

Monopole magnetohydrodynamics on a plane: Magnetosonic waves and dynamo instability

Debarghya Banerjee,^{1,2} Roderich Moessner,^{2,3} and Piotr Surówka⁴

¹*Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Göttingen, Friedrich-Hund-Platz 1, 37077 Göttingen, Germany*

²*Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems, Nöthnitzer Straße 38, 01187, Dresden, Germany*

³*Würzburg-Dresden Cluster of Excellence ct.qmat, 01187, Dresden, Germany*

⁴*Institute of Theoretical Physics, Wrocław University of Science and Technology, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland*

(Dated: June 17, 2024)

Condensed matter systems can host emergent ‘vacua’ with particles, fields and dimension different from that of the universe we inhabit. Motivated by the appearance of emergent gauge fields with both electric and magnetic charges, we consider the fate of electromagnetism in two dimensions in such a setting. We find that generically electromagnetic fields are damped due to resistive effects. However, we can still identify a magnetohydrodynamic regime. It exhibits magnetosonic waves which, unlike in $d = 3$, are isotropic, to which there is a contribution from the odd viscosity coefficient present in the system. Further, we find a dynamo action, which unlike in $d = 3$ requires compressibility of the flow.

Emergent gauge fields occur in several branches of topological condensed matter physics [1]. This includes most prominently the quantum Hall Effect [2, 3], both in relation to its bulk and its edge currents, and topological magnets called spin liquids [4–10]. This serves as a motivation for the present work, which is concerned with the dynamics of charges in (emergent) gauge fields in a plane.

Traditionally the motion of charged particles and dynamics of magnetic fields is described by the (3+1)-dimensional equations of magnetohydrodynamics [11, 12]. These equations are relevant particularly in understanding the co-evolution of matter and magnetic fields in the sun and solar winds [13], the earth’s core and geomagnetism [14, 15], and the motion of charged particles in the van Allen radiation belt leading to Aurora-Borealis [16, 17]. One classic aspect of MHD is the presence of Alfvén and magnetosonic waves with anisotropic dispersion relation [11, 18]. Alfvén waves are observed in solar atmospheres [19, 20], cosmic rays [21–23], and have a role to play in tokamak design used in nuclear fusion research [24–26]. Beyond these linear waves, nonlinear aspects of MHD include the freezing of magnetic flux to the flow velocity field known as Alfvén’s flux freezing theorem [11, 27, 28]. The consequent transfer of energy between the velocity field and the electromagnetic field leads to a dynamo action [29–34], in which the kinetic energy of the fluid flow is converted to electromagnetic energy just like a dynamo converts mechanical rotation of a dynamo into electricity. The presence of dynamo action is crucial in maintaining finite magnetic field in a diffusive or resistive environment.

Restricting the dimensionality of the space inhabited by the gauge fields can lead to fundamental differences in their behavior, as already exemplified by the logarithmic rather than $1/r$ potential in $d = 2$ compared to $d = 3$. In addition one of the unique features of condensed matter systems is a possibility to realize not only emergent electric charges but also emergent magnetic charges, also known as magnetic monopoles.

This is in particular the case for quantum spin liquids [10], an interesting, yet elusive, class of systems in two spatial dimensions. These are exotic quantum states, lacking long-range magnetic order even at very low temperatures. The effective field theory describing spin liquids can take the form of an emergent electrodynamics, sourced by monopole op-

erators. Gauge fields in (2+1) dimensions are strongly coupled, which obstructs the application of perturbative methods. (Note that there is a source of confusion as there are emergent particles which may be charged under both Maxwell electromagnetism and the emergent gauge field [35, 36]. A case in point are the magnetic monopoles in spin ice [37], which carry a Maxwell magnetic charge, but are also often referred to as emergent electric charges or spinons. In the following, our treatment and nomenclature refers to the emergent electromagnetism.)

Magnetic monopoles are then expected to emerge in two-dimensional spin liquids [38–40]. These excitations are mobile and in these two dimensional materials act as source of an emergent gauge field to which other magnetic monopoles are in turn subjected.

This therefore calls for an MHD-like hydrodynamic theory which, as we will show, has properties that are distinct from its higher-dimensional counterpart. Here, we propose and analyse hydrodynamic equations describing the dynamics of magnetic monopoles in the presence of a two dimensional gauge field. In particular, we study the linear magnetohydrodynamic regime and show the presence of elementary excitations which are analogous to the magnetosonic waves in magnetohydrodynamics. However, unlike the natural anisotropy present in magnetosonic waves in (3+1) dimensional setup these two-dimensional waves are isotropic. We further show that there can be a novel incarnation of the dynamo instability, different from the usual MHD in that compressibility of the fluid is an essential ingredient.

Equations of motion of electromagnetic fields in (2+1) dimensions, in the presence of both electric and magnetic charges, can be presented in the following form:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 2\pi\rho_e, \quad (1a)$$

$$(\epsilon \cdot \nabla) \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial B}{\partial t}, \quad (1b)$$

$$\epsilon \cdot \nabla B = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \frac{2\pi}{c} \mathbf{J}_e, \quad (1c)$$

where ϵ is the Levi-Civita symbol. The degrees of freedom are a two-component electric field and a (pseudo)scalar magnetic field. This is to be contrasted with the three-dimensional case, in which the electric and magnetic fields are three-dimensional vectors and pseudovectors, respectively. Both Maxwell's equations in (3+1) dimensions and MHD equations in the presence of magnetic monopoles are invariant under the duality transformation [41]

$$\rho_e \rightarrow \rho_m, \quad \rho_m \rightarrow -\rho_e, \quad \mathbf{E}_{3d} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{3d}, \quad \mathbf{B}_{3d} \rightarrow -\mathbf{E}_{3d}. \quad (2)$$

Inspired by this, one may apply an analogous transformation in two dimensions to arrive at

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_m = 2\pi\rho_m, \quad (3a)$$

$$(\epsilon \cdot \nabla) \cdot \mathbf{B}_m = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial E_m}{\partial t}, \quad (3b)$$

$$-\epsilon \cdot \nabla E_m = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_m}{\partial t} + \frac{2\pi}{c} \mathbf{J}_m. \quad (3c)$$

Note that, contrary to three dimensions, ρ_e and ρ_m are not simultaneously present in Maxwell's equations, which means that electric and magnetic charges constitute two decoupled sectors of the duality. Additionally, the presence of duality is not correlated with the specific physical details of the system, whether it contains fields and charges from two sectors of the theory or only one. We see that in the dual formulation, the magnetic field becomes vectorial and electric field becomes a scalar. Since the magnetic and electric charges are decoupled, the original and the dual formulation are completely equivalent. In the original formulation we have electric charges and in the dual formulation we have magnetic charges, which we refer to as monopoles. As a result one can formulate magnetohydrodynamic evolution either in terms of the original variables with vector electric field and scalar magnetic field or using the dual fields. The duality maps original MHD equations into equivalent electrohydrodynamic equations. In what follows we find it convenient to use the dual formulation, still referring to it as MHD.

We now focus on two-dimensional electromagnetism with no electric charge and only magnetic monopoles. These emergent charges are tied to a two-dimensional gauge field. The effective Lorentz force (force density \mathbf{f}) experienced by these emergent monopoles is given by:

$$\mathbf{f} = \rho_m \mathbf{B}_m + \frac{(\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{J}_m) E_m}{c}, \quad (4)$$

where ρ_m is the density of magnetic monopoles, \mathbf{B}_m is the magnetic field experienced by the monopoles, E_m is the pseudo-scalar electric field experienced by the magnetic monopoles, \mathbf{J}_m is the current density due to the motion of monopoles, c is the speed of light in the emergent two-dimensional gauge field. The connection of these coarse-grained variables to microscopic variables is derived in Appendix (I) below. Using the expression of Lorentz force from Eq. 4 we can obtain continuum equations.

We assume a steady-state behaviour of the current due to magnetic monopoles i.e. $d\mathbf{J}_m/dt \rightarrow 0$ which gives us the effective Ohm's law:

$$\mathbf{J}_m = \frac{\chi\rho}{2\pi} \left(\mathbf{B}_m + \frac{1}{c} E_m \epsilon \cdot \mathbf{v} \right), \quad (5)$$

where ρ is the mass density, χ is a parameter characterising the effective conductivity of the monopoles, and \mathbf{v} is the velocity field of the monopole-fluid. The form of \mathbf{J}_m needs to be considered along with the Maxwell's equation :

$$\frac{\partial E_m}{\partial t} = -c \nabla \times \mathbf{B}_m, \quad (6a)$$

$$\mathbf{J}_m \approx -\frac{c}{2\pi} \epsilon \cdot \nabla E_m. \quad (6b)$$

In the above form of Maxwell's equations we have assumed that $\rho_m = 0$, i.e. there is no major charge separation and the system remains neutral at the level of coarse-graining. Further,

$$\frac{1}{c} \left| \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_m}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{1}{\epsilon \cdot \nabla E_m} \right| \approx \frac{|\mathbf{B}_m| l}{E_m ct} \rightarrow 0, \quad (7)$$

which implies that the length scale (l) of fluctuations in E_m is small, the dynamics of \mathbf{B}_m is slow (t is large), and the overall amplitude of fluctuations in \mathbf{B}_m is smaller than the amplitude of fluctuations in E_m . In these units, E_m and \mathbf{B}_m have the same dimension and therefore the ratio mentioned in Eq. 7 is dimensionless.

In this limit we can take a curl of the Ohm's law and use Maxwell's equations from Eq. 6b to obtain an evolution equation for E_m . If we consider the evolution of momentum we obtain an effective Navier-Stokes equation, and considering an effective conservation of mass gives a continuity equation for density. Therefore, the energy due to the field fluctuations is mainly present in the E_m field rather than the \mathbf{B}_m field. This is also reflected in the fact that we obtain a hydrodynamic equation for E_m and not for \mathbf{B}_m . Having established the evolution equations for the gauge fields we supplement them with the evolution equations for the fluid, that take the form of conservation laws

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}, \quad (8a)$$

$$\frac{\partial(\rho\mathbf{v})}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} + \mathbf{f}, \quad (8b)$$

where \mathbf{j} , $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ correspond to currents of density and momentum and \mathbf{f} is the force density defined in Eq. 4. In order to get a closed set of MHD equations we perform the gradient expansion of these quantities in terms of fluid and gauge degrees of freedom

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\rho_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad (9a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \frac{\eta}{\rho_0} \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} + \frac{\eta^o}{\rho_0} \nabla^2 (\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{v}) - \frac{a}{\rho_0} \nabla \rho - \frac{1}{\rho_0 \pi} E_m \nabla E_m, \quad (9b)$$

$$\frac{\partial E_m}{\partial t} = D \nabla^2 E_m - \frac{1}{2\pi} E_m \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad (9c)$$

where we have ignored the advective nonlinearity in the fluid and density evolution equation and furthermore we have assumed that the pressure (p) in the fluid equation can be approximated as $p = p_0 + a(\rho - \rho_0) + \dots$ to leading order in density fluctuations (see App. (I) for details). The parameter η is the shear viscosity, η^o is the odd viscosity [42, 43], D is the diffusivity of the E_m field arising due to resistive effects, and $\rho_0 = \langle \rho \rangle$. The presence of odd viscosity is crucial in the hydrodynamics of two dimensional chiral fluids, such as our planar MHD. Examples of other fluids with odd viscosity in two dimensions include hydrodynamics of classical and quantum states in the presence of magnetic fields and fluids of rotating objects that are most notably realized in active matter [44–51].

For weak fluctuations in E_m around $\langle E_m \rangle = 0$, the Lorentz force drops out from the evolution equation for velocity as it is nonlinear in the fluctuation in E_m . The evolution of E_m then reduces to a linear diffusive equation. However, if $\langle E_m \rangle = E_0$ the Lorentz force term in the velocity evolution equation becomes $E_0 \nabla E_m$ and the evolution equation for E_m has a linear term $E_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$. We can assume solutions of the form $\exp i(\omega t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})$, where ω is the angular frequency of the wave, \mathbf{k} is the wavevector, t is the time, and \mathbf{x} is the spatial coordinate. We find that these waves obey the dispersion relation:

$$\omega = \pm k \sqrt{a + \frac{E_0^2}{2\pi^2 \rho_0} + \frac{\eta^{o2} k^2}{\rho_0^2}}, \quad (10)$$

where $k = |\mathbf{k}|$. In order to derive the above dispersion relation we have ignored the effect of diffusion and shear-viscosity. We use this simplification for the paper but in Appendix B we discuss the effect of finite D and η/ρ_0 . The parameter a effectively gives us the sound speed in the system and the odd viscosity correction appears as expected from hydrodynamic theory modifying the wave speed for large values of k . In the limit of weak coupling to density fluctuations i.e., $a \ll E_0^2/\rho_0$, we obtain waves with phase velocity proportional to E_0 .

This is analogous to the magnetosonic waves observed in the usual MHD picture with the key difference that magnetosonic waves have a velocity proportional to $B_0 f(\theta)$, where B_0 is the mean magnetic field, θ is a polar angle, and $f(\theta)$ is a function of the polar angle. In the usual MHD picture Alfvén and magnetosonic waves are calculated as a fluctuation about a mean magnetic field and since that is a vector this implies choosing a direction along with a mean magnetic field magnitude. Therefore, the polar angle θ appears in the expression for Alfvén and magnetosonic wave velocity. However, in the two dimensional monopolic MHD, the mean electric field is a pseudoscalar and the magnetosonic velocity is isotropic. The computation of the linear theory is available in Appendix (II) and Appendix (III) below.




FIG. 1: The arrows correspond to the velocity field (a) In regions of $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} < 0$ there is a sink and dynamo instability, while in (b) regions of $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} > 0$ there is a source and no dynamo instability. (c) Schematic flow with both source and sink, and the corresponding effect in terms of dynamo action and diffusion.

We now consider the nonlinear term $-E_m \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$ in the evolution equation of E_m . While at the linear level the equation is a diffusion equation, the nonlinear term yields a coupling between the velocity field and E_m . In traditional MHD this type of nonlinear term leads to what is called the dynamo action. In fact this coupling is responsible for sustaining a magnetic field for long times in a resistive environment.

In our two-dimensional set up the dynamo action is connected to the compressibility of the fluid unlike the usual MHD system where dynamo action also takes place in an incompressible fluid, where $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \equiv 0$. In regions of negative $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} < 0$, there is an exponential growth of the E_m field leading to the dynamo instability. This is usually unstable be-

cause of the piling up of mass in these regions. In our case there is a piling up of the E_m , leading to a growth of the local electromagnetic energy at the cost of the kinetic energy of flow. The diffusivity then acts as a mechanism to spread out this concentrated electromagnetic energy.

Beyond the MHD approximation, i.e. when $(\mathbf{B}_m l)/(ctE_m) \sim 1$ (see Eq. 7), we have Maxwell's equation $-\epsilon \cdot \nabla E_m = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_m}{\partial t} + \frac{2\pi}{c} \mathbf{J}_m$. This gives us:

$$\frac{\partial E_m}{\partial t} = D\nabla^2 E_m - \frac{1}{2\pi} E_m \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{2\pi c} \frac{\partial^2 E_m}{\partial t^2}. \quad (11)$$

The above equation gives rise to damped oscillatory solutions for E_m .

Discussion and outlook.— We have developed magnetohydrodynamics of a monopole fluid on a plane. We have employed the electromagnetic duality that changes the role of electric and magnetic fields as well as electric and magnetic charges. We have obtained linear waves which have a wave velocity proportional to the mean electric field E_0 . These wave solutions are similar to the magnetosonic waves observed in the usual MHD picture, however, they are isotropic as opposed to the anisotropic magnetosonic waves in MHD. In addition we have also shown that odd viscosity plays an important role in the dispersion relation. This is a consequence of the symmetry pattern in two dimensions in the presence of magnetic field (see also [42, 43, 52–59]). If we consider the nonlinear effects in the electric field we find a dynamo instability arising in certain regimes of compression. This is different from the usual dynamo action observed in MHD where compressibility of the flow is not a prerequisite for the dynamo action.

What about physical realisations of such physics? It is obviously desirable to establish connection between low en-

ergy magnetohydrodynamic regime and microscopic models of quantum spin liquids. As a starting point, this requires an understanding of the length and time scales underpinning a hydrodynamic regime, and how they might be realised in a quantum spin liquid. There is of course not a single quantum spin liquid, but entire families of models which realise different types of emergent gauge theories. In addition, in actual (potential) materials realisations, their equilibration properties may further depend on ‘extrinsic’ features such as scattering off phonons or impurities.

Regardless of this, already intrinsically, momentum conservation is broken due to umklapp processes and there is no gauge symmetry. However, these two symmetries are fundamental to the hydrodynamic equations used here. While some arguments on the validity of hydrodynamic regime has been presented in Ref. [60], the precise understanding of when hydrodynamics and magnetohydrodynamics work in quantum spin liquids requires a more detailed investigation. Moreover, here we have focused on the simplest $U(1)$ gauge fields. One could generalize our construction to include other gauge groups.

Finally our model is phenomenological. A first-principles derivation of MHD equations is done by means of an unusual type of symmetry dubbed a one-form symmetry. It would be beneficial to formulate and extend our phenomenological model based on principles of symmetry [61–63].

Acknowledgements.— This work was supported in part by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under Grant No. SFB 1143 (Project-ID No. 247310070), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under cluster of excellence ct.qmat (EXC 2147, Project-ID No. 390858490), and the Polish National Science Centre (NCN) Sonata Bis grant 2019/34/E/ST3/00405.

-
- [1] R. Moessner and J. E. Moore, *Topological Phases of Matter* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2021).
- [2] A. Lopez and E. Fradkin, Fractional quantum hall effect and chern-simons gauge theories, *Physical Review B* **44**, 5246 (1991).
- [3] X. G. Wen, Chiral Luttinger liquid and the edge excitations in the fractional quantum Hall states, *Physical Review B* **41**, 12838 (1990).
- [4] P. W. Anderson, Resonating valence bonds: A new kind of insulator?, *Materials Research Bulletin* **8**, 153 (1973).
- [5] X.-G. Wen, Quantum orders and symmetric spin liquids, *Physical Review B* **65**, 165113 (2002).
- [6] L. Balents, Spin liquids in frustrated magnets, *Nature* **464**, 199 (2010).
- [7] C. Castelnovo, R. Moessner, and S. L. Sondhi, Spin ice, fractionalization, and topological order, *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **3**, 35 (2012).
- [8] Y. Zhou, K. Kanoda, and T.-K. Ng, Quantum spin liquid states, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **89**, 025003 (2017).
- [9] C. Broholm, R. J. Cava, S. A. Kivelson, D. G. Nocera, M. R. Norman, and T. Senthil, Quantum spin liquids, *Science* **367**, eaay0668 (2020).
- [10] J. Knolle and R. Moessner, A Field Guide to Spin Liquids, *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **10**, 451 (2019).
- [11] A. Choudhuri, *The Physics of Fluids and Plasmas: An Introduction for Astrophysicists* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998).
- [12] E. M. Lifshitz and L. P. Pitaevskii, *Physical kinetics* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2008).
- [13] M. L. Goldstein, D. A. Roberts, and W. Matthaeus, Magnetohydrodynamic turbulence in the solar wind, *Annual review of astronomy and astrophysics* **33**, 283 (1995).
- [14] P. H. Roberts and E. M. King, On the genesis of the earth's magnetism, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **76**, 096801 (2013).
- [15] P. Roberts and A. Soward, Magnetohydrodynamics of the earth's core, *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* **4**, 117 (1972).
- [16] E. W. Hones, The earth's magnetotail, *Scientific American* **254**, 40 (1986).
- [17] E. M. Blixt, J. Semeter, and N. Ivchenko, Optical flow analysis of the aurora borealis, *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters* **3**, 159 (2006).
- [18] H. Alfvén, Existence of electromagnetic-hydrodynamic waves, *Nature* **150**, 405 (1942).
- [19] K. Murawski and Z. Musielak, Linear alfvén waves in the solar atmosphere, *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **518**, A37 (2010).
- [20] J. V. Hollweg, S. Jackson, and D. Galloway, Alfvén waves in

- the solar atmosphere, *Solar Physics* **75**, 35 (1982).
- [21] T. Thomas and C. Pfrommer, Cosmic-ray hydrodynamics: Alfvén-wave regulated transport of cosmic rays, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **485**, 2977 (2019).
- [22] E. Amato and P. Blasi, Cosmic ray transport in the galaxy: A review, *Advances in Space Research* **62**, 2731 (2018).
- [23] E. Fermi, On the origin of the cosmic radiation, *Phys. Rev.* **75**, 1169 (1949).
- [24] S. Briguglio, L. Chen, J. Dong, G. Fogaccia, R. Santoro, G. Vlad, and F. Zonca, High and low frequency alfvén modes in tokamaks, *Nuclear fusion* **40**, 701 (2000).
- [25] S. Sharapov, B. Alper, H. Berk, D. Borba, B. Breizman, C. Challis, A. Fasoli, N. Hawkes, T. Hender, J. Mailloux, *et al.*, Alfvén wave cascades in a tokamak, *Physics of Plasmas* **9**, 2027 (2002).
- [26] G. Vlad, F. Zonca, and S. Briguglio, Dynamics of alfvén waves in tokamaks, *La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento* (1978-1999) **22**, 1 (1999).
- [27] J. V. Hollweg, Alfvén waves in the solar atmosphere: II: Open and closed magnetic flux tubes, *Solar Physics* **70**, 25 (1981).
- [28] G. L. Eyink, Stochastic flux freezing and magnetic dynamo, *Physical Review E* **83**, 056405 (2011).
- [29] F. Krause and K.-H. Radler, *Mean-Field Magnetohydrodynamics and Dynamo Theory* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2013).
- [30] W. M. Elsasser, Hydromagnetic dynamo theory, *Reviews of modern Physics* **28**, 135 (1956).
- [31] E. Parker, A kinematical theory of turbulent hydromagnetic fields., *Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 138, p. 226 **138**, 226 (1963).
- [32] E. Parker, The hydrodynamics of magnetic nonequilibrium, *Geophysical & Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics* **24**, 79 (1983).
- [33] E. Priest, Magnetohydrodynamics and solar dynamo action, in *The Sun as a Guide to Stellar Physics* (Elsevier, 2019) pp. 239–266.
- [34] F. H. Busse, Magnetohydrodynamics of the earth’s dynamo, *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* **10**, 435 (1978).
- [35] R. Moessner and S. L. Sondhi, Irrational Charge from Topological Order, *Physical Review Letters* **105**, 166401 (2010).
- [36] C. R. Laumann and R. Moessner, Hybrid dyons, inverted lorentz force, and magnetic nernst effect in quantum spin ice, *Physical Review B* **108**, L220402 (2023).
- [37] C. Castelnovo, R. Moessner, and S. L. Sondhi, Magnetic monopoles in spin ice, *Nature* **451**, 42 (2008).
- [38] T. Senthil, A. Vishwanath, L. Balents, S. Sachdev, and M. P. A. Fisher, Deconfined Quantum Critical Points, *Science* **303**, 1490 (2004).
- [39] M. A. Metlitski, M. Hermele, T. Senthil, and M. P. A. Fisher, Monopoles in CP^{N-1} model via the state-operator correspondence, *Physical Review B* **78**, 214418 (2008).
- [40] M. Hermele, Non-Abelian descendant of Abelian duality in a two-dimensional frustrated quantum magnet, *Physical Review B* **79**, 184429 (2009).
- [41] O. Coceal, W. A. Sabra, and S. Thomas, Duality-invariant magnetohydrodynamics and dyons, *Europhysics Letters (EPL)* **35**, 277 (1996).
- [42] J. E. Avron, R. Seiler, and P. G. Zograf, Viscosity of quantum hall fluids, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 697 (1995).
- [43] J. E. Avron, Odd viscosity, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **92**, 543 (1998).
- [44] D. Banerjee, A. Souslov, A. G. Abanov, and V. Vitelli, Odd viscosity in chiral active fluids, *Nature Communications* **8**, 1573 (2017).
- [45] S. Ganeshan and A. G. Abanov, Odd viscosity in two-dimensional incompressible fluids, *Phys. Rev. Fluids* **2**, 094101 (2017).
- [46] A. Souslov, K. Dasbiswas, M. Fruchart, S. Vaikuntanathan, and V. Vitelli, Topological waves in fluids with odd viscosity, *Physical Review Letters* **122**, 128001 (2019).
- [47] D. Banerjee, A. Souslov, and V. Vitelli, Hydrodynamic correlation functions of chiral active fluids, *Physical Review Fluids* **7**, 043301 (2022).
- [48] V. Soni, E. S. Bililign, S. Magkiriadou, S. Sacanna, D. Bartolo, M. J. Shelley, and W. T. Irvine, The odd free surface flows of a colloidal chiral fluid, *Nature physics* **15**, 1188 (2019).
- [49] D. Banerjee, V. Vitelli, F. Jülicher, and P. Surówka, Active viscoelasticity of odd materials, *Physical Review Letters* **126**, 138001 (2021).
- [50] R. Lier, C. Duclut, S. Bo, J. Armas, F. Jülicher, and P. Surówka, Lift force in odd compressible fluids, *Physical Review E* **108**, L023101 (2023).
- [51] Y. Hosaka, R. Golestanian, and A. Vilfan, Lorentz reciprocal theorem in fluids with odd viscosity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 178303 (2023).
- [52] A. G. Abanov, T. Can, and S. Ganeshan, Odd surface waves in two-dimensional incompressible fluids, *SciPost Phys.* **5**, 010 (2018).
- [53] M. Lingam and P. Morrison, The action principle for generalized fluid motion including gyroviscosity, *Physics Letters A* **378**, 3526 (2014).
- [54] M. Lingam, P. J. Morrison, and E. Tassi, Inertial magnetohydrodynamics, *Physics Letters A* **379**, 570 (2015).
- [55] M. Lingam, Hall viscosity: a link between quantum hall systems, plasmas and liquid crystals, *Physics Letters A* **379**, 1425 (2015).
- [56] M. Lingam, P. J. Morrison, and A. Wurm, A class of three-dimensional gyroviscous magnetohydrodynamic models, *Journal of Plasma Physics* **86**, 835860501 (2020).
- [57] A. Lucas and P. Surówka, Phenomenology of nonrelativistic parity-violating hydrodynamics in 2+1 dimensions, *Phys. Rev. E* **90**, 063005 (2014).
- [58] T. Passot and P. Sulem, Collisionless magnetohydrodynamics with gyrokinetic effects, *Physics of Plasmas* **14**, 082502 (2007).
- [59] T. Passot, P. L. Sulem, and E. Tassi, Electron-scale reduced fluid models with gyroviscous effects, *Journal of Plasma Physics* **83**, 715830402 (2017).
- [60] V. B. Bulchandani, B. Hsu, C. P. Herzog, and S. L. Sondhi, Hydrodynamics of quantum spin liquids, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 235412 (2021).
- [61] S. Grozdanov, D. M. Hofman, and N. Iqbal, Generalized global symmetries and dissipative magnetohydrodynamics, *Physical Review D* **95**, 096003 (2017).
- [62] J. Armas and A. Jain, Magnetohydrodynamics as Superfluidity, *Physical Review Letters* **122**, 141603 (2019).
- [63] J. Armas and A. Jain, One-form superfluids & magnetohydrodynamics, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020**, 41 (2020).
- [64] D. R. Nicholson, *Introduction to plasma theory* (Wiley, New York, 1983).
- [65] D. Banerjee, S. S. Ray, G. Sahoo, and R. Pandit, Multiscaling in hall-magnetohydrodynamic turbulence: insights from a shell model, *Physical Review Letters* **111**, 174501 (2013).
- [66] S. K. Yadav, H. Miura, and R. Pandit, Statistical properties of three-dimensional hall magnetohydrodynamics turbulence (2021), [arXiv:2105.13390 \[physics.space-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.13390).
- [67] P. D. Mininni, D. O. Gómez, and S. M. Mahajan, Dynamo action in magnetohydrodynamics and hall-magnetohydrodynamics, *The Astrophysical Journal* **587**, 472 (2003).
- [68] P. D. Mininni, A. Alexakis, and A. Pouquet, Energy transfer in

hall-mhd turbulence: cascades, backscatter, and dynamo action, *Journal of plasma physics* **73**, 377 (2007).

- [69] D. Shaikh and P. Shukla, 3d simulations of fluctuation spectra in the hall-mhd plasma, *Physical Review Letters* **102**, 045004 (2009).
- [70] S. Galtier and E. Buchlin, Multiscale hall-magnetohydrodynamic turbulence in the solar wind, *The Astrophysical Journal* **656**, 560 (2007).
- [71] F. Sahrhoui, S. Galtier, and G. Belmont, On waves in incompressible hall magnetohydrodynamics, *Journal of plasma physics* **73**, 723 (2007).
- [72] R. Meyrand and S. Galtier, Spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking of hall magnetohydrodynamic turbulence, *Physical Review Letters* **109**, 194501 (2012).

I. Appendix A : Kinetic derivation of the dynamics of magnetic monopoles

In this section we derive the general equations that give the time evolution in the dynamics of magnetic monopoles. We choose a kinetic theory model, in analogy with the standard treatment of three-dimensional plasmas [64]. To begin with we need to consider the forces acting on the charges in the two dimensional gauge field. Without loss of generality we can divide the force involved into four parts : The first part is the emergent electric field (\mathbf{E}_e) acting on the electric charge density (ρ_e), the second part consist of the emergent magnetic field (B_e) acting on the emergent electric current density (\mathbf{J}_e), the third part is due to the emergent magnetic field (\mathbf{B}_m) acting on the magnetic charge density (ρ_m), and the fourth part is composed of emergent electric field (E_m) acting on the emergent magnetic current density (\mathbf{J}_m). Together the force density is given by:

$$\mathbf{f} = \rho_e \mathbf{E}_e + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{J}_e) B_e}{c} + \rho_m \mathbf{B}_m + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{J}_m) E_m}{c}, \quad (12)$$

in the above description c is the speed of electromagnetic waves in the medium and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is the two-dimensional Levi-Civita tensor. If we now consider a system with no electric charge or current but finite magnetic charge and current the $\rho_e = 0$ and $\mathbf{J}_e = 0$.

The positive and negative charged magnetic monopoles experience the force described in the above paragraph and undergo collisions. Since positive and negative charges attract each other the dominant collisions are give by the exchange of momentum between positive and negative charged particles. Therefore, the dynamics of the particles are given by:

$$mn_+ \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{v}_+ = n_+ g \left(\mathbf{B}_m + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{v}_+) E_m}{c} \right) + n_+ m C_f (\mathbf{v}_- - \mathbf{v}_+), \quad (13a)$$

$$mn_- \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{v}_- = -n_- g \left(\mathbf{B}_m + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{v}_-) E_m}{c} \right) + n_- m C_f (\mathbf{v}_+ - \mathbf{v}_-), \quad (13b)$$

where, g is the unit magnetic charge of a particle, m is the mass of the particle (we assume the mass of the positive charge m_+ and the mass of the negative charge m_- is same unlike in usual electromagnetism where the positive charge is much more massive than the negative charge), n_+ is the number density of the positive charge, n_- is the number density of the negative charge, \mathbf{v}_+ is the velocity of the positive charge, \mathbf{v}_- is the velocity of the negative charge, and C_f is collision frequency of positive and negative magnetic charges. From the above microscopic description it is possible to define coarse-grained variables as:

$$\mathbf{J}_m = g(n_+ \mathbf{v}_+ - n_- \mathbf{v}_-), \quad (14a)$$

$$\rho \mathbf{v} = m(n_+ \mathbf{v}_+ + n_- \mathbf{v}_-), \quad (14b)$$

$$\rho = m(n_+ + n_-), \quad (14c)$$

$$\rho_m = g(n_+ - n_-). \quad (14d)$$

This gives $n_+ = \rho/(2m) + \rho_m/(2g)$ and $n_- = \rho/(2m) - \rho_m/(2g)$. In terms of the continuum variables we have the following equations:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\rho \mathbf{v}) = \rho_m \mathbf{B}_m + \frac{2}{c} E_m (\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{J}_m), \quad (15a)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{J}_m = \frac{g^2}{m^2} \rho \left(\mathbf{B}_m + \frac{1}{c} E_m \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{v} \right) - 2C_f \mathbf{J}_m. \quad (15b)$$

Here, the last term in the second equation involves an approximation – what we actually get in the term involving collision frequency is $C_f g(n_+ \mathbf{v}_+ - n_- \mathbf{v}_-) + C_f g(n_+ \mathbf{v}_- - n_- \mathbf{v}_+)$ the second part of this term is taken to be \mathbf{J}_m as an approximation only and hence the collision term has $2\mathbf{J}_m$. The first equation gives us the force acting on the fluid and adds to the usual Navier-Stokes equation for fluid dynamics. Steady-state current gives us the effective Ohm's law for this monopole current:

$$\mathbf{J}_m = \frac{g^2 \rho}{2m^2 C_f} \left(\mathbf{B}_m + \frac{1}{c} E_m \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{v} \right). \quad (16)$$

At this stage it is convenient to define parameter $\chi \equiv g^2 \pi / m^2 C_f$ for use later. The first equation obtained from the kinetic description gives the mechanical force and ignoring advective nonlinearities we have:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \frac{\eta}{\rho} \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} + \frac{\eta^o}{\rho} \nabla^2 (\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{v}) - \frac{\nabla p}{\rho} + \frac{\rho_m}{\rho} \mathbf{B}_m + \frac{2}{c\rho} E_m (\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{J}_m), \quad (17)$$

where η is the shear viscosity and η^o is the odd viscosity, p is the hydrostatic pressure. The mass density and the monopole density obeys continuity equations given by:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}), \quad (18a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_m}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_m. \quad (18b)$$

In addition we have the two dimensional Maxwell's equations which are fundamental to the gauge field:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_m = 2\pi\rho_m, \quad (19a)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_m = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial E_m}{\partial t}, \quad (19b)$$

$$-\epsilon \cdot \nabla E_m = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_m}{\partial t} + \frac{2\pi}{c} \mathbf{J}_m. \quad (19c)$$

It is to be noted that the last Maxwell's equation and the continuity of monopole density are effectively the same equations. This can be seen by taking a divergence of the last equation above and using the Gauss's law. Now, we have the complete set of equations obeyed by two dimensional fluid of emergent magnetic monopoles. The presence of finite ρ_m i.e. when $n_+ \neq n_-$ is useful in the study of plasma oscillations like physics. In electronics the dynamics is determined by the motion of electrons and static positive charge. This gives us the gapped longitudinal plasma oscillations. In the presence of odd viscosity the oscillations do not remain exclusively longitudinal and transverse modes develop.

In the limit where $\rho_m = 0$ the equations reduce to:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \frac{\eta}{\rho} \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} + \frac{\eta^o}{\rho} \nabla^2 (\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{v}) - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p + \frac{2}{c\rho} E_m (\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{J}_m), \quad (20a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}), \quad (20b)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_m = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial E_m}{\partial t}, \quad (20c)$$

$$\mathbf{J}_m = \frac{\chi\rho}{2\pi} \left(\mathbf{B}_m + \frac{1}{c} E_m \epsilon \cdot \mathbf{v} \right), \quad (20d)$$

$$-\epsilon \cdot \nabla E_m = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_m}{\partial t} + \frac{2\pi}{c} \mathbf{J}_m. \quad (20e)$$

Now, let's consider the linearized versions of these equations. By linearizing around a mean density and a mean zero electric field (with $\rho_0 \equiv \langle \rho \rangle$, $\langle E_m \rangle = 0$, and $\langle \mathbf{B}_m \rangle \neq 0$), the electromagnetic sector becomes decoupled from the momentum equations. As a result, we are effectively left with exponentially decaying electromagnetic waves and sound waves, which include corrections for odd viscosity in the mechanical sector. In the other case if we linearize about finite mean electric field i.e. $\langle E_m \rangle = E_0 \neq 0$ we obtain coupled equations. We further consider the pressure to be a function of density and write it as $p = \sum_i b_i (\rho - \rho_0)^i$ and retain the leading order as $p = p_0 + a(\rho - \rho_0) + \dots$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_m}{\partial t} = -\chi \mathbf{B}_m - \frac{1}{c} \chi E_0 \epsilon \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad (21a)$$

$$\rho_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} + \eta^o \nabla^2 (\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{v}) - a \nabla \rho + \frac{2}{c} \chi E_0 \left(\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{B}_m + \frac{1}{c} E_0 \mathbf{v} \right), \quad (21b)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\rho_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}. \quad (21c)$$

If we now consider an incompressible fluid i.e. $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$ then the density fluctuations are zero and the velocity field can be reduced to the vortical structures given by the $\nabla \times \mathbf{v}$ which implies that the vorticity evolution is affected by the divergence of magnetic field and the vorticity affects the evolution of the divergence of magnetic field. But the divergence of magnetic field is zero because of the absence of magnetic charge ($\rho_m = 0$). Therefore, we have a simple situation of exponentially damped magnetic field and the velocity field having an exponentially growing vorticity due to the presence of the mean electric field.

II. Appendix B : Hydrodynamic regime and magnetosonic waves

To obtain the hydrodynamic regime we need to approximate the Maxwell's equations:

$$\frac{\partial E_m}{\partial t} = -c \nabla \times \mathbf{B}_m, \quad (22a)$$

$$\mathbf{J}_m \approx -\frac{c}{2\pi} \epsilon \cdot \nabla E_m. \quad (22b)$$

In the above approximation we have considered a slow evolution of the magnetic field. A scaling argument can be constructed to clarify the regime as:

$$\frac{1}{c} \left| \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_m}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{1}{|\epsilon \cdot \nabla E_m|} \right| \approx \frac{|\mathbf{B}_m|}{E_m} \frac{l}{ct}, \quad (23)$$

where l is the typical length scale over which the electric field varies and t is the typical time scale of magnetic field fluctuations. This ratio is small and the approximation of the hydrodynamic regime works when the time scale involved with magnetic field evolution is large and the length scale over which electric field fluctuations vary is small i.e. $l/t \ll c$. As we will see later that the the equations of hydrodynamics involve an equation for E_m this means from a hydrodynamic perspective that E_m fluctuations are the slow variables and not the fluctuations in \mathbf{B}_m this is also reflected in the scaling argument above i.e. $E_m \gg |\mathbf{B}_m|$. The Ohm's Law for this system is:

$$\mathbf{J}_m = \frac{\chi\rho}{2\pi} \left(\mathbf{B}_m + \frac{1}{c} E_m \epsilon \cdot \mathbf{v} \right). \quad (24)$$

Taking a curl and using the Maxwell's equations (with the approximations described above) we get:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{J}_m = -\frac{\chi\rho}{c} \partial_t E_m - \frac{\chi\rho}{2\pi c} E_m \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad (25a)$$

$$-\frac{c}{2\pi}\nabla^2 E_m = -\frac{\chi\rho}{c}\partial_t E_m - \frac{\chi\rho}{2\pi c}E_m\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}. \quad (25b)$$

Here we have the equations describing the dynamics electric field:

$$\frac{\partial E_m}{\partial t} = \frac{c^2}{2\pi\chi\rho}\nabla^2 E_m - \frac{1}{2\pi}E_m\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}. \quad (26)$$

We find that there is an effective diffusion of the fluctuations in electric field and a nonlinear forcing due to the presence of compressible velocity structures. While in MHD there is a turbulent dynamo action this translates to the effective dynamo action in the hydrodynamics of the electric field where there is a spontaneous generation of electric field fluctuations in compression fronts where $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} < 0$. Now the Navier-Stokes equation has the form:

$$\rho_0\frac{\partial\mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \eta\nabla^2\mathbf{v} + \eta^o\nabla^2(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\cdot\mathbf{v}) - a\nabla\rho - \frac{1}{\rho\pi}E_m\nabla E_m \quad (27)$$

We now linearise the system about an electric field E_0 and obtain:

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} = -\rho_0\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} \quad (28a)$$

$$\rho_0\frac{\partial\mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \eta\nabla^2\mathbf{v} + \eta^o\nabla^2(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\cdot\mathbf{v}) - a\nabla\rho - \frac{1}{\pi}E_0\nabla E_m, \quad (28b)$$

$$\omega_{3,4} = \pm k\sqrt{\frac{E_0^2 + 2a\pi^2\rho_0}{2\pi^2\rho_0}} + ik^2\frac{2a\eta\pi^2\rho_0 + E_0^2(\eta + D\rho_0)}{2\rho_0(E_0^2 + 2a\pi^2\rho_0)} \\ \pm k^3\frac{\pi\rho_0(4a^2(\eta^2 - 4\eta^o\eta^2)\pi^4\rho_0^2 + E_0^4(\eta^2 - 4\eta^o\eta^2 - 2D\eta\rho_0 + D^2\rho_0^2) + 4aE_0^2\pi^2\rho_0(\eta^2 - 4\eta^o\eta^2 - D\eta\rho_0 + 2D^2\rho_0^2))}{4\sqrt{2}(\rho_0(E_0^2 + 2a\pi^2\rho_0))^{5/2}} + \mathcal{O}(k^4). \quad (31)$$

In the above expressions $\omega_{1,2,3,4}$ are the expressions for frequency ω .

III. Appendix C : Quasi two-dimensional MHD

The MHD equations that we are going to consider now can be written as Lorentz force in the momentum conservation equation coupled to the Maxwell's equation giving the evolution of the magnetic field. We first consider the Ohm's law in the form:

$$\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = D\mathbf{J} + d_i\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} \quad (32)$$

where, \mathbf{E} is the electric field, \mathbf{v} is the velocity field, \mathbf{B} is the magnetic field, \mathbf{J} is the current density field, D is the resistivity, and d_i is the ion inertial length. The presence of d_i ensures that Hall effect of the electrons in the presence of magnetic field is taken into account in the Ohm's law. The pres-

$$\frac{\partial E_m}{\partial t} = D\nabla^2 E_m - \frac{1}{2\pi}E_0\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}, \quad (28c)$$

where, diffusivity $D = \frac{c^2}{2\pi\chi\rho}$. We obtain dispersion relation (for $\eta = 0$ and $D = 0$):

$$\omega = \pm k\sqrt{a + \frac{E_0^2}{2\pi^2\rho_0} + \frac{\eta^o k^2}{\rho_0^2}}. \quad (29)$$

We find in the above dispersion relation the effect of density fluctuations, odd viscosity, and the mean electric field. This form is analogous to the magnetosonic waves in MHD systems. Now, we perform the same calculations in the limit of nonzero diffusivity and viscosity. Since the expressions become rather cumbersome we expand in powers of k and retain the leading order terms. We obtain diffusive modes:

$$\omega_1 = i\frac{2aD\rho_0\pi^2}{E_0^2 + 2a\pi^2\rho_0}k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^4), \\ \omega_2 = i\frac{\eta}{\rho_0}k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^4), \quad (30)$$

and damped waves given by :

ence of the ion inertial length in these equations make them what is called the Hall MHD equations [65–72]. By taking a curl of the above equation and using the Maxwell's equation $\partial_t\mathbf{B} = -c\nabla\times\mathbf{E}$ we can eliminate the induced electric field and write the equation in terms of \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{J} as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{B} = \nabla\times(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}) - d_i\nabla\times(\mathbf{J}\times\mathbf{B}) - D\nabla\times\mathbf{J}. \quad (33)$$

We now further note that in the dynamics of plasma the time derivative of the electric field has negligible contribution which allows us the use the Maxwell's equation $(4\pi/c)\mathbf{J} = \nabla\times\mathbf{B}$. Finally, the momentum conservation is given by the Navier-Stokes equation where the magnetic field enters as the Lorentz force term:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho\mathbf{v}) + \nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{v}\otimes\mathbf{v}) = \eta\nabla^2\mathbf{v} + \eta^o\nabla^2\mathbf{v}^* - \nabla p + \rho\mathbf{J}\times\mathbf{B}, \quad (34a)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{B} = \nabla\times[(\mathbf{v} - d_i\mathbf{J})\times\mathbf{B}] + D\nabla^2\mathbf{B}, \quad (34b)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho = -\nabla \cdot (\rho\mathbf{v}), \quad (34c)$$

where, ρ is the mass density field, p is the hydrostatic pressure, η is the viscosity, $\mathbf{v}^* = \epsilon \cdot \mathbf{v}$, and ϵ is the two dimensional Levi-Civita. While, writing the above equations we have implicitly assumed a two dimensional problem where we can write an unique odd viscosity with the coefficient η° . Another important thing to do before we can close the equations is to use an equation of state for p . In principle pressure can be a complicated function if temperature, internal energy, density e.t.c. However, we will use a barotropic pressure i.e. pressure depends on density only. This approximation allows us to close the equations without writing additional equations for other variables. Examples of barotropic equation of state are the isothermal equation of state $p = c_1\rho$ and the adiabatic equation of state $p = c_2\rho^\gamma$. We will mention our choice of equation of state in the section below where we use it.

When we are investigating a quasi-two dimensional system then we find that the Hall effect term also gets dropped. To see this let us consider the nature of the term i.e. $\nabla \times (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B})$. Now, \mathbf{J} is directed along the third dimension i.e. along \hat{z} and \mathbf{B} is in the x - y plane. The cross product $\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}$ then lies in the x - y plane and therefore its' curl is directed along the z axis. Therefore, in the ensuing discussion in this paper we drop the Hall effect term. However, if we are considering a plasma which has been effectively two dimensionalised due to the presence of a strong magnetic field in the z direction then the Hall effect remains. Another important simplification can

be done to the induction equation to incorporate the constraint $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$. Since the divergence is zero the curl must be enough to define the magnetic field (modulo gauge fixing). Therefore, the induction equation reduces to:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}J = \nabla \times \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) + D\nabla^2 J \quad (35)$$

where, J is pseudo-scalar variable in two dimensions and defined as $\mathbf{J} = J\hat{z}$.

Let us now consider the above equations linearised about a mean magnetic field given by $b_0\hat{x}$. We then obtain the linear system of equations given by:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{v} = \nu\nabla^2\mathbf{v} + \nu^\circ\nabla^2\mathbf{v}^* - a\nabla\rho + b_0J\hat{y} \quad (36a)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}J = b_0\nabla^2v_y + D\nabla^2J \quad (36b)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho = -\rho_0\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad (36c)$$

where, we have used $\eta/\rho_0 = \nu$ and $\eta^\circ/\rho_0 = \nu^\circ$. Here, we have also used an equation of state such that $\nabla p/\rho = a\nabla\rho$. Also, the velocity coupling in the induction equation reduces to $-b_0k^2v_y$ when written in a Fourier space notation. We can write the equations in the form of matrix equations of the form shown in Eq. 37.

$$-i\omega \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ v_x \\ v_y \\ j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\rho_0k \cos\theta & -i\rho_0k \sin\theta & 0 \\ -iak \cos\theta & -\nu k^2 & -\nu^\circ k^2 & 0 \\ -iak \sin\theta & \nu^\circ k^2 & -\nu k^2 & b_0 \\ 0 & 0 & -b_0k^2 & -Dk^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ v_x \\ v_y \\ j \end{pmatrix} \quad (37)$$

For purpose of simplicity we neglect D and ν in the calcula-

tions of dispersion relation and we obtain dispersion relations given in Eq. 38.

$$\omega = \pm k \left(\frac{b_0^2}{2} + \frac{k^2\nu^{\circ 2}}{2} + \frac{a\rho_0}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{b_0^4 + 2b_0^2k^2\nu^{\circ 2} + (k^2\nu^{\circ 2} + a\rho_0)^2 - 2ab_0^2\rho_0 \cos 2\theta} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (38)$$

The above dispersion relations give the slow and fast magnetosonic waves characteristic of compressible ($\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \neq 0$) ionic plasma with the contribution from odd viscosity. Typically, magnetosonic waves have contribution from the Alfvén waves ($v_a^2 \equiv b_0^2$) and sound ($v_s^2 \equiv a\rho_0$). In the presence of odd

viscosity we find an additional scale dependent velocity which we call $v_o^2 \equiv \nu^{\circ 2}k^2$ which becomes relevant for large wave numbers i.e. small length scales. Note, that θ is a polar angle defined as $\tan\theta = k_y/k_x$ (more generally, $\tan\theta = k_\perp/k_\parallel$).¹ To further simplify the computation we can write the above dispersion relation as given in Eq. 39.

¹ Note that for incompressible fluids i.e. if $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$ both the sound waves

and the odd waves disappear and we are left with only the Alfvén waves.

$$\begin{aligned}
2\frac{\omega^2}{k^2} &= (b_0^2 + k^2\nu^{\sigma 2} + a\rho_0) \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{4ab_0^2\rho_0}{(b_0^2 + k^2\nu^{\sigma 2} + a\rho_0)^2} \cos^2 \theta} \right) \\
&= (v_a^2 + v_o^2 + v_s^2) \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{4v_a^2v_s^2}{(v_a^2 + v_o^2 + v_s^2)^2} \cos^2 \theta} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

In the above dispersion relation if we consider the specific case of longitudinal waves when $\mathbf{k} \parallel b_0\hat{x}$ i.e. $\theta = 0$ we obtain $\omega = \pm k\sqrt{b_0^2 + k^2\nu^{\sigma 2}}$ and $\omega = \pm k\sqrt{a\rho_0}$. Therefore, the longitudinal Alfvén waves are modified in the presence of odd viscosity. In the transverse modes, i.e. $\mathbf{k} \perp b_0\hat{x}$ and $\theta = \pi/2$ we obtain $\omega = \pm k\sqrt{b_0^2 + k^2\nu^{\sigma 2} + a\rho_0}$. In the limit of weak odd viscosity contribution i.e. $(\nu^{\sigma 2}k^2)/b_0^2 \rightarrow 0$ we can ex-

pand the above dispersion relations and obtain the relations $\omega = \pm kb_0(1 + \nu^{\sigma 2}k^2/2b_0^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^4))$ for longitudinal modes and $\omega = \pm k(b_0 + \sqrt{a\rho_0})(1 + \nu^{\sigma 2}k^2/2(b_0^2 + a\rho_0) + \mathcal{O}(k^4))$ for the transverse waves. We find in the above limit the emergence of dispersive waves with $\omega \sim k^3$ corrections due to odd viscosity. Such a (k^3) dispersion is observed in the one dimensional KdV equations.