# Optimal control of quantum system in fermion fields: Pontryagin-type maximum principle (I)

Penghui Wang, Shan Wang<sup>\*</sup>

School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, 250100, China

**Abstract** In this paper, the Pontryagin-type maximum principle for optimal control of quantum stochastic systems in fermion fields is obtained. These systems have gained significant prominence in numerous quantum applications ranging from physical chemistry to multi-dimensional nuclear magnetic resonance experiments. Furthermore, we establish the existence and uniqueness of solutions to backward quantum stochastic differential equations driven by fermion Brownian motion. The application of noncommutative martingale inequalities and the martingale representation theorem enables this achievement.

**2020** AMS Subject Classification: 47C15, 49K27, 81S25, 81Q93, 81V74.

**Keywords.** Infinite-dimensional quantum control system; Pontryagin-type maximum principle; Backward quantum stochastic differential equations; Noncommutative martingale representation theorem.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathscr{H}$  be a separable complex Hilbert space. The anti-symmetric Fock space over  $\mathscr{H}$  [8,36] is defined by

$$\Lambda(\mathscr{H}) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_n(\mathscr{H}),$$

where  $\Lambda_n(\mathscr{H})$  is the Hilbert space anti-symmetric *n*-fold tensor product of  $\mathscr{H}$  with itself, and  $\Lambda_0(\mathscr{H}) := \mathbb{C}$ . For any  $z \in \mathscr{H}$ , the creation operator  $C(z) : \Lambda_n(\mathscr{H}) \to \Lambda_{n+1}(\mathscr{H})$  defined by  $v \mapsto \sqrt{n+1} \ z \wedge v$ , is a bounded operator on  $\Lambda(\mathscr{H})$  with  $\|C(z)\| = \|z\|$ . The annihilation operator A(z) is the adjoint of C(z), i.e.  $A(z) = C(z)^*$ . The fermion field  $\Psi(z)$  is defined on  $\Lambda(\mathscr{H})$  by

$$\Psi(z) := C(z) + A(Jz), \tag{1.1}$$

<sup>\*</sup>E-mail addresses: phwang@sdu.edu.cn(P.Wang), 202020244@mail.sdu.edu.cn(S.Wang).

where the map  $J : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$  is a conjugation operator (i.e., J is antilinear, antiunitary, and  $J^2 = 1$ ). The canonical anti-commutation relation holds:

$$\{\Psi(z), \Psi(z')\} \equiv \Psi(z)\Psi(z') + \Psi(z')\Psi(z) = 2\langle Jz', z\rangle I, \quad z, z' \in \mathscr{H}.$$
(1.2)

Denote by  $\mathscr{C}$  the von Neumann algebra generated by the bounded operators  $\{\Psi(z) : z \in \mathscr{H}\}$ . For the Fock vacuum  $\Omega \in \Lambda(\mathscr{H})$ , define

$$m(\cdot) := \langle \Omega, \cdot \Omega \rangle_{\Lambda(\mathscr{H})}$$

on  $\mathscr{C}$ . By [8, 14, 27, 36],  $m(\cdot)$  is a faithful, normal, central state on  $\mathscr{C}$ , which is also called the quantum expectation with respect to the Fock vacuum and is denoted by  $\mathbb{E}(\cdot)$ . The space  $(\mathscr{C}, m)$  is a quantum (noncommutative) probability space. For  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , we define the noncommutative  $L^p$ -norm on  $\mathscr{C}$  by

$$||f||_p := m \left( |f|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \langle \Omega, |f|^p \Omega \rangle_{\Lambda(\mathscr{H})}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where  $|f| = (f^* f)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . The space  $L^p(\mathscr{C}, m)$  is the completion of  $(\mathscr{C}, \|\cdot\|_p)$ , which is the noncommutative  $L^p$ -space, abbreviated as  $L^p(\mathscr{C})$ .

Quantum (Noncommutative) probability theory has attracted significant attention since it was recognized as a new branch of mathematics. In particular, quantum stochastic calculus has also received attention with various degrees of completeness in the setting of the bosonic and fermionic Fock space and for Clifford algebras in the works of Segal [39], Barnett, Streater and Wilde [8–10,40], Applebaum and Hudson [1–3,23], Parthasarathy [24,36,37], Belavkin [4], Gordina [18], Sinha and Goswami [38]. Among them, Haudson, Lindsay, Barnett, Streater and Wilde investigated the solutions to quantum stochastic differential equations (QSDEs for short). Belavkin [4] employed quantum stochastic methods that were developed in the 1980s to describe quantum noise and a quantum generalization of the Itô calculus. Later, Gough, Guta, James and Nurdin [22] developed fermion filtering theory using the fermion quantum stochastic calculus.

In what follows, let  $\mathscr{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , and  $Jf = \bar{f}$  for  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ . Let  $\mathscr{C}$  be von Neumann algebra generated by  $\{\Psi(v) : v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)\}$ , and  $\{\mathscr{C}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$  be an increasing family of von Neumann subalgebras of  $\mathscr{C}$  generated by  $\{\Psi(v) : v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)\}$  and ess supp  $v \subset [0, t]\}$ . The family of von Neumann subalgebras  $\{\mathscr{C}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$  is called the filtration of  $\mathscr{C}$  [35]. The fermion Brownian motion W(t) is defined by

$$W(t) := \Psi(\chi_{[0,t]}) = C(\chi_{[0,t]}) + A(J\chi_{[0,t]}), \quad t \ge 0.$$

Obviously, W(t) is self-adjoint. In this paper, we consider the controlled QSDE driven by the fermion Brownian motion W(t) in  $L^p(\mathscr{C})$ :

$$\begin{cases} dx(t) = D(t, x(t), u(t))dt + F(t, x(t), u(t))dW(t) + dW(t)G(t, x(t), u(t)), \text{ in } (0, T], \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

where  $x_0 \in L^p(\mathscr{C}_{t_0})$  is the initial condition,  $u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}(0,T)$  is the control variable. Here, the control domain  $\mathcal{U}(0,T)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{U}(0,T) := \{ u : [0,T] \to U; \ u(\cdot) \text{ is } \{\mathscr{C}_t\}_{t \ge 0} \text{-adapted, continuous} \},$$
(1.4)

where U is a linear subspace of  $L^p(\mathscr{C})$ . The control domain U is also a metric space with the metric  $d(u_1, u_2) = ||u_1 - u_2||_p$ . As in [32, (S1), Page 388], the maps  $D(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot), F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot), G(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : [0, T] \times L^p(\mathscr{C}) \times U \to L^p(\mathscr{C})$  are adapted, where the definition of the adapted maps is provided in Definition 2.1. In (1.3),  $u(\cdot)$  is called the control, while  $x(\cdot) = x(\cdot; x_0, u(\cdot))$  is the corresponding state process. It should be noted that in the framework of quantum stochastic calculus, based on the noncommutativity of operators, both the right integral  $\int_0^t f(s) dW(s)$  and the left integral  $\int_0^t dW(s)f(s)$  exist.

Let  $x(\cdot)$  be the solution to (1.3) corresponding to the control  $u(\cdot)$ . Define the cost functional  $\mathcal{J}(\cdot)$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{J}(u(\cdot)) := \int_0^T L(t, x(t), u(t)) dt + h(x(T)), \quad u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}(0, T),$$
(1.5)

where the maps  $L(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : [0,T] \times L^p(\mathscr{C}) \times U \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $h(\cdot) : L^p(\mathscr{C}_T) \to \mathbb{R}$ . In particular, the maps  $\widehat{L}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : [0,T] \times L^p(\mathscr{C}) \times U \to L^p(\mathscr{C})_{sa}$  and  $\widehat{h}(\cdot) : L^p(\mathscr{C}_T) \to L^p(\mathscr{C}_T)_{sa}$ , and elements of  $L^p(\mathscr{C})_{sa}$  are observables. And for any  $u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}(0,T)$ , the cost functional

$$\mathcal{J}(u(\cdot)) = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T \widehat{L}(t, x(t), u(t))dt + \widehat{h}(x(T))\right)$$

was considered by [26, 27, 41].

Similar to [21, 27, 33], we consider quantum optimal control problem for (1.3): **Problem(QOC)**. Find a control  $\bar{u}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}(0, T)$  such that

$$\mathcal{J}(\bar{u}(\cdot)) = \inf_{u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}(0,T)} \mathcal{J}(u(\cdot)).$$
(1.6)

Any  $\bar{u}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}(0,T)$  satisfying (1.6) is called an optimal control. The corresponding  $\bar{x}(\cdot)$  and  $(\bar{x}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$  are called an optimal state process and optimal pair of quantum control systems, respectively.

Optimal control theory is a powerful mathematical tool, which has been rapidly developed since the 1950s, mainly for engineering applications. Recently, this method has become widely used to improve process performance in quantum technologies by means of the highly efficient control of quantum dynamics [15,44]. Pontryagin's maximum principle and Bellman's dynamic programming principle are two of the most important tools for solving optimal control problems. Belavkin, Smolyanov, James et al. [5,7,11,14,15,19–22,26,33,41] tackled the optimal control problem by quantum stochastic calculus and dynamic programming methods *in the case that the control domain U is of finite dimension*. More precisely, Belavkin, Gough and Smolyanov [19,20]

investigated the quantum optimal control problem, which is the evolution of a quantum system subject to continuous measurements governed by the QSDE

$$dX_t = \omega(t, u_t, X_t)dt + \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \sigma_\alpha(t, u_t, X_t)dM_t^\alpha,$$
(1.7)

where  $\{X_t\}$  denotes a stochastic process,  $\{M^{\alpha}; \alpha = 1, \cdots, m\}$  denotes a sequence of martingales. In this case, the optimal cost is defined by

$$\mathcal{J}[\{u\}; t_0, X_0] = \int_{t_0}^T l(s, u_s, X_s) ds + g(X_T),$$

where  $\{X_s : s \in [t_0, T]\}$  is the solution to (1.7) with the initial condition  $X_0$ , u denotes a continuous control function, taking values in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . James [26], Sharifi and Momeni [41] investigated the optimal control problems of the following quantum systems

$$dX(t) = \omega(t, X(t), u(t))dt + \sigma(t, X(t))dw(t),$$

where the maps  $\omega$  and  $\sigma$  are Lipschitz continuous with respect to X(t), w(t) is a martingale. They derived Bellman equation and identified the optimal control u with the cost functional  $\mathcal{J}[\{u\}; t_0, X_0]$ , such that

$$S(t_0, X_0) = \inf_u \mathcal{J}[\{u\}; t_0, X_0] = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}(t)} \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{t_0}^T C(u(t), X(t))dt + G(u(T), X(T))\right\},\$$

where  $S(t_0, X_0)$  is the value function, the cost density C(u(t), X(t)) and the terminal cost G(u(T), X(T)) are observables, and the control domain  $\mathcal{U}(t)$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The adaptedness of the control variable u is not considered. And then, Mulero-Martínez and Molina-Vilaplana [33] derived the quantum Pontryagin maximum principle in a global form from the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation for quantum optimal control with adapted control variable u. Boscain, Sigalotti and Sugny [6] described modern aspects of optimal control theory, with a particular focus on the Pontryagin maximum principle, where the finite-dimensional quantum control system is considered. The aforementioned results are about quantum optimal control problems with finite-dimensional control domains. However, quantum optimal control problems with infinite-dimensional control domain have rarely been investigated.

In classical probability theory, there is a great deal of research on optimal control theory. Since the 1970s, the maximum principle has been extensively studied for stochastic control systems. Peng, Yong, Zhang et al. obtained corresponding results on the Pontryagin-type maximum principle in [13, 16, 17, 25, 29-32, 47]. Peng [34] investigated optimal control problems for control systems when the control enters the diffusion term and the control domain U is nonconvex. Lü and Zhang [29-31] derived the necessary conditions for optimal controls under the case of convex and nonconvex control domains, respectively. Furthermore, Du and Meng [13], Fuhrman, Hu and Tessitore [17], Lü and Zhang [30] were concerned with the general stochastic maximum principle of infinite dimensions.

Inspired by the classical infinite-dimensional optimal control theory, this paper investigates the optimal control problem of *infinite-dimensional quantum systems with the infinitedimensional control domains*. To obtain the Pontryagin-type maximum principle, the following main ideas play key roles.

• For  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , the noncommutative space  $L^p(\mathscr{C})$  can be decomposed into

$$L^{p}(\mathscr{C}) = L^{p}(\mathscr{C}_{e}) \oplus L^{p}(\mathscr{C}_{o}),$$

and the details are listed in [35, Proposition 3.3]. The fermion Brownian motion  $\{W(t); t \geq 0\}$  commutes with the elements of  $L^p(\mathscr{C}_e)$ , and anti-commutes with the elements of  $L^p(\mathscr{C}_o)$ . This allows us to overcome the difficulty of the noncommutativity of QSDEs in fermion fields.

• To address quantum stochastic calculus with respect to the fermion Brownian motion  $\{W(t); t \ge 0\}$ , the canonical anti-commutation relation (1.2) is critical, which implies that

$$W(t)^*W(t) = W(t)^2 = tI, \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

- The Burkholder-Gundy inequality with respect to noncommutative martingales, given by Pisier and Xu [35], plays a significant role in solving the QSDEs in fermion fields and obtaining the corresponding estimates. Based on this, we prove that the solution to the corresponding backward quantum stochastic differential equation (BQSDE for short) is a necessary condition of **Problem (QOC)** with an infinite-dimensional control domain U. This is the Pontryagin-type maximum principle of quantum control systems.
- Finally, we investigate the solution to BQSDEs by using the noncommutative martingales representation theorem and noncommutative martingale inequalities.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review some basic notations on fermion fields and main lemmas. Section 3 formulates the Pontryagin-type maximum principle for quantum optimal control problems in  $L^p(\mathscr{C})$ . In section 4, we prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution to BQSDEs in noncommutative space.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce notations based on references [8–10, 12, 35, 42, 43] and some lemmata, which will be used later.

**Definition 2.1.** [8,9,35] A map  $x : \mathbb{R}^+ \to L^p(\mathscr{C})$  is said to be adapted if  $x(t) \in L^p(\mathscr{C}_t)$  for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . A map  $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \times L^p(\mathscr{C}) \to L^p(\mathscr{C})$  is said to be adapted if  $F(t, u) \in L^p(\mathscr{C}_t)$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $u \in L^p(\mathscr{C}_t)$ .

Throughout this paper, we let T > 0 be a fixed time horizon and denote

$$C_{\mathbb{A}}(0,T;L^p(\mathscr{C})) := \left\{ f: [0,T] \to L^p(\mathscr{C}) \mid f(\cdot) \text{ is } \{\mathscr{C}_t\}_{t \geq 0} - \text{adapted and continuous} \right\},$$

with the following norm

$$||f(\cdot)||_{C_{\mathbb{A}}(0,T;L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} := \sup_{t \in [0,T]} ||f(t)||_{p}.$$

It is clear that  $C_{\mathbb{A}}(0,T;L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))$  is a Banach space. As usual, for any Banach space  $X_{1}$  and  $X_{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(X_{1};X_{2})$  is the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from  $X_{1}$  to  $X_{2}$ . For  $p_{1}, p_{2} \in (1,\infty)$ , put

$$\begin{split} L^{\infty}_{\mathbb{A}}(0,T;\mathcal{L}(L^{p_{1}}(\mathscr{C});L^{p_{2}}(\mathscr{C}))) \\ &:= \Big\{T:[0,T] \to \mathcal{L}(L^{p_{1}}(\mathscr{C});L^{p_{2}}(\mathscr{C}));T(\cdot) \text{ is measurable and essentially bounded} \\ &\text{ and } T(t) \in \mathcal{L}(L^{p_{1}}(\mathscr{C}_{t});L^{p_{2}}(\mathscr{C}_{t})) \text{ a.e. on } [0,T]\Big\}. \end{split}$$

Recall that in [35] the grading automorphism  $\Upsilon$  on  $L^p(\mathscr{C})$  is uniquely determined by

$$\Upsilon(\Psi(v_1)\Psi(v_2)\cdots\Psi(v_n)) = (-1)^n \Psi(v_1)\Psi(v_2)\cdots\Psi(v_n), \quad v_i \in \mathscr{H}, \ 1 \le i \le n.$$

**Definition 2.2.** [8, 9, 35] An element  $f \in L^p(\mathscr{C})$  is said to be even (resp. odd) if  $\Upsilon(f) = f$  (resp.  $\Upsilon(f) = -f$ ).

**Lemma 2.1.** [35, 46] Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{H}^p(0, T)$ , its Itô-Clifford integral  $\int_0^t f(s)dW(s)$ and  $\int_0^t dW(s)f(s)$  are  $L^p$ -martingales for  $t \in [0, T]$ . Moreover, it holds that

$$\left\| \int_{0}^{t} dW(s)f(s) \right\|_{p} \simeq_{p} \left\| \int_{0}^{t} f(s)dW(s) \right\|_{p} \simeq_{p} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p}(0,t)}, \ t \in [0,T].$$
(2.1)

If  $p \in (1, 2]$ , then

$$\left(\int_0^t \|f(s)\|_p^2 ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \mathcal{C}_p \left\|\int_0^t f(s) dW(s)\right\|_p.$$

$$(2.2)$$

If  $p \in [2, \infty)$ , then

$$\left\| \int_{0}^{t} f(s) dW(s) \right\|_{p} \leq \mathcal{C}_{p} \left( \int_{0}^{t} \|f(s)\|_{p}^{2} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (2.3)

## 3 The Pontryagin-type Maximum Principle

This section is devoted to obtaining the Pontryagin-type maximum principle for quantum optimal control of (1.3) in  $L^p(\mathscr{C})$  for  $p \in [2, \infty)$ . For the state equation (1.3) and the cost functional (1.5), we impose the following assumptions.

Assumption 3.1. (A1) The maps  $D(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot), F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot), G(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : [0, T] \times L^p(\mathscr{C}) \times U \to L^p(\mathscr{C})$ are adapted, and there exists a constant  $\mathcal{C} > 0$  such that for any  $(t, u) \in [0, T] \times U$ ,  $x, \hat{x} \in L^p(\mathscr{C}),$ 

$$\begin{cases} \|D(t, x, u) - D(t, \hat{x}, u)\|_{p} \leq \mathcal{C} \|x - \hat{x}\|_{p}, \\ \|F(t, x, u) - F(t, \hat{x}, u)\|_{p} \leq \mathcal{C} \|x - \hat{x}\|_{p}, \\ \|G(t, x, u) - G(t, \hat{x}, u)\|_{p} \leq \mathcal{C} \|x - \hat{x}\|_{p}, \\ \|D(t, 0, u)\|_{p} + \|F(t, 0, u)\|_{p} + \|G(t, 0, u)\|_{p} \leq \mathcal{C} \end{cases}$$

(A2) The maps  $L : [0,T] \times L^p(\mathscr{C}) \times U \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $h : L^p(\mathscr{C}_T) \to \mathbb{R}$  are measurable, and there exists a constant  $\mathcal{C} > 0$  such that for any  $(t,u) \in [0,T] \times U$ ,  $x, \hat{x} \in L^p(\mathscr{C})$ ,

$$\begin{cases} |L(t, x, u) - L(t, \hat{x}, u)| \le \mathcal{C} ||x - \hat{x}||_p, \\ |h(x) - h(\hat{x})| \le \mathcal{C} ||x - \hat{x}||_p, \\ |L(t, 0, u)| + |h(0)| \le \mathcal{C}. \end{cases}$$

(A3) The maps D, F, G, L and h are second order Fréchet differentiable on  $L^p(\mathscr{C})$ . For any  $(t, u) \in [0, T] \times U$ , the maps  $D_x(t, \cdot, u), F_x(t, \cdot, u), G_x(t, \cdot, u) : L^p(\mathscr{C}) \to \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathscr{C}))$ and  $L_x(t, \cdot, u), h_x(\cdot) : L^p(\mathscr{C}) \to L^{p'}(\mathscr{C})$  are continuous, the maps  $D_{xx}(t, \cdot, u), F_{xx}(t, \cdot, u),$  $G_{xx}(t, \cdot, u) : L^p(\mathscr{C}) \to \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathscr{C}), L^p(\mathscr{C}); L^p(\mathscr{C}))$  and  $L_{xx}(t, \cdot, u), h_{xx}(\cdot) : L^p(\mathscr{C}) \to \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathscr{C}); L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))$ are continuous. Moreover, for any  $(t, x, u) \in [0, T] \times L^p(\mathscr{C}) \times U$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \|D_{x}(t,x,u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} + \|F_{x}(t,x,u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} + \|G_{x}(t,x,u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} \leq \mathcal{C}, \\ \|D_{xx}(t,x,u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}),L^{p}(\mathscr{C});L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))} + \|F_{xx}(t,x,u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}),L^{p}(\mathscr{C});L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))} \\ + \|G_{xx}(t,x,u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}),L^{p}(\mathscr{C});L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))} \leq \mathcal{C}, \\ \|L_{x}(t,x,u)\|_{p'} + \|h_{x}(x)\|_{p'} \leq \mathcal{C}, \\ \|L_{xx}(t,x,u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C});L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))} + \|h_{xx}(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C});L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))} \leq \mathcal{C}. \end{cases}$$

In what follows, when there is no confusion, denote p' the conjugate number of p, i.e.  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ .

Before stating the main result, we introduce the following auxiliary result.

**Lemma 3.1.** Under the above conditions, there exists a unique solution  $x(\cdot) \in C_{\mathbb{A}}(0,T;L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))$ to (1.3) for  $p \in [2,\infty)$ . Moreover,

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|x(t)\|_p^2 \le \mathcal{C} \left(1 + \|x_0\|_p^2\right).$$
(3.1)

*Proof.* By [28, Theorem 3.1], there exists a unique solution  $x(\cdot) \in C_{\mathbb{A}}(0,T;L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))$  to (1.3), and  $\{x(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$  satisfies the integral equation

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t D(\tau, x(\tau), u(\tau)) d\tau + \int_0^t F(\tau, x(\tau), u(\tau)) dW(\tau) + \int_0^t dW(\tau) G(\tau, x(\tau), u(\tau)).$$

By Assumption 3.1 (A1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|D(t, x(t), u(t))\|_{p} &\leq \|D(t, x(t), u(t)) - D(t, 0, u(t))\|_{p} + \|D(t, 0, u(t))\|_{p} \\ &\leq \mathcal{C}(\|x(t)\|_{p} + 1), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$
(3.2)

Similarly, for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$||F(t, x(t), u(t))||_p \le \mathcal{C}(||x(t)||_p + 1) \quad \text{and} \quad ||G(t, x(t), u(t))||_p \le \mathcal{C}(||x(t)||_p + 1).$$
(3.3)

Therefore, by the Minkowski inequality, the Hölder inequality, (2.3), (3.2) and (3.3),

$$\begin{split} \|x(t)\|_{p}^{2} \leq 4\|x_{0}\|_{p}^{2} + 4T \int_{0}^{t} \|D(\tau, x(\tau), u(\tau))\|_{p}^{2} d\tau \\ &+ 4\mathcal{C}_{p} \int_{0}^{t} \|F(\tau, x(\tau), u(\tau))\|_{p}^{2} d\tau + 4\mathcal{C}_{p} \int_{0}^{t} \|G(\tau, x(\tau), u(\tau))\|_{p}^{2} d\tau \\ \leq 4\|x_{0}\|_{p}^{2} + 4\mathcal{C}_{p, D, F, G, T} \int_{0}^{t} (1 + \|x(t)\|_{p}^{2}) d\tau. \end{split}$$

By the Gronwall inequality and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we conclude that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|x(t)\|_p^2 \le \mathcal{C}(\|x_0\|_p^2 + 1).$$

Let  $(\bar{x}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$  be the given optimal pair of **Problem (QOC)** of (1.3) in  $L^p(\mathscr{C})$  with the cost functional

$$\mathcal{J}(\bar{u}(\cdot)) = \int_0^T L(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t))dt + h(\bar{x}(T)).$$
(3.4)

Then it satisfies the following quantum control system

$$\begin{cases} d\bar{x}(t) = D(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t))dt + F(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t))dW(t) + dW(t)G(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t)), \text{ in } (0, \mathbf{T}], \\ \bar{x}(0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

Fix any  $u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}(0,T), \varepsilon > 0$ , we define

$$u^{\varepsilon}(t) := \begin{cases} \bar{u}(t), & t \in [0,T] \setminus E_{\varepsilon}, \\ u(t), & t \in E_{\varepsilon}, \end{cases}$$
(3.6)

where  $E_{\varepsilon} \subseteq [0,T]$  is a measurable set with  $|E_{\varepsilon}| = \varepsilon$ . Let  $x^{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$  be the state process of (1.3) corresponding to the control variable  $u^{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$ , that is,

$$\begin{cases} dx^{\varepsilon}(t) = D(t, x^{\varepsilon}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t))dt + F(t, x^{\varepsilon}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t))dW(t) + dW(t)G(t, x^{\varepsilon}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)), & \text{in } (0, T], \\ x^{\varepsilon}(0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

$$(3.7)$$

For the sake of convenience, we denote for  $\varphi = D, F, G, L$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{x}(t) := \varphi_{x}(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t)), \\ \varphi_{xx}(t) := \varphi_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t)), \\ \delta\varphi(t) := \varphi(t, \bar{x}(t), u(t)) - \varphi(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t)), \\ \delta\varphi_{x}(t) := \varphi_{x}(t, \bar{x}(t), u(t)) - \varphi_{x}(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t)), \\ \delta\varphi_{xx}(t) := \varphi_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t), u(t)) - \varphi_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t)), \\ \tilde{\varphi}_{x}(t) := \int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{x}(t, \bar{x}(t) + \theta(x^{\varepsilon}(t) - \bar{x}(t)), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) d\theta, \\ \tilde{\varphi}_{xx}(t) := 2 \int_{0}^{1} (1 - \theta) \varphi_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t) + \theta(x^{\varepsilon}(t) - \bar{x}(t)), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) d\theta. \end{cases}$$
(3.8)

Let  $y^{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$  and  $z^{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$  be respectively the solution to the following QSDEs:

$$\begin{cases} dy^{\varepsilon}(t) = D_x(t)y^{\varepsilon}(t)dt + \{F_x(t)y^{\varepsilon}(t) + \delta F(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t)\} dW(t) \\ + dW(t) \{G_x(t)y^{\varepsilon}(t) + \delta G(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t)\}, & \text{in } (0, \mathrm{T}], \\ y^{\varepsilon}(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(3.9)

and

$$\begin{cases} dz^{\varepsilon}(t) = \left\{ F_{x}(t)z^{\varepsilon}(t) + \delta F_{x}(t)y^{\varepsilon}(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \frac{1}{2}F_{xx}(t)\left(y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t)\right) \right\} dW(t) \\ + dW(t) \left\{ G_{x}(t)z^{\varepsilon}(t) + \delta G_{x}(t)y^{\varepsilon}(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \frac{1}{2}G_{xx}(t)(y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t)) \right\} \\ + \left\{ D_{x}(t)z^{\varepsilon}(t) + \delta D(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \frac{1}{2}D_{xx}(t)(y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t)) \right\} dt \quad \text{in } (0, T], \\ z^{\varepsilon}(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(3.10)

where the maps  $D_{xx}(\cdot)$ ,  $F_{xx}(\cdot)$ ,  $G_{xx}(\cdot) \in L^{\infty}_{\mathbb{A}}(0,T;\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}),L^{p}(\mathscr{C});L^{p}(\mathscr{C})))$  are introduced in Assumption 3.1. This means that, for any  $(t,x,u) \in [0,T] \times L^{p}(\mathscr{C}) \times U$  and  $x_{1},x_{2} \in L^{p}(\mathscr{C})$ ,  $D_{xx}(t,x,u)(x_{1},x_{2}), F_{xx}(t,x,u)(x_{1},x_{2}), G_{xx}(t,x,u)(x_{1},x_{2}) \in L^{p}(\mathscr{C}).$ 

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose that Assumption 3.1 holds. Then, for  $p \in [2, \infty)$ ,

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|x^{\varepsilon}(t) - \bar{x}(t)\|_p^2 = \boldsymbol{o}(\varepsilon);$$
(3.11)

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|y^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_p^2 = \boldsymbol{o}(\varepsilon); \tag{3.12}$$

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|z^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_p^2 = o(\varepsilon^2);$$
(3.13)

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|x^{\varepsilon}(t) - \bar{x}(t) - y^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_p^2 = \boldsymbol{o}(\varepsilon^2);$$
(3.14)

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|x^{\varepsilon}(t) - \bar{x}(t) - y^{\varepsilon}(t) - z^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2} = \boldsymbol{o}(\varepsilon^{2}).$$
(3.15)

Moreover, the following expansion holds for the cost functional:

$$\mathcal{J}(u^{\varepsilon}(\cdot)) = \mathcal{J}(\bar{u}(\cdot)) + \operatorname{Re} \langle h_x(\bar{x}(T), y^{\varepsilon}(T) + z^{\varepsilon}(T) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \langle h_{xx}(\bar{x}(T)y^{\varepsilon}(T), y^{\varepsilon}(T) \rangle \\ + \operatorname{Re} \int_0^T \left\{ \langle L_x(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t) + z^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle L_{xx}(t)y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle + \delta L(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) \right\} dt + \boldsymbol{o}(\varepsilon).$$
(3.16)

*Proof.* Let  $\xi^{\varepsilon}(t) := x^{\varepsilon}(t) - \bar{x}(t)$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ . Thus,  $\xi^{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$  satisfies the following QSDE:

$$\begin{cases} d\xi^{\varepsilon}(t) = \left\{ \widetilde{D}_{x}(t)\xi^{\varepsilon}(t) + \delta D(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) \right\} dt + \left\{ \widetilde{F}_{x}(t)\xi^{\varepsilon}(t) + \delta F(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) \right\} dW(t) \\ + dW(t) \left\{ \widetilde{G}_{x}(t)\xi^{\varepsilon}(t) + \delta G(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) \right\}, & \text{in } (0,T], \end{cases}$$
(3.17)  
$$\xi^{\varepsilon}(0) = 0.$$

From Assumption 3.1 (A3), Lemma 2.1 and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2} \leq \mathcal{C} \int_{0}^{t} \|\widetilde{F}_{x}(\tau)\xi^{\varepsilon}(\tau) + \delta F(\tau)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)\|_{p}^{2} + \|\widetilde{G}_{x}(\tau)\xi^{\varepsilon}(\tau) + \delta G(\tau)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)\|_{p}^{2} d\tau \\ &+ \mathcal{C} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \|\widetilde{D}_{x}(\tau)\xi^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{p} + \|\delta D(\tau)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)\|_{p}(\tau)d\tau\right)^{2} \\ \leq \mathcal{C} \int_{0}^{t} \|\xi^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{p}^{2} d\tau + \mathcal{C} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \|\delta D(\tau)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)\|_{p}d\tau\right)^{2} \\ &+ \mathcal{C} \int_{0}^{t} \|\delta F(\tau)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)\|_{p}^{2} + \|\delta G(\tau)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)\|_{p}^{2} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$
(3.18)

Under Assumption 3.1 (A1), it follows from (3.1) that, for  $t \in [0, T]$ 

$$\begin{split} \|\delta D(t)\|_{p} \leq \|D(t,\bar{x}(t),u(t)) - D(t,0,u(t))\|_{p} + \|D(t,0,u(t)) - D(t,0,\bar{u}(t))\|_{p} \\ &+ \|D(t,0,\bar{u}(t)) - D(t,\bar{x}(t),\bar{u}(t))\|_{p} \\ \leq \mathcal{C}(\|\bar{x}(t)\|_{p} + 1) \\ \leq \mathcal{C}(\|x_{0}\|_{p} + 1). \end{split}$$
(3.19)

Similarly, we have

$$\|\delta F(t)\|_p \le \mathcal{C}(\|x_0\|_p + 1), \quad \|\delta G(t)\|_p \le \mathcal{C}(\|x_0\|_p + 1).$$
(3.20)

From (3.1) and (3.19), we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{t} \|\delta D(\tau)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)\|_{p}d\tau \leq \int_{0}^{t} \|\delta D(\tau)\|_{p}\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)d\tau \\
\leq \mathcal{C}\int_{0}^{t} (\|x_{0}\|_{p}+1)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)d\tau.$$
(3.21)

Similar to the above reasoning, we have

$$\int_{0}^{t} \|\delta F(\tau)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)\|_{p}^{2} + \|\delta G(\tau)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)\|_{p}^{2}d\tau \leq \int_{0}^{t} \left\{ \|\delta F(\tau)\|_{p}^{2} + \|\delta G(\tau)\|_{p}^{2} \right\}\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)d\tau$$

$$\leq \mathcal{C}\int_{0}^{t} (\|x_{0}\|_{p}^{2} + 1)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)d\tau.$$
(3.22)

By the Gronwall inequality, together with (3.18), (3.21), (3.22), we can infer that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2} \le \mathcal{C}(\|x_{0}\|_{p}^{2} + 1)\varepsilon.$$
(3.23)

This proves (3.11).

Now, we provide estimate on  $y^{\varepsilon}$ . From (3.9), we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \|y^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p} &\leq \left\|\int_{0}^{t} D_{x}(\tau)y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)d\tau\right\|_{p} + \left\|\int_{0}^{t} F_{x}(\tau)y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)dW(\tau)\right\|_{p} + \left\|\int_{0}^{t} dW(\tau)G_{x}(\tau)y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\right\|_{p} \\ &+ \left\|\int_{0}^{t} dW(\tau)G_{x}(\tau)y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\right\|_{p} + \left\|\int_{0}^{t} dW(\tau)\delta G(\tau)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)\right\|_{p} \\ &\leq \mathcal{C}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \|y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{p}^{2}d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{C}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \left(\|x_{0}\|_{p}^{2}+1\right)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad t \in [0,T]. \end{split}$$

By means of the Gronwall inequality, we yield that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|y^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2} \le \mathcal{C}(\|x_{0}\|_{p}^{2} + 1)\varepsilon.$$
(3.24)

Hence, (3.12) holds.

Next, we prove (3.13). From (3.10), for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} z^{\varepsilon}(t) &= \int_{0}^{t} \left\{ D_{x}(\tau) z^{\varepsilon}(\tau) + \delta D(\tau) \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau) + \frac{1}{2} D_{xx}(\tau) (y^{\varepsilon}(\tau), y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)) \right\} d\tau \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \left\{ F_{x}(\tau) z^{\varepsilon}(\tau) + \delta F_{x}(\tau) y^{\varepsilon}(\tau) \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau) + \frac{1}{2} F_{xx}(\tau) (y^{\varepsilon}(\tau), y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)) \right\} dW(\tau) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} dW(\tau) \left\{ G_{x}(\tau) z^{\varepsilon}(\tau) + \delta G_{x}(\tau) y^{\varepsilon}(\tau) \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau) + \frac{1}{2} G_{xx}(\tau) (y^{\varepsilon}(\tau), y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Based on Assumption 3.1 (A3), we have

$$\left\| \int_{0}^{t} D_{xx}(\tau)(y^{\varepsilon}(\tau), y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)) d\tau \right\|_{p} \leq \int_{0}^{t} \| D_{xx}(\tau) \|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}), L^{p}(\mathscr{C}); L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} \| y^{\varepsilon}(\tau) \|_{p}^{2} d\tau$$

$$\leq \mathcal{C} \int_{0}^{t} \| y^{\varepsilon}(\tau) \|_{p}^{2} d\tau.$$
(3.25)

By Lemma 2.1, similar to (3.25), we find that

$$\left\| \int_{0}^{t} F_{xx}(\tau)(y^{\varepsilon}(\tau), y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)) dW(\tau) \right\|_{p} \leq \mathcal{C}_{p} \left( \int_{0}^{t} \|F_{xx}(\tau)(y^{\varepsilon}(\tau), y^{\varepsilon}(\tau))\|_{p}^{2} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \mathcal{C}_{p,F} \left( \int_{0}^{t} \|y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{p}^{4} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$(3.26)$$

and

$$\left\|\int_0^t G_{xx}(\tau)(y^{\varepsilon}(\tau), y^{\varepsilon}(\tau))dW(\tau)\right\|_p \le \mathcal{C}_{p,G}\left(\int_0^t \|y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_p^4 d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(3.27)

Combined with Lemma 2.1 and (3.19), one has

$$\left\| \int_{0}^{t} \delta F_{x}(\tau) y^{\varepsilon}(\tau) \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau) dW(\tau) \right\|_{p}^{2} \leq \mathcal{C}_{p} \int_{0}^{t} \| \delta F_{x}(\tau) y^{\varepsilon}(\tau) \|_{p}^{2} \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau) d\tau$$

$$\leq \mathcal{C}_{p} \int_{0}^{t} \| \delta F_{x}(\tau) \|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))}^{2} \| y^{\varepsilon}(\tau) \|_{p}^{2} \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau) d\tau \qquad (3.28)$$

$$\leq \mathcal{C} \int_{0}^{t} (\| x_{0} \|_{p}^{2} + 1) \| y^{\varepsilon}(\tau) \|_{p}^{2} \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau) d\tau,$$

and

$$\left\| \int_{0}^{t} dW(\tau) \delta G_{x}(\tau) y^{\varepsilon}(\tau) \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau) \right\|_{p}^{2} \leq \mathcal{C}_{p} \int_{0}^{t} \|\delta G_{x}(\tau) y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{p}^{2} \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau) d\tau$$

$$\leq \mathcal{C} \int_{0}^{t} (\|x_{0}\|_{p}^{2} + 1) \|y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{p}^{2} \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau) d\tau.$$
(3.29)

Hence, it follows from Lemma 2.1, (3.19), (3.22), (3.24) and (3.25)-(3.29) that

$$\begin{split} \|z^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2} \leq & \mathcal{C}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \|z^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{p}^{2}d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \|y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{p}^{4}d\tau + \left(\int_{0}^{t} (\|x_{0}\|_{p}+1)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)d\tau\right)^{2} \\ & + \int_{0}^{t} (\|x_{0}\|_{p}^{2}+1)\|y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{p}^{2}\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)d\tau\right) \\ \leq & \mathcal{C}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \|z^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{p}^{2}d\tau + (\|x_{0}\|_{p}^{2}+1)\varepsilon^{2}\right), \end{split}$$

this, together with the Gronwall inequality, implies that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|z^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_p^2 \leq \mathcal{C}\left(\|x_0\|_p^2 + 1\right)\varepsilon^2.$$

In order to prove (3.14). we set  $\eta^{\varepsilon}(t) := \xi^{\varepsilon}(t) - y^{\varepsilon}(t) = x^{\varepsilon}(t) - \bar{x}(t) - y^{\varepsilon}(t)$ , for  $t \in [0, T]$ . By calculation,  $\eta^{\varepsilon}$  solves the following QSDE:

$$\begin{cases} d\eta^{\varepsilon}(t) = \left\{ \widetilde{D}_{x}(t)\eta^{\varepsilon}(t) + \left( \widetilde{D}_{x}(t) - D_{x}(t) \right) y^{\varepsilon}(t) + \delta D(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) \right\} dt \\ + \left\{ \widetilde{F}_{x}(t)\eta^{\varepsilon}(t) + \left( \widetilde{F}_{x}(t) - F_{x}(t) \right) y^{\varepsilon}(t) \right\} dW(t) \\ + dW(t) \left\{ \widetilde{G}_{x}(t)\eta^{\varepsilon}(t) + \left( \widetilde{G}_{x}(t) - G_{x}(t) \right) y^{\varepsilon}(t) \right\}, & \text{in } (0,T], \\ \eta^{\varepsilon}(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$(3.30)$$

Hence,

$$\|\eta^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2} \leq \mathcal{C}\left\{\int_{0}^{t}\|\eta^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{p}^{2} + \left\|\left(\widetilde{F}_{x}(\tau) - F_{x}(\tau)\right)y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\right\|_{p}^{2} + \left\|\left(\widetilde{G}_{x}(\tau) - G_{x}(\tau)\right)y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\right\|_{p}^{2}d\tau + \left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\left(\widetilde{D}_{x}(\tau) - D_{x}(\tau)\right)y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\right\|_{p} + \|\delta D(\tau)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)\|_{p}d\tau\right)^{2}\right\}.$$

$$(3.31)$$

The terms on the right side of (3.31) will now be analyzed individually. Under Assumption 3.1 (A3), we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| \widetilde{D}_{x}(\tau) - D_{x}(\tau) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} \\ &= \left\| \int_{0}^{1} \left\{ D_{x}(\tau, \bar{x}(\tau) + \theta\xi^{\varepsilon}(\tau), u^{\varepsilon}(\tau)) - D_{x}(\tau) \right\} d\theta \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} \\ &= \left\| \int_{0}^{1} \left\{ D_{x}(\tau, \bar{x}(\tau) + \theta\xi^{\varepsilon}(\tau), u^{\varepsilon}(\tau)) - D_{x}(\tau, \bar{x}(\tau), u^{\varepsilon}(\tau)) \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ D_{x}(\tau, \bar{x}(\tau), u^{\varepsilon}(\tau)) - D_{x}(\tau) \right\} d\theta \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} \\ &= \left\| \int_{0}^{1} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} D_{xx}(\tau, \bar{x}(\tau) + \sigma\theta\xi^{\varepsilon}(\tau), u^{\varepsilon}(\tau))\sigma\xi^{\varepsilon}(\tau)d\sigma + \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)\delta D_{x}(\tau) \right\} d\theta \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} \\ &\leq \mathcal{C}(\|\xi^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{p} + \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)), \text{ a.e. } \tau \in [0, T]. \end{split}$$
(3.32)

It follows from (3.11), (3.12) and (3.32) that

$$\int_{0}^{t} \left\| \left( \widetilde{D}_{x}(\tau) - D_{x}(\tau) \right) y^{\varepsilon}(\tau) \right\|_{p} d\tau \leq \int_{0}^{t} \left\| \widetilde{D}_{x}(\tau) - D_{x}(\tau) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} \|y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{p} d\tau \\
\leq \mathcal{C} \int_{0}^{t} (\|\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p} + \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)) \|y^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p} d\tau \\
\leq \mathcal{C}\varepsilon.$$
(3.33)

Similar to (3.32), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widetilde{F}_{x}(\tau) - F_{x}(\tau) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} &\leq \mathcal{C}(\|\xi^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{p} + \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)), \text{ a.e. } \tau \in [0,T], \\ \left\| \widetilde{G}_{x}(\tau) - G_{x}(\tau) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} &\leq \mathcal{C}(\|\xi^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{p} + \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(\tau)), \text{ a.e. } \tau \in [0,T]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, similar to (3.33), we obtain that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left\| \left( \widetilde{F}_{x}(\tau) - F_{x}(\tau) \right) y^{\varepsilon}(\tau) \right\|_{p}^{2} d\tau \leq \mathcal{C} \int_{0}^{T} \left\| \widetilde{F}_{x}(\tau) - F_{x}(\tau) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))}^{2} \|y^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{p}^{2} d\tau \leq \mathcal{C}\varepsilon^{2},$$
(3.34)

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left\| \left( \widetilde{G}_{x}(\tau) - G_{x}(\tau) \right) y^{\varepsilon}(\tau) \right\|_{p}^{2} d\tau \leq C \varepsilon^{2}.$$
(3.35)

From (3.21) (3.31), (3.33)-(3.35), we conclude that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\eta^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_p^2 \le \mathcal{C}\varepsilon^2.$$
(3.36)

Finally, we prove (3.15). Let

$$\zeta^{\varepsilon}(t) := x^{\varepsilon}(t) - \bar{x}(t) - y^{\varepsilon}(t) - z^{\varepsilon}(t) = \eta^{\varepsilon}(t) - z^{\varepsilon}(t), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

A direct calculation gives

$$\begin{cases} d\zeta^{\varepsilon}(t) = \{D_x(t)\zeta^{\varepsilon}(t) + \Theta_1(t)\}dt + \{F_x(t)\zeta^{\varepsilon}(t) + \Theta_2(t)\}dW(t) \\ + dW(t)\{G_x(t)\zeta^{\varepsilon}(t) + \Theta_3(t)\}, & \text{in } (0,T], \end{cases}$$
(3.37)  
$$\zeta^{\varepsilon}(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Theta_{1}(t) &:= \delta D_{x}(t)\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\left\{\widetilde{D}_{xx}(t) - D_{xx}(t,\bar{x}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t))\right\}(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}D_{xx}(t)\left\{(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) - (y^{\varepsilon}(t),y^{\varepsilon}(t))\right\} + \frac{1}{2}\delta D_{xx}(t)(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t))\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t), \\ \Theta_{2}(t) &:= \delta F_{x}(t)\eta^{\varepsilon}(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\left\{\widetilde{F}_{xx}(t) - F_{xx}(t,\bar{x}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t))\right\}(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}F_{xx}(t)\{(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) - (y^{\varepsilon}(t),y^{\varepsilon}(t))\} + \frac{1}{2}\delta F_{xx}(t)(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t))\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t), \\ \Theta_{3}(t) &:= \delta G_{x}(t)\eta^{\varepsilon}(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\left\{\widetilde{G}_{xx}(t) - G_{xx}(t,\bar{x}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t))\right\}(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t))\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}G_{xx}(t)\{(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) - (y^{\varepsilon}(t),y^{\varepsilon}(t))\} + \frac{1}{2}\delta G_{xx}(t)(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t))\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t). \end{split}$$

Indeed, from (3.5), (3.7)-(3.10), it is easy to verify that the drift term for the equation solved by  $\zeta^{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$  is

$$D(t, x^{\varepsilon}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) - D(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t)) - D_{x}(t)y^{\varepsilon}(t) - D_{x}(t)z^{\varepsilon}(t) - \delta D(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) - \frac{1}{2}D_{xx}(t)(y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t)) = D(t, x^{\varepsilon}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) - D(t, \bar{x}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) - D_{x}(t)\{y^{\varepsilon}(t) + z^{\varepsilon}(t)\} - \frac{1}{2}D_{xx}(t)(y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t)).$$

$$(3.38)$$

For  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ , by Taylor's formula with integral type, we can deduce that

$$D(t, x^{\varepsilon}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) - D(t, \bar{x}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t))$$
  
= $D_x(t, \bar{x}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t))\xi^{\varepsilon}(t) + \int_0^1 (1-\theta)D_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t) + \theta\xi^{\varepsilon}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t))(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \xi^{\varepsilon}(t))d\theta$  (3.39)  
= $D_x(t, \bar{x}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t))\xi^{\varepsilon}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{D}_{xx}(t)(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \xi^{\varepsilon}(t)).$ 

Next,

$$D_{x}(t,\bar{x}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t))\xi^{\varepsilon}(t) - D_{x}(t)(y^{\varepsilon}(t) + z^{\varepsilon}(t))$$

$$= \{D_{x}(t,\bar{x}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t)) - D_{x}(t)\}\xi^{\varepsilon}(t) + D_{x}(t)\{\xi^{\varepsilon}(t) - y^{\varepsilon}(t) - z^{\varepsilon}(t)\}$$

$$= \delta D_{x}(t)\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + D_{x}(t)\zeta^{\varepsilon}(t).$$
(3.40)

Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{D}_{xx}(t)(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) - \frac{1}{2}D_{xx}(t)(y^{\varepsilon}(t),y^{\varepsilon}(t)) \\
= \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{D}_{xx}(t)(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) - \frac{1}{2}D_{xx}(t,\bar{x}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t))(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) \\
+ \frac{1}{2}D_{xx}(t,\bar{x}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t))(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) - \frac{1}{2}D_{xx}(t,\bar{x}(t),\bar{u}(t))(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) \\
+ \frac{1}{2}D_{xx}(t)(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) - \frac{1}{2}D_{xx}(t)(y^{\varepsilon}(t),y^{\varepsilon}(t)) \\
= \frac{1}{2}\delta D_{xx}(t)(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t))\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \frac{1}{2}D_{xx}(t)\left\{(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) - (y^{\varepsilon}(t),y^{\varepsilon}(t))\right\} \\
+ \frac{1}{2}\left\{\widetilde{D}_{xx}(t) - D_{xx}(t,\bar{x}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t))\right\}(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)).$$
(3.41)

From (3.38)-(3.41), we conclude that

$$D(t, x^{\varepsilon}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) - D(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t)) - D_{x}(t)y^{\varepsilon}(t) - D_{x}(t)z^{\varepsilon}(t) - \delta D(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) - \frac{1}{2}D_{xx}(t)(y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t)) = D_{x}(t)\zeta^{\varepsilon}(t) + \delta D_{x}(t)\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\left\{\widetilde{D}_{xx}(t) - D_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t))\right\}(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) + \frac{1}{2}\delta D_{xx}(t)(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \xi^{\varepsilon}(t))\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \frac{1}{2}D_{xx}(t)\left\{(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) - (y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t))\right\}.$$

Similarly, the diffusion terms are

$$\begin{split} F(t, x^{\varepsilon}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) &- F(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t)) - F_{x}(t)y^{\varepsilon}(t) - F_{x}(t)z^{\varepsilon}(t) \\ &- \delta F(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) - \delta F_{x}(t)y^{\varepsilon}(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) - \frac{1}{2}F_{xx}(t)(y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t)) \\ = &F_{x}(t)\zeta^{\varepsilon}(t) + \delta F_{x}(t)\eta^{\varepsilon}(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\left\{\widetilde{F}_{xx}(t) - F_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t))\right\}\left(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\delta F_{xx}(t)(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \xi^{\varepsilon}(t))\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \frac{1}{2}F_{xx}(t)\left\{(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) - (y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t))\right\}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G(t, x^{\varepsilon}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) &- G(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t)) - G_{x}(t)y^{\varepsilon}(t) - G_{x}(t)z^{\varepsilon}(t) \\ &- \delta G(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) - \delta G_{x}(t)y^{\varepsilon}(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) - \frac{1}{2}G_{xx}(t)(y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t)) \\ &= G_{x}(t)\zeta^{\varepsilon}(t) + \delta G_{x}(t)\eta^{\varepsilon}(t)\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\left\{\widetilde{G}_{xx}(t) - G_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t))\right\}\left(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\delta G_{xx}(t)(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \xi^{\varepsilon}(t))\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \frac{1}{2}G_{xx}(t)\left\{\left(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\right) - \left(y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t)\right)\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

From (3.37), we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\zeta^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2} \leq \mathcal{C}\left(\left(\int_{0}^{T} \|\Theta_{1}(t)\|_{p} dt\right)^{2} + \int_{0}^{T} \left\{\|\Theta_{2}(t)\|_{p}^{2} + \|\Theta_{3}(t)\|_{p}^{2}\right\} dt\right).$$
(3.42)

Next, we consider  $\Theta_1(t), \Theta_2(t)$  and  $\Theta_3(t)$ , respectively. From (3.11)-(3.14), we first estimate  $\Theta_1(\cdot)$ . By the Minkowski inequality and the Hölder inequality again,

$$\int_{0}^{T} \|\Theta_{1}(t)\|_{p} dt \leq \mathcal{C} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|D_{xx}(t)\{(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) - (y^{\varepsilon}(t),y^{\varepsilon}(t))\}\|_{p} + \frac{1}{2} \|\{\widetilde{D}_{xx}(t) - D_{xx}(t,\bar{x}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t))\}\{(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t))\|_{p} + \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) \{\|\delta D_{x}(t)\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p} + \frac{1}{2}\|\delta D_{xx}(t)(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t))\|_{p}\} dt.$$
(3.43)

Now, we now estimate each term in the right of (3.43) separately. Based on (3.23), we have the following estimate:

$$\int_{0}^{T} \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) \left\{ \|\delta D_{x}(t)\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p} + \frac{1}{2} \|\delta D_{xx}(t)(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t))\|_{p} \right\} dt$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{T} \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) \left\{ \|\delta D_{x}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} \|\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p} + \|\delta D_{xx}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}),L^{p}(\mathscr{C});L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} \|\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2} \right\} dt$$

$$\leq \mathcal{C} \int_{0}^{t} \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) \left\{ \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p} + \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2} \right\} dt$$

$$\leq \mathcal{C}\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$
(3.44)

From (3.8), we can obtain that, for  $t \in [0, T]$ 

$$\begin{split} \left\| \widetilde{D}_{xx}(t) - D_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}), L^{p}(\mathscr{C}); L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} \\ &= \left\| 2 \int_{0}^{1} (1 - \theta) D_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t) + \theta \xi^{\varepsilon}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) d\theta - D_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}), L^{p}(\mathscr{C}); L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} \\ &= \left\| 2 \int_{0}^{1} (1 - \theta) \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) \{ D_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t) + \theta \xi^{\varepsilon}(t), u(t)) - D_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t), u(t)) \} d\theta \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}), L^{p}(\mathscr{C}); L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} \\ &+ 2 \int_{0}^{1} (1 - \theta) \{ D_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t) + \theta \xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \bar{u}(t)) - D_{xx}(t) \} d\theta \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}), L^{p}(\mathscr{C}); L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \left( \int_{0}^{1} \| D_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t) + \theta \xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \bar{u}(t)) - D_{xx}(t) \|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}), L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))} d\theta + \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) \right). \end{split}$$

Hence, by (3.11) and the continuity of  $D_{xx}(t, x, u)$  with respect to x, we have

$$\left(\int_{0}^{T} \left\| \left\{ \widetilde{D}_{xx}(t) - D_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) \right\} (\xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) \right\|_{p} dt \right)^{2} \\
\leq \mathcal{C} \int_{0}^{T} \left\| \widetilde{D}_{xx}(t) - D_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}), L^{p}(\mathscr{C}); L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))}^{2} \left\| \xi^{\varepsilon}(t) \right\|_{p}^{4} dt \\
\leq \mathcal{C} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \xi^{\varepsilon}(t) \right\|_{p}^{4} \int_{0}^{T} \left\{ \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) \\
+ \int_{0}^{1} \left\| D_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t) + \theta\xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \bar{u}(t)) - D_{xx}(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}), L^{p}(\mathscr{C}); L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))}^{2} d\theta \right\} dt \\
\leq \mathcal{C} \varepsilon^{2}.$$
(3.46)

By means of (3.23),(3.24) and (3.36), and using the Hölder inequality again, we obtain

$$\left(\int_{0}^{T} \|D_{xx}(t)\{(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) - (y^{\varepsilon}(t),y^{\varepsilon}(t))\}\|_{p}dt\right)^{2} \\
= \left(\int_{0}^{T} \|D_{xx}(t)(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\eta^{\varepsilon}(t)) + D_{xx}(t)(\eta^{\varepsilon}(t),y^{\varepsilon}(t))\|_{p}dt\right)^{2} \\
\leq \mathcal{C}\int_{0}^{T} \|D_{xx}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}),L^{p}(\mathscr{C});L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))}^{2}\{\|\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2} + \|y^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2}\}\|\eta^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2}dt \qquad (3.47) \\
\leq \mathcal{C}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2} + \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|y^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2}\right)\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\eta^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2}$$

From (3.43)-(3.47), we infer that

$$\left(\int_0^T \|\Theta_1(t)\|_p dt\right)^2 \le \mathcal{C}\varepsilon^2. \tag{3.48}$$

By virtue of (3.23) and (3.36) again, similar to (3.44), we obtain that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) \left\{ \|\delta F_{x}(t)\eta^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2} + \|\delta F_{xx}(t)(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t))\|_{p}^{2} \right\} dt$$

$$\leq \mathcal{C} \int_{0}^{T} \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\eta^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2} + \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{4} \right\} dt$$

$$\leq \mathcal{C}\varepsilon^{3}.$$
(3.49)

Similar to (3.46), we find that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left\| \left( \widetilde{F}_{xx}(t) - F_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) \right) \left( \xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \xi^{\varepsilon}(t) \right) \right\|_{p}^{2} dt \\
\leq \int_{0}^{T} \left\| \widetilde{F}_{xx}(t) - F_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}), L^{p}(\mathscr{C}); L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))}^{2} \left\| \xi^{\varepsilon}(t) \right\|_{p}^{4} dt \qquad (3.50)$$

$$\leq \mathcal{C}\varepsilon^{2}.$$

Similar to (3.47), it holds that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \|F_{xx}(t)\{(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) - (y^{\varepsilon}(t),y^{\varepsilon}(t))\}\|_{p}^{2} dt$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{T} \|F_{xx}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C}),L^{p}(\mathscr{C});L^{p}(\mathscr{C}))}^{2} \left\{\|\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2} + \|y^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2}\right\} \|\eta^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2} dt$$

$$\leq \mathcal{C}\varepsilon^{3}.$$
(3.51)

Thus, from (3.49)-(3.51), we conclude that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \|\Theta_{2}(t)\|_{p}^{2} dt \leq \mathcal{C} \int_{0}^{T} \left( \|F_{xx}(t)\{(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t)) - (y^{\varepsilon}(t),y^{\varepsilon}(t))\}\|_{p}^{2} + \left\|\left\{\widetilde{F}_{xx}(t) - F_{xx}(t,\bar{x}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t))\right\}(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t))\right\|_{p}^{2} + \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t)\left\{\|\delta F_{x}(t)\eta^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{p}^{2} + \|\delta F_{xx}(t)(\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),\xi^{\varepsilon}(t))\|_{p}^{2}\right\} dt \leq \mathcal{C}\varepsilon^{2}.$$
(3.52)

By a similar arguments, we have

$$\int_0^T \|\Theta_3(t)\|_p^2 dt \le \mathcal{C}\varepsilon^2.$$
(3.53)

Substituting (3.48), (3.52), (3.53) into (3.42), we obtain that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\zeta^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_p^2 \le C\varepsilon^2.$$

Then (3.15) holds.

Finally, we prove (3.16). By Taylor expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(u^{\varepsilon}(\cdot)) &- \mathcal{J}(\bar{u}(\cdot)) \\ &= h(x^{\varepsilon}(T)) - h(\bar{x}(T)) + \int_{0}^{T} \left\{ L(t, x^{\varepsilon}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) - L(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t)) \right\} dt \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{T} \left\{ \delta L(t) \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \left\langle L_{x}(t, \bar{x}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)), \xi^{\varepsilon}(t) \right\rangle \right\} dt \\ &+ \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{T} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} \left\langle (1 - \theta) L_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t) + \theta \xi^{\varepsilon}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) \xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \xi^{\varepsilon}(t) \right\rangle d\theta \right\} dt \\ &+ \operatorname{Re} \left\langle h_{x}(\bar{x}(T)), \xi^{\varepsilon}(T) \right\rangle + \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \left\langle (1 - \theta) h_{xx}(\bar{x}(T) + \theta \xi^{\varepsilon}(T)) \xi^{\varepsilon}(T), \xi^{\varepsilon}(T) \right\rangle d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with the definitions of  $\xi^{\varepsilon}, y^{\varepsilon}, z^{\varepsilon}, \eta^{\varepsilon}$  and  $\zeta^{\varepsilon}$ , yields that

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}(u^{\varepsilon}(\cdot)) &- \mathcal{J}(\bar{u}(\cdot)) \\ = &\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{T} \left\{ \delta L(t) \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \langle \delta L_{x}(t), \xi^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \langle L_{x}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t) + z^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle + \langle L_{x}(t), \zeta^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle \right. \\ &+ \int_{0}^{1} \left\langle (1 - \theta) \left\{ L_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t) + \theta\xi^{\varepsilon}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) - L_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t), u^{\varepsilon}(t)) \right\} \xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \xi^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle \, d\theta \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \delta L_{xx}(t)\xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \xi^{\varepsilon}(t) \right\rangle \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle L_{xx}(t)\eta^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle L_{xx}(t)y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t) \right\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\langle L_{xx}(t)\xi^{\varepsilon}(t), \eta^{\varepsilon}(t) \right\rangle \right\} dt + \operatorname{Re} \left\langle h_{x}(\bar{x}(T)), y^{\varepsilon}(T) + z^{\varepsilon}(T) \right\rangle \\ &+ \operatorname{Re} \left\langle h_{xx}(\bar{x}(T)), \zeta^{\varepsilon}(T) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left\langle h_{xx}(\bar{x}(T))\xi^{\varepsilon}(T), \eta^{\varepsilon}(T) \right\rangle \\ &+ \operatorname{Re} \left\langle h_{xx}(\bar{x}(T))\eta^{\varepsilon}(T), y^{\varepsilon}(T) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left\langle h_{xx}(\bar{x}(T))y^{\varepsilon}(T), y^{\varepsilon}(T) \right\rangle \\ &+ \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \left\langle (1 - \theta) \left\{ h_{xx}(\bar{x}(T) + \theta\xi^{\varepsilon}(T)) - h_{xx}(\bar{x}(T)) \right\} \xi^{\varepsilon}(T), \xi^{\varepsilon}(T) \right\rangle \, d\theta. \end{split}$$

Similar to (3.45), for  $t \in [0, T]$ , we find that

$$\left\| \int_{0}^{1} (1-\theta) \left\{ L_{xx}(t,\bar{x}(t)+\theta\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t)) - L_{xx}(t,\bar{x}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t)) \right\} d\theta \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p}(\mathscr{C});L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))}$$

$$\leq \mathcal{C} \int_{0}^{1} \left\| L_{xx}(t,\bar{x}(t)+\theta\xi^{\varepsilon}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t)) - L_{xx}(t) \right\|_{L(L^{p}(\mathscr{C});L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))} d\theta + \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t).$$

$$(3.54)$$

From (3.11)-(3.15) and (3.54), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(u^{\varepsilon}(\cdot)) &- \mathcal{J}(\bar{u}(\cdot)) \\ = &\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{T} \left\{ \delta L(t) \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \langle L_{x}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t) + z^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle L_{xx}(t) y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle \right\} dt \\ &+ \operatorname{Re} \left\langle h_{x}(\bar{x}(T)), y^{\varepsilon}(T) + z^{\varepsilon}(T) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left\langle h_{xx}(\bar{x}(T)) y^{\varepsilon}(T), y^{\varepsilon}(T) \right\rangle + o(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

In order to establish the necessary conditions for an optimal pair  $(\bar{x}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$  of **Problem (QOC)**, we need to introduce the following BQSDEs:

$$\begin{cases} d\phi(t) = -\{D_x(t)^*\phi(t) + (F_x^e(t) - F_x^o(t) + G_x(t))^* (\Phi(t)_e - \Phi(t)_o) - L_x(t)\} dt \\ + \Phi(t)dW(t), \text{ in } [0, T), \\ \phi(T) = -h_x(\bar{x}(T)), \end{cases}$$
(3.55)

and

$$\begin{cases} dP(t) = -\{D_x(t)^*P(t) + P(t)D_x(t) + (F_x^e(t) - F_x^o(t) + G_x(t))^*Q(t) \\ + (F_x^e(t) - F_x^o(t) + G_x(t))^*P(t)(F_x(t) + G_x^e(t) - G_x^o(t)) \\ + Q(t)(F_x^e(t) - F_x^o(t) + G_x(t)) + \mathbb{H}_{xx}(t,\bar{x}(t),\bar{u}(t),\phi(t),\Phi(t))\} dt \\ + Q(t)dW(t), \text{ in } [0, T), \end{cases}$$
(3.56)

where the map  $\Phi(\cdot): [0,T] \to \mathcal{H}^{p'}(0,T)$  is adapted, and  $F_x^e(\cdot) - F_x^o(\cdot) := \Upsilon \circ F_x(\cdot), G_x^e(\cdot) - G_x^o(\cdot) := \Upsilon \circ G_x(\cdot)$ , the map  $Q(\cdot): [0,T] \to \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathscr{C}); L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))$ . And the Hamiltonian function  $\mathbb{H}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is defined by

$$\mathbb{H}(t, x, u, \phi, \Phi)$$
  

$$:= \langle \phi, D(t, x, u) \rangle + \langle \Phi_e - \Phi_o, F(t, x, u)_e - F(t, x, u)_o + G(t, x, u) \rangle - L(t, x, u), \qquad (3.57)$$
  

$$(t, x, u, \phi, \Phi) \in [0, T] \times L^p(\mathscr{C}) \times U \times L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}) \times L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}).$$

Hereafter we use  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  to represent the dual product of  $L^{p'}(\mathscr{C})$  and  $L^{p}(\mathscr{C})$ , and  $\langle x, y \rangle = m(x^*y)$  for  $x \in L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}), y \in L^{p}(\mathscr{C})$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose that Assumption 3.1 holds. Let  $(\bar{x}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$  be an optimal pair of **Problem (QOC)**. Let  $(\phi(\cdot), \Phi(\cdot))$  be the solution to (3.55), and  $(P(\cdot), Q(\cdot))$  be the solution to (3.56). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\mathbb{H}(t,\bar{x}(t),\bar{u}(t),\phi(t),\Phi(t)) &- \operatorname{Re}\mathbb{H}(t,\bar{x}(t),u,\phi(t),\Phi(t)) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Re}\left\langle (P^{e}(t) - P^{o}(t))\{(F(t,\bar{x}(t),\bar{u}(t)) + G(t,\bar{x}(t),\bar{u}(t))_{e} - G(t,\bar{x}(t),\bar{u}(t)))_{o} \\ &- (F(t,\bar{x}(t),u) + G(t,\bar{x}(t),u)_{e} - G(t,\bar{x}(t),u)_{o})\}, \\ &\{(F(t,\bar{x}(t),\bar{u}(t))_{e} - F(t,\bar{x}(t),\bar{u}(t))_{o} + G(t,\bar{x}(t),\bar{u}(t))) \\ &- (F(t,\bar{x}(t),u)_{e} - F(t,\bar{x}(t),u)_{o} + G(t,\bar{x}(t),u))\} \geq 0, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0,T], u \in U. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* In view of the dual relation between (3.9) and (3.55), we have

$$\langle \phi(T), y^{\varepsilon}(T) \rangle = - \langle h_x(\bar{x}(T)), y^{\varepsilon}(T) \rangle$$

$$= -\int_0^T \left\{ \langle D_x(t)^* \phi(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle + \langle \Phi(t)_e - \Phi(t)_o, (F_x^e(t) - F_x^o(t) + G_x(t)) y^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle \right\} dt$$

$$+ \int_0^T \left\{ \langle \Phi(t)_e - \Phi(t)_o, \delta(F(t)_e - F(t)_o + G(t)) \rangle \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) + \langle \phi(t), D_x(t) y^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle \right\} dt$$

$$+ \int_0^T \left\{ \langle \Phi(t)_e - \Phi(t)_o, (F_x^e(t) - F_x^o(t) + G_x(t)) y^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle + \langle L_x(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle \right\} dt$$

$$= \int_0^T \left\{ \langle L_x(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle + \langle \Phi(t)_e - \Phi(t)_o, \delta(F(t)_e - F(t)_o + G(t)) \rangle \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) \right\} dt,$$

$$(3.59)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(T), z^{\varepsilon}(T) \rangle &= - \langle h_x(\bar{x}(T)), z^{\varepsilon}(T) \rangle \\ &= \int_0^T \left\{ \langle \phi(t), \delta D(t) \rangle + \langle \Phi(t)_e - \Phi(t)_o, \delta(F_x^e(t) - F_x^o(t) + G_x(t)) y^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle \right\} \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) dt \\ &+ \int_0^T \langle L_x(t), z^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \langle \phi(t), D_{xx}(t) (y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t)) \rangle \\ &+ \langle \Phi(t)_e - \Phi(t)_o, (F_{xx}^e(t) - F_{xx}^o(t) + G_{xx}(t)) (y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t)) \rangle \right\} dt, \end{aligned}$$
(3.60)

where  $F_{xx}^{e}(t) - F_{xx}^{o}(t) = \Upsilon \circ F_{xx}(t)$ . From (3.12), (3.59) and (3.60), we obtain that

$$\langle \phi(T), y^{\varepsilon}(T) + z^{\varepsilon}(T) \rangle = - \langle h_{x}(\bar{x}(T)), y^{\varepsilon}(T) + z^{\varepsilon}(T) \rangle$$

$$= \int_{0}^{T} \left\{ \langle \phi(t), \delta D(t) \rangle + \langle \Phi(t)_{e} - \Phi(t)_{o}, \delta(F(t)_{e} - F(t)_{o} + G(t)) \rangle \right\} \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) dt$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \langle \Phi(t)_{e} - \Phi(t)_{o}, (F_{xx}^{e}(t) - F_{xx}^{o}(t) + G_{xx}(t))(y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t)) \rangle$$

$$+ \langle \phi(t), D_{xx}(t)(y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t)) \rangle \right\} + \langle L_{x}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t) + z^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle dt + \mathbf{o}(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}).$$

$$(3.61)$$

By [32, Chapter 12.4], from (3.9) and (3.56), we have the following dual product

$$\langle P(T)y^{\varepsilon}(T), y^{\varepsilon}(T) \rangle = - \langle h_{xx}(\bar{x}(T))y^{\varepsilon}(T), y^{\varepsilon}(T) \rangle$$

$$= \int_{0}^{T} \langle (P^{e}(t) - P^{o}(t))\delta(F(t) + G(t)_{e} - G(t)_{o}), \delta(F(t)_{e} - F(t)_{o} + G_{x}(t)) \rangle \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t)dt$$

$$- 2\int_{0}^{T} \langle Q^{e}(t)y^{\varepsilon}(t)_{o} + Q^{o}(t)y^{\varepsilon}(t)_{e}, (F_{x}^{e}(t) - F_{x}^{o}(t) + G_{x}(t))y^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle dt$$

$$- 2\int_{0}^{T} \langle P^{o}(t)(F_{x}(t) + G_{x}^{e}(t) - G_{x}^{o}(t))y^{\varepsilon}(t), (F_{x}^{e}(t) - F_{x}^{o}(t) + G_{x}(t))y^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle dt$$

$$- \int_{0}^{T} \langle \mathbb{H}_{xx}(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t), \phi(t), \Phi(t))y^{\varepsilon}(t), y^{\varepsilon}(t) \rangle dt + \mathbf{o}(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}).$$

$$(3.62)$$

Substituting (3.62) into (3.16), and combining with (3.12) and (3.13), we have

$$0 \leq \mathcal{J}(u^{\varepsilon}(\cdot)) - \mathcal{J}(\bar{u}(\cdot))$$

$$= -\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{T} \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) \Big\{ -\delta L(t) \langle \phi(t), \delta D(t) \rangle + \langle \Phi(t)_{e} - \Phi(t)_{o}, \delta(F(t)_{e} - F(t)_{o} + G(t)) \rangle \quad (3.63)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \langle (P^{e}(t) - P^{o}(t)) \delta(F(t) + G(t)_{e} - G(t)_{o}), \delta(F(t)_{e} - F(t)_{o} + G(t)) \rangle \Big\} dt + o(\varepsilon).$$

Therefore, we easily obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{T} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left\langle (P^{e}(t) - P^{o}(t)) \delta(F(t) + G(t)_{e} - G(t)_{o}), \delta(F(t)_{e} - F(t)_{o} + G(t)) \right\rangle + \delta \mathbb{H}(t) \right\} \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}(t) dt$$
  
$$\leq \boldsymbol{o}(\varepsilon),$$

where

$$\delta \mathbb{H}(t) := \mathbb{H}(t, \bar{x}(t), u(t), \phi(t), \Phi(t)) - \mathbb{H}(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t), \phi(t), \Phi(t)).$$

Let  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , for a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\mathbb{H}(t,\bar{x}(t),u(t),\phi(t),\Phi(t)) - \operatorname{Re}\mathbb{H}(t,\bar{x}(t),\bar{u}(t),\phi(t),\Phi(t)) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Re}\langle (P^{e}(t) - P^{o}(t))\delta(F(t) + G(t)_{e} - G(t)_{o}),\delta(F(t)_{e} - F(t)_{o} + G(t))\rangle \leq 0.$$

Thus (3.58) is proved.

*Remark* 3.1. In (3.58),

$$\langle (P^{e}(t) - P^{o}(t))\delta(F(t) + G(t)_{e} - G(t)_{o}), \delta(F(t)_{e} - F(t)_{o} + G(t)) \rangle$$
(3.64)

indicates that the operators do not commute with each other. If the maps  $F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $G(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ :  $[0,T] \times L^p(\mathscr{C}) \times U \to L^p(\mathscr{C}_e)$  are adapted, then  $F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot), G(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  can commute with dW(t), and (3.64) can be transformed into

$$\langle (P^e(t) - P^o(t))\delta(F(t) + G(t)), \delta(F(t) + G(t)) \rangle$$

Then, Theorem 3.3 is similar to the classical results [13, Theorem 2.6] and [32, Theorem 12.17].

## 4 The Solutions to Backward Quantum Stochastic Differential Equations

In section 3, we present a necessary condition for quantum optimal control of (1.3). That is, the solutions to BQSDEs is necessary for the Pontryagin-type Maximum Principle. In this section, we investigate the solution to (3.55) in  $L^{p'}(\mathscr{C})$  for  $p' \in (1, 2]$ . Let us introduce the following semilinear BQSDE

$$\begin{cases} dy(t) = f(t, y(t), Y(t))dt + Y(t)dW(t), \text{ in } [0, T), \\ y(T) = y_T, \end{cases}$$
(4.1)

where  $y_T \in L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}_T)$  is given, the map  $f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : [0, T] \times L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}) \times L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}) \to L^{p'}(\mathscr{C})$  is adapted,  $Y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{H}^{p'}(0, T)$ . To obtain the solution to (4.1), we assume the following condition:

Assumption 4.1. The map  $f(\cdot, y(\cdot), Y(\cdot))$  is adapted for each  $y(t) \in L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}_t)$  and  $Y(t) \in L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}_t)$ ,  $f(\cdot, 0, 0) \in L^{p'}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathscr{C}; L^1(0, T))$ , and there exist nonnegative functions  $g_1(\cdot) \in L^1(0, T)$  and  $g_2(\cdot) \in L^2(0, T)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(t, y_1, Y_1) - f(t, y_2, Y_2)\|_{p'} &\leq g_1(t) \|y_1 - y_2\|_{p'} + g_2(t) \|Y_1 - Y_2\|_{p'}, \\ \forall y_1, \ y_2 \in L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}_t), \ Y_1, \ Y_2 \in L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}_t). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 4.1.** A pair  $(y(\cdot), Y(\cdot)) \in C_{\mathbb{A}}(0, T; L^{p'}(\mathscr{C})) \times \mathcal{H}^{p'}(0, T)$  is called a solution to (4.1) if  $y(\cdot)$  is an  $L^{p'}(\mathscr{C})$ -valued adapted, continuous process,  $Y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{H}^{p'}(0, T), f(\cdot, y(\cdot), Y(\cdot)) \in L^{p'}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathscr{C}; L^1(0, T))$  a.s., and for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$y(t) = y_T - \int_t^T f(\tau, y(\tau), Y(\tau)) d\tau - \int_t^T Y(\tau) dW(\tau).$$
 (4.2)

**Theorem 4.1.** Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds. Then, there exists a unique pair  $(y(\cdot), Y(\cdot)) \in C_{\mathbb{A}}(0,T; L^{p'}(\mathscr{C})) \times \mathcal{H}^{p'}(0,T)$  which satisfies (4.2). Furthermore,

$$\|(y(\cdot), Y(\cdot))\|_{C_{\mathbb{A}}(0,T;L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))\times\mathcal{H}^{p'}(0,T)} \le \mathcal{C}\left(\|y_T\|_{p'} + \int_0^T \|f(\tau,0,0)\|_{p'}d\tau\right).$$
(4.3)

*Proof.* We divide the proof into two steps.

**Step 1.** First, we claim that for any  $f(\cdot) \in L^{p'}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathscr{C}; L^1(0, T))$  and  $y_T \in L^{p'}(\mathscr{C})$ , there is a pair  $(y(\cdot), Y(\cdot)) \in C_{\mathbb{A}}(0, T; L^{p'}(\mathscr{C})) \times \mathcal{H}^{p'}(0, T)$  such that

$$y(t) = y_T - \int_t^T f(\tau) d\tau - \int_t^T Y(\tau) dW(\tau), \quad t \in [0, T).$$

Let

$$M(t) := \mathbb{E}\left(y_T - \int_0^T f(\tau)d\tau \middle| \mathscr{C}_t\right), \quad y(t) := \mathbb{E}\left(y_T - \int_t^T f(\tau)d\tau \middle| \mathscr{C}_t\right).$$
(4.4)

Thus,  $M(0) = y(0) = \mathbb{E}(M(T))$ . By the noncommutative martingales representation theorem [35, Theorem 4.6], there exists  $Y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{H}^{p'}(0,T)$  such that

$$M(t) = M(0) + \int_0^t Y(\tau) dW(\tau), \quad t \in (0, T].$$
(4.5)

Hence,

$$\left\|\int_{0}^{T} Y(\tau) dW(\tau)\right\|_{p'}^{2} \le \|M(T)\|_{p'}^{2} \le 2\left(\|y_{T}\|_{p'}^{2} + \left\|\int_{0}^{T} f(\tau) d\tau\right\|_{p'}^{2}\right).$$
(4.6)

From (4.5), we have

$$y_T - \int_0^T f(\tau) d\tau = M(0) + \int_0^T Y(\tau) dW(\tau)$$
  
=  $y(0) + \int_0^T Y(\tau) dW(\tau)$ ,

and

$$y(t) = M(t) + \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau$$
  
=  $y(0) + \int_0^t Y(\tau) dW(\tau) + \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau$   
=  $y_T - \int_t^T f(\tau) d\tau - \int_t^T Y(\tau) dW(\tau).$ 

Then,  $y(\cdot) = y(0) + \int_0^{\cdot} f(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^{\cdot} Y(\tau) dW(\tau)$ . It is clear that  $y(\cdot) \in C_{\mathbb{A}}(0,T;L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))$ . From (4.4), we obtain that

$$\|y(\cdot)\|_{C_{\mathbb{A}}(0,T;L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))}^{2} \leq \mathcal{C}\left(\|y_{T}\|_{p'}^{2} + \left\|\int_{0}^{T} f(t)dt\right\|_{p'}^{2}\right).$$
(4.7)

On the other hand, from (4.6) and (4.7), we have

$$\|(y(\cdot), Y(\cdot)\|_{C_{\mathbb{A}}(0,T;L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))\times\mathcal{H}^{p'}(0,T)}^{2} \leq \mathcal{C}\left(\|y_{T}\|_{p'}^{2} + \left\|\int_{0}^{T} f(t)dt\right\|_{p'}^{2}\right).$$
(4.8)

**Step 2.** We prove that the pair  $(y(\cdot), Y(\cdot)) \in C_{\mathbb{A}}(0, T; L^{p'}(\mathscr{C})) \times \mathcal{H}^{p'}(0, T)$  is the unique solution to (4.1). For fixed  $T_1 \in [0, T)$  and any  $(z(\cdot), Z(\cdot)) \in C_{\mathbb{A}}(T_1, T; L^{p'}(\mathscr{C})) \times \mathcal{H}^{p'}(T_1, T)$ . We consider the following BQSDE

$$\begin{cases} dy(t) = f(t, z(t), Z(t))dt + Y(t)dW(t), \text{ in } [T_1, T), \\ y(T) = y_T. \end{cases}$$
(4.9)

By the result in **Step 1**, the pair  $(y(\cdot), Y(\cdot)) \in C_{\mathbb{A}}(T_1, T; L^{p'}(\mathscr{C})) \times \mathcal{H}^{p'}(T_1, T)$  is the unique solution to (4.9). Then, we define a map

$$\Gamma: C_{\mathbb{A}}(T_1, T; L^{p'}(\mathscr{C})) \times \mathcal{H}^{p'}(T_1, T) \to C_{\mathbb{A}}(T_1, T; L^{p'}(\mathscr{C})) \times \mathcal{H}^{p'}(T_1, T)$$

by

$$\Gamma(z(\cdot), Z(\cdot)) = (y(\cdot), Y(\cdot)).$$

Next, we claim that, for  $T_1$  being sufficiently close to T,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma(z,Z) - \Gamma(\bar{z},\bar{Z})\|_{C_{\mathbb{A}}(T_{1},T;L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))\times\mathcal{H}^{p'}(T_{1},T)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|(z(\cdot) - \bar{z}(\cdot), Z(\cdot) - \bar{Z}(\cdot))\|_{C_{\mathbb{A}}(T_{1},T;L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))\times\mathcal{H}^{p'}(T_{1},T)}, \qquad (4.10) \\ &\qquad \forall (z,Z), (\bar{z},\bar{Z}) \in C_{\mathbb{A}}(T_{1},T;L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))\times\mathcal{H}^{p'}(T_{1},T). \end{aligned}$$

To show (4.10), let

$$(\hat{y}(\cdot),\hat{Y}(\cdot)):=\Gamma(z,Z)-\Gamma(\bar{z},\bar{Z}),\quad \hat{f}(\cdot):=f(\cdot,z(\cdot),Z(\cdot))-f(\cdot,\bar{z}(\cdot),\bar{Z}(\cdot)).$$

Then,  $(\hat{y}(\cdot), \hat{Y}(\cdot))$  is solution to

$$\begin{cases} d\hat{y}(t) = \hat{f}(t)dt + \hat{Y}(t)dW(t), \text{ in } [T_1, T), \\ \hat{y}(T) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(4.11)

By Assumption 4.1, the Hölder inequality and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\hat{y}(\cdot), \hat{Y}(\cdot))\|_{C_{\mathbb{A}}(T_{1}, T; L^{p'}(\mathscr{C})) \times \mathcal{H}^{p'}(T_{1}, T)}^{2} &\leq \mathcal{C} \left\| \int_{T_{1}}^{T} \hat{f}(t) dt \right\|_{p'}^{2} \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \left( \int_{T_{1}}^{T} \left\| f(t, z(t), Z(t)) - f(t, \bar{z}(t), \bar{Z}(t)) \right\|_{p'} dt \right)^{2} \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \|z(\cdot) - \bar{z}(\cdot)\|_{C_{\mathbb{A}}(T_{1}, T; L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))}^{2} \left( \int_{T_{1}}^{T} |g_{1}(t)| dt \right)^{2} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{C} \|Z(\cdot) - \bar{Z}(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p'}(T_{1}, T)}^{2} \int_{T_{1}}^{T} |g_{2}(t)|^{2} dt \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \left\{ \left( \int_{T_{1}}^{T} |g_{1}(t)| dt \right)^{2} + \int_{T_{1}}^{T} |g_{2}(t)|^{2} dt \right\} \\ &\quad \cdot \| (z(\cdot) - \bar{z}(\cdot), Z(\cdot) - \bar{Z}(\cdot)) \|_{C_{\mathbb{A}}(T_{1}, T; L^{p'}(\mathscr{C})) \times \mathcal{H}^{p'}(T_{1}, T)}^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(y(\cdot),Y(\cdot))\|_{C_{\mathbb{A}}(T_{1},T;L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))\times\mathcal{H}^{p'}(T_{1},T)}^{2} &\leq \mathcal{C}\left\{\|y_{T}\|_{p'}^{2} + \left(\int_{T_{1}}^{T}\|f(t,z(t),Z(t))\|_{p'}dt\right)^{2}\right\} \\ &\leq \mathcal{C}\left\{\|y_{T}\|_{p'}^{2} + \left(\int_{T_{1}}^{T}\|f(t,0,0)\|_{p'} + g_{1}(t)\|z(t)\|_{p'} + g_{2}(t)\|Z(t)\|_{p'}dt\right)^{2}\right\} \\ &+ \mathcal{C}\left\{\left(\int_{T_{1}}^{T}|g_{1}(t)|dt\right)^{2} + \int_{T_{1}}^{T}|g_{2}(t)|^{2}dt\right\}\|(z(\cdot),Z(\cdot))\|_{C_{\mathbb{A}}(T_{1},T;L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}))\times\mathcal{H}^{p'}(T_{1},T)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.13)$$

Let us choose  $T_1 \in [0,T)$  such that

$$\mathcal{C}\left\{\left(\int_{T_1}^T |g_1(t)|dt\right)^2 + \int_{T_1}^T |g_2(t)|^2 dt\right\} \le \frac{1}{4}.$$
(4.14)

Then, by (4.12), we obtain (4.10). This shows that the map  $\Gamma$  is contractive. Hence, there exists a unique fixed point, which is a solution to (4.1) on  $[T_1, T]$ . Moreover, from (4.13) and (4.14), we obtain that

$$\|(y(\cdot), Y(\cdot))\|_{C_{\mathbb{A}}(T_1, T; L^{p'}(\mathscr{C})) \times \mathcal{H}^{p'}(T_1, T)} \le \mathcal{C}\left(\|y_T\|_{p'} + \int_{T_1}^T \|f(t, 0, 0)\|_{p'} dt\right).$$
(4.15)

Repeating the above argument, we obtain the existence of solution to (4.1). Finally, the uniqueness and the estimate (4.3) follow from (4.15).

By Assumption 3.1 and [35, Proposition 3.3], there exists constant C such that

$$\begin{split} \left\| \left\{ D_x(t)^* \phi + (F_x^e(t) - F_x^o(t) + G_x(t))^* \left( \Phi_e - \Phi_o \right) - L_x(t) \right\} \\ &- \left\{ D_x(t)^* \widetilde{\phi} + (F_x^e(t) - F_x^o(t) + G_x(t))^* \left( \widetilde{\Phi}_e - \widetilde{\Phi}_o \right) - L_x(t) \right\} \right\|_{p'} \\ &= \left\| D_x(t)^* (\phi - \widetilde{\phi}) + (F_x^e(t) - F_x^o(t) + G_x(t))^* \left( \Phi_e - \Phi_o - \widetilde{\Phi}_e + \widetilde{\Phi}_o \right) \right\|_{p} \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \left\| \phi - \widetilde{\phi} \right\|_{p'} + \mathcal{C} \left\| \Phi_e - \widetilde{\Phi}_e \right\|_{p'} + \mathcal{C} \left\| \widetilde{\Phi}_o - \Phi_o \right\|_{p'} \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \left\| \phi - \widetilde{\phi} \right\|_{p'} + 2\mathcal{C} \left\| \Phi - \widetilde{\Phi} \right\|_{p'}, \end{split}$$

for any  $\phi, \widetilde{\phi} \in L^{p'}(\mathscr{C}), \Phi, \widetilde{\Phi} \in \mathcal{H}^{p'}(0, T)$ . Hence, by Theorem 4.1, (3.55) has a unique solution in  $C_{\mathbb{A}}(0,T; L^{p'}(\mathscr{C})) \times \mathcal{H}^{p'}(0,T)$ .

*Remark* 4.1. In the forthcoming paper [45], we will study the solution to (3.56) by the relaxed transposition method [30, Chapter 6] and [32, Chapter 12.4].

#### References

- D.B. Applebaum, The strong Markov property for Fermion Brownian motion, J. Funct. Anal. 65, (1986) 273-291.
- [2] D.B. Applebaum, R.L. Hudson, Fermion diffusions, J. Math. Phys. 25(4),(1984) 858-861.
- [3] D.B. Applebaum, R.L. Hudson, Fermion Itô's formula and stochastic evolutions, Commun. Math. Phys. 96(4), (1984) 473-496.
- [4] V.P. Belavkin, Quantum stochastic calculus and quantum nonlinear filtering, J. Multivar. Anal. 42(2), (1992) 171-201.
- [5] V.P. Belavkin, A. Negretti, K. Mølmer, Dynamical programming of continuously observed quantum systems, Phys. Rev. A, 79, (2009) 022123.
- [6] U. Boscain, M. Sigalotti, D. Sugny, Introduction to the Pontryagin Maximum Principle for Quantum Optimal Control, PRX Quantum, 2,(2021) 030203.
- [7] L. Bouten, R. Van Handel, M.R. James, An introduction to quantum filtering, SIAM J. Control Optim. 46, no. 6, (2007) 2199-2241.
- [8] C. Barnett, R.F. Streater, I.F. Wilde, The Itô-Clifford integral, J. Funct. Anal. 48(2), (1982) 172-212.
- [9] C. Barnett, R.F. Streater, I.F. Wilde, The Itô-Clifford integral. II. Stochastic differential equations, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 27(2), (1983) 373-384.

- [10] C. Barnett, R.F. Streater, I.F. Wilde, The Itô-Clifford integral. III. The Markov property of solutions to stochastic differential equations, Commun. Math. Phys. 89(1), (1983) 13-17.
- [11] G. Ciaramella, A. Borzí, Quantum optimal control problems with a sparsity cost functional, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 37, no. 8, (2016) 938-965.
- [12] P.G. Dodds, C.B. Huijsmans, B. de Pagter, Characterizations of conditional expectationtype operators, Pacific J. Math. 141(1), (1990) 55-77.
- [13] K. Du, Q. Meng, A maximum principle for optimal control of stochastic evolution equations, SIAM J. Control Optim. 51, no. 6, (2013) 4343-4362.
- S.C. Edwards, V.P. Belavkin, Optimal quantum filtering and quantum feedback control, http://arxiv.org/pdf/quant-ph/0506018 (2005).
- [15] D.J. Egger, F. K. Wilhelm, Optimal control of a quantum measurement, Phys. Rev. A. 90, (2014).
- [16] H. Frankowska, X. Zhang, Necessary conditions for stochastic optimal control problems in infinite dimensions, Stochastic Process. Appl. 130, no. 7, (2020) 4081-4103.
- [17] M. Fuhrman, Y. Hu, G. Tessitore, Stochastic maximum principle for optimal control of SPDEs, Appl. Math. Optim. 68, no. 2, (2013) 181-217.
- [18] M. Gordina, Stochastic differential equations on noncommutative L<sup>2</sup>, Finite and infinite dimensional analysis in honor of Leonard Gross (New Orleans, LA, 2001), 87-98, Contemp. Math. 317, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2003.
- [19] J.E. Gough, V.A. Belavkin, O.G. Smolyanov, Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations for quantum optimal control, 2005.
- [20] J.E. Gough, V.P. Belavkin, O.G. Smolyanov, Quantum Bellman equations, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk, 409(1), (2006) 26-29.
- [21] J.E. Gough, V.P. Belavkin, O.G. Smolyanov, Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations for quantum optimal feedback control, J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 7, (2005) 237-244.
- [22] J.E. Gough, M.I. Guta, M.R. James, H.I. Nurdin, Quantum filtering for systems driven by fermion fields, Commun. Inf. Syst. 11, no. 3, (2011) 237-267.
- [23] R.L. Hudson, J.M. Lindsay, A noncommutative martingale representation theorem for non-Fock quantum Brownian motion, J. Funct. Anal. 61, no. 2, (1985) 202-221.
- [24] R.L. Hudson, K.R. Parthasarathy, Quantum Ito's formula and stochastic evolutions, Comm. Math. Phys. 93, no. 3, (1984) 301-323.

- [25] Y. Hu, S. Peng, Maximum principle for semilinear stochastic evolution control systems, Stochastics Stochastics Rep. 33, (1990) 159-180.
- [26] M.R. James, A quantum Langevin formulation of risk-sensitive optimal control, J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 7, (2005) 198-207.
- [27] M.R. James, Information states in control theory: from classical to quantum, Mathematical methods in systems, optimization, and control, 233-246, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 222, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2012.
- [28] G. Jing, P. Wang, S. Wang, Well-posedness of QSDEs driven by fermion Brownian motion in noncommutative L<sup>p</sup>-space, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., accepted.
- [29] Q. Lü, X. Zhang, Well-posedness of backward stochastic differential equations with general filtration, J. Differential Equations, 254, (2013) 3200-3227.
- [30] Q. Lü, X. Zhang, General Pontryagin-type stochastic maximum principle and backward stochastic evolution equations in infinite dimensions. Springer Briefs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [31] Q. Lü, X. Zhang, Transposition method for backward stochastic evolution equations revisited, and its application. Math. Control Relat. Fields 5, no. 3, (2015) 529-555.
- [32] Q. Lü, X. Zhang, Mathematical theory for stochastic distributed parameter control systems, Springer, New York, 2020.
- [33] J.I. Mulero-Martínez, J. Molina-Vilaplana, Quantum Pontryagin principle under continuous measurements, J. Math. Phys. 61, no. 10, (2020) 102203.
- [34] S. Peng, Maximum principle for stochastic optimal control with nonconvex control domain, Analysis and optimization of systems (Antibes, 1990), 724-732, Lect. Notes Control Inf. Sci. 144, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [35] G. Pisier, Q. Xu, Non-commutative martingale inequalities, Comm. Math. Phys. 189, (1997) 667-698.
- [36] K.R. Parthasarathy, An introduction to quantum stochastic calculus, Monographs in Mathematics, 85. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [37] K.R. Parthasarathy, Quantum stochastic calculus and quantum Gaussian processes, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 46, no. 6, (2015) 781-807.
- [38] K.B. Sinha, D. Goswami, Quantum stochastic processes and noncommutative geometry, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 169, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

- [39] I.E. Segal, A non-commutative extension of abstract integration, Ann. Math. 57(3), (1953) 401-457.
- [40] R.F. Streater, Classical and quantum probability, J. Math. Phys. 41, no. 6, (2000) 3556-3603.
- [41] J. Sharifi, H. Momeni, Optimal control equation for quantum stochastic differential equations, IEEE 2010 49th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)-Atlanta, GA, USA, (2010) 4839-4844.
- [42] M. Takesaki, Conditional expectations in von Neumann algebras, J. Funct. Anal. 9, (1972) 306-321.
- [43] I.F. Wilde, The free fermion field as a Markov field, J. Funct. Anal., 15, (1974) 12-21.
- [44] H. Wiseman, G. Milburn, Quantum Measurement and Control, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [45] P. Wang, S. Wang, Optimal control of quantum system driven by fermion fields: Pontryagintype maximum principle (II), in preparation.
- [46] Q. Xu, Z. Chen, T.N. Bekjan, Introduction to operator algebra and noncommutative  $L_p$ Spaces, Science Press, Beijing, 2010.
- [47] J. Yong, X. Zhou, Stochastic controls: Hamiltonian system and HJB equations, Springer, New York, 2000.