

OPTIMAL TRANSPORT WITH OPTIMAL TRANSPORT COST: THE MONGE-KANTOROVICH PROBLEM ON WASSERSTEIN SPACE

PEDRAM EMAMI AND BRENDAN PASS

ABSTRACT. We consider the Monge-Kantorovich problem between two random measures. More precisely, given probability measures $\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{P}_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(M))$ on the space $\mathcal{P}(M)$ of probability measures on a smooth compact manifold, we study the optimal transport problem between \mathbb{P}_1 and \mathbb{P}_2 where the cost function is given by the squared Wasserstein distance $W_2^2(\mu, \nu)$ between $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. Under appropriate assumptions on \mathbb{P}_1 , we prove that there exists a unique optimal plan and that it takes the form of an optimal map. An extension of this result to cost functions of the form $h(W_2(\mu, \nu))$, for strictly convex and strictly increasing functions h , is also established. The proofs rely heavily on a recent result of Schiavo [18], which establishes a version of Rademacher's theorem on Wasserstein space.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper focuses on optimal transport when the ambient space itself is the infinite dimensional space of probability measures on a Riemannian manifold, endowed with the Wasserstein metric.

Assume that X and Y are metric spaces. Given probability measures $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$, and a cost function $c : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, a fairly general version of Monge's original formulation of the optimal transport problem is as follows [16]:

$$\inf_{\substack{T: X \rightarrow Y, \\ T_{\#}\mu = \nu}} \int_X c(x, T(x)) d\mu, \quad (\text{MP})$$

where the infimum is over measurable maps $T : X \rightarrow Y$ pushing μ forward to ν , $T_{\#}\mu = \nu$; that is, $\mu(T^{-1}(A)) = \nu(A)$ for all Borel $A \subseteq Y$.

Date: June 14, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 49Q22.

Key words and phrases. optimal transport, Monge solutions, Wasserstein space.

BP is pleased to acknowledge support from Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Grants 04658-2018 and 2024-04864. The work of PE was completed in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a doctoral degree in mathematics at the University of Alberta. Note that this manuscript has no associated data.

Non-linearity and a lack of compactness make this problem challenging in isolation. Kantorovich's relaxed version [12] sidesteps these issues by seeking transport *plans* rather than mappings. Explicitly, his formulation of the problem is:

$$\inf_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \int_{X \times Y} c(x, y) d\gamma, \quad (\text{KP})$$

where $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$ is the set of all measures on $X \times Y$ such that $(\pi_X)_\# \gamma = \mu$, and $(\pi_Y)_\# \gamma = \nu$, where π_X and π_Y are the canonical projections. Kantorovich's problem amounts to an infinite dimensional linear program, and, in contrast to (MP), it is straightforward to show that a solution γ , known as an *optimal plan*, exists under reasonable assumptions on c , μ and ν .

Problems (MP) and (KP) have generated a lot of research over the past several decades, and have many applications, reviewed in the monographs of Villani [20, 21] and Santambrogio [17]. In many of these applications, the cost function $c(x, y) = d^2(x, y)$ is the metric distance squared on a common metric space $X = Y$, and we focus on this case in what follows. We highlight here two important themes, which are pertinent to this paper. First, the problem induces a metric W_2 on the space $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of probability measures on X . This distance is known as the Wasserstein distance, and $(\mathcal{P}(X), W_2)$ as the Wasserstein space. Second, for many choices of X , under additional assumptions on μ , it is possible to prove that the solution to (KP) is unique, and concentrates on the graph of a function $T : X \rightarrow X$ pushing μ forward to ν . This T in turns solves the Monge problem (MP), and is known as an *optimal map*. This result was first established when $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ by Brenier [5], and has since been extended by many authors to a wide variety of other geometric situations, notably including Riemannian manifolds by McCann [15], as well as the Heisenberg group [1], general sub-Riemannian manifolds [7], Alexandrov spaces [3], and several infinite dimensional spaces which we will touch on in more detail shortly.

Our purpose here is to establish a similar result when the underlying metric space X is itself the Wasserstein space over a compact Riemannian manifold M , $(X, d) = (\mathcal{P}(M), W_2)$. The optimal transport problem on Wasserstein space is natural, as it can be thought of as quantifying the distance between two random (probability) measures. In addition, we note that a substantial amount of recent research on the applied side of optimal transport has focused on situations where the marginals μ and ν in optimal transport problems on finite dimensional spaces X and Y , or other measures of interest, are not known with certainty; see for instance [4, 19, 9]. This uncertainty or ambiguity is expressed in different ways depending on the precise context, but it seems reasonable to conjecture that problems where marginal uncertainty is reflected by a *distribution* of possible marginals on Wasserstein space (instead of precisely known prescribed marginals) may be relevant in some of these applications. This leads naturally to our problem.

Let us say a few words about the proof of our main result. For general choices of X , it is well understood that one can only establish the existence of optimal maps under certain regularity assumptions on μ ; namely, one needs to exclude the possibility of μ charging sets which are "small" in an appropriate sense. Although more refined notions exist (see [10] for a sharp condition when $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$), a straightforward sufficient hypothesis (a version of which we will adopt here) is that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to an appropriate reference measure. From a technical perspective, this hypothesis arises in the proof of the existence of optimal maps by ensuring that we can differentiate Kantorovich potentials (defined in the next section) μ almost everywhere. Since these potentials are well known to be locally Lipschitz, Rademacher's theorem makes this work when the reference measure is, for example, Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n , or Riemannian volume on a Riemannian manifold. It is also worth mentioning the case of the Wiener space, which, like our setting here, is infinite dimensional, where Monge solutions were established in [6] and [13]. In that case, the natural reference measure is the Wiener measure. Existence and uniqueness of optimal maps was proven more generally on separable Hilbert spaces in [2], where all non-degenerate Gaussian measures play the role of reference measure in a certain sense.

In our particular infinite dimensional setting $X = \mathcal{P}(M)$, the choice of an appropriate reference measure is non-trivial. However, recent work of Schiavo establishes a version of Rademacher's theorem on Wasserstein space for a class of reference measures satisfying certain assumptions [18]. Here, we show that with this result in hand, the fairly standard proof strategy for the existence of optimal maps on many metric spaces can indeed be adapted to the Wasserstein space.

The paper is organized as follows. In the following section, we review some basic facts and notation on optimal transport and Riemannian geometry which we will use later on. In Section 3 we recall relevant information on the space of probability measures on a Riemannian manifold, and we state and prove our main theorem on the existence and uniqueness of optimal maps on this space in Section 4. Section 5 is reserved for an extension of this result to more general cost functions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Basic tools for optimal transport. A key tool in the analysis of optimal transport plans is the Kantorovich dual problem, which reads:

$$\sup_{\phi \in C(X), \psi \in C(Y)} \left\{ \int_X \phi(x) d\mu + \int_Y \psi(y) d\nu; \quad \phi(x) + \psi(y) \leq c(x, y) \right\}. \quad (\text{DP})$$

Solutions φ and ψ to this problem are called *Kantorovich potentials*. They can be chosen to have a particular structure, expressed in the following definition.

Definition 1. A function $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called c -concave if

$$\phi(x) = \psi^c(x) := \inf_{y \in Y} c(x, y) - \psi(y)$$

for some function $\psi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Similarly, a function $\psi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called c -concave if

$$\psi(y) = \phi^c(y) := \inf_{x \in X} c(x, y) - \phi(x)$$

for some $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

The following well known theorem, asserting duality between (KP) and (DP), makes precise the remarks above about the connection between (KP), (DP) and the notion of c -concavity, and will play a crucial role in this paper.

Theorem 1. Let μ, ν be Borel probability measures on compact metric spaces, X and Y , respectively, and $c : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous cost function.

Then the infimal value in (KP) equals the supremal value in (DP), and there exists a minimizer γ in (KP) and a maximizer $(\phi, \psi) = (\psi^c, \phi^c)$ in (DP) such that both ϕ and ψ are c -concave.

Furthermore, for any solutions γ to (KP) and (ϕ, ψ) in (DP) we have

$$\phi(x) + \psi(y) = c(x, y) \quad \gamma \text{ almost everywhere.}$$

2.2. Riemannian manifolds. In this section, we introduce some notation and recall some known facts on Riemannian manifolds which we will need later on. Let (M, g) be a compact connected Riemannian manifold with Riemannian distance $d(x, y) := d_g(x, y)$. For the rest of this work, we will quite closely follow notation in [18]. We denote by dvol_g the canonical volume measure on M and the set of all smooth functions on M by $C^\infty(M)$. Moreover, we denote by \mathfrak{X}^0 the space of all continuous vector fields on M , that is, sections of the tangent bundle TM , endowed with the supremum norm

$$\|w\|_{\mathfrak{X}^0} := \sup_M |w(x)|_g,$$

and similarly by \mathfrak{X}^∞ the algebra of smooth vector fields on M . Since M is compact, every smooth vector field $w \in \mathfrak{X}^\infty$ generates a unique global flow $(\psi^{w,t})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$; that is, each $\psi^{w,t} : M \rightarrow M$ is a smooth orientation preserving diffeomorphism such that

$$\dot{\psi}^{w,t}(x) = w(\psi^{w,t}(x)) \quad \& \quad \psi^{w,0}(x) = x, \quad x \in M, \quad (1)$$

where $\dot{\psi}^{w,t}(x)$ is the velocity of the curve $t \mapsto \psi^{w,t}(x)$.

By Theorem 1, the Kantorovich problem on M with the cost function $c(x, y) = d(x, y)^2$ always admits a solution. Moreover, the following famous theorem of McCann [15] implies the existence and uniqueness of an optimal map whenever the source measure lies in the set $\mathcal{P}^{\text{ac}}(M)$ of probability measures which are absolutely continuous with respect to dvol_g .

Theorem 2 (McCann 2001). *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{ac}}(M)$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. Then the solution to (KP) on $X = Y = M$ with $c(x, y) = d^2(x, y)$ is unique and concentrated on the graph of a function $T : M \rightarrow M$. This T is in turn the unique solution to (MP), and takes the form $T(x) = \exp_x(-\nabla\phi(x))$ for a $\frac{d^2}{2}$ -concave function $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*

3. THE SPACE OF BOREL PROBABILITY MEASURES ON A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

We now turn our attention to the space $\mathcal{P}(M)$ of probability measures on a Riemannian manifold M .

3.1. Topological properties of $\mathcal{P}(M)$. Since (M, g) is a compact metric space, the space of all finite signed Borel measures on M , $\mathcal{M}(M)$, endowed with the total variation norm can be identified with the dual of $C(M) = C_b(M)$, thanks to the Riesz-Markov-Kakutani theorem. Furthermore, $\mathcal{P}(M)$ is a weak* closed subset of the unit sphere of $\mathcal{M}(M)$ and so by the Banach-Alaoglu theorem is weak* compact. Every $f \in C_b(M)$ induces a bounded linear functional

$$f^* : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f^*\mu = \int_M f d\mu,$$

on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ which is called a *potential energy*. When $f \in C^\infty(M)$ the corresponding f^* is called a *smooth potential energy*. Motivated by studies on configuration spaces, there is another class of smooth functions on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ which is relevant to the rest of our analysis.

Definition 2. *A function $u : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a “cylinder” function if there exists a positive integer $k > 0$, a smooth function F on \mathbb{R}^k and k smooth functions $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k \in C^\infty(M)$ such that*

$$u(\mu) = F(f_1^*\mu, f_2^*\mu, \dots, f_k^*\mu).$$

We denote the set of all cylinder functions on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ by \mathcal{FC}^∞ .

The fact that the Kantorovich problem on M always admits a solution implies that for every two measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, the cost of the transportation is a finite value. In fact, this value induces a metric

$$W_2(\mu, \nu) := \left(\int_{X \times Y} d(x, y)^2 d\gamma(x, y) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where γ is optimal in (KP), on the space $\mathcal{P}(M)$, known as the *L^2 -Wasserstein metric*. The L^2 -Wasserstein metric metrizes the weak* topology on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ [20, Theorem 7.12] and turns it into a compact metric space itself. This space $(\mathcal{P}(M), W_2)$ is called the *L^2 -Wasserstein space over M* .

3.2. The Geometry of $\mathcal{P}(M)$. We now turn to some geometric properties induced on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ by the metric W_2 .

The Wasserstein space is often viewed as an infinite dimensional manifold. The geometry and smooth structure of this space have been well studied from different viewpoints by many researchers [2, 14, 10, 11, 8]. It is well-known that $\mathcal{P}(M)$ is a geodesic space and one can identify the tangent space of $\mathcal{P}(M)$ at a point μ , denoted by $T_\mu^{\text{Der}}\mathcal{P}(M)$, with the space $\mathfrak{X}_\mu := \overline{\mathfrak{X}^\infty}^{L^2(M, \mu)}$, that is, the abstract linear completion of \mathfrak{X}^∞ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{X}_\mu}$ induced by the Hilbert inner product

$$\langle w_0, w_1 \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}_\mu} := \int_M \langle w_0(x), w_1(x) \rangle_g d\mu(x), \quad \forall w_0, w_1 \in \mathfrak{X}^\infty.$$

Under this identification, every smooth vector field $w \in \mathfrak{X}^\infty$ induces a canonical directional derivative for every cylinder function $u \in \mathcal{FC}^\infty$. Recalling the unique global flow (1) generated by w , one can observe that $\psi^{w,t}$ induces a map on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ via push-forward, that is,

$$\Psi^{w,t} : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(M), \quad \Psi^{w,t} := \psi_{\#}^{w,t}.$$

Then a straightforward calculation [18, Lemma 6.2] shows that

$$(\nabla_w u)(\mu) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (u \circ \Psi^{w,t})(\mu) = \langle \nabla u(\mu), w \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}_\mu}, \quad u \in \mathcal{FC}^\infty,$$

where ∇u is defined via¹

$$\nabla u(\mu)(x) := \sum_i^k (\partial_i F)(f_1^* \mu, f_2^* \mu, \dots, f_k^* \mu) \nabla f_i(x).$$

We next establish a simple lemma which we will require later.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{ac}}(M)$ and w be any smooth vector field on M , that is $w \in \mathfrak{X}^\infty$. Then $\mu_t := \Psi^{w,t}(\mu) \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{ac}}(M)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, μ_t satisfies the continuity equation*

$$\frac{\partial \mu_t}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\xi_t \mu_t) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $\xi_t(x) = w(\psi^{w,t}(x))$.

Proof. Since M is compact, by Eq. (1), ψ is a diffeomorphism and therefore $\mu_t = \Psi^{w,t}(\mu)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to dvol_g for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For the second part of the lemma, one should observe that since ψ is a diffeomorphism Theorem 5.34 [20] implies that μ_t satisfies the continuity equation (2). □

¹For justification on this definition, we refer the reader to Schiavo [18, Page 5].

We next state two results on derivatives along curves in the Wasserstein space. The first regards differentiation of the squared Wasserstein distance:

Theorem 3 (Derivative of the Wasserstein distance). *Let M be a Riemannian manifold. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{ac}}(M)$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. For a smooth vector field $w \in \mathfrak{X}^\infty$, set $\mu_t = \Psi^{w,t}(\mu)$. Then*

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} W_2(\mu_t, \nu) = 2 \int_M \langle \nabla \phi(x), w(x) \rangle_g d\mu(x), \quad (3)$$

where ϕ is a $(d^2/2)$ -concave function such that

$$\nu = \exp(-\nabla \phi)_\# \mu.$$

Differentiability of the squared Wasserstein distance is certainly well known; see, for example, [20, Theorem 8.13], [21, Theorem 23.9], [2, Corollary 10.2.7]. We were unable to find an exact reference for the version we use here, and so provide a brief proof.

Proof. The result follows directly from Lemma 4.3 in [18] when $\nu \neq \mu$. If $\nu = \mu$, then ν is absolutely continuous with respect to volume, and so the result follows from Step 2 in the proof of Theorem 23.9 in [21] (noting by Lemma 1 that μ_t satisfies the continuity equation). \square

The second differentiation result we will need applies to Lipschitz functions on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ and is a recent, deep result of Schiavo [18]. It amounts to a type of Rademacher theorem on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ and its statement requires some additional assumptions. In particular, a statement of a Rademacher type result requires a reference measure on the base space (Wasserstein space in our case) satisfying certain properties. The assumption we will need is as follows.

Assumption 1. *Let $\mathbb{P}_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(M))$ be a probability measure on the Wasserstein space satisfying:*

- \mathbb{P}_0 has no atoms.
- \mathbb{P}_0 verifies the following integration-by-parts formula. If $u, v \in \mathcal{FC}^\infty$ and $w \in \mathfrak{X}^\infty$, then there exists a measurable function $\mu \mapsto \nabla_w^* v \in \mathfrak{X}_\mu$ such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}(M)} \nabla_w u(\mu) \cdot v d\mathbb{P}_0(\mu) = \int_{\mathcal{P}(M)} u \cdot \nabla_w^* v(\mu) d\mathbb{P}_0(\mu).$$

Remark 1. Although the preceding assumption appears fairly abstract, it can be verified on many concrete examples; see [18].

The full version of the following Theorem is found in [18, Theorem 2.10]; in the interest of brevity, and in an attempt to keep our arguments as transparent as possible, we include here only the conclusions we will explicitly need.

Theorem 4 (Schiavo 2020). *Let $U : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be W_2 -Lipschitz continuous, and let $\mathbb{P}_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(M))$ satisfy Assumption 1. Then there exists a measurable section \mathbf{DU} of $T^{\text{Der}}\mathcal{P}(M)$, satisfying*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{U(\Psi^{w,t}(\cdot)) - U(\cdot)}{t} = \langle \mathbf{DU}(\cdot), w \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}(\cdot)} \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathcal{P}(M), \mathbb{P}_0), \quad (4)$$

for every $w \in \mathfrak{X}^\infty$.

Corollary 1. *Let $U : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be W_2 -Lipschitz and $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ a countable set of smooth vector fields on M . Then for \mathbb{P}_0 almost every μ , we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{U(\Psi^{w_i,t}(\mu)) - U(\mu)}{t} = \langle \mathbf{DU}(\mu), w_i \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}_\mu}, \quad (5)$$

for all i .

Proof. The preceding Theorem implies that for each w_i , (5) holds for \mathbb{P}_0 almost every μ . That is,

$$\mathbb{P}_0(\{\mu : (5) \text{ fails for } w_i\}) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}_0(\{\mu : (5) \text{ fails for some } w_i\}) = \mathbb{P}_0(\cup_{i=1}^\infty \{\mu : (5) \text{ fails for } w_i\}) = 0,$$

as desired. \square

The following is a special case of a well known result in optimal transport theory, and, combined with the above result, will imply differentiability of Kantorovich potentials (that is, solutions to (DP)) on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ in a certain sense. The analogous result on M (rather than $\mathcal{P}(M)$) was originally established by McCann [15]; the version below can be seen as an immediate consequence of the Proposition in [17, Box 1.8].

Lemma 2. *Let $U : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be W_2^2 -concave. Then U is W_2 - Lipschitz.*

4. THE OPTIMAL TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM ON THE SPACE $\mathcal{P}(M)$

We are now ready to state and prove our main theorem, which concerns the optimal transport problem on Wasserstein space itself. That is, in (KP), we take $X = Y = \mathcal{P}(M)$ for a compact Riemannian manifold, and $c(\mu, \nu) = W_2^2(\mu, \nu)$. Note that X and Y are then compact.

To distinguish between measures *in* $\mathcal{P}(M)$ (that is, measures on M) and measures *on* $\mathcal{P}(M)$ (that is, elements of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(M))$), we will continue to use Greek letters such as μ and ν for the former and will use upper case black board letters such as \mathbb{P}_1 and \mathbb{P}_2 to denote the latter.

Theorem 5. *Let $\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{P}_2$ be Borel probability measures on $X := \mathcal{P}(M)$ and $Y := \mathcal{P}(M)$, respectively, $\mathcal{P}(M)$ be equipped with a reference measure \mathbb{P}_0 satisfying Assumption 1 and $c(\mu, \nu) = W_2^2(\mu, \nu)$ for every $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. Assuming that \mathbb{P}_1 is absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P}_0 and $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathcal{P}^{\text{ac}}(M)) = 1$, the optimal transportation problem (KP) between (X, \mathbb{P}_1) and (Y, \mathbb{P}_2) under this cost function admits a unique solution $\mathbb{P}_{1,2}$ which is concentrated on the graph of a map $T : X \rightarrow Y$; that is, $T(\mu) = \nu$ for $\mathbb{P}_{1,2}$ a.e. μ, ν and $T_{\#}\mathbb{P}_1 = \mathbb{P}_2$. Furthermore, T is the unique solution to (MP).*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{P}_{1,2} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(M) \times \mathcal{P}(M))$ solve the Kantorovich problem (KP) and U and V be W_2^2 -concave functions solving the dual problem. We then have, by Theorem 1 for all $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(M)$,

$$U(\mu) + V(\nu) \leq W_2^2(\mu, \nu), \tag{6}$$

with equality $\mathbb{P}_{1,2}$ almost everywhere. U is Lipschitz by Lemma 2. Fix a countable $W = \{w_i\}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{X}^\infty$ which is dense in \mathfrak{X}^0 with respect to the sup norm. Then Corollary 1 implies that (5) holds \mathbb{P}_0 , and therefore \mathbb{P}_1 , almost everywhere for all $w \in W$. Fix any μ where this holds; our goal is to show that there is only one ν where equality holds in (6). To this end, suppose equality holds for some ν . For any $w \in W$, letting $\mu_t = \Psi^{w,t}(\mu)$, the function

$$\alpha(t) = U(\mu_t) - W_2^2(\mu_t, \nu)$$

is maximized at $t = 0$. By Corollary 1, Lemma 1 and Theorem 3, α is differentiable at 0, and

$$\int_M \langle \mathbf{D}U(\mu)(x) - 2\nabla\phi_\nu(x), w(x) \rangle_g d\mu(x) = \alpha'(0) = 0, \tag{7}$$

where $\mathbf{D}U$ is as in Theorem 4 and ϕ_ν is the Kantorovich potential corresponding to optimal transport between μ and ν . Since this holds for all $w \in W$, it holds for all $w \in \mathfrak{X}^0$ by density, which implies that

$$\nabla\phi_\nu(x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}U(\mu)(x)$$

for μ almost every x . But Theorem 2 then implies that ν is uniquely determined by U as desired; more precisely, we must have

$$\nu = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}U(\mu)\right)_{\#\mu} =: T(\mu)$$

This shows that $\mathbb{P}_{1,2}$ concentrates on the graph of the transport map T . Uniqueness of the optimal $\mathbb{P}_{1,2}$ in (KP) then follows by a very standard argument. If $\mathbb{P}_{1,2}$ and $\mathbb{P}'_{1,2}$ are both optimal, then by linearity so is $\frac{1}{2}[\mathbb{P}_{1,2} + \mathbb{P}'_{1,2}]$. The argument above

implies that $\mathbb{P}_{1,2}, \mathbb{P}'_{1,2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}[\mathbb{P}_{1,2} + \mathbb{P}'_{1,2}]$ are all concentrated on maps over \mathbb{P}_1 , which is impossible unless $\mathbb{P}_{1,2} = \mathbb{P}'_{1,2}$. \square

5. EXTENSION TO MORE GENERAL FUNCTIONS OF THE WASSERSTEIN DISTANCE

The result of Theorem 5 can be further extended to a class of cost functions, namely, the class of all functions of the form $c(\mu, \nu) = h(W_2(\mu, \nu))$ where $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^2 -smooth, strictly increasing and strictly convex, as the following theorem asserts.

Theorem 6. *Let $\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{P}_2$ be Borel probability measures on $X = Y = \mathcal{P}(M)$, where $\mathcal{P}(M)$ is equipped with a reference measure \mathbb{P}_0 satisfying Assumption 1, such that \mathbb{P}_1 is absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P}_0 and $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathcal{P}^{\text{ac}}(M)) = 1$. Let the cost function be defined by $c(\mu, \nu) = h(W_2(\mu, \nu))$ for every $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ where $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^2 smooth, strictly increasing and strictly convex. Then the solution to (KP) is unique and concentrated on the graph of a map $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $T_{\#}\mathbb{P}_1 = \mathbb{P}_2$, and T is the unique solution to (MP).*

Proof. Since much of the proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 5, we only outline the differences. First note that we can write

$$c(\mu, \nu) = h(W_2^2(\mu, \nu)) = \bar{h}(W_2^2(\mu, \nu)).$$

for $\bar{h}(s) = h(\sqrt{s})$. Then, for a curve μ_t of measures as in Theorem 3, (3) combined with the chain rule implies

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} c(\mu_t, \nu) = 2\bar{h}'(W_2^2(\mu, \nu)) \int_M \langle \nabla \phi(x), w(x) \rangle_g d\mu(x). \quad (8)$$

Letting \mathbb{P} solve (KP) and U, V be W_2^2 -concave solutions to (DP), a similar argument to the proof of Theorem 5, implies that for \mathbb{P} almost every μ and ν we have

$$\mathbf{D}U(\mu)(x) = 2\bar{h}'(W_2^2(\mu, \nu)) \nabla \phi_\nu(x) \quad \mu\text{-a.e.} \quad (9)$$

What is left to show is the fact that for fixed μ the above equation uniquely determines ν ; that is

$$\bar{h}'(W_2^2(\mu, \nu_1)) \nabla \phi_{\nu_1} = \bar{h}'(W_2^2(\mu, \nu_2)) \nabla \phi_{\nu_2} \implies \nabla \phi_{\nu_1} = \nabla \phi_{\nu_2},$$

where ϕ_{ν_i} is the Kantorovich potential for transport between μ and ν_i . To prove that the above implication holds, we first take the squared norm of both sides of (10) and integrate with respect to μ to obtain

$$[\bar{h}'(W_2^2(\mu, \nu_1))]^2 \int_M |\nabla \phi_{\nu_1}|^2 d\mu = [\bar{h}'(W_2^2(\mu, \nu_2))]^2 \int_M |\nabla \phi_{\nu_2}|^2 d\mu. \quad (10)$$

Observe that $d_g^2(x, \exp_x(-\nabla \phi(x))) = |\nabla \phi(x)|^2$, and therefore $W_2^2(\mu, \nu) = \int_M |\nabla \phi|^2 d\mu$. This means that (10) implies

$$\bar{h}'(W_2^2(\mu, \nu_1)) W_2(\mu, \nu_1) = \bar{h}'(W_2^2(\mu, \nu_2)) W_2(\mu, \nu_2). \quad (11)$$

Now note that

$$h(s) = \bar{h}(s^2) \implies h'(s) = 2s\bar{h}'(s^2), \quad (12)$$

and since h is assumed to be strictly convex, the mapping $s \mapsto h'(s) = 2s\bar{h}'(s^2)$ is strictly increasing. So (11) implies that $W_2(\mu, \nu_1) = W_2(\mu, \nu_2)$. If $\bar{h}'(W_2^2(\mu, \nu_1)) \neq 0$, we can then cancel this common factor in (10) to obtain the desired result. On the other hand, if $\bar{h}'(W_2^2(\mu, \nu_1)) = 0$, we must have $h'(W_2(\mu, \nu_1)) = 0$ by (12). As h is strictly increasing and strictly convex, we can only have $h'(s) = 0$ at $s = 0$, so that $0 = W_2(\mu, \nu_1) = W_2(\mu, \nu_2)$, which of course implies $\nu_1 = \nu_2 (= \mu)$ in this case as well.

REFERENCES

1. L. Ambrosio and S. Rigot, *Optimal mass transportation in the Heisenberg group*, J. Funct. Anal. **208** (2004), no. 2, 261–301. MR 2035027
2. Luigi Ambrosio, Nicola Gigli, and Giuseppe Savaré, *Gradient flows: in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2005.
3. Jérôme Bertrand, *Existence and uniqueness of optimal maps on Alexandrov spaces*, Adv. Math. **219** (2008), no. 3, 838–851. MR 2442054
4. Jose Blanchet and Karthyek Murthy, *Quantifying distributional model risk via optimal transport*, Math. Oper. Res. **44** (2019), no. 2, 565–600. MR 3959085
5. Yann Brenier, *Polar factorization and monotone rearrangement of vector-valued functions*, Communications on pure and applied mathematics **44** (1991), no. 4, 375–417.
6. D. Feyel and A. S. Üstünel, *Monge-Kantorovitch measure transportation and Monge-Ampère equation on Wiener space*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **128** (2004), no. 3, 347–385. MR 2036490
7. Alessio Figalli and Ludovic Rifford, *Mass transportation on sub-Riemannian manifolds*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **20** (2010), no. 1, 124–159. MR 2647137
8. Wilfrid Gangbo, Hwa Kim, and Tommaso Pacini, *Differential forms on Wasserstein space and infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian systems*, vol. 211, American Mathematical Society, 2011.
9. Mario Ghossoub, David Saunders, and Kelvin Shuangjian Zhang, *Bounds on Choquet risk measures in finite product spaces with ambiguous marginals*, Stat. Risk Model. **41** (2024), no. 1–2, 49–72. MR 4685124
10. Nicola Gigli, *On the inverse implication of brenier-mccann theorems and the structure of $(P_2(M), W_2)$* , Methods and Applications of Analysis **18** (2011), no. 2, 127–158.
11. ———, *Second order analysis on $(P_2(M), W_2)$* , Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **216** (2012), no. 1018, xii+154. MR 2920736
12. Leonid V Kantorovich, *On the translocation of masses*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. USSR (NS), vol. 37, 1942, pp. 199–201.
13. Alexander V. Kolesnikov, *Convexity inequalities and optimal transport of infinite-dimensional measures*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **83** (2004), no. 11, 1373–1404. MR 2096305
14. John Lott, *Some geometric calculations on Wasserstein space*, arXiv preprint math/0612562 (2006).
15. Robert J McCann, *Polar factorization of maps on riemannian manifolds*, Geometric & Functional Analysis GAFA **11** (2001), no. 3, 589–608.
16. Gaspard Monge, *Mémoire sur la théorie des déblais et des remblais*, Mem. Math. Phys. Acad. Royale Sci. (1781), 666–704.

17. Filippo Santambrogio, *Optimal transport for applied mathematicians*, vol. 55, Birkäuser, NY, 2015.
18. Lorenzo Dello Schiavo, *A Rademacher-type theorem on L^2 -Wasserstein spaces over closed Riemannian manifolds*, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **278** (2020), no. 6, 108397.
19. Giulio Trigila and Esteban G. Tabak, *Data-driven optimal transport*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **69** (2016), no. 4, 613–648. MR 3465084
20. Cédric Villani, *Topics in optimal transportation*, vol. 58, American Mathematical Soc., 2003.
21. Cédric Villani, *Optimal transport: old and new*, *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*, vol. 338, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009, Old and new. MR 2459454

Email address: emami1@ualberta.ca, pass@ualberta.ca

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA