

Asymptotic Stability and Strict Passivity of Port-Hamiltonian Descriptor Systems via State Feedback

Delin Chu* Volker Mehrmann†

June 17, 2024

Abstract

While port-Hamiltonian descriptor systems are known to be stable and passive, they may not be asymptotically stable or strictly passive. Necessary and sufficient conditions are presented when these properties as well as the regularity and the index one property can be achieved via state feedback while preserving the port-Hamiltonian structure.

Keywords: Port-Hamiltonian descriptor system, proportional state feedback, regularity, index reduction, asymptotic stability, strict passivity.

AMS subject classification: 93B05, 93B40, 93B52, 65F35

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider linear time-invariant port-Hamiltonian (pH) descriptor systems of the form

$$\begin{aligned} E\dot{x} &= (J - R)x + (G - P)u, \\ y &= (G + P)^T x + (S + N)u, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $E, J, R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $G, P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, $D = S + N \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ ($S = S^T = \frac{1}{2}(D + D^T)$, $N = -N^T = \frac{1}{2}(D - D^T)$), and the functions x, y, u are the state, output, and input of the system. The coefficient matrices satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} E = E^T \geq 0, \quad \begin{bmatrix} J & G \\ -G^T & N \end{bmatrix} &= - \begin{bmatrix} J & G \\ -G^T & N \end{bmatrix}^T, \\ W = W^T &= \begin{bmatrix} R & P \\ P^T & S \end{bmatrix} \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

*Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, Singapore 119076. Email: matchudl@nus.edu.sg.

†Institute of Mathematics, TU Berlin, Straße des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany. Email: mehrmann@math.tu-berlin.de.

where for a matrix M , $M \geq 0$ ($M > 0$) denotes that M is positive semidefinite (definite) and $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ denotes the real $n \times m$ matrices. As usual in system theory, we analyze the stability properties of the system (1) via the eigenstructure of the associated *dissipative Hamiltonian matrix pencil* $sE - (J - R)$.

The class of pH descriptor systems represents an ideal modeling framework for control systems in almost all real world physical systems, see [2, 7, 11, 13, 12, 14, 15, 16] for a large number of applications and a detailed discussion of the many important properties. A characteristic property of systems of the form (1) is the availability of a *storage function (Hamiltonian)* which is given by $\mathcal{H}(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T E x \geq 0$ and that the following power balance equation holds, as well as the resulting dissipation inequality, see e.g. [11].

Theorem 1. *Consider a pH descriptor system of the form (1). Then for any input function u , the power balance equation*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}(x) = - \begin{bmatrix} x \\ u \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} R & P \\ P^T & S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ u \end{bmatrix} + y^T u \quad (3)$$

holds along any solution x . In particular, the dissipation inequality

$$\mathcal{H}(x(t_2)) - \mathcal{H}(x(t_1)) \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (y(\tau)^T u(\tau)) d\tau \quad (4)$$

holds.

The dissipation inequality (4) immediately implies that the system is *passive*, see [3, 17], but since the inequality in (4) may not be strict, the system may not be *strictly passive*.

Similarly, it is well known, see e.g. [9], that pH descriptor systems of the form (1) are *stable*, in the sense that all finite eigenvalues of $sE - (J - R)$ are in the closed left half plane and those on the imaginary axis are semi-simple. But since purely imaginary eigenvalues may occur, pH descriptor systems are not necessarily *asymptotically stable*.

Furthermore, it is shown in [9] that the *index* of a pH descriptor system, i.e. the size of the largest Jordan block associated with the eigenvalue ∞ of $sE - (J - R)$, can be at most 2, and it is shown in [10] that the *singularity* of the pencil $sE - (J - R)$, i.e. that $\det(sE - (J - R))$ is identically 0, is characterized by a common nullspace of the coefficients E, J, R .

It is well known [8] that neither (asymptotic) stability nor (strict) passivity and also neither the regularity and the index of a descriptor system are invariant under feedback. On the contrary, typically feedback is used to make an unstable or non-passive system asymptotically stable, respectively strictly passive and to make a descriptor system regular and of index at most one, [5].

The goal of this paper, is therefore, to study the question whether a pH descriptor system can be made regular, of index at most one, and furthermore strictly passive, respectively asymptotically stable, by applying a state feedback $u = Fx + v$ with $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ to (1) while preserving the pH structure.

Applying such a state feedback, the closed-loop system has the form

$$\begin{aligned} E\dot{x} &= [J - R + (G - P)F]x + (G - P)v = (\tilde{J} - \tilde{R})x + (\tilde{G} - \tilde{P})v, \\ y &= (G^T + P^T + (S + N)F)x + (S + N)u = (\tilde{G} + \tilde{P})^T x + (S + N)v, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J} &= J + \frac{1}{2}[(G - P)F - F^T(G - P)^T], \\ \tilde{R} &= R - \frac{1}{2}[(G - P)F + F^T(G - P)^T], \\ \tilde{G} &= G + \frac{1}{2}F^T(S + N)^T, \quad \tilde{P} = P + \frac{1}{2}F^T(S + N)^T. \end{aligned}$$

We present a complete characterization for the following two questions.

Question 1: When does there exist a state feedback matrix F such that the closed-loop system (5) associated with (1) is port-Hamiltonian, regular, of index at most one, and asymptotically stable.

Question 2: When does there exist a state feedback matrix F such that the closed-loop system (5) associated with (1) is port-Hamiltonian, regular, of index at most one, and strictly passive, i.e., the dissipation matrix of the closed loop system satisfies

$$\tilde{W} = \tilde{W}^T = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R} & \tilde{P} \\ \tilde{P}^T & S \end{bmatrix} > 0. \quad (6)$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present some preliminary results. In Section 3 we present the answers to the two questions and we finish with some conclusions and open problems in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we introduce some notation and present some preliminary results.

We denote the open left (right) half complex plane by \mathbb{C}_- (\mathbb{C}_+) and the imaginary axis by \mathbb{C}_0 . A column orthogonal matrix with its columns spanning the right nullspace of a matrix M is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_\infty(M)$ and with its columns spanning the range space of M by $\mathcal{R}(M)$. The Moore-Penrose inverse of a matrix M is denoted by $M^{(+)}$ and the unique positive definite *square root* of a matrix $M = M^T > 0$ by $M^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

We have the following elementary lemmas.

Lemma 2. [4] Consider $E, J, R \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ with $E = E^T \geq 0$, $J = -J^H$, $R = R^T \geq 0$, partitioned in blocks as

$$E = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} n_1 & n_2 \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{12}^T & E_{22} \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}, \quad R = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} n_1 & n_2 \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}, \quad J = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} n_1 & n_2 \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} J_{11} & J_{12} \\ -J_{12}^T & J_{22} \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix},$$

where $R_{11} > 0$. Then the following statements hold:

(i) The matrix pencil $sE - (J - R)$ has all its finite eigenvalues in the open left half complex plane if and only if

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} sE_{12}^T + J_{12}^T & sE_{22} - J_{22} \end{bmatrix} = n_2 \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{C}_0. \quad (7)$$

(ii) the matrix $J - R$ is nonsingular if and only if

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} -J_{12}^T & J_{22} \end{bmatrix} = n_2.$$

A simple characterization when a pH descriptor system can be made asymptotically stable via state feedback is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 3. Consider $E, J, R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, with $E = E^T \geq 0$, $R = R^T \geq 0$ and $J = -J^T$, and suppose that

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} sE - (J - R) & B \end{bmatrix} = n \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{C}_0. \quad (8)$$

Let $F \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ be such that $\tilde{R} := R - \frac{1}{2}(BF + F^T B^T) \geq 0$ and

$$\text{rank } \tilde{R} = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} R & B \end{bmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

Then the pencil $sE - (J - R + BF)$ has all its eigenvalues in \mathbb{C}_- .

Proof. By first performing an echelon form of B and then compressing R in the part associated with the left nullspace of B , there exist nonsingular matrices X and Y such that

$$XRX^T = \begin{matrix} & n_1 & n_2 & n_3 \\ \begin{matrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ n_3 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}, \quad XBY^T = \begin{matrix} & n_1 & m - n_1 \\ \begin{matrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ n_3 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}.$$

Note that (due to the congruence transformation) R_{11} is still positive semidefinite. Transforming and partitioning the other matrices accordingly as

$$XEX^T = \begin{matrix} & n_1 & n_2 & n_3 \\ \begin{matrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ n_3 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} & E_{13} \\ E_{12}^T & E_{22} & E_{23} \\ E_{13}^T & E_{23}^T & E_{33} \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}, \quad XJX^T = \begin{matrix} & n_1 & n_2 & n_3 \\ \begin{matrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ n_3 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} J_{11} & J_{12} & J_{13} \\ -J_{12}^T & J_{22} & J_{23} \\ -J_{13}^T & -J_{23}^T & J_{33} \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix},$$

and

$$Y^{-T}FX^T = \begin{matrix} & n_1 & n_2 & n_3 \\ \begin{matrix} n_1 \\ m - n_1 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} F_{11} & F_{12} & F_{13} \\ F_{21} & F_{22} & F_{23} \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix},$$

it follows from condition (9) that $F_{13} = 0$ and

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_{11} - \frac{1}{2}(F_{11} + F_{11}^T) & -\frac{1}{2}F_{12} \\ -\frac{1}{2}F_{12}^T & I \end{bmatrix} > 0.$$

Then

$$X\tilde{J}X^T := X\left(J + \frac{1}{2}(BF - F^T B^T)\right)X^T = \begin{bmatrix} J_{11} + \frac{1}{2}(F_{11} - F_{11}^T) & J_{12} + \frac{1}{2}F_{12} & J_{13} \\ -J_{12}^T - \frac{1}{2}F_{12}^T & J_{22} & J_{23} \\ -J_{13}^T & -J_{23}^T & J_{33} \end{bmatrix},$$

and condition (8) implies that

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} sE_{13}^T + J_{13}^T & sE_{23}^T + J_{23}^T & sE_{33} - J_{33} \end{bmatrix} = n_3 \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{C}_0.$$

Therefore, using Lemma 2 (i) we have that the pencil $sXEX^T - X(J - R + BF)X^T$ and therefore also the equivalent pencil $sE - (J - R + BF)$ has all its finite eigenvalues in \mathbb{C}_- . \square

To analyze when a system is regular and of index at most one we will use the following Lemma, see e.g. [5, 6] for an analogous result for general descriptor systems.

Lemma 4. *Given $E, J, R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ with $E = E^T \geq 0$, $R = R^T \geq 0$ and $J = -J^T$. Suppose that*

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} E & (J - R)\mathcal{S}_\infty(E) & B \end{bmatrix} = n, \quad (10)$$

and let $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be such that $\tilde{R} := R - \frac{1}{2}(BF + F^T B^T) \geq 0$ and

$$\text{rank}(\tilde{R}) = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} R & B \end{bmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Then the pencil $sE - (J - R + BF)$ is regular and of index at most one.

Proof. By first compressing the matrix E and then the matrix R in the nullspace of E , using the semidefiniteness of E and R , there exists a nonsingular matrix X such that

$$XEX^T = \begin{matrix} & n_1 & n_2 & n_3 \\ n_1 & \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & & \\ n_2 & & & \\ n_3 & & & \end{matrix}, \quad X\tilde{R}X^T = \begin{matrix} & n_1 & n_2 & n_3 \\ n_1 & \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R}_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{R}_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & & \\ n_2 & & & \\ n_3 & & & \end{matrix},$$

where E_{11} and \tilde{R}_{22} are positive definite. Set, with analogous partitioning,

$$XB = \begin{matrix} n_1 & \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ B_3 \end{bmatrix}, & XRX^T = \begin{matrix} n_1 & n_2 & n_3 \\ n_1 & \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} & R_{13} \\ R_{12}^T & R_{22} & R_{23} \\ R_{13}^T & R_{23}^T & R_{33} \end{bmatrix}, & XJX^T = \begin{matrix} n_1 & n_2 & n_3 \\ n_1 & \begin{bmatrix} J_{11} & J_{12} & J_{13} \\ -J_{12}^T & J_{22} & J_{23} \\ -J_{13}^T & -J_{23}^T & J_{33} \end{bmatrix}. \end{matrix} \end{matrix}$$

Condition (11) then implies that $B_3 = 0$. Therefore, also $R_{33} = 0$. Since $R \geq 0$ and $\tilde{R} \geq 0$, it follows that

$$R_{13} = 0, \quad R_{23} = 0, \quad B_1 F_3 = 0, \quad B_2 F_3 = 0.$$

Transforming and partitioning $\tilde{J} = J + \frac{1}{2}(BF - F^T B^T)$ as

$$X\tilde{J}X^T = \begin{matrix} & n_1 & n_2 & n_3 \\ n_1 & \tilde{J}_{11} & \tilde{J}_{12} & J_{13} \\ n_2 & -\tilde{J}_{12}^T & \tilde{J}_{22} & J_{23} \\ n_3 & -\tilde{J}_{13}^T & -\tilde{J}_{23}^T & J_{33} \end{matrix},$$

using the condition (10) it follows that

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} -\tilde{J}_{23}^T & J_{33} \end{bmatrix} = n_3.$$

Hence, using Lemma 2 (ii), we have that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{J}_{22} - \tilde{R}_{22} & J_{23} \\ -\tilde{J}_{23}^T & J_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

is nonsingular and thus the pencil $sXEX^T - (X(J - R + BF)X^T)$ is regular and of index at most one, or equivalently, the pencil $sE - (J - R + BF)$ is regular and of index at most one. \square

Using these preliminary lemmas, in the next section we present our main results.

3 Main Results

In this section we present the answers to the two questions when a pH descriptor system can be made regular, index at most one and asymptotically stable as well as strictly passive. We present proofs that can be implemented in the construction of the desired feedback and we begin with Question 1.

Theorem 5. *Consider a pH descriptor system of the form (1). There exists a matrix $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ such that closed loop system (5) is port-Hamiltonian, and the pencil $sE - (\tilde{J} - \tilde{R})$ is regular, of index at most one, and has all its finite eigenvalues in \mathbb{C}_- if and only if the following two conditions hold:*

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} sE - (J - R) & (G - P)(S + N)^{(+)}\mathcal{R}(S) & (G - P)\mathcal{S}_\infty(S + N) \end{bmatrix} = n \\ \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{C}_0, \quad (12)$$

and

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} E & (J - R)\mathcal{S}_\infty(E) & (G - P)(S + N)^{(+)}\mathcal{R}(S) & (G - P)\mathcal{S}_\infty(S + N) \end{bmatrix} = n. \quad (13)$$

Proof. System (1) is port-Hamiltonian, so, (2) holds. It follows from $S \geq 0$, see e.g. [1], that there exists an orthogonal matrix U such that

$$U^T(S + N)U = \begin{matrix} & m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \\ m_1 & D_{11} & D_{12} & 0 \\ m_2 & -D_{12}^T & D_{22} & 0 \\ m_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{matrix}, \quad U^T S U = \begin{matrix} & m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \\ m_1 & S_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ m_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{matrix}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$D_{22}^T = -D_{22}, \quad \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} \\ -D_{12}^T & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} = m_1 + m_2, \quad \text{rank}(S_{11}) = m_1, \quad S_{11} > 0. \quad (15)$$

Partitioning the resulting matrices as

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & U_2 & U_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad PU = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & P_2 & P_3 \end{bmatrix}, \\ (G-P)U &\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} & 0 \\ -D_{12}^T & D_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \\ B_1 & B_2 & B_3 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_3 &= \mathcal{S}_\infty(S+N), \quad U_1 = \mathcal{R}(S), \\ B_1 &= (G-P)(S+N)^{(+)}U_1 = (G-P)(S+N)^{(+)}\mathcal{R}(S), \\ B_3 &= (G-P)U_3 = (G-P)\mathcal{S}_\infty(S+N). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (2) we have $P_2 = 0$ and $P_3 = 0$.

Partition also a transformed feedback matrix $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ as

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} & 0 \\ -D_{12}^T & D_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{bmatrix} U^T F = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \\ m_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \\ F_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We first show the necessity of conditions (12) and (13). If the closed-loop system (5) is a pH descriptor system, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R} & \tilde{P} \\ \tilde{P}^T & S \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R - \frac{1}{2}[(G-P)F + F^T(G-P)^T] & P + \frac{1}{2}F^T(S+N)^T \\ P^T + \frac{1}{2}(S+N)F & S \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$$

which in the partitioned form implies that

$$\begin{bmatrix} R - \frac{1}{2}[B_1F_1 + B_2F_2 + B_3F_3 + (B_1F_1 + B_2F_2 + B_3F_3)^T] & P_1 + \frac{1}{2}F_1^T & \frac{1}{2}F_2^T & 0 \\ & P_1^T + \frac{1}{2}F_1 & S_{11} & 0 \\ & \frac{1}{2}F_2 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Thus $F_2 = 0$ and

$$\begin{bmatrix} R - \frac{1}{2}[B_1F_1 + B_3F_3 + (B_1F_1 + B_3F_3)^T] & P_1 + \frac{1}{2}F_1^T \\ P_1^T + \frac{1}{2}F_1 & S_{11} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0.$$

It follows that

$$(G-P)F = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the pencil $sE - (J - R + (G - P)F)$ is regular and of index at most one, and also has all finite eigenvalues in \mathbb{C}_- , it follows that

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} sE - (J - R) & B_1 & B_3 \end{bmatrix} = n \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{C}_0, \quad (16)$$

and

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} E & (J - R)S_\infty(E) & B_1 & B_3 \end{bmatrix} = n, \quad (17)$$

i.e., the conditions (12) and (13) are satisfied.

To show the sufficiency, assume that conditions (12) and (13) hold, or equivalently that the conditions (16) and (17) hold. Since

$$\begin{bmatrix} R & P \\ P^T & S \end{bmatrix} \geq 0,$$

also

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & U \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} R & P \\ P^T & S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & U \end{bmatrix} \geq 0,$$

which gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} R & P_1 \\ P_1^T & S_{11} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0,$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} R + B_1 P_1^T + P_1 B_1^T + B_1 S_{11} B_1^T & P_1 + B_1 S_{11} \\ P_1^T + S_{11} B_1^T & S_{11} \end{bmatrix} \\ = & \begin{bmatrix} I & B_1 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R & P_1 \\ P_1^T & S_{11} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & B_1 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}^T \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$R + B_1 P_1^T + P_1 B_1^T + B_1 S_{11} B_1^T \geq 0, \quad (18)$$

and can construct a feedback matrix via

$$F_2 = 0, \quad F_1 = -2(B_1 S_{11} + P_1)^T.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R} &= R - \frac{1}{2}(G - P)F - \frac{1}{2}F^T(G - P)^T \\ &= R - \frac{1}{2}B_3 F_3 - \frac{1}{2}F_3^T B_3^T + (B_1 P_1^T + P_1 B_1^T + 2B_1 S_{11} B_1^T). \end{aligned}$$

Since $R \geq 0$, we can determine a nonsingular matrix Z and orthogonal matrices

V_1 and V_3 such that

$$ZB_3V_3 = \begin{matrix} & \mu_1 & m_3 - \mu_1 \\ \mu_1 & \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mu_2 & \\ \mu_3 & \\ \mu_4 & \end{matrix}, \quad ZB_1S_{11}^{1/2}V_1 = \begin{matrix} & \mu_2 & m_1 - \mu_2 \\ \mu_1 & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B_{12} \\ I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mu_2 & \\ \mu_3 & \\ \mu_4 & \end{matrix},$$

$$ZRZ^T = \begin{matrix} & \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \mu_3 & \mu_4 \\ \mu_1 & \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ R_{12}^T & R_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mu_2 & \\ \mu_3 & \\ \mu_4 & \end{matrix},$$

where due to the congruence transformation

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ R_{12}^T & R_{22} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$$

Partition the transformed part F_3 of the feedback matrix as

$$V_3^T F_3 Z^T = \begin{matrix} & \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \mu_3 & \mu_4 \\ \mu_1 & \begin{bmatrix} F_{31} & F_{32} & F_{33} & F_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ m_3 - \mu_1 & \end{matrix},$$

with F_{31} , F_{32} , F_{33} and F_{34} to be determined and set

$$V_1^T S_{11}^{-1/2} P_1^T Z^T = \begin{matrix} & \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \mu_3 & \mu_4 \\ \mu_2 & \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} & P_{13} & P_{14} \\ \hat{P}_{11} & \hat{P}_{12} & \hat{P}_{13} & \hat{P}_{14} \end{bmatrix} \\ m_1 - \mu_2 & \end{matrix}.$$

Then it follows from (18) that

$$\begin{aligned} & Z(R + B_1P_1^T + P_1B_1^T + B_1S_{11}B_1^T)Z^T \\ = & \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} + B_{12}\hat{P}_{11} + \hat{P}_{11}^TB_{12}^T + B_{12}B_{12}^T & R_{12} + B_{12}\hat{P}_{12} + P_{11}^T & B_{12}\hat{P}_{13} & B_{12}\hat{P}_{14} \\ R_{12}^T + \hat{P}_{12}^TB_{12}^T + P_{11} & R_{22} + P_{12} + P_{12}^T + I & P_{13} & P_{14} \\ \hat{P}_{13}^TB_{12}^T & P_{13}^T & I & 0 \\ \hat{P}_{14}^TB_{12}^T & P_{14}^T & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \geq & 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$B_{12}\hat{P}_{14} = 0, \quad P_{14} = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_{22} + P_{12} + P_{12}^T + I & P_{13} \\ P_{13}^T & I \end{bmatrix} \geq 0,$$

consequently,

$$R_{22} + P_{12} + P_{12}^T + I - P_{13}P_{13}^T \geq 0,$$

and

$$R_{22} + P_{12} + P_{12}^T + 2I - P_{13}P_{13}^T = (R_{22} + P_{12} + P_{12}^T + I - P_{13}P_{13}^T) + I > 0. \quad (19)$$

and furthermore,

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_{22} + P_{12} + P_{12}^T + 2I & P_{13} \\ P_{13}^T & I \end{bmatrix} > 0, \quad \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} R_{22} + P_{12} + P_{12}^T + 2I & P_{13} \\ P_{13}^T & I \end{bmatrix} = \mu_2 + \mu_3. \quad (20)$$

A simple calculation yields that

$$\begin{aligned} Z\tilde{R}Z^T &= \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} + B_{12}\hat{P}_{11} + \hat{P}_{11}^TB_{12}^T + 2B_{12}B_{12}^T & R_{12} + B_{12}\hat{P}_{12} + P_{11}^T & B_{12}\hat{P}_{13} & 0 \\ R_{12}^T + \hat{P}_{12}^TB_{12}^T + P_{11} & R_{22} + P_{12} + P_{12}^T + 2I & P_{13} & 0 \\ \hat{P}_{13}^TB_{12}^T & P_{13}^T & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} F_{31} + F_{31}^T & F_{32} & F_{33} & F_{34} \\ F_{32}^T & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ F_{33}^T & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ F_{34}^T & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and we can choose

$$F_{32} = 2(R_{12} + B_{12}\hat{P}_{12} + P_{11}^T), \quad F_{33} = 2B_{12}\hat{P}_{13}, \quad F_{34} = 0,$$

and any F_{31} such that

$$R_{11} - \frac{1}{2}(F_{31} + F_{31}^T) + B_{12}\hat{P}_{11} + \hat{P}_{11}^TB_{12}^T + B_{12}B_{12}^T > 0.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{bmatrix} Z & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & B_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & U^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R} & \tilde{P} \\ \tilde{P}^T & S \end{bmatrix} \left(\begin{bmatrix} Z & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & B_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & U^T \end{bmatrix} \right)^T \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} - \frac{1}{2}(F_{31} + F_{31}^T) + B_{12}\hat{P}_{11} + \hat{P}_{11}^TB_{12}^T + B_{12}B_{12}^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_{22} + P_{12} + P_{12}^T + I & P_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_{13}^T & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & S_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R} & \tilde{P} \\ \tilde{P}^T & S \end{bmatrix} \geq 0,$$

i.e. the closed-loop system (5) is a pH descriptor system. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
Z\tilde{R}Z^T &= Z\left(R - \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_3 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_3 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_3 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_3 \end{bmatrix}\right)^T Z^T\right) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} - \frac{1}{2}(F_{31} + F_{31}^T) + B_{12}\hat{P}_{11} + \hat{P}_{11}^T B_{12}^T + 2B_{12}B_{12}^T & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_{22} + P_{12} + P_{12}^T + 2I & P_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & P_{13}^T & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{rank}(\tilde{R}) &= \text{rank}\left(R_{11} - \frac{1}{2}(F_{31} + F_{31}^T) + B_{12}\hat{P}_{11} + \hat{P}_{11}^T B_{12}^T + 2B_{12}B_{12}^T\right) \\
&\quad + \text{rank}\begin{bmatrix} R_{22} + P_{12} + P_{12}^T + 2I & P_{13} \\ P_{13}^T & I \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 \\
&= \text{rank}\begin{bmatrix} R & B_1 & B_3 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows from Lemmas 3 and 4 that the pencil $sE - (J - R + (G - P)F)$ is regular, of index at most one, and has all eigenvalues in the open left half plane. \square

Question 2. is answered in the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *There exists a matrix F such that the closed-loop system (5) is strictly passive if and only if $S > 0$ and*

$$R + \frac{1}{2}(G - P)(S + N)^{-1}(G + P)^T + \frac{1}{2}(G + P)(S + N)^{-T}(G - P)^T > 0. \quad (21)$$

Proof. By setting $B = G - P$, $D = S + N$, we have the following list of equivalences.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R} & \tilde{P} \\ \tilde{P}^T & S \end{bmatrix} > 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow &\begin{bmatrix} R - \frac{1}{2}BF - \frac{1}{2}F^T B^T & P + \frac{1}{2}F^T D^T \\ \tilde{P}^T + \frac{1}{2}DF & S \end{bmatrix} > 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow &\begin{bmatrix} I & BD^{-1} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R - \frac{1}{2}BF - \frac{1}{2}F^T B^T & P + \frac{1}{2}F^T D^T \\ \tilde{P}^T + \frac{1}{2}DF & S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & BD^{-1} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}^T > 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow &\begin{bmatrix} R + BD^{-1}P^T + PD^{-T}B^T + BD^{-1}SD^{-T}B^T & P + BD^{-1}S + \frac{1}{2}F^T D^T \\ P^T + SD^{-T}B^T + \frac{1}{2}DF & S \end{bmatrix} > 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow &S > 0, \text{ and } R + BD^{-1}P^T + PD^{-T}B^T + BD^{-1}SD^{-T}B^T > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e., the condition (21) holds (since $S = \frac{1}{2}(D + D^T)$). Moreover, under the condition (21),

$$F = -2(S + N)^{-1}[P^T + S(S + N)^{-T}B^T] = -(S + N)^{-1}(G + P)^T - (S + N)^{-T}(G - P)^T$$

is a feedback matrix that achieves strict passivity of the closed loop system. \square

4 Conclusions and Future Work

We have presented a complete characterization when a pH descriptor system (1) can be made asymptotically stable and strictly passive, as well as regular and of index at most one via state feedback and preserving the pH structure.

An interesting question that remains to be answered is to use the discussed state feedback to obtain a representation that maximizes the distance to instability, respectively non-passivity. For both questions only partial solutions are available, see [12] and the references therein.

Similar questions can also be studied when output feedback $u = Fy + v$ is used instead of state feedback. A complete characterization when a pH descriptor system (1) with $D = 0$ can be made regular, of index at most one and asymptotically stable by output feedback while preserving the pH structure has been presented in [4], while the question how to achieve a regular, index at most one and asymptotically stable or strictly passive system via output feedback for a pH descriptor system (1) with $D \neq 0$ is still an open problem.

Acknowledgment

D. C. was supported by a Humboldt Fellowship at TU Berlin (Germany). V. M. has been supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the SPP1984 “Hybrid and multimodal energy systems” Project: *Distributed Dynamic Security Control* and by the DFG Research Center Math+, Project No. 390685689. *Advanced Modeling, Simulation, and Optimization of Large Scale Multi-Energy Systems*.

References

- [1] F. Achleitner, A. Arnold, and E.A. Carlen. The hypocoercivity index for the short and large time behavior of ODEs. *J. Differential Equations*, 371:83–115, 2023.
- [2] C. Beattie, V. Mehrmann, H. Xu, and H. Zwart. Port-Hamiltonian descriptor systems. *Math. Control Signals Systems*, 30(17):1–27, 2018.
- [3] K. Cherifi, H. Gernandt, and D. Hinsén. The difference between port-Hamiltonian, passive and positive real descriptor systems. *Math. Control Signals Systems*, pages 1–32, 2023.
- [4] D. Chu and V. Mehrmann. Stabilization of linear port-Hamiltonian descriptor systems via output feedback. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.18967*, 2024.
- [5] D. Chu, V. Mehrmann, and N. K. Nichols. Minimum norm regularization of descriptor systems by mixed output feedback. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 296(1–3):39–77, 1999.

- [6] L. Dai. *Singular Control Systems*, volume 118 of *Lecture Notes in Control and Inform. Sci.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1989.
- [7] B. Jacob and H. Zwart. *Linear port-Hamiltonian systems on infinite-dimensional spaces*, volume 223 of *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2012.
- [8] T. Kailath. *Linear Systems*, volume 156. Prentice-Hall Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1980.
- [9] C. Mehl, V. Mehrmann, and M. Wojtylak. Linear algebra properties of dissipative Hamiltonian descriptor systems. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 39(3):1489–1519, 2018.
- [10] C. Mehl, V. Mehrmann, and M. Wojtylak. Distance problems for dissipative Hamiltonian systems and related matrix polynomials. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 623:335–366, 2021.
- [11] V. Mehrmann and R. Morandin. Structure-preserving discretization for port-Hamiltonian descriptor systems. In *58th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC), Nice, France*, pages 6863–6868, 2019.
- [12] V. Mehrmann and B. Unger. Control of port-Hamiltonian differential-algebraic systems and applications. *Acta Numerica*, pages 395–515, 2023.
- [13] V. Mehrmann and A.J. van der Schaft. Differential-algebraic systems with dissipative Hamiltonian structure. *Math. Control Signals Systems*, pages 1–44, 2023.
- [14] R. Morandin. *Modeling and Numerical Treatment of Port-Hamiltonian Descriptor Systems*. PhD thesis, TU Berlin, 2024.
- [15] A. van der Schaft and D. Jeltsema. Port-Hamiltonian systems theory: An introductory overview. *Foundations and Trends in Systems and Control*, 1(2-3):173–378, 2014.
- [16] A.J. van der Schaft and V. Mehrmann. Linear port-Hamiltonian DAE systems revisited. *Systems Control Lett.*, 177:105564, 2023.
- [17] J. C. Willems. Least squares stationary optimal control and the algebraic Riccati equation. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 16(6):621–634, 1971.