

Dissipative Superfluidity in a Molecular Bose-Einstein Condensate

Hongchao Li,^{1,*} Xie-Hang Yu,^{2,3,*} Masaya Nakagawa,¹ and Masahito Ueda^{1,4,5}

¹*Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*[†]

²*Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, Hans-Kopfermann-Straße 1, D-85748 Garching, Germany*

³*Munich Center for Quantum Science and Technology, Schellingstraße 4, 80799 München, Germany*[‡]

⁴*RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science (CEMS), Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan*

⁵*Institute for Physics of Intelligence, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*[§]

(Dated: Friday 14th June, 2024)

Motivated by recent experimental realization of a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) of dipolar molecules, we develop superfluid transport theory for a dissipative BEC to show that a weak uniform two-body loss can induce phase rigidity, leading to superfluid transport of bosons even without repulsive interparticle interactions. A generalized f -sum rule is shown to hold for a dissipative superfluid as a consequence of weak U(1) symmetry. We also demonstrate that dissipation enhances the stability of a molecular BEC with dipolar interactions. Possible experimental situations for measuring the superfluid fraction and the spectral function are discussed.

Introduction.— The term superfluidity represents a collection of extraordinary phenomena such as zero viscosity, quantized circulation, and the nonclassical rotational inertia [1], which have been actively studied in condensed matter physics and atomic physics [2–8]. A distinctive feature of superfluidity, as opposed to Bose-Einstein condensation, is the phase rigidity, which imposes a free-energy penalty against the phase twist [2] and is usually caused by interparticle interactions. Motivated by the studies of open quantum systems in ultracold atomic gases [9–12], in particular by the recent experimental realization of a dissipative Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) of heteronuclear molecules [13], we revisit this issue and find that the phase rigidity is reinforced rather than weakened by dissipation.

Quantum gases of dipolar molecules, which serve as a platform to realize clean and controllable long-range interacting systems, have received considerable attention in the fields of many-body physics and quantum simulation [13–30]. However, heteronuclear molecules inevitably suffer the two-body loss due to chemical reactions, which is particularly serious for bosonic molecules [19, 21, 31–34]. Recently, with the development of the microwave shielding [13, 23, 25, 35, 36], the first experimental realization of a BEC of heteronuclear molecules has been reported [13]. It is of fundamental interest to understand whether or not superfluidity exists under two-body loss in such BECs, since dissipation may deteriorate the phase coherence of a superfluid. While superfluid transport theory has been well established in closed quantum systems, its extension to dissipative open quantum systems is limited [37, 38]. This gap underscores the necessity of investigating dissipative superfluids in open quantum systems.

In this Letter, we develop a theory of a dissipative superfluid for a molecular BEC in the presence of the dipole-dipole interaction and uniform two-body loss. In particular, we elucidate that dissipation can induce the phase rigidity and hence superfluidity even without interparticle interactions. We use the Schwinger-Keldysh theory of open quantum systems to show that the normal fluid density vanishes starting from a BEC at absolute zero even in the presence of dissipation. This implies that the atom-loss-induced dissipation is fundamentally differ-

ent from other types of dissipation due to, e.g., thermal noise. We also show that the quantum depletion is induced by dissipation and nonzero even without interactions. The underlying physics is that the atom loss induces an effective repulsion between bosons. In contrast to a previous study [11], we focus on the dynamics of the superfluid density in the presence of two-body loss instead of steady states under single-particle loss and gain.

Furthermore, we derive a generalized f -sum rule that is applicable to dissipative open quantum systems as a consequence of weak U(1) symmetry [39] of the Lindbladian even in the absence of particle-number conservation. We also study the stability of a dissipative molecular BEC by investigating elementary excitations and find that dissipation can effectively enhance the stability of the molecular BEC with the dipolar interaction. Lastly, we discuss possible experimental situations to test the predicted superfluid density and spectral function.

Dissipation-induced phase rigidity.— We consider a three-dimensional gas of dipolar bosons [40, 41] described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d^3\mathbf{r} \frac{1}{2m} \nabla a_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} \nabla a_{\mathbf{r}} + V_{\text{int}}, \quad (1)$$

$$V_{\text{int}} = \frac{U_R}{2} \int d^3\mathbf{r} (a_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger})^2 (a_{\mathbf{r}})^2 + c_{dd} \int d^3\mathbf{r}_1 d^3\mathbf{r}_2 a_{\mathbf{r}_1}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{r}_2}^{\dagger} \frac{1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta}{|\mathbf{r}_{12}|^3} a_{\mathbf{r}_2} a_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \quad (2)$$

where m is the mass of a single boson, $U_R > 0$ is the strength of the contact interaction, c_{dd} is that of the dipole-dipole interaction, $a_{\mathbf{r}}$ is the annihilation operator of a boson at position \mathbf{r} , $\mathbf{r}_{12} := \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2$, and $\cos \theta := \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{12} / |\mathbf{r}_{12}|$. Here we assume that all the dipoles are polarized along the z -axis. The dissipative dynamics induced by two-body loss of molecules can be described by the Lindblad equation [42]

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \mathcal{L}\rho = -i[H, \rho] - \frac{\gamma}{2} \int d^3\mathbf{r} (\{L_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} L_{\mathbf{r}}, \rho\} - 2L_{\mathbf{r}} \rho L_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger}), \quad (3)$$

where ρ is the density matrix of the bosonic molecules, \mathcal{L} is the Lindbladian, and the Lindblad operator $L_{\mathbf{r}} = a_{\mathbf{r}}^2$ describes a two-body loss at position \mathbf{r} with the loss rate $\gamma > 0$.

We first investigate the nature of the superfluidity of a dissipative BEC by using an effective field theory. We begin with a BEC at absolute zero at infinite past and switch on the two-body loss. We consider the path-integral representation of Eq. (3) on the Schwinger-Keldysh contour with the action given by [43]

$$S = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \left[\int d^3\mathbf{r} (\varphi_+^* i\partial_t \varphi_+ - \varphi_-^* i\partial_t \varphi_-) - H_+ + H_- + \frac{i\gamma}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} (\bar{L}_{r+} L_{r+} + \bar{L}_{r-} L_{r-} - 2L_{r+} \bar{L}_{r-}) \right], \quad (4)$$

where we use the subscript + and - to label the forward and backward contours and $\varphi_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t)$ stands for a bosonic field. Here the Hamiltonian H_α is given by replacing $a_{\mathbf{r}}$ ($a_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger$) in Eq. (1) with $\varphi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t)$ ($\varphi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{r}, t)$), $L_{r\alpha} = \varphi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t)^2$ and $\bar{L}_{r\alpha} = \varphi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{r}, t)^2$ with $\alpha = \pm$. We note that the action (4) has a weak U(1) symmetry [39], i.e., invariance under $\varphi_\alpha \rightarrow \varphi_\alpha e^{i\theta}$, which keeps the density matrix remain block-diagonal in the particle-number representation, while the system does not conserve the particle number [44]. With the action, we introduce the Keldysh partition function [43]:

$$Z = \text{Tr} \rho = \int D[\varphi_-, \varphi_-^*, \varphi_+, \varphi_+^*] e^{iS}. \quad (5)$$

We assume that the system undergoes Bose-Einstein condensation, in which the order parameter is determined by the saddle-point condition of the action. Then, we can decompose the bosonic fields as [45]

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \varphi_0(t) (1 + \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t)) e^{i\theta_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t)}, \\ \varphi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \varphi_0(t) (1 + \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t)) e^{-i\theta_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t)}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\varphi_0(t)$ is the saddle point of the action. The fields ϕ_α and θ_α denote the fluctuation of the amplitude and that of the phase of the bosonic field on the contour α . Physically, the amplitude field ϕ represents the Higgs mode while the phase field θ represents the Nambu-Goldstone (NG) mode. The saddle-point solution is given by $\varphi_0(t) = \sqrt{n_0(t)} \exp(-i \int_0^t dt' \mu(t'))$ with n_0 being the number density of the condensate bosons and $\mu(t) := n_0(t)(U_R - 8\pi c_{dd}/3)$ representing the time-dependent frequency of the phase of the condensate. Substituting Eq. (6) into the action (4), we have the action $S(\phi_+, \phi_-, \theta_+, \theta_-)$ as a function of the phase and amplitude fluctuations. Consequently, the Keldysh partition function is rewritten as

$$Z = \int D[\phi_+, \phi_-, \theta_+, \theta_-] e^{iS(\phi_+, \phi_-, \theta_+, \theta_-)}. \quad (7)$$

Now we move on to investigate the superfluid density. Since the superfluid part is only related to the gapless phase fluctuation, we focus on the effective field theory of the NG mode in the system. Since the interaction (dissipation) terms give rise to a real (imaginary) gap for the amplitude mode, we integrate out the amplitude fields if either of them is nonzero,

obtaining the effective action for the phase mode [46]

$$S^{\text{eff}} = S_+ - S_-, S_\alpha = - \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \frac{\varphi_0^2}{2m} (\nabla \tilde{\theta}_\alpha)^2, \quad (8)$$

where we define $\nabla \tilde{\theta}_\alpha := \nabla \theta_\alpha + \boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{r})$. Here the function $\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{r})$ satisfying $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{r}) = 2\gamma m \varphi_0^2$ stands for a loss of particles into an environment, as shown below. The derivation of the effective action is shown in Supplemental Material [47]. The quadratic effective action (8) exhibits the phase rigidity and consequently leads to superfluidity. To show the superfluid component in the open system, we twist the phases on both the forward and backward contours. These phase twists can be related to the superfluid velocity as [2] $\mathbf{v}_\alpha = \alpha \nabla \theta_\alpha / m$. The current density is given by the average of the current operator, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{j} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{j}_+ + \mathbf{j}_- \rangle = \frac{1}{2Z} \int D[\varphi_+] D[\varphi_-] (\mathbf{j}_+ + \mathbf{j}_-) e^{iS}, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{v}_+ = -\mathbf{v}_- = \mathbf{v}_s$ and the current density on each contour is defined as $\mathbf{j}_\alpha = -\delta S / \delta(m\mathbf{v}_\alpha)$. The superfluid density is defined as $\rho_s := \delta \mathbf{j} / \delta \mathbf{v}_s$, which is determined by the coefficient before the quadratic term of the superfluid velocity \mathbf{v}_s in the effective action (8). From the effective action, we obtain the superfluid density

$$\rho_s = |\varphi_0|^2 = n_0. \quad (10)$$

Here we can see the origin of the term containing $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ in the action (8) from the continuity equation given by

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{j}_s + \mathbf{j}_d), \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbf{j}_s = |\varphi_0|^2 \mathbf{v}_s$ is the superfluid current induced by the external perturbation and $\mathbf{j}_d = |\varphi_0|^2 \boldsymbol{\psi} / m$ represents the flow of particles to the environment, which results in the loss of particles. Therefore, the term related to $\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{r})$ is identified with the dissipative current induced by two-body loss [43, 48] and does not contribute to the superfluid transport.

Here we point out that the dissipation itself can induce the phase rigidity and hence superfluidity even without interactions. This is because the two-body loss induces an imaginary gap for the amplitude modes ϕ_α so that we can consider the amplitude modes to vanish in the long-time limit. Therefore, we can safely integrate these modes and consider the effective field theory for the phase modes. For a free bosonic system, the amplitude modes are gapless, leading to the breakdown of the effective action (8). In closed systems, repulsive interactions are necessary for superfluidity since they suppress density fluctuations and ensure a finite compressibility [49]. In the dissipative superfluidity considered here, the two-body loss suppresses the density fluctuation by eliminating particles predominantly from where the density is higher than the average. This suppression of density fluctuation leads to the phase rigidity and hence superfluidity. Similar effective repulsive interactions induced by dissipation have been

discussed in the context of the quantum Zeno effect [50–52]. We note that the number density decays with time as $n(t) \sim n(0)/(1 + 2\gamma n(0)t)$ [38]. Hence, the superfluid density in a dissipative BEC will also decay with time.

Quantum depletion.—To vindicate the superfluidity in a dissipative BEC, we perform the Bogoliubov analysis by employing the mean-field approximation with $a_{0+} = a_{0-} = a_{0-}^\dagger = a_{0+}^\dagger \approx \sqrt{N}$ where a_0 represents the annihilation operator of a boson with zero momentum. Here we omit the time evolution of the phase of the condensate since it only contributes to dissipative currents. Let us here consider a quasi-steady state where $\gamma n(0)T \ll 1$ with T being the observation time such that n can approximately be considered as a constant over a certain time. Under the quasi-steady-state approximation, we calculate the quantum depletion defined as $n_D := \sum_{\mathbf{k} \neq 0} \langle a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle / V$, where V is the volume of the system. To this end, we generalize the phase stiffness [2] to the open quantum system by $Q_{ab} = (-1/(2V))\partial^2 F / \partial v_a \partial v_b$, where $F[v] = -i \log Z[v]$ is the Keldysh partition function (7) perturbed by a velocity field v (see Supplemental Material [47] for detail). The phase stiffness is related to the superfluid density n_{sD} in the quantum depletion as $\bar{Q} = mn_{sD}$ [2], where \bar{Q} is the phase stiffness averaged over angles. As a result, we find that the normal fluid density vanishes and hence $n_{sD} = n_D$ if one starts the dissipative dynamics from a BEC at absolute zero [47]. This result indicates that the two-body loss should be distinguished from thermal noise, which increases the normal fluid density. The underlying physics is that the two-body loss can induce an imaginary gap which gives rise to a phase rigidity. Combining with Eq. (10), we arrive at the conclusion that all bosons contribute to the superfluid transport.

We next discuss the expression of the quantum depletion density. In the weak-dissipation limit $U_R \gg \gamma$, the quantum depletion density has no linear correction of γ :

$$n_D = \frac{m^{3/2}(nU_R)^{3/2}}{3\pi^2} \left(h_1 + h_2 \eta \left(\frac{\gamma}{U_R} \right)^2 + O \left[\left(\frac{\gamma}{U_R} \right)^3 \right] \right), \quad (12)$$

where $\eta \simeq 0.76$, and $h_1(\varepsilon_{dd})$ and $h_2(\varepsilon_{dd})$ are functions of the ratio $\varepsilon_{dd} := 8\pi c_{dd}/3U_R$, satisfying $h_1(0) = h_2(0) = 1$ and $h_1(x), h_2(x) > 1$ for $x > 0$. This quantum depletion can be also expressed in terms of the complex scattering length [53, 54]

$$a_c = a_r - ia_i := \frac{m}{4\pi}(U_R - i\gamma). \quad (13)$$

In the case of $\gamma = 0$, Eq. (12) reduces to the standard result [6, 55]: $n_D = \frac{8}{3\sqrt{\pi}}(na_r)^{3/2}$. In the weak-interaction limit $U_R \ll \gamma$, the quantum depletion density is given by

$$n_D = (\gamma n)^{3/2} \frac{m^{3/2}}{24\pi^{5/2}} \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{4} \right) \times \left(1 + \frac{6U_R}{\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(3/4)^2}{\Gamma(1/4)^2} + O \left[\left(\frac{U_R}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right] \right), \quad (14)$$

which indicates that the effective repulsion caused by dissipation induces quantum depletion even without interaction between bosons. According to the definition of the complex scattering length in Eq. (13), the quantum depletion density for $U_R = 0$ can be expressed as $n_D = \frac{1}{3\pi} \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{4} \right) (na_i)^{3/2}$. By measuring the imaginary part of the complex scattering length from the scattering cross section [56–58], we can verify this prediction. We note that our results (12) and (14) are derived from the space-averaged phase stiffness. In the presence of the dipole-dipole interaction, the phase stiffness is spatially anisotropic [47].

f-sum rule.—The f -sum rule plays a fundamental role in the superfluid transport theory [6]. It is a consequence of the particle-number conservation and not expected to hold in dissipative superfluids. Here we show that weak U(1) symmetry leads to a generalized f -sum rule in the present case. We begin with the commutator between the density operator $\rho_{-\mathbf{k}} := \sum_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}}$ and the time-evolved one:

$$[\rho_{-\mathbf{k}}, \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\rho_{\mathbf{k}})] = 2i\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{N}, \quad (15)$$

where \hat{N} is the total particle-number operator and $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = |\mathbf{k}|^2/2m$ is the kinetic energy. Note that the right-hand side of Eq. (15) is independent of the strength γ of dissipation. This implies that a generalized f -sum rule in open quantum systems holds as in closed systems. We use this identity to show that

$$\int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi\omega} \gamma^L(\mathbf{k}, \omega, t_0) = N(t_0), \quad (16)$$

where $N(t_0)$ represents the total particle number at an arbitrary time t_0 , and $\gamma^L(\mathbf{k}, \omega, t_0)$ is the longitudinal component of the current-current correlation function defined as $\gamma^{i,j}(\mathbf{k}, \omega, t_0) = m \langle \int dt e^{i\omega t} [j_t^i(\mathbf{k}, t_0 + t), j_t^j(-\mathbf{k}, t_0)] \rangle$ with \mathbf{j}_t being the total current of the system [47, 48], given by

$$\mathbf{j}_t = \frac{i}{2m} (\nabla a_r^\dagger a_r - a_r^\dagger \nabla a_r) + \mathbf{j}_d(\mathbf{r}). \quad (17)$$

Here $\mathbf{j}_d(\mathbf{r}) = \gamma \int \frac{d\mathbf{r}'}{2\pi} \frac{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|^3} a_{\mathbf{r}'}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{r}'} a_{\mathbf{r}}$ represents the dissipative current. Equation (16) can be interpreted as the generalized f -sum rule in open quantum systems. The normal fluid density corresponds to the transverse part of the current-current correlation function. The difference between the longitudinal part and the transverse part of the correlation function $\gamma^{i,j}(\mathbf{k}, \omega, t_0)$ defines the superfluid component [55]. The generalized f -sum rule (16) implies that the longitudinal response is determined by the total particle number at a certain time. Here the generalized f -sum rule is a direct consequence of the weak U(1) symmetry of the Lindbladian (3) which holds even in the absence of particle-number conservation [43, 44]. Weak U(1) symmetry preserves the block diagonal form of the density matrix in the particle-number basis, and hence the f -sum rule holds in each particle-number sector, leading to the generalized f -sum rule. Thus the generalized f -sum rule (16) is a general and exact result.

Excitation spectrum and stability of a molecular BEC.— We now investigate the excitation spectrum of a dissipative BEC. With the mean-field and quasi-steady-state approximations, the action (4) is rewritten as

$$S = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \omega} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}, \omega}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} h_+^{2 \times 2} & B \\ B^\dagger & h_-^{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}, \omega} \quad (18)$$

where $\Psi_{\mathbf{k}, \omega} = (a_{\mathbf{k}, \omega, +}, a_{-\mathbf{k}, \omega, +}^\dagger, a_{\mathbf{k}, \omega, -}, a_{-\mathbf{k}, \omega, -}^\dagger)^T$,

$$h_\pm^{2 \times 2} = \mp \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}, U}^\mp - \omega & \mp(\tilde{U}_R - i\gamma)n \\ \mp(\tilde{U}_R + i\gamma)n & \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}, U}^\mp + \omega \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2i\gamma n \end{pmatrix}$ with $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}, U}^\mp := \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \tilde{U}_R n \mp 2i\gamma n$,

and $\tilde{U}_R(\mathbf{k}) := U_R[1 - \varepsilon_{dd}(1 - 3\cos^2\theta_{\mathbf{k}})]$. The Green's functions of bosonic fields [59] are given by the inverse of the Gaussian matrix in Eq. (18) (see Supplementary Material for details [47]). The excitation spectrum can be obtained from the poles of the Green's function determined by

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} h_+^{2 \times 2} & B \\ B^\dagger & h_-^{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix} = 0:$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{1,2} &= -2i\gamma n \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2\tilde{U}_R(\mathbf{k})n) - \gamma^2 n^2}, \\ \omega_{3,4} &= 2i\gamma n \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2\tilde{U}_R(\mathbf{k})n) - \gamma^2 n^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The spectra $\omega_{1,2}$ originate from the poles of the retarded Green's function, which are also eigen-frequencies of the non-Hermitian Gross-Pitaevskii equation [60]. Similarly, $\omega_{3,4}$ are complex conjugate to $\omega_{1,2}$, representing the poles of the advanced Green's function [61].

We investigate the dynamical stability of the dissipative BEC via the complex spectra in Eq. (20). The system is dynamically stable if and only if the two retarded (advanced) spectra $\omega_{1,2}$ ($\omega_{3,4}$) both have negative (positive) imaginary parts [38]. We find that the system becomes stable when $\varepsilon_{dd} < 1 + \sqrt{3}\gamma/U_R$. We note that the two-body loss enlarges the stable region. In Fig. 1, we show the stable and unstable regions of the molecular BEC on the plane of ε_{dd} and γ/U_R . Physically, the enhanced stability due to dissipation can be understood as a consequence of an effective repulsive interaction induced by dissipation. A similar result for a dissipative attractive BEC has been obtained in Ref. [38]. This stability analysis sets an upper bound on the dipolar interaction strength in order for the molecular BEC to be stable.

One can experimentally measure the complex spectrum from the spectral function, which is defined as

$$A(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{i}{2\pi} (G^>(\mathbf{k}, \omega) + G^<(\mathbf{k}, \omega)), \quad (21)$$

where the lesser and greater Green's function is defined as $G^<(\mathbf{k}, \omega) := -i\langle a_{\mathbf{k}, \omega, +} a_{\mathbf{k}, \omega, -}^\dagger \rangle$ and $G^>(\mathbf{k}, \omega) := -i\langle a_{\mathbf{k}, \omega, -} a_{\mathbf{k}, \omega, +}^\dagger \rangle$. The detailed expressions and the plots of the spectral function are shown in the Supplemental Material




FIG. 1. Stability diagram of a molecular dipolar BEC on the plane of $\varepsilon_{dd} = 8\pi c_{dd}/(3U_R)$ and γ/U_R . In the absence of dissipation, the system becomes unstable for $\varepsilon_{dd} > 1$. In the presence of dissipation, the system becomes stable for $\varepsilon_{dd} < 1 + \sqrt{3}\gamma/U_R$. Thus the two-body loss enlarges the stable region.

[47]. We note that the peak frequency of the spectral function $\omega_{\text{peak}} = \text{argmax}_{\omega} A(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ encodes the information of the complex spectra and shows distinct behavior in the weak-interaction and weak-dissipation limits.

Possible experimental situations.—We discuss an experimental method to test our predictions. The superfluid density can be measured from the total angular momentum $\langle L \rangle$ of a quantum gas under a synthetic gauge field in close analogy with the measurement of the nonclassical rotational inertia [62, 63]:

$$\frac{\rho_s}{\rho_t} = 1 - \lim_{\omega_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\langle L \rangle}{I_{\text{cl}} \omega_{\text{eff}}}, \quad (22)$$

where ω_{eff} is an effective angular momentum induced by two Laguerre-Gauss beams [62] and I_{cl} represents the classical moment of inertia of the fluid. $\langle L \rangle$ can also be measured from the occupation number of particles in different angular momentum states [62]. The spectral function can be measured by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy in ultracold atomic systems [64, 65]. The anisotropic excitation spectrum can also be observed by Bragg spectroscopy [66].

Conclusion.—In this Letter, we have investigated the transport property of a dissipative molecular BEC with two-body loss and unveiled that superfluidity can be induced solely through dissipation without recourse to interparticle interaction. We have demonstrated that the normal fluid density in the dissipative BEC vanishes at absolute zero, i.e., all bosons contribute to superfluid transport in the presence of dissipation. We have also found that the quantum depletion is induced by dissipation. This finding demonstrates that dissipation can cause the phase rigidity due to an effective repulsive interaction between bosons. We have further investigated the spectrum and the stability of a dissipative molecular BEC, which can be observed from the angle-resolved measurement of the spectral function.

We note that our results can be also applicable to atomic systems. An atomic BEC with controllable interaction and dissipation can be prepared experimentally [9, 67, 68]. The interaction strength U_R between particles can be modulated using the Feshbach resonance [69–73]. To induce the two-

body loss, photoassociation techniques can be utilized [9, 74–77].

We are grateful to Zongping Gong, Yukai Lu, Waseem S. Bakr and Masaya Kunimi for fruitful discussion. H. L. is supported by Forefront Physics and Mathematics Program to Drive Transformation (FoPM), a World-leading Innovative Graduate Study (WINGS) Program, the University of Tokyo. M.N. is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP20K14383 and No. JP24K16989. M.U. is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP22H01152 and the CREST program “Quantum Frontiers” of JST (Grand No. JPMJCR2311).

* These two authors contributed equally to this work.

† lhc@cat.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

‡ xiehang.yu@mpq.mpg.de

§ ueda@cat.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

- [1] A. J. Leggett, *Quantum Liquids: Bose condensation and Cooper pairing in condensed-matter systems* (Oxford University Press, 2006) https://academic.oup.com/book/32814/book-pdf/50324309/9780191523540_web.pdf.
- [2] P. Coleman, *Introduction to Many-Body Physics* (Cambridge University Press, 2015).
- [3] A. Schmitt, *Introduction to Superfluidity*, Vol. 888 (Springer International Publishing, 2015).
- [4] R. P. Feynman, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **29**, 205 (1957).
- [5] A. J. Leggett, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **47**, 331 (1975).
- [6] M. Ueda, *Fundamentals and New Frontiers of Bose-Einstein Condensation* (WORLD SCIENTIFIC, 2010).
- [7] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, 463 (1999).
- [8] C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, 2008).
- [9] T. Tomita, S. Nakajima, I. Danshita, Y. Takasu, and Y. Takahashi, *Science Advances* **3**, e1701513 (2017), <https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/sciadv.1701513>.
- [10] N. Dogra, M. Landini, K. Kroeger, L. Hruby, T. Donner, and T. Esslinger, *Science* **366**, 1496 (2019).
- [11] S. Diehl, A. Micheli, A. Kantian, B. Kraus, H. P. Büchler, and P. Zoller, *Nature Physics* **4**, 878 (2008).
- [12] T. Tomita, S. Nakajima, Y. Takasu, and Y. Takahashi, *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 031601 (2019).
- [13] N. Bigagli, W. Yuan, S. Zhang, B. Bulatovic, T. Karman, I. Stevenson, and S. Will, *Nature* **10.1038/s41586-024-07492-z** (2024).
- [14] K.-K. Ni, S. Ospelkaus, M. H. G. de Miranda, A. Pe’er, B. Neyenhuis, J. J. Zirbel, S. Kotochigova, P. S. Julienne, D. S. Jin, and J. Ye, *Science* **322**, 231 (2008), <https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.1163861>.
- [15] K. K. Ni, S. Ospelkaus, D. Wang, G. Quémener, B. Neyenhuis, M. H. G. de Miranda, J. L. Bohn, J. Ye, and D. S. Jin, *Nature* **464**, 1324 (2010).
- [16] A. Christianen, M. W. Zwierlein, G. C. Groenenboom, and T. Karman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 123402 (2019).
- [17] Y. Liu, M.-G. Hu, M. A. Nichols, D. D. Grimes, T. Karman, H. Guo, and K.-K. Ni, *Nature Physics* **16**, 1132 (2020).
- [18] R. Bause, A. Schindewolf, R. Tao, M. Duda, X.-Y. Chen, G. Quémener, T. Karman, A. Christianen, I. Bloch, and X.-Y. Luo, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **3**, 033013 (2021).
- [19] P. Gersema, K. K. Voges, M. Meyer zum Alten Borgloh, L. Koch, T. Hartmann, A. Zenesini, S. Ospelkaus, J. Lin, J. He, and D. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 163401 (2021).
- [20] J. S. Rosenberg, L. Christakis, E. Guardado-Sanchez, Z. Z. Yan, and W. S. Bakr, *Nature Physics* **18**, 1062 (2022).
- [21] R. Bause, A. Christianen, A. Schindewolf, I. Bloch, and X.-Y. Luo, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **127**, 729 (2023).
- [22] S. Ospelkaus, K. K. Ni, D. Wang, M. H. G. de Miranda, B. Neyenhuis, G. Quémener, P. S. Julienne, J. L. Bohn, D. S. Jin, and J. Ye, *Science* **327**, 853 (2010).
- [23] T. Karman and J. M. Hutson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 163401 (2018).
- [24] S. A. Will, J. W. Park, Z. Z. Yan, H. Loh, and M. W. Zwierlein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 225306 (2016).
- [25] J. Lin, G. Chen, M. Jin, Z. Shi, F. Deng, W. Zhang, G. Quémener, T. Shi, S. Yi, and D. Wang, *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 031032 (2023).
- [26] Z. Z. Yan, J. W. Park, Y. Ni, H. Loh, S. Will, T. Karman, and M. Zwierlein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 063401 (2020).
- [27] W. B. Cairncross, J. T. Zhang, L. R. B. Picard, Y. Yu, K. Wang, and K.-K. Ni, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 123402 (2021).
- [28] A. Z. Lam, N. Bigagli, C. Warner, W. Yuan, S. Zhang, E. Tiemann, I. Stevenson, and S. Will, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **4**, L022019 (2022).
- [29] Z. Guo, F. Jia, B. Zhu, L. Li, J. M. Hutson, and D. Wang, *Phys. Rev. A* **105**, 023313 (2022).
- [30] W.-J. Jin, F. Deng, S. Yi, and T. Shi, Bose-einstein condensates of microwave-shielded polar molecules (2024), [arXiv:2406.06412 \[cond-mat.quant-gas\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.06412).
- [31] T. Takekoshi, L. Reichsöllner, A. Schindewolf, J. M. Hutson, C. R. Le Sueur, O. Dulieu, F. Ferlaino, R. Grimm, and H.-C. Nägerl, *Physical review letters* **113**, 205301 (2014).
- [32] M. Guo, B. Zhu, B. Lu, X. Ye, F. Wang, R. Vexiau, N. Bouloufa-Maafa, G. Quémener, O. Dulieu, and D. Wang, *Physical review letters* **116**, 205303 (2016).
- [33] M. Guo, X. Ye, J. He, M. L. González-Martínez, R. Vexiau, G. Quémener, and D. Wang, *Physical Review X* **8**, 041044 (2018).
- [34] I. Stevenson, A. Z. Lam, N. Bigagli, C. Warner, W. Yuan, S. Zhang, and S. Will, *Physical Review Letters* **130**, 113002 (2023).
- [35] L. Anderegg, S. Burchesky, Y. Bao, S. S. Yu, T. Karman, E. Chae, K.-K. Ni, W. Ketterle, and J. M. Doyle, *Science* **373**, 779 (2021).
- [36] A. Schindewolf, R. Bause, X.-Y. Chen, M. Duda, T. Karman, I. Bloch, and X.-Y. Luo, *Nature* **607**, 677 (2022).
- [37] J. Keeling, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 080402 (2011).
- [38] C. Wang, C. Liu, and Z.-Y. Shi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 203401 (2022).
- [39] B. Buča and T. Prosen, *New Journal of Physics* **14**, 073007 (2012).
- [40] T. Lahaye, C. Menotti, L. Santos, M. Lewenstein, and T. Pfau, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **72**, 126401 (2009).
- [41] L. Chomaz, I. Ferrier-Barbut, F. Ferlaino, B. Laburthe-Tolra, B. L. Lev, and T. Pfau, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **86**, 026401 (2022).
- [42] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford University Press, 2007).
- [43] L. M. Sieberer, M. Buchhold, and S. Diehl, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **79**, 096001 (2016).
- [44] V. V. Albert and L. Jiang, *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 022118 (2014).
- [45] X.-G. Wen, *Quantum Field Theory of Many-Body Systems: From the Origin of Sound to an Origin of Light and Electrons* (Oxford University Press, 2007).
- [46] M. Hongo, S. Kim, T. Noumi, and A. Ota, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**,

- 056020 (2021).
- [47] See supplemental material for details.
- [48] R. Gebauer and R. Car, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 160404 (2004).
- [49] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 885 (2008).
- [50] N. Syassen, D. M. Bauer, M. Lettner, T. Volz, D. Dietze, J. J. García-Ripoll, J. I. Cirac, G. Rempe, and S. Dürr, *Science* **320**, 1329 (2008), <https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.1155309>.
- [51] K. Yamamoto, M. Nakagawa, K. Adachi, K. Takasan, M. Ueda, and N. Kawakami, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 123601 (2019).
- [52] H. Li, X.-H. Yu, M. Nakagawa, and M. Ueda, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 216001 (2023).
- [53] S. Dürr, J. J. García-Ripoll, N. Syassen, D. M. Bauer, M. Lettner, J. I. Cirac, and G. Rempe, *Phys. Rev. A* **79**, 023614 (2009).
- [54] M. Iskin, *Phys. Rev. A* **103**, 013724 (2021).
- [55] R. C. Clark and G. H. Derrick, *Mathematical Methods in Solid State and Superfluid Theory*, 1st ed., edited by R. C. Clark and G. H. Derrick (Springer US, 1968).
- [56] M. G. Huber, M. Arif, W. C. Chen, T. R. Gentile, D. S. Hussey, T. C. Black, D. A. Pushin, C. B. Shahi, F. E. Wietfeldt, and L. Yang, *Phys. Rev. C* **90**, 064004 (2014).
- [57] G. S. Mutchler, J. Clement, J. Kruk, R. Moss, E. Hungerford, T. Kishimoto, B. Mayes, L. Pinsky, L. Tang, Y. Xue, B. Bassalleck, T. Armstrong, K. Hartman, A. Hicks, R. Lewis, W. Lochstet, G. A. Smith, D. Lowenstein, H. Poth, W. von Witsch, and M. Furic, *Phys. Rev. D* **38**, 742 (1988).
- [58] B. Li, X.-F. Zhang, Y.-Q. Li, Y. Chen, and W. M. Liu, *Phys. Rev. A* **78**, 023608 (2008).
- [59] A. Kamenev, *Field Theory of Non-Equilibrium Systems* (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
- [60] C. Liu, Z.-Y. Shi, and C. Wang, Weakly interacting bose gas with two-body losses (2022), [arXiv:2209.10427](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.10427) [cond-mat.quant-gas].
- [61] Due to the nonunitary Bogoliubov transformation, the amplitude modes have mixed with the phase modes, leading to the difference between the spectrums of the NG mode and that of the bosonic operators.
- [62] N. R. Cooper and Z. Hadzibabic, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 030401 (2010).
- [63] P.-K. Chen, L.-R. Liu, M.-J. Tsai, N.-C. Chiu, Y. Kawaguchi, S.-K. Yip, M.-S. Chang, and Y.-J. Lin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 250401 (2018).
- [64] P. T. Brown, E. Guardado-Sanchez, B. M. Spar, E. W. Huang, T. P. Devereaux, and W. S. Bakr, *Nature Physics* **16**, 26 (2020).
- [65] A. Bohrdt, D. Greif, E. Demler, M. Knap, and F. Grusdt, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 125117 (2018).
- [66] G. Bismut, B. Laburthe-Tolra, E. Maréchal, P. Pedri, O. Gorceix, and L. Vernac, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 155302 (2012).
- [67] T. Weber, J. Herbig, M. Mark, H.-C. Nägerl, and R. Grimm, *Science* **299**, 232 (2003), <https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.1079699>.
- [68] M. Horvath, S. Dhar, A. Das, M. D. Frye, Y. Guo, J. M. Hutson, M. Landini, and H.-C. Nägerl, *Nature Communications* **15**, 3739 (2024).
- [69] E. A. Donley, N. R. Claussen, S. T. Thompson, and C. E. Wieman, *Nature* **417**, 529 (2002).
- [70] M. Höfer, L. Riegger, F. Scazza, C. Hofrichter, D. R. Fernandes, M. M. Parish, J. Levinsen, I. Bloch, and S. Fölling, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 265302 (2015).
- [71] S. Inouye, M. R. Andrews, J. Stenger, H.-J. Miesner, D. M. Stamper-Kurn, and W. Ketterle, *Nature* **392**, 151 (1998).
- [72] C. Chin, R. Grimm, P. Julienne, and E. Tiesinga, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 1225 (2010).
- [73] K. Winkler, G. Thalhammer, F. Lang, R. Grimm, J. H. Denschlag, A. J. Daley, A. Kantian, H. P. Büchler, and P. Zoller, *Nature* **441**, 853 (2006).
- [74] C. McKenzie, J. Hecker Denschlag, H. Häffner, A. Browaeys, L. E. E. de Araujo, F. K. Fatemi, K. M. Jones, J. E. Simsarian, D. Cho, A. Simoni, E. Tiesinga, P. S. Julienne, K. Helmerson, P. D. Lett, S. L. Rolston, and W. D. Phillips, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 120403 (2002).
- [75] M. Junker, D. Dries, C. Welford, J. Hitchcock, Y. P. Chen, and R. G. Hulet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 060406 (2008).
- [76] D. B. Blasing, J. Pérez-Ríos, Y. Yan, S. Dutta, C.-H. Li, Q. Zhou, and Y. P. Chen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 073202 (2018).
- [77] K. Honda, S. Taie, Y. Takasu, N. Nishizawa, M. Nakagawa, and Y. Takahashi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 063001 (2023).
- [78] O. Scarlatella, A. A. Clerk, and M. Schiro, *New Journal of Physics* **21**, 043040 (2019).
- [79] G. D. Mahan, *Many-Particle Physics* (Springer US, 2000).

Supplemental Material for
“Dissipative Superfluidity in a Molecular Bose-Einstein Condensate”

CONTENTS

References	5
Derivation of The Effective Action	S1
Effective Action in Closed Quantum Systems	S1
Effective Action in Open Quantum Systems without a Dipolar Interaction	S3
Effective Action in Open Quantum Systems with a Dipolar Interaction	S4
<i>f</i> -sum Rule	S6
Derivation of the Quantum Depletion Density	S10
The Case without Dipolar Interaction	S11
The Case with Dipolar Interaction	S18
Derivation of The Spectral Function and the Excitation Spectrum	S20

Derivation of The Effective Action

Effective Action in Closed Quantum Systems

We derive the effective Keldysh action for a dissipative Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) that is used in the main text. To set the basis for discussions, we first review the theory of superfluidity in a closed bosonic system [45]. Let φ_+ and φ_- be the bosonic field for the forward and backward paths, respectively. Then the action of the system is given by

$$S = \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} [i\varphi_+^*(\mathbf{r}, t)\partial_t\varphi_+(\mathbf{r}, t) - H_+ - i\varphi_-^*(\mathbf{r}, t)\partial_t\varphi_-(\mathbf{r}, t) + H_-], \quad (\text{S.1})$$

where

$$H_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2m}(\nabla\varphi_{\pm}^*) \cdot (\nabla\varphi_{\pm}) - \mu|\varphi_{\pm}|^2 + \frac{U}{2}|\varphi_{\pm}|^4. \quad (\text{S.2})$$

Let us introduce the retarded and advanced fields [46] defined by

$$\varphi_R = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_+ + \varphi_-), \varphi_A = \varphi_+ - \varphi_-, \quad (\text{S.3})$$

which correspond to the classical and quantum fields in Refs. [43, 59]. In terms of them, the action (S.1) can be expressed as

$$S = \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[i\varphi_R^*\partial_t\varphi_A + i\varphi_A^*\partial_t\varphi_R - \frac{1}{2m}(\nabla\varphi_R^*) \cdot (\nabla\varphi_A) - \frac{1}{2m}(\nabla\varphi_A^*) \cdot (\nabla\varphi_R) \right] \\ + \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[\mu|\varphi_+|^2 - \mu|\varphi_-|^2 - \frac{U}{2}|\varphi_+|^4 + \frac{U}{2}|\varphi_-|^4 \right]. \quad (\text{S.4})$$

Let us decompose the bosonic fields φ_+ , φ_- around a U(1)-symmetry-broken ground state φ_0 as [46]

$$\varphi_+ = \varphi_0(1 + \phi_+)e^{i\theta_+}, \quad (\text{S.5})$$

$$\varphi_- = \varphi_0(1 + \phi_-)e^{i\theta_-}, \quad (\text{S.6})$$

where ϕ_{α} and θ_{α} represent the Higgs mode and the Nambu-Goldstone mode on the contour $\alpha = \pm$. At the first step, we consider a uniform field solution φ_0 . The value of φ_R is determined from the mean-field equation $\delta S/\delta\varphi_A = 0$ and setting $\varphi_A = 0$, which is given by

$$(i\partial_t + \mu - U|\varphi_R|^2 - U|\varphi_A|^2)\varphi_R = 0. \quad (\text{S.7})$$

The solution to this equation is

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_R &= \sqrt{n_0}, \\ \mu &= n_0 U, \\ \varphi_A &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

where n_0 is the particle-number density of the condensate. Thus, we have $\varphi_0 = \sqrt{n_0}$. By expanding the action (S.1) up to the second order in ϕ_{\pm} and θ_{\pm} , we have

$$S = S_+ - S_-, \quad (\text{S.8})$$

where

$$S_{\alpha} = \int dt d^3 \mathbf{r} \left[-\varphi_0^2 \partial_t \theta_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2m} \varphi_0^2 (\nabla \theta_{\alpha})^2 - 2\varphi_0^2 \phi_{\alpha} \partial_t \theta_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2m} \varphi_0^2 (\nabla \phi_{\alpha})^2 - 2U \varphi_0^4 \phi_{\alpha}^2 \right]. \quad (\text{S.9})$$

By substituting the retarded and advanced fields in Eq. (S.3) into Eqs. (S.8) and (S.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}S &= \int dt d^3 \mathbf{r} \left[-\varphi_0^2 \partial_t \theta_A - \frac{1}{m} \varphi_0^2 (\nabla \theta_R) (\nabla \theta_A) - 2\varphi_0^2 \phi_R \partial_t \theta_A - 2\varphi_0^2 \phi_A \partial_t \theta_R - \frac{1}{m} \varphi_0^2 (\nabla \phi_R) (\nabla \phi_A) \right] \\ &\quad + \int dt d^3 \mathbf{r} [-4U \varphi_0^4 \phi_R \phi_A] \\ &= \int dt d^3 \mathbf{r} \left[-\frac{1}{m} \varphi_0^2 (\nabla \theta_R) (\nabla \theta_A) - 2\varphi_0^2 (\phi_R \phi_A) \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t \theta_A \\ \partial_t \theta_R \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &\quad + \int dt d^3 \mathbf{r} \left[-(\phi_R \phi_A) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\varphi_0^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + 2U \varphi_0^4 \\ -\frac{\varphi_0^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + 2U \varphi_0^4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_R \\ \phi_A \end{pmatrix} \right].\end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.10})$$

Eliminating the amplitude modes ϕ_R and ϕ_A through path integration, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}S^{\text{eff}} &= \int dt d^3 \mathbf{r} \left[-\frac{1}{m} \varphi_0^2 (\nabla \theta_R) (\nabla \theta_A) \right] \\ &\quad + \varphi_0^4 \int dt d^3 \mathbf{r} \left[(\partial_t \theta_A \quad \partial_t \theta_R) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \left(-\frac{\varphi_0^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + 2U \varphi_0^4\right)^{-1} \\ \left(-\frac{\varphi_0^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + 2U \varphi_0^4\right)^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t \theta_A \\ \partial_t \theta_R \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \int dt d^3 \mathbf{r} \left[-\frac{1}{m} \varphi_0^2 (\nabla \theta_R) (\nabla \theta_A) + \partial_t \theta_R \frac{2\varphi_0^4}{-\frac{\varphi_0^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + 2U \varphi_0^4} \partial_t \theta_A \right].\end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.11})$$

Rewriting this in terms of quantities in the forward and backward contours, we obtain

$$S^{\text{eff}} = S_+^{\text{eff}} - S_-^{\text{eff}}, \quad (\text{S.12})$$

where

$$S_{\alpha}^{\text{eff}} = \int dt d^3 \mathbf{r} \left[-\frac{1}{2m} \varphi_0^2 (\nabla \theta_{\alpha}) (\nabla \theta_{\alpha}) + \partial_t \theta_{\alpha} \frac{\varphi_0^2}{-\frac{1}{2m} \nabla^2 + 2U \varphi_0^2} \partial_t \theta_{\alpha} \right]. \quad (\text{S.13})$$

By applying the Fourier transformation to this action and requiring $S_{\alpha}^{\text{eff}} = 0$ which corresponds to the poles of the propagator, we obtain the excitation spectrum:

$$\omega = \sqrt{\frac{k^2}{2m} \left(\frac{k^2}{2m} + 2U n_0 \right)}. \quad (\text{S.14})$$

By setting $\partial_t \theta_+ = \partial_t \theta_- = 0$ and performing a Wick rotation, we obtain

$$S = \int d\tau d^3 \mathbf{r} \frac{1}{2m} \varphi_0^2 (\nabla \theta)^2. \quad (\text{S.15})$$

This term expresses the phase rigidity, which is positive semidefinite. We note that the last term in Eq. (S.13) diverges for free bosons. Thus the present analysis should apply only for interacting systems with $U \neq 0$.

Effective Action in Open Quantum Systems without a Dipolar Interaction

We turn to the effective action in a Lindblad system, in which case the action becomes

$$S = \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} [i\varphi_+^*(\mathbf{r}, t)\partial_t\varphi_+(\mathbf{r}, t) - H_+ - i\varphi_-^*(\mathbf{r}, t)\partial_t\varphi_-(\mathbf{r}, t) + H_- - i\gamma\varphi_-^*(\mathbf{r}, t)^2\varphi_+(\mathbf{r}, t)^2], \quad (\text{S.16})$$

where

$$H_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2m}(\nabla\varphi_{\pm}^*) \cdot (\nabla\varphi_{\pm}) + \frac{U_{\pm}}{2}|\varphi_{\pm}|^4 \quad (\text{S.17})$$

with $U_{\pm} = U_R \mp i\gamma$. We use Eq. (S.3) to obtain the uniform mean-field equation $\delta S/\delta\varphi_A = 0$ as

$$(i\partial_t - (U_R - i\gamma)|\varphi_R|^2 - (U_R - i\gamma)|\varphi_A|^2)\varphi_R = 0. \quad (\text{S.18})$$

The solution of this equation is

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_R(t) &= \frac{\varphi_R(0)}{\sqrt{1 + 2\gamma\varphi_R(0)^2 t}} \exp\left(-i \int_0^t \mu(t') dt'\right) = \sqrt{\frac{n_0(0)}{1 + 2\gamma n_0(0)t}} \exp\left(-i \int_0^t \mu(t') dt'\right), \\ \mu(t) &= n_0(t)U_R, \\ \varphi_A &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $n_0(t) = n_0(0)/(1 + 2\gamma n_0(0)t)$ is the number density of the condensate. Hence, the mean-field solution is given by $\varphi_0 = \varphi_R(t)$, which is a function of time t .

The bosonic fields can be decomposed into fields of θ_{\pm} and ϕ_{\pm} as in Eqs. (S.5) and (S.6), and the expansion up to the second order of them gives

$$S = S_+ - S_- + \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} [(-4i\gamma)|\varphi_0|^4\phi_+\phi_- + 2\gamma|\varphi_0|^4(\theta_+ - \theta_-) + 4\gamma|\varphi_0|^4(\phi_+ + \phi_-)(\theta_+ - \theta_-)], \quad (\text{S.19})$$

where

$$S_{\alpha} = \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[-|\varphi_0|^2\partial_t\theta_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2m}|\varphi_0|^2(\nabla\theta_{\alpha})^2 - 2|\varphi_0|^2\phi_{\alpha}\partial_t\theta_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2m}|\varphi_0|^2(\nabla\phi_{\alpha})^2 - 2(U_R - i\alpha\gamma)|\varphi_0|^4\phi_{\alpha}^2 \right]. \quad (\text{S.20})$$

Substituting Eq. (S.3) into Eqs. (S.19) and (S.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[-|\varphi_0|^2\partial_t\theta_A - \frac{1}{m}|\varphi_0|^2(\nabla\theta_R)(\nabla\theta_A) - 2|\varphi_0|^2\phi_R\partial_t\theta_A - 2|\varphi_0|^2\phi_A\partial_t\theta_R - \frac{1}{m}|\varphi_0|^2(\nabla\phi_R)(\nabla\phi_A) \right] \\ &+ \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[-4U_R|\varphi_0|^4\phi_R\phi_A + 2i\gamma|\varphi_0|^4 \left(2\phi_R^2 + \frac{1}{2}\phi_A^2 \right) + 2\gamma|\varphi_0|^4\theta_A + 8\gamma|\varphi_0|^4\phi_R\theta_A \right] \\ &= \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[-|\varphi_0|^2\partial_t\theta_A - \frac{1}{m}|\varphi_0|^2(\nabla\theta_R)(\nabla\theta_A) - 2|\varphi_0|^2 \begin{pmatrix} \phi_R & \phi_A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t\theta_A - 4\gamma|\varphi_0|^2\theta_A \\ \partial_t\theta_R \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &+ \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[- \begin{pmatrix} \phi_R & \phi_A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -4i\gamma|\varphi_0|^4 & -\frac{|\varphi_0|^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + 2U_R|\varphi_0|^4 \\ -\frac{|\varphi_0|^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + 2U_R|\varphi_0|^4 & -i\gamma|\varphi_0|^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_R \\ \phi_A \end{pmatrix} + 2\gamma|\varphi_0|^4\theta_A \right]. \quad (\text{S.21}) \end{aligned}$$

Integrating out the amplitude fields $\phi_{R,A}$, we obtain the effective action as

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\text{eff}} &= \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[-|\varphi_0|^2\partial_t\theta_A - \frac{1}{m}|\varphi_0|^2(\nabla\theta_R)(\nabla\theta_A) \right] \\ &+ \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[|\varphi_0|^4 \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t\theta_A - 4\gamma|\varphi_0|^2\theta_A & \partial_t\theta_R \end{pmatrix} A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t\theta_A - 4\gamma|\varphi_0|^2\theta_A \\ \partial_t\theta_R \end{pmatrix} + 2\gamma|\varphi_0|^4\theta_A \right], \quad (\text{S.22}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -4i\gamma|\varphi_0|^4 & -\frac{|\varphi_0|^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + 2U_R|\varphi_0|^4 \\ -\frac{|\varphi_0|^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + 2U_R|\varphi_0|^4 & -i\gamma|\varphi_0|^4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S.23})$$

We note that $\det(A) \neq 0$ holds for an arbitrary interaction strength and an arbitrary dissipation strength unless they both vanish. Hence, the effective field theory derived here is valid even without the interaction. Furthermore, if we impose the conditions $\partial_t \theta_+ = \partial_t \theta_- = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\text{eff}} &= \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[-\frac{1}{m} |\varphi_0|^2 (\nabla \theta_R) (\nabla \theta_A) + 16\gamma^2 |\varphi_0|^4 \theta_A^2 \frac{|\varphi_0|^4 (-i\gamma |\varphi_0|^4)}{-4\gamma^2 |\varphi_0|^8 - \left(-\frac{|\varphi_0|^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + 2U_R |\varphi_0|^4\right)^2} + 2\gamma |\varphi_0|^4 \theta_A \right] \\ &\simeq \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[-\frac{1}{m} |\varphi_0|^2 (\nabla \theta_R) (\nabla \theta_A) + 4i\gamma^2 |\varphi_0|^4 \theta_A^2 \frac{\gamma}{\gamma^2 + U_R^2} + 2\gamma |\varphi_0|^4 \theta_A \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.24})$$

where we ignore the contribution from ∇^2 since we consider the long-wavelength limit. We can see that the second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (S.24) includes the second-order terms in θ_A , which can be neglected in the following calculation since we only consider the lowest-order perturbation around the mean-field solution. Therefore, the effective action becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\text{eff}} &= - \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[\frac{1}{m} |\varphi_0|^2 (\nabla \theta_R) (\nabla \theta_A) - 2\gamma |\varphi_0|^4 \theta_A \right] \\ &= - \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[\frac{1}{2m} |\varphi_0|^2 (\nabla \theta_+) (\nabla \theta_+) - \frac{1}{2m} |\varphi_0|^2 (\nabla \theta_-) (\nabla \theta_-) - 2\gamma |\varphi_0|^4 (\theta_+ - \theta_-) \right] \\ &= - \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} |\varphi_0|^2 \left[\frac{1}{2m} (\nabla \theta_+ + \boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{r})) (\nabla \theta_+ + \boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{r})) - \frac{1}{2m} (\nabla \theta_- + \boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{r})) (\nabla \theta_- + \boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{r})) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.25})$$

with $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi} = 2m\gamma |\varphi_0|^2$. Here the vector field $\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{r})$ gives the dissipative current, which describes the loss of particles into the environment [48]. Since the twist of the phase of a condensate can be related to the superfluid velocity as $\mathbf{v}_{s\alpha} = \alpha \nabla \theta_\alpha / m$ [2], the current density is determined as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{j} \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{j}_+ + \mathbf{j}_- \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta S^{\text{eff}}}{m \delta \mathbf{v}_{s+}} + \frac{\delta S^{\text{eff}}}{m \delta \mathbf{v}_{s-}} \right) \Big|_{\mathbf{v}_{s+} = -\mathbf{v}_{s-} = \mathbf{v}_s} \\ &= \frac{|\varphi_0|^2}{m} (m\mathbf{v}_s + \boldsymbol{\psi}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.26})$$

where $\mathbf{j}_\alpha := -\delta S^{\text{eff}} / \delta (m\mathbf{v}_\alpha)$. Eq. (S.26) gives $\rho_s = |\varphi_0|^2 = n_0(t)$ by the definition of the superfluid density $\rho_s = \partial \langle \mathbf{j} \rangle / \partial \mathbf{v}_s$. We therefore find that the system shows superfluid transport if the dissipative current is subtracted from the total current.

Let us now introduce the current-density operator as $\hat{\mathbf{j}}_c = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k} (a_{\mathbf{k}+}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}+} + a_{\mathbf{k}-}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}-})$ and we calculate the expectation value of the current as

$$\mathbf{j}_c = \langle \hat{\mathbf{j}}_c \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int D[\varphi_+] D[\varphi_-] \mathbf{j}_c e^{iS}. \quad (\text{S.27})$$

This is the ‘‘classical’’ current in the open quantum systems defined in Ref. [43]. However, here we have a decay of the particle-number density, which can be seen from the continuous equation as

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{j}_c + \mathbf{j}_d), \quad (\text{S.28})$$

where $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}_c + \mathbf{j}_d$ is the total current, \mathbf{j}_c is the nondissipative current density and \mathbf{j}_d is the dissipative current density due to the loss of particles. This dissipative current density obeys the following equation of continuity:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_d = -\frac{dn_0(t)}{dt} = \frac{2\gamma n_0(0)^2}{(1 + 2\gamma n_0(0)t)^2}. \quad (\text{S.29})$$

One immediately recognizes that $\mathbf{j}_c = \mathbf{j}_s = |\varphi_0|^2 \mathbf{v}_s$ and $\mathbf{j}_d = |\varphi_0|^2 \boldsymbol{\psi} / m$. Nevertheless, the current \mathbf{j}_d will not influence the superfluid density since $\partial j_\alpha / \partial v_\beta = \rho_s \delta_{\alpha\beta}$. This is also the case in the above field-theoretic calculations.

Effective Action in Open Quantum Systems with a Dipolar Interaction

We now move on to the molecular BEC system and thus consider the effective field theory of a dipolar Bose gas. Here we take into account the dipole-dipole interaction, which takes the form of

$$V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = c_{dd} \frac{1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta_{r-r'}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} a_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{r}'}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{r}'} a_{\mathbf{r}}, \quad (\text{S.30})$$

where we assume that the dipole moments are polarized in the z direction and $\cos \theta_{r-r'} = (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}} / |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|$. By adding this interaction, the action becomes

$$S = \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} [i\varphi_+^*(\mathbf{r}, t)\partial_t\varphi_+(\mathbf{r}, t) - H_+ - i\varphi_-^*(\mathbf{r}, t)\partial_t\varphi_-(\mathbf{r}, t) + H_- - i\gamma\varphi_-^*(\mathbf{r}, t)\varphi_+(\mathbf{r}, t)^2], \quad (\text{S.31})$$

where

$$H_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2m}(\nabla\varphi_{\pm}^*) \cdot (\nabla\varphi_{\pm}) + \frac{U_{\pm}}{2}|\varphi_{\pm}|^4 + c_{dd} \int d^3\mathbf{r}' \frac{1 - 3\cos^2\theta_{r-r'}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} |\varphi_{\pm}(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 |\varphi_{\pm}(\mathbf{r}', t)|^2. \quad (\text{S.32})$$

We consider the uniform mean-field solution by $\delta S/\delta\varphi_A^* = 0$ which gives

$$\left(i\partial_t - (U_R - i\gamma)|\varphi_R|^2(\mathbf{r}, t) - c_{dd} \int d^3\mathbf{r}' \frac{1 - 3\cos^2\theta_{r-r'}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} |\varphi_R(\mathbf{r}', t)|^2 \right) \varphi_R(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0. \quad (\text{S.33})$$

Here we assume $\varphi_A = 0$ as before since in the saddle-point solution the field is the same on the forward and backward contours. This equation can be solved as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_R(t) &= \frac{\varphi_R(0)}{\sqrt{1 + 2\gamma\varphi_R(0)^2 t}} \exp\left(-i \int_0^t \mu(t') dt'\right) = \sqrt{\frac{n_0(0)}{1 + 2\gamma n_0(0)t}} \exp\left(-i \int_0^t \mu(t') dt'\right), \\ \mu(t) &= |\varphi_R(t)|^2 \left(U_R + 2c_{dd} \int d^3\mathbf{r}' \frac{1 - 3\cos^2\theta_{r-r'}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} \right) \\ &= n_0(t) \left(U_R - \frac{8\pi}{3} c_{dd} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.34})$$

Here we regularize the integral over \mathbf{r}' as [40]

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^3\mathbf{r}' \frac{1 - 3\cos^2\theta_{r-r'}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} &= \int d^3\mathbf{r}' \frac{1 - 3\cos^2\theta_{r'}}{|\mathbf{r}'|^3} \\ &= \lim_{\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0} \int d^3\mathbf{r}' \frac{1 - 3\cos^2\theta_{r'}}{|\mathbf{r}'|^3} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}'} \\ &= -\lim_{\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0} 4\pi \int \frac{dr'}{r'} j_2(kr') \\ &= -\frac{4\pi}{3}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.35})$$

where $j_2(x)$ is the Bessel function of the second kind. We can see that the effect of the dipolar interaction is just to partially cancel the repulsive interaction. By defining

$$\varepsilon_{dd} := \frac{8\pi c_{dd}}{3U_R}, \quad (\text{S.36})$$

we have $\mu = n_0(t)(1 - \varepsilon_{dd})U_R$. By adding the dipolar interaction to the action (S.20) on each contour, we obtain

$$S_{\alpha} \rightarrow S_{\alpha} + \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[\frac{8\pi}{3} c_{dd} |\varphi_0|^2 (1 + \phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t))^2 + c_{dd} \int d^3\mathbf{r}' \frac{1 - 3\cos^2\theta_{r-r'}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} |\varphi_0|^4 (1 + \phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t))^2 (1 + \phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}', t))^2 \right]. \quad (\text{S.37})$$

After simplification, the modified action becomes

$$S_{\alpha} \rightarrow S_{\alpha} - 4c_{dd} \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} d^3\mathbf{r}' \frac{1 - 3\cos^2\theta_{r-r'}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} |\varphi_0|^4 \phi_+(\mathbf{r}, t) \phi_-(\mathbf{r}', t). \quad (\text{S.38})$$

By substituting the modified action on each contour into the total action (S.19), it becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[-|\varphi_0|^2 \partial_t \theta_A - \frac{1}{m} |\varphi_0|^2 (\nabla \theta_R)(\nabla \theta_A) - 2|\varphi_0|^2 \phi_R \partial_t \theta_A - 2|\varphi_0|^2 \phi_A \partial_t \theta_R - \frac{1}{m} |\varphi_0|^2 (\nabla \phi_R)(\nabla \phi_A) \right] \\
&+ \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[-4U_R |\varphi_0|^4 \phi_R \phi_A + 2i\gamma \left(2\phi_R^2 + \frac{1}{2}\phi_A^2 \right) + 2\gamma |\varphi_0|^4 \theta_A + 8\gamma |\varphi_0|^4 \phi_R \theta_A \right] \\
&- 4c_{dd} \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} d^3\mathbf{r}' \frac{1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta_{r-r'}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} |\varphi_0|^4 (\phi_R(\mathbf{r}, t) \phi_A(\mathbf{r}', t) + \phi_A(\mathbf{r}, t) \phi_R(\mathbf{r}', t)) \\
&= \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[-|\varphi_0|^2 \partial_t \theta_A - \frac{1}{m} |\varphi_0|^2 (\nabla \theta_R)(\nabla \theta_A) - 2|\varphi_0|^2 (\phi_R \phi_A) \left(\begin{array}{c} \partial_t \theta_A - 4\gamma |\varphi_0|^2 \theta_A \\ \partial_t \theta_R \end{array} \right) \right] \\
&- \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[(\phi_R \phi_A) \left(\begin{array}{cc} -4i\gamma |\varphi_0|^4 & -\frac{|\varphi_0|^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + 2U_R |\varphi_0|^4 \\ -\frac{|\varphi_0|^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + 2U_R |\varphi_0|^4 & -i\gamma |\varphi_0|^4 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \phi_R \\ \phi_A \end{array} \right) - 2\gamma |\varphi_0|^4 \theta_A \right] \\
&- \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} d^3\mathbf{r}' (\phi_R \phi_A) (\mathbf{r}, t) \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 4c_{dd} \frac{1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta_{r-r'}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} |\varphi_0|^4 \\ 4c_{dd} \frac{1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta_{r-r'}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} |\varphi_0|^4 & 0 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \phi_R \\ \phi_A \end{array} \right) (\mathbf{r}', t). \quad (\text{S.39})
\end{aligned}$$

Then we integrate out the Higgs mode to obtain the effective field theory for the NG mode. By the Fourier transformation, the action becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{\text{eff}} &= \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[-|\varphi_0|^2 \partial_t \theta_A - \frac{1}{m} |\varphi_0|^2 (\nabla \theta_R)(\nabla \theta_A) - 2|\varphi_0|^2 (\phi_R \phi_A) \left(\begin{array}{c} \partial_t \theta_A - 4\gamma |\varphi_0|^2 \theta_A \\ \partial_t \theta_R \end{array} \right) + 2\gamma |\varphi_0|^4 \theta_A \right] \\
&+ \int dt d^3\mathbf{k} \left[-(\phi_R \phi_A) (\mathbf{k}, t) \left(\begin{array}{cc} -4i\gamma |\varphi_0|^4 & \frac{|\varphi_0|^2 k^2}{2m} + 2(U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k})) |\varphi_0|^4 \\ \frac{|\varphi_0|^2 k^2}{2m} + 2(U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k})) |\varphi_0|^4 & -i\gamma |\varphi_0|^4 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \phi_R \\ \phi_A \end{array} \right) (-\mathbf{k}, t) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where we define

$$V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}) := 2c_{dd} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \frac{1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta_r}{r^3} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} = -\frac{8\pi}{3} c_{dd} (1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta_{\mathbf{k}}) \quad (\text{S.40})$$

with $\theta_{\mathbf{k}}$ being the angle between the momentum \mathbf{k} and the z -axis. We integrate out the Higgs mode in the momentum space and set $\partial_t \theta_A = \partial_t \theta_R = 0$, yielding the effective action as

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{\text{eff}} &= \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[-\frac{1}{m} |\varphi_0|^2 (\nabla \theta_R)(\nabla \theta_A) + 2\gamma |\varphi_0|^4 \theta_A \right] + \int dt d^3\mathbf{k} \left[\frac{16\gamma^2 |\varphi_0|^4 \theta_A^2 \frac{|\varphi_0|^4 (-i\gamma |\varphi_0|^4)}{-4\gamma^2 |\varphi_0|^8 - \left(\frac{|\varphi_0|^2 k^2}{2m} + 2(U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k})) |\varphi_0|^4 \right)^2}}{\right]} \\
&\simeq \int dt d^3\mathbf{r} \left[-\frac{1}{m} |\varphi_0|^2 (\nabla \theta_R)(\nabla \theta_A) + 2\gamma |\varphi_0|^4 \theta_A \right] + \int dt d^3\mathbf{k} \left[\frac{4i\gamma^2 |\varphi_0|^4 \theta_A^2 \gamma}{\gamma^2 + (U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}))^2} \right]. \quad (\text{S.41})
\end{aligned}$$

In the second equality we also take the long-wavelength limit. Since the last term in Eq. (S.41) is of the second order in θ_A , we can ignore it and arrive at the same conclusion as before. Here, the dipolar interaction only plays a role in modifying the strength of repulsive interaction, and therefore we can understand the physics behind superfluidity in the same way as the system only with contact interaction unless ε_{dd} is so large that the system becomes unstable. The critical point of ε_{dd} will be discussed in Sec. (see Eq. (S.217)).

f -sum Rule

In this section, we generalize the f -sum rule to the open quantum system without particle-number conservation and discuss its relation to the weak $U(1)$ symmetry. We first consider the following quantity

$$\langle [\rho_{-\mathbf{k}}, \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\rho_{\mathbf{k}})] \rangle = \text{Tr}[\rho_{\text{ini}} [\rho_{-\mathbf{k}}, \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\rho_{\mathbf{k}})]], \quad (\text{S.42})$$

where ρ_{ini} is the initial density matrix of the system which obeys the Lindblad master equation and

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{\mathbf{k}} &:= \sum_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}}, \\
\mathcal{L}^\dagger(O) &:= -i[O, H] - \frac{\gamma}{2} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \{O, L_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger L_{\mathbf{r}}\} + \gamma \int d^3\mathbf{r} L_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger O L_{\mathbf{r}}. \quad (\text{S.43})
\end{aligned}$$

Here we consider the Heisenberg picture where the operators evolve as

$$\frac{dO}{dt} = \mathcal{L}^\dagger(O) = -i[O, H] - \frac{\gamma}{2} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \{O, L_r^\dagger L_r\} + \gamma \int d^3\mathbf{r} L_r^\dagger O L_r, \quad (\text{S.44})$$

where the Hamiltonian is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{U_R}{2} \int d^3\mathbf{r} (a_r^\dagger)^2 (a_r)^2 \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{U_R}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.45})$$

with $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = k^2/2m$ being the kinetic energy. A straightforward calculation [6] gives

$$i[\rho_{\mathbf{k}}, H] = i \sum_{\mathbf{p}} (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}) a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}}, \quad (\text{S.46})$$

where the right-hand side originates from the kinetic-energy term in the Hamiltonian. The interaction terms do not contribute to the commutator since they only involve the density-density interaction. Then we calculate the following terms in $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\rho_{\mathbf{k}})$. We can see

$$-\frac{\gamma}{2} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \{O, L_r^\dagger L_r\} + \gamma \int d^3\mathbf{r} L_r^\dagger O L_r = -\frac{\gamma}{2} \int d^3\mathbf{r} [O, L_r^\dagger] L_r + \frac{\gamma}{2} \int d^3\mathbf{r} L_r^\dagger [O, L_r]. \quad (\text{S.47})$$

By substituting $L_r = a_r^2$ and applying the Fourier transformation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{l}} [\rho_{\mathbf{k}}, a_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{l}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{l}}^\dagger] a_{\mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{p}} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{l}} a_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{l}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{l}}^\dagger [\rho_{\mathbf{k}}, a_{\mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{p}}] \\ &= -\gamma \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{l}} (a_{\mathbf{l}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{l}+\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}} + a_{\mathbf{l}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{l}+\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}) \\ &= -2\gamma \left(\sum_{\mathbf{q}} \rho_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}} \rho_{\mathbf{q}} - \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \rho_{\mathbf{k}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.48})$$

By utilizing the fact that $[\rho_{\mathbf{k}}, \rho_{\mathbf{p}}] = 0$ for arbitrary \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{p} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\rho_{-\mathbf{k}}, \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\rho_{\mathbf{k}})] &= \left[\rho_{-\mathbf{k}}, -i \sum_{\mathbf{p}} (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}) a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}} - 2\gamma \left(\sum_{\mathbf{q}} \rho_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}} \rho_{\mathbf{q}} - \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \rho_{\mathbf{k}} \right) \right] \\ &= i \sum_{\mathbf{p}} (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}} - 2\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}) a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} \\ &= 2i\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{N}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.49})$$

where \hat{N} is the total particle-number operator. Therefore,

$$\langle [\rho_{-\mathbf{k}}, \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\rho_{\mathbf{k}})] \rangle = 2i\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} N, \quad (\text{S.50})$$

where N is the number of particles corresponding to the current state. We can see that the dissipation does not change the expression of $[\rho_{-\mathbf{k}}, \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\rho_{\mathbf{k}})]$ since the two-body loss only involves uniform decrease of the density and hence does not generate current inside the system. Actually, this commutation relation originates from the weak U(1) symmetry of the Lindblad equation. One can verify that other systems obeying weak U(1) symmetry, like systems with n -body loss, also satisfy Eq. (S.50). Below, we will show how to use the eigensystem of the Lindbladian to represent $\langle [\rho_{-\mathbf{k}}, \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\rho_{\mathbf{k}})] \rangle$. To begin with, we define the right eigenoperators \hat{r}_α and the left eigenoperators \hat{l}_α of \mathcal{L}^\dagger as [78]

$$\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\hat{r}_\alpha) = \lambda_\alpha \hat{r}_\alpha, \quad (\text{S.51})$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{l}_\alpha) = \lambda_\alpha^* \hat{l}_\alpha. \quad (\text{S.52})$$

The right and left eigenvectors satisfy the biorthogonal relation and the completeness relation:

$$\text{Tr}(\hat{l}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{r}_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \sum_\alpha \hat{r}_\alpha \hat{l}_\alpha^\dagger = \mathbb{I}. \quad (\text{S.53})$$

In terms of the left and right eigenvectors, we can expand $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\rho_{\mathbf{k}})$ as

$$\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\rho_{\mathbf{k}}) = \sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha \hat{r}_\alpha \text{Tr}(\hat{l}_\alpha^\dagger \rho_{\mathbf{k}}). \quad (\text{S.54})$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [\rho_{-\mathbf{k}}, \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\rho_{\mathbf{k}})] \rangle &= \sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha (\text{Tr}[\rho_{\text{ini}} \rho_{-\mathbf{k}} \hat{r}_\alpha] - \text{Tr}[\rho_{-\mathbf{k}} \rho_{\text{ini}} \hat{r}_\alpha]) \text{Tr}(\hat{l}_\alpha^\dagger \rho_{\mathbf{k}}) \\ &= \sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha \text{Tr}[[\rho_{\text{ini}}, \rho_{-\mathbf{k}}] \hat{r}_\alpha] \text{Tr}(\hat{l}_\alpha^\dagger \rho_{\mathbf{k}}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.55})$$

We further define a retarded Green's function in the Heisenburg picture as

$$\tilde{G}^R(\mathbf{k}, t_0, t) := -i\theta(t) \langle [\rho_{-\mathbf{k}}(t_0), \rho_{\mathbf{k}}(t_0 + t)] \rangle, \quad (\text{S.56})$$

where t_0 is an arbitrary time. Hence, we can expand the Green's function as

$$\tilde{G}^R(\mathbf{k}, t_0, t) = -i\theta(t) \sum_\alpha e^{\lambda_\alpha t} \text{Tr} \{ [\rho_{\text{ini}}, \rho_{-\mathbf{k}}(t_0)] \hat{r}_\alpha \} \text{Tr}(\hat{l}_\alpha^\dagger \rho_{\mathbf{k}}(t_0)). \quad (\text{S.57})$$

Performing the Fourier transformation with respect to t , we obtain

$$\tilde{G}^R(\mathbf{k}, t_0, \omega) = \int dt e^{i\omega t} \tilde{G}^R(\mathbf{k}, t_0, t) = \sum_\alpha \frac{1}{\omega - i\lambda_\alpha + i0^+} \text{Tr}[[\rho_{\text{ini}}, \rho_{-\mathbf{k}}(t_0)] \hat{r}_\alpha] \text{Tr}(\hat{l}_\alpha^\dagger \rho_{\mathbf{k}}(t_0)). \quad (\text{S.58})$$

Integrating \tilde{G}^R along a closed contour C that contains all the poles $i\lambda_\alpha - i0^+$, we obtain

$$\oint_C \frac{\omega d\omega}{2\pi} \tilde{G}^R(\mathbf{k}, t_0, \omega) = \sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha \text{Tr}[[\rho_{\text{ini}}, \rho_{-\mathbf{k}}(t_0)] \hat{r}_\alpha] \text{Tr}(\hat{l}_\alpha^\dagger \rho_{\mathbf{k}}(t_0)) = 2i\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} N(t_0). \quad (\text{S.59})$$

This is the f -sum rule in the open quantum system. We note that this f -sum rule holds even though the particle number is not conserved during the dynamics. This f -sum rule can be used to derive the relation between the current-current correlation function and the number operator. To show this, we start from the dynamics of the operator $\rho_{\mathbf{r}} := a_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{r}}$ as

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(t)}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L}^\dagger \rho_{\mathbf{r}} = i[H, \rho_{\mathbf{r}}] + \frac{\gamma}{2} \int d^3\mathbf{r}' [2L_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger \rho_{\mathbf{r}'} L_{\mathbf{r}} - L_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger L_{\mathbf{r}'} \rho_{\mathbf{r}} - \rho_{\mathbf{r}} L_{\mathbf{r}'}^\dagger L_{\mathbf{r}}]. \quad (\text{S.60})$$

After simplification, this dynamics can be reorganized as

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(t)}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{j}_t) := -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{j}_c + \mathbf{j}_d), \quad (\text{S.61})$$

where $\mathbf{j}_t := \mathbf{j}_c + \mathbf{j}_d$ is the total current with \mathbf{j}_c being the current flow in the system and \mathbf{j}_d being the current from the system to an environment induced by the two-body loss. They are given by

$$\mathbf{j}_c = \frac{i}{2m} [\nabla a^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) a(\mathbf{r}) - a^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \nabla a(\mathbf{r})], \quad (\text{S.62})$$

and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_d = 2\gamma a_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{r}} a_{\mathbf{r}}$, which is solved as

$$\mathbf{j}_d(\mathbf{r}) = 2\gamma \int \frac{d\mathbf{r}'}{4\pi} \frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} a_{\mathbf{r}'}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{r}'}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{r}'} a_{\mathbf{r}'}. \quad (\text{S.63})$$

Fourier transforming Eq. (S.60), we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\rho_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega)) = -i\omega \rho_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) = -i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{j}_t(\mathbf{k}, \omega). \quad (\text{S.64})$$

It follows from Eq. (S.49) that

$$m[\rho_{\mathbf{k}}(t), \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\rho_{-\mathbf{k}}(t))] = ik^2 N(t). \quad (\text{S.65})$$

By the Fourier transformation of Eq. (S.65), we have

$$m \int dt e^{i(\omega - \omega_1 - \omega_2)t} \frac{d\omega_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\omega_2}{2\pi} [\rho_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega_1), \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\rho_{-\mathbf{k}}(\omega_2))] = ik^2 N(\omega). \quad (\text{S.66})$$

The left-hand side of Eq. (S.66) can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} & m \int dt \frac{d\omega_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\omega_2}{2\pi} e^{i(\omega - \omega_1 - \omega_2)t} [\rho_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega_1), \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\rho_{-\mathbf{k}}(\omega_2))] \\ &= \frac{m}{2\pi} \int d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \delta(\omega - \omega_1 - \omega_2) \left[\frac{-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{j}_t(\mathbf{k}, \omega_1)}{\omega_1}, -i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{j}_t(-\mathbf{k}, \omega_2) \right] \\ &= ik_i k_j m \int \frac{d\omega_1}{2\pi\omega_1} [j_t^i(\mathbf{k}, \omega_1), j_t^j(-\mathbf{k}, \omega - \omega_1)], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.67})$$

and hence Eq. (S.65) can be rewritten as

$$N(\omega) = \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2} m \int \frac{d\omega_1}{2\pi\omega_1} [j_t^i(\mathbf{k}, \omega_1), j_t^j(-\mathbf{k}, \omega - \omega_1)]. \quad (\text{S.68})$$

Furthermore, we define the total current-current correlation function as

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{i,j}(\mathbf{k}, \omega, t_0) &= m \int dt e^{i\omega t} [j_t^i(\mathbf{k}, t + t_0), j_t^j(-\mathbf{k}, t_0)] \\ &= m \int dt e^{i\omega(t+t_0)} [j_t^i(\mathbf{k}, t + t_0), j_t^j(-\mathbf{k}, t_0)] e^{-i\omega t_0} \\ &= m [j_t^i(\mathbf{k}, \omega), j_t^j(-\mathbf{k}, t_0)] e^{-i\omega t_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.69})$$

By substituting this into (S.68), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(t_0) &= \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2} m \int \frac{d\omega_1}{2\pi\omega_1} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega t_0} [j_t^i(\mathbf{k}, \omega_1), j_t^j(-\mathbf{k}, \omega - \omega_1)] \\ &= \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2} m \int \frac{d\omega_1}{2\pi\omega_1} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega_1 t_0} [j_t^i(\mathbf{k}, \omega_1), j_t^j(-\mathbf{k}, \omega - \omega_1)] e^{-i(\omega - \omega_1)t_0} \\ &= m \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2} \int \frac{d\omega_1}{2\pi\omega_1} e^{-i\omega_1 t_0} [j_t^i(\mathbf{k}, \omega_1), j_t^j(-\mathbf{k}, t_0)] \\ &= \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2} \int \frac{d\omega_1}{2\pi\omega_1} \gamma^{i,j}(\mathbf{k}, \omega_1, t_0). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.70})$$

Since the longitudinal component of the correlation function is given by $\gamma^L(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2} \gamma^{i,j}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$, we can also express the f -sum rule as

$$\int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi\omega} \gamma^L(\mathbf{k}, \omega, t_0) = N(t_0). \quad (\text{S.71})$$

In the following discussion of this section, we omit the subscript c for the current operator \mathbf{j}_c for simplicity. Let us examine the normal fluid density, which is determined by the current response under an external perturbation $H \rightarrow H - \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{j}$, where \mathbf{u} is an external velocity field that represents the effect of a wall moving with velocity \mathbf{u} [45]. The current response is given by

$$\langle J_i(t) \rangle = \rho_n^{i,j} u_j, \quad (\text{S.72})$$

where we define the averaged current density as

$$\langle J_i(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{V} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \langle j_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle. \quad (\text{S.73})$$

Since the two-body loss is uniform in space, we assume that the dissipative current does not influence the averaged current density. The only contribution originates from the closed current j . It follows from the Lindbladian dynamics described by Eq. (S.44) that the linear-response current density obeys

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\langle J_i(t) \rangle &= \frac{1}{V} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \frac{d}{dt} \langle j_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{V} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \left\langle -i[j_i, H] - \frac{\gamma}{2} \int d^3\mathbf{r}' \{j_i, L_{\mathbf{r}'}^\dagger L_{\mathbf{r}'}\} + \gamma \int d^3\mathbf{r}' L_{\mathbf{r}'}^\dagger j_i L_{\mathbf{r}'} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{i}{V} m \int d^3\mathbf{r} \int d^3\mathbf{r}' \langle [j_i(\mathbf{r}, t), j_j(\mathbf{r}', t)] \rangle u_j. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.74})$$

The averaged current density is thus given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J_i(t) \rangle &= \frac{i}{V} m \int d^3\mathbf{r} \int d^3\mathbf{r}' \int^t dt' \langle [j_i(\mathbf{r}, t), j_j(\mathbf{r}', t')] \rangle u_j \\ &= \frac{i}{V} m \int \frac{d\omega_2}{2\pi} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \int d^3\mathbf{r}' \int^t dt' e^{i\omega_2 t'} \langle [j_i(\mathbf{r}, t), j_j(\mathbf{r}', \omega_2)] \rangle u_j \\ &= \frac{m}{V} \int \frac{d\omega_2}{2\pi\omega_2} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \int d^3\mathbf{r}' e^{-i\omega_2 t} \langle [j_i(\mathbf{r}, t), j_j(\mathbf{r}', \omega_2)] \rangle u_j, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.75})$$

where we cancel the constant term with the initial condition. Then the normal fluid density tensor is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n^{i,j}(t) &= \frac{m}{V^2} \int \frac{d\omega_2}{2\pi\omega_2} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \int d^3\mathbf{r}' e^{i\omega_2 t} \langle [j_i(\mathbf{r}, t), j_j(\mathbf{r}', \omega_2)] \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0} m \int \frac{d\omega_2}{2\pi\omega_2} e^{i\omega_2 t} \langle [j_i(-\mathbf{k}, t), j_j(\mathbf{k}, -\omega_2)] \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi\omega} \tilde{\gamma}^{i,j}(\mathbf{k}, \omega, t). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.76})$$

Here we define the current-current correlation function $\tilde{\gamma}$ for the current operator j_c . To connect this with the tensor $\gamma^{i,j}$ in Eq. (S.69), we first note that the dissipative current does not contribute to the correlation function since it is isotropic, i.e., $\int d^3\mathbf{r} j_d(\mathbf{r}) = 0$. Hence, we can directly replace $\tilde{\gamma}$ with the total current-current correlator γ in Eq. (S.69) as

$$\rho_n^{i,j}(t) = \lim_{\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi\omega} \gamma^{i,j}(\mathbf{k}, \omega, t). \quad (\text{S.77})$$

According to an analysis similar to the one applicable to a closed quantum system, we find that the normal fluid density corresponds to the transverse component of the total current-current correlation function [6]. Together with Eq. (S.71), we can define the superfluid density as the difference between the transverse part and the longitudinal part of the correlation function tensor. We note that the derivation of the f -sum rule involves no approximation and can be considered as a general formula to calculate the normal fluid density and the superfluid density for an arbitrary interaction and dissipation. Here the f -sum rule is a consequence of the weak $U(1)$ symmetry of the system since under the weak $U(1)$ symmetry, the density matrix remains diagonal in the particle-number basis during time evolution. Therefore, the right-hand side of Eq. (S.50) is only determined by the number of bosons.

Derivation of the Quantum Depletion Density

In the presence of dissipation, it is highly nontrivial whether quantum depletion contributes only to the superfluid or to the normal fluid as well. It is therefore important to carefully assess their individual contributions. In this section, we will address this issue in the context of open quantum systems.

We introduce some new concepts in the dissipative quantum many-body systems. Here we list them in the table below.

Concept	Definition	Corresponding equation
Superfluid quantum depletion density n_{sD}	The density of the quantum depletion part engaging into the superfluid transport	Eq. (S.92)
Normal quantum depletion density n_{nD}	The density of the quantum depletion part engaging into the normal transport	Eq. (S.94)

The Case without Dipolar Interaction

In this section, we investigate the density of the quantum depletion part, which is the density of the noncondensate part at absolute zero, of a molecular BEC. We begin by constructing the mean-field Lindbladian action. The Hamiltonian of the weakly interacting bosonic system is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{U_R}{2} \int d^3 \mathbf{r} (a_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger})^2 (a_{\mathbf{r}})^2 \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{U_R}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.78})$$

where V is the volume of the system. For simplicity of discussions, we consider only a contact interaction here, and we will consider the dipolar-dipole interaction later. In the presence of dissipation, the dynamics of the system is described by the Lindblad equation:

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \mathcal{L}\rho = -i[H, \rho] - \frac{\gamma}{2} \int d^3 \mathbf{r} (\{L_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} L_{\mathbf{r}}, \rho\} - 2L_{\mathbf{r}} \rho L_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger}). \quad (\text{S.79})$$

Here we take the Lindblad operator for two-body loss as $L_{\mathbf{r}} = a_{\mathbf{r}}^2$. We now apply the closed-time-contour path integral formalism to the Lindblad equation. The action is defined on the Schwinger-Keldysh contour [43] as

$$S = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \left[\sum_{\mathbf{k}} (a_{\mathbf{k}+}^{\dagger} i\partial_t a_{\mathbf{k}+} - a_{\mathbf{k}-}^{\dagger} i\partial_t a_{\mathbf{k}-}) - H_+ + H_- + \frac{i\gamma}{2} \int d^3 \mathbf{r} (L_{\mathbf{r}+}^{\dagger} L_{\mathbf{r}+} + L_{\mathbf{r}-}^{\dagger} L_{\mathbf{r}-} - 2L_{\mathbf{r}+} L_{\mathbf{r}-}^{\dagger}) \right], \quad (\text{S.80})$$

where

$$H_{\alpha} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}\alpha} + \frac{U_R}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}, \alpha} a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}, \alpha}. \quad (\text{S.81})$$

By applying Fourier transformation to the dissipation part, the Schwinger-Keldysh action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \left[\sum_{\mathbf{k}} (a_{\mathbf{k}+}^{\dagger} (i\partial_t - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) a_{\mathbf{k}+} - a_{\mathbf{k}-}^{\dagger} (i\partial_t - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) a_{\mathbf{k}-}) - \frac{U}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{k}+}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}+}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}, +} a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}, +} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{U^*}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{k}-}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}-}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}, -} a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}, -} - i\frac{\gamma}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{k}-}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}-}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}, +} a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}, +} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.82})$$

where $U = U_R - i\gamma$. We employ the mean-field approximation to separate the operators into the condensate part and noncondensate part. Assuming that most bosons in the system form a condensate, we have

$$a_0^{\dagger} a_0 \approx N, \quad \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}} \ll N. \quad (\text{S.83})$$

Here N is the total number of bosons in the system. Since $N \gg 1$, we can replace the creation and annihilation operators of the condensate by a c-number:

$$a_{0+}^{\dagger} \approx \sqrt{N} e^{i\theta_+}, \quad a_{0+} \approx \sqrt{N} e^{-i\theta_+}, \quad a_{0-}^{\dagger} \approx \sqrt{N} e^{i\theta_-}, \quad a_{0-} \approx \sqrt{N} e^{-i\theta_-}. \quad (\text{S.84})$$

From Eqs. (S.25) and (S.26), we notice that the difference between θ_+ and θ_- only contributes to the dissipative current, i.e., loss of particles from the system to an environment. To calculate the response current from external perturbations, we here take $\theta_+ = \theta_- = 0$ for simplicity. Then the interaction terms become

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{k}+}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}+}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}, +} a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}, +} \approx N^2 + N \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{-\mathbf{k}, +} a_{\mathbf{k}+} + N \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k}+}^{\dagger} a_{-\mathbf{k}, +}^{\dagger} + 4N \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k}+}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}+}, \quad (\text{S.85})$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{k}-}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}-}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}, -} a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}, -} \approx N^2 + N \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{-\mathbf{k}, -} a_{\mathbf{k}-} + N \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k}-}^{\dagger} a_{-\mathbf{k}, -}^{\dagger} + 4N \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k}-}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}-}, \quad (\text{S.86})$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{k}-}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}-}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q},+} a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},+} \approx N^2 + N \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{-\mathbf{k},+} a_{\mathbf{k}+} + N \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k}-}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{k},-}^\dagger + 4N \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k}-}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}+}, \quad (\text{S.87})$$

and the action can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} S = & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \left[\sum_{\mathbf{k}} (a_{\mathbf{k}+}^\dagger (i\partial_t - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) a_{\mathbf{k}+} - a_{\mathbf{k}-}^\dagger (i\partial_t - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) a_{\mathbf{k}-}) - \frac{U_R}{2V} a_{0+}^\dagger a_{0+}^\dagger a_{0,+} a_{0,+} + \frac{U_R}{2V} a_{0-}^\dagger a_{0-}^\dagger a_{0,-} a_{0,-} \right. \\ & - \frac{U^* n}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{-\mathbf{k},+} a_{\mathbf{k}+} - \frac{Un}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k}+}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{k},+}^\dagger - 2Un \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k}+}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}+} + \frac{U^* n}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{-\mathbf{k},-} a_{\mathbf{k}-} \\ & \left. + \frac{Un}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k}-}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{k},-}^\dagger + 2U^* n \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k}-}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}-} - 4i\gamma n \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k}-}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}+} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.88})$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \left[\sum_{\mathbf{k}} (a_{\mathbf{k}+}^\dagger i\partial_t a_{\mathbf{k}+} - a_{\mathbf{k}-}^\dagger i\partial_t a_{\mathbf{k}-}) - H_+ + H_- - 4i\gamma n \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k}-}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}+} \right], \quad (\text{S.89})$$

where $n = N/V$ and

$$H_\alpha = \frac{U_R n}{2} N + \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} \left[(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n - 2i\alpha\gamma n) a_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}\alpha} + \frac{U^* n}{2} a_{-\mathbf{k}\alpha} a_{\mathbf{k}\alpha} + \frac{Un}{2} a_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{k}\alpha}^\dagger \right]. \quad (\text{S.90})$$

We then move on to evaluate the quantum depletion density on the basis of the action (S.89). Since the particle-number density $n(t)$ of the system decays with time and the quantum depletion part is also time-dependent, we consider the weak-dissipation case in which the system can reach a quasi-steady state in a time scale shorter than the inverse two-body loss rate. We first calculate the superfluid quantum depletion density n_{sD} , which is defined as the density of the quantum depletion part engaged in the superfluid transport. We first place bosons into a moving cylinder with a velocity \mathbf{v} . Then the superfluid quantum depletion density n_{sD} is defined from

$$\mathbf{j}_{sD} = mn_{sD}\mathbf{v}, \quad (\text{S.91})$$

where \mathbf{j}_{sD} is the mass current of bosons in the frame of reference of the cylinder for the quantum depletion part. To obtain the superfluid quantum depletion density, we change the action (S.89) by $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k} - m\mathbf{v}$ for the forward contour and $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k} + m\mathbf{v}$ for the backward contour for the finite-momentum sector with $\mathbf{k} \neq 0$ [45, 55] and the density of the superfluid quantum depletion part can be shown to be

$$n_{sD} = \frac{1}{m} \frac{\partial j_s^\alpha}{\partial v^\alpha}. \quad (\text{S.92})$$

We next calculate the normal quantum depletion density n_{nD} , which is defined as the density of the quantum depletion part engaged into the normal transport. In this case, we change the Hamiltonians in Eq. (S.89) by $H_+ \rightarrow H_+ - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{j}_+$ and $H_- \rightarrow H_- + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{j}_-$. Then the response normal current for the quantum depletion part is given by

$$\mathbf{j}_{nD} = mn_{nD}\mathbf{v}. \quad (\text{S.93})$$

and the density of the normal fluid quantum depletion can be shown as

$$n_{nD} = \frac{1}{m} \frac{\partial j_n^\alpha}{\partial v^\alpha}. \quad (\text{S.94})$$

The relation between the superfluid current and the normal current is given by

$$\mathbf{j}_{sD} = \mathbf{j}_D - \mathbf{j}_{nD}, \quad \mathbf{j}_D = \frac{m}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \neq 0} \langle a_{\mathbf{k}+}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}+} + a_{\mathbf{k}-}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}-} \rangle \mathbf{v} = mn_D \mathbf{v}, \quad (\text{S.95})$$

where $n_D = n_{sD} + n_{nD}$ is the quantum depletion density. We begin by calculating the superfluid quantum depletion density. We introduce the perturbation $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k} - m\mathbf{v}$ for the forward contour and $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k} + m\mathbf{v}$ for the backward contour for the finite-momentum sector. Then the perturbed Schwinger-Keldysh action (S.89) is given by

$$S[\mathbf{v}] := S - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{j}_+[v/2] + \mathbf{j}_-[v/2]), \quad (\text{S.96})$$

where $\mathbf{j}_\alpha[\mathbf{v}] := \mathbf{j}_\alpha - m\mathbf{v}n_\alpha$ representing the total current of the bosons relative to a reference frame with a velocity \mathbf{v} and $n_\alpha := \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}$. We extend the phase stiffness [2] to nonequilibrium quasi-steady states as

$$Q_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2V} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v_a \partial v_b} \Big|_{\mathbf{v}=0}, \quad (\text{S.97})$$

where we define $F[\mathbf{v}]$ as

$$F[\mathbf{v}] := -i \log Z[\mathbf{v}], Z[\mathbf{v}] := \int D[a_{\mathbf{k}+}(t), a_{-\mathbf{k}+}^\dagger(t), a_{\mathbf{k}-}(t), a_{-\mathbf{k}-}^\dagger(t)] e^{iS[\mathbf{v}]}. \quad (\text{S.98})$$

Then the response superfluid current is given by

$$j_{sa}[\mathbf{v}] := \langle j_a[\mathbf{v}] \rangle = -\frac{1}{2V} \frac{\partial F}{\partial v_a} \quad (\text{S.99})$$

since

$$-\frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \frac{i}{V} \frac{1}{Z} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \frac{1}{V} \frac{1}{Z} \int D\varphi e^{i[S(\varphi) - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{j}}_+ + \hat{\mathbf{j}}_-)]} (\hat{\mathbf{j}}_+[\mathbf{v}] + \hat{\mathbf{j}}_-[\mathbf{v}]) = \langle \hat{\mathbf{j}}_+[\mathbf{v}] + \hat{\mathbf{j}}_-[\mathbf{v}] \rangle = 2\langle \hat{\mathbf{j}}[\mathbf{v}] \rangle, \quad (\text{S.100})$$

where we use $\hat{\mathbf{j}} = (\hat{\mathbf{j}}_+ + \hat{\mathbf{j}}_-)/2$ for the current operator and $\langle \mathbf{j}[\mathbf{v}] \rangle$ is the current in the frame of reference of the cylinder. From Eqs. (S.91) and (S.92), we can see that the diagonal elements of the phase stiffness matrix Q_{ab} is related to the superfluid quantum depletion density as

$$Q_{ab} = mn_s D \delta_{ab}. \quad (\text{S.101})$$

Adding the perturbation \mathbf{v} to the system, we find that the functional $Z[\mathbf{v}]$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z[\mathbf{v}] &= \int D[a_{\mathbf{k}+}(t), a_{-\mathbf{k}+}^\dagger(t), a_{\mathbf{k}-}(t), a_{-\mathbf{k}-}^\dagger(t)] e^{iS[\mathbf{v}]} \\ &= \int D[a_{\mathbf{k}+}(\omega), a_{-\mathbf{k}+}^\dagger(\omega), a_{\mathbf{k}-}(\omega), a_{-\mathbf{k}-}^\dagger(\omega)] e^{\frac{i}{2} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger(\omega) G^{-1}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.102})$$

where we define

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) := (a_{\mathbf{k}+}(\omega), a_{-\mathbf{k}+}^\dagger(\omega), a_{\mathbf{k}-}(\omega), a_{-\mathbf{k}-}^\dagger(\omega))^T \quad (\text{S.103})$$

and

$$G(\mathbf{k}, \omega) := \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b & 0 & 0 \\ b^* & a_2 & 0 & c \\ c & 0 & -a_1^* & -b \\ 0 & 0 & -b^* & -a_2^* \end{pmatrix}^{-1}, \quad (\text{S.104})$$

with $a_1 = -(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}-m\mathbf{v}} + U_R n - 2i\gamma n - \omega)$, $b = -Un$, $a_2 = -(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}+m\mathbf{v}} + U_R n - 2i\gamma n + \omega)$, and $c = -4i\gamma n$. In this case, we integrate all the bosonic degrees of freedom and obtain the effective action:

$$Z = e^{iS_{\text{eff}}} = e^{iF}, \quad (\text{S.105})$$

which leads to the form of the generating functional F as

$$F = -i \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \text{Tr} \log[iG(\mathbf{k}, \omega)]. \quad (\text{S.106})$$

Next we calculate (S.97). The first derivative of $F[\mathbf{v}]$ gives the expression for the bosonic current:

$$\langle j_a[\mathbf{v}] \rangle = \frac{-1}{2mV} \frac{\partial F}{\partial v_a} = -i \frac{1}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} \text{Tr}[(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z) \nabla_a \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{k}} G(\mathbf{k}, \omega)], \quad (\text{S.107})$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{k}} := \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}-m\mathbf{v}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}+m\mathbf{v}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}-m\mathbf{v}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}+m\mathbf{v}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S.108})$$

In this case, the phase stiffness is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{ab} &= \frac{-1}{2V} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v_a \partial v_b} \Big|_{v=0} \\ &= -i \frac{m^2}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} \text{Tr}[(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_0) \nabla_a \nabla_b \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} G(\mathbf{k}, \omega)] + i \frac{m^2}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} \nabla_a \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \nabla_b \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \text{Tr}[(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z) G(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z) G]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.109})$$

Here we apply the equality $\nabla_b G = -G \nabla_b G^{-1} G$. By integrating the first term by parts, we obtain

$$Q_{ab} = -i \frac{m^2}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} \nabla_a \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \nabla_b \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \{ \text{Tr}[(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z) G(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z) G] - \text{Tr}[(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_0) G(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_0) G] \}. \quad (\text{S.110})$$

Here we transform the trace $\text{Tr}[AGAG]$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[AGAG] &= \text{Tr}[A[G, A]G] + \text{Tr}[A^2 G^2] \\ &= \text{Tr}[[G, A][G, A]] + \text{Tr}[[G, A]AG] + \text{Tr}[A^2 G^2] \\ &= \text{Tr}[[G, A][G, A]] + 2\text{Tr}[A^2 G^2] - \text{Tr}[AGAG], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.111})$$

which yields

$$\text{Tr}[AGAG] = \text{Tr}[A^2 G^2] + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[[G, A]^2]. \quad (\text{S.112})$$

We apply Eq. (S.112) to the right-hand side of Eq. (S.110) by replacing A with $\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z$ and $\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_0$. In both cases, we have $A^2 = I$. Therefore, we simplify the formula as

$$Q_{ab} = -i \frac{m^2}{4V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} \nabla_a \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \nabla_b \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \{ \text{Tr}[[G, \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z]^2] - \text{Tr}[[G, \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_0]^2] \}. \quad (\text{S.113})$$

We rewrite the Green's function as

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S.114})$$

From Eq. (S.104), we have

$$G_{11} = \frac{1}{|G^{-1}|} \begin{pmatrix} a_2(a_1^* a_2^* - |b|^2) & -b(a_1^* a_2^* - |b|^2) \\ b^*(|b|^2 + c^2 - a_1^* a_2^*) & a_1(a_1^* a_2^* - |b|^2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S.115})$$

$$G_{12} = \frac{1}{|G^{-1}|} \begin{pmatrix} |b|^2 c & -a_2^* b c \\ -a_1 b^* c & a_1 a_2^* c \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S.116})$$

$$G_{21} = \frac{1}{|G^{-1}|} \begin{pmatrix} a_2 a_1^* c & -a_1^* b c \\ -a_2 b^* c & |b|^2 c \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S.117})$$

$$G_{22} = \frac{1}{|G^{-1}|} \begin{pmatrix} -a_1^*(a_1 a_2 - |b|^2) & -b(|b|^2 + c^2 - a_1 a_2) \\ b^*(a_1 a_2 - |b|^2) & -a_2^*(a_1 a_2 - |b|^2) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S.118})$$

Here we define the determinant of the inverse of the Green's function as $|G^{-1}|$ given by

$$|G^{-1}| = |a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 - a_1 a_2 |b|^2 + |b|^2 c^2 - a_1^* a_2^* |b|^2 + |b|^4. \quad (\text{S.119})$$

Then let us focus on the commutators in the phase stiffness (S.113) which has two components

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}[[G, \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z]^2] &= \text{Tr} \left[\begin{pmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \right]^2 \\ &= \text{Tr}[G_{11}, \sigma_z]^2 + \text{Tr}[G_{22}, \sigma_z]^2 - 2\text{Tr}\{\{G_{12}, \sigma_z\}\{G_{21}, \sigma_z\}\},\end{aligned}\quad (\text{S.120})$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}[[G, \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_0]^2] &= \text{Tr} \left[\begin{pmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_0 \right]^2 \\ &= -4\text{Tr}\{\{G_{12}, G_{21}\}\} \\ &= -8\text{Tr}[G_{12}G_{21}].\end{aligned}\quad (\text{S.121})$$

where $\{A, B\} := AB + BA$. Equation (S.120) has the following four components:

$$\text{Tr}[G_{11}, \sigma_z]^2 = \frac{1}{|G^{-1}|^2} 8|b|^2(a_1^*a_2^* - |b|^2)(|b|^2 + c^2 - a_1^*a_2^*), \quad (\text{S.122})$$

$$\text{Tr}[G_{22}, \sigma_z]^2 = \frac{1}{|G^{-1}|^2} 8|b|^2(a_1a_2 - |b|^2)(|b|^2 + c^2 - a_1a_2), \quad (\text{S.123})$$

$$\text{Tr}\{\{G_{12}, \sigma_z\}\{G_{21}, \sigma_z\}\} = \frac{1}{|G^{-1}|^2} 4|b|^2c^2(a_1^*a_2 + a_1a_2^*), \quad (\text{S.124})$$

$$\text{Tr}[G_{12}G_{21}] = \frac{1}{|G^{-1}|^2} |b|^2c^2[a_2a_1^* + |a_2|^2 + |a_1|^2 + a_1a_2^*]. \quad (\text{S.125})$$

Substituting Eqs. (S.122)-(S.125) into Eq. (S.113), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}Q_{ab} &= i \frac{4m^2}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} \nabla_a \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \nabla_b \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{-|b|^2}{2|G^{-1}|^2} [(a_1^*a_2^* - |b|^2)(|b|^2 + c^2 - a_1^*a_2^*) \\ &\quad + (a_1a_2 - |b|^2)(|b|^2 + c^2 - a_1a_2) - c^2(|a_2|^2 + |a_1|^2)].\end{aligned}\quad (\text{S.126})$$

Due to the rotational symmetry of the system, the phase stiffness tensor Q is diagonal and isotropic. Thus we can write Q_{ab} as $Q\delta_{ab}$. In this case, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}Q &= \frac{4}{3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^4 dk \frac{-|b|^2}{4\pi^2|G^{-1}|^2} [(a_1^*a_2^* - |b|^2)(|b|^2 + c^2 - a_1^*a_2^*) \\ &\quad + (a_1a_2 - |b|^2)(|b|^2 + c^2 - a_1a_2) - c^2(|a_2|^2 + |a_1|^2)].\end{aligned}\quad (\text{S.127})$$

Equation (S.127) gives a complete expression of the phase stiffness for an arbitrary interaction strength U_R and dissipation strength γ within the quasi-steady-state approximation. Since it is difficult to directly calculate the integral in Eq. (S.127) in general, we consider two extreme cases: the weak-dissipation limit $U_R \gg \gamma$ and the weak-interaction limit $U_R \ll \gamma$. To consider these problems, we firstly deal with the determinant $|G^{-1}|$. With the help of Eq. (S.119), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|G^{-1}| &= \varepsilon^4 + 2(4\gamma^2n^2 - \omega^2 - |U|^2n^2)\varepsilon^2 + (4\gamma^2n^2 + \omega^2)^2 - 2(4\gamma^2n^2 - \omega^2)|U|^2n^2 + |U|^4n^4 \\ &=: \omega^4 - 2k_1\omega^2 + k_2,\end{aligned}\quad (\text{S.128})$$

where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n$, $k_1 = (\varepsilon^2 - 4\gamma^2n^2 - |U|^2n^2)$, and $k_2 = (\varepsilon^2 + 4\gamma^2n^2 - |U|^2n^2)^2$. The numerator of Eq. (S.127) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}& -[(\varepsilon + 2i\gamma n)^2 - \omega^2 - |U|^2n^2]^2 - [(\varepsilon - 2i\gamma n)^2 - \omega^2 - |U|^2n^2]^2 + 32\gamma^2n^2(|U|^2n^2 + 8\gamma^2n^2) \\ &= -2[\omega^4 - 2k_1\omega^2 + k_1^2 - 16\gamma^2n^2\varepsilon^2] + 32\gamma^2n^2(|U|^2n^2 + 8\gamma^2n^2).\end{aligned}\quad (\text{S.129})$$

Let us first consider the case with $\gamma = 0$ where there is no dissipation and the system is closed. In such a case, the quantities a_1, a_2, b, c become

$$a_1 = \omega - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - U_R n, a_2 = -\omega - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - U_R n, b = -U_R n, c = 0. \quad (\text{S.130})$$

Then we substitute these quantities into the phase stiffness and obtain

$$Q = \frac{4i}{3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{k^4}{2\pi^2} dk \frac{U_R^2 n^2}{[-\omega^2 + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 2\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} U_R n]^2}. \quad (\text{S.131})$$

Similarly, we can also calculate Q' in the closed system without using the Schwinger-Keldysh formalism and find its relation with Q as $Q' = Q$. This can be proved as follows.

The Matsubara Green's function can be written as

$$\mathcal{G} = - \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}-m\mathbf{v}} + U_R n + i\omega_n & U_R n \\ U_R n & \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}+m\mathbf{v}} + U_R n - i\omega_n \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = -(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}-m\mathbf{v}\sigma_z} + U_R n + U_R n \sigma_x + i\omega_n \sigma_z)^{-1}, \quad (\text{S.132})$$

where $\omega_n := 2\pi n/\beta$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the bosonic Matsubara frequency and $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}-m\mathbf{v}\sigma_z} := \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}-m\mathbf{v}} & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}+m\mathbf{v}} \end{pmatrix}$. Then the first derivative of the free energy gives the expression for the bosonic current:

$$\langle J_a \rangle = -\frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial F}{\partial v_a} = \frac{m}{\beta} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_n \text{Tr}[\sigma_z \nabla_a \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}-m\mathbf{v}\sigma_z} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)]. \quad (\text{S.133})$$

In this case the phase stiffness is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q'_{ab} &= -\frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v_a \partial v_b} \Big|_{v=0} \\ &= -\frac{m^2}{\beta} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_n \text{Tr}[\nabla_{ab}^2 \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{G}] + \frac{m^2}{\beta} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_n \nabla_a \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \nabla_b \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \text{Tr}[\sigma_z \mathcal{G} \sigma_z \mathcal{G}] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.134})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\frac{m^2}{\beta} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_n \nabla_a \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \nabla_b \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \text{Tr}[\sigma_z \mathcal{G} \sigma_z \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{G}^2] \\ &= -\frac{m^2}{2\beta} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_n \nabla_a \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \nabla_b \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \text{Tr}[\sigma_z, \mathcal{G}]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.135})$$

By explicitly writing down the form of the Green's function, we have

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{1}{(\omega_n^2 + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 2\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} U_R n)} \begin{pmatrix} -\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - U_R n + i\omega_n & U_R n \\ U_R n & -\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - U_R n - i\omega_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{S.136})$$

and the commutator takes the form of

$$[\sigma_z, \mathcal{G}] = \frac{1}{(\omega_n^2 + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 2\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} U_R n)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2U_R n \\ 2U_R n & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S.137})$$

Therefore,

$$Q'_{ab} = \frac{4m^2}{\beta} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_n \nabla_a \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \nabla_b \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{U_R^2 n^2}{(\omega_n^2 + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 2\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} U_R n)^2}. \quad (\text{S.138})$$

By replacing the energy $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ with $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k}^2/2m$, we have

$$Q'_{ab} = \frac{4m^2}{\beta} \sum_n \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{k_a k_b}{m^2} \frac{U_R^2 n^2}{(\omega_n^2 + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 2\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} U_R n)^2} = \frac{4}{3\beta} \sum_n \int \frac{k^4 dk}{2\pi^2} \frac{U_R^2 n^2}{(\omega_n^2 + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 2\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} U_R n)^2} \delta_{ab}. \quad (\text{S.139})$$

In the zero-temperature limit, by defining $Q'_{ab} =: Q' \delta_{ab}$, we obtain

$$Q' = \frac{4}{3} \int d\omega' \int \frac{k^4 dk}{2\pi^2} \frac{U_R^2 n^2}{(\omega'^2 + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 2\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} U_R n)^2}. \quad (\text{S.140})$$

Equations (S.140) and (S.131) can be transformed to each other via the Wick rotation: $\omega \rightarrow -i\omega'$. We therefore reach the conclusion

$$Q' = Q. \quad (\text{S.141})$$

The phase stiffness can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
Q' &= \frac{4}{3} \int \frac{d\omega'}{2\pi} \int \frac{k^4 dk}{2\pi^2} \frac{U_R^2 n^2}{(\omega'^2 + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 2\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} U_R n)^2} \\
&= \frac{2}{3} \int_0^\infty \frac{k^4 dk}{2\pi^2} \frac{U_R^2 n^2}{[\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 2\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} U_R n]^{3/2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{3\pi^2} \sqrt{n^3 U_R^3 m^{5/2}} \\
&\propto (U_R n)^{3/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{S.142}$$

This is consistent with the quantum depletion density calculated by linear response theory [6]:

$$n_D = \frac{1}{3\pi^2} \sqrt{(n U_R)^3 m^3}. \tag{S.143}$$

If we assume $U_R \gg \gamma \neq 0$, i.e., the dissipation is very weak but nonvanishing, we can calculate the phase stiffness from the trace in Eq. (S.110) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Tr}[(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z)G(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z)G] - \text{Tr}[(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_0)G(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_0)G] \\
&= \frac{8U_R^2 n^2}{(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) - \omega^2)^2} + \frac{8(-6U_R^2 n^2(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) + 7\omega^2) + (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) - \omega^2)^2)}{(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) - \omega^2)^4} \gamma^2 n^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{S.144}$$

Here we only expand up to the second order in γn . By taking the integration over ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-i \int d\omega \text{Tr}[(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z)G(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z)G] - \text{Tr}[(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_0)G(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_0)G] \\
&= \frac{4\pi U_R^2 n^2}{(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n))^{3/2}} + 2\pi \frac{(3(U_R n)^2 + 4\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} U_R n + 2\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2) \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n)}}{(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n))^3} \gamma^2 n^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{S.145}$$

which gives the form of the phase stiffness as

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= \frac{m^{5/2} (U_R n)^{3/2}}{3\pi^2} \left[1 + \frac{(\gamma n)^2}{2\sqrt{2} (U_R n)^2} \int_0^\infty dx x^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{(3 + 4x + 2x^2) \sqrt{x(2+x)}}{x^3 (2+x)^3} \right] \\
&\simeq \frac{m^{5/2}}{3\pi^2} (U_R n)^{3/2} \left[1 + \eta \left(\frac{\gamma}{U_R} \right)^2 + O \left[\left(\frac{\gamma}{U_R} \right)^3 \right] \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{S.146}$$

where $\eta = (4 + 3 \ln 2)/8$. Hence, the superfluid quantum depletion density takes the form of

$$n_{sD} = \frac{m^{3/2}}{3\pi^2} (U_R n)^{3/2} \left[1 + \eta \left(\frac{\gamma}{U_R} \right)^2 + O \left[\left(\frac{\gamma}{U_R} \right)^3 \right] \right]. \tag{S.147}$$

In the other limit $U_R \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$a_1 = -(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - 2i\gamma n - \omega), b = i\gamma n, a_2 = -(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - 2i\gamma n + \omega), c = -4i\gamma n. \tag{S.148}$$

The numerator of the integrand in Eq. (S.127) becomes

$$-2[\omega^4 - 2k_1 \omega^2 + k_1^2 - 16\gamma^2 n^2 \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2] + 288\gamma^4 n^4, \tag{S.149}$$

where $k_1 = (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - 5\gamma^2 n^2)$, $k_2 = (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 3\gamma^2 n^2)^2$. The denominator of the integrand in Eq. (S.127) becomes

$$|G^{-1}|^2 = (\omega^4 - 2k_1 \omega^2 + k_2)^2. \tag{S.150}$$

Hence, the phase stiffness can be rewritten as

$$Q = \frac{(2m)^{5/2} \gamma^2 n^2}{3\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \int_0^\infty \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{3/2} d\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{[\omega^4 - 2k_1 \omega^2 + k_1^2 - 16\gamma^2 n^2 \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2] - 144\gamma^4 n^4}{(\omega^4 - 2k_1 \omega^2 + k_2)^2}. \tag{S.151}$$

By replacing the integrated variables as $\omega \rightarrow \gamma n \omega$, $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \gamma n \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$, we have

$$Q = \frac{(2m)^{5/2}}{3\pi^2} (\gamma n)^{3/2} \times A, \quad (\text{S.152})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_0^{\infty} y^{3/2} dy \frac{[x^4 - 2(y^2 - 5)x^2 + (y^2 - 5)^2 - 16y^2] - 144}{(x^4 - 2(y^2 - 5)x^2 + (y^2 + 3)^2)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{11/2} \sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ is the Gamma function. From the expression (S.152), we can see that when $U_R = 0$, the superfluid quantum depletion density is proportional to $(\gamma n)^{3/2}$, which indicates that the quantum depletion is induced purely from dissipation. Furthermore, if we assume $0 \neq U_R \ll \gamma$ and expand the phase stiffness (S.110) around $U_R = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Tr}[(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z)G(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z)G] - \text{Tr}[(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_0)G(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_0)G] \\ &= -4\gamma^2 n^2 \left[\frac{1}{(\omega^2 - 4i\gamma n \omega - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - 3\gamma^2 n^2)^2} + \frac{1}{(\omega^2 + 4i\gamma n \omega - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - 3\gamma^2 n^2)^2} \right] \\ &+ 4\gamma n U_R n \left[\frac{4\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{(\omega^2 - 4i\gamma n \omega - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - 3\gamma^2 n^2)^3} + \frac{4\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{(\omega^2 + 4i\gamma n \omega - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - 3\gamma^2 n^2)^2} \right] \\ &+ O(U_R^2 n^2). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.153})$$

By substituting Eq. (S.153) into Eq. (S.110) and integrating the result over the variables ω and $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$, we obtain the phase stiffness as

$$Q = \frac{m^{5/2} (\gamma n)^{3/2}}{24\pi^{5/2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \left(1 + 6 \frac{U_R}{\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(3/4)^2}{\Gamma(1/4)^2} \right) + O(U_R^2/\gamma^2). \quad (\text{S.154})$$

Correspondingly, the superfluid quantum depletion density in the weak-interaction limit is given by

$$n_{sD} = \frac{m^{3/2} (\gamma n)^{3/2}}{24\pi^{5/2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \left(1 + 6 \frac{U_R}{\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(3/4)^2}{\Gamma(1/4)^2} \right) + O(U_R^2/\gamma^2). \quad (\text{S.155})$$

The Case with Dipolar Interaction

In the following, we also take the dipolar interaction into account to discuss application of our theory to a dissipative BEC of dipolar molecules. Following the same procedure as above, we apply the Bogoliubov approximation and find the Green's function as

$$G(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b & 0 & 0 \\ b^* & a_2 & 0 & c \\ c & 0 & -a_1^* & -b \\ 0 & 0 & -b^* & -a_2^* \end{pmatrix}^{-1}, \quad (\text{S.156})$$

where $a_1 = -(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}-m\mathbf{v}} + (U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}))n - 2i\gamma n - \omega)$, $b = -Un$, $a_2 = -(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}+m\mathbf{v}} + (U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}))n - 2i\gamma n + \omega)$, and $c = -4i\gamma n$. We can see that the effect of dipolar interaction is just to replace the interaction strength U_R with $U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}) = U_R(1 - \varepsilon_{dd} + 3\varepsilon_{dd} \cos^2 \theta_{\mathbf{k}})$, which is anisotropic in the momentum space. For convenience, we introduce an effective interaction strength $\tilde{U}_R(\mathbf{k}) := U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k})$. Therefore, the phase stiffness is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{ab} &= i4m^2 \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{k_a k_b}{m^2} \frac{-|b|^2}{2|G^{-1}|^2} [(a_1^* a_2^* - |b|^2)(|b|^2 + c^2 - a_1^* a_2^*) \\ &+ (a_1 a_2 - |b|^2)(|b|^2 + c^2 - a_1 a_2) - c^2(|a_2|^2 + |a_1|^2)] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.157})$$

with different a_1, a_2 defined above. We note that here the phase stiffness takes different values depending on the direction due to the anisotropic dipolar interaction. To proceed further, we average the phase stiffness over the direction of the applied

perturbation. From Eq. (S.157), we find that the nontrivial contribution only comes from the diagonal terms with $a = b$. For simplicity, we define the direction of the subscript a in Eq. (S.157) as $(\cos \varphi \sin \zeta, \sin \varphi \sin \zeta, \cos \zeta)$ and the phase stiffness can be rewritten as

$$Q_{aa} = i4m^2 \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{q(k, \theta)k^2}{m^2} (\cos \theta \cos \zeta + \sin \theta \sin \zeta \cos(\phi - \varphi))^2, \quad (\text{S.158})$$

where

$$q := \frac{-|b|^2}{2|G^{-1}|^2} [(a_1^* a_2^* - |b|^2)(|b|^2 + c^2 - a_1^* a_2^*) + (a_1 a_2 - |b|^2)(|b|^2 + c^2 - a_1 a_2) - c^2(|a_2|^2 + |a_1|^2)], \quad (\text{S.159})$$

and the direction of \mathbf{k} is defined as $(\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$. Then by integrating Eq. (S.158) over ϕ , we have

$$Q_{aa} = i4m^2 \int \frac{d\omega}{(2\pi)^3} \int k^2 dk \sin \theta d\theta \frac{q(k, \theta)k^2}{m^2} \left[(\cos \theta \cos \zeta)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\sin \theta \sin \zeta)^2 \right], \quad (\text{S.160})$$

which depends only on the angle ζ in the direction of a . We then take the average over ζ to determine the averaged quantum depletion given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Q} &= i \frac{4m^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d\omega}{(2\pi)^3} \int k^2 dk \sin \theta d\theta \frac{q(k, \theta)k^2}{m^2} \frac{2}{3} [(\cos \theta)^2 + (\sin \theta)^2] \\ &= i \frac{4m^2}{3(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d\omega}{(2\pi)^3} \int k^2 dk \sin \theta d\theta \frac{q(k, \theta)k^2}{m^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.161})$$

After the integration over k , we are left with the expression of \bar{Q} with an average over θ . We estimate the value of \bar{Q} by substituting U_R with $U_R + V_{dd}$ and take the average over the angle θ . We consider the two limits: the weak-dissipation limit $U_R, c_{dd} \gg \gamma$ and the weak-interaction limit $U_R, c_{dd} \ll \gamma$. In the first case, the phase stiffness can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Q} &= \frac{m^{5/2}}{3\pi^2} \int \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta d\theta (\tilde{U}_R n)^{3/2} \left[1 + \frac{(\gamma n)^2}{2\sqrt{2}(\tilde{U}_R n)^2} \int_0^\infty dx \frac{(3+4x+2x^2)\sqrt{x(2+x)}}{x(2+x)^3} + O\left[\left(\frac{\gamma}{U_R}\right)^3\right] \right] \\ &= \frac{m^{5/2}}{3\pi^2} (U_R n)^{3/2} \left[\frac{1}{8} \sqrt{1+2\varepsilon_{dd}} \left[5 + \varepsilon_{dd} + \frac{3(1-\varepsilon_{dd})^2 \operatorname{arcsinh} \sqrt{3\varepsilon_{dd}/(1-\varepsilon_{dd})}}{\sqrt{3\varepsilon_{dd}(1+2\varepsilon_{dd})}} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\eta(\gamma n)^2}{\sqrt{U_R n}} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3\varepsilon_{dd}}} \log \left(1 + \frac{2(3\varepsilon_{dd} + \sqrt{3\varepsilon_{dd}(1+2\varepsilon_{dd})})}{1-\varepsilon_{dd}} \right) + O\left[\left(\frac{\gamma}{U_R}\right)^3\right] \right] \\ &=: \frac{m^{5/2}}{3\pi^2} (U_R n)^{3/2} \left(h_1 + h_2 \frac{\eta(\gamma n)^2}{2\sqrt{U_R n}} + O\left[\left(\frac{\gamma}{U_R}\right)^3\right] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.162})$$

where

$$h_1 := \frac{1}{8} \sqrt{1+2\varepsilon_{dd}} \left[5 + \varepsilon_{dd} + \frac{3(1-\varepsilon_{dd})^2 \operatorname{arcsinh} \sqrt{3\varepsilon_{dd}/(1-\varepsilon_{dd})}}{\sqrt{3\varepsilon_{dd}(1+2\varepsilon_{dd})}} \right], \quad (\text{S.163})$$

$$h_2 := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3\varepsilon_{dd}}} \log \left(1 + \frac{2(3\varepsilon_{dd} + \sqrt{3\varepsilon_{dd}(1+2\varepsilon_{dd})})}{1-\varepsilon_{dd}} \right). \quad (\text{S.164})$$

We can prove that the two coefficients are enlarged by the ratio ε_{dd} between the dipolar and the contact interactions, which indicates that the dipolar interaction enhances the repulsive interaction on average and hence increases the quantum depletion. By substituting the experimental data $\varepsilon_{dd} = 0.833$ in Ref. [13], we obtain $h_1 = 1.204$, $h_2 = 1.305$.

In the weak-interaction limit where $U_R, c_{dd} \ll \gamma$, Eq. (S.161) becomes

$$\bar{Q} = \int \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta d\theta \frac{m^{5/2}(\gamma n)^{3/2}}{24\pi^{5/2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \left(1 + 6 \frac{\tilde{U}_R}{\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(3/4)^2}{\Gamma(1/4)^2} + O\left[\left(\frac{U_R}{\gamma}\right)^2\right] \right). \quad (\text{S.165})$$

After taking the average over the angle θ , we find that this is equivalent to the result with no dipolar interaction. This is because here the quantum depletion is mainly produced by dissipation and the effect of the dipolar interaction vanishes up to the first order in \tilde{U}_R .

Furthermore, we consider the normal quantum depletion density. We perturb the Hamiltonians in the Schwinger-Keldysh action by $H_+ \rightarrow H_+ - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{j}_+$ and $H_- \rightarrow H_- + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{j}_-$. The normal fluid density is determined from $\tilde{Q}_{ab} = \frac{-1}{2V} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v_a \partial v_a} \Big|_{v=0} = mn_{nD} \delta_{ab}$. Here F is a functional in the presence of the source term. The normal fluid density tensor is equivalent to the second term in Eq. (S.109), i.e.,

$$\tilde{Q}_{ab} = i \frac{m^2}{2V} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' \neq 0} \nabla_a \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \nabla_b \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}'} \text{Tr}[(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z) G(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z) G], \quad (\text{S.166})$$

where the diagonal term is the normal fluid density. Using the explicit form of G , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}[(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z) G(\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z) G] \\ &= \frac{4 \left(\gamma^2 n^2 \left(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 (2\tilde{U}_R n + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})^2 - 38\omega^2 \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} (2\tilde{U}_R n + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) + 5\omega^4 \right) + \left(2\tilde{U}_R n \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \omega^2 + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \right) \left(2\tilde{U}_R n \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \omega^2 + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \right)^2 \right)}{\left(3\gamma^2 n^2 + 4i\gamma n \omega + 2\tilde{U}_R n \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \omega^2 + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \right)^2 \left((\gamma n - i\omega)(3\gamma n - i\omega) + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} (2\tilde{U}_R n + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) \right)^2} \\ &+ \frac{4 \left(-45\gamma^6 n^6 - \gamma^4 n^4 \left(21\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} (2\tilde{U}_R n + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) - 23\omega^2 \right) \right)}{\left(3\gamma^2 n^2 + 4i\gamma n \omega + 2\tilde{U}_R n \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \omega^2 + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \right)^2 \left((\gamma n - i\omega)(3\gamma n - i\omega) + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} (2\tilde{U}_R n + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) \right)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.167})$$

The above expression vanishes after intergration over ω from $-\infty$ to ∞ since the residues of all poles of the integrand in the upper-half complex plane of ω vanish. Thus, we can see the superfluid quantum depletion is just equal to the total quantum depletion and the normal fluid density is always zero, i.e., all the bosons in the quantum depletion part contribute to the superfluid transport.

Derivation of The Spectral Function and the Excitation Spectrum

Here we derive an expression of the Green's function of the dissipative superfluid. Within the mean-field approximation, the correlation-function matrix in the momentum-energy space takes the form of

$$\begin{aligned} G(\mathbf{k}, \omega) &:= -i \left\langle \left(\begin{array}{c} a_{\mathbf{k},+} \\ a_{-\mathbf{k},+}^\dagger \\ a_{\mathbf{k},-} \\ a_{-\mathbf{k},-}^\dagger \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cccc} a_{\mathbf{k},+}^\dagger & a_{-\mathbf{k},+} & a_{\mathbf{k},-}^\dagger & a_{-\mathbf{k},-} \end{array} \right) \right\rangle \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{cccc} -\frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n - 2i\gamma n - \omega}{2} & -\frac{U n}{2} & & \\ -\frac{U^2 n}{2} & -\frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n - 2i\gamma n + \omega}{2} & & \\ -2i\gamma n & & \frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n + 2i\gamma n - \omega}{2} & \frac{U n}{2} \\ & & \frac{U^2 n}{2} & \frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n + 2i\gamma n + \omega}{2} \end{array} \right)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.168})$$

(see Eq. (S.104)). By directly calculating the inverse of the matrix, we have the following Green's functions [59]:

$$\begin{aligned} G^T(\mathbf{k}, \omega) &:= -i\langle a_{\mathbf{k},+} a_{\mathbf{k},+}^\dagger \rangle \\ &= \frac{2(\omega + U_R n - 2i\gamma n + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}})(\omega^2 - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - 2\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(U_R n + 2i\gamma n) + \gamma n(5\gamma n - 4iU_R n))}{\omega^4 + 2(5\gamma^2 n^2 - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n))\omega^2 + [\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) + 3\gamma^2 n^2]^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.169})$$

$$\begin{aligned} G^<(\mathbf{k}, \omega) &:= -i\langle a_{\mathbf{k},+} a_{\mathbf{k},-}^\dagger \rangle \\ &= \frac{-8i\gamma n(U_R^2 n^2 + \gamma^2 n^2)}{\omega^4 + 2(5\gamma^2 n^2 - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n))\omega^2 + [\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) + 3\gamma^2 n^2]^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.170})$$

$$\begin{aligned} G^>(\mathbf{k}, \omega) &:= -i\langle a_{\mathbf{k},-} a_{\mathbf{k},+}^\dagger \rangle \\ &= \frac{-8i\gamma n((\omega + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n)^2 + 4\gamma^2 n^2)}{\omega^4 + 2(5\gamma^2 n^2 - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n))\omega^2 + [\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) + 3\gamma^2 n^2]^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.171})$$

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\bar{T}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) &:= -i\langle a_{\mathbf{k},-} a_{\mathbf{k},-}^\dagger \rangle \\ &= \frac{2(\omega + U_R n + 2i\gamma n + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}})(-\omega^2 + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 2\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(U_R n - 2i\gamma n) - \gamma n(5\gamma n + 4iU_R n))}{\omega^4 + 2(5\gamma^2 n^2 - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n))\omega^2 + [\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) + 3\gamma^2 n^2]^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.172})$$

To obtain the spectral function, we first consider the retarded Green's function G^R , which is given by the relation

$$\begin{pmatrix} G^K & G^R \\ G^A & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G^T & G^< \\ G^> & G^{\bar{T}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S.173})$$

Therefore, the Keldysh, retarded, and advanced Green's functions are given by

$$G^K(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{-8i\gamma n((\omega + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n)^2 + U_R^2 n^2 + 5\gamma^2 n^2)}{\omega^4 + 2(5\gamma^2 n^2 - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n))\omega^2 + [\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) + 3\gamma^2 n^2]^2}, \quad (\text{S.174})$$

$$G^R(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{2(\omega + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n + 2i\gamma n)}{\omega^2 + 4i\gamma n\omega - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - 2U_R n\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - 3\gamma^2 n^2}, \quad (\text{S.175})$$

$$G^A(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{2(\omega + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n - 2i\gamma n)}{\omega^2 - 4i\gamma n\omega - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - 2U_R n\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - 3\gamma^2 n^2} = (G^R)^*. \quad (\text{S.176})$$

From the Green's functions, we obtain the spectral function as [2]

$$\begin{aligned} A(\mathbf{k}, \omega) &= \frac{i}{2\pi}(G^< + G^>) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{4\gamma n((\omega + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n)^2 + U_R^2 n^2 + 5\gamma^2 n^2)}{\omega^4 + 2(5\gamma^2 n^2 - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n))\omega^2 + [\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) + 3\gamma^2 n^2]^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.177})$$

We note that when $U_R = 0$, the spectral function becomes

$$A(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{4\gamma n((\omega + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}})^2 + 5\gamma^2 n^2)}{\omega^4 + 2(5\gamma^2 n^2 - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2)\omega^2 + [\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 3\gamma^2 n^2]^2}. \quad (\text{S.178})$$

In the other limit $\gamma = 0$, one can prove that Eq. (S.177) is proportional to $\delta(\omega^2 - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n))$, which reproduces the spectral function of a closed quantum system [79].

The excitation spectrum of the dissipative superfluid is given by the poles of the spectral function as

$$\omega^4 + 2(5\gamma^2 n^2 - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n))\omega^2 + [\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) + 3\gamma^2 n^2]^2 = 0. \quad (\text{S.179})$$

This equation can be factorized as

$$(\omega^2 + 4i\gamma n\omega - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - 2U_R n\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - 3\gamma^2 n^2)(\omega^2 - 4i\gamma n\omega - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - 2U_R n\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - 3\gamma^2 n^2) = 0. \quad (\text{S.180})$$

The solutions to Eq. (S.180) can be expressed as

$$\omega_{1,2} = -2i\gamma n \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) - \gamma^2 n^2}, \quad (\text{S.181})$$

$$\omega_{3,4} = 2i\gamma n \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) - \gamma^2 n^2}. \quad (\text{S.182})$$

For those momenta that satisfy $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) < \gamma^2 n^2$, the real parts of all the spectra vanish. For those momenta that satisfy $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) > \gamma^2 n^2$, the real parts of $\omega_{1(2)}$ are equal to those of $\omega_{3(4)}$. The relations are given by $\omega_1 = \omega_3^*$ and $\omega_2 = \omega_4^*$. Hence, we can reach the conclusion that

$$\text{Re}[\omega_1] = \text{Re}[\omega_3] = -\text{Re}[\omega_2] = -\text{Re}[\omega_4]. \quad (\text{S.183})$$

There is only one nontrivial real part in the four spectra. The poles of the retarded Green's function give the spectra $\omega_{1,2}$. These two spectra indeed coincide with the spectra of the observables $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ in Ref. [38]. We can understand the roles of the spectra from another framework of the Schwinger-Keldysh action. We first transform the action into another basis. By defining the retarded or advanced operators $a_{\mathbf{k},R} = \frac{1}{2}(a_{\mathbf{k},+} + a_{\mathbf{k},-})$ and $a_{\mathbf{k},A} = a_{\mathbf{k},+} - a_{\mathbf{k},-}$ [59], we have

$$a_{\mathbf{k},+}^\dagger i\partial_t a_{\mathbf{k},+} - a_{\mathbf{k},-}^\dagger i\partial_t a_{\mathbf{k},-} = a_{\mathbf{k},A}^\dagger i\partial_t a_{\mathbf{k},R} - a_{\mathbf{k},R}^\dagger i\partial_t a_{\mathbf{k},A}, \quad (\text{S.184})$$

$$\begin{aligned} -H_+ + H_- - 4i\gamma n \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k},-}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k},+} &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} -(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n - 2i\gamma n) a_{\mathbf{k},A}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k},R} - (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n + 2i\gamma n) a_{\mathbf{k},R}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k},A} \\ &\quad - \frac{U^* n}{2} (a_{-\mathbf{k},R} a_{\mathbf{k},A} + a_{-\mathbf{k},A} a_{\mathbf{k},R}) - \frac{U n}{2} (a_{\mathbf{k},R}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{k},A}^\dagger + a_{\mathbf{k},A}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{k},R}^\dagger) \\ &\quad + 2i\gamma n (a_{\mathbf{k},A}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k},A} + a_{-\mathbf{k},A}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{k},A}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.185})$$

Hence, the action can be reorganized as

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \begin{pmatrix} a_{\mathbf{k},R}^\dagger & a_{-\mathbf{k},R} & a_{\mathbf{k},A}^\dagger & a_{-\mathbf{k},A} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O_{2 \times 2} & G \\ G^\dagger & 2i\gamma n I_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{\mathbf{k},R} \\ a_{-\mathbf{k},R}^\dagger \\ a_{\mathbf{k},A} \\ a_{-\mathbf{k},A}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S.186})$$

where

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} \omega - (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n + 2i\gamma n) & -Un \\ -U^* n & -\omega - (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n - 2i\gamma n) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S.187})$$

The conditions of poles of the Green's function are given by

$$\det(G) = 0 \Rightarrow (\omega - (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n + 2i\gamma n))(\omega + (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n - 2i\gamma n)) + |U|^2 n^2 = 0, \quad (\text{S.188})$$

$$\det(G^\dagger) = 0 \Rightarrow (\omega - (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n - 2i\gamma n))(\omega + (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n + 2i\gamma n)) + |U|^2 n^2 = 0. \quad (\text{S.189})$$

These two equations can be rewritten as

$$\omega^2 - 4i\gamma n \omega - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - 2U_R n \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - 3\gamma^2 n^2 = 0, \quad (\text{S.190})$$

$$\omega^2 + 4i\gamma n \omega - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - 2U_R n \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - 3\gamma^2 n^2 = 0. \quad (\text{S.191})$$

Hence, $\omega_{1,2}$ are the poles of the retarded Green's function, which coincide with those obtained from the Gross-Pitaevskii equation [?], and $\omega_{3,4}$ are the poles of the advanced Green's function.

To examine the elementary excitations in the system, we need to diagonalize the action (S.186). We first review a similar transformation in a closed quantum system. The mean-field Hamiltonian takes the form of

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger & a_{-\mathbf{k}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + Un & Un \\ Un & \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + Un \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{\mathbf{k}} \\ a_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S.192})$$

To derive the matrix for the Bogoliubov transformation, we first transform the matrix as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + Un & Un \\ Un & \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + Un \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + Un & Un \\ Un & \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + Un \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + Un & -Un \\ Un & -(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + Un) \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{S.193})$$

since the action in the frequency space is given by

$$S = \int d\omega \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger & a_{-\mathbf{k}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega - (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + Un) & -Un \\ -Un & -\omega - (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + Un) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{\mathbf{k}} \\ a_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S.194})$$

Therefore, the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ in Eq. (S.193) transforms the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & -\omega \end{pmatrix}$ in the action into $\begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}$, which enables us to use a similarity transformation to diagonalize the Hamiltonian (S.192). The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrix are

$$E_1 = \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2Un)} \quad , \quad v_1 = \left(1, 1 + x^2 - x\sqrt{x^2 + 2}\right)^T, \quad (\text{S.195})$$

$$E_2 = -\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2Un)} \quad , \quad v_2 = \left(1 + x^2 - x\sqrt{x^2 + 2}, 1\right)^T, \quad (\text{S.196})$$

where $x = \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}/Un}$. Hence, the similarity transformation that diagonalizes the matrix (S.193) can be written as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 + x^2 - x\sqrt{x^2 + 2} \\ 1 + x^2 - x\sqrt{x^2 + 2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ \alpha & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S.197})$$

where $\alpha = 1 + x^2 - x\sqrt{x^2 + 2}$. This similarity transformation can be shown to be equivalent to the Bogoliubov transformation by renormalizing the matrix as $\tilde{M} := M/\sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}$ such that $\det(\tilde{M}) = 1$. Thus we obtain the Bogoliubov transformation matrix [6]

$$\tilde{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha^2}} & \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1-\alpha^2}} \\ \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1-\alpha^2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha^2}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S.198})$$

Similarly, in open quantum systems, we need to diagonalize the matrix

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n + 2i\gamma n & Un \\ U^* n & \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n - 2i\gamma n \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{S.199})$$

from the matrix G in Eq. (S.187). We follow the same procedure as above and transform K as $K\sigma_z$ for diagonalization. The problem is equivalent to the diagonalization of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n + 2i\gamma n & -Un \\ U^* n & -(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U_R n - 2i\gamma n) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S.200})$$

The eigenvectors are given by

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= \left(1, \frac{U_R n + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) - \gamma^2 n^2}}{Un}\right)^T \\ &\equiv (1, \bar{\alpha})^T, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.201})$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_2 &= \left(\frac{U_R n + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) - \gamma^2 n^2}}{U^* n}, 1\right)^T \\ &\equiv (\alpha, 1)^T, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.202})$$

with

$$\alpha = \frac{U_R n + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) - \gamma^2 n^2}}{U^* n}, \quad \bar{\alpha} = \frac{U_R n + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) - \gamma^2 n^2}}{Un}. \quad (\text{S.203})$$

We note that $\alpha^* = \bar{\alpha}$ only holds when $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) - \gamma^2 n^2 \geq 0$, where the spectra in Eqs. (S.181) and (S.182) have a nonzero real part. Therefore, the diagonalization matrix is given by

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ \bar{\alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S.204})$$

After renormalization $\tilde{M} := M/\sqrt{1 - \alpha\bar{\alpha}}$, we obtain the Bogoliubov matrix for the retarded operators

$$\tilde{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} & \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} \\ \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\bar{b}_{\mathbf{k},R} \quad b_{-\mathbf{k},R}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{\mathbf{k},R}^\dagger & a_{-\mathbf{k},R} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} & \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} \\ \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S.205})$$

and the Bogoliubov matrix for the advanced operators

$$\tilde{M}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} & -\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} \\ -\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b_{\mathbf{k},A} \\ \bar{b}_{-\mathbf{k},A} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} & \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} \\ \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{\mathbf{k},A} \\ a_{-\mathbf{k},A}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S.206})$$

Hence, the action becomes

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \begin{pmatrix} b_{\mathbf{k},R}^\dagger & b_{-\mathbf{k},R} & b_{\mathbf{k},A}^\dagger & b_{-\mathbf{k},A} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O_{2 \times 2} & H' \\ (H')^\dagger & 2i\gamma n \tilde{M}^{-2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{\mathbf{k},R} \\ b_{-\mathbf{k},R}^\dagger \\ b_{\mathbf{k},A} \\ b_{-\mathbf{k},A}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S.207})$$

where

$$H' = i\partial_t \sigma_z - \begin{pmatrix} 2i\gamma n - \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) - \gamma^2 n^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -2i\gamma n - \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) - \gamma^2 n^2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S.208})$$

In the expressions above, we can see $\bar{b}_{\mathbf{k}} = b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$ only holds for $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2U_R n) > \gamma^2 n^2$.

Furthermore, we calculate the excitation spectrum and the spectral function in the presence of the dipole-dipole interaction. Recall that the Fourier transform of the dipole-dipole interaction is [6]

$$V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{cases} -\frac{8\pi}{3} c_{dd}(1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta_{\mathbf{k}}) & \mathbf{k} \neq 0; \\ -\frac{8\pi}{3} c_{dd} & \mathbf{k} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{S.209})$$

The mean-field approximation for the dipole-dipole potential is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4} a_{\mathbf{k}_1}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_2}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_3} a_{\mathbf{k}_4} V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_4) \delta_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_4} \\ & \approx \frac{1}{2} N^2 V_{dd}(0) + \frac{N}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k}} a_{-\mathbf{k}} V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}) + \frac{N}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}) \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} [V_{dd}(0) + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k})] N. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.210})$$

Thus, the effective interaction in the Keldysh contour can be written as

$$S = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \left[\sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} (a_{\mathbf{k}+}^\dagger i\partial_t a_{\mathbf{k}+} - a_{\mathbf{k}-}^\dagger i\partial_t a_{\mathbf{k}-}) - H_+ + H_- - 4i\gamma n \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{k}-}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}+} \right], \quad (\text{S.211})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_\alpha = & \frac{(U_R + V_{dd}(0))n}{2} N + \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \neq 0} \left[(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + (U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}))n - 2i\alpha\gamma n) a_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}\alpha} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{(U^* + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}))n}{2} a_{-\mathbf{k}\alpha} a_{\mathbf{k}\alpha} + \frac{(U + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}))n}{2} a_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{k}\alpha}^\dagger \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.212})$$

Following the same calculations as above with U_R replaced by $\tilde{U}_R = U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k})$, we obtain the spectral function as

$$A(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{4\gamma n ((\omega + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + (U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}))n)^2 + ((U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}))n)^2 + 5\gamma^2 n^2)}{\omega^4 + 2[5\gamma^2 n^2 - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2(U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}))n)]\omega^2 + [\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2(U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}))n) + 3\gamma^2 n^2]^2}. \quad (\text{S.213})$$

The excitation spectra and the associated Bogoliubov transformation are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{1,2} &= -2i\gamma n \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2(U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}))n) - \gamma^2 n^2}, \\ \omega_{3,4} &= 2i\gamma n \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2(U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}))n) - \gamma^2 n^2}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} b_{\mathbf{k},A} \\ \bar{b}_{-\mathbf{k},A} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} & \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} \\ \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{\mathbf{k},A} \\ a_{-\mathbf{k},A}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.214})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= ((U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}))n + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2(U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}))n - \gamma^2 n^2)}) / ((U^* + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}))n), \\ \bar{\alpha} &= ((U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}))n + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2(U_R + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}))n - \gamma^2 n^2)}) / ((U + V_{dd}(\mathbf{k}))n).\end{aligned}\quad (\text{S.215})$$

The dipole-dipole interaction only modifies the strength of the repulsive interaction. In Fig. S1, we show the spectral function for an experimental value of $\epsilon_{dd} = 0.833$ [13] along different directions for the weak-interaction limit where $\gamma \gg U_R$ and the weak-dissipation limit where $U_R \gg \gamma$. Figures S1a, c, and e show that spectral functions strongly depend on the measured direction in the weak-dissipation limit, while Figs. S1b, d and f show that the system is dominated by the dissipation and the spectral function is nearly independent of the polarization direction in the weak-interaction limit.

Additionally, we also plot the peak frequency $\omega_{\text{peak}} = \text{argmax}_{\omega} A(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ of the spectral function as a function of the kinetic energy $\epsilon = |\mathbf{k}|^2/2m$ in Fig. S2. In the weak-interaction limit, the peak frequency is nearly independent of the direction $\theta_{\mathbf{k}}$ since the system is dominated by the dissipation. In the weak-dissipation regime, the peak frequency is more sensitive to the direction and ω_{peak} exhibits the well-known spectrum of an interacting BEC [3] in a closed system which confirms our calculation.

From the figures, we see that there always exists one peak in both cases for a given momentum and the strength of interaction and that the peak is broadened by the dissipation. This broadening indicates a finite lifetime of quasiparticles in the system. This spectral function shows distinct behavior in the weak-dissipation and weak-interaction limits. Since the spectral function can be measured in the state-of-the-art experimental platform [64–66], it can be used to experimentally test our results.

Finally, we examine the stability of the dissipative BEC with dipolar interactions. A BEC is unstable when one of the imaginary part of the spectra $\omega_{1,2}$ is positive. For the spectra (S.214), they most likely become unstable for the angle $\theta_{\mathbf{k}} = \pi/2$, where the spectrums can be rewritten as

$$\omega_{1,2} = -2i\gamma n \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + 2(U_R - V_{dd})n) - \gamma^2 n^2}, \quad (\text{S.216})$$

where $V_{dd} := 8\pi c_{dd}/3$. When there is no dipolar interaction, the imaginary part of ω_1 will become positive if $|U_R| > \sqrt{3}\gamma$, where the condensate is unstable [38]. If we fix the strength of dipolar interaction, the system becomes unstable when $|U_R| > \sqrt{3}\gamma - V_{dd}$. If we fix the strength of contact interaction, the system becomes unstable when

$$V_{dd} > \sqrt{3}\gamma + U_R \quad (\text{S.217})$$

for $U_R > 0$. Thus, the dissipation helps stabilize the condensate since the dissipation leads to an effective repulsive interaction, as seen from the Fig. 1 of the main text.




FIG. S1. Spectral function $A(\omega)$ of a dissipative molecular BEC as a function of the kinetic energy $\epsilon = \frac{|\mathbf{k}|^2}{2m}$ and frequency ω under different limits and different directions. Here we choose $\epsilon_{dd} = 0.833$ and set the polarization of dipole moments along the z -axis. Figures a,c,e,g show the weak-dissipation regime where $U_R n = 1.0$ a.u., and $\gamma n = 0.1$ a.u.. Figures b,d,f,h show the weak-interaction regime where $U_R n = 0.1$ a.u., and $\gamma n = 1.0$ a.u.. In Figs. a-f, the directions are $\theta_{\mathbf{k}} = 0, \pi/4, \pi/2$ from top to bottom. In Figs. g and h, we fix $\omega = 0.5$ a.u..




FIG. S2. Peak frequency of the spectral function (S.213) of a dissipative BEC as a function of the kinetic energy $\epsilon = \frac{|k|^2}{2m}$ in different limits and different directions. Here we choose $\varepsilon_{dd} = 0.833$. Figures a,c and e show the weak-dissipation regime where $U_{Rn} = 1.0$ a.u. and $\gamma n = 0.1$ a.u.. Figures b,d and f show the weak-interaction regime where $U_{Rn} = 0.1$ a.u. and $\gamma n = 1.0$ a.u.. In a-f, the directions are $\theta_{\mathbf{k}} = 0, \pi/4, \pi/2$ from top to bottom. In the regime $\tilde{U}_R \gg \gamma$, ω_{peak} exhibits behavior initially following a square-root dependence and subsequently showing a crossover to a linear dependence on ϵ . In the weak-interaction regime, the peak is less sensitive to the direction and shows a bending at $\epsilon = 1.0$ a.u. where the real part of the spectrum increases.