

FINITE MONODROMY OF SOME TWO-PARAMETER FAMILIES OF EXPONENTIAL SUMS

FRANCISCO GARCÍA-CORTÉS AND ANTONIO ROJAS-LEÓN

ABSTRACT. We determine the set of polynomials $f(x) \in k[x]$, where k is a finite field, such that the local system on \mathbb{G}_m^2 which parametrizes the family of exponential sums $(s, t) \mapsto \sum_{x \in k} \psi(sf(x) + tx)$ has finite monodromy, in two cases: when $f(x) = x^d + \lambda x^e$ is a binomial and when $f(x) = (x - \alpha)^d(x - \beta)^e$ is of Belyi type.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a finite field of characteristic $p > 0$ and cardinality $q = p^a$. We fix a prime $\ell \neq p$ and, given an algebraic variety X defined over k , we will work in the category $\mathcal{S}h(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ of constructible ℓ -adic sheaves on X . Fix an algebraic closure \bar{k} of k and denote by k_r the degree r extension of k in \bar{k} . Let $\psi : k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the natural additive character $\psi(t) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \text{Tr}_{k/\mathbb{F}_p} t}{p}\right)$ and, for every $r \geq 1$, denote by ψ_r its pull-back to k_r obtained by composing ψ with the trace map $k_r \rightarrow k$.

Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i \in k[x]$ be a polynomial such that there is some non-zero i which is not a power of p with $a_i \neq 0$. Then there exists a lisse sheaf \mathcal{F}_f on $U_f \times \mathbb{A}_{\bar{k}}^1$, pure of weight 0, (where U_f is a certain dense open subset of $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$) whose Frobenius trace function at a rational point $(s, t) \in U_f(k_r) \times k_r$ is given by

$$F(k_r; s, t) = -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sf(x) + tx).$$

Studying the monodromy group of these sheaves is interesting for its applications to coding theory and also as they give interesting examples of lisse sheaves whose monodromy group is a sporadic simple finite group [KR19]. In [Roj19] it was shown that, if $f(x)$ is a monomial, then the monodromy is either finite, the full symplectic group Sp_{d-1} (for d odd) or the special linear group SL_{d-1} (for d even) (more generally, see [KT24, Theorem 10.2.4]). In characteristic 2, the latter implies that the function $f(x)$ is not APN-exceptional [AMR10]. Determining the non-finiteness of the monodromy for more general $f(x)$ can then provide additional results in this direction.

The question of which monomials $f(x) = x^d$ produce sheaves with finite monodromy has been recently fully solved by N. Katz and P.H. Tiep in [KT24, Theorem 10.2.6]:

Theorem 1 (Katz, Tiep). *Let $f(x) = x^d$, and $d_{\hat{p}}$ the prime-to- p part of d . Then the sheaf \mathcal{F}_{x^d} has finite (geometric or arithmetic) monodromy group on $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^2$ if and only if*

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11L05, 11T23

The first author is partially supported by grants PID2020-117843GB-I00 and PID2020-114613GB-I00 funded by MICIU/AEI/10.13039/501100011033

The second author is partially supported by grant PID2020-114613GB-I00 funded by MICIU/AEI/10.13039/501100011033

- (1) $d_{\hat{p}} = p^a + 1$ for some $a \geq 0$ ($a > 0$ if $p = 2$).
- (2) $p > 2$ and $d_{\hat{p}} = \frac{p^a + 1}{2}$ for some $a > 0$.
- (3) $d_{\hat{p}} = \frac{p^{ab} + 1}{p^a + 1}$ for some $a, b > 0$ with b odd (including $d_{\hat{p}} = 1$).
- (4) $p = 5$ and $d_{\hat{p}} = 7$.

Katz and Tiep's theorem deals with the d prime to p case, from which one can easily deduce the general case using the fact that for any $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ the sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(f)}$, pull-back by f of the Artin-Schreier sheaf \mathcal{L}_{ψ} on \mathbb{A}^1 , is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(f^p)}$.

We will say that a positive integer d is an *FM-exponent* for the prime p if the local system \mathcal{F}_{x^d} has finite monodromy, that is, if it falls in one of the cases of the previous theorem. Note that, by [KRT20, Lemma 2.5, 2.6], this is equivalent to the one-parameter family with trace function

$$(k_r; t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(x^d + tx).$$

having finite monodromy. The equivalence of the arithmetic and geometric monodromy groups being finite follows from [KRT20, Proposition 2.1].

In this article we study two new families of polynomials for which we can find numerical criteria for finiteness of the monodromy, based on Kubert's V function, and determine for which of such f the local system \mathcal{F}_f has finite monodromy. Recall that V (which we will denote by V_p to make it clear that it depends on p) is a function with rational values in $[0, 1)$ defined in $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_{\text{not } p} := \{\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \mid p \text{ does not divide } b\}$ as

$$V_p\left(\frac{a}{p^r - 1}\right) = \frac{1}{r(p-1)} \cdot (\text{sum of the } p\text{-adic digits of } a)$$

if $0 \leq a \leq p^r - 2$. This is well defined, since every class in $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_{\text{not } p}$ has a representative in such form, and the computed value of V_p does not depend on the chosen representative.

The Stickelberger theorem can be stated in terms of the V function: if $\epsilon : k_r^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is the Teichmüller character (which generates the cyclic group of multiplicative characters of k_r^\times) then the q^r -adic valuation of the Gauss sum

$$G(\epsilon^a) := -\sum_{t \in k_r} \epsilon^a(t) \psi_r(t)$$

for $0 \leq a \leq q^r - 2$ is given by $V_p\left(\frac{a}{q^r - 1}\right)$. See [Kat07, Section 13] for details.

We first treat the case where $f(x) = x^d + \lambda x^e$ is a binomial, with $d > e > 1$. In this case, we will see that the monodromy of \mathcal{F}_f is finite if and only if the monodromy of the sheaf on \mathbb{A}_k^2 whose Frobenius trace function is the two-parameter function

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(x^d + sx^e + tx)$$

is finite. This is one particular case of the multi-parameter families studied in [KRT20; KT24], for which there are numerical criteria available. In [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3], Katz and Tiep have classified all such multi-parameter families with finite monodromy, which therefore solves the problem in this case.

Next, we will consider the case of polynomials of Belyi type, that is, of the form $f(x) = (x - \alpha)^d (x - \beta)^e$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$, giving a numerical criterion for the finiteness of the monodromy of the corresponding local system (which does not actually depend on α and β). We then use this criterion, combined with the results in [KT24], to determine the complete set of pairs of exponents (d, e) for which the corresponding local system has finite monodromy.

2. TWO-PARAMETER FAMILIES OF EXPONENTIAL SUMS

In this section we will collect some basic facts about the sheaves we are interested in. We fix a polynomial $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i$, for which we will assume the following condition holds:

(*) *There exists some non-zero i which is not a power of p such that $a_i \neq 0$.*

Recall that two polynomials in $k[x]$ are said to be *Artin-Schreier equivalent* if their difference is of the form $h^p - h$ for some $h \in k[x]$. Since the polynomials of this form a subgroup of $k[x]$, this defines an equivalence relation on $k[x]$. We will say that $g(x) = \sum b_i x^i \in k[x]$ is an *Artin-Schreier reduced form* of f if it is Artin-Schreier equivalent to f and $b_i = 0$ for all $i > 0$ divisible by p . It is clear that every f has an Artin-Schreier reduced form, which is unique modulo addition of a constant term.

For a positive integer n , let $n_{\hat{p}}$ denote its prime-to- p part.

Lemma 1. *There exists a dense open set $U_f \subseteq \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ such that the degree of the Artin-Schreier reduced form of $sf(x)$ is constant for $s \in U_f$, and equal to the maximum $e(f)$ of the $i_{\hat{p}}$ for the $i = 1, \dots, d$ such that $a_i \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let I be the set of $i_{\hat{p}}$ for the $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that $a_i \neq 0$, then $sf(x) = sa_0 + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \geq 0} sa_{ip^j} x^{ip^j}$ is Artin-Schreier equivalent to $g_s(x) = sa_0 + \sum_{i \in I} (\sum_{j \geq 0} s^{p^{-j}} a_{ip^j}^{p^{-j}}) x^i$, which is in Artin-Schreier reduced form. The degree of $g_s(x)$ is the maximum of the $i \in I$ such that $\sum_{j \geq 0} s^{p^{-j}} a_{ip^j}^{p^{-j}} \neq 0$, so if e is the maximum element of I we can take U_f to be the Zariski open set of $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ defined by $\sum_{j \geq 0} s^{p^{-j}} a_{ep^j}^{p^{-j}} \neq 0$. \square

Note that, if the degree of f is prime to p , we can take U_f to be the entire $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$.

Consider the lisse, rank 1 sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(sf(x)+tx)}$ on $\mathbb{G}_{m,k} \times \mathbb{A}_k^1 \times \mathbb{A}_k^1$, pull-back by the map $(s, t, x) \mapsto sf(x) + tx$ of the Artin-Schreier sheaf \mathcal{L}_{ψ} on \mathbb{A}_k^1 , and let $\pi_{12} : \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \times \mathbb{A}_k^1 \times \mathbb{A}_k^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \times \mathbb{A}_k^1$ be the projection onto the first two factors. The object $K_f := R\pi_{12!}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(sf(x)+tx)})$ on $\mathbb{G}_{m,k} \times \mathbb{A}_k^1$ has Frobenius trace function

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sf(x) + tx)$$

Proposition 1. *The restriction of K_f to the open set $U_f \times \mathbb{A}_k^1$ is of the form $\mathcal{G}_f[-1]$, where \mathcal{G}_f is a geometrically irreducible lisse sheaf of rank $e(f) - 1$, pure of weight 1.*

Proof. The stalk of K_f at a geometric point (s, t) of U_f is $R\Gamma_c(\mathbb{A}_k^1, \mathcal{L}_{\psi(sf(x)+tx)})$. Since $sf(x)$ is Artin-Schreier equivalent to $g_s(x)$, $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(sf(x)+tx)} \cong \mathcal{L}_{\psi(g_s(x)+tx)}$, and $R\Gamma_c(\mathbb{A}_k^1, \mathcal{L}_{\psi(g_s(x)+tx)})$ is known to be concentrated on degree 1, of dimension $e(f) - 1$ and pure of weight 1, since $e(f)$ is prime to p (see eg. [Del77, p. 3.5]).

Since π_{12} is affine, the sheaf $\mathcal{G}_f := \mathcal{H}^1(K_f) = R^1\pi_{12!}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(sf(x)+tx)})$ is of perverse origin by [Kat03, Corollary 6]. And then by [Kat03, Proposition 12], it is lisse on the open set $U_f \times \mathbb{A}_k^1$, where the dimension of its stalks is constant.

In order to show that \mathcal{G}_f is geometrically irreducible, pick some $s_0 \in U_f(k)$ (passing to a finite extension of k if necessary), then it suffices to show that the restriction of \mathcal{G}_f to the line $\{s_0\} \times \mathbb{A}_k^1$ is geometrically irreducible. But this is the (naive) Fourier transform of the rank 1 lisse sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(s_0 f)} \cong \mathcal{L}_{\psi(g_{s_0})}$ on \mathbb{A}_k^1 , so it is geometrically irreducible by [Kat90, Theorem 7.3.8]. \square

If the polynomial $f(x)$ does not satisfy condition (*) (that is, if $f(x) = a_0 + \sum_{i=0}^r a_{p^i} x^{p^i}$) then $sf(x)$ is Artin-Schreier equivalent to $sa_0 + (\sum_{i=0}^r (sa_{p^i})^{p^{-i}}) x$, and the corresponding exponential sum is zero on the Zariski open set defined by $t + \sum_{i=0}^r (sa_{p^i})^{p^{-i}} \neq 0$, so we take $\mathcal{G}_f = 0$.

Pick a square root of q , then $\mathcal{F}_f := \mathcal{G}_f(1/2) = (1/\sqrt{q})^{deg} \otimes \mathcal{G}_f$ is pure of weight 0, and has Frobenius trace function

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sf(x) + tx).$$

The following result is simply [KT24, Theorem 10.2.6] when d is prime to p , we generalize it here to f of arbitrary degree.

Proposition 2. *The lisse sheaf \mathcal{F}_f on $U_f \times \mathbb{A}^1$ has finite (arithmetic or geometric) monodromy group if and only if*

$$S(k_r; s, t) := \frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sf(x) + tx)$$

is an algebraic integer for every $r \geq 1$ and $(s, t) \in k_r^\times \times k_r^\times$.

Proof. Since the inclusion $U_f \times \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow U_f \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is surjective on the fundamental groups, \mathcal{F}_f has finite monodromy if and only if its restriction to $U_f \times \mathbb{G}_m$ does. By [KRT20, Proposition 2.1], \mathcal{F}_f has finite monodromy on $U_f \times \mathbb{G}_m$ if and only if $S(k_r; s, t)$ is an algebraic integer for every $r \geq 1$ and every $(s, t) \in U_f(k_r) \times k_r^\times$ which, in particular, is true if it is an algebraic integer for every $r \geq 1$ and $(s, t) \in k_r^\times \times k_r^\times$.

Suppose now that \mathcal{F}_f has finite monodromy. We need to show that $S(k_r; s, t)$ is an algebraic integer if $(s, t) \in Z_f(k_r) \times k_r^\times$, where Z_f is the complement of U_f . Let $s \in Z_f(k_r)$, and $g_s(x)$ an Artin-Schreier reduced form of $sf(x)$. If $g_s(x) + tx$ is constant, then $S(k_r; s, t) = q^{r/2}$ times a root of unity, which is an algebraic integer. If $g_s(x) + tx$ is linear non-constant, then $S(k_r; s, t) = 0$. Assume $g_s(x)$ has degree $c \geq 2$, then \mathcal{F}_f restricted to $\{s\} \times \mathbb{G}_m$ is of perverse origin and constant rank $c - 1$, so it is lisse by [Kat03, Proposition 12] and has finite monodromy by [Kat03, Corollary 10]. Its trace function at (s, t) is $-S(k_r; s, t)$, so by [KRT20, Proposition 2.1] we conclude that $S(k_r; s, t)$ is an algebraic integer. \square

We now prove a general fact about two-parameter families with finite monodromy which will be useful later.

Proposition 3. *Let $f(x) = x^d + a_{d-1}x^{d-1} + \dots + a_e x^e \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ be a monic polynomial of degree d with $a_e \neq 0$ and no constant term (that is, $e > 0$) such that \mathcal{F}_f has finite monodromy. Then d and e are FM-exponents.*

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{F}_f has finite monodromy. Then so does its pull-back by the map $(s, t) \mapsto (s^d, t)$, which has trace function

$$\begin{aligned} (k_r; s, t) &\mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(s^d f(x) + tx) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r((sx)^d + a_{d-1}(sx)^{d-1} + \dots + a_e s^{d-e}(sx)^e + (t/s)(sx)) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(x^d + a_{d-1}sx^{d-1} + \dots + a_e s^{d-e}x^e + (t/s)x) \end{aligned}$$

which, after applying the automorphism $(s, t) \mapsto (s, t/s)$ becomes the sheaf with trace function

$$-\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(x^d + a_{d-1}sx^{d-1} + \dots + a_e s^{d-e}x^e + tx).$$

This is the restriction to $U_f \times \mathbb{A}^1$ of the sheaf $R^1\pi_!\mathcal{G}$ on \mathbb{A}^2 , where $\pi : \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ is the projection $(x, s, t) \mapsto (s, t)$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{L}_{\psi(x^d + a_{d-1}sx^{d-1} + \dots + a_e s^{d-e}x^e + tx)}$. Since π is affine and \mathcal{G} is lisse (so $\mathcal{G}[3]$ is perverse), this sheaf is of perverse origin [Kat03,

Corollary 6]. Therefore, its restriction to the line $s = 0$ must have finite monodromy too by [Kat03, Corollary 10], so d is a FM-exponent.

If $e = 1$ there is nothing to prove, so assume $e > 1$. As above, the pull-back of \mathcal{F}_f by the map $(s, t) \mapsto (a_e^{-1}s^{-e}, t)$ also has finite monodromy, and has trace function

$$\begin{aligned} (k_r; s, t) &\mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(a_e^{-1}s^{-e}f(x) + tx) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r\left(\frac{1}{a_e}s^{d-e}(x/s)^d + \frac{a_{d-1}}{a_e}s^{d-e-1}(x/s)^{d-1} + \cdots + (x/s)^e + st(x/s)\right) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r\left(\frac{1}{a_e}s^{d-e}x^d + \frac{a_{d-1}}{a_e}s^{d-e-1}x^{d-1} + \cdots + x^e + stx\right) \end{aligned}$$

which, after applying the automorphism $(s, t) \mapsto (s, st)$ becomes the sheaf with trace function

$$-\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r\left(\frac{1}{a_e}s^{d-e}x^d + \frac{a_{d-1}}{a_e}s^{d-e-1}x^{d-1} + \cdots + x^e + tx\right).$$

This is the restriction to $U_f \times \mathbb{A}^1$ of the sheaf $R^1\pi_!\mathcal{G}$ on \mathbb{A}^2 , where $\pi : \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ is the projection $(x, s, t) \mapsto (s, t)$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{L}_{\psi(\frac{1}{a_e}s^{d-e}x^d + \frac{a_{d-1}}{a_e}s^{d-e-1}x^{d-1} + \cdots + x^e + tx)}$. Since π is affine and \mathcal{G} is lisse (so $\mathcal{G}[3]$ is perverse), this sheaf is of perverse origin [Kat03, Corollary 6]. Therefore, its restriction to the line $s = 0$ must have finite monodromy too by [Kat03, Corollary 10], so e is a FM-exponent. \square

3. CRITERION FOR FINITE MONODROMY WHEN f IS A BINOMIAL

In this section we consider the case where $f(x) = x^d + \lambda x^e$ with $d > e > 1$ and $\lambda \in k^\times$.

Theorem 2. *Let $f(x) = x^d + \lambda x^e \in k[x]$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ and $d > e > 1$. Then the sheaf \mathcal{F}_f on $U_f \times \mathbb{A}_k^1$ has finite monodromy if and only if the sheaf $\mathcal{G}_{d,e}$ on $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^3$ whose trace function is*

$$(k_r; u, s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(ux^d + sx^e + tx)$$

does.

Proof. \mathcal{F}_f has finite monodromy if and only if its pull-back by the finite map $(s, t) \mapsto (s^d, t)$ does, which has trace function

$$\begin{aligned} (k_r; s, t) &\mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(s^d x^d + s^d \lambda x^e + tx) = -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r((sx)^d + s^{d-e} \lambda (sx)^e + tx) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r((ux)^d + s^{d-e} \lambda (ux)^e + tx) = -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(u^d x^d + s^{d-e} u^e \lambda x^e + tx). \end{aligned}$$

This, in turn, is the trace function of the pull-back of $\mathcal{G}_{d,e}$ under the finite map $(u, s, t) \mapsto (u^d, s^{d-e} u^e \lambda, t)$, so it has finite monodromy if and only if $\mathcal{G}_{d,e}$ does. \square

By [KRT20, Theorem 2.8], we get the following numerical criterion for finite monodromy of \mathcal{F}_f (which is also valid when d or e is a multiple of p):

Corollary 1. *The sheaf \mathcal{F}_f has finite monodromy if and only if*

$$V(x) + V(y) + V(-dx - ey) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

for every $x, y \in (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_{\text{prime to } p}$, not both zero.

The problem of determining finite monodromy of $\mathcal{G}_{d,e}$ has been completely solved by Katz and Tiep in [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3], and we have:

Theorem 3. *Let $f(x) = x^d + \lambda x^e$ with $d > e > 1$. Then the sheaf \mathcal{F}_f has finite monodromy if and only if*

- (1) $d_{\hat{p}} = e_{\hat{p}} = 1$
- (2) $d_{\hat{p}} = 1$ and e is an FM-exponent.
- (3) $e_{\hat{p}} = 1$ and d is an FM-exponent.
- (4) $d_{\hat{p}} = p^a + 1$ and $e_{\hat{p}} = p^b + 1$ for some $a, b \geq 0$ (> 0 if $p = 2$).
- (5) $d_{\hat{p}} = \frac{p^{ab}+1}{p^a+1}$ and $e_{\hat{p}} = \frac{p^{ac}+1}{p^a+1}$ for some $a > 0$ and odd $b, c > 1$.
- (6) $p > 2$, $d_{\hat{p}} = \frac{p^a+1}{2}$ and $e_{\hat{p}} = \frac{p^b+1}{2}$ for some $a, b \geq 0$.
- (7) $p = 2$, $\{d_{\hat{p}}, e_{\hat{p}}\} = \{13, 3\}$.
- (8) $p = 3$, $\{d_{\hat{p}}, e_{\hat{p}}\} = \{7, 4\}, \{7, 2\}, \{5, 4\}$ or $\{5, 2\}$.
- (9) $p = 5$, $\{d_{\hat{p}}, e_{\hat{p}}\} = \{3, 2\}$ or $\{7\}$.

Proof. If $d_{\hat{p}} = e_{\hat{p}} = 1$ then both d and e are powers of p , so $\mathcal{F}_f = 0$ trivially has finite monodromy. If $d_{\hat{p}} \neq 1$ but $e_{\hat{p}} = 1$, so $d = p^\alpha d_{\hat{p}}$ and $e = p^\beta$, then $sf(x) + tx$ is Artin-Schreier equivalent to $s^{p^{-\alpha}} x^{d_{\hat{p}}} + (t + (s\lambda)^{p^{-\beta}})x$, so our object is the pull-back by the map $(s, t) \mapsto (s^{p^{-\alpha}}, t + (s\lambda)^{p^{-\beta}})$ of sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{x^{d_{\hat{p}}}}$, and we conclude by Katz and Tiep's Theorem 1. A similar argument solves the case $d_{\hat{p}} = 1, e_{\hat{p}} \neq 1$.

Assume both $d_{\hat{p}}, e_{\hat{p}}$ are $\neq 1$. By Theorem 2, \mathcal{F}_f has finite monodromy if and only if the local system on \mathbb{G}_m^3 whose trace function is

$$(k_r; u, s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(ux^d + sx^e + tx)$$

does. Since $ux^d + sx^e + tx$ is Artin-Schreier equivalent to $u^{p^{-\alpha}} x^{d_{\hat{p}}} + s^{p^{-\beta}} x^{e_{\hat{p}}} + tx$, this is the push-forward under the map $(u, s, t) \mapsto (u^{p^{-\alpha}}, s^{p^{-\beta}}, t)$ of the local system with trace function

$$(k_r; u, s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(ux^{d_{\hat{p}}} + sx^{e_{\hat{p}}} + tx)$$

where $d_{\hat{p}}, e_{\hat{p}} > 1$ are prime to p . If $d_{\hat{p}} = e_{\hat{p}}$, we conclude by Katz and Tiep's Theorem 1. Otherwise, we conclude by Katz and Tiep's Theorem [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3]. \square

4. CRITERION FOR FINITE MONODROMY WHEN f IS OF BELYI TYPE

In this section we consider the case where $f(x)$ is of the form $(x - \alpha)^d(x - \beta)^e$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $d, e \geq 1$, at least one of which is prime to p (otherwise, we could replace f by $f^{1/p}$). In fact, we will see that the finiteness of the monodromy group depends only on d and e , not on α or β .

Theorem 4. *Let $f(x) = (x - \alpha)^d(x - \beta)^e \in k[x]$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $d, e \geq 1$. Then the two-parameter local system \mathcal{F}_f on $U_f \times \mathbb{A}_k^1$ has finite monodromy if and only if*

$$V(x) + V(y) + V(y - (d + e)x) + V(ex - y) + V(-ex) \geq \frac{3}{2}$$

for every $x, y \in (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_{\text{prime to } p}$ with $x, y \neq 0$, and

$$V(x) + V(-(d + e)x) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

for every $x \in (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_{\text{prime to } p}$ with $x \neq 0$.

Proof. We argue as in [KRT20, Theorem 2.7]. By proposition 2, \mathcal{F}_f has finite monodromy if and only if $\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sf(x) + tx)$ is an algebraic integer for every $r \geq 1$ and $s, t \in k_r^\times$ or, equivalently, if and only if the q^r -adic valuation of $\sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sf(x) + tx)$ is $\geq 1/2$ for every $r \geq 1$ and $s, t \in k_r^\times$. Since $\sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sf(x + \alpha) + tx) = \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sf(x) + tx - t\alpha) = \psi_r(-t\alpha) \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sf(x) + tx)$ and $\psi_r(-t\alpha)$ is a root of unity we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\alpha = 0$. Moreover, since $\sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sf(x) + tx) = \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sf(\beta x) + t\beta x)$, the local system associated to the polynomial $f(x)$ is the pull-back via the isomorphism $(s, t) \mapsto (\beta^{d+e}s, \beta t)$ of the local system associated to the polynomial $\beta^{-d-e}f(\beta x)$, so we may assume also that $\beta = 1$, so that $f(x) = x^d(x-1)^e$.

We invoke the Mellin transform as in [KRT20] to deduce that \mathcal{F}_f has finite monodromy if and only if for every $r \geq 1$ and every pair of (possibly trivial) multiplicative characters χ, η of k_r^\times , the sum

$$S_r(\chi, \eta) := \sum_{s, t \in k_r^\times} \chi(s)\eta(t) \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sf(x) + tx)$$

has q^r -adic valuation $\geq 1/2$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s, t \in k_r^\times} \chi(s)\eta(t) \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sf(x) + tx) &= \sum_{s, t \in k_r^\times} \chi(s)\eta(t) \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^d(x-1)^e + tx) = \\ &= \sum_{x \in k_r} \left(\sum_{s \in k_r^\times} \chi(s)\psi_r(sx^d(x-1)^e) \right) \left(\sum_{t \in k_r^\times} \eta(t)\psi_r(tx) \right). \end{aligned}$$

If χ and η are both trivial, the sum is

$$S_r(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) = \sum_{x \in k_r \setminus \{0,1\}} 1 + (q^r - 1)^2 - (q^r - 1) = q^r(q^r - 2)$$

which is a multiple of q^r . If χ is trivial but η is not, then

$$\begin{aligned} S_r(\mathbf{1}, \eta) &= \sum_{x \in k_r} \left(\sum_{s \in k_r^\times} \psi_r(sx^d(x-1)^e) \right) \left(\sum_{t \in k_r^\times} \eta(t)\psi_r(tx) \right) = \\ &= \sum_{x \in k_r \setminus \{0,1\}} (-\bar{\eta}(x)G(\eta)) + (q^r - 1)G(\eta) = q^r G(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

is also a multiple of q^r , where $G(\eta) = \sum_{t \in k_r^\times} \eta(t)\psi_r(t)$ is the Gauss sum (which is an algebraic integer). If η is trivial but χ is not, then

$$S_r(\chi, \mathbf{1}) = -G(\chi) \sum_{x \in k_r \setminus \{0,1\}} \bar{\chi}^d(x)\bar{\chi}^e(x-1) = -G(\chi)\bar{\chi}(-1)^e \cdot J(\bar{\chi}^d, \bar{\chi}^e)$$

where $J(-, -)$ denotes the Jacobi sum. Finally, if both χ and η are nontrivial, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_r(\chi, \eta) &= \sum_{x \in k_r} G(\chi)\bar{\chi}(x^d(x-1)^e)G(\eta)\bar{\eta}(x) = \\ &= G(\chi)G(\eta) \sum_{x \in k_r} \bar{\chi}(x^d(x-1)^e)\bar{\eta}(x) = G(\chi)G(\eta) \sum_{x \in k_r \setminus \{0,1\}} \bar{\chi}^d\bar{\eta}(x)\bar{\chi}^e(x-1) = \\ &= G(\chi)G(\eta)\bar{\chi}(-1)^e \cdot J(\bar{\chi}^d\bar{\eta}, \bar{\chi}^e). \end{aligned}$$

If $\chi^{d+e}\eta$ is non-trivial, then $J(\bar{\chi}^d\bar{\eta}, \bar{\chi}^e) = G(\bar{\chi}^d\bar{\eta})G(\bar{\chi}^e)/G(\bar{\chi}^{d+e}\bar{\eta})$. If $\chi = \epsilon^{-(q^r-1)x}$ and $\eta = \epsilon^{-(q^r-1)y}$ where ϵ is the Teichmüller character and $x, y \in \frac{1}{q^r-1}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$, by the Stickelberger theorem we get

$$\text{ord}_{q^r} S_r(\chi, \eta) = V(x) + V(y) + V(-dx - y) + V(-ex) - V(-(d+e)x - y) =$$

$$= V(x) + V(y) + V(-dx - y) + V(-ex) + V((d+e)x + y) - 1$$

since $V(t) + V(-t) = 1$ if $t \neq 0$. We obtain the inequality in the statement after applying the change of variable $y \mapsto y - (d+e)x$ (so the condition $(d+e)x + y \neq 0$ becomes $y \neq 0$).

If $\chi^{d+e}\eta$ is trivial (that is, if $(d+e)x + y = 0$) but χ^e is not, then $J(\overline{\chi^d\eta}, \overline{\chi^e}) = G(\overline{\chi^d\eta})G(\overline{\chi^e})/q^r$, so we get

$$\text{ord}_{q^r} S(\chi, \eta) = V(x) + V(y) + V(-dx - y) + V(-ex) - 1 = V(x) + V(y) = V(x) + V(-(d+e)x).$$

Finally, if both χ^e and $\chi^d\eta$ are trivial, then $J(\overline{\chi^d\eta}, \overline{\chi^e}) = q^r - 2$, so (note that $q^r \neq 2$, since there are non-trivial characters in k_r^\times):

$$\text{ord}_{q^r} J(\overline{\chi^d\eta}, \overline{\chi^e}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{ar} & \text{if } p = 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } p \neq 2 \end{cases}$$

In the first case, we get $\text{ord}_{q^r} S(\chi, \eta) = V(x) + V(y) + \frac{1}{ar}$ and the finite monodromy condition translates to

$$V(x) + V(y) \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{ar}.$$

But this needs to hold for every $r \geq 1$, so if we consider the pulled-back characters $\chi \circ \text{Nm}$ and $\eta \circ \text{Nm}$ in the extension of k of degree nr for any $n \geq 1$, we would get the condition

$$V(x) + V(y) \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{anr}.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that, for the monodromy to be finite, we need

$$V(x) + V(y) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

for any p . To sum up, whenever $(d+e)x + y = 0$, we need

$$V(x) + V(y) = V(x) + V(-(d+e)x) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

□

Note that the second condition is the criterion for finite monodromy of the local system $\mathcal{F}_{x^{d+e}}$ corresponding to the monomial x^{d+e} , by [Kat18, Section 5]. So, if the monodromy of \mathcal{F}_f is finite, then $d+e$ must be an FM-exponent as given in Theorem 1. We will generalize this in Proposition 3.

5. FINITE MONODROMY RESULTS FOR f OF BELYI TYPE

In this section we will determine the pairs (d, e) such that \mathcal{F}_f has finite monodromy, where $f(x) = x^d(x-1)^e$. We will assume without loss of generality that d and e are not both multiples of p (otherwise, we could write $f(x) = g(x)^p$ for some p and use Artin-Schreier reduction).

Proposition 4. *Let $f(x) = x^d(x-1)^e$ and $g(x) = x^e(x-1)^d$. Then \mathcal{F}_f has finite monodromy if and only if \mathcal{F}_g does.*

Proof. By Proposition 2, \mathcal{F}_f (respectively \mathcal{F}_g) has finite monodromy if and only if $F(s, t) := \frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sf(x) + tx)$ (resp. $G(s, t) := \frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sg(x) + tx)$) is an algebraic integer for every $r \geq 1$ and $s, t \in k_r^\times$. But

$$\begin{aligned} q^{r/2} F(s, t) &= \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sf(x) + tx) = \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^d(x-1)^e + tx) = \\ &= \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r((-1)^e sx^d(1-x)^e + tx) = \\ &= \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r((-1)^e s(1-x)^d x^e + t(1-x)) = \psi_r(t) \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r((-1)^{d+e} s(x-1)^d x^e - tx) = \end{aligned}$$

$$= \psi_r(t) \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r((-1)^{d+e} sg(x) - tx) = \psi_r(t) q^{r/2} G((-1)^{d+e} s, -t)$$

so those two statements are equivalent, since $\psi_r(t)$ is a root of unity. \square

Combining this with Proposition 3 we conclude that, if \mathcal{F}_f has finite monodromy, then all of d , e and $d+e$ are FM-exponents. We will start then by searching for all such pairs (d, e) . Since we are excluding the case where both d and e are multiples of p , we will assume that d is prime to p .

Remark 1. *We note a few easy observations that will be useful in the proof of the theorem. If p is prime then:*

- $p^a \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$ for every $a \geq 0$.
- If $a = bc$ with $c \geq 1$ odd, then $\frac{p^a+1}{p^b+1} = p^{a-b} - p^{a-2b} + \dots + p^{2b} - p^b + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$, and $\frac{p^a+1}{p^b+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.
- If $p \geq 3$, then $\frac{p^a+1}{2} \equiv 1$ (respectively $\frac{p+1}{2}$) $\pmod{p-1}$ if $a \geq 0$ is even (resp. odd), and $\frac{p^a+1}{2} \equiv \frac{p+1}{2} \pmod{p}$ for every $a \geq 1$.

Consequently, all FM-exponents for p are congruent to 1, 2 or $\frac{p+1}{2}$ modulo $p-1$.

Lemma 2. *Let p be a prime, m, n two non-negative integers and a, b, c, d non-negative integers (assumed positive if $p = 2$).*

- (1) If $p^m \frac{p^a+1}{p^b+1} = p^n \frac{p^c+1}{p^d+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $m = n$ and either $a = b$ and $c = d$, or $a = c$ and $b = d$.
- (2) $p^m \frac{p^a-1}{p^b+1} = p^n \frac{p^c-1}{p^d+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $m = n$, $a = c$ and $b = d$.
- (3) If $p^m \frac{p^a+1}{p^b+1} = p^n \frac{p^c-1}{p^d+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $m = n$ and either $p = 2$ and $a = b, c = 2, d = 1$ or $(a, b, c, d) = (3, 1, 4, 2)$; or $p = 3$ and $a = b, c = 1, d = 0$ or $(a, b, c, d) = (1, 0, 2, 1)$.
- (4) If $p^m(p^a+1) = p^n \frac{p^c+1}{p^d+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $m = n$ and either $p = 3$, $(a, c, d) = (0, 1, 0)$ or $p = 2$, $(a, c, d) = (1, 3, 1)$.
- (5) If $p^m(p^a+1) = p^n \frac{p^c-1}{p^d+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $m = n$ and either $p = 5$, $(a, c, d) = (0, 1, 0)$ or $p = 3$, $(a, c, d) \in \{(0, 2, 1), (1, 2, 0)\}$ or $p = 2$, $(a, c, d) \in \{(1, 4, 2), (2, 4, 1)\}$.

Proof. In every case, $m = n$ follows by comparing the p -adic valuations on both sides.

- (1) Subtracting 1, we get $p^b \frac{p^{a-b}-1}{p^b+1} = p^d \frac{p^{c-d}-1}{p^d+1}$. These are 0 if and only if $a = b$ and $c = d$. Otherwise, comparing the p -adic valuations we get $b = d$, and then $p^a + 1 = p^c + 1$ so $a = c$.
- (2) Note that $a > b$ and $c > d$. Adding 1, we get $p^b \frac{p^{a-b}+1}{p^b+1} = p^d \frac{p^{c-d}+1}{p^d+1}$, so comparing the p -adic valuations we have $b = d$, and then $p^a - 1 = p^c - 1$ so $a = c$.
- (3) Modulo p we get $\{1, \frac{1}{2}\} \equiv \{-1, -\frac{1}{2}\}$, so $p = 2$ or $p = 3$ and $bd = 0$. Since $\frac{p^c-1}{p^d+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $c > d$. If $a = b$ then $p^c = p^d + 2$, so either $p = 2$ and $(c, d) = (2, 1)$ or $p = 3$ and $(c, d) = (1, 0)$. Assume $a > b$. If $p = 2$, then modulo 4 we get $2^b + 2^d \equiv 2$, so either $b = 1$ or $d = 1$. If $b = 1$, adding 1 we get $2^2 \frac{2^{a-2}+1}{3} = 2^d \frac{2^{c-d}+1}{2^d+1}$, so by (1) we get $d = 2, a = 3, c = 4$. If $d = 1$, subtracting 1 we get $2^b \frac{2^{a-b}-1}{2^b+1} = 2^2 \frac{2^{c-2}-1}{3}$, which is not possible by (2).

If $p = 3$ and $b = 0$ then $3 \frac{3^{a-1}+1}{2} = 3^d \frac{3^{c-d}+1}{3^d+1}$, so $a = d = 1, c = 2$ by (1).

If $d = 0$ then $3^b \frac{3^{a-b}-1}{3^b+1} = 3 \frac{3^{c-1}-1}{2}$, which is not possible by (2).

- (4) Subtracting 1 we get $p^a = p^d \frac{p^{c-d}-1}{p^d+1}$, so $a = d$ and $p^{c-d} = p^d + 2$, which is only possible for $p = 2, d = 1, c = 3$ or $p = 3, d = 0, c = 1$.

- (5) We have $p^c = p^{a+d} + p^a + p^d + 2$, and modulo $p-1$ this is $1 \equiv 5$, so $p = 2, 3$ or 5 . If $p = 5$ then the five summands must coincide, so $a = d = 0$. If $p = 3$ then either $a = 0, d = 1$ or $a = 1, d = 0$. If $p = 2$ then either $a = 1, d = 2$ or $a = 2, d = 1$.

□

Theorem 5. *Let A, B be positive integers such that A is prime to p and all of $A, B, A + B$ are FM-exponents. Then (A, B) is one of the following pairs (or their reversed pairs):*

- (1) $(\frac{p^a+1}{p^{b+1}}, p^b \frac{p^a+1}{p^{b+1}})$ for $b \geq 1$ and $a \geq b$ an odd multiple of b .
- (2) $(\frac{p^{a+2b}+1}{p^{b+1}}, p^b \frac{p^a+1}{p^{b+1}})$ for $b \geq 1$ and $a \geq b$ an odd multiple of b .
- (3) $p \geq 3, (\frac{p^a+1}{2}, \frac{p^a+1}{2})$ for $a \geq 0$.
- (4) $p = 2, (3, 10), (5, 6), (5, 8), (5, 17), (5, 52), (9, 2), (9, 4), (9, 11), (9, 13), (9, 17), (9, 34), (9, 43), (9, 48), (11, 2), (11, 13), (11, 57), (13, 44), (13, 228), (17, 26), (17, 40), (33, 10), (33, 24), (33, 172), (33, 208), (65, 176), (171, 34)$ or $(205, 36)$.
- (5) $p = 2, (2^a + 1, 2^a + 1)$ for $a \geq 1$.
- (6) $p = 2, (\frac{2^a+1}{2^{b+1}}, \frac{2^a+1}{2^{b+1}})$ for $b \geq 1, a$ an odd multiple of b .
- (7) $p = 2, (1, 2^a + 1)$ for $a \geq 1$.
- (8) $p = 2, (2^a + 1, 2^a)$ for $a \geq 1$.
- (9) $p = 2, (3, 2^a + 1)$ for $a \geq 1$.
- (10) $p = 2, (2^a + 1, 3 \cdot 2^a)$ for $a \geq 1$.
- (11) $p = 2, (2^a + 1, \frac{2^{3a}+1}{2^a+1})$ for $a \geq 1$.
- (12) $p = 2, (\frac{2^{3a}+1}{2^a+1}, 2^a(2^a + 1))$ for $a \geq 1$.
- (13) $p = 2, (2^a + 1, \frac{2^a+1}{3})$ for odd $a \geq 1$.
- (14) $p = 2, (1, \frac{2^a+1}{3})$ for odd $a \geq 1$.
- (15) $p = 2, (\frac{2^a+1}{3}, 2^a)$ for odd $a \geq 1$.
- (16) $p = 2, (3, \frac{2^{2a}+1}{5})$ for odd $a \geq 1$.
- (17) $p = 2, (\frac{2^{2a}+1}{5}, 3 \cdot 2^{2a})$ for odd $a \geq 1$.
- (18) $p = 2, (5, \frac{2^a+1}{3})$ for odd $a \geq 1$.
- (19) $p = 2, (\frac{2^a+1}{3}, 5 \cdot 2^a)$ for odd $a \geq 1$.
- (20) $p = 2, (\frac{2^{3a}+1}{9}, \frac{2^{3a}+1}{3})$ for odd $a \geq 1$.
- (21) $p = 2, (\frac{2^{3a}+1}{9}, 2 \frac{2^{3a}+1}{9})$ for odd $a \geq 1$.
- (22) $p = 3, (2, 5), (4, 3), (4, 10), (5, 7), (10, 63), (28, 45)$ or $(61, 12)$.
- (23) $p = 3, (2, 3^a + 1)$ for $a \geq 0$.
- (24) $p = 3, (3^a + 1, 2 \cdot 3^a)$ for $a \geq 0$.
- (25) $p = 3, (3^a + 1, \frac{3^a+1}{2})$ for $a \geq 0$.
- (26) $p = 3, (1, \frac{3^a+1}{2})$ for $a \geq 0$.
- (27) $p = 3, (\frac{3^a+1}{2}, 3^a)$ for $a \geq 0$.
- (28) $p = 3, (4, \frac{3^a+1}{2})$ for $a \geq 0$.
- (29) $p = 3, (\frac{3^a+1}{2}, 4 \cdot 3^a)$ for $a \geq 0$.
- (30) $p = 3, (\frac{3^a+1}{2}, \frac{3^a+1}{4})$ for odd $a \geq 1$.
- (31) $p = 3, (\frac{3^a+1}{4}, \frac{3^a+1}{4})$ for odd $a \geq 1$.
- (32) $p = 3, (2, \frac{3^a+1}{4})$ for odd $a \geq 1$.
- (33) $p = 3, (\frac{3^a+1}{4}, 2 \cdot 3^a)$ for odd $a \geq 1$.
- (34) $p = 5, (1, 6), (2, 5), (3, 7), (6, 7)$ or $(6, 15)$.
- (35) $p = 5, (2, \frac{5^a+1}{2})$ for $a \geq 0$.
- (36) $p = 5, (\frac{5^a+1}{2}, 2 \cdot 5^a)$ for $a \geq 0$.

$$(37) \quad p = 7, (2, 2).$$

Proof. We study the possible cases depending on the types of A and B according to the classification of FM-exponents given in Theorem 1. For some p 's there is non-empty intersection between different types, so:

- For $p = 2$ we consider $3 = 2 + 1$ to be of type 1, even though it is also of type 3 as $\frac{2^3+1}{2+1}$. Therefore, for exponents of type 3, $\frac{2^a+1}{2^e+1}$, we will assume $a \geq 5$ if $b = 1$.
- For $p = 3$ we consider $2 = 1 + 1$ to be of type 1, even though it is also of type 2 as $\frac{3+1}{2}$. Therefore, for exponents of type 2, $\frac{3^a+1}{2}$, we will assume $a \geq 2$.

Type (1,1): Here $A = p^a + 1$, $B = p^b(p^c + 1)$, $A + B = 1 + p^a + p^b + p^{b+c}$. Depending of the type of $A + B$, we have:

- $1 + p^a + p^b + p^{b+c} = p^d(p^e + 1)$, which modulo $p - 1$ is $4 \equiv 2$, so $p \leq 3$. If $p = 2$ then $a, c, e > 0$ and modulo 2 we get $1 + 2^b \equiv 2^d$, so either $b = 0$, in which case $2 + 2^a + 2^c = 2^d + 2^{d+e}$ so $a = 1$ or $c = 1$ (and we get the pairs $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2}^c + \mathbf{1})_2$ and their reversed pairs) or $a = c$ (and we get the pairs $(\mathbf{2}^a + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}^a + \mathbf{1})_2$); or $d = 0$, and then $2^a + 2^b + 2^{b+c} = 2^e$, so $a = b, c = 1$ (and we get the pairs $(\mathbf{2}^a + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{2}^a)_2$).

If $p = 3$ then three of the left hand side exponents must coincide, so either $a = b = 0$ (and we get the pairs $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}^c + \mathbf{1})_3$), $b = c = 0$ (and we get the pairs $(\mathbf{3}^a + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_3$) or $a = b, c = 0$ (and we get the pairs $(\mathbf{3}^a + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{3}^a)_3$).

- $p \geq 3$ and $1 + p^a + p^b + p^{b+c} = p^d \frac{p^e+1}{2}$, so $2 + 2p^a + 2p^b + 2p^{b+c} = p^d + p^{d+e}$ and modulo $p - 1$ we get $8 \equiv 2$, so $p = 3$ or 7 . If $p = 3$ then we may assume $e > 0$. If $d > 0$ then the sum is a multiple of 3, so either $a = b = 0$ or $b = c = 0$ and we get pairs whose sum is of type 1. So $d = 0$ and $1 + 2 \cdot 3^a + 2 \cdot 3^b + 2 \cdot 3^{b+c} = 3^e$, which implies $a = 0$ (and then $b = 1, c = 1$ and we get the pair $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{12})_3$, part of #29) or $b = 0$ (and then either $a = 1, c = 2$ and we get the pair $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{10})_3$ or $a = 2, c = 1$ and we get the reversed pair $(\mathbf{10}, \mathbf{4})_3$). If $p = 7$ then modulo 7 we get $1 + 7^a + 7^b + 7^{b+c} \equiv 4 \cdot 7^d$, so $a = b = c = d = 0$ and we get the pair $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_7$.

- $1 + p^a + p^b + p^{b+c} = p^d \frac{p^e+1}{p^f+1}$, which modulo $p - 1$ is $4 \equiv 1$, so $p = 2$, and then $a, c > 0$. By parity, exactly one of b, d is non-zero. If $b = 0$ then $1 + 2^{a-1} + 2^{c-1} = 2^{d-1} \frac{2^e+1}{2^f+1}$. We may assume $a, c > 1$, as otherwise the sum is of type 1. Then $d = 1$ by parity, and then $2^{a-1} + 2^{c-1} = 2^f \frac{2^{e-f}-1}{2^f+1}$. By lemma 2 this is only possible for $f = 1, a = 2, c = 4$ (and we get the pair $(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{17})_2$), or $f = 2, a = 3, c = 4$ (and we get the pair $(\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{17})_2$), or interchanging the values of a and c (which gives the reversed pairs).

If $d = 0$ then $2^a + 2^b + 2^{b+c} = 2^f \frac{2^{e-f}-1}{2^f+1}$. Comparing the 2-adic valuations, either $a = b$, $a = f < b$ or $b = f < a$. In the first case, we may assume $c > 1$ (otherwise the sum is of type 1). Then $2^{a+1}(1 + 2^{c-1}) = 2^f \frac{2^{e-f}-1}{2^f+1}$, so by lemma 2 $f = c = 2, a = b = 1$ and we get the pair $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{10})_2$. If $a = f < b$ then $2^{b-a}(1 + 2^c) = 2 \frac{2^{e-f-1}-2^{f-1}-1}{2^f+1}$. If $f = 1$ this is $2^2 \frac{2^{e-3}-1}{3}$, so $b = a + 2 = 3, 3 \cdot 2^c + 4 = 2^{e-3}, c = 2$ and we get the pair $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{40})_2$. If $f > 1$ then $b = a + 1$ and $2^c(2^f + 1) = 2(2^{e-f-2} - 2^{f-2} - 2^{f-1} - 1)$. If $f = 2$ this is $5 \cdot 2^c = 2^3(2^{e-6} - 1)$, which does not have a solution. So $f > 2$, and then $c = 1$ and $2^{e-f-2} = 2^f + 2^{f-1} + 2^{f-2} + 2$, which implies $a = f = 3, b = 4$ and we get the pair $(\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{48})_2$.

Finally, if $b = f < a$ then $2^{a-b} + 2^c = 2 \frac{2^{e-f-1}-2^{f-1}-1}{2^f+1}$. If $f = 1$ this is $3(2^{a-1} + 2^c) = 4(2^{e-3} - 1)$, so either $c = a - 1 = 1$ (and we get the pair

$(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{6})_2$, or $c = 2 < a - 1$ and $2^{e-3} = 4 + 3 \cdot 2^{a-3}$, so $a = 5$ (and we get the pair $(\mathbf{33}, \mathbf{10})_2$) or $a = 3 < c + 1$ and $2^{e-3} = 4 + 3 \cdot 2^{c-2}$, so $c = 4$ (and we get the pair $(\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{34})_2$). If $f > 1$ then $2^{a-b-1} + 2^{c-1} = \frac{2^{e-f-1} - 2^{f-1} - 1}{2^{f+1}}$ is odd, so either $a - b = 1$ or $c = 1$. If $a - b = 1$ then $2^{c-1}(2^f + 1) = 2(2^{e-f-2} - 2^{f-1} - 2^{f-2} - 1)$. If $f = 2$ this is $5 \cdot 2^{c-1} = 2^3(2^{e-6} - 1)$, which does not have a solution. So $f > 2$ and then $c = 2$ and $2^{e-f-2} = 2^f + 2^{f-1} + 2^{f-2} + 2$, which implies $f = b = 3, a = 4$ and gives the pair $(\mathbf{17}, \mathbf{40})_2$. If $c = 1$ then $2^{a-b-1}(2^f + 1) = 2(2^{e-f-2} - 2^{f-1} - 2^{f-2} - 1)$, which again does not have solution for $f = 2$, so $f > 2, a - b - 1 = 1$ and $2^{e-f-2} = 2^f + 2^{f-1} + 2^{f-2} + 2$, which implies $f = b = 3, a = 5$ and gives the pair $(\mathbf{33}, \mathbf{24})_2$.

- $p = 5$ and $1 + 5^a + 5^b + 5^{b+c} = 7 \cdot 5^d$, which is not possible modulo 4.

Type (1,2): $p \geq 3, A = p^a + 1, B = p^b \frac{p^c + 1}{2}, A + B = 1 + p^a + \frac{p^b + p^{b+c}}{2}$. Here $A \equiv 2$ and $B \equiv 1$ or $\frac{p+1}{2}$, so $A + B \equiv 3$ or $\frac{p+5}{2}$ modulo $p - 1$. Depending of the type of $A + B$, we have:

- $1 + p^a + \frac{p^b + p^{b+c}}{2} = p^d(p^e + 1)$. This is $\equiv 2$ modulo $p - 1$, which is only possible for $p = 3$, and then $2 + 2 \cdot 3^a + 3^b + 3^{b+c} = 2 \cdot 3^d + 2 \cdot 3^{d+e}$ where we may assume $c \geq 2$. If $d = 0$ then $2 \cdot 3^a + 3^b + 3^{b+c} = 2 \cdot 3^e$ so $a = b, c = 1$, which contradicts $c \geq 2$. So $d > 0$ and then modulo 3 we must have $a > 0, b = 0$, and $1 + 2 \cdot 3^{a-1} + 3^{c-1} = 2 \cdot 3^{d-1} + 2 \cdot 3^{d+e-1}$. Since $c > 1$ this is not possible for $e > 0$, so $e = 0$ and $1 + 2 \cdot 3^{a-1} + 3^{c-1} = 3^{d-1} + 3^d$, which is only possible if $a = 1$ (and then $c = 3$ and we get the pair $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{14})_3$, part of #28) or $a = c = 1$, which contradicts the assumption that $c \geq 2$.
- $1 + p^a + \frac{p^b + p^{b+c}}{2} = p^d \frac{p^e + 1}{2}$. This is $\equiv 1$ or $\frac{p+1}{2}$ modulo $p - 1$, which is only possible for $p = 3$ or 5 . Modulo p we get $2 + 2p^a + p^b = p^d$ so either $p = 3, a = d = 0$ or $b = 0, d > 0$ (and either $p = 3$ or $a = 0$). In the first case we get $3 + 3^b + 3^{b+c} = 3^e$, which is not possible if $c > 0$. In the second case we have $3 + 2p^a + p^c = p^d + p^{d+e}$. If $p = 3$ then either $a = 1$ (and we get the pairs $(\mathbf{4}, \frac{\mathbf{3}^e + \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}})_3$) or $a = c$ (and we get the pairs $(\mathbf{3}^a + \mathbf{1}, \frac{\mathbf{3}^a + \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}})_3$). If $p = 5$ then $a = 0$ and we get the pairs $(\mathbf{2}, \frac{\mathbf{5}^e + \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}})_5$.
- $1 + p^a + \frac{p^b + p^{b+c}}{2} = p^d \frac{p^e + 1}{p^{f+1}}$. This is $\equiv 1$ modulo $p - 1$, which is only possible for $p = 3$ or 5 . Modulo p we get either $b = 0$ or $d = 0$. If $d = 0$ then $p^a + \frac{p^b + p^{b+c}}{2} = p^f \frac{p^{e-f} - 1}{p^{f+1}}$ which has p -adic valuation f and residue -1 , which implies $a \geq b$. If $a = b$ then $p^a \frac{p^c + 3}{2} = p^f \frac{p^{e-f} - 1}{p^{f+1}}$. For $p = 5$ this implies $a = f$ and $5 + 5^c + 3 \cdot 5^f + 5^{c+f} = 2 \cdot 5^{e-f}$, only possible if $c = f = 1$, which gives the pair $(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{15})_5$. For $p = 3$ the valuation of the LHS is $a + 1$, so $f = a + 1$ and $3^{a+c} + 3^{a+1} + 3^{c-1} + 3 = 2 \cdot 3^{e-f}$. We may assume $c > 1$, and then this forces $a + 1 = c - 1 = 1$ and we get the pair $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{5})_3$. If $a > b$ then the LHS has valuation b and residue $\frac{1}{2}$, so $p = 3, b = f > 0$ and $2 \cdot 3^{a-b} + 3^c + 2 \cdot 3^a + 3^b + 3^{b+c} + 3 = 2 \cdot 3^{e-f}$ and, in particular, $e - f \geq 2$. Modulo 9 this is $2 \cdot 3^{a-b} + 3^b + 3 \equiv 2 \cdot 3^{e-f} \equiv 0$, only possible if $a - b = 1$, in which case $3^2 + 3^c + 2 \cdot 3^{b+1} + 3^b + 3^{b+c} = 2 \cdot 3^{e-f}$, and then $b = c = 2$ and we get the pair $(\mathbf{28}, \mathbf{45})_3$.

If $d > 0$ then $b = 0$ and $\frac{3 + 2p^a + p^c}{2} = p^d \frac{p^e + 1}{p^{f+1}}$. If $p = 5$, modulo 5 we get $a = 0$, and the LHS has 5-adic valuation 1 so $d = 1$. The 5-adic residue on the RHS is 1 which implies $c = 1$ and we get the pair $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})_5$, part of #35. If $p = 3$ then $a > 0$, and the 3-adic residue of $3 + 2 \cdot 3^a + 3^c$ must be 2. Assuming $c > 1$, this can only happen if $a = 1$ and $c = 2$, and we get the pair $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5})_3$, part of #28.

- $p = 5$ and $1 + 5^a + \frac{5^b + 5^{b+c}}{2} = 7 \cdot 5^d$, so $2 + 2 \cdot 5^a + 5^b + 5^{b+c} = 14 \cdot 5^d$. Modulo 5 this becomes $2 + 2 \cdot 5^a + 5^b = 4 \cdot 5^d$, which implies either $a = d = 0$, so $5^b + 5^{b+c} = 10$, so $b = 1, c = 0$, which contradicts $c > 0$; or $a = b = 0$, and then $5 + 5^c = 14 \cdot 5^d$, which is not possible.

Type (1,3): Here $A = p^a + 1$, $B = p^b \frac{p^c + 1}{p^{d+1}}$, $A + B = 1 + p^a + \frac{p^b + p^{b+c}}{p^{d+1}}$. Depending of the type of $A + B$, we have:

- $1 + p^a + \frac{p^b + p^{b+c}}{p^{d+1}} = p^e(p^f + 1)$. Modulo $p - 1$ this is $3 \equiv 2$, so it is only possible for $p = 2$, and we may assume $a, f > 0$. By parity, either $b = 0$ or $e = 0$. If $b = 0$ then $2^{a-1} + \frac{2^{c-1} + 2^{d-1} + 1}{2^{d+1}} = 2^{e-1}(1 + 2^f)$. If $c = d$ we get the pairs $(\mathbf{2}^a + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_2$, so assume $c \geq 3d$. Let $d = 1$ first (so $c \geq 5$), then $2^{a-1} + 2 \frac{2^{c-2} + 1}{3} = 2^{e-1}(1 + 2^f)$, so either $a = e = 1$ (and then $3 \cdot 2^{f-1} = 2^{c-2} + 1$, not possible for $c \geq 5$) or $a = 2$ (and then $2^2 \frac{2^{c-4} + 1}{3} = 2^{e-2}(1 + 2^f)$, so $e = 4, f = 1, c = 7$ and we get the pair $(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{43})_2$, part of #18) or $e = 2$ (and then $2^{a-3} + \frac{2^{c-3} - 1}{3} = 2^{f-1}$, so $a = 3$ by parity and $2^{c-3} + 2 = 2^f + 2^{f-1}$, which is only possible for $c = 3$ (which we exclude) or $c = 5$, which gives the pair $(\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{11})_2$).

So assume $d > 1$ from now on, then $a = 1$ or $e = 1$ by parity. In the first case we have $\frac{2^{c-2} + 2^{d-2} + 2^{d-1} + 1}{2^{d+1}} = 2^{e-2}(1 + 2^f)$. If $d = 2$ this is $2^2 \frac{2^{c-4} + 1}{5} = 2^{e-2}(1 + 2^f)$, so $2^{c-4} = 2^2 + 2^f + 2^{f+2}$, which is not possible. So $d > 2$ and then $e = 2$ by parity and $2^{d-2} \frac{2^{c-d} - 1}{2^{d+1}} = 2^f$, which is not possible for $d > 1$ by lemma 2. If $e = 1$ then $2^{a-1} + 2^{d-1} \frac{2^{c-d} - 1}{2^{d+1}} = 2^f$, and then $a - 1 < f$, so comparing the 2-adic valuations we get $a = d$ and $2^{2a-1} \frac{2^{c-2d} + 1}{2^{d+1}} = 2^f$, so $c = 3d$ and we get the pairs $(\mathbf{2}^a + \mathbf{1}, \frac{\mathbf{2}^{3a} + \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}^{a+1}})_2$.

If $e = 0$ then $2^a + 2^b \frac{2^c + 1}{2^{d+1}} = 2^f$, so $a < f$ and comparing the 2-adic valuations we get $a = b$ and $2^c + 2^d + 2 = 2^{f-a} + 2^{f-a+d}$. Since we are excluding $d = 1, c = 3$ from type 3, this is only possible if $c = d$, which gives the pairs $(\mathbf{2}^a + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}^a)_2$.

- $p \geq 3$ and $1 + p^a + \frac{p^b + p^{b+c}}{p^{d+1}} = p^e \frac{p^f + 1}{2}$. Modulo $p - 1$ this is $3 \equiv 1$ or $\frac{p+1}{2}$, so $p = 3$ or 5 . Modulo p we have $2 + 2p^a + 2p^b \equiv p^e$. For $p = 5$ the only option is $a = b = e = 0$, and then $5 + 3 \cdot 5^d + 2 \cdot 5^c = 5^f + 5^{d+f}$, so $c = d$ and we get the pair $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})_5$, part of #35. For $p = 3, f > 1$ and exactly two of a, b, e must be 0. If $a = e = 0$ then $3^b \frac{3^c + 1}{3^{d+1}} = 3 \frac{3^{f-1} - 1}{2}$, so $b = 1, d = c$ by lemma 2 and we get the pair $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})_3$, part of #27. If $b = e = 0$ then $3^{a-1} + \frac{3^{c-1} - 3^{d-1}}{3^{d+1}} = \frac{3^{f-1} - 1}{2}$, which modulo 3 is $3^{a-1} + 3^{c-1} - 3^{d-1} \equiv 1$, so $a = 1$ and $3^{d-1} \frac{3^{c-d} - 1}{3^{d+1}} = 3 \frac{3^{f-2} - 1}{2}$, so by lemma 2 $c = d, f = 2$ and we get the pair $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})_3$, part of #28. Finally, if $a = b = 0$ then $3 + 3^d \frac{3^{c-d} - 1}{3^{d+1}} = 3^e \frac{3^f + 1}{2}$. If $d > 1$ then modulo 9 we get $3 \equiv 5 \cdot 3^e$, which is not possible. So $d = 1$ and $\frac{3^{c-1} + 3}{4} = 3^{e-1} \frac{3^f + 1}{2}$, and then $3 + 3^{c-1} = 2 \cdot 3^{e-1} + 2 \cdot 3^{e+f-1}$, which is not possible if $f > 0$.
- $1 + p^a + \frac{p^b + p^{b+c}}{p^{d+1}} = p^e \frac{p^f + 1}{p^g + 1}$. Modulo $p - 1$ this is $3 \equiv 1$, so $p = 2$ or 3 . If $p = 3$, modulo 3 we have $1 + 3^a + 3^b = 3^e$, so either $a = b = 0$ or $e = 0, a, b > 0$. If $a = b = 0$ then $\frac{2 \cdot 3^{d-1} + 3^{c-1} + 1}{3^{d+1}} = 3^{e-1} \frac{3^f + 1}{3^g + 1}$. If $d = 1$ we get the pairs $(\mathbf{2}, \frac{\mathbf{3}^c + \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{4}})_3$, otherwise $e = 1$ and $3^{d-1} \frac{3^{c-d} - 1}{3^{d+1}} = 3^g \frac{3^{f-g} - 1}{3^g + 1}$, impossible by lemma 2. If $e = 0$ then $3^a + 3^b \frac{3^c + 1}{3^{d+1}} = 3^g \frac{3^{f-g} - 1}{3^g + 1}$, where the RHS has 3-adic residue -1 . Then we must have $a = b = g$, and $1 + \frac{3^c + 1}{3^{d+1}} = \frac{3^{f-g} - 1}{3^g + 1}$. If $c = d$ then $2 \cdot 3^g + 3 = 3^{f-g}$, so $g = 1$ and we get the pair $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{3})_3$.

Otherwise, $\frac{3^c+2\cdot 3^{d+3}}{3^{d+1}} = 3g\frac{3^{f-2g}+1}{3^{g+1}}$. If $d = 1 < c$ then the LHS is $3^2\frac{3^{c-2}+1}{4}$, so $g = 2, c = 3$ by lemma 2 and we get the pair $(\mathbf{10}, \mathbf{63})_3$. If $c > d > 1$ then $g = 1$ and $3^{d-1}\frac{3^{c-d}-1}{3^{d+1}} = 3\frac{3^{f-3}-1}{4}$, impossible by lemma 2.

If $p = 2$ then by parity we either have $b = 0$ or $e = 0$. We consider the $b = 0$ case first. If $c = d$ then we get the pairs $(\mathbf{2}^a + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_2$ whose sum is of type 1, so assume $c \geq 3d$. Let $d = 1$, then $c \geq 5$ and $2^a + 2^2\frac{2^{c-2}+1}{3} = 2^e\frac{2^{f+1}}{2^{g+1}}$. If $a = 1$ then $e = 1$ and $2\frac{2^{c-2}+1}{3} = 2g\frac{2^{f-g}-1}{2^{g+1}}$, not possible by lemma 2 if $c > 3$. If $a = 2$ we get the pairs $(\mathbf{5}, \frac{\mathbf{2}^c+1}{\mathbf{3}})_2$. If $a > 2$ then $e = 2$ and $2^{a-2} + 2\frac{2^{c-3}-1}{3} = 2g\frac{2^{f-g}-1}{2^{g+1}}$. If $a = 3$ then $2^2\frac{2^{c-4}+1}{3} = 2g\frac{2^{f-g}-1}{2^{g+1}}$, so $c = 7$ by lemma 2 and we get the pair $(\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{43})_2$. If $a > 3$ then $g = 1$ and $2^{a-3} = 2^{c-3}\frac{2^{f-c+2}-1}{3}$, so $a = c$ and we get the pairs $(\mathbf{2}^a + \mathbf{1}, \frac{\mathbf{2}^a+1}{\mathbf{3}})_2$.

Still in the $b = 0$ case, let now $d > 1$. Then $2^a + 2 \cdot \frac{2^{c-1}+2^{d-1}+1}{2^{d+1}} = 2^e\frac{2^{f+1}}{2^{g+1}}$. If $a = 1$ then $2^2\frac{2^{c-2}+2^{d-2}+2^{d-1}+1}{2^{d+1}} = 2^e\frac{2^{f+1}}{2^{g+1}}$. If $d = 2$ we get the pairs $(\mathbf{3}, \frac{\mathbf{2}^c+1}{\mathbf{5}})_2$, so assume $d > 2$. Then $e = 2$ and $2^{d-2}\frac{2^{c-d}-1}{2^{d+1}} = 2g\frac{2^{f-g}-1}{2^{g+1}}$, which is impossible by lemma 2. If $a > 1$ then $e = 1$ and $2^{a-1} + 2^{d-1}\frac{2^{c-d}-1}{2^{d+1}} = 2g\frac{2^{f-g}-1}{2^{g+1}}$, so two of $a-1, d-1, g$ must coincide. If $a = d$ then $2^{2d-1}\frac{2^{c-2d}+1}{2^{d+1}} = 2g\frac{2^{f-g}-1}{2^{g+1}}$, which is not possible by lemma 2. If $g = a-1 < d-1$ then $2 + 2^{d-a}\frac{2^{c-d}-1}{2^{d+1}} = 2g\frac{2^{f-2g}+1}{2^{g+1}}$. If $d-a = 1$ then $2^{d+1}\frac{2^{c-2d}+1}{2^{d+1}} = 2g\frac{2^{f-2g}+1}{2^{g+1}}$, so $g = d+1$, contradiction. Hence $g = 1, a = 2$ and $2^{d-3}\frac{2^{c-d}-1}{2^{d+1}} = 2\frac{2^{f-3}-1}{3}$, which is not possible by lemma 2. Finally, if $g = d-1 < a-1$ we have $2^{a-d} + 2^d\frac{2^{c-2d}+1}{2^{d+1}} = 2g\frac{2^{f-2g}+1}{2^{g+1}}$. Since $g < d$ we must have $g = a-d$ and $2\frac{2^{c-2d}+1}{2^{d+1}} = 2g\frac{2^{f-3g}-1}{2^{g+1}}$, so $g = 1, d = 2, a = 3, c = 6$ by lemma 2 and we get the pair $(\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{13})_2$.

We consider now the case $b > 0$, then $2^a + 2^b\frac{2^c+1}{2^{d+1}} = 2g\frac{2^{f-g}-1}{2^{g+1}}$, so two (and only two) of a, b, g coincide. Suppose first that $a = b < g$. If $c = d$ we get the pairs $(\mathbf{2}^a + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}^a)_2$ whose sum is of type 1, so assume $c \geq 3d$. Then $2^{a+1}\frac{2^{c-1}+2^{d-1}+1}{2^{d+1}} = 2g\frac{2^{f-g}-1}{2^{g+1}}$. If $d = 1$ this is $2^{a+2}\frac{2^{c-2}+1}{2^{d+1}} = 2g\frac{2^{f-g}-1}{2^{g+1}}$, impossible by lemma 2 since $a+2 \geq 3$. So $d > 1$ and then $g = a+1 \geq 2$ and $\frac{2^{c-1}+2^{d-1}+1}{2^{d+1}} = \frac{2^{f-g}-1}{2^{g+1}}$, so $2\frac{2^{c-2}+2^{d-2}+2^{d-1}+1}{2^{d+1}} = 2g\frac{2^{f-2g}+1}{2^{g+1}}$. Since $g > 1$ we must have $d = 2$ and $2^3\frac{2^{c-4}+1}{2^{d+1}} = 2g\frac{2^{f-2g}+1}{2^{g+1}}$, and by lemma 2 $g = 3, c = 6, a = b = 2$ and we get the pair $(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{52})_2$.

Suppose now that $a = g < b$. Then $2^{b-a}\frac{2^c+1}{2^{d+1}} = 2\frac{2^{f-g-1}-2^{g-1}-1}{2^{g+1}}$. If $g = 1$ then $2^{b-1}\frac{2^c+1}{2^{d+1}} = 2^2\frac{2^{f-3}-1}{3}$. Then by lemma 2 $b = 3, c = d$ and we get the pair $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{8})_2$, part of #15. If $g > 1$ then $b = a+1$ and $2\frac{2^{c-1}+2^{d-1}+1}{2^{d+1}} = 2^{g-1}\frac{2^{f-2g}+1}{2^{g+1}}$. If $d = 1, c \geq 5$ then $2^2\frac{2^{c-2}+1}{3} = 2^{g-1}\frac{2^{f-2g}+1}{2^{g+1}}$, impossible by lemma 2. So let $d > 1$, then $g = a = 2, b = 3$ and $2^{d-1}\frac{2^{c-d}-1}{2^{d+1}} = 2g\frac{2^{f-3g}-1}{2^{g+1}}$. By lemma 2 this implies $c = d$, which gives the pair $(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{8})_2$.

Finally, suppose that $b = g < a$. Then $2^{a-b-1} + \frac{2^{c-1}+2^{d-1}+1}{2^{d+1}} = 2^{g-1}\frac{2^{f-2g}+1}{2^{g+1}}$. Consider the case $d = 1, c \geq 5$ first. Then $2^{a-b-1} + 2\frac{2^{c-2}+1}{3} = 2^{g-1}\frac{2^{f-2g}+1}{2^{g+1}}$. If $g = 1$ then $a = b+1$ by parity, and $2^{c-1} + 2^2 = 2^{f-2}$, not possible if $c > 3$. If $g = 2$ then $2^{a-b-2} + 2\frac{2^{c-3}-1}{3} = 2^2\frac{2^{f-6}-1}{2^{g+1}}$, and modulo 4 we get $a = b+3 = 5$ and $\frac{2^{c-4}+1}{3} = \frac{2^{f-6}-1}{2^{g+1}}$, and then by lemma 2 we get $c = 7$, which gives the pair $(\mathbf{33}, \mathbf{172})_2$. If $g > 2$ then $a - b = 2$ and

$2^2 \frac{2^{c-4}+1}{3} = 2^{g-2} \frac{2^{f-2g}+1}{2^{g+1}}$, which by lemma 2 implies $g = b = 4, a = 6, c = 5$, which gives the pair **(65, 176)**₂.

If $d > 1$ then either $g = 1$ or $a = b + 1$ by parity. In the first case we have $2^{a-3} + 2^{d-2} \frac{2^{c-d-1}}{2^{d+1}} = \frac{2^{f-3}-1}{3}$. If $c = d$ then $2^{a-3} + 2^{a-2} + 1 = 2^{f-3}$, so $a = 3$ and we get the pair **(9, 2)**₂, assume $c \geq 3d$. Then by parity either $d = 2$ or $a = 3$. If $d = 2$ then $2^{a-3} + \frac{2^{c-2}-1}{5} = \frac{2^{f-3}-1}{3}$, so $2^{a-3} + 2^2 \frac{2^{c-4}+1}{5} = 2 \frac{2^{f-4}+1}{3}$ and $a = 4, c = 6$ which gives the pair **(17, 26)**₂. If $a = 3$ then $2^{d-2} \frac{2^{c-d-1}}{2^{d+1}} = 2^2 \frac{2^{f-5}-1}{3}$ which is not possible by lemma 2. Suppose now that $a = b + 1$, then $1 + \frac{2^{c-1}+2^{d-1}+1}{2^{d+1}} = 2^{g-1} \frac{2^{f-2g}+1}{2^{g+1}}$. If $c = d$ then $g = b = 2, a = 3$ and we get the pair **(9, 4)**₂, assume $c \geq 3d$. Then $\frac{2^{c-2}+2^{d-2}+2^{d-1}+1}{2^{d+1}} = 2^{g-2} \frac{2^{f-2g}+1}{2^{g+1}}$, and if $d = 2$ then $2^2 \frac{2^{c-4}+1}{2^{d+1}} = 2^{g-2} \frac{2^{f-2g}+1}{2^{g+1}}$, so $b = g = 4, a = 5, c = 6$ by lemma 2 and we get the pair **(33, 208)**₂. If $d > 2$ then $g = 2$ by parity, and $2^{d-2} \frac{2^{c-d-1}}{2^{d+1}} = 2^g \frac{2^{f-3g}-1}{2^{g+1}}$, which is impossible by lemma 2.

- $p = 5$ and $1 + 5^a + \frac{5^b+5^{b+c}}{5^{d+1}} = 7 \cdot 5^e$. Modulo 5 this becomes $1 + 5^a + 5^b = 2 \cdot 5^e$, which implies $e = 0$, and the only options are the pairs **(2, 5)**₅ and **(6, 1)**₅.

Type (1,4): Here $p = 5, A = 5^a + 1, B = 5^b \cdot 7, A + B = 1 + 5^a + 7 \cdot 5^b$. Modulo 4 we have $A \equiv 2, B \equiv 3$ so $A + B \equiv 1$ can only be of types 2 or 3. Depending of the type of $A + B$, we have:

- $1 + 5^a + 7 \cdot 5^b = 5^c \frac{5^d+1}{2}$, which modulo 5 is $2 + 2 \cdot 5^a + 4 \cdot 5^b \equiv 5^c$, so $b = c = 0$ and then $15 + 2 \cdot 5^a = 5^d$, so $a = 1$ and we get the pair **(6, 7)**₅.
- $1 + 5^a + 7 \cdot 5^b = 5^c \frac{5^d+1}{5^e+1}$, which modulo 5 is $1 + 5^a + 2 \cdot 5^b = 5^c$, so $c = 0$ and $a, b > 0$. Then $5^a + 7 \cdot 5^b = 5^e \frac{5^{d-e}-1}{5^e+1}$, which is impossible as both sides have different 5-adic residues.

Type (2,1): Here $p \geq 3, A = \frac{p^a+1}{2}, B = p^b(p^c+1), A + B = \frac{1+p^a}{2} + p^b + p^{b+c}$. We may assume $b > 0$, since otherwise we get a reversed pair of type (1,2), and then $A + B$ is prime to p . Depending of the type of $A + B$, we have:

- $\frac{1+p^a}{2} + p^b + p^{b+c} = p^d + 1$. Modulo p we get $\frac{p+1}{2} \equiv 1$ or 2 , so $p = 3$ and $d = 0$, which is impossible as the sum is larger than 2.
- $\frac{1+p^a}{2} + p^b + p^{b+c} = \frac{p^d+1}{2}$, so $p^a + 2p^b + 2p^{b+c} = p^d$, which modulo $p-1$ can only hold for $p = 3, 5$. For $p = 5$ all exponents on the LHS must coincide and we get $a = b, c = 0$ which gives the pairs $(\frac{5^a+1}{2}, 2 \cdot 5^a)$ ₅. If $p = 3$ and $c = 0$ we get $3^a + 3^b + 3^{b+1}$ which can never be a power of 3. So $c > 0$ and then the exponents a and b must coincide, and $c = 1$: we get the pairs $(\frac{3^a+1}{2}, 4 \cdot 3^a)$ ₃.
- $\frac{1+p^a}{2} + p^b + p^{b+c} = \frac{p^d+1}{p^e+1}$. Modulo p we get $\frac{1+p^a}{2} \equiv 1$, which is not possible if $a > 0$.
- $p = 5$ and $\frac{1+5^a}{2} + 5^b + 5^{b+c} = 7$, impossible as the LHS is > 7 .

Type (2,2): Here $p \geq 3, A = \frac{p^a+1}{2}, B = p^b \frac{p^c+1}{2}, A + B = \frac{1+p^a+p^b+p^{b+c}}{2}$. Depending of the type of $A + B$, we have:

- $\frac{1+p^a+p^b+p^{b+c}}{2} = p^d(p^e+1)$, then $1 + p^a + p^b + p^{b+c} = 2p^d + 2p^{d+e}$. If $p = 3$ and $e = 0$ then this is $3^d + 3^{d+1}$ and three of the LHS exponents must coincide. Otherwise two pairs of exponents must coincide. Since $a, c > 0$ the only option is $b = 0, a = c$, and we get the pairs $(\frac{p^a+1}{2}, \frac{p^a+1}{2})$ _p.
- $\frac{1+p^a+p^b+p^{b+c}}{2} = p^d \frac{p^e+1}{2}$. Here we get the halved pairs of type (1,1) whose sum is of type 1, that is, $(\frac{3^a+1}{2}, 3^a)$ ₃ for $p = 3$.

- $\frac{1+p^a+p^b+p^{b+c}}{2} = p^d \frac{p^e+1}{p^{f+1}}$. Modulo $p-1$ the LHS is 2 or $\frac{p+3}{2}$ and the RHS is 1, so $p=3$ and we may assume $a, c > 1$. Modulo 3 we get $2+2 \cdot 3^b = 3^d$, so $b=d=0$ and then $3^a+3^c+2 \cdot 3^f+3^{a+f}+3^{c+f} = 2 \cdot 3^e$. So 3^a+3^c must be divisible by 3^f , which implies $a, c \geq f$, and then $3^{a-f}+3^{c-f}+2+3^a+3^c = 2 \cdot 3^{e-f}$, so $e > f$ and the LHS is a multiple of 3, which implies $a=f$ or $c=f$. In the first case we get $3+3^{c-a}+3^a+3^c = 2 \cdot 3^{e-a}$, only possible if $a=1$, which we explicitly exclude. Similarly if $c=f$, by symmetry.
- $p=5$ and $\frac{1+5^a+5^b+5^{b+c}}{2} = 7 \cdot 5^d$, so $1+5^a+5^b+5^{b+c} = 14 \cdot 5^d$, which is not possible modulo 4.

Type (2,3): Here $A = \frac{p^a+1}{2}$, $B = p^b \frac{p^c+1}{p^{d+1}}$, $A+B = \frac{1+p^a}{2} + \frac{p^b+p^{b+c}}{p^{d+1}}$. Depending of the type of $A+B$, we have:

- $\frac{1+p^a}{2} + \frac{p^b+p^{b+c}}{p^{d+1}} = p^e(p^f+1)$, then modulo p either $b=0$ or $e=0$. If $b=0$ then $3+p^a+p^d+p^{a+d}+2p^c = 2p^e+2p^{e+d}+2p^{e+f}+2p^{d+e+f}$, which modulo p is $3 \equiv 2p^e+2p^{e+f}$, only possible for $p=3$ and $e > 0$, in which case we may assume $a > 1$. Then modulo 9 we get $3+3^d+2 \cdot 3^c \equiv 2 \cdot 3^e+2 \cdot 3^{e+f}$. Since the LHS is not a multiple of 9, we must have $e=1$, and $3^d+2 \cdot 3^c \equiv 3+2 \cdot 3^{f+1}$ modulo 9, which implies either $f=0$ (and then the sum of the pair is 6, which leaves $(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1})_3$ as the only option, part of #26) or $d=1$ (and we get $3^a+3^{a+1}+2 \cdot 3^c = 2 \cdot 3^2+2 \cdot 3^{f+1}+2 \cdot 3^{f+2}$, so $f=1, a=2, c=3$ which gives the pair $(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{7})_3$).

If $b > 0$ (so $e=0$) then modulo p we get $\frac{1}{2} \equiv 1+p^f$, only possible if $p=3$ and $f=0$, in which case the sum of the pair is 2, which is not possible if $a > 1$.

- $\frac{1+p^a}{2} + \frac{p^b+p^{b+c}}{p^{d+1}} = p^e \frac{p^f+1}{2}$. Modulo $p-1$ we deduce that $p=3$ (so $a, f > 1$), and modulo 3 either $b=0$ or $e=0$. If $b=0$ then $3+3^a+3^d+3^{a+d}+2 \cdot 3^c = 3^e(1+3^f)(1+3^d)$. Then modulo 9 we get $3+3^d+2 \cdot 3^c \equiv 3^e+3^{e+d}$, and since the LHS is not a multiple of 9, we must have $e=1$ and $3^a+3^d+3^{a+d}+2 \cdot 3^c = 3^{d+1}+3^{f+1}+3^{d+f+1}$. If $c=d$ we get the pairs $(\frac{3^a+1}{2}, \mathbf{1})_3$. Otherwise, $c > d$ and modulo 3^{d+1} we get $3^a+3^d \equiv 3^{f+1}$, which implies $d=f+1$ and $3^a+3^{a+d}+2 \cdot 3^c = 3^{d+1}+3^{2d}$. Then either $a=c$ and $3^{a+1}+3^{a+d} = 3^{d+1}+3^{2d}$ (which implies $d=a=c$, contradiction) or $c=a+d$ and $3^a+3^{a+d+1} = 3^{d+1}+3^{2d}$, which is not possible.

If $b > 0$ (so $e=0$) then $3^a+3^{a+d}+2 \cdot 3^b+2 \cdot 3^{b+c} = 3^f+3^{d+f}$ which has 3-adic valuation f . Since $v_3(3^a+3^{a+d}) = a$, $v_3(2 \cdot 3^b+2 \cdot 3^{b+c}) = b$ and $a < f$, we must have $a=b$ for the equality to hold, and then $3^{a+1}+3^{a+d}+2 \cdot 3^{a+c} = 3^f+3^{d+f}$. So $c=d$ and we get the pairs $(\frac{3^a+1}{2}, \mathbf{3}^a)_3$.

- $\frac{1+p^a}{2} + \frac{p^b+p^{b+c}}{p^{d+1}} = p^e \frac{p^f+1}{p^{g+1}}$. Modulo $p-1$ we get 1 or $\frac{p+1}{2} \equiv 0$, so $p=3$ and $a > 1$. Modulo 3 we get $2+3^b \equiv 3^e$ so $b=0, e > 0$. If $c=d$ we get the pairs $(\frac{3^a+1}{2}, \mathbf{1})$ whose sum is of type 2, so assume $c \geq 3d$. Then modulo 9 we get $3+3^d \equiv 2 \cdot 3^e$, so $d=e=1$ and $\frac{1}{4}(3+2 \cdot 3^a+3^c) = \frac{3^{3f+1}}{3^{3g+1}}$ (and then $f > g$, otherwise the sum of the pair would be 3, too small). Dividing by 3 we get $(1+3^g)(1+2 \cdot 3^{a-1}+3^{c-1}) = 4(1+3^f)$, which modulo 9 is $(1+3^g)(1+2 \cdot 3^{a-1}) \equiv 4$, only possible if $g=1$, so $2 \cdot 3^{a-1}+3^{c-1} = 3^f$, and $a=c$, which gives the pairs $(\frac{3^a+1}{2}, \frac{3^a+1}{4})_3$.
- $p=5$ and $\frac{1+5^a}{2} + \frac{5^b+5^{b+c}}{5^{d+1}} = 7 \cdot 5^e$. Modulo 5 this is $3+5^b \equiv 2 \cdot 5^e$, which is not possible.

Type (2,4): Here $p=5$, $A = \frac{5^a+1}{2}$, $B = 5^b \cdot 7$, $A+B = \frac{1+5^a+14 \cdot 5^b}{2}$. Modulo 4 we have $A \equiv 1$ or 3 , $B \equiv 3$ so $A+B \equiv 0$ or 2 can only be of type 1: $\frac{1+5^a+14 \cdot 5^b}{2} =$

$5^c(5^d + 1)$. Modulo 5 this is $1 + 4 \cdot 5^b \equiv 2 \cdot 5^c + 2 \cdot 5^{c+d}$, so $b = 0$, $c > 0$ and $15 + 5^a = 2 \cdot 5^c + 2 \cdot 5^{c+d}$, which implies $a = c = 1$ and we get the pair **(3, 7)₅**.

Type (3,1): Here $A = \frac{p^a+1}{p^b+1}$, $B = p^c(p^d + 1)$, $A + B = \frac{p^a+1}{p^b+1} + p^c + p^{c+d}$. We may assume $c > 0$, since otherwise we get a reversed pair of type (1,3), and then $A + B$ is prime to p . Depending of the type of $A + B$, we have:

- $\frac{p^a+1}{p^b+1} + p^c + p^{c+d} = p^e + 1$. Modulo $p - 1$ this is $3 \equiv 2$, so $p = 2$, and then $d, e > 0$. If $a = b$ then $p^c + p^{c+d} = p^e$, which is not possible, so $a \geq 3b$, and $2^b \frac{2^{a-b}-1}{2^b+1} + 2^c(2^d + 1) = 2^e$. Since $b, c < e$, comparing the 2-adic valuations we get $b = c$ and $2^b \frac{2^{a-2b}+1}{2^b+1} + 2^d = 2^{e-d}$, and by the same argument $b = d$ and $2^{a-2b} + 2^b + 2 = 2^{e-2b} + 2^{e-b}$. Therefore, either $b = 1$, and then $a = 3$ or $a = 5$ (and we get the pairs **(3, 6)₂**, part of the family #1, and **(11, 6)₂**, part of the family #2), or $a = 3b$ (and we get the pairs **(\frac{2^{3b}+1}{2^b+1}, 2^b(2^b + 1))₂**).
- $p \geq 3$ and $\frac{p^a+1}{p^b+1} + p^c + p^{c+d} = \frac{p^e+1}{2}$. Modulo p we get $1 \equiv \frac{1}{2}$, which is not possible.
- $\frac{p^a+1}{p^b+1} + p^c + p^{c+d} = \frac{p^e+1}{p^f+1}$, modulo $p - 1$ this is $3 \equiv 1$, so $p = 2$ or 3. Subtracting 1, we get $p^b \frac{p^{a-b}-1}{p^b+1} + p^c(p^d + 1) = p^f \frac{p^{e-f}-1}{p^f+1}$. If $a = b$ then $c = f$ and $p^d + 1 = \frac{p^{e-f}-1}{p^f+1}$. If $p = 3$, by lemma 2 $d = 0$, $f = 1$ and we get the pair **(1, 6)₃**, part of the family #33, and if $p = 2$ (so $d > 0$) $2^{d-1} = \frac{2^{e-f-1}-2^{f-1}-1}{2^f+1}$. If $d = 1$ then $2^{e-f-1} = 2^f + 2^{f-1} + 2$, then $f = 2$ and we get the pair **(1, 12)₃**, part of the family #17. Otherwise $\frac{2^{e-f-1}-2^{f-1}-1}{2^f+1}$ must be even, so $f = 1$, and then $2^d + 2^{d-1} + 2 = 2^{e-2}$, so $d = 2$ and we get the pair **(1, 10)₂**, part of the family #19.

Assume from now on that $a \geq 3b$. Comparing the p -adic valuations, two of b, c, f must coincide. Suppose $b = c$ first. Then $p^b \frac{p^{a-2b}+1}{p^b+1} + p^d = p^{f-b} \frac{p^{e-f}-1}{p^f+1}$. If $p = 3$, the 3-adic residues of the terms on the LHS are 1, and on the RHS it is 2, so $b = d = f - b$, and then $\frac{3^{a-2b}+3^{b+2}}{3^b+1} = \frac{3^{e-f}-1}{3^f+1}$. Since $f = 2b \geq 2$, if $b > 1$ the equality is impossible modulo 9. So $b = 1$, $f = 2$ and $5 \cdot 3^{a-2} + 3^3 = 2 \cdot 3^{e-2}$, which implies $a = 5$ and we get the pair **(61, 12)₃**. If $p = 2$, two of $b, d, f - b$ must coincide. If $b = d < f - b$ then $\frac{2^{a-2b}+2^{b+2}}{2^b+1} = 2^{f-2b} \frac{2^{e-f}-1}{2^f+1}$. If $b = 1$ then $2^2 \frac{2^{a-4}+1}{3} = 2^{f-2} \frac{2^{e-f}-1}{2^f+1}$, which is impossible by lemma 2. So $b > 1$, then $f - 2b = 1$ and $\frac{2^{a-2b-1}+2^{b-1}+1}{2^b+1} = \frac{2^{e-f}-1}{2^f+1}$, which modulo 4 is $2^{a-2b-1} + 2^{b-1} \equiv 2$, so $b = 2$, $f = 5$ and then modulo 16 we get $2^{a-5} + 3 \equiv -5$, so $a = 8$, which is not an odd multiple of b . If $b = f - b < d$ then $\frac{2^{a-2b}+1}{2^b+1} + 2^{d-b} = \frac{2^{e-f}-1}{2^f+1}$, which modulo 4 is $1 + 2^{d-b} \equiv -1$ (if $a = 3b$) or $1 - 2^b + 2^{d-b} \equiv -1$ (if $a > 3b$), so either $b = 1$ or $d = b + 1$. In the first case, $f = 2$, we may assume $a \geq 5$ and modulo 16 we get $2^{a-2} + 2^{d-1} \equiv 8$, so either $a = 5$ (and then $2^4 + 2^{d-1} + 2^{d+1} = 2^{e-2}$, which is not possible) or $d = 4$ (and then $2^{a-2} + 2^a + 2^7 = 2^{e-2} + 2^{e-1}$, $a = 9$ and we get the pair **(171, 34)₂**). In the second case, we assume $b > 1$ (so $f = 2b \geq 4$), and then modulo 8 we get $3 - 2^b \equiv -1$, so $b = 2$, $f = 4$, $2^a + 2^{a-4} + 2^7 + 2^6 = 2^{e-4} + 2^{e-2}$, and then $a = 10$ and we get the pair **(205, 36)₂**. Finally, if $d = f - b < b$ then $2^{b-d} \frac{2^{a-2b}+1}{2^b+1} + 1 = \frac{2^{e-f}-1}{2^f+1}$ and $f \geq 2$, so modulo 4 we get $b - d = 1$, and then modulo 8 we get $3 \equiv -1$ since $f = 2d + 1 \geq 3$, contradiction.

Suppose $b = f$ now. Then $p^{c-b}(p^d + 1) = \frac{p^{e-b}-p^{a-b}}{p^{b+1}} = p^{a-b}\frac{p^{e-a}-1}{p^{b+1}}$, so $a = c$ and, by lemma 2, if $p = 3$ this implies $d = 0, b = 1$ and we get the pairs $(\frac{3^a+1}{4}, 2 \cdot 3^a)_3$, and if $p = 2$ then either $b = 1, d = 2$ and we get the pairs $(\frac{2^a+1}{3}, 5 \cdot 2^a)_2$ or $b = 2, d = 1$ and we get the pairs $(\frac{2^a+1}{5}, 3 \cdot 2^a)_2$.

Suppose now that $c = f$, then $p^{b-c}\frac{p^{a-b}-1}{p^{b+1}} + p^d + 1 = \frac{p^{e-f}-1}{p^{f+1}}$. If $p = 3$, modulo 3 we get $3^d + 2 \equiv 3^{b-c}$, so $d = 0, b > c$, and modulo 9 we get $3^f + 3^{b-c} \equiv 3$, so either $f = 1$ or $b - c = 1$. In the first case, $3^{b-c}\frac{3^{a-b}-1}{3^{b+1}} = 3^2\frac{3^{e-3}-1}{4}$, so $b - c = 2$ and $b = 1$ by lemma 2, which is impossible. In the second case, $3^b\frac{3^{a+2b}+1}{3^{b+1}} = 3^{f-1}\frac{3^{e-2f}+1}{3^{f+1}}$, which is also impossible by lemma 2.

If $p = 2$, we assume $d \geq 1$ so $b > c$ by parity. Modulo 4 we get $2^d + 2 \equiv 2^f + 2^{b-c}$, so either all three or exactly one of $d, f, b - c$ is 1. If $d = f = b - c = 1$ then $b = 2$ and $2^3\frac{2^{a-4}+1}{5} = 2^2\frac{2^{e-3}-1}{3}$, impossible by lemma 2. If $d = 1, f, b - c > 1$, modulo 8 we get $2^f + 2^{b-c} \equiv 4$, so either $f = 2$ (and then $2^{b-c}\frac{2^{a-b}-1}{2^{b+1}} = 2^4\frac{2^{e-6}-1}{5}$, impossible by lemma 2) or $b - c = 2$ (and then $2^{b+2}\frac{2^{a-2b}+1}{2^{b+1}} = 2^f\frac{2^{e-2f}+1}{2^{f+1}}$, so $f = c = b + 2$ by lemma 2, contradiction). If $f = 1, d, b - c > 1$, we may assume $e \geq 5$, and modulo 8 we get $2^d + 4 \equiv 2^{b-c}$, so either $d = 2$ (and then $2^{b-c}\frac{2^{a-b}-1}{2^{b+1}} = 2^4\frac{2^{e-5}-1}{3}$, impossible by lemma 2) or $b - c = 2$ (and then $b = 3$ and $\frac{2^{a-3}-1}{9} + 2^{d-2} = \frac{2^{e-3}-1}{3}$, which modulo 4 gives $2^{d-2} - 1 \equiv 1$, so $d = 3$ and $2^3\frac{2^{a-6}+1}{9} = 2^2\frac{2^{e-5}-1}{3}$, which is impossible). If $b - c = 1, d, f > 1$ then $2^{b+1}\frac{2^{a-2b}+1}{2^{b+1}} + 2^d = 2^f\frac{2^{e-2f}+1}{2^{f+1}}$. Since $b + 1 = f + 2 > f$, this implies $d = f$ and $2^{b+1}\frac{2^{a-2b}+1}{2^{b+1}} = 2^{2f}\frac{2^{e-3f}-1}{2^{f+1}}$, so $f = 2, b = 3$ and the equality is impossible by lemma 2.

- $p = 5$ and $\frac{5^a+1}{5^{b+1}} + 5^c + 5^{c+d} = 7$, impossible as the LHS is > 7 .

Type (3,2): Here $p \geq 3$, $A = \frac{p^a+1}{p^{b+1}}$, $B = p^c\frac{p^d+1}{2}$, $A + B = \frac{p^a+1}{p^{b+1}} + \frac{p^c+p^{c+d}}{2}$. We may assume $c > 0$, since otherwise we get a reversed pair of type (2,3), and then $A + B$ is prime to p . Depending of the type of $A + B$, we have:

- $\frac{p^a+1}{p^{b+1}} + \frac{p^c+p^{c+d}}{2} = p^e + 1$. If $a = b$ then $p^c + p^{c+d} = 2p^e$, which is not possible if $d > 0$. So $a > b$ and $p^b\frac{p^{a-b}-1}{p^{b+1}} + p^c\frac{1+p^d}{2} = p^e$. Since the p -adic valuations of the summand on the LHS are b and c and $c < e$, we must have $b = c$ and $\frac{p^{a-b}-1}{p^{b+1}} + \frac{1+p^d}{2} = p^{e-c}$, which modulo p is $-\frac{1}{2} \equiv 0$, so not possible.
- $\frac{p^a+1}{p^{b+1}} + \frac{p^c+p^{c+d}}{2} = \frac{p^e+1}{2}$. Modulo p this is $1 \equiv \frac{1}{2}$, which is not possible.
- $\frac{p^a+1}{p^{b+1}} + \frac{p^c+p^{c+d}}{2} = \frac{p^e+1}{p^{f+1}}$, modulo $p - 1$ the LHS is $\equiv 2$ or $\frac{p+3}{2}$ and the RHS is $\equiv 1$, so $p = 3$ and we may assume $d > 1$. Note that $e \geq 3f$, otherwise the sum would be 1. If $a = b$ then $3^c\frac{3^d+1}{2} = 3^f\frac{3^{e-f}-1}{3^{f+1}}$, and comparing the 3-adic valuations we get $c = f$, so $3 + 3^d + 3^f + 3^{d+f} = 2 \cdot 3^{e-f}$ which modulo 9 is $3 + 3^f \equiv 0$, not possible. So $a > b$, and $3^b\frac{3^{a-b}-1}{3^{b+1}} + 3^c\frac{3^d+1}{2} = 3^f\frac{3^{e-f}-1}{3^{f+1}}$. If $b = c$ then the LHS has 3-adic valuation $b = c$ with residue 1, while the RHS has 3-adic valuation f with residue -1 . So either $b = f < c$ or $c = f < b$. In the first case, we get $2 \cdot 3^{a-b} + 3^{c-b}(3^b + 1)(3^d + 1) = 2 \cdot 3^{e-b}$. In particular, $e > b$ so we must have $a = c$ for the 3-adic valuations to match, and $3 + 3^b + 3^d + 3^{b+d} = 2 \cdot 3^{e-a}$, which modulo 9 is $3 + 3^b \equiv 2 \cdot 3^{e-a}$, so $b = e - a = 1$, but then the LHS sum is too big.

If $c = f < b$ then $3^{b-c}\frac{3^{a-b}-1}{3^{b+1}} + \frac{3^d+1}{2} = \frac{3^{e-c}-1}{3^{c+1}}$. Modulo 9 this is $-3^{b-c} + 5 \equiv -1 + 3^c$, so $3^c + 3^{b-c} \equiv 6$ which implies $c = 1, b = 2$. Then

$9 + 6 \cdot 3^{a-2} + 10 \cdot 3^d = 5 \cdot 3^{e-1}$, so $e \geq 4$ and $1 + 2 \cdot 3^{a-3} + 10 \cdot 3^{d-2} = 5 \cdot 3^{e-3}$, which is not possible modulo 3 since a is even, so $a > 3$.

- $p = 5$ and $\frac{5^a+1}{5^{b+1}} + \frac{5^c+5^{c+d}}{2} = 7$, impossible as the LHS is > 7 .

Type (3,3): Here $A = \frac{p^a+1}{p^{b+1}}$, $B = p^c \frac{p^d+1}{p^{e+1}}$, $A+B = \frac{p^a+1}{p^{b+1}} + \frac{p^c+p^{c+d}}{p^{e+1}}$. Depending of the type of $A+B$, we have:

- $\frac{p^a+1}{p^{b+1}} + \frac{p^c+p^{c+d}}{p^{e+1}} = p^f(p^g+1)$. Here we always have the pair $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{\mathbf{p}}$ (part of family #3) if $p > 2$, assume we are not in that case. Modulo p we get $1 + p^c = p^f + p^{f+g}$. If $p > 2$ this implies $f = 0$ (so then $g > 0$ since the sum of the pair is not 2) and $c > 0$. If $p = 2$ then $c = 0$ or $f = 0$ by parity. Suppose $f = 0$ first, then $p^b \frac{p^{a-b}-1}{p^{b+1}} + p^c \frac{p^d+1}{p^{e+1}} = p^g$. If $a = b$ then $d = e, c = g$ and we get the pairs $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{p}^c)_{\mathbf{p}}$, part of #1. Assume $a \geq 3b$, then $b, c < g$ so comparing the p -adic valuations we must have $b = c$ and $\frac{p^{a-b}-1}{p^{b+1}} + \frac{p^d+1}{p^{e+1}} = p^{g-b}$, so $p^b \frac{p^{a-2b}+1}{p^{b+1}} + p^e \frac{p^{d-e}-1}{p^{e+1}} = p^{g-b}$. If $d = e$ then $g = 2b, a = 3b$ and we get the pairs $(\frac{p^{3b}+1}{p^{b+1}}, \mathbf{p}^b)_{\mathbf{p}}$, part of #2. Otherwise, arguing as before we get $b = e$ and $\frac{p^{a-2b}+p^{d-b}}{p^{b+1}} = p^{g-2b}$, so $p^{a-2b} + p^{d-b} = p^{g-2b} + p^{g-b}$. Then either $d = g = a$ (and we get the pairs $(\frac{p^a+1}{p^{b+1}}, \mathbf{p}^b \frac{p^a+1}{p^{b+1}})_{\mathbf{p}}$) or $a - b = g, g - b = d$, so $d = a - 2b$ (and we get the pairs $(\frac{p^a+1}{p^{b+1}}, \mathbf{p}^b \frac{p^{a-2b}+1}{p^{b+1}})_{\mathbf{p}}$).

Suppose now $c = 0$ (so necessarily $p = 2$ and $f > 0$ by parity, and we may assume $g > 0$). Modulo 4 we get $2 \equiv 2^e + 2^f + 2^b$ (if $a > b$) or $2 \equiv 2^e + 2^f$ (if $a = b$). In any case, one of e, f, b must be 1. If $f = 1$ then $2^b \frac{2^{a-b}-1}{2^{b+1}} + 2^e \frac{2^{d-e}-1}{2^{e+1}} = 2^{g+1}$. If $a = b$ (or $d = e$) then this is not possible by lemma 2, so assume $a > b, d > e$, then comparing the 2-adic valuations we get $b = e$ and $\frac{2^{a-b}+2^{d-b}-2}{2^{b+1}} = 2^{g+1-b}$, that is, $2^{a-b} + 2^{d-b} = 2^{g+1} + 2^{g+1-b} + 2$, which implies $g = b$ and either $a = 2b + 1$ or $a = b + 2$, none of which is possible unless $a = 3, b = 1$.

If $f > 1$ then one of b, e is 1, by symmetry let $b = 1$ (so $a \geq 5$). We get $\frac{2^{a-2}+1}{3} + 2^{e-2} \frac{2^{d-e}-1}{2^{e+1}} = 2^{f-2}(2^g+1)$. If $d = e$ then $f = 2$ and $2 \frac{2^{a-3}-1}{3} = 2^g$, so $a = 5$ by lemma 2 and we get the pair $(\mathbf{11}, \mathbf{1})_2$, part of #14. Otherwise, either $e = 2$ or $f = 2$ by parity. In the first case, modulo 8 we get $6 \equiv 2^{f+g-2} + 2^{f-2}$, so $f = 3, g = 1$, the sum of the pair is 24, and the only option is $(\mathbf{13}, \mathbf{11})_2$. If $f = 2$ then $2 \frac{2^{a-3}-1}{3} + 2^{e-2} \frac{2^{d-e}-1}{2^{e+1}} = 2^g$, and comparing the 2-adic valuations we get $e = 3$, $2^2 \frac{2^{a-5}-1}{3} + 2^3 \frac{2^{d-6}+1}{9} = 2^{g-1}$, which looking at the 2-adic valuations is impossible unless $a = 5, d = 9$, which gives the pair $(\mathbf{11}, \mathbf{57})_2$.

- $p \geq 3$ and $\frac{p^a+1}{p^{b+1}} + \frac{p^c+p^{c+d}}{p^{e+1}} = p^f \frac{p^g+1}{2}$. Modulo $p-1$ we get $2 \equiv 1$ or $\frac{p+1}{2}$, so $p = 3$ and we may assume $g > 1$. Modulo 3 we get $1 + 3^c \equiv 2 \cdot 3^f$, so $c = f = 0$, and then $\frac{3^{a-1}-3^{b-1}}{3^{b+1}} + \frac{3^{d-1}-3^{e-1}}{3^{e+1}} = \frac{3^{g-1}-1}{2}$. Modulo 3 this is $3^{a-1} - 3^{b-1} + 3^{d-1} - 3^{e-1} \equiv 1$. Since $a = 1 \Rightarrow b = 1$ and $d = 1 \Rightarrow e = 1$, this is only possible if $b = e = 1$, and then $3^a + 3^d = 2 \cdot 3^g$, so $a = d$ and we get the pairs $(\frac{3^a+1}{4}, \frac{3^a+1}{4})_3$.
- $\frac{p^a+1}{p^{b+1}} + \frac{p^c+p^{c+d}}{p^{e+1}} = p^f \frac{p^g+1}{p^{h+1}}$, modulo $p-1$ this is $2 \equiv 1$, so it can only happen for $p = 2$. By parity, either $c = 0$ or $f = 0$, suppose $c = 0, f > 0$ first. If $a = b$ and $d = e$ we get the pair $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_2$, part of family #6. If $d = e$ and $a \geq 3b$, modulo 4 we get $2 \equiv 2^b + 2^f$, so $b = 1$ or $f = 1$. In the first case, we get the pairs $(\frac{2^b+1}{3}, \mathbf{1})_2$. In the second case, $2^b \frac{2^{a-b}-1}{2^{b+1}} = 2^{h+1} \frac{2^{g-h}-1}{2^{h+1}}$, which is impossible by lemma 2. By symmetry, we may assume from now on that

$a \geq 3b, d \geq 3e$. Modulo 4 we get $2 \equiv 2^b + 2^e + 2^f$, so one of b, e, f must be 1. Suppose $f = 1$ first, then subtracting 2 on both sides we get $2^b \frac{2^{a-b}-1}{2^b+1} + 2^e \frac{2^{d-e}-1}{2^e+1} = 2^{h+1} \frac{2^{g-h}-1}{2^{h+1}}$, so comparing the 2-adic valuations two of $b, e, h+1$ must coincide. If $b = e$ then $2^{b+1} \frac{2^{a-b-1}+2^{d-e-1}-1}{2^b+1} = 2^{h+1} \frac{2^{g-h}-1}{2^{h+1}}$, so $b = h$ and $2^{a-b-1} + 2^{d-b-1} = 2^{g-h}$, which implies $a = d$ and we get the pairs $(\frac{2^a+1}{2^{b+1}}, \frac{2^a+1}{2^{b+1}})_2$. If $b = h+1 < e$ then $2^b \frac{2^{a-2b}+1}{2^b+1} + 2^{e-b} \frac{2^{d-e}+1}{2^e+1} = 2^h \frac{2^{g-2h}+1}{2^{h+1}}$, and since $h < b$ we must have $e - b = h$ and $2 \frac{2^{a-2b}+1}{2^b+1} + \frac{2^{d-e}-1}{2^e+1} = \frac{2^{g-2h}+1}{2^{h+1}}$. If $g = 3h$ the RHS is 1, not possible since both summands on the LHS are positive. So modulo 2^b we get $1 \equiv 1 - 2^h$, which is also not possible since $h < b$. The case $e = h+1 < b$ is similar by symmetry.

Still in the $c = 0$ case, suppose now that $b = 1, f > 1$ (the case $e = 1$ is similar by symmetry). Then $a \geq 5$, and $2^2 \frac{2^{a-2}+1}{3} + 2^e \frac{2^{d-e}-1}{2^e+1} = 2^f \frac{2^g+1}{2^{h+1}}$, so $f = 2$ or $e = 2$. In the first case, subtracting 1 we get $\frac{2^{a-3}-1}{3} + 2^{e-3} \frac{2^{d-e}-1}{2^e+1} = 2^{h-1} \frac{2^{g-h}-1}{2^{h+1}}$, so either $h = 1$ (and then $2^{e-3} \frac{2^{d-e}-1}{2^e+1} = 2^{a-3} \frac{2^{g-a+2}-1}{3}$, not possible by lemma 2) or $e = 3$ (and then $2^2 \frac{2^{a-5}-1}{3} + 2^3 \frac{2^{d-6}+1}{9} = 2^{h-1} \frac{2^{g-h}-1}{2^{h+1}}$, which is impossible if $a = 5$ by lemma 2, so $a > 5, h = 3$ and $2^{a-4} + 2^{a-5} + 2^{d-5} = 2^{g-3}$, which implies $a = d$ and we get the pairs $(\frac{2^a+1}{3}, \frac{2^a+1}{9})_2$). In the second case, $\frac{2^{a-2}+1}{3} + \frac{2^{d-2}-1}{5} = 2^{f-2} \frac{2^g+1}{2^{h+1}}$, so $\frac{2^{a-3}-1}{3} + 2 \frac{2^{d-4}+1}{5} = 2^{f-3} \frac{2^g+1}{2^{h+1}}$, and then $f = 3$ and modulo 4 we get $3 \equiv 1 - 2^h$, so $h = 1$ (and $g \geq 5$) and then modulo 8 we have $2^{a-3} \equiv 4$, so $a = 5, \frac{2^{d-4}+1}{5} = \frac{2^{g-1}-1}{3}$, impossible by lemma 2.

We move now to the case $c > 0, f = 0$. Since the sum of the pair is > 1 , we always have $g \geq 3h$. Then $2^b \frac{2^{a-b}-1}{2^b+1} + 2^c \frac{2^d+1}{2^e+1} = 2^h \frac{2^{g-h}-1}{2^{h+1}}$. If $a = b$ then lemma 2 implies that either $d = e$ (and we get the pairs $(1, 2^c)$) or $d = 3, e = 1, c = h = 2$, and we get the pair $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{12})_2$, part of #17. So assume $a \geq 3b$ from now on, then comparing the 2-adic valuations we get that two of b, c, h must coincide (and the third one must be strictly larger). Consider the case $b = c < h$ first. Then $2^b \frac{2^{a-2b}+1}{2^b+1} + 2^e \frac{2^{d-e}-1}{2^e+1} = 2^{h-b} \frac{2^{g-h}-1}{2^{h+1}}$. If $d = e$, since $h > 1$ by lemma 2 we get $b = 1, a = 5$ which gives the pair $(\mathbf{11}, \mathbf{2})_2$. Otherwise, two of $b, e, h - b$ must coincide. If $b = e < h - b$ then $\frac{2^{a-2b}+2^{d-b}}{2^b+1} = 2^{h-2b} \frac{2^{g-h}-1}{2^{h+1}}$, impossible by lemma 2 since $h > 2b \geq 2$. If $b = h - b < e$ then $\frac{2^{a-2b}+1}{2^b+1} + 2^{e-b} \frac{2^{d-e}-1}{2^e+1} = \frac{2^{g-h}-1}{2^{h+1}}$. If $a = 3b$, modulo 4 this is $1 + 2^{e-b} \equiv -1$ (since $h = 2b \geq 2$), so $e - b = 1$, and adding 1 we get $2^{e+1} \frac{2^{d-2e}+1}{2^e+1} = 2^h \frac{2^{g-2h}+1}{2^{h+1}}$, and then by lemma 2 we have $b+1 = e = h-1 = 2b-1$, so $b = 2, e = 3, d = 3e = 9, a = 3b = 6$ and we get the pair $(\mathbf{13}, \mathbf{228})_2$. Otherwise, modulo 4 we get $1 - 2^b - 2^{e-b} \equiv -1$, so either $b = 1$ or $e - b = 1$. The latter would imply $2^b \frac{2^{a-3b}-1}{2^b+1} + 2^{b+2} \frac{2^{d-2e}+1}{2^e+1} = 2^h \frac{2^{g-2h}+1}{2^{h+1}}$, which is not possible since $b < b+2, h$. So $b = 1, h = 2$ and $\frac{2^{a-2}+1}{3} + 2^{e-1} \frac{2^{d-e}-1}{2^e+1} = \frac{2^{g-2}-1}{5}$, which adding 1 gives $\frac{2^{a-4}+1}{3} + 2^{e-3} \frac{2^{d-e}-1}{2^e+1} = \frac{2^{g-4}+1}{5}$, and modulo 4 this is $2^{a-4} + 2 \equiv 2^{e-3}$, so either $a = 5$ (which would imply $2^{e-5} \frac{2^{d-e}-1}{2^e+1} = 2^2 \frac{2^{g-6}-1}{5}$, not possible by lemma 2) or $e = 4$ (and then modulo 8 we get $3 \cdot 2^{a-4} \equiv 5 \cdot 2^{g-4} + 4$, and since $a \geq 5$ is odd and $g \geq 6$, we must have $g = 6$ and the sum of the pair is 13, which leaves $(11, 2)$ as the only option, already found above). Finally, if $e = h - b < b$ (so $b \geq 2, h \geq 3$) we get $2^{b-e} \frac{2^{a-2b}+1}{2^b+1} + 2^e \frac{2^{d-2e}+1}{2^e+1} = 2^h \frac{2^{g-2h}+1}{2^{h+1}}$, and since $e < h$ this implies $b = 2e$ and $\frac{2^{a-2b}+1}{2^b+1} + \frac{2^{d-2e}+1}{2^e+1} = 2^b \frac{2^{g-2h}+1}{2^{h+1}}$, which modulo 4 is $2 - 2^e \equiv 0$,

so $e = 1, b = 2, h = 3$ and $\frac{2^{a-6}-1}{5} + \frac{2^{d-4}+1}{3} = \frac{2^{g-6}+1}{9}$, and then by parity $a = 6$ and by lemma 2 $d = 5$, which gives the pair $(\mathbf{13}, \mathbf{44})_2$.

Consider now the case $b = h < c$. Then $2^c \frac{2^d+1}{2^{e+1}} = 2^a \frac{2^{g-h+b-a}-1}{2^{b+1}}$, so by lemma 2 we get $d = e, a = c$, which gives the pairs $(\frac{2^a+1}{3}, \mathbf{2^a})_2$.

Finally, consider the case where $c = h < b$. Then $2^{b-c} \frac{2^{a-b}-1}{2^{b+1}} + \frac{2^d+1}{2^{e+1}} = \frac{2^{g-h}-1}{2^{h+1}}$. Modulo 4 this is $2 \equiv 2^{b-c} + 2^e + 2^h$ (or $2 \equiv 2^{b-c} + 2^h$ if $d = e$) so one of $b-c, e, h$ must be 1. If $b-c = 1$ then $2^{b+1} \frac{2^{a-2b}+1}{2^{b+1}} + 2^e \frac{2^{d-e}-1}{2^{e+1}} = 2^h \frac{2^{g-2h}-1}{2^{h+1}}$. Since $h < b$, this is impossible if $d = e$, and otherwise it implies that $e = h$, in which case $2^{b+1-e} \frac{2^{a-2b}+1}{2^{b+1}} + \frac{2^{d-e}-1}{2^{e+1}} = \frac{2^{g-2h}+1}{2^{h+1}}$, which modulo 4 gives $2^{e+1} \equiv 2$, not possible. If $e = 1, b-c > 1$ we may assume $d \geq 5$, then $2^{b-c} \frac{2^{a-b}-1}{2^{b+1}} + \frac{2^d+1}{3} = \frac{2^{g-h}-1}{2^{h+1}}$. Modulo 4 we get $h \geq 2$, and then $2^{b-c-2} \frac{2^{a-b}-1}{2^{b+1}} + \frac{2^{d-2}+1}{3} = 2^{h-2} \frac{2^{g-2h}+1}{2^{h+1}}$, so $b-c = 2$ or $h = 2$. In the first case, $2^b \frac{2^{a-2b}+1}{2^{b+1}} + 2 \frac{2^{d-3}-1}{3} = 2^{h-2} \frac{2^{g-2h}+1}{2^{h+1}}$, so $h = c = 3$ (since $b \geq 2$), and then $b = c + 2 = 5$ and $2^4 \frac{2^{a-10}+1}{2^5+1} + 2^2 \frac{2^{d-5}-1}{3} = 2^3 \frac{2^{g-9}-1}{9}$, which is impossible looking at the 2-adic valuations of the terms. In the second case, $2^{b-c-2} \frac{2^{a-b}-1}{2^{b+1}} + 2 \frac{2^{d-3}-1}{3} = 2^2 \frac{2^{g-6}-1}{5}$, so $b = c + 3 = 5$, $\frac{2^{a-5}-1}{33} + \frac{2^{d-3}-1}{3} = 2 \frac{2^{g-6}-1}{5}$ and modulo 4 we get $0 \equiv 2$, impossible. Finally, if $h = 1$ and $e, b-c > 1$ we may assume $g \geq 5$, and we have $2^{b-1} \frac{2^{a-b}-1}{2^{b+1}} + 2^e \frac{2^{d-e}-1}{2^{e+1}} = 2^2 \frac{2^{g-3}-1}{3}$, so either $e = 2$ or $b = 3$. In the first case we get $2^{b-3} \frac{2^{a-b}-1}{2^{b+1}} + 2^2 \frac{2^{d-4}+1}{5} = 2 \frac{2^{g-4}+1}{3}$, so $b = 4$ and $2^4 \frac{2^{a-8}+1}{17} + 2 \frac{2^{d-4}+1}{5} = 2^2 \frac{2^{g-6}+1}{3}$, not possible looking at their 2-adic valuations. In the second case $\frac{2^{a-b}-1}{2^{b+1}} + 2^{e-2} \frac{2^{d-e}-1}{2^{e+1}} = \frac{2^{g-3}-1}{3}$, which modulo 4 implies $e = 3$, and then adding 3 on both sides we get $2^b \frac{2^{a-2b}+1}{2^{b+1}} + 2^4 \frac{2^{d-6}+1}{9} = 2^3 \frac{2^{g-6}+1}{3}$, so $b = 3$ and $2^{a-6} + 2^{d-5} = 2^{g-6} + 2^{g-5}$, and either $a = d$ (which gives the pairs $(\frac{2^a+1}{9}, \mathbf{2} \frac{2^a+1}{9})_2$) or $a = d + 2$ (not possible since a and d must be multiples of 3).

- $p = 5$ and $\frac{5^a+1}{5^b+1} + \frac{5^c+5^{c+d}}{5^e+1} = 7 \cdot 5^f$. Modulo 5 this is $1 + 5^c = 2 \cdot 5^f$, so $c = f = 0$ and $\frac{5^a+1}{5^b+1} + \frac{5^d+1}{5^e+1} = 7$. Since both summands are 1 modulo 5, the only option is the already found pair $(6, 1)_5$, of type $(1, 3)$.

Type (3,4): Here $p = 5, A = \frac{5^a+1}{5^b+1}, B = 7 \cdot 5^c, A + B = \frac{1+5^a+7 \cdot 5^c+7 \cdot 5^{b+c}}{1+5^b}$. Modulo 4 we have $A \equiv 1$ and $B \equiv 3$, so $A + B \equiv 0$ which is not possible for any FM exponent.

Type (4,1): Here $p = 5, A = 7, B = 5^a(5^b + 1), A + B = 7 + 5^a + 5^{a+b}$. We may assume $a > 0$, since otherwise we get a reversed pair of type $(1, 4)$, and then $A + B$ is prime to 5. Modulo 4 we have $A \equiv 3, B \equiv 2$, so $A + B \equiv 1$ can only be of types 2 and 3. Depending of the type of $A + B$, we have:

- $7 + 5^a + 5^{a+b} = \frac{5^c+1}{2}$, which modulo 5 is $2 \equiv 3$, not possible.
- $7 + 5^a + 5^{a+b} = \frac{5^c+1}{5^d+1}$, which modulo 5 is $2 \equiv 1$, not possible.

Type (4,2): Here $p = 5, A = 7, B = 5^a \frac{5^b+1}{2}, A + B = \frac{14+5^a+5^{a+b}}{2}$. We may assume $a > 0$, since otherwise we get a reversed pair of type $(2, 4)$, and then $A + B$ is prime to 5. Modulo 4 we have $A \equiv 3, B \equiv 1$ or 3 , so $A + B \equiv 0$ or 2 can only be of type 1. Then $\frac{14+5^a+5^{a+b}}{2} = 1 + 5^c$, which modulo 5 is $2 \equiv 1 + 5^c$, only possible if $c = 0$, not possible since $2 < 7$.

Type (4,3): Here $p = 5, A = 7, B = 5^a \frac{5^b+1}{5^c+1}$. Modulo 4 we have $A \equiv 3, B \equiv 1$, so $A + B \equiv 0$ can never be an FM-exponent.

Type (4,4): Here $p = 5$, $A = 7$, $B = 7 \cdot 5^a$. Modulo 4 we have $A \equiv B \equiv 3$, so $A+B \equiv 2$ can only be of type 1: $7+7 \cdot 5^a = 5^b+1$. Modulo 5 this is $2+2 \cdot 5^a = 5^b+1$, only possible for $b = 0$, but then $5^b+1 = 2 < 7$.

□

For each of the pairs in the previous theorem, we will now check whether or not the corresponding local system has finite monodromy. We start with two technical lemmas.

Lemma 3. *Fix a prime p , and let $a \geq 3b$ be an odd multiple of b and $c \geq b$. Then*

$$(1) V_p \left(\frac{p^a+1}{(p^b+1)(p^c-1)} \right) = \frac{a}{2c} - \frac{b}{2c} + \frac{1}{c(p-1)}$$

$$(2) V_p \left(\frac{p^{a+b}-p^b-2}{(p^b+1)(p^c-1)} \right) = \frac{a}{2c} + \frac{b}{2c} - \frac{1}{c(p-1)}$$

Proof. (1) Since $\frac{p^a+1}{p^b+1} < p^{a-b} < p^a - 1 \leq p^c - 1$, $V_p \left(\frac{p^a+1}{(p^b+1)(p^c-1)} \right)$ is $\frac{1}{c(p-1)}$ times the sum of the p -adic digits of $\frac{p^a+1}{p^b+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{(a-b)/2b} p^{(2k-1)b} (p^b - 1) + 1$, which is $\frac{a-b}{2b}b(p-1) + 1$.

(2) It follows from (1) since $\frac{p^a+1}{p^b+1} + \frac{p^{a+b}-p^b-2}{p^b+1} = p^a - 1$, all whose last a p -adic digits are $p-1$.

□

Lemma 4. *Let $k = \mathbb{F}_{2^r}$ and $\psi : k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ the additive character $t \mapsto (-1)^{\text{Tr}_{k/\mathbb{F}_2}(t)}$. Then for every $t, y \in k$ we have the equality*

$$\sum_{\substack{x \in k \\ x^2+x=y}} \psi(tx) = \sum_{\substack{u \in k \\ u^2+u=t^2}} \psi(uy).$$

Proof. Recall that an equation of the form $w^2 + w = z$ for a given $z \in k$ has a root in k if and only if $\psi(z) = 1$, and in this case, if w_0 is such a root, then $w_0 + 1$ is the other one.

It follows that $(1 - \psi(t)) \sum_{x^2+x=y} \psi(tx) = 0$ and $(1 - \psi(y)) \sum_{u^2+u=t^2} \psi(uy) = 0$. Therefore, if $\psi(t) = \psi(t^2) = -1$ or $\psi(y) = -1$ both sums vanish. Assume now that $\psi(t) = \psi(y) = 1$ and let $x_0 \in k$ (resp. $u_0 \in k$) be a root of the equation $x^2 + x = y$ (resp. $u^2 + u = t^2$). Then $\sum_{x^2+x=y} \psi(tx) = (1 + \psi(t))\psi(tx_0) = 2\psi(tx_0)$ and $\sum_{u^2+u=t^2} \psi(uy) = (1 + \psi(y))\psi(u_0y) = 2\psi(u_0y)$. The equality $\psi(tx_0) = \psi(t^2x_0^2) = \psi(u_0^2x_0^2)\psi(u_0x_0^2) = \psi(u_0x_0)^2\psi(u_0y) = \psi(u_0y)$ proves the lemma. □

Theorem 6. *Let A, B be positive integers such that A is prime to p and the polynomial $f(x) = x^A(x-1)^B$ is such that \mathcal{F}_f has finite monodromy group. Then (A, B) is one of the following pairs (or their reversed pairs):*

- (1) $p \geq 2$, $(1, p^a)$ for $a \geq 0$.
- (2) $p = 2$, $(1, 12)$.
- (3) $p = 2$, $(2^a + 1, 2^a + 1)$ for $a \geq 1$.
- (4) $p = 2$, $(\frac{2^a+1}{2^b+1}, \frac{2^a+1}{2^b+1})$ for $b \geq 1$ and $a \geq b$ an odd multiple of b .
- (5) $p = 2$, $(1, 2^a + 1)$ for $a \geq 1$.
- (6) $p = 2$, $(2^a + 1, 2^a)$ for $a \geq 1$.
- (7) $p = 2$, $(2^a + 1, \frac{2^a+1}{3})$ for odd $a \geq 1$.
- (8) $p = 2$, $(1, \frac{2^a+1}{3})$ for odd $a \geq 1$.
- (9) $p = 2$, $(\frac{2^a+1}{3}, 2^a)$ for odd $a \geq 1$.
- (10) $p = 3$, $(1, 4)$, $(1, 6)$, $(2, 2)$ or $(4, 3)$.
- (11) $p = 3$, $(3^a + 1, \frac{3^a+1}{2})$ for $a \geq 0$.
- (12) $p = 3$, $(1, \frac{3^a+1}{2})$ for $a \geq 0$.
- (13) $p = 3$, $(\frac{3^a+1}{2}, 3^a)$ for $a \geq 0$.

(14) $p = 5, (2, 1)$.

Proof. For each item from Theorem 5 we either prove the corresponding family has finite monodromy or give a counterexample for the V -criterion Theorem 4. Write $W_p(d, e, x, y) := V_p(x) + V_p(y) + V_p(y - (d+e)x) + V_p(ex - y) + V_p(-ex)$ for $d, e \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(1) $p \geq 2, (\frac{p^a+1}{p^b+1}, p^b \frac{p^a+1}{p^b+1})$ for $b \geq 1$ and $a \geq b$ an odd multiple of b . Observe that $\frac{p^a+1}{p^b+1} + p^b \frac{p^a+1}{p^b+1} = p^a + 1$.

If $a = b$ we have the pair $(1, p^a)$ with finite monodromy. Indeed, the trace function of \mathcal{F}_f with $f(x) = x^{p^a+1} - x$ is:

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^{p^a+1} + (t-s)x),$$

which is an algebraic integer since $p^a + 1$ is an FM-exponent. Observe that this argument works for $a = 0$ as well.

Assume $a \geq 3b$ and consider $(x, y) = (\frac{1}{p^a-1}, \frac{2}{p^a-1})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_p(x) + V_p(y) + V_p(y - (p^a + 1)x) + V_p\left(\frac{p^b p^a + 1}{p^b + 1}x - y\right) + V_p\left(-p^b \frac{p^a + 1}{p^b + 1}x\right) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{a(p-1)} + V_p\left(\frac{2}{p^a-1}\right) + 1 + \frac{b}{a} - \frac{2}{a(p-1)} \end{aligned}$$

by lemma 3. If $p = 2$ this is $1 + \frac{b}{a} \leq \frac{4}{3} < \frac{3}{2}$. If $p > 2$ it is $1 + \frac{b}{a} + \frac{1}{a(p-1)} \leq \frac{4}{3} + \frac{1}{a(p-1)} < \frac{3}{2}$ unless $a(p-1) \leq 6$, which can only happen for $a = p = 3$, in which case we have $W_3(7, 21, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{5}{4} < \frac{3}{2}$.

(2) $p \geq 2, (\frac{p^{a+2b}+1}{p^b+1}, p^b \frac{p^{a+1}}{p^b+1})$. Observe that $d + e = p^{a+b} + 1$.

If $a = b$ then $d = \frac{p^{3b}+1}{p^b+1}$, $e = p^b$ and $d + e = p^{2b} + 1$. Consider $(x, y) = (\frac{p^b-1}{p^{3b}-1}, p^b \frac{1}{p^{3b}-1})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_p(x) + V_p(y) + V_p(y - (p^{2b} + 1)x) + V_p(p^b x - y) + V_p(-p^b x) &= \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{3b(p-1)} + V_p\left(\frac{p^{2b}}{p^{3b}-1}\right) + V_p\left(\frac{p^b-2}{p^{3b}-1}\right) = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{1}{3b(p-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This is $< 3/2$ unless $b(p-1) \leq 2$, which can only happen for $(p, b) = (2, 1), (2, 2)$ or $(3, 1)$. For $p = 2$ and $a = b = 1$ we obtain the pair $(3, 2)_2$ with finite monodromy. Indeed, $f(x) = x^5 + x^3$ and the trace function of \mathcal{F}_f is

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^5 + sx^3 + tx),$$

which is a specialization of the finite monodromy family $\mathcal{F}(5, 3, 1; \mathbf{1})$ in [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3(ii)]. For the other two pairs, $W_2(13, 4, \frac{19}{255}, \frac{4}{15}) = W_3(7, 3, \frac{11}{80}, \frac{3}{8}) = \frac{11}{8} < \frac{3}{2}$.

Now assume $a \geq 3b$ and consider $(x, y) = (\frac{1}{p^{a+b}-1}, \frac{2}{p^{a+b}-1})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_p(x) + V_p(y) + V_p(y - (p^{a+b} + 1)x) + V_p(ex - y) + V_p(-ex) &= \\ &= 1 + \frac{b}{a+b} - \frac{1}{(a+b)(p-1)} + V_p\left(\frac{2}{p^{a+b}-1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

by lemma 3. Since $a \geq 3b$, for $p = 2$ this is $= 1 + \frac{b}{a+b} \leq \frac{5}{4} < \frac{3}{2}$ and for $p > 2$ this is $= 1 + \frac{b}{a+b} + \frac{1}{(a+b)(p-1)} \leq \frac{5}{4} + \frac{1}{4b(p-1)} < \frac{3}{2}$.

(3) $p \geq 3$, $(\frac{p^a+1}{2}, \frac{p^a+1}{2})$ for $a \geq 0$. Observe that $d + e = p^a + 1$.

If $a = 0$ we already know these pairs have finite monodromy.

Assume from now on $a \geq 1$. Consider $(x, y) = (\frac{1}{p^a-1}, \frac{2}{p^a-1})$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} V_p(x) + V_p(y) + V_p(y - (p^a + 1)x) + V_p(ex - y) + V_p(-ex) &= \\ = \frac{1}{a(p-1)} + \frac{2}{a(p-1)} + 0 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{a(p-1)} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{a(p-1)} &= 1 + \frac{1}{a(p-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This is $< \frac{3}{2}$ unless $a(p-1) \leq 2$, which is only possible for $p = 3, a = 1$. For $p = 3$ and $a = 1$ we find the pair $(2, 2)_3$ and it gives finite monodromy. The associated polynomial is $f(x) = (x^2 - x)^2 = x^4 + x^3 + x^2$ and the trace function of \mathcal{F}_f takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} (k_r; s, t) &\mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^4 + sx^3 + sx^2 + tx) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^4 + sx^2 + (t + s^{1/3})x), \end{aligned}$$

which is a specialization of the trace function of local system $\mathcal{F}(4, 2, 1; \mathbf{1})$ from [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3(i-bis)].

(4) Observe that $(5, 17) \equiv (5, 52) \equiv (33, 10) \equiv (33, 24) \equiv (5, 3) \pmod{2^3 - 1}$ and $(17, 26) \equiv (17, 40) \equiv (3, 5) \pmod{2^3 - 1}$. Taking $x = y = \frac{1}{7}$ we get $W(5, 3, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{1}{7}) = \frac{4}{3} < \frac{3}{2}$.

For the remaining pairs, we get $W_2(5, 6, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{4}{7}) = W_2(171, 34, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{2}{7}) = \frac{4}{3}$;
 $W_2(3, 10, \frac{3}{31}, \frac{8}{31}) = W_2(5, 8, \frac{3}{31}, \frac{8}{31}) = W_2(9, 4, \frac{3}{31}, \frac{8}{31}) = W_2(9, 2, \frac{3}{31}, \frac{2}{31}) =$
 $W_2(33, 172, \frac{5}{31}, \frac{2}{31}) = \frac{7}{5}$;
 $W_2(9, 11, \frac{5}{63}, \frac{37}{63}) = W_2(9, 13, \frac{3}{63}, \frac{3}{63}) = W_2(9, 34, \frac{3}{63}, \frac{3}{63}) = W_2(11, 2, \frac{5}{63}, \frac{2}{63}) =$
 $\frac{4}{3}$;
 $W_2(9, 17, \frac{3}{31}, \frac{16}{31}) = W_2(9, 48, \frac{3}{31}, \frac{16}{31}) = W_2(9, 43, \frac{3}{31}, \frac{1}{31}) = W_2(205, 36, \frac{1}{31}, \frac{1}{31}) =$
 $\frac{7}{5}$;
 $W_2(11, 13, \frac{1}{15}, \frac{9}{15}) = W_2(11, 57, \frac{1}{15}, \frac{8}{15}) = W_2(13, 44, \frac{1}{15}, \frac{12}{15}) = W_2(13, 228, \frac{1}{15}, \frac{1}{15}) =$
 $W_2(33, 208, \frac{1}{15}, \frac{1}{15}) = W_2(65, 176, \frac{1}{15}, \frac{1}{15}) = \frac{5}{4}$.

(5-6) $p = 2$, (a, a) for a an arbitrary FM-exponent. These pairs have finite monodromy: here $f(x) = x^a(x+1)^a$, and the trace function of \mathcal{F}_f is

$$\begin{aligned} (k_r; s, t) &\mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^a(x+1)^a + tx) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{y \in k_r} \psi_r(sy^a) \sum_{x^2+x=y} \psi_r(tx) = -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{y \in k_r} \psi_r(sy^a) \sum_{u^2+u=t^2} \psi_r(uy) = \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{u^2+u=t^2} \sum_{y \in k_r} \psi_r(sy^a + uy) \end{aligned}$$

by lemma 4. Since a is an FM-exponent, each $-\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{y \in k_r} \psi_r(sy^a + uy)$ term is an algebraic integer.

(7) $p = 2$, $(1, 2^a + 1)$ for $a \geq 1$. These pairs have finite monodromy. Indeed, we work with the reversed pair $(d, e) = (2^a + 1, 1)$ so $f(x) = x^{2(2^a-1+1)} + x^{2^a+1}$ and the trace function of \mathcal{F}_f is

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^{2(2^a-1+1)} + sx^{2^a+1} + tx) =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^{2^a+1} + s^{1/2}x^{2^{a-1}+1} + tx)$$

which is a specialization of the trace function of the sheaf $\mathcal{F}(2^{a+1} + 1, 2^a + 1, 1; \mathbf{1})$ in [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3(ii)].

- (8) $p = 2$, $(2^a + 1, 2^a)$ for $a \geq 1$. These pairs have finite monodromy. Indeed, $f(x) = x^{2^{a+1}+1} + x^{2^a+1}$ and the trace function of \mathcal{F}_f is

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^{2^{a+1}+1} + sx^{2^a+1} + tx),$$

which is a specialization of the finite monodromy family $\mathcal{F}(2^{a+1} + 1, 2^a + 1, 1; \mathbf{1})$ in [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3(ii)].

- (9) $p = 2$, $(3, 2^a + 1)$ for $a \geq 1$. Assume $a \geq 1$ is odd. If $a = 1$ the pair has finite monodromy by #5. If $a = 3$ we also obtain a pair $(3, 9)_2$ with finite monodromy since $f(x) = x^3(x+1)(x+1)^8 = x^{12} + x^{11} + x^4 + x^3$ and the trace function of \mathcal{F}_f is

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^{11} + (s + s^{1/4})x^3 + (t + s^{1/4})x),$$

which is a specialization of the finite monodromy family $\mathcal{F}(11, 3, 1; \mathbf{1})$ in [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3(iii)].

For $a \geq 5$, we show that the pair $(2^a + 1, 3)$ does not have finite monodromy. To this end, consider $x = y = \frac{2^{3\lfloor \frac{a-2}{3} \rfloor - 1}}{(2^3 - 1)(2^{a-2} - 1)} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{a-2}{3} \rfloor - 1} 2^{3k}}{2^{a-2} - 1}$. Observe that $3x = x + 2x$ and there are no carries while adding the numerators of x and $2x$, so $V_2(3x) = V_2(x) + V_2(2x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & V_2(x) + V_2(x) + V_2((1 - (2^a + 4))x) + V_2(2x) + V_2(-3x) = \\ & V_2\left(-\frac{2^{3\lfloor \frac{a-2}{3} \rfloor} - 1}{2^3 - 1} \frac{2^a + 2 + 1}{2^{a-2} - 1}\right) + 1 + \frac{\lfloor \frac{a-2}{3} \rfloor}{a-2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Observe that } V_2\left(-\frac{2^{3\lfloor \frac{a-2}{3} \rfloor} - 1}{2^3 - 1} \frac{2^a + 2 + 1}{2^{a-2} - 1}\right) = V_2\left(-\frac{2^{3\lfloor \frac{a-2}{3} \rfloor} - 1}{2^{a-2} - 1}\right) = 1 - \frac{3\lfloor \frac{a-2}{3} \rfloor}{a-2},$$

so $W_2(d, e, x, y) = 2 - \frac{2\lfloor \frac{a-2}{3} \rfloor}{a-2}$ and this is $< 3/2$ unless $a = 7$. For $a = 7$, we get $W_2(129, 3, \frac{5}{31}, \frac{9}{31}) = \frac{7}{5} < \frac{3}{2}$.

Now assume $a \geq 2$ is even. We show the pair $(2^a + 1, 3)$ does not give finite monodromy. Let $(x, y) = (\frac{2^a - 1}{3(2^{a+1} - 1)}, \frac{2^{a-1} - 1}{2^{a+1} - 1}) = (\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{a/2-1} 2^{2k}}{2^{a+1} - 1}, \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{a/2-1} 2^k}{2^{a+1} - 1})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & V_2(x) + V_2(y) + V_2(y - (d + e)x) + V_2(ex - y) + V_2(-ex) = \\ & = \frac{3a + 2}{2(a + 1)} + V_2\left(- (2^a + 4) \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{a/2-1} 2^{2k}}{2^{a+1} - 1} + \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{a/2-1} 2^k}{2^{a+1} - 1}\right) = \frac{3a + 2}{2(a + 1)} < \frac{3}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

because $(2^a + 4) \sum_{k=0}^{a/2-1} 2^{2k} - \sum_{k=0}^{a/2-1} 2^k = \sum_{k=0}^{a/2-1} 2^{2(k+a/2)} + \sum_{k=1}^{a/2} 2^{2k} - \sum_{k=0}^{a/2-1} 2^{2k} - \sum_{k=1}^{a/2-1} 2^{2k-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{a/2-1} 2^{2(k+a/2)} - \sum_{k=1}^{a/2-1} 2^{2k-1} + 2^{a+1} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{a+1} - 1}$.

- (10) $p = 2$, $(2^a + 1, 3 \cdot 2^a)$ for $a \geq 1$. Assume $a \geq 2$ is even. For $a = 2$, $W_2(5, 12, \frac{19}{127}, \frac{69}{127}) = \frac{10}{7} < \frac{3}{2}$. For $a \geq 4$ consider $(x, y) = (\frac{2^{a-2} - 1}{3(2^{a-1} - 1)}, \frac{2^{2^{a-3}} - 1}{2^{a-1} - 1}) = (\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{a/2-2} 2^{2k}}{2^{a-1} - 1}, \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{a-4} 2^k}{2^{a-1} - 1})$. Observe that $(2^{a-1} - 1)(y - (d + e)x) = 2(2^{a-3} - 1) - (2^{a+2} + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{a/2-2} 2^{2k} \equiv 2^{a-2} - 2 - (2^3 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{a/2-2} 2^{2k} = 2^{a-2} - 2^{a-1} -$

$\sum_{k=0}^{a-3} 2^k = -2^{a-1} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{a-1} - 1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_2(x) + V_2(y) + V_2(y - (2^{a+2} + 1)x) + V_2(3 \cdot 2^a \cdot x - y) + V_2(-3x) &= \\ = \frac{a-2}{2(a-1)} + \frac{a-3}{a-1} + 0 + \frac{1}{a-1} + \frac{1}{a-1} &= \frac{3a-4}{2(a-1)} = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2(a-1)} < \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(2^a + 1, 3 \cdot 2^a) \equiv (3, 6) \pmod{2^{a-1} - 1} = (2^a + 1, 3 \cdot 2^a)|_{a=1}$ we see that the previous argument also shows that for $a = 1$ the pair does not give finite monodromy.

Assume now $a \geq 3$ is odd. Take $x = \frac{2^{a+1}-1}{3(2^{a+2}-1)} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{a-1}{2}} 2^{2k}}{2^{a+2}-1}$, $y = 2x$. Since $3 \cdot 2^a \cdot \frac{2^{a+1}-1}{3} - 2 \frac{2^{a+1}-1}{3} \equiv - \left(2^{a-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{(a-1)/2} 2^{2k+1} \right) \pmod{2^{a+2} - 1}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2V_2(x) + V_2(x(1 - 2^{a+2})) + V_2(ex - 2x) + V_2(-ex) &= \\ = \frac{a+1}{a+2} + 0 + \frac{a+1}{2(a+2)} + \frac{1}{a+2} &= \frac{3a+5}{2(a+2)} < \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

- (11) $p = 2$, $(2^a + 1, \frac{2^{3a}+1}{2^{a+1}})$ for $a \geq 1$. Consider the reversed pair $(d, e) = (\frac{2^{3a}+1}{2^{a+1}}, 2^a + 1)$ and observe that $d + e = 2(2^{2a-1} + 1)$.

For $a = 1$ the pair has finite monodromy by #5.

For $a \geq 2$ take $(x, y) = (\frac{3}{2^{2a}-1}, \frac{9}{2^{2a}-1})$. Since $9 - (d + e)3 = 9 - (2^{2a} + 2)3 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2a} - 1}$ and $ex - y = 3(2^a + 1) - 9 = 2 \cdot 3(2^{a-1} - 1) = 2(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{a-2} 2^k + 2^a)$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} V_2(x) + V_2(y) + V_2(y - (2^{2a} + 2)x) + V_2(ex - y) + V_2(-ex) &= \\ = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{a} + 0 + \frac{a-1}{2a} + \frac{a-2}{a} &= 1 + \frac{a-1}{2a} = \frac{3a-1}{2a} < \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

- (12) $p = 2$, $(\frac{2^{3a}+1}{2^{a+1}}, 2^a(2^a + 1))$ for $a \geq 1$. Observe that $e = 2^{2a} + 2^a \equiv 2^a + 1 \pmod{2^{2a} - 1}$ so we conclude using the previous item for $a \geq 2$.

For $a = 1$, the pair $(3, 6)$ does not have finite monodromy by #10.

- (13) $p = 2$, $(2^a + 1, \frac{2^a+1}{3})$ for odd $a \geq 1$. For $a = 1$ the pair has finite monodromy by #7.

Now we show that the reversed pair $(d, e) = (\frac{2^a+1}{3}, 2^a + 1)$ has finite monodromy for $a \geq 3$. Indeed, $f(x) = x^d(x+1)^e = x^{2^2 \frac{2^a+1}{3}} + x^{\frac{2^a+2+1}{3}} + x^{2^2 \frac{2^a-2+1}{3}} + x^{\frac{2^a+1}{3}}$ and the trace function of \mathcal{F}_f is as follows:

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^{\frac{2^a+2+1}{3}} + (s + s^{1/4})x^{\frac{2^a+1}{3}} + s^{1/4}x^{\frac{2^a-2+1}{3}} + tx),$$

which is a specialization of the finite monodromy family $\mathcal{F}(\frac{2^a+2+1}{3}, \frac{2^a+1}{3}, \frac{2^a-2+1}{3}, 1; \mathbf{1})$ by [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3(iii)].

- (14) $p = 2$, $(1, \frac{2^a+1}{3})$ for odd $a \geq 1$. For $a = 1, 3$ we have already seen that the pair has finite monodromy. The remaining values $a \geq 5$ have finite monodromy as well since the polynomial of the reversed pair $(d, e) = (\frac{2^a+1}{3}, 1)$ is $f(x) = x^{2^2 \frac{2^a-2+1}{3}} + x^{\frac{2^a+1}{3}}$ and the trace function of \mathcal{F}_f is given by

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^{\frac{2^a+1}{3}} + s^{1/4}x^{\frac{2^a-2+1}{3}} + tx),$$

which is a specialization of the finite monodromy family $\mathcal{F}(\frac{2^a+1}{3}, \frac{2^a-2+1}{3}, 1; \mathbf{1})$ in [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3(iii)].

- (15) $p = 2$, $(\frac{2^a+1}{3}, 2^a)$ for $a \geq 1$ odd. These pairs have finite monodromy. Indeed, $f(x) = x^{\frac{2^a+2+1}{3}} + x^{\frac{2^a+1}{3}}$ and the trace function of \mathcal{F}_f is

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^{\frac{2^a+2+1}{3}} + sx^{\frac{2^a+1}{3}} + tx),$$

which is a specialization of the finite monodromy family $\mathcal{F}(\frac{2^a+2+1}{3}, \frac{2^a+1}{3}, 1; \mathbf{1})$ in [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3(iii)].

- (16) $p = 2$, $(3, \frac{2^{2a}+1}{5})$ for $a \geq 1$ odd. For $a = 1$ the pair has finite monodromy by #7.

For $a \geq 3$, consider $x = y = \frac{1}{2^{2a-1}-1}$. Observe that $(d+e) - 1 = e + 2 = 1 + 2 + (2^2 - 1) \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{a-1}{2}} 2^{2(2k-1)} = 1 + 2 + (2+1) \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{a-1}{2}} 2^{2(2k-1)}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2V_2(x) + V_2((1 - (d+e))x) + V_2((e-1)x) + V_2(-ex) \\ &= \frac{2}{2a-1} + \frac{a-2}{2a-1} + \frac{a-1}{2a-1} + \frac{a-1}{2a-1} = \frac{3a-2}{2a-1} < \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

- (17) $p = 2$, $(\frac{2^{2a}+1}{5}, 3 \cdot 2^{2a})$ for $a \geq 1$ odd. For $a = 1$ the pair $(1, 12)_2$ has finite monodromy. Indeed, we work with the reversed pair $(d, e) = (12, 1)$ whose associated polynomial is $f(x) = x^{13} + x^{12}$ and the trace function of \mathcal{F}_f is

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^{13} + s^{1/4}x^3 + tx),$$

which is a specialization of the finite monodromy family $\mathcal{F}(13, 3, 1; \mathbf{1})$ in [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3(iii)].

For $a \geq 3$ consider $(x, y) = (\frac{9}{2^{2a+1}-1}, \frac{4}{2^{2a+1}-1})$. Observe that $e \equiv 2^{2a} + 1 \pmod{2^{2a+1}-1}$, so $d+e \equiv 6d \pmod{2^{2a+1}-1}$ and $9(d+e) - 4 \equiv 2(5^2d + 2d - 2) = 2(5 \cdot 2^a + 5 + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{a-1}{2}} (2^{4k-1} + 2^{4k})) \equiv 1 + 2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{a-1}{2}} (2^{4k} + 2^{4k+1}) \pmod{2^{2a+1}-1}$, so $V_2(y - (d+e)x) = 1 - V_2((d+e)x - y) = 1 - \frac{a+3}{2a+1} = \frac{a-2}{2a+1}$. Also, $9e - 4 \equiv 2^{2a} + 2^3 + 1 \pmod{2^{2a+1}-1}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} & V_2(x) + V_2(y) + V_2(y - (d+e)x) + V_2(ex - y) + V_2(-ex) = \\ &= \frac{2}{2a+1} + \frac{1}{2a+1} + \frac{a-2}{2a+1} + \frac{3}{2a+1} + \frac{2a-3}{2a+1} = \frac{3a+1}{2a+1} < \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

- (18) $p = 2$, $(5, \frac{2^a+1}{3})$ for odd $a \geq 1$. For $a = 1$ the pair has finite monodromy by #7.

For odd $a \geq 3$ consider $x = y = \frac{1}{2^a-1}$. Since there are no carries while adding the binary expansions of e and 4 , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2V_2(x) + V_2(x - (d+e)x) + V_2(ex - x) + V_2(-ex) \\ &= 2\frac{1}{a} + \frac{a-3}{2a} + \frac{a-1}{2a} + \frac{a-1}{2a} = \frac{3a-1}{2a} < \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

- (19) $p = 2$, $(\frac{2^a+1}{3}, 5 \cdot 2^a)$ for $a \geq 1$ odd. For $a = 1$, we have $W_2(1, 10, \frac{3}{31}, \frac{2}{31}) = \frac{7}{5} < \frac{3}{2}$. For $a \geq 3$ odd, consider $x = y = \frac{1}{2^a-1}$ and reduce to the previous item, since $5 \cdot 2^a \equiv 5 \pmod{2^a-1}$.

- (20) $p = 2$, $(\frac{2^{3a}+1}{9}, \frac{2^{3a}+1}{3})$ for odd $a \geq 1$. For $a = 1$ the pair has finite monodromy by #7.

For $a \geq 3$ consider $(x, y) = (\frac{1}{2^{3a-1}-1}, \frac{5}{2^{3a-1}-1})$. Observe that $e = 3d$ so $d+e-5 = 4d-5 = 4(2^2+2+1) \sum_{k=1}^{(a-1)/2} 2^{3(2k-1)} - 1$. Since $4(2^2+2+1) \sum_{k=1}^{(a-1)/2} 2^{3(2k-1)}$ has $3(a-1)/2$ 2-adic digits equal to 1 and ends in 5

zeros, the number of 1's in the 2-adic expansion of $d + e - 5$ is $(3a + 5)/2$, so $V_2(y - (d + e)x) = 1 - V_2((d + e)x - y) = 1 - \frac{3a+5}{2(3a-1)} = \frac{3a-7}{2(3a-1)}$.

Also, $e - 5 = \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{3a-1}{2}} 2^{2k-1} + 1 - 5 = 2 + 2^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\frac{3a-1}{2}} 2^{2k-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & V_2(x) + V_2(y) + V_2(y - (d + e)x) + V_2(ex - y) + V_2(-ex) = \\ &= \frac{1}{3a-1} + \frac{2}{3a-1} + \frac{3a-7}{2(3a-1)} + \frac{3a-1}{2(3a-1)} + \frac{3a-3}{2(3a-1)} = \frac{9a-5}{2(3a-1)} < \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

- (21) $p = 2$, $(\frac{2^{3a+1}}{9}, 2\frac{2^{3a+1}}{9})$ for $a \geq 1$ odd. For $a = 1$ the pair has finite monodromy by #1.

For $a \geq 3$ consider $(x, y) = (\frac{3}{2^{3a+1}-1}, \frac{2}{2^{3a+1}-1})$. Observe that $e = 2d$ so $3(d + e) - 2 = 2^{3a} - 1$, $3e = 2(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{(3a-1)/2} 2^{2k-1})$ and $3e - 2 = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{(3a-1)/2} 2^{2k-1}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & V_2(x) + V_2(y) + V_2(y - (d + e)x) + V_2(ex - y) + V_2(-ex) = \\ &= \frac{2}{3a+1} + \frac{1}{3a+1} + \frac{1}{3a+1} + \frac{3a-1}{2(3a+1)} + \frac{3a+1}{2(3a+1)} = \frac{3a+4}{3a+1} < \frac{3}{2} \end{aligned}$$

since $a \geq 3$.

- (22) The pair $(4, 3)_3$ has finite monodromy because the corresponding polynomial is $f(x) = x^7 - x^4$ and \mathcal{F}_f has trace function

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^7 - sx^4 + tx),$$

which is a specialization of the finite monodromy family $\mathcal{F}(7, 4, 1; \mathbf{1})$ in [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3(vii)]. For the remaining pairs, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_3(5, 7, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{2}) &= W_3(10, 63, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}) = W_3(28, 45, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}) = W_3(61, 12, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}) = \frac{5}{4}; \\ W_3(2, 5, \frac{4}{26}, \frac{2}{26}) &= W_3(4, 10, \frac{2}{26}, \frac{2}{26}) = \frac{4}{3} \end{aligned}$$

- (23) $p = 3$, $(2, 3^a + 1)$ for $a \geq 0$. For $a = 0$, we have finite monodromy by #3.

For $a = 1$, the pair $(2, 4)_3$ has finite monodromy too since the polynomial associated to the reversed pair $(d, e) = (4, 2)$ is $f(x) = x^6 + x^5 + x^4$ and the trace function of \mathcal{F}_f is

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^5 + sx^4 + s^{1/3}x^2 + tx),$$

which is a specialization of the finite monodromy family $\mathcal{F}(5, 4, 2, 1; \mathbf{1})$ in [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3(viii)].

For $a \geq 2$ we consider the reversed pair $(d, e) = (3^a + 1, 2)$ and $(x, y) = (\frac{\frac{3^a-1}{2} + 3^{a-1}}{3^{2a}-1}, \frac{3^a-1}{2(3^{2a}-1)})$. Since $(\frac{3^a-1}{2} + 3^{a-1})(d + e) - \frac{3^a-1}{2} = 2 \sum_{k=0}^a 3^k + \sum_{k=a+1}^{2a-2} 3^k + 2 \cdot 3^{2a-1}$, $e(\frac{3^a-1}{2} + 3^{a-1}) - \frac{3^a-1}{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{a-2} 3^k + 3^a$ and $e(\frac{3^a-1}{2} + 3^{a-1}) = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{a-2} 3^k + 3^{a-1} + 3^a$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & V_3(x) + V_3(y) + V_3(y - (d + e)x) + V_3(ex - y) + V_3(-ex) = \\ &= \frac{a+1}{4a} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{a-2}{4a} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{6a-1}{4a} < \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

- (24) $p = 3$, $(3^a + 1, 2 \cdot 3^a)$ for $a \geq 0$. For $a = 0$ the pair has finite monodromy by #3. For $a = 1$, $W_3(4, 6, \frac{11}{80}, \frac{3}{8}) = \frac{11}{8} < \frac{3}{2}$.

For $a \geq 2$, multiplying by 3^a we get $(3^a + 3^{2a}, 2 \cdot 3^{2a}) \equiv (3^a + 1, 2) \pmod{3^{2a} - 1}$ and we reduce to the previous case by rescaling x and y .

- (25) $p = 3$, $(3^a + 1, \frac{3^a+1}{2})$ for $a \geq 0$. For $a = 0$ the pair $(2, 1)_3$ has finite monodromy. Indeed, the polynomial is $f(x) = x^3 - x^2$ and the trace function

of \mathcal{F}_f is

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(-sx^2 + (t + s^{1/3})x),$$

which is always an algebraic integer since 2 is an FM-exponent.

The remaining pairs have finite monodromy too since, for $a \geq 1$, the reversed pairs have associated polynomial $f(x) = x^{3\frac{3^a+1}{2}} - x^{\frac{3^{a+1}+1}{2}} - x^{3\frac{3^{a-1}+1}{2}} + x^{\frac{3^a+1}{2}}$ so the trace function of \mathcal{F}_f is

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(-sx^{\frac{3^a+1}{2}} + (s + s^{1/3})x^{\frac{3^a+1}{2}} - s^{1/3}x^{\frac{3^{a-1}+1}{2}} + tx),$$

which is a specialization of the finite monodromy family $\mathcal{F}(\frac{3^a+1}{2}, \frac{3^a+1}{2}, \frac{3^{a-1}+1}{2}, 1; \mathbf{1})$ in [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3(i)].

- (26) $p = 3$, $(1, \frac{3^a+1}{2})$ for $a \geq 0$. If $a = 0$ or 1 we have finite monodromy by #1 and #25. For $a \geq 2$, we show that all the reversed pairs have finite monodromy.

The corresponding polynomial is $f(x) = x^{3\frac{3^a-1}{2}+1} - x^{\frac{3^a+1}{2}}$ and the trace function of \mathcal{F}_f is

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(-sx^{\frac{3^a+1}{2}} + s^{1/3}x^{\frac{3^a-1}{2}+1} + tx),$$

which is a specialization of the finite monodromy family $\mathcal{F}(\frac{3^a+1}{2}, \frac{3^a-1}{2}+1, 1; \mathbf{1})$ in [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3(i)].

- (27) $p = 3$, $(\frac{3^a+1}{2}, 3^a)$ for $a \geq 0$. The associated polynomial is $f(x) = x^{\frac{3^{a+1}+1}{2}} - x^{\frac{3^a+1}{2}}$ and the local system \mathcal{F}_f has trace function

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^{\frac{3^a+1}{2}+1} - sx^{\frac{3^a+1}{2}} + tx),$$

which is a specialization of the finite monodromy family $\mathcal{F}(\frac{3^a+1}{2}, \frac{3^a+1}{2}, 1; \mathbf{1})$ in [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3(i)].

- (28) $p = 3$, $(4, \frac{3^a+1}{2})$ for $a \geq 0$. For $a = 0$ the pair $(4, 1)_3$ has finite monodromy, the corresponding polynomial being $f(x) = x^5 - x^4$, so the trace function of \mathcal{F}_f is

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{|k_r|^\times} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^5 - sx^4 + tx),$$

which is a specialization of the finite monodromy family $\mathcal{F}(5, 4, 1; \mathbf{1})$ in [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3(viii)]. For $a = 1$ the pair has finite monodromy by #23.

For $a \geq 2$ consider $x = y = \frac{1}{3^a-1}$. Since $y - (d + e)x = -\frac{2+2 \cdot 3 + \sum_{k=2}^{a-1} 3^k}{3^a-1}$, $ex - y = \frac{1}{2}$ and $ex = \frac{2 + \sum_{k=1}^{a-1} 3^k}{3^a-1}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2V_3(x) + V_3(y - (d + e)x) + V_3(ex - y) + V_3(-ex) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{a} + \frac{a-2}{2a} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{a-1}{2a} = \frac{3a-1}{2a} < \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

- (29) $p = 3$, $(\frac{3^a+1}{2}, 4 \cdot 3^a)$ for $a \geq 0$. For $a = 0$ the pair has finite monodromy by #28. For $a = 1$ we have $W_3(2, 12, \frac{2}{13}, \frac{2}{13}) = \frac{4}{3}$. For $a \geq 2$, since $4 \cdot 3^a \equiv 4 \pmod{3^a-1}$, we reduce to the previous item.

- (30) $p = 3, (\frac{3^a+1}{2}, \frac{3^a+1}{4})$ for odd $a \geq 1$. For $a = 1$ the pair has finite monodromy by #25.

For $a \geq 3$ odd consider $x = \frac{1}{3^a-1}, y = \frac{4}{3^a-1}$. Observe that $d = 2e$ so $y - (d+e)x = -\frac{2+2\cdot 3+3^2+2\sum_{k=2}^{\frac{a-1}{2}} 3^{2k}}{3^a-1}$. Also, $ex - y = \frac{3+2\sum_{k=2}^{\frac{a-1}{2}} 3^{2k-1}}{3^a-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & V_3(x) + V_3(y) + V_3(y - (d+e)x) + V_3(ex - y) + V_3(-ex) = \\ & = \frac{1}{2a} + \frac{1}{a} + \frac{a-2}{2a} + \frac{a-2}{2a} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3a-1}{2a} < \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

- (31) $p = 3, (\frac{3^a+1}{4}, \frac{3^a+1}{4})$ for odd $a \geq 1$. For $a = 1$ the pair has finite monodromy by #1.

For odd $a \geq 3$ let $x = y = \frac{2}{3^a-1}$, then $y - (d+e)x = -1$ and $ex - y = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{a-1} 3^k}{3^a-1}$, so

$$2V_3(x) + V_3(y - (d+e)x) + V_3(ex - y) + V_3(-ex) = \frac{2}{a} + 0 + \frac{a-1}{2a} + \frac{a-1}{2a} = \frac{a+1}{a} < \frac{3}{2}.$$

- (32) $p = 3, (2, \frac{3^a+1}{4})$ for $a \geq 1$ odd. For $a = 1$ the pair has finite monodromy by #25.

For odd $a \geq 3$ let $x = y = \frac{1}{3^a-1-1}$. Since $y - (d+e)x = -\frac{2+2\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{a-1}{2}} 3^{2k-1}}{3^a-1-1}$ and $ex - y = \frac{2\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{a-1}{2}} 3^{2k-1}}{3^a-1-1}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 2V_3(x) + V_3(y - (d+e)x) + V_3(ex - y) + V_3(-ex) = \\ & = \frac{1}{a-1} + \frac{a-3}{2(a-1)} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{a-2}{2(a-1)} = \frac{3a-4}{2(a-1)} < \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

- (33) $p = 3, (\frac{3^a+1}{4}, 2 \cdot 3^a)$ for $a \geq 1$ odd. For $a = 1$ we get the pair $(1, 6)_3$ with finite monodromy. Indeed, the polynomial associated to the reversed pair is $f(x) = x^7 - x^6$ and the trace function of \mathcal{F}_f is

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^7 - s^{1/3}x^2 + tx),$$

which is a specialization of the finite monodromy family $\mathcal{F}(7, 2, 1; \mathbf{1})$ in [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3(vii)].

For odd $a \geq 3$ consider $(x, y) = (\frac{7}{3^{a+1}-1}, \frac{3^a}{3^{a+1}-1})$. Since $7(d+e) - 3^a = 3^{a+2} + 1 - 3^a + 3(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{a+1}{2}} 2 \cdot 3^{2k-1}) \equiv 3^2 - 3^a + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{a-1}{2}} 2 \cdot 3^{2k} \equiv 2 + 2 \cdot 3 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{a-1}{2}} 3^{2k} + 2 \cdot 3^a \pmod{3^{a+1}-1}$ and $7 \cdot 2 \cdot 3^a - 3^a = 13 \cdot 3^a \equiv 3^a + 3 + 1 \pmod{3^{a+1}-1}$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & V_3(x) + V_3(y) + V_3(y - (d+e)x) + V_3(ex - y) + V_3(-ex) = \\ & = \frac{3}{2(a+1)} + \frac{1}{2(a+1)} + \frac{a-3}{2(a+1)} + \frac{3}{2(a+1)} + \frac{2a-2}{2(a+1)} = \frac{3a+2}{2(a+1)} < \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

- (34) $W_5(1, 6, \frac{7}{24}, \frac{1}{24}) = W_5(2, 5, \frac{7}{24}, \frac{1}{24}) = \frac{11}{8} < \frac{3}{2}$;
 $W_5(6, 7, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}) = W_5(6, 15, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}) = W_5(3, 7, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{5}{4} < \frac{3}{2}$.

- (35) $p = 5, (2, \frac{5^a+1}{2})$ for $a \geq 0$. For $a = 0$ we obtain the pair $(2, 1)_5$ with finite monodromy: the associated polynomial is $f(x) = x^3 - x^2$ and the trace function of \mathcal{F}_f is

$$(k_r; s, t) \mapsto -\frac{1}{q^{r/2}} \sum_{x \in k_r} \psi_r(sx^3 - sx^2 + tx),$$

which is a specialization of the finite monodromy family $\mathcal{F}(3, 2, 1; \mathbf{1})$ in [KT24, Theorem 11.2.3(ix)].

For $a \geq 1$, we show that the pair $(\frac{5^a+1}{2}, 2)$ does not have finite monodromy. Consider $x = y = \frac{1}{5^a-1}$. Then $y - (d+e)x = -\frac{4+2\sum_{k=1}^{a-1} 5^k}{5^a-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 2V_5(x) + V_5(y - (d+e)x) + V_5(ex - y) + V_5(-ex) &= \\ &= \frac{2}{4a} + \frac{2a-2}{4a} + \frac{1}{4a} + \frac{4a-2}{2a} = \frac{6a-1}{4a} < \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

(36) $p = 5$, $(\frac{5^a+1}{2}, 2 \cdot 5^a)$ for $a \geq 0$. For $a = 0$ we get finite monodromy by #35.

For $a \geq 1$, since $2 \cdot 5^a \equiv 2 \pmod{5^a-1}$ we reduce to the previous case.

(37) $p = 7$, $(2, 2)$. $W_7(2, 2, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}) = \frac{4}{3} < \frac{3}{2}$.

□

The following SageMath [The24] code snippet has been used to compute the values of W_p :

```
def V(p,x):
    den = x.denominator()
    num = x.numerator() % den
    if p.divides(den):
        raise Exception("denominator can't be a multiple of p")
    r = Zmod(den)(p).multiplicative_order()
    s = sum(ZZ(num*(p^r-1)/den).digits(p))
    return s/(r*(p-1))
def W(p,a,b,x,y):
    return V(p,x)+V(p,y)+V(p,y-(a+b)*x)+V(p,b*x-y)+V(p,-b*x)
```

REFERENCES

- [AMR10] Yves Aubry, Gary McGuire, and François Rodier. “A few more functions that are not APN infinitely often”. In: *Finite fields. Theory and applications. Proceedings of the 9th international conference on finite fields and applications, Dublin, Ireland, July 13–17, 2009*. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2010, pp. 23–31.
- [Del77] Pierre Deligne. *Applications de la formule des traces aux sommes trigonométriques*. Semin. Geom. algebr. Bois-Marie, SGA 4 1/2, Lect. Notes Math. 569, 168–232 (1977). 1977.
- [Kat03] Nicholas M. Katz. “A semicontinuity result for monodromy under degeneration”. In: *Forum Math.* 15.2 (2003), pp. 191–200. DOI: 10.1515/form.2003.010.
- [Kat07] Nicholas M. Katz. “ G_2 and hypergeometric sheaves”. In: *Finite Fields Appl.* 13.2 (2007), pp. 175–223. DOI: 10.1016/j.ffa.2006.02.004.
- [Kat18] Nicholas M. Katz. “Rigid local systems on \mathbb{A}^1 with finite monodromy”. In: *Mathematika* 64.3 (2018), pp. 785–846. DOI: 10.1112/S0025579318000268.
- [Kat90] Nicholas M. Katz. *Exponential sums and differential equations*. Vol. 124. Ann. Math. Stud. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1990. DOI: 10.1515/9781400882434.
- [KR19] Nicholas M. Katz and Antonio Rojas-León. “A rigid local system with monodromy group $2.J_2$ ”. In: *Finite Fields Appl.* 57 (2019), pp. 276–286. DOI: 10.1016/j.ffa.2019.02.008.
- [KRT20] Nicholas M. Katz, Antonio Rojas-León, and Pham Huu Tiep. “Rigid local systems with monodromy group the Conway group Co_3 ”. In: *J. Number Theory* 206 (2020), pp. 1–23. DOI: 10.1016/j.jnt.2019.06.016.

- [KT24] Nicholas M. Katz and Pham Huu Tiep. “Exponential Sums, Hypergeometric Sheaves and Monodromy Groups”. 2024. URL: <https://web.math.princeton.edu/~nmk/kt>
- [Roj19] Antonio Rojas-León. “Finite monodromy of some families of exponential sums”. In: *J. Number Theory* 197 (2019), pp. 37–48. DOI: 10.1016/j.jnt.2018.06.012.
- [The24] The Sage Developers. *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System*. <https://www.sagemath.org>. 2024.

DPTO. DE ÁLGEBRA, FAC. DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, C/TARFIA, S/N, 41012 SEVILLA, SPAIN, fragarcor3@alum.us.es

DPTO. DE ÁLGEBRA, FAC. DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, C/TARFIA, S/N, 41012 SEVILLA, SPAIN, arojas@us.es