

The points of space are not points: their wavefunctions remove the ultraviolet divergences of quantum field theory

Kevin Cahill*

Department of Physics and Astronomy

University of New Mexico

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87106

(Dated: June 17, 2024)

The points $p(x)$ of space are observables that obey quantum mechanics with average values $\langle p(x) \rangle$ that obey general relativity. In empty flat 3-space, a point $p(x)$ may be represented as the sum $\mathbf{p}(x) = \langle \mathbf{p}(x) \rangle + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x)$ of its average value $\langle \mathbf{p}(x) \rangle = \mathbf{x}$ and its quantum remainder $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x)$. The ubiquitous Fourier exponential $\exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}(x))$ is then $\exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}))$. If the probability distribution of the quantum remainders $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x)$ is normal and of width σ , then the average of the exponential $\langle \exp(i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon})) \rangle$ contains a gaussian factor $\exp(-\sigma^2 \mathbf{k}^2/2)$ which makes self-energies, Feynman diagrams and dark energy ultraviolet finite. This complex of ideas requires new bosons and suggests that supersymmetry is softly broken.

INTRODUCTION

Einstein's equations $G_{ik} = 8\pi G T_{ik}$ relate the energy-momentum tensor T_{ik} to the Einstein tensor G_{ik} which is a sum of products of the metric g_{ik} , its inverse and its derivatives. The metric $g_{ik}(x)$ itself is quadratic in the derivatives

$$g_{ik}(x) = \frac{\partial p(x)}{\partial x^i} \cdot \frac{\partial p(x)}{\partial x^k} \equiv -\frac{\partial p^0(x)}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial p^0(x)}{\partial x^k} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \frac{\partial p^\alpha(x)}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial p^\alpha(x)}{\partial x^k} \quad (1)$$

of the point $p(x)$ which lies in a flat semi-euclidian embedding space of one dimension of time and $s \leq 19$ dimensions of space [1–4]. Einstein's equations therefore relate derivatives of the points $p(x)$ of our 4-dimensional curved space to the energy-momentum tensor T_{ik} which is a sum of products of hermitian operators. It follows that the points $p(x)$ are themselves hermitian operators. Their average values $\langle p(x) \rangle$ obey general relativity, and their remainders $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x) = p(x) - \langle p(x) \rangle$ obey quantum mechanics. Their coordinates x are merely labels.

EMPTY, FLAT SPACE

In empty flat space \mathcal{E} , the average $\langle \mathcal{E} | \mathbf{p}(x) | \mathcal{E} \rangle \equiv \langle \mathbf{p}(x) \rangle$ of the spatial components of a point $\mathbf{p}(x)$ is its position $\langle \mathbf{p}(x) \rangle = \mathbf{x}$ in the rest frame of the CMB. A point $\mathbf{p}(x)$ of space is the sum of its position \mathbf{x} and its quantum-mechanical remainder $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x)$

$$\mathbf{p}(x) = \langle \mathcal{E} | \mathbf{p}(x) | \mathcal{E} \rangle + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x) = \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x). \quad (2)$$

In empty flat space \mathcal{E} and in the rest frame of the CMB, the probability distribution $P(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = |\langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon} | \mathcal{E} \rangle|^2$ of the three components $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x)$ should depend only upon $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2$ and not upon \mathbf{x} and be tightly concentrated about $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = 0$. For simplicity I will assume that the components $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x)$ are normally distributed

$$P(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \equiv |\langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon} | \mathcal{E} \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2} \sigma^3} \exp\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \quad (3)$$

in which σ is a length of the order of M_{Planck}^{-1} . The present formalism may entail violations of Lorentz invariance on scales of the order of the inverse of the Planck mass.

The average value of the exponential $\exp(\pm i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x)))$ in flat space \mathcal{E} is then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{E} | \exp(\pm i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x))) | \mathcal{E} \rangle &= \int d^3\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x) P(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x)) \exp(\pm i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x))) \\ &= \int d^3\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x) |\langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x) | \mathcal{E} \rangle|^2 \exp(\pm i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x))) \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}{\sigma^3 (2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{\pm i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2/2\sigma^2} = \exp\left(\pm i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \sigma^2 \mathbf{k}^2/2\right) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

which is the original exponential $\exp(\pm i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})$ multiplied by the damping factor $\exp(-\sigma^2 \mathbf{k}^2/2)$.

FIELDS IN FLAT SPACE

The usual Fourier decomposition of a scalar field in flat space is

$$\phi(x) = \int \frac{d^3k}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_k}} \left[e^{ikx} a(k) + e^{-ikx} a^\dagger(k) \right] \quad (5)$$

in which $kx = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega_k x^0$ and $\omega_k = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2}$. Replacing the exponentials $e^{\pm ikx}$ by their average values (4) in empty flat space, we find for the averaged field

$$\langle \mathcal{E} | \phi(x) | \mathcal{E} \rangle = \int \frac{d^3k}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_k}} \left[e^{ikx} a(k) + e^{-ikx} a^\dagger(k) \right] e^{-\sigma^2 \mathbf{k}^2/2}. \quad (6)$$

As $\sigma \rightarrow 0$, the averaged field $\langle \phi(x) \rangle$ becomes the usual field (5), but as long as $\sigma \neq 0$, the factor $e^{-\sigma^2 \mathbf{k}^2/2}$ makes Feynman diagrams finite.

BASIC COMMUTATION RELATION

The equal-time commutation relation for a scalar field with \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} replaced by $\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x)$ and $\mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(y)$ is

$$[\phi(t, \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x)), \pi(t, \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(y))] = i \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \exp\left(i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x) - \mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(y))\right). \quad (7)$$

Its average in empty flat space \mathcal{E} is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{E} | [\phi(t, \mathbf{x}), \pi(t, \mathbf{y})] | \mathcal{E} \rangle &= i \int \frac{d^3 k d^3 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x) d^3 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(y)}{(2\pi\sigma)^6} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x) - \mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(y)) - (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2(x) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2(y))/2\sigma^2} \\ &= i \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) - \sigma^2 \mathbf{k}^2} = \frac{i}{(2\sqrt{\pi}\sigma)^3} e^{-(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^2/4\sigma^2} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

which approaches the usual expression as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ but is finite for all \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} .

FEYNMAN'S PROPAGATOR

For scalar fields, the Feynman propagator is

$$\Delta_{\text{F}}(x - y) = \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{iq(x-y)}}{q^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon}. \quad (9)$$

With $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x)$ and $\mathbf{y} \rightarrow \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(y)$, it is

$$\Delta_{\text{F}}(x - y) = \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{iq(x+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x)-y-\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(y))}}{q^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon}. \quad (10)$$

This expression averaged over the normal distribution (3) is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{E} | \Delta_{\text{F}}(x - y) | \mathcal{E} \rangle &= \int \frac{d^4 q d^3 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x) d^3 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(y)}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{iq(x+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x)-y-\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(y))}}{q^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon} P(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x)) P(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(y)) \\ &= \int \frac{d^4 q d^3 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_x d^3 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_y}{(2\pi)^6 \sigma^6} \frac{e^{iq(x+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x)-y-\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(y)) - (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2(x) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2(y))/2\sigma^2}}{q^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon} \\ &= \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{iq(x-y) - \sigma^2 \mathbf{q}^2}}{q^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon} \equiv \Delta_{\text{F},\sigma}(x - y) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

which reduces to the usual propagator as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ but is finite even at $x = y$.

SELF-ENERGY

In second-order ϕ^3 theory with action density

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_i \phi \partial^i \phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{3!} \phi^3 \quad (12)$$

($i = 0$ to 3), the self-energy amplitude

$$A(k, k') = \frac{\lambda^2 \delta(k - k')}{2(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2k^0 2k'^0}} \int \frac{d^4 q}{(q^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon)((q - k)^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon)} \quad (13)$$

is quadratically divergent.

If the propagators (11) averaged over fluctuations $\Delta_{F,\sigma}(x-y)$ are used, then the amplitude $A(k, k')$ becomes a convergent integral

$$A_\sigma(k, k') = \frac{\lambda^2 \delta(k - k')}{2(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2k^0 2k'^0}} \int d^4 q \frac{e^{-\sigma^2(q^2 + (q-k)^2)}}{(q^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon)((q - k)^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon)} \quad (14)$$

which at $\sigma = 0$ is the usual formula (13) and at $k = 0$ is

$$A_\sigma(0, k') = \frac{\lambda^2 \delta(k')}{4(2\pi)^3 m} \int d^4 q \frac{e^{-2\sigma^2 q^2}}{(q^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon)^2} = -\frac{im\lambda^2 \delta(k')}{4\pi\sigma^2} e^{\sigma^2 m^2} K_1(\sigma^2 m^2). \quad (15)$$

VACUUM ENERGY

The hamiltonian for a single scalar field is

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\dot{\phi}^2(x) + (\nabla\phi(x))^2 + m^2 \phi^2(x) \right) d^3 x. \quad (16)$$

Its average value in the flat-space vacuum $|\mathcal{E}\rangle$ is

$$\langle \mathcal{E} | H | \mathcal{E} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int \langle \mathcal{E} | \dot{\phi}^2(x) + (\nabla\phi(x))^2 + m^2 \phi^2(x) | \mathcal{E} \rangle d^3 x \quad (17)$$

in which, for instance, $\phi^2(x)$ is the limit of $\phi(x)\phi(y)$ as $y \rightarrow x$. Using the averaged field (6), we find for the energy density

$$\rho_m = \int \frac{d^3 k}{2(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{k}^2} e^{-\sigma^2 \mathbf{k}^2} = \frac{m^2}{16\pi^2 \sigma^2} e^{\sigma^2 m^2/2} K_1(\sigma^2 m^2/2). \quad (18)$$

The energy density due to a single fermionic mode of mass m_f is $-\rho_{m_f}$.

DARK ENERGY, DARK MATTER AND SUPERSYMMETRY

So if there are B bosonic modes each of mass m_b and F fermionic modes each of mass m_f , then the density of dark energy is an alternating sum of the densities ρ_{m_b} and ρ_{m_f}

$$\rho_{\text{de}}^{\text{th}} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2 \sigma^2} \left(\sum_{b=1}^B m_b^2 e^{\sigma^2 m_b^2/2} K_1(\sigma^2 m_b^2/2) - \sum_{f=1}^F m_f^2 e^{\sigma^2 m_f^2/2} K_1(\sigma^2 m_f^2/2) \right). \quad (19)$$

There are $B = 30$ known bosonic modes and $F = 96$ known fermionic modes if the three neutrinos are nearly massless Dirac neutrinos.

The fundamental length σ is assumed to be very short, perhaps of the order of the Planck length, so in the formula (19) for the density of dark energy $\rho_{\text{de}}^{\text{th}}$, the first two terms in the expansion of the modified Bessel function

$$K_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 m^2\right) = \frac{2}{\sigma^2 m^2} + \frac{\sigma^2 m^2}{8} \left(2\gamma - 1 + 2 \log\left(\frac{1}{4}\sigma^2 m^2\right)\right) + \dots \quad (20)$$

are the most important. Here $\gamma = 0.5772156649$ is the Euler–Mascheroni constant.

Keeping only the first term in this expansion (20), we get for the density of dark energy

$$\rho_{\text{de}}^{\text{th}} \approx \frac{B - F}{8 \pi^2 \sigma^4} = -\frac{33}{4 \pi^2 \sigma^4} \quad (21)$$

which is negative and too great by many orders of magnitude. So if the theoretical density of dark energy $\rho_{\text{de}}^{\text{th}}$ is approximately equal to the observed density of dark energy $\rho_{\text{de}}^{\text{obs}}$, then we must have $B = F$ as in supersymmetry. Thus there must be at least 66 bosonic modes. These modes must be very heavy, dark or both.

If $B = F$, then the estimate (19) for $\rho_{\text{de}}^{\text{th}}$ and the approximation (20) give

$$\rho_{\text{de}}^{\text{th}} \approx \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{2j_{\alpha}} \left[\frac{m_{\alpha}^2}{\sigma^2} + \left(\frac{m_{\alpha}^4}{8} + \frac{\sigma^2 m_{\alpha}^6}{16} \right) \left(2\gamma - 1 + 2 \log\left(\frac{1}{4}\sigma^2 m_{\alpha}^2\right) \right) \right]. \quad (22)$$

In models with softly broken supersymmetry, the alternating sum of squared masses vanishes $\sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{2j_{\alpha}} m_{\alpha}^2 = 0$ at tree level leaving

$$\rho_{\text{de}}^{\text{th}} \approx \frac{1}{128\pi^2} \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{2j_{\alpha}} \left[m_{\alpha}^4 \left(2\gamma - 1 + 2 \log\left(\frac{1}{4}\sigma^2 m_{\alpha}^2\right) \right) + \sigma^2 m_{\alpha}^6 \log\left(\frac{1}{4}\sigma^2 m_{\alpha}^2\right) \right]. \quad (23)$$

This estimate $\rho_{\text{de}}^{\text{th}}$ is generically orders of magnitude greater than the observed dark-energy density $\rho_{\text{de}}^{\text{obs}} = 2.74 \times 10^{-47} (\text{GeV})^4$ unless the alternating sum of quartic masses $\sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{2j_{\alpha}} m_{\alpha}^4 = 0$ vanishes.

CONCLUSION

Einstein's equation $G_{ik} = 8\pi G T_{ik}$ relates derivatives of points $p(x)$ to the energy-momentum tensor which is a quantum-mechanical operator. The points $p(x)$ of space therefore are quantum-mechanical operators. Their average values $\langle p(x) \rangle$ obey general relativity, and their remainders $\epsilon(x) = p(x) - \langle p(x) \rangle$ obey quantum mechanics.

In empty flat 3-space, a point $p(x)$ may be represented as the sum $\mathbf{p}(x) = \langle \mathbf{p}(x) \rangle + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x)$ of its average value $\langle \mathbf{p}(x) \rangle = \mathbf{x}$ and its quantum remainder $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x)$. The ubiquitous Fourier exponential $\exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}(x))$ is then $\exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}))$. If the probability distribution of the quantum remainders $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x)$ is normal and of width σ , then the average of the exponential $\langle \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon})) \rangle$ contains a gaussian factor $\exp(-\sigma^2 \mathbf{k}^2 / 2)$ which makes self-energies, Feynman diagrams and dark energy ultraviolet finite. This complex of ideas requires dark matter and suggests that supersymmetry is softly broken.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to Rouzbeh Allahverdi, Michael Grady, David Kaiser and Malcolm Perry for conversations and email.

* cahill@unm.edu

- [1] R. E. Greene, Isometric embeddings of Riemannian and pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1970) pp. iii+63, memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 97.
- [2] C. J. S. Clarke, On the global isometric embedding of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **314**, 417 (1970).
- [3] O. Müller and M. Sánchez, Lorentzian manifolds isometrically embeddable in \mathbb{L}^N , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363**, 5367 (2011).
- [4] L. Aké, J. L. Flores, and M. Sánchez, Structure of globally hyperbolic spacetimes with timelike boundary, Rev. Matem. Iberoamericana , 45 (2020), arXiv:1808.04412 [gr-qc].