

"I see it as a wellspring for my positive and upward journey's in life.": Understanding Current Practices of Assistive Technology's Customized Modification in China

KEXIN YANG*, JUNYI WU*, and HAOKUN XIN*, Institute for AI Industry Research, Tsinghua University, China

JIANGTAO GONG[†], Institute for AI Industry Research, Tsinghua University, China




Fig. 1. Current Practices of Assistive Technology's Customized Modification in China.

Due to the significant differences in physical conditions and living environments of people with disabilities, standardized assistive technologies (ATs) often fail to meet their needs. Modified AT, especially DIY (Do It Yourself) ATs, are a popular solution in many high-income countries, but there is a lack of documentation for low- and middle-income areas, especially in China, where the culture of philanthropy is undeveloped. To understand the current situation in this paper, we conducted semi-structured interviews with 10 individuals with disabilities using modified ATs and 10 individuals involved in providing these including family members, standard assistive device manufacturers, and individuals employed for their modification skills, etc. Based on the results of the thematic analysis, we have summarized the general process of modified ATs for people with disabilities in China and the benefits these devices bring. We found that modified ATs not only make the lives of people with disabilities more comfortable and convenient but also bring them confidence, reduce social pressure, and even help them achieve self-realization. Additionally, we summarized the challenges they encountered before, during, and after the modification, including awareness gaps, family resistance, a lack of a business model, and so on. Specifically, we conducted a special case study about the typical business models and challenges currently faced by AT Modification Organizations in China. Our research provides important design foundations and research insights for the future of universal and personalized production of AT.

CCS Concepts: • **Human-centered computing** → **Empirical studies in accessibility**.

*The authors contributed equally to this research.

[†] Corresponding Author

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference acronym 'XX, June 03–05, 2024, Woodstock, NY

© 2024 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/XXXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

Additional Key Words and Phrases: accessibility, assistive technology, DIY, personalized manufacturing

ACM Reference Format:

Kexin Yang, Junyi Wu, Haokun Xin, and Jiangtao Gong. 2024. "I see it as a wellspring for my positive and upward journey in life.": Understanding Current Practices of Assistive Technology's Customized Modification in China. In *Woodstock '24: ACM Symposium on Neural Gaze Detection, June 03–05, 2024, Woodstock, NY*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 41 pages. <https://doi.org/XXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

1 INTRODUCTION

Assistive Technology (AT) plays a crucial role in enabling individuals to perform tasks that would otherwise be impossible or more difficult [64], thereby enhancing their independence and autonomy [99, 101], improving their functionality across various life settings [49], and overall quality of life [27]. However, the specialized nature of AT leads to high development costs, limited market demand, and consequently, steep retail prices [24]. Furthermore, the diverse and evolving needs of individuals with disabilities often render generalized AT solutions inadequate [54]. Modified AT adapts existing ATs to better fit individual needs, often including Do-It-Yourself Assistive Technology (DIY-AT) and customized-AT. Customized-AT, due to its professional requirements, differs from the more accessible and affordable DIY-AT. In regions where research on the applicability of AT is more extensive, there has been a significant emergence of research on a typical way of customized modification, DIY-AT, which refers to the creation and adaptation of AT by non-professionals, including people with disabilities [28, 34, 43, 45, 66]. Coupled with the integration of new technologies, this has led to the establishment of robust, socially supported online platforms and systematic services for DIY-AT [1]. Understanding that modified AT is a way with abundant potential benefits, many resources, services, and technologies have been developed to help people.

An increasing body of research within the domains of Human-Computer Interaction and Computer-Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW) is giving significant attention to the challenges and solutions in designing ATs collaboratively [4, 18, 72, 81], especially the rise of DIY-ATs in low- and middle-income countries. [5, 37]. DIY-ATs enable individuals to engage socially without constantly depending on others for assistance [67]. With a sustainable business model, providing tools and devices tailored to their needs can facilitate communication, access to information, and participation in social activities [74]. Due to the positive impact that AT has on communication and collaboration with others, in-depth research on AT is essential. However, even in economically developed areas (countries with a high Human Development Index (HDI) such as the United States, Canada, and Denmark), the business models for AT are equally challenging due to the small market size and high costs of customization [12, 56, 70].

In China, the current state of DIY-AT remains unclear. Especially due to the influence of traditional attitudes towards disabilities, individuals with disabilities encounter certain difficulties in integrating into society both psychologically and in their daily mobility in china [13, 15]. It is challenging for people to encounter individuals with disabilities in public settings such as workplaces and schools ¹. This backdrop has led to relatively limited and superficial research and technology services for people with disabilities in China [57]. In addition, individuals in low- and middle-income countries have limited access to the most appropriate AT and often lack a comprehensive understanding of its capabilities [30, 63]. DIY-AT serves as a solution to the current challenges faced by people with disability, especially in these countries. According to a survey conducted by the China Disabled Persons' Federation (CDPF) in 2021, over one-third of the country's 82 million individuals with disabilities were identified as having a significant need for suitable ATs ². There is a significant demand and market for AT that meet specific individual requirements and are suitable for personal use. This situation underscores the importance of developing and providing a wide range of ATs that can be adapted or customized to address the diverse needs

¹<https://www.sixthtone.com/news/1001285>

²<https://www.cdpf.org.cn/zwgk/zccx/cjrgk/93a052e1b3d342ed8a059357cabf09ca.htm>

of this population. Nevertheless, the impact and challenges faced by individuals with disabilities in China in the context of DIY-AT are not well understood due to lack of research and the economic situation of persons with disabilities in China. Our research aims to address the following research questions: **1. What are the motivations, specific processes, benefits, and challenges of individuals with disabilities in China engaging in DIY-AT? 2. In the context of China, how do the existing business models for DIY-AT and social networks around people with disabilities for modified ATs operate?**

To address our research questions, we conducted semi-structured interviews with 20 participants, comprising four distinct groups: individuals with disabilities who use and are involved in the modification of ATs; individuals with experience in modifying ATs for others; representatives from third-party modification agencies and non-profit organizations; and personnel from the accessibility departments of the China Disabled Persons' Federations (CDPF). We meticulously analyzed the modification process, the benefits it brings, and the challenges faced by people with disabilities during the modification process. Specifically, we conducted a case study on the current business model for AT modifications for people with disabilities in China. Based on these findings, we discuss the importance of AT modifications for people with disabilities in China, the business model, and have proposed design implications.

Thus, our research contributes in the following ways: i) Detailed motivations and benefits of AT modifications among Chinese people with disabilities; ii) Systematically summarizing of the current process of AT modification in China with adapted the Double Diamond design model framework[20]; iii) Analysis of the challenges faced by persons with disabilities in China during the before, during, and after modification; iv) A specialized case study on the current business models for AT modification in China; v) Based on our findings, proposed suggestions for future AT modifications. Our research offers important insights for government policies to incentivize the AT modification market and for the scaling of AT modifications.

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 DIY and Modification of AT

The needs of people with disabilities for ATs are specific, complex, and changing. Past researches indicate that standard ATs often fail to meet the specific needs of people with disabilities [22, 25, 54]. For instance, cognitive abilities can differ greatly among individuals with the same disability [22]. This diversity in abilities necessitates that ATs be specifically tailored to each individual's unique needs, ensuring these tools effectively enhance their abilities [54]. Individuals with disabilities frequently receive ATs that only approximately meet their needs [22, 24, 31].

In the context of generalized AT, several key factors contribute to its under-utilization and abandonment, such as various life situations [10, 19, 38, 75], social acceptability [40], the high cost of commercial AT [16, 47]. These issues often lead to significant consequences, particularly a high rate of AT abandonment [32, 85]. On an individual level, non-use of a device can lead to decreased functional abilities, reduced freedom and independence, and increased financial burdens [40]. On a service delivery level, device abandonment signifies the ineffective allocation of limited resources by federal, and local government agencies, and other provider organizations [2].

Previous research has argued that the development of technologies and services that enable people to design, make, and adapt their own DIY-AT has the potential to address these challenges [23, 44]. In numerous instances, the economic advantage of DIY-AT becomes evident, allowing individuals to acquire the necessary equipment with significantly lower financial investment[24, 45]. On the other hand, DIY-AT allows customization, providing potential solutions when off-the-shelf products are inadequate.

However, the DIY-AT approach has also shown many issues in past research. Due to the lack of technical expertise and experience, DIY efforts can consume a considerable amount of time without necessarily yielding useful devices [43]. Additionally, the safety and reliability of DIY-AT are often compromised due to the absence

of professional involvement [43]. This highlights the critical need for more structured guidance and support in the DIY-AT process to ensure that the end products are not only innovative but also safe and functional for the end-users.

2.2 Business Model of AT

A viable business model is crucial for sustainable AT services. In the UK, despite significant investment in the health and social care sector, establishing a sustainable business model remains a significant challenge [70]. In low and middle-income countries (LMICs), AT services typically receive less government funding and charitable donations [56]. Additionally, the lack of trained professionals to implement AT service education and maintenance [82], legal standards requiring minimum quality for assistive devices [73], a systematic AT distribution service system [3], and widespread awareness of assistive technology [87] are factors that further limit the development of AT services in these regions. This is also reflected in the lower prevalence of assistive devices. According to WHO data, countries with a high HDI such as the United States, Canada, and Denmark have an AT acquisition rate as high as 87.7%³, while in LMICs, only 5-15% of people with disabilities can access ATs [12].

For individuals with disabilities, there are typically three pathways to access AT. The first is purchasing privately or from others at their own expense, the second is receiving government subsidies, and the third is obtaining assistance from charitable organizations [52]. For individuals with disabilities who purchase assistive devices at their own expense, the high cost of these devices is the first challenge. Even in developed countries like the United States, many assistive devices are expensive, and without full or partial subsidies from third-party sources, many people still cannot afford them [87]. In LMICs, individuals with disabilities find it even harder to afford the high cost of assistive devices [56]. Additionally, policy funding and charitable donations are usually scarcer in LMICs, making it difficult for governments and charitable organizations to provide AT on a large scale. Furthermore, the culture of charity is also less developed in LMICs [56, 82].

Typically, providing assistive technology (AT) for individuals with disabilities is considered a governmental responsibility. However, in many cases, especially in remote areas, due to difficulties in securing government funding, individuals with disabilities often opt to pay out of pocket or seek help from charitable organizations [52, 86]. For charitable organizations, the culture of charity has a significant impact on sources of income. The development of NGOs in Western contexts is more mature, organized, and professional [76], with a strong cultural atmosphere of charity and altruism, such as in American society, where philanthropy is a longstanding tradition [76]. In the United States and other developed countries, charitable activities have become integrated into everyday life. Requests for time or monetary donations are not considered "dirty words" [51]. A study on American NGOs indicates that charitable donations and fundraising are their primary sources of income [17]. However, in emerging economies, the infrastructure for philanthropy is still underdeveloped, including in countries like Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa (BRICS), as well as Mexico, Indonesia, and others [95]. Taking China as an example, in 2015, the Charities Aid Foundation Global Giving Index ranked China 144th out of 145 countries in terms of donations to strangers, time, and money [8].

Research indicates that in 2018, there were 2,562 registered NGOs in China dedicated to disability-related initiatives [96]. NGOs focusing on modified ATs are among these organizations. In recent years, despite the enactment of new laws and regulations such as the "Disability Protection Law," which provides legal support for the expansion of assistive technology services to people with disabilities in China [58], and the "Charity Law," which is a sign of the rapid maturation of China's charitable laws and regulations and has eased the burden of NGO dual registration and established mechanisms for private foundations to raise funds from the public [8], NGOs dedicated to the cause of people with disabilities in China continue to develop further. However, funding remains a significant challenge for many NGOs [92, 94], particularly those that are not government-led. Therefore,

³<https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240049178>

there is a greater need for viable business models for Chinese NGOs dedicated to modified ATs. These models are essential to sustain the public services they provide. However, there has been a lack of research on the specific business models employed by Chinese NGOs in the past. Therefore, this paper aims to investigate and address this gap in our understanding of these NGOs' business models.

2.3 AT Modification Needs in developing countries

Past research has included many open-sharing communities that have shared skills to address potential problems and further encourage DIY-AT [14, 24, 77, 79]. For instance, Thingiverse.com is an online community that supports personalized construction [14]. A significant number of these designs are created by end-users themselves or by their friends and loved ones, gaining additional insights through communication with designers and rehabilitation professionals [14]. Rapid prototyping, as a more tailored approach, is widely used in the United States [42, 88, 90]. However, these emerging communities and technological advancements that could further aid DIY-AT are predominantly found in developed countries [14, 36, 41]. In LMICs, the ability to produce assistive technologies locally may be limited due to the lack of technological innovation and expertise, and the high cost of imported products [87]. Importantly, these technologies are developed without taking into account the real environmental, and social factors that impede the adoption of technologies in resource-poor environments ⁴.

Eighty percent of people with disabilities live in the developing world, and the World Health Organization estimates that only about one in ten individuals in need of AT can access it [71]. In the face of such immense demand, developing countries face numerous challenges in AT, including limited policy management for supply and provision [65], limited training capabilities, limited product supply and implementation [6]. The study in Afghanistan and Uganda revealed the current situation of difficulty in accessing appropriate ATs for persons with disabilities and the lack of economic resources are the biggest factors hindering access to ATs [33, 63]. Therefore, for a large portion of the developing world, providing suitable products for people who need AT is a significant challenge. However, there is currently a lack of empirical research in this area. From 1995 to 2017, only 52 articles were published on knowledge about assistive devices in low- and middle-income countries, and there was little mention of assistive devices other than prosthetics and manual wheelchairs in these articles [12].

Therefore, in our study, we explore the usage and modification of ATs among people with disabilities in China to understand their motivations for modifications, methods, benefits, and challenges.

2.4 Current Public Services for Disabled People in China

As a developing country, China has the largest population of people with disabilities in the world, totaling 85 million ⁵. Of these, only 37.8 million are registered with CDPF and the National Health Commission (NHC) ⁶. According to China's Sixth National Population Census, the three largest categories of disabilities among the Chinese population are physical disabilities (24.72 million people), hearing disabilities (20.54 million people), and visual disabilities (12.63 million people) ⁷. After years of economic development and policy improvement, China has established a preliminary public service system for people with disabilities, significantly improving their quality of life and enhancing their social participation [29], however, many scholars believe that this service system for people with disabilities is inadequate in fully safeguarding their rights and promoting the development of disability affairs [55, 59, 83, 89, 93]. They raised issues such as governmental bureaucracy, insufficient legal and social support, an imperfect talent cultivation system resulting in a lack of professionals, and the immature development of the assistive technology industry. For professionally trained caregivers, China only has 328,000

⁴<https://www.engineeringforchange.org/news/assistive-devices-in-low-income-countries-adaptability-and-recent-innovations/>

⁵<http://factsanddetails.com/china/cat13/sub83/item1906.html>

⁶<https://perma.cc/FX6L-D8UU>

⁷<https://www.cdpf.org.cn/zw/gk/zccx/cjrgk/15e9ac67d7124f3fb4a23b7e2ac739aa.htm>

individuals, compared to a disabled population of over 80 million⁸. Also, China has established a multi-level public service system for people with disabilities that includes a "province-city-county" delivery and distribution network. However, the provision of AT in rural areas and local communities remains underdeveloped [93, 98]. Finally, although China's AT market is rapidly developing and the number of manufacturers is continuously increasing, the supply channels remain limited. There is an oversupply of low-end standardized products, while high-end products are scarce. Moreover, due to varying levels of economic development in different regions, the availability and quality standards of assistive devices also differ, making it difficult for people with disabilities to obtain suitable assistive devices [93]. Additionally, in China, people with disabilities are still hard to spot in public places [15] and the majority of them reside in rural areas rather than urban towns, and the educational level of people with disabilities remains relatively low [57] and only 27% of certified people with disabilities are employed [13].

The AT industry for people with disabilities in China has also made substantial progress over the past 30 years⁹. However, there are also challenges. People with disabilities have a low social awareness of ATs [58]. A 2015 study by the CDPF found that roughly one-third of the population of people with disabilities required ATs [48]. However, another research indicates that only 7% of these individuals have access to such devices [93]. The research also analyzed 138 large rehabilitation assistive enterprises in China and found that the AT industry is fundamentally weak, with an incomplete industrial chain, insufficient variety of AT categories, and low-quality products [93].

Additionally, the dire employment situation for persons with disabilities, along with the extra burden of living with a disability, means that economic hardship remains a characteristic feature among the population of people with disabilities in China [15]. Consequently, the AT market cannot rely solely on pricing mechanisms for development [48].

In addition to commercial enterprises, the provision of ATs for people with disabilities in China is also facilitated through NGOs. NGOs in China can be categorized into two types. The first type is government-led NGOs. The CDPF, established by the Chinese government in 1988, is a representative of this category. Since its inception, the CDPF has been the sole and largest national organization for people with disabilities, with its leadership appointments, funding sources, and decision-making ultimately determined by the government [97]. Therefore, the CDPF functions both as an official organization, fulfilling governmental roles, and as a social entity, providing necessary services to people with disabilities [29].

The other category of NGOs consists of those established by persons with disabilities and their families, or by social entrepreneurs. These NGOs are similar to civil society organizations in a Western context. Due to China's unique political system, social organizations focused on services for people with disabilities find it difficult to obtain funding from the government and are very few in number [97]. Nearly 20 years after the establishment of the CDPF, China's first organization of this type, "One Plus One People with Disabilities' Group", was founded in 2006 [60, 97].

In addition, China's immature charity environment has also led to the funding problem of non-government-led NGOs. Before 1978, the Chinese government held a negative attitude towards the philanthropic sector, leading to the near disappearance of private charity. It was not until the "Regulations on the Management of Foundations" were revised in 2004 that enterprises and individuals were allowed to establish foundations, thereby enabling the development of civil philanthropic activities [26]. A study by the Harvard Kennedy School Ash Center indicated that in 2014, China's charitable donations as a percentage of GDP were only 0.10%, compared to 2% in the United States [21]. However, this landscape is transforming. First, in 2016, a new "Charity Law" eliminated the burden of dual registration for NGOs, established mechanisms for private foundations to raise funds from the public, and

⁸<https://www.cdpc.org.cn/zwgk/zccx/ndsj/zhsjtj/2022zh/8a6c50f56bae42d9b4cad0440ce01931.htm>

⁹https://www.chinadaily.com.cn/regional/2019-07/25/content_37497824.htm

promoted transparency, further improving China's charity environment [92]. Second, the demand for NGOs is increasing. Since the CDPF struggles to comprehensively meet the needs of people with disabilities, in many cities, the branches of the CDPF have begun to reform its service system, specifically by outsourcing services to NGOs, which could represent a fundamental transformation in the service structure for people with disabilities in China [100].

3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Participants

This study employs a qualitative research approach using semi-structured interviews. We recruited 20 participants who were involved in the modification process of ATs. We conducted telephone interviews to understand their motivations, roles, processes, and challenges in the AT modification process following the interview guidelines listed in the Appendix. Interviews took place during time slots when participants had at least one hour of availability. The duration of interviews varied between 50 to 90 minutes, depending on the specific questions and the circumstances of the participants. The interviews were recorded, transcribed, and translated for further analysis. The relevant local ethics review committee approved our study.

The 20 participants of this study fall into four distinct categories: 1) Individuals with disabilities who use modified ATs and have been involved in their modification; 2) Individuals with experience in modifying ATs for others; 3) Representatives from third-party modification agencies or NGOs; and 4) Personnel from the accessibility departments of the CDPF. Participants were categorized into two groups based on their roles in the modification process: Suppliers and Demanders. Suppliers are those who provide modification services or ATs for others, while Demanders are individuals who have their own modification needs and receive such services. Detailed demographic information of all participants is presented in Table 1 and Table 2.




Fig. 2. The modified ATs in our study (The images are provided by participants.): (a) D2's head-controlled wheelchair; (b) D1's homemade prosthetic eye; (c) D3's chin controlled electric wheelchair; (d) D7's pocket tricycle; (e) Toe-controlled electric wheelchair made by S2 for D9; (f) The modified wheelchair backrest S10 installed for his mother; (g) D5's handmade crutch appearance.

Our initial recruitment involved actively contacting individuals who posted about AT modifications on social media and posting recruitment messages in communities for people with disabilities. This was followed by a snowballing technique, where participants recommended other eligible individuals and shared our recruitment

Table 1. Demographic Information about Demaders

Participant	Age	Gender	Family Monthly Income	Types of ATs Involved in Modification	Specific Modification Actions
D1	29	F	Prefer not to say	Prosthetic eye	Prefer not to say
D2	40	M	below 450 US dollars	Electric wheel chair	Modified electric wheelchair controller to be positioned near his head
D3	43	M	below 450 US dollars	Electric wheel	Positioned the controller near the chins, installed a phone holder, a stylus holder, a mobile panoramic camera as a dashcam and wheelchair cushion
D4	59	M	below 450 US dollars	wooden bench	Customized the length, height, and width of the wooden stool, as well as the angle of the legs and adding non-slip features to the bottom
D5	22	M	750 to 1200 US dollars	White cane	Decorated the cane by wrapping it with yarn, covered it with old clothing, and adding stickers for beautification
D6	22	M	750 to 1200 US dollars	Toe straightener	Made rubber toe correctors by using molds
D7	30	M	below 450 US dollars	Toy car for children; electric wheelchair	Replaced the wheels and frame, and added a basket to the car; replaced the battery in the wheelchair
D8	30	F	Prefer not to say	Reading stand	Modified the lid of a cup with a cover to use it as a reading stand
D9	28	M	450 to 750 US dollars	Electric wheelchair	Modified the electric wheelchair to be foot-controlled
D10	50	M	Prefer not to say	White cane	Added a shelf to the crutch to support the buttocks

message within their internal communities. Participants received a compensation of 10 US dollars upon completion of the interview.

Table 2. Demographic Information about Suppliers

Participant	Age	Gender	Occupation	The Roles in AT Customization	Specific Modification Actions
S1	34	M	Accessibility Facility Engineer	Manufacturer	Accessibility Environment Retrofit
S2	38	M	Wheelchair Industry	Manufacturer	Electric Wheelchair Modification
S3	21	M	Electrician	Individual technician	Wheelchair Modification Adding Off-Road Wheels
S4	24	M	Software Engineer	Individual technician	Develop English Learning Software for the Visually Impaired, and Enhance the Non-Visual Desktop Access Screen Reader
S5	41	M	Unemployed	Individual technician	Adding a Front Attachment, Modifying the Seat and Installing a Rear Light on the Wheelchair
S6	20	M	Students	Individual technician	Suggestions for Optimizing the Infrared Cane App
S7	40	M	Designer	Nonprofit Organization	AT Design
S8	33	F	Engineer	Individual technician	AT Customization
S9	43	M	Civil Servant	Government	N/A
S10	30	M	Unemployed	Individual technician	Wheelchair and Toilet Chair Modification

3.2 Procedure

For the participants representing four different stakeholders, we designed specific questions for the interviews.

3.2.1 For Individuals with Disabilities Who Use and Are Involved in the Modification of ATs. We initially inquired about demographic information, their disability status, daily experiences, and experiences with using AT. We then explored the modified ATs they use and inquired about their involvement in the entire modification process. We asked about their motivations for initiating modifications and the physical and psychological impacts of using and initiating these modifications. Additionally, we inquired about their participation in communities for people with disabilities, sources of information and knowledge about ATs, and their expectations for future ATs.

3.2.2 For Individuals with Experience in Modifying ATs for Others. We first collected demographic information. We learned about their relationship with the people with disabilities for whom they modified devices and the specifics of the modified devices. We explored their entire modification process, including preparation, specific actions taken, and receiving feedback. We aimed to understand their motivations for modifying ATs and the impact this activity had on them. We also inquired about their future intentions regarding participation in AT modification.

3.2.3 For Representatives from Third-party Modification Agencies and Non-profit Organizations. We began by asking for demographic information. We sought to understand the structure and situation of their organizations, the services and products they offer, and their revenue models. We inquired about their methods of communication with people with disabilities in need and the specific processes of providing services. We also asked about their motivations for starting the service or organization, challenges faced during operations, the current status and reasons for any adjustments or improvements, and examples of failures or successes. We further inquired about their future outlook for services and ATs.

3.2.4 For Personnel from the Accessibility Departments of the CDPF. We first collected demographic information, followed by a detailed description of their work, the connection between government levels and civil non-profit organizations, and the allocation of financial grants. We inquired about the specific services provided to support individuals with disabilities, methods for understanding the needs of people with disabilities, and the status of AT distribution and modification within government departments. Additionally, we asked about specific challenges encountered in assisting individuals with disabilities and the improvements they hope to achieve in the future.

3.3 Data Analysis

All interviews were conducted in Mandarin by the researcher, whose native language is Chinese. We recorded all interviews with participants and transcribed them. A bottom-up thematic analysis approach [62] was adopted to identify key themes relevant to our main research question. Three researchers independently performed open-coding on the transcripts. The researchers convened meetings to discuss their codes. In instances of conflicting interpretations, they explained the fundamental principles of their codes to each other and discussed how to resolve these conflicts. Ultimately, a consensus was reached, and a consolidated list of codes was formed. Subsequently, themes were identified from the groups of codes. The results presented in the following section are organized based on these themes, and overlapping themes were clustered together for a coherent presentation of the findings.

3.4 Research Ethics

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the authors' institution. Before the experiment, all participants were ensured with informed consent and their right to discontinue the study. Researchers actively assessed the emotional and physical state of participants during the interview process. To preserve participant confidentiality, all personal and confidential information has been anonymized, and the research results presented below have been subjected to de-identification.

4 FINDINGS

4.1 Motivation for Modifying AT

Some of our participants' reports indicate that their motivations behind the modification of ATs are consistent with previous research. For example, D2, S10, and D10 reported that standard ATs often fail to meet the personalized needs of individuals with disabilities [44–46]; D6 reported that modification allows individuals to conceal their disability [46, 80, 84], and D3, S1 also mentioned that modification helps reduce the caregiving burden on caregivers [61, 68, 69]. In addition to the previously mentioned motivations, Our interviews also revealed internal motivations stemming from personal interest and external motivations stimulated by social media:

Personal interest. Some participants view modifying assistive technology as a personal hobby. S10 explained his attitude towards AT modification, saying: *“Well, actually, both of us (S10 and his mom) enjoy tinkering with things in our daily lives. It is a hobby we are interested in. So, we often make small modifications to some things at home. For example, we frequently change the cabinets in our house.”* What's more, D1 explained her passion

for modifying her prosthetic eye as follows: “Because I enjoy creating, I feel it is similar to how some people enjoy photography or painting, except mine is a bit more unique.” Now, D1 is a content creator on the top Chinese video-sharing platform Bilibili¹⁰ with 400,000 followers, and her beautiful prosthetic eye has become her unique advantage (see Fig. 3 (c)).




Fig. 3. The social media about modified AT in our study: (a) D2’s Tiktok account to share modification and rehabilitation knowledge; (b) D9’s Tiktok account to share daily life and modification; (c) D1’s Bilibili account to share daily life and modification; (d) S10’s Tiktok account to share daily life and modification; (e) A WeChat group created by D3 to share daily life and modification.

Stimulated by social media. Additionally, many participants reported that social media inspired their modification behaviors. D2, D5, and D6 mentioned that they were inspired to modify their devices by seeing the DIY possibilities, or others shared their modified ATs on social media. For instance, D2 stated, “I came across a video online of someone using a head-operated electric wheelchair, and it inspired me to modify my device along those lines (see Fig. 2 (a)).” S8 learned about D9 through social media (TikTok¹¹ specifically) and, after communicating with them, customized an electric wheelchair for D9 based on their specific needs, providing it for free.

Overall, we found that the main motivations for Chinese people with disabilities to customize and modify AT currently include meeting personalized needs, concealing their disability, reducing the burden on caregivers, treating modification as a hobby, and inspiration from social media for modification ideas.

4.2 AT Modification Process

From our participants’ report, aside from a minority of people with disabilities who can find relatively professional organizations to help modify AT (this part will be detailed in Section 4.5), most participants still need to undertake AT modifications mainly by themselves or collaboratively, such as family and friends who are capable of modification skills, and technicians willing to provide help. Thus, the modification process often involves social networks around individuals with disabilities. Modifiers include those led by people with disabilities or cohabitants. Due to the lack of a unified workflow in the modification process, we found that based on the results of open coding, users often act as (co-)designers in completing the modification of assistive devices. To better describe this process, we attempted to use the double diamond model in design to outline their workflow. (see

¹⁰<https://www.bilibili.com/>

¹¹<https://www.tiktok.com/en/>




Fig. 4. The modification process (adapted from the Double Diamond design process model [20]).

Fig. 4). It mainly includes the following stages: collecting information, preparing, implementing, testing and prototyping, using, and sharing the modified program.

4.2.1 Information Collecting Stage. In this stage, individuals with disabilities seek modification inspiration from social media and market references, tap into personal and public sources for technical knowledge, and identify capable organizations for AT customization before modification.

Individuals with disabilities or their collaborative modifiers gather inspiration on how to build ideas in various ways before formal modification. They mainly learn their skills from craftspeople who are online bloggers. For example, S10, D6, and D2 watched some of the videos posted by crafty people or other people with disabilities on the SNS (Social Network Services) platform. They find inspiration in these handmade techniques and modification processes. D6 said: “Because some people on the SNS platform have the same symptoms as me, and it is useful for me to see how they go about doing that stuff.”

Furthermore, the participants utilize a diverse array of sources, ranging from social media to professional networks, to gather the necessary inspiration and technical knowledge for effective ATs customization. D1 researched foreign high-tech prosthetic eye information through the Internet and the hospital’s eye-related information literature.

4.2.2 Preparing and Planning Stage. Generally speaking, after collecting enough information, people with disabilities or their collaborative modifiers who conduct modifications will start to prepare materials and plan the draft modification designs. Importantly, in this stage, people with disabilities who live with others, such as their families, need to obtain their cohabitants’ permission.

Most people with disabilities who cooperate in completing modifications would obtain family members' permission. Especially for D3, the importance of family support is deeply felt. He said: *"I showed my family a man driving a wheelchair with his head and said I could do the same since my head functions normally. Being in this field, I am confident in continuously communicating with my family when they are receptive."* S1 also pointed out that family member's support was important, He said that some of the family was dissatisfied with the renovated facilities, as the addition of a handrail clashed with their decor style and was not aesthetically pleasing, leading to a redo.

After obtaining support from their family, some people with disabilities purchase ready-made materials or collect discarded materials within their living circles. D6, D7, and D8 purchased materials from online platforms. D2, D5, and D7 have similar experiences in collecting disposal materials. D7 had to collect materials from scrap collection sites since the original wheelchair was no longer for sale. He said: *"I got the skeleton of this car from a scrapyards. Although I can only find this wheelchair on Taobao, its carrying capacity and quality are very poor (see Fig. 2 (d))."* A few people with disabilities will draw a draft before implementing it, such as D7 and D2. D2 completed the drafting of the modification sketches on the phone using a capacitive pen.

This stage is an effective transition from idea to execution for individuals with disabilities and their collaborators. It involves not only collecting materials and drafting plans but also obtaining the support and approval of family members.

4.2.3 Developing Stage. During the installation process of ATs, some people with disabilities can complete it independently, while most participants need to collaborate with others to complete the modifications.

For some simple modifications, capable individuals with disabilities can independently complete the alterations. For example, D8 and D5 did a simple modification to ATs by themselves. D8 attached magnets and magnetic plates to a water bottle lid, allowing a phone to be fixed onto the lid, thus creating a phone stand to help her read. D5 wrapped an item with foam padding, similar to that used in packaging. Additionally, he used yarn for colorful, wrapping in some areas and repurposed an old piece of clothing for extra comfort at the top, near the armpit area (see Fig. 2 (g)).

In the complex collaborative modification process, their family members, friends, and independent technicians mainly handle operations, procure materials, and collaborate with people with disabilities, ensuring physical installation and communication needs are met.

As some people with disabilities are immobile, family members need to be responsible for the communication, installation and material collection. D2 and D10 have modified the controller rod and backrests of their wheelchairs. The installation of the controller rod for D2 was done by family members. D2 also described: *"My dad uses various clamps that I bought online to assemble steel and water pipes."* Including the user's ideas in the design process is a prerequisite for effective modification. S10 mentioned: *"My mother and I communicate frequently, and she also has a lot of ideas. For example, when we need something, which thing can be replaced, and how to get it. After we communicate like this, we will know."* To address the issue of uncomfortable and unstable backrests, S10's mother played a significant role in providing methods to S10. She instructed S10 to remove the cushion from the lower leg area of the wheelchair and sew it onto the backrest which bought on the internet, creating a small hole in the excess part at the back. Then, the high backrest was inserted into it. (see Fig. 2 (f)).

D2, D3, D7, and S10 would look for independent technicians to help with modifications. For example, D7 initially consulted with an electric scooter shop owner and decided to replace the lithium battery in his electric wheelchair with a lead-acid one, which required a new battery box. When the box could not be installed in its original position, D7 worked with a welder to devise a solution. They considered welding an iron bracket to mount the box, but D7 preferred a flexible approach to maintain the wheelchair's foldability. Eventually, the welder proposed using screws as a fixation method. By drilling holes in the bracket and then inserting screws and pins, the battery box was securely mounted on the back of the wheelchair. D3 and D7 found the right technicians

through previous work relationships. For instance, D3 reached out to a former colleague, scheduled a time, and instructed him on how to make the modifications.

People with disabilities either undertake modifications independently or collaborate with their social networks. They often use everyday tools and materials for simple modifications, as well as engage in complex modification processes that involve technical tools and multi-party communication.

4.2.4 Testing and Iterating Stage. Following the completion of the developing stage, individuals with disabilities will start to test the modified AT and often will encounter several issues, including instability of the modified AT Design, material-related issues, and new specific personal needs, leading to subsequent iterations.

Modified ATs can face instability during use. When D2 used his head to control the modified wheelchair outdoors, he found that the controller would become loose due to the bumpy road. To solve this problem, D2 added support rods (see Fig. 2 (a)). D2 visited the store and shared his ideas with the hardware store owner three times, hoping the owner could help him. Ultimately, the shop owner helped resolve the stability issue.

People with disabilities discovered material defects after using modified ATs, so they need to iterate the modification design. For example, D2 discovered the issue of poor fit with his cushion as he tends to slide down easily while sitting in a wheelchair with his deteriorating back and waist muscles, he began to gather information anew and discovered that he could buy the desired cushion online (see Fig. 2 (a)). However, due to the poor durability of the material. Thus, D2 collected information once again and found the car seat cushions from the dismantled car factory to match his needs. His father went to the car scrapyards on his behalf and helped him measure and buy the cushion.

Some people with disabilities often develop further needs after using ATs, preferring additions that enhance functionality, entertainment, safety, noise reduction, and labor-saving. In case of an emergency, D3 wanted to make emergency calls from his mobile phone when he was out on his own, so he fitted a mobile phone holder to his modified wheelchair (see Fig. 2 (c)). Since S10 often takes disabled individuals out and their home lacks an elevator, lifting his mother's wheelchair down the stairs due to her muscle atrophy is strenuous and requires at least two people. To resolve this, S10 undertook environmental modifications by installing a ramp outside their residence.

Throughout this phase, individuals with disabilities actively engage in testing and reworking their modifications, addressing issues related to design stability, material durability, and evolving personal needs.

4.2.5 Using Stage. Some people with disabilities are satisfied with the functions after using the modified ATs, Like S10, D2, D3, D4, D5, and D7. D7 was very satisfied since the modification was completed. He said: *"I have no dissatisfaction, because my little tricycle has been modified for 10 years, and I do not think there is any inconvenience (Fig. 2 (d))."*

When people with disabilities are satisfied with using modified ATs, many of them (D1, D2, D3, D7, D9, S10) would share their modification experience on social platforms, S10 (Fig. 3 (d)) and D3 (Fig. 3 (b e)) share their modification methods and sources of purchasing accessories on social platforms and communities. D1 (Fig. 3 (c)), D2 (Fig. 3 (a)), D7, and D9 shared the ATs they modified for everyday use on social media (Fig. 3 (b)).

Overall, the process of modified AT includes collecting information, preparing and planning, developing, testing and prototyping, and using stages. Throughout this entire process, multiple stakeholders are involved, such as people with disabilities, cohabitants, family, friends, and independent technicians. Modifiers often collaborate closely with multi-stakeholders, each contributing their expertise and ideas to enhance the effectiveness of the AT.

4.3 Benefits from Modified AT

This section focuses on the multidimensional benefits of modifying ATs for people with disabilities. Through in-depth studies, we reveal how modifications enhance not only practicality but also greatly improve the

psychological health and quality of life of users. Therefore, the benefits of modification for ATs not only include improvements in basic needs such as comfort but also enhancements in psychological, social, and self-fulfillment aspects.

4.3.1 Enhancing the Comfort and Independent Living Ability. People with disabilities often endure a lot of discomfort while using their original ATs. Modifying these devices can greatly enhance their comfort and reduce their pain. S10 had a cushion modified and improved her mother's comfort, as she would have endured a lot of discomfort in daily life.

In addition to improving comfort, AT modifications can also increase the independence of people with disabilities by allowing them to independently visit different locations. D2, D3, and D7 all mentioned expanding the scope of activities. After modifying the wheelchair, D7 can not only move freely in the local area but also take the wheelchair to other cities with highspeed rail. D7 said: *"If I go out of town or to any other city, I carry this with me."* D7 also found out how to take his small modified tricycle on a plane to other places. He said, *"When flying, my vehicle needs to be packaged and checked in. I have heard that people with disabilities need to notify the airline in advance if they are bringing a wheelchair; my friends have done it several times."*

Modified AT significantly enhances comfort and independence for people with disabilities, alleviating discomfort and enabling greater mobility.

4.3.2 Reducing the Burden on Caregivers and Improving Family Relationships. The modification of ATs has brought considerable convenience to caregivers and patients, particularly in reducing the workload of caregivers, thus improving the relationship between them. For S10, after his mother underwent surgery in the early stages, she could only urinate and defecate in bed. S10 said, *"Caring for a bedridden patient puts me on constant alert and brings significant difficulties."* S10 welded a frame similar to a toilet seat, allowing his mother to use the restroom alone, which also reduced his caregiving workload and preserved dignity for both parties.

D2 also mentioned that after modifying the wheelchair, he no longer needed family accompaniment, which greatly reduced the hassle for his family (see Fig. 2 (a)). Modified ATs have simplified the routine of daily care and created opportunities for outings together, providing caregivers and recipients with an environment to enhance family relationships.

4.3.3 Shifting Attention Away from the Disability Itself and Alleviating Social Pressure. Some modified personalized ATs can help people with disabilities relieve social pressure, especially those with unique appearances. When people with disabilities are out and about, the attention of those around them is diverted from the person with a disability to their unique, personalized ATs. Therefore, these unique ATs serve not only functional purposes but also act as tools to shield against societal pressures. Previously, D5 felt timid when going out, afraid of other people's stares. He told us:

"I went out with my modified colorful crutches. People first noticed my crutches, not my body, shifting their attention to the device. It is like the device shields me from negative remarks (see Fig. 2 (g)). Some passersby would compliment me, and I would feel a bit proud."

Additionally, the modified ATs widen the activity range for people with disabilities and offer them opportunities to engage with the outside world, thereby helping them to gradually overcome social anxiety. D2 shared that he overcame his social fears and alleviated his sense of social disconnection by using a modified wheelchair, gradually increasing the frequency of going out, and eventually eliminating his worries about going out. Before the modifications, D2 rarely went out and felt completely disconnected from society. He said, *"I feel extremely socially anxious, always avoiding people when I see them."* Since the wheelchair was modified, it has attracted the attention of onlookers who thought he was driving a high-tech wheelchair and approached to ask about it. Now, P3 can proactively explain his modifications and the reasons behind them to the spectators, *"Now, people in the*

park generally recognize me and know that I modified a regular wheelchair myself”, he explained. Modified ATs can play a vital role in reducing the social pressure on people with disabilities.

4.3.4 Obtaining Positive and Confident Attitud Toward Life. Using modified ATs can boost an individual’s confidence. D2 and D3, after using modified ATs, were able to go out, which enhanced their connection with the outside world, their self-confidence, and their love for life. For instance, D3 said his transition from being bedridden three years ago to freely going out with his modified wheelchair (Fig. 2 (c)).

“Three years ago, I was bedridden all day, but after modifying my wheelchair, I could independently go out and enjoy the flowers, and the fresh air. It has improved my self-confidence, making me want to keep living,” he said.

The process of modification can also give the person with a disability a positive attitude. For example, D5 felt a sense of achievement when wrapping his crutch, he had a feeling of a positive and uplifting spirit. *“Using my white cane makes me feel empowered. Now, I do not see it as cold iron structures but as a source of positive energy for an active life,”* he explained. After seeing the completed modifications, D5’s parents applaud of his approach and advised him to never give up once he has a goal, and to do everything possible to achieve it.

Additionally, aesthetically pleasing AT modification also helps people with disabilities overcome psychological problems, gaining stronger emotional value and confidence. Before the modification, D1 was very self-abased, *“I am scared to look other people’s eyes, especially when our gaze meet, and I do not let others see me for too long,”* she mentioned.

Now, as a video creator and prosthetic eye mutual aid group organizer, D1 can boldly show off the beautiful prosthetic eye she made in front of the camera (see Fig. 3 (c)) D1 mentioned: *“My creation of prosthetic eyes is primarily about beauty and confidence, not utility like traditional ATs (see Fig. 2 (b)).”*

The use of modified ATs, as well as being in the modification process, can give people with disabilities self-confidence and a positive mindset.

4.3.5 Strengthen Connections with the Community by Sharing Modification Experiences. People with disabilities who have modified their ATs often share their modification experiences to assist others and encourage other disabled people in their group to adopt a more positive attitude. These individuals with disabilities are influenced and recognized and start to spread them. This Sharing strengthens the bonds within the community, helping to build a more interconnected network of support for people with disabilities.

Both D2 and D3 shared their modification results in their communities to help and encourage other people with disabilities. Other people with disabilities followed the method that they shared and got their own modification successfully. D1 shared some videos of herself wearing modified prosthetic eye, urging people in her group not to feel inferior (see Fig. 3 (c)). There are some people join her online aid mutual community, she provide prosthetic eye fitting information to others with no charge.

D3 actively shares and improves modification methods within the community, not only posting his own modification videos but also enhancing those of others, thus fostering support among those interested in modifications. As community members watch and share these videos, more people are drawn to join, which in turn provides D3 with recognition and motivation. D3 said: *“more and more people with disabilities recognize and appreciate this thing, and they would share it with others they know who are in similar situations as mine. By learning from our attitudes and experiences, more people gradually join our group (see Fig. 3 (e)).”* Additionally, D3 earnestly responds to inquiries about crucial modification details. This collaborative environment promotes a supportive and positive feedback loop, enhancing the community’s collective capacity to adapt and innovate ATs.

Sharing modified AT experiences strengthens community bonds among people with disabilities, creating a more confident and supportive community where members help each other.

4.3.6 Finding Vocational Interests and Achieving Self-development. Throughout the process of modification, individuals with disabilities as well as other participants engaged in modification activities have experienced a substantial enhancement in their knowledge base. Some modifiers take on different roles after their modifications. Moreover, there are cases where individuals have uncovered previously unrecognized interests during the modification process.

The knowledge of people with disabilities has increased during the modification process. For D1, she looked for well-known sources, like information or literature about eyes. In this process, she expanded her knowledge through self-learning and exploration in various ways. When S4 independently completed English Learning Software development, he acquired extensive computer-related knowledge and conducted numerous user needs surveys. Some, through continuous learning, achieve growth. For example, S5 became a learning delegate and traveled to other cities to attend nursing training classes with the goal of acquiring nursing knowledge to then teach other disabled individuals. He also invited experts to jointly explain nursing knowledge. He organized life rebuilding activities sponsored by ATs companies and was invited to participate in ATs company product promotion activities. There, he incorporated the needs and experiences of actual users into the development and improvement of products. *“At that time, I spent five days involved in every aspect from the concepts of the products, through the manufacturing process, sales, to the introduction of their features.”* He said.

Furthermore, the modification uncovered the hidden interests of people with disabilities, who even found new career directions through the renovation. S4's interest in technology began in junior school when he found computers fascinating. He explained: *“I follow many projects because I am an open-source enthusiast. I enjoy browsing GitHub, where I can also learn various projects.”* Drawing from his experiences, S4 joined a high-tech company and now works as a software engineer.

The modification process has helped individuals with disabilities to uncover hidden interests, apply and gain more knowledge throughout the process, and find career paths that suit their development.

In conclusion, modification AT not only enhances comfort and fosters independent living, lightening caregivers' load and bettering family dynamics. It also cultivates a positive, confident mindset, encourages sharing experiences with the community, and aids in discovering vocational interests for self-development.

4.4 Challenges in Modifying AT

In the process of searching for more appropriate ATs through interactions with the caregiver, modifier, and surroundings, due to their characteristics, our participants encountered multiple challenges throughout the different stages of modification. These challenges will mainly emerge in three different phases: before the modification, during the modification, and after the modification.

4.4.1 Before the Modification. There are three main challenges before the modification: awareness gap, family resistance, and organizational limits.

The first challenge that arises in the pre-modification stage is that people with disabilities are not aware of modifications, the existence of modification products, or basic modification knowledge. Lack of awareness of proper modifications and knowledge of products on the market that can solve the problem at hand can lead to no or mis-opening of the modification process and waste of resources. According to the statement of D7, many people with lower limb disabilities walk for years using benches and wooden sticks due to a lack of information and awareness of available modification aids. D4, as a polio patient who has been using a bench to walk for over twenty years, has used only two ATs for walking, crutches, and a bench. He would only move within the confines of his home due to the inconvenience of traveling before being introduced to a handicapped van by others. These people conduct their lives through reduced standards and physical adaptations. The unawareness is partly due to the lack of social promotion, for example, as S1 said, *“Some people with disabilities need this thing (modification)*

but he does not even know about it, the promotion is not enough, including how he can do some modification that may be more convenient, a lot of people do not know about it. He gave an example,

“Many people take wood to make a simple toilet, but it is not convenient at all. In fact, this product has been on the market for a long time, it is all very simple stuff, and many people who live in the countryside just do not know about it.”

The second challenge in the pre-stage is rooted in the negative attitude of the family (caregiver) towards modification. The relationship with the caregiver is an important prerequisite for the modification because the caregiver’s involvement will be needed throughout the modification process and afterward use. Modification cannot start without support. D3 pointed out that the family’s disapproval is the biggest difficulty throughout the modification process. *“At first, my family didn’t believe in it, they didn’t support it, they didn’t want to go through all that trouble, they didn’t want to spend the money.”* As the founder of a community of paraplegics, he shared many ideas for modifications for patients similar to him, partly not practiced due to family reasons. *“Some of my friends in the group would find it too much of a hassle and there are family reasons to just stay in bed all year round.”* D3 emphasized that the two biggest factors that make modification possible are the initiative of the person with a disability himself and the will of his family and that there is no way to intervene to change the family atmosphere and conditions.

The third challenge comes from the government, an official aid and information access channel, which does not have the means to meet the AT needs and customization demands of people with disabilities. S7, Director of the Adaptation Center of the Federation, clearly stated that the Federation is not able to meet the high standard of customization of persons with disabilities and does not have this service. What the Federation can provide are basic types, of appliances from the list of AT decided by the provincial government. This was also emphasized by D8, *“When I ask about getting a specific white cane at the AT center, people often say they don’t know about it; they’re more familiar with crutches. After several instances like this, it feels like the ATs relevant to me are mostly unknown to others.”* The government, as a more prestigious and enforceable platform, cannot meet the demand for customization, which means that people with disabilities who seek customization need to complete the whole process of personalized modification by themselves and without supervision and support from official and credible channels, which will be a long and difficult process.

4.4.2 During the Modification. There are three main challenges during the modification process: people with disabilities physical conditions limit modifications, difficulty in finding the right assistant, and limited resources and craftsmanship.

The first challenge in the modification is mainly the inability of the participants to continue modification on their own due to the presence of their disabilities. First, when the participants are proposing the modification program, their physical limitations can lead to an inability to further express the design and requirements through means other than words, *“Before the modification, I conceptualized it in my brain, but when I asked someone else they didn’t know how to do it, and I could not move my hands myself to make a sketch (D2) (Fig. 2 (a)).”* Second, when the participants are the operators of the modification program, their physical limitations make them unable to complete the process independently and require additional help from others. D5 illustrated that he had his parents involved throughout the modification process, and due to his lower limb disability, he was less efficient or unable to complete the process of his operation. A similar situation occurred with D2’s modifications, where his father helped him with a series of modifications including assembly (see Fig. 2 (a)). Both of the participants needed to secure another alternative solution or support from others, to make sure that the whole modification process went smoothly.

The second challenge that arises during the modification process is the difficulty of finding the right individuals to help with modification due to the immature business model. The specific details will be discussed in Section 4.5.5.

The process of searching for participants with a disability puts them in a vulnerable position, left to passively accept the decisions of others.

The reason for the inefficiency is the scarcity of material resources for the modifier, which makes it difficult to find suitable modifying materials. Firstly, there is limited access to materials. S3 suggested that *“a lot of the materials are hard to find, and you end up finding other things to replace them. I wanted to use similar ones when I watched some videos online, but I could not find a channel to buy them.”* S5 also emphasized that *“American companies have the best cushion materials, but many domestic manufacturers are unable to make similar products.”* In searching for materials, modifiers want to find materials that meet multiple goals at once, but it is very difficult. *“I hope it can be a little bit lighter, now it is iron as a fixing, which is stronger if it is replaced by aluminum alloy, but I reckon it must be easy to break (D7).”* A significant factor contributing to the low efficiency of modifications is the limited craftsmanship of the modifiers, with only a small portion of the envisioned modifications being feasible. S10 stated having considered welding during the modification process but lacking expertise in that area, resorting to using needle and thread or ropes for fastening. Additionally, he expressed a desire to adjust the support for the arms on the wheelchair, but the complexity and difficulty of the required operations made it unattainable. S5 mentioned that certain operations requiring special tools are beyond his capability, leading to a current feeling of helplessness.

The third challenge is that modifiers have a limited knowledge base related to modification. D1 emphasized that *“the material technology (for prosthetic eye modification) is very complicated and it takes a lot of lessons to understand how to do this stuff”* and *“I’m not a professional either, it takes a lot of chemical knowledge and it is just a matter of trial and error.”* D7 also pointed out how during his modification, he had to change the wheels frequently in the beginning to continue using them because he did not understand the difference in wheel materials. This all goes to show that modifications require specialized training and a knowledge base. Limited knowledge related to modification can lead to difficulties in choosing and executing design solutions. S5 suggested that without professional knowledge of welding, it can cause safety hazards, so it is not recommended to carry out this aspect of retrofitting on top of a wheelchair. Without experience and design background in modifications for people with disabilities, it is difficult to produce products with high application value. S4 mentioned that the difficulty in the whole process is that none of their team has a professional background in designing for people with disabilities. Finally, even though some modifiers search for information through the Internet, it is still scarce, and D3 mentioned how the Internet can be considered a blank canvas for information on modifying specific wheelchairs.

4.4.3 After the Modification. There were three main challenges in the use phase after the modification: physiological discomfort in use, more trouble for family members with the modified aids, and difficulty in adapting the aids to complex external environments. Ultimately there will be two ways to deal with these challenges: performing repeated iterations of the modification and compromising.

The first challenge after the modification is the physical discomfort of the user during use. During the use phase after completion of the modification, *“discomfort”* appeared frequently in the descriptions of S10, D2, and D6. *“We wanted to add a high backrest and made a plate directly on the backrest of the wheelchair, she was uncomfortable sitting then just discarded it (S10).”* D6 also noted that *“it is just uncomfortable, it is too big, and sometimes it often runs out on its own like that.”* This bad experience is often blamed on a lack of modification skills and associated knowledge base. Of these, the lack of knowledge about the progression of the condition needs to be emphasized. S10 stated that there is a correlation between cushion replacement and the progression of buttock muscle atrophy in people with disabilities. *“Generally neck strength also decreases as the condition progresses and then we need to add a high backrest (S10) (Fig. 2 (f)).”*

The second challenge in post-modification is that using modified ATs can cause endless hassles for the family. D3 noted that *“you have to set up the phone stand to play with the phone and charge it from time to time (Fig. 2 (c)),*

and thirdly you have to ask others to pick up the stick that you have in your mouth(to play your phone), for example, if you drop it... These are details that may seem trivial, but they are very important for the family to understand.” Especially in the use of the wheelchair, “my type of pathology will cramp, and people will slowly slip down. The distance between the lever of the controller and the chin would change, and the family would get annoyed if they adjusted it every day.” Additionally, the use of ATs and modifications to the environment will also bring about a change in the environment for the family and they will need to make adaptations. “For example, many of our indoor bathrooms nowadays have ramps added for the convenience of people with disabilities, but the family will find it inconvenient to make a ramp at home..... There is also the aesthetic problem of adding a handrail in the dining room, which the family will find too ugly and doesn't fit in with the decoration.”

the third challenge is that because the use of ATs often occurs in multiple scenarios, it has difficulty adapting to complex external environments resulting in inoperability. D6 noted that the modified product was able to be used in the summer, but would be inoperative in the winter. D2 emphasized that as soon as a first modification was made to go out and use it in a bumpy situation, the fixture would loosen up and fall apart and become inoperative. This was particularly the case in his environment where the park was older and the roads were broken. S10 thought “I need a lighter wheelchair because I often pull my mom up and down the stairs to any road by myself.”

As mentioned in Section 4.2.4, almost all assistive devices require testing and continuous iteration. The negative experience of testing modified AT ultimately led to two different responses: repeated iterations of modified products or compromises on all fronts. D2's three generations of iterations for wheelchair cushions are a prime example of the former response (see Fig. 2 (a)). The first generation of cushions was used by sewing a cloth cover on the outside of a found sponge, but it slipped easily due to a poor fit, which led to the second generation of cushion modifications, which was a solution to the non-fitting problem by searching for an anti-pressure sore cushion on the internet. But time will be deformed. The third generation of the cushion is replaced with a combination of a car cushion and an anti-pressure sore cushion. The effect is better. The second way of coping is to compromise, usually by reducing one's experience of use to accommodate the aids. For example, D3 suggested that “people need to adapt to the wheelchair, although some of the features of the wheelchair do not fit and it cannot be helped if they cannot add them.” D7, on the other hand, was still confined to sitting on a reclining bar when using the adapted ATs due to the unavailability of specialized ATs on the market that met the requirements and the inappropriate sitting height (see Fig. 2 (d)).

4.5 Business Model for Modifying AT

As previously mentioned, the Chinese AT modification market faces issues such as low awareness among people with disabilities, poor purchasing power, and small market sizes. Therefore, professional AT modification companies are still very scarce in China. As S2 stated:

“There indeed exists a significant gap between the current market and the emergence of companies specializing in AT modifications, and this may be a problem that cannot be resolved in the short term.”

However, there still are some pioneers. They spontaneously explored business models related to AT modification based on their respective situations. This section will introduce five categories of AT modification institutions (see Table. 5 in the Appendix) to gain a better understanding of China's AT modification market.

4.5.1 Standard AT Manufacturers with Modification Services. AT manufacturers typically have well-established production lines, making them generally well-equipped for modifications, some of them also have engineering departments, allowing them to fulfill personalized AT customization requests. However, in many cases, manufacturers may choose not to establish independent modification businesses due to economic, safety, and cost considerations. As S2 mentioned, “It (the AT modification industry) does not have such a high-profit margin, so there are not many people willing to do this.” Therefore, currently, people with disabilities mainly rely on after-sales services provided by AT manufacturers to achieve their modification goals.

HUWEISHEN¹² is an electric wheelchair manufacturing and selling company that operates in this manner. As the boss of HUWEISHEN, S2 told us: *“Out of the 100 units we normally sell, there might be 8 or 10 with this kind of demand (modification requirements), or even more.”* According to S2, most of the modification demands for electric wheelchairs involve common personalized requests, such as adding a commode, widening the seat, or attaching a trailer, among others. These types of modification requests only require simple processes, and therefore will be addressed directly by HUWEISHEN's production workshop.

Furthermore, within HUWEISHEN's wheelchair donation program, they also modify wheelchairs for recipients based on their individual needs. S2 mentioned: *“Our goal set for our company is to donate 50 electric wheelchairs in a year... We may prefer to donate to younger people or those who need electric wheelchairs more.”* D9, a man with limited upper limb mobility, is one of the beneficiaries, and HUWEISHEN helped him modify his manual electric wheelchair into a toe-operated electric wheelchair. These highly personalized and more complex wheelchair modifications are handled by the engineering department, which communicates with people with disabilities to design suitable wheelchairs and then produces them in the production workshop. However, S2 also acknowledged, *“Cases like D9 (complex modifications) are rare... We try to meet the needs that users present, but if the research and development cycle is too long, we may not proceed.”*

From our participant's report, we can see such large-scale standard AT manufacturers have stable revenues and specialized AT modification technology. However, although they have significant advantages in providing personalized modifications of ATs, their ability to offer widespread customized services is limited by operational costs. Moreover, standard AT manufacturers themselves are quite rare, typically emerging only in markets with a substantial demand for assistive technologies.

4.5.2 Unregistered NGOs. Due to the difficulty of registering NGOs, there are a large number of unregistered NGOs in China [97]. It is more difficult for them to obtain social donations and government funds. Yangzhou Hope House, founded by S5, is one of them. Yangzhou Hope House is an organization composed of a team of 60 volunteers. The main business of this organization includes two aspects: First, there is a consultation and shopping service. The organization selects suitable ATs or accessories for customers based on their needs. Second, there is a modification service. The organization replaces and assembles ATs or accessories for customers' existing equipment based on the purchased items.

According to S5, the main income of this organization comes from the owner's monthly work-related injury compensation and another unrelated business (selling tobacco and alcohol). In the case of AT modification, their modifications are done on a charitable basis. People with disabilities only need to purchase the required additional parts and do not have to pay for the modifications.

S5 told us that this organization has unique business advantages: *“As fellow sufferers (people with disabilities), they (other people with disabilities as customers) are introduced by the disability community to us, and then we offer them a lower price than Taobao, so they are willing to buy our service. In addition, the upstream manufacturers around us are also willing to sell products to us because we have after-sales service (referring to free modification services).”*

This organization's modification mainly revolves around wheelchairs, including replacing the front and rear wheels of electric wheelchairs, backrests, and brake pads, among other things. S5 also acknowledges that their organization has limited AT modification capabilities. He said: *“We only carry out optimizations, such as replacing your wheelchair's backrest or the wheelchair's battery. We are limited to modifications in this regard.”*

According to our participants, such unregistered NGOs are a historical product of Chinese NGO policy. They are primarily mutual aid communities founded by people with disabilities themselves. Individuals with experience in modifications altruistically provide relevant information and assistance. However, the lack of policy

¹²https://mall.jd.com/advance_search-1804804-10513383-10303719-5-0-0-1-1-24.html?other=

support, sustainable profit models, and professional rehabilitation technology support constrain the sustainable development of these organizations.

4.5.3 Registered NGOs. Due to the unique development path of the disability sector in China, the growth of government-independent registered NGOs providing charitable services for people with disabilities is currently in its nascent stage.

In this context, S7 established the Makingforgood Community¹³, an NGO that established a sustainable business model to provide free AT design and modification services for people with disabilities. S7 stated:

“Our organization is the first and only of its kind in China. We produce personalized ATs for free for people with disabilities. There were similar organizations before, but they shut down because they couldn’t sustain their business model.”

According to S7, their innovative business model can be summarized as a combination of free modification and paid education. The organization has a total of 20 paid staff, including 5 full-time employees and 15 part-time employees, and a peripheral volunteer team of about 1,500 people. The 15 part-time employees mainly participate in the production phase of AT modification, and they all have a good knowledge background like Tsinghua University and work experience like IBM China, Xiaomi, etc. Furthermore, the organizational structure of the institution consists of an executive leadership team, an education team, and several project teams. The executive team is responsible for assessing and analyzing the needs of people with disabilities, forming project teams based on volunteers’ skills, and the educational team transforms the AT modification projects into teaching projects to develop participants’ research, design, prototyping abilities, and specific skills like programming. The participants’ tuition fees support the operation of the entire organization.

Regarding the current business status, as per S7’s interview and the website information, the Makingforgood Community’s design and modification projects include prosthetic limbs for individuals with missing hands that can operate computers and smartphones, gait trainers for cerebral palsy patients, and custom leg supports for an individual with a lower limb amputation, D10. These project processes resemble the Double Diamond model in design methodology[20]. They often start with need assessment and analysis by the executive leadership team, followed by solution design, team formation based on the solution, entering the prototype phase, prototype testing, and finally delivering the iterated final product to the clients. S8, a long-term volunteer (with some remuneration) in the community, summarized: *“We customize things from scratch, and also modify existing ATs.”* S7 added: *“We manage about 50 AT modification projects a year. We already have people with disabilities waiting in line for our modifications, but we lack the time and resources.”* Additionally, S7 shared their project standards: *“Safety comes first. Will the products we make be dangerous? How dangerous? Effectiveness comes second. We don’t want our products to be black boxes, like for autistic children. Currently, there is no consensus internationally on the causes and treatment methods for autism.”* Additionally, according to S7, their projects aim to enhance the original functionality or experience based on safety and effectiveness. He mentioned: *“We made a chopping aid for upper limb amputees. Since they only have one arm, they can’t control the ingredients and have to use their feet, which can lead to falls. Our aid helps them control the ingredients, thereby increasing safety.”*

From our participants’ reports, we can see that these emerging registered NGOs are gradually rising. For example, Makingforgood, an NGO founded by scholars combining education and DIY-AT community operations, has experimented with some potential sustainable business models in the Chinese AT modification market. However, such philanthropic organizations are still in their nascent stages in China, and currently operate on a relatively small scale.

4.5.4 Independent Software Development Group. Information and Communication Technology (ICT) should be regarded as a fundamental human right for people with disabilities, as an integral part of the lives of the majority

¹³<https://www.makingforgood.cn/gywm>

of the population [11]. In this study, two different software applications were developed to address the specific needs of visually impaired individuals.

S6, a visually impaired individual, participated as a user tester in the development of a virtual white cane application. S6 explained the principle of this app: *“It utilizes the laser radar sensor of an Apple to assess the surroundings, then employs various sensory cues like sound and vibration to alert users about the distance from obstacles, creating a meaningful mapping.”* According to S6, at the time of the interview, it had already been adopted by thousands of users. To maintain the normal use of the APP, they have charged fees, but whether it can operate normally remains to be verified. S6 also pointed out the challenge of obtaining valuable feedback to iterate the software when visually impaired individuals serve as users:

“Some of the data from visually impaired users may not be accurate... We receive relatively limited feedback that truly provides valuable information.”

We can observe that with the rise of ICT technologies, individuals with disabilities who are interested in software development can conveniently create customized solutions for themselves and others with similar needs. However, software development is systemic engineering work, and individual developer groups also face challenges in sustainable operations.

4.5.5 Independent Technicians with Modification Skills. Independently technicians are an important segment of the AT modification market. They usually have another identity in life and have certain hands-on abilities, such as maintenance, welding, etc. However, the business model of independent technicians is even more immature. Difficulty in pricing is the first problem. *“The complexity of the craftsmanship of customization is far more complex than normal craftsmanship, and it does not make sense for people with disabilities to charge high craftsmanship fees, especially the short life span of the use of the customized AT (D1).”* For the technicians, D2 mentioned that: *“My technicians told me that the modification is time-consuming, but because it is a modification for a person with a disability, he is embarrassed to charge more (see Fig. 2 (a))”*. As mentioned above, the stereotype is the second problem. Due to stereotyping, D2 was rejected by many independent technicians because they thought that this kind of contact with people with disabilities was best avoided. After all, it was embarrassing, and even if they did it, they did not want to communicate with people with disabilities in person. Lack of extensive experience in AT modification is the third problem. This results in uncertainty about the consequences of modifications, as they may fear taking responsibility and therefore decline modification requests. D3 indicates that the technical personnel mentioned they had not encountered this before and could not handle it well, politely refusing. Similarly, in S5, there's a related situation: the person who repairs electric bikes is unwilling to fix the wheelchair because they're unfamiliar with it.

From the reports of the participants, it is evident that independent technicians with modification skills are crucial for AT modifications. They possess the modification skills needed by people with disabilities, but yet they are the group most lacking in a business model to drive their service provision. Luckily, as mentioned in Section 4.5.3, emerging NGOs are in fact attempting to connect these individuals and are developing payment methods to motivate them to perform modifications.

In conclusion, through a specialized case study on the business models of AT modification, we can see that the five business models currently existing in the Chinese AT modification market are all quite preliminary. As shown in Table 5 in the Appendix, these five types of organizations each have their advantages and disadvantages. Commercially-driven AT manufacturers, despite having strong modification capabilities, often exhibit a low willingness to engage in modifications, resulting in a limited scale of modification operations. In the case of NGOs, whether registered or not, their modification capabilities vary depending on the circumstances, but all require a sustainable business model to support the expenditure of AT modification services. For independent software development groups, although there are fewer restrictions on software development, obtaining high-quality feedback for software iteration poses a new challenge. Furthermore, whether their software revenue can sustain

development and operational costs requires further research. As for independent technicians, although they are abundant in daily life, they often have limited involvement in AT modification due to biases and a lack of modification experience.

5 DISCUSSION

In our findings, we articulate the entire process of modifying ATs in China, starting from the motivation—such as the need for customization due to limited modification options and personal interests—to the detailed process involving collaboration and potential conflicts of interest. We explore the psychological benefits of these modifications and the challenges faced, notably the reluctance of skilled people under social pressures. Furthermore, we explore the business model where manufacturers profit from standard devices to fund limited customizations. These findings comprehensively address the research questions we posed. Most importantly, these findings not only align with previous research but also unveil new insights specific to the Chinese context, leading us to propose several crucial points and their design implications.

5.1 The Importance of Personalized Manufacturing of ATs

Our research highlights the importance of personalized modifications in ATs addressing specific needs. For instance, individuals D6 and S10 were able to adapt their standard devices to a usable and more comfortable level through modifications. This finding is consistent with previous studies. Given the diverse environments and evolving needs of people with disabilities, continuous customization of ATs is crucial to reduce abandonment rates, as indicated in existing literature [40].

Our study further underscores the significance of modifications, especially under constrained economic conditions. Case D7 illustrates this: abandonment of an AT equates to a loss of independence, yet prolonged use often leads to decreased stability and other functional issues. In such scenarios, modification becomes the only viable option, a common predicament in low- and middle-income countries with significant wealth disparities.

A novel aspect of our findings is the emphasis on the psychological benefits and new identities fostered through the act of modification, a factor previously overlooked in research. While past studies have focused on designing ATs for social inclusivity and self-esteem of people with disabilities [57], our research reveals that modification is more than a means to an end; it is a form of self-expression for people with disabilities. Participants enjoyed the process of modification, feeling empowered, optimistic, and confident. This transformation was often attributed to the modified device itself, as expressed by D5: *“I envision it as a wellspring for my upward and positive journey in life.”* Furthermore, the success of these modifications inspired them to spread their techniques and positive mindset, transitioning from being just users of AT to becoming innovators like screen reader modifiers (S4), prosthetic eye designers and influencers (D1), and professional device fitters (S5). This shift underlines the empowering process of involving people with disabilities in the design process, affirming their ideas and capabilities, and enabling them to participate in societal matters related to disabilities actively, thus amplifying their influence.

This study further explores the positive impact of assistive technology on collaboration and interaction among individuals advocated by CSCW. Previous research in Human-Computer Interaction has indicated that the involvement of individuals with disabilities, family members, friends, and caregivers in the design and implementation of DIY-AT can improve relationships [43, 45]. Similarly, in our research, D3 highlighted that the successful implementation of DIY-AT mitigated conflicts with caregiving family members arising from ongoing trivial matters. By gaining more independence through the use of ATs, individuals could reduce dependency on caregivers and achieve more harmonious intimate relationships. This aligns with previous research and underscores the specific relationship between DIY-AT and collaboration. Additionally, our study adds a new perspective by emphasizing the importance of the process itself for disabled individuals collaborating with others

in DIY-AT endeavors. Previous research has cited uncertainty about the outcome of modifications as a major obstacle to DIY-AT [43, 81]. In our research, S10, as the implementer of wheelchair modifications for his disabled mother, found great happiness in interacting with the user, discovering issues together, and discussing specific DIY solutions during the process. Compared to the outcome, the greater freedom of the DIY-AT process allows for more discussion and communication to occur.

5.2 Business Model for Personalized Manufacturing of ATs in the Absence of Philanthropic Cultures

The unique contribution of this study is its bottom-up portrayal of the business model of AT modification sector for people with disabilities in China, especially against the backdrop of the commonly held belief that the development of services for people with disabilities in China is challenging [55, 83, 89]. Past research has shown a lack of sustainable business models in the AT service sector, attributed to reasons such as limited market size, lack of user-centered design, and policy implementation issues [70]. In China, issues with policy implementation and lack of focus on end-users also persist. Additionally, although China's vast population of people with disabilities presents a huge potential market, the AT industry still faces challenges due to the unique characteristics of the Chinese market.

Firstly, in terms of funding, people with disabilities are generally economically disadvantaged as consumers, and this holds true in China as well. This further supports the view that ATs have a social welfare aspect and are difficult to develop through market mechanisms due to pricing issues [48]. Also, Chinese NGOs as suppliers find it even more challenging to obtain government and social assistance. Due to issues such as an immature charity culture and limited government funding [35, 92, 94, 97], their sources of income are very limited, making the development of their own business models a necessity. The case of unregistered NGOs, like Hope House, also sheds light on the difficulties of NGO registration in China, resonating with the findings of previous research in this area [97]. Furthermore, the Chinese AT industry primarily consists of low-end standardized products that are unevenly distributed, with varying standards [93], which also affects the willingness of people with disabilities to consume. Against this backdrop, there is a general lack of research on the business models of AT service organizations in China, and our study has documented and reported on the commercial situation of various organizations in this field, particularly providing a detailed description of the business situation of Chinese NGOs, thereby filling this gap.

Secondly, the commercial sustainability and business risks of AT services are also concerns of the CSCW community. One study in the community investigated the manufacturing, importing, and distribution of assistive devices in Bangladesh, revealing significant challenges in the commercial sustainability of the AT industry in the absence of an official distribution system and financial support [53]. Also, Siny Joseph et al. attempted to establish an economic value assessment tool for AT services from a cost-effectiveness perspective [50]. Tigmanshu Bhatnagar et al. found a partner-centric business model in their research on the AT market in Africa. Startups adopting this model created a sustainable and scalable business model for their products [7]. Our research uniquely contributes by categorizing and organizing the business models of AT service organizations in China, particularly NGOs, filling a gap in the landscape of this area in China.

Finally, the NGO Makingforgood Community in our study also created a viable business model in AT modification by developing it into an educational project for students through a teaching team to cover the cost of AT modification service. The model of achieving sustainable business value by establishing a broader stakeholder network for collaboration (incorporating teaching teams and students into the business model) also contributes to the CSCW community.

5.3 Design Implications

5.3.1 Integration of Rehabilitation Knowledge in AT Design. In our study, despite some people with disabilities lacking rehabilitation knowledge, there is a clear demand for such knowledge among them. Due to the physical changes experienced by the mothers of S10, D5, and D2, among others, there is a need for extensive iteration of their assistive devices. For example, D2 and S10 underwent multiple modifications to address issues with wheelchair cushions and high backrests. Throughout the modification process, they had to consider various factors, such as the impact of time on the components, environmental effects on fixation methods, and especially the impact of physical changes on the assistive devices. In wheelchair design, we discovered significant deficiencies in standard wheelchairs in meeting individualized needs. The insufficient flexibility in wheelchair components prevented adaptation to changes in user physique. Due to a lack of design and engineering background, adjustments were only reactively made when problems became apparent. This highlights a design challenge: how to create flexible, adaptable assistive devices that can adjust to changes in users' physical conditions while also considering environmental and temporal factors. To address the creation of flexible, adaptable assistive devices, we propose that combining rehabilitation knowledge with design may allow for predictive adjustments and support a more proactive design approach. By integrating this knowledge early in the design stage, necessary adjustments can be considered, future iterations predicted, and this forward-looking design strategy can effectively reduce the costs and inconveniences of subsequent modifications, thereby enhancing product usability and user satisfaction.

5.3.2 Caregivers Participate in Co-design as Stakeholders. Previous research has shown that incorporating people with disabilities in the co-design process is crucial for creating ATs [9, 91], however, few have mentioned the importance of including caregivers as co-decision makers.

In our study, S1 mentioned that the aesthetic preferences of family members were affected by certain modifications for accessibility, leading to reluctance in cooperation and necessitating changes to the modification plans. This experience indicates that this is a common issue, highlighting the importance of considering caregivers' needs in the modification process.

Participants like D9, D7, D5, D3, D2, and D1 require a familial environment, not just an individual living space. They cannot live apart from their family and need to share the same environment with them. Some modifications to ATs can impact the family members. Additionally, the compromises made by family members for people with disabilities should not be taken for granted, recognizing that each person in the household has their own needs. S1 mentioned that during the process of making his home more accessible, ramps were built to eliminate level differences between spaces to facilitate movement for disabled individuals indoors. However, these ramps were eventually removed because other family members felt that they interfered with the convenience of daily life.

Therefore, involving caregivers as stakeholders in the co-design process is equally important. Including caregivers as co-design stakeholders not only enriches the design process but also ensures that the resulting ATs are more aligned with the actual needs and environments of the end-users. A participatory design approach could be considered, where all family members, including caregivers, are given a voice in the design process. This approach helps identify and harmonize the diverse needs and preferences of all household members, leading to more universally acceptable solutions. Co-design sessions could include discussions on how ATs affect family dynamics. Visions might involve designs that facilitate interaction, such as ATs provide communal spaces that are accessible and comfortable for all users, including non-disabled people. Accordingly, it is recommended that designers consider the involvement of caregivers as relevant stakeholders in collaborative design.

5.3.3 Standardizing AT Accessories to Simplified Customization. The diversity of user groups and the breadth of assistive products present a complex issue in the provision of AT. Ensuring that as many people as possible have access to assistive products and services, enabling them to better engage in society and interpersonal interactions, is a highly significant matter. Universal Design, with its focus on creating products and environments that are

inclusive of diverse populations, can be introduced into more AT design and development processes [39]. This approach not only enhances the usability and effectiveness of assistive devices but also promotes social inclusion and equality for all users [78].

In low- to middle-income countries, where economic resources are scarce and there is a shortage of knowledgeable care providers, initiating AT from design schemes poses a significant challenge for a large portion of the population [25]. Therefore, for disabled individuals lacking specialized knowledge, a feasible and cost-effective solution is to meet their specific needs through the purchase of readily available components. Applying universal design while producing the ATs is a possible way.

Participant S2 highlighted a key factor inhibiting AT manufacturers from expanding into customization services: the lack of standardization in the AT market, particularly wheelchairs. The market is flooded with numerous brands, each adhering to different standards, making it impossible to use uniform parts for repairs across different brands. This lack of standardization creates technical and component barriers, leading to high costs in customization. When a customization request is made, manufacturers often have to start from scratch in parts development.

To mitigate this issue, standardizing AT accessories and unifying accessory specifications, such as the size of screws, could facilitate widespread application of modification parts. This approach would distribute the high costs of customization across multiple factories, rather than concentrating them in one. Consequently, manufacturers with the capability to customize would be able to expand their service offerings. For buyers, this means having the option to select and customize ATs that better meet their individual needs at a similar price point to standard models. This would represent a significant step towards more accessible, personalized AT.

5.4 Limitation and Future Work

In this study, we explored the processes and methods of DIY-AT among people with disabilities in China. While our participants were distributed across various regions in China, their overall number was relatively small and thus may not adequately represent the entire population of people with disabilities in the country. Moreover, the majority of our participants had incomes below the national average, which aligns with previous research suggesting that DIY-AT can fulfill unique needs at a lower cost. However, understanding the perspectives and decision-making processes of people with disabilities from different economic backgrounds could provide new insights and dimensions to this area.

Additionally, our recruitment of participants, particularly those serving as "suppliers" of modified equipment, was limited to male providers. This gender-specific characteristic of our sample might impact the comprehensiveness and impartiality of our findings. Furthermore, our study did not include all categories of disabilities, particularly individuals with cognitive impairments. Future research should aim to broaden the scope to encompass a wider range of people with disabilities, thereby gaining a deeper understanding of the intricacies and challenges of DIY-AT for different groups.

Our study indicates an in-depth analysis of the interactions between social organizations, government, people with disabilities, caregivers, and other stakeholders about customized AT. Given the scarcity of research on DIY-AT in developing countries, especially China, our work contributes new discourse and insights in this field. Future research could build upon our study by further refining the needs of different types of people with disabilities, leading to more precise recommendations. This approach will not only enrich the existing literature but also potentially inform policy and practice in the development of AT.

6 CONCLUSIONS

This study adopts a qualitative research methodology, utilizing semi-structured interviews conducted in China with 10 suppliers and 10 demanders in the context of DIY-AT for individuals with disabilities. Our findings suggest

that in addition to motivations like comfort and reducing caregiver workload, personal hobbies and social media also drive AT modifications among people with disabilities in China. During the modification process, most individuals collaborate with family members and skilled technicians. We utilized an adapted double diamond model to summarize their modification and iterative processes. Additionally, we have summarized the benefits and challenges associated with AT modifications. We found that modifying AT not only enhances comfort and mobility for people with disabilities but also fosters improvements in their psychological experiences and self-fulfillment. These insights are crucial for understanding the significance of AT modifications and inspiring future AT design practices. However, during the modification process, they face a range of challenges related to awareness, knowledge, family, and social support. Specifically, addressing the sustainability challenges of AT business models, we conducted a specialized case study on the organizational forms of modification services identified in our study. Our research provides valuable empirical evidence on the current use of AT among disabled individuals in China, which can inspire future universal AT designs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation Youth Fund 62202267. We thank the non-governmental organization *Makingforgood Community*¹⁴ for generously providing the resources. Sincere thanks to all our participants.

REFERENCES

- [1] 2019. The development of innovation sharing platforms for low cost and do-it-yourself assistive technology in low and middle-income countries. In Global perspectives on assistive technology: proceedings of the GReAT Consultation 2019, Natasha Layton and Johan Borg (Eds.), Vol. 2. World Health Organization, 359–366.
- [2] I Andrew, J Batavia, and S Hammer Guy. 1990. Toward the development of consumer-based criteria for the evaluation of assistive devices. J Rehabil Res Dev 27, 4 (1990), 425.
- [3] Renzo Andrich, Niels-Erik Mathiassen, Evert-Jan Hoogerwerf, and Gert Jan Gelderblom. 2013. Service delivery systems for assistive technology in Europe: An AAATE/EASTIN position paper. Technology and Disability 25, 3 (2013), 127–146.
- [4] Mark S Baldwin, Sen H Hirano, Jennifer Mankoff, and Gillian R Hayes. 2019. Design in the public square: Supporting assistive technology design through public mixed-ability cooperation. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction 3, CSCW (2019), 1–22.
- [5] Mark S. Baldwin, Sen H. Hirano, Jennifer Mankoff, and Gillian R. Hayes. 2019. Design in the Public Square: Supporting Assistive Technology Design Through Public Mixed-Ability Cooperation. Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact. 3, CSCW, Article 155 (nov 2019), 22 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3359257>
- [6] Lena Morgon Banks, Hannah Kuper, and Sarah Polack. 2017. Poverty and disability in low-and middle-income countries: A systematic review. PloS one 12, 12 (2017), e0189996.
- [7] Tigmanshu Bhatnagar, Maryam Bandukda, Diane Bell, and Catherine Holloway. 2023. It's a Much Harder Journey: Scaling Assistive Technology Innovations to New Markets in Africa. In Proceedings of the 4th African Human Computer Interaction Conference. 190–200.
- [8] Angela Bies and Scott Kennedy. 2019. The state and the state of the art on philanthropy in China. VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations 30 (2019), 619–633.
- [9] Filip Bircanin, Margot Brereton, Laurianne Sitbon, Bernd Ploderer, Andrew Azaabanye Bayor, and Stewart Koplick. 2021. Including adults with severe intellectual disabilities in co-design through active support. In Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 1–12.
- [10] FH Boot, John Owuor, John Dinsmore, and Malcolm MacLachlan. 2018. Access to assistive technology for people with intellectual disabilities: a systematic review to identify barriers and facilitators. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research 62, 10 (2018), 900–921.
- [11] Johan Borg, Stig Larsson, and Per-Olof Östergren. 2011. The right to assistive technology: For whom, for what, and by whom? Disability & Society 26, 2 (2011), 151–167.
- [12] Johan Borg, Anna Lindström, and Stig Larsson. 2011. Assistive technology in developing countries: a review from the perspective of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. Prosthetics and orthotics international 35, 1 (2011), 20–29.
- [13] Susanne M Dr Bruyere et al. 2018. Disability in the Workplace in China: Situation Assessment. (2018).

¹⁴<https://www.makingforgood.cn/gywm>

- [14] Erin Buehler, Stacy Branham, Abdullah Ali, Jeremy J Chang, Megan Kelly Hofmann, Amy Hurst, and Shaun K Kane. 2015. Sharing is caring: Assistive technology designs on thingiverse. In Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 525–534.
- [15] Anne Campbell and Marie Uren. 2011. "The Invisibles"... Disability in China in the 21st Century. International Journal of Special Education 26, 1 (2011), 12–24.
- [16] Allison C Carey, Virginia DelSordo, and Amy Goldman. 2004. Assistive technology for all: Access to alternative financing for minority populations. Journal of Disability Policy Studies 14, 4 (2004), 194–203.
- [17] Deborah A Carroll and Keely Jones Stater. 2009. Revenue diversification in nonprofit organizations: Does it lead to financial stability? Journal of public administration research and theory 19, 4 (2009), 947–966.
- [18] Pamara F Chang and Rachel V Tucker. 2022. Assistive communication technologies and stigma: how perceived visibility of cochlear implants affects self-stigma and social interaction anxiety. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction 6, CSCW1 (2022), 1–16.
- [19] Jodie Copley and Jenny Ziviani. 2004. Barriers to the use of assistive technology for children with multiple disabilities. Occupational therapy international 11, 4 (2004), 229–243.
- [20] Design Council. 2005. The 'double diamond' design process model. Design Council 2 (2005), 1.
- [21] Edward Cunningham. 2015. Harvard Kennedy School Ash Center for Democratic Governance and Innovation. China's Most Generous 2016 (2015), 2018.
- [22] Melissa Dawe. 2006. Desperately seeking simplicity: how young adults with cognitive disabilities and their families adopt assistive technologies. In Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human Factors in computing systems. 1143–1152.
- [23] Lieven De Couvreur, Jan Detand, and Richard Goossens. 2011. The role of flow experience in codesigning open-design assistive devices. In 6th International conference on Inclusive Design (Include 11). Royal College of Art.
- [24] Lieven De Couvreur and Richard Goossens. 2011. Design for (every) one: co-creation as a bridge between universal design and rehabilitation engineering. CoDesign 7, 2 (2011), 107–121.
- [25] Luc de Witte, Emily Steel, Shivani Gupta, Vinicius Delgado Ramos, and Uta Roentgen. 2018. Assistive technology provision: towards an international framework for assuring availability and accessibility of affordable high-quality assistive technology. Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology 13, 5 (2018), 467–472.
- [26] Guosheng Deng. 2015. The influence of elite philanthropy on NGO development in China. Asian Studies Review 39, 4 (2015), 554–570.
- [27] Amandeep Singh Dhanjal and Williamjeet Singh. 2019. Tools and Techniques of Assistive Technology for Hearing Impaired People. In 2019 International Conference on Machine Learning, Big Data, Cloud and Parallel Computing (COMITCon). 205–210. <https://doi.org/10.1109/COMITCon.2019.8862454>
- [28] Qicheng Ding, Jiangtao Gong, Jingwei Sun, Penghui Xu, Liuxin Zhang, Qianying Wang, and Yu Zhang. 2019. HeliCoach: A drone-based system supporting orientation and mobility training for the visually impaired. In Extended Abstracts of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 1–6.
- [29] Qing Dong and Na Yu. 2021. Study on the Development Path of Public Service System for the Disabled with Chinese Characteristics. In 6th International Conference on Economics, Management, Law and Education (EMLE 2020). Atlantis Press, 548–553.
- [30] Arne H Eide and Tone Øderud. 2009. Assistive technology in low-income countries. Disability & international development: Towards inclusive global health (2009), 149–160.
- [31] Wafa Elmannai and Khaled Elleithy. 2017. Sensor-based assistive devices for visually-impaired people: Current status, challenges, and future directions. Sensors 17, 3 (2017), 565.
- [32] Stefano Federici, Fabio Meloni, and Simone Borsci. 2016. The abandonment of assistive technology in Italy: a survey of users of the national health service. European journal of physical and rehabilitation medicine 52, 4 (2016), 516–526.
- [33] Isabelle François, Marie-Laurence Lambert, Cécile Salort, Vincent Slypen, Françoise Bertrand, and René Tonglet. 1998. Causes of locomotor disability and need for orthopaedic devices in a heavily mined Taliban-controlled province of Afghanistan: issues and challenges for public health managers. Tropical Medicine & International Health 3, 5 (1998), 391–396.
- [34] Jiangtao Gong, Qicheng Ding, Yu Zhang, Liuxin Zhang, Penghui Xu, Mingming Liu, Yingqing Xu, and Qianying Wang. 2020. HeliCoach: An Adaptive Orientation and Mobility Training System in a Drone-Based 3D Audio Space. In Extended Abstracts of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 1–8.
- [35] Siling Guo, Tianchen Sun, Jiangtao Gong, Zhicong Lu, Liuxin Zhang, and Qianying Wang. 2022. Remote co-teaching in rural classroom: current practices, impacts, and challenges. In Proceedings of the 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 1–16.
- [36] Foad Hamidi, Melanie Baljko, Toni Kunic, and Ray Feraday. 2014. Do-It-Yourself (DIY) assistive technology: a communication board case study. In Computers Helping People with Special Needs: 14th International Conference, ICCHP 2014, Paris, France, July 9-11, 2014, Proceedings, Part II 14. Springer, 287–294.
- [37] Foad Hamidi, Patrick Mbullo Owuor, Michaela Hynie, and Melanie Baljko. 2022. "Knowledge Comes Through Participation": Understanding Disability through the Lens of DIY Assistive Technology in Western Kenya. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer

- Interaction 6, CSCW1 (2022), 1–25.
- [38] Assessment Handbook. [n. d.]. Assistive Technology Assessment Handbook. ([n. d.]).
- [39] Chuck Hitchcock and Skip Stahl. 2003. Assistive technology, universal design, universal design for learning: Improved learning opportunities. Journal of Special Education Technology 18, 4 (2003), 45–52.
- [40] Clare Hocking. 1999. Function or feelings: factors in abandonment of assistive devices. Technology and Disability 11, 1-2 (1999), 3–11.
- [41] Megan Hofmann, Kristin Williams, Toni Kaplan, Stephanie Valencia, Gabriella Hann, Scott E Hudson, Jennifer Mankoff, and Patrick Carrington. 2019. "Occupational Therapy is Making" Clinical Rapid Prototyping and Digital Fabrication. In Proceedings of the 2019 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems. 1–13.
- [42] Megan Kelly Hofmann. 2015. Making connections: modular 3D printing for designing assistive Attachments to prosthetic devices. In Proceedings of the 17th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers & Accessibility. 353–354.
- [43] Jonathan Hook, Sanne Verbaan, Abigail Durrant, Patrick Olivier, and Peter Wright. 2014. A study of the challenges related to DIY assistive technology in the context of children with disabilities. In Proceedings of the 2014 conference on Designing interactive systems. 597–606.
- [44] Amy Hurst and Shaun Kane. 2013. Making" making" accessible. In Proceedings of the 12th international conference on interaction design and children. 635–638.
- [45] Amy Hurst and Jasmine Tobias. 2011. Empowering individuals with do-it-yourself assistive technology. In The proceedings of the 13th international ACM SIGACCESS conference on Computers and accessibility. 11–18.
- [46] Susanne Jacobson et al. 2014. Personalised assistive products: managing stigma and expressing the self. School of Arts, Design and Architecture.
- [47] Paul T Jaeger and Cynthia Ann Bowman. 2005. Understanding disability: Inclusion, access, diversity, and civil rights. Greenwood publishing group.
- [48] Hua Jiang, Chen Liu, Xiaogao Wu, Hangkong Zhang, and Jiachen Liu. 2023. The development of assistive product policies in China from the perspective of the assistive product industry. Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology 18, 8 (2023), 1266–1280.
- [49] Kurt L. Johnson, B. Dudgeon, and D. Amtmann. 1997. Assistive technology in rehabilitation. Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Clinics of North America 8 (1997), 389–403. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1047-9651\(18\)30333-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1047-9651(18)30333-4)
- [50] Siny Joseph and Vinod Namboodiri. 2020. Assessment of Economic Value of Assistive Technologies Through Quality-Adjusted Work-Life Years (QAWLY). In Computers Helping People with Special Needs: 17th International Conference, ICCHP 2020, Lecco, Italy, September 9–11, 2020, Proceedings, Part II 17. Springer, 480–488.
- [51] Radhika Kapoor and Ritika Tewari. 2010. FDI in the BRICS: changing the investment landscape. (2010).
- [52] Jiban Karki, Simon Rushton, Sunita Bhattarai, Gift Norman, Shagoofa Rakhshanda, and Prof Luc De Witte. 2024. Processes of assistive technology service delivery in Bangladesh, India and Nepal: a critical reflection. Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology 19, 2 (2024), 292–301.
- [53] MH Khan, Hidehisa Oku, and Mitsuhiro Sano. 2017. Assistive Products in Bangladesh: The state of the art and Problems. In Proceeding of the 11th International Convention on Rehabilitation Engineering and Assistive Technology (i-CREAtE 2017 Proceeding). Article.
- [54] Anja Kintsch and Rogerio DePaula. 2002. A framework for the adoption of assistive technology. SWAAAC 2002: Supporting learning through assistive technology 3 (2002), 1–10.
- [55] Matthew Kohrman. 2005. Bodies of difference: Experiences of disability and institutional advocacy in the making of modern China. Univ of California Press.
- [56] Akshay Kumar and Vinita Vinita. 2023. Solution-Focused Approach towards Improved Access to Assistive Mobility Technology and Devices in Low and Middle-income Countries: A Narrative Review. Philippine Journal of Health Allied Sciences (2023). <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:260751505>
- [57] Franklin Mingzhe Li, Di Laura Chen, Mingming Fan, and Khai N Truong. 2021. "I Choose Assistive Devices That Save My Face" A Study on Perceptions of Accessibility and Assistive Technology Use Conducted in China. In Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 1–14.
- [58] Xi Li, Xiaogao Wu, Bofei Liu, and Xi Li. 2019. Improving access to assistive technologies for persons with disabilities in China: Practice, opportunities and challenges. Global perspectives on assistive technology (2019), 545.
- [59] Zhongxuan Lin, Zhi'an Zhang, and Liu Yang. 2019. Self as enterprise: digital disability practices of entrepreneurship and employment in the wave of 'Internet+ disability' in China. Information, Communication & Society 22, 4 (2019), 554–569.
- [60] Jing Luo and Chao Zhang. 2015. Seeking Self-Governance: From Grassroots Mobilization to Movement Mobilization: An Analysis of the Mobilization Path to Villagers' Autonomy Based on the Wukan Case. The China Nonprofit Review 7, 2 (2015), 329–344.
- [61] Keshini Madara Marasinghe. 2016. Assistive technologies in reducing caregiver burden among informal caregivers of older adults: a systematic review. Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology 11, 5 (2016), 353–360.
- [62] Moira Maguire and Brid Delahunty. 2017. Doing a thematic analysis: A practical, step-by-step guide for learning and teaching scholars. All Ireland Journal of Higher Education 9, 3 (2017).

- [63] Teresa May-Teerink. 1999. A survey of rehabilitative services and people coping with physical disabilities in Uganda, East Africa. *International Journal of Rehabilitation Research* 22, 4 (1999), 311–316.
- [64] CLAUDINE McCREADIE and ANTHEA TINKER. 2005. The acceptability of assistive technology to older people. *Ageing and Society* 25, 1 (2005), 91–110. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X0400248X>
- [65] Elizabeth McSweeney and Rosemary Joan Gowran. 2019. Wheelchair service provision education and training in low and lower middle income countries: a scoping review. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology* 14, 1 (2019), 33–45.
- [66] Janis Lena Meissner, John Vines, Janice McLaughlin, Thomas Nappey, Jekaterina Maksimova, and Peter Wright. 2017. Do-it-yourself empowerment as experienced by novice makers with disabilities. In *Proceedings of the 2017 conference on designing interactive systems*. 1053–1065.
- [67] Ricardo Morte Ferrer, Mario Toboso-Martin, Manuel Aparicio Payá, Txetxu Ausin, Aníbal Monasterio Astobiza, and Daniel López Castro. 2020. Personal autonomy in elderly and disabled: How assistive technologies impact on it. (2020).
- [68] W Ben Mortenson, Louise Demers, Marcus J Fuhrer, Jeffrey W Jutai, James Lenker, and Frank DeRuyter. 2012. How assistive technology use by individuals with disabilities impacts their caregivers: a systematic review of the research evidence. *American journal of physical medicine & rehabilitation* 91, 11 (2012), 984.
- [69] Amy Nicolson, Lois Moir, and Jeannine Millsted. 2012. Impact of assistive technology on family caregivers of children with physical disabilities: a systematic review. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology* 7, 5 (2012), 345–349.
- [70] Festus Oluseyi Oderanti and Feng Li. 2016. A holistic review and framework for sustainable business models for assisted living technologies and services. *International Journal of Healthcare Technology and Management* 15, 4 (2016), 273–307.
- [71] Alana Officer and Aleksandra Posarac. 2011. World report on disability. World Health Organ: Geneva, Switzerland (2011).
- [72] Jeremiah Parry-Hill, Patrick C Shih, Jennifer Mankoff, and Daniel Ashbrook. 2017. Understanding volunteer at fabricators: opportunities and challenges in diy-at for others in e-nable. In *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems*. 6184–6194.
- [73] Jon Pearlman, Rory A Cooper, Marc Krizack, Alida Lindsley, Yeongchi Wu, Kim D Reisinger, William Armstrong, Hector Casanova, Harvinder Singh Chhabra, and Jamie Noon. 2008. Lower-limb prostheses and wheelchairs in low-income countries [an overview]. *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Magazine* 27, 2 (2008), 12–22.
- [74] Heidi Pedersen, Sylvia Söderström, and Patrick Stefan Kermit. 2021. “The fact that I can be in front of others, I am used to being a bit behind”: how assistive activity technology affects participation in everyday life. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive technology* 16, 1 (2021), 83–91.
- [75] Betsy Phillips and Hongxin Zhao. 1993. Predictors of assistive technology abandonment. *Assistive technology* 5, 1 (1993), 36–45.
- [76] Robert D Putnam. 2000. Bowling alone: America’s declining social capital: Originally published in *Journal of Democracy* 6 (1), 1995. *Culture and Politics: A Reader* (2000), 223–234.
- [77] Christian Quintero. 2022. A review: accessible technology through participatory design. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology* 17, 4 (2022), 369–375.
- [78] David H Rose, Ted S Hasselbring, Skip Stahl, and Joy Zabala. 2005. Assistive technology and universal design for learning: Two sides of the same coin. *Handbook of special education technology research and practice* (2005), 507–518.
- [79] Jon Schull. 2017. White Paper: Tools for Enabling Effective and Enlightened Mobs (TEEM Technologies for Connected Humanitarians). <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.24100.17281>
- [80] Kristen Shinohara and Jacob O Wobbrock. 2011. In the shadow of misperception: assistive technology use and social interactions. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems*. 705–714.
- [81] Karin Slegers, Kristel Kouwenberg, Tereza Loučova, and Ramon Daniels. 2020. Makers in healthcare: The role of occupational therapists in the design of DIY assistive technology. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–11.
- [82] Emma M Smith, Ikenna D Ebuenyi, Juba Kafumba, Monica Jamali-Phiri, Malcolm MacLachlan, and Alister Munthali. 2023. An overview of assistive technology products and services provided in Malawi. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology* 18, 4 (2023), 387–391.
- [83] Emma Stone. 1996. A law to protect, a law to prevent: contextualising disability legislation in China. *Disability & Society* 11, 4 (1996), 469–484.
- [84] Joseph A Stramondo. 2019. The distinction between curative and assistive technology. *Science and engineering ethics* 25, 4 (2019), 1125–1145.
- [85] André T Sugawara, Vinicius D Ramos, Fábio M Alfieri, and Linamara R Battistella. 2018. Abandonment of assistive products: assessing abandonment levels and factors that impact on it. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology* 13, 7 (2018), 716–723.
- [86] Eric Tam, Arthur FT Mak, Daniel Chow, Carrie Wong, Anna Kam, Lisa Luk, and Philip Yuen. 2003. A survey on the need and funding for assistive technology devices and services in Hong Kong. *Journal of Disability Policy Studies* 14, 3 (2003), 136–141.
- [87] Viroj Tangcharoensathien, Woranan Witthayapipopsakul, Shaheda Viriyathorn, and Walaiporn Patcharanarumol. 2018. Improving access to assistive technologies: challenges and solutions in low-and middle-income countries. *WHO South-East Asia journal of public health* 7, 2 (2018), 84–89.

- [88] Li Tian, Nadia Magnenat Thalmann, Daniel Thalmann, and Jianmin Zheng. 2017. The making of a 3D-printed, cable-driven, single-model, lightweight humanoid robotic hand. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI* 4 (2017), 65.
- [89] C Edwin Vaughan. 1993. The development of public policy and new laws concerning the rights of people with disabilities in the People's Republic of China. *Journal of Disability Policy Studies* 4, 1 (1993), 131–140.
- [90] Paola Volonghi, Gabriele Baronio, and Alberto Signoroni. 2018. 3D scanning and geometry processing techniques for customised hand orthotics: an experimental assessment. *Virtual and Physical Prototyping* 13, 2 (2018), 105–116.
- [91] Luyao Wang, Qihe Chen, Yan Zhang, Ziang Li, Tingmin Yan, Fan Wang, Guyue Zhou, and Jiangtao Gong. 2023. Can Quadruped Guide Robots be Used as Guide Dogs?. In *2023 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*. IEEE, 4094–4100.
- [92] Ming Wang. 2001. The development of NGOs in China. *The Nonprofit Review* 1, 1 (2001), 53–63.
- [93] Xiang Wang, Chang-Franw Lee, Jiabei Jiang, and Xiaoyang Zhu. 2023. Discussion on Sustainable Development Strategy of China's Rehabilitation Assistive Device Industry Based on Diamond Model. *Sustainability* 15, 3 (2023), 2468.
- [94] Robert P Weller. 2004. *Civil life, globalization and political change in Asia: Organizing between family and state*. Routledge.
- [95] Karna Wong, Paul Ong, and Wenjuan Zheng. 2020. *American philanthropy literature review*. Technical Report. GCPI Working Paper.
- [96] Xinye Wu and Weixu Wu. 2022. Mapping the development of disabled people's organizations in China: main types and current status. *Disability & Society* 37, 2 (2022), 351–356.
- [97] Chao Zhang. 2017. 'Nothing about us without us': the emerging disability movement and advocacy in China. *Disability & Society* 32, 7 (2017), 1096–1101.
- [98] Haomiao Zhang. 2017. The emergence of personalized service for disabled people in Sichuan Province, China. *International Social Work* 60, 4 (2017), 815–830.
- [99] Yan Zhang, Ziang Li, Haole Guo, Luyao Wang, Qihe Chen, Wenjie Jiang, Mingming Fan, Guyue Zhou, and Jiangtao Gong. 2023. "I am the follower, also the boss": Exploring Different Levels of Autonomy and Machine Forms of Guiding Robots for the Visually Impaired. In *Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–22.
- [100] Ting Zhao and Jurgen Grotz. 2019. The changing landscape of public service delivery systems for disabled people in China: a Shanghai case study. *Disability & Society* 34, 3 (2019), 498–503.
- [101] A. Zupan and M. Jenko. 2012. Assistive technology for people with cerebral palsy. *Eastern Journal of Medicine* 17 (2012), 194–197.

A DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION OF PARTICIPANTS

Table 3. Demographic Information about Demanders

Participant	Age	Gender	Highest Level of Education	Occupation	Family Monthly Income	Disability	Congenital or Acquired	Types of ATs Involved in Modification	Specific Modification Actions
D1	29	F	Bachelor's degree	independent media blogger	prefer not to say	Removed the right eyeball, there is no sense of distance when looking at things	Congenital	artificial eye	prefer not to say
D2	40	M	high school/technical secondary school	unemployed	below 450 US dollars	High-level cervical spinal cord injury, now only the head can move throughout the entire body	Acquired	electric wheelchair	Modified the electric wheelchair controller to be positioned near his head
D3	43	M	high school/technical secondary school	worker	below 450 US dollars	High-level quadriplegia, unable to move limbs. Loss of control over bowel and bladder functions	Acquired	electric wheelchair	Positioned the controller near the chin; installed a phone holder; a stylus holder; a mobile panoramic camera as a dashcam and wheelchair cushion
D4	59	M	junior high school and below	worker	below 450 US dollars	Childhood paralysis, no sensation below the waist, unable to walk	Acquired	wooden bench	Customized the length, height, and width of the wooden stool, as well as the angle of the legs and adding non-slip features to the bottom
D5	22	M	high school/technical secondary school	worker	750 to 1200 US dollars	Leg injury, unable to walk independently	Acquired	white cane, wheelchair	Decorated the cane by wrapping it with yarn, covered it with old clothing, and adding stickers for beautification
D6	22	M	high school/technical secondary school	company employees	750 to 1200 US dollars	Toe deformity with the right big toe and middle toe pointing upwards, unable to walk or run quickly	Congenital	toe straightener	Made rubber toe correctors by using molds
D7	30	M	junior high school and below	unemployed	below 450 US dollars	Osteogenesis imperfecta, prone to fractures, incomplete bone development, short stature	Congenital	toy car for children; electric wheelchair	Replaced the wheels and frame, and added a basket to the car; replaced the battery in the wheelchair
D8	30	F	high school/technical secondary school	massage therapist	prefer not to say	Visual impairment with some degree of blurred vision	Acquired	reading stand	Modified the lid of a cup with a cover to use it as a reading stand
D9	28	M	junior high school and below	unemployed	450 to 750 US dollars	Severe cerebral palsy, level one disability, completely unable to take care of daily life	Congenital	electric wheelchair	Modified the electric wheelchair to be foot-controlled
D10	50	M	Bachelor's degree	Chairperson of the Association for People with Limb Disabilities	prefer not to say	left leg amputated from the hip	Acquired	white cane	Added a shelf to the crutch to support the buttocks

Table 4. Demographic Information about Suppliers

Participant	Age	Gender	Highest Level of Education	Major	Occupation	Disability Situation	The Roles in AT customization	Specific Modification Actions
S1	34	M	Bachelor's degree	Architecture	Accessibility Facility Engineer	No	Manufacturer	Accessibility Environment Retrofit
S2	38	M	high school/technical secondary school	N/A	Wheelchair Industry	No	Manufacturer	Electric Wheelchair Modification
S3	21	M	Bachelor's degree	Electrical Automation	Electrician	No	Individual technician	Wheelchair Modification, Adding Off-Road Wheels
S4	24	M	Bachelor's degree	Acupuncture and Massage Therapy	Software Engineer	Visual Impairment	Individual technician	Develop English Learning Software for the Visually Impaired, and Enhance the Non-Visual Desktop Access Screen Reader
S5	41	M	high school/technical secondary school	N/A	Unemployed	Spinal Cord Injury	Individual technician	Adding a Front Attachment, Modifying the Seat and Installing a Rear Light on the Wheelchair
S6	20	M	Bachelor's degree	Medicine	Student	Visual Impairment	Individual technician	Suggestions for Optimizing the Infrared Cane App
S7	40	M	Bachelor's degree	Journalism and Design Studies	Designer	No	Nonprofit Organization	AT Design
S8	33	F	Bachelor's degree	Automation	Engineer	No	Individual technician	AT Customization
S9	43	M	Bachelor's degree	Services for People with Disabilities	Civil Servant	No	Government	N/A
S10	30	M	Bachelor's degree	Software Engineering	Unemployed	No	Individual technician	Wheelchair and Toilet

B MODIFICATION ORGANIZATION INFORMATION

Table 5. Organization Information and Capability Summary

Category	Source of income	Enterprise Info	Categories of ATs covered	AT modification capability							
				Demand Analysis	Software development	Basic AT accessories assembly	Basic processing like welding, cutting	Design & Customization	Physical prototype production	Factory customization & Production	
Standard AT manufacturer	Standard electric wheelchair sale	Over 40 employees, and an operating income of over 100 million	Electric wheelchair, and related accessories	achieved		achieved	achieved	achieved	achieved	achieved	achieved
Unregistered NGOs	Sales such as standard auxiliary tools, tobacco and alcohol, and the founder's work injury salary	Over 60 volunteers	Mainly wheelchair and related accessories	achieved		achieved		achieved			
Registered NGOs	AT modification teaching project sale	20 employees, and over 1500 volunteers	Various types of ATs	achieved	achieved	achieved		achieved	achieved	achieved	
Independent software development group	Software engineer job salary, and English learning APP income for visually impaired people	Independent developer	The English learning application for visually impaired people	achieved	achieved			achieved			
Independent technicians	Labor wages such as maintenance or welding	Usually self-employed	Depends on demand			achieved			achieved		

C INTERVIEW GUIDELINES

C.1 Interview Guidelines for Individuals with Experience in Modifying ATs for Others

Hello! Thank you very much for participating in our interview session. My name is xxx, and I am from the xxx. This is our group's first time conducting interviews involving individuals with disabilities, and we are not very familiar with this field, so please forgive any inadvertent offensive remarks. The purpose of this interview is to understand your usage and modification of assistive devices. Some questions during the interview may overlap with the content you have already discussed, and we hope you can understand. We will pay you approximately 8 dollars per hour for participating. The interview will last about fifty minutes. Throughout the interview, if you feel any discomfort, you can skip or terminate any question at any time. Your responses will be kept strictly confidential and used solely for scientific research purposes. May I record this session?

C.1.1 *Basic Information Collection.*

- Can you tell me your name and age?
- What is your current occupation?
- Can you tell me about your educational background?

C.1.2 *Specific Details About Disabled People.*

- When did the symptoms start?
- How do the symptoms affect daily life?
- When did you start using related assistive devices?
- Which assistive devices have you used?
- How has your experience been with using these devices?
- When choosing assistive devices, which factors were important in your decision?

C.1.3 *Background of the Interviewee.*

- Do you have a background or interest in mechanics or ergonomics?

C.1.4 *Motivation for Modification.*

- Why did you decide to make these modifications?
- What problems did you encounter with the original product (which aspects of the original equipment made the experience poor for disabled users)?
- What does modifying a wheelchair mean to you personally? What kind of changes has it brought for disabled persons?
- Did you follow any specific guidelines when modifying the wheelchair?
- Has anyone expressed surprise or appreciation for your modified wheelchair? If people on social media have inquired, have you offered them modification suggestions or personally modified for them?
- As a family member (or someone close), what are the advantages during the entire modification process?

C.1.5 *Modification Process.*

- What preparations were made (did you look for relevant information before modifying)?
- How did you communicate with the user? During the modification:
- What was modified, and which assistive devices were modified?
- Can you describe the specific operations?
- What tools were used during the modification process?
- What difficulties were encountered during the modification, and how were they resolved?
- What design decisions were made based on? (Your own experience/use, daily observations) After the modification:

- What was the usage like for the first generation after the modification? Were you satisfied? Was it as expected?
- Was there a second generation of modifications, or was it abandoned? Why?
- What have you gained from the entire process? What aspects would you like to improve to make the modification more perfect?

C.1.6 Psychological Impact of Modification.

- What changes has the modification brought about for you?
- What are your views on modification?
- What are the social and local community's views on modification?

C.1.7 Vision and Expectations for the Development of Modification Technology.

- What are your hopes or expectations for the future development of assistive modification technology?

Thank you very much for taking the time to participate in our interview. This concludes our interview. Do you have any other questions?

C.2 Interview guidelines for Individuals with Disabilities Who Use and Are Involved in the Modification of ATs

C.2.1 Basic information collection.

- Can you tell me your name and age?
- What is your current occupation?
- Can you tell me about your educational background?

C.2.2 Symptoms and Life.

- Can you briefly describe your symptoms and their progression?
- How do your symptoms affect aspects of your life?

C.2.3 A Typical Day.

- Can you describe a typical day or an event in your daily life?
- What are your daily activities like, including leisure activities?
- After the prosthetic eye modification, what daily life processes have changed from being unachievable to achievable?
- In your daily activities, how do you interact with others?
- What does a typical day at work look like for you?
- Can you describe in detail the process of going out?

C.2.4 Living Environment.

- Who do you live with?
- What should be considered in your living environment following your symptoms? What precautions have been taken to prevent collisions?
- Have there been any adjustments in your living environment?
- What things do others help you with in your life?

C.2.5 Motivation for Modification.

- What problems did you encounter during the use of the original product?
- Can you explain in detail why you decided to modify?
- What does the modified assistive device mean to you personally?

- How has the modified assistive device changed your life?
- Did you pay attention to anything particular during the modification?
- Has anyone expressed surprise or appreciation for your modification?
- How do you evaluate your own modification?

C.2.6 Modification Process.

- Where did the inspiration for the modification come from?
- What are your channels for modification information?
- What problems have you encountered with the specific technical solutions for the modification? Who did you consult?
- Through what channels do you seek to advance your technical skills?
- Do you regularly learn about assistive devices and emerging technologies?
- What kind of preparations do you make before starting the modification?
- Can you describe the specific process of your modification?
- What difficulties did you encounter during the modification process? How were they resolved?
- What problems did you encounter with your specific technical solutions? Who did you consult?
- Through what channels did you seek to develop your technical skills?
- As your condition progressed, how did you adjust your expectations for the modification?
- What was the use like for the first generation of your modified device? Are you satisfied?
- Is the effect of the modification as you anticipated?
- Have you made a second generation of modifications? What was the reason?
- After completing the modification, what have
- gained from the entire process (materially or spiritually)?
- What aspects of the modification do you still wish to improve?
- What are your visions and expectations for the development of assistive device modifications?

C.2.7 Impact of Modification on Spirit.

- How have your symptoms changed from the beginning to now?
- In this experience, have the goals of using assistive devices and modifications also changed?
- After experiencing the prosthetic eye modification, what changes have you noticed in yourself? Have your thoughts changed from before?
- What are the societal and personal perspectives on this?
- How has this changed your life?

C.2.8 Business Model.

- Would you perform similar modifications for others?
- Do you charge for modifications for others?
- How do you price them?
- How do your clients find you?
- Where do you communicate with them?
- What problems have you encountered when modifying for others? How were they resolved?
- What are the biggest difficulties and challenges you face when customizing for others?
- Do you see business opportunities in assistive device modification?

C.2.9 Publishing Video Content.

- What motivated you to start publishing video content?
- How do you feel about the content you publish now compared to before?

- How do you view comments from online users?

C.2.10 About the Community.

- How did you find the community of other modifiers?
- How do you interact with each other in the community?
- What are your feelings after joining the community?

Thank you very much for taking the time to participate in our interview. This concludes our interview. Do you have any other questions?

C.3 Interview Guidelines for Representatives from Third-party Modification Agencies and Non-profit Organizations

C.3.1 Basic information collection.

- Can you tell me your name and age?
- What is your occupation and job description at the third-party modification agency?
- Can you tell me about your educational background?

C.3.2 Background on Institutional Modifications.

- What services and products are generally provided? Is there a focus on any particular service?
- Who are the services aimed at?
- What motivated the start of assistive device modifications?
- Could you describe the founding and development process?
- Could you describe the organizational structure, such as first-line and second-line responsibilities? What makes the recruited employees different?

C.3.3 Specific Modification Process.

- How do you find effective clients?
- Through what channels do clients typically contact the institution?
- How are specific modification needs confirmed during the early stages of modification? How is communication handled, and who is responsible for it?
- What does the specific modification process look like? What difficulties have been encountered?
- Which departments are involved in the collaborative effort during modifications?
- How is the usability and scientific basis of the design ensured?
- What does the post-sale process look like?
- Could you explain the entire business model? What are the standards for fees and the post-sale process?
- How does the specificity of custom modifications affect the entire transaction process?

C.3.4 Support Related to Modifications.

- Is there support from the government and related agencies?
- How is support provided?
- What industry standards must be adhered to?

C.3.5 Visions and Expectations for the Development of Modification Technology.

- Do you usually keep up with other assistive technology developments?
- What are your views on the future of assistive device modifications?

C.4 Interview Guidelines for Personnel from the Accessibility Departments of the CDPF

C.4.1 Basic information collection.

- Can you tell me your name and age?
- Can you tell me about your educational background?

C.4.2 Current Structure and Services of the Disabled Persons' Federation.

- What are your job responsibilities within the Disabled Persons' Federation?
- What is the relationship between the Disabled Persons' Federation and other government departments?
- Does the Disabled Persons' Federation have relationships with non-governmental organizations? What kind? Such as the Blind People's Association?
- How are these relationships interconnected?
- How is the relationship between the Disabled Persons' Federation and non-governmental organizations maintained?
- . Is the economic support provided by the Disabled Persons' Federation to disabled people funded by government appropriations or something else?

C.4.3 Services for Disabled People.

- What services does the Disabled Persons' Federation provide to disabled individuals?
- How does the Disabled Persons' Federation understand and confirm the needs of disabled people (communication methods with disabled individuals)? Are visits/questionnaires proactive or reactive? What are the channels used?
- What methods are used to meet these needs?
- What difficulties are encountered in the process of assisting disabled individuals, and how are they resolved?

C.4.4 Identifying the Modification Needs of Disabled Individuals .

- How is a tender project initiated? What is the ratio of space adaptation to assistive device modification when the Disabled Persons' Federation assists with these needs?
- Can you provide an example of a tender and project implementation for both parts?
- What standards must be met or approvals obtained before a tender for assistive device modification/accessibility modification can be issued? How are the modification projects and assistive device purchases selected in the tender contract?
- Where are tenders published? How do bidders learn about tender opportunities? What is the ratio of active to passive discovery?
- Besides tendering, what other methods does the Disabled Persons' Federation use to meet the modification needs of disabled individuals? What are the proportions?
- In the process of meeting the modification needs of disabled individuals, where is the specific policy support manifested? What about the assistive device subsidy system?
- What challenges and issues are encountered when the Disabled Persons' Federation undertakes work regarding assistive devices? Views on current societal issues/problems with assistive devices?
- Are there instances where the Disabled Persons' Federation is unable to assist some disabled individuals due to procedural or systemic reasons? Can you elaborate?
- Can you discuss the current financial subsidy system of the Disabled Persons' Federation, its tendencies, and issues?