

# ON SIEGEL RESULTS ABOUT THE ZEROS OF THE AUXILIARY FUNCTION OF RIEMANN.

J. ARIAS DE REYNA

**ABSTRACT.** We state and give complete proof of the results of Siegel about the zeros of the auxiliary function of Riemann  $\mathcal{R}(s)$ . We point out the importance of the determination of the limit to the left of the zeros of  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  with positive imaginary part, obtaining the term  $-\sqrt{T/2\pi}P(\sqrt{T/2\pi})$  that would explain the periodic behaviour observed with the statistical study of the zeros of  $\mathcal{R}(s)$ .

We precise also the connection of the position on the zeros of  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  with the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  in the critical line.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In his paper [14] Riemann asserts to have proved that all but an infinitesimal proportion of zeros of zeta are in the critical line. This is repeated in a letter to Weierstrass [15, p. 823–825], where he says that the difficult proof depends on a new development of  $\Xi(t)$  that he has not simplified sufficiently to communicate. The Riemann-Siegel expansion for  $\zeta(s)$  were recovered by Siegel from Riemann's papers. Siegel in his publication [17] concluded that in Riemann's Nachlass there is no approach to the proof of his assertion on the real zeros of  $\Xi(t)$ . However, Siegel connected the zeros of the new function  $\mathcal{R}(s)$ , found in Riemann's papers, with the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$ . The main objective of this paper is to expose and give a complete proof of the results of Siegel on the zeros of  $\mathcal{R}(s)$ .

Siegel [17] proved an asymptotic expression (16) valid for  $1 - \sigma \geq t^a$  with  $a = \frac{3}{7}$ . He claims that we may prove this with  $a = \varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In [4, Th. 11] we proved it for  $1 - \sigma \geq t^{2/5} \log t$ . In this paper, it will be desirable to have proved the claim with  $a < \frac{1}{3}$  so that the term in  $|\sigma|^{3/2} = o(t^{1/2})$ . For this reason we will keep  $a$  undetermined, to see the possible consequences of the hypothesis of being  $a < \frac{1}{3}$ . On the other hand, we may assume in all our results that  $a = \frac{3}{7}$  as done by Siegel (see also [7, Th. 9]).

The paper contains many computations that Siegel does not detail. First, we state three main Theorems in Section 2. We postpone its proof, and in Section 3 we give the main applications to the zeros of  $\mathcal{R}(s)$ . We note that in [9] we have given a better result about the number of zeros than the one obtained by Siegel methods (see Remark 5). Our corollary 11 slightly improves on Siegel's results. This corollary implies that  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  contains at least  $> cT$  zeros to the left of the critical line, with  $c = 35/198\pi$ . This constant is a slight improvement over the one in Siegel, in any case it is a very poor result, as it only shows that the number of zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  on the critical line  $N_0(T)$  is greater than  $cT$ . Our computation of the zeros of  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  [2] makes plausible that  $\frac{2}{3}$  of the zeros of  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  are on the left of the critical line, this would imply that  $2/3$  of the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  will be simple zeros on the critical line.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M06; Secondary 30D99.

*Key words and phrases.* función zeta, Riemann's auxiliary function.

In Section 5 we prove Theorem 3. It is in Siegel only implicitly. In Section 7 we prove Theorem 1. We make a modification of Siegel's reasoning. He uses a function  $g(s) = \pi^{-(s+1)/2} e^{-\pi i s/4} \Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2}) \mathcal{R}(s)$ , while we use  $F(s) = s\pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \mathcal{R}(s)$ . The main advantage is that our function is entire, while  $g(s)$  has poles and zeros at the negative real axis, making the Siegel reasoning difficult. We postpone for section 8 the proof of propositions 20 and 21 that are mere computations.

**1.1. Notations.** We use  $N(\beta \leq \sigma, T)$  and  $N(\beta > \sigma, T)$  to denote the count of zeros  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  of  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  contained in  $(-\infty, \sigma] \times (0, T]$  and  $(\sigma, +\infty) \times (0, T]$  respectively counting with multiplicities. The sum of the two is denoted by  $N_{\mathcal{R}}(T)$ .  $N(T)$  and  $N_0(T)$  denote the number of zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  on the critical strip and in the critical line, respectively, as usual. We use  $\mathcal{O}^*(h)$  to denote a quantity  $R$  bounded by  $|R| \leq |h|$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS.

Applying Littlewood's lemma to  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  in the rectangle  $[\sigma, 4] \times [t_0, T]$  yields

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $1 \leq t_0$  and  $\sigma \leq 1$  be fixed, then for  $T \rightarrow +\infty$*

$$(1) \quad \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma + it)| dt = 2\pi \sum_{\substack{\beta > \sigma \\ 0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\beta - \sigma) + \mathcal{O}_{\sigma}(\log T).$$

With the combed function  $s\pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \mathcal{R}(s)$ , we can apply Littlewood's lemma on the rectangle  $[\sigma_0, \sigma] \times [t_0, T]$  to get

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $1 \leq t_0$  and  $\sigma \leq 10$  fixed, then for  $T \rightarrow +\infty$  we have*

$$(2) \quad 2\pi \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \sigma \\ 0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\sigma - \beta) = \sigma \left( \frac{T}{2} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2} \right) + \frac{T}{2} \log 2 + \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma + it)| dt + \mathcal{O}_{\sigma}(T^{20/21}).$$

In some cases, we have independent information on the integral of  $\log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma + t)|$ :

**Theorem 3.** *Given  $\sigma_1 > 3$ , there is a constant  $C$  such that for  $\sigma \geq \sigma_1$  and  $1 \leq t_0 < T$  we have*

$$(3) \quad \left| \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma + it)| dt \right| \leq C 2^{-\sigma}.$$

## 3. APPLICATIONS OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

**Proposition 4.** *The number of zeros  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  of  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  with  $0 < \gamma < T$  is*

$$(4) \quad N_{\mathcal{R}}(T) = \frac{T}{4\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{4\pi} + \mathcal{O}(T^{20/21}).$$

*Proof.* By Theorems 2 and 3 we have for  $\sigma = 4$  and  $\sigma = 5$  for  $T \rightarrow +\infty$

$$(5) \quad 2\pi \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \sigma \\ 0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\sigma - \beta) = \sigma \left( \frac{T}{2} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2} \right) + \frac{T}{2} \log 2 + \mathcal{O}(T^{20/21}).$$

Except for a finite number, the zeros of  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  with  $\gamma > 0$  satisfies  $\beta \leq 3$  as shown in [5, Cor. 14]. Hence

$$N_{\mathcal{R}}(T) = \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq 5 \\ 0 < \gamma \leq T}} (5 - \beta) - \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq 4 \\ 0 < \gamma \leq T}} (4 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1).$$

The result is obtained by subtracting the above results.  $\square$

**Remark 5.** By a different and more direct reasoning, we have proved in [9] the more precise result

$$N_{\mathcal{R}}(T) = \frac{T}{4\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{4\pi} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{T}{2\pi}} + \mathcal{O}(T^{2/5} \log T).$$

**Proposition 6.** For  $T \rightarrow +\infty$  and denoting by  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  the zeros of  $\mathcal{R}(s)$ , we have

$$(6) \quad \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \beta = -\frac{T}{4\pi} \log 2 + \mathcal{O}(T^{20/21}).$$

*Proof.* For  $\sigma = 4$ , for example, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\beta \leq 4 \\ 0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\sigma - \beta) = \sigma N_{\mathcal{R}}(T) - \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \beta = \sigma \left( \frac{T}{4\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{4\pi} \right) - \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \beta + \mathcal{O}(T^{20/21}).$$

Comparing with (5) we get our result.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** Let  $\sigma' < \sigma \leq 10$  then

$$(7) \quad (\sigma - \sigma')N(\beta \leq \sigma, T) \geq (\sigma - \sigma') \left( \frac{T}{4\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{4\pi} \right) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma + it)| dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma' + it)| dt + \mathcal{O}(T).$$

*Proof.* by Theorem 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \sigma \\ 0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\sigma - \beta) &= \sigma \left( \frac{T}{4\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{4\pi} \right) + \frac{T \log 2}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma + it)| dt + \mathcal{O}(T), \\ \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \sigma' \\ 0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\sigma' - \beta) &= \sigma' \left( \frac{T}{4\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{4\pi} \right) + \frac{T \log 2}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma' + it)| dt + \mathcal{O}(T). \end{aligned}$$

We subtract the two equations. Since  $\sigma' < \sigma$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \sigma \\ 0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\sigma - \beta) - \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \sigma' \\ 0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\sigma' - \beta) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \sigma \\ 0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\sigma - \beta) - \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \sigma \\ 0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\sigma' - \beta) + \sum_{\substack{\sigma' < \beta \leq \sigma \\ 0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\sigma' - \beta) \\ &= (\sigma - \sigma')N(\beta \leq \sigma, T) + \sum_{\substack{\sigma' < \beta \leq \sigma \\ 0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\sigma' - \beta) \leq (\sigma - \sigma')N(\beta \leq \sigma, T). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma - \sigma')N(\beta \leq \sigma, T) &\geq (\sigma - \sigma') \left( \frac{T}{4\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{4\pi} \right) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma + it)| dt \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma' + it)| dt + \mathcal{O}(T). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Lemma 8.** For  $\sigma' < \sigma \leq 10$  we have

$$(8) \quad (\sigma - \sigma')N(\beta \leq \sigma, T) \geq (\sigma - \sigma') \left( \frac{T}{4\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{4\pi} \right) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma' + it)| dt + \mathcal{O}(T).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 7 and Theorem 1 we have for any  $\sigma''$  with  $\sigma > \sigma'' > \sigma'$

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma'' - \sigma')N(\beta \leq \sigma, T) &\geq (\sigma'' - \sigma') \left( \frac{T}{4\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{4\pi} \right) + \sum_{\substack{\beta > \sigma'' \\ 0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\beta - \sigma'') \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma' + it)| dt + \mathfrak{o}(T). \end{aligned}$$

We have (by Proposition 4)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\beta > \sigma'' \\ 0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\beta - \sigma'') &\geq \sum_{\substack{\beta > \sigma \\ 0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\beta - \sigma'') \geq (\sigma - \sigma'')N(\beta > \sigma, T) \\ &= (\sigma - \sigma'') \left( \frac{T}{4\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{4\pi} - N(\beta \leq \sigma, T) + \mathfrak{o}(T) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma'' - \sigma')N(\beta \leq \sigma, T) + (\sigma - \sigma'')N(\beta \leq \sigma, T) \\ \geq (\sigma - \sigma') \left( \frac{T}{4\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{4\pi} \right) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma' + it)| dt + \mathfrak{o}(T). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $f: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  integrable on any compact set and such that*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |f(t)|^2 dt = +\infty.$$

*Given  $t_0$  there is some  $T_0$  such that for  $T \geq T_0$  we have*

$$(9) \quad \int_{t_0}^T \log |f(t)| dt \leq \frac{T}{2} \log \left( \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |f(t)|^2 dt \right).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\log x$  is a concave function by Jensen's inequality [16, p. 61] for  $T > t_0$  we have

$$\frac{1}{T - t_0} \int_{t_0}^T \log |f(t)|^2 dt \leq \log \left( \frac{1}{T - t_0} \int_{t_0}^T |f(t)|^2 dt \right).$$

Let us define

$$A := \int_{t_0}^T |f(t)|^2 dt \leq B := \int_0^T |f(t)|^2 dt.$$

The function  $x \mapsto \frac{x}{2} \log \frac{B}{x}$  is increasing for  $x \in [T - t_0, T]$ , since the derivative is  $\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{B}{x} - \frac{1}{2}$ , which is positive for  $B > ex$ . So, we only need that  $B > eT$  and this happens for  $T \geq T_0$  by hypothesis. Then

$$\int_{t_0}^T \log |f(t)| dt \leq \frac{T - t_0}{2} \log \frac{A}{T - t_0} \leq \frac{T - t_0}{2} \log \frac{B}{T - t_0} \leq \frac{T}{2} \log \frac{B}{T}.$$

This is our inequality. □

**Proposition 10.** *There is some  $T_0$  such that for  $T > T_0$  we have*

$$(10) \quad N(\beta \leq \frac{1}{2}, T) \geq \frac{35T}{396\pi} + \frac{5}{11\pi} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\frac{1}{2} + it)| dt.$$

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 7 with  $\sigma = 1/2$  and  $\sigma' < 1/2$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma'\right)N(\beta \leq \frac{1}{2}, T) &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma'\right)\left(\frac{T}{4\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{4\pi}\right) + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\frac{1}{2} + it)| dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma' + it)| dt + o(T). \end{aligned}$$

In [8] we proved that for  $\sigma' \leq 1/4$  we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |\mathcal{R}(\sigma' + it)|^2 dt = \frac{2}{(1 - 2\sigma')(3 - 2\sigma')} \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} - \sigma'} + o(T^{\frac{1}{4} - \sigma'}).$$

This and Lemma 9 yields for  $\sigma \leq 1/4$  and  $T$  big enough

$$\int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma' + it)| dt \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma'\right) \frac{T}{2} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2} \log \frac{(1 - 2\sigma')(3 - 2\sigma')}{2} + o(T^{3/4}).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma'\right)N(\beta \leq \frac{1}{2}, T) &\geq -\left(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma'\right) \frac{T}{4\pi} + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\frac{1}{2} + it)| dt + \frac{T}{4\pi} \log \frac{(1 - 2\sigma')(3 - 2\sigma')}{2} + o(T). \end{aligned}$$

The function

$$f(\sigma') = -1 + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} - \sigma'} \log \frac{(1 - 2\sigma')(3 - 2\sigma')}{2},$$

has a maximum near  $\sigma' = -3/5$  where  $f(-3/5) > 7/18$ . Therefore, taking  $\sigma' = -3/5$  we get

$$\frac{11}{10}N(\beta \leq \frac{1}{2}, T) \geq \frac{7T}{72\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\frac{1}{2} + it)| dt + o(T).$$

Since  $f(-3/5) > 7/18$  the term  $o(T)$  can be eliminated for  $T \geq T_0$ , taking  $T_0$  big enough.  $\square$

**Corollary 11.** *There exists some  $T_0$  such that for  $T \geq T_0$  we have*

$$N(\beta \leq \frac{1}{2}, T) \geq \frac{35T}{396\pi} + \frac{5}{11} \sum_{\substack{\beta > 1/2 \\ 0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\beta - \frac{1}{2}).$$

*Proof.* In Proposition 10 substitute the integral by its value in Theorem 1. The error term  $o(\log T)$  is eliminated due to the strict inequality  $f(-3/5) > 7/18$ .  $\square$

#### 4. CONNECTION WITH THE ZEROS OF $\zeta(s)$

We have  $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it) = e^{-i\vartheta(t)}Z(t)$  where  $\vartheta(t)$  and  $Z(t)$  are real analytic functions. These are connected to  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  by

$$Z(t) = 2 \operatorname{Re}\{e^{i\vartheta(t)} \mathcal{R}(\frac{1}{2} + it)\},$$

(see [1]). In [10] we show that  $\mathcal{R}(\frac{1}{2} + it) = e^{-i\omega(t)}g(t)$  where  $\omega(t)$  and  $g(t)$  are real analytic functions. It follows that a point  $\frac{1}{2} + it$  with  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  is a zero of  $\zeta(s)$  if and only if it is a zero of  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  or if

$$\cos(\vartheta(t) - \omega(t)) = 0.$$

Also, it is shown in [10] that for  $T > 0$  we have

$$\omega(T) = 2\pi N(\beta \geq 1/2, T) + \mathcal{O}(\log T).$$

If there were no zeros to the right of the critical line,  $N(\beta \geq 1/2, T) = 0$ , then the function  $\vartheta(t) - \omega(t)$  will increase for  $t \in [0, T]$  from 0 to  $\approx \vartheta(T)$  and the number of zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  in the critical line from  $t = 0$  to  $t = T$  will be  $\vartheta(T)/\pi$ . Almost all zeros of the zeta function will be on the critical line. But this does not appear to be true, our (limited) computation of zeros [2] points to the approximate equality  $N(\beta \geq 1/2, T) \approx \vartheta(T)/6\pi$ .

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

**Lemma 12.** *For  $s = \sigma + it$  with  $\sigma \geq 2$ ,  $t \geq 0$  and  $|s| > 2\pi e^2$  we have*

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \zeta(s)(1 + W(s)), \quad |W(s)| \leq C \left( \frac{|s|}{2\pi e} \right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{2}},$$

where  $C$  is an absolute constant.

*Proof.* In [5, Thm. 12] it is proved that for  $s = \sigma + it$  with  $t > 0$ ,  $\sigma > 0$  and such that  $|s| > 2\pi e^2$  we have

$$\mathcal{R}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{n^s} + R(s), \quad |R(s)| \leq C|s/2\pi e|^{-\sigma/2},$$

where,  $\xi = \sqrt{\frac{s}{2\pi i}} = \xi_1 + i\xi_2$  with  $0 < -\xi_2 < \xi_1$  and  $\ell = \lfloor \xi_1 - \xi_2 \rfloor$ , and  $C$  is an absolute constant.

Therefore, under these conditions, but assuming  $\sigma > 1$

$$\mathcal{R}(s) = \zeta(s) - \sum_{n=\ell+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} + R(s).$$

Notice that  $|\xi_1| = \xi_1$  and  $|\xi_2| = -\xi_2$ , so that

$$|\xi| - 1 \leq |\xi_1| + |\xi_2| - 1 < \ell = \lfloor \xi_1 - \xi_2 \rfloor \leq |\xi_1| + |\xi_2| \leq \sqrt{2}|\xi| = \sqrt{|s|/\pi}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \sum_{n=\ell+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \right| \leq \sum_{n>|\xi|} \frac{1}{n^\sigma} \leq |\xi|^{-\sigma} + \int_{|\xi|}^{\infty} x^{-\sigma} dx = (|s|/2\pi)^{-\sigma/2} + \frac{1}{\sigma-1} (|s|/2\pi)^{(1-\sigma)/2}.$$

It follows that for  $\sigma \geq 2$

$$\left| R(s) - \sum_{n=\ell+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \right| \leq C|s/2\pi e|^{-\sigma/2} + (|s|/2\pi)^{-\sigma/2} + \frac{1}{\sigma-1} (|s|/2\pi)^{(1-\sigma)/2} \leq C|s/2\pi e|^{(1-\sigma)/2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{R}(s) = \zeta(s) + V(s) = \zeta(s)(1 + W(s)), \quad |W(s)| \leq C\zeta(2)|s/2\pi e|^{(1-\sigma)/2}. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 13.** *There exist  $\sigma_0 > 3$ ,  $t_0 > 1$  and a constant  $C$  such that for  $T > t_0$  and  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ , we have*

$$(12) \quad \left| \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma + it)| dt \right| \leq C2^{-\sigma},$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma + it)| dt = \int_{t_0}^T \log |\zeta(\sigma + it)| dt + \int_{t_0}^T |\log(1 + W(\sigma + it))| dt.$$

The integral in the zeta function is treated easily

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\zeta(\sigma + it)| dt &= \operatorname{Re} \int_{t_0}^T \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\log n} \frac{1}{n^s} dt = \int_{t_0}^T \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\log n} \frac{\cos(t \log n)}{n^\sigma} dt \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\log n} \frac{\sin(T \log n) - \sin(t_0 \log n)}{n^\sigma \log n}. \end{aligned}$$

So, in absolute value

$$\left| \int_{t_0}^T \log |\zeta(\sigma + it)| dt \right| \leq 2^{-\sigma} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2\Lambda(n)}{(n/2)^\sigma \log^2 n} \leq 2^{-\sigma} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2\Lambda(n)}{(n/2)^3 \log^2 n}.$$

The function  $1 + W(s)$  defined by  $\mathcal{R}(s) = \zeta(s)(1 + W(s))$  is analytic and is not equal to 0 on the line  $\sigma + it$  with  $\sigma > 3$  fixed and  $t \geq t_0$ . Since  $|W(s)| \leq C(|s|/2\pi e)^{(1-\sigma)/2}$ , there is some  $t_1$  such that for  $t > t_1$  we have  $|W(s)| \leq 1/4$ . The function  $\log(1 + W(s))$  is well defined, with the imaginary part  $\leq \pi/2$  in absolute value for  $t > t_1$ . We also have for  $t \geq t_1$  that

$$|\log(1 + W(s))| \leq 2C(|s|/2\pi e)^{(1-\sigma)/2}.$$

Then this inequality is also true for any  $t \geq t_0$ , substituting, if needed,  $C$  by a large constant.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{t_0}^T \log(1 + W(t)) dt \right| &\leq C' \int_{t_0}^T |s/2\pi e|^{\frac{1-\sigma}{2}} dt = C' (2\pi e)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{2}} \int_1^T (\sigma^2 + t^2)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{4}} dt \\ &\leq C' \sigma^{1+\frac{1-\sigma}{2}} (2\pi e)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{2}} \int_{1/\sigma}^{T/\sigma} (1 + u^2)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{4}} du \leq C' \sigma \left( \frac{2\pi e}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{2}} \int_0^\infty (1 + u^2)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{4}} du. \end{aligned}$$

Elementary computations and Euler-MacLaurin approximation to the Gamma function yields for  $\sigma > 3$

$$= C' \sigma \left( \frac{2\pi e}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{\sigma-3}{4})}{2\Gamma(\frac{\sigma-1}{4})} \leq C'' \frac{\sigma^{3/2}}{\sigma-3} \left( \frac{2\pi e}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{2}}.$$

We end noticing that for  $\sigma > \sigma_0 > 3$ , there is a constant  $C$  only depending on  $\sigma_0$  such that

$$2^{-\sigma} + \frac{\sigma^{3/2}}{\sigma-3} \left( \frac{2\pi e}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{2}} \leq C 2^{-\sigma}. \quad \square$$

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We will use Littlewood and Backlund's lemmas, which we state as reference.

**Lemma 14** (Littlewood). *Let  $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a holomorphic function,  $R = [a, b] \times [c, d] \subset \Omega$  a closed rectangle contained in  $\Omega$ , let us denote by  $A = a + ic$ ,  $B = b + ic$ ,  $C = b + id$ ,*

and  $D = a + id$  its vertices. Assume that  $f$  do not vanish on the sides  $AB$ ,  $BC$  and  $CD$ . Define  $\arg f(z)$  continuously along this three sides then

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\pi \sum_{\substack{\beta \geq a \\ c < \gamma \leq d}} (\beta - a) &= \int_c^d \log |f(a + iy)| dy - \int_c^d \log |f(b + iy)| dy \\ &\quad - \int_a^b \arg f(x + ic) dx + \int_a^b \arg f(x + id) dx. \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  run through the zeros of  $f(z)$  in the rectangle counted with multiplicities.

**Lemma 15** (Backlund). *Let  $f$  be holomorphic in the disc  $|z - a| \leq R$ . Let  $|f(z)| \leq M$  for  $|z - a| \leq R$ . Let  $b$  be a point in the interior of the disc  $0 < |b - a| < R$ . Assume that  $f$  does not vanish on the segment  $[a, b]$ , then*

$$(14) \quad \left| \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_a^b \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \log \frac{M}{|f(a)|} \right) \left( \log \frac{R}{|b - a|} \right)^{-1}.$$

**Remark 16.** Littlewood's lemma can be found in many sources, for example [19, section 9.9] or the original [13]. Backlund's lemma is applied without explicit mention in Backlund [11]. When stated, an extra term  $1/2$  is frequently added on the right side. It is proved by means of the Jensen formula [16, section 15.16]. An independent complete proof is given in [9].

*Proof of Theorem 1.* The truth of (1) does not depend on the particular value of  $t_0$ . Changing  $t_0$  to some other value changes the left-hand side of (1) into a constant that is absorbed into the error term. So we can assume that  $\mathcal{R}(s) \neq 0$  for  $\operatorname{Im}(s) = t_0$ , and that  $t_0 > 32\pi$ .

We can also assume that  $\mathcal{R}(s) \neq 0$  for  $\operatorname{Im}(s) = T$ . In the other case, we may prove the proposition for  $T_n > T$  with  $\lim_n T_n = T$ , and obtain the proposition in the general case by taking the limits of the resulting equation for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

For  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 4$  and  $t \geq 32\pi$  we have  $|\mathcal{R}(s) - 1| < \frac{1}{2}$  by Proposition 6 in [6]. Therefore,  $\mathcal{R}(4 + it)$  do not vanish for  $t \geq 32\pi$ , and we are in a condition to apply Littlewood's lemma to  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  in the rectangle  $[\sigma, 4] \times [t_0, T]$ .

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \sum_{\substack{\beta > \sigma \\ t_0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\beta - \sigma) &= \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma + it)| dt - \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(4 + it)| dt \\ &\quad - \int_{\sigma}^4 \arg \mathcal{R}(x + it_0) dx + \int_{\sigma}^4 \arg \mathcal{R}(x + iT) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\arg \mathcal{R}(x + it_0)$  and  $\arg \mathcal{R}(x + iT)$  are continuous extensions of  $\arg \mathcal{R}(4 + it)$ . Since  $|\mathcal{R}(4 + it) - 1| < 1/2$ , we may take  $\arg \mathcal{R}(4 + it)$  continuous and with absolute value  $< \pi/2$ .

On the upper side  $\operatorname{Im}(s) = T$  we have

$$\arg \mathcal{R}(x + iT) - \arg \mathcal{R}(4 + iT) = \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{i} \int_{4+iT}^{x+iT} \frac{\mathcal{R}'(z)}{\mathcal{R}(z)} dz.$$

The absolute value of this integral can be bounded as usual with Backlund's lemma 15. Let  $D$  be the disc with center at  $4 + iT$  and radius  $2(4 - \sigma)$ . The maximum of



$|\mathcal{R}(s)|$  on this disc is determined by Propositions 12 and 13 in [3], it is  $\leq C_\sigma T^r$  where  $r = \max(1/2, 3/2 - \sigma)$ . Since we assume  $\sigma \leq 1$ , we have  $r \leq 3/2 - \sigma$ . [In this argument  $\sigma$  is fixed and we assume  $T > 1 - \sigma$ , for example]. Note also that  $|\mathcal{R}(4 + iT)| > 1/2$ . It follows that  $|\arg \mathcal{R}(x + iT)| \leq C'_\sigma \log T$  so that

$$\left| \int_\sigma^4 \arg \mathcal{R}(x + iT) dx \right| \leq C''_\sigma \log T.$$

The integral of  $\arg \mathcal{R}(x + it_0)$  is a constant, depending on  $\sigma$ .

By proposition 13 the integral of  $\mathcal{R}(4 + it)$  is bounded by an absolute constant. Joining all this, Littlewood's lemma yields (1)  $\square$

## 7. PROOF OF THEOREM 2




FIGURE 1. x-ray of  $F(s) = s\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\mathcal{R}(s)$  on  $(-105, 105)^2$

To prove Theorem 2 Siegel [17] consider a function

$$g(s) = \pi^{-\frac{s+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi is}{4}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right) \mathcal{R}(s).$$

The election of the factor of  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  is to make the behavior of  $g(\sigma_0 + it)$  the simplest possible, for our election of  $\sigma_0$ . Here  $\sigma_0$  is selected so that all zeros to height  $T$  of  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  satisfies  $\text{Re}(\rho) > \sigma_0$ . Nevertheless, this election makes  $g$  meromorphic with poles at  $-(2n + 1)$ . This is a problem to apply Littlewood's lemma. Therefore, we prefer to instead consider the entire function

$$(15) \quad F(s) = s\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \mathcal{R}(s).$$

**Remark 17.** The x-ray of Siegel's function  $g(s)$  is very nice.




FIGURE 2. x-ray of  $g(s) = \pi^{-\frac{s+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi i s}{4}} \Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2}) \mathcal{R}(s)$  on  $(-105, 105)^2$   
 Since it has poles at  $-1, -3, \dots$  and zeros at  $-2, -4, \dots$  the x-rays contains cycles. We can see their delicate game in the attached detail figure.





FIGURE 3. xray of  $g(s)$  details

**Notation:** In this section, we will consider the values of  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  at the points  $s = \sigma + it$  with  $\sigma \leq 10$  and  $t \geq 0$ . For such values of  $s$ , we consider  $\eta = \sqrt{\frac{s-1}{2\pi i}}$ , selecting the root  $\eta = \eta_1 + i\eta_2$  with  $\eta_1 + \eta_2 \geq 0$ . When  $\sigma \leq 1$  this means  $0 \leq \eta_2 \leq \eta_1$ . In this case  $|e^{2\pi i\eta}| \leq 1$ , with strict inequality for  $\sigma < 1$ .

We want a value of  $\sigma$  such that all zeros of  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  to height  $T$  satisfies  $\sigma \leq \text{Re}(\rho)$ . This is obtained by means of the next Theorem proved in [7, Th. 9].

**Theorem 18.** *There exist constants  $A$  and  $t_0 > 1$  such that for  $s$  in the closed set*

$$\Omega = \{s \in \mathbf{C} : t \geq t_0, \quad 1 - \sigma \geq t^{3/7}\},$$

we have

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = -\chi(s)\eta^{s-1}e^{-\pi i\eta^2} \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{3\pi i/8} \sin \pi\eta}{2 \cos 2\pi\eta} (1 + U(s)), \quad |U(s)| \leq At^{-\frac{1}{21}},$$

where  $\eta = \sqrt{(s-1)/2\pi i}$  satisfies  $\text{Re}(\eta) + \text{Im}(\eta) > 0$ .

**Lemma 19.** *Let  $t_0$  be a constant greater than the constant appearing in Theorem 18. For  $s = \sigma + it$  with  $t \geq t_0$  and  $1 - \sigma \geq t^{3/7}$ , we have*

$$F(s) := s\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\mathcal{R}(s) = J(s)(1 + U(s)),$$

where

$$(17) \quad \log J(s) = \frac{\pi i s}{4} + \log s - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1-s}{2\pi} - \frac{3\pi i}{8} + \pi i\eta + \log \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i\eta}}{1 + e^{4\pi i\eta}} + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1}).$$

*Proof.* Since we assume that  $s$  satisfies the conditions in Theorem 18 we have (16). Therefore, by definition,  $F(s) = J(s)(1 + U(s))$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} J(s) &= -s\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\chi(s)\eta^{s-1}e^{-\pi i\eta^2} \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{3\pi i/8} \sin \pi\eta}{2 \cos 2\pi\eta} \\ &= -s\pi^{(s-1)/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)\eta^{s-1}e^{-\pi i\eta^2} \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{3\pi i/8} \sin \pi\eta}{2 \cos 2\pi\eta} \\ &= 2^{-1/2}e^{-\pi i/8}s\pi^{(s-1)/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)\eta^{s-1}e^{-\pi i\eta^2 + \pi i\eta} \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i\eta}}{1 + e^{4\pi i\eta}}. \end{aligned}$$

We may define a continuous logarithm of  $J(s)$  for these values of  $s$  by noticing that  $|e^{2\pi i\eta}| < 1$  and therefore we may use

$$\log \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i\eta}}{1 + e^{4\pi i\eta}} = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{z^{2n}}{n}, \quad z = e^{2\pi i\eta}.$$

That is, it is equal to  $\log(1 - e^{2\pi i\eta}) - \log(1 + e^{4\pi i\eta})$ , using in both cases the main branch of the logarithm. It follows that the imaginary part of  $\log \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i\eta}}{1 + e^{4\pi i\eta}}$  is bounded by  $\pi$ . By the usual Euler-MacLaurin expansion, which is applicable since  $\sigma < 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \log J(s) &= -\frac{1}{2} \log 2 - \frac{\pi i}{8} + \log s + \frac{s-1}{2} \log \pi - \frac{s}{2} \log \frac{1-s}{2} - \frac{1-s}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi \\ &\quad + \frac{s-1}{2} \log \frac{s-1}{2\pi i} - \pi i \frac{s-1}{2\pi i} + \pi i\eta + \log \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i\eta}}{1 + e^{4\pi i\eta}} + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying this yields

$$\log J(s) = \frac{\pi i s}{4} + \log s - \frac{1}{2} \log(1-s) + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{3\pi i}{8} + \pi i\eta + \log \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i\eta}}{1 + e^{4\pi i\eta}} + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1}). \quad \square$$

The next propositions will be proved later in Section 8.

**Proposition 20.** *Let  $t_0 > 1$  and  $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$  be fixed real numbers. There is a function  $f: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that  $f(T) = \mathcal{O}(T^{1/2})$  and such that for  $T > t_0$ ,  $\sigma \leq 10$  and  $1 - \sigma \leq T^a$  we have*

$$(18) \quad \int_{t_0}^T \log |F(\sigma + it)| dt = -\frac{\pi T^2}{8} + (\sigma + 1) \left( \frac{T}{2} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2} \right) + \frac{T}{2} (\log 2 + 2 \log(2\pi)) \\ - \frac{\pi \sigma^2}{8} + \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma + it)| dt + \mathcal{O}(f(T)).$$

**Proposition 21.** *Let  $1 \leq t_0 < T$  with  $t_0$  bigger than the constant appearing in Theorem 18 and let  $1 - \sigma_0 = T^a$  with  $a = 3/7$ . Then we have*

$$(19) \quad \int_{t_0}^T \log |F(\sigma_0 + it)| dt = -\frac{\pi T^2}{8} + \frac{T}{2} \log T - \frac{T}{2} + \frac{T}{2} \log 2\pi + \mathcal{O}(T^{20/21}).$$

**Lemma 22.** *Let  $t_0$  and  $\sigma_0$  be fixed real numbers satisfying  $t_0 > 1$  and  $\sigma_0 < -1$ . Assume that  $F(s)$  does not vanish for  $\text{Im}(s) = t_0$  and denote by  $\arg F(\sigma + it_0)$  a continuous determination of the argument, defined for  $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_1 \leq 10$ , and such that  $|\arg F(\sigma_0 + it_0)| \leq C|\sigma_0|$ . Then there is a constant  $C_0$  (depending on  $t_0$ , and  $C$  such that*

$$\left| \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1} \arg F(\sigma + it_0) d\sigma \right| \leq C_0 |\sigma_0|^2 \log |\sigma_0|.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$|\arg F(\sigma + it_0) - \arg F(\sigma_0 + it_0)| = \left| \text{Re} \frac{1}{i} \int_{\sigma_0 + it_0}^{\sigma + it_0} \frac{F'(z)}{F(z)} dz \right|,$$

we may bound the integral by Backlund's lemma. We take a disc  $D$  with center at  $10 + it_0$  and radius  $2(10 - \sigma_0)$ . To bound  $F$  on  $D$ , notice that  $D$  is contained in a disc of center 0 and radius  $C|\sigma_0|$  (where the constant  $C$  here depends only on  $t_0$ ). In [10, eq.(3)] we have proved that  $|F(s)| \leq Ae^{B|s|\log|s|}$ . So that  $|F(s)| \leq Ae^{c(t_0)|\sigma_0|\log|\sigma_0|}$ . Also, we have  $|F(10 + it_0)| > 0$  is a constant depending only on  $t_0$ . Therefore,

$$|\arg F(\sigma + it_0) - \arg F(\sigma_0 + it_0)| \leq C|\sigma_0| \log |\sigma_0|.$$

It follows that  $|F(\sigma + it_0)| \leq C|\sigma_0| \log |\sigma_0|$ . Then

$$\left| \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1} \arg F(\sigma + it_0) d\sigma \right| \leq C|\sigma_0|^2 \log |\sigma_0|. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 23.** *Let  $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$  a fixed real number and for  $T > 1$  let  $1 - \sigma_0 = T^a$  and  $\sigma_0 < \sigma_1 \leq 10$ . Then we have*

$$\text{Im} \int_{\sigma_0 + iT}^{\sigma_1 + iT} \log(s\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)) ds = \mathcal{O}(T^{2a})$$

*when we take a continuous branch of the logarithm where  $\text{Im} \log(s\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2))$  at the point  $s = s_0 + iT$  is  $\mathcal{O}(T^a)$ .*

*Proof.* By Euler-MacLaurin expansion we have

$$\text{Im} \log \Gamma((\sigma + iT)/2) = \frac{T}{2} \log \frac{T}{2} - \frac{T}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4}(\sigma - 1) + \frac{2\sigma - \sigma^2}{4T} + \mathcal{O}(T^{-1}).$$

For  $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_1 \leq 10$  we have  $\sigma^2/T^2 = \mathcal{O}(T^{-1})$  so that

$$\operatorname{Im} \log(\sigma + iT) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{\sigma}{T} = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{T} + \mathcal{O}(T^{-1})$$

After some simplifications, we obtain for  $s = \sigma + iT$

$$\operatorname{Im} \log(s\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)) = \frac{T}{2} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2} - \frac{\sigma^2 + 2\sigma}{4T} + \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\pi\sigma}{4} + \mathcal{O}(T^{-1}).$$

After subtracting the multiple of  $2\pi$  nearest to  $\frac{T}{2} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2}$ , we get a branch of the logarithm equal to

$$\operatorname{Im} \log(s\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)) = -\frac{\sigma^2 + \sigma}{2T} + \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\pi\sigma}{4} + \mathcal{O}(1) = \frac{\pi\sigma}{4} + \mathcal{O}(1).$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} \int_{\sigma_0+iT}^{\sigma_1+iT} \log(s\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)) ds \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{8}(\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_0^2) + C(\sigma_1 - \sigma_0) \leq CT^{2a}. \quad \square$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* For a given  $T > t_0$  define  $\sigma_0$  such that  $1 - \sigma_0 = T^a$  with  $a = 3/7$ . For  $T \geq T_0$  we will have  $\sigma_0 < \sigma$ . We apply Littlewood's lemma in the rectangle  $R = [\sigma_0, \sigma] \times [t_0, T]$  to the function  $F(s) = s\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\mathcal{R}(s)$ . As we have seen in the proof of Theorem 1 we may assume that there is no zero of  $F(s)$  on the lines  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = t_0$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = T$ . We take  $t_0$  large enough so that Theorem 18 applies with  $At_0^{-1/21} < 1$ . This implies that  $F(s)$  do not vanish on the left-hand side of the rectangle  $R$ . Note that the zeros of  $F(s)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  on  $t > 0$  are the same with the same multiplicities.

Here, it is in line  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \sigma$  where we do not know the argument of  $F(s)$ . Hence we have

$$(20) \quad 2\pi \sum_{\substack{\beta < \sigma \\ t_0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\sigma - \beta) = \int_{t_0}^T \log |F(\sigma + it)| dt - \int_{t_0}^T \log |F(\sigma_0 + it)| dt \\ + \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma} \arg F(x + it_0) dx - \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma} \arg F(x + iT) dx,$$

where  $\arg F(s)$  should be taken continuously in the broken line with vertices at  $\sigma + it_0$ ,  $\sigma_0 + it_0$ ,  $\sigma_0 + iT$ ,  $\sigma + iT$ .

By Lemma 19 we have  $\arg F(s) = \arg J(s) + \arg(1 + U(s))$ , with  $s = \sigma_0 + it$ . By the election of  $\sigma_0$ , the function  $\arg(1 + U(\sigma_0 + it))$  for  $t_0 \leq t \leq T$  is always between  $-\pi/2$  and  $\pi/2$ . By (17) we easily see that  $\arg F(\sigma + it)$  for  $1 - \sigma \geq t^a$ ,  $t \geq t_0$  is equal to

$$\arg F(\sigma + it) = \frac{\pi\sigma}{4} + \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{\sigma}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{1-\sigma}{t} \right) - \frac{3\pi}{8} \\ + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (t^2 + (1-\sigma)^2)^{1/4} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{1-\sigma}{t}\right) + \mathcal{O}(1).$$

Since  $1 - \sigma_0 = T^a$  it follows that  $\arg F(\sigma_0 + it)$  varies continuously between the extreme values

$$\arg F(\sigma_0 + iT) = \pi \sqrt{\frac{T}{2\pi}} + \mathcal{O}(T^a) \quad \arg F(\sigma_0 + it_0) = \frac{\pi\sigma_0}{4} + \mathcal{O}(T^{a/2}).$$

Therefore,  $\arg F(\sigma_0 + it_0) = \mathcal{O}(T^a)$  and the lemma 22 apply to show that

$$(21) \quad \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma} \arg F(x + it_0) dx = \mathcal{O}(T^{2a} \log T).$$

On the upper side for  $s = x + iT$  with  $\sigma_0 \leq x \leq \sigma$  we have

$$\arg F(s) = \arg(s\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)) + \arg \mathcal{R}(s)$$

where the arguments on the right-hand side should be taken continuous and such that at the point  $s_0 = \sigma_0 + iT$  we have

$$\arg(s_0\pi^{-s_0/2}\Gamma(s_0/2)) + \arg \mathcal{R}(s_0) = \arg F(s_0) = \pi\sqrt{T/2\pi} + \mathcal{O}(T^a).$$

We have some freedom here; we may pick  $\arg(s_0\pi^{-s_0/2}\Gamma(s_0/2)) = \mathcal{O}(T^a)$  so that Lemma 23 applies, and

$$(22) \quad \int_{\sigma_0+iT}^{\sigma+iT} \arg(s\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)) ds = \mathcal{O}(T^{2a}).$$

Then we must take  $\arg \mathcal{R}(s_0) = \mathcal{O}(T^{1/2})$ . We apply the Backlund lemma to bound the integral of  $\arg \mathcal{R}(x + iT)$ . We have

$$|\arg \mathcal{R}(x + iT) - \arg \mathcal{R}(\sigma_0 + iT)| = \left| \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{i} \int_{\sigma_0+iT}^{x+iT} \frac{\mathcal{R}'(z)}{\mathcal{R}(z)} dz \right|$$

To determine the value of  $\arg \mathcal{R}(x + iT)$ , we apply Backlund's lemma. Take a disc with center at  $10 + iT$  and radius  $R = 2(10 - \sigma_0)$ . On this disc  $|\mathcal{R}(s)|$  is bounded, according to Proposition 12 and 13 in [3] by

$$\leq C \max(T^{1/2}, T^{cT^a}).$$

The value in the center of the disc  $|\mathcal{R}(10 + iT)| \geq 1/2$  by Proposition 6 in [6]. Hence, we get

$$|\arg \mathcal{R}(x + iT) - \arg \mathcal{R}(\sigma_0 + iT)| \leq CT^a \log T.$$

It follows that

$$|\arg \mathcal{R}(x + iT)| \leq CT^a \log T + CT^{1/2} \leq CT^{1/2}.$$

From which we obtain

$$(23) \quad \int_{\sigma_0+iT}^{\sigma+iT} \arg \mathcal{R}(s) ds = CT^{1/2+a}.$$

Putting the results of Propositions 20, 21 and equations (21), (22) and (23) into equation (20) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \sigma \\ t_0 < \gamma \leq T}} (\sigma - \beta) &= -\frac{\pi T^2}{8} + (\sigma + 1) \left( \frac{T}{2} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2} \right) + \frac{T}{2} (\log 2 + 2 \log(2\pi)) - \frac{\pi \sigma^2}{8} \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma + it)| dt + \mathcal{O}(T^{1/2}) + \frac{\pi T^2}{8} - \frac{T}{2} \log T + \frac{T}{2} - \frac{T}{2} \log 2\pi \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(T^{20/21}) + \mathcal{O}(T^{2a} \log T) + \mathcal{O}(T^{\frac{1}{2}+a}), \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a = 3/7$  the largest error term is  $\mathcal{O}(T^{20/21})$ . The term with  $\sigma^2$  is less than this error term. Simplifying yields (2).  $\square$

**Remark 24.** We can not apply Backlund's lemma in order to bound the integral of  $\arg g(x + it_0)$  in Siegel's exposition [17]. To use  $g(s)$  we also have the extra difficulty that the Euler-MacLaurin approximation to the gamma function is not valid near the negative real axis. This is the main reason to use our  $F(s)$  function instead of the  $g(s)$  function of Siegel.

## 8. PROOF OF PROPOSITIONS 20 AND 21

**8.1. Mean value of  $\log |\Gamma(s)|$ .** We denote by  $B_n(x)$  the Bernoulli polynomial and by  $\widetilde{B}_n(x)$  the periodic function with period 1 and such that  $\widetilde{B}_n(x) = B_n(x)$  for  $0 < x < 1$ . They satisfy  $B_n(x) = (-1)^n B_n(1 - x)$ . For an odd index greater than 1 we have  $B_{2n+1}(0) = B_{2n+1}(1/2) = B_{2n+1}(1) = 0$ , and these are the only zeros of  $B_{2n+1}(x)$  on the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

**Lemma 25.** *Let  $f: (a, b) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a positive and monotonous function, then*

$$\left| \int_a^b f(x) \widetilde{B}_3(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{3}{64} \max(f(a), f(b)).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $f$  is not increasing, the proof in the other case is similar. We have  $B_3(x) = x(x - \frac{1}{2})(x - 1)$ , so  $B_3(x)$  is positive in  $(0, 1/2)$  and negative in  $(1/2, 1)$ . Define

$$a_n = \left| \int_{n/2}^{(n+1)/2} f(x) \widetilde{B}_3(x) dx \right| = (-1)^n \int_{n/2}^{(n+1)/2} f(x) \widetilde{B}_3(x) dx.$$

For any integer  $k$ , since  $f(x + k) \geq f(1 - x + k)$  for  $0 < x < \frac{1}{2}$

$$a_{2k} = \int_k^{k+1/2} f(x) \widetilde{B}_3(x) dx = \int_0^{1/2} f(x+k) \widetilde{B}_3(x) dx \geq \int_0^{1/2} f(1-x+k) x(x-\frac{1}{2})(x-1) dx$$

changing variables  $y = 1 - x$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{1/2}^1 f(y+k)(1-y)(\frac{1}{2}-y)(-y) dy = - \int_{1/2}^1 f(y+k) \widetilde{B}_3(y) dy = \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad - \int_{k+1/2}^{k+1} f(y) \widetilde{B}_3(y) dy = a_{2k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we prove  $a_{2k+1} \geq a_{2k+2}$ .

Suppose first that there exist  $n < m$  such that

$$(n-1)/2 < a \leq n/2 \leq m/2 \leq b < (m+1)/2.$$

If  $n < m$  we will have

$$\int_a^b = \int_a^{n/2} + \int_{n/2}^{m/2} + \int_{m/2}^b = \int_a^{n/2} + \sum_{n \leq k < m} (-1)^k a_k + \int_{m/2}^b,$$

the omitted integrand being  $f(x) \widetilde{B}_3(x)$ , and with  $a_n \geq a_{n+1} \geq \dots \geq a_m \geq 0$ . Therefore, we have

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq k < m} (-1)^k a_k \right| = a_n - a_{n+1} + a_{n+2} - \dots \pm a_{m-1} \leq a_n.$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \int_a^b \right| \leq \left| \int_a^{n/2} \right| + a_n + \left| \int_{m/2}^b \right| \leq 3 \int_{(n-1)/2}^{n/2} f(a) |\widetilde{B}_3(x)| dx = \frac{3f(a)}{64}.$$

When  $n = m$  or there is no fraction  $n/2$  between  $a$  and  $b$ , the inequality is easier to prove. If we assume that  $f$  is increasing, we must substitute  $f(a)$  by  $f(b)$ . So, in general, the inequality is true with the maximum between  $f(a)$  and  $f(b)$ .  $\square$

With the same procedure, we may prove this Proposition.

**Proposition 26.** *Let  $f: (a, b) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a positive and monotonous function, then for all  $n \geq 0$*

$$\left| \int_a^b f(x) \widetilde{B}_{2n+1}(x) dx \right| \leq (-1)^n 3 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^{2n+2}} \right) \frac{B_{2n+2}}{n+1} \max(f(a), f(b)).$$

**Proposition 27.** *For  $\sigma \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $T > 1$  we have*

$$(24) \quad \int_1^T \log |\Gamma(\frac{\sigma+it}{2})| dt = \text{Im} \int_{\sigma+i}^{\sigma+iT} \left( \left( \frac{s-1}{2} \right) \log \frac{s}{2} - \frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + \frac{1}{6s} \right) ds + \mathcal{O}^* \left( \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{16} \right).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma$  and  $T > 0$  are arbitrary, we cannot directly use the Euler-MacLaurin expansion. Instead, we use an intermediate expression obtained in the course of the proof of the Euler-MacLaurin expansion [12, p. 109]. We have

$$\log \Gamma(s) = (s - \frac{1}{2}) \log s - s + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + \frac{B_2}{2s} - \int_0^\infty \frac{\widetilde{B}_3(x) dx}{3(s+x)^3}, \quad s + |s| \neq 0.$$

Since

$$\int_1^T \log |\Gamma(\frac{\sigma+it}{2})| dt = \text{Im} \int_{\sigma+i}^{\sigma+iT} \log \Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) ds,$$

we proceed to bound

$$R := \text{Im} \int_{\sigma+i}^{\sigma+iT} \int_0^\infty \frac{\widetilde{B}_3(x) dx}{3(s/2+x)^3} ds.$$

The integral converge absolutely, so we may apply Fubini's Theorem. And we have

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \text{Im} \int_0^\infty \widetilde{B}_3(x) \left( \int_{\sigma+i}^{\sigma+iT} \frac{ds}{3(s/2+x)^3} \right) dx \\ &= \text{Im} \frac{4}{3} \int_0^\infty \widetilde{B}_3(x) \left( \frac{1}{(\sigma+i+2x)^2} - \frac{1}{(\sigma+iT+2x)^2} \right) dx \\ &= \frac{4}{3} \int_0^\infty \widetilde{B}_3(x) \left( -\frac{2(\sigma+2x)}{((\sigma+2x)^2+1)^2} + \frac{2T(\sigma+2x)}{((\sigma+2x)^2+T^2)^2} \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\sigma \in \mathbf{R}$  may be negative. The function  $\frac{2Ty}{(y^2+T^2)^2}$  is negative and decreases in  $(-\infty, -T/\sqrt{3})$ , negative and increases in  $(-T/\sqrt{3}, 0)$ , positive and increasing in  $(0, T/\sqrt{3})$  and decreasing and positive in  $(T/\sqrt{3}, +\infty)$ . Its maximum value is achieved at  $y = T/\sqrt{3}$  when it takes the value  $\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8T^2}$ . At  $-T/\sqrt{3}$  it has a minimum value of  $-\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8T^2}$ . Hence, we may separate the integral into at most four integrals where Lemma 25 applies and

$$\left| \frac{4}{3} \int_0^\infty \frac{2T(\sigma+2x)\widetilde{B}_3(x)}{((\sigma+2x)^2+T^2)^2} dx \right| \leq \frac{4}{3} \cdot 4 \cdot \frac{3}{64} \cdot \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8T^2} = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{32T^2}.$$



This is also valid for  $T = 1$ , so we get  $|R| \leq \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{16}$ .

Then

$$\int_1^T \log |\Gamma(\frac{\sigma+it}{2})| dt = \text{Im} \int_{\sigma+i}^{\sigma+iT} \left( \left(\frac{s-1}{2}\right) \log \frac{s}{2} - \frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + \frac{1}{6s} \right) ds + \mathcal{O}^*\left(\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{16}\right). \quad \square$$

The same is true if we take the lower limit of the integrals equal to  $t_0 \geq 1$ .

A computation of this integral gives us

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\Gamma(\frac{\sigma+it}{2})| dt &= \left( -\frac{T^2}{4} + \frac{\sigma^2}{4} - \frac{\sigma}{2} + \frac{1}{6} \right) \arg(\sigma + iT) \\ &+ \left( \frac{\sigma T}{4} - \frac{T}{4} \right) \log(\sigma^2 + T^2) - \sigma \left( \frac{3T}{4} + \frac{T \log 2}{2} \right) + \frac{T}{2} + \frac{T}{2} \log 2 + \frac{T}{2} \log 2\pi \\ &+ \left( \frac{t_0^2}{4} - \frac{\sigma^2}{4} + \frac{\sigma}{2} - \frac{1}{6} \right) \arg(\sigma + it_0) + \left( \frac{t_0}{4} - \frac{\sigma t_0}{4} \right) \log(\sigma^2 + t_0^2) + \\ &+ \sigma \left( \frac{3t_0}{4} + \frac{t_0 \log 2}{2} \right) - \frac{t_0}{2} - \frac{t_0}{2} \log 2 - \frac{t_0}{2} \log 2\pi + \mathcal{O}^*(3\sqrt{3}/16) \end{aligned}$$

where the argument always refers to the main argument, since  $t_0$  and  $T > 0$  for us  $0 < \arg(\sigma + it) < \pi$ .

**Proposition 28.** *Let  $t_0 \geq 1$  and  $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$  be fixed real numbers. There is a positive function  $f: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that  $f(T) = \mathcal{O}(T^{1/2})$  and such that given real numbers  $\sigma \leq 10$  and  $T > t_0$ , connected by  $1 - \sigma \leq T^a$ , then*

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\Gamma(\frac{\sigma+it}{2})| dt &= -\frac{\pi T^2}{8} + (\sigma - 1) \left( \frac{T}{2} \log \frac{T}{2} - \frac{T}{2} \right) + \frac{T}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{\pi \sigma^2}{8} \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(f(T)). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The value of the integral is given in (25). Assume first that  $-2t_0 < \sigma \leq 10$ . In this case, many terms in (25) are bounded by a constant only depending on  $t_0$ . Eliminating these terms, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\Gamma(\frac{\sigma+it}{2})| dt &= -\frac{T^2}{4} \arg(\sigma + iT) + \left( \frac{\sigma T}{4} - \frac{T}{4} \right) \log(\sigma^2 + T^2) \\ &- \sigma \left( \frac{3T}{4} + \frac{T \log 2}{2} \right) + \frac{T}{2} + \frac{T}{2} \log 2 + \frac{T}{2} \log 2\pi + \mathcal{O}(t_0^2 \log(1 + t_0)). \end{aligned}$$

We have  $\arg(\sigma + iT) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{\sigma}{T}$  and  $\log(\sigma^2 + T^2) = 2 \log T + \log(1 + \sigma^2/T^2) = 2 \log T + \mathcal{O}(T^{-2})$ . Expanding the arctan, we get (with constants only depending on  $t_0$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\Gamma(\frac{\sigma+it}{2})| dt &= -\frac{\pi T^2}{8} + \frac{T^2}{4} \left( \frac{\sigma}{T} + \mathcal{O}(T^{-3}) \right) + \left( \frac{\sigma T}{4} - \frac{T}{4} \right) (2 \log T + \mathcal{O}(T^{-2})) \\ &- \sigma \left( \frac{3T}{4} + \frac{T \log 2}{2} \right) + \frac{T}{2} + \frac{T}{2} \log 2 + \frac{T}{2} \log 2\pi + \mathcal{O}(1). \end{aligned}$$

This is (26) except for the term  $-\sigma^2/8$ , that in this case is contained in the error term.

In the other case, when  $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq -2t_0$ , eliminating terms that are  $\mathcal{O}(T^a)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^T \log |\Gamma(\frac{\sigma+it}{2})| dt &= \left( -\frac{T^2}{4} + \frac{\sigma^2}{4} \right) \arg(\sigma + iT) + \left( \frac{\sigma T}{4} - \frac{T}{4} \right) \log(\sigma^2 + T^2) \\ &- \sigma \left( \frac{3T}{4} + \frac{T \log 2}{2} \right) + \frac{T}{2} + \frac{T}{2} \log 2 + \frac{T}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{\sigma^2}{4} \arg(\sigma + it_0) + \mathcal{O}(T^{1/2}) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\sigma < 0$  we have

$$\arg(\sigma + iT) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{\sigma}{T}, \quad \arg(\sigma + it_0) = \pi + \arctan \frac{t_0}{\sigma},$$

$\log(\sigma^2 + T^2) = 2 \log T + \log(1 + \sigma^2/T^2)$ ,  $\log(\sigma^2 + t_0^2) = 2 \log |\sigma| + \log(1 + t_0^2/\sigma^2)$ , which we may expand in convergent power series since  $\sigma/T$  and  $t_0/\sigma$  are in absolute value  $< 1$ . Removing terms less than  $\mathfrak{o}(T^{1/2})$  yields (26).  $\square$

## 8.2. Proof of Proposition 20.

*Proof of Proposition 20.* By the definition of  $F$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^T \log |F(\sigma + it)| dt &= \int_{t_0}^T \log |(\sigma + it)\pi^{-\sigma/2}| dt + \int_{t_0}^T \log |\Gamma(\frac{\sigma + it}{2})| dt + \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma + it)| dt \\ \int_{t_0}^T \log |(\sigma + it)\pi^{-\sigma/2}| dt &= -\sigma \frac{T - t_0}{2} \log \pi + \frac{T}{2} \log(\sigma^2 + T^2) - T + \sigma \arctan \frac{T}{\sigma} \\ &\quad - \frac{t_0}{2} \log(\sigma^2 + t_0^2) + t_0 - \sigma \arctan \frac{t_0}{\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

After some simplifications

$$\int_{t_0}^T \log |(\sigma + it)\pi^{-\sigma/2}| dt = T \log T - T - \sigma \frac{T}{2} \log \pi + \mathfrak{o}(T^{1/2}).$$

Combining this with (26) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^T \log |F(\sigma + it)| dt &= -\frac{\pi T^2}{8} + (\sigma + 1) \left( \frac{T}{2} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2} \right) + \frac{T}{2} (\log 2 + 2 \log(2\pi)) - \frac{\pi \sigma^2}{8} \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^T \log |\mathcal{R}(\sigma + it)| dt + \mathfrak{o}(T^{1/2}). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**8.3. Proof of Proposition 21.** Here we will assume that the exponent  $a = 3/7$ . The error term we will obtain is determined by the error in Theorem 18 for  $U(t) \ll t^{-1/21}$ . This is not the best exponent, and its relation to  $a = 3/7$  is not as direct, as seen in [7]. Therefore, we will not be able to obtain in Proposition 21 an error better than  $\mathfrak{O}(T^{20/21})$ . We suspect that Proposition 21 can be improved. Therefore, we will retain in our equations some terms less than the final error  $\mathfrak{O}(T^{20/21})$ , always assuming that  $a \leq 3/7$ . In this way, we will get a conjecture about further terms in Proposition 6.

**Definition 29.** We define a periodic function  $P: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by

$$(27) \quad P(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 \sin(2\pi n x)}{n^2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\sin(4\pi n x)}{n^2}.$$

Recall that for a given  $s$  we define  $\eta = \sqrt{(s-1)/2\pi i}$ , taking the root such that  $\operatorname{Re} \eta + \operatorname{Im} \eta > 0$ .

**Lemma 30.** Let  $t_0 > 1$  be a fixed real number. For  $T \rightarrow +\infty$  we have

$$(28) \quad \operatorname{Im} \int_{1+it_0}^{1+iT} \log \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i \eta}}{1 + e^{4\pi i \eta}} ds = -\sqrt{T/2\pi} P(\sqrt{T/2\pi}) + \mathfrak{O}(1),$$

where the implicit constant only depends on  $t_0$ .

*Proof.* Here  $s = 1 + it$  with  $t_0 < t < T$  and  $\eta = \sqrt{t/2\pi}$ , hence

$$J := \operatorname{Im} \int_{1+it_0}^{1+iT} \log \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i\eta}}{1 + e^{4\pi i\eta}} ds = \operatorname{Im} i \int_{t_0}^T \log \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i\eta}}{1 + e^{4\pi i\eta}} dt = \operatorname{Re} \int_{t_0}^T \log \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i\eta}}{1 + e^{4\pi i\eta}} dt$$

Change variables  $t = 2\pi\eta^2$ , and let  $\tau_0 = \sqrt{t_0/2\pi}$  and  $\tau = \sqrt{T/2\pi}$ , then

$$J = \operatorname{Re} 4\pi \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \eta \log \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i\eta}}{1 + e^{4\pi i\eta}} d\eta$$

Now we use the expansion

$$(29) \quad \log \frac{1 - z}{1 + z^2} = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{z^{2n}}{n}.$$

Then we have (it is not difficult to justify the integration term by term)

$$\begin{aligned} J &= 4\pi \operatorname{Re} \left\{ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \eta e^{2\pi i n \eta} d\eta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \eta e^{4\pi i n \eta} d\eta \right\} \\ &= 4\pi \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( -\frac{e^{2\pi i n \eta}}{4\pi^2 n^3} + \frac{i\eta e^{2\pi i n \eta}}{2\pi n^2} + (-1)^n \frac{e^{4\pi i n \eta}}{16\pi^2 n^3} - (-1)^n \frac{i\eta e^{4\pi i n \eta}}{4\pi n^2} \right) \Big|_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

All terms in  $\tau_0$  will contribute only to a term  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  (with a constant dependent on  $t_0$ ). Analogously, the term in  $\tau$  and  $n^3$ , which do not have the factor  $\eta$  also contributes to a term  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  (this time with absolute constants). So, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2i\tau e^{2\pi i n \tau}}{n^2} - (-1)^n \frac{i\tau e^{4\pi i n \tau}}{n^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(1) = \operatorname{Re} i\tau \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2e^{2\pi i n \tau}}{n^2} - (-1)^n \frac{e^{4\pi i n \tau}}{n^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(1) \\ &= \tau \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( -\frac{2 \sin(2\pi n \tau)}{n^2} + (-1)^n \frac{\sin(4\pi n \tau)}{n^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(1) = -\tau P(\tau) + \mathcal{O}(1). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The previous lemma considers the integral with limits  $1 + it_0$  and  $1 + iT$ , but we are interested in this integral with limits  $\sigma_0 + it_0$  and  $\sigma_0 + iT$ . The difference between the two is bounded in the next lemma.

**Lemma 31.** *Let  $0 < a \leq 3/7$  and  $1 - \sigma \leq T^a$ , then for  $T \rightarrow +\infty$  we have*

$$(30) \quad \operatorname{Im} \int_{\sigma+it_0}^{1+it_0} \log \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i\eta}}{1 + e^{4\pi i\eta}} ds - \operatorname{Im} \int_{\sigma+iT}^{1+iT} \log \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i\eta}}{1 + e^{4\pi i\eta}} ds = \mathcal{O}(T^a).$$

*Proof.* The two integrals are treated in the same way. For the second, for example, we have  $s = x + iT$ , with  $\sigma < x < 1$ . Then as we noticed before  $|e^{2\pi i\eta}| < 1$  and the logarithm is defined by means of (29) with  $z = e^{2\pi i\eta}$ . Both series in (29) have imaginary parts in  $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$  so that

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} \int_{\sigma+iT}^{1+iT} \log \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i\eta}}{1 + e^{4\pi i\eta}} ds \right| = \left| \int_{\sigma}^1 \operatorname{Im} \log \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i\eta}}{1 + e^{4\pi i\eta}} dx \right| \leq \int_{\sigma}^1 \pi dx = \pi(1 - \sigma) = \mathcal{O}(T^a). \quad \square$$

**Lemma 32.** *Let  $1 \leq t_0$  and  $a \leq \frac{3}{7}$  be fixed real numbers and  $1 - \sigma_0 = T^a$ .*

*Then for  $T \rightarrow +\infty$  we have*

$$(31) \quad \int_{t_0}^T \log |e^{\pi i\eta}| dt = -\pi(1 - \sigma_0) \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{3} (1 - \sigma_0)^{3/2} + \mathcal{O}(T^{a/2}).$$

*Proof.* Put  $s = \sigma_0 + it$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_0}^T \log |e^{\pi i \eta}| dt &= \operatorname{Im} \int_{\sigma_0 + it_0}^{\sigma_0 + iT} \log e^{\pi i \eta} ds = \operatorname{Im} \int_{\sigma_0 + it_0}^{\sigma_0 + iT} \pi i \sqrt{\frac{s-1}{2\pi i}} ds \\
&= -\operatorname{Im} \int_{\sigma_0 + it_0}^{\sigma_0 + iT} 2\pi^2 \sqrt{\frac{s-1}{2\pi i}} d\frac{s-1}{2\pi i} = -2\pi^2 \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{3/2} \left(\frac{s-1}{2\pi i}\right)^{3/2} \Big|_{s=\sigma_0 + it_0}^{\sigma_0 + iT} \\
&= -\frac{4\pi^2}{3} \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} + i\frac{1-\sigma}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} - \left(\frac{t_0}{2\pi} + i\frac{1-\sigma}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \right\} \\
&= \operatorname{Im} \left\{ -\frac{4\pi^2}{3} \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \left(1 + i\frac{1-\sigma}{T}\right)^{3/2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{3} \left(i\frac{1-\sigma}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \left(1 - i\frac{t_0}{1-\sigma}\right)^{3/2} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

According to the hypothesis, both  $(1-\sigma)/T$  and  $t_0/(1-\sigma)$  are less than  $\frac{1}{2}$ , say. So, we may expand both parenthesis in convergent power series. If I want to get this with an error  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  we must retain the terms until  $((1-\sigma)/T)^3$  and  $(t_0/(1-\sigma))^2$ . Therefore, the result is the imaginary part of

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Im} \left\{ -\frac{4\pi^2}{3} \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \left(1 + \frac{3i}{2} \frac{1-\sigma}{T} - \frac{3}{8} \frac{(1-\sigma)^2}{T^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}((1-\sigma)^3 T^{-3/2}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (-1+i) \frac{4\pi^2}{3\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1-\sigma}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \left(1 - \frac{3i}{2} \frac{t_0}{1-\sigma}\right) + \mathcal{O}((1-\sigma)^{-1/2}) \right\} \\
\int_{t_0}^T \log |e^{\pi i \eta}| dt &= -\frac{4\pi^2}{3} \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{1-\sigma}{T} + \frac{4\pi^2}{3\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1-\sigma}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \\
&\quad + \frac{4\pi^2}{3\sqrt{2}} \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{1-\sigma}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \frac{t_0}{1-\sigma} + \mathcal{O}((1-\sigma)^3 T^{-3/2}) + \mathcal{O}((1-\sigma)^{-1/2}) \\
&= -\pi(1-\sigma) \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{3} (1-\sigma)^{3/2} + \frac{t_0}{2} (\pi(1-\sigma))^{1/2} + \mathcal{O}((1-\sigma)^{-1/2})
\end{aligned}$$

assuming that  $a \leq \frac{3}{7}$  so that the exponent  $3a - 3/2 \leq -a/2$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 33.** *Let  $t_0 > 1$  greater than the constant in Theorem 18 and  $1 - \sigma_0 = T^a$  with  $0 < a < 1/2$ . Then, for  $T \rightarrow +\infty$*

$$(32) \quad \int_{t_0}^T \log |J(\sigma_0 + it)| dt = -\frac{\pi T^2}{8} + \frac{T}{2} \log T - \frac{T}{2} + \frac{T}{2} \log(2\pi) + \mathcal{O}(T^{a+\frac{1}{2}})$$

*Proof.* By Lemmas 19, 30, 31 and 32, it remains to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \operatorname{Im} \int_{\sigma_0 + it_0}^{\sigma_0 + iT} \left( \frac{\pi i s}{4} + \log s - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1-s}{2\pi} - \frac{3\pi i}{8} \right) ds \\
A &= \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{\pi i s^2}{8} + s \log s - \frac{1}{2} s - \frac{3\pi i s}{8} + \frac{1-s}{2} \log \frac{1-s}{2\pi} \Big|_{\sigma_0 + it_0}^{\sigma_0 + iT} \right\} \\
&= -\frac{\pi T^2}{8} - \frac{T}{2} + \mathcal{O}_{t_0}(1) + \operatorname{Im} \left\{ (\sigma_0 + iT) \log(\sigma_0 + iT) - (\sigma_0 + it_0) \log(\sigma_0 + it_0) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1-\sigma_0 - iT}{2} \log \frac{1-\sigma_0 - iT}{2\pi} - \frac{1-\sigma_0 - it_0}{2} \log \frac{1-\sigma_0 - it_0}{2\pi} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Simple computations yields (I have written here  $\sigma$  instead of  $\sigma_0$ )

$$\operatorname{Im}(\sigma + iT) \log(\sigma + iT) = T \log T - T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{2n} \left(\frac{\sigma}{T}\right)^{2n} + \frac{\pi\sigma}{2} + \sigma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{2n-1} \left(\frac{\sigma}{T}\right)^{2n-1}.$$

$$\operatorname{Im}(\sigma + it_0) \log(\sigma + it_0) = t_0 \log |\sigma| - t_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{2n} \left(\frac{t_0}{\sigma}\right)^{2n} + \pi\sigma - \sigma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{2n-1} \left(\frac{t_0}{\sigma}\right)^{2n-1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{1-\sigma}{2} - \frac{iT}{2}\right) \log \frac{1-\sigma-iT}{2\pi} \\ &= -\frac{T}{2} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} + \frac{T}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{2n} \left(\frac{1-\sigma}{T}\right)^{2n} - \frac{\pi(1-\sigma)}{4} - \frac{1-\sigma}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{2n-1} \left(\frac{1-\sigma}{T}\right)^{2n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{1-\sigma}{2} - \frac{it_0}{2}\right) \log \frac{1-\sigma-it_0}{2\pi} \\ &= -\frac{t_0}{2} \log \frac{1-\sigma}{2\pi} + \frac{t_0}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{2n} \left(\frac{t_0}{1-\sigma}\right)^{2n} + \frac{1-\sigma}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{2n-1} \left(\frac{t_0}{1-\sigma}\right)^{2n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these values, we obtain

$$A = -\frac{\pi T^2}{8} - \frac{T}{2} + T \log T - \frac{T}{2} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} + \mathcal{O}(T^a).$$

$$A = -\frac{\pi T^2}{8} + \frac{T}{2} \log T - \frac{T}{2} + \frac{T}{2} \log 2\pi + \mathcal{O}(T^a).$$

By the above lemmas [19](#), [30](#), [31](#) and [32](#) we get then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^T \log |J(\sigma_0 + it)| dt &= -\frac{\pi T^2}{8} + \frac{T}{2} \log T - \frac{T}{2} + \frac{T}{2} \log 2\pi + \mathcal{O}(T^a) \\ &\quad - \pi(1-\sigma_0) \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{3} (1-\sigma_0)^{3/2} + \mathcal{O}(T^{a/2}) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} P(\sqrt{T/2\pi}) + \mathcal{O}(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^T \log |J(\sigma_0 + it)| dt &= -\frac{\pi T^2}{8} + \frac{T}{2} \log T - \frac{T}{2} + \frac{T}{2} \log 2\pi + \pi\sigma_0 \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad - (\pi + P(\sqrt{T/2\pi})) \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{3} (1-\sigma_0)^{3/2} + \mathcal{O}(T^a). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 34.** Siegel [[17](#), p. 304] asserts that he can prove his theorems with  $a = \varepsilon$ . This would imply that the term containing the function  $P$  in equation (33) would make sense. In [[2](#)] we saw that this term appears in the experimental data obtained with the zeros of  $\mathcal{R}(s)$ .

*Proof of Proposition 21.* Since  $t_0$  is chosen adequately, we have by Theorem 18 that  $F(s) = J(s)(1 + U(s))$ , with  $|U(s)| \leq At^{-1/21}$ . It follows that

$$\int_{t_0}^T \log |1 + U(\sigma_0 + it)| dt = \mathcal{O}(T^{20/21}).$$

Combining this with Proposition 33 proves Proposition 21. □

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. ARIAS DE REYNA, *Riemann's auxiliary function: Basic Results*, [arXiv:2406.02403](#).
- [2] J. ARIAS DE REYNA, *Statistics of zeros of the auxiliary function*, [arXiv:2406.03041](#).
- [3] J. ARIAS DE REYNA, *Simple bounds of the auxiliary function of Riemann*, preprint (92).
- [4] J. ARIAS DE REYNA, *Region without zeros for the auxiliary function of Riemann*, [arXiv:2406.03825](#).
- [5] J. ARIAS DE REYNA, *Asymptotic expansions of the auxiliary function*, [arXiv:2406.04714](#).
- [6] J. ARIAS DE REYNA, *Riemann's auxiliary function. Right Limit of zeros*, [arXiv:2406.07014](#).
- [7] J. ARIAS DE REYNA, *Note on the asymptotic of the auxiliary function*, [arXiv:2406.06066](#).
- [8] J. ARIAS DE REYNA, *Mean values of the auxiliary function*, preprint (101).
- [9] J. ARIAS DE REYNA, *On the number of zeros of  $\mathcal{R}(s)$* , preprint (185).
- [10] J. ARIAS DE REYNA, *Infinite product of Riemann auxiliary function*, preprint (66).
- [11] R. J. BACKLUND, *Sur les zéros de la fonction  $\zeta(s)$  de Riemann*, Comptes Rendues de l'Académie des Sciences **158** (1914) 1979–1981.
- [12] H. M. EDWARDS, *Riemann's Theta Function*, Academic Press, 1974, [Dover Edition in 2001].
- [13] J. E. LITTLEWOOD, *On the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **22** (1924) 295–318, [doi:10.1017/S0305004100014225](#)
- [14] B. RIEMANN, *Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse*, Monatsber. Akad. Berlin (1859) 671–680.
- [15] B. RIEMANN, *Gesamemelte Mathematische Werke, Wissenschaftlicher Nachlass und Nachträge—Collected Papers*, Ed. R. Narasimhan, Springer, 1990.
- [16] W. RUDIN, *Real and complex analysis*, McGraw-Hill, London, 1970.
- [17] C. L. SIEGEL, *Über Riemann Nachlaß zur analytischen Zahlentheorie*, Quellen und Studien zur Geschichte der Mathematik Astronomie und Physik **2** (1932) 45–80. (Reprinted in [18], 1, 275–310.) [English version](#).
- [18] C. L. SIEGEL, *Carl Ludwig Siegel's Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, (edited by K. Chandrasekharan and H. Maaß), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.
- [19] E. C. TITCHMARSH *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function*, Second edition. Edited and with a preface by D. R. Heath-Brown. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.

UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, C/TARFIA, SN, 41012-SEVILLA, SPAIN.  
 Email address: [arias@us.es](mailto:arias@us.es), [ariasdereyna1947@gmail.com](mailto:ariasdereyna1947@gmail.com)