

ON BI-ENRICHED ∞ -CATEGORIES

HADRIAN HEINE,
UNIVERSITY OF OSLO, NORWAY,
HADRIAH@MATH.UIO.NO

ABSTRACT. We extend Lurie’s definition of enriched ∞ -categories to notions of left enriched, right enriched and bi-enriched ∞ -categories, which generalize the concepts of closed left tensored, right tensored and bitensored ∞ -categories and share many desirable features with them. We use bi-enriched ∞ -categories to endow the ∞ -category of enriched functors with enrichment that generalizes both the internal hom of the tensor product of enriched ∞ -categories when the latter exists, and the free cocompletion under colimits and tensors. As an application we prove an end formula for morphism objects of enriched ∞ -categories of enriched functors and compute the monad for enriched functors. We build our theory closely related to Lurie’s higher algebra: we construct an enriched ∞ -category of enriched presheaves via the enveloping tensored ∞ -category, construct transfer of enrichment via scalar extension of bitensored ∞ -categories, and construct enriched Kan-extensions via operadic Kan extensions. In particular, we develop an independent theory of enriched ∞ -categories for Lurie’s model of enriched ∞ -categories.

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. Introduction | 2 |
| 1.1. Notation and terminology | 8 |
| Acknowledgements | 8 |
| 2. Weak enrichment | 8 |
| 2.1. Weakly enriched ∞ -categories | 8 |
| 2.2. Tensored ∞ -categories | 12 |
| 2.3. Enriched functors | 14 |
| 2.4. Enriched adjunctions | 17 |
| 2.5. Trivial weak enrichment | 19 |
| 2.6. Tensored envelopes | 22 |
| 3. Enrichment and pseudo-enrichment | 29 |
| 3.1. Pseudo-enrichment | 29 |
| 3.2. Enrichment | 31 |
| 4. Enriched presheaves via tensored envelopes | 36 |
| 4.1. Enriched presheaves | 40 |
| 4.2. On the relationship between weak enrichment, pseudo enrichment and enrichment | 42 |
| 4.3. Presentability of enriched presheaves | 47 |
| 4.4. Atomicity of representable enriched presheaves | 49 |
| 4.5. Transfer of enrichment via scalar extension | 55 |
| 4.6. Transforming bi-enrichment into left enrichment | 61 |
| 5. Enriched ∞ -categories of enriched functors | 68 |
| 5.1. Enriched Kan-extensions | 71 |
| 5.2. Enriched Yoneda-lemma | 73 |
| 5.3. Enriched Yoneda-embedding and opposite enriched ∞ -category | 77 |
| 5.4. Enriched ∞ -categories of enriched functors as an internal hom | 83 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 5.5. Enriched profunctors | 87 |
| 5.6. An end formula for morphism objects of enriched functor ∞ -categories | 88 |
| References | 91 |

1. INTRODUCTION

Gepner-Haugsgeng [3] develop a theory of enriched ∞ -categories that both at the same time extends the theory of ∞ -categories and the theory of non-commutative higher algebra: the authors prove that ∞ -categories enriched in spaces are equivalent to Segal spaces [3, Theorem 4.4.6.], a model for ∞ -categories, and define enriched ∞ -categories as a many object version of A_∞ -algebras [3, Definition 2.4.5.], a homotopy coherent version of associative algebras, with which enriched ∞ -categories share many properties: any A_∞ -algebra in a combinatorial monoidal model category \mathcal{M} is equivalent to an associative algebra in \mathcal{M} [16, Theorem 4.1.8.4.]. Similarly, every ∞ -category enriched in the underlying monoidal ∞ -category of \mathcal{M} is equivalent to a \mathcal{M} -enriched category [5, Theorem 1.1.]. By [16, Remark 4.1.8.9.] every presentably monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{V} admits a monoidal combinatorial model. Consequently, as long as one works with ∞ -categories enriched in a presentably monoidal ∞ -category, which covers most examples of interest, nothing new arises when passing from enriched category theory to enriched ∞ -category theory so far as one is just interested in enriched ∞ -categories but not in enriched functors. On the other hand ∞ -categories of enriched functors are generally tremendously hard to model by their strict counterparts: even in the most basic example of interest, enrichment in spaces, one finds that the ∞ -category of (spaces-enriched) functors is not modeled by the category of simplicially enriched functors since Bergner's model structure on simplicial categories fails to be compatible with the cartesian product [17, Definition A 3.4.9.]. From this perspective enriched ∞ -categories of enriched functors are the real topic and challenge of enriched ∞ -category theory and good control about enriched ∞ -categories of enriched functors is highly desirable.

From the conceptual point of view there are several obstructions to the existence of enrichment on the ∞ -category of enriched functors: if \mathcal{V} is a presentably braided monoidal ∞ -category, there is a natural candidate for a \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category of \mathcal{V} -enriched functors as the internal hom for a tensor product of \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -categories: Gepner-Haugsgeng [3, Corollary 5.6.12.], Haugsgeng [8] construct a tensor product for enriched ∞ -categories, which exhibits the ∞ -category of small ∞ -categories enriched in any presentably \mathbb{E}_{n+1} -monoidal ∞ -category for $n \geq 1$ as closed \mathbb{E}_n -monoidal. On the other hand if \mathcal{V} is a presentably monoidal ∞ -category, an important situation in non-commutative algebra and higher category theory [2], there is no need for any \mathcal{V} -enrichment on the ∞ -category of \mathcal{V} -enriched functors. However, the ∞ -category of \mathcal{V} -enriched presheaves on any small \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category is always \mathcal{V} -enriched as long as \mathcal{V} is presentably monoidal. This relies on the fact that \mathcal{V} has more structure than being a \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category via its closed left \mathcal{V} -action: the monoidal structure on \mathcal{V} endows \mathcal{V} with a closed \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{V} -bifunctor.

To construct and systematically study enrichment on ∞ -categories of enriched functors we extend the concept of bitensored ∞ -category to a concept of left, right and bi-enriched ∞ -categories that generalize Lurie's notion of enriched ∞ -category [16, Definition 4.2.1.28.]: Lurie defines enriched ∞ -categories as a weakening of the notion of ∞ -category left tensored over a monoidal ∞ -category. A left action of a monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{V} on an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} gives rise to a non-symmetric ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} whose colors are the objects of \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{C} , and whose space of multimorphisms

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{O}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, Y)$$

for $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$, $n \geq 0$ and $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ is the space of maps $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} . For \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{C} contractible we write LM for \mathcal{O} . So LM is a non-symmetric ∞ -operad with two colors $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}$ whose algebras are left modules. By functoriality the ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} comes equipped with a map of ∞ -operads

$\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{LM}$, which completely determines the left action of \mathcal{V} on \mathcal{C} : for any objects V of \mathcal{V} and X of \mathcal{C} one can reconstruct the left tensor $V \otimes X$ as the object corepresenting the functor $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{O}}(V, X; -) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. The left action is closed if and only if for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ there is an object $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ of morphisms $X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} representing the presheaf $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{O}}(-, X; Y) : \mathcal{V}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. An arbitrary map of non-symmetric ∞ -operads $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{LM}$ whose fibers over \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{m} we denote by \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C} , respectively, represents a closed left action of a closed monoidal ∞ -category if the ∞ -operad \mathcal{V} is a closed monoidal ∞ -category, for every objects $V \in \mathcal{V}, X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ there is a left tensor $V \otimes X \in \mathcal{C}$ and a morphism object $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{V}$ and the following natural maps are equivalences:

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)^V \simeq \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(V \otimes X, Y),$$

$$(1) \quad \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{O}}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n, X; Y) \simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{O}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X; Y).$$

Lurie's notion of enriched ∞ -category arises by removing the existence of left tensors from a closed left action: an ∞ -category enriched in a monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{V} is a LM-operad $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{LM}$ such that for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ there is a morphism object $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ and equivalence (1) holds. If equivalence (1) holds but not all morphism objects exist, Lurie calls the LM-operad $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{LM}$ a pseudo-enriched ∞ -category [16, Definition 4.2.1.25.]. He calls an arbitrary LM-operad a weakly enriched ∞ -category [16, Notation 4.2.1.22.]. Pseudo-enriched and weakly enriched ∞ -categories are crucial when studying enrichment on ∞ -categories of enriched functors: for a monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{V} there is no need that the ∞ -category of \mathcal{V} -enriched presheaves is \mathcal{V} -enriched since \mathcal{V} itself is an example of an ∞ -category of \mathcal{V} -enriched presheaves. But the ∞ -category of presheaves is always pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{V} . More generally, the ∞ -category of presheaves enriched in any ∞ -operad \mathcal{V} is weakly enriched in \mathcal{V} . The concept of bi-enriched ∞ -category arises by removing the existence of bi-tensors from a closed bi-action: a bi-action of two monoidal ∞ -categories \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} on an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} gives rise to a non-symmetric ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} whose colors are the objects of $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{C}$ and whose space of multimorphisms

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{O}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m, Y)$$

for $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}, n, m \geq 0$ and $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ is the space of morphisms $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes X \otimes W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} . The ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} comes equipped with a map of non-symmetric ∞ -operads $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{BM}$ to the ∞ -operad BM governing bimodules, which completely determines the bi-action: for every objects V of $\mathcal{V}, W \in \mathcal{W}$ and X of \mathcal{C} the bi-tensor $V \otimes X \otimes W$ corepresents the functor

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{O}}(V, X, W; -) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}.$$

The bi-action is closed if and only if for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ the presheaf


$$(2) \quad \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{O}}(-, X, -; Y) : \mathcal{V}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

is representable component-wise. We define left enriched and right enriched ∞ -categories as maps of non-symmetric ∞ -operads $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{BM}$ such that for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ the presheaf (2) is representable in the first component, in the second component, respectively, and a respective left, right pseudo-enrichedness condition holds that is analogous to equivalence (1). We define bi-enriched ∞ -categories as maps of non-symmetric ∞ -operads $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{BM}$ that are simultaneously left and right enriched ∞ -categories. By [16, Proposition 4.8.1.17.] for every presentably monoidal ∞ -categories \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} the tensor product $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}$ of presentable ∞ -categories identifies with the full subcategory of presheaves on $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ that are representable in both variables. Consequently, in this situation a bi-enriched ∞ -category has morphism objects in $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}$. In general, a presheaf on $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ representable in both variables uniquely determines an adjunction $\mathcal{V} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{W}^{\text{op}}$, which we denote by

$$\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{O}}(X, Y) : \mathcal{V} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{W}^{\text{op}} : \text{RMor}_{\mathcal{O}}(X, Y).$$

Since pseudo-enriched and weakly enriched ∞ -categories are essential when studying enrichment on ∞ -categories of enriched functors, we generalize Lurie's notions of pseudo-enriched and weakly enriched ∞ -categories to notions of left pseudo-enriched, right pseudo-enriched and bi-pseudo-enriched

and weakly left enriched, weakly right enriched and weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories that fit into the following diagram, which also exists for the left and right enriched cases:



In this work we develop a theory of left, right and bi-enriched ∞ -categories that studies the structures in the latter diagram and contains the theory of enriched ∞ -categories in the model of Lurie as a special case. In particular, we build a complete theory of enriched ∞ -categories for Lurie's model of enrichment independent from the ones [3], [14].

We demonstrate that several principles of bitensored ∞ -categories extend to bi-enriched ∞ -categories and their generalizations: given an ∞ -category \mathcal{D} with biaction of two monoidal ∞ -categories \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} and an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} with a left \mathcal{V} -action the ∞ -category $\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ of left \mathcal{V} -linear functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, i.e. functors preserving the left \mathcal{V} -action, carries a right \mathcal{W} -action that applies object-wise the right \mathcal{W} -action on the target \mathcal{D} . If \mathcal{V} is a braided monoidal ∞ -category, the tensor product functor $\otimes : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is a monoidal functor so that every left \mathcal{V} -action on a monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{D} gives rise via restriction along the tensor product of \mathcal{V} to a left $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V}$ -action on \mathcal{D} . By a general principle about the relationship between left actions and biactions a left $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V}$ -action on \mathcal{D} is equivalently given by a $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}^{\text{rev}}$ -biaction on \mathcal{D} , where \mathcal{V}^{rev} is the monoidal ∞ -category arising from \mathcal{V} by reversing the tensor product. We extend these principles about left, right and biactions to principles about left, right and bi-enriched ∞ -categories to formally treat the ∞ -category of \mathcal{V} -enriched functors like the familiar ∞ -category of \mathcal{V} -linear functors:

Theorem 1.1. (Theorem 5.51) *Let \mathcal{V} be a locally small monoidal ∞ -category and \mathcal{W} a closed monoidal ∞ -category that admits small limits. Let \mathcal{C} be a small left \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category and \mathcal{D} a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -bi-enriched ∞ -category. The ∞ -category*

$$\text{LEnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$$

of left \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a right \mathcal{W} -enriched ∞ -category.

Theorem 1.2. (Theorem 4.81) *Let \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} be presentably monoidal ∞ -categories. There is a canonical equivalence*

$${}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \simeq {}_{\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}}\text{LEnr}$$

between the ∞ -categories of \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -bi-enriched ∞ -categories and left $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}$ -enriched ∞ -categories, where $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}$ is the tensor product of presentable ∞ -categories.

By Theorem 1.2 for any ∞ -category \mathcal{D} left enriched in a presentably braided monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{V} the restricted enrichment of \mathcal{D} along the left adjoint monoidal tensor product functor $\otimes : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is an ∞ -category bi-enriched in $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}^{\text{rev}}$. So by Theorem 1.1 for any small left \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category \mathcal{C} the ∞ -category $\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ of left \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a right \mathcal{V}^{rev} -enriched ∞ -category,

which is canonically identified with a left \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category. We prove that this left \mathcal{V} -enrichment on the ∞ -category of left \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is in fact the internal hom for the tensor product of \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -categories:

Theorem 1.3. *(Theorem 5.61) Let \mathcal{V} be a presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category and \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} small \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -categories. The left \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category $\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ provided by Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is the internal hom for the tensor product of \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -categories.*

Similarly, in our framework of bi-enriched ∞ -categories we develop many constructions of enriched ∞ -category theory via familiar constructions of the theory of left tensored, right tensored and bitensored ∞ -categories. The ∞ -category of presheaves on a small ∞ -category \mathcal{C} is the free cocompletion under small colimits [17, Theorem 5.1.5.6.], the initial ∞ -category with small colimits into which \mathcal{C} embeds. We construct enriched analoga of the free cocompletion. Lurie [16, Construction 2.2.4.1.] constructs for every ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O} -operad \mathcal{B} an \mathcal{O} -monoidal envelope, the initial \mathcal{O} -monoidal ∞ -category into which \mathcal{B} embeds. We use the free cocompletion of the BM-monoidal envelope of a small \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -bi-enriched ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , which we denote by $\mathcal{PBEnv}(\mathcal{C})$, to construct the free cocompletion under small colimits and \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -bi-tensors:

Theorem 1.4. *(Theorem 4.28). Let \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} be presentably monoidal ∞ -categories and \mathcal{C} a small \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -bi-enriched ∞ -category. There is a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched localization $\mathcal{PBEnv}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{C})$ such that for every ∞ -category \mathcal{D} presentably bi-tensored over \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} the induced functor*

$$\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$$

is an equivalence, where the left hand side is the ∞ -category of left adjoint \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -linear functors and the right hand side is the ∞ -category of \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functors.

Specializing \mathcal{W} to the ∞ -category of spaces endowed with the cartesian structure we obtain a free cocompletion under left tensors and small colimits, which we denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$, and dually for right tensors by specializing \mathcal{V} to the ∞ -category of spaces: we link the universal property of free cocompletion of Theorem 1.4 to another universal property of enriched presheaves: we use Theorem 1.1 to construct for every left \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category an opposite right \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category (Definition 5.29, Proposition 5.45). Since any closed monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{V} is canonically \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} -bi-enriched, by Theorem 1.1 for any small left \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category \mathcal{C} the ∞ -category $\text{REnr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ of right \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is left \mathcal{V} -enriched. This left \mathcal{V} -enrichment satisfies the following universal property: left \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{REnr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ from any left \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category \mathcal{D} correspond to \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, where the \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} -bi-enrichment on the product $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ is induced from the left \mathcal{V} -enrichment on \mathcal{D} and the right \mathcal{V} -enrichment on \mathcal{C}^{op} . We link this universal property that characterizes enriched functors to the ∞ -category of enriched presheaves with the universal property of free cocompletion that characterizes enriched functors starting at the ∞ -category of enriched presheaves:

Theorem 1.5. *(Theorem 5.49) Let \mathcal{V} be a presentably monoidal ∞ -category and \mathcal{C} a small left \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category. There is a left \mathcal{V} -enriched equivalence*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{REnr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}).$$

By the Yoneda-lemma [17, Lemma 5.1.5.2.] every representable presheaf on a small ∞ -category \mathcal{C} is a complete compact object [17, Definition 5.1.6.2.] of the ∞ -category of presheaves $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$, an object corepresenting a left adjoint functor $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, the functor evaluating at the representing object of \mathcal{C} . In enriched ∞ -category theory the analogous notion of complete compact object is the notion of atomic object [1, Definition 5.4.]: an object of a \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category is atomic if it corepresents a \mathcal{V} -enriched left adjoint. To show Theorem 1.5 we prove that the image of any left \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category \mathcal{C} in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{REnr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ consists of atomic objects. To show this for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$ we analyze

localizations of the free cocompletion of the enveloping left tensored ∞ -category of \mathcal{C} (§ 4). To get control over representable \mathcal{V} -enriched presheaves on \mathcal{C} we prove an enriched version of the Yoneda-lemma for left enriched and bi-enriched ∞ -categories (Proposition 5.22, 5.23) via a theory of enriched Kan extensions (Proposition 5.16, 5.20), which is of independent interest.

By Theorem 1.5 the enriched ∞ -category of enriched presheaves carries two universal properties. By the universal property of enriched cocompletion every left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between small left \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -categories uniquely extends to a small colimits preserving left \mathcal{V} -linear functor $\bar{\phi} : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D})$. By the other universal property there is a left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor $\phi^* : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$ precomposing with ϕ . Theorem 1.5 implies the following corollary, which was asked by [1, Question 1.5.]:

Corollary 1.6. *(Corollary 5.50) Let \mathcal{V} be a presentably monoidal ∞ -category and $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ a left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor between small left \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -categories. There is a left \mathcal{V} -enriched adjunction*

$$\bar{\phi} : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}) : \phi^*.$$

We use the description of the internal hom for the tensor product of enriched ∞ -categories (Theorem 1.3) and the identification of enriched presheaf ∞ -categories (Theorem 1.5) to compute morphism objects in enriched ∞ -categories of enriched functors. Let \mathcal{C} be a small left \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category and \mathcal{D} a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -bitensored ∞ -category compatible with small colimits. Restriction to the space of objects \mathcal{C}^{\simeq} defines a right \mathcal{W} -enriched functor

$$(3) \quad \text{LEnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\simeq}, \mathcal{D})$$

from left \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ to functors $\mathcal{C}^{\simeq} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, where \mathcal{C}^{\simeq} is the maximal subspace in \mathcal{C} . We prove that this functor is monadic and compute the monad:

Theorem 1.7. *(Theorem 5.22, Corollary 5.23) Let \mathcal{C} be a small left \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category and \mathcal{D} an ∞ -category bitensored over \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} compatible with small colimits. The right \mathcal{W} -enriched functor (3) admits a right \mathcal{W} -enriched left adjoint ϕ and for every functor $F : \mathcal{C}^{\simeq} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ there is a canonical equivalence of left \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$:*

$$\text{colim}_{Z \in \mathcal{M}^{\simeq}} (\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(Z, -) \otimes F(Z)) \simeq \phi(F).$$

We use Theorem 1.7 to derive monadic resolutions of left \mathcal{V} -enriched functors and deduce the following description of morphism objects in the right \mathcal{W} -enriched ∞ -category $\text{LEnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ of left \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$:

Corollary 1.8. *(Corollary 5.28) Let \mathcal{V} be a locally small monoidal ∞ -category and \mathcal{W} a closed monoidal ∞ -category that admits small limits. Let \mathcal{C} be a small left \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category and \mathcal{D} a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -bi-enriched ∞ -category that admits left tensors. For every left \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ there is a canonical equivalence in \mathcal{W} :*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{RMor}_{\text{LEnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}(F, G) \simeq \\ & \lim_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} \lim_{Z_1, \dots, Z_n \in \mathcal{C}^{\simeq}} \text{RMor}_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z_{n-1}, Z_n) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z_1, Z_2) \otimes F(Z_1), G(Z_n)). \end{aligned}$$

In case that \mathcal{V} is a presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, we prove a refinement of Corollary 5.28, which describes morphism objects in enriched functor ∞ -categories via enriched ends: Gepner-Haugsgeng-Nikolaus [4, Definition 2.9.] define ends for functors of ∞ -categories via the twisted arrow ∞ -category and prove that for any functors $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of ∞ -categories the space of natural transformations $F \rightarrow G$ is computed as the end $\int^{X \in \mathcal{C}} \text{map}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X), G(X))$. The authors define the end of a functor $H : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ as the limit of the composition $\text{Tw}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{D}$, where $\text{Tw}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C}$ is the twisted arrow left fibration that classifies the mapping space functor $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}, (X, Y) \mapsto \text{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$. This definition characterizes the end $\int^{X \in \mathcal{C}} H$ as the object of \mathcal{D}

representing the presheaf $X \mapsto \text{map}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S})}(\text{map}_{\mathcal{C}}, \text{map}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, -) \circ H)$ on \mathcal{C} . The latter universal property generalizes to \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -categories for any presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{V} by replacing mapping spaces by morphism objects: for any \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -categories \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} and any \mathcal{V} -enriched functor $H : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ the \mathcal{V} -enriched end of H is the object of \mathcal{D} representing the \mathcal{V} -enriched presheaf $X \mapsto \text{Mor}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{V})}(\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}, \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, -) \circ H)$ on \mathcal{C} . We prove the following enriched end formula:

Theorem 1.9. *(Theorem 5.78) Let \mathcal{V} be a presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category and $F, G : \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ be \mathcal{V} -enriched functors. There is a canonical equivalence*

$$\text{Mor}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}(F, G) \simeq \int^{X \in \mathcal{C}} \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}} \circ (F^{\text{op}} \otimes G).$$

Closed left tensored ∞ -categories and more generally Lurie's notion of enriched ∞ -categories are typically considered to be easier to work with than Gepner-Haugseng's model of enrichment. One reason is that in nature enriched ∞ -categories of interest often appear as full subcategories of left tensored ∞ -categories. On the other hand Gepner-Haugseng's model has the advantage that several elementary constructions of enriched ∞ -category theory are by far easier to perform: such constructions are the opposite enriched ∞ -category and the transfer of enrichment: by design of the model of Gepner-Haugseng every \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category in the sense of Gepner-Haugseng can be transferred along any lax monoidal functor $\phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ by applying ϕ to the many object associative algebra encoding enrichment. On the other hand, for Lurie's model of enrichment it is not clear at all how to transfer enrichment. We construct transfer of enrichment for left, right and bi-enriched ∞ -categories via the tensored envelopes and scalar extension of presentably left, right and bi-tensored ∞ -categories:

Theorem 1.10. *(Theorem 4.61) Let \mathcal{W} be a monoidal ∞ -category, $\phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ a lax monoidal functor and \mathcal{C} a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -bi-enriched ∞ -category. There is a $\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{W}$ -bi-enriched ∞ -category $\phi_!(\mathcal{C})$ and an enriched functor $\alpha : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \phi_!(\mathcal{C})$ such that*

- (1) *the functor α is essentially surjective,*
- (2) *for every $V \in \mathcal{V}, W \in \mathcal{W}, X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ the induced morphism*

$$\phi(\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)) \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\phi_!(\mathcal{C})}(\alpha(X), \alpha(Y))$$

in \mathcal{V}' is an equivalence,

- (3) *the induced $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{V}'), \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear equivalence*

$$\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{V})} \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\phi_!(\mathcal{C}))$$

is an equivalence,

- (4) *the enriched functor α is cocartesian with respect to the forgetful functor*

$$\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \text{Op}_{\infty}$$

to the ∞ -category of non-symmetric ∞ -operads.

We deduce the following corollary from Theorem 1.10 and Theorem 1.4:

Corollary 1.11. *(Corollary 4.62) Let \mathcal{W} be a presentably monoidal ∞ -category, $\phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ a left adjoint monoidal functor between presentably monoidal ∞ -categories and \mathcal{C} a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -bi-enriched ∞ -category. The enriched functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \phi_!(\mathcal{C})$ induces a $\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{W}$ -linear equivalence*

$$\mathcal{V}' \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{W}}(\phi_!(\mathcal{C})).$$

1.1. Notation and terminology. We fix a hierarchy of Grothendieck universes whose objects we call small, large, very large, etc. We call a space small, large, etc. if its set of path components and its homotopy groups are for any choice of base point. We call an ∞ -category small, large, etc. if its maximal subspace and all its mapping spaces are.

We write

- \mathbf{Set} for the category of small sets.
- Δ for (a skeleton of) the category of finite, non-empty, partially ordered sets and order preserving maps, whose objects we denote by $[n] = \{0 < \dots < n\}$ for $n \geq 0$.
- \mathcal{S} for the ∞ -category of small spaces.
- \mathbf{Cat}_∞ for the ∞ -category of small ∞ -categories.
- $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{\text{cc}}$ for the ∞ -category of large ∞ -categories with small colimits and small colimits preserving functors.

We often indicate ∞ -categories of large objects by $\widehat{(-)}$, for example we write $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \widehat{\mathbf{Cat}}_\infty$ for the ∞ -categories of large spaces, ∞ -categories.

For any ∞ -category \mathcal{C} containing objects A, B we write

- $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ for the space of maps $A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} ,
- $\mathcal{C}/_A$ for the ∞ -category of objects over A ,
- $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ for its homotopy category,
- $\mathcal{C}^\triangleleft, \mathcal{C}^\triangleright$ for the ∞ -category arising from \mathcal{C} by adding an initial, final object, respectively,
- $\mathcal{C}^\sphericalcap$ for the maximal subspace in \mathcal{C} .

Note that $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ is cartesian closed and for small ∞ -categories \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} we write $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ for the internal hom, the ∞ -category of functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$.

We often call a fully faithful functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ an embedding. We call a functor $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ an inclusion (of a subcategory) if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- For any ∞ -category \mathcal{B} the induced map $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D})$ is an embedding.
- The functor $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ induces an embedding on maximal subspaces and on all mapping spaces.

Let S be an ∞ -category and $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbf{Fun}([1], S)$ a full subcategory. We call a functor $X \rightarrow S$ a cocartesian fibration relative to \mathcal{E} if for every morphism $[1] \rightarrow S$ that belongs to \mathcal{E} the pullback $[1] \times_S X \rightarrow [1]$ is a cocartesian fibration whose cocartesian morphisms are preserved by the projection $[1] \times_S X \rightarrow X$. We call a functor $X \rightarrow Y$ over S a map of cocartesian fibrations relative to \mathcal{E} if it preserves cocartesian lifts of morphisms of \mathcal{E} . We write $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/S}^{\mathcal{E}} \subset \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/S}$ for the subcategory of cocartesian fibrations relative to \mathcal{E} and maps of such. (see [11, Definition 2.3.] for details).

Acknowledgements. We thank David Gepner and Markus Spitzweck for helpful discussions. We thank Julie Rasmussen for carefully reading a first draft of this paper.

2. WEAK ENRICHMENT

In this section we recall the theory of weakly enriched ∞ -categories of [10] and further develop this theory for our needs.

2.1. Weakly enriched ∞ -categories. We start with defining weakly enriched ∞ -categories. To define such we first need to introduce non-symmetric ∞ -operads [3, Definition 2.2.6.], [10, Definition 2.16.].

Notation 2.1. Let $\mathbf{Ass} := \Delta^{\text{op}}$ be the category of finite non-empty totally ordered sets and order preserving maps. We call a map $[n] \rightarrow [m]$ in \mathbf{Ass}

- inert if it corresponds to a map of Δ of the form $[m] \simeq \{i, i+1, \dots, i+m\} \subset [n]$ for some $i \geq 0$.

- active if it corresponds to a map of Δ , which preserves the minimum and maximum.

Remark 2.2. For every $n \geq 0$ there are n inert morphisms $[n] \rightarrow [1]$, where the i -th inert morphism $[n] \rightarrow [1]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ corresponds to the map $[1] \simeq \{i-1, i\} \subset [n]$.

Definition 2.3. A (non-symmetric) ∞ -operad is a cocartesian fibration $\phi : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ relative to the collection of inert morphisms such that the following conditions hold, where we set $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{V}_{[1]}^\otimes$:

- For every $n \geq 0$ the family $[n] \rightarrow [1]$ of all inert morphisms in Ass induces an equivalence $\mathcal{V}_{[n]}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\times n}$.
- For every $Y, X \in \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ lying over $[m], [n] \in \text{Ass}$ the family $X \rightarrow X_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ of ϕ -cocartesian lifts of the inert morphisms $[n] \rightarrow [1]$ induces a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}^\otimes(Y, X) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} \mathcal{V}^\otimes(Y, X_j) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Ass}([m], [n]) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} \text{Ass}([m], [1]). \end{array}$$

Notation 2.4. For every ∞ -operad $\phi : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ we call $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{V}_{[1]}^\otimes$ the underlying ∞ -category. The ∞ -category $\mathcal{V}_{[0]}^\otimes$ is contractible. We write \emptyset for the unique object.

Example 2.5. Let $\emptyset^\otimes \subset \text{Ass}$ be the full subcategory spanned by $[0] \in \text{Ass}$. Then \emptyset^\otimes is contractible and $\emptyset^\otimes \subset \text{Ass}$ is an ∞ -operad that is the initial ∞ -operad.

Notation 2.6. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be an ∞ -operad and $V_1, \dots, V_n, W \in \mathcal{V}$ for $n \geq 0$. Let

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{V}}(V_1, \dots, V_n; W)$$

be the full subspace of $\mathcal{V}^\otimes(V, W)$ spanned by the morphisms $V \rightarrow W$ in \mathcal{V}^\otimes lying over the active morphism $[1] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ , where $V \in \mathcal{V}_{[n]}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{V}^{\times n}$ corresponds to (V_1, \dots, V_n) .

Definition 2.7. An ∞ -operad $\phi : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a monoidal ∞ -category if ϕ is a cocartesian fibration.

Remark 2.8. A cocartesian fibration $\phi : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a monoidal ∞ -category if and only if the first condition of Definition 2.3 holds. The second condition is automatic if ϕ is a cocartesian fibration [10, Remark 2.17].

Definition 2.9. (1) An ∞ -operad $\phi : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is locally small if the mapping spaces of \mathcal{V}^\otimes are small.
(2) An ∞ -operad $\phi : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is small if it is locally small and \mathcal{V} is small.

Remark 2.10. By the first axiom of Definition 2.3 an ∞ -operad $\phi : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is small if and only if \mathcal{V}^\otimes is small. By the second axiom an ∞ -operad $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is locally small if and only if the multi-morphism spaces of $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ are small. So an ∞ -operad $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is small if and only if \mathcal{V} is small and the multi-morphism spaces of $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ are small.

Notation 2.11. For every monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ we write $\otimes : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{V}_{[2]}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{[1]}^\otimes = \mathcal{V}$ for the functor induced by the unique active map $[2] \rightarrow [1]$ in Ass and we write $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V}_{[0]}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{[1]}^\otimes = \mathcal{V}$ for the functor induced by the unique active map $[0] \rightarrow [1]$ in Ass .

Definition 2.12. Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \text{Cat}_\infty$ be a full subcategory.

- (1) An ∞ -operad $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is compatible with \mathcal{K} -indexed colimits if \mathcal{V} admits \mathcal{K} -indexed colimits and for every $V_1, \dots, V_n, V \in \mathcal{V}$ for $n \geq 0$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$ the presheaf $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{V}}(V_1, \dots, V_i, -, V_{i+1}, \dots, V_n; V)$ on \mathcal{V} preserves \mathcal{K} -indexed limits.
- (2) A monoidal ∞ -category is compatible with \mathcal{K} -indexed colimits if it is compatible with \mathcal{K} -indexed colimits as an ∞ -operad.

Remark 2.13. A monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is compatible with \mathcal{K} -indexed colimits if and only if \mathcal{V} admits \mathcal{K} -indexed colimits and such are preserved by the tensor product component-wise.

Definition 2.14. A monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is

- (1) closed if the tensor product $\otimes : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is left adjoint component-wise.
- (2) presentably if it is closed and \mathcal{V} is presentable.
- (3) κ -compactly generated for a regular cardinal κ if $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is compatible with small colimits, \mathcal{V} is κ -compactly generated and the monoidal structure of \mathcal{V} restricts to the full subcategory $\mathcal{V}^\kappa \subset \mathcal{V}$ of κ -compact objects.

Remark 2.15. By [7, Proposition 7.15.] every presentably monoidal ∞ -category is κ -compactly generated for some regular cardinal κ .

We also consider maps of ∞ -operads:

Definition 2.16. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be ∞ -operads. A map of ∞ -operads $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a map of cocartesian fibrations $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ relative to the collection of inert morphisms of Ass .

Definition 2.17. A map of ∞ -operads $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is an embedding if it is fully faithful.

Definition 2.18. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be monoidal ∞ -categories.

- A lax monoidal functor $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a map of ∞ -operads $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$.
- A monoidal functor $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a map of cocartesian fibrations $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ over Ass .

Notation 2.19. We fix the following notation:

- Let $\text{Op}_\infty \subset \text{Cat}_{\infty/\text{Ass}}$ be the subcategory of ∞ -operads and maps of ∞ -operads.
- Let $\text{Mon} \subset \text{Op}_\infty$ be the subcategory of monoidal ∞ -categories and monoidal functors.
- Let $\text{LMon} \subset \overline{\text{Mon}}$ be the subcategory of monoidal ∞ -categories compatible with small colimits and monoidal functors preserving small colimits.

Notation 2.20. For every ∞ -operads $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ let

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{W}) \subset \text{Fun}_{\text{Ass}}(\mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{W}^\otimes)$$

be the full subcategory of maps of ∞ -operads. If $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ are monoidal ∞ -categories, let

$$\text{Fun}^{\otimes, \text{L}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \subset \text{Fun}^\otimes(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \subset \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{W})$$

be the full subcategories of monoidal functors (that induce on underlying ∞ -categories a left adjoint).

Next we define weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories [10, Definition 3.3.].

Definition 2.21. A morphism in Ass preserves the minimum (maximum) if it corresponds to a map $[m] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ sending 0 to 0 (sending m to n).

The next definition is [10, Definition 3.3.]:

Definition 2.22. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be ∞ -operads. An ∞ -category weakly bi-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} is a map $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2) : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ of cocartesian fibrations relative to the collection of inert morphisms of $\text{Ass} \times \text{Ass}$ whose first component preserves the maximum and whose second component preserves the minimum such that the following conditions hold:

- (1) for every $n, m \geq 0$ the map $[0] \simeq \{n\} \subset [n]$ in the first component and the map $[0] \simeq \{0\} \subset [m]$ in the second component induce an equivalence

$$\theta : \mathcal{M}_{[n][m]}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{[n]}^\otimes \times \mathcal{M}_{[0][0]}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}_{[m]}^\otimes,$$

- (2) for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ lying over $([m'], [n']), ([m], [n]) \in \text{Ass} \times \text{Ass}$ the cocartesian lift $Y \rightarrow Y'$ of the map $[0] \simeq \{m\} \subset [m]$ and $[0] \simeq \{0\} \subset [n]$ induces a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}^\otimes(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathcal{M}^\otimes(X, Y') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{V}^\otimes(\phi_1(X), \phi_1(Y)) \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes(\phi_2(X), \phi_2(Y)) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{V}^\otimes(\phi_1(X), \phi_1(Y')) \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes(\phi_2(X), \phi_2(Y')). \end{array}$$

Remark 2.23. In [10, Definition 3.3.] we define weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories under the name weakly bitensored ∞ -categories and reserve the notion of weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories for a different structure of enrichment based on Gepner-Haugsgeng's model of enriched ∞ -categories [3]. In [10] we prove an equivalence between weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories and weakly bitensored ∞ -categories justifying our choice of terminology. Moreover we prefer to use this terminology since we specialize the notion of weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories of Definition 2.22 to several notions of enrichment.

Notation 2.24. For every weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\phi : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ we call $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{[0][0]}^\otimes$ the underlying ∞ -category of ϕ and say that ϕ exhibits \mathcal{M} as weakly bi-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} .

Example 2.25. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be an ∞ -operad. We write $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass} \times \text{Ass}$ for the pullback of $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ along the functor $\text{Ass} \times \text{Ass} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$, $([n], [m]) \mapsto [n] * [m]$. The two functors $\text{Ass} \times \text{Ass} \times [1] \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ corresponding to the natural transformations $(-) * \emptyset \rightarrow (-) * (-)$, $\emptyset * (-) \rightarrow (-) * (-)$ send the morphism $\text{id}_{[n], [m]}, 0 \rightarrow 1$ to an inert one and so give rise to functors $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \text{Ass}$, $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ over $\text{Ass} \times \text{Ass}$. The resulting functor $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ is an ∞ -category weakly bi-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} .

Example 2.26. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ a full subcategory. Let $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ be the full subcategory spanned by all objects of \mathcal{M}^\otimes lying over some $(V, W) \in \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ corresponding some object of $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$. The restriction $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category, whose underlying ∞ -category is \mathcal{N} . We call $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ the full weakly bi-enriched subcategory of \mathcal{M}^\otimes spanned by \mathcal{N} .

Example 2.27. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category and \mathcal{K} an ∞ -category.

- The functor $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category that exhibits $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{M}$ as weakly bi-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} .
- The pullback $(\mathcal{M}^\mathcal{K})^\otimes := \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes \times_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes)} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}^\otimes) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ along the diagonal functor $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes)$ is a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category that exhibits $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M})$ as weakly bi-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} .

Definition 2.28. An ∞ -category weakly left enriched in \mathcal{V} is an ∞ -category weakly bi-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \emptyset . An ∞ -category weakly right enriched in \mathcal{V} is an ∞ -category weakly bi-enriched in \emptyset, \mathcal{V} .

Notation 2.29. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$, $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$, $W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for some $n, m \geq 0$. Let

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)$$

be the full subspace of $\mathcal{M}^\otimes(Z, Y)$ spanned by the morphisms $Z \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{M}^\otimes lying over the map $[0] \simeq \{0\} \subset [n], [0] \simeq \{m\} \subset [m]$ in $\Delta \times \Delta$, where $Z \in \mathcal{M}_{[n], [m]}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{V}^{\times n} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{W}^{\times m}$ corresponds to $(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m)$.

Remark 2.30. For every ∞ -operad $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ the projection $\mathcal{M}^\otimes := \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ gives rise to an embedding $\mathcal{V}^\otimes(Z, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes(Z, Y)$ that restricts to an equivalence

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{V}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y).$$

Definition 2.31. Let $\phi : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category.

- (1) We call ϕ locally small if the ∞ -categories $\mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ are locally small.
- (2) We call ϕ small if ϕ is locally small and \mathcal{M} is small.
- (3) We call ϕ totally small if ϕ is small and \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} are small.

Remark 2.32. Let $\phi : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category. The first axiom of Definition 2.22 implies that ϕ is totally small if and only if $\mathcal{M}^\otimes, \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ are small. Remark 2.10 and the axioms of Definition 2.22 imply that ϕ is locally small if and only if the multi-morphism spaces (Notation 2.29) of $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \phi$ are small. So ϕ is small if and only if \mathcal{M} is small and the multi-morphism spaces of $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \phi$ are small.

2.2. Tensored ∞ -categories. Next we specialize to an important class of weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories, which is easier in nature.

The next definition is [10, Definition 3.12.]:

Definition 2.33. Let $\phi : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category.

- (1) We say that $\phi : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left tensored over \mathcal{V} if $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a monoidal ∞ -category and ϕ is a map of cocartesian fibrations over Ass via projection to the first factor.
- (2) We say that $\phi : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as right tensored over \mathcal{W} if $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a monoidal ∞ -category and ϕ is a map of cocartesian fibrations over Ass via projection to the second factor.
- (3) We say that $\phi : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as bitensored over \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} if ϕ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left tensored over \mathcal{V} and right tensored over \mathcal{W} .

Remark 2.34. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be monoidal ∞ -categories and $\phi : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a map of cocartesian fibrations over $\text{Ass} \times \text{Ass}$. Then $\phi : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as bitensored over \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} if and only if condition (1) of Definition 2.22 holds. Condition (2) is then automatic.

We apply Definition 2.33 in particular to the case that $\mathcal{V}^\otimes = \emptyset^\otimes$ or $\mathcal{W}^\otimes = \emptyset^\otimes$.

Example 2.35. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be a (weakly) left tensored ∞ -category and $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a (weakly) right tensored ∞ -category. The functor $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a (weakly) bitensored ∞ -category.

Definition 2.36. A left tensored, right tensored, bitensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$, respectively, is small if $\mathcal{M}^\otimes, \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ are small.

Definition 2.37. Let κ be a small regular cardinal.

- (1) A left tensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is compatible with κ -small colimits if $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is compatible with κ -small colimits, \mathcal{M} admits κ -small colimits, for every $V \in \mathcal{V}, X \in \mathcal{M}$ the functors $(-) \otimes X : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, V \otimes (-) : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ preserve κ -small colimits and for every $W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $m \geq 0$ and $Y \in \mathcal{M}$ the functor $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) : \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ preserves κ -small limits.
- (2) A right tensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is compatible with κ -small colimits if $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}})^\otimes \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}})^\otimes \times (\mathcal{V}^{\text{rev}})^\otimes$ is compatible with κ -small colimits.
- (3) A bitensored ∞ -category is compatible with κ -small colimits if it is a left and right tensored ∞ -category compatible with κ -small colimits, i.e. $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ are compatible with κ -small colimits, \mathcal{M} admits κ -small colimits and for every $V \in \mathcal{V}, W \in \mathcal{W}, X \in \mathcal{M}$ the functors $(-) \otimes X : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, X \otimes (-) : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, V \otimes (-), (-) \otimes W : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ preserve κ -small colimits.

Definition 2.38. Let κ be a small regular cardinal.

- (1) A presentably left tensored ∞ -category is a left tensored ∞ -category $\phi : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ compatible with small colimits such that \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{M} are presentable.
- (2) A presentably right tensored ∞ -category is a right tensored ∞ -category $\phi : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ compatible with small colimits such that \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{W} are presentable.

- (3) A presentably bitensored ∞ -category is a presentably left tensored and presentably right tensored ∞ -category.
- (4) A left tensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ is κ -compactly generated if $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ and \mathcal{M} are κ -compactly generated and the left \mathcal{V} -action on \mathcal{M} restricts to a left \mathcal{V}^{κ} -action on \mathcal{M}^{κ} .
- (5) A right tensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ is κ -compactly generated if $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ and \mathcal{M} are κ -compactly generated and the right \mathcal{W} -action on \mathcal{M} restricts to a right \mathcal{W}^{κ} -action on \mathcal{M}^{κ} .
- (6) A bitensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ is κ -compactly generated if the left \mathcal{V} -action and right \mathcal{W} -action are κ -compactly generated.

By [7, Proposition 7.15.] every presentably left tensored, right tensored, bitensored ∞ -category, respectively, is κ -compactly generated for some regular cardinal κ .

Next we generalize the notions of left, right and bitensored ∞ -categories (compatible with small colimits) by introducing the notions of left and right tensors and conical colimits.

Definition 2.39. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category and $V \in \mathcal{V}, W \in \mathcal{W}, X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$.

- (1) A multi-morphism $\psi \in \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V, X; Y)$ exhibits Y as the left tensor of V, X if for every $Z \in \mathcal{M}, V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ the following map is an equivalence:

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, Y, W_1, \dots, W_m; Z) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, V, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Z).$$

We write $V \otimes X$ for Y .

- (2) A multi-morphism $\psi \in \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W; Y)$ exhibits Y as the right tensor of X, W if for every $Z \in \mathcal{M}, V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ the following map is an equivalence:

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, Y, W_1, \dots, W_m; Z) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W, W_1, \dots, W_m; Z).$$

We write $X \otimes W$ for Y .

- (3) A multi-morphism $\tau \in \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V, Y; X)$ exhibits Y as the left cotensor of V, X if for every $Z \in \mathcal{M}, V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ the following map is an equivalence:

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, Z, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V, V_1, \dots, V_n, Z, W_1, \dots, W_m; X).$$

We write ${}^V X$ for Y .

- (4) A multi-morphism $\tau \in \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(Y, W; X)$ exhibits Y as the right cotensor of X, W if for every $Z \in \mathcal{M}, V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ the following map is an equivalence:

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, Z, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, Z, W_1, \dots, W_m, W; X).$$

We write X^W for Y .

Remark 2.40. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category, $V \in \mathcal{V}, W \in \mathcal{W}$ and $X \in \mathcal{M}$. If the respective left and right tensors exist, there is a canonical equivalence $(V \otimes X) \otimes W \simeq V \otimes (X \otimes W)$. In this case we refer to $(V \otimes X) \otimes W \simeq V \otimes (X \otimes W)$ as the bitensor of V, X, W . Similarly, if the respective left and right cotensors exist, there is a canonical equivalence $(X^V)^W \simeq (X^W)^V$ and we refer to the latter object as the bicotensor of V, X, W .

Definition 2.41. A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ admits

- left (co)tensors if for every object $V \in \mathcal{V}, X \in \mathcal{M}$ there is a left (co)tensor of V and X .
- right (co)tensors if for every object $W \in \mathcal{W}, X \in \mathcal{M}$ there is a right (co)tensor of X and W .

Example 2.42. Every weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category that is left, right, bitensored admits left tensors, right tensors, left and right tensors, respectively.

Remark 2.43. Let $\phi : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category that admits left and right tensors. Then ϕ is a locally cocartesian fibration.

Next we define conical colimits and conical limits:

Definition 2.44. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category.

- (1) Let $F : K^\triangleright \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a functor. We say that F is a conical colimit diagram if for every $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ and $Y \in \mathcal{M}$ the presheaf $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, -, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)$ on \mathcal{M} sends $F^{\text{op}} : (K^{\text{op}})^\triangleleft \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}$ to a limit diagram. We say that \mathcal{M} admits K -indexed conical colimits if \mathcal{M} admits the conical colimit of every functor starting at K .
- (2) Let $F : K^\triangleleft \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a functor. We say that F is a conical limit diagram if for every $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ and $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the presheaf $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; -)$ on \mathcal{M} sends F to a limit diagram. We say that \mathcal{M} admits K -indexed conical limits if \mathcal{M} admits the conical limit of every functor starting at K .

Remark 2.45. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category.

- (1) Every conical colimit diagram is a colimit diagram.
- (2) If $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ admits left and right cotensors, every colimit diagram is conical.
- (3) Assume that $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ admits left tensors and let $G : K \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a functor. The colimit of G is conical if and only if forming left tensors preserves the colimit of G and for every $W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $m \geq 0$ and $Y \in \mathcal{M}$ the presheaf $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)$ on \mathcal{M} preserves the limit of G^{op} .
- (4) Assume that $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ has left and right tensors and let $G : K \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a functor. The colimit of G is conical if and only if forming left and right tensors preserves the colimit of G .

Definition 2.46. Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \text{Cat}_\infty$ be a full subcategory. A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\phi : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is compatible with \mathcal{K} -indexed colimits if ϕ admits \mathcal{K} -indexed conical colimits and for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}, V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ and $0 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq m$ the presheaves

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_i, -, V_{i+1}, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \\ & \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_j, -, W_{j+1}, \dots, W_m; Y) \end{aligned}$$

on \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} , respectively, preserve \mathcal{K} -indexed limits.

2.3. Enriched functors. Next we define maps of weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories. The next definition is [10, Definition 3.18., 3.19.]:

Definition 2.47. Let $\alpha : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes, \beta : \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$ be maps of ∞ -operads and $\phi : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \phi' : \mathcal{M}'^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$ weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories. An enriched functor $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ is a commutative square of ∞ -categories over $\text{Ass} \times \text{Ass}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}^\otimes & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathcal{M}'^\otimes \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \phi' \\ \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes & \xrightarrow{\alpha \times \beta} & \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}'^\otimes \end{array}$$

such that γ preserves cocartesian lifts of inert morphisms of $\text{Ass} \times \text{Ass}$ whose first component preserves the maximum and whose second component preserves the minimum.

- An enriched functor $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ is an embedding if α, β, γ are fully faithful.
- An enriched functor $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ is left (right) linear if it preserves left (right) tensors.
- An enriched functor $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ is linear if it is left and right linear.
- An enriched functor $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ is left \mathcal{V} -enriched if α is the identity.
- An enriched functor $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ is right \mathcal{W} -enriched if β is the identity.
- An enriched functor $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ is \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched if it is left \mathcal{V} -enriched and right \mathcal{W} -enriched.
- An enriched functor $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ is left \mathcal{V} -linear if it is left linear and left \mathcal{V} -enriched.
- An enriched functor $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ is right \mathcal{W} -linear if it is right linear and right \mathcal{W} -enriched.
- An enriched functor $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ is \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -linear if it is left \mathcal{V} -linear and right \mathcal{W} -linear (or equivalently \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched and linear).

Notation 2.48. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$ be weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories.

(1) Let

$$\text{EnrFun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \subset (\text{Fun}(\mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{V}'^\otimes) \times \text{Fun}(\mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{W}'^\otimes)) \times_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes, \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}'^\otimes)} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes)$$

be the full subcategory of enriched functors.

(2) Let

$$\text{LLinFun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}), \text{RLinFun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}), \text{LinFun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \subset \text{EnrFun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$$

be the full subcategories of left linear, right linear, linear functors, respectively.

Notation 2.49. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories.

(1) Let

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \subset \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes)$$

be the full subcategory of \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functors.

(2) Let

$$\text{LLinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \subset \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}),$$

$$\text{RLinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \subset \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}),$$

$$\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \subset \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$$

be the full subcategories of left linear, right linear, linear \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functors, respectively.

(3) Let

$$\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \subset \text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$$

be the full subcategory of \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -linear functors whose underlying functor admits a right adjoint.

For the next remark we use the notation of Example 2.27:

Remark 2.50. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories and \mathbf{K} an ∞ -category. The canonical equivalences

$$\text{Fun}(\mathbf{K}, \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes)) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}(\mathbf{K} \times \mathcal{M}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes, (\mathcal{N}^{\mathbf{K}})^\otimes)$$

restrict to equivalences

$$\text{Fun}(\mathbf{K}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})) \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathbf{K} \times \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}^{\mathbf{K}}).$$

Let $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category. The canonical equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Fun}(\mathbf{K}, (\text{Fun}(\mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{V}'^\otimes) \times \text{Fun}(\mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{W}'^\otimes)) \times_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes, \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}'^\otimes)} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes)) \simeq \\ & (\text{Fun}(\mathbf{K} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{V}'^\otimes) \times \text{Fun}(\mathbf{K} \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{W}'^\otimes)) \times_{\text{Fun}(\mathbf{K} \times \mathcal{M}^\otimes, \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}'^\otimes)} \text{Fun}(\mathbf{K} \times \mathcal{M}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes) \end{aligned}$$

restricts to an equivalence

$$\text{Fun}(\mathbf{K}, \text{EnrFun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})) \simeq \text{EnrFun}(\mathbf{K} \times \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

We will often use the following proposition, which is [16, Proposition 4.2.4.2]:

Proposition 2.51. *For every bitensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ and ∞ -category \mathbf{K} the forgetful functor*

$$\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{V} \times \mathbf{K} \times \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathbf{K}, \mathcal{M})$$

is an equivalence.

Lemma 2.52. *Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be ∞ -operads, $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories and $\mathcal{K} \subset \text{Cat}_\infty$ a full subcategory.*

(1) *If \mathcal{N} admits \mathcal{K} -indexed conical colimits and left and right tensors, $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ admits \mathcal{K} -indexed colimits and the functor $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ preserves \mathcal{K} -indexed colimits.*

- (2) If for every $V \in \mathcal{V}, W \in \mathcal{W}$ the functors $V \otimes (-), (-) \otimes W : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ are accessible, \mathcal{M}^\otimes is small and \mathcal{N} is accessible, then $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is accessible.

Proof. This follows from [17, Proposition 5.4.7.11., Remark 5.4.7.13.] in view of Remark 2.43. \square

Notation 2.53. Let

$$\omega\text{BEnr} \subset (\text{Op}_\infty \times \text{Op}_\infty) \times_{\text{Cat}_\infty/\text{Ass} \times \text{Ass}} \text{Fun}([1], \text{Cat}_\infty/\text{Ass} \times \text{Ass})$$

be the subcategory of weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories.

Notation 2.54. Evaluation at the target restricts to a forgetful functor $\omega\text{BEnr} \rightarrow \text{Op}_\infty \times \text{Op}_\infty$ whose fibers over ∞ -operads $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ we denote by ${}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$.

Remark 2.55. There is a canonical equivalence

$$\omega\text{BEnr}_{\emptyset, \emptyset} \simeq \text{Cat}_\infty, \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \emptyset^\otimes \times \emptyset^\otimes \mapsto \mathcal{M}^\otimes$$

inverse to the functor $K \mapsto \emptyset^\otimes \times K \times \emptyset^\otimes \simeq K$.

Remark 2.56. There is a canonical left action of Cat_∞ on ωBEnr that sends $K, \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ to $K \times \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$. The forgetful functor $\omega\text{BEnr} \rightarrow \text{Op}_\infty \times \text{Op}_\infty$ is Cat_∞ -linear, where the target carries the trivial action. Thus for any small ∞ -operads $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ also the fiber ${}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ carries a left Cat_∞ -action that acts the same. These actions are closed by Remark 2.50 and so exhibit ωBEnr and ${}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ as left Cat_∞ -enriched, i.e. as $(\infty, 2)$ -categories.

Proposition 2.57. For every small ∞ -operads $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ the ∞ -category ${}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is compactly generated.

Proof. Let $\text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\max, \min} \subset \text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}$ be the subcategory of cocartesian fibrations relative to the collection of pairs of inert morphisms whose first component preserves the maximum and whose second component preserves the minimum, and functors over $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ preserving cocartesian lifts of inert morphisms whose first component preserves the maximum and whose second component preserves the minimum. By definition ${}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \subset \text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}$ is a full subcategory of $\text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\max, \min}$, which is closed under filtered colimits since filtered colimits commute with finite limits. Let \mathfrak{F} be the collection of functors $[1] = [0]^\triangleleft \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ selecting an inert morphism of $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ lying over a morphism of the form $[0] \simeq \{n\} \subset [n]$ in Δ in the first component and a morphism of the form $[0] \simeq \{0\} \subset [n]$ in Δ in the second component. By definition ${}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is the full subcategory of $\text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\max, \min}$ spanned by the \mathfrak{F} -fibered objects in the sense of [16, Definition B.0.19.], which are the local objects of a localization [16, Proposition B.2.9.]. By [16, Theorem B.0.20.] the ∞ -category $\text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\max, \min}$ is compactly generated and so also the accessible localization ${}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is compactly generated. \square

Notation 2.58. Let

$$\text{LMod}, \text{RMod}, \text{BMod} \subset \omega\text{BEnr}$$

be the subcategories of left tensored, right tensored, bitensored ∞ -categories and enriched functors preserving left tensors, right tensors, left and right tensors, respectively.

The next lemma is [10, Proposition 3.32.] whose short proof we include for the reader's convenience:

Lemma 2.59. *The forgetful functor*

$$\gamma : \omega\text{BEnr} \rightarrow \text{Op}_\infty \times \text{Op}_\infty$$

is a cartesian fibration that restricts to a cartesian fibration $\mathbf{BMod} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mon} \times \mathbf{Mon}$ with the same cartesian morphisms. An enriched functor corresponding to a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{V}'^{\otimes} & \xrightarrow{\alpha \times \alpha'} & \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}'^{\otimes} \end{array}$$

is γ -cartesian if and only if the square is a pullback square, i.e. if ψ induces an equivalence $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{N}$ and for any $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, X, Y \in \mathcal{M}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ the following map is an equivalence:

$$\mathrm{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(\alpha(V_1), \dots, \alpha(V_n), \psi(X), \alpha'(W_1), \dots, \alpha'(W_m); \psi(Y)).$$

Proof. Since $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathbf{Ass} \times \mathbf{Ass}}$ admits pullbacks, the functor $\mathrm{Fun}([1], \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathbf{Ass} \times \mathbf{Ass}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathbf{Ass} \times \mathbf{Ass}}$ evaluating at the target is a cartesian fibration whose cartesian morphisms are precisely the pullback squares in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathbf{Ass} \times \mathbf{Ass}}$. Thus the pullback $(\mathrm{Op}_{\infty} \times \mathrm{Op}_{\infty}) \times_{\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathbf{Ass} \times \mathbf{Ass}}} \mathrm{Fun}([1], \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathbf{Ass} \times \mathbf{Ass}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Op}_{\infty} \times \mathrm{Op}_{\infty}$ is a cartesian fibration, which restricts to cartesian fibrations $\omega\mathbf{BEnr} \rightarrow \mathrm{Op}_{\infty} \times \mathrm{Op}_{\infty}, \mathbf{BMod} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mon} \times \mathbf{Mon}$ with the same cartesian morphisms. \square

Notation 2.60. The category $\mathbf{Ass} = \Delta^{\mathrm{op}}$ carries a canonical involution sending $[n]$ to $[n]$ and a map $f : [n] \rightarrow [m]$ to the map $[n] \rightarrow [m], i \mapsto m - f(n - i)$. The involution on \mathbf{Ass} induces an involution on $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathbf{Ass}}$ that restricts to an involution $(-)^{\mathrm{rev}}$ on $\mathrm{Op}_{\infty} \subset \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathbf{Ass}}$. Moreover the involution on \mathbf{Ass} induces an involution on $\mathbf{Ass} \times \mathbf{Ass}$ by applying the involution on \mathbf{Ass} to each factor and switching the factors, which induces an involution on $(\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathbf{Ass}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathbf{Ass}}) \times_{\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathbf{Ass} \times \mathbf{Ass}}} \mathrm{Fun}([1], \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathbf{Ass} \times \mathbf{Ass}})$ that restricts to involutions $(-)^{\mathrm{rev}}$ on $\omega\mathbf{BEnr}$ and \mathbf{BMod} , under which \mathbf{LMod} corresponds to \mathbf{RMod} .

Notation 2.61. Let $\mathrm{ccBMod} \subset \widehat{\mathbf{BMod}}$ be the subcategory of bitensored ∞ -categories compatible with small colimits and linear functors preserving small colimits.

The next proposition follows from [10, Proposition 8.29., Proposition 3.42]:

Proposition 2.62. Let $\psi : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ be an enriched functor from a totally small to a locally small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category lying over maps of ∞ -operads $\alpha : \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^{\otimes}, \beta : \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'^{\otimes}$. Let $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}''^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}''^{\otimes}$ be a bitensored ∞ -category compatible with small colimits and $\alpha' : \mathcal{V}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}''^{\otimes}, \beta' : \mathcal{W}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}''^{\otimes}$ maps of ∞ -operads. If $\alpha_1 : \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{V}'') \rightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}'}(\mathcal{V}'')$ sends $\alpha' \circ \alpha$ to α' and $\beta_1 : \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{W}'') \rightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{W}'}(\mathcal{W}'')$ sends $\beta' \circ \beta$ to β' , the induced functor

$$\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{W}'}(\mathcal{N}, (\alpha', \beta')^*(\mathcal{O})) \rightarrow \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, (\alpha, \beta)^*((\alpha', \beta')^*(\mathcal{O})))$$

admits a left adjoint $\psi_!$, which is fully faithful if ψ is an embedding. For every \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functor $F : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow (\alpha, \beta)^*((\alpha', \beta')^*(\mathcal{O}))$ and $X \in \mathcal{N}$ there is a canonical equivalence

$$\psi_!(F)(X) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{V_1, \dots, V_n, \psi(Y), W_1, \dots, W_m \rightarrow X} \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \alpha(V_i) \otimes F(Y) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^m \beta(W_j).$$

2.4. Enriched adjunctions. Next we define enriched adjunctions.

Definition 2.63. An enriched adjunction is an adjunction in the $(\infty, 2)$ -category $\omega\mathbf{BEnr}$ of Remark 2.56.

Definition 2.64. Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ass}$ be small ∞ -operads. An \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched adjunction is an adjunction in the $(\infty, 2)$ -category ${}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\mathbf{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ of Remark 2.56.

Remark 2.65. Let $F : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ be an enriched functor lying over maps of ∞ -operads $\alpha : \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^{\otimes}, \beta : \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'^{\otimes}$ and $G : \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$ an enriched functor lying over maps of ∞ -operads $\gamma : \mathcal{V}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \delta : \mathcal{W}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ and $\eta : \mathrm{id} \rightarrow G \circ F$ a morphism in $\mathrm{EnrFun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ lying over maps $\eta' : \mathrm{id} \rightarrow \gamma \circ \alpha, \eta'' : \mathrm{id} \rightarrow \delta \circ \beta$.

The morphism $\eta : \text{id} \rightarrow G \circ F$ exhibits F as an enriched left adjoint of G (or G as an enriched right adjoint of F) if η exhibits F as a left adjoint of G and η' exhibits α as a left adjoint of γ relative to Ass and η'' exhibits β as a left adjoint of δ relative to Ass . If $\alpha, \beta, \eta', \eta''$ are the identities, a morphism $\eta : \text{id} \rightarrow G \circ F$ exhibits F as a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched left adjoint of G if and only if it exhibits F as a left adjoint of G relative to $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$. The analogous holds for the counit.

Notation 2.66. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$ be weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories. Let

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}), \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \subset \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$$

be the full subcategories of \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functors that admit a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched left (right) adjoint.

Remark 2.67. The notion of enriched adjunction of Definition 2.64 was also discussed in [9, § 6.2.6].

Remark 2.65 implies the following:

Remark 2.68. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$ be weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories.

- (1) An enriched functor $G : \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ lying over maps of ∞ -operads $\gamma : \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \delta : \mathcal{W}'^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ admits an enriched left adjoint if γ, δ admit left adjoints α, β relative to Ass , respectively, and for every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ there is an $Y \in \mathcal{N}$ and a morphism $X \rightarrow G(Y)$ in \mathcal{M} such that for any $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ and $Z \in \mathcal{N}$ the following map is an equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(\alpha(V_1), \dots, \alpha(V_n), Y, \beta(W_1), \dots, \beta(W_m); Z) \rightarrow \\ & \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(\gamma(\alpha(V_1)), \dots, \gamma(\alpha(V_n)), G(Y), \delta(\beta(W_1)), \dots, \delta(\beta(W_m)); G(Z)) \rightarrow \\ & \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; G(Z)). \end{aligned}$$

- (2) An enriched functor $F : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes$ lying over maps of ∞ -operads $\alpha : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes, \beta : \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$ admits an enriched right adjoint if α, β admit right adjoints γ, δ relative to Ass , respectively, and for every $Y \in \mathcal{N}$ there is an $X \in \mathcal{M}$ and a morphism $F(X) \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{N} such that for any $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ and $Z \in \mathcal{M}$ the following map is an equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, Z, W_1, \dots, W_m; X) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(\alpha(V_1), \dots, \alpha(V_n), F(Z), \beta(W_1), \dots, \beta(W_m); F(X)) \\ & \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(\alpha(V_1), \dots, \alpha(V_n), F(Z), \beta(W_1), \dots, \beta(W_m); Y). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.69. Let $G : \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ be an enriched functor between weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories that admit left and right tensors that lies over maps of ∞ -operads $\gamma : \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \delta : \mathcal{W}'^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ that admit left adjoints α, β relative to Ass , respectively. Then $G : \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ admits an enriched left adjoint if and only if the underlying functor $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ admits a left adjoint $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and for every $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ and $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the following morphism is an equivalence:

$$F(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes X \otimes W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m) \rightarrow \alpha(V_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha(V_n) \otimes F(X) \otimes \beta(W_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \beta(W_m).$$

Proof. For every $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ and $Z \in \mathcal{N}$ the map

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(\alpha(V_1), \dots, \alpha(V_n), F(X), \beta(W_1), \dots, \beta(W_m); Z) \rightarrow \\ & \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(\gamma(\alpha(V_1)), \dots, \gamma(\alpha(V_n)), G(F(X)), \delta(\beta(W_1)), \dots, \delta(\beta(W_m)); G(Z)) \rightarrow \\ & \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; G(Z)). \end{aligned}$$

identifies with the composition

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{N}(\alpha(V_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha(V_n) \otimes F(X) \otimes \beta(W_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \beta(W_m), Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(F(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes X \otimes W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m), Z) \\ & \simeq \mathcal{M}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes X \otimes W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m, G(Z)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.70. *Let $F : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes$ be an enriched functor that starts at a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category that admits left and right tensors and lies over maps of ∞ -operads $\alpha : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes, \beta : \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$ that admit right adjoints γ, δ relative to Ass , respectively. Then $F : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes$ admits an enriched right adjoint if and only if the underlying functor $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ admits a right adjoint $G : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and F is linear.*

Proof. For every $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ and $Z \in \mathcal{N}$ the map

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; G(Z)) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(\alpha(V_1), \dots, \alpha(V_n), F(X), \beta(W_1), \dots, \beta(W_m); F(G(Z))) \rightarrow \\ \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(\alpha(V_1), \dots, \alpha(V_n), F(X), \beta(W_1), \dots, \beta(W_m); Z)$$

identifies with the composition

$$\mathcal{M}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes X \otimes W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m, G(Z)) \simeq \mathcal{N}(F(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes X \otimes W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m), Z) \rightarrow \\ \mathcal{N}(\alpha(V_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha(V_n) \otimes F(X) \otimes \beta(W_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \beta(W_m), Z).$$

□

Notation 2.71. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small ∞ -operads. Let ${}_{\mathcal{V}\omega}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^L, {}_{\mathcal{V}\omega}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^R \subset {}_{\mathcal{V}\omega}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ be the subcategories with the same objects and with morphisms the \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functors that admit a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched left adjoint, right adjoint, respectively.

Lemma 2.72. *Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small ∞ -operads. There is a canonical equivalence*

$${}_{\mathcal{V}\omega}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^L \simeq ({}_{\mathcal{V}\omega}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^R)^{\text{op}}.$$

Proof. For every small ∞ -category S let $\text{Cat}_{\infty/S}^L, \text{Cat}_{\infty/S}^R \subset \text{Cat}_{\infty/S}$ be the wide subcategories whose morphisms are the functors over S that admit a left, right adjoint relative to S , respectively. There is an equivalence $\text{Cat}_{\infty/S}^L \simeq (\text{Cat}_{\infty/S}^R)^{\text{op}} : \text{a functor } \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty/S} \text{ is classified by a map } \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S \times \mathcal{B} \text{ of cocartesian fibrations over } \mathcal{B}, \text{ which is a map of bicartesian fibrations over } \mathcal{B} \text{ if and only if the functor } \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty/S} \text{ lands in } \text{Cat}_{\infty/S}^L \text{ [17, Corollary 5.2.2.5]. A functor } \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty/S} \text{ is classified by a map } \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow S \times \mathcal{B} \text{ of cartesian fibrations over } \mathcal{B}, \text{ which is a map of bicartesian fibrations over } \mathcal{B} \text{ if and only if the functor } \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty/S} \text{ lands in } \text{Cat}_{\infty/S}^R \text{ [17, Corollary 5.2.2.5]. So there is an equivalence } \text{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \text{Cat}_{\infty/S})^{\simeq} \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}, \text{Cat}_{\infty/S}^R)^{\simeq} \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, (\text{Cat}_{\infty/S}^R)^{\text{op}})^{\simeq} \text{ representing an equivalence } \text{Cat}_{\infty/S}^L \simeq (\text{Cat}_{\infty/S}^R)^{\text{op}}. \text{ The equivalence } \text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^L \simeq (\text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^R)^{\text{op}} \text{ restricts to an equivalence } {}_{\mathcal{V}\omega}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^L \simeq ({}_{\mathcal{V}\omega}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^R)^{\text{op}}.$

□

Remark 2.73. Let $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category, $F : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes, G : \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ be \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functors and $\eta : \text{id} \rightarrow G \circ F$ a morphism in $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ that exhibits F as a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched left adjoint of G . Then

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\eta, \mathcal{O}) : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(F, \mathcal{O}) \circ \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(G, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \text{id}$$

exhibits $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(G, \mathcal{O})$ as a left adjoint of $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(F, \mathcal{O})$ and

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, \eta) : \text{id} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, G) \circ \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, F)$$

exhibits $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, F)$ as a left adjoint of $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, G)$.

2.5. Trivial weak enrichment.

Definition 2.74. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be ∞ -operads.

- (1) A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is left trivial if for every $n > 0, m \geq 0$ and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}, X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ the space $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)$ is empty.

- (2) A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ right trivial if for every $n \geq 0, m > 0$ and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}, X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ the space $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)$ is empty.
- (3) A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category is trivial if it is left trivial and right trivial.

Remark 2.75. A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is left trivial if and only if the functor $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ factors through the subcategory $\text{triv}_{\mathcal{V}}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{V}^\otimes$. And dually for right triviality.

Remark 2.76. Let $\mathcal{V}'^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{W}'^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be maps of ∞ -operads. For every left trivial, right trivial, trivial weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ the pullback $\mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes} \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{W}^\otimes} \mathcal{W}'^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$ is a left trivial, right trivial, trivial weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category, respectively.

Next we consider examples:

Notation 2.77. Let $\text{Ass}_{\min}, \text{Ass}_{\max} \subset \text{Ass}$ be the subcategories with the same objects and with morphisms the inert morphisms preserving the minimum, maximum, respectively.

- Example 2.78.** (1) The inclusion $\text{Ass}_{\max} \subset \text{Ass}$ exhibits $[0]$ as weakly left enriched in $[0]$, where the left enrichment is left trivial.
- (2) The inclusion $\text{Ass}_{\min} \subset \text{Ass}$ exhibits $[0]$ as weakly right enriched in $[0]$, where the right enrichment is right trivial.

Notation 2.79. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be ∞ -operads. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \text{triv}^\otimes &:= \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} \text{Ass}_{\max} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \quad \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^\otimes := \mathcal{W}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} \text{Ass}_{\min} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \\ \text{triv}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^\otimes &:= \text{triv}_{\mathcal{V}}^\otimes \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.76 gives the following example:

Example 2.80. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be ∞ -operads. Then $\gamma \text{triv}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \text{triv}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ are trivial weakly left, weakly right, weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories, respectively.

Remark 2.81. The object $[0]$ is a final object of the subcategories $\text{Ass}_{\max}, \text{Ass}_{\min} \subset \text{Ass}$ since for every $n \geq 0$ there is a unique inert order preserving map $[0] \rightarrow [n]$ preserving the maximum, minimum, respectively. The functors $\gamma \text{triv}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}_{\max}, \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}_{\min}$ are cocartesian fibrations whose fiber over the final object is contractible. Thus the unique object of $\gamma \text{triv}^\otimes, \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^\otimes$ lying over the final object is a final object of $\gamma \text{triv}^\otimes, \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^\otimes$, respectively.

Definition 2.82. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be ∞ -operads and \mathcal{K} a small ∞ -category. The trivial weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category on \mathcal{K} is

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^\otimes := \text{triv}_{\mathcal{V}}^\otimes \times \mathcal{K} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes.$$

Remark 2.83. The weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is trivial and $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}} \simeq \mathcal{K}$.

Proposition 2.84. Let $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category.

- (1) Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be a weakly left enriched ∞ -category. The following functor is an equivalence:

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

- (2) Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly right enriched ∞ -category. The following functor is an equivalence:

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\gamma \text{triv} \times \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

- (3) The following functor is an equivalence:

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\gamma \text{triv} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}.$$

Proof. We prove (1). Statement (2) is dual. (3) follows from (1) and (2). Let $\mathcal{N}_{\text{triv}}^{\otimes} := \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \times_{(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes})} (\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$. The embedding $\mathcal{N}_{\text{triv}}^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ induces an equivalence

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}, \mathcal{N}_{\text{triv}}) \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}, \mathcal{N}).$$

Consequently, it is enough to see that the canonical functor

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}, \mathcal{N}_{\text{triv}}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$$

is an equivalence. The functor $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ over \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} is a map of cocartesian fibrations relative to the subcategory $\text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$. Thus the pullback $\mathcal{N}_{\text{triv}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}$ is map of cocartesian fibrations over $\text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}$ and so classifies a functor $\text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{V}^{\otimes}}$. By Remark 2.81 the ∞ -category $\text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}$ admits a final object so that the latter functor lifts to a functor $\kappa : \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow (\text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{V}^{\otimes}})_{/\mathcal{N}_{[0]}^{\otimes}}$. This functor sends an object $W \in \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}$ to the functor $\lambda : \mathcal{N}_{W'}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{[0]}^{\otimes} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{[0]}^{\otimes}$ over \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} induced by the unique inert morphism $W \rightarrow W'$ lying over the unique inert map $[n] \rightarrow [0]$ in Ass preserving the maximum. By the axioms of a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category the functor λ is an equivalence so that κ is the final object in the ∞ -category of functors $\text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow (\text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{V}^{\otimes}})_{/\mathcal{N}_{[0]}^{\otimes}}$. Hence there is an equivalence $\mathcal{N}_{\text{triv}}^{\otimes} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{[0]}^{\otimes} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}$ over $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}$ whose pullback to $\emptyset^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ is the identity by construction of λ . So it suffices to show that the canonical functor

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}, \mathcal{N} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$$

is an equivalence. This functor is the restriction of the composition of the canonical equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}) &\simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}) \\ &\simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}) \simeq \text{Fun}(\text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}, \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes})) \end{aligned}$$

and the functor

$$\rho : \text{Fun}(\text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}, \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes})) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes})$$

evaluating at the final object of $\text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}$. The equivalence θ restricts to an equivalence

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}, \mathcal{N} \times \text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}) \simeq \text{Fun}'(\text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})),$$

where the right hand side is the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}))$ of functors inverting every morphism in $\text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}$. The functor ρ restricts to an equivalence $\text{Fun}'(\text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ since $\text{triv}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\otimes}$ admits a final object and so is weakly contractible. \square

Corollary 2.85. *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$, $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small ∞ -operads.*

- (1) *The functor ${}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\emptyset}$ taking pullback along $\emptyset^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ admits a fully faithful left adjoint whose essential image precisely consists of the right trivial weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories.*
- (2) *The functor ${}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow {}_{\emptyset}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ taking pullback along $\emptyset^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ admits a fully faithful left adjoint whose essential image precisely consists of the left trivial weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories.*
- (3) *The functor ${}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \mapsto \mathcal{M}$ admits a fully faithful left adjoint whose essential image precisely consists of the trivial weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories.*

2.6. Tensorred envelopes. In the following we introduce a tool that reduces questions about weakly enriched ∞ -categories to tensorred ∞ -categories. We follow [10, § 3.3].

Notation 2.86. Let $\text{Min}, \text{Max} \subset \text{Fun}([1], \text{Ass})$ be the full subcategories of morphisms preserving the minimum, maximum, respectively, and $\text{Ass} = \text{Min} \cap \text{Max}$ the intersection.

Remark 2.87. Every morphism in Ass uniquely factors as an inert morphism followed by a morphism preserving the minimum and maximum. Similarly, every morphism in Ass uniquely factors as an inert morphism preserving the maximum (minimum) followed by a morphism preserving the minimum (maximum). By [17, Lemma 5.2.8.19.] this guarantees that the embeddings $\text{Act}, \text{Min}, \text{Max} \subset \text{Fun}([1], \text{Ass})$ admit left adjoints, where a morphism in $\text{Fun}([1], \text{Ass})$ with local target is a local equivalence if and only if its image in Ass under evaluation at the source is inert, is inert and preserves the maximum, is inert and preserves the minimum, respectively, and its image in Ass under evaluation at the target is an equivalence.

The next notation is [10, Notation 3.98.]:

Notation 2.88. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category. Let

- $\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes := \text{Act} \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\{1\}, \text{Ass})$.
- $\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes := \text{Min} \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\{1\}, \text{Ass})$
- $\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes := \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \text{Max} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\{1\}, \text{Ass})$
- $\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes := \text{Min} \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \text{Max} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\{1\}, \text{Ass}) \times \text{Fun}([1], \text{Ass})$.

Remark 2.89. The diagonal embedding $\text{Ass} \subset \text{Act}$ induces embeddings

$$\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes, \mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes, \text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \subset \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes, \mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes, \text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \subset \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes.$$

Remark 2.90. Due to Remark 2.87 for any weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ the embeddings

$$\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \subset \text{Fun}([1], \text{Ass}) \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{V}^\otimes,$$

$$\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \subset \text{Fun}([1], \text{Ass}) \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{M}^\otimes, \text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \text{Fun}([1], \text{Ass}),$$

$$\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \subset \text{Fun}([1], \text{Ass}) \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \text{Fun}([1], \text{Ass})$$

admit left adjoints relative to $\text{Fun}(\{1\}, \text{Ass})$, relative to $\text{Fun}(\{1\}, \text{Ass}) \times \text{Fun}(\{1\}, \text{Ass})$, respectively. This implies that the following functors are cocartesian fibrations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes &\rightarrow \text{Fun}(\{1\}, \text{Ass}), \text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\{1\}, \text{Ass}), \text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\{1\}, \text{Ass}), \\ \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes &\rightarrow \text{Fun}(\{1\}, \text{Ass}) \times \text{Fun}(\{1\}, \text{Ass}). \end{aligned}$$

Construction 2.91. There is a map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes &:= \text{Min} \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \text{Max} \subset \\ &\text{Fun}([1], \text{Ass}) \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \text{Fun}([1], \text{Ass}) \rightarrow \\ &(\text{Fun}([1], \text{Ass}) \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{V}^\otimes) \times (\text{Fun}([1], \text{Ass}) \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{W}^\otimes) \rightarrow \\ &\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes = (\text{Act} \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{V}^\otimes) \times (\text{Act} \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{W}^\otimes) \end{aligned}$$

of cocartesian fibrations over $\text{Ass} \times \text{Ass}$, where the last functor is induced by the localization functors of Remark 2.90. There are maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes &:= \text{Min} \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \text{Fun}([1], \text{Ass}) \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \\ &(\text{Fun}([1], \text{Ass}) \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{V}^\otimes) \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes = (\text{Act} \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{V}^\otimes) \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \\ \text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes &:= \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \text{Max} \subset \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \text{Fun}([1], \text{Ass}) \rightarrow \\ &\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times (\text{Fun}([1], \text{Ass}) \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{W}^\otimes) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes = \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times (\text{Act} \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{W}^\otimes) \end{aligned}$$

of cocartesian fibrations over Ass , where the last functors are induced by the localization functors of Remark 2.90.

Definition 2.92. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category.

- (1) The monoidal envelope of $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is the functor

$$\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Act} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\{1\}, \text{Ass})$$

- (2) The left tensored envelope is the functor

$$\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes.$$

- (3) The right tensored envelope is the functor

$$\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes.$$

- (4) The bitensored envelope is the functor

$$\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes.$$

The next Proposition 2.93 justifies this terminology and is [10, Proposition 3.92, Proposition 3.101.]:

Proposition 2.93. Let \mathcal{V}^\otimes be an ∞ -operad and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category.

- (1) The functor $\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Act} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\{1\}, \text{Ass})$ is a monoidal ∞ -category and the embedding $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ is a map of ∞ -operads. For any monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ the functor $\text{Alg}_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{W})$ admits a fully faithful left adjoint that lands in the full subcategory of monoidal functors. So the induced functor $\text{Fun}^\otimes(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{W})$ is an equivalence.
- (2) The functor $\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a left tensored ∞ -category and the embedding $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ is an enriched functor. For any left tensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ the functor $\text{EnrFun}_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{W}}(\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ admits a fully faithful left adjoint that lands in the full subcategory of enriched functors preserving left tensors. So the induced functor $\text{LLinFun}_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{W}}(\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is an equivalence.
- (3) The functor $\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ is a right tensored ∞ -category and the embedding $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ is an enriched functor. For any right tensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ the functor $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ admits a fully faithful left adjoint that lands in the full subcategory of enriched functors preserving right tensors. So the functor $\text{RLinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is an equivalence.
- (4) The functor $\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ is a bitensored ∞ -category and the embedding $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ is an enriched functor. For any bitensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ the functor $\text{EnrFun}_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ admits a fully faithful left adjoint that lands in the full subcategory of linear functors. So the induced functor $\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is an equivalence.

Remark 2.94. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category. By construction there is a canonical $\mathcal{V}, \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear equivalence

$$\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \simeq (\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}})^{\text{rev}})^\otimes.$$

Remark 2.95. By construction every object of $\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})$ is equivalent to $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes X \otimes W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m$ for $n, m \geq 0$ and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V} \subset \text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), X \in \mathcal{M} \subset \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W} \subset \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$. The left tensored envelope of $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is the full weakly bi-enriched subcategory

$$\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \subset \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \times_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes} \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$$

spanned by the objects of the form $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes X$ for $n \geq 0$ and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ and $X \in \mathcal{M}$.

Remark 2.96. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category. By construction there is a canonical $\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear equivalence:

$$\text{LEnv}(\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M}))^\otimes \simeq \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \simeq \text{REnv}(\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M}))^\otimes.$$

Remark 2.97. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be a weakly left enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a weakly right enriched ∞ -category. By construction there is a $\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear equivalence:

$$\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N})^\otimes = \text{Min} \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{N}^\otimes \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \text{Ass})} \text{Max} \simeq \text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \times \text{REnv}(\mathcal{N})^\otimes.$$

The next lemma is [10, Lemma 3.93.]:

Lemma 2.98. *Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a monoidal ∞ -category. The embedding $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ admits a left adjoint relative to Ass .*

The next lemma is [10, Lemma 3.105.]:

Lemma 2.99. *Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a right tensored ∞ -category. The embedding $\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \subset \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ admits a left $\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ -enriched left adjoint covering the left adjoint of the embedding $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \subset \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$. The enriched adjunction $\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightleftarrows \text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ restricts to an enriched adjunction $\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M}^\otimes$. So for any bitensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ the embedding $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ has an enriched left adjoint covering the left adjoints of the embeddings $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \subset \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$.*

Remark 2.100. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a right tensored ∞ -category. Lemma 2.99 implies that $\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a bitensored ∞ -category and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ is right \mathcal{W} -linear.

Next we consider the free cocompletion of the tensored envelope.

Notation 2.101. Let κ be a small regular cardinal and \mathcal{C} a small ∞ -category. Let $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ be the full subcategory generated by \mathcal{C} under small κ -filtered colimits.

Example 2.102. For $\kappa = \emptyset$ we find that $\text{Ind}_\emptyset(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$.

Remark 2.103. By [16, Corollary 5.3.5.4.] for every small ∞ -category \mathcal{C} that admits κ -small colimits the full subcategory $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ precisely consists of the functors $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ preserving κ -small limits. Thus $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{C})$ is a κ -accessible localization with respect to the set of maps $\{\text{colim}(\mathbf{y} \circ \mathbf{H}) \rightarrow \mathbf{y}(\text{colim}(\mathbf{H})) \mid \mathbf{H} : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, \mathbf{K} \text{ } \kappa\text{-small}\}$, where $\mathbf{y} : \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ is the Yoneda-embedding. Hence $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{C})$ is a presentable ∞ -category.

Proposition 2.104. *Let κ be a small regular cardinal and $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a small ∞ -operad.*

- (1) *There is an ∞ -operad $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ compatible with small κ -filtered colimits and an embedding $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ inducing the embedding $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})$ on underlying ∞ -categories.*
- (2) *For every ∞ -operad $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ compatible with small colimits the induced functor*

$$(4) \quad \text{Alg}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{W})$$

admits a fully faithful left adjoint that lands in the full subcategory $\text{Alg}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})}^{\kappa\text{-fil}}(\mathcal{W})$ of maps of ∞ -operads preserving small κ -filtered colimits. Thus the following functor is an equivalence:

$$(5) \quad \text{Alg}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})}^{\kappa\text{-fil}}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{W}).$$

- (3) *If \mathcal{V} admits κ -small colimits, the functor (5) restricts to an equivalence*

$$\text{Alg}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{W}).$$

- (4) *If $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is compatible with κ -small colimits, $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is compatible with small colimits.*
- (5) *If $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a monoidal ∞ -category, $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a monoidal ∞ -category, the embedding $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ is monoidal and for every monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ compatible with small colimits the functor (5) reflects monoidal functors.*

Proof. By [10, Corollary 8.31.] for every small monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ there is a monoidal ∞ -category $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ compatible with small κ -filtered colimits and a monoidal embedding $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ inducing the embedding $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})$ on underlying ∞ -categories such that for every monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ compatible with small colimits condition (2) holds. We set $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes := \text{Ind}_\emptyset(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ and have a monoidal embedding $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ lying over the Yoneda-embedding.

(1): Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a small ∞ -operad and $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))^\otimes$ the full suboperad spanned by the essential image of the embedding $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V}) \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}) \subset \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))$. Then $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is compatible with small κ -filtered colimits since $\mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits and the embedding $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V}) \subset \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))$ preserves small κ -filtered colimits. The embedding of ∞ -operads $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))^\otimes$ induces an embedding $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$.

(5): We prove that if \mathcal{V} is a small monoidal ∞ -category, both definitions of $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})$ agree: the localization $\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ relative to Ass of Lemma 2.98 induces a localization $\mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ relative to Ass that exhibits $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ as a full suboperad of $\mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))^\otimes$. The monoidal embedding $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ uniquely extends to a monoidal functor $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ that preserves small κ -filtered colimits and so induces on underlying ∞ -categories the canonical embedding. So both definitions agree.

(4): Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a small ∞ -operad compatible with κ -small colimits. We show that the embedding

$$(6) \quad \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes := \text{Ind}_\emptyset(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$$

admits a left adjoint relative to Ass . This implies that $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is compatible with small colimits. In view of Remark 2.103 it is enough to see that for every κ -small ∞ -category \mathbf{K} , functor $\mathbf{H} : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and objects $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ for $n \geq 0$ and $Y \in \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ the induced map

$$\theta : \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})}(V_1, \dots, V_i, \text{colim}(\mathbf{H}), V_{i+1}, \dots, V_n; Y) \rightarrow \lim \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})}(V_1, \dots, V_i, \mathbf{H}(-), V_{i+1}, \dots, V_n; Y)$$

is an equivalence. Since $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits and $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ is generated under small colimits by tensor products of objects of \mathcal{V} , we can moreover assume that $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$. By [17, Corollary 5.3.5.4.] the object Y of $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})$ is the κ -filtered colimit of a functor λ taking values in \mathcal{V} , which is preserved by the κ -filtered colimits preserving embedding $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V}) \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$ and the small colimits preserving embedding $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}) \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$. The map θ factors as

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})}(V_1, \dots, V_i, \text{colim}(\mathbf{H}), V_{i+1}, \dots, V_n; Y) \simeq \\ & \text{colim} \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})}(V_1, \dots, V_i, \text{colim}(\mathbf{H}), V_{i+1}, \dots, V_n; \lambda(-)) \rightarrow \\ & \text{colim}(\lim \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})}(V_1, \dots, V_i, \mathbf{H}(-), V_{i+1}, \dots, V_n; \lambda(-))) \rightarrow \\ & \lim(\text{colim} \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})}(V_1, \dots, V_i, \mathbf{H}(-), V_{i+1}, \dots, V_n; \lambda(-))) \simeq \\ & \lim \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})}(V_1, \dots, V_i, \mathbf{H}(-), V_{i+1}, \dots, V_n; Y). \end{aligned}$$

The third map in the composition commuting colimit with limit is an equivalence since κ -filtered colimits commute with κ -small limits in the ∞ -category of spaces. So for proving that θ is an equivalence we can assume that $Y \in \mathcal{V}$. In this case θ is an equivalence since $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a small ∞ -operad compatible with κ -small colimits.

(2): Let $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be an ∞ -operad compatible with small colimits. The following functors

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Alg}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))}(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})}(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))), \\ & \text{Alg}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})}(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))) \end{aligned}$$

admit fully faithful left adjoints λ, τ , respectively, by Proposition 2.62. So τ factors as $\lambda \circ \tau$ followed by the first functor. By Proposition 2.93 and what we have proven the functor $\lambda \circ \tau$ lands in the full subcategory of monoidal functors preserving small κ -filtered colimits. Thus τ takes values in the full subcategory of maps of ∞ -operads preserving small κ -filtered colimits and therefore sends $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{W}))$ to $\text{Alg}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})}(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{W}))$ since the embedding $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{W}) \subset \widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))$ preserves small colimits

and $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})$ is generated by \mathcal{V} under small κ -filtered colimits. Consequently, τ restricts to a left adjoint τ' of the induced functor

$$(7) \quad \text{Alg}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})}(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{W})) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{W})),$$

which is fully faithful and lands in the full subcategory of maps of ∞ -operads preserving small κ -filtered colimits. Let σ be the strongly inaccessible cardinal corresponding to the small universe. The localization $\widehat{\text{Ind}}_\sigma(\mathcal{W})^\otimes \subset \widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ relative to Ass of (6) (applied to \mathcal{W}^\otimes and σ) induces two localizations $\text{Alg}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})}(\widehat{\text{Ind}}_\sigma(\mathcal{W})) \subset \text{Alg}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})}(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{W}))$, $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\widehat{\text{Ind}}_\sigma(\mathcal{W})) \subset \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{W}))$. The composed adjunction

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{W})) \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})}(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{W})) \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})}(\widehat{\text{Ind}}_\sigma(\mathcal{W}))$$

restricts to an adjunction $\phi : \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\widehat{\text{Ind}}_\sigma(\mathcal{W})) \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})}(\widehat{\text{Ind}}_\sigma(\mathcal{W}))$. The left adjoint ϕ lands in the full subcategory of maps of ∞ -operads preserving small κ -filtered colimits. The functor (7) preserves local equivalences for the respective object-wise localizations so that the unit of the latter adjunction is a local equivalence between local objects and so an equivalence. Hence ϕ is fully faithful.

Since \mathcal{W} is closed in $\widehat{\text{Ind}}_\sigma(\mathcal{W})$ under small colimits and $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})$ is generated by \mathcal{V} under small κ -filtered colimits, the adjunction $\phi : \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\widehat{\text{Ind}}_\sigma(\mathcal{W})) \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})}(\widehat{\text{Ind}}_\sigma(\mathcal{W}))$ restricts to an adjunction $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{W}) \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})}(\mathcal{W})$, where the left adjoint lands in the full subcategory of maps of ∞ -operads preserving small κ -filtered colimits. (3) follows from [17, Proposition 5.5.1.9]. \square

Proposition 2.105. *Let κ be a small regular cardinal and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a totally small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category.*

- (1) *There is a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ compatible with small κ -filtered colimits and a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched embedding*

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes} \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \times_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{W})^\otimes} \mathcal{W}^\otimes$$

inducing the embedding $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})$ on underlying ∞ -categories.

- (2) *For every weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ compatible with small colimits the functor*

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V}), \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{W})}(\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$$

admits a fully faithful left adjoint that lands in the full subcategory

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V}), \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{W})}^{\kappa\text{-fil}}(\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N})$$

of enriched functors preserving small κ -filtered colimits. So the next functor is an equivalence:

$$(9) \quad \text{EnrFun}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V}), \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{W})}^{\kappa\text{-fil}}(\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

- (3) *If \mathcal{M} admits κ -small colimits, equivalence (9) restricts to an equivalence*

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V}), \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

- (4) *If $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is compatible with κ -small colimits, $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ is compatible with small colimits.*

- (5) *If $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a left tensored ∞ -category, $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ is a left tensored ∞ -category, (8) is left linear and for every left tensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ compatible with small colimits the functor (9) reflects left linear functors.*

Proof. One proves (1)-(4) completely analogous to Proposition 2.104. By [10, Corollary 8.31.] for every totally small weakly bitensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ there is a bitensored ∞ -category $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ compatible with small κ -filtered colimits and a linear embedding $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ inducing the embedding $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})$ on underlying ∞ -categories such that for every bitensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ compatible with small colimits condition (2) holds. We

set $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes := \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ and have a monoidal embedding $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ lying over the Yoneda-embedding.

Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a totally small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category. Let $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))^\otimes$ be the full suboperad spanned by the essential image of the embedding $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{P}(\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}))$. The linear embedding $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}))^\otimes$ induces an embedding $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$. This proves (1). The proofs of (2)-(4) are analogous to Proposition 2.104. We prove (5): we prove that if $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a left tensored ∞ -category, $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ is a left tensored ∞ -category and (8) is left linear. It is enough to assume that $\kappa = \emptyset$ because $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})$ is generated by \mathcal{M} under small κ -filtered colimits, which are preserved by the embedding $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$, so that the left action on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ compatible with small colimits restricts to $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})$. So let $\kappa = \emptyset$.

By Proposition 2.99 the embedding $\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \subset \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ admits an $\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear enriched left adjoint lying over the left adjoint of the embedding $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ of Lemma 2.98. By (2) there is a right $\mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))$ -linear enriched left adjoint $\phi : \mathcal{P}(\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}))^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M}))^\otimes$ lying over the monoidal functor $\mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$, whose underlying functor is left adjoint to the embedding $\mathcal{P}(\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})) \subset \mathcal{P}(\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}))$. Thus the enriched right adjoint of ϕ is an embedding. The embedding $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ lands in $\mathcal{P}\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ and we get a left $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$ -enriched embedding $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ lying over $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$. Since $\mathcal{P}\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ is a bitensored ∞ -category compatible with small colimits and the embedding $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{P}\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})$ preserves small colimits, it is enough to see that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{P}\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})$ is closed under the left $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$ -action. As this left action is compatible with small colimits, it is enough to see that $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})$ is closed under the left \mathcal{V} -action. This holds because the embeddings $\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes} \mathcal{P}\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ are left \mathcal{V} -linear by Propositions 2.104 and 2.99. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ is a left tensored ∞ -category compatible with small colimits and the embedding $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ is left $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$ -linear. Since the embeddings $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ and $\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes} \mathcal{P}\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ are left \mathcal{V} -linear, the embedding $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ is left \mathcal{V} -linear, too. \square

Notation 2.106. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a totally small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category. Let

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes := \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes, \quad \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes := \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes.$$

Notation 2.107. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be ∞ -operads and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category.

(1) We call

$$\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes := \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$$

the closed monoidal envelope of $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$.

(2) We call

$$\mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes := \mathcal{P}(\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M}))^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$$

the closed left tensored envelope.

(3) We call

$$\mathcal{P}\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes := \mathcal{P}(\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M}))^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$$

the closed right tensored envelope.

(4) We call

$$\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes := \mathcal{P}(\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}))^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$$

the closed bi-tensored envelope.

Remark 2.108. By Proposition 2.105 the closed left tensored, right tensored, bitensored envelopes are presentably left tensored, right tensored, bitensored ∞ -categories.

Propositions 2.104, 2.105 and 2.93 give the following corollary:

Corollary 2.109. (1) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a small ∞ -operad. For every monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ compatible with small colimits the induced functor

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{W})$$

admits a fully faithful left adjoint that lands in the full subcategory of monoidal functors that preserve small colimits. In particular, the following induced functor is an equivalence:

$$\text{Fun}^{\otimes, \text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{W}).$$

(2) Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category. For every bitensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ compatible with small colimits the induced functor

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$$

admits a fully faithful left adjoint that lands in the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear functors preserving small colimits. In particular, the following functor is an equivalence:

$$\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

Remark 2.110. By the universal property of Corollary 2.109 (2) there is a canonical equivalence $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}})^\otimes \simeq (\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\text{rev}})^\otimes$ that restricts to an equivalence $\mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}})^\otimes \simeq (\mathcal{P}\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\text{rev}})^\otimes$.

Remark 2.96 gives the following one:

Corollary 2.111. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category. There is an equivalence

$$\mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\text{REnv}(\mathcal{M}))^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$$

of ∞ -categories presentably bitensored over $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$.

Using tensored envelopes we can prove the following refinement of Corollary 2.72:

Proposition 2.112. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories. There is a canonical equivalence

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}).$$

Proof. We can assume that $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ are totally small. Let \mathbb{K} be a small ∞ -category and $\iota : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ the canonical embedding. The functor $\mathbb{K}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbb{K} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{K}(-, -)} \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}))$ corresponds to a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functor $\mathbb{K}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes)^{\mathbb{K}}$, which by Corollary 2.109 corresponds to a left adjoint $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear functor

$$\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathbb{K}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes)^{\mathbb{K}}.$$

The latter is an equivalence as it induces on underlying ∞ -categories the canonical equivalence $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathbb{K}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^{\text{op}} \times \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})) \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathbb{K}, \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}))$. By Corollary 2.72 there is a canonical equivalence $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$. Hence there is a canonical equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda : \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{K}^{\text{op}}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N}))) &\simeq \\ \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{K}^{\text{op}}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N}))) &\simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{K}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})) \simeq \\ \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\mathbb{K}}, \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})) &\simeq \\ \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N}), \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\mathbb{K}}) &\simeq \\ \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{K}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N}), \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}))) &. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N}))' &\subset \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})), \\ \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N}), \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}))' &\subset \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N}), \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})) \end{aligned}$$

be the full subcategories of left adjoint enriched functors sending \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{N} whose right adjoint sends \mathcal{N} to \mathcal{M} , of right adjoint enriched functors sending \mathcal{N} to \mathcal{M} whose left adjoint sends \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{N} , respectively. Corollary 2.109 implies that the embedding $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{PBE}nv(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ induces an equivalence

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^L(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{PBE}nv(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{PBE}nv(\mathcal{W})}^L(\mathcal{PBE}nv(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{PBE}nv(\mathcal{N}))'.$$

The embedding $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{PBE}nv(\mathcal{N})^\otimes$ induces an equivalence

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^R(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{PBE}nv(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{PBE}nv(\mathcal{W})}^R(\mathcal{PBE}nv(\mathcal{N}), \mathcal{PBE}nv(\mathcal{M}))'$$

by Proposition 2.105 since a right adjoint functor between presheaf ∞ -categories preserves small colimits if the left adjoint preserves representables. The equivalence λ restricts to an equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{K}^{\text{op}}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{PBE}nv(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{PBE}nv(\mathcal{W})}^L(\mathcal{PBE}nv(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{PBE}nv(\mathcal{N}))') &\simeq \\ \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{K}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{PBE}nv(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{PBE}nv(\mathcal{W})}^R(\mathcal{PBE}nv(\mathcal{N}), \mathcal{PBE}nv(\mathcal{M}))') &\end{aligned}$$

and we obtain an equivalence

$$\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{K}^{\text{op}}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^L(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})) \simeq \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{K}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^R(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}))$$

representing the desired equivalence $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^L(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^R(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$. \square

3. ENRICHMENT AND PSEUDO-ENRICHMENT

3.1. Pseudo-enrichment. Before defining enriched ∞ -categories we define the more general class of pseudo-enriched ∞ -categories that contains all tensored ∞ -categories.

Definition 3.1. Let $\phi: \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category.

- (1) We say that ϕ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{V} if $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a monoidal ∞ -category and for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ the map

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)$$

induced by the active morphism $V_1, \dots, V_n \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n$ in \mathcal{V}^\otimes is an equivalence.

- (2) We say that ϕ exhibits \mathcal{M} as right pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{W} if $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a monoidal ∞ -category and for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ the map

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m; Y) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)$$

induced by the active morphism $W_1, \dots, W_m \rightarrow W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m$ in \mathcal{W}^\otimes is an equivalence.

- (3) We say that ϕ exhibits \mathcal{M} as bi-pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} if $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ are monoidal ∞ -categories and for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ the following map is an equivalence:

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n, X, W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m; Y) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y).$$

Remark 3.2. A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as bi-pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} if and only if it exhibits \mathcal{M} as left pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{V} and right pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{W} .

Lemma 3.3. (1) A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a left tensored ∞ -category if and only if it is a left pseudo-enriched ∞ -category and admits left tensors.

- (2) A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a right tensored ∞ -category if and only if it is a right pseudo-enriched ∞ -category and admits right tensors.

- (3) A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a bitensored ∞ -category if and only if it is a bi-pseudo-enriched ∞ -category and admits bitensors.

Proof. (2) is dual to (1) and (3) follows from (1) and (2). We prove (1): Every left tensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a left pseudo-enriched ∞ -category. By left pseudo-enrichedness for every $V \in \mathcal{V}, X \in \mathcal{M}$ the natural morphism $V, X \rightarrow V \otimes X$ in \mathcal{M}^\otimes exhibits $V \otimes X$ as the left tensor of V, X if for every $V' \in \mathcal{V}, Z \in \mathcal{M}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $m \geq 0$ the following map is an equivalence:

$$\mathrm{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V', V \otimes X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V' \otimes V, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Z).$$

This follows since $V' \otimes (V \otimes X) \simeq (V' \otimes V) \otimes X$.

Conversely, if $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ admits left tensors, for every $X \in \mathcal{M}, V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for $n \geq 0$ the functor $\mathrm{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X; -) : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is corepresentable by some object Y by iterately taking the left tensor. For every $V'_1, \dots, V'_k \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}, Z \in \mathcal{M}$ for $k, m \geq 0$ the canonical map

$$\mathrm{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V'_1, \dots, V'_k, Y, W_1, \dots, W_m; Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V'_1, \dots, V'_k, V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Z)$$

is an equivalence. By left pseudo-enrichedness for every $V_1^j, \dots, V_{n_j}^j$ for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ and $W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $m \geq 0$ the object corepresenting the functor

$$\mathrm{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(\{V_1^j, \dots, V_{n_j}^j\}_{j=1}^\ell, V_1, \dots, V_n, X; -) : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

corepresents the functor $\mathrm{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(\{V_1^j \otimes \dots \otimes V_{n_j}^j\}_{j=1}^\ell, Y; -) : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. This implies that $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a left tensored ∞ -category. \square

Notation 3.4. Let

$$\mathrm{LPEnr}, \mathrm{RPEnr}, \mathrm{BPEnr} \subset \omega\mathrm{BEnr}$$

be the full subcategories of left pseudo-enriched, right pseudo-enriched, bi-pseudo-enriched ∞ -categories, respectively.

Example 3.5. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be a weakly left enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a weakly right enriched ∞ -category.

- (1) If $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{V} , then $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$ as left pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{V} .
- (2) If $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{N} as right pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{W} , then $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$ as right pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{W} .
- (3) If $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{V} and $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{N} as right pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{W} , then $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$ as bi-pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} .

Construction 3.6. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category. Since $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ is a closed bitensored ∞ -category, by Proposition 2.51 evaluation at the tensor units gives an equivalence

$$\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W}), \mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})) \simeq \mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}).$$

The graph of \mathcal{M} is the \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functor $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ corresponding to the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}} \subset \mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\mathrm{op}} &\simeq \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W}), \mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}))^{\mathrm{op}} \\ &\simeq \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\mathrm{R}}(\mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})) \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})), \end{aligned}$$

where the middle functor is by Proposition 2.112 and the last functor is restriction.

Remark 3.7. For every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functor $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(-, X) : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))^{\otimes}$ is the restriction of the enriched right adjoint of the left adjoint $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear functor

$$(\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes}, (V, W) \mapsto V \otimes X \otimes W.$$

So for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ there is an equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n, W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m) &\simeq \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes X \otimes W_1, \dots, W_m, Y) \\ &\simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.8. A totally small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as

- (1) left pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{V} if and only if $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a monoidal ∞ -category and for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ the object

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})) \simeq \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))$$

lies in $\text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}))$.

- (2) right pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{W} if and only if $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a monoidal ∞ -category and for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ the object

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})) \simeq \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))$$

lies in $\text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}))$.

- (3) bi-pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} if and only if $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ are monoidal ∞ -categories and for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ the object

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))$$

lies in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W})$.

3.2. Enrichment. Now we are ready to define enriched ∞ -categories:

Definition 3.9. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category.

- (1) A left multi-morphism object of $W_1, \dots, W_m, X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ for $m \geq 0$ is an object

$$\text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \in \mathcal{V}$$

such that there is a multi-morphism $\beta \in \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(\text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y), X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)$ that induces for every objects $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for $n \geq 0$ an equivalence

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{V}}(V_1, \dots, V_n; \text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)) \simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y).$$

- (2) A right multi-morphism object of $V_1, \dots, V_n, X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ for $n \geq 0$ is an object

$$\text{RMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X; Y) \in \mathcal{W}$$

such that there is a multi-morphism $\alpha \in \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, \text{RMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X; Y); Y)$ that induces for every objects $W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $m \geq 0$ an equivalence

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{W}}(W_1, \dots, W_m; \text{RMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X; Y)) \simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y).$$

Definition 3.10. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category and $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$.

- (1) A left morphism object of X, Y in \mathcal{M} is a factorization $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) : \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ of the functor $\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ corresponding to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))$.
- (2) A right morphism object of X, Y in \mathcal{M} is a factorization $\text{RMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) : \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ of the functor $\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ corresponding to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))$.

Remark 3.11. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category and $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $m \geq 0$. A left multi-morphism object $\text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(W_1, \dots, W_m, X; Y) \in \mathcal{V}$ represents the presheaf $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)(W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m, -) \in \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})$. Consequently, there is a left morphism object $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) : \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ if and only if for every $W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $m \geq 0$ there is a left multi-morphism object $\text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(W_1, \dots, W_m, X; Y) \in \mathcal{V}$. In this case there is a canonical equivalence

$$\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)(W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m) \simeq \text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y).$$

The similar holds for right (multi-) morphism objects.

Lemma 3.12. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a small bi-tensored ∞ -category. The linear embedding $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes}$ preserves left and right multi-morphism objects.

Proof. First note that by presentability of the biaction, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ admits all left and right multi-morphism objects. We prove the case of right multi-morphism objects. The case of left multi-morphism objects is similar. Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}, V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for $n \geq 0$ that admit a right multi-morphism object $\text{RMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X; Y) \in \mathcal{W}$ in \mathcal{M} . We like to see that the induced morphism

$$\text{RMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X; Y) \rightarrow \text{RMulMor}_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X; Y)$$

in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})$ is an equivalence. Evaluating the latter morphism at $W \in \mathcal{W}$ gives the canonical equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W; Y) &\simeq \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}, \text{RMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X; Y)) \rightarrow \\ \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{W}, \text{RMulMor}_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X; Y)) &\simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W; Y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.13. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category. The embeddings

$$\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \subset \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes}, \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes}$$

of weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories preserve left and right multi-morphism objects.

Proof. We first prove that the embedding $\iota : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \subset \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes}$ preserves left and right multi-morphism objects. We prove the case of right multi-morphism objects. The case of left multi-morphism objects is similar. Let $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ for $n \geq 0$ and assume there is a right multi-morphism object $\text{RMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X; Y)$. Let $j_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} \subset \text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), j_{\mathcal{W}} : \mathcal{W} \subset \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ be the canonical embeddings. Using that $\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$ is a bitensored ∞ -category and so a right pseudo-enriched ∞ -category, it is enough to see that for every $W \in \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ the following induced map is an equivalence:

$$\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{W}, i_{\mathcal{W}}(\text{RMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X; Y))) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})}(j_{\mathcal{V}}(V_1), \dots, j_{\mathcal{V}}(V_n), \iota(X), W).$$

As $W \simeq j_{\mathcal{W}}(W_1) \otimes \dots \otimes j_{\mathcal{W}}(W_m)$ for $W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ and $m \geq 0$, the latter map identifies with the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mul}_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(j_{\mathcal{W}}(W_1), \dots, j_{\mathcal{W}}(W_m), i_{\mathcal{W}}(\text{RMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X; Y))) \\ \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})}(j_{\mathcal{V}}(V_1), \dots, j_{\mathcal{V}}(V_n), \iota(X), j_{\mathcal{W}}(W_1), \dots, j_{\mathcal{W}}(W_m); \iota(Y)). \end{aligned}$$

The latter is an equivalence by definition of right multi-morphism object. By Lemma 3.12 the embedding $\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes}$ preserves left and right multi-morphism objects.

□

Definition 3.14. (1) A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left enriched in \mathcal{V} if for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ there is a left morphism object $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{V}$.

(2) A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as right enriched in \mathcal{W} if for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ there is a right morphism object $\text{RMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{W}$.

(3) A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as bi-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} if it exhibits \mathcal{M} as left enriched in \mathcal{V} and right enriched in \mathcal{W} .

Notation 3.15. Let

$$\text{LEnr}, \text{REnr}, \text{BEnr} \subset \omega\text{BEnr}$$

be the full subcategories of left enriched, right enriched, bi-enriched ∞ -categories.

Example 3.16. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category whose underlying weakly left enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left \mathcal{V} -enriched.

- (1) If $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ admits right tensors, $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left \mathcal{V} -enriched. For every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ and W_1, \dots, W_m for $m \geq 0$ the left multi-morphism object is

$$\text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) = \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(X \otimes W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m, Y).$$

- (2) If $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ admits right cotensors, $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left \mathcal{V} -enriched. For every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ and W_1, \dots, W_m for $m \geq 0$ the left multi-morphism object is

$$\text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) = \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(X, Y^{W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m}).$$

Remark 3.17. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a bi-enriched ∞ -category. The underlying weakly left enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ of $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left \mathcal{V} -enriched, where for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ there is a canonical equivalence $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(X, Y) \simeq \text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X; Y)$. If $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ admits right tensors or right cotensors, the left multi-morphism spaces of $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ are given by the left multi-morphism spaces of $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ by Example 3.16.

Lemma 3.18. *A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ that exhibits \mathcal{M} as left enriched in a monoidal ∞ -category, right enriched in a monoidal ∞ -category, bi-enriched in monoidal ∞ -categories, respectively, exhibits \mathcal{M} as left pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{V} , right pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{W} , bi-pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} , respectively.*

Proof. We prove the case of left enrichment. The case of right enrichment is dual and the case of bi-enrichment follows from the cases of left and right enrichment using Remark 3.2. For every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ the map

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)$$

induced by the active morphism $V_1, \dots, V_n \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n$ in \mathcal{V}^\otimes identifies with the induced map

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{V}}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n, \text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{V}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, \text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)),$$

which is an equivalence since the active morphism $V_1, \dots, V_n \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n$ is cocartesian over Ass . \square

Remark 3.19. Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be small ∞ -categories. A presheaf $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D})$ is representable in both variables if for every $X \in \mathcal{C}, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ the presheaves $\alpha(X, -) : \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}, \alpha(-, Y) : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ are representable. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\text{rep}}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D})$ be the full subcategory of presheaves representable in both variables.

The following conditions are equivalent for a presheaf $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D})$:

- (1) The presheaf $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D})$ is representable in both variables.
- (2) The corresponding functors $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ induce functors $F^{\text{op}} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}, G : \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.
- (3) The corresponding functor $\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ induces a functor $G : \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ that admits a left adjoint. In this case for every $X \in \mathcal{C}$ the presheaf $\mathcal{C}(X, -) \circ G \simeq \alpha(X, -) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D})$ is represented by $F(X)$.
- (4) The corresponding functor $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D})$ induces a functor $F^{\text{op}} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ that admits a left adjoint. In this case for every $Y \in \mathcal{D}$ the presheaf $\mathcal{D}(Y, -) \circ F^{\text{op}} \simeq \alpha(-, Y) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ is represented by $G(Y)$.

Consequently, the canonical equivalence $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}))$ restricts to an equivalence

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{rep}}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D}).$$

The canonical equivalence $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{C})$ restricts to an equivalence

$$\text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\text{rep}}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\text{rep}}(\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}).$$

Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be presentable ∞ -categories. The universal functor $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ preserving small colimits component-wise induces an embedding $\text{Fun}^{\text{R}}((\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D})$, which restricts to equivalences

$$\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D} \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}((\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S}) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\text{rep}}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$$

by the adjoint functor theorem [17, Proposition 5.5.2.2.], where the first equivalence is induced by the Yoneda-embedding. The universal functor $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D} \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$ preserving small colimits component-wise sends \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} to the image of \mathcal{D} under the left adjoint $\text{lan}_{\mathcal{C}}$ of the functor $\text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ evaluating at \mathcal{C} .

Remark 3.20. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category and $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ such that there is a left and a right morphism object $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y), \text{RMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)$. Then

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})) \simeq \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))$$

is the image of $\text{RMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \in \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{W})$ and

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})) \simeq \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))$$

is the image of $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \in \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$. Thus $\text{RMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y), \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)$ lie in

$$\text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\text{op}}, \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})) \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}}, \text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))$$

so that there is an adjunction

$$\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)^{\text{op}} : \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}) \rightleftarrows \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\text{op}} : \text{RMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)$$

whose left adjoint lands in \mathcal{V}^{op} and right adjoint lands in \mathcal{W} .

If $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ is bi-pseudo-enriched, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))$ lies in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W})$, the left morphism object $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) : \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ factors as $\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)|_{\mathcal{W}^{\text{op}}}} \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$, the right morphism object $\text{RMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) : \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ factors as $\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\text{RMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)|_{\mathcal{V}^{\text{op}}}} \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ and there is an adjunction

$$\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)|_{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{op}} : \mathcal{W} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{V}^{\text{op}} : \text{RMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Remark 3.21. Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a monoidal ∞ -category and $B\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ the full weakly bi-enriched subcategory spanned by the tensor unit. Then $B\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ is a bi-pseudo-enriched ∞ -category and by the latter remark there is an adjunction $\mathcal{V} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{V}^{\text{op}}$, which identifies with the canonical adjunction $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}}) : \mathcal{V} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{V}^{\text{op}} : \text{RMor}_{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}})$ (see [12, Lemma 3.11.] for this adjunction).

Remark 3.22. Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be presentably monoidal ∞ -categories, $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category and $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$. Under the canonical equivalence $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W} \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{V}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{W})$ the left morphism object $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)$ is identified with an object of $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}$, which we denote by the same name. Let α be the universal monoidal functor $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}$ preserving small colimits component-wise. Since for every $V \in \mathcal{V}, W \in \mathcal{W}$ the object $\alpha(V, W) \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}$ corresponds to $\text{lan}_{\mathcal{V}}(W) \in \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{V}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{W})$, there is a canonical equivalence

$$\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}(\alpha(V, W), \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)) \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{V}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{W})(\text{lan}_{\mathcal{V}}(W), \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)) \simeq$$

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}, \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)(V)) \simeq \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}, \text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(V, X; Y)) \simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V, X, W; Y).$$

Consequently, $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, X, -, Y) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W})$ is the image of $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}$ under the embedding

$$\alpha^* : \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W} \simeq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}).$$

Thus for every $V \in \mathcal{V}, W \in \mathcal{W}$ there is a canonical equivalence

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}(\alpha(V, W), \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)) \simeq \mathcal{M}(V \otimes X \otimes W, Y) \simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V, X, W; Y).$$

Example 3.23. Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be presentably monoidal ∞ -categories and $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a bitensored ∞ -category such that for every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the unique \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -linear functor $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ sending the tensor unit to X of Proposition 2.51 admits a right adjoint γ_X . Then $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as bi-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} . The right adjoint sends every $Y \in \mathcal{M}$ to a left morphism object $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}$ by equivalence (10).

Remark 3.24. By Example 3.23 for every weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ and every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ the object $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})) \simeq \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ is the left morphism object $\text{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(X, Y)$ of X and Y , where $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$ is the full weakly bi-enriched subcategory spanned by \mathcal{M} .

Moreover we use the following terminology:

Definition 3.25. Let κ, τ be regular cardinals and $\phi : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category.

- (1) We say that ϕ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left κ -enriched in \mathcal{V} if $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with κ -small colimits, ϕ is a left pseudo-enriched ∞ -category and for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ and $W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $m \geq 0$ the presheaf $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)$ on \mathcal{M} preserves κ -small limits.
- (2) We say that ϕ exhibits \mathcal{M} as right τ -enriched in \mathcal{W} if $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with τ -small colimits, ϕ is a right pseudo-enriched ∞ -category and for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for $n \geq 0$ the presheaf $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, -; Y)$ on \mathcal{M} preserves τ -small limits.
- (3) We say that ϕ exhibits \mathcal{M} as κ, τ -bi-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} if it exhibits \mathcal{M} as left κ -enriched in \mathcal{V} and right τ -enriched in \mathcal{W} .

Notation 3.26. Let κ, τ be small regular cardinals. Let

$${}^{\kappa}\text{LEnr}, \text{REnr}^{\tau}, {}^{\kappa}\text{BEnr}^{\tau} \subset \omega\text{BEnr}$$

be the full subcategories of left κ -enriched, right τ -enriched, κ, τ -bi-enriched ∞ -categories, respectively.

Example 3.27. A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left \emptyset -enriched in \mathcal{V} if it exhibits \mathcal{M} as left pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{V} . A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as right \emptyset -enriched in \mathcal{W} if it exhibits \mathcal{M} as right pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{W} .

Example 3.28. Let κ be a small regular cardinal. A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ is a left (right) tensored ∞ -category compatible with κ -small colimits if and only if $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ is a left (right) κ -enriched ∞ -category that admits left (right) tensors and κ -small conical colimits.

Definition 3.29. Let σ be the strongly inaccessible cardinal corresponding to the small universe and $\phi : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category.

- (1) We say that ϕ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left quasi-enriched in \mathcal{V} if ϕ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left σ -enriched in \mathcal{V} .
- (2) We say that ϕ exhibits \mathcal{M} as right quasi-enriched in \mathcal{W} if ϕ exhibits \mathcal{M} as right σ -enriched in \mathcal{W} .
- (3) We say that ϕ exhibits \mathcal{M} as bi-quasi-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} if ϕ exhibits \mathcal{M} as σ, σ -bi-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} .

Notation 3.30. Let

$$\text{LQEnr}, \text{RQEnr}, \text{BQEnr} \subset \omega\widehat{\text{BEnr}}$$

be the full subcategories of left quasi-enriched, right quasi-enriched, bi-quasi-enriched ∞ -categories, respectively, whose underlying ∞ -category is small.

Remark 3.31. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be presentably monoidal ∞ -categories. An ∞ -category left quasi-enriched in \mathcal{V} is an ∞ -category left enriched in \mathcal{V} if and only if it is locally small. An ∞ -category right quasi-enriched in \mathcal{W} is an ∞ -category right enriched in \mathcal{W} if and only if it is locally small. An ∞ -category bi-quasi-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} is an ∞ -category bi-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} if and only if it is locally small.

4. ENRICHED PRESHEAVES VIA TENSORED ENVELOPES

In this section we construct the ∞ -category of enriched presheaves as a localization of the enveloping ∞ -category with closed biaction (Proposition 4.20, Proposition 4.27, Theorem 4.28). For that we introduce the following concept that treats weak enrichment, pseudo-enrichment and enrichment on one footage.

Definition 4.1. A small localization pair is a pair $(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, S)$, where $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a small ∞ -operad and S is a set of morphisms of $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ such that the saturated closure \bar{S} of S is closed under the tensor product and for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and $f \in S$ the map $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})(f, V)$ is an equivalence.

Remark 4.2. Let $(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, S)$ be a small localization pair. Since $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a presentably monoidal ∞ -category, S is a set and \bar{S} is closed under the tensor product, the embedding $S^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ of the full suboperad spanned by the S -local objects admits a left adjoint relative to Ass . Moreover by definition the embedding of ∞ -operads $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ induces an embedding of ∞ -operads $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset S^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$.

Definition 4.3. Let $(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, S), (\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, T)$ be small localization pairs.

- (1) A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left S -enriched in \mathcal{V} if for every $f \in S, X, Y \in \mathcal{M}, W \in \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ the induced map $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})(f \otimes X \otimes W, Y)$ is an equivalence.
- (2) A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as right T -enriched in \mathcal{W} if for every $g \in T, X, Y \in \mathcal{M}, V \in \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ the map $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})(V \otimes X \otimes g, Y)$ is an equivalence.
- (3) A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as S, T -bi-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} if it exhibits \mathcal{M} as left S -enriched in \mathcal{V} and right T -enriched in \mathcal{W} .

Remark 4.4. A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as S, T -bi-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} if and only if for every $f \in \bar{S}, g \in \bar{T}, X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ the map $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})(f \otimes X \otimes g, Y)$ is an equivalence.

Notation 4.5. Let $(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, S), (\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, T)$ be small localization pairs. Let

$${}^S_{\mathcal{V}}\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}, {}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{REnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^T, {}^S_{\mathcal{V}}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^T \subset {}_{\mathcal{V}\omega}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$$

be the full subcategories of left S -enriched, right T -enriched, S, T -bi-enriched ∞ -categories, respectively.

Example 4.6. Let $\mathcal{U}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a small ∞ -operad. The pair $(\mathcal{U}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \emptyset)$ is a small localization pair, where $\emptyset^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{U})^\otimes = \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{U})^\otimes$. Let $(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, S), (\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, T)$ be small localization pairs. Then

$${}^S_{\mathcal{V}}\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} = {}^S_{\mathcal{V}}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\emptyset}, {}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{REnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^T = {}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^T.$$

Notation 4.7. Let κ be a small regular cardinal and $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a small monoidal ∞ -category compatible with κ -small colimits. Let $\text{Enr}_{\mathcal{V}}^\kappa$ be the set of morphisms

$$V'_1, \dots, V'_\ell, V_1, \dots, V_n, V''_1, \dots, V''_k \rightarrow V'_1, \dots, V'_\ell, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n, V''_1, \dots, V''_k, \\ V'_1, \dots, V'_\ell, \text{colim}(\iota \circ F), V''_1, \dots, V''_k \rightarrow V'_1, \dots, V'_\ell, \iota(\text{colim}(F)), V''_1, \dots, V''_k$$

for $V'_1, \dots, V'_\ell, V_1, \dots, V_n, V''_1, \dots, V''_k \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\ell, n, k \geq 0$, where $\iota : \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ is the embedding, $F : K \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is a functor and K is κ -small.

Notation 4.8. Let κ, τ be small regular cardinals.

- (1) If $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a small monoidal ∞ -category compatible with κ -small colimits and $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a small ∞ -operad, let $\text{LEnr}_\kappa := (\text{Enr}_\mathcal{V}^\kappa, \emptyset)$.
- (2) If $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a small ∞ -operad and $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a small monoidal ∞ -category compatible with κ -small colimits, let $\text{REnr}_\kappa := (\emptyset, \text{Enr}_\mathcal{W}^\kappa)$.
- (3) If $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ are small monoidal ∞ -categories compatible with κ -small colimits, τ -small colimits, respectively, let $\text{BEnr}_{\kappa, \tau} := (\text{Enr}_\mathcal{V}^\kappa, \text{Enr}_\mathcal{W}^\tau)$. If κ, τ are the the strongly inaccessible cardinal corresponding to the small universe, we drop κ, τ , respectively.

Example 4.9. Let κ be a small regular cardinal.

- (1) For every small monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ compatible with κ -small colimits the pair $(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \text{Enr}_\mathcal{V}^\kappa)$ is a small localization pair, where $(\text{Enr}_\mathcal{V}^\kappa)^{-1} \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes = \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$, which is a monoidal localization of $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$. A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left $\text{Enr}_\mathcal{V}^\kappa$ -enriched in \mathcal{V} if and only if it exhibits \mathcal{M} as left κ -enriched in \mathcal{V} .
- (2) If $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a presentably monoidal ∞ -category, a locally small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left $\text{Enr}_\mathcal{V}$ -enriched in \mathcal{V} if and only if it exhibits \mathcal{M} as left enriched in \mathcal{V} .

Definition 4.10. Let $(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{S}), (\mathcal{V}'^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{S}')$ be small localization pairs. A map of localization pairs $(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}'^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{S}')$ is a map of ∞ -operads $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes$ such that the induced left adjoint monoidal functor $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}')$ sends morphisms of \mathcal{S} to morphisms of \mathcal{S}' .

Example 4.11. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small monoidal ∞ -categories compatible with κ -small colimits, compatible with κ' -small colimits, respectively, for small regular cardinals $\kappa < \kappa'$. A map of ∞ -operads $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes$ is a map of localization pairs $(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \text{Enr}_\mathcal{V}^\kappa) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}'^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \text{Enr}_{\mathcal{V}'}^{\kappa'})$ if and only if it is a monoidal functor preserving κ -small colimits.

Lemma 4.12. Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be presentable ∞ -categories, \mathcal{S} a set of morphisms of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{T} a set of morphisms of \mathcal{D} . Let $\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \bar{\mathcal{T}}$ be the saturated classes generated by \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} and $\bar{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{T}}$ the image of the set $\bar{\mathcal{S}} \times \bar{\mathcal{T}}$ under the universal functor $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}$. The left adjoint functor $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{D}$ admits a fully faithful right adjoint whose essential image are the $\bar{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{T}}$ -local objects.

Proof. By [16, Proposition 4.8.1.17.] there is an equivalence $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D} \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$, where the right hand side is the full subcategory of right adjoint functors $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. The functor $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{D}$ is left adjoint to the embedding $\text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{S}^{-1}(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{D}) \subset \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$ whose essential image are the small limits preserving functors $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ that land in $\mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{D}$ and invert \mathcal{S} .

The functor $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D} \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$ sends X, Y to $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(-, X)} \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{(-) \otimes Y} \mathcal{D}$. An object $F \in \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D} \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$ is local with respect to $\bar{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{T}}$ if and only if it is local with respect to $f \otimes Y, X \otimes g$ for any $f \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}, g \in \bar{\mathcal{T}}, X \in \mathcal{C}, Y \in \mathcal{D}$. There are canonical equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}(f \otimes Y, F) &\simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})(\mathcal{C}(-, f) \otimes Y, F) \simeq \mathcal{D}(Y, F(f)), \\ \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}(X \otimes g, F) &\simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})(\mathcal{C}(-, X) \otimes g, F) \simeq \mathcal{D}(g, F(X)). \end{aligned}$$

So F is local with respect to $f \otimes Y$ for any $f \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ if and only if F inverts maps of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ (equivalently of \mathcal{S}). So F is local with respect to $X \otimes g$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}, g \in \bar{\mathcal{T}}$ if and only if F lands in $\mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{D}$. \square

Corollary 4.13. Let $(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{S}), (\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{T})$ be small localization pairs.

- (1) A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left \mathcal{S} -enriched if for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $m \geq 0$ the left multi-morphism object

$$\text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(W_1, \dots, W_m, X; Y)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$.

- (2) A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as right T -enriched if for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}, V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for $n \geq 0$ the right multi-morphism object

$$\mathrm{RMulMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X; Y)$$

belongs to $T^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})$.

- (3) A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as S, T -bi-enriched if for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}, V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for $n \geq 0$ the morphism object $\mathrm{Mor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(X, Y)$ belongs to $S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes T^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})$.

Proof. Statements (1) and (2) are clear. We prove (3): for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M}), f \in \bar{S}, g \in \bar{T}$ the map $\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})(f \otimes X \otimes g, Y)$ identifies with the map $\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})(f \otimes g, \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y))$. So the result follows from Lemma 4.12. \square

Notation 4.14. Let $(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}, S), (\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}, T)$ be small localization pairs (Definition 4.1) and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category.

- (1) Let

$$\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S,T}^\otimes \subset S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes} \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes} T^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$$

be the full weakly bi-enriched subcategory spanned by the presheaves on $\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})$ that are local with respect to the set of morphisms of the form $f \otimes X \otimes W_1 \dots \otimes W_m$ and $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes X \otimes g$ for $f \in S, g \in T, X \in \mathcal{M}, V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$.

- (2) Let

$$\overline{\mathcal{PEnv}}(\mathcal{M})_{S,T}^\otimes := \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes} \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S,T}^\otimes \times_{T^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes} \mathcal{W}^\otimes.$$

If $S = \emptyset$ or $T = \emptyset$, we drop S, T from the notation, respectively.

Remark 4.15. As a consequence of Remark 2.110 there is a canonical equivalence

$$(\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S,T}^{\mathrm{rev}})^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{rev}})_{T,S}^\otimes.$$

Remark 4.16. Since $\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})$ is presentable, the embedding $\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S,T} \subset \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})$ admits a left adjoint. The full subcategory $\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S,T} \subset \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})$ precisely consists of the presheaves on $\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})$ that are local with respect to the collection \mathcal{Q} of morphisms of the form $f \otimes X \otimes g$ for $f \in \bar{S}, g \in \bar{T}$ and $X \in \mathcal{M}$. The biaction of $\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})$ on $\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})$ sends morphisms of $\bar{S}, \mathcal{Q}, \bar{T}$ to \mathcal{Q} . This implies that the embedding $\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S,T}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ admits an enriched left adjoint such that the unit lies over the units of the localizations $S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes, T^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$.

Remark 4.17. A weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a S, T -bi-enriched ∞ -category if and only if $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ lands in $\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S,T}^\otimes$.

Remark 4.18. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes$ be an enriched functor between totally small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories lying over maps of small localization pairs

$$(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}, S) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}'^\otimes \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}, S'), (\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}, T) \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}'^\otimes \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}, T').$$

The induced left adjoint linear functor $\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{N})^\otimes$ preserves local equivalences for the corresponding localizations and so descends to a left adjoint linear functor

$$\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S,T}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{N})_{S',T'}^\otimes.$$

Lemma 4.19. Let $(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}, S), (\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}, T)$ be localization pairs, $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a totally small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category and $Y \in \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) The object Y belongs to $\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S,T}$.
- (2) For every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the object $\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y)$ belongs to $S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes T^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})$.

- (3) The object Y is local with respect to all morphisms $f \otimes X \otimes g$ for $f \in \bar{S}, g \in \bar{T}$ and $X \in \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})$.
(4) For any $X \in \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})$ the object $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y)$ belongs to $S^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes T^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$.

Proof. Lemma 4.12 implies that (1) is equivalent to (2), and that (3) is equivalent to (4). Condition (1) is equivalent to (3) since \bar{S}, \bar{T} are closed under the tensor product and $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})$ is generated by \mathcal{M} under small colimits and the $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -bifunctor. \square

Proposition 4.20. *Let $(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}, S), (\mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}, T)$ be small localization pairs, $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a small S, T -bi-enriched ∞ -category and $\rho : \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow S^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \times T^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$ a bitensored ∞ -category compatible with small colimits.*

- (1) The enriched embedding $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S, T}^{\otimes}$ induces a functor

$$\text{EnrFun}_{S^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), T^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S, T}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$$

that admits a fully faithful left adjoint. The left adjoint lands in the full subcategory

$$\text{LinFun}_{S^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), T^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S, T}, \mathcal{N}).$$

- (2) The following induced functor is an equivalence:

$$\text{LinFun}_{S^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), T^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S, T}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

- (3) There is a canonical $S^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), T^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear equivalence

$$\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S, T}^{\otimes} \simeq (S^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})} \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} T^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))^{\otimes}.$$

Proof. We first prove (2). Let $\mathcal{N}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$ be the pullback of ρ along the left adjoints of the embeddings $S^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes}, T^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LinFun}_{S^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), T^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S, T}, \mathcal{N}) &\xrightarrow{\rho} \text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}') \\ &\rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}). \end{aligned}$$

The first functor in the composition is an equivalence by the description of local equivalences. This proves (3). The second functor is an equivalence by Propositions 2.93 and 2.104.

- (1): The left adjoint of the functor

$$\text{EnrFun}_{S^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), T^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S, T}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$$

factors as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) &\xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}') \xrightarrow{\beta} \\ &\text{EnrFun}_{S^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), T^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S, T}, \mathcal{N}), \end{aligned}$$

where β takes restriction and α is the fully faithful left adjoint of

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}') \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$$

that lands in $\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}')$ (Proposition 2.105). Since ρ is essentially surjective, β takes $\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}')$ to $\text{LinFun}_{S^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), T^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S, T}, \mathcal{N})$. \square

4.1. Enriched presheaves. In the following we specialize the theory of the latter subsection to construct an enriched ∞ -category of enriched presheaves and more generally for every small regular cardinal κ a κ -enriched ∞ -category of κ -enriched presheaves.

Notation 4.21. Let κ be a small regular cardinal and $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a small monoidal ∞ -category.

(1) Let

$$\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$$

be the full suboperad spanned by the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$ generated by \mathcal{V} under κ -small colimits. For κ the large strongly inaccessible cardinal corresponding to the small universe (applying the notation in a larger universe) we drop κ from the notation.

(2) Let

$$\mathcal{P}^\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$$

be the full suboperad spanned by the κ -compact objects of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$.

Remark 4.22. Since the Yoneda-embedding is monoidal and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is compatible with small colimits, $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a monoidal full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ compatible with κ -small colimits. The full subcategory $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\kappa$ of κ -compact objects of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$ is the smallest full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$ containing $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})$ and closed under retracts [17, Proposition 5.3.4.17.]. Thus $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\kappa$ is closed under the tensor product of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$ so that $(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\kappa)^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is monoidal ∞ -category compatible with κ -small colimits.

Remark 4.23. Since every object of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$ is a small κ -filtered colimit of objects of $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})$, we find that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a κ -compactly generated monoidal ∞ -category. The monoidal ∞ -category $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is a localization of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ relative to Ass . Consequently, a monoidal ∞ -category is κ -compactly generated if and only if it is a κ -accessible localization relative to Ass of presheaves on a small monoidal ∞ -category. Similarly, a bitensored ∞ -category is κ -compactly generated if and only if it is an enriched κ -accessible localization of presheaves on a small bitensored ∞ -category.

Notation 4.24. Let κ be a small regular cardinal.

(1) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a small monoidal ∞ -category compatible with κ -small colimits, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a small ∞ -operad and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a small left κ -enriched ∞ -category. Let

$$\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes} \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}_\kappa}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes} \mathcal{P}_\kappa\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$$

be the full bitensored subcategory generated by \mathcal{M} under κ -small colimits and the $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{P}_\kappa\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -bifibration.

(2) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a small ∞ -operad, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a small monoidal ∞ -category compatible with κ -small colimits and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a small right κ -enriched ∞ -category. Let

$$\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{REnr}}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}_\kappa\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes} \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{REnr}_\kappa}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{W})^\otimes} \mathcal{W}^\otimes$$

be the full bitensored subcategory generated by \mathcal{M} under κ -small colimits and the $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{W}$ -bifibration.

(3) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small monoidal ∞ -categories compatible with κ -small colimits and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a small κ, κ -bi-enriched ∞ -category. Let

$$\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes} \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}_\kappa}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{W})^\otimes} \mathcal{W}^\otimes$$

be the full bitensored subcategory generated by \mathcal{M} under κ -small colimits and the \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -bifibration.

Remark 4.25. Since the embeddings $\mathcal{V} \subset \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})$ and $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ preserve κ -small colimits,

$$\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{P}_\kappa\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes} \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}_\kappa}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\kappa\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{REnr}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\kappa\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$$

are bitensored ∞ -categories compatible with κ -small colimits, which are small because \mathcal{M} is small and the collection of all κ -small ∞ -categories is small.

Notation 4.26. Let σ be the large strongly inaccessible cardinal corresponding to the small universe.

- (1) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ an ∞ -operad and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a left quasi-enriched ∞ -category. Let

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes := \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}^\otimes := \widehat{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}}_\sigma(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes.$$

- (2) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be an ∞ -operad, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a right quasi-enriched ∞ -category. Let

$$\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{REnr}}^\otimes := \widehat{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}}_\sigma(\mathcal{M})_{\text{REnr}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes.$$

- (3) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be monoidal ∞ -categories compatible with small colimits and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a bi-quasi-enriched ∞ -category. Let

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes := \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}}^\otimes := \widehat{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}}_\sigma(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes.$$

Proposition 4.27. Let κ be a small regular cardinal.

- (1) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a small monoidal ∞ -category compatible with κ -small colimits, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a small ∞ -operad, $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a small left κ -enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{P}_\kappa \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ a small bitensored ∞ -category compatible with κ -small colimits. The functor

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{N}} : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{P}_\kappa \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$$

admits a fully faithful left adjoint that lands in $\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{P}_\kappa \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^\kappa(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}, \mathcal{N})$ and so the following induced functor is an equivalence:

$$\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{P}_\kappa \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^\kappa(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

- (2) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small monoidal ∞ -categories compatible with κ -small colimits, $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a small κ, κ -bi-enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a bitensored ∞ -category compatible with κ -small colimits. The functor

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{N}} : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$$

admits a fully faithful left adjoint that lands in $\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^\kappa(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}}, \mathcal{N})$ and so the following induced functor is an equivalence:

$$\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^\kappa(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

Proof. The proof of (1) and (2) are similar. We prove (1). Since $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}$ is generated by \mathcal{M} under κ -small colimits and the $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{P}_\kappa \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -bifunctor, the functor in the second part of (1) is conservative. So it remains to prove the first part. By Notation 2.101 there is a κ -small colimits preserving embedding of bitensored ∞ -categories $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes := \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{N})^\otimes$ into a bitensored ∞ -category compatible with small colimits that lies over the monoidal embeddings $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes, \mathcal{P}_\kappa \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{P}_\kappa \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$. By Proposition 2.62 and Remark 4.25 the induced functors

$$\rho : \text{EnrFun}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}_\kappa}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{P}_\kappa \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}, \mathcal{O}),$$

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{O}} : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{P}_\kappa \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O})$$

admit fully faithful left adjoints. Thus the left adjoint of $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$ factors as the left adjoint of $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}} \circ \rho$, which lands in $\text{LinFun}_{\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}_\kappa}, \mathcal{O})$ by Proposition 4.20, followed by ρ and so lands in $\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{P}_\kappa \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^\kappa(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}, \mathcal{O})$ as $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}$ is closed in $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}_\kappa}$ under κ -small colimits and the $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{P}_\kappa \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -bifunctor. Since $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}$ is generated by \mathcal{M} under κ -small colimits and bitensors and the linear embedding $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$ preserves κ -small colimits, the left adjoint of $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$ restricts to a left adjoint of $\gamma_{\mathcal{N}}$ that lands in $\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{P}_\kappa \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}, \mathcal{N})$. \square

Proposition 4.27 specializes to the following theorem:

Theorem 4.28. (1) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ an ∞ -operad, $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a left quasi-enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ a bitensored ∞ -category compatible with small colimits. The induced functor is an equivalence:

$$\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})}^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

(2) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be monoidal ∞ -categories compatible with small colimits, $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a bi-quasi-enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a bitensored ∞ -category compatible with small colimits. The induced functor is an equivalence:

$$\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

Remark 4.29. Hinich [14, 6.2.2.], [15], [10, Notation 4.35., Theorem 5.1.] construct a different model for the ∞ -category of enriched presheaves in Hinich's and Gepner-Haugsgeng's models of enriched ∞ -categories, respectively. These constructions satisfy an analogous universal property in the framework of these models and are compared by [13]. Consequently, comparison of these models with our model [10], [18] identifies these constructions with the one of Theorem 4.28.

4.2. On the relationship between weak enrichment, pseudo enrichment and enrichment.

In the following we study the relationship between enriched, pseudo-enriched and weakly enriched ∞ -categories.

Proposition 4.30. Let $(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{S}), (\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{T})$ be small localization pairs.

(1) The functor

$$\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}},$$

which takes pullback along the embedding $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$, restricts to an equivalence

$$\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})\mathbb{L}\text{Enr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{V}}\mathbb{L}\text{Enr}_{\mathcal{W}}.$$

(2) The functor

$$\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}},$$

which takes pullback along the embedding $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$, restricts to an equivalence

$$\mathcal{V}\text{REnr}_{\mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{REnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\mathcal{T}}.$$

Proof. (2) is dual to (1). We prove (1). We first show that the functor $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})\mathbb{L}\text{Enr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{V}}\mathbb{L}\text{Enr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is conservative. Let $F : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes$ be a $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{W}$ -enriched functor between left enriched ∞ -categories whose pullback to \mathcal{V}^\otimes is an equivalence. Then F induces an equivalence on underlying ∞ -categories and it is enough to see that F induces an equivalence on multi-morphism objects. Since $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})$ is generated under small colimits by the tensor products of objects in the essential image of $j : \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})$, it is enough to see that for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ the following map is an equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})(j(V_1) \otimes \dots \otimes j(V_n), \text{MulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m, Y)) \rightarrow \\ & \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})(j(V_1) \otimes \dots \otimes j(V_n), \text{MulMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(F(X), W_1, \dots, W_m, F(Y))). \end{aligned}$$

The latter map identifies with following map which is an equivalence by assumption:

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(j(V_1), \dots, j(V_n), X, W_1, \dots, W_m, Y) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(j(V_1), \dots, j(V_n), F(X), W_1, \dots, W_m, F(Y)).$$

Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a totally small left \mathcal{S} -enriched ∞ -category. There is an embedding $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}}^\otimes$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be the full weakly bi-enriched subcategory of $\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ spanned by \mathcal{M} . Since the latter is a presentably bitensored ∞ -category, $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a left enriched ∞ -category whose pullback to $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is

$\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$. We prove that for any small left enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ the induced functor

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{N}} : \text{EnrFun}_{S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{W}}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$$

is an equivalence. The functor $\alpha_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the pullback of the functor

$$\text{EnrFun}_{S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{PBE}_{\text{Env}}(\mathcal{N})_{\text{LEnr}}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathcal{PBE}_{\text{Env}}(\mathcal{N})_{\text{LEnr}}}} \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{PBE}_{\text{Env}}(\mathcal{N})_{\text{LEnr}}).$$

So it is enough to see that the latter functor is an equivalence. Since $\alpha_{\mathcal{PBE}_{\text{Env}}(\mathcal{N})_{\text{LEnr}}}$ is conservative, it is an equivalence if it admits a fully faithful left adjoint. This follows from Proposition 2.62 and Proposition 2.93 (2) because the left adjoint of the functor

$$\text{Alg}_{S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})}(S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})) \xrightarrow{L^*} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})}(S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})) \xrightarrow{\kappa} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V}))$$

sends the map of ∞ -operads $\tau : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ to the identity, where $L : \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightleftarrows S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes : \iota$ is the monoidal localization. Indeed, the left adjoint of κ sends τ to the monoidal localization functor $L : \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$, which is sent by the left adjoint of L^* given by ι^* to $L \circ \iota \simeq \text{id}$.

We complete the proof by showing that the functor ${}_{S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ restricts to a functor ${}_{S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})}\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{S}}$. If this is shown, the latter functor is an equivalence since it is conservative and admits a fully faithful left adjoint as $\alpha_{\mathcal{N}}$ is an equivalence. First consider the case that S is empty. In this case there is nothing to show and therefore the induced functor $\lambda : {}_{\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})}\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is an equivalence. For any left enriched ∞ -category $\beta : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ let $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be the pullback of β to \mathcal{V}^\otimes and $\mathcal{M}'^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ the pullback of β along the left adjoint monoidal functor $L : \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$. The weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}'^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as left enriched pulling back along a left adjoint. Because the pullback of $\mathcal{M}'^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ to $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ and λ is an equivalence, there is a canonical $\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{W}$ -enriched equivalence $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{M}'^\otimes$. The weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{N} as left S -enriched in \mathcal{V} because for every $f \in \text{S}, X, Y \in \mathcal{N}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ the induced map

$$\text{Mul}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}(f, X, W_1, \dots, W_m, Y) \simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}'}(f, X, W_1, \dots, W_m, Y) \simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(L(f), X, W_1, \dots, W_m, Y)$$

is an equivalence. □

Corollary 4.31. *Let $(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \text{S}), (\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \text{T})$ be small localization pairs. The functor*

$$\rho : {}_{S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\text{T}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}},$$

which takes pullback along the embeddings $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \subset \text{T}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$, restricts to an equivalence

$${}_{S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})}\text{BEnr}_{\text{T}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{S}}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{T}}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.30 the induced functor

$${}_{S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})}\text{LEnr}_{\text{T}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{S}}\text{LEnr}_{\text{T}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})}$$

is an equivalence, which restricts to an equivalence

$${}_{S^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})}\text{BEnr}_{\text{T}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{S}}\text{LEnr}_{\text{T}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})} \cap {}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{REnr}_{\text{T}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})}.$$

By Proposition 4.30 the induced functor

$${}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{REnr}_{\text{T}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{RPEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{T}}$$

is an equivalence, which restricts to an equivalence

$${}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{S}}\text{LEnr}_{\text{T}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})} \cap {}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{REnr}_{\text{T}^{-1}\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{S}}\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \cap {}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{RPEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{T}} = {}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{S}}\text{BPEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{T}}.$$

□

Proposition 4.30 gives the following corollaries:

Corollary 4.32. *Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small ∞ -operads.*

(1) *The functor*

$$\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$$

taking pullback along the embedding $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ is an equivalence.

(2) *The functor*

$$\mathcal{V}\text{REnr}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$$

taking pullback along the embedding $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ is an equivalence, which restricts to an equivalence

$$\mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\omega\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}.$$

(3) *The functor*

$$\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$$

taking pullback along the embeddings $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ is an equivalence.

(4) *Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a small monoidal ∞ -category. The functor*

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{LPEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$$

taking pullback along the monoidal embedding $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ is an equivalence.

(5) *Let $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a small monoidal ∞ -category. The functor*

$$\mathcal{V}\text{REnr}_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{RPEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$$

taking pullback along the monoidal embedding $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ is an equivalence.

(6) *Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small monoidal ∞ -categories. The functor*

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{BPEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$$

taking pullback along the monoidal embeddings $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ is an equivalence.

Remark 2.55 and Corollary 4.32 give the following corollary:

Corollary 4.33. (1) *The functors $\mathcal{S}\text{LEnr}_{\emptyset} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty, \emptyset}\text{REnr}_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty, \mathcal{S}}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ taking pullback along the embedding of ∞ -operads $\emptyset^\otimes \subset \mathcal{S}^\times$ are equivalences.*

(2) *The functors $\ast\text{LPEnr}_{\emptyset} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty, \emptyset}\text{RPEnr}_{\ast} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty, \ast}\text{BPEnr}_{\ast} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ taking pullback along the embedding of ∞ -operads $\emptyset^\otimes \subset \text{Ass}$ are equivalences.*

Corollary 4.34. *Let κ, τ be small regular cardinals.*

(1) *Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a small monoidal ∞ -category compatible with κ -small colimits and $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a small ∞ -operad. The functor*

$$\text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V})\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \overset{\kappa}{\mathcal{V}}\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$$

taking pullback along the monoidal embedding $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset \text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ is an equivalence.

(2) *Let $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a small monoidal ∞ -category compatible with τ -small colimits and $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a small ∞ -operad. The functor*

$$\mathcal{V}\text{REnr}_{\text{Ind}_{\tau}(\mathcal{W})} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{REnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\tau}$$

taking pullback along the monoidal embedding $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \subset \text{Ind}_{\tau}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ is an equivalence.

- (3) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small monoidal ∞ -categories compatible with κ -small colimits, τ -small colimits, respectively. The functor

$$\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})\text{BEnr}_{\text{Ind}_\tau(\mathcal{W})} \rightarrow {}^\kappa_{\mathcal{V}}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^\tau$$

taking pullback along the monoidal embeddings $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \subset \text{Ind}_\tau(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ is an equivalence.

- Corollary 4.35.** (1) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a presentably monoidal ∞ -category, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a small ∞ -operad and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ left enriched ∞ -categories such that \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} are small. The ∞ -category $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is small.
- (2) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a small ∞ -operad, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a presentably monoidal ∞ -category and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ right enriched ∞ -categories such that \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} are small. The ∞ -category $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is small.
- (3) Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be ∞ -categories bi-enriched in presentably monoidal ∞ -categories such that \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} are small. The ∞ -category $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is small.

For the next corollary we use Notation 4.21:

Corollary 4.36. Let κ, τ be small regular cardinals and $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small ∞ -operads.

- (1) The functor

$${}^\kappa_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})}\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$$

taking pullback along the embedding $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ is an equivalence.

- (2) The functor

$${}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{REnr}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}_\tau(\mathcal{W})}^\tau \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$$

taking pullback along the embedding $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}_\tau(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ is an equivalence.

- (3) The functor

$${}^\kappa_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}_\tau(\mathcal{W})}^\tau \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$$

taking pullback along the embeddings $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})^\otimes, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}_\tau(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ is an equivalence.

Proof. The functor ${}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})}\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ taking pullback along the embedding $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$, which is an equivalence by Corollary 4.32 (1), factors as ${}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})}\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow {}^\kappa_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})}\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$. By Corollary 4.34 (1) the first functor in the composition is an equivalence since the embedding $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}_\kappa(\mathcal{V}) \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ induces an equivalence $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{P}\text{Env}_\kappa(\mathcal{V})) \simeq \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$. The proof of (2) and (3) are similar and follow from Corollary 4.32 and 4.34 (2) and (3), respectively. \square

Next we compare different sorts of tensored ∞ -categories (Corollary 4.38).

Lemma 4.37. Let κ be a small regular cardinal and $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$ embeddings of ∞ -operads into a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with κ -small colimits such that $\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{W}'$ are generated under κ -small colimits by tensor products of objects in the essential image.

- (1) A left κ -enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is left tensored compatible with κ -small colimits if and only if the pullback $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{V}'^\otimes} \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ admits κ -small conical colimits and left tensors.
- (2) A left κ -enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ admits right tensors if and only if the pullback $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{V}'^\otimes} \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ admits right tensors.
- (3) A right κ -enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$ is right tensored compatible with κ -small colimits if and only if the pullback $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{W}'^\otimes} \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ admits κ -small conical colimits and right tensors.
- (4) A right κ -enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$ admits left tensors if and only if the pullback $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{W}'^\otimes} \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ admits left tensors.

- (5) A κ, κ -bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$ is bitensored compatible with κ -small colimits if and only if the pullback $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{V}'^\otimes} \mathcal{N}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{W}'^\otimes} \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ admits left and right tensors and κ -small conical colimits.

Proof. (5) follows immediately from (1) - (4). The proof of (3) is similar to (1). The proof of (4) is similar to (2). We prove (1) and (2). We start with proving (2). Let $W \in \mathcal{W}, X \in \mathcal{N}$ and $X \otimes W$ the right tensor for $\phi : \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$. Then there is an object in $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(X, W; X \otimes W)$ and by left pseudo-enrichedness of ϕ it is enough to prove that for every $V' \in \mathcal{V}', W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $m \geq 0$ the following induced map is an equivalence:

$$\rho : \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V', X \otimes W, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V', X, W, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y).$$

By left κ -enrichedness of ϕ we can moreover assume that $V' \simeq V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n$ for $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ and $n \geq 0$ since \mathcal{V}' is generated by tensor products of \mathcal{V} under κ -small colimits. Again by left pseudo-enrichedness of ϕ for this choice of V' the map ρ identifies with the map

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X \otimes W, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y),$$

which is an equivalence by universal property of the right tensor.

We continue with proving (1). The only-if direction is clear. We prove the if-direction. Assume that $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{N} as left κ -enriched and the pullback $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{V}'^\otimes} \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ admits left tensors and κ -small conical colimits. We like to see that $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ admits left tensors and κ -small conical colimits. Since $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{V}'^\otimes} \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ admits left tensors, by iterately taking left tensors for every $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, X \in \mathcal{N}$ for $n \geq 0$ the functor $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X; -) : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is corepresentable. This implies that for every $V \in \mathcal{V}, X \in \mathcal{N}$ the functor $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V, X; -) : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is corepresentable, too. This holds since by assumption \mathcal{V}' is generated under κ -small colimits by tensor products of objects of \mathcal{V} , the ∞ -category \mathcal{N} admits κ -small colimits, and for every $X \in \mathcal{V}'$ the functor $\mathcal{V}'^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{S}), V' \mapsto \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V', X; -)$ preserves κ -small limits. The latter holds as for every $Y \in \mathcal{N}, V \in \mathcal{V}$ the functor $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(-, X; Y) : \mathcal{V}'^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ preserves κ -small limits by left κ -enrichedness. Let $V \otimes X$ be the object corepresenting the functor $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V, X; -) : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. We obtain a functor $\otimes : \mathcal{V}' \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ that sends $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \in \mathcal{V}'$ for $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for $n \geq 0$ to $(V_1 \otimes (-)) \circ \dots \circ (V_n \otimes (-)) : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. Moreover we obtain a natural transformation $\alpha : (-, X) \rightarrow (-) \otimes X$ of functors $\mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes$ that sends any $U' \in \mathcal{V}'$ to the universal multi-morphism $U', X \rightarrow U' \otimes X$ in \mathcal{N}^\otimes . We prove that for every $U' \in \mathcal{V}', X \in \mathcal{N}$ the universal morphism $U', X \rightarrow U' \otimes X$ in \mathcal{N}^\otimes exhibits $U' \otimes X$ as the left tensor of U' and X . For every $X \in \mathcal{N}$ the natural transformation $\alpha : (-, X) \rightarrow (-) \otimes X$ induces for every $V' \in \mathcal{V}', W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $m \geq 0$ a natural transformation

$$\beta : \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V', (-) \otimes X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V', -, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)$$

of functors $\mathcal{V}'^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{V}'^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. By left pseudo-enrichedness of $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ the universal morphism $U', X \rightarrow U' \otimes X$ in \mathcal{N}^\otimes exhibits $U' \otimes X$ as the left tensor of U' and X if β is an equivalence. By left κ -enrichedness of $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ we can assume that $V' \simeq V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_k$ for some $V_1, \dots, V_k \in \mathcal{V}$ and $k \geq 0$. Moreover by left κ -enrichedness of $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ the target of β preserves κ -small limits. We prove next that also the source of β preserves κ -small limits. The functor $(-) \otimes X : \mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ preserves κ -small colimits because the functor $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(-, X; Y) : \mathcal{V}'^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ preserves κ -small limits by left κ -enrichedness of $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$. Therefore it is enough to see that the functor $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V', -, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) : \mathcal{N}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ preserves κ -small limits. By left pseudo-enrichedness of $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ the canonical map $V_1, \dots, V_k \rightarrow V'$ in \mathcal{V}'^\otimes identifies the functor $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V', -, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) : \mathcal{N}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ with the functor $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V_1, \dots, V_k, -, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) : \mathcal{N}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, which preserves κ -small limits because the pullback $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{V}'^\otimes} \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ admits κ -small conical colimits. Hence source and target of β preserve κ -small limits. This implies that it is enough to prove that for every $U_1, \dots, U_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for $n \geq 0$ the map $\beta_{U_1 \otimes \dots \otimes U_n}$ is an equivalence. The map $\beta_{U_1 \otimes \dots \otimes U_n}$ factors as equivalences

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V', (U_1 \otimes \dots \otimes U_n) \otimes X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V_1, \dots, V_k, (U_1 \otimes \dots \otimes U_n) \otimes X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V_1, \dots, V_k, U_1, (U_2 \otimes \dots \otimes U_n) \otimes X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \\
&\simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V_1, \dots, V_k, U_1, U_2, (U_3 \otimes \dots \otimes U_n) \otimes X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \simeq \dots \\
&\simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V_1, \dots, V_k, U_1, \dots, U_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V', U_1 \otimes \dots \otimes U_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y).
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove that for every $V' \in \mathcal{V}'$ the functor $V' \otimes (-) : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ preserves κ -small colimits. By assumption this holds for every $V' \in \mathcal{V}$ and so also for every $V' \simeq V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n$ for $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for $n \geq 0$. The full subcategory of \mathcal{V}' spanned by all V' such that the functor $V' \otimes (-) : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ preserves κ -small colimits is closed under κ -small colimits because the functor $\mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) : V' \mapsto V' \otimes (-) : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ preserves κ -small colimits as the functor $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(-, X; Y) : \mathcal{V}'^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ preserves κ -small limits by left κ -enrichedness of $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$. So the result follows from the fact that \mathcal{V}' is generated under κ -small colimits by tensor products of objects of \mathcal{V} . \square

For the next corollary we use Notation 4.21:

Corollary 4.38. *Let κ be a small regular cardinal and $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small ∞ -operads.*

(1) *The functor*

$$\overset{\kappa}{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V})} \text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$$

taking pullback along the embedding $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$ restricts to an equivalence between left tensored ∞ -categories compatible with κ -small colimits and weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories that admit left tensors and κ -small conical colimits.

(2) *The functor*

$$\mathcal{V}\text{REnr}_{\overset{\kappa}{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{W})}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$$

taking pullback along the embedding $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$ restricts to an equivalence between right tensored ∞ -categories compatible with κ -small colimits and weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories that admit right tensors and κ -small conical colimits.

(3) *The functor*

$$\overset{\kappa}{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V})} \text{BEnr}_{\overset{\kappa}{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}_{\tau}(\mathcal{W})}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$$

taking pullback along the embeddings $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$ restricts to an equivalence between bitensored ∞ -categories compatible with κ -small colimits and weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories that admit left and right tensors and κ -small conical colimits.

4.3. Presentability of enriched presheaves. Next we prove that the ∞ -category of presheaves of Notation 4.26 enriched in a presentably monoidal ∞ -category is presentable (Corollary 4.42).

Notation 4.39. Let κ, τ be small regular cardinals and $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category.

- (1) Let $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}^{\otimes} \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}^{\kappa})^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be the pullback of $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ along the embedding of ∞ -operads $(\mathcal{V}^{\kappa})^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$.
- (2) Let $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \tau}^{\otimes} \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}^{\kappa})^{\otimes} \times (\mathcal{W}^{\tau})^{\otimes}$ be the pullback of $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ along the embeddings of ∞ -operads $(\mathcal{V}^{\kappa})^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, (\mathcal{W}^{\tau})^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$.

Proposition 4.40. *Let κ, τ be small regular cardinals.*

- (1) *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a κ -compactly generated monoidal ∞ -category, $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a small ∞ -operad and $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a small left enriched ∞ -category. By Corollary 4.34 (1) the $\mathcal{V}^{\kappa}, \mathcal{W}$ -enriched embedding $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}_{\kappa})_{\text{LEnr}_{\kappa}}^{\otimes}$ extends to a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched embedding $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}_{\kappa})_{\text{LEnr}_{\kappa}}^{\otimes}$. For every bitensored ∞ -category compatible with small colimits $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$ the following functor is an equivalence:*

$$\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}_{\kappa})_{\text{LEnr}_{\kappa}}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

- (2) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be κ -compactly generated, τ -compactly generated monoidal ∞ -categories, respectively, and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a small bi-enriched ∞ -category. By Corollary 4.34 (3) the $\mathcal{V}^\kappa, \mathcal{W}^\tau$ -enriched embedding $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \tau}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \tau})_{\text{BEnr}_{\kappa, \tau}}^\otimes$ extends to a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched embedding $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \tau})_{\text{BEnr}_{\kappa, \tau}}^\otimes$. For every bitensored ∞ -category compatible with small colimits $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ the following functor is an equivalence:

$$\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \tau})_{\text{BEnr}_{\kappa, \tau}}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

Proof. By Corollary 4.34 (1) the functor $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}^\kappa, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}_\kappa, \mathcal{N}_\kappa)$ is an equivalence. So we need to see that $\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}_\kappa)_{\text{LEnr}_\kappa}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}^\kappa, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}_\kappa, \mathcal{N}_\kappa)$ is an equivalence. Let $\text{L} : \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}^\kappa)^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}^\kappa)^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V}^\kappa)^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be the left adjoint relative to Ass of the canonical embedding. The latter functor factors as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}_\kappa)_{\text{LEnr}_\kappa}, \mathcal{N}) &\rightarrow \text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}^\kappa), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}_\kappa), \text{L}^*(\mathcal{N})) \\ &\rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}^\kappa, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}_\kappa, \mathcal{N}_\kappa). \end{aligned}$$

The first functor is an equivalence by the description of local equivalences. The second functor is an equivalence by Corollary 4.32 (1).

(2): By Corollary 4.34 (3) the functor $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}^\kappa, \mathcal{W}^\tau}(\mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \tau}, \mathcal{N}_{\kappa, \tau})$ is an equivalence. So it is enough to see that $\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \tau})_{\text{BEnr}_{\kappa, \tau}}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}^\kappa, \mathcal{W}^\tau}(\mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \tau}, \mathcal{N}_{\kappa, \tau})$ is an equivalence. The latter functor factors as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \tau})_{\text{BEnr}_{\kappa, \tau}}, \mathcal{N}) &\rightarrow \text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}^\kappa), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}^\tau)}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \tau}), (\text{L}, \text{L})^*(\mathcal{N})) \\ &\rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}^\kappa, \mathcal{W}^\tau}(\mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \tau}, \mathcal{N}_{\kappa, \tau}). \end{aligned}$$

The first functor is an equivalence by the description of local equivalences. The second functor is an equivalence by Corollary 4.32 (3). \square

Theorem 4.28 and Proposition 4.40 give the following description of the ∞ -category of presheaves enriched in a presentably monoidal ∞ -category.

Corollary 4.41. *Let κ, τ be small regular cardinals.*

- (1) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a κ -compactly generated monoidal ∞ -category, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a small ∞ -operad and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a small left enriched ∞ -category. The enriched embedding $\mathcal{M}_\kappa^\otimes \subset \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ induces an equivalence

$$\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}_\kappa)_{\text{LEnr}_\kappa}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}^\otimes.$$

- (2) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be κ -compactly generated, τ -compactly generated monoidal ∞ -categories, respectively, and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a small bi-enriched ∞ -category. The enriched embedding $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \tau}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ induces an equivalence

$$\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \tau})_{\text{BEnr}_{\kappa, \tau}}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}}^\otimes.$$

Corollary 4.42. *Let κ, τ be small regular cardinals.*

- (1) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a κ -compactly generated monoidal ∞ -category, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a small ∞ -operad and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a small left enriched ∞ -category. Then $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ is a κ -compactly generated bitensored ∞ -category.
- (2) Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a κ -compactly generated monoidal ∞ -category, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a τ -compactly generated monoidal ∞ -category and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a small bi-enriched ∞ -category. Then $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a λ -compactly generated bitensored ∞ -category for every regular cardinal $\lambda \geq \kappa, \tau$.

Proposition 4.43. *Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a totally small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category.*

- (1) Let $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be the unique extension to a left enriched ∞ -category. Then $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories bitensored over $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$:

$$\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\bar{\mathcal{M}})_{\text{LEnr}}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes.$$

- (2) Let $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ be the unique extension to a bi-enriched ∞ -category. Then $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories bitensored over $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$:

$$\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\bar{\mathcal{M}})_{\text{BEnr}}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes.$$

Proof. (1): Let $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ be a locally small bitensored ∞ -category compatible with small colimits. The $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -enriched embedding $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\bar{\mathcal{M}})_{\text{LEnr}}^\otimes$ induces an equivalence

$$\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\bar{\mathcal{M}})_{\text{LEnr}}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{W}}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{N}) \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$$

by Theorem 4.28 (1), where the last equivalence is by Corollary 4.32 (1). We apply Corollary 4.42.

(2): Let $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ be a locally small bitensored ∞ -category compatible with small colimits. The $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -enriched embedding $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\bar{\mathcal{M}})_{\text{BEnr}}^\otimes$ induces an equivalence

$$\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\bar{\mathcal{M}})_{\text{BEnr}}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{N}) \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$$

by Theorem 4.28 (3), where the last equivalence is by Corollary 4.32 (3). We apply Corollary 4.42. \square

Corollary 4.41, Corollary 2.109 and Corollary 4.36 give the following corollary:

Corollary 4.44. *Let κ, τ be small regular cardinals and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a totally small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category.*

- (1) Let $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be the unique extension to a left enriched ∞ -category. Then $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\kappa}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories bitensored over $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$:

$$\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\kappa})_{\text{LEnr}_{\kappa}}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes.$$

- (2) Let $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ be the unique extension to a bi-enriched ∞ -category. Then $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\kappa, \tau}^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories bitensored over $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$:

$$\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\kappa, \tau})_{\text{BEnr}_{\kappa, \tau}}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes.$$

4.4. Atomicity of representable enriched presheaves.

Definition 4.45. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a presentably monoidal ∞ -category and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a locally small bi-enriched ∞ -category. An object $X \in \mathcal{M}$ is atomic if the \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functor

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, -) : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W})^\otimes$$

is linear and preserves small colimits.

In this subsection we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.46. *Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a totally small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category and $(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \text{S}), (\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \text{T})$ small localization pairs. The essential image of the functor*

$$\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\text{L}} \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{S}, \text{T}}$$

consists of atomic objects.

Lemma 4.47. *Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small monoidal ∞ -categories, $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W})^\otimes$ a bitensored ∞ -category compatible with small colimits and $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ the underlying left tensored ∞ -category. Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$, $V \in \mathcal{V}$, $W \in \mathcal{W}$ and $F \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$. The canonical morphism*

$$\alpha : F \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(X \otimes W, Y) \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(X \otimes W, F \otimes Y)$$

in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$ evaluated at V is equivalent to the canonical morphism

$$\beta : F \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, F \otimes Y)$$

in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W})$ evaluated at V, W .

Proof. Let $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Evaluating α at V gives the canonical map

$$\psi : \text{colim}_{V', V'' \in \mathcal{V}, V \rightarrow V' \otimes V''} F(V') \times \mathcal{M}(V'' \otimes X \otimes W, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(V \otimes X \otimes W, F \otimes Y)$$

in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$. Evaluating β at (V, W) gives the canonical map

$$\text{colim}_{V', V'' \in \mathcal{V}, W', W'' \in \mathcal{W}, V \rightarrow V' \otimes V'', W \rightarrow W' \otimes W''} F(V') \times \mathcal{W}(W', \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{W}}) \times \mathcal{M}(V'' \otimes X \otimes W'', Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(V \otimes X \otimes W, F \otimes Y)$$

in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W})$. The latter map factors as the following map in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W})$ and so identifies with ψ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{colim}_{V', V'' \in \mathcal{V}, V \rightarrow V' \otimes V''} (F(V') \times \underbrace{\text{colim}_{W', W'' \in \mathcal{W}, W \rightarrow W' \otimes W''} \mathcal{W}(W', \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{W}}) \times \mathcal{M}(V'' \otimes X \otimes W'', Y)}_{(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \mathcal{M}(V'' \otimes X \otimes (-), Y))(W)}) \rightarrow \\ \mathcal{M}(V \otimes X \otimes W, F \otimes Y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.48. *Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be a weakly left enriched ∞ -category, $V \in \mathcal{V}$, $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\alpha \in \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V, X, Y)$ a multi-morphism that exhibits V as the left morphism object $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{V}$ of X, Y . For every $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for $n \geq 0$ the induced morphism*

$$V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes V \otimes X \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes Y$$

in $\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})$ exhibits $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes V$ as the left morphism object $\text{LMor}_{\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes Y) \in \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ of $X, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes Y$.

In particular, the morphism object of $X, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes Y$ in $\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})$ exists and the following canonical morphism in $\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ is an equivalence:

$$V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes \text{LMor}_{\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes Y).$$

In particular, the following canonical morphism in $\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})$ is an equivalence:

$$V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{PEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes Y).$$

Proof. We like to prove that for any $V'_1, \dots, V'_\ell \in \mathcal{V}$ for $\ell \geq 0$ the following induced map is an equivalence:

$$(11) \text{ Env}(\mathcal{V})(V'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V'_\ell, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)) \rightarrow \text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})(V'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V'_\ell \otimes X, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes Y).$$

For any $[n], [m] \in \text{Ass}$ let

$$\text{Ass}([m], [n]) \subset \text{Ass}_{\min, \max}([m], [n]) \subset \text{Ass}_{\min}([m], [n])$$

be the subsets of order preserving maps preserving the minimum and maximum, preserving the minimum, respectively. The map $\text{Ass}([\ell], [n+1]) = \Delta([n+1], [\ell]) \rightarrow \Delta([n], [\ell]) = \text{Ass}([\ell], [n])$ restricting along the order preserving embedding $[n] \cong \{0, \dots, n\} \subset [n+1]$ induces a bijection $\xi : \text{Ass}_{\min, \max}([\ell], [n+1]) \rightarrow \text{Ass}_{\min}([\ell], [n])$. The map (11) canonically covers ξ . Thus we have to prove that for every order preserving map $\varphi : [n+1] \rightarrow [\ell]$ preserving the minimum the map induced by (11) on the fiber over φ is an equivalence. This map identifies with the map

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})(V'_{\varphi(i-1)+1} \otimes \dots \otimes V'_{\varphi(i)}, V_i) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})(V'_{\varphi(n)+1} \otimes \dots \otimes V'_\ell, \text{LMor}_{\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y)) \rightarrow$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})(V'_{\varphi(i-1)+1} \otimes \dots \otimes V'_{\varphi(i)}, V_i) \times \text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})(V'_{\varphi(n)+1} \otimes \dots \otimes V'_\ell \otimes X, Y).$$

induced by the identity of $\prod_{i=1}^n \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})(V'_{\varphi(i-1)+1} \otimes \dots \otimes V'_{\varphi(i)}, V_i)$ and the canonical map

$$\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})(V'_{\varphi(n)+1} \otimes \dots \otimes V'_\ell, \text{LMor}_{\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y)) \rightarrow \text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})(V'_{\varphi(n)+1} \otimes \dots \otimes V'_\ell \otimes X, Y).$$

The latter map identifies with the map

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{V}}(V'_{\varphi(n)+1}, \dots, V'_\ell, \text{LMor}_{\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y)) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V'_{\varphi(n)+1}, \dots, V'_\ell, X, Y),$$

which is an equivalence. Consequently, the morphism object of $X, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes Y$ in $\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})$ exists and the following canonical morphism in $\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ is an equivalence:

$$V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes Y).$$

By Proposition 3.13 the morphism object of X, Y in $\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})$ exists if the morphism object of X, Y in \mathcal{M} exists, and the canonical morphism $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y)$ in $\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ is an equivalence. This proves the second part. The third part follows from the fact that the linear embedding $\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ lying over the monoidal embedding $\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ preserves left morphism objects by Proposition 3.13. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.46. We first reduce to the case that S, T are empty. Consider the $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -enriched adjunction

$$(-) \otimes X \otimes (-) : (\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))^\otimes \rightleftarrows \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes : \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, -).$$

The left adjoint preserves local equivalences for the localizations

$$\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S,T}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow S^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes T^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}).$$

If the statement holds for S, T empty, then the right adjoint is $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear and preserves small colimits. This implies that also the right adjoint preserves local equivalences. Consequently, in this case the latter $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -enriched adjunction induces a $S^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), T^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -enriched adjunction

$$(-) \otimes L(X) \otimes (-) : (S^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes T^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))^\otimes \rightleftarrows \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S,T}^\otimes : \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{S,T}}(L(X), -),$$

in which the right adjoint preserves small colimits and is $S^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), T^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear. So it suffices to prove the case that S, T are empty.

The $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -enriched functor

$$\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, -) : \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))^\otimes$$

preserves small colimits since for any $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ the composition

$$\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, -)} \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n, W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m}} \mathcal{S}$$

identifies with the map $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})(V \otimes X \otimes W, -) : \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ evaluating at $V \otimes X \otimes W \in \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})$. It remains to see that the $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -enriched functor

$$\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, -) : \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))^\otimes$$

is $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear. We first reduce to the case that $\mathcal{W}^\otimes = \emptyset^\otimes$. Let $\mathcal{N}^\otimes := \text{REnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$. By Remark 2.96 there is a canonical equivalence $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{N})^\otimes$ of ∞ -categories bitensored over $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$. In particular, the underlying left $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ -tensored ∞ -category of $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ is $\mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{N})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$. By Lemma 4.47 the $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -enriched functor

$$\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, -) : \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))^\otimes$$

is $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear if for every $W \in \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ the left $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ -enriched functor

$$\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{N})}(X \otimes W, -) : \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$$

is left $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ -linear. So have reduced to the case that $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes} = \emptyset^{\otimes}$ and need to see that for every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the left $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ -enriched functor $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})}(X, -) : \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$ is left $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ -linear. We reduce next to the case that $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ is a left enriched ∞ -category. Let $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$ be the full left $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ -enriched ∞ -category spanned by \mathcal{M} . Corollary 4.44 guarantees that the embedding $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\omega}^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes}$ lying over the embedding of ∞ -operads $(\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\omega})^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$ uniquely extends to a left adjoint $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ -linear equivalence $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\omega})_{\text{LEnr}_{\omega}}^{\otimes} \simeq \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes}$. Thus the left $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ -enriched functor $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})}(X, -) : \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$ identifies with the left $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ -enriched functor $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\bar{\mathcal{M}})_{\text{LEnr}_{\omega}}}(X, -) : \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\omega})_{\text{LEnr}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \simeq \text{Ind}_{\omega}(\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\omega})^{\otimes}$. So replacing $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\omega}$ by \mathcal{V} it is enough to show that for every totally small left enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ and $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}_{\omega}}}(X, -) : \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}_{\omega}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{\omega}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$ is left \mathcal{V} -linear. By the first part of the proof this holds if the left $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ -enriched functor $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})}(X, -) : \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$ is left $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ -linear. This way we reduced to the case that $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ is a left enriched ∞ -category.

So it remains to see that for every $X \in \mathcal{M}, Y \in \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})$ and $V \in \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ the following canonical morphism in $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$ is an equivalence:

$$V \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})}(X, V \otimes Y).$$

Since $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$ is a left tensored ∞ -category compatible with small colimits and $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})$ is generated under small colimits by objects of the form $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes Y$ for $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for $n \geq 0$ and $Y \in \mathcal{M}$, it is enough to see that the canonical morphism

$$\sigma : V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})}(X, W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m \otimes Y) \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})}(X, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m \otimes Y)$$

is an equivalence. The composition

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y) &\rightarrow V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})}(X, W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m \otimes Y) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sigma} \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})}(X, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m \otimes Y) \end{aligned}$$

is the canonical morphism. Consequently, it suffices to see that for every $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for $n \geq 0$ and $Y \in \mathcal{M}$ the canonical morphism

$$V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})}(X, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes Y)$$

is an equivalence. This holds by Proposition 4.48. \square

Corollary 4.49. *Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category. The functor*

$$\xi : \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))$$

sending $X \in \mathcal{M}$ to $\text{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(X, -)$ corresponding to the functor $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}$ of Construction 3.6 is an embedding.

Proof. By construction 3.6 the functor ξ factors as an embedding

$$\alpha : \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))$$

sending $X \in \mathcal{M}$ to $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, -)$ followed by restriction

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})).$$

Proposition 4.46 for S, T empty guarantees that α lands in the full subcategory

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})).$$

By Corollary 2.109 following restriction is an equivalence:

$$\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})) \rightarrow \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})).$$

□

Corollary 4.50. *Let $(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}, \mathcal{S}), (\mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}, \mathcal{T})$ be small localization pairs, $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a totally small \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} -bi-enriched ∞ -category, $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$ a locally small bitensored ∞ -category compatible with small colimits and $\phi : \mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ a small colimits preserving $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear functor.*

- (1) *Then ϕ is an embedding if the restriction $\phi|_{\mathcal{M}^{\otimes}} : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ is an embedding and for every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the image $\phi(X)$ is atomic.*
- (2) *Then ϕ is an equivalence if and only if the restriction $\phi|_{\mathcal{M}^{\otimes}} : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ is an embedding, for every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the image $\phi(X)$ is atomic and \mathcal{N} is generated under small colimits and left and right tensors by the essential image of $\phi|_{\mathcal{M}^{\otimes}}$.*

Proof. (1) We like to see that for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}$ the induced morphism

$$\alpha_{X, Y} : \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{N}}(\phi(X), \phi(Y))$$

in $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ is an equivalence. By assumption $\alpha_{X, Y}$ is an equivalence if $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$. We prove first that for every $Y \in \mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}$ the full subcategory Θ_Y of $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}$ spanned by those X such that $\alpha_{X, Y}$ is an equivalence, is closed under small colimits and the biaction. This implies the claim if we have shown that $\mathcal{M} \subset \Theta_Y$ since $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}$ is generated by \mathcal{M} under small colimits and the biaction as a consequence of Proposition 2.93. The full subcategory Θ_Y is closed under small colimits since ϕ preserves small colimits and for every $Z \in \mathcal{N}$ the functor $\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{N}}(-, Z) : \mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ preserves small limits as $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$ is a bitensored ∞ -category compatible with small colimits, and similar for $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}$. The full subcategory Θ_Y is closed under the biaction since for every $V \in \mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V}), W \in \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ the induced morphism $\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}}(V \otimes X \otimes W, Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{N}}(\phi(V \otimes X \otimes W), \phi(Y)) \simeq \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{N}}(V \otimes \phi(X) \otimes W, \phi(Y))$ identifies with the induced morphism $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})(V \otimes W, \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}}(X, Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})(V \otimes W, \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{N}}(\phi(X), \phi(Y)))$. It remains to see that $\mathcal{M} \subset \Theta_Y$. Because $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}$ is generated by \mathcal{M} under small colimits and the biaction, it is enough to prove that for every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}$ spanned by those Y such that $\alpha_{X, Y}$ is an equivalence, is closed under small colimits and the biaction. This follows from Proposition 4.46.

(2) follows immediately from (1), Remark 4.17, Proposition 4.46 and Proposition 2.93.

□

Corollary 4.51. *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}$ be an ∞ -operad and A an associative algebra in \mathcal{V} . Let $B(A)^{\otimes} \subset \mathrm{RMod}_A(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$ be the full weakly left enriched subcategory spanned by A .*

Corollary 4.52. *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}$ be a presentably monoidal ∞ -category and A an associative algebra in \mathcal{V} . The left \mathcal{V} -enriched embedding $B(A)^{\otimes} \subset \mathrm{RMod}_A(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$ induces a left \mathcal{V} -linear equivalence $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(B(A))_{\mathrm{LEnr}}^{\otimes} \subset \mathrm{RMod}_A(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$.*

Corollary 4.53. *We apply Corollary 4.50 and observe that $\mathrm{RMod}_A(\mathcal{V})$ is generated by A under left tensors and that A is atomic in $\mathrm{RMod}_A(\mathcal{V})$.*

Proposition 4.54. *Let $(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}, \mathcal{S}), (\mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}, \mathcal{T})$ be small localization pairs and $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a totally small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category. Let $\mathcal{M}'^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}$ be the full weakly bi-enriched subcategory spanned by \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} and the essential image of the functor $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{L}} \mathcal{P}\mathrm{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}$.*

- (1) *The weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ exhibits \mathcal{M}' as \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} -bi-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} .*

- (2) For every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ the induced morphism $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}')}(\mathbb{L}(X), \mathbb{L}(Y))$ in $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ is a local equivalence for the localization $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$.
- (3) For every weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ that exhibits \mathcal{N} as \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} -bi-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} the induced \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functor $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'^{\otimes}$ induces an equivalence

$$\rho_{\mathcal{N}} : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

Proof. (1): Let $\hat{\mathcal{M}}'^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes}$ be the full weakly bi-enriched subcategory spanned \mathcal{M}' . Then $\mathcal{M}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ is the pullback of the bi-enriched ∞ -category $\hat{\mathcal{M}}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{M}}'^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}')^{\otimes}$ be the full weakly bi-enriched subcategory spanned \mathcal{M}' . Then $\mathcal{M}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ is the pullback of the bi-enriched ∞ -category $\bar{\mathcal{M}}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$. By Proposition 4.30 the induced $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear functor $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}')^{\otimes}$ restricts to a $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -enriched equivalence $\hat{\mathcal{M}}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}'^{\otimes}$ since the pullback of the latter enriched functor to $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ is the identity of \mathcal{M}'^{\otimes} . Hence for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}, V \in \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), W \in \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ the following map is an equivalence:

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})(V \otimes \mathbb{L}(X) \otimes W, \mathbb{L}(Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}')(V \otimes \mathbb{L}(X) \otimes W, \mathbb{L}(Y)).$$

Since $\mathbb{L}_{|\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'$ is essentially surjective, we need to see that for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}, f \in \mathcal{S}, g \in \mathcal{T}$ the map $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}')(f \otimes \mathbb{L}(X) \otimes g, \mathbb{L}(Y))$ is an equivalence. By equivalence (12) the latter map identifies with the map $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})(f \otimes \mathbb{L}(X) \otimes g, \mathbb{L}(Y))$, which is an equivalence by Lemma 4.19 since $\mathbb{L}(Y) \in \mathcal{M}'$.

(2): For every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ the induced morphism $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}')}(\mathbb{L}(X), \mathbb{L}(Y))$ in $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ identifies with the following morphism via equivalence (12):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y) &\rightarrow \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, \mathbb{L}(Y)) \simeq \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(\mathbb{L}(X), \mathbb{L}(Y)) \\ &\simeq \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}')}(\mathbb{L}(X), \mathbb{L}(Y)). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.46 the enriched functor $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, -) : \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes} \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))^{\otimes}$ is linear and preserves small colimits and therefore sends (generating) local equivalences for the localization $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}$ to local equivalences for the localization $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$.

(3): The functor $\rho_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the pullback of the functor $\rho_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}}$. So it is enough to see that the latter functor is an equivalence. Consider the commutative triangle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}) & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow^{\alpha} & \\ \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}}} & \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}) \end{array}$$

By Proposition 4.20 the functor α is an equivalence. So it is enough to see that the vertical functor is an equivalence. By Proposition 4.20 it is enough to prove that the induced left adjoint $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear functor $\theta : \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}')_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}$ is an equivalence. The ∞ -category $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})$ is generated by \mathcal{M} under small colimits and the $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -bifunctor. Hence the localization $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}$ is generated by \mathcal{M}' under small colimits and the $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -bifunctor. Therefore by Corollary 4.50 the functor θ is an equivalence if for every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -enriched functor

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}}(\mathbb{L}(X), -) : \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))^{\otimes}$$

is linear and preserves small colimits. This holds by Theorem 4.46. \square

Corollary 4.55. *Let $(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{S}), (\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{T})$ be small localization pairs. The embedding*

$$\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\mathcal{T}} \subset {}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$$

admits a left adjoint. A \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functor $\phi: \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes$ is a local equivalence if and only if

- *the underlying functor $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is essentially surjective,*
- *for every objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ the induced morphism $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnr}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnr}(\mathcal{N})}(\phi(X), \phi(Y))$ in $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ is a local equivalence for the localization*

$$\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}).$$

Corollary 4.56. (1) *Let $(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{S}), (\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{T})$ be small localization pairs. The ∞ -category $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\mathcal{T}}$ is compactly generated.*

- (2) *For every presentably monoidal ∞ -categories $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ the ∞ -category ${}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is compactly generated.*

Proof. (1): By Proposition 2.57 the ∞ -category ${}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is compactly generated. By Proposition 4.54 the embedding $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\mathcal{T}} \subset {}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$, which preserves filtered colimits, admits a left adjoint. So the claim follows.

(2): Let κ, τ be small regular cardinals such that $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is κ -compactly generated and $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is τ -compactly generated. By Corollary 4.34 (3) the canonical functor ${}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{V}^\kappa}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}^\tau}^{\mathcal{T}}$ is an equivalence. So the claim follows from (1) and Example 4.9. \square

4.5. Transfer of enrichment via scalar extension. In this subsection we describe and study the process of transferring (weak) enrichment along maps of ∞ -operads (Proposition 4.61).

Notation 4.57. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes \times \mathcal{Q}^\otimes$ be presentably bitensored ∞ -categories. We write $(\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{O})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^\otimes \times \mathcal{Q}^\otimes$ for the relative tensor product of [16, Definition 4.4.2.10.].

Lemma 4.58. *Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be a presentably right tensored ∞ -category, $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a presentably bitensored ∞ -category and $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a presentably left tensored ∞ -category. The ∞ -category $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{O}$ is generated under small colimits by the essential image of the functor $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. By [16, Example 4.7.2.7.] there is a canonical equivalence

$$\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{O} \simeq \text{colim}_{[n] \in \text{Ass}} (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{V}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{W}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{O}).$$

So every object of $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{O}$ lies in the essential image of the canonical small colimits preserving functor $\lambda_n: \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{V}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{W}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{O}$ for some $[n] \in \text{Ass}$. The map $[0] \simeq \{0\} \subset [n]$ induces a map $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{V}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{W}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{O}$ over $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{O}$ so that the functor $\lambda_0: \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{O}$ is essentially surjective. There is a universal functor $\alpha: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{O}$ that preserves small colimits component-wise. We will prove that $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{O}$ is generated under small colimits by the essential image of α . This will imply that $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{O}$ is generated under small colimits by the essential image of $\lambda_0 \circ \alpha$.

Since $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{O}$ are presentable, there are small ∞ -categories $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ and localizations $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}$. By [16, Proposition 4.8.1.17.] we obtain an induced localization $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \otimes$

$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{O}$. Since there is a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{O}, \end{array}$$

it is enough to see that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ is generated under small colimits by the essential image of the functor $\alpha : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$. By [16, Proposition 4.8.1.17.] there is a canonical equivalence $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C})$ and the left adjoint functor α identifies with the canonical left adjoint functor $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C})$ whose restriction to $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}$ is the Yoneda-embedding. So the result follows from the fact that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C})$ is generated under small colimits by $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}$ [17, Corollary 5.1.5.8.]. \square

Corollary 4.59. *Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a presentably bitensored ∞ -category and $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^{\otimes}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'^{\otimes}$ small colimits preserving monoidal functors of presentably monoidal ∞ -categories. Then $\mathcal{V}' \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{W}'$ is generated under small colimits and the $\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{W}'$ -bifunctor by the essential image of the functor $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}' \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{W}'$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 4.58 since the functor $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}' \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{W}'$ refines to a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -linear functor. \square

Corollary 4.60. *Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be presentably bitensored ∞ -categories, $\mathbf{G} : \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$ a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -linear functor whose underlying functor preserves small colimits and is monadic, that admits a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -linear left adjoint \mathbf{F} . Let $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ be a presentably right tensored ∞ -category and $\mathcal{P}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a presentably left tensored ∞ -category. The functor $\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{P}$ is monadic.*

Proof. The \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched adjunction $\mathbf{F} : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} : \mathbf{G}$, where both adjoints preserve small colimits and are \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -linear, gives rise to an adjunction $\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{P} : \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{P} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{P} : \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{P}$, where both adjoints preserve small colimits. So by the monadicity theorem [16, Theorem 4.7.3.5.] the right adjoint is monadic if it is conservative. We observe that the right adjoint is conservative if $\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{P}$ is generated under small colimits by the essential image of the left adjoint. We will prove that $\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{P}$ is generated under small colimits by the essential image of the left adjoint. Let $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{P}$ be a full subcategory containing the essential image of $\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{P}$ and closed under small colimits. For every $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{O}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{P}$ let $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}} \subset \mathcal{N}$ the full subcategory spanned by all $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathcal{N}$ whose image under the canonical small colimits preserving functor $\{\mathbf{X}\} \times \mathcal{N} \times \{\mathbf{Y}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{P}$ belongs to \mathcal{Q} . Then $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}}$ is closed in \mathcal{N} under small colimits and contains the essential image of $\mathbf{F} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. Since \mathbf{G} is monadic, \mathcal{N} is generated under small colimits by the essential image of \mathbf{F} [16, Theorem 4.7.3.5.]. Hence $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}} = \mathcal{N}$ so that \mathcal{Q} contains the essential image of the functor $\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{P}$. Hence $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{P}$ by Lemma 4.58. \square

Theorem 4.61. *The forgetful functor $\gamma : \omega\text{BEnr} \rightarrow \text{Op}_{\infty} \times \text{Op}_{\infty}$ is a cocartesian fibration. Let $\psi : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ be an enriched functor lying over maps of ∞ -operads $\alpha : \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^{\otimes}, \alpha' : \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'^{\otimes}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The map ψ is γ -cocartesian.*
- (2) *The induced linear functor $\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})^{\otimes}$ exhibits $\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})$ as*

$$\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})} \text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}').$$

(3) The functor $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is essentially surjective and the induced linear functor $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{N})^{\otimes}$ exhibits $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{N})$ as

$$\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})} \mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}').$$

(4) The functor $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is essentially surjective and for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ the induced morphism

$$(\alpha, \beta)!(\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{N}}(\psi(X), \psi(Y))$$

in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \times \mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}'))$ is an equivalence.

Proof. We prove that (1) implies (2): Let $\psi : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ be an enriched functor lying over maps of ∞ -operads $\alpha : \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^{\otimes}, \beta : \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'^{\otimes}$. For every bitensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{Q}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{T}^{\otimes}$ the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{BMod}(\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{N}), \mathcal{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \text{BMod}(\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{Q}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Mon}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}'), \mathcal{U}) \times \text{Mon}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}'), \mathcal{T}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Mon}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{U}) \times \text{Mon}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}), \mathcal{T}) \end{array}$$

identifies with the commutative square

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \omega\text{BEnr}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \omega\text{BEnr}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{Q}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Op}_{\infty}(\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{U}) \times \text{Op}_{\infty}(\mathcal{W}', \mathcal{T}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Op}_{\infty}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U}) \times \text{Op}_{\infty}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{T}). \end{array}$$

Next we show that (3) implies (1). Let $\mathcal{Q}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{T}^{\otimes}$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category. We like to see that square (13) is a pullback square. If the functor $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is essentially surjective, square (13) is a pullback square if square (13) for \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes} replaced by $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{Q})^{\otimes}$ is a pullback square. But this square (after rotation) identifies with the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ccBMod}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{N}), \mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{Q})) & \longrightarrow & \text{LMon}(\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}'), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{U})) \times \text{LMon}(\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}'), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{T})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{ccBMod}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{Q})) & \longrightarrow & \text{LMon}(\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{U})) \times \text{LMon}(\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{T})). \end{array}$$

Next we prove that (3) implies (4). By Example 2.51 for every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ there is a unique left adjoint $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear functor $\mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes}$ sending the tensor unit to X , which by Corollary 4.46 admits a $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear, small colimits preserving right adjoint sending Z to $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Z)$. Applying the functor $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})} (-) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}')$ the induced left adjoint $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}'), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}')$ -linear functor

$$\mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}'))^{\otimes} \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})} \mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}'))^{\otimes}$$

is right adjoint to the $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}'), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}')$ -linear, small colimits preserving functor

$$\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{N})}(\psi(X), -).$$

Consequently, by uniqueness of right adjoints for every $Y \in \mathcal{M}$ there is a canonical equivalence

$$(\alpha, \beta)!(\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y)) \simeq \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{N})}(\psi(X), \psi(Y)).$$

We will complete the proof by showing that γ is a cocartesian fibration whose cocartesian morphisms satisfy (3) and so conditions (1), (2) and (4). This will imply that condition (3) follows from (2) and that condition (1) follows from (4): the enriched functors satisfying conditions (2), (4), respectively, satisfy the (2) out of (3)-property. By the existence of γ -cocartesian lifts (that satisfy conditions (1)-(4)) we are reduced to show that every \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functor $\psi : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ satisfying (2), (4), respectively, is an equivalence. The first fact follows from the fact that ψ is the pullback of the functor

$\mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\text{Env}(\mathcal{N})^\otimes$. If a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functor $\psi : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes$ satisfies (4), the induced functor $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ on underlying ∞ -categories is essentially surjective and for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ the morphism $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})}(\psi(X), \psi(Y))$ is an equivalence. So for every $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for $n \geq 0$ the following map is an equivalence:

$$\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n, \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n, \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})}(\psi(X), \psi(Y))).$$

The latter map identifies with the map $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(V_1 \dots V_n, \psi(X), \psi(Y))$. So if (4) holds, ψ is an equivalence.

Hence it remains to prove that γ is a cocartesian fibration whose cocartesian morphisms satisfy (3). Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category and $\alpha : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes, \beta : \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$ be maps of ∞ -operads. Let $(\alpha, \beta)_!(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$ be the full weakly bi-enriched subcategory of the presentably bitensored ∞ -category $(\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})} \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}'))^\otimes \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}'))^\otimes$ spanned by the essential image of the canonical functor

$$\tau : \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})} \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}')$$

and let $\psi : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow (\alpha, \beta)_!(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ be the canonical enriched functor. We will prove that the embedding $(\alpha, \beta)_!(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \subset (\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})} \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}'))^\otimes$ induces an equivalence:

$$\kappa : \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}((\alpha, \beta)_!(\mathcal{M}))^\otimes \simeq (\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})} \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}'))^\otimes.$$

This will imply that the induced left adjoint linear functor

$$\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}((\alpha, \beta)_!(\mathcal{M}))^\otimes \simeq (\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})} \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}'))^\otimes$$

is the canonical one so that ψ satisfies condition (3). To see that the functor κ is fully faithful, it is enough to see that for every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}'), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}')$ -enriched functor

$$\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})} \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}')}(\tau(X), -) :$$

$$(\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})} \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}'))^\otimes \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}'))^\otimes$$

is $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}'), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}')$ -linear and preserves small colimits. The $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear adjunction

$$(-) \otimes X \otimes (-) : (\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))^\otimes \rightleftarrows \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes : \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, -),$$

where the right adjoint is $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear and preserves small colimits by Corollary 4.46, is sent by the Cat_∞ -linear functor

$$\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})} (-) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}') : \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})\text{BMod}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}')\text{BMod}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}')}$$

to a $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}'), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}')$ -linear adjunction

$$(-) \otimes \tau(X) \otimes (-) : (\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}'))^\otimes \rightleftarrows (\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})} \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}'))^\otimes :$$

$$\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})} \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, -) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}'),$$

where the right adjoint is $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}'), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}')$ -linear and preserves small colimits. Hence the latter right adjoint identifies with the $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}'), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}')$ -enriched functor

$$\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})} \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}')}(\tau(X), -),$$

which therefore becomes linear and preserves small colimits. Moreover κ is essentially surjective since the ∞ -category $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})} \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}')$ is generated by the essential image of τ under small colimits and the $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}'), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}')$ -bifunctor according to Corollary 4.59. \square

Corollary 4.62. *Let $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be an ∞ -operad and $\psi : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes$ a right \mathcal{W} -enriched functor lying over a left adjoint monoidal functor between presentably monoidal ∞ -categories $\alpha : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes$. If ψ is γ -cocartesian, the functor $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{N})^\otimes$ exhibits $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{N})$ as $\mathcal{V}' \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M})$.*

Corollary 4.63. *Let $\psi : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes$ be an enriched functor lying over left adjoint monoidal functors between presentably monoidal ∞ -categories $\alpha : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes, \alpha' : \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$. If ψ is γ -cocartesian, the functor $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{W}'}(\mathcal{N})^\otimes$ exhibits $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{W}'}(\mathcal{N})$ as $\mathcal{V}' \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{W}'$.*

Corollary 4.64. *Let $\phi : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes, \psi : \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$ be maps of small ∞ -operads. The functor $(\phi, \psi)^* : \mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}'} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ admits a left adjoint $(\phi, \psi)_! : \mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}'}$.*

Proposition 4.65. *Let $\phi : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes, \psi : \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$ be maps of small ∞ -operads and κ, τ small regular cardinals.*

(1) *The induced functor $(\phi, \psi)_! : \mathcal{V}\omega\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\omega\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}'}$ of Proposition 4.61 restricts to functors*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}\text{LPEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\kappa} &\rightarrow \mathcal{V}'\text{LPEnr}_{\mathcal{W}'}^{\kappa}, \\ \mathcal{V}\text{REnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\tau} &\rightarrow \mathcal{V}'\text{REnr}_{\mathcal{W}'}^{\tau}, \\ \mathcal{V}^{\kappa}\text{BPEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\tau} &\rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^{\kappa}\text{BPEnr}_{\mathcal{W}'}^{\tau} \end{aligned}$$

if ϕ is a monoidal functor preserving κ -small colimits for the first functor, if ψ is a monoidal functor preserving τ -small colimits for the second functor, if both ϕ and ψ are monoidal functors and ϕ preserves κ -small colimits and ψ preserves τ -small colimits for the third functor.

(2) *The induced functor $(\phi, \psi)_! : \mathcal{V}\omega\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\omega\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}'}$ of Proposition 4.61 restricts to functors*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{V}'\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}'}, \\ \mathcal{V}\text{REnr}_{\mathcal{W}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{V}'\text{REnr}_{\mathcal{W}'}, \\ \mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{V}'\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}'}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, if ψ, ϕ, ϕ , both ϕ and ψ admit a left adjoint relative to Ass, respectively.

Proof. (1) follows from Proposition 4.61 (4) in view of the fact that the induced left adjoint functor $\phi_! : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}')$ restricts to a functor $\text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V}')$ and similar for ψ . The latter holds since $\text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V})$ is generated by \mathcal{V} as full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$ under small κ -filtered colimits [17, Corollary 5.3.5.4.].

We prove (2) for the first functor of (2), the second case of (2) is dual and the third case of (2) follows from the first and second one. If ψ admits a left adjoint α relative to Ass, also $\text{Env}(\alpha)$ is left adjoint to $\text{Env}(\phi)$. So there is an adjunction

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\alpha)^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}')) &: \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}')) \rightleftarrows \\ \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}')^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}')) &: \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\psi)^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}')). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the functor $(\text{Env}(\phi) \times \text{Env}(\psi))_! : \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}') \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}'))$ is equivalent to the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})) &\xrightarrow{\text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}}, \phi_!)} \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}')) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\alpha)^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}'))} \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}')^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}')) \end{aligned}$$

since the right adjoints are equivalent. The latter functor restricts to a functor

$$\text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}) \xrightarrow{\text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}}, \phi)} \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}') \xrightarrow{\text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\alpha)^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}')} \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}')^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}').$$

□

Proposition 4.61 gives the following corollary:

Corollary 4.66. *Let $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a small ∞ -operad and $\phi : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes$ an embeddings of small ∞ -operads. The induced functor $\phi_! : \mathcal{V}\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is fully faithful and the essential image precisely consists of the bi-enriched ∞ -categories whose left morphism objects lie in the essential image of ϕ .*

Proof. By Proposition 4.61 there is an induced adjunction $\phi_! : \mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{V}'\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} : \phi^*$. By Proposition 4.61 (4) the left adjoint sends $\mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ to the full subcategory Ξ of $\mathcal{V}'\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ spanned by the bi-enriched ∞ -categories whose left multi-morphism objects lie in the essential image of ϕ . We first prove that the right adjoint ϕ^* sends Ξ to $\mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a bi-enriched ∞ -category that belongs to Ξ . Then for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $m \geq 0$ the left multi-morphism object $\text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)$ belongs to the essential image of ϕ and so corresponds to an object of \mathcal{V} denoted by $\phi^{-1}(\text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y))$. The universal morphism $\text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y), X, W_1, \dots, W_m \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} corresponds to a morphism $\phi^{-1}(\text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)), X, W_1, \dots, W_m \rightarrow Y$ in $\phi^*(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes}$. For every $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for $n \geq 0$ the induced map

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{V}}(V_1, \dots, V_n; \phi^{-1}(\text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y))) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\phi^*(\mathcal{M})}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)$$

identifies with the map

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{V}}(V_1, \dots, V_n; \phi^{-1}(\text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y))) \simeq$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{V}'}(\phi(V_1), \dots, \phi(V_n); \text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)) &\simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(\phi(V_1), \dots, \phi(V_n), X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \\ &\simeq \text{Mul}_{\phi^*(\mathcal{M})}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y). \end{aligned}$$

So the latter adjunction restricts to an adjunction $\mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightleftarrows \Xi$. To see that the adjunction is an equivalence we prove that the right adjoint is conservative and the unit of the adjunction is an equivalence. A $\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{W}$ -enriched functor $F : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ that is a morphism of Ξ is an equivalence if it induces an equivalence on underlying ∞ -categories and for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ and $W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $m \geq 0$ the induced morphism $\text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \rightarrow \text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(F(X), W_1, \dots, W_m; F(Y))$ in \mathcal{V}' is an equivalence. The latter morphism belongs to the essential image of ϕ and so is an equivalence if for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$ the induced map

$$\mathcal{W}(\phi(V), \text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\phi(V), \text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(F(X), W_1, \dots, W_m; F(Y)))$$

is an equivalence. The latter map identifies with the map

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(\phi(V), X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(\phi(V), F(X), W_1, \dots, W_m; F(Y)),$$

which identifies with the map $\text{Mul}_{\phi^*(\mathcal{M})}(V, X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\phi^*(\mathcal{N})}(V, F(X), W_1, \dots, W_m; F(Y))$. This proves conservativity of ϕ^* .

It remains to see that the unit is an equivalence. For every bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ the unit $\alpha : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \phi^*(\phi_!(\mathcal{M}))^{\otimes}$ induces an essentially surjective functor $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \phi_!(\mathcal{M})$ on underlying ∞ -categories by Proposition 4.61. So it remains to see that the unit induces an equivalence on left morphism objects. By Proposition 4.61 for every $W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $m \geq 0$ and $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ the induced morphism

$$\phi(\text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)) \rightarrow \text{LMulMor}_{\phi_!(\mathcal{M})}(\alpha(X), W_1, \dots, W_m; \alpha(Y))$$

in \mathcal{V}' is an equivalence. For every V the unit α induces a map

$$\mathcal{V}(V, \text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(V, \text{LMulMor}_{\phi^*(\phi_!(\mathcal{M}))}(\alpha(X), W_1, \dots, W_m; \alpha(Y))) \simeq$$

$$\mathcal{V}'(\phi(V), \text{LMulMor}_{\phi_!(\mathcal{M})}(\alpha(X), W_1, \dots, W_m; \alpha(Y))) \simeq \mathcal{V}'(\phi(V), \phi(\text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m; Y))),$$

which is the canonical map induced by ϕ and so is an equivalence by assumption. \square

4.6. Transforming bi-enrichment into left enrichment. It is well-known that for any monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ and associative algebras A, B in \mathcal{O} there is an equivalence between the ∞ -category of A, B -bimodules in \mathcal{O} and the ∞ -category of left $A \otimes B^{\text{rev}}$ -modules in \mathcal{O} (see for example [14, Proposition 3.6.7., Corollary 3.6.8.] or [16, Theorem 4.3.2.7.]). Specializing to $\mathcal{O} = \text{Cat}_\infty$ one obtains for any small monoidal ∞ -categories $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ an equivalence

$$(14) \quad {}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{BMod}_{\mathcal{W}} \simeq {}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}}\text{LMod}_{\mathcal{O}}$$

between the ∞ -category of small ∞ -categories bitensored over \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} and the ∞ -category of small ∞ -categories left tensored over $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}$ (see also Lemma 4.69). In this section we extend equivalence (4.75) to enriched and pseudo-enriched ∞ -categories (Theorem 4.74, Corollary 4.80, Corollary 4.88). Moreover we prove that under this equivalence the respective ∞ -categories of enriched presheaves correspond (Proposition 4.94).

We start with transforming bi-pseudo-enrichment into left pseudo-enrichment (Theorem 4.74). For that we first transform bitensored ∞ -categories into left tensored ∞ -categories. We give a proof of that in our setting for the reader's convenience (Lemma 4.69).

Notation 4.67. Let $\tau := (\text{id}, (-)^{\text{op}}) : \text{Ass} \rightarrow \text{Ass} \times \text{Ass}$ and κ the functor $\text{Op}_\infty \times \text{Op}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Op}_\infty, (\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}) \mapsto \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} (\mathcal{W}^\otimes)^{\text{rev}}$. Taking pullback along τ defines a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \omega\text{BEnr} & \longrightarrow & \omega\text{LEnr} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Op}_\infty \times \text{Op}_\infty & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \text{Op}_\infty \end{array}$$

corresponding to a functor

$$\theta : \omega\text{BEnr} \rightarrow \kappa^*(\omega\text{LEnr})$$

over $\text{Op}_\infty \times \text{Op}_\infty$.

Remark 4.68. The functor τ sends the map $\{0\} \subset [n]$ in Δ to the map $(\{0\} \subset [n], \{n\} \subset [n])$ in $\Delta \times \Delta$. So for every weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_n \in \mathcal{W}, X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ there is a canonical equivalence

$$\text{Mul}_{\theta(\mathcal{M})}((V_1, W_1), \dots, (V_n, W_n), X; Y) \simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, W_1, \dots, W_n; Y).$$

So if $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a bitensored ∞ -category, $\theta(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} (\mathcal{W}^\otimes)^{\text{rev}}$ provides a left $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}$ -action on \mathcal{M} that sends $(V, W) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}, X \in \mathcal{M}$ to $V \otimes X \otimes W$.

Lemma 4.69. *The functor $\theta : \omega\text{BEnr} \rightarrow \kappa^*(\omega\text{LEnr})$ restricts to an equivalence*

$$\text{BMod} \simeq \kappa^*(\text{LMod}).$$

Proof. By Remark 4.68 the functor $\theta : \omega\text{BEnr} \rightarrow \kappa^*(\omega\text{LEnr})$ restricts to a functor $\text{BMod} \simeq \kappa^*(\text{LMod})$, which is a map of cartesian fibrations over $\text{Mon} \times \text{Mon}$ by the description of cartesian morphisms. Consequently, it is enough to see that for any monoidal ∞ -categories $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ the induced functor $\theta : \omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \omega\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}}$ restricts to an equivalence $\text{BMod}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}} \simeq \text{LMod}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}}$. By Proposition 2.51 the forgetful functors $\text{LMod}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty, \text{BMod}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ admit left adjoints sending an ∞ -category K to $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} (\mathcal{W}^\otimes)^{\text{rev}} \times K \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times K \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass} \times \text{Ass}$, respectively, and preserves small colimits by Lemma 2.52. Thus these functors are monadic by [16, Theorem 4.7.3.5]. So by [16, Corollary 4.7.3.16.] the claim follows from the fact that θ preserves the left adjoints, i.e. the canonical functor $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} (\mathcal{W}^\otimes)^{\text{rev}} \times K \rightarrow \theta(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times K \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes)$ is an equivalence. \square

Notation 4.70. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a bi-pseudo-enriched ∞ -category. Let

$$\widetilde{\text{BEnv}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes} \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}_{\text{BPseu}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes} \mathcal{W}^\otimes$$

be the full subcategory with \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -bifibration generated by \mathcal{M} , i.e. the full weakly bitensored subcategory spanned by the objects $V \otimes X \otimes W$ for $V \in \mathcal{V}, W \in \mathcal{W}, X \in \mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 4.71. *Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a bi-pseudo-enriched ∞ -category. The \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -linear embedding $\widetilde{\text{BEnv}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes} \mathcal{PBEnv}_{\text{BPseu}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes} \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ induces a $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear equivalence*

$$\mathcal{P}(\widetilde{\text{BEnv}}(\mathcal{M}))^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{PBEnv}_{\text{BPseu}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.46 for every $V \in \mathcal{V}, W \in \mathcal{W}, X \in \mathcal{M}$ the object $V \otimes X \otimes W$ is \emptyset -compact in $\mathcal{PBEnv}_{\text{BPseu}}(\mathcal{M})$. So by [17, Corollary 5.1.6.11.] the result follows from the fact that $\mathcal{PBEnv}_{\text{BPseu}}(\mathcal{M})$ is generated under small colimits by the objects $V \otimes X \otimes W$ for $V \in \mathcal{V}, W \in \mathcal{W}, X \in \mathcal{M}$. \square

Proposition 4.72. *Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a bi-pseudo-enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a bitensored ∞ -category. The lax \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -linear embedding $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \subset \widetilde{\text{BEnv}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ induces an equivalence*

$$\psi_{\mathcal{N}} : \text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\widetilde{\text{BEnv}}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{LaxLinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.104 the ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ bitensored over \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} embeds into an ∞ -category $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ bitensored over $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})$ compatible with small colimits, and $\psi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the pullback of $\psi_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})}$. So it is enough to check that $\psi_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})}$ is an equivalence. Consider the commutative triangle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{PBEnv}_{\text{BPseu}}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})) & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow^{\rho} & \\ & & \text{LaxLinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})) \\ & \nearrow_{\psi_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})}} & \\ \text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\widetilde{\text{BEnv}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes, \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})) & & \end{array}$$

By Proposition 4.20 the functor ρ is an equivalence. By Lemma 4.71 the vertical functor is an equivalence. \square

Corollary 4.73. *Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bitensored ∞ -category and $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ the unique extension to a bi-pseudo-enriched ∞ -category. The embedding $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^\otimes \subset \widetilde{\text{BEnv}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ induces an $\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear equivalence*

$$\widetilde{\text{BEnv}}(\bar{\mathcal{M}})^\otimes \simeq \widetilde{\text{BEnv}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes.$$

Proof. For every bitensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ by Proposition 4.72 the functor

$$\text{LinFun}_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\widetilde{\text{BEnv}}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}), \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{LaxLinFun}_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{N}) \simeq \text{LaxLinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$$

is an equivalence, where the latter equivalence is by Corollary 4.32. \square

Theorem 4.74. *The functor $\theta : \text{BPEnr} \rightarrow \kappa^*(\text{LPEnr}_{\emptyset})$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. The functor $\theta : \text{BPEnr} \rightarrow \kappa^*(\text{LPEnr}_{\emptyset})$ is a map of cocartesian fibrations over $\text{Op}_{\infty} \times \text{Op}_{\infty}$ using Proposition 4.61 (4). So it is enough to see that for any ∞ -operads $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ the induced functor $\theta : {}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{BPEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}}\text{LPEnr}_{\emptyset}$ on the fiber is an equivalence. We construct an inverse γ of θ . By Proposition 4.72 and Corollary ?? (1) the inclusion ${}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}}\text{LMod}_{\emptyset} \subset {}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}}\text{LPEnr}_{\emptyset}$ admits a left adjoint L . By Lemma 4.69 the functor $\theta : {}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{BPEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}}\text{LPEnr}_{\emptyset}$ restricts to an equivalence ${}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{BMod}_{\mathcal{W}} \simeq {}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}}\text{LMod}$, whose inverse we call Λ . For every bi-pseudo-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times (\mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}})^\otimes$ let $\gamma(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \subset \Lambda(L(\mathcal{M}))^\otimes$ be the full weakly bi-enriched subcategory

spanned by $\mathcal{M} \subset L(\mathcal{M})$. Since $\Lambda(L(\mathcal{M}))^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ is a bitensored ∞ -category, $\gamma(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ exhibits \mathcal{M} as bi-pseudo-enriched in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} . Thus the functor $\Lambda \circ L : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}} \text{LPEnr}_{\emptyset} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}} \text{LMod}_{\emptyset} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \text{BMod}_{\mathcal{W}}$ induces a functor $\gamma : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}} \text{LPEnr}_{\emptyset} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$. We will prove that γ is inverse to θ .

The tautological natural transformation $\gamma \subset \Lambda \circ L$ of functors $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}} \text{LPEnr}_{\emptyset} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ gives rise to a natural transformation $\theta \circ \gamma \subset \theta \circ \Lambda \circ L \simeq L$ of functors $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}} \text{LPEnr}_{\emptyset} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}} \text{LPEnr}_{\emptyset}$, which induces an equivalence $\alpha : \theta \circ \gamma \simeq \text{id}$ of functors $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}} \text{LPEnr}_{\emptyset} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}} \text{LPEnr}_{\emptyset}$. By Proposition 4.72 the inclusion $\mathcal{V} \text{BMod}_{\mathcal{W}} \subset \mathcal{V} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ admits a left adjoint L' . The composite $L \circ \theta \rightarrow L \circ \theta \circ L' \simeq \theta \circ L'$ (induced by the unit $\text{id} \rightarrow L'$) of natural transformations of functors $\mathcal{V} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}} \text{LMod}_{\emptyset}$ gives rise to a natural transformation $\Lambda \circ L \circ \theta \rightarrow \Lambda \circ \theta \circ L' \simeq L'$ of functors $\mathcal{V} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \text{BMod}_{\mathcal{W}}$. Let β be the composition $\gamma \circ \theta \subset \Lambda \circ L \circ \theta \rightarrow L'$ of natural transformations of functors $\mathcal{V} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$. There is a commutative triangle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \theta \circ \gamma \circ \theta & \xrightarrow{\theta \circ \beta} & \theta \circ L' \\ & \searrow \alpha \circ \theta & \nearrow \\ & \theta & \end{array}$$

Since α is an equivalence and the unit $\text{id} \rightarrow L'$ is component-wise an embedding, $\theta \circ \beta$ and so β are component-wise embeddings. Hence $\beta : \gamma \circ \theta \rightarrow L'$ induces an equivalence $\gamma \circ \theta \simeq \text{id}$ of functors $\mathcal{V} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$. \square

Corollary 4.75. *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small monoidal ∞ -categories. There is a canonical equivalence*

$$\mathcal{V} \text{PEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \simeq \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}} \text{PEnr}_{\emptyset}.$$

Corollary 4.76. *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small ∞ -operads. There is a canonical equivalence*

$$\mathcal{V} \omega \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \simeq \mathcal{P} \text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P} \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}) \text{LEnr}_{\emptyset}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.74 there is a canonical equivalence

$$\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \text{BEnr}_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} \simeq \text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{rev}} \text{LPEnr}_{\emptyset}.$$

By Corollary 4.32 there are canonical equivalences

$$\mathcal{V} \omega \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \simeq_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})} \text{BEnr}_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}, \quad \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{rev}}) \text{LEnr}_{\emptyset} \simeq_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{rev}}} \text{LPEnr}_{\emptyset}.$$

\square

Corollary 4.77. *For every bi-pseudo-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ and weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes}$ the following induced commutative square is a pullback square:*

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{EnrFun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) & \longrightarrow & \text{EnrFun}(\theta(\mathcal{M}), \theta(\mathcal{N})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{U}) \times \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{Q}^{\text{rev}}). \end{array}$$

Proof. The commutative square (15) is the pullback of the commutative square (15), where we replace $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes}$ by the enveloping bitensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{B} \text{Env}(\mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{U})^{\otimes} \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{Q})^{\otimes}$. Consequently, we can assume that $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes}$ is a bi-pseudo-enriched ∞ -category. For any small

∞ -category \mathcal{K} applying the functor $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{K}, -) : \text{Cat}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ to square (15) gives the induced commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \omega\text{BEnr}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{K}}) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \omega\text{LEnr}(\theta(\mathcal{M}), \theta(\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{K}})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Op}_\infty(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U}^{\mathcal{K}}) \times \text{Op}_\infty(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{K}}) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \text{Op}_\infty(\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}, \mathcal{U}^{\mathcal{K}} \times (\mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{K}})^{\text{rev}}). \end{array}$$

So the result follows from Theorem 4.74 and that $(\mathcal{M}^\otimes)^{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}^\otimes)^{\mathcal{K}} \times (\mathcal{W}^\otimes)^{\mathcal{K}}$ is a bi-pseudo-enriched ∞ -category. \square

Remark 4.78. The functor $\theta : \omega\text{BEnr} \rightarrow \kappa^*(\omega\text{LEnr})$ is not an equivalence since it is not conservative. There is a canonical commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})\text{BPEnr}_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})} & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\theta} & \text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{rev}} \text{LPEnr}_\emptyset \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}} \omega\text{LEnr} \simeq_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}})} \text{LPEnr}_\emptyset, \end{array}$$

which identifies the functor $\theta : \mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}} \omega\text{LEnr}$ with the right vertical functor

$$\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{rev}} \text{LPEnr}_\emptyset \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}) \text{LPEnr}_\emptyset$$

taking pullback along the monoidal functor $\text{Env}(\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}})^\otimes$.

We apply Theorem 4.74 to transform bi-enrichment into left enrichment (Corollary 4.80).

Notation 4.79. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small ∞ -operads.

- (1) Let $\text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{W})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))^\otimes$ be the full suboperad whose colors are the objects of $\text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{W}) \subset \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})) \simeq \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))$.
- (2) Let $\langle \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} \rangle^\otimes \subset \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))^\otimes$ be the full suboperad whose colors are the presheaves on $\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ representable in both variables such that for the corresponding adjunction $\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \rightleftarrows \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}}$ the left adjoint lands in \mathcal{W}^{op} and the right adjoint lands in \mathcal{V} .

Corollary 4.80. *Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small ∞ -operads. There are canonical equivalences preserving the underlying ∞ -category and morphism objects:*

- (1)
$$\mathcal{V}\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \simeq_{\text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})} \text{LEnr},$$
- (2)
$$\mathcal{V}\text{REnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \simeq_{\text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}})} \text{LEnr},$$
- (3)
$$\mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \simeq_{\langle \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}} \rangle} \text{LEnr}.$$

Proof. (1): Under the equivalence $\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \simeq_{\mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{rev}})} \text{LEnr}$ of Corollary 4.76 the full subcategory $\mathcal{V}\text{LEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ corresponds to the full subcategory $\Xi \subset \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{rev}}) \text{LEnr}$ spanned by the left enriched ∞ -categories $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{rev}})^\otimes$ such that for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ the left morphism object $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))$ belongs to

$$\text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}) \subset \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})) \simeq \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})).$$

The induced functor $\mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{rev}}) \omega\text{LEnr} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}) \omega\text{LEnr}$ restricts to a functor

$$\Xi \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}) \text{LEnr},$$

which is an equivalence by Corollary 4.66. (2) and (3) are similar to (1). \square

Corollary 4.80 gives the following theorem as a corollary:

Theorem 4.81. *Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be presentably monoidal ∞ -categories. There is a canonical equivalence preserving the underlying ∞ -category and morphism objects:*

$${}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \simeq {}_{\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}}\text{LEnr}.$$

Next we apply Theorem 4.74 to transform bi-modules into left modules (Corollaries 4.84, ??).

Notation 4.82. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be a weakly left enriched ∞ -category, $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a weakly right enriched ∞ -category, $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category and A, B associative algebras in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} , respectively. Let

$${}_{A}\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, {}_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, {}_{A}\mathcal{N}_B^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass} \times \text{Ass}$$

be the pullbacks of $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ along $A : \text{Ass} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$, of $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ along $B : \text{Ass} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ and of $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ along $A \times B : \text{Ass} \times \text{Ass} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$, respectively. Let

$${}_{A}\text{LMod}(\mathcal{M}) := \text{EnrFun}_{[0]}([0], {}_{A}\mathcal{M}), \text{LMod}(\mathcal{O})_B := \text{EnrFun}_{[0]}([0], \mathcal{O}_B),$$

$${}_{A}\text{BMod}_B(\mathcal{N}) := \text{EnrFun}_{[0], [0]}([0], {}_{A}\mathcal{N}_B).$$

We apply Notation 4.82 to $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ and $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes} \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ for any ∞ -operad $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$.

Remark 4.83. Let $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category and A, B associative algebras in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} , respectively. There is a canonical equivalence

$${}_{A}\text{LMod}(\text{RMod}_B(\mathcal{N})) = \text{EnrFun}_{[0]}([0], {}_{A}\text{EnrFun}_{[0]}([0], \mathcal{N}_B)) \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{[0]}([0], \text{EnrFun}_{[0]}([0], {}_{A}\mathcal{N}_B)) \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{[0], [0]}([0], {}_{A}\mathcal{N}_B) = {}_{A}\text{BMod}_B(\mathcal{N}).$$

Corollary 4.84. *Let $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category, A an associative algebra in \mathcal{V} and B an associative algebra in \mathcal{W} . The following canonical functor is an equivalence:*

$${}_{A}\text{BMod}_B(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow ({}_{A, B^{\text{rev}}})\text{LMod}(\theta(\mathcal{N})).$$

Next we apply Theorem 4.74 to show that (κ, κ) -bi-pseudo-enriched ∞ -categories can be transformed into left κ -pseudo-enriched ∞ -categories for any regular cardinal κ (Corollary 4.88).

Notation 4.85. Let $n, m \geq 0$ and for every $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$ let $\mathcal{V}_i^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}_j^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small monoidal ∞ -categories compatible with κ_i -small colimits, τ_j -small colimits, respectively, for small regular cardinals κ_i, τ_j . Let

$$\mathcal{V}_1^{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n} \times \dots \times \mathcal{V}_n^{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{W}_m}^{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m} \subset \mathcal{V}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{V}_n \text{BPEnr}_{\mathcal{W}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{W}_m}$$

be the full subcategory spanned by the bi-pseudo-enriched ∞ -categories whose morphism objects are presheaves on $\mathcal{V}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{V}_n \times \mathcal{W}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{W}_m$ preserving κ_i -small colimits in the i -th component for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and preserving τ_j -small colimits in the $n + j$ -th component for $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Lemma 4.86. *Let κ, τ be small regular cardinals, $n, m \geq 0$ and for every $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$ let $\mathcal{V}_i^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}_j^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small monoidal ∞ -categories compatible with κ -small colimits, τ -small colimits, respectively. The functor*

$$\mathcal{V}_1 \otimes_{\kappa} \dots \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{V}_n \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}_1 \otimes_{\tau} \dots \otimes_{\tau} \mathcal{W}_m} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{V}_n \text{BPEnr}_{\mathcal{W}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{W}_m}$$

taking pullback along the universal monoidal functors preserving κ -small colimits, τ -small colimits component-wise, respectively, induces an equivalence

$$\mathcal{V}_1 \otimes_{\kappa} \dots \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{V}_n \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}_1 \otimes_{\tau} \dots \otimes_{\tau} \mathcal{W}_m}^{\tau} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{V}_n \text{BPEnr}_{\mathcal{W}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{W}_m}^{\tau, \dots, \tau}.$$

Proof. The universal monoidal functor $\alpha : \mathcal{V}_1^{\otimes} \times_{\text{Ass}} \dots \times_{\text{Ass}} \mathcal{V}_n^{\otimes} \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}_1 \otimes_{\kappa} \dots \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{V}_n)^{\otimes}$ induces an adjunction

$$\alpha_! : (\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}_n))^{\otimes} \simeq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{V}_n)^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}_1 \otimes_{\kappa} \dots \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{V}_n)^{\otimes} : \alpha^*$$

relative to Ass. By universal property of α the latter adjunction restricts to a monoidal equivalence

$$\alpha_! : (\text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V}_n))^{\otimes} \simeq \text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V}_1 \otimes_{\kappa} \dots \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{V}_n)^{\otimes} : \alpha^*,$$

where $(\text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V}_n))^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{V}_n)^{\otimes}$ is the full suboperad spanned by the presheaves preserving component-wise κ -small colimits, which is a localization relative to Ass and so a presentably monoidal ∞ -category. By Corollary 4.34 the canonical functor

$$\text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V}_1 \otimes_{\kappa} \dots \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{V}_n) \text{BEnr}_{\text{Ind}_{\tau}(\mathcal{W}_1 \otimes_{\tau} \dots \otimes_{\tau} \mathcal{W}_m)} \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{V}}_1^{\kappa} \otimes_{\kappa} \dots \otimes_{\kappa} \check{\mathcal{V}}_n^{\kappa} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}_1 \otimes_{\tau} \dots \otimes_{\tau} \mathcal{W}_m}^{\tau}$$

is an equivalence. So it is enough to see that the composition

$$\text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V}_1 \otimes_{\kappa} \dots \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{V}_n) \text{BEnr}_{\text{Ind}_{\tau}(\mathcal{W}_1 \otimes_{\tau} \dots \otimes_{\tau} \mathcal{W}_m)} \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{V}}_1^{\kappa} \otimes_{\kappa} \dots \otimes_{\kappa} \check{\mathcal{V}}_n^{\kappa} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}_1 \otimes_{\tau} \dots \otimes_{\tau} \mathcal{W}_m}^{\tau} \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{V}}_1^{\kappa, \dots, \kappa} \times \dots \times \check{\mathcal{V}}_n^{\kappa, \dots, \kappa} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{W}_m}^{\tau, \dots, \tau}$$

is an equivalence. The latter composition factors as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V}_1 \otimes_{\kappa} \dots \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{V}_n) \text{BEnr}_{\text{Ind}_{\tau}(\mathcal{W}_1 \otimes_{\tau} \dots \otimes_{\tau} \mathcal{W}_m)} &\simeq \text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V}_n) \text{BEnr}_{\text{Ind}_{\tau}(\mathcal{W}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Ind}_{\tau}(\mathcal{W}_m)} \rightarrow \\ &\check{\mathcal{V}}_1^{\kappa, \dots, \kappa} \times \dots \times \check{\mathcal{V}}_n^{\kappa, \dots, \kappa} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{W}_m}^{\tau, \dots, \tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Theta \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{V}_n) \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{W}_m)}$ be the full subcategory of bi-enriched ∞ -categories whose morphism objects belong to $\text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V}_n) \otimes \text{Ind}_{\tau}(\mathcal{W}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Ind}_{\tau}(\mathcal{W}_m) \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{V}_n \times \mathcal{W}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{W}_m)$. The functor $\Theta \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{V}_n) \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{W}_m)} \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V}_n) \text{BPEnr}_{\text{Ind}_{\tau}(\mathcal{W}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Ind}_{\tau}(\mathcal{W}_m)}$ induces an equivalence $\Theta \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Ind}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{V}_n) \text{BEnr}_{\text{Ind}_{\tau}(\mathcal{W}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Ind}_{\tau}(\mathcal{W}_m)}$ by Proposition 4.66. So it is enough to see that the functor $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{V}_n) \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{W}_m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{V}_n \text{BPEnr}_{\mathcal{W}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{W}_m}$ is an equivalence that restricts to an equivalence $\Theta \simeq \check{\mathcal{V}}_1^{\kappa, \dots, \kappa} \times \dots \times \check{\mathcal{V}}_n^{\kappa, \dots, \kappa} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{W}_m}^{\tau, \dots, \tau}$. This holds by Corollary 4.32. \square

Corollary 4.87. *Let κ be a small regular cardinal and $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ small monoidal ∞ -categories compatible with κ -small colimits. The following induced functor is an equivalence:*

$$\check{\mathcal{V}}_{\otimes_{\kappa}}^{\kappa} \mathcal{W} \text{LEnr}_{\emptyset} \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{V}}_{\times \mathcal{W}}^{\kappa, \kappa} \text{LEnr}_{\emptyset}.$$

Theorem 4.74 gives the following corollary:

Corollary 4.88. *Let κ be a small regular cardinal and $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ small monoidal ∞ -categories compatible with κ -small colimits. The equivalence*

$$\mathcal{V} \text{BPEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \simeq \mathcal{V}_{\times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}} \text{LPEnr}_{\emptyset}$$

of Theorem 4.74 taking pullback along the projection $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times_{\text{Ass}} (\mathcal{W}^{\otimes})^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times (\mathcal{W}^{\otimes})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ induces an equivalence

$$\theta : \check{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\kappa} \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{V}}_{\times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}}^{\kappa, \kappa} \text{LEnr}_{\emptyset} \simeq \check{\mathcal{V}}_{\otimes_{\kappa}}^{\kappa} \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}} \text{LEnr}_{\emptyset}.$$

Corollary 4.89. *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be presentably monoidal ∞ -categories. There is a canonical equivalence*

$$\mathcal{V} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \simeq \mathcal{V}_{\otimes \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}} \text{LEnr}_{\emptyset}.$$

Next we prove that the ∞ -category of enriched presheaves is invariant under transforming bi-enrichment into left enrichment (Corollary 4.93). To state the following results we need the next remark:

Remark 4.90. Let $\kappa < \kappa'$ be small regular cardinals, $\mathcal{U}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a small ∞ -operad, $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ small monoidal ∞ -categories compatible with κ -small colimits, $\mathcal{V}'^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}'^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ small monoidal ∞ -categories compatible with κ' -small colimits and $\alpha : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'^\otimes, \beta : \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'^\otimes$ monoidal functors preserving κ -small colimits. Let $\gamma : (\mathcal{V} \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{W})^\otimes \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}' \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{W}')^\otimes \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}' \otimes_{\kappa'} \mathcal{W}')^\otimes$ be the induced monoidal functor preserving κ -small colimits. There is a commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}' \otimes_{\kappa'} \mathcal{W}' \text{LEnr} \mathcal{U} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{V}' \times \mathcal{W}' \text{LEnr} \mathcal{U} \\ \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow (\alpha \times \beta)^* \\ \mathcal{V} \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{W} \text{LEnr} \mathcal{U} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} \text{LEnr} \mathcal{U}. \end{array}$$

This follows from naturality and the fact that the monoidal functor $\mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} \mathcal{W}'^\otimes \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}' \otimes_{\kappa'} \mathcal{W}')^\otimes$ factors as $\mathcal{V}'^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} \mathcal{W}'^\otimes \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}' \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{W}')^\otimes \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}' \otimes_{\kappa'} \mathcal{W}')^\otimes$. Hence there is also a commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}' \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}'}^{\kappa'} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{V}' \otimes_{\mathcal{W}'^{\text{rev}}} \text{LEnr} \emptyset \\ \downarrow (\alpha, \beta)^* & & \downarrow \gamma^* \\ \mathcal{V} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^\kappa & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}} \text{LEnr} \emptyset. \end{array}$$

Proposition 4.91. Let κ be a small regular cardinal and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a small κ, κ -bi-enriched ∞ -category. The $\mathcal{V} \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}$ -enriched embedding

$$\theta(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \theta(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\text{BEnv}}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}_{\kappa, \kappa}})^\otimes$$

induces a left $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{W})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V} \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}})$ -linear equivalence

$$\mathcal{P}\widehat{\text{LEnv}}(\theta(\mathcal{M}))_{\text{LEnr}_\kappa}^\otimes \rightarrow \theta(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\text{BEnv}}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}_{\kappa, \kappa}})^\otimes.$$

Proof. The left $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{V} \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}})$ -linear functor $\gamma : \mathcal{P}\widehat{\text{LEnv}}(\theta(\mathcal{M}))_{\text{LEnr}_\kappa}^\otimes \rightarrow \theta(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\text{BEnv}}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}_{\kappa, \kappa}})^\otimes$ is an equivalence if the pullback of γ to $(\mathcal{V} \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}})^\otimes$ is an equivalence because source and target of γ are left $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}$ -enriched ∞ -categories. By Corollary 4.88 the functor $\theta : \mathcal{V} \text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}^\kappa \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}} \text{LEnr} \emptyset \simeq \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}} \text{LEnr} \emptyset$ taking pullback along the projection $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} (\mathcal{W}^\otimes)^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times (\mathcal{W}^\otimes)^{\text{rev}} \simeq \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is an equivalence. The pullback of γ to $(\mathcal{V} \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}})^\otimes$ is an embedding $\gamma' : \mathcal{P}\widehat{\text{LEnv}}_{\text{LEnr}_\kappa}(\theta(\mathcal{M}))^\otimes \rightarrow \theta(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\text{BEnv}}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}_{\kappa, \kappa}})^\otimes$. Let $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} (\mathcal{W}^\otimes)^{\text{rev}}$ be a left tensored ∞ -category that admits small conical colimits. Consider the commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\text{BEnv}}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}_{\kappa, \kappa}}, \theta^{-1}(\mathcal{N})) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \theta^{-1}(\mathcal{N})) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V} \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}}^{\text{L}}(\theta(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\text{BEnv}}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}_{\kappa, \kappa}}), \mathcal{N}) & \longrightarrow & \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V} \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}}(\theta(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}). \end{array}$$

□

Corollary 4.92. Let κ be a small regular cardinal and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a small κ, κ -bi-enriched ∞ -category. The left $\mathcal{V} \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}$ -enriched embedding $\theta(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \theta(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\text{BEnv}}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}})^\otimes$ induces a left $\mathcal{V} \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}$ -linear equivalence

$$\mathcal{P}\widehat{\text{LEnv}}_\kappa(\theta(\mathcal{M}))_{\text{LEnr}}^\otimes \rightarrow \theta(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\text{BEnv}}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}})^\otimes.$$

Corollary 4.93. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a small bi-enriched ∞ -category. The left $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}$ -enriched embedding $\theta(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \theta(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\text{BEnv}}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}})^\otimes$ induces a left $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}$ -linear equivalence

$$\mathcal{P}\widehat{\text{LEnv}}(\theta(\mathcal{M}))_{\text{LEnr}}^\otimes \rightarrow \theta(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\text{BEnv}}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{BEnr}})^\otimes.$$

Corollary 4.94. *Let κ be a small regular cardinal, $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a totally small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category and $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^\otimes \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\kappa)^\otimes \times (\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\kappa)^\otimes$ the unique extension to a κ, κ -bi-enriched ∞ -category. The left $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\kappa \otimes_\kappa (\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\kappa)^{\text{rev}}$ -enriched embedding*

$$\theta(\bar{\mathcal{M}})^\otimes \rightarrow \theta(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}))^\otimes$$

induces a left $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^\kappa \otimes_\kappa (\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\kappa)^{\text{rev}}) \simeq \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{rev}}$ -linear equivalence

$$\mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\theta(\bar{\mathcal{M}}))_{\text{LEnr}_\kappa}^\otimes \rightarrow \theta(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}))^\otimes.$$

5. ENRICHED ∞ -CATEGORIES OF ENRICHED FUNCTORS

In this section we introduce and study enriched ∞ -categories of enriched functors.

Notation 5.1. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small ∞ -operads. The functor

$$\text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{V}^\otimes} \times \text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{W}^\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}, (\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes) \mapsto \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$$

restricts to a functor

$$(16) \quad \alpha : \mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\emptyset \times \emptyset\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{W}.$$

Remark 5.2. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small monoidal ∞ -categories. The functor (16) restricts to a functor

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{V}\text{PLEnr}_\emptyset \times \emptyset\text{PREnr}_\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{PBEnr}_\mathcal{W}.$$

We have the following proposition, which follows immediately from Proposition 5.11:

Proposition 5.3. *For every small ∞ -operads $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ the functor (16) admits componentwise right adjoints.*

We will prove later that also the functor (17) admits componentwise right adjoints (Corollary 5.53). To prove Proposition 5.11 we use the following lemma:

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ be functors such that the composition $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ is a cocartesian fibration. The functor $(-) \times_{\mathbb{S}} \mathcal{C} : \text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathbb{S}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathbb{T}}$ admits a right adjoint that we denote by $\text{Fun}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$. If \mathbb{S}, \mathbb{T} are contractible, we drop \mathbb{S}, \mathbb{T} from the notation.*

Proof. It is enough to see that the functor $\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathbb{S}} (-) : \text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathbb{S}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{C}}$ admits a right adjoint. This follows from [16, Example B.3.11.] and [16, Corollary B.3.15.]. \square

Remark 5.5. For every functors $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{S}' \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ there is a canonical equivalence $\mathbb{S}' \times_{\mathbb{S}} \text{Fun}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathbb{S}' \times_{\mathbb{S}} \mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{S}'}(\mathbb{S}' \times_{\mathbb{S}} \mathcal{C}, \mathbb{S}' \times_{\mathbb{S}} \mathcal{D})$ specifying the fibers of the functor $\text{Fun}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$.

Remark 5.6. Let $\phi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ be a functor, $\mathcal{E} \subset \text{Fun}([1], \mathbb{S})$ a full subcategory and $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ a cartesian fibration relative to the collection of ϕ -cocartesian lifts of morphisms of \mathbb{S} that belong to \mathcal{E} and $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ a cocartesian fibration relative to the collection of ϕ -cocartesian lifts of morphisms of \mathbb{S} that belong to \mathcal{E} . By [16, Proposition B.4.1.] the functor $\text{Fun}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ is a cocartesian fibration relative to \mathcal{E} .

Lemma 5.7. *Let $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ be functors such that the composition $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ is a cocartesian fibration. Let $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be a fully faithful functor over \mathbb{T} . Then $\text{Fun}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ is fully faithful.*

Proof. Note that the monomorphisms in $\text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathbb{T}}$ are detected by the forgetful functor to Cat_{∞} and so are the functors over \mathbb{T} whose image in Cat_{∞} is an inclusion, i.e. induces embeddings on mapping spaces and the maximal subspace. Because the functor $\text{Fun}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathcal{C}, -) : \text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathbb{S}}$ is a right adjoint, it preserves monomorphisms. So it remains to see that the functor $\text{Fun}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ is full, i.e. essentially surjective on mapping spaces. Let $\phi : [1] \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ be a functor over \mathbb{S}

whose restrictions to $\{0\} \subset [1], \{1\} \subset [1]$ belong to $\text{Fun}_T^S(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$. Then ϕ corresponds to a functor $\psi : [1] \times_S \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [1] \times_S \mathcal{E}$ over $[1]$ whose fibers over 0, 1 factor through \mathcal{D} . But then ψ factors through \mathcal{D} so that ϕ factors through $\text{Fun}_T^S(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$. \square

The following lemma is [10, Lemma 3.73.] whose proof we include for the reader's convenience:

Lemma 5.8. *Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be weakly left, weakly right, weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories.*

- (1) *The functor $\alpha : \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{W}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a weakly right enriched ∞ -category.*
- (2) *The functor $\beta : \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{V}^\otimes}(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{O}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ is a weakly left enriched ∞ -category.*
- (3) *If $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{N} as right tensored over \mathcal{W} , the functor α is a right tensored ∞ -category and for every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the following canonical functor is right \mathcal{W} -linear:*

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{W}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes.$$

- (4) *If $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{N} as left tensored over \mathcal{V} , the functor β is a left tensored ∞ -category and for every $X \in \mathcal{O}$ the following canonical functor is left \mathcal{V} -linear:*

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{V}^\otimes}(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{O}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes.$$

Proof. We prove (1) and (3). (2) and (4) are dual. By Remark 5.6 the functor α and for every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the functor $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{W}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes$ are maps of cocartesian fibrations relative to the collection of inert morphisms of Ass preserving the maximum. Moreover these functors are maps of cocartesian fibrations over Ass if $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{N} as right tensored over \mathcal{W} . For the general case every cocartesian lift $W \rightarrow W'$ in \mathcal{W}^\otimes of the map $\{n\} \subset [n]$ in Ass^{op} induces the functor

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{W}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes)_W \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes_W) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes_{W'}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{W}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes)_{W'}$$

induced by the equivalence $\mathcal{N}^\otimes_W \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes_{W'}$. This proves (2). To see (1) it remains to verify condition (2) of Definition 2.22. By (2) the functor $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{W}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \text{REnv}(\mathcal{N}^\otimes)) \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}^\otimes)$ is a right tensored ∞ -category. So the pullback $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \times_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}^\otimes)} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{W}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \text{REnv}(\mathcal{N}^\otimes)) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is a weakly right enriched ∞ -category. By Lemma 5.7 the embedding $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{REnv}(\mathcal{N}^\otimes)$ gives rise to an embedding

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{W}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes \times_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}^\otimes)} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{W}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \text{REnv}(\mathcal{N}^\otimes))$$

over \mathcal{W}^\otimes that is a map of cocartesian fibrations relative to the collection of inert morphisms of Ass preserving the maximum. This implies that $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{W}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ satisfies condition (2) of Definition 2.22 and so is a right enriched ∞ -category. \square

The next notation is [10, Notation 3.74.]:

Notation 5.9. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be weakly left, weakly right and weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories, respectively.

- (1) Let

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^\otimes \subset \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{W}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes)$$

be the full weakly right \mathcal{W} -enriched subcategory spanned by

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \subset \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes_{[0]}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{W}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes)_{[0]}.$$

- (2) Let

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N})^\otimes \subset \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{V}^\otimes}(\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{O}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes)$$

be the full weakly left \mathcal{V} -enriched subcategory spanned by

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N}) \subset \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes_{[0]}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{V}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes)_{[0]}.$$

Remark 5.10. There is a canonical left \mathcal{V} -enriched equivalence:

$$\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} := (\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{W}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{rev}}, \mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{rev}})^{\mathrm{rev}})^{\otimes}.$$

Remark 5.6 implies the following proposition, which is [10, Proposition 3.78.]:

Proposition 5.11. *Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be weakly left, weakly right and weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories, respectively.*

(1) *The canonical equivalence*

$$\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{W}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}, \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}}^{\mathcal{W}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes})) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes})$$

restricts to an equivalence

$$\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})) \simeq \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N}).$$

(2) *The canonical equivalence*

$$\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes}, \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}}^{\mathcal{V}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes})) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes})$$

restricts to an equivalence

$$\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N})) \simeq \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N}).$$

Remark 5.5 implies the following remark:

Remark 5.12. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be weakly left, weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories, respectively. For every map $\mathcal{W}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ of ∞ -operads there is a canonical right \mathcal{W}' -enriched equivalence

$$\mathcal{W}'^{\otimes} \times_{\mathcal{W}^{\otimes}} \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \simeq \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{W}' \times_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{N})^{\otimes}.$$

Lemma 5.7 implies the following remark:

Remark 5.13. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be weakly left, weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories, respectively. For every \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched embedding $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'^{\otimes}$ the induced right \mathcal{W} -enriched functor $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}')^{\otimes}$ is an embedding.

Lemma 5.8, Remark 5.6 and Lemma 2.52 imply the following corollary:

Corollary 5.14. *Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}$ be a full subcategory and $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ weakly left enriched and weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories. If $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ is a right tensored ∞ -category compatible with \mathcal{K} -indexed colimits, $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ is a right tensored ∞ -category compatible with \mathcal{K} -indexed colimits and the right \mathcal{W} -enriched functor $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{M}})^{\otimes}$ is right \mathcal{W} -linear and preserves \mathcal{K} -indexed colimits.*

The latter corollary admits the following refinement:

Proposition 5.15. *Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}$ be a full subcategory and $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ weakly left enriched and weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories. If $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ admits right tensors and forming right tensors preserves \mathcal{K} -indexed colimits, $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ admits right tensors and \mathcal{K} -indexed conical colimits and the right \mathcal{W} -enriched functor $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{M}})^{\otimes}$ is right \mathcal{W} -linear and preserves \mathcal{K} -indexed colimits.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.52 it is enough to prove the case that \mathcal{K} is empty. By Corollary 4.38 (2) (for $\kappa = \emptyset$) the weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ that admits right tensors is the pullback of a unique right tensored ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$. By Proposition 5.8 the weakly right enriched ∞ -category $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}')^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$ is a right tensored ∞ -category and the right $\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -enriched functor $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}')^{\otimes} \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}'^{\mathcal{M}})^{\otimes}$ is right linear. So using Remark 5.12 the

pullback $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}')^{\otimes} \times_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ is a weakly right enriched ∞ -category that admits right tensors and the following right \mathcal{W} -enriched functor is right \mathcal{W} -linear:

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}')^{\otimes} \times_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}')^{\otimes} \times_{\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}.$$

□

5.1. Enriched Kan-extensions. In the following we use Proposition 2.62 to construct a left Kan-extension for ∞ -categories enriched in any presentably monoidal ∞ -category (Proposition 5.16, Proposition 5.20). Passing to opposite enriched ∞ -categories we obtain a formally dual theory of right Kan-extensions for ∞ -categories enriched in any presentably monoidal ∞ -category.

Proposition 5.16. (1) *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small ∞ -operads and $\psi : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functor from a totally small to a locally small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category. Let $\mathcal{D}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category that admits left and right tensors and small conical colimits. The induced functor*

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})$$

admits a left adjoint $\psi_!$, which is fully faithful if ψ is an embedding.

(2) *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a presentably monoidal ∞ -category, $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a small ∞ -operad and $\psi : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functor from a small to a locally small left enriched ∞ -category. Let $\mathcal{D}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a left enriched ∞ -category that admits left and right tensors and small conical colimits. The induced functor*

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})$$

admits a left adjoint $\psi_!$, which is fully faithful if ψ is an embedding.

(3) *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be presentably monoidal ∞ -categories and $\psi : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functor from a small to a locally small bi-enriched ∞ -category. Let $\mathcal{D}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a bi-enriched ∞ -category that admits left and right tensors and small conical colimits. The induced functor*

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})$$

admits a left adjoint $\psi_!$, which is fully faithful if ψ is an embedding.

(4) *For every \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functor $F : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\otimes}$ and $X \in \mathcal{N}$ in all cases there is an equivalence*

$$\psi_!(F)(X) \simeq \text{colim}_{V_1, \dots, V_n, \psi(Y), W_1, \dots, W_m \rightarrow X} \bigotimes_{i=1}^n V_i \otimes F(Y) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^m W_j.$$

Proof. (1): By Corollary 4.38 the weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$ is the pullback of a bitensored ∞ -category $\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ compatible with small colimits. We apply Proposition 2.62.

(2): The presentably monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is κ -compactly generated for some small regular cardinal κ . Let $\psi_{\kappa} : \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{\otimes}$ be the pullback of ψ along $(\mathcal{V}^{\kappa})^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$, which is a $\mathcal{V}^{\kappa}, \mathcal{W}$ -enriched functor between totally small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories. By Corollary 4.34 the induced functors

$$\begin{aligned} \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{D}) &\rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\kappa}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}, \mathcal{D}_{\kappa}), \\ \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}) &\rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\kappa}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}, \mathcal{D}_{\kappa}) \end{aligned}$$

are equivalences. Hence (2) follows from (1), the statement that the induced functor

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\kappa}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}, \mathcal{D}_{\kappa}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\kappa}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}, \mathcal{D}_{\kappa})$$

admits a left adjoint, which is fully faithful if ψ_{κ} is an embedding.

(3): The proof of (3) is similar: the presentably monoidal ∞ -categories $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ are κ -compactly generated, τ -compactly generated, respectively, for some small regular cardinals κ, τ . Let

$\psi_{\kappa,\tau} : \mathcal{M}_{\kappa,\tau}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\kappa,\tau}^{\otimes}$ be the pullback of ψ along $(\mathcal{V}^{\kappa})^{\otimes} \times (\mathcal{W}^{\tau})^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$, which is a $\mathcal{V}^{\kappa}, \mathcal{W}^{\tau}$ -enriched functor between totally small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories. By Corollary 4.34 the induced functors

$$\begin{aligned} \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{D}) &\rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\kappa},\mathcal{W}^{\tau}}(\mathcal{N}_{\kappa,\tau}, \mathcal{D}_{\kappa,\tau}), \\ \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}) &\rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\kappa},\mathcal{W}^{\tau}}(\mathcal{M}_{\kappa,\tau}, \mathcal{D}_{\kappa,\tau}) \end{aligned}$$

are equivalences. Hence (3) follows from (1), the statement that the induced functor

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\kappa},\mathcal{W}^{\tau}}(\mathcal{N}_{\kappa,\tau}, \mathcal{D}_{\kappa,\tau}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}^{\kappa},\mathcal{W}^{\tau}}(\mathcal{M}_{\kappa,\tau}, \mathcal{D}_{\kappa,\tau})$$

admits a left adjoint, which is fully faithful if $\psi_{\kappa,\tau}$ is an embedding. (4) holds by Proposition 2.62. \square

Notation 5.17. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{M}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ be weakly left enriched ∞ -categories, $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories, $F : \mathcal{M}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$ a left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor and $G : \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'^{\otimes}$ a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functor. Let

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(F, G) : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{N}')^{\otimes}$$

be the right \mathcal{W} -enriched functor corresponding to the \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functor

$$\mathcal{M}'^{\otimes} \times \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{F \times \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes}} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{N}'^{\otimes}.$$

Remark 5.18. The right \mathcal{W} -enriched functor

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(F, G) : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{N}')^{\otimes}$$

induces on underlying ∞ -categories the canonical functor $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}',\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{N}')$.

Remark 5.19. If $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ admit right tensors and $G : \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'^{\otimes}$ is a right \mathcal{W} -linear functor, then $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(F, G) : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{N}')^{\otimes}$ is a right \mathcal{W} -linear functor between weakly bi-enriched ∞ -categories that admit right tensors by Corollary 5.15.

Proposition 5.20. (1) Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{M}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ be totally small weakly left enriched ∞ -categories, $F : \mathcal{M}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$ a left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor and $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category that admits small conical colimits and left and right tensors. The right \mathcal{W} -enriched functor

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(F, \mathcal{N}) : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{N})^{\otimes}$$

admits a right \mathcal{W} -enriched left adjoint

$$F_! : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes}.$$

(2) Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{M}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ be small left enriched ∞ -categories, $F : \mathcal{M}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$ a left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor and $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category that admits small conical colimits and left and right tensors whose underlying weakly left enriched ∞ -category is a left enriched ∞ -category. The right \mathcal{W} -enriched functor

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(F, \mathcal{N}) : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{N})^{\otimes}$$

admits a right \mathcal{W} -enriched left adjoint

$$F_! : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes}.$$

Proof. The induced functor $F^* : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{N})$ admits a left adjoint $F_!$ under the assumptions of (1) by Proposition 5.16 (1) and under the assumptions of (2) by Proposition 5.16 (2). By Remark 5.19 the right \mathcal{W} -enriched functor $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V},\emptyset}(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{N})^{\otimes}$ induced by F preserves right tensors and source and target of it admit right tensors since $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ admits right tensors. Using Definition 2.68 (2) it is enough to prove that for every $m \geq 0$ and $W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ and left \mathcal{V} -linear functor $H : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ the following canonical morphism is an equivalence:

$$(F_! \circ ((-) \otimes W_m) \circ \dots \circ ((-) \otimes W_1))(H) \rightarrow (((-) \otimes W_m) \circ \dots \circ ((-) \otimes W_1) \circ F_!)(H).$$

By Proposition 2.62 (1) the latter morphism induces at $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the canonical morphism

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{colim}_{V_1, \dots, V_n, F(Y) \rightarrow X} \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^n V_i \otimes (((-) \otimes W_m) \circ \dots \circ ((-) \otimes W_1) \circ H(Y)) \right) \\ & \rightarrow ((-) \otimes W_m) \circ \dots \circ ((-) \otimes W_1) \circ \left(\operatorname{colim}_{V_1, \dots, V_n, F(Y) \rightarrow X} \bigotimes_{i=1}^n V_i \otimes H(Y) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The latter morphism is an equivalence since the functors $(-) \otimes W_i : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ preserve small conical colimits for $1 \leq i \leq m$. \square

5.2. Enriched Yoneda-lemma. In this subsection we prove an enriched Yoneda-lemma.

Lemma 5.21. *Let \mathcal{B} be a locally small ∞ -category and \mathcal{C} an ∞ -category that admits small colimits.*

- (1) *For every $Z \in \mathcal{B}$ the functor $\operatorname{ev}_Z^{\mathcal{B}} : \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ evaluating at $Z \in \mathcal{B}$ admits a left adjoint that sends $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ to the functor $\mathcal{B}(Z, -) \otimes Y : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.*
- (2) *Let \mathcal{B} be a small space. For every functor $H : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ there is a canonical equivalence $H \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{Z \in \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}(Z, -) \otimes H(Z)$ in $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$.*
- (3) *Let \mathcal{B} be a small ∞ -category. The functor $G : \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}^{\simeq}, \mathcal{C})$ restricting along the inclusion $\mathcal{B}^{\simeq} \subset \mathcal{B}$ admits a left adjoint and is monadic. The left adjoint sends the functor $\mathcal{B}^{\simeq}(Z, -) \otimes Y : \mathcal{B}^{\simeq} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ for $Y \in \mathcal{C}, Z \in \mathcal{B}$ to the functor $\mathcal{B}(Z, -) \otimes Y : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.*
- (4) *Let \mathcal{B} be a small ∞ -category. The ∞ -category $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ is generated under small colimits by $\{\mathcal{B}(Z, -) \otimes Y : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \mid Z \in \mathcal{B}, Y \in \mathcal{C}\}$.*

Proof. (1): By the Yoneda-lemma for ∞ -categories there is a canonical equivalence

$$\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})(\mathcal{B}(Z, -) \otimes Y, -) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S})(\mathcal{B}(Z, -), -) \circ \mathcal{C}(Y, -)_* \simeq \operatorname{ev}_Z^{\mathcal{B}} \circ \mathcal{C}(Y, -)_* \simeq \mathcal{C}(Y, -) \circ \operatorname{ev}_Z^{\mathcal{B}}.$$

(2) Let \mathcal{B} be a locally small space. Then for all functors $H, H' : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ the canonical equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})(\operatorname{colim}_{Z \in \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}(Z, -) \otimes H(Z), H') & \simeq \lim_{Z \in \mathcal{B}} \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})(\mathcal{B}(Z, -) \otimes H(Z), H') \\ & \simeq \lim_{Z \in \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{C}(H(Z), H'(Z)) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})(H, H') \end{aligned}$$

represents an equivalence $H \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{Z \in \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}(Z, -) \otimes H(Z)$ in $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$.

(3): Let \mathcal{B} be a small ∞ -category. To see that G admits a left adjoint, we need to show that for every $H \in \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}^{\simeq}, \mathcal{C})$ the functor $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}^{\simeq}, \mathcal{C})(H, -) \circ G : \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is corepresentable. The full subcategory of $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}^{\simeq}, \mathcal{C})$ spanned by the functors H such that the functor $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}^{\simeq}, \mathcal{C})(H, -) \circ G : \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is corepresentable, is closed under small colimits because \mathcal{B} is small and \mathcal{C} and so $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ admit small colimits. By the first part of the proof the ∞ -category $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}^{\simeq}, \mathcal{C})$ is generated under small colimits by the functors $\mathcal{B}^{\simeq}(Z, -) \otimes Y : \mathcal{B}^{\simeq} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ for $Y \in \mathcal{C}, Z \in \mathcal{B}$. Therefore G admits a left adjoint if for every $Y \in \mathcal{C}, Z \in \mathcal{B}$ the functor $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}^{\simeq}, \mathcal{C})(\mathcal{B}^{\simeq}(Z, -) \otimes Y, -) \circ G : \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}^{\simeq}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is corepresentable. There is a canonical equivalence, which implies (2):

$$\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}^{\simeq}, \mathcal{C})(\mathcal{B}^{\simeq}(Z, -) \otimes Y, -) \circ G \simeq \mathcal{C}(Y, -) \circ \operatorname{ev}_Z^{\mathcal{B}^{\simeq}} \circ G \simeq \mathcal{C}(Y, -) \circ \operatorname{ev}_Z^{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})(\mathcal{B}(Z, -) \otimes Y, -).$$

(4): The functor G is conservative because the inclusion $\mathcal{B}^{\simeq} \subset \mathcal{B}$ is essentially surjective. The functor G preserves small colimits since such a formed object-wise. Thus G is monadic [16, Theorem 4.7.3.5]. This guarantees that $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ is generated under small colimits by the essential image of F . By the first part of the proof $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}^{\simeq}, \mathcal{C})$ is generated under small colimits by the functors $\mathcal{B}^{\simeq}(Z, -) \otimes Y : \mathcal{B}^{\simeq} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ for $Y \in \mathcal{C}, Z \in \mathcal{B}$ so that $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ is generated under small colimits by the images under F of all functors $\mathcal{B}^{\simeq}(Z, -) \otimes Y : \mathcal{B}^{\simeq} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ for $Y \in \mathcal{C}, Z \in \mathcal{B}$. \square

Theorem 5.22. *Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category that admits left and right tensors and small conical colimits.*

- (1) For every $H \in \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$, $X \in \mathcal{M}$, $N \in \mathcal{N}$ and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$, $W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ the following map is an equivalence:

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})(\text{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(X, -) \otimes N, H) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(N, H(X)).$$

- (2) If \mathcal{M} is small, then $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is generated under small colimits by $\{\text{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(X, -) \otimes N \mid X \in \mathcal{M}, N \in \mathcal{N}\}$ and the forgetful functor $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ admits a left adjoint and is monadic. The left adjoint sends $\mathcal{M}(Z, -) \otimes N$ to $\text{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(Z, -) \otimes N$ for every $Z \in \mathcal{M}, N \in \mathcal{N}$.
- (3) If \mathcal{M} is small, the forgetful functor $\nu : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}^{\approx}, \mathcal{N})$ admits a left adjoint ϕ and is monadic and for every $F : \mathcal{M}^{\approx} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ the canonical morphism

$$\text{colim}_{Z \in \mathcal{M}^{\approx}} (\text{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(Z, -) \otimes F(Z)) \rightarrow \phi(F)$$

in $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is an equivalence.

Proof. (1): Let $\bar{\mathcal{N}}^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})^{\otimes}$ be the full weakly bi-enriched subcategory spanned by \mathcal{N} . By Lemma 4.37 (5) the bi-enriched ∞ -category $\bar{\mathcal{N}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$ is a bitensored ∞ -category compatible with small colimits. Consider the $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -enriched adjunction

$$(-) \otimes X \otimes (-) : (\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))^{\otimes} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes} : \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, -).$$

By Proposition 4.46 the right adjoint preserves small colimits and is $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear. Hence by Remark 2.73 the latter $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -enriched adjunction induces an adjunction

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, -)^* : \text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \bar{\mathcal{N}}) &\rightleftarrows \\ \text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}), \bar{\mathcal{N}}) : ((-) \otimes X)^* & \end{aligned}$$

Consider the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \bar{\mathcal{N}}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \\ \downarrow ((-) \otimes X)^* & & \downarrow \text{ev}_X \\ \text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}), \bar{\mathcal{N}}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{N}. \end{array}$$

By Proposition 2.109 and Proposition 2.51 the horizontal functors are equivalences. Consequently, the right vertical functor admits a left adjoint that sends $N \in \mathcal{N}$ to the following composition of \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functors:

$$\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, -)} (\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}))^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{(-) \otimes N \otimes (-)} \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}.$$

The unit of this adjunction is induced by the canonical morphism $\mathbb{1} \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{M})}(X, X)$ in $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$. This proves (1).

(2): Let $\theta : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ be the forgetful functor. We first show that θ admits a left adjoint. Let $\Theta \subset \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ be the full subcategory spanned by those H such that the functor $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})(H, -) \circ \theta : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is corepresentable. The functor θ admits a left adjoint if and only if $\Theta = \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$. By Lemma 2.52 (1) the ∞ -category $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ admits small colimits preserved by θ . This guarantees that Θ is closed under small colimits. By Lemma 5.21 (4) the ∞ -category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is generated under small colimits by $\{\mathcal{M}(Z, -) \otimes N : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \mid N \in \mathcal{N}, Z \in \mathcal{M}\}$. Therefore it is enough to see that for every $N \in \mathcal{N}, Z \in \mathcal{M}$ the functor $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})(\mathcal{M}(Z, -) \otimes N, -) \circ \theta : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is corepresentable. By Lemma 5.21 (1) for any $Z \in \mathcal{M}$ the functor $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}), N \mapsto \mathcal{M}(Z, -) \otimes N$ is left adjoint to the functor ev_Z evaluating at Z . Thus by (1) the functor $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})(\mathcal{M}(Z, -) \otimes N, -) \circ \theta \simeq \mathcal{N}(N, -) \circ \text{ev}_Z \circ \theta : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is equivalent to the corepresentable functor $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})(\text{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(Z, -) \otimes N, -) : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. So θ admits a left adjoint that sends $\mathcal{M}(Z, -) \otimes N$ to $\text{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(Z, -) \otimes N$ for every $Z \in \mathcal{M}, N \in \mathcal{N}$.

By [16, 4.7.3.5.] this guarantees that θ is monadic so that $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is generated under small colimits by the essential image of the left adjoint of θ . Since the ∞ -category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is generated under small colimits by the functors $\mathcal{M}(Z, -) \otimes \mathcal{N} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ for $Z \in \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{N}$, the ∞ -category $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is generated under small colimits by the objects $\text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(Z, -) \otimes \mathcal{N}$ for $Z \in \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{N}$.

(3): By (2), Lemma 5.21 (3) and [16, 4.7.3.5.] the forgetful functor $\nu : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}^{\simeq}, \mathcal{N})$ has a left adjoint ϕ and is monadic. The left adjoint sends $\mathcal{M}^{\simeq}(Z, -) \otimes \mathcal{N}$ to $\text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(Z, -) \otimes \mathcal{N}$ for every $Z \in \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{N}$.

For every functor $F : \mathcal{M}^{\simeq} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ the unit $F \rightarrow \nu(\phi(F))$ in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}^{\simeq}, \mathcal{N})$ gives rise to a map

$$\rho : \text{colim}_{Z \in \mathcal{M}^{\simeq}} (\text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(Z, -) \otimes F(Z)) \rightarrow \phi(F)$$

in $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ whose summand $\text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(Z, -) \otimes F(Z) \rightarrow \phi(F)$ for $Z \in \mathcal{M}^{\simeq}$ corresponds to the morphism $F(Z) \rightarrow \phi(F)(Z)$ in \mathcal{N} . Since ν, ϕ preserve small colimits and \mathcal{N} admits small conical colimits, the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}^{\simeq}, \mathcal{N})$ spanned by the functors F , for which the ρ is an equivalence is closed under small colimits. So by Lemma 5.21 (4) we can assume that $F = \mathcal{M}^{\simeq}(Z, -) \otimes \mathcal{N} : \mathcal{M}^{\simeq} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ for $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{N}$ and $Z \in \mathcal{M}$. By Lemma 5.21 (2) there is a canonical equivalence

$$F \simeq \text{colim}_{Z \in \mathcal{M}^{\simeq}} \mathcal{M}^{\simeq}(Z, -) \otimes F(Z)$$

in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}^{\simeq}, \mathcal{N})$. Note that $\nu \circ \text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}(F(Z), -)_* \simeq \text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}(F(Z), -)_* \circ \nu$ so that by adjointness

$$\phi \circ ((-) \otimes F(Z))_* \simeq ((-) \otimes F(Z))_* \circ \phi.$$

Hence there is a canonical equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{colim}_{Z \in \mathcal{M}^{\simeq}} \text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(Z, -) \otimes F(Z) &\simeq \text{colim}_{Z \in \mathcal{M}^{\simeq}} \phi(\mathcal{M}^{\simeq}(Z, -)) \otimes F(Z) \\ &\simeq \text{colim}_{Z \in \mathcal{M}^{\simeq}} \phi(\mathcal{M}^{\simeq}(Z, -) \otimes F(Z)) \simeq \phi(\text{colim}_{Z \in \mathcal{M}^{\simeq}} \mathcal{M}^{\simeq}(Z, -) \otimes F(Z)) \simeq \nu(\phi(F)) \end{aligned}$$

in $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$, which canonically identifies with ρ . □

Corollary 5.23. *Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ be a weakly left enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category that admits left tensors.*

(1) *For every $H \in \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ and $X \in \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{N}$ and $W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $m \geq 0$ the following canonical map is an equivalence:*

$$\text{Mul}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})}(\text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(X, -) \otimes \mathcal{N}, W_1, \dots, W_m; H) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{N}, W_1, \dots, W_m; H(X)).$$

(2) *If \mathcal{M} is small and $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ admits small conical colimits, the right \mathcal{W} -enriched forgetful functor $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes}$ has a right \mathcal{W} -enriched left adjoint that sends $\mathcal{M}(Z, -) \otimes \mathcal{N}$ to $\text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(Z, -) \otimes \mathcal{N}$ for every $Z \in \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{N}$.*

(3) *If \mathcal{M} is small and $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ admits small conical colimits, the right \mathcal{W} -enriched forgetful functor $\nu : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}^{\simeq}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes}$ admits a right \mathcal{W} -enriched left adjoint ϕ and for every $F : \mathcal{M}^{\simeq} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ the following canonical morphism in $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is an equivalence:*

$$\text{colim}_{Z \in \mathcal{M}^{\simeq}} (\text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(Z, -) \otimes F(Z)) \rightarrow \phi(F).$$

Proof. Statement (1) is equivalent to say that for every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the right \mathcal{W} -enriched functor $\gamma : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ evaluating at X admits a right \mathcal{W} -enriched left adjoint and the unit of the adjunction is induced by the canonical morphism $\mathbb{1} \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(X, X)$ in $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$. By Theorem 5.22 (1) the functor $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ evaluating at X admits a left adjoint that sends $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{N}$ to $\text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(X, -) \otimes \mathcal{N}$, and the unit of this adjunction is induced by the canonical morphism $\mathbb{1} \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(X, X)$ in $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})$. By Proposition 5.15 the right \mathcal{W} -enriched functor γ is a right \mathcal{W} -linear functor between weakly right \mathcal{W} -enriched ∞ -categories that admit right tensors. Therefore by Lemma 2.69 the result follows from the fact that for every $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{N}, W \in \mathcal{W}$ the morphism

$$\kappa : \text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(X, -) \otimes (\mathcal{N} \otimes W) \rightarrow (\text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(X, -) \otimes \mathcal{N}) \otimes W$$

corresponding to the morphism $\mathbb{1} \otimes N \otimes W \rightarrow \mathrm{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(X, X) \otimes N \otimes W$ is the canonical equivalence.

(2): By Proposition 5.15 the right \mathcal{W} -enriched forgetful functor $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes}$ is a right \mathcal{W} -linear functor between weakly right \mathcal{W} -enriched ∞ -categories that admit right tensors. By Theorem 5.22 (2) the forgetful functor $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ admits a left adjoint ϕ . Thus by Lemma 2.69 it is enough to see that for every $H \in \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$, $W \in \mathcal{W}$ the canonical morphism $\lambda : \phi(H \otimes W) \rightarrow \phi(H) \otimes W$ is an equivalence. By Lemma 5.21 (2) the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is generated under small colimits by $\{\mathcal{M}(X, -) \otimes N : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \mid N \in \mathcal{N}, X \in \mathcal{M}\}$. By Proposition 5.15 forming right tensors in $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ and $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ preserves small colimits. So it suffices to show that λ is an equivalence for $H = \mathcal{M}(X, -) \otimes N : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ for any $N \in \mathcal{N}, X \in \mathcal{M}$. In this case λ identifies with κ . The proof of (3) is similar to the one of (2), where we use Theorem 5.22 (3). \square

Corollary 5.24. *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}$ be a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits and $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ a small left enriched ∞ -category.*

- (1) *Then $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$ is generated under small colimits and left tensors by $\{\mathrm{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(X, -) \mid X \in \mathcal{M}\}$.*
- (2) *For every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the right \mathcal{V} -enriched functor*

$$\mathrm{RMor}_{\overline{\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})}}(\mathrm{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, -), -) : \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$$

is equivalent to the functor evaluating at X and so preserves small colimits and is right \mathcal{V} -linear.

Remark 5.25. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ be left enriched ∞ -categories, $F : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ a left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor and $X \in \mathcal{M}$. The morphism $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathrm{LMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(F(X), F(X))$ in \mathcal{V} corresponds to a morphism $\mathrm{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, -) \rightarrow \mathrm{LMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(F(X), -) \circ F$ in $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$ whose component at any $Y \in \mathcal{M}$ is the canonical morphism $\mathrm{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{LMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(F(X), F(Y))$ in \mathcal{V} .

Proposition 5.26. *Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category, $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category that admits left and right tensors and small conical colimits. Every \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functor $F : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ is the colimit of a canonical simplicial object \mathcal{X} in $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ such that for every $n \geq 0$ there is a canonical equivalence in $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$:*

$$\mathcal{X}_n \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{Z_1, \dots, Z_n \in \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}} \mathrm{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(Z_n, -) \otimes \mathrm{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(Z_{n-1}, Z_n) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathrm{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(Z_2, Z_3) \otimes \mathrm{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(Z_1, Z_2) \otimes F(Z_1).$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.22 (3) the forgetful functor $\nu : \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes})$ admits a left adjoint ϕ and is monadic. This guarantees that F admits a monadic resolution, i.e. F is the colimit of a canonical simplicial object \mathcal{X} in $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ such that for every $n \geq 0$ there is a canonical equivalence in $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$:

$$\mathcal{X}_n \simeq (\phi \circ \nu)^{\circ n}(F).$$

By Theorem 5.22 (3) and induction over $n \geq 0$ there is a canonical equivalence in $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$:

$$\mathcal{X}_n \simeq (\phi \circ \nu)^{\circ n}(F) \simeq$$

$$\mathrm{colim}_{Z_1, \dots, Z_n \in \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}} \mathrm{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(Z_n, -) \otimes \mathrm{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(Z_{n-1}, Z_n) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathrm{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(Z_2, Z_3) \otimes \mathrm{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(Z_1, Z_2) \otimes F(Z_1).$$

\square

Corollary 5.27. *Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category that admits left and right tensors. For every \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched functors $F, G : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ there is a canonical equivalence of spaces*

$$\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})(F, G) \simeq \lim_{[n] \in \Delta^{\mathrm{op}}} \lim_{Z_1, \dots, Z_n \in \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}} \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(Z_{n-1}, Z_n) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathrm{LMor}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(Z_1, Z_2) \otimes F(Z_1), G(Z_n)).$$

Corollary 5.23 (1) implies the following:

Corollary 5.28. *Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a small weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category that admits left tensors. For every left \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $F, G : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes$ there is a canonical equivalence in \mathcal{W} :*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{RMor}_{\overline{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})}}(F, G) \simeq \\ & \lim_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} \lim_{Z_1, \dots, Z_n \in \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n}} \text{RMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}(\text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(Z_{n-1}, Z_n) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(Z_1, Z_2) \otimes F(Z_1), G(Z_n)). \end{aligned}$$

5.3. Enriched Yoneda-embedding and opposite enriched ∞ -category. Next we use the enriched Yoneda-lemma to construct an opposite enriched ∞ -category and an enriched Yoneda-embedding.

The next definition is [10, Notation 9.2.]:

Definition 5.29. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be a left enriched ∞ -category. The opposite right \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category of $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ is the full weakly right \mathcal{V} -enriched subcategory

$$(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \subset \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes$$

spanned by all left \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, -) : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ for $X \in \mathcal{M}$.

The following remark, proposition and lemma justify the terminology of Definition 5.29:

Remark 5.30. By construction 3.6 and Corollary 4.49 for every weakly left enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ there is an embedding $\xi : \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))^\otimes$ sending $X \in \mathcal{M}$ to $\text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(X, -)$. If $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ is a left enriched ∞ -category, by Remark 3.20 the embedding ξ induces an embedding $\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \subset \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))^\otimes$ denoted by the same name, which sends $X \in \mathcal{M}$ to $\text{LMor}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(X, -)$. The embedding ξ induces an equivalence $\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \simeq (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes} \mathcal{V}^\otimes$.

Proposition 5.31. *Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be a left enriched ∞ -category. The weakly right enriched ∞ -category $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{M}^{op} as right enriched in \mathcal{V} , where for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ there is a canonical equivalence*

$$\text{RMor}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}(X), \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}(Y)) \simeq \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}(Y), \mathcal{M}(X)).$$

Proof. By Corollary 5.23 for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for $n \geq 0$ the canonical map

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}(X), \dots, \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}(Y), \dots; \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, -); \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(Y, -)) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{V}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(Y, X))$$

is an equivalence. So the result follows. \square

Notation 5.32. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small ∞ -operads. Via the canonical equivalences

$$\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{rev}} \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))\text{LEnr}, \mathcal{W}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \text{REnr}_{\mathcal{P}(\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{rev}} \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))}$$

of Corollary 4.32 we define for every weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ an opposite weakly bi-enriched ∞ -category $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ extending Definition 5.29.

Remark 5.33. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be left enriched ∞ -categories. The $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ -enriched functor

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} \mathcal{N}^\otimes) \times (\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes) \simeq \\ & (\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes) \times_{\text{Ass} \times \text{Ass}} (\mathcal{N}^\otimes \times \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass} \times \text{Ass}} \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \end{aligned}$$

which is the product of the \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} -enriched and \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W} -enriched evaluation functors, corresponds to a right $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ -enriched functor

$$\rho : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W})^\otimes.$$

The latter restricts to a right $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ -enriched equivalence

$$\rho' : (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} (\mathcal{N}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \simeq ((\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N})^{\text{op}})^\otimes.$$

This follows since ρ sends $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, -)$, $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(Y, -)$ for $X \in \mathcal{M}$, $Y \in \mathcal{N}$ to $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, -) \times \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(Y, -) \simeq \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}}((X, Y), -)$ and so restricts to ρ' and via Corollary 5.24 the enriched functor ρ' induces on right morphism objects the following equivalence for $X' \in \mathcal{M}$, $Y' \in \mathcal{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X', X) \times \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(Y', Y) \simeq \\ & \text{RMor}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}}(\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, -), \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X', -)) \times \text{RMor}_{\mathcal{N}^{\text{op}}}(\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(Y, -), \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(Y', -)) \rightarrow \\ & \text{RMor}_{(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N})^{\text{op}}}(\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}}((X, Y), -), \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}}((X', Y'), -)) \simeq \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}}((X', Y'), (X, Y)). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.34. *Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ be a left enriched ∞ -category, $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a right enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a bi-enriched ∞ -category.*

(1) *There is a \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V} -enriched equivalence*

$$((\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{O})^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \simeq (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \times (\mathcal{O}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes}.$$

(2) *There is a right \mathcal{W} -enriched equivalence*

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{N}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}.$$

Proof. (1): By Remark 5.33 there is a left $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}$ -enriched equivalence

$$(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{O}^{\text{rev}})^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \simeq (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \times_{\text{Ass}} ((\mathcal{O}^{\text{op}})^{\text{rev}})^{\otimes}.$$

Under the equivalence ${}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{BPEnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \simeq {}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}}}\text{LPEnr}$ the latter equivalence corresponds to the \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched equivalence $((\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{O})^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \simeq (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \times (\mathcal{O}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes}$.

(2): The claimed equivalence is represented by the following chain of natural equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{\emptyset}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, \text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{N}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}) \simeq {}_{\mathcal{W}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\emptyset}(\mathcal{O}^{\text{op}}, \text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{N}^{\text{op}})) \\ & \simeq {}_{\mathcal{W}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{O}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{N}^{\text{op}}) \simeq {}_{\mathcal{W}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{V}}((\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{O})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{N}^{\text{op}}) \simeq \\ & {}_{\mathcal{V}}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N}) \simeq {}_{\emptyset}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.35. *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a presentably monoidal ∞ -category, $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ small left enriched ∞ -categories and $F : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ a left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor. There is a commutative square:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{F^{\text{op}}} & \mathcal{N}^{\text{op}} \\ \downarrow \xi_{\mathcal{M}} & & \downarrow \xi_{\mathcal{N}} \\ \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}) & \xrightarrow{F_!} & \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{V}). \end{array}$$

Proof. The enriched adjunction $F_! : \mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{N})^{\otimes} : F^*$ gives rise to an adjunction $(F^*)^* : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \emptyset}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})) \rightleftarrows \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \emptyset}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{N}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})) : (F_!)^*$.

There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{F^{\text{op}}} & \mathcal{N}^{\text{op}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M})^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{N})^{\text{op}} \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \emptyset}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M}))^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \emptyset}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{N}))^{\text{op}} \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \emptyset}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})) & \xrightarrow{(F^*)^*} & \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \emptyset}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{N}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})) \end{array}$$

Let $\alpha_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the left vertical functor in the diagram so that $\alpha_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the right vertical functor in the diagram. The diagram provides an equivalence $(F^*)^* \circ \alpha_{\mathcal{M}} \simeq \alpha_{\mathcal{N}} \circ F^{\text{op}}$. Composing the composition

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow (F_!)^* \circ (F^*)^* \circ \alpha_{\mathcal{M}} \simeq (F_!)^* \circ \alpha_{\mathcal{N}} \circ F^{\text{op}}$$

of maps of functors $\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \emptyset}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))$ with the functor

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \emptyset}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{P}\text{LEnv}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))$$

gives a morphism $\xi_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow F^* \circ \xi_{\mathcal{N}} \circ F^{\text{op}}$ of functors $\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}) \subset \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}))$. The composition

$$F_! \circ \xi_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow F_! \circ F^* \circ \xi_{\mathcal{N}} \circ F^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \xi_{\mathcal{N}} \circ F^{\text{op}}$$

induces at $Z \in \mathcal{M}$ the morphism $\kappa : F_!(\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(Z, -)) \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(F(Z), -)$ in $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{V})$ corresponding to the morphism $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(F(Z), F(Z))$ given by the identity. By Lemma 5.42 the morphism κ is an equivalence. \square

Notation 5.36. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ be a left enriched ∞ -category.

- (1) The \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} -enriched left morphism object functor

$$\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$$

is the restricted \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} -enriched evaluation functor

$$\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}.$$

- (2) The left \mathcal{V} -enriched Yoneda-embedding

$$\rho_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$$

is the left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor corresponding to the left morphism object functor $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ under the equivalence of Proposition 5.11.

Remark 5.37. Notation 5.36 also applies to any right \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ (viewed as a left \mathcal{V}^{rev} -enriched ∞ -category). In this case we obtain a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} -enriched right morphism object functor

$$\text{RMor}_{\mathcal{M}} : (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes},$$

which is the restricted \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} -enriched evaluation functor

$$(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \subset \text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes},$$

and a corresponding right \mathcal{V} -enriched Yoneda-embedding $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$.

Remark 5.38. The \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} -enriched left morphism object functor $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ induces on underlying weakly left \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -categories the left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ of Construction 3.6 corresponding to ξ .

Lemma 5.39. Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits, $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ a small left enriched ∞ -category and $Z \in \mathcal{M}$. By Theorem 5.22 the canonical morphism $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \rho(Z)(\xi(Z)) \simeq \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(Z, Z)$ in \mathcal{V} corresponds to a morphism

$$\kappa : \text{RMor}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}}(\xi(Z), -) \rightarrow \rho(Z)$$

in $\text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$. The morphism κ is an equivalence.

Proof. The right \mathcal{V} -enriched functor $\rho(Z) : (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ induces a morphism

$$\text{RMor}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}}(\xi(Z), -) \rightarrow \text{RMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})}(\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(Z, Z), -) \circ \rho(Z) \rightarrow \rho(Z)$$

in $\text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ whose component at $H \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}$ is the canonical morphism

$$\text{RMor}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}}(\xi(Z), H) \rightarrow \text{RMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})}(\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(Z, Z), H(Z)) \rightarrow H(Z)$$

in \mathcal{V} , which is an equivalence by Corollary 5.23. \square

Proposition 5.40. *Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be a small left enriched ∞ -category. The left \mathcal{V} -enriched Yoneda-embedding $\rho : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes$ induces an equivalence $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow ((\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}})^\otimes$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.39 the left \mathcal{V} -enriched Yoneda-embedding $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes$ induces a left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow ((\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}})^\otimes$ whose underlying functor $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}$ is essentially surjective. By Remark 5.25 the left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor $\rho : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow ((\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}})^\otimes$ induces a morphism

$$\kappa : \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{Z}, -) \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}}(\rho(\mathbb{Z}), -) \circ \rho$$

in $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$. By Remark 5.25 the left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor $\text{ev}_{\xi(\mathbb{Z})} : \text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ evaluating at $\xi(\mathbb{Z}) \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}$ induces the following morphism λ in $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$:

$\text{LMor}_{(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}}(\rho(\mathbb{Z}), -) \circ \rho \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V})}(\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}), -) \circ \text{ev}_{\xi(\mathbb{Z})} \circ \rho \rightarrow \text{ev}_{\xi(\mathbb{Z})} \circ \rho \simeq \xi(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{Z}, -)$, which is an equivalence by Corollary 5.23. By Corollary 5.23 the composition $\lambda \circ \kappa$ is the identity because $\lambda_{\mathbb{Z}} \circ \kappa_{\mathbb{Z}}$ sends the identity of \mathbb{Z} to the identity of \mathbb{Z} . So the result follows. \square

Remark 5.41. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be a small left enriched ∞ -category. By Proposition 5.40 the left \mathcal{V} -enriched Yoneda-embedding $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes$ induces an equivalence $\rho'_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow ((\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}})^\otimes$. By definition $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes$ corresponds to the \mathcal{V} -enriched left morphism object functor $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$. Thus $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ factors as \mathcal{V} -enriched functors

$$\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{M} \times (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes}} \text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \times (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes,$$

which factors as

$$\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \xrightarrow{\rho'_{\mathcal{M} \times (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes}} ((\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}})^\otimes \times (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \xrightarrow{\text{RMor}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}}} \mathcal{V}^\otimes.$$

In particular, $\rho_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}} : (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}((\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes$, where the latter equivalence precomposes with ρ' , is the tautological embedding $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \subset \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes$.

Lemma 5.42. *Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits, $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ small left enriched ∞ -categories and $F : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes$ a left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor. For every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the morphism*

$$\kappa : F_! (\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, -)) \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(F(X), -)$$

in $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{V})$ corresponding to the morphism $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(F(X), F(X))$ given by the identity is an equivalence.

Proof. The morphism κ induces for every $H \in \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{V})$ the identity

$$\begin{aligned} H(F(X)) &\simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{V})(\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{N}}(F(X), -), H) \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{V})(F_!(\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, -)), H) \\ &\simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})(\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, -), H \circ F) \simeq H(F(X)), \end{aligned}$$

where we use Corollary 5.23. \square

Corollary 5.43. *Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits, $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ small left enriched ∞ -categories and $F : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes$ a left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor. The right \mathcal{V} -enriched functor*

$$F_! : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes$$

restricts to a right \mathcal{V} -enriched functor $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}^{\text{op}})^\otimes$, which we denote by F^{op} .

Construction 5.44. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits. By Proposition 5.20 the functor $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(-, \mathcal{V})^\otimes : (\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\emptyset)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{V}}^{\text{R}}$ lands in $\widehat{\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{V}}^{\text{R}}$. By Corollary 2.72 there is a canonical equivalence $\widehat{\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{V}}^{\text{R}} \simeq (\widehat{\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}})^{\text{op}}$. Corollary 5.43 implies that there is a subfunctor $\zeta_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\emptyset \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{V}} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{V}}^{\text{R}}$ of the composition

$$\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\emptyset \xrightarrow{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(-, \mathcal{V})^\otimes} (\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\emptyset^{\text{R}})^{\text{op}} \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{V}},$$

where ζ sends $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ to $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$.

Proposition 5.45. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits. There is a canonical equivalence

$$\text{id} \rightarrow \zeta_{\mathcal{V}^{\text{rev}}} \circ \zeta_{\mathcal{V}}$$

of endofunctors of $\mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_\emptyset$ whose component at $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ is $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow ((\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}})^\otimes$.

Replacing $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ by $(\mathcal{V}^{\text{rev}})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ there is also an equivalence of endofunctors of $\mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_\emptyset$:

$$\text{id} \rightarrow \zeta_{\mathcal{V}} \circ \zeta_{\mathcal{V}^{\text{rev}}}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be a map of cocartesian fibrations over \mathcal{S} classifying a functor $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_\emptyset \subset \text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{V}^\otimes}$. Let

$$(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \subset \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \subset \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes)$$

be the full subcategories spanned by the objects of

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}_s, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \subset \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes)_s \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{V}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}_s^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{V}^\otimes),$$

$$(\mathcal{M}_s^{\text{op}})^\otimes \subset \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}_s, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \subset \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes)_s \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{V}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}_s^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{V}^\otimes),$$

respectively, for some $s \in \mathcal{S}$.

By Remark 5.6 the functor $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes) \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ is a map of cartesian fibrations over \mathcal{S} . Since the fiber transports restrict, also $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ is a map of cartesian fibrations over \mathcal{S} that induces on the fiber over any $s \in \mathcal{S}$ the weakly right enriched ∞ -category $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{V}^\otimes}(\mathcal{M}_s^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{V}^\otimes) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$. This implies that $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ is also a map of cocartesian fibrations relative to the collection of cocartesian lifts of inert morphism of Ass preserving the minimum, whose fiber transports preserve cartesian morphisms over \mathcal{S} being projections. This guarantees that the map $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ of cartesian fibrations over \mathcal{S} classifies a functor $\alpha : \mathcal{S}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{V}}$. Proposition 5.20 guarantees that α lands in $\widehat{\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{V}}^{\text{R}}$. This implies by [17, Corollary 5.2.2.5.] that $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ is also a map of cocartesian fibrations over \mathcal{S} whose fiber transports preserve cocartesian lifts of inert morphisms preserving the minimum, and so classifies a functor $\beta : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}$, which by construction factors as $\mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\text{op}}} (\widehat{\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{V}}^{\text{R}})^{\text{op}} \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{V}}$.

By Corollary 5.43 the fiber transports of the map $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ of cocartesian fibrations over \mathcal{S} restrict to $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes$ so that also $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ is a map of cocartesian fibrations over \mathcal{S} classifying a functor $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{V}}$.

The restricted evaluation functor $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{S}} (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \subset \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{S}} \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ over $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ corresponds to a functor $\sigma : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes$ over $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ that induces on the fiber over any $s \in \mathcal{S}$ the embedding $\rho : \mathcal{M}_s^\otimes \simeq ((\mathcal{M}_s^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}})^\otimes \subset \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}_s^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes$ of Proposition 5.40. Lemma 5.42 guarantees that σ is a map of cocartesian fibrations over \mathcal{S} . Hence σ is an embedding whose essential image is $((\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}})^\otimes$. Thus σ induces an equivalence $\sigma' : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow ((\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}})^\otimes$ that induces on the fiber over any $s \in \mathcal{S}$ the equivalence $\rho : \mathcal{M}_s^\otimes \simeq ((\mathcal{M}_s^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}})^\otimes$.

If $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ classifies the identity of $\mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_\emptyset$, the map $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ of cartesian fibrations over \mathcal{S} classifies the functor

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(-, \mathcal{V})^\otimes : (\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\emptyset)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{V}}^{\text{R}} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_\mathcal{V}}$$

of Construction 5.44 by [4, Proposition 7.3.]. Thus the map $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ of cocartesian fibrations over \mathcal{S} classifies the composition

$$\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\emptyset} \xrightarrow{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}^{\mathcal{S}}(-, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes}} (\emptyset\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{R}})^{\text{op}} \simeq \emptyset\omega\widehat{\text{BEnr}}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}} \subset \emptyset\omega\widehat{\text{BEnr}}_{\mathcal{V}}$$

and the map $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ of cocartesian fibrations over \mathcal{S} classifies the functor $\zeta_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_{\emptyset} \rightarrow \emptyset\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ of Construction 5.44.

Sending a map $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ of cocartesian fibrations over \mathcal{S} classifying a functor $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_{\emptyset}$ to the functor $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \emptyset\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ classified by the map $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ of cocartesian fibrations over \mathcal{S} gives a natural map

$$\text{Ho}(\widehat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty})(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_{\emptyset}) \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\widehat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty})(\mathcal{S}, \emptyset\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{V}}).$$

By the classical Yoneda-lemma this map postcomposes with the functor $\zeta_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_{\emptyset} \rightarrow \emptyset\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{V}}$. In particular for any map $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ of cocartesian fibrations over \mathcal{S} classifying a functor $\tau : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_{\emptyset}$ the map $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ of cocartesian fibrations over \mathcal{S} classifies the functor $\zeta_{\mathcal{V}^{\text{rev}}} \circ \zeta_{\mathcal{V}} \circ \tau : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_{\emptyset}$. Therefore the equivalence σ' classifies an equivalence $\text{id} \rightarrow \zeta_{\mathcal{V}^{\text{rev}}} \circ \zeta_{\mathcal{V}}$ of functors $\mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_{\emptyset} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_{\emptyset}$. \square

Next we deduce naturality of the left \mathcal{V} -enriched Yoneda-embedding $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$ (Corollary 5.47):

Definition 5.46. Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}$ be the composition

$$\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\emptyset} \xrightarrow{\zeta_{\mathcal{V}}} \emptyset\omega\text{BEnr}_{\mathcal{V}} \xrightarrow{\text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{S}}(-, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes}} (\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\emptyset}^{\text{R}})^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathcal{V}\omega\widehat{\text{BEnr}}_{\emptyset}^{\text{L}} \subset \mathcal{V}\omega\widehat{\text{BEnr}}_{\emptyset}.$$

Corollary 5.47. Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits. There is a natural transformation $\text{id} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}$ of functors $\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\emptyset} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\omega\widehat{\text{BEnr}}_{\emptyset}$ whose component at any left enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ is the left \mathcal{V} -enriched Yoneda-embedding $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$.

Proof. By Construction 5.44 there is an embedding $\zeta_{\mathcal{V}^{\text{rev}}} \circ \zeta_{\mathcal{V}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}$ of functors $\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\emptyset} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\omega\widehat{\text{BEnr}}_{\emptyset}$. By Proposition 5.45 there is a canonical equivalence $\text{id} \rightarrow \zeta_{\mathcal{V}^{\text{rev}}} \circ \zeta_{\mathcal{V}}$ of endofunctors of $\mathcal{V}\text{BEnr}_{\emptyset}$. We obtain an embedding $\text{id} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}$ of functors $\mathcal{V}\omega\text{BEnr}_{\emptyset} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\omega\widehat{\text{BEnr}}_{\emptyset}$ whose component at any left enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ is the left \mathcal{V} -enriched Yoneda-embedding $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow ((\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \subset \text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$ by Proposition 5.45. \square

Remark 5.48. Corollary 5.47 gives naturality of the enriched Yoneda-embedding. Naturality of the enriched Yoneda-embedding in Hinich's model of enrichment is the topic of [1].

Next we apply Corollary 4.50 to deduce that our both models for the left enriched ∞ -category of enriched presheaves are equivalent:

Theorem 5.49. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ be a left enriched ∞ -category.

(1) The left \mathcal{V} -enriched Yoneda-embedding

$$\rho : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$$

uniquely extends to a left \mathcal{V} -enriched equivalence

$$\theta : \text{PLEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}^{\otimes} \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes}.$$

(2) Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a presentably monoidal ∞ -category. The left \mathcal{V} -enriched equivalence θ corresponds to the \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} -enriched functor

$$\text{PLEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}^{\otimes} \times (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \subset \text{PLEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}^{\otimes} \times (\text{PLEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\text{LMor}_{\text{PLEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\text{LEnr}}}(-, -)} \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}.$$

Proof. (1): By Corollary 4.50 it suffices to see that the essential image of ρ generates the target of ρ under small colimits and left tensors and that for every $Z \in \mathcal{M}$ the left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor

$$\mathrm{LMor}_{\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})}(\rho(Z), -) : \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$$

preserves small colimits and is \mathcal{V} -linear. This holds by Corollary 5.24.

(2): The \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} -enriched functor

$$\mathrm{PLEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathrm{LEnr}}^{\otimes} \times (\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}})^{\otimes} \subset \mathrm{PLEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathrm{LEnr}}^{\otimes} \times (\mathrm{PLEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathrm{LEnr}}^{\mathrm{op}})^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{LMor}_{\mathrm{PLEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathrm{LEnr}}(-, -)}} \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$$

corresponds to a left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor $\theta' : \mathrm{PLEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathrm{LEnr}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$. By Proposition 4.46, Proposition 5.15 and Lemma 2.52 the left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor θ' preserves small colimits and is left \mathcal{V} -linear. Hence it is enough to see that $\theta'_{|\mathcal{M}^{\otimes}} \simeq \theta_{|\mathcal{M}^{\otimes}}$ by Proposition 2.109. Both latter enriched functors correspond to the \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} -enriched functor

$$\mathrm{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, -) : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times (\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}})^{\otimes} \subset \mathrm{PLEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathrm{LEnr}}^{\otimes} \times (\mathrm{PLEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathrm{LEnr}}^{\mathrm{op}})^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{LMor}_{\mathrm{PLEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathrm{LEnr}}(-, -)}} \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}.$$

□

Corollary 5.50. *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}$ be a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits, $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ small left enriched ∞ -categories and $F : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ a left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor. There is a commutative square of ∞ -categories left tensored over \mathcal{V} :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{PLEnv}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathrm{LEnr}}^{\otimes} & \xrightarrow{\bar{F}} & \mathrm{PLEnv}(\mathcal{N})_{\mathrm{LEnr}}^{\otimes} \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes} & \xrightarrow{(F^{\mathrm{op}})_!} & \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes}. \end{array}$$

5.4. Enriched ∞ -categories of enriched functors as an internal hom. Next we prove that the weakly enriched ∞ -categories of enriched functors of Notation 5.9 are in fact pseudo-enriched, enriched ∞ -categories, respectively, under reasonable conditions (Theorem 5.51), and identify such as the internal hom for the tensor product of enriched ∞ -categories (Theorem 5.61).

Theorem 5.51. (1) *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}$ be a small ∞ -operad, $(\mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}, \mathbb{T})$ a small localization pair, $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ a small weakly left enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a small right \mathbb{T} -enriched ∞ -category. Then $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ is right \mathbb{T} -enriched.*

(2) *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}$ be an ∞ -operad, $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ a small weakly left enriched ∞ -category, $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a right enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}$ an ∞ -operad that exhibits \mathcal{W} as right enriched in \mathcal{W} such that \mathcal{W} admits small limits and for every $W \in \mathcal{W}$ the functor $\mathrm{RMor}_{\mathcal{W}}(W, -) : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ preserves small limits. Then $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ is a right enriched ∞ -category.*

Proof. (1): The \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} -enriched embedding $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{BEnv}}(\mathcal{N})_{\mathbb{T}}$ induces a right \mathcal{W} -enriched embedding

$$\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \widehat{\mathrm{BEnv}}(\mathcal{N})_{\mathbb{T}})^{\otimes}.$$

Since $\mathcal{N}'^{\otimes} := \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times_{\mathrm{PEnv}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes}} \widehat{\mathrm{BEnv}}(\mathcal{N})_{\mathbb{T}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times \mathbb{T}^{-1} \mathrm{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$ is a presentably right tensored ∞ -category, by Corollary 5.14 and Lemma 2.52 also $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}')^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{-1} \mathrm{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$ is a presentably right tensored ∞ -category and so a right enriched ∞ -category. Thus by Proposition 4.30 the pullback $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \times_{\mathbb{T}^{-1} \mathrm{PEnv}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}} \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}')^{\otimes} \simeq \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \widehat{\mathrm{BEnv}}(\mathcal{N})_{\mathbb{T}})^{\otimes}$ is a right \mathbb{T} -enriched ∞ -category, where the equivalence is by Remark 5.12. Thus also $\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ is a right \mathbb{T} -enriched ∞ -category.

(2): By Proposition 4.30 the weakly left enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ is the pullback of a unique left enriched ∞ -category $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{PEnv}}(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$. The following right $\widehat{\mathrm{PEnv}}(\mathcal{W})$ -enriched functor is an

equivalence since it is linear by Corollary 5.14 and induces an equivalence on underlying ∞ -categories by Proposition 4.30:

$$\Theta^{\otimes} := \text{EnrFun}_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \emptyset}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}, \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N}))^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N}))^{\otimes}.$$

We obtain a right \mathcal{W} -enriched embedding:

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \subset \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N}))^{\otimes} \simeq \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \times_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}} \Theta^{\otimes}.$$

By Corollary 5.14 and Lemma 2.52 the weakly right enriched ∞ -category $\Theta^{\otimes} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$ is a presentably right tensored ∞ -category and so exhibits Θ as right $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -enriched. To prove (2) it is enough to see that for any $G, H \in \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ the morphism object $\text{RMor}_{\Theta}(\iota \circ G, \iota \circ H) \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ belongs to \mathcal{W} , where $\iota : \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})^{\otimes}$ is the canonical embedding.

The following right $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -enriched functor is an equivalence since it is linear by Corollary 5.14 and induces an equivalence on underlying ∞ -categories by Corollary 4.36:

$$\Theta^{\otimes} = \text{EnrFun}_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \emptyset}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}, \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N}))^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \emptyset}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}, \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N}))^{\otimes}.$$

By Remark 5.19 the linear small colimits preserving embedding $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})^{\otimes}$ induces a right $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -linear small colimits preserving embedding

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta'^{\otimes} &:= \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \emptyset}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N}))^{\otimes} \subset \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{V}), \emptyset}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}, \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N}))^{\otimes} \\ &\simeq \mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes} \times_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}} \Theta^{\otimes}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Lambda \subset \Theta$ be the full subcategory of all $G \in \Theta$ such that for every $H \in \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ the morphism object $\text{RMor}_{\Theta}(G, \iota \circ H) \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ belongs to \mathcal{W} . We will prove that $\Theta' \subset \Lambda$. We prove first that Λ is closed in Θ under small colimits. This follows from the fact that the functor $\text{RMor}_{\Theta}(-, -) : \Theta^{\text{op}} \times \Theta \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ preserves limits component-wise and that \mathcal{W} is closed in $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ under small limits since \mathcal{W} admits small limits and both functors $\mathcal{W} \subset \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}), \text{Env}(\mathcal{W}) \subset \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ preserve arbitrary limits: the second functor does since it is the Yoneda-embedding, the first functor does because for every W_1, \dots, W_m for $m \geq 0$ the functor $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{W}}(W_1, \dots, W_m, -) : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ preserves limits as it factors as $\mathcal{W}(W_m, -) \circ \text{RMor}_{\mathcal{W}}(W_{m-1}, -) \circ \dots \circ \text{RMor}_{\mathcal{W}}(W_1, -) : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}$. Thus Λ is closed in Θ under small colimits.

By Theorem 5.22 for every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ there is a $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -enriched adjunction $\mathcal{F}_X : \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightleftarrows \Theta^{\otimes} : \text{ev}_X$, where the right adjoint evaluates at X and the left adjoints sends $Z \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})$ to $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, -) \otimes Z$. Since \mathcal{M} is locally small and the enriched embedding $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})^{\otimes}$ is linear, the latter adjunction restricts to a $\mathcal{P}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})$ -enriched adjunction $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightleftarrows \Theta'^{\otimes}$, where the right adjoint evaluates at X . Since \mathcal{M} is small, by Corollary 5.23 the ∞ -category Θ' is generated under small colimits by the objects $\mathcal{F}_X(Z)$ for $X \in \mathcal{M}, Z \in \mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})$. Because $\mathcal{P}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})$ is by definition generated under small colimits by $\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})$, the ∞ -category Θ' is generated under small colimits by the objects $\mathcal{F}_X(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes Z \otimes W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m)$ for $X \in \mathcal{M}, Z \in \mathcal{N}, V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$. Since the embedding $\Theta' \subset \Theta$ preserves small colimits, Θ' is the smallest full subcategory of Θ closed under small colimits containing the objects $\mathcal{F}_X(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes Z \otimes W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m)$ for $X \in \mathcal{M}, Z \in \mathcal{N}, V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$. Consequently, $\Theta' \subset \Lambda$ if these objects belong to Λ , i.e. for every $X \in \mathcal{M}, Z \in \mathcal{N}, V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$ for $n, m \geq 0$ the morphism object

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{RMor}_{\Theta}(\mathcal{F}_X(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes Z \otimes W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m), \iota \circ H) \simeq \\ &\text{RMor}_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes Z \otimes W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m, H(X)) \end{aligned}$$

belongs to \mathcal{W} . We first reduce to the case that $m = 0$. For that we note that the canonical embedding $j : \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes}$ preserves right morphism objects since \mathcal{W} is right enriched in itself: for every $W_1, \dots, W_m, X, Y \in \mathcal{W}$ for $m \geq 0$ the induced map

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})(j(W_1) \otimes \dots \otimes j(W_m), j(\text{RMor}_{\mathcal{W}}(X, Y))) \rightarrow$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})(j(W_1) \otimes \dots \otimes j(W_m), \text{RMor}_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(j(X), j(Y)))$$

identifies with the canonical equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mul}_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(j(W_1), \dots, j(W_m); j(\text{RMor}_{\mathcal{W}}(X, Y))) &\simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{W}}(W_1, \dots, W_m; \text{RMor}_{\mathcal{W}}(X, Y)) \\ &\simeq \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{W}}(W_1, \dots, W_m, X; Y) \simeq \text{Mul}_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(j(W_1), \dots, j(W_m), j(X); j(Y)). \end{aligned}$$

The morphism object $\text{RMor}_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes Z \otimes W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m, H(X))$ is the image of

$$\text{RMor}_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes \iota(Z), H(X))$$

under the functor

$$\text{RMor}_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(j(W_m), -) \circ \dots \circ \text{RMor}_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})}(j(W_1), -) : \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W}).$$

Since $j : \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{Env}(\mathcal{W})^\otimes$ preserves right morphism objects, we can assume that $m = 0$. In this case we like to see that $\text{RMor}_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes Z, H(X))$ belongs to \mathcal{W} . This follows from the following equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RMor}_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes Z, H(X)) &\simeq \text{RMulMor}_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}\text{BEnv}(\mathcal{N})}(V_1, \dots, V_n, Z, H(X)) \\ &\simeq \text{RMulMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(V_1, \dots, V_n, Z, H(X)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 5.52. *Let κ be a regular cardinal, $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ an ∞ -operad, $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with κ -small colimits, $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ a weakly left enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a right κ -enriched ∞ -category. Then $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \varnothing}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ is right κ -enriched.*

Corollary 5.53. *Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be small monoidal ∞ -categories. The functor*

$$\mathcal{V}\text{PLEnr}_{\varnothing} \times_{\varnothing} \mathcal{P}\text{REnr}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{PBEnr}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes) \mapsto \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times \mathcal{W}^\otimes$$

admits component-wise right adjoints.

Construction 5.54. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be monoidal ∞ -categories and $\mu : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a monoidal functor. By Proposition 4.74 the pullback $\mu^*(\mathcal{N})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ along μ is the pullback of a unique bi-pseudo-enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{N}_\mu^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times (\mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}})^\otimes$. Let

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\mu^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes \times (\mathcal{V}^{\text{rev}})^\otimes$$

be the corresponding bi-pseudo-enriched ∞ -category via Notation 2.60.

Remark 5.55. If for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$ the functor $\mu(V, -) : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ admits a right adjoint Γ_V and $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{N} as right enriched in \mathcal{W} , then $\mathcal{N}_\mu^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times (\mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}})^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{N} as right enriched in \mathcal{W}^{rev} , where the right multi-morphism object for $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}, X, Y \in \mathcal{N}$ is

$$\text{RMulMor}_{\mathcal{N}_\mu}(V_1, \dots, V_n, X, Y) = \Gamma_{V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n}(\text{RMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(X, Y)).$$

If for every $W \in \mathcal{W}$ the functor $\mu(-, W) : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ admits a right adjoint Ξ_W and $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{N} as right enriched in \mathcal{W} , then $\mathcal{N}_\mu^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times (\mathcal{W}^{\text{rev}})^\otimes$ exhibits \mathcal{N} as left enriched in \mathcal{V} , where the left multi-morphism object for $W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{W}, X, Y \in \mathcal{N}$ is

$$\text{LMulMor}_{\mathcal{N}_\mu}(X, W_1, \dots, W_m, Y) = \Xi_{W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m}(\text{RMor}_{\mathcal{N}}(X, Y)).$$

Notation 5.56. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be monoidal ∞ -categories and $\mu : \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ a monoidal functor. Let $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be a left pseudo-enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ weakly left enriched ∞ -categories.

(1) Let

$$\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$$

be the weakly left enriched ∞ -category corresponding to the weakly right enriched ∞ -category

$$\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}_{\mu})^{\otimes} \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{rev}})^{\otimes}.$$

(2) Let

$$\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$$

be the weakly left enriched ∞ -category corresponding to the weakly right enriched ∞ -category

$$\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{W}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{O}, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mu})^{\otimes} \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{rev}})^{\otimes}.$$

Theorem 5.51 has the following consequences:

Corollary 5.57. *Let κ be a small regular cardinal, $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}$ monoidal ∞ -categories compatible with κ -small colimits and $\mu : \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times_{\mathrm{Ass}} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a monoidal functor preserving κ -small colimits component-wise. Let $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a left κ -enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ weakly left enriched ∞ -categories. The following weakly left enriched ∞ -categories are left κ -enriched:*

$$\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}, \quad \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}.$$

Corollary 5.58. *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}$ be monoidal ∞ -categories, $\mu : \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times_{\mathrm{Ass}} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a monoidal functor, $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ small weakly left enriched ∞ -categories and $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a left enriched ∞ -category.*

(1) *If \mathcal{W} admits small limits, the monoidal structure on \mathcal{W} is closed and for every $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}$ the functor $\mu(\mathcal{V}, -) : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ admits a right adjoint, the weakly left enriched ∞ -category*

$$\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$$

is a left enriched ∞ -category.

(2) *If \mathcal{V} admits small limits, the monoidal structure on \mathcal{V} is closed and for every $\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{W}$ the functor $\mu(-, \mathcal{W}) : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ admits a right adjoint, the weakly left enriched ∞ -category*

$$\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$$

is a left enriched ∞ -category.

Proposition 5.59. *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}$ be monoidal ∞ -categories and $\mu : \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \times_{\mathrm{Ass}} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ a monoidal functor. Let $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be a left pseudo-enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ weakly left enriched ∞ -categories. There are canonical equivalences*

$$\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{W}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{O}, \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})) \simeq \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{W}, \emptyset}(\mu_!(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{O}), \mathcal{N}) \simeq \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N})).$$

Proof. There is a canonical equivalence

$$\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{W}, \emptyset}(\mu_!(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{O}), \mathcal{N}) \simeq \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{O}, \mu^*(\mathcal{N})) \simeq \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{rev}}}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{rev}}, \mathcal{N}_{\mu}).$$

There are canonical equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{W}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{O}, \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})) &\simeq \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{rev}}}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{rev}}, \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}_{\mu})) \simeq \\ &\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{rev}}}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{rev}}, \mathcal{N}_{\mu}), \\ \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N})) &\simeq \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{rev}}}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{rev}}, \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{W}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{O}, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mu})) \simeq \\ &\mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{rev}}}(\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{rev}}, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mu}) \simeq \mathrm{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{rev}}}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{rev}}, \mathcal{N}_{\mu}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Notation 5.60. Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}$ be a monoid in the ∞ -category Mon corresponding to a braided monoidal ∞ -category and $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}$ a monoidal ∞ -category equipped with a left action of $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}$ in Mon . Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes}$ be small left enriched ∞ -categories.

- (1) Let $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be the transfer of enrichment of the left $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ -enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ along the monoidal tensor product functor $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} \mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$.
- (2) Let $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be the transfer of enrichment of the left $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ -enriched ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ along the left action functor $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \times_{\text{Ass}} \mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$.

By [3], [8, Corollary 5.7.12.] for every braided monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{V} and monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ with a left \mathcal{V} -action the ∞ -category ${}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{LEnr}$ carries a monoidal structure and ${}_{\mathcal{W}}\text{LEnr}$ is left tensored over ${}_{\mathcal{V}}\text{LEnr}$. The tensor product and left action are defined as in Notation 5.60.

Theorem 5.61. *Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a closed braided monoidal ∞ -category and $\mathcal{W}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ a closed monoidal ∞ -category equipped with a left action of $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ such that \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} admit small limits and the tensor product $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and left action $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ admit component-wise right adjoints.*

- (1) *Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be small left enriched ∞ -categories. The small weakly left enriched ∞ -categories $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ are left enriched ∞ -categories and there are canonical equivalences*

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{O}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})) \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{W}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N}) \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N})).$$

- (2) *Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes$ be small left enriched ∞ -categories. The small weakly left enriched ∞ -categories $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\otimes, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ are left enriched ∞ -categories and there are canonical equivalences*

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{W}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{O}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})) \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{W}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N}) \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N})).$$

Every monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ compatible with small colimits carries a canonical left action in ccMon of the ∞ -category \mathcal{S} of small spaces equipped with the cartesian structure. The left action functor is the canonical monoidal functor $\mu : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{V}$.

Corollary 5.62. *Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a presentably monoidal ∞ -category. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be left \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -categories and $\mathbf{K} \in \text{Cat}_\infty$. There is a canonical equivalence*

$$\text{Fun}(\mathbf{K}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})) \simeq \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mu_!(\mathbf{K} \times \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}).$$

Proof. By Corollary 4.33 (3) the forgetful functor ${}_{\mathcal{S}}\text{LEnr}_{\emptyset} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ is an equivalence. Let $\mathbf{K}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\times$ be the unique left enriched ∞ -category whose underlying ∞ -category is \mathbf{K} .

By Proposition 5.59 and Corollary 4.33 there is a canonical equivalence

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mu_!(\mathbf{K} \times \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}) \simeq \text{EnrFuns}_{\mathcal{S}, \emptyset}(\mathbf{K}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})) \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathbf{K}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})).$$

□

5.5. Enriched profunctors.

Definition 5.63. Let $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ be a monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits and $\mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{D}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ right \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -categories. A \mathcal{V} -enriched profunctor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} -enriched functor $(\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \times \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$.

Remark 5.64. Haugseng [6] defines enriched bimodules, another model for enriched profunctors. Hinich [14, 8.1.] defines enriched profunctors under the name enriched correspondences and identifies such with enriched functors to the interval [14, Proposition 8.3.2.].

Remark 5.65. Let $\mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{D}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be right enriched ∞ -categories. A \mathcal{V} -enriched profunctor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ corresponds to a right \mathcal{V} -enriched functor $\mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes$, which corresponds to a right \mathcal{V} -linear small colimits preserving functor $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes$ by Theorem 5.49.

Example 5.66. Let $\mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be a right enriched ∞ -category. The \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} -enriched morphism object functor $\text{LMor} : (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^\otimes \times \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ of Notation 5.36 is a \mathcal{V} -enriched profunctor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, which corresponds to the identity of $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes$ and to the right \mathcal{V} -enriched Yoneda-embedding $\mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes$.

Example 5.67. Let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be a right tensored ∞ -category compatible with small colimits and A, B associative algebras in \mathcal{V} . By [16, Theorem 4.8.4.1.] and Corollary 4.52 there is a canonical left \mathcal{V} -enriched equivalence

$$\text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(BA, \mathcal{M})^\otimes \simeq \text{LinFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}(\text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{M})^\otimes \simeq \text{RMod}_A(\mathcal{M})^\otimes.$$

Hence there is a canonical left \mathcal{V} -enriched equivalence $\text{EnrFun}_{\emptyset, \mathcal{V}}(BA, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \simeq \text{RMod}_A(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$ and dually a right \mathcal{V} -enriched equivalence $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(BA^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^\otimes \simeq \text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$.

Thus a \mathcal{V} -enriched profunctor $BA \rightarrow BB$ corresponds to a right \mathcal{V} -linear small colimits preserving functor $\text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{V})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{LMod}_B(\mathcal{V})^\otimes$, which corresponds to an object of $\text{RMod}_A(\text{LMod}_B(\mathcal{V}))$ identified with an A, B -bimodule in \mathcal{V} by Remark 4.83.

Definition 5.68. Let $\mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{D}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{E}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be right enriched ∞ -categories and $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}, G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be \mathcal{V} -enriched profunctors corresponding to right \mathcal{V} -linear small colimits preserving functors $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D})^\otimes, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{E})^\otimes$. The relative tensor product of F and G , denoted by $F \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} G$, is the \mathcal{V} -enriched profunctor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ corresponding to the right \mathcal{V} -linear small colimits preserving functor

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{E})^\otimes.$$

Example 5.69. There are canonical equivalences $F \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{D}} \simeq F$, $\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} G \simeq G$.

Proposition 5.70. Let $\mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{D}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes, \mathcal{E}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ be right enriched ∞ -categories and $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}, G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be \mathcal{V} -enriched profunctors. For every $X \in \mathcal{C}, Y \in \mathcal{E}$ there is a canonical equivalence

$$(F \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} G)(Y, X) \simeq \text{colim}_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} (\text{colim}_{Z_1, \dots, Z_n \in \mathcal{D}} F(Z_1, X) \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{D}}(Z_2, Z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{D}}(Z_n, Z_{n-1}) \otimes G(Y, Z_n)).$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.26 there is a canonical equivalence

$$F(-, X) \simeq \text{colim}_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} (\text{colim}_{Z_1, \dots, Z_n \in \mathcal{D}} F(X, Z_1) \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{D}}(Z_2, Z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{D}}(Z_n, Z_{n-1}) \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{D}}(-, Z_n))$$

in $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ and so a canonical equivalence in $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}, \emptyset}(\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$:

$$\begin{aligned} (F \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} G)(-, X) &\simeq \bar{G}(F(-, X)) \simeq \\ &\text{colim}_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} (\text{colim}_{Z_1, \dots, Z_n \in \mathcal{D}} F(Z_1, X) \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{D}}(Z_2, Z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{D}}(Z_n, Z_{n-1}) \otimes \bar{G}(\text{LMor}_{\mathcal{D}}(-, Z_n))) \\ &\simeq \text{colim}_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} (\text{colim}_{Z_1, \dots, Z_n \in \mathcal{D}} F(Z_1, X) \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{D}}(Z_2, Z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{LMor}_{\mathcal{D}}(Z_n, Z_{n-1}) \otimes G(-, Z_n)). \end{aligned}$$

□

5.6. An end formula for morphism objects of enriched functor ∞ -categories. In the following we describe morphism objects in the enriched ∞ -category of enriched functors as an enriched end (Theorem 5.78).

In the following we will use symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories [16, Definition 2.0.0.7.], which are cocartesian fibrations $\mathcal{V}^\boxtimes \rightarrow \text{Comm}$ to the category Comm of finite pointed sets. There is a canonical functor $\theta : \text{Ass} \rightarrow \text{Comm}, [n] \mapsto \{1, \dots, n, *\}$ [16, Construction 4.1.2.9.] and we write $\mathcal{V}^\boxtimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ for the pullback of a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{V}^\boxtimes \rightarrow \text{Comm}$ along θ , which is a monoidal ∞ -category.

If $\mathcal{V}^\boxtimes \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is the pullback of a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{V}^\boxtimes \rightarrow \text{Comm}$, there is a canonical monoidal equivalence $(\mathcal{V}^{\text{rev}})^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{V}^\otimes$ since θ factors as $\text{Ass} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{op}}} \text{Ass} \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{Comm}$. Consequently, there is no need to distinguish between (weakly) left and right \mathcal{V} -(pseudo)-enriched ∞ -categories, which we therefore call (weakly) \mathcal{V} -(pseudo)-enriched ∞ -categories. Moreover there is no need to distinguish

between left and right morphism objects, which we call morphism objects, and between left and right (co)tensors, which we call (co)tensors.

Notation 5.71. Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Comm}$ be a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category and $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ a right \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category. Let

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{M}} : (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{M})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$$

be the left \mathcal{V} -enriched functor corresponding to the left $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ -enriched functor $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \times_{\text{Ass}} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \otimes_{\mathcal{V}}^*(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$ corresponding to the \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} -enriched functor $\text{RMor}_{\mathcal{M}} : (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ of Notation 5.36.

Definition 5.72. Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Comm}$ be a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{J}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ pseudo-enriched ∞ -categories and $F : (\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{J})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$ a \mathcal{V} -enriched functor.

- The \mathcal{V} -enriched end of F , denoted by $\int_{\mathcal{J}} F$, is the object representing the \mathcal{V} -enriched presheaf

$$\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}, X \mapsto \text{Mor}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{V})}(\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{J}}, (\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, -)) \circ F).$$

- The \mathcal{V} -enriched coend of F , denoted by $\int^{\mathcal{J}} F$, is the object corepresenting the \mathcal{V} -enriched functor

$$\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}, X \mapsto \text{Mor}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})}(\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}}, \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, X) \circ F^{\text{op}}).$$

Remark 5.73. By universal property the \mathcal{V} -enriched end of F is the \mathcal{V} -enriched coend of F^{op} .

Remark 5.74. Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Comm}$ be a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, $\mathcal{J}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ a pseudo-enriched ∞ -category and $F : (\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{J})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ a \mathcal{V} -enriched functor. By Definition 5.72 there is an equivalence

$$\int_{\mathcal{J}} F \simeq \text{Mor}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{V})}(\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{J}}, F).$$

Remark 5.75. Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Comm}$ be a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{J}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ pseudo-enriched ∞ -categories and $F : (\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{J})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$ a \mathcal{V} -enriched functor. A \mathcal{V} -enriched functor $\phi : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ that admits a \mathcal{V} -enriched right adjoint γ preserves \mathcal{V} -enriched coends: the canonical morphism $\int^{\mathcal{J}} \phi \circ F \rightarrow \phi(\int^{\mathcal{J}} F)$ is corepresented by the canonical equivalence

$$\text{Mor}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})}(\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}}, \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, \gamma(X)) \circ F^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow \text{Mor}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})}(\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}}, \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{N}}(-, X) \circ \phi^{\text{op}} \circ F^{\text{op}}).$$

Notation 5.76. Let \mathcal{J} be an ∞ -category. Let $q : \text{Tw}(\mathcal{J}) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{J}$ be the left fibration classifying the mapping space functor $\mathcal{J}(-, -) : \mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$.

Lemma 5.77. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\times}$ be an enriched ∞ -category. The \mathcal{S} -enriched end of a \mathcal{S} -enriched functor $F : (\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \times_{\text{Ass}} \mathcal{J}^{\otimes} \simeq (\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{J})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$ is the limit of the functor

$$\text{Tw}(\mathcal{J}) \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{J} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{M}.$$

Proof. For every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{J}$ be the left fibration classifying the functor $\mathcal{M}(X, -) \circ F : \mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. By Remark 5.74 there is a canonical equivalence

$$\mathcal{M}(X, \int_{\mathcal{J}} F) \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{S})(\mathcal{J}(-, -), \mathcal{M}(X, F(-))) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{J}}(\text{Tw}(\mathcal{J}), \mathcal{X}) \simeq$$

$$\text{Fun}_{\text{Tw}(\mathcal{J})}(\text{Tw}(\mathcal{J}), \text{Tw}(\mathcal{J}) \times_{\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{X}) \simeq \lim(\mathcal{M}(X, -) \circ F \circ q) \simeq \mathcal{M}(X, \lim(F \circ q))$$

representing an equivalence $\int_{\mathcal{J}} F \simeq \lim(F \circ q)$. □

Theorem 5.78. Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Comm}$ be a presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ a small enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{J}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ a small weakly enriched ∞ -category. Let $F, G : \mathcal{J}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$ be \mathcal{V} -enriched functors. Then $\text{Mor}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{M})}(F, G)$ is the \mathcal{V} -enriched end $\int_{\mathcal{J}} \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{M}} \circ (F^{\text{op}} \otimes G)$.

Proof. We use Remark 5.74. There is a chain of equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Mor}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{V})}(\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{J}}, \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{M}} \circ (\text{F}^{\text{op}} \otimes \text{G})) \simeq \\ & \text{Mor}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))}(\rho_{\mathcal{J}}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\text{F}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}) \circ \rho_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \text{G}) \simeq \\ & \text{Mor}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))}(\text{F}! \circ \rho_{\mathcal{J}}, \rho_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \text{G}) \simeq \\ & \text{Mor}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}, \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))}(\rho_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \text{F}, \rho_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \text{G}) \simeq \text{Mor}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{M})}(\text{F}, \text{G}). \end{aligned}$$

The first equivalence is by definition of the \mathcal{V} -enriched functor $\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\text{F}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}) : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes}$ of Notation 5.17 and Notation 5.56. The second equivalence is by adjointness. The third equivalence is by Corollary 5.50. The fourth equivalence holds by Lemma 5.7 and the fact that the enriched Yoneda-embedding is an embedding by Proposition 5.40. \square

Corollary 5.79. *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Comm}$ be a presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, $\mathcal{J}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ a small enriched ∞ -category and $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ an enriched ∞ -category that admits small conical colimits and tensors. Every \mathcal{V} -enriched functor $\text{F} : \mathcal{J}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$ is the \mathcal{V} -enriched coend of the \mathcal{V} -enriched functor*

$$\otimes \circ (\text{F} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}}) : (\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{J}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\text{F} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}}} (\mathcal{M} \otimes \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{V}))^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{M})^{\otimes},$$

where \otimes is the \mathcal{V} -enriched functor

$$(\mathcal{M} \otimes \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{V}))^{\otimes} \simeq (\text{LinFun}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{M}) \otimes \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{V}))^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\circ} \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{M})^{\otimes}.$$

Proof. Let $\text{G} : \mathcal{J}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$ be a \mathcal{V} -enriched functor. By the enriched Yoneda-lemma (Corollary 5.23) the composition

$$(\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{J})^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{(\otimes \circ (\text{F} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}}))^{\text{op}}} (\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{M})^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\text{Mor}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{M})}(-, \text{G})} \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$$

is the \mathcal{V} -enriched functor $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{M}} \circ (\text{F}^{\text{op}} \otimes \text{G})$. Hence by Theorem 5.78 there is a canonical equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Mor}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{M})}(\text{F}, \text{G}) \simeq \text{Mor}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{V})}(\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{J}}, \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{M}} \circ (\text{F}^{\text{op}} \otimes \text{G})) \simeq \\ & \text{Mor}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{V})}(\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{J}}, \text{Mor}_{\text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{M})}(-, \text{G}) \circ (\otimes \circ (\text{F} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}}))^{\text{op}}). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Corollary 5.80. *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Comm}$ be a presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, $\mathcal{J}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ a small \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category, $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ a \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -category that admits small conical colimits and tensors and let $\text{F} : (\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$, $\text{G} : \mathcal{J}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ be \mathcal{V} -enriched functors. Let $\bar{\text{G}} : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ be the unique \mathcal{V} -enriched left adjoint extension $\bar{\text{G}} : \text{EnrFun}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ of G . There is a canonical equivalence in \mathcal{N} :*

$$\bar{\text{G}}(\text{F}) \simeq \int^{\mathcal{J}} \otimes \circ (\text{F} \otimes \text{G}).$$

Proof. By Corollary 5.79 there is a canonical equivalence $\text{F} \simeq \int^{\mathcal{J}} \otimes \circ (\text{F} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{J}})$. Since $\bar{\text{G}}$ is a \mathcal{V} -enriched left adjoint, it preserves \mathcal{V} -enriched coends. Moreover because $\bar{\text{G}}$ preserves tensors, the canonical map $\otimes \circ (\text{F} \otimes (\bar{\text{G}} \circ \iota_{\mathcal{J}})) \rightarrow \bar{\text{G}} \circ (\otimes \circ (\text{F} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{J}}))$ is an equivalence. We obtain a canonical equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\text{G}}(\text{F}) & \simeq \bar{\text{G}}\left(\int^{\mathcal{J}} \otimes \circ (\text{F} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{J}})\right) \simeq \int^{\mathcal{J}} \bar{\text{G}} \circ (\otimes \circ (\text{F} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{J}})) \\ & \simeq \int^{\mathcal{J}} \otimes \circ (\text{F} \otimes (\bar{\text{G}} \circ \iota_{\mathcal{J}})) \simeq \int^{\mathcal{J}} \otimes \circ (\text{F} \otimes \text{G}). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Corollary 5.81. *Let $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Comm}$ be a presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$, $\mathcal{D}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$, $\mathcal{E}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\otimes}$ small \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -categories and F a \mathcal{V} -enriched profunctor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and G a \mathcal{V} -enriched profunctor $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. For every $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $Y \in \mathcal{E}$ there is a canonical equivalence in \mathcal{V} :*

$$(F \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} G)(X, Y) \simeq \int^{\mathcal{J}} \otimes \circ (F(-, X) \otimes G(Y, -)).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Shay Ben-Moshe. Naturality of the ∞ -categorical enriched yoneda embedding. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 228(6):107625, 2024.
- [2] Timothy Campion. The gray tensor product of (∞, n) -categories. *arxiv preprint arXiv: 2311.00205*, 2023.
- [3] David Gepner and Rune Haugseng. Enriched ∞ -categories via non-symmetric ∞ -operads. *Advances in Mathematics*, 279:575 – 716, 2015.
- [4] David Gepner, Rune Haugseng, and Thomas Nikolaus. Lax colimits and free fibrations in ∞ -categories. *Documenta Mathematica*, 22, 01 2015.
- [5] Rune Haugseng. Rectification of enriched ∞ -categories. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 15(4):1931–1982, 2015.
- [6] Rune Haugseng. Bimodules and natural transformations for enriched ∞ -categories. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, 18(1):71–98, 2016.
- [7] Rune Haugseng. ∞ -operads via symmetric sequences. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 301, 05 2022.
- [8] Rune Haugseng. On the tensor product of enriched ∞ -categories. *arxiv preprint arXiv: 2311.13362*, 2023.
- [9] Hadrian Heine. Restricted L_{∞} -algebras. <https://osnadocs.uni-osnabrueck.de/handle/urn:nbn:de:gbv:700-201909201996>.
- [10] Hadrian Heine. An equivalence between enriched ∞ -categories and ∞ -categories with weak action. *Advances in Mathematics*, 417:108941, 2023.
- [11] Hadrian Heine. A monadicity theorem for higher algebraic structures. *arxiv preprint arXiv: 1712.00555*, 2023.
- [12] Hadrian Heine. A topological model for cellular motivic spectra. *arxiv preprint arXiv: 1712.00521*, 2023.
- [13] Hadrian Heine. An equivalence between two models of ∞ -categories of enriched presheaves. *arxiv preprint arXiv: 2306.08115*, 2024.
- [14] Vladimir Hinich. Yoneda lemma for enriched ∞ -categories. *Advances in Mathematics*, 367:107129, 2020.
- [15] Vladimir Hinich. Colimits in enriched ∞ -categories and day convolution. *arxiv preprint arXiv: 2101.09538*, 2021.
- [16] Jacob Lurie. Higher Algebra. available at <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/>.
- [17] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*, volume 170 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.
- [18] Andrew W. Macpherson. The operad that co-represents enrichment. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 23(1):387–401, 2021.