beta}$ satisfying Eq. (16). From Eq. (11), one obtains the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$ of the Caldeira-Leggett model using the matrices $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{A}}$ above. Thus the quantities satisfying the relation $\boldsymbol{\Xi}=\mathbf{K S}_{\beta}$ are defined if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{p}=\zeta \operatorname{sech} \Theta  \tag{24}\\
& \gamma_{\mathrm{o}}=\zeta H_{22} \Theta^{-1} \tanh \Theta
\end{align*}
$$

together with $H_{12}=0$ and $\gamma_{q}=0$. Since $\gamma_{q}=0$, the Caldeira-Leggett equation is regarded as a special case of our quantum master equation (2), where the interactions with the heat bath do not modify the particle momenta - this is the common perspective in standard Brownian motion [16]. The nullity of $H_{12}$ means that the above identification works, for instance, for the harmonic oscillator and for the free-particle cases, where there are no contributions proportional to $\hat{q} \hat{p}$ or $\hat{p} \hat{q}$. As discussed in Sec.IV A, $\gamma_{p}$ is temperature-dependent.

## D. Application to Ordinary Kramers Equation

The ordinary Kramers equation is obtained from the generalized Kramers equation in Eq. (1) by setting $\gamma_{q_{i}}=$ $0, \forall i$. When Hamiltonians is bounded from below, its evolution by Eq. (1) drives the system towards thermal equilibrium [16]. To show the quantization problem in the ordinary Kramers equation, it is sufficient to consider $n=1$.

Setting $\gamma_{q}=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}=\frac{2 \gamma_{p}}{\hbar \beta} \cosh \Theta\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)-\mathrm{i} \gamma_{p} H_{22} \frac{\sinh \Theta}{2 \Theta} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{A}}=-\mathrm{i} \gamma_{p} \frac{\sinh \Theta}{2 \Theta}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 H_{12} & H_{22} \\
H_{22} & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we can calculate

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}\right)=-\left[2 H_{22} \gamma_{p} \Theta^{-1} \sinh (\Theta)\right]^{2}
$$

Consequently, the criterion (15) is fulfilled only for a Hamiltonian with $H_{22}=0$. This corresponds to the hyperbolic case where $\operatorname{det} \mathbf{H}=-H_{12}^{2}$ and $\Theta=$ $\frac{\hbar \beta}{2} \sqrt{|\operatorname{det} \mathbf{H}|}$. Since the hyperbolic Hamiltonian is unbounded, the system does not relax to equilibrium.

## V. APPLICATION TO NETWORK MODEL FOR $n=2$

Let us now consider two oscillators with equal masses and frequencies, which interact linearly with each other. Each oscillator, indexed by $i$, interacts with a heat bath at temperature $\beta_{i}$. This model, commonly referred to as the network model, has been extensively used to study heat conduction in stochastic and quantum thermodynamics [1, 17-21]. To simplify the notation, we redefine the position and momentum variables in such a way that both of them have the same dimension, $\left[q_{i}\right]=\left[p_{j}\right]$. Then the Hamiltonian operator is defined by

$$
\hat{H}=\frac{\omega}{2}\left(\hat{p}_{1}^{2}+\hat{p}_{2}^{2}+\hat{q}_{1}^{2}+\hat{q}_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{\kappa}{2}\left(\hat{q}_{1}-\hat{q}_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

where $\kappa>0$ characterizes the interaction between the oscillators and the dimensions of the parameters are such that $[\omega]=[\kappa]=\left[\left(\gamma_{p_{i}}\right)^{-1}\right]=\left[\left(\gamma_{q_{j}}\right)^{-1}\right]$.

The matrix $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$ in (16) 'is calculated as
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathrm{S}_{\beta}\right)_{i i} & =\frac{1}{2} \cosh \left(\frac{\hbar \beta \omega}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \cosh \left(\frac{\hbar \beta \vartheta}{2}\right) \\
\left(\mathrm{S}_{\beta}\right)_{12} & =\left(\mathrm{S}_{\beta}\right)_{21}=\frac{1}{2} \cosh \left(\frac{\hbar \beta \omega}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \cosh \left(\frac{\hbar \beta \vartheta}{2}\right), \\
\left(\mathrm{S}_{\beta}\right)_{13} & =\left(\mathrm{S}_{\beta}\right)_{24}=-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \sinh \left(\frac{\hbar \beta \omega}{2}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{i} \omega}{2 \vartheta} \sinh \left(\frac{\hbar \beta \vartheta}{2}\right), \\
\left(\mathrm{S}_{\beta}\right)_{14} & =\left(\mathrm{S}_{\beta}\right)_{23}=-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \sinh \left(\frac{\hbar \beta \omega}{2}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{i} \omega}{2 \vartheta} \sinh \left(\frac{\hbar \beta \vartheta}{2}\right), \\
\left(\mathrm{S}_{\beta}\right)_{34} & =\left(\mathrm{S}_{\beta}\right)_{43}=\frac{1}{2} \cosh \left(\frac{\hbar \beta \omega}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \cosh \left(\frac{\hbar \beta \vartheta}{2}\right) \\
\left(\mathrm{S}_{\beta}\right)_{31} & =\left(\mathrm{S}_{\beta}\right)_{42}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \sinh \left(\frac{\hbar \beta \omega}{2}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{i} \vartheta}{2 \omega} \sinh \left(\frac{\hbar \beta \vartheta}{2}\right) \\
\left(\mathrm{S}_{\beta}\right)_{32} & =\left(\mathrm{S}_{\beta}\right)_{41}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \sinh \left(\frac{\hbar \beta \omega}{2}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{i} \vartheta}{2 \omega} \sinh \left(\frac{\hbar \beta \vartheta}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\vartheta:=\sqrt{\omega(\omega+2 \kappa)}$.
The eigenvalues of $\Xi_{\mathrm{H}}$ are numerically determined and their behaviors are shown in Fig. 1. In the top panels, all eigenvalues in the shaded regions of the $\beta_{1} \times \beta_{2}$ plane are non-negative, showing that the time evolution of the quantum master equation (2) is a CPTP map for the corresponding system parameters, according to the criterion (15). In the bottom panels, all four eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}$ are shown as functions of $\beta_{1}$ for $\beta_{2}=\beta_{1}$. These results suggest that it is possible to find appropriate parameters for which the quantum master equation (2) conforms to a CPTP map for any temperature difference.

Before concluding the discussion in this section, let us examine the classical limit of the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$,

$$
\boldsymbol{\Xi} \approx\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\gamma_{p_{1}}}{\hbar \beta_{1}} & 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \gamma_{p_{1}} \omega & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\gamma_{p_{2}}}{\hbar \beta_{2}} & 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \gamma_{p_{2}} \omega \\
\frac{i}{2} \gamma_{q_{1}}(\kappa+\omega) & -\frac{i}{2} \gamma_{q_{1}} \kappa & \frac{\gamma_{q_{1}}}{\hbar \beta_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{i}{2} \gamma_{q_{2}} \kappa & \frac{i}{2} \gamma_{q_{2}}(\kappa+\omega) & 0 & \frac{\gamma_{q_{2}}}{\hbar \beta_{2}}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

It is then easy to see that the criterion is satisfied, $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}=$ $\mathbf{K}>0$, which is the same behavior in the classical limit found in Sec. IV.


Figure 1. CPTP Condition for the Network of Oscillators. In the top panels, all eigenvalues in the shaded regions of the $\beta_{1} \times \beta_{2}$ plane are non-negative. In the bottom panels, all four eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{H}}$ are shown as functions of $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}=\beta_{1}$. In all figures, $\gamma_{q_{1}}=\gamma_{q_{2}}, \gamma_{p_{1}}=\gamma_{p_{2}}$, and $\kappa / \omega=1$. For the remaining parameters, (a) $\gamma_{q_{2}}=\gamma_{p_{2}}=1 /(4 \omega)$; (b) $\gamma_{q_{2}}=$ $1 /(4 \omega)$ and $\gamma_{p_{2}}=1 /(2 \omega)$; (c) $\gamma_{q_{2}}=1 /(4 \omega)$ and $\gamma_{p_{2}}=3 /(4 \omega)$; (d) $\gamma_{q_{2}}=\gamma_{p_{2}}=1 / \omega$.

## VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, assuming that quantum master equations are derived through the canonical quantization of generalized Brownian motions [7], we established a criterion to ensure the complete positivity of the master equation. The scope of this paper is confined to general quadratic Hamiltonians, where the master equation retains a quadratic form. We demonstrated that our master equation can be transformed into the general form defined by Eq. (10) by appropriately selecting the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$. We then explored the criterion for this general form to be represented by a CPTP map, as given by Eq. (15).

The criterion in (15) is developed from the GKSL "theorem" $[12,13]$, thus it is state-independent, relying solely on the system Hamiltonian and interaction parameters. When applied to the entire set of one-particle quadratic Hamiltonians, we determine explicitly the parameters satisfying the requirements for a CPTP evolution. Alternatively, considering a different perspective, bosonic Gaussian channels represent CPTP operations defined over the set of bosonic Gaussian states. A mapping between two Gaussian states will be a Gaussian channel if allowed by the Heisenberg uncertainty principle [22], in this context, a CPTP criterion. Actually, the evolution governed by a master equation in (10) supplied by the CPTP condition (15) generates a one-parameter Gaussian channel [23]. Consequently, condition in (15) is equivalent the Heisenberg uncertainty principle as mentioned, however in our case, without knowledge about the state of the environment.

Noteworthy, while this outcome aligns with that derived in Ref. [7] in the application to non-interacting harmonic oscillators, the methodology presented here is systematic and significantly simpler than the approach employed in the referenced work. Currently, our focus
lies predominantly on the application to the network model, where the system is represented as an ensemble of harmonic oscillators interacting linearly with each other. In this case, we need numerical calculations to find the parameters to satisfy the CPTP criterion and the result is summarized in Fig. 1, where the domain of the parameters satisfying the requirement of a CPTP map is highlighted. From the figure, we can find appropriate parameters for which the quantum master equation (2) conforms to a CPTP map. Exactly speaking, however, the existence of a parameter set to ensure that our evolution forms a CPTP map for any combination of two temperatures, $\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)$, has not yet been demonstrated. This is left as a future task.

The results of this paper suggest that it is possible to formulate a unified framework for stochastic thermodynamics and quantum thermodynamics. This finding will be useful in studying the emergence of coarse-grained dynamics from microscopic mechanics. For example, considering the system Hamiltonian given by the network model, our quantum master equation provides a promising model for describing thermal relaxation processes in heat conduction, a subject under intense debate. Two distinct types of master equations, global and local, have been used to study heat conduction. The debate over which approach holds more promise remains unresolved [18-21]. Our equation belongs to the global type, and it is interesting to study how Fourier law of heat conduction, observed in stochastic thermodynamics [1], is affected by quantum effects.

Our theory is currently applied only to particle systems, but it should be applicable to quantum fields, as well. In quantum field theory, it is relatively easy to handle processes where the number of particles changes [24], making such an extension important for studying the effects of chemical potential in quantum thermodynamics. These studies are future challenges.
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## Appendix A: Quadratic Hamiltonians

Let us consider the evolution described by the unitary operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}_{t}=\hat{T}_{\xi} \hat{M}_{\mathrm{S}_{t}} \hat{T}_{\xi}^{\dagger} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{i t}{\hbar} \varphi}, \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Weyl operator, a displacement operator in quantum optics [14], and the metaplectic operator are, respectively, defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{T}_{\xi} & :=\exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{x} \cdot J \xi\right]  \tag{A2}\\
\hat{M}_{\mathrm{S}_{t}} & :=\exp \left[-\frac{i t}{2 \hbar} \hat{x} \cdot \mathbf{H} \hat{x}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Their actions in the operator $\hat{x}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{T}_{\xi}^{\dagger} \hat{x} \hat{T}_{\xi} & =\hat{x}+\xi, \\
\hat{M}_{\mathrm{S}_{t}}^{\dagger} \hat{x} \hat{M}_{\mathrm{S}_{t}} & =\mathrm{S}_{t} \hat{x},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ and the symplectic matrix $\mathrm{S}_{t}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{t}:=\exp [\mathrm{JH} t], \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [26-28], for instance. From these covariance rules, we obtain the Heisenberg evolution of the operator $\hat{x}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}_{t}^{\dagger} \hat{x} \hat{U}_{t}=\mathrm{S}_{t}(\hat{x}-\xi)+\xi . \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The unitary operator in Eq. (A1) is a member of the inhomogeneous metaplectic group [26,27] , a unitary subgroup which generates quantum quadratic Hamiltonians:

$$
\hat{H}:=\mathrm{i} \hbar \hat{U}_{t}^{-1} \frac{d \hat{U}_{t}}{d t}=\frac{1}{2}(\hat{x}-\xi) \cdot \mathbf{H}(\hat{x}-\xi)+\varphi \hat{1},
$$

which is the symmetric quantization of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (5) and is the quantum operator in Eq. (9).

Performing a Wick rotation $t \longmapsto-\mathrm{i} \hbar \beta_{k} / 2$ with $\beta_{k} \in$ $\mathbb{R}$ in the unitary evolution (A1), one obtains $\hat{U}_{t} \longmapsto$ $\exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \beta_{k} \hat{H}\right]$. Consequently, from (A4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}_{t}^{\dagger} \hat{x} \hat{U}_{t} \longmapsto \hat{y}:=\mathrm{S}_{\beta_{k}}(\hat{x}-\xi)+\xi, \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, from Eq. (A3),

$$
\mathrm{S}_{t} \longmapsto \mathrm{~S}_{\beta_{k}}:=\exp \left[-\mathrm{i} \hbar \beta_{k} \mathrm{JH} / 2\right],
$$

which is a complex symplectic matrix belonging to the Wick rotated symplectic group [28], i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{S}_{\beta_{k}}^{\top} \mathrm{J} \mathrm{~S}_{\beta_{k}}=\mathrm{J}, \\
& \mathrm{~S}_{\beta_{k}}^{-1}=\mathrm{S}_{-\beta_{k}}=\mathrm{S}_{\beta_{k}}^{*} . \tag{A6}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\hat{y}^{\dagger}=\mathrm{S}_{\beta_{k}}^{*}(\hat{x}-\xi)+\xi$, for $\hat{y}$ in Eq. (A5).

## Appendix B: Derivation of Eq.(16)

Using the notation in Eq. (8), the dissipative part of Eq. (2) may be reorganized as

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Q}}^{(k)}[\hat{\rho}]= & -\frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 n} \mathbf{K}_{i j}\left(\hat{\rho} \hat{y}_{i} \hat{x}_{j}+\hat{x}_{i} \hat{y}_{j}^{\dagger} \hat{\rho}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 n} \mathbf{K}_{i j}\left(\hat{x}_{i} \hat{\rho} \hat{y}_{j}+\hat{y}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{\rho} \hat{x}_{j}\right) \tag{B1}
\end{align*}
$$

for a generic Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$. In above equation, $\mathbf{K}$ is defined in Eq. (17) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{y}_{i}:=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2} \beta_{i} \hat{H}} \hat{x}_{i} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{i} \hat{H}} \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, 2 n$ and with $\beta_{j+n}=\beta_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$. Note that $\mathbf{K}=\hbar^{-1} J \mathbf{D} J^{\top}$ for $\mathbf{D}$ in Eq. (7) and $J$ in Eq. (4).

For the quadratic Hamiltonian (9), the operator vector in Eq.(B2) is given in Eq. (A5) and

$$
\hat{y}_{i}^{\dagger}=\mathrm{e}^{-\beta_{i} \hat{H} / 2} \hat{x}_{i} \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i} \hat{H} / 2}=\mathrm{S}_{\beta_{i}}^{*}(\hat{x}-\xi)+\xi
$$

Inserting these in Eq. (B1), we found a QTCL master equation (10), where the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$ is given by Eq. (16) and $\eta=\xi$.

## Appendix C: Wigner-Wyel Calculus

The action of the parity operator $\hat{R}_{0}=\hat{R}_{0}^{\dagger}$ on the column vector (8), $\hat{R}_{0} \hat{x} \hat{R}_{0}=-\hat{x}$, is a reflection over the origin [29]. Using the Weyl operator in Eq. (A2), we define the displaced parity operator, or the reflection operator $[30,31]$, as $\hat{R}_{x}:=\hat{T}_{x} \hat{R}_{0} \hat{T}_{x}^{\dagger}$. This operator is Hermitian ( $\hat{R}_{x}=\hat{R}_{x}^{\dagger}$ ) and unitary, making it an involutory operator ( $\hat{R}_{x}^{2}=\hat{1}$ ), satisfying

$$
\hat{R}_{x} \hat{x} \hat{R}_{x}^{\dagger}=-\hat{x}+2 x
$$

An arbitrary operator $\hat{O}$ acting on the Hilbert space of the continuous-variable system of $n$ degrees of freedom can be uniquely expanded as a linear combination of the reflection operators [30]:

$$
\hat{O}=\int \frac{d^{2 n} x}{(\pi \hbar)^{n}} O(x) \hat{R}_{x}
$$

Mathematically speaking, the set $\left\{\hat{R}_{x} \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right\}$ is a basis in the vector space of the operators. The coefficient $O(x)$ of the expansion is the Wigner symbol of the operator $\hat{O}$ and given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
O(x)=2^{n} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{O} \hat{R}_{x}\right) \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

by virtue of the following property [30]:

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{R}_{x} \hat{R}_{x^{\prime}}\right)=(\pi \hbar)^{n} \delta\left(x^{\prime}-x\right)
$$

The trace in Eq. (C1) on a coordinate basis gives us the Wigner symbols [30, 31]:

$$
O(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} d^{n} q^{\prime}\left\langle q+\frac{1}{2} q^{\prime}\right| \hat{O}\left|q-\frac{1}{2} q^{\prime}\right\rangle \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot q^{\prime}}
$$

The Wigner function $W(x)$ of a system is (a normalized version of) the Wigner symbol of the corresponding density operator $\hat{\rho}[30,31]$,

$$
W(x):=\frac{1}{(\pi \hbar)^{n}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{\rho} \hat{R}_{x}\right]
$$

The Wigner representation is a suitable platform to perform semiclassical approximations derived in Ref. [30] by composing and expanding the symbols (C1). The Wigner symbol for the product of operators, say $\hat{O}_{12}=\hat{O}_{1} \hat{O}_{2}$, is obtained by the Groenewold rule [30]:

$$
O_{12}(x)=\left.\left.\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i} \hbar}{2} \partial_{x^{\prime}} \cdot J \partial_{x^{\prime \prime}}} O_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{x^{\prime}=x} O_{2}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|_{x^{\prime \prime}=x}
$$

Note that, for quadratic polynomials in $x$, which is the case of a quadratic Hamiltonian in Eq. (5) took as the Wigner symbol of Eq. (9), the expansion of the above exponential ends in second order [30].
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