REALIZING LIE GROUPS AS AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF BOUNDED DOMAINS

GEORGE SHABAT AND ALEXANDER TUMANOV

ABSTRACT. We consider a problem whether a given Lie group can be realized as the group of all biholomorphic automorphisms of a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . In an earlier paper of 1990, the authors proved the result for connected *linear* Lie groups. In this paper we give examples of non-linear groups for which the result still holds.

Key words: linear Lie group, biholomorphic automorphism, domain of bounded type.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded domain. H. Cartan [2] proved that the group $\operatorname{Aut}(D)$ of all biholomorphic automorphisms of D is a (real finite dimensional) Lie group. Is the converse true? In other words, which Lie groups can be realized as $\operatorname{Aut}(D)$ for a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$?

Bedford and Dadok [1] and Saerens and Zame [7] proved that every *compact* Lie group can be realized as $\operatorname{Aut}(D)$ for a bounded strongly pseudoconvex domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. On the other hand, Wong [10] and Rosay [6] proved that if $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is bounded, strongly pseudoconvex, and $\operatorname{Aut}(D)$ is *not compact*, then D is biholomorphically equivalent to the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Therefore, if the group is not compact, we cannot expect to realize it as $\operatorname{Aut}(D)$ for a bounded strongly pseudoconvex domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$.

A Lie group is called *linear* if it is isomorphic to a subgroup of a general linear group $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ of all real nonsingular $n \times n$ matrices.

We call a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ a domain of *bounded type* if D is biholomorphically equivalent to a bounded domain.

In an earlier paper [8], we proved that every (possibly non-compact) connected linear Lie group can be realized as $\operatorname{Aut}(D)$, where $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a strongly pseudoconvex domain of bounded type. Winkelmann [9] and Kan [4] proved that every connected (possibly nonlinear) Lie group can be realized as $\operatorname{Aut}(D)$, where D is a complete hyperbolic Stein manifold.

The question whether D can be chosen a *bounded domain* in \mathbb{C}^n has remained open so far.

Recall $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ denotes the group of all real $n \times n$ matrices with determinant 1. We consider connected Lie groups locally isomorphic to $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. Among these groups only $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ itself and $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) := SL(2, \mathbb{R})/\{\pm I\}$ are linear (see [5]). The rest are typical

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 32M18, 22F50.

examples of *non-linear* Lie groups. In particular, $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$, the universal cover of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ is non-linear. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. Let G be a connected Lie group locally isomorphic to $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. Then there exists a strongly pseudoconvex domain D of bounded type in \mathbb{C}^4 such that Aut(D) is isomorphic to G.

In the end of the paper, we give another example of a non-linear group for which a similar result holds.

2. General results

Recall that a group action G : X of a group G on a set X is a mapping $G \times X \to X$, which we denote as $(g, x) \mapsto gx$, such that ex = x and $g_1(g_2x) = (g_1g_2)x$. Here $e \in G$ is the identity.

A group action G: X is *free* (or with no fixed points) if for every $x \in X$, the map $G \to X$, $g \mapsto gx$ is injective.

A group action G : X is *proper* if the mapping $G \times X \to X \times X$, $(g, x) \mapsto (gx, x)$, is proper. Here G is a topological group, X is a topological space, and the action $G \times X \to X$ is continuous.

A group action G: X is holomorphic if for every $g \in G$, the map $x \mapsto gx$ is holomorphic. Here X is a complex manifold.

Proposition 2. [1, 4, 7, 8, 9] Let $G : \Omega$ be a holomorphic group action of a connected Lie group G on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Suppose the action is proper, free, and the orbits are totally real. Then a generic smooth small tubular G-invariant neighborhood D of each orbit is strongly pseudoconvex, and Aut(D) is isomorphic to G.

The proof consists of two steps. In the first step, one proves that every $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(D)$ extends smoothly to the most of the boundary bD. In the second step, using local invariants of CR structure of bD [3], by small perturbations, one can rule out automorphisms other than the ones induced by the action of G.

If G is compact, then D is a bounded strongly pseudoconvex domain, and the smooth extension follows by Fefferman's theorem. In the case that G is not compact, our short paper [8] did not include full details of the first step. The proof was completed in [4, 9].

Let G be a Lie group that can be realized using Proposition 2. We describe a situation in which covering groups also can be realized.

Proposition 3. Let G be a connected Lie group with $\pi_1(G) = \mathbb{Z}$. Let $G : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a holomorphic free proper action with totally real orbits in a domain Ω of bounded type. Let $\phi : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ be a holomorphic function such that $|\phi| > \epsilon$, here $\epsilon > 0$ is constant. Let M be an orbit. Suppose ϕ induces an isomorphism $\phi_* : \pi_1(M) \to \mathbb{Z} = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*)$. Let G_k be a k-sheeted covering group for G, $1 < k \leq \infty$. Then there is a strongly pseudoconvex domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ of bounded type with $\operatorname{Aut}(D) = G_k$.

Proof. Consider the case $k = \infty$. Then $G_{\infty} = \tilde{G}$ is the universal cover of G. Shrinking Ω if necessary, we assume Ω is a small G-invariant neighborhood of M. Then the universal cover $\tilde{\Omega}$ is the graph of $\log \phi$ over Ω .

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \{(z, \log \phi(z)) : z \in \Omega\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1},$$

here all values of the logarithm are used. The action $G : \Omega$ lifts to an action $\tilde{G} : \tilde{\Omega}$. Indeed, let $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$ be represented by a curve $\tilde{g} : [0, 1] \to G$ with $\tilde{g}(0) = e, \tilde{g}(1) = g$. Then we define

$$\tilde{g}(z, \log \phi(z)) = (gz, \log \phi(\tilde{g}z)), \quad \tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}, \quad z \in \Omega,$$

here $\log \phi(\tilde{g}z) = \gamma(1)$ for a continuous curve $\gamma(t) = \log \phi(\tilde{g}(t)z)$ with value $\gamma(0) = \log \phi(z)$ used in the left hand side. With some abuse of notation, we define

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \{(z, w) : z \in \Omega, |w - \log \phi(z)| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1},$$

that is, for each $z \in \Omega$, the set $\{w \in \mathbb{C} : (z, w) \in \tilde{\Omega}\}$ is the union of all unit discs with centers at all values of $\log \phi(z)$. The action $\tilde{G} : \tilde{\Omega}$ extends to $\tilde{G} : \tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}$ as follows.

$$\tilde{g}(z,w) = (gz, w - \log \phi(z) + \log \phi(\tilde{g}z)), \quad \tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}, \quad (z,w) \in \tilde{\Omega}.$$

Here $\log \phi(z)$ is the value satisfying $|w - \log \phi(z)| < 1$, and $\log \phi(\tilde{g}z)$ is the same as above.

Since $|\phi| > \epsilon$, we have $\operatorname{Re}(\log \phi) > \log \epsilon$. Then $\tilde{\Omega}$ is a domain of bounded type.

The action \tilde{G} : $\tilde{\Omega}$ is free, proper, and the orbits are totally real. Hence the conclusion follows by Proposition 2.

For the group G_k with $k < \infty$, the proof goes along the same lines with $\phi^{1/k}$ in place of $\log \phi$. We leave the details to the reader.

3. Proof of main result

We apply the results of the previous section to groups locally isomorphic to $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$. Let $G = PSL(2,\mathbb{R}) := SL(2,\mathbb{R})/\{\pm I\}.$

Let $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z > 0\}$ be the upper half-plane.

Then $G : \mathbb{H}$ by fractional-linear transformations as follows.

$$gz = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \quad g = \pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Define $G: \mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^3$,

$$g(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (gz_1, gz_2, gz_3).$$

On the subset of all triples with distinct components, this action is free, proper, and the orbits are totally real. We now look for a function ϕ for the action $G : \mathbb{H}^3$ to apply Proposition 3. The group G also acts on the complexification $G^c = PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, which is not a domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Fix $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3) \in \mathbb{H}^3$ with distinct components. Define a map

$$\Phi: G^c \to \mathbb{C}^3,$$

$$\Phi: G^c \ni h = \pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto h\zeta = (h\zeta_1, h\zeta_2, h\zeta_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3.$$

The map Φ is holomorphic, injective, and commutes with the actions $G : \mathbb{C}^3$ and $G : G^c$. The map Φ reduces the construction of ϕ to $G^c = PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

We consider $G \subset G^c$ as the orbit of the identity matrix I. We need a holomorphic function $\phi: G^c \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\phi_*: \pi_1(G) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*) = \mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism.

For $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, we preliminary define $\phi(g) = a + ic$. Then $\phi : SO(2, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{T}$ is an isomorphism, here $SO(2, \mathbb{R}) \subset SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is the group of all real orthogonal matrices with

determinant 1, $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the unit circle. Hence $\phi_* : \pi_1(SL(2,\mathbb{R})) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism.

For
$$g = \pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G^c = PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$$
, we can define $\phi(g) = (a+ic)^2$. Then $\phi_* : \pi_1(G) \to \mathbb{Z}$

is again an isomorphism. However, one can see that this function ϕ has zeros in any G-invariant neighborhood of I.

Finally, for
$$g = \pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G^c$$
, we define

$$\phi(g) = \frac{1}{4}((a+d) + i(c-b))^2.$$

This function ϕ coincides with the previous version on the orthogonal group. Then ϕ_* : $\pi_1(G) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is again an isomorphism. This function ϕ is bounded away from 0 on a *G*-invariant neighborhood of *I* according to the following lemma.

Lemma 4. There exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that for every $g \in G$ and $h \in G^c$, $|h - I| < \delta$ implies $|\phi(gh)| > \epsilon$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the lemma for $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ instead of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $\psi(g) = (a+d) + i(c-b)$ instead of ϕ .

We claim that for some small $\epsilon > 0$, if $g \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $|\psi(g)| \leq \epsilon$, then $|\operatorname{Re} g| \leq 2|\operatorname{Im} g|$. Here Re and Im are applied to each entry of g, and $|g|^2 = |a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2$ is the Euclidean norm. We have

$$(a+d) = i(b-c) + \psi, \quad |\psi| \le \epsilon.$$

By squaring both parts and using det g = 1, we obtain

$$a^{2} + b^{2} + c^{2} + d^{2} + 2 = 2i(b - c)\psi + \psi^{2},$$

Re $(a^{2} + b^{2} + c^{2} + d^{2}) + 2 \le 2\epsilon |b - c| + \epsilon^{2},$
|Re $g|^{2} - |\operatorname{Im} g|^{2} + 2 \le 4\epsilon (|\operatorname{Re} g| + |\operatorname{Im} g|) + \epsilon^{2}$

By applying the inequality $4u\epsilon \leq \frac{3}{5}u^2 + \frac{20}{3}\epsilon^2$, we obtain

$$|\operatorname{Re} g|^2 \le 4 |\operatorname{Im} g|^2 + 5\left(\frac{43}{6}\epsilon^2 - 1\right).$$

Hence the claim holds, say for $\epsilon = 1/3$.

We now prove that if for some small constant $\delta > 0$, $g \in G$, $h \in G^c$, $|h - I| < \delta$, then $|\psi(gh)| > \epsilon$. Suppose otherwise $|\psi(gh)| \le \epsilon$. Then by the above claim, $|\operatorname{Re}(gh)| \le 2|\operatorname{Im}(gh)|$. Since $\operatorname{Im} g = 0$, we have $|\operatorname{Im}(gh)| = |\operatorname{Im}(g(h - I))| \le |g|\delta$. We also have $|\operatorname{Re}(gh)| =$

Since $\inf g = 0$, we have $\inf (g(h)) = \inf (g(h - I)) \le |g|0$. We also have $|\operatorname{Ite}(g(h))| = |g + \operatorname{Re}(g(h - I))| \ge |g| - |\operatorname{Re}(g(h - I))| \ge |g|(1 - \delta)$.

Combining the above inequalities, we have $|g|(1-\delta) \leq 2|g|\delta$. Since $g \neq 0$, we get $1-\delta \leq 2\delta$, $\delta \geq 1/3$. Hence, for $\delta < 1/3$, we obtain the desired conclusion.

This lemma concludes the proof of the main result.

4. Example

We give another example of a non-linear Lie group G that can be realized as $\operatorname{Aut}(D)$ for a bounded domain D. Following [5], we introduce G as a quotient of the Heisenberg group \tilde{G} as follows.

$$\tilde{G} = \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \quad H = \{ g \in \tilde{G} : a = b = 0, c \in \mathbb{Z} \}, \quad G = \tilde{G}/H.$$

The group G is non-linear (see [5]). We describe it directly as

$$G = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T},$$

(a, b, c)(x, y, z) = (a + x, b + y, cze^{iay}).

Here \mathbb{T} denotes the unit circle in \mathbb{C} . The group G has the obvious complexification

$$G^c = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^*, \quad \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$$

The group G acts on G^c by left translations. We claim that there is a G-invariant domain Ω of bounded type, and by Proposition 2 there is a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ such that $\operatorname{Aut}(D)$ is isomorphic to G.

Indeed, let $\Omega = GU$,

$$U = \{ (x, y, z) \in G^c : |x| < 1, |y| < 1, |z| < 2 \}.$$

We show that Ω is of bounded type. Let $(u, v, w) \in \Omega$. Then

$$u = a + x, \quad v = b + y, \quad w = cze^{iay}, \quad (a, b, c) \in G, \quad (x, y, z) \in U.$$

Then

$$|\operatorname{Im} u| < 1$$
, $|\operatorname{Im} v| < 1$, $|w| = |z|e^{\operatorname{Re}(iay)} < 2e^{|a|}$.

Since |Im u| < 1, we have $|a| \leq |u| + 1$, hence there exists a constant C > 0 such that $|w| < C|e^{u^2}|$.

Put $w' = w + 2Ce^{u^2}$. By increasing C if necessary, we have

$$|w'| \ge 2C|e^{u^2}| - |w| > C|e^{u^2}| > 1.$$

Then $(u, v, w) \mapsto (u, v, w')$ biholomorphically maps Ω to a domain of bounded type, as desired.

References

- E. Bedford and J. Dadok, Bounded domains with prescribed group of automorphisms. Comment. Math. Helv. 62 (1987), 561–572.
- [2] H. Cartan, Sur les groupes de transformations analytiques. Actualites Sc. et Indus. Hermann, Paris, 1935.
- [3] S. S. Chern and J. K. Moser, Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds. Acta Math. 133 (1974), 219–271.
- [4] S.-J. Kan, Complete hyperbolic Stein manifolds with prescribed automorphism groups. Comment. Math. Helv. 82 (2007), 371–383.
- [5] V. V. Gorbatsevich, A. L. Onishchik, and E. B. Vinberg, Structure of Lie Groups and Lie Algebras. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 41.
- [6] J.-P. Rosay, Sur une caracteristation de la boule parmi les domaines de \mathbb{C}^n par son groupe d'automorphismes. Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble, 29 (1979), 91–97.
- [7] R. Saerens and W. R. Zame, The Isometry Groups of Manifolds and the Automorphism Groups of Domains. Trans. AMS 301 (1987), 413–429.
- [8] G. B. Shabat and A. E. Tumanov, Realization of linear Lie groups by biholomorphic automorphisms. Funct. Anal. Appl. 24 (1991), 255–257.
- [9] J. Winkelmann, Realizing connected Lie groups as automorphism groups of complex manifolds. Comment. Math. Helv. 79 (2004), 285–299.
- [10] B. Wong, Characterization of the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n by its automorphism group. Invent. Math. 41 (1977), 253–257.

RUSSIAN STATE UNIVERSITY FOR THE HUMANITIES, MOSCOW, GSP-3, 125267 RUSSIA *Email address:* george.shabat@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, 1409 WEST GREEN ST., URBANA, IL 61801, U.S.A.

Email address: tumanov@illinois.edu

 $\mathbf{6}$