

Non-maximal Anosov Representations from Surface Groups to $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$

Junming Zhang*

Abstract

We prove the representation given by a stable α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundle through the non-Abelian Hodge correspondence is $\{\alpha_2\}$ -almost dominated. This is a generalization of Filip's result on weight 3 variation of Hodge structures and answers a question asked by Collier, Tholozan and Toullisse.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	5
2.1	Lie Theory Background	5
2.2	Anosov Representations and Almost-domination	7
2.3	Higgs Bundles and Hitchin–Kobayashi Correspondence	8
2.4	α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs Bundles	13
3	Higgs Field Estimates	15
3.1	Model Metric	15
3.2	Background Metric g_h	17
3.3	Proof of Proposition 2.16	19
4	Index Estimates	20
4.1	Auxiliary Results	20
4.2	Exponential Growth	22
5	Proof of Main Results	26
5.1	Establish (S1)-(S3) for f_v	27
5.2	Establish the Domination Property	28

*Chern Institute of Mathematics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China, junmingzhang@mail.nankai.edu.cn

1 Introduction

Higher Teichmüller theory, as a generalization of classical Teichmüller theory, is concerned with the study of representations of fundamental group $\pi_1(S)$ of oriented hyperbolic surface S into simple real Lie groups G of higher rank. The concept of Anosov representations introduced by F. Labourie in [Lab06] plays an important role in the study of higher Teichmüller theory.

On the other hand, another useful tool in higher Teichmüller theory is the Higgs bundle. For a closed oriented hyperbolic surface S equipped with a Riemann surface structure $X = (S, J)$, by the celebrated non-Abelian Hodge correspondence founded by Hitchin in [Hit87] and developed by Corlette, Simpson and many others, reductive representations $\pi_1(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ correspond to polystable $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundles, which is a holomorphic concept consisting of a holomorphic vector bundle \mathcal{E} with rank n , degree 0 and a Higgs field $\Phi \in H^0(X, \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{K}_X)$, where \mathcal{K}_X denotes the canonical line bundle of X . When G is a linear group, we can equip additional structure on the Higgs bundles and obtain the G -version non-Abelian Hodge correspondence. Moreover, there is also analogue for general real reductive Lie groups (c.f. [GPG⁺09]). One can use the non-Abelian Hodge correspondence to deduce lots of topological properties of the character varieties of the surface group representations into the Lie group G .

For instance, to get a representation from a Higgs bundle (\mathcal{E}, Φ) , we need to solve a PDE called the Hitchin's self-dual equation. It is with respect to the Hermitian metric h on \mathcal{E} :

$$F(\nabla^h) + [\Phi, \Phi^{*h}] = 0,$$

where $F(\nabla^h)$ denotes the curvature form of the Chern connection of h , and $*_h$ denotes the adjoint with respect to h . The solution gives a flat connection $\nabla^h + \Phi + \Phi^{*h}$ and the monodromy representation ρ is the desired representation. The solution metric h here is called the harmonic metric and it can be illustrated as a ρ -equivariant harmonic map h_ρ from the universal cover \tilde{S} of S to the symmetric space of G . And to (uniquely) solve the equation, we need the stability conditions on (\mathcal{E}, Φ) .

Also, the non-Abelian Hodge correspondence for some non-compact hyperbolic surfaces of finite-type, which are the compact surfaces with finitely many points removed, are developed by Simpson, Biquard, García-Prada and many others by linking the representations with parabolic Higgs bundles, c.f. [Sim90] and [BGPiR20]. Roughly speaking, a parabolic Higgs bundle means there will be some parabolic weights at the punctures and we allow the Higgs field having some poles compatible with the weights.

While, in general, it is hard to check the Anosov property of a representation corresponding to a given Higgs bundle other than the known higher Teichmüller spaces or some trivial embeddings of known Anosov representations since we must solve the Hitchin's self-dual equation to get the correspondence.

In this article we will mainly focus on the case when $G = \mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$. Its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$ has two simple restricted roots α_1, α_2 , where α_1 is longer than α_2 . In [CTT19], Collier, Tholozan and Touliisse considered the cyclic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundles over a compact surface whose genus $g \geq 2$ of the following form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{-2} \xleftarrow{\alpha^\vee} \mathcal{L}_{-1} \xleftarrow{\beta^\vee} \mathcal{L}_0 \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{L}_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_2$$

with $\mathcal{L}_i \cong \mathcal{L}_{-i}^\vee$ and \mathcal{L}_0 is the trivial line bundle, $\alpha: \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}_X$ is an isomorphism and $\beta \neq 0$. They can be called α_1 -cyclic Higgs bundles in the sense of [Lab17], [Col16, Section 6& Section 7] and [CT23, Section 6]. When β is an isomorphism instead of α , such Higgs bundles have the maximal Toledo invariants and the corresponding representations are called the maximal representations. It is well-known that maximal representations are Anosov (c.f. [BIW10]).

In [CTT19, Section 4.3], Collier, Tholozan, Touliisse showed that α_1 -cyclic Higgs bundles correspond to maximal fibered CFL (conformally flat Lorentz structure) structures on a degree $\deg(\mathcal{L}_{-1}) =: d$ circle bundle over X whose holonomy factor through representations in the connected component of the character variety whose Toledo invariant is d . But unfortunately, when $d < 2g - 2$, these representations do not form an open domain in the representation variety. Hence in [CTT19, Remark 4.22], the following question was asked:

Question 1.1. *Do α_1 -cyclic Higgs bundles give Anosov representations through the non-Abelian Hodge correspondence?*

This question is partially answered by Filip recently. In [Fil21], Filip proved it for the monodromy representations of some weight 3 variation of the Hodge structure. Actually, it corresponds to the α_1 -cyclic Higgs bundles whose $\gamma = 0$. Another markable point is that his result holds not only for compact surfaces, but also for the surfaces of finite type with a technical assumption called “Assumption A” (c.f. [Fil21, Definition 2.3.9]) with the Anosov property is changed into the relative analogue which is called “log-Anosov” (c.f. [Fil21, Definition 4.3.2] and Definition 1.3). Indeed, he proved a domination property which is equivalent to the Anosov property when the surface is compact (c.f. [KLP18] and [BPS19]). Since it is well-known that the Anosov property is an open condition, this also gives the Anosov property when γ is small in some sense. However, there is no closedness for Anosov representation. Hence the Anosov property when γ is large is still unknown.

In this article, we give a positive answer of Question 1.1:

Theorem 1.2. *Given a compact hyperbolic Riemann surface X . Any stable α_1 -cyclic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundle over X gives an $\{\alpha_2\}$ -Anosov representation $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ through the non-Abelian Hodge correspondence. Moreover, when $\gamma \neq 0$, the stability holds automatically.*

Theorem 1.2 means that we construct a non-compact closed subset of Anosov representations which is unbounded in the character variety by identifying it with a family of Higgs bundles which can go to infinity in the Dolbeault moduli space, although we still do not know whether such Higgs bundles with varying complex structure define a connected component in the character variety like Hitchin representations.

Similarly, our proof is also effective for non-compact surfaces and Theorem 1.2 is just a special case. We generalize Filip's result with mimicking his method in the language of parabolic Higgs bundles to show some domination property of its corresponding representation.

Now we fix a hyperbolic Riemann surface $X = \bar{X} \setminus D$ of finite type, where \bar{X} is a compact surface and D is a finite (maybe empty) subset of \bar{X} . The interpreted definition (c.f. [Zhu21] for relatively case) of (relatively "almost") dominated representation we will use is below:

Definition 1.3. *A representation $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G$ is θ -almost dominated for a set of simple roots θ if there exist $C, \varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\alpha(\mu(\rho(\sigma))) \geq \varepsilon \cdot d(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{x}_0 \cdot \sigma) - C, \forall \alpha \in \theta, \forall \sigma \in \pi_1(X),$$

where \tilde{x}_0 is a fixed base point on the universal cover $\tilde{X} \cong \mathbb{H}^2$, d denotes the hyperbolic distance and μ denotes the Cartan projection from G .

When X is compact, θ -almost dominated is known to be equivalent to θ -Anosov.

For parabolic case, we give the following natural generalization of α_1 -cyclic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundles and "Assumption A":

Definition 1.4. *A parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundle is called α_1 -cyclic if it is of the following form:*

$$\mathcal{L}_{-2} \xleftarrow{\alpha^\vee} \mathcal{L}_{-1} \xleftarrow{\beta^\vee} \mathcal{L}_0 \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{L}_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_2$$

$\xleftarrow{\gamma^\vee} \quad \xrightarrow{\gamma}$

with $\mathcal{L}_i \cong \mathcal{L}_{-i}^\vee$ and \mathcal{L}_0 is the trivial line bundle, $\alpha : \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\bar{X}}(D)$ (here D means the effective divisor on \bar{X} corresponding to the finite subset D above) is an isomorphism and $\beta \neq 0$. Moreover, we require that \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 shares the same weight α^j for every puncture $x_j \in D$. We say that such Higgs bundle is of non-zero weights if the parabolic weights of \mathcal{L}_1 are not 0 for every puncture $x_j \in D$.

Our main result is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.5. *Any stable α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundle of non-zero weights gives an $\{\alpha_2\}$ -almost dominated representation through the non-Abelian Hodge correspondence. Moreover, when $\gamma \neq 0$, the stability holds automatically.*

Now Theorem 1.2 directly follows from taking D as the empty set in our main result Theorem 1.5.

The key point in our proof is that: the norm of α and γ with respect to the harmonic metric has a positive gap over the surface X . Although it has been known in [CTT19] for compact surface, we need do more careful analysis on the harmonic metric and the norm of γ around the punctures by the model metric introduced in [Sim90]. Furthermore, this reduces to [Fil21, Proposition 2.2.11] proven by Schmid’s SL_2 -orbit theorem when $\gamma = 0$.

Remark 1.6. *The condition “non-zero weights” is added for this positive gap. When the parabolic weight is 0 at some punctures, we need an extra condition on γ when following our strategy. See Remark 2.18 for instance.*

Structure of the article We will give some preliminaries on Anosov representations and parabolic Higgs bundles in Section 2 and prove our Higgs field estimates in Section 3. In Section 4, we recall Filip’s estimates on a certain class of Morse functions. Finally, we give the proof of our main result in Section 5.

Acknowledgements The author is grateful to his advisor Qionglin Li for suggesting this problem and many helpful discussions. The author also thanks Brian Collier and Zachary Virgilio for comments on an earlier version of this paper. The author is partially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China No. 2022YFA1006600, the Fundamental Research and Nankai Zhide Foundation.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Lie Theory Background

Let G be a semisimple real Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$ and the exponential map $\exp: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$. We fix a maximal subgroup K of G and let its Lie algebra be $\mathfrak{k} := \text{Lie}(K)$. This gives Cartan involutions $\Theta_G: G \rightarrow G$ and $\Theta_{\mathfrak{g}}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ on both Lie group level and Lie algebra level such that K and \mathfrak{k} are the fixed points of Θ_G and $\Theta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ respectively. Now the eigenspaces decomposition of the Cartan involution $\Theta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ gives the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$, where \mathfrak{p} is the (-1) -eigenspace of $\Theta_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

We take a maximal Abelian subspace \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{p} . The adjoint action of \mathfrak{a} on \mathfrak{g} gives a weight space decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha},$$

where $\Phi \subset \mathfrak{a}^{\vee}$ is the set of **restricted roots** of \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{a} .

We fix a set of positive roots $\Phi^+ \subset \Phi$, i.e. Φ^+ is contained in a half-space of \mathfrak{a}^{\vee} and $\Phi = \Phi^+ \amalg \Phi^-$, where $\Phi^- = -\Phi^+$. Let $\Delta \subset \Phi^+$ denote the corresponding set of simple roots. The associated **closed positive Weyl chamber** $\overline{\mathfrak{a}^+} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ is defined as

$$\overline{\mathfrak{a}^+} = \{v \in \mathfrak{a} \mid \alpha(v) \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in \Delta\}.$$

There is a decomposition of G called KAK decomposition as a generalization of singular value decomposition. Explicitly, for any $g \in G$, there exists a unique $\mu(g) \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ such that there exist two elements $k_-(g), k_+(g) \in K$ satisfying

$$g = k_-(g) \exp(\mu(g)) k_+(g).$$

Moreover, if there is $k'_-(g), k'_+(g)$ such that $g = k'_-(g) \exp(\mu(g)) k'_+(g)$, then there is an $m \in K$ commutes with $\exp(\mu(g))$ such that $k'_-(g) = k_-(g)m$ and $k'_+(g) = m^{-1}k_+(g)$. The well-defined map

$$\mu: G \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$$

is called the **Cartan projection** of G .

Since the analytic Weyl group $W(G, A)$ acts freely and transitively on the Weyl chambers, there exists an element $k^{op} \in K$ such that for any $g \in G$ decomposes as $k_-(g) \exp(\mu(g)) k_+(g)$, the KAK decomposition of g^{-1} can be given by

$$g^{-1} = ((k_+(g))^{-1} (k^{op})^{-1}) \exp(\text{Ad}(k^{op})(-\mu(g))) (k^{op} (k_-(g))^{-1}),$$

where $\text{Ad}: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the adjoint action of G . In other words, we have

$$\mu(g^{-1}) = \text{Ad}(k^{op})(-\mu(g)).$$

The map $\iota^{op}: \mu \mapsto \text{Ad}(k^{op})(-\mu)$ is called the **opposition involution** on $\overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$.

Example 2.1 (Restricted Root System of $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ for $p < q$). *We use the standard non-degenerate bilinear form*

$$Q: \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \times \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{p+q} \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{p+q} \end{array} \right) \right) \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^p x_i y_i - \sum_{j=1}^q x_{p+j} y_{p+j}$$

of signature (p, q) to get the group

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SO}(p, q) &= \{A \in \text{SL}(p+q, \mathbb{R}) \mid Q(x, y) = Q(Ax, Ay), \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q}\} \\ &= \{A \in \text{SL}(p+q, \mathbb{R}) \mid A^t I_{p,q} A = I_{p,q}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_{p,q} = \begin{pmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & -I_q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\text{SO}_0(p, q)$ is defined as the identity component of $\text{SO}(p, q)$. Its Lie algebra is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{so}(p, q) &:= \text{Lie}(\text{SO}_0(p, q)) = \{A \in \mathfrak{sl}(p+q, \mathbb{R}) \mid A^t I_{p,q} + I_{p,q} A = 0\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sl}(p+q, \mathbb{R}) \mid A_{11} + A_{11}^t = 0, A_{22} + A_{22}^t = 0, A_{21} = A_{12}^t \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Below we denote that $G = \mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$. We fix $K = \mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q)$ as the maximal compact subgroup of G , and $\mathfrak{k} := \mathrm{Lie}(K) = \mathfrak{so}(p) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(q)$. Thus the Cartan decomposition of \mathfrak{g} can be expressed as

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{g} \\ \cup \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{k} \\ \cup \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{p} \\ \cup \end{array}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where A_{11} and A_{22} are skew-symmetric real matrices and $A_{12} = A_{21}^t$ is a real $(p \times q)$ -matrix. Now we take

$$\mathfrak{a} = \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{p} \mid A_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & a_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ a_p & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A_{21} = A_{12}^t \right\}.$$

Let $\theta_i \in \mathfrak{a}^\vee$ be the linear functions such that $\theta_i(A) = a_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$. The corresponding restricted roots are

$$\Phi = \{\pm\theta_i \pm \theta_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq p\} \cup \{\pm\theta_i, \pm 2\theta_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq p\}.$$

We choose $\Delta = \{\alpha_i := \theta_i - \theta_{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq p-1\} \cup \{\alpha_p := \theta_p\}$ as the simple roots. The closed positive Weyl chamber is

$$\overline{\mathfrak{a}^+} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{a} \mid A_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & a_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ a_p & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_p \geq 0 \right\}.$$

The opposition involution is trivial on $\overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$.

2.2 Anosov Representations and Almost-domination

Any Riemann surface whose universal cover is isomorphic to the upper half-plane \mathbb{H}^2 can be equipped with a unique complete hyperbolic metric g_{hyp} compatible with the complex structure, i.e. the hyperbolic metric descended from the hyperbolic metric on \mathbb{H}^2 . Now let \overline{X} be a compact Riemann surface, $D \subset \overline{X}$ be a possibly empty finite set of points. We will also denote by D the corresponding effective divisor over \overline{X} . Let $X := \overline{X} \setminus D$ be the corresponding punctured Riemann surface with its canonical line bundle \mathcal{K}_X . Assume that the Euler characteristic $\chi(X)$ of X is negative. Then its universal cover is isomorphic to \mathbb{H}^2 and it can be equipped with a unique complete hyperbolic metric g_{hyp} compatible with the complex structure. Fix a basepoint $x_0 \in X$ such that with respect to the universal cover $\pi: \mathbb{H}^2 \cong \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, x_0 can be lifted to $\sqrt{-1} = \tilde{x}_0 \in \mathbb{H}^2$.

Example 2.2 (singularity of the hyperbolic metric). *For the punctured unit disk*

$$\mathbb{D}^* := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < |z| < 1\},$$

its universal cover is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi: \mathbb{H}^2 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{D}^* \\ w &\longmapsto \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}w). \end{aligned}$$

The hyperbolic metric on \mathbb{H}^2 is $\frac{|dw|^2}{(\operatorname{Im} w)^2}$ and it descends to $\frac{|dz|^2}{(|z|\ln|z|)^2}$ on \mathbb{D}^ .*

Let $\rho_F: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ denote the Fuchsian representation coming from the hyperbolic metric g_{hyp} on X . For an element $\sigma \in \pi_1(X)$, we will use $\|\sigma\|$ to denote the matrix norm of $\rho_F(\sigma)$ (after choosing one of its matrix representation in $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$). One can easily check that if we identify (\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0) with $(\mathbb{H}^2, \sqrt{-1})$, then

$$\|\sigma\| = \sqrt{2 \cosh(d(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{x}_0 \cdot \sigma))},$$

where d denotes the distance function on $(\tilde{X}, g_{\text{hyp}})$.

Definition 2.3. *For a fixed subset of simple restricted roots $\theta \subset \Delta$, a representation ρ is called θ -almost dominated if there exist $C, \varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\alpha(\mu(\rho(\sigma))) \geq \varepsilon \cdot \ln \|\sigma\| - C, \forall \alpha \in \theta, \sigma \in \pi_1(X).$$

Or equivalently, a representation ρ is θ -almost dominated if there exist $C, \varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\alpha(\mu(\rho(\gamma))) \geq \varepsilon \cdot d(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{x}_0 \cdot \sigma) - C, \forall \alpha \in \theta, \sigma \in \pi_1(X).$$

We have the following equivalence proven in [KLP18] and [BPS19] when the surface is compact:

Fact 2.4. *When X is compact, a representation $\rho: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G$ is θ -almost dominated if and only if it is θ -Anosov.*

2.3 Higgs Bundles and Hitchin–Kobayashi Correspondence

Recall that \overline{X} is a compact Riemann surface with an effective divisor $D = \sum_{j=1}^s x_j$ on it satisfying that $X := \overline{X} \setminus D$ has negative Euler characteristic. We fix a real semisimple Lie group G with its Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$. Let $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complexification of K and $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complexified Cartan decomposition. Below we freely use the notations in Section 2.1 and the following bundles are all holomorphic.

Suppose M is a $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ -set, i.e. $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ has a left action on it, then we can define the associated bundle

$$\mathbb{E}[M] = \mathbb{E} \times_{K^{\mathbb{C}}} M := (\mathbb{E} \times M) / K^{\mathbb{C}},$$

where the $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ -action on $\mathbb{E} \times M$ is

$$\begin{aligned} K^{\mathbb{C}} \times (\mathbb{E} \times M) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{E} \times M \\ (k, (e, m)) &\longmapsto (e \cdot k^{-1}, k \cdot m). \end{aligned}$$

The concept of parabolic G -Higgs bundle over (\overline{X}, D) was introduced by O. Biquard, O. García-Prada and I. M. i Riera in [BGPiR20]. By their definition, a parabolic G -Higgs bundle over (\overline{X}, D) consists of the following data:

- (1) a parabolic principal $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ -bundle \mathbb{E} with parabolic structure (Q_j, α_j) at each $x_j \in D$;
- (2) a parabolic G -Higgs field $\Phi \in H^0(X, \mathbb{E}[\mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{C}}] \otimes \mathcal{K}_X)$ with singularities of certain type around D , where $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ acts on $\mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{C}}$ via the isotropic representation which is restricted from the adjoint action $K^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{Ad}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})$.

Note that a metric $h \in H^0(X, \mathbb{E}[K \backslash K^{\mathbb{C}}])$ gives a reduction of \mathbb{E} to a principal K -bundle \mathbb{E}_h . They also proved the following Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence in [BGPiR20, Theorem 5.1 & Section 6.1].

Fact 2.5. *For any stable parabolic G -Higgs bundle $(\mathbb{E}, Q_j, \alpha_j, \Phi)$, there exists a harmonic metric $h \in H^0(X, \mathbb{E}[K \backslash K^{\mathbb{C}}])$, i.e.*

$$R(h) - [\Phi, \tau_h(\Phi)] = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

or equivalently,

$$D = A(h) + \Phi - \tau_h(\Phi)$$

is a flat G -connection on the principal G -bundle obtained by extending the structure group of \mathbb{E}_h to G via the embedding $H \hookrightarrow G$, where $A(h)$ denotes unique connection compatible with the holomorphic structure of \mathbb{E} and the metric h , $R(h)$ denotes its curvature and τ_h is the conjugation on $\Omega^{1,0}(\mathbb{E}[\mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{C}}])$ defined by combining the metric h and the standard conjugation on X from $(1, 0)$ -forms to $(0, 1)$ -forms and h is quasi-isometric to the model metric. Moreover, such harmonic metric is unique up to an automorphism of $(\mathbb{E}, Q_j, \alpha_j, \Phi)$.

The definition of the model metric will be given in Section 3.1.

Definition 2.6. *The map NAH sending a stable parabolic G -Higgs bundle to the monodromy representation $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow G$ of the flat principal G -bundle induced by the harmonic metric (Fact 2.5) is called the **non-Abelian Hodge correspondence**.*

In this section we illustrate the above concepts: **parabolic Higgs bundle**, **stability condition**, and **harmonic metric** through the viewpoint of vector bundles for $G = \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and our case $G = \text{SO}_0(p, q)$.

We first define the following notations.

Definition 2.7. Suppose V is a \mathbb{C} -linear space. A subspace sequence of V

$$0 = F_k \subsetneq F_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset F_2 \subsetneq F_1 = V, \quad (\text{resp. } 0 = F_1 \subsetneq F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_{k-1} \subsetneq F_k = V)$$

is called a **reverse flag** (resp. **flag**). If V is equipped with a bilinear form Q , then the above reverse flag (resp. flag) is called a **reverse isotropic flag** (resp. **isotropic flag**) if every F_i is isotropic or coisotropic under Q and $F_i = (F_{k+1-i})^{\perp_Q}$.

Below if $\dim V = p$, then we only consider the reverse flag $(F_i)_{i=1}^{p+1}$ such that if $F_{i+1} \subsetneq F_i$, then

$$F_i = \cdots = F_{i+1+\dim F_{i+1}-\dim F_i} \subsetneq F_{i+\dim F_{i+1}-\dim F_i}.$$

We say a reverse flag $(F_i)_{i=1}^{p+1}$ is **equipped with decreasing real numbers** $(\alpha_k)_{k=1}^p$ if $\alpha_i \geq \alpha_{i+1}$ and the “=” holds if and only if $F_i = F_{i+1}$. For our convenience, we usually set $\alpha_{p+1} = 0$. A basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_{\dim V}\}$ of V is called **compatible with a reverse flag** $(F_i)_{i=1}^{p+1}$ if

$$e_{\dim V - \dim F_{i+1}}, \dots, e_{\dim V}$$

spans F_i for any $i = 2, \dots, p$.

Parabolic $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs Bundle When $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, we take $K = \mathrm{SU}(n)$, then $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ is also $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. For a parabolic $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundle (\mathbb{E}, Φ) , from the viewpoint of the vector bundle $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{C}^n]$ induced by the standard action, we obtain that a parabolic $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundle is equivalent to the following data:

- (1) a holomorphic vector bundle \mathcal{E} , with $\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{E}) = n$ and $\det(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{O}$ which is the trivial line bundle;
- (2) a reverse flag $(\mathcal{E}_i^j)_{1 \leq i \leq n+1}$ of \mathcal{E}_{x_j} equipped with decreasing real numbers $(\alpha_i^j)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ satisfying that $\alpha_i^j \in (-1/2, 1/2)$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^j = 0$$

for every marked points $x_j \in D$;

- (3) a Higgs field Φ which is a holomorphic section of $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(D)$ such that $\mathrm{tr}(\Phi) = 0$ and with respect to a coordinate chart (U, z) centered at x_j , a holomorphic frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ compatible with the reverse flag (\mathcal{E}_i^j)

$$\Phi = \left(O \left(z^{\lceil \alpha_k^j - \alpha_l^j \rceil - 1} \right) \right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} dz, \quad (2.2)$$

Now an automorphism of a parabolic $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_i^j, \alpha_i^j, \Phi)$ is an automorphism of \mathcal{E} which stabilizes Φ and preserves the reverse flag \mathcal{E}_i^j .

The parabolic degree defined below will be used to test the stability condition.

Definition 2.8. For any holomorphic subbundle \mathcal{E}' of a parabolic $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_i^j, \alpha_i^j, \Phi)$, we define the **parabolic degree** of \mathcal{E}' as

$$\mathrm{pardeg}(\mathcal{E}') := \mathrm{deg}(\mathcal{E}') - \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i^j - \alpha_{i-1}^j) \dim \left((\mathcal{E}')_{x_j} \cap \mathcal{E}_i^j \right),$$

where we assume $\alpha_0^j = 0$.

Definition 2.9. A parabolic $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundle (\mathcal{E}, Φ) is **stable** if for any proper holomorphic subbundle $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E}$ which is Φ -invariant, $\mathrm{pardeg}(\mathcal{E}') < 0$.

Let the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^n be (ε_i) . Below we will call a basis (e_i) of \mathbb{C}^n is a **unit-basis** if $e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n = \varepsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varepsilon_n$. Similarly, for a vector bundle \mathcal{E} whose determinant bundle is trivial, a frame (e_i) of \mathcal{E} is called a **unit-frame** if $e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n$ is the standard section of $\mathcal{O} \cong \det(\mathcal{E})$.

Any Hermitian metric h with standard volume on \mathbb{C}^n corresponds to a positive definite Hermitian matrix $(h(\varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_l))_{1 \leq k, l \leq n}$ with determinant 1. Hence there is a natural correspondence given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{SU}(n) \backslash \mathrm{SL}(p, \mathbb{C}) &\longrightarrow \{\text{Hermitian metric with standard volume on } \mathbb{C}^n\} \\ \mathrm{SU}(p) \cdot g &\longmapsto \overline{g^t} g. \end{aligned}$$

Hence a metric $h \in H^0(X, \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{SU}(n) \backslash \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})])$ on a parabolic $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundle (\mathbb{E}, Φ) corresponds to an Hermitian metric $h_{\mathcal{E}}$ on $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{C}^n]$ whose induced metric on $\det(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{O}$ is the standard trivial metric. Now the conjugation $\tau_h(\Phi)$ of Φ is just $-\Phi^{*h_{\mathcal{E}}}$, where $*_{h_{\mathcal{E}}}$ denotes the adjoint with respect to the metric $h_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle When $G = \mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$, we take

$$K = \mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q),$$

then $K^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{SO}(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SO}(q, \mathbb{C})$. For a parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle (\mathbb{E}, Φ) , from the viewpoint of the vector bundle $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{C}^p \oplus \mathbb{C}^q]$ induced by the standard action, we obtain that a parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle is equivalent to the following data:

- (1) a holomorphic vector bundle: $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{V}$, where $\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{U}) = p$ and $\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{V}) = q$ with $\det(\mathcal{U}) \otimes \det(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{O}$ which is the trivial line bundle;
- (2) two holomorphic symmetric non-degenerate bilinear forms $Q_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ and $Q_{\mathcal{V}}: \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ with the induced isomorphisms $q_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\vee}$ and $q_{\mathcal{V}}: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\vee}$;
- (3) a reverse isotropic flag $(\mathcal{U}_k^j)_{1 \leq k \leq p+1}$ (resp. $(\mathcal{V}_l^j)_{1 \leq l \leq q+1}$) of \mathcal{U}_{x_j} (resp. \mathcal{V}_{x_j}) with respect to $Q_{\mathcal{U}}$ (resp. $Q_{\mathcal{V}}$) equipped with decreasing real numbers $(\alpha_k^j)_{1 \leq k \leq p}$ and (resp. $(\beta_l^j)_{1 \leq l \leq q}$) satisfying that $\alpha_k^j, \beta_l^j \in (-1/2, 1/2)$ and

$$\alpha_k^j + \alpha_{p+1-k}^j = 0, \beta_l^j + \beta_{q+1-l}^j = 0$$

for every marked points $x_j \in D$;

- (4) a Higgs field $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma^* \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{V}$ which is a holomorphic section of $\text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(D)$, where $\gamma^* = q_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1} \circ \gamma^{\vee} \circ q_{\mathcal{V}}$, such that with respect to a coordinate chart (U, z) centered at x_j , a holomorphic frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_p\}$ (resp. $\{f_1, \dots, f_q\}$) compatible with the reverse isotropic flag (\mathcal{U}_i^j) (resp. (\mathcal{V}_i^j)),

$$\gamma = \left(O \left(z^{\lceil \alpha_k^j - \beta_l^j \rceil - 1} \right) \right)_{1 \leq k \leq p, 1 \leq l \leq q} dz.$$

Therefore, a parabolic $\text{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle can be viewed as a parabolic $\text{SL}(p+q, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundle naturally. But the stability condition for parabolic $\text{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles are different.

Definition 2.10. *A parabolic $\text{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{V}, Q_{\mathcal{U}}, Q_{\mathcal{V}}, \Phi)$ ($2 \leq p < q$) is **stable** if for any isotropic subbundle $\mathcal{U}' \oplus \mathcal{V}' \subset \mathcal{E}$ which is Φ -invariant, $\text{pardeg}(\mathcal{U}' \oplus \mathcal{V}') \leq 0$, and the inequality is strict when*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{U}' \oplus \mathcal{V}' \neq 0, \mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{V} & \text{when } p > 2, \\ \mathcal{V}' \neq 0, \mathcal{V} & \text{when } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Now the harmonic metric $h \in \mathbb{E}[(\text{SO}(p) \times \text{SO}(q)) \backslash (\text{SO}(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{SO}(q, \mathbb{C}))]$ corresponds to two Hermitian metrics $h_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $h_{\mathcal{V}}$ on \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} which are compatible with the orthogonal structures $Q_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $Q_{\mathcal{V}}$ in the following sense:

$$h_{\mathcal{U}} = (q_{\mathcal{U}})^*(h_{\mathcal{U}}^{\vee}), h_{\mathcal{V}} = (q_{\mathcal{V}})^*(h_{\mathcal{V}}^{\vee}),$$

where $h_{\mathcal{U}}^{\vee}$ and $h_{\mathcal{V}}^{\vee}$ are the dual metric defined on \mathcal{U}^{\vee} , \mathcal{V}^{\vee} respectively and the original harmonic metric on \mathcal{E} is just $h_{\mathcal{E}} = h_{\mathcal{U}} \oplus h_{\mathcal{V}}$. So the process from the $\text{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle (\mathbb{E}, Φ) to a flat principal $\text{SO}_0(p, q)$ -bundle follows the steps below:

- (1) the $\text{SO}(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{SO}(q, \mathbb{C})$ -principal bundle corresponds the orthonormal unit-frame bundle of \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} with respect to the symmetric bilinear forms $Q_{\mathcal{U}}$, $Q_{\mathcal{V}}$ respectively;
- (2) the $\text{SO}(p) \times \text{SO}(q)$ -principal bundle obtained from the reduction h corresponds the product of the orthonormal unit-frame bundle of \mathcal{U} with respect to $Q_{\mathcal{U}}, h_{\mathcal{U}}$ simultaneously and the orthonormal unit-frame bundle of \mathcal{V} with respect to $Q_{\mathcal{V}}, h_{\mathcal{V}}$ simultaneously; furthermore this gives real subbundles $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} respectively and $h_{\mathcal{U}}|_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}}} = Q_{\mathcal{U}}|_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}}}$, $h_{\mathcal{V}}|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}} = Q_{\mathcal{V}}|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}}$;
- (3) the $\text{SO}_0(p, q)$ -principal bundle corresponds to the orthonormal unit-frame bundle of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$ with respect to the indefinite bilinear metric $(h_{\mathcal{U}} \oplus (-h_{\mathcal{V}}))|_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}}$ whose signature is (p, q) .

2.4 α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs Bundles

In this article, we will mainly consider the parabolic α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundles, which are parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundles of the following form.

Definition 2.11. *A parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}, Q_{\mathcal{U}}, Q_{\mathcal{V}}, \Phi)$ is called α_1 -**cyclic** if it satisfies the following properties:*

(1) $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{L}_{-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_1$, $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{L}_{-2} \oplus \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_2$ for some holomorphic line bundles \mathcal{L}_i , where $\mathcal{L}_{-i} \cong \mathcal{L}_i^{\vee}$;

(2) $Q_{\mathcal{U}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $Q_{\mathcal{V}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where all 1's are given by the natural pairing;

(3) every subspace \mathcal{E}_i^j in the reverse isotropic flag (i.e. the parabolic structure at x_j of the associated $\mathrm{SL}(5, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{i=-2}^2 \mathcal{L}_i$) is a direct sum of a subset of

$$\{(\mathcal{L}_0)_{x_j}, (\mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_2)_{x_j}, (\mathcal{L}_{-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{-2})_{x_j}\}$$

for every $1 \leq j \leq s$. In other words, $(\mathcal{L}_1)_{x_j}$ and $(\mathcal{L}_2)_{x_j}$ share the same parabolic weight;

(3) the Higgs field Φ is of the following form

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma^{\vee} & 0 \\ \alpha^{\vee} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma \\ 0 & \beta^{\vee} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{i=-2}^2 \mathcal{L}_i$. Furthermore, we require that $\alpha: \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}(D)$ is an isomorphism and $\beta \neq 0$.

We may use the following graph to denote an α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundle.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \xleftarrow{\gamma^{\vee}} & & \xleftarrow{\gamma} & & \\ & & \xleftarrow{\beta^{\vee}} & & \xleftarrow{\beta} & & \\ \mathcal{L}_{-2} & \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\vee}} & \mathcal{L}_{-1} & \xrightarrow{\beta^{\vee}} & \mathcal{L}_0 & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathcal{L}_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{L}_2 \end{array}$$

Note that for α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundles, the parabolic weights are $(\alpha^j, \alpha^j, 0, -\alpha^j, -\alpha^j)$ at a puncture $x_j \in D$. We call such a Higgs bundle is of **non-zero weights** if $\alpha^j \neq 0$ for every $j = 1, \dots, s$.

Remark 2.12. *When $\beta \equiv 0$, the target Lie group reduces into $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 2)$.*

Remark 2.13. When $\gamma \equiv 0$, an α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundle coincides with a real variation of Hodge structure (RVHS) whose Hodge numbers are $(1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ satisfying **assumption A** introduced by Filip in [Fil21].

Proposition 2.14. When $\gamma \neq 0$, any α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundle is stable. When $\gamma \equiv 0$, an α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundle is stable iff $\mathrm{pardeg}(\mathcal{L}_1) < g - 1 + s/2$.

Proof. When $\gamma \neq 0$, there is no proper isotropic Φ -invariant subbundle, hence it must be stable.

When $\gamma \equiv 0$, the only proper isotropic Φ -invariant subbundles are \mathcal{L}_2 and $\mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_2$. Hence the stability condition Definition 2.10 is equivalent to $\mathrm{pardeg}(\mathcal{L}_2) < 0$ and $\mathrm{pardeg}(\mathcal{L}_1) + \mathrm{pardeg}(\mathcal{L}_2) < 0$. Note that $\mathcal{L}_1 \cong \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}(D)$ and $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ share the same parabolic weight. The Higgs bundle is stable iff $\mathrm{pardeg}(\mathcal{L}_1) < g - 1 + s/2$. \square

The proof of the following lemma for Hitchin section can be found in [CL17, Corollary 2.11] and there is no difference for our case because the key point is the uniqueness of the harmonic metric and the compatibility between the harmonic metric and the holomorphic bilinear form. We omit its proof.

Lemma 2.15. The harmonic metric $h_{\mathcal{E}}$ of a stable α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundle splits as $\bigoplus_{i=-2}^2 h_i$, where h_i is an Hermitian metric on \mathcal{L}_i . Furthermore, $h_{-i} = h_i^{\vee}$.

The α_1 -cyclic condition gives the following estimates of field σ .

Proposition 2.16. For any stable α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundle with non-zero weights (i.e. $\alpha^j \neq 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, s$), there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that $\|\alpha\| - \|\gamma\| > C$, where α, γ are viewed as sections of $\mathcal{L}_1^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}_X$ and $\mathcal{L}_2^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{-1} \otimes \mathcal{K}_X$ respectively, whose metrics are induced by the harmonic metric and the hyperbolic metric.

We will give its proof in Section 3.3.

Remark 2.17. Proposition 2.16 is already known for compact surface, c.f. [CTT19]. But we need extra analysis on the behavior of the background metric and the Higgs fields to use the maximum principle when the surface is non-compact.

Remark 2.18. For stable α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundles with all weights zero at some puncture $x_j \in D$, we need to add a technical condition for γ to prove Proposition 2.16. More precisely, with respect to compatible basis, $\gamma = O(1)dz_j$ is enough and this coincides with assumption A when $\gamma = 0$ as well. But for our convenience, we only consider non-zero weights here.

For the real structure given by the harmonic metric of a stable α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundle, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.19. *There are real subbundles $(\mathcal{L}_i)_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{L}_i^{\vee} \oplus \mathcal{L}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $(\mathcal{L}_0)_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{L}_0$ such that the real subbundle given by the harmonic metric of a stable α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundle is $\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 (\mathcal{L}_i)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Furthermore, \mathcal{L}_i and \mathcal{L}_{-i} are conjugate with respect to $(\mathcal{L}_i)_{\mathbb{R}}$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

Proof. Below we use $\bar{\bullet}$ to denote the conjugation of \bullet induced by the real subbundle given by the harmonic metric. Note that with respect to the bilinear form $Q = Q_{\mathcal{U}} \oplus Q_{\mathcal{V}}$,

$$Q(\mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L}_{-j}) = 0 \text{ for any } i \neq j.$$

Therefore, the conjugate of \mathcal{L}_i must be \mathcal{L}_{-i} by $Q(\bullet, \bullet) = h(\bar{\bullet}, \bullet)$ and Lemma 2.15. \square

3 Higgs Field Estimates

In this section, we will give the proof of Proposition 2.16 by using Cheng–Yau maximum principle. We fix a stable α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \xleftarrow{\gamma^{\vee}} & & \xleftarrow{\gamma} & & \\ & & \swarrow & & \searrow & & \\ \mathcal{L}_{-2} & \xleftarrow{\alpha^{\vee}} & \mathcal{L}_{-1} & \xleftarrow{\beta^{\vee}} & \mathcal{L}_0 & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathcal{L}_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{L}_2 \end{array}$$

with nonzero weight and its corresponding harmonic metric $\bigoplus_{i=-2}^2 h_i$. Since $\alpha: \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}_X$ is an isomorphism, we can obtain an Hermitian metric $g_h := h_1^{\vee} \otimes h_2$ on $\mathcal{K}_X^{\vee} \cong \mathcal{T}_X$, i.e. an Hermitian metric on the holomorphic tangent bundle. To use the maximum principle with respect to the background metric g_h , we would like to prove its completeness and that its curvature must be bounded from below.

Below we use the notation $A \lesssim B$ or $B \gtrsim A$ to denote that there exists some positive constant $c > 0$ such that $A \leq c \cdot B$.

3.1 Model Metric

We will show the completeness of g_h by using the following fact proven in [Sim90, Theorem 6] for $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and [BGPiR20, Theorem 5.1] for general reductive Lie group G .

Fact 3.1. *The harmonic metric h given by a stable parabolic G -Higgs bundle (\mathcal{E}, Φ) (Fact 2.5) is quasi-isometric to the model metric h_{mod} .*

Below we will illustrate what the **model metric** is for a parabolic $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundle via filtered regular Higgs bundles. We recommend [KW18] as a reference here.

Definition 3.2. *A **filtered vector bundle** over (\bar{X}, D) is a vector bundle \mathcal{E} over X together with a collection of extensions E_{δ, x_j} (which gives the global extension E_{δ} of \mathcal{E} as locally free sheaf over \bar{X}) for any $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ across the punctures x_j , such that:*

- (1) the extensions are decreasing: $E_{\delta, x_j} \subset E_{\delta', x_j}$ for $\delta \geq \delta'$;
- (2) the extensions are left continuous $E_{\delta-\varepsilon, x_j} = E_{\delta, x_j}$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$;
- (3) if z is a local coordinate centered at x_j , then $E_{\delta+1, x_j} = z \cdot E_{\delta, x_j}$.

For any $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, we can define the **graded pieces**

$$\mathrm{Gr}_\delta(E_{x_j}) := E_{\delta, x_j} \Big/ \sum_{\delta' > \delta} E_{\delta', x_j}$$

which are \mathbb{C} -linear spaces.

Note that by (3), the extensions are determined by all δ lying in a closed interval of length 1.

Definition 3.3. A **filtered regular Higgs bundle** over (\overline{X}, D) consists of a filtered vector bundle $(\mathcal{E}, E_{\delta, x_j})$, together with a Higgs field $\Phi \in H^0(X, \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{K}_X)$ satisfying that $\Phi(E_{\delta, x_j}) \subset E_{\delta, x_j} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}(D)$ around x_j for every j .

Now for a parabolic $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_i^j, \alpha_i^j, \Phi)$, we can define a filtered vector bundle by the following extensions (for the convenience of notation, below we consider the case α_i^j is strictly decreasing, but the process is easily extended to other cases): for a given holomorphic frame $(e_i^j)_{i=1}^n$ compatible with the reverse flag (\mathcal{E}_i^j) and a local coordinate (U, z) centered at x_j , we define

$$E_{\delta, x_j}|_U = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_U e_1^j \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_U e_n^j & \delta \in (-\alpha_n^j - 1, -\alpha_1^j] \\ z \cdot \mathcal{O}_U e_1^j \oplus \mathcal{O}_U e_2^j \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_U e_n^j & \delta \in (-\alpha_1^j, -\alpha_2^j] \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ z \cdot \mathcal{O}_U e_1^j \oplus \cdots \oplus z \cdot \mathcal{O}_U e_{n-1}^j \oplus \mathcal{O}_U e_n^j & \delta \in (-\alpha_{n-1}^j, -\alpha_n^j] \end{cases}$$

where \mathcal{O}_U is the structure sheaf of U . Now for any k, l , we have the e_k^j -part of $\Phi(\mathcal{O}_U e_l^j)$ is contained in

$$z^{[\alpha_k^j - \alpha_l^j]} \cdot \mathcal{O}_U e_k^j \cdot \frac{dz}{z}$$

by (2.2). Hence one can readily check that $(\mathcal{E}, E_{\delta, x_j}, \Phi)$ is a filtered regular Higgs bundle.

For a local coordinate chart (U, z) centered at a puncture $x_j \in D$, the Higgs field Φ can be represented as $\phi(z)dz/z$, where ϕ is a holomorphic endomorphism of $E_0|_U$. The **residue** of Φ at x_j is defined as

$$\mathrm{Res}_{x_j} \Phi := \phi(0).$$

Since $\mathrm{Res}_{x_j} \Phi$ preserves the filtration, the graded pieces split as a direct sum

$$\mathrm{Gr}_\delta(E_{x_j}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda} \mathrm{Gr}_\delta^\lambda(E_{x_j})$$

with respect to the generalized eigenspaces of $\text{Res}_{x_j} \Phi$ and the residue induces a nilpotent endomorphism Y_δ (with $Y_\delta^{m+1} = 0$ for some $m \geq 0$) on each $\text{Gr}_\delta(E_{x_j})$ by taking the upper triangular part of each Jordan block. The Y_δ then induces a (unique) further filtration $\{W_k \text{Gr}_\delta^\lambda(E_{x_j})\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ called the **weight filtration** with corresponding grading

$$\text{Gr}_\delta(E_{x_j}) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{\lambda} \text{Gr}_k \text{Gr}_\delta^\lambda(E_{x_j}), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\text{Gr}_k = W_k/W_{k-1}$, which satisfy that

- (1) $0 \subset W_{-m} \text{Gr}_\delta^\lambda(E_{x_j}) \subset \dots \subset W_m \text{Gr}_\delta^\lambda(E_{x_j}) = \text{Gr}_\delta^\lambda(E_{x_j})$;
- (2) $Y_\delta(W_k \text{Gr}_\delta^\lambda(E_{x_j})) \subset W_{k-2} \text{Gr}_\delta^\lambda(E_{x_j})$;
- (3) Y_δ^k induces an isomorphism between $\text{Gr}_k \text{Gr}_\delta^\lambda(E_{x_j})$ and $\text{Gr}_{-k} \text{Gr}_\delta^\lambda(E_{x_j})$.

Therefore if we define the diagonal endomorphism

$$H_\delta = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k \cdot \text{id}_{\text{Gr}_k \text{Gr}_\delta^\lambda(E_{x_j})},$$

then $[H_\delta, Y_\delta] = -2Y_\delta$. Then there exists an endomorphism X_δ such that $(H_\delta, X_\delta, Y_\delta)$ is an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple, i.e. we also have $[H_\delta, X_\delta] = 2X_\delta$ and $[X_\delta, Y_\delta] = H_\delta$. Now choose an initial metric h_{x_j} on E_{0,x_j} such that the subspaces $\text{Gr}_\delta(E_{0,x_j})$ are orthogonal and such that H_δ is self-adjoint and X_δ is adjoint with Y_δ with respect to h_{x_j} .

Given a trivialization of $E_0 \rightarrow \overline{X}$ in a coordinate chart (U, z) centered at p with a projection $\pi: U \rightarrow \{x_j\}$, we can pullback by π to extend the weight filtration to this chart.

Definition 3.4. *The **model metric** on $\mathcal{E}|_{U \setminus \{x_j\}}$ is defined as*

$$h_{\text{mod}} := \bigoplus_{k, \delta, \lambda} |z|^{2\delta} \cdot |\ln |z||^k \cdot (\pi^* h_{x_j})|_{\text{Gr}_k \text{Gr}_\delta^\lambda(E_{x_j})}.$$

Now we can extend it to a global metric h_{mod} on $\mathcal{E}|_X$.

3.2 Background Metric g_h

In this subsection, we prove that the background metric g_h is complete and its curvature is bounded from below.

Lemma 3.5. *The metric g_h is quasi-isometric to the hyperbolic metric g_{hyp} . In particular, it is complete. Moreover, $\|\alpha\|$ is bounded by positive constants from above and below.*

Proof. Suppose that (U_j, z_j) are coordinate charts centered at punctures $x_j \in D$ respectively and $\overline{X} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^s U_j$ is compact. α can be represented as $v_j^\vee \otimes w_j \otimes \frac{dz_j}{z_j}$ for some non-vanishing local section $v_j \in H^0(U_j, \mathcal{L}_1)$, $w_j \in H^0(U_j, \mathcal{L}_2)$, where v_j^\vee is the dual of v_j ,

since α is an isomorphism between \mathcal{L}_1 and $\mathcal{L}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}(D)$. By Fact 3.1, it suffices to prove that

$$\frac{\|w_j\|_{h_{\text{mod}}}}{\|v_j\|_{h_{\text{mod}}}} \cdot \left\| \frac{dz_j}{z_j} \right\|_{g_{\text{hyp}}^\vee} \in \left[\frac{1}{c}, c \right] \text{ for some positive } c.$$

Now since the Higgs bundle is α_1 -cyclic, \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 share the same weight $\alpha^j \neq 0$ at x_j . Therefore, the weight graded pieces are $\text{Gr}_{\alpha^j} = (\mathcal{L}_{-2} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{-1})_{x_j}$, $\text{Gr}_0 = (\mathcal{L}_0)_{x_j}$ and $\text{Gr}_{\alpha^j}(\mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_2)_{x_j}$. By definition, $\alpha: \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}(D)$ is an isomorphism and hence its residue on Gr_{α^j} is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies that $\frac{\|w_j\|_{h_{\text{mod}}}}{\|v_j\|_{h_{\text{mod}}}} = 1/|\ln|z_j||$ over (U_j, z_j) by the definition of model metric.

Due to g_{hyp} is the complete hyperbolic metric compatible with the complex structure, x_j is a cusp of g_{hyp} , hence we get that $\|dz_j/z_j\|_{g_{\text{hyp}}^\vee} = 1/\|z_j \cdot \partial/\partial z_j\|_{g_{\text{hyp}}} = |\ln|z_j||$ by Example 2.2. Therefore,

$$\frac{\|w_j\|_{h_{\text{mod}}}}{\|v_j\|_{h_{\text{mod}}}} \cdot \left\| \frac{dz_j}{z_j} \right\|_{g_{\text{hyp}}^\vee} = \frac{1}{|\ln|z_j||} \cdot |\ln|z_j|| = 1.$$

□

Below we do some local analysis. Fix a local coordinate $(U, z = x + \sqrt{-1}y)$ on X . By choosing holomorphic frames e_i for each \mathcal{L}_i such that $e_{-i} = e_i^\vee$ and $\alpha^\vee(e_2) = e_1 dz$, the harmonic metric $h_{\mathcal{E}}$ can be written as $\sum_{i=-2}^2 H_i \overline{e_i}^\vee \otimes e_i^\vee$ for positive smooth functions H_i by Lemma 2.15. Furthermore, we have $H_{-i} = H_i^{-1}$ and $H_0 = 1$. Let Δ denote the coordinate Laplacian, i.e. $\partial^2/\partial z \partial \bar{z} = (\partial^2/\partial x^2 + \partial^2/\partial y^2)/4$. We use $|\bullet|^2$ to denote the coordinate norm for a field with respect to the local coordinate and frames e_i , dz . With our choice of frame, we have $|\alpha|^2 = 1$.

Now the Hitchin's self-dual equation (2.1) implies that:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \ln H_{-2} = H_{-2} H_1^{-1} |\gamma^\vee|^2 - H_{-2}^{-1} H_{-1}, \\ \Delta \ln H_{-1} = H_{-1} H_{-2}^{-1} + H_{-1} H_2^{-1} |\gamma|^2 - H_{-1}^{-1} |\beta|^2 \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

by taking projection onto \mathcal{L}_{-2} and \mathcal{L}_{-1} .

Lemma 3.6. *The curvature K_{g_h} of g_h is nowhere smaller than -4 .*

Proof. It follows from that

$$\begin{aligned} K_{g_h} &= -2 \cdot \frac{\Delta \ln(H_{-2}^{-1} H_{-1})}{H_{-2}^{-1} H_{-1}} \quad (\text{by curvature formula of conformal metric}) \\ &= -2 \cdot \frac{2H_{-1} H_{-2}^{-1} - H_{-1}^{-1} |\beta|^2}{H_{-2}^{-1} H_{-1}} \quad (|\gamma|^2 = |\gamma^\vee|^2) \\ &\geq -4. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.3 Proof of Proposition 2.16

Below we give the proof of Proposition 2.16 by using the following Cheng–Yau maximum principle, c.f. [CY75, Theorem 8]. Let Δ_g denote the metric Laplacian with respect to a metric g .

Fact 3.7. *Suppose (M, h) is a complete manifold with Ricci curvature bounded from below. Let u be a C^2 -function defined on M such that $\Delta_h u \geq f(u)$, where $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function. Suppose there is a continuous positive function $g: [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that*

(1) g is non-decreasing;

(2) $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} > 0$;

(3) $\int_a^\infty (\int_b^t g(\tau) d\tau)^{-1/2} dt < \infty$, for some $b \geq a$,

then the function u is bounded from above. Moreover, if f is lower semi-continuous, $f(\sup u) \leq 0$.

In particular, for $\delta > 1$ and a positive constant c_0 , one can check if $f(t) \geq c_0 t^\delta$ for t large enough, $g(t) = t^{(\delta+1)/2}$ satisfy the above three conditions.

Proposition 2.16. *For any stable α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundle with non-zero weights (i.e. $\alpha^j \neq 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, s$), there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that $\|\alpha\| - \|\gamma\| > C$, where α, γ are viewed as sections of $\mathcal{L}_1^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}_X$ and $\mathcal{L}_2^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}_{-1} \otimes \mathcal{K}_X$ respectively, whose metrics are induced by the harmonic metric and the hyperbolic metric.*

Proof. We first show that $\|\gamma\| \rightarrow 0$ when tends to a puncture $x \in D$. Let δ be the parabolic weight of \mathcal{L}_2 , then $\delta \neq 0$. If $\delta > 0$, with respect to a compatible basis at x , we have $\gamma = O(1)dz/z$. Then by Fact 3.1 we obtain that $\|\gamma\| \lesssim |z|^{2\delta} \cdot |\ln|z||$ and when $z \rightarrow 0$, $\|\gamma\| \rightarrow 0$. Otherwise $\delta < 0$, with respect to a compatible basis at x , we have $\gamma = O(z)dz/z$. Then by Fact 3.1 we obtain that $\|\gamma\| \lesssim |z|^{1+2\delta} \cdot |\ln|z||$ and when $z \rightarrow 0$, $\|\gamma\| \rightarrow 0$.

Set $u = \|\gamma\|^2 / \|\alpha\|^2$. Locally we have

$$u = \frac{H_{-2}H_1^{-1}|\gamma|^2}{H_{-1}H_{-2}^{-1}} = H_{-2}^2|\gamma|^2.$$

Since γ is a holomorphic section, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \ln u &= 2\Delta \ln H_{-2} \\ &= 2(H_{-2}H_1^{-1}|\gamma|^2 - H_{-2}^{-1}H_{-1}) \\ &= 2(H_{-2}^{-1}H_{-1})(u - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence globally we have that $\Delta_{g_h} \ln u = 2(u - 1)$ and this implies that $\Delta_{g_h} u \geq 2u(u - 1)$. Note that by Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 the right-hand side has a quadratic growth rate.

Hence the background metric g_h satisfies the conditions of Fact 3.7 and we then obtain that $\sup u \leq 1$.

Moreover, if the supremum is attained at some point $x \in X$, then $u \equiv 1$ by the strong maximum principle. However it contradicts with $\beta \neq 0$ and X is a hyperbolic Riemann surface. Therefore, $\|\alpha\| > \|\gamma\|$ over X . And when tending to a puncture, $\|\alpha\| - \|\gamma\|$ has a positive lower bound by $\|\gamma\| \rightarrow 0$ and Lemma 3.5. Hence $\|\alpha\| - \|\gamma\|$ has a positive lower bound over X . \square

Remark 3.8. *When $\alpha^j = 0$ at some punctures $x_j \in D$, the only weight graded piece is the total fiber at x_j whose weight is 0. If we require $\gamma = O(1)dz$ around x_j , then the residue of the Higgs field is of the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Res}_{x_j} \beta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{Res}_{x_j} \beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\text{Res}_{x_j} \beta = O(1)$. It gives the same estimates on $\|\alpha\|$, $\|\gamma\|$ and g_h .

4 Index Estimates

In this section we give some estimates for a general class of functions. The estimates can be used to establish domination property for Higgs bundles by choosing different functions given by different Higgs bundles. The crucial estimates below can be found in [Fil21, Section 2.2] for a special function f_w . We rewrite the statements and their proofs for completeness.

We fix a complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) with the distance function $d: M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and mainly consider the smooth function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \in C^\infty(M; \mathbb{R})$ satisfying part of the following conditions:

- (S1) f is a non-negative Morse function, i.e. $f \geq 0$ and all critical points of f are non-degenerate;
- (S2) $\|df\|_g \gtrsim f$;
- (S3) $\|df\|_g \gtrsim f^{1/2}$.

4.1 Auxiliary Results

In [Fil21, Theorem 2.2.8], the following mountain pass lemma and the Ekeland variational principle for Riemannian manifolds are used in his proof.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $F: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \in C^2(M; \mathbb{R})$ is a twice continuously differentiable function satisfying that*

(1) $F(x_0) = 0$ for some $x_0 \in M$.

(2) There exists $\alpha > 0$ and $r > 0$ such that $F(x) \geq \alpha$ for any x with $d(x, x_0) = r$.

(3) There exists an $x_1 \in M$ such that $d(x_0, x_1) > r$ and $F(x_1) < \alpha$.

Then there exists $c \geq \alpha$ and a sequence $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in M^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $F(y_n) \rightarrow c$ and $\|\nabla F(y_n)\|_g \rightarrow 0$, where ∇F denotes the gradient of F with respect to the Riemannian metric g and $\|\cdot\|_g$ denotes the norm associated with g .

In [Bis15, Theorem 3.1], J. Bisgard proved Lemma 4.1 for the standard Euclidean space, we point out that his proof is also effective for any complete Riemannian manifold.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Set $w(x) = \frac{\|\nabla F(x)\|_g}{1 + \|\nabla F(x)\|_g^2}$, we consider the normalized gradient flow $\varphi_t: M \rightarrow M$ which is generated by the vector field $-w(x)\nabla F(x)$. In other words, we have

$$\begin{cases} \left. \frac{d\varphi_t}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (x) = -w(x)\nabla F(x), \\ \varphi_0(x) = x. \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\| -w(x)\nabla F(x) \|_g = \frac{\|\nabla F(x)\|_g^2}{1 + \|\nabla F(x)\|_g^2} \in [0, 1)$$

and M is complete, we know that the flow φ_t exists all the time. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} F(\varphi_t(x)) \\ &= dF(-w(x)\nabla F(x)) \\ &= g(-w(x)\nabla F(x), \nabla F(x)) \\ &= -w(x)\|\nabla F(x)\|_g^2 \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

F is decreasing along $\varphi_t(x)$. We claim that $d(x_0, \varphi_t(x_0)) < r$ for all $t > 0$. Otherwise, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $d(x_0, \varphi_{t_0}(x_0)) = r$, then

$$\alpha \leq F(\varphi_{t_0}(x_0)) \leq F(x_0) = 0,$$

contradiction. Similarly we have $d(x_0, \varphi_t(x_1)) > r$ for all $t > 0$. Suppose now that there is a path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ connecting x_0 and x_1 , i.e. $\gamma(0) = x_0$ and $\gamma(1) = x_1$. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we set $\gamma_t := \varphi_t \circ \gamma$. For any non-negative integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since

$$d(x_0, \gamma_n(0)) = d(x_0, \varphi_n(x_0)) < r < d(x_0, \varphi_n(x_1)) = d(x_0, \gamma_n(1)),$$

there exists $s_n \in (0, 1)$ such that $d(x_0, \gamma_n(s_n)) = r$. Hence

$$\alpha \leq F(\gamma_n(s_n)) \leq \max_{s \in [0, 1]} F(\gamma_n(s)) = F(\gamma_n(s'_n)).$$

Suppose that $s^* \in [0, 1]$ is an accumulation point of $\{s'_n\}$. We claim that $F(\gamma_n(s^*)) \geq \alpha$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Otherwise there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F(\gamma_N(s^*)) < \alpha$. Therefore there exists a subsequence (s'_{n_j}) of (s'_n) converging to s^* and $J \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F(\gamma_N(s'_{n_j})) < \alpha$ for any $j > J$. Thus we can take $j' > J$ large enough such that $n_{j'} > N$, and then

$$\alpha \leq F(\gamma_{n_{j'}}(s'_{n_{j'}})) \leq F(\gamma_N(s'_{n_{j'}})) < \alpha,$$

contradiction.

Now due to the sequence $F(\gamma_n(s^*))$ is decreasing and bounded from below by α , $c := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\gamma_n(s^*))$ exists and $c \geq \alpha$. We know the integral

$$\int_0^{+\infty} w(\gamma_t(s^*)) \|\nabla F(\gamma_t(s^*))\|_g^2 dt = \int_0^{+\infty} -\frac{d}{dt} F(\gamma_t(s^*)) dt = F(\gamma(s^*)) - c$$

is finite. Hence there is a sequence $(t_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} w(\gamma_{t_n}(s^*)) \|\nabla F(\gamma_{t_n}(s^*))\|_g^2 = 0.$$

Let $y_n := \gamma_{t_n}(s^*)$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(y_n) = c \geq \alpha$ and

$$w(y_n) \|\nabla F(y_n)\|_g^2 = \frac{\|\nabla F(y_n)\|_g^3}{1 + \|\nabla F(y_n)\|_g} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } n \rightarrow \infty$$

implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla F(y_n)\|_g = 0$. □

We will also use the following fact which implied by the Ekeland variational principle which is proven in [AMR16, Proposition 2.2].

Fact 4.2. *Suppose $u \in C^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ is a continuously differentiable function with $u^* = \sup_M u < +\infty$. Then, for every sequence $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in M^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $u(y_n) \rightarrow u^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in M^{\mathbb{N}}$ with the properties*

- (1) $u(x_n) \rightarrow u^*$;
- (2) $\|\nabla u(x_n)\|_g \rightarrow 0$;
- (3) $d(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$.

4.2 Exponential Growth

Below we state the index estimates of function f satisfying (S1)-(S3) given in [Fil21].

Theorem 4.3. *Given a smooth function $f \in C^\infty(M; \mathbb{R})$ satisfying (S1) and (S2).*

- (1) *The only critical points of f are local minima, which occur when $f(x) = 0$.*

(2) $\inf_M f = 0$, and furthermore if f attains its infimum (indeed minimum 0) at some $x_{\min} \in M$, then for any sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = 0$, $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to x_{\min} . In particular, f has at most one critical point.

(3) In addition, if f satisfies (S3) as well, then f has precisely one critical point.

Proof. It follows from (S2) that if $df(x) = 0$, then $f(x) \leq |df(x)| = 0$ and by (S1) we have $f(x) = 0$. So the only critical points are local minima.

We take $u = -f$ in Fact 4.2 and obtain a sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ which satisfies that $f(x_n) \rightarrow \inf_M f$ and $\|df(x_n)\|_g = \|\nabla f(x_n)\|_g \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, by (S2) and (S1), we have that $\inf_M f_v = 0$.

Now suppose f attains its minimum 0 at some point $x_{\min} \in M$ and there is a sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in M^\mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = 0$. For any $r > 0$ small enough, there is a positive number $\alpha > 0$ such that $f(x) \geq \alpha$ for any x with $d(x, x_{\min}) = r$ since x_{\min} is an isolated zero by (S1).

By $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = 0$, there exists an integer $N > 0$ such that for any $n > N$, $f(x_n) < \alpha$. Then $d(x_{\min}, x_n) \leq r$ for any $n > N$. Otherwise, there is an x_n satisfying $f(x_n) < \alpha$ and $d(x_n, x_{\min}) > r$. So f, x_{\min}, x_n satisfy the conditions in Lemma 4.1 and we get there exists a sequence $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $f(y_n) > c$ for some positive constant $c > 0$ and $\|\nabla f(y_n)\|_g \rightarrow 0$. However, by (S2) we obtain that $f(y_n) \rightarrow 0$, contradiction. This shows that $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to x_{\min} . In particular, this implies that f cannot have two critical points.

Below we assume that f satisfies (S3) as well and prove f attains its infimum exactly once by using the gradient flow. For any $x \in M$, let $\gamma: [0, t_0) \rightarrow M$ be the unique curve satisfying that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\gamma}{dt}(t) = -\nabla f(\gamma(t)) \\ \gamma(0) = x \end{cases}$$

with the maximum existence time $t_0 > 0$. Set $h := f \circ \gamma$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{dh}{dt}(t) \\ &= df(-\nabla f)(\gamma(t)) \\ &= -\|\nabla f(\gamma(t))\|_g^2 \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$-\frac{dh}{dt} \geq \varepsilon_0 \cdot h$$

by (S3). Hence for any $[a, b] \subset [0, t_0)$, by integrating the equation above, we have

$$h(b) \leq h(a) \cdot \exp(-\varepsilon_0 \cdot (b - a)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{length}(\gamma|_{[a,b]}))^2 &= \left(\int_a^b \left\| \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right\|_g dt \right)^2 \\
&\leq \left(\int_a^b \left\| \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right\|_g^2 dt \right) \cdot (b-a) \quad (\text{by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality}) \\
&= \left(\int_a^b -\frac{dh}{dt} dt \right) \cdot (b-a) \\
&= (h(a) - h(b)) \cdot (b-a) \\
&\leq h(a) \cdot (b-a).
\end{aligned}$$

For any $t \in [0, t_0)$, the above inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\gamma(0), \gamma(t)) &\leq \text{length}(\gamma|_{[0,t]}) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor t \rfloor - 1} \text{length}(\gamma|_{[n, n+1]}) + \text{length}(\gamma|_{[\lfloor t \rfloor, t]}) \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor t \rfloor} h(n)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h(n)^{1/2} \\
&\leq h(0)^{1/2} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\varepsilon_0 \cdot n/2) \\
&= h(0)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{\exp(-\varepsilon_0/2)}{1 - \exp(-\varepsilon_0/2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Therefore, the image of γ stays in a compact subset and this implies that not only $t_0 = +\infty$, but also $\gamma(+\infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \gamma(t)$ exists, which is the required minima of f . \square

As a corollary, we have the following exponential growth lemma of f .

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that f satisfying (S1)-(S3) achieves its minimum (necessarily exists and unique by Theorem 4.3) at x_{\min} . Then there exist constants $C_1, C_2, \varepsilon > 0$, such that*

$$f(x) \geq C_1 \cdot \exp(\varepsilon \cdot d(x_{\min}, x)) - C_2, \forall x \in M,$$

where d denotes the distance function of (M, g) .

Proof. It suffices to prove that there exists a constant $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$d(x_{\min}, x) \leq c_1 + c_2 \cdot \ln(1 + f(x)).$$

For any $x \in M$, we make use of the integral curve γ of the gradient flow again, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\gamma}{dt}(t) = -\nabla f(\gamma(t)) \\ \gamma(0) = x \end{cases}$$

and we know that the maximal existence time of γ is $+\infty$ with $\gamma(+\infty) = x_{\min}$ by Theorem 4.3 and we set $h = f \circ \gamma$ again. Then

$$-\frac{dh}{dt}(t) = \|\nabla f(\gamma(t))\|_g^2 \geq \varepsilon_1 \cdot (h(t))^2$$

for some constant $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ by (S2). Hence

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{h(t)} \right) \geq \varepsilon_1$$

and by integrating we obtain that for any $t > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{h(t)} - \frac{1}{h(0)} \geq \varepsilon_1 \cdot t.$$

If $h(0) < 2$, then by (4.1) we obtain that

$$d(x, x_{\min}) \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot \frac{\exp(-\varepsilon_0/2)}{1 - \exp(-\varepsilon_0/2)} =: c_1, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is the constant such that $\|\nabla f\|_g^2 \geq \varepsilon_0 \cdot f$ which is provided by (S3).

Since $h(+\infty) = 0$, there exists $t_1 > 0$ such that $h(t_1) = h(0)/2$. Thus $t_1 \leq 1/(\varepsilon_1 \cdot h(0))$. Moreover, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, \gamma(t_1)) &\leq \text{length}(\gamma|_{[0, t_1]}) \\ &= \int_0^{t_1} \left\| \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right\|_g dt \\ &= \int_0^{t_1} \|\nabla f(\gamma(t))\|_g dt \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^{t_1} \frac{dt}{t+1} \right) \cdot \left(\int_0^{t_1} (t+1) \cdot \|\nabla f(\gamma(t))\|_g^2 dt \right) \quad (\text{by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality}) \\ &\leq \ln(t_1 + 1) \cdot (t_1 + 1) \cdot \left(\int_0^{t_1} \|\nabla f(\gamma(t))\|_g^2 dt \right) \\ &= \ln(t_1 + 1) \cdot (t_1 + 1) \cdot \left(\int_0^{t_1} -\frac{dh}{dt}(t) dt \right) \\ &\leq \ln(t_1 + 1) \cdot (t_1 + 1) \cdot \frac{h(0)}{2} \\ &\leq t_1 \cdot (t_1 + 1) \cdot \frac{h(0)}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{t_1 + 1}{2\varepsilon_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $h(0) = f_v(x) \geq 2$. We know that $t_1 \leq 1/(2 \cdot \varepsilon_1)$. Therefore,

$$d(x, \gamma(t_1)) \leq \frac{2\varepsilon_1 + 1}{(2\varepsilon_1)^2} =: c_3$$

Let $k = \lfloor \log_2 f(x) \rfloor$. We can find $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k$ such that $h(t_{i+1}) = h(t_i)/2$ and $h(t_i) \geq 2$ for any $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ and $h(t_k) < 2$. From the above discussion, we know that

$$d(x, \gamma(t_k)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k d(\gamma(t_{i-1}), \gamma(t_i)) \leq c_3 \cdot k \leq c_3 \cdot \log_2 f(x) = c_2 \cdot \ln f(x)$$

for a constant $c_2 > 0$. Moreover, since $h(t_k) < 2$, by (4.2) we get that

$$d(x, x_{\min}) \leq d(x, \gamma(t_k)) + d(\gamma(t_k), x_{\min}) \leq c_2 \cdot \ln f(x) + c_1 \leq c_2 \cdot \ln(f(x) + 1) + c_1$$

when $f(x) \geq 2$. Also we have

$$d(x, x_{\min}) \leq c_1 \leq c_2 \cdot \ln(f(x) + 1) + c_1$$

when $f(x) < 2$. □

5 Proof of Main Results

In this section we prove that stable α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundles with non-zero weight satisfy some domination properties.

Following S. Filip's strategy, to establish the log-Anosov property, we need to choose suitable function f satisfying (S1)-(S3) and use the estimates given in Section 4.

Below we denote the Chern connection induced by the harmonic metric by $\nabla^{Ch}(A(h))$ in Fact 2.5) and the flat connection $\nabla^{Ch} + \Phi + \Phi^{*h}$ by ∇^{GM} (D in Fact 2.5), where GM means Gauss–Manin and we lift (\mathcal{E}, Φ) to the universal cover on $\tilde{X} \cong \mathbb{H}^2$ with respect to the flat connection ∇^{GM} , i.e. ∇^{GM} is lifted to the trivial connection d on the trivial vector bundle. With a slight abuse of notation, in this section we use $\mathcal{E}, \Phi, \mathcal{L}, \alpha, h, h_i, (\mathcal{L}_i)_{\mathbb{R}}$ to denote their lift.

Now we fix a real vector $v \in (\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}})_{\tilde{x}_0} = (\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 (\mathcal{L}_i)_{\mathbb{R}})_{\tilde{x}_0}$ over the basepoint $\tilde{x}_0 \in \tilde{X}$ satisfying that

$$(h_{\mathcal{U}} \oplus (-h_{\mathcal{V}}))(v, v) = 1.$$

It can be extended to a global section $v: \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}$ with respect to ∇^{GM} . Hence it splits into $\sum_{i=-2}^2 v_i$, where $v_i: \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_i$ are global smooth sections of \mathcal{L}_i and $v_{-i} = \bar{v}_i$. Note that $h_{\mathcal{U}} \oplus (-h_{\mathcal{V}})$ is flat along ∇^{GM} , hence

$$2\|v_1\|_h^2 - (2\|v_2\|_h^2 + \|v_0\|_h^2) \equiv 1.$$

This implies that $\|v_1\|_h \gtrsim 1$ and $\|v_1\|_h \gtrsim \|v_2\|_h$.

Let $f_v := \|v_2\|_h^2$, we will show that f_v satisfies conditions (S1)-(S3).

5.1 Establish (S1)-(S3) for f_v

It is trivial that $f_v \geq 0$. Below we first establish conditions (S2) and (S3) for f_v and then prove f_v is a Morse function.

By taking projection onto \mathcal{L}_2 , we obtain that

$$\nabla^{Ch}(v_2) = -\alpha(v_1) - \gamma^{*h}(v_{-1}). \quad (5.1)$$

Lemma 5.1. f_v satisfies conditions (S2)(S3), i.e.

$$\|df_v\|_{g_{\text{hyp}}^\vee} \gtrsim f_v, \|df_v\|_{g_{\text{hyp}}^\vee} \gtrsim f_v^{1/2}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \|df_v\|_{g_{\text{hyp}}^\vee} &= \|dh(v_2, v_2)\|_{g_{\text{hyp}}^\vee} \\ &= \|h(\nabla^{Ch}(v_2), v_2) + h(v_2, \nabla^{Ch}(v_2))\|_{g_{\text{hyp}}^\vee} \\ &= \|h(\alpha(v_1) + \gamma^{*h}(v_{-1}), v_2) + h(v_2, \alpha(v_1) + \gamma^{*h}(v_{-1}))\|_{g_{\text{hyp}}^\vee} \quad (\text{by (5.1)}) \\ &= \sqrt{2} \cdot \|h(\gamma^{*h}(v_{-1}), v_2) + h(v_2, \alpha(v_1))\|_{g_{\text{hyp}}^\vee} \quad (\text{since } dz \perp d\bar{z} \text{ and } \|dz\| = \|d\bar{z}\|) \\ &\geq \sqrt{2} \cdot \left| \|h(v_2, \alpha(v_1))\|_{g_{\text{hyp}}^\vee} - \|h(v_{-1}, \gamma(v_2))\|_{g_{\text{hyp}}^\vee} \right| \\ &= \sqrt{2} \cdot (\|\alpha\| - \|\gamma\|) \cdot \|v_1\|_h \cdot \|v_2\|_h \\ &\gtrsim \|v_1\|_h \cdot \|v_2\|_h \quad (\text{by Proposition 2.16}) \\ &\gtrsim \begin{cases} \|v_2\|_h^2 = f_v & \text{since } \|v_1\|_h \gtrsim \|v_2\|_h, \\ \|v_2\|_h = f_v^{1/2} & \text{since } \|v_1\|_h \gtrsim 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.2. f_v is a Morse function. Furthermore, f_v satisfies the condition (S1).

Proof. Since $\|df_v\|_{g_{\text{hyp}}^\vee} \gtrsim f_v$, we obtain that the critical points of f_v only occur when $v_2(x) = 0$. Now we compute the Hessian of f_v at its critical points. Given two real vector fields T_1, T_2 around a critical point x . Since $v_2(x) = 0$, by the compatibility between ∇^{Ch} and the Hermitian metric h , one can readily check that

$$T_1 T_2(f_v)(x) = (h(\nabla_{T_1}^{Ch}(v_2), \nabla_{T_2}^{Ch}(v_2)) + h(\nabla_{T_2}^{Ch}(v_2), \nabla_{T_1}^{Ch}(v_2))) (x). \quad (5.2)$$

Now we take a local unit frame e_2 of \mathcal{L}_2 around x . Locally we have $\alpha(v_1)(\partial/\partial z) = se_2$ and $\gamma^{*h}(v_{-1})(\partial/\partial \bar{z}) = te_2$ for some complex-valued smooth functions s and t . By Proposition 2.16, $\|v_1\|_h = \|v_{-1}\|_h$ and $\|\partial/\partial z\|_{g_{\text{hyp}}} = \|\partial/\partial \bar{z}\|_{g_{\text{hyp}}}$ we obtain that $|s| > |t|$.

With respect to the natural coordinate basis $\partial/\partial x, \partial/\partial y$, a quick calculation shows that the coordinate Hessian of f_v at x_0 can be represented as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2|s+t|^2 & \sqrt{-1} \left[\overline{(s+t)}(s-t) - \overline{(s-t)}(s+t) \right] \\ \sqrt{-1} \left[\overline{(s+t)}(s-t) - \overline{(s-t)}(s+t) \right] & 2|s-t|^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It suffices to prove that the determinant of above matrix is not 0. Actually, the determinant is

$$\begin{aligned} & 4 [|s+t|^2 \cdot |s-t|^2] + \left[\overline{(s+t)}(s-t) - \overline{(s-t)}(s+t) \right]^2 \\ &= \left[\overline{(s+t)}(s-t) + \overline{(s-t)}(s+t) \right]^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and the “=” holds iff

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{(s+t)}(s-t) + \overline{(s-t)}(s+t) = 0 \\ \iff & \overline{(s-t)}(s+t) = r\sqrt{-1} \text{ for some real } r \\ \iff & s = \frac{r\sqrt{-1} + 1}{r\sqrt{-1} - 1} t \text{ for some real } r \\ \implies & |s| = |t|, \end{aligned}$$

contradiction. □

Remark 5.3. *The last step above to avoid the equality holds has the following Euclidean geometric illustration: The two diagonals of a parallelogram are perpendicular if and only if the parallelogram is a diamond.*

Remark 5.4. *In [Fil21], the associated Higgs bundle of the RVHS has a vanishing γ , so v_2 is a holomorphic section. Then the non-degeneration of the critical points of f_v can be easily proven by the holomorphicity. When $\gamma \neq 0$, we must use the estimates Proposition 2.16 of Higgs fields to show the non-degeneration.*

Therefore, f_v satisfies conditions (S1)-(S3) and by Lemma 4.4 we have the following corollary:

Corollary 5.5. *There exist constants $C_1, C_2, \varepsilon > 0$ independent of v such that*

$$f_v(x) \geq C_1 \cdot \exp(\varepsilon \cdot d(x_{\min}, x)) - C_2, \forall x \in \tilde{X},$$

where x_{\min} is the unique point such that $v_2(x_{\min}) = 0$.

Proof. It follows from that the constants appear in $\|df_v\|_{g_{\text{hyp}}^v} \gtrsim f_v$, $\|df_v\|_{g_{\text{hyp}}^v} \gtrsim f_v^{1/2}$ are independent of v . □

5.2 Establish the Domination Property

Theorem 5.6. *For any stable α_1 -cyclic parabolic $\text{SO}_0(2, 3)$ -Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \Phi) :=$*

$$\mathcal{L}_{-2} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\gamma^v} \xrightarrow{\alpha^v} \mathcal{L}_{-1} \xleftarrow{\beta^v} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{L}_0 \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{L}_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_2 \\ \xleftarrow{\gamma} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \end{array}$$

with nonzero weights, $\rho := \text{NAH}((\mathcal{E}, \Phi))$ satisfies that

$$\alpha_2(\mu(\rho(\sigma))) = \mu_i \geq C_3 \cdot d(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{x}_0 \cdot \sigma) - C_4$$

for some constant $C_3, C_4 > 0$ which are independent of our choice of σ , i.e. ρ is $\{\alpha_2\}$ -almost dominated.

Proof. Let $V \cong \mathbb{R}^5$ be fibre of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}$ at \tilde{x}_0 . We can choose a basis $\{e_1, e_2, f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ of \mathbb{R}^5 which is an orthonormal basis of the indefinite bilinear form $(h_{\mathcal{U}} \oplus (-h_{\mathcal{V}}))|_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}}$ whose signature is $(2, 3)$ given by the harmonic metric and the standard representation $\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ has the following weight space decomposition:

$$V_{\alpha_i} = \mathbb{R} \cdot (e_i + f_i), V_{-\alpha_i} = \mathbb{R} \cdot (e_i - f_i), V_0 = \mathbb{R} \cdot f_3.$$

For any $\mu \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ with $\alpha_i(\mu) = \mu_i$ and $\mu_i \geq 0$ where $i = 1, 2$, we obtain that

$$2 \exp(\mu) \cdot e_i = \exp(\mu_i) \cdot (e_i + f_i) + \exp(-\mu_i) \cdot (e_i - f_i).$$

Now we take an arbitrary $\sigma \in \pi_1(X)$ and consider the KAK decomposition of $\rho(\sigma)$, i.e. $\rho(\sigma) = k_- \exp(\mu) k_+$, where $k_-, k_+ \in K$ and $\mu = \mu(\rho(\sigma)) \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_h(\tilde{x}_0 \cdot \sigma) &= \|\rho(\sigma)^{-1} \cdot v\|_h(\tilde{x}_0) \\ &= \|\exp(-\mu) k_-^{-1} \cdot v\|_h(\tilde{x}_0) \quad (k_-^{-1} \text{ preserves the harmonic metric}) \\ &= \|\text{Ad}((k^{op})^{-1}) \exp(\mu) k_-^{-1} \cdot v\|_h(\tilde{x}_0) \quad (\text{opposition involution}) \\ &= \|\exp(\mu) k' \cdot v\|_h(\tilde{x}_0) \quad (k' = k^{op} k_-^{-1} \in K). \end{aligned}$$

Now since K preserves $\bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \mathbb{R} \cdot e_i$, we take $v' = (k')^{-1} \cdot e_i \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \mathbb{R} \cdot e_i$ (dependent on the choice of σ). By our choice of the basis we also know that $(v')_2(\tilde{x}_0) = 0$, i.e. \tilde{x}_0 is the unique minima of $f_{v'}$ (c.f. Theorem 4.3). We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v'\|_h^2(\tilde{x}_0 \cdot \sigma) \\ &= \|\exp(\mu) \cdot e_i\|_h^2(\tilde{x}_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \exp(2\mu_i) \cdot \|e_i + f_i\|_h^2(\tilde{x}_0) + \frac{1}{4} \exp(-2\mu_i) \cdot \|e_i - f_i\|_h^2(\tilde{x}_0) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \exp(2\mu_i) + \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v'\|_h^2(\tilde{x}_0 \cdot \sigma) \\ &\geq \|(v')_2\|_h^2(\tilde{x}_0 \cdot \sigma) \\ &\geq C_1 \cdot \exp(d(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{x}_0 \cdot \sigma)) - C_2 \quad (\text{by Corollary 5.5}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that here C_1, C_2 are independent of our choice of σ . Therefore we have that

$$\alpha_i(\mu(\rho(\sigma))) = \mu_i \geq C_3 \cdot d(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{x}_0 \cdot \sigma) - C_4$$

for some constant $C_3, C_4 > 0$ which are independent of our choice of σ , which implies that ρ is $\{\alpha_2\}$ -almost dominated. \square

Now the main result Theorem 1.5 follows from Theorem 5.6, Proposition 2.14 and Fact 2.4.

Remark 5.7. *We should point out that we do not use the condition that $\text{rank}(\mathcal{L}_0) = 1$ except in Proposition 2.14! One can freely change \mathcal{L}_0 into a parabolic orthogonal vector bundle of rank n whose underlying bundle has trivial determinant in all of other results. Such Higgs bundles will give $\{\alpha_2\}$ -almost dominated representations from $\pi_1(X)$ to $\text{SO}_0(2, n + 2)$ through the non-Abelian Hodge correspondence.*

References

- [AMR16] Luis J Alías, Paolo Mastrolia, and Marco Rigoli. *Maximum principles and geometric applications*, volume 700. Springer, 2016.
- [BGPiR20] Olivier Biquard, Oscar García-Prada, and Ignasi Mundet i Riera. Parabolic Higgs bundles and representations of the fundamental group of a punctured surface into a real group. *Advances in Mathematics*, 372:107305, 2020.
- [Bis15] James Bisgard. Mountain passes and saddle points. *Siam Review*, 57(2):275–292, 2015.
- [BIW10] Marc Burger, Alessandra Iozzi, and Anna Wienhard. Higher Teichmüller spaces: from $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ to other Lie groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1004.2894*, 2010.
- [BPS19] Jairo Bochi, Rafael Potrie, and Andrés Sambarino. Anosov representations and dominated splittings. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 21(11):3343–3414, 2019.
- [CL17] Brian Collier and Qionglin Li. Asymptotics of Higgs bundles in the Hitchin component. *Advances in Mathematics*, 307:488–558, 2017.
- [Col16] Brian Collier. Maximal $\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$ surface group representations, minimal immersions and cyclic surfaces. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 180:241–285, 2016.
- [CT23] Brian Collier and Jérémy Toulisse. Holomorphic curves in the 6-pseudosphere and cyclic surfaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.11516*, 2023.
- [CTT19] Brian Collier, Nicolas Tholozan, and Jérémy Toulisse. The geometry of maximal representations of surface groups into $\text{SO}_0(2, n)$. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 168(15):2873–2949, 2019.
- [CY75] Shiu Yuen Cheng and Shing-Tung Yau. Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 28(3):333–354, 1975.

- [Fil21] Simion Filip. Uniformization of some weight 3 variations of Hodge structure, Anosov representations, and Lyapunov exponents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.07533*, 2021.
- [GPG⁺09] Oscar Garcia-Prada, Peter B Gothen, et al. The Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence, Higgs pairs and surface group representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0909.4487*, 2009.
- [Hit87] Nigel J Hitchin. The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 3(1):59–126, 1987.
- [KLP18] Michael Kapovich, Bernhard Leeb, and Joan Porti. A Morse lemma for quasi-geodesics in symmetric spaces and Euclidean buildings. *Geometry & Topology*, 22(7):3827–3923, 2018.
- [KW18] Semin Kim and Graeme Wilkin. Analytic convergence of harmonic metrics for parabolic Higgs bundles. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 127:55–67, 2018.
- [Lab06] François Labourie. Anosov flows, surface groups and curves in projective space. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 165(1):51–114, 2006.
- [Lab17] François Labourie. Cyclic surfaces and Hitchin components in rank 2. *Annals of Mathematics*, 185(1):1–58, 2017.
- [Sim90] Carlos T Simpson. Harmonic bundles on noncompact curves. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 3(3):713–770, 1990.
- [Zhu21] Feng Zhu. Relatively dominated representations. *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, 71(5):2169–2235, 2021.