

MINIMAL AMENABLE SUBSHIFT WITH FULL MEAN DIMENSION

ZHENGYU YIN, ZUBIAO XIAO*

ABSTRACT. Let G be an infinite countable amenable group and P a polyhedron with topological dimension $\dim(P) < \infty$. We construct a minimal subshift (X, G) such that its mean topological dimension is equal to $\dim(P)$. This result answers the question of D. Dou in [4], moreover, it is also an extension of the work of L. Jin and Y. Qiao [10] for \mathbb{Z} -action.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1999, M. Gromov in [6] introduced the notion of mean dimension for a topological dynamical system (X, f) , where X is a compact metric space and f a continuous self-map on X , which is, as well as the topological entropy, an invariant under conjugacy. In 2000, E. Lindenstrauss and B. Weiss in [13] showed that a system (X, f) with finite dimension phase space has zero dimension, they also proved that the values of mean topological dimension of \mathbb{Z} -action can run over $[0, \infty]$. Due to this result, they also negatively answered the question asked by J. Auslander in [1]: Whether all minimal \mathbb{Z} -action systems can be embedded into $([0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{Z})$. In [12], E. Lindenstrauss proved that a system (X, f) which is an extension of a minimal system, and K is a convex set with a non-empty interior such that $mdim(X, f) < \dim(K)/36$, then (X, f) can be embedded in the shift $(K^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{Z})$. Later, E. Lindenstrauss and M. Tsukamoto in [14] constructed a minimal system with mean dimension equal to $m/2$ that can't embed into $([0, 1]^m)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{Z}$. More recently, Y. Gutman and M. Tsukamoto in [7] showed that if (X, f) is a minimal system with $mdim(X, f) < m/2$, then (X, f) can be embedded into $([0, 1]^m)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $m/2$ is the optimal number for minimal systems embedding into $([0, 1]^m)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{Z}$.

Given an infinite countable amenable group G , It is natural to discuss the value of the mean dimension of the system (X, G) . Let P be a polyhedron with topological dimension $\dim(P)$ and (P^G, G) the G -shift on P . Given $0 \leq \rho \leq \dim(P)$ and G a residual finite amenable group, M. Coornaert and F. Krieger in [3] constructed a closed subshift X of P^G (need not to be minimal) such that $mdim(X, G) = \rho$. Soon after, let G be an infinite countable amenable group (without any additional assumption) and $\epsilon > 0$. F. Krieger in [11] constructed a minimal subshift X of P^G such that its mean topological dimension is ϵ -close to $\dim(P)$. More recently, by applying the methods of construction

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37B99, 54H15.

Key words and phrases. Dynamical systems, Amenable group, Tiling, Minimal subshift, Mean topological diemnsion.

of Toeplitz sequence in [17] and the newest results of the tiling theory of amenable groups in [5], let $0 \leq \rho < \dim(P)$, D. Dou [4] constructed a minimal subshift X of P^G with $\text{mdim}(X, G) = \rho$, and a question was left:

Question 1.1. ([4, Question 1]) Let G be an infinite countable amenable group and P a polyhedron with topological dimension $\dim(P)$, whether it is possible to construct a minimal G -action subshift X of P^G with full mean topological dimension (i.e., $\text{mdim}(X, G) = \dim(P)$).

In the case of \mathbb{Z} -action, L. Jin and Y. Qiao [10] constructed a minimal \mathbb{Z} -subshift X of $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $\text{mdim}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 1$, which positively answers the Question 1.1 for \mathbb{Z} -action. Soon after, J. Zhao [18] considered the construction of a minimal system of given mean dimension in *Berstein space*, which generalizes the results in [4]. In this paper, we extend L. Jin and Y. Qiao's result in [10] to infinite countable amenable group G action and give a full answer to Question 1.1. More precisely, we obtain the following result:

Theorem 1.2. Let G be an infinite countable amenable group and P a polyhedron with topological dimension $\dim(P)$, there is a minimal subshift X of P^G such that $\text{mdim}(X, G) = \dim(P)$.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall some basic concepts of topological dynamical systems and amenable groups via the tiling technique. Besides, the definition and some fundamental lemmas of mean topological dimension are also included. In section 3, we prove the Theorem 1.2.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Amenable groups. Let G be an infinite countable group and denote $\mathcal{F}(G)$ the class of all finite non-empty subsets of G . Recall that a group G is *amenable* if it admits a sequence of non-empty finite subsets $\{F_n : F_n \in \mathcal{F}(G), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that for any $K \in \mathcal{F}(G)$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|B(F_n, K)|}{|F_n|} = 0,$$

where $B(F_n, K) = \{g \in G : Kg \cap F_n \neq \emptyset \text{ and } Kg \cap (G \setminus F_n) \neq \emptyset\}$ and $|\cdot|$ denotes the cardinality operator. Moreover, we call such sequence $\{F_n : F_n \in \mathcal{F}(G), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ a *Følner sequence* of G . Throughout the paper, we assume that G is an infinite countable amenable group.

2.2. Dynamical systems and shifts. We call (X, G) a G -space if G acts on compact metric space X such that $e_G x = x$, $g_1(g_2 x) = (g_1 g_2)x$ for any $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $x \in X$, where e_G is the identity of G . Let (X, G) be a G -space with metric d on X , given $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$, we define

$$d_F(x, y) = \max_{g \in F} d(gx, gy).$$

Clearly, d_F is a compatible metric on X for any $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$.

A subset $A \subset G$ is said to be *syndetic* if there is a $K \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ such that $KA = G$, where $KA = \{ka : k \in K, a \in A\}$. Let (X, G) be a G -space, $x \in X$ and U a neighborhood of x , we denote $N_G(x, U) = \{g \in G : gx \in U\}$ by the *return-time* set of x with respect to U . We say $x \in X$ a *almost periodic point* if for any neighborhood U of x , there is a syndetic subset $A_U \subset G$ such that $A_U \subset N_G(x, U)$. For any $x \in X$, denote $\mathcal{O}(x) = \{gx : g \in G\}$ the orbit of x . and $\overline{\mathcal{O}x}$ the orbit-closure of x .

The G -space (X, G) is said to be *minimal* if $\overline{Gx} = X$ for all $x \in X$. It is known that (X, G) is minimal if X is an orbit-closure of some almost periodic point $x \in X$ (see [1, Theorem 1.7]).

Let P be a compact metric space and P^G the product space endowed with the product topology. The G -shift defined on P^G is given by

$$g'(x_g : g \in G) = (x_{gg'} : g \in G),$$

then (P^G, G) is a G -space. If X is a G -invariant closed subset of P^G , we call (X, G) a G -subshift.

2.3. Tiling of amenable group. The definition of tiling of a discrete countable group is originally from [16]. In [5], the authors proved that for any infinite countable amenable group G , given $K \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there is a tiling with finite shapes such that all the tiles are (K, ϵ) -invariant. In [4], The author used the tiling technique to construct a minimal system with a specific mean dimension. In this paper, to construct a minimal subshift with full mean topological dimension the tiling technique of an amenable group is also required.

Definition 2.1. ([16, 5]) We say that $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{F}(G)$ is a *tiling* of G if \mathcal{T} is a disjoint union of G , that is, for any $T, T' \in \mathcal{T}$, $T \cap T' = \emptyset$ and $\cup_{T \in \mathcal{T}} T = G$. Each $T \in \mathcal{T}$ is called a *tile*.

Definition 2.2. ([5]) A tiling \mathcal{T} is said to be *finite*, if there is a finite collection $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$ of elements of $\mathcal{F}(G)$ such that each tile $T \in \mathcal{T}$ is a right transformation of some $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$, i.e., there is some $c \in G$ such that $T = S_i c$. We call \mathcal{S} a *shape* of \mathcal{T} . For each $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$, we denote

$$C(S_i) = \{c : S_i c \in \mathcal{T}\},$$

by the *center* of S_i .

For convenience, we assume that each element in \mathcal{S} can not be the right translation of others and contains the identity e_G .

Definition 2.3. ([4]) A finite tiling \mathcal{T} with shape \mathcal{S} is said to be *irreducible* if $C(S_i)$ is syndetic for each $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$, i.e., for any $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ there is a $K_i \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ such that $K_i C(S_i) = G$.

To construct the minimal subshift, we need to borrow some useful results which have been proved in like [5, 4] and also been restated in [9].

Lemma 2.4. ([4, Lemma 3.2]) Suppose \mathcal{T} is a finite irreducible tiling of G with shape \mathcal{S} , then for any $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a $T \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that whenever $K \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ is (T, ϵ) -invariant, K contains at least n elements in \mathcal{T} with shape S_i .

Definition 2.5. ([5]) Now, let $\{\mathcal{T}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of finite tiling, $\{\mathcal{T}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be *primely congruent* if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathcal{T}_n is uniquely refined by \mathcal{T}_{n-1} , namely, every $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$ is a finite union of some elements of \mathcal{T}_{n-1} and each shape of \mathcal{T}_n is partitioned by shapes of \mathcal{T}_{n-1} in a unique way (i.e., for any two \mathcal{T}_n -tiles Sc_1 and Sc_2 of the same shape $S \in \mathcal{S}_n$, we have $\mathcal{T}_n|_{Sc_1} = (\mathcal{T}_n|_{Sc_2})c_2^{-1}c_1$)

Lemma 2.6. ([5, Theorem 5.2], [4, Theorem 3.6]) Let G be an infinite countable amenable group with the identity e_G , $\{K_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of finite subsets of G with $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n = G$, and $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a decreasing sequence of positive numbers converging to zero. Then there is a primely congruent sequence $\{\mathcal{T}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite irreducible tilings satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $e_G \subset S_{1,1} \subset S_{2,1} \subset \cdots \subset S_{n,1} \subset \cdots \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{n,1} = G$;
- (2) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $S_{n,i} \in \mathcal{S}_n$, $S_{n,i}$ is (K_n, ϵ_n) -invariant, for all $1 \leq i \leq m_n$,

where $\mathcal{S}_n = \{S_{n,i} : 1 \leq i \leq m_n\}$ is the shape of \mathcal{T}_n .

2.4. Topological dimension and Mean topological dimension. In this subsection, we recall the notations of the topological dimension and the mean topological dimension of (X, G) .

Let (X, d) be a compact metrizable space and $\alpha = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$, $\beta = \{V_1, \dots, V_m\}$ finite open covers of X , β is said to be *finer* than α (written as $\alpha \preceq \beta$) if for any $V_i \in \beta$ there is a $U_j \in \alpha$ such that $V_i \subset U_j$.

The *order* of α is defined by

$$\text{ord}(\alpha) = \left(\max_{x \in X} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{U_i}(x) \right) - 1,$$

where 1_{U_i} is the character function of U_i , and we define a quantity $D(\alpha)$ by

$$D(\alpha) = \min_{\alpha \preceq \beta} \text{ord}(\beta),$$

where β runs over all finite open covers of X that are finer than α .

Definition 2.7. ([8]) Let X be a compact topological space, the *topological dimension* of X is given

$$\dim(X) = \sup_{\alpha} D(\alpha),$$

where α runs over all finite open cover of X .

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space, P a compact metric space, and $\epsilon > 0$ a positive number. A continuous map $f : X \rightarrow P$ is said to be ϵ -**injective** if $d(x_1, x_2) \leq \epsilon$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a real number, we define

$$\dim_\epsilon(X, d) = \inf_P \dim(P),$$

where P runs overall compact metric spaces for which there exists an ϵ -injective continuous map f from X to P .

Remark 2.8. ([2, Proposition 4.6.2]) Let (X, d) be a compact metric space. It is known that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \dim_\epsilon(X, d) = \dim(X).$$

To prove the main theorem, We need some fundamental Lemmas:

Lemma 2.9. Let (X, d) and (X', d') be two compact metric spaces. Suppose there is a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow X'$ and a positive number $c > 0$ satisfying $d(x, y) \leq c \cdot d'(f(x), f(y))$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$\dim_{c\epsilon}(X, d) \leq \dim_\epsilon(X', d').$$

Proof. The result follows that if $\varphi : X' \rightarrow P$ is an ϵ -injective map, then $\varphi \circ f : X \rightarrow P$ is $c\epsilon$ -injective. \square

Lemma 2.10. ([3, Corollary 2.8]) Let (P, d) be a polyhedron. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $d_n(x, y) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} d(x_i, y_i)$, $x = (x_i) \in P^n, y = (y_i) \in P^n$. There is an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ which does not depend on n such that for each $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$, we have

$$\dim_\epsilon(P^n, d_n) = n \cdot \dim(P).$$

Let (X, G) be a topological dynamical system with metric d on X , $\epsilon > 0$ and $\{F_n\}$ a Følner sequence of G . The quantity $mdim_\epsilon(X, G)$ is defined by

$$mdim_\epsilon(X, d, G) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_\epsilon(X, d_{F_n})}{|F_n|},$$

where d_{F_n} is the compatible metric on X with respect to F_n .

Moreover, the value $mdim_\epsilon(X, d, G)$ is independent of the choice of Følner sequence.

Definition 2.11. The *mean topological dimension* of (X, G) is defined as

$$mdim(X, G) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} mdim_\epsilon(X, d, G).$$

Remark 2.12. In this article, we use the equivalent definition of the mean topological dimension defined by metric approaches, one can see [2, 3, 6] for more details.

The next proposition is used in the proof of Theorem 1.2 which is originally due to E. Lindenstrauss and B. Weiss in [13] for \mathbb{Z} -actions to estimate mean topological dimension from below.

Recall that the *upper density* of a subset J of G is defined by

$$\delta(J) = \sup_{\{F_n\}} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|J \cap F_n|}{|F_n|},$$

where $\{F_n\}$ runs over all Følner sequences in G .

Proposition 2.13. *Let P be a polyhedron with metric d and X a subshift of P^G . Suppose there is a subset J of G and a point $x_0 \in X$ satisfying*

(1) *for each $g \in G$, there is a subset W_g of P with*

$$\prod_{g \in G} W_g \subset X \text{ and } \pi_{G \setminus J}(x_0) \in \pi_{G \setminus J} \left(\prod_{g \in G} W_g \right);$$

(2) *there is a positive number $c > 0$ (independent of the choice of $g \in J$) such that for each $g \in J$ there is a continuous map $f_g : P \rightarrow W_g$ with*

$$d(x, y) \leq c \cdot d(f_g(x), f_g(y)) \text{ for all } x, y \in P.$$

Then

$$mdim(X, G) \geq \delta(J) \cdot dim(P).$$

Proof. Given $\eta \geq 0$ with $\delta(J) - \eta \geq 0$, there is a Følner sequence $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of G such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|J \cap F_n|}{|F_n|} \geq \delta(J) - \eta.$$

Let $\{\alpha_g\}_{g \in G}$ be a sequence of positive number with $\alpha_{e_G} = 1$ and $\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g < \infty$, define a metric \tilde{d} on P^G by

$$\tilde{d}(x, y) = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g d(x_g, y_g), \text{ for all } x, y \in P^G.$$

Recall that the metric \tilde{d}_F on P^G with respect to $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ is given by

$$\tilde{d}_F(x, y) = \max_{g \in F} \tilde{d}(gx, gy).$$

Define a metric d_F on P^F by

$$d_F(u, v) = \max_{g \in F} d(u_g, v_g), \text{ for all } u, v \in P^F.$$

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $J_n = J \cap F_n$ and define a continuous map $\psi_n : P^{J_n} \rightarrow X$ by

$$\psi_n(u) = \begin{cases} f_g(u_g) & g \in J_n, \\ \pi_g(x_0) & g \in G \setminus J_n, \end{cases}$$

where $u = (u_g) \in P^{J_n}$. From (2), we have

$$d_{J_n}(u, v) \leq c \cdot \tilde{d}_{J_n}(\psi_n(u), \psi_n(v)) \leq c \cdot \tilde{d}_{F_n}(\psi_n(u), \psi_n(v))$$

for all $u, v \in P^{J_n}$. By Lemma 2.9, we obtain

$$\dim_{ce}(P_{J_n}, d_{J_n}) \leq \dim_\epsilon(X, \tilde{d}_{F_n}). \quad (2.1)$$

Then by Lemma 2.10, there exists a positive number $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$, we have

$$\dim_{ce}(P^{J_n}, d_{J_n}) = |J_n| \cdot \dim(P). \quad (2.1)$$

Let ϵ be small enough, from (2.1) and (2.1) above, we deduce that

$$mdim_\epsilon(X, \tilde{d}, G) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_\epsilon(X, \tilde{d}_{F_n})}{|F_n|} \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|J_n|}{|F_n|} \cdot \dim(P).$$

Hence

$$mdim(X, G) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} mdim_\epsilon(X, \tilde{d}, G) \geq (\delta(J_n) - \eta) \cdot \dim(P).$$

The proof is completed by taking η arbitrarily small. \square

From Proposition 2.13 we can immediately get the following result:

Corollary 2.14. *Let G be a countable amenable group. Let P be a polyhedron with metric d and X a subshift of P^G . Suppose that there is an increasing sequence $\{J_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of subsets of G , a sequence of positive number $\{c_n\}$, and a point $x_0 \in X$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(1) *the upper density of $\{J_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ tends to 1, that is,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(J_n) = 1;$$

(2) *fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for each $g \in G$, there is a subset W_g^n of P with*

$$\prod_{g \in G} W_g^n \subset X \text{ and } \pi_{G \setminus J_n}(x_0) \in \pi_{G \setminus J_n} \left(\prod_{g \in G} W_g^n \right);$$

(3) *fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for each $g \in J_n$, there is a continuous map $f_g^n : P \rightarrow W_g^n$ with*

$$d(x, y) \leq c_n \cdot d(f_g^n(x), f_g^n(y)),$$

for all $x, y \in P$, where c_n is independent of the choice of $g \in J_n$.

Then

$$mdim(X, G) = mdim(P) = \dim(P).$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

3.1. Standing notations. Let (P^G, G) be the G -shift on P . For each subset F of G denote $\pi_F : P^G \rightarrow P^F$ the natural projection. Given $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$, we say that B_F is a **block** with respect to F if $B_F \subset P^F$, and a block B_{Fg} is said to be equal to B_F up to translation (or $B_{Fg} = B_F$ up to translation) if $\pi_F(g^{-1}\pi^{-1}(B_{Fg})) = B_F$.

Since the proof when P is an n -dimensional cube is similar to the proof that $P = [0, 1]$, for convenience, we assume $P = [0, 1]$.

3.2. Construction of (X, G) . The construction will be performed by induction. In each step k , we shall find an irreducible tiling \mathcal{T}_k with shape \mathcal{S}_k and build a subset X_k of P^G which is generated from the family of blocks $\{B_{S_{k,j}} : S_{k,j} \in \mathcal{S}_k \text{ and } B_{S_{k,j}} \subset P^{S_{k,j}}\}$.

The construction of block $B_{S_{k,j}}$ follows the following process: In step $k-1$, assume that the irreducible finite tiling \mathcal{T}_{k-1} with shape \mathcal{S}_{k-1} has been found and the blocks $\{B_{S_{k-1,i}} : S_{k-1,i} \in \mathcal{S}_{k-1} \text{ and } B_{S_{k-1,i}} \subset P^{B_{S_{k-1,i}}}\}$ have been constructed.

Let l_k be a positive integer we need (see its definition below). By Lemma 2.6, we can find an irreducible finite tiling \mathcal{T}_k with shape \mathcal{S}_k such that each $S_{k,j} \in \mathcal{S}_k$ contains at least l_k many \mathcal{T}_{k-1} -tiles with the same shape $S_{k-1,i}$. In the absence of ambiguity, each $S_{k,j} \in \mathcal{S}_k$ has the form

$$S_{k,j} = \bigsqcup_{S_{k-1,i} \in \mathcal{S}_{k-1}} S_{k-1,i} C_j(S_{k-1,i}), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$C_j(S_{k-1,i}) = \{c \in C(S_{k-1,i}) : S_{k-1,i}c \text{ tiles } S_{k,j} \text{ up to right translation}\},$$

and the disjoint union is also under the sense of suitable right translation. Then for each $S_{k-1,i} \in \mathcal{S}_k$, we generated a finite mutually different family of blocks $B_{S_{k-1,i}}^1, \dots, B_{S_{k-1,i}}^{s_{k,i}} \subset B_{S_{k-1,i}}$ ($s_{k,i}$ is a positive integer depending on k and $S_{k-1,i}$). Finally, We construct block $B_{S_{k,j}}$ by filling $S_{k,j}c$ in (3.1) ($c \in C_j(S_{k-1,i})$) with blocks $B_{k-1,i}$ and $\{B_{S_{k-1,i}}^l : l = 1, \dots, s_{k,i}\}$ in different proportions we need. Namely, $B_{S_{k,j}}$ has the form

$$B_{S_{k,j}} = \prod_{S_{k-1,i} \in \mathcal{S}_{k-1}} \left(\prod_{c \in C_j(S_{k-1,i})} B_{S_{k-1,i}c} \right),$$

where $B_{S_{k-1,i}c}$ is equal to $B_{k-1,i}$ or one of $\{B_{S_{k-1,i}}^l : l = 1, \dots, s_{k,i}\}$ up to translation.

At the end of step k , a subset $X_k \subset P^G$ is constructed by filling each tile $S_{k,j}c_j \in \mathcal{T}_k$ with block $B_{k,j}$. That is,

$$X_k|_{S_{k,j}c_j} = B_{S_{k,j}} \text{ up to translation.}$$

After infinite steps, we prove that each point $x \in \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} X_k$ is an almost periodic point. Moreover, given any $x \in \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} X_k$ we shall verify that $(X, G) = (\overline{\mathcal{O}(x)}, G)$. has full mean topological dimension.

Step $-\infty$ In the beginning, we take two sequences ¹

$$\left\{ \eta(n, k) := 1 - \frac{1}{n+2} + \frac{1}{k+3} : n, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } 0 \leq n < k \right\},$$

and

$$\left\{ \eta(n) := 1 - \frac{1}{n+2}, \text{ i.e., } \eta(n) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \eta(n, k) \right\}.$$

Remark 3.1. It can be verified the two sequence $\{\eta(n, k) : n \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{\eta(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfy

- (1) $0 \leq \eta(n, k) < 1, 0 < \eta(n) < 1$ for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (2) fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the one-parameter sequence $\{\eta(n, k)\}_{k=n+1}^{\infty}$ is strictly decreasing, that is,

$$\eta(n, k+1) < \eta(n, k), \text{ for all } 0 \leq n < k;$$

- (3) for any integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the one-parameter sequence $\{\eta(n, k)\}_{k=n+1}^{\infty}$ is bounded by $\eta(n)$ from below, i.e.,

$$\eta(n, k) > \eta(n) \text{ for all } 0 \leq s \leq k;$$

- (4) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta(n) = 1$.

Step 0 Let $\mathcal{T}_0 = \{e_G, g_1, \dots\}$ with shape $\mathcal{S}_0 = \{e_G\}$. We define

$$B_{e_G} = P \text{ and } X_0 = P^G.$$

Step 1 Divide P equally into 2 closed intervals $P_1^1 = [0, 1/2]$, $P_2^1 = [1/2, 1]$ of length $1/2$ (if $P = [0, 1]^d$ for $d > 1$, we divide P equally into 2^d many cubes). Take a positive number $l_1 > 2$ with

$$\frac{l_1 - 2}{l_1} \geq \eta(0, 1). \quad (3.2)$$

By Theorem 2.6, we can find an irreducible finite tiling \mathcal{T}_1 with shape \mathcal{S}_1 such that each $S_{1,i} \in \mathcal{S}_1$ contains at least l_1 many \mathcal{T}_1 -tiles with shape $S_0 = \{e_G\}$.

For each $S_{1,i} \in \mathcal{S}_1$, select a subset $C_{i,0} = \{c_{i,0,1}, c_{i,0,2}\}$ of $C(S_0)$ with $S_0 C_{i,0} \subset S_{1,i}$ up to translation ($S_0 C_{i,0} \subset S_{1,i}$ up to translation means that if T_i is a \mathcal{T}_1 -tile with shape $S_{1,i}$ then T_i contains $|C_{i,0}|$ \mathcal{T}_0 -tiles with shape S_0). For each $S_{1,i} \in \mathcal{S}_1$, we define a block $B_{S_{1,i}}$ by

$$B_{S_{1,i}} = \left(\prod_{g \in S_{1,i} \setminus S_0 C_{i,0}} P \right) \times (P_1^1)^{S_0 c_{i,0,1}} \times (P_2^1)^{S_0 c_{i,0,2}}.$$

Due to (3.2), the proportion of subintervals in $B_{S_{1,i}}$ with diameter more than 1 is greater $\eta(0, 1)$.

For each $c_{1,i} \in C(S_{1,i})$, we put

$$B_{S_{1,i} c_{1,i}} = B_{S_{1,i}} \text{ up to translation.}$$

¹The authors in [10] mentioned similar sequences without detailed example

We produce a subset X_1 of P^G by

$$X_1 = \{x \in P^G : \pi_{S_{1,i}c_{1,i}}(x) \in B_{S_{1,i}c_{1,i}} \text{ for } S_{1,i} \in \mathcal{S}_1 \text{ and } c_{1,i} \in C(S_{1,i})\}.$$

Step k Suppose the irreducible finite tiling \mathcal{T}_{k-1} with shape \mathcal{S}_{k-1} has been found, the block $B_{S_{k-1,i}}$ has been generated, and the subset X_{k-1} of P^G has been constructed in **Step k-1**. From the construction of $B_{S_{k-1,i}}$ we know that $B_{S_{k-1,i}}$ has the form

$$B_{S_{k-1,i}} = \prod_{g \in S_{k-1,i}} P_g^{k-1,i},$$

where $P_g^{k-1,i}$ is a closed interval. For each $g \in S_{k-1,i}$, we divide $P_g^{k-1,i}$ equally into 2^k subintervals and denote these subintervals as $P_{g,j}^{k-1,i}$, that is, if $P_g^{k-1,i} = [a, b]$ for some $a, b \in [0, 1]$ with $a < b$, then

$$P_{g,j}^{k-1,i} = \left[a + \lambda_j \frac{b-a}{2^k}, a + (\lambda_j + 1) \frac{b-1}{2^k} \right],$$

where $\lambda_j \in \{0, \dots, 2^k - 1\}$. Notice that all $P_{g,j}^{k-1,i}$ have diameter $\frac{\text{diam}(P_g^{k-1,i})}{2^k}$, where the *diam* is in the sense of Euclidean metric.

Let $L_{k-1,i} = |S_{k-1,i}|$, where $|\cdot|$ is the cardinal operation of sets. Take a positive integer r_{k-1} sufficiently large such that for each $S_{k-1,i} \in \mathcal{S}_{k-1}$ and $0 \leq n \leq k$, we have

$$\frac{|\{g \in S_{k-1,i} : \text{diam}(P_g^{k-1,i}) \geq 1/2^{n(n+1)/2}\}| \cdot r_{k-1}}{L_{k-1,i} \cdot (r_{k-1} + 2^{kL_{k-1,i}})} \geq \eta(n, k), \quad (3.3)$$

Remark 3.2. This inequality will ensure that the proportion of subinterval P_g in $B_{k,j}$ with diameter greater than $1/2^{n(n+1)/2}$ is more than $\eta(n, k)$, that is

$$\frac{|\{g \in S_{k,j} : \text{diam}(P_g) \geq 1/2^{n(n+1)/2}, \text{ where } B_{S_{k,j}} = \prod_{g \in S_{k,j}} P_g\}|}{|S_{k,j}|} \geq \eta(n, k)$$

for each $0 \leq n \leq k$, and $B_{S_{k,j}}$ will be constructed as follows.

Notice that if every $P_g^{k-1,i}$ is divided equally into 2^k subintervals for each $g \in S_{k-1,i}$, we shall generate as many as $2^{kL_{k-1,i}}$ subintervals for $S_{k-1,i}$. We denote them as $\{P_{g,j(g)}^{k-1,i} : g \in S_{k-1,i}, j(g) \in \{1, \dots, 2^k\}\}$. Then we can define $2^{kL_{k-1,i}}$ mutually different blocks $B_{S_{k-1,i}}^m$ by

$$B_{S_{k-1,i}}^m = \prod_{g \in S_{k-1,i}} P_{g,j(g)}^{k-1,i}, \quad m = 1, \dots, 2^{kL_{k-1,i}},$$

namely, $B_{S_{k-1,i}}^m$ are different combination of these subintervals and if $m_1 \neq m$, we have $B_{S_{k-1,i}}^{m_1} \neq B_{S_{k-1,i}}^m$.

Let $l_k = \max\{r_{k-1} + 2^{kL_{k-1,i}} : L_{k-1,i} = |S_{k-1,i}|\}$. By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.6, we can find a finite irreducible tiling \mathcal{T}_k with shape \mathcal{S}_k , which is primely congruent to \mathcal{T}_{k-1} , such that each $S_{k,j} \in \mathcal{S}_k$ contains at least l_k many \mathcal{T}_{k-1} -tiles with shape $S_{k-1,i}$ up to

translation for each $S_{k-1,i} \in \mathcal{S}_{k-1}$. In the absence of ambiguity, we denote $C_j(S_{k-1,i})$ the subset of $C(S_{k-1,i})$ such that $S_{k,j}$ is tiled partly by $S_{k-1,i}C_j(S_{k-1,i})$, namely, we have

$$S_{k,j} = \bigsqcup_{S_{k-1,i} \in \mathcal{S}_{k-1}} S_{k-1,i}C_j(S_{k-1,i}) \text{ up to translation.} \quad (3.4)$$

For each $C_j(S_{k-1,i})$, we let $C'_j(S_{k-1,i})$ be a subset of $C_j(S_{k-1,i})$ with $2^{kL_{k-1,i}}$ elements. Let $\varphi : C'_j(S_{k-1,i}) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, 2^{kL_{k-1,i}}\}$ be a bijective map. For each $c' \in C'_j(S_{k-1,i})$, we define a block $B_{S_{k-1,i}c'}$ as

$$B_{S_{k-1,i}c'} = B_{S_{k-1,i}}^{\varphi(c')} \text{ up to translation.}$$

For $c \in C_j(S_{k-1,i}) \setminus C'_j(S_{k-1,i})$, we put

$$B_{S_{k-1,i}c} = B_{S_{k-1,i}} \text{ up to translation.}$$

Then we can get a block $B_{S_{k-1,i}C_j(S_{k-1,i})}$ as

$$B_{S_{k-1,i}C_j(S_{k-1,i})} = \left(\prod_{c' \in C'_j(S_{k-1,i})} B_{S_{k-1,i}c'} \right) \times \left(\prod_{c \in C_j(S_{k-1,i}) \setminus C'_j(S_{k-1,i})} B_{S_{k-1,i}c} \right).$$

From (3.4), we define a block $B_{S_{k,j}}$ by

$$B_{S_{k,j}} = \prod_{S_{k-1,i} \in \mathcal{S}_{k-1}} B_{S_{k-1,i}C_j(S_{k-1,i})}.$$

Since each $B_{S_{k-1,i}}$ is a product of some closed subintervals $P_g^{k,j}$ of P , $B_{S_{k,j}}$ has the form

$$B_{S_{k,j}} = \prod_{g \in S_{k,j}} P_g^{k,j},$$

Remark 3.3. From the construction of $B_{k,j}$ and the inequality (3.3) and Remark 3.2, the proportion of subintervals $P_g^{k,j}$ in $B_{S_{k,j}}$ with diameter over $1/2^{n(n+1)/2}$ is greater than $\eta(n, k)$ for each $0 \leq n < k$.

Finally, for each shape $S_{k,j} \in \mathcal{S}_k$ and $c_{k,j} \in C(S_{k,j})$, we define a block $B_{S_{k,j}c_{k,j}}$ by

$$B_{S_{k,j}c_{k,j}} = B_{S_{k,j}} \text{ up to translation,}$$

and generate a subset X_k of P^G by

$$X_k = \{x \in P^G : \pi_{S_{k,j}c_{k,j}}(x) \in B_{S_{k,j}c_{k,j}}, S_{k,j} \in \mathcal{S}_k \text{ and } c_{k,j} \in C(S_{k,j})\}.$$

Step ∞ After repeating the above process infinitely, we generate a sequence of irreducible finite tilings \mathcal{T}_k with shape \mathcal{S}_k and a sequence of subsets X_k of P^G . Actually, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, X_k has the form

$$X_k = \prod_{g \in G} P_g^k,$$

where P_g^k is a subinterval of P , and $X_0 \supset X_1 \supset \dots \supset X_k \supset \dots$ is a decreasing sequence.

Put $\tilde{X} = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} X_k$, it is clear that \tilde{X} has the form

$$\tilde{X} = \prod_{g \in G} P_g,$$

where P_g is either a subinterval or a point of P .

Remark 3.4. Remark 3.3 ensures that P_g is non-empty and not all P_g are single points. Moreover, due to the choice of the parameter $\eta(n, k)$ and $\eta(n)$, the proportion of subintervals P_g in \tilde{X} with diameter more than $1/2^{n(n+1)/2}$ is greater than $\eta(n)$.

In the end, take a point $x \in \tilde{X}$, let $X = \overline{\mathcal{O}(x)}$, and the subshift is given by (X, G) .

3.3. Minimality of (X, G) . Let \tilde{X} and (X, G) be the subset of P^G constructed above, we prove that

Lemma 3.5. *(X, G) is a minimal subshift of (P^G, G) containing \tilde{X} .*

Proof. (1) We first prove (X, G) is minimal. Recall that we generated a sequence of finite irreducible tilings \mathcal{T}_k such that

$$e_G \in S_{1,1} \subset S_{2,1} \subset \dots \subset S_{n,1} \subset \dots, \text{ and } \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{n,1} = G,$$

where $\mathcal{S}_k = \{S_{k,1}, \dots, S_{k,m_k}\}$ is the shape of \mathcal{T}_k . Moreover, $\{S_{k,1}\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ is a Følner sequence of G and the center of each shape is syndetic.

Let d be the Euclidean metric on P , for each $S_{k,1} \in \mathcal{S}_k$, we define

$$d_{S_{k,1}}(x, y) = \max_{g \in S_{k,1}} d(x_g, y_g),$$

where $x = (x_g), y = (y_g) \in P^G$.

For each $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we write

$$[S_{k,1}, n] = \{y \in P^G : d_{S_{k,1}}(x, y) < 1/n\},$$

$[S_{k,1}, n]$ forms a neighborhood base of x . Now it suffices to show that $N_G(x, [S_{k,1}, n])$ is syndetic for each $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Recall that the block $B_{k,1}$ has the form

$$B_{S_{k,1}} = \prod_{g \in S_{k,1}} P_g^{k,1},$$

where $P_g^{k,1}$ is a subinterval of $[0, 1]$. Let $m > k$, start from **Step k**, after $m - k$ steps, each $P_g^{k,1}$ will be divided equally into $2^{(m+1)(m-2k)/2}$ subintervals $P_g^{k,1,w}$ with $\text{diam}(P_g^{k,1,w}) = \text{diam}(P_g^{k,1})/2^{(m+1)(m-2k)/2}$, where $w = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{(m+1)(m-2k)/2}$. By combining these subintervals to form new blocks $B_{S_{k,1}}^m$, there is a block $B_{S_{k,1}}^m$ such that $\pi_{S_{k,1}}(x) \in B_{S_{k,1}}^m$. Since

$\{\mathcal{T}_k\}$ is a primely congruent sequence and due to the construction of $B_{m,1}$, there is a $g_m \in G$ and $c_k \in C(S_{k,1})$ with $S_{k,1}c_k g_m \subset S_{m,1}$. Therefore, we can obtain

$$\pi_{S_{k,1}}(x) \in \pi_{S_{k,1}}(B_{S_{m,1}g_m^{-1}c_k^{-1}}) = B_{S_{k,1}}^m,$$

and $B_{S_{m,1}g_m^{-1}c_k^{-1}} = B_{S_{m,1}}$ up to translation, which means

$$d_{S_{k,1}}(c_k g_m x, x) < \frac{\text{diam}(P_g^{k,1})}{2^{(m+1)(m-2k)/2}}.$$

We can require $\text{diam}(P_g^{k,1})/2^{(m+1)(m-2k)/2} < 1/n$ by letting m big enough, , then

$$d_{S_{k,1}}(c_k g_m x, x) < 1/n,$$

that is

$$c_k g_m x \in [S_{k,1}, n].$$

Recall that

$$X_m = \prod_{g \in G} P_g^m = \left(\prod_{c_m \in C(S_{m,1})} B_{S_{m,1}c_m} \right) \times \left(\prod_{G \setminus S_{m,1}C(S_{m,1})} P_g^m \right),$$

where $B_{S_{m,1}c_m} = B_{m,1}$ up to translation, then for each $c_m \in C(S_{m,1})$ we obtain

$$C(S_{m,1})c_k g_m \subset N_G(x, [S_{k,1}, n]).$$

Since $C(S_{m,1})c_k g_m$ is syndetic, we conclude that (X, G) is a minimal subshift.

(2) Next, we prove that X contains \tilde{X} . Let $y \in \tilde{X}$, we shall prove that for each $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a $g \in G$ such that

$$d_{S_{k,1}}(gx, y) < 1/n.$$

Define

$$[y, S_{k,1}, n] = \{z \in P^G : d_{S_{k,1}}(y, z) < 1/n\},$$

$[y, S_{k,1}, n]$ forms a neighborhood base of y . Recall that the block $B_{S_{k,1}}$ has the form

$$B_{S_{k,1}} = \prod_{g \in S_{k,1}} P_g^{k,1},$$

where $P_g^{k,1}$ is a subinterval of P .

Let $m > k$, from the construction of $B_{S_{k,1}}$ at **Step k**, after $m-k$ steps, each $P_g^{k,1}$ will be divided equally into $2^{(m+1)(m-2k)/2}$ subintervals $P_g^{k,l,w}$ with $\text{diam}(P_g^{k,l,w}) = \text{diam}(P_g^{k,l})/2^{(m+1)(m-2k)/2}$, where $w = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{(m+1)(m-2k)/2}$, and the block $B_{m,1}$ is constituted by $\prod_{g \in S_{k,1}} P_g^{k,1,w(g)}$, moreover, $\pi_{S_{k,1}}(y)$ belongs to one of the $\prod_{g \in S_{k,1}} P_g^{k,1,w(g)}$. Therefore, due to the construction of \tilde{X} and the choice of x , we can find an $h \in G$ such that

$$\pi_{S_{k,1}}(hx), \pi_{S_{k,1}}(y) \in \prod_{g \in S_{k,1}} P_g^{k,1,w(g)}.$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be big enough such that

$$\frac{3 \cdot \text{diam}(P_g^{k,l})}{2^{(m+1)(m-2k)/2}} < 1/n,$$

then

$$d_{S_{k,1}}(hx, y) < 1/n,$$

for some $h \in G$. Therefore, $hx \in [y, S_{k,1}, n]$, which completes the proof of Lemma 3.5. \square

3.4. (X, G) has full mean topological dimension. In the end, we shall prove that the minimal subshift (X, G) has full mean topological dimension.

Lemma 3.6. *Let (X, G) be the subshift constructed above, then $\text{mdim}(X, G) = \text{dim}(P)$.*

Proof. Recall that the subset \tilde{X} has the form

$$\tilde{X} = \prod_{g \in G} P_g,$$

where P_g is a subinterval of P . From Remark 3.4 we know that the proportion of subintervals P_g with diameter greater than $1/2^{n(n+1)/2}$ is over $\eta(n)$.

Put

$$J_n = \{g \in G : \text{diam}(P_g) \geq 1/2^{n(n+1)/2}\}.$$

Since $\{S_{k,1}\}$ is a Følner sequence of G , then

$$\delta(J_n) \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|J_n \cap S_{k,1}|}{|S_{k,1}|} > \eta(n).$$

From Remark 3.1 (4), we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(J_n) = 1$.

For each $g \in G$ such that P_g the subinterval with diameter greater than $1/2^{n(n+1)/2}$. We can define a continuous linear map f_g^n from P to P_g satisfying

$$d(x, y) \leq 2^{n(n+1)/2} d(f_g^n(x), f_g^n(y)).$$

Take $x \in X$, it is clear that (X, G) satisfies all the conditions of Corollary 2.14. Hence (X, G) has full mean topological dimension. \square

Theorem 3.7 (= Theorem 2). *Let G be an infinite countable amenable group and P a polyhedron with topological dimension $\text{dim}(P)$, there is a minimal subshift X of P^G such that its mean topological dimension $\text{mdim}(X, G) = \text{dim}(P)$.*

Proof. It directly follows from Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The second author was supported by NNSF of China (Grant No. 12201120).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Auslander, Minimal flows and their extensions. North-Holland Mathematics Studies 153. Elsevier Science Polishers, Amsterdam, 1988.
- [2] M. Coornaert, Topological Dimension and Dynamical Systems. Springer, Cham, Heidelberg, New York, Dordrecht, London, 2015.
- [3] M. Coornaert and F. Krieger, Mean topological dimension for actions of discrete amenable groups. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 13 (2005), 779-793.
- [4] D. Dou, Minimal subshifts of arbitrary mean topological dimension. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 37 (2017), 1411-1424.
- [5] T. Downarowicz, D. Huczek and G. Zhang, Tilings of amenable groups. J. Reine Angew. Math. 747 (2019), 277-298.
- [6] M. Gromov, Topological invariants of dynamical systems and spaces of holomorphic maps: I, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 2 (1999), 323-415.
- [7] Y. Gutman and M. Tsukamoto, Embedding minimal dynamical systems into Hilbert cubes, Invent. Math. 221 (2020), 113-166.
- [8] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, Dimension Theory, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1948.
- [9] L. Jin, K. K. Park and Y. Qiao. Mean dimension and a non-embeddable example for amenable group actions. Fund. Math. 257 (2022), no. 1, 19-38.
- [10] L. Jin and Y. Qiao, The Hilbert cube contains a minimal subshift of full mean dimension. arXiv:2102.103.
- [11] F. Krieger, Minimal systems of arbitrary large mean topological dimension. Israel J. Math. 172 (2009), 425-444.
- [12] E. Lindenstrauss. Mean dimension, small entropy factors and an embedding theorem. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 89 (1999), 227-262.
- [13] E. Lindenstrauss and B. Weiss, Mean topological dimension. Israel J. Math. 115 (2000), 1-24.
- [14] E. Lindenstrauss and M. Tsukamoto, Mean dimension and an embedding problem: an example. Israel J. Math. 199(5-2) (2014), 573-584.
- [15] E. Lindenstrauss and M. Tsukamoto, From rate distortion theory to metric mean dimension: variational principle. IEEE Trans Inf Theory. 64(5) (2018), 3590-3609.
- [16] D.S. Ornstein and B. Weiss, Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups. J. Anal. Math. 48 (1987), 1-141.
- [17] S. Williams, Toeplitz minimal flows which are not uniquely ergodic, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete. 67 (1984), 95-107.
- [18] J. Zhao, Minimal Subsystems of Given Mean Dimension in Bernstein Spaces. J. Dyn. Diff. Equat (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10884-022-10245-z>.

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China

E-mail: yzy199707@gmail.com

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou 350116, People's Republic of China

E-mail: xzb2020@fzu.edu.cn