

GROUND STATE ENERGY OF A DILUTE BOSE GAS WITH THREE-BODY HARD-CORE INTERACTIONS

LUKAS JUNGE AND FRANÇOIS L. A. VISCONTI

ABSTRACT

We consider a gas of bosons interacting through a three-body hard-core potential in the thermodynamic limit. We derive an upper bound on the ground state energy of the system at the leading order using a Jastrow factor. Our result matches the lower bound proven by Nam–Ricaud–Triay [15] and therefore resolves the leading order. Moreover, a straightforward adaptation of our proof can be used for systems interacting via combined two-body and three-body interactions to generalise [22, Theorem 1.2] to hard-core potentials.

CONTENTS

Abstract	1
1. Introduction	1
2. Scattering properties of the three-body hard-core potential	3
3. Proof of the upper bound	5
Acknowledgments.	7
References	7

1. INTRODUCTION

A system of N bosons trapped in a box $\Lambda_L := [0, L]^3$ interacting via three-body interactions can be described by the Hamiltonian operator

$$H_{N,L} = \sum_{i=1}^N -\Delta_{x_i} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq N} w(x_i - x_j, x_i - x_k) \quad (1)$$

acting on the Hilbert space $L_s^2(\Lambda_L^N)$ - the subspace of $L^2(\Lambda_L^N)$ consisting of functions that are symmetric with respect to permutations of the N particles. Such systems have received a lot of attention in recent years and have been the subject of many mathematical works [1, 5–7, 12, 13, 17–19, 21, 23, 24].

In [15], Nam–Ricaud–Triay proved that for a nonnegative, compactly supported potential $w \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^6)$, the Hamiltonian (1) satisfies

$$\lim_{\substack{N, L \rightarrow \infty \\ N/L^3 \rightarrow \rho}} \frac{\inf \sigma(H_{N,L})}{N} = \frac{1}{6} \rho^2 b_{\mathcal{M}}(w) (1 + O(Y^\nu)) \quad (2)$$

when $Y := \rho b_{\mathcal{M}}(w)^{3/4} \rightarrow 0$, for some constant $\nu > 0$. Here, $b_{\mathcal{M}}(w)$ is the scattering energy associated to w (see [16]). This was then improved in [22], where it was shown

that (2) holds for $w \geq 0$ compactly supported and satisfying

$$\|w\|_{L^2 L^1} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \|w(x, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dx \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

It was also shown in [22] that (2) holds with an error in $o(1)$ for w of class L^1 . The goal of this paper is to prove that (2) remains valid for particles interacting with a hard-core potential.

We consider a gas of N bosons with three-body hard-core interactions in $\Lambda_L = [0, L]^3$. We are looking for an upper bound on the ground state energy

$$E_{N,L} = \inf \frac{\langle \Psi, \sum_{i=1}^N -\Delta_{x_i} \Psi \rangle}{\|\Psi\|^2}, \quad (3)$$

with the infimum taken over all $\Psi \in L^2_s(\Lambda_L^N)$ satisfying the three-body hard-core condition $\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_N) = 0$ if there exist $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, $i \neq j \neq k \neq i$ with $|(x_i - x_j, x_i - x_k, x_j - x_k)|/\sqrt{3} \leq \mathfrak{a}$.¹ Here, $|\cdot|$ is the euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^9 and $\sum_{i=1}^N -\Delta_{x_i}$ is to be understood in the quadratic form sense in $H^1_s(\Lambda_L^N)$. Note that the scattering energy associated to the hard-core potential

$$w_{\text{hc}}(x - y, x - z) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } |(x - y, x - z, y - z)|/\sqrt{3} \leq \mathfrak{a}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is given by

$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(w_{\text{hc}}) = \frac{64}{3\sqrt{3}} \pi^2 \mathfrak{a}^4.$$

Theorem 1. *There exists $C > 0$ (independent of \mathfrak{a} and ρ) such that*

$$\lim_{\substack{N, L \rightarrow \infty \\ N/L^3 \rightarrow \rho}} \frac{E_{N,L}}{N} = \frac{32}{9\sqrt{3}} \pi^2 \rho^2 \mathfrak{a}^4 (1 + C (\rho \mathfrak{a}^3)^\nu) \quad (4)$$

for all $\rho \mathfrak{a}^3$ small enough and for some $\nu > 0$.

The matching lower bound was proven in [15]. Here are some remarks on the result:

- (1) The strategy of the proof of Theorem 1 can be used to generalise (2) to w of class L^1 with an error uniform in w assuming that $R_0/b_{\mathcal{M}}(w)^{1/4}$ remains bounded, where R_0 is the range of w .
- (2) In [14, Conjecture 8], a heuristic approach was used to predict that the ground state energy of a system described by the Hamiltonian (1) should satisfy

$$\lim_{\substack{N, L \rightarrow \infty \\ N/L^3 \rightarrow \rho}} \frac{\inf \sigma(H_{N,L})}{N} = \frac{1}{6} \rho^2 b_{\mathcal{M}}(w) (1 + C(w)\rho + o(\rho)) \quad (5)$$

in the low density regime $\rho \rightarrow 0$, for some constant $C(w)$ depending only on w . The error yielded by the proof of Theorem 1 is of order $(\rho \mathfrak{a}^3)^{4/7} \gg \rho \mathfrak{a}^3$, meaning that it does not capture the error at the correct order. The same problem arises in the two-body case when only using cancellations between the numerator and the denominator similar to the ones used in (18)–(20) (see for example [3]). To extract an error at the correct order in the two-body

¹Though there is no canonical choice for the three-body hard-core potential, the present choice is motivated by the Physics literature (see e.g. [8, 20]).

case one needs to push the analysis much further and identify additional cancellations, as was done in [2].

- (3) A straightforward adaptation of the proof of Theorem 1 can also be used to derive a correct upper bound at the first order of the ground state energy of a system interacting via two-body and three-body interactions. More specifically, the ground state energy $E'_{N,L}$ of a system of N bosons interacting via a two-body hard-core potential of radius \mathbf{a}_2 and a three-body hard-core potential of radius $\mathbf{a}_3 > \mathbf{a}_2$ in Λ_L is such that

$$\lim_{\substack{N,L \rightarrow \infty \\ N/L^3 \rightarrow \rho}} \frac{E'_{N,L}}{N} \leq \left(4\pi\rho\mathbf{a}_2 + \frac{32}{9\sqrt{3}}\pi^2\rho^2\mathbf{a}_3^4 \right) \left(1 + C(\rho\mathbf{a}_2^3)^{1/3} + C(\rho\mathbf{a}_3^3)^{4/7} \right)$$

for $\rho\mathbf{a}_2^3$ and $\rho\mathbf{a}_3^3$ small enough. To prove this one considers a trial state of the form

$$\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \tilde{f}_{\ell_1}(x_i - x_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq N} f_{\ell_2}(x_i - x_j, x_i - x_k),$$

where \tilde{f}_{ℓ_1} describes the two-body correlations up to a distance ℓ_1 and f_{ℓ_2} describes the three-body correlations up to a distance ℓ_2 . This generalises [22, Theorem 1.2] to hard-core potentials.

2. SCATTERING PROPERTIES OF THE THREE-BODY HARD-CORE POTENTIAL

Since we are considering a dilute Gas the correlation structure is encoded in the zero-scattering problem

$$(-\Delta_x - \Delta_y - \Delta_z)f(x - y, x - z) = 0 \quad (6)$$

on $(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$, where f satisfies the conditions $f(x - y, x - z) = 0$ if $|(x - y, x - z, y - z)|/\sqrt{3} \leq \mathbf{a}$ and $f(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow 1$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$. Note that f satisfies the three-body symmetry properties

$$f(x, y) = f(y, x) \quad \text{and} \quad f(x - y, x - z) = f(y - x, y - z) = f(z - x, z - y), \quad (7)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

By removing the centre of mass using the change of variables

$$r_1 = \frac{1}{3}(x + y + z), \quad r_2 = x - y, \quad \text{and} \quad r_3 = x - z, \quad (8)$$

we find that the scattering problem (6) is equivalent to the modified zero-scattering problem

$$-2\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}f(r_2, r_3) = 0 \quad (9)$$

on $(\mathbb{R}^3)^2$, with f satisfying the conditions $f(r_2, r_3) = 0$ if $|\mathcal{M}^{-1}(r_2, r_3)| \leq \sqrt{2}\mathbf{a}$ and $f(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow 1$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$. Here we introduced the modified Laplacian

$$-\Delta_{\mathcal{M}} = -|\mathcal{M}\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^6}|^2 = -\operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{R}^6}(\mathcal{M}^2\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^6}),$$

where the matrix $\mathcal{M} : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ is given by

$$\mathcal{M} := \left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} + 1 & \sqrt{3} - 1 \\ \sqrt{3} - 1 & \sqrt{3} + 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with inverse

$$\mathcal{M}^{-1} = \left(\frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \sqrt{3} & 1 - \sqrt{3} \\ 1 - \sqrt{3} & 1 + \sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

(see [16] for a more in depth discussion on the matter). Note that $\det \mathcal{M} = \sqrt{3}/2$.

Let f denote a solution to (9) and define $\tilde{f} := f(\mathcal{M}\cdot)$. Then, \tilde{f} solves

$$-\Delta \tilde{f} = 0$$

on \mathbb{R}^6 , with the conditions $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ for $|\mathbf{x}| \leq \sqrt{2}\mathbf{a}$ and $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow 1$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$. By rewriting the previous problem in hyperspherical coordinates we find that (9) has for unique solution

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{4\mathbf{a}^4}{|\mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathbf{x}|^4} & \text{if } |\mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathbf{x}| > \sqrt{2}\mathbf{a}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us also define

$$\omega(\mathbf{x}) := 1 - f(\mathbf{x}),$$

for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^6$.

We shall need a truncated version of f with a cut-off. Let $\tilde{\chi} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^6; [0, 1])$ be a radial function satisfying $\tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ if $|\mathbf{x}| \leq 1/2$ and $\tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ if $|\mathbf{x}| \geq 1$, and define $\chi := \tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{M}^{-1}\cdot)$. For all $\ell \in (\mathbf{a}, L)$, we define

$$\chi_\ell := \chi(\ell^{-1}\cdot), \quad \omega_\ell := \chi_\ell \omega, \quad \text{and} \quad f_\ell := 1 - \omega_\ell. \quad (10)$$

Note that ω, f, ω_ℓ and f_ℓ satisfy the three-body symmetry (7). Moreover, they have the following properties:

Lemma 2. *Let $\ell \in (\mathbf{a}, L)$. Then, we have*

$$|\nabla f_\ell(\mathbf{x})| \leq C\mathbf{a}^4 \ell^{-1} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\{C_1\ell \leq |\mathbf{x}| \leq C_2\ell\}}}{|\mathbf{x}|^4} \quad (11)$$

and

$$0 \leq 1 - f_\ell^2(\mathbf{x}) \leq C\mathbf{a}^4 \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\{C_1\mathbf{a} \leq |\mathbf{x}| \leq C_2\ell\}}}{|\mathbf{x}|^4}, \quad (12)$$

for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^6$. Here, C, C_1, C_2 are universal positive constants such that $C_1 < C_2$. Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} d\mathbf{x} (|(\mathcal{M}\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^6} f_\ell)(\mathbf{x})|^2) \leq \frac{32}{3\sqrt{3}}\mathbf{a}^4 \left(1 + C \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}}{\ell}\right)^4\right) \quad (13)$$

Furthermore, by defining $\tilde{\ell} := \sqrt{3/2}\ell$ and

$$g_\ell(x) := \mathbb{1}_{\{|x| \geq \tilde{\ell}\}}, \quad (14)$$

we have

$$f_\ell(x_1, x_2) \geq \max(g_\ell(x_1), g_\ell(x_2)), \quad (15)$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Proof. Both (11) and (12) follow directly from the definition of f_ℓ and $|\nabla \chi(\mathbf{x})| \leq C\ell^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{\{\ell/2 \leq |\mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathbf{x}| \leq \ell\}}$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^{-1}) = \{\sqrt{2/3}, \sqrt{2}\}$. To compute (13) we first write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} d\mathbf{x} (|(\mathcal{M}\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^6} f_\ell)(\mathbf{x})|^2) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} d\mathbf{x} (|(\mathcal{M}\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^6} \omega)(\mathbf{x})|^2 \chi_\ell(\mathbf{x})^2) \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} d\mathbf{x} ((\mathcal{M}\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^6} \omega)(\mathbf{x}) \cdot (\mathcal{M}\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^6} \chi_\ell)(\mathbf{x}) \omega_\ell(\mathbf{x})) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} d\mathbf{x} (\omega(\mathbf{x})^2 |(\mathcal{M}\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^6} \chi_\ell)(\mathbf{x})|^2). \end{aligned}$$

The only contribution of order \mathfrak{a}^4 comes from the first term. Indeed, using again $|\nabla\chi(\mathbf{x})| \leq C\ell^{-1}\mathbb{1}_{\{\ell/2 \leq |\mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathbf{x}| \leq \ell\}}$ and (11) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} d\mathbf{x} \left(2(\mathcal{M}\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^6}\omega)(\mathbf{x}) \cdot (\mathcal{M}\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^6}\chi_\ell)(\mathbf{x})\omega_\ell(\mathbf{x}) + |\omega(\mathbf{x})|^2 |(\mathcal{M}\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^6}\chi_\ell)(\mathbf{x})|^2 \right) \leq C\mathfrak{a}^4 \left(\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\ell} \right)^4.$$

Moreover, by writing $\omega = \tilde{\omega}(\mathcal{M}^{-1}\cdot)$ with $\tilde{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) = 4\mathfrak{a}^4/|\mathbf{x}|^4$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} d\mathbf{x} \left(|(\mathcal{M}\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^6}\omega)(\mathbf{x})|^2 \chi_\ell(\mathbf{x})^2 \right) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} d\mathbf{x} \left(|(\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^6}\tilde{\omega})(\mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathbf{x})|^2 \tilde{\chi}_\ell(\mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathbf{x})^2 \right) \\ &= \det \mathcal{M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} d\mathbf{y} \left(|(\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^6}\tilde{\omega})(\mathbf{y})|^2 \tilde{\chi}_\ell(\mathbf{y})^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{32}{3\sqrt{3}} \pi^2 \mathfrak{a}^4. \end{aligned}$$

In the second equality we used the change of variables $\mathbf{y} = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathbf{x}$. In the last inequality we used $\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^6}\tilde{\omega} = 0$ on $B(0, \sqrt{2}\mathfrak{a})$ and $\tilde{\chi}_\ell(\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbb{1}_{\{|\mathbf{x}| \leq \ell\}}$ and $\nabla_{\mathbf{y}}(1/|\mathbf{y}|^4) = -4\mathbf{y}/|\mathbf{y}|^6$ and $\det \mathcal{M} = \sqrt{3}/2$ and that the surface of the 5-dimensional sphere in \mathbb{R}^6 is given by $|\mathbb{S}^5| = 8\pi^2/3$. This proves (13).

Finally, notice that $f_\ell(x_1, x_2) = 1$ when $|\mathcal{M}^{-1}(x_1, x_2)|^{-1} \geq \ell$, which is true whenever $|x_1| \geq \tilde{\ell}$ or $|x_2| \geq \tilde{\ell}$. This immediately implies (15) and concludes the proof of Lemma 2. \square

3. PROOF OF THE UPPER BOUND

To get an upper bound on (3), we need to evaluate the energy on an appropriate trial state. To do so, we add correlations among particles to the uncorrelated state $\Psi_{N,L} \equiv 1$. Since correlations are produced mainly by three-body scattering events, we consider the trial state

$$\Psi_{N,L}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq N} f_\ell(x_i - x_j, x_i - x_k), \quad (16)$$

where ℓ is a parameter satisfying $\mathfrak{a} \ll \ell \ll L$ that will be fixed later; $\Psi_{N,L}$ is clearly an admissible state. The function f_ℓ defined in (10) describes the three-body correlations up to a distance ℓ . Such trial states have been first used in [4, 9, 11] and are usually referred to as Jastrow factors (in [10] Dyson worked with a nonsymmetric trial state describing only nearest neighbour correlations). For readability's sake we from now on write

$$f_{ijk} = f_\ell(x_i - x_j, x_i - x_k)$$

and

$$\nabla_i f_{ijk} = \nabla_{x_i} f_\ell(x_i - x_j, x_i - x_k) \quad (17)$$

for all $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

To compute the energy of the trial state (16), we first notice that

$$\nabla_{x_1} \Psi_{N,L}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{2 \leq p < q \leq N} \frac{\nabla_{x_1} f_{1pq}}{f_{1pq}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq N} f_{ijk},$$

which when combined with the three-body symmetry (7) implies

$$\frac{\left\langle \Psi_{N,L}, \sum_{i=1}^N -\Delta_{x_i} \Psi_{N,L} \right\rangle}{\|\Psi_{N,L}\|^2} = N \frac{\langle \nabla_{x_1} \Psi_{N,L}, \nabla_{x_1} \Psi_{N,L} \rangle}{\|\Psi_{N,L}\|^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{N(N-1)(N-2)}{3} \frac{\int d\mathbf{x}_N \left(\frac{|\mathcal{M}\nabla f_{123}|^2}{f_{123}^2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq N} f_{ijk}^2 \right)}{\int d\mathbf{x}_N \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq N} f_{ijk}^2 \right)} \\
&+ N(N-1)(N-2)(N-3) \frac{\int d\mathbf{x}_N \left(\frac{\nabla_1 f_{123}}{f_{123}} \cdot \frac{\nabla_1 f_{124}}{f_{124}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq N} f_{ijk}^2 \right)}{\int d\mathbf{x}_N \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq N} f_{ijk}^2 \right)} \\
&+ \frac{N(N-1)(N-2)(N-3)(N-4)}{4} \frac{\int d\mathbf{x}_N \left(\frac{\nabla_1 f_{123}}{f_{123}} \cdot \frac{\nabla_1 f_{145}}{f_{145}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq N} f_{ijk}^2 \right)}{\int d\mathbf{x}_N \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq N} f_{ijk}^2 \right)} \\
&=: \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2 + \mathcal{I}_3.
\end{aligned}$$

In the second equality we used

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\nabla_{x_1} f_\ell(x_1 - x_2, x_1 - x_3)|^2 + |\nabla_{x_2} f_\ell(x_1 - x_2, x_1 - x_3)|^2 \\
&+ |\nabla_{x_3} f_\ell(x_1 - x_2, x_1 - x_3)|^2 = 2 |(\mathcal{M}\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^6} f_\ell)(x_1 - x_2, x_1 - x_3)|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now bound each term one by one. Thanks to (14), we have

$$\prod_{3 \leq j < k \leq N} f_\ell(x_1 - x_j, x_1 - x_k)^2 \geq \prod_{j=3}^N g_\ell(x_1 - x_j)$$

and

$$\prod_{3 \leq j < k \leq N} f_\ell(x_2 - x_j, x_2 - x_k)^2 \geq \prod_{j=3}^N g_\ell(x_2 - x_j).$$

Hence, by defining $u_\ell := 1 - f_\ell^2$ and $v_\ell := 1 - g_\ell$ we have the estimate

$$1 - \sum_{j=3}^N v_{1j} - \sum_{j=3}^N v_{2j} - \sum_{k=3}^N u_{12k} \leq \prod_{3 \leq j < k \leq N} f_{1jk}^2 f_{2jk}^2 \prod_{k=3}^N f_{12k}^2 \leq 1,$$

where we used the short-hand notations $v_{ij} = v_\ell(x_i - x_j)$ and $u_{ijk} = u_\ell(x_i - x_j, x_i - x_k)$. This allows us to decouple the variables x_1 and x_2 in the numerator and in the denominator of \mathcal{I}_1 ; with (12) and (13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_1 &\leq \frac{N^3}{3} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} d\mathbf{x} (|(\mathcal{M}\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^6} f_\ell)(\mathbf{x})|^2)}{L^6 - CL^3 N \int dx(v_\ell(x)) - CN \int d\mathbf{x}(u_\ell(\mathbf{x}))} \\
&\leq \frac{32}{9\sqrt{3}} \pi^2 \frac{N\rho^2 \mathbf{a}^4 (1 + C(\mathbf{a}/\ell)^4)}{1 - C\rho\ell^3 - C\rho\ell^2 \mathbf{a}^4 / L^3} \\
&\leq \frac{32}{9\sqrt{3}} \pi^2 N\rho^2 \mathbf{a}^4 \left(1 + C \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}}{\ell} \right)^4 + C\rho\ell^3 \right), \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

under the assumption that $\rho\ell^3 \ll 1$ and $\mathbf{a} \ll \ell \ll L$. In the last inequality we used $\ell^2 \mathbf{a}^4 / L^3 \leq \ell^3$. To bound \mathcal{I}_2 we similarly decouple the variables x_2, x_3 and x_4 . Using again (11) and (12) we can bound

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_2 &\leq CN^4 \frac{\int dx dy dz (|\nabla f_\ell(x, y)| \cdot |\nabla f_\ell(x, z)|)}{L^9 - CNL^6 \int dx(v_\ell(x)) - CNL^3 \int d\mathbf{x}(u_\ell(\mathbf{x}))} \\
&\leq CN\rho^2 \mathbf{a}^4 [\rho \mathbf{a}^4 \ell^{-1}] (1 + C\rho\ell^3) \\
&\leq CN\rho^2 \mathbf{a}^4 (\rho\ell^3), \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

when $\rho\ell^3 \ll 1$ and $\mathbf{a} \ll \ell \ll L$. Analogously, we bound \mathcal{I}_3 by decoupling the variables x_1, x_2 and x_4 . Namely, using once more (11) and (12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_3 &\leq CN^5 \frac{(\int d\mathbf{x} |\nabla f_\ell(\mathbf{x})|)^2}{L^{12} - CNL^9 \int dx (v_\ell(x)) - CNL^6 \int d\mathbf{x} (u_\ell(\mathbf{x}))} \\ &\leq CN\rho^2 \mathbf{a}^4 [\rho^2 \mathbf{a}^4 \ell^2] (1 + C\rho\ell^3) \\ &\leq CN\rho^2 \mathbf{a}^4 (\rho\ell^3) \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

again under the condition that $\rho\ell^3 \ll 1$ and $\mathbf{a} \ll \ell \ll L$. From (18)–(20) we conclude that

$$E_{N,L} \leq \frac{32}{9\sqrt{3}} \pi^2 N \rho^2 \mathbf{a}^4 \left(1 + C \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}}{\ell} \right)^4 + C\rho\ell^3 \right).$$

Taking $\ell = \mathbf{a} (\rho\mathbf{a}^3)^{-1/7}$ finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

We thank Arnaud Triay for his precious feedback. L. J. was partially supported by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the authors only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Council. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them. L. J. was partially supported by the Villum Centre of Excellence for the Mathematics of Quantum Theory (QMATH) with Grant No.10059. L. J. was supported by the grant 0135-00166B from Independent Research Fund Denmark. F. L. A. V. acknowledges partial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) through the TRR 352 Project ID. 470903074 and by the European Research Council through the ERC CoG RAMBAS Project Nr. 101044249.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Adami and J. Lee, *Microscopic derivation of a Schrödinger equation in dimension one with a nonlinear point interaction*, 2023. arXiv: 2308.09674 [math-ph].
- [2] G. Basti, S. Cenatiempo, A. Giuliani, A. Olgiati, G. Pasqualetti, and B. Schlein, *Upper bound for the ground state energy of a dilute Bose gas of hard spheres*, 2023. arXiv: 2212.04431 [math-ph].
- [3] G. Basti, S. Cenatiempo, A. Olgiati, G. Pasqualetti, and B. Schlein, “Ground state energy of a Bose gas in the Gross–Pitaevskii regime,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 63, no. 4, 2022.
- [4] A. Bijl, “The lowest wave function of the symmetrical many particles system,” *Physica*, vol. 7, no. 9, pp. 869–886, 1940.
- [5] T. Chen and N. Pavlović, “The quintic NLS as the mean field limit of a boson gas with three-body interactions,” *J. Funct. Anal.*, vol. 260, no. 4, pp. 959–997, 2011.
- [6] X. Chen, “Second order corrections to mean field evolution for weakly interacting bosons in the case of three-body interactions,” *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, vol. 203, no. 2, 455–497, 2012.
- [7] X. Chen and J. Holmer, “The derivation of the \mathbb{T}^3 energy-critical NLS from quantum many-body dynamics,” *Invent. Math.*, pp. 1–115, 2018.
- [8] T. Comparin, S. C. Kapfer, and W. Krauth, “Liquid-solid transitions in the three-body hard-core model,” *EPL*, vol. 109, no. 2, p. 20003, 2015.

- [9] R. Dingle, “The zero-point energy of a system of particles,” *London Edinburgh Philos. Mag. & J. Sci.*, vol. 40, no. 304, pp. 573–578, 1949.
- [10] F. J. Dyson, “Ground state energy of a hard-sphere gas,” *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 106, pp. 20–26, 1957.
- [11] R. Jastrow, “Many-body problem with strong forces,” *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 98, pp. 1479–1484, 5 1955.
- [12] J. Lee, *Rate of convergence towards mean-field evolution for weakly interacting bosons with singular three-body interactions*, 2021. arXiv: 2006.13040 [math-ph].
- [13] Y. Li and F. Yao, “Derivation of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a general nonlinearity and Gross–Pitaevskii hierarchy in one and two dimensions,” *J. Math. Phys.*, vol. 62, no. 2, p. 021 505, 2021.
- [14] P. T. Nam, J. Ricaud, and A. Triay, “Dilute Bose gas with three-body interaction: Recent results and open questions,” *J. Math. Phys.*, vol. 63, no. 6, 2022.
- [15] —, “Ground state energy of the low density Bose gas with three-body interactions,” *J. Math. Phys.*, vol. 63, p. 071 903, 2022, Special collection in honor of Freeman Dyson.
- [16] —, “The condensation of a trapped dilute Bose gas with three-body interactions,” *Prob. Math. Phys.*, vol. 4, pp. 91–149, 2023.
- [17] P. T. Nam and R. Seitzmann, *Derivation of 3D energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation and Bogoliubov excitations for Bose gases*, 2019. arXiv: 1810.09374 [math-ph].
- [18] D.-T. Nguyen and J. Ricaud, “On one-dimensional Bose gases with two-body and (critical) attractive three-body interactions,” *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 3203–3251, 2024.
- [19] —, *Stabilization against collapse of 2D attractive Bose–Einstein condensates with repulsive, three-body interactions*, 2023. arXiv: 2306.17617 [math-ph].
- [20] S. Piatecki and W. Krauth, “Efimov-driven phase transitions of the unitary Bose gas,” *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 3503, 2014.
- [21] A. Rout and V. Sohinger, *A microscopic derivation of Gibbs measures for the 1D focusing quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, 2024. arXiv: 2308.06569 [math-ph].
- [22] F. L. A. Visconti, *Ground state energy of the low density Bose gas with two-body and three-body interactions*, 2024. arXiv: 2402.05646 [math-ph].
- [23] Z. Xie, *Derivation of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a general power-type nonlinearity*, 2013. arXiv: 1305.7240 [math-ph].
- [24] J. Yuan, “Derivation of the quintic NLS from many-body quantum dynamics in T^2 ,” *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 1941–1960, 2015.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COPENHAGEN UNIVERSITY, LYNGBYVEJ 2, 2100 COPENHAGEN, DENMARK

Email address: lj@math.ku.dk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LMU MUNICH, THERESIENSTRASSE 39, 80333 MUNICH, GERMANY

Email address: visconti@math.lmu.de