

CONFORMABLE DERIVATIVE APPROACH TO GRANULAR GASES

José Weberszpil,^{*} Cresus F. de L. Godinho,[†] and Ion Vasile Vancea[‡]

Group of Theoretical Physics and Mathematical Physics,

Department of Physics,

Federal Rural University of Rio de Janeiro,

Cx. Postal 23851, BR 465 Km 7,

23890-000 Seropédica - RJ, Brazil.

(Dated: June 27, 2024)

Abstract

Proper modeling of complex systems requires innovative mathematical tools. In this sense, we sought to use deformed derivatives for studying the dynamics of systems, particularly those, such as granular gases, in which the description of the dynamics can be also done by using the stretched exponential probability densities. In this contribution we draw up three results of this application of mathematical tools. The first result shows that when we use constraints with finite momentum and the principle of maximum entropy, the Kohlrausch–Williams–Watts function, known as stretched exponential, emerges naturally and in a simpler way, when compared to results in the literature. Next, we obtain generalized expressions for the Langevin equation, as well as its solutions for three different deformed derivatives, including those connected with nonaddictive statistical mechanics. The Haff's-like law for granular gases are obtained. Next, we calculate the partition function Z for granular gases systems by building up the probability density in terms of the stretched exponential function. From this partition function, we determine the internal energy of the system as well as the specific heat, both dependent on temperature. The consistency with classical approach of kinetic theory for ideal gases was verified. Comparatives with experimental data were taken into account

Keywords: Deformed derivative Operator, Stretched exponential, Granular gases, Non-addictive statistical mechanics.

^{*} josewebe@gmail.com; josewebe@ufrj.br

[†] ergodinho@gmail.com; ergodinho@ufrj.br

[‡] ionvancea@ufrj.br; ivvan2008@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

As an example to describe complex systems characterized by dynamics with power laws and by non Gaussian Maxwell-Boltzmann probability distributions, the stretched exponential probability densities (*SEPD*) or Kohlrausch–Williams–Watts (*KWW*) function can be cast as one of the most ubiquitous form to analyze a wide range of physical phenomena, [1]. This is based on experimental evidences describing a relaxation of various natures [2–4], including biological one. The nonlinear dissipation of energy signalize the main point to the use of *KWW*-type relaxations.

In Ref. [2], the authors conjectured that some universal mechanism generate *KWW* dynamics which is independent of the details of an individual process may play an important role. Also, it is possible to weave a connection between the *KWW* law and the stable probability densities of the Lèvy type, justified as a result of occurrence of a large number of independent random events described by individual probability densities with infinite moments. The Ref. [5] can also be consulted for connections with Lèvy-type relaxation. For several interesting applications and comments, the reader are suggested to consult the Ref. [6] and references inside, including those about scale and size effect of the system.

The *KWW* functions are very present for the description of the dynamics of granular gases and granular particles. The inelastic collisions of driven dissipative system and non-equilibrium phenomena are related to some forms of generalized statistical mechanics. Granular gases are dilute systems of grains in motion with small volume fraction of grains and the statistical mechanics involved of can be investigated analyzing granular gases experiments. There are experimental evidences that for granular particles, the normalized velocity distributions deviate from the Gaussian Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution found in equilibrium [7] and exhibit stretched exponential velocity distributions [8] and can give rise to collective phenomena like spontaneous formation of cluster in non-equilibrium dynamical states. It is worth to mention that some behavior of granular media can be connected to its ability to form a hybrid state between a fluid and a solid, considered as a multi-particle system [9].

It is interesting to indicate a recent result, in Ref. [10], where the authors, using a micro-gravity experiment that allows the spatially homogeneous excitation of spheres via magnetic fields, obtained a nonlinear fit of the experimental data using stretched exponential that

showed a parameter α near 1 (here we call this case a low level fractionality).

A model for vibrating granular gases was also considered in Ref. [11] giving rise to stretched exponential tails.

To tackle the study of alternative description the dynamics of granular gases, here we use theoretical approaches that are non-standard. We note that some classical systems are not well described by the Maxwell-Boltzmann- Gibbs statistic, so, this can leads to a generalized statistical mechanics. Complementary to the context of non-additive statistics, there are some local operators, as the Hausdorff derivative [12], intimately connected with the conformable derivative (CD) [13, 14] and some more general extensions, like the general conformable derivatives [15] and structural derivatives [16]. These local operators indicate to be capable of describing complex systems dynamic behaviors such as anomalous diffusion, creep and relaxation in fractal media and also to overcome high computing costs of the non-local fractional derivative [17]. Another local deformed derivative operator, the q -derivative emerges from generalized statistical mechanics [18], connected with Hausdorff derivative [19, 20]. For the sake of clarity, we can encompass all these local derivatives in a general nomenclature that we will call deformed derivatives (DD).

In order to better clarify the connection and justification of the use of this approach, we can say that the Hausdorff derivative is defined within an euclidean geometry but with a spatial fractal metric [21–24] or with a fractal time metric [25]. We can also say this for other DD operators. Through the mapping to a continuous fractal [21, 22], these derivatives can be related to the flux of a fluid in a fractal medium. The cost for this mapping is that it can occur certain deformation in the derivative describing the dynamical evolution of the system and that can lead to a generalized statistical physics. Note that even the non-local derivatives, like the Riemann Liouville fractional derivative can be conceived as a global structural derivative [26]. The expression of the Hausdorff derivative is identical to that given in eq. (2). For more details relating DD and non-Euclidean metric, the readers can consult Ref. [17]. Also, for the definition of stretched exponential stability the reader can consult the Ref. [27]. Concerning stretched exponential from generalized statistical mechanics, e.g., the Tsallis statistics, the reader can consult Ref. [28], where applications for granular gases are presented, indicating the importance of stretched exponential hole. In that refered article, the authors claim that a dynamical reason for fat tails can be a so-called super statistics, where one has a superposition of local Gaussian, whose variance fluctuates

on a rather large spatio-temporal scale.

In Ref. [29] we explore a generalized thermodynamics, with a quantum version of C_V .

With all of this in mind, here we can consider that the dynamical evolution of the granular system follows possible anomalous dynamics, characterized by different dynamical equations and with the presence of dissipation intrinsically. By this justification, we generalize the Langevin Equations (LE), to describe granular gases dynamics as dissipative systems and, for such intend we consider different forms of DD as derivatives which are included in the kinetic equations. As a consequence of this description, the geometry of phase-space, implicit in the choice of DD by the mapping to fractal continuous, has deep influence in the form of the solutions for the corresponding deformed LE .

Here we claim, as in Ref. [30], that new conceptions and approaches, such as DD , may allow us to understand new systems. In particular, the use of deformed derivatives (local), similarly to the (nonlocal) fractional calculus (FC), allows us to describe and emulate complex dynamics involving environmental variation, without the addition of explicit terms relating to this complexity in the dynamical equations describing the system, i.e, without explicit many-body, dissipation or geometrical terms [20].

Our article is outlined as follows. Section 2 addresses some brief comment and introduction about granular gases. In Section 3, we focus on the mathematical aspects of deformed derivative operators. In Section 4, some breaf comments about maximum entropy principle, using stretched exponential distribution and the influence of constrains is taken into account. In Section 5, one develop models of Langevin equation with deformed derivative operators. In Section 6, a temperature dependent specific heat for the stretched exponential distribution is developed. Finally, in Section 7, we present our general conclusions and possible paths for further investigations.

2. GRANULAR GASES

Granular gas are complex systems, in non-equilibrium state and composed of macroscopic particles [31] where energy dissipation is governed by binary inelastic collisions between particles or granules. The system is dilute and particles interact with each other through instantaneous inelastic processes, so that the interactions are a continuous sink of kinetic energy. Granular systems exhibit solid, liquid or gas-like behavior, depending on the external

condition owing to the difference in the dominant physical process of energy dissipation with many interesting behaviors. A non-equilibrium steady state can be sustained by a continuous energy injection (steady state) that if it is stopped, the system is brought into a freely evolving state [32].

In Ref. [32], under microgravity conditions, the frictional force was reduced and the authors claims to confirm that the velocity distribution function has the form $e^{(-\alpha|v|^\beta)}$. The value of exponent β depends on the conditions given in the reference. They also relates that in certain conditions the system's energy decays algebraically ($Tg = \frac{T_0}{(1+t/\tau)^\gamma}$), agreeing with Haff's law [33].

The statistical physics of granular gases is unusual and give rise to pronounced deviation from classical Boltzmann statistics, exhibiting interesting collective phenomena [34, 35]. To study the dynamics of such systems, we claim that the DD approach can be an alternative simple one, for naive models.

3. MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

3.1. Deformed Derivatives

Here we present some mathematical aspects as in Ref. [20] for the the sake of clarify.

The conformable derivative (CD) is defined as [13]

$$D_x^\alpha f(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + \epsilon x^{1-\alpha}) - f(x)}{\epsilon}, \quad (1)$$

with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

Note that the deformation is given in the independent variable. This is a local operator.

With a simple change of variable operation, $h = \epsilon x^{1-\alpha}$, CD can be written for differentiable functions as,

$$D_x^\alpha f = x^{1-\alpha} \frac{df}{dx}. \quad (2)$$

Connected with CD , the conformable integral is a Riemann improper integral [36]

$$I_x^\alpha f(x) = \int_0^x x'^{\alpha-1} f(x') dx', \quad (3)$$

in such a way that a fundamental theorem can be easily proved [13, 14]:

$$D_x^\alpha I_x^\alpha f(x) = f(x). \quad (4)$$

Also a local operator is the dual conformable derivative (*DCD*), which was introduced in Ref. [37] as the dual of *CD*.

$$\tilde{D}_x^\alpha f = f^{\alpha-1} \frac{df}{dx}, \quad (5)$$

Here, the deformation is related to the dependent variable.

A simple basic eigenvalue equation emerges and is given by the eq.(34) in ref. [37], as

$$[f(x)]^{\alpha-1} \frac{df(x)}{dx} = f(x). \quad (6)$$

Solving the equation (6), along with the condition $F(0) = 1$, leads to the following important function:

$$f(x) = [1 + (\alpha - 1)x]^{1/(\alpha-1)}. \quad (7)$$

Redefining the relevant parameter α in terms of the entropic parameter q , as $\alpha = 2 - q$, the solution to eq. (6) becomes

$$f(x) = [1 + (1 - q)x]^{1/(1-q)} = e_q(x), \quad (8)$$

that is exactly the $q - exponential$, $e_q(x)$ [18], ubiquitous in one version of generalized statistical mechanics [38].

The inverse function of $q - exponential$ is the $q - logarithm$ and is given by

$$\ln_q(x) = \frac{x^{1-q} - 1}{1 - q}, \quad (9)$$

since

$$\ln_q(e_q(x)) = e_q(\ln_q(x)) = x. \quad (10)$$

A useful relation that will be used forward can be easily proved:

$$[e_q(x)]^a = e_{1-\frac{(1-q)}{a}}(ax), \quad (11)$$

where a is a constant and q well known the entropic index.

The dual conformable integral can be put in the following way [37]:

$$\tilde{I}_x^\alpha f(x) = \int_0^x f(x')^{1-\alpha} f(x') dx'. \quad (12)$$

An analog of fundamental theorem of standard calculus, a fundamental theorem for *DCD* and its related integral, can be shown as [37]:

$$\tilde{D}_x^\alpha \tilde{I}_x^\alpha f(x) = f(x). \quad (13)$$

3.2. q-Derivative

The q -derivative emerges in the context of non-additive statistical mechanics and sets up a deformed algebra that takes into account that the q -exponential is eigenfunction of $D_{(q)}$ [18, 19]. The proposed operator for q -derivative is given by:

$$D_{(q)}f(x) \equiv \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x \ominus_q y} = [1 + (1 - q)x] \frac{df(x)}{dx}. \quad (14)$$

Here, \ominus_q is the deformed difference operator, $x \ominus_q y \equiv \frac{x-y}{1+(1-q)y}$ ($y \neq 1/(q-1)$).

There are intimate connections, see Ref. [19], between q -derivatives and conformable derivatives.

4. MAXIMUM ENTROPY PRINCIPLE WITH CONSTRAINT OF FINITE ν -MOMENT

In this section we prove that using a finite ν -moment and the maximum entropy principle, an stretched exponential function emerges as a natural and simple solution.

Following Ref. [1], we impose the supplementary condition, that the ν -moment is finite. The constraint is determinant in this approach.

$$\langle X^\nu \rangle = \int_\gamma |x^\nu| f(x) dx. \quad (15)$$

The entropy of the system being

$$S(F) = - \int_\gamma F(x) \ln(F(x)) dx. \quad (16)$$

Considering the functional

$$\Phi(F) = S(F) + \alpha \left(\int_{\gamma} F(x) dx - 1 \right) - \beta \left(\int_{\gamma} |x^{\nu}| F(x) dx - E_{\nu} \right), \quad (17)$$

and applying the variational approach, with $\delta\Phi = 0$, the result show a well known function,

$$F(x) = A \exp(-\beta|x^{\nu}|), \quad (18)$$

that is the *KWW* function.

$$A = \exp(\alpha - 1). \quad (19)$$

Other details can be found in the reference cited above. This is a very more simple result when compared to that of Ref. [39] and we indicate here the importance of the constraints to the final result.

5. MODELS OF LANGEVIN EQUATION WITH DEFORMED DERIVATIVES

Here, we pursue expressions for some general Langevin equation and its solutions based on some the previous works with different forms of *DD* [19, 21, 22, 24, 30, 37, 40–42].

Following the proposal of Ref. [20], the approach with *DD* is a simple and efficient option to obtain the equations describing the dynamics for a broad variety of systems. In Ref. [36], was suggested that with the extended variational formalism, it is possible to formulate Lagrangians that provide the equations describing the dynamics for diverse systems, including dissipative and non-linear systems, the description of stochastic processes and the heat transfer equation. Also, by adopting the piece wise form of *DD* embedded into the Lagrangian, there was shown that the formalism yields the correct equations of motion for such systems.

Also, we emphasize that the paradigm we adopt here [43] is different from the standard approach in the generalized statistical mechanics context [38], where the modification of entropy definition leads to the modification of algebra and consequently to the concept of derivative. We analyze indirectly the influence of a possible fractal geometry by the use of *DD*.

Within this context, it can possible justify some general results obtained in our article. We emphasize here, that this was structured by mapping to a continuous fractal space [21, 22] which leads naturally to the necessity of modifications in the derivatives, that gave

justifications to the use of DD [19, 40]. The modifications of derivatives, accordingly with the metric, brings to a change in the algebra involved, which in turn may conduct to a generalized statistical mechanics with some adequate definition of entropy. In short, the need for the use of the DD is inter-connected to the different degrees of freedom and also related to the reversibility/irreversibly process; some justifying details may be found in previous publications in Ref. [19, 30, 40–42]. We also claim that the use of DD may enable us to consider the effects of internal times of systems [30].

In what concerns relating the nonlocal Fractional Calculus (FC) and local operators like DD , it is worth mentioning similar reported responses, e.g, with viscoelastic systems [44], between Hausdorff derivative models and fractional derivative models, due to the presence of a power-law into the description of viscoelastic systems studied. The Hausdorff derivative has is in fact the same form of conformable derivative, for differentiable function; see section 2. In what follows, we consider only the average hydrodynamic loss between all the binary collision events. For this intention, we can write the hydrodynamic interaction particle as deformed LE , but here without considering explicitly the noise term $\eta(t)$.

In the sequence, we propose three possible dynamics description models for velocity distribution of granular gases, using different LE modified by different forms of DD .

5.0.1. Conformable Derivative Langevin Equation

Here by using the conformable derivative form of DD for differentiable functions, eq. (2), we can write the conformable Langevin-like equation without noise term $\eta(t)$ as

$$D_t^\alpha v(t) = -\lambda v(t), \quad (20)$$

The solution again is obtained directly from a simple integration and is given in terms of a stretched exponential as

$$v(t) = v_0 e^{\frac{-\lambda t^\alpha}{\alpha}}. \quad (21)$$

5.0.2. Dual conformable Derivative Langevin Equation

Now we follow by considering dual conformable derivative. In this case, the Langevin-like equations without noise term $\eta(t)$ assumes the form

$$\tilde{D}_t^\alpha v(t) = -\lambda v(t). \quad (22)$$

Substituting the explicit form of DCD in eq.(22), we can rewrite this, kinetic equation as

$$v^{\alpha-1} \frac{dv}{dt} = -\lambda v. \quad (23)$$

Note the possible equivalence with equation (26) in Ref. [34], by changing variables.

The solution of this equation can be found by simple integration and is given in terms of $q - exponential$ as power-law velocity distribution,

$$v(t) = v_0 \left[1 - (\alpha - 1) \frac{\lambda t}{v_0^{\alpha-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}, \quad (24)$$

that is Haff's-like law for granular gases. See and compare also eq.(1) in Ref. [10] and the eq.(27) in Ref. [34].

Another example of other applications of this kind of distribution in granular systems can be found Ref. [45], where the author claim that bubbling fluidized beds are very well fitted by a probability density function with power-law tails, following non-Gaussian statistics, typically used in the context of the Tsallis statistics.

Writing $v(0) = v_0$ and reparametrizing, by taking the entropic parameter $q' = 2 - \alpha$, we obtain

$$v(t) = v_0 e_{q'=2-\alpha} \left(\frac{-\lambda t}{v_0^{1-q'}} \right). \quad (25)$$

Equation (25) indicates a different dynamics, compatible with non-additive statistical mechanics. One interesting point to note is that the solution depends on the initial number of accessible states in both, multiplicative factor and the argument of $q - exponential$.

Precedents of q -exponential appearance and similar non-Gaussian distributions can be accessed in some article and show the consistence of the approach, e.g., using the stochastic approach. An attempt to obtain velocity probability distribution function $P(v)$ for a model system of granular gas within the framework of generalized statistical mechanics, can be

found in Ref. [46]. Also, in Ref. [47], the authors explore the origins of the macroscopic friction in confined granular materials using a q -Gaussian distribution, based on same non-Gaussian statistics and using porous media equation. In our recent work [48], using a variational approach with DCD , we have obtained the porous medium equation and presented insight for the solution in terms of the q -Gaussian.

5.0.3. The q -Derivative Langevin Equation

One can write the q -Langevin-like equation without noise term $\eta(t)$ as

$$D_{(q'')}v(t) = -\lambda v(t), \quad (26)$$

The solution, again is obtained directly from integration and is given in terms of a q -
~~exponential~~ exponential,

$$v(t) = v_0[e_{q''}(t)]^{-\lambda}, \quad (27)$$

with q'' as an entropic parameter, that in principle can be different from q or q' . This solution is again very related to Tallis non-additive entropy, since it is given in terms of the ubiquitous q -*exponential*.

Note also that considering the mean kinetic energy, $\frac{1}{2}m \langle v \rangle^2$, it is possible to obtain the granular temperature distribution $\langle T_g \rangle$ for all models using

$$\langle T_g \rangle = \frac{1}{2}m \langle v \rangle^2. \quad (28)$$

See figure (1) for comparisons of different solutions.




Figure 1. Simulations for the solutions of Langevin equation, eqs. (21, 24 and 27). The parameters used were $v_0=10\text{m/s}$; $\lambda = 0.5$; $\alpha = 0.38$;

In the sequence, we obtain a temperature dependent on specific heat for the granular gas model using the stretched exponential distribution, associated with the LE deformed by a *CD*.

6. PARTITION FUNCTION FOR STRETCHED EXPONENTIAL PROBABILITY

In this section, considering the complexity of a granular gas, we obtain thermodynamic quantities, based on the SEPD.

To pursue such thermodynamic results, we follow steps on Refs. [49, 50], but here the considered distribution is the SEPD.

Using appropriate units, we can write the partition function Z as

$$Z = \int_v d^\nu q_1 d^\nu q_2 \dots d^\nu q_N d^\nu p_1 d^\nu p_2 \dots d^\nu p_N e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}^\alpha}, \quad (29)$$

where β is the Boltzmann factor and the integration is over generalized coordinates q_i 's and momenta p_i 's. Here, V^N is the volume and finally, α , the exponent of a stretched exponential that will be better determined after some considerations.

With system's Hamiltonian $H(q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n, t)$ in a form of stretched exponential, the partition function can be written explicitly as:

$$Z = V^N \int_{-a}^{+a} \exp \left[-\beta \left(\frac{1}{2m} \right)^\alpha (p_1^2 + p_2^2 + \dots + p_N^2)^\alpha \right] dp_1^\nu dp_2^\nu \dots dp_N^\nu. \quad (30)$$

Again, as in Ref. [49], using spherical coordinates in a νN dimensional space and calling $p_1^2 + p_2^2 + \dots + p_N^2 = p^2$, we obtain for Z ,

$$Z = \frac{2\pi^{\nu N/2}}{\Gamma^{\nu N/2}} V^N \int_0^\infty \exp \left(-\frac{\beta}{(2m)^\alpha} p^{2\alpha} \right) p^{\nu N - 1} dp. \quad (31)$$

In order to solve the integral, we can make a change of variable:

$$u = \frac{\beta}{(2m)^\alpha} p^{2\alpha} \longrightarrow p = \left[\frac{(2m)^\alpha}{\beta} u \right]^{1/2\alpha} \quad (32)$$

and

$$du = \frac{\beta}{(2m)^\alpha} 2\alpha p^{2\alpha-1} dp. \quad (33)$$

By this way, we can write dp as

$$dp = \frac{(2m)^\alpha}{2\alpha\beta} p^{1-2\alpha} du = \frac{(2m)^\alpha}{2\alpha\beta} \left[\frac{(2m)^\alpha}{\beta} u \right]^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2\alpha}} du. \quad (34)$$

The partition function, Z , can now be written as

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \frac{\pi^{\nu N/2}}{\Gamma^{\nu N/2}} V^N \int_0^\infty e^{-u} \left[\frac{(2m)^\alpha}{\beta} u \right]^{(2\nu-1)/2\alpha} \frac{(2m)^\alpha}{2\alpha\beta} \left[\frac{(2m)^\alpha}{\beta} u \right]^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2\alpha}} du = \\ &= \frac{\pi^{\nu N/2}}{\Gamma^{\nu N/2}} V^N \left[\frac{(2m)^\alpha}{\beta} \right]^{(2\nu-1)/2\alpha} \frac{(2m)^\alpha}{2\alpha\beta} \left[\frac{(2m)^\alpha}{\beta} \right]^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2\alpha}} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{(2\nu-1)/2\alpha} u^{1-2\alpha/2\alpha} du = \\ &= C_{1(\nu, N, \alpha, m, \beta)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{(\nu N - 1 + 1 - 2\alpha)/2\alpha} du = C_{1(\nu, N, \alpha, m, \beta)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{(\nu N - 2\alpha)/2\alpha} du. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

In the eq.(35) we can identified the form of a gamma function Γ as

$$\Gamma(u) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{(\frac{\nu N}{2\alpha}-1)} du. \quad (36)$$

Following with the calculations, the pre factor in eq.(35) can be written, with some simple algebraic as

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &\equiv C_{1(\nu, N, \alpha, m, \beta)} = \frac{(2m)^{(\nu N - 2\alpha)/2}}{\beta^{(\nu N - 2\alpha)/2\alpha}} \frac{(2m)^\alpha}{2\beta\alpha} \left(\frac{\pi^{\nu N/2}}{\Gamma(\nu N/2)} V^N \right) = \\ &= \frac{(2m)^{(\nu N)/2}}{2\alpha\beta^{(\nu N)/2\alpha}} \left(\frac{\pi^{\nu N/2}}{\Gamma(\nu N/2)} V^N \right). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Finally, Z can be cast as

$$Z = \frac{(2m)^{(\nu N)/2}}{2\alpha\beta^{(\nu N)/2\alpha}} (\pi^{\nu N/2} V^N). \quad (38)$$

Note that

$$\frac{\nu N}{2\alpha} > 0 \implies \nu N > 0 \implies N > 0, \quad (39)$$

for acceptable physical solution and without the restrictions of poles in Plastino's papers [49, 50].

To calculate the mean energy $\langle U \rangle$, we proceed in a similar way, following Refs. [49, 50]:

$$Z * \langle U \rangle = V^N \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp \left[-\frac{\beta}{(2m)^\alpha} (p_1^2 + p_2^2 + \dots + p_N^2)^\alpha \right] \frac{(p_1^2 + p_2^2 + \dots + p_N^2)}{2m} d^\nu p_1 d^\nu p_2 \dots d^\nu p_N. \quad (40)$$

Integrating over the angles we find that,

$$Z * \langle U \rangle = \frac{2\pi^{\nu N/2}}{(2m)\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N}{2}\right)} \int_0^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{-\beta}{(2m)^\alpha} p^{2\alpha}\right) p^{\nu N+1} dp. \quad (41)$$

Changing conveniently the variable p , we can write

$$u = \frac{\beta}{(2m)^\alpha} p^{2\alpha} \implies p = \left[\frac{(2m)^\alpha u}{\beta} \right]^{1/2\alpha}, \quad (42)$$

and

$$du = \frac{\beta}{(2m)^\alpha} 2\alpha p^{2\alpha-1} dp. \quad (43)$$

So, dp and $p^{\nu N+1}$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} dp &= \frac{(2m)^\alpha}{2\alpha\beta} p^{1-2\alpha} du = dp = \frac{(2m)^\alpha}{2\alpha\beta} \left[\frac{(2m)^\alpha u}{\beta} \right]^{(1-2\alpha)/2\alpha} du, \\ p^{\nu N+1} &= \left[\frac{(2m)^\alpha u}{\beta} \right]^{(\nu N+1)/2\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

The product $Z * \langle U \rangle$ can be written as

$$Z * \langle U \rangle = \frac{V^N \pi^{\nu N/2}}{(2m)^\alpha \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} \left[\frac{(2m)^\alpha}{\beta} u \right]^{(\nu N+1)/2\alpha} \frac{(2m)^\alpha}{2\alpha\beta} \left[\frac{(2m)^\alpha u}{\beta} \right]^{(1-2\alpha)/2\alpha} du, \quad (45)$$

$$Z * \langle U \rangle = C_{2(\nu, N, \alpha, m, \beta)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{(\nu N+1)/2\alpha} u^{(1-2\alpha)/2\alpha} du, \quad (46)$$

where C_2 is the pre factor with terms not containing u .

$$\begin{aligned} Z * \langle U \rangle &= C_{2(\nu, N, \alpha, m, \beta)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{(\nu N+2-2\alpha)/2\alpha} du = \\ &= C_2 \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{(\nu N+2)/2\alpha-1} du. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Explaining the mean energy, we have

$$\langle U \rangle = \frac{C_{2(\nu, N, \alpha, m, \beta)}}{Z} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N + 2}{2\alpha}\right). \quad (48)$$

Note that the argument of the gamma function is positive, that is

$$\frac{\nu N + 2}{2\alpha} > 0. \quad (49)$$

In this way, it follows that $\nu N + 2 > 0 \implies N > -2/\nu \implies N > 0$, for physical solutions.

Again, there are no the restrictions of poles (see Refs. [49, 50]).

After some simple algebraic, the term C_2 can be written as

$$C_{2(\nu, N, \alpha, m, \beta)} = \frac{V^N (2m)^{(\nu N)/2}}{2\alpha} \frac{\pi^{\nu N/2}}{\beta^{(\nu N+2)/2\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N}{2}\right)}. \quad (50)$$

Using a correction factor [51]

$$\frac{1}{N!(2\pi\hbar)^{\nu N}}, \quad (51)$$

we can rewrite the term C_2 as:

$$C_2 \equiv C_{2(\nu, N, \alpha, m, \beta)} = \frac{1}{N!(2\pi\hbar)^{\nu N}} \frac{V^N (2m)^{(\nu N)/2}}{2\alpha \beta^{(\nu N+2)/2\alpha}} \frac{\pi^{\nu N/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N}{2}\right)}. \quad (52)$$

Finally, the Helmholtz free energy can also be written as:

$$F = -kT \ln Z_\alpha = -KT \left[-\ln N! - \ln(2\pi\hbar)^{\nu N} + \ln(\pi^{\nu N/2}) + \ln\left(\frac{2m^{\nu N}}{2\beta^{\nu/2}\alpha}\right) + N \ln V \right]. \quad (53)$$

6.0.1. The State Equation

Following standard thermodynamic relation, an unchanged state equation is obtained,

$$P = \frac{\partial F}{\partial V} = -KT \frac{N}{V}. \quad (54)$$

The expression above can indicate that the relation between Helmholtz free energy F and the volume V could be related by another kind of derivative, like deformed derivative [41].

Despite the unchanged state equation, the mean energy or internal energy expression, in eq. (48) changed, as was shown above.

6.1. The Internal Energy and Temperature-Dependent Specific Heat

Let us write explicitly the mean internal energy. With eq. (48) and eq.(38) written as $Z = C_1 \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N}{2\alpha}\right)$, the internal mean energy can be written as

$$\langle U \rangle = \frac{C_2}{C_1} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N + 2}{2\alpha}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N}{2\alpha}\right)}, \quad (55)$$

with $C_1 = \frac{(2m)^{(\nu N)/2}}{2\alpha\beta^{(\nu N)/2\alpha}} \left(\frac{\pi^{\nu N/2}}{\Gamma(\nu N/2)} V^N \right)$. By this way, $\frac{C_2}{C_1}$ is identified as

$$\frac{C_2}{C_1} = \frac{\beta^{\nu N/2}}{\beta^{(\nu N+2)/2}} = \beta \frac{\nu N - \nu N - 2}{2\alpha} = \beta^{-1/\alpha}. \quad (56)$$

The internal mean energy of the granular gas is then explained as

$$\langle U \rangle = \beta^{-1/\alpha} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N + 2}{2\alpha}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N}{2\alpha}\right)}. \quad (57)$$

It is possible to give a one parametric equation for the specific heat C_V , that we will show in what follows that depends on the temperature.

Performing a partial derivative on the mean energy, the C_V can now be written as

$$C_V = \frac{\partial \langle U \rangle}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\beta^{-1/\alpha} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N + 2}{2\alpha}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N}{2\alpha}\right)} \right), \quad (58)$$

or

$$C_V = \frac{K^{1/\alpha}}{\alpha} T^{(1-\alpha)/\alpha} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N + 2}{2\alpha}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N}{2\alpha}\right)}, \quad (59)$$

where K is the Boltzmann constant. The parameter α is an experimental fractal parameter and depend on the granular gas composition.

To perform the simulation, we will use the Stirling's formula, that allows derivation of the following asymptotic expansion for the ratio of gamma functions:

$$\frac{\Gamma(x+c)}{\Gamma(x)} \approx x^c. \quad (60)$$

With Stirling approximation, the equation (59) can be rewritten as

$$C_V \approx \frac{K^{1/\alpha}}{\alpha} T^{(1-\alpha)/\alpha} \left(\frac{\nu N}{2\alpha} \right)^{1/\alpha} \quad (61)$$

In order to better emulate the nature of a real gas, let us redefine the parameter α as $\alpha = 2 - \eta$. The equation (59) can be written now as

$$C_V \approx \frac{K^{1/(2-\eta)}}{2-\eta} T^{(\eta-1)/(2-\eta)} \left(\frac{\nu N}{2-\eta} \right)^{\frac{1}{(2-\eta)}}. \quad (62)$$

The reader can observe that in the Ref. [51] (Table 1, column 3), the specific heat at constant volume, C_V , for the noble gas Argon (*Ar*), present a low fractionality, α , that is, α is very close to one. This reinforce that the adequate approach for the thermodynamics

of granular systems, like noble gases, is not based on non local fractional calculus (FC) but instead, can be better described by fractal (or named deformed) derivatives, that are local an simpler than FC operators.

Here, α, η are dimensionless parameter only.

In Ref. [52] some values of C_V are shown.

In Figure (2) we plotted the experimental data obtained from Ref. [52] and adjusted via eq. (62) for C_V . As it can be seen, the fitting shows a good agreement with experimental data for temperatures above $300K$. For low temperatures, a quantum model has to be adapted.

In Figure 3 the reader can see a plotting of C_V versus parameter η , for temperatures of $200K$ and $500K$.

6.2. Consistence with classical approach of kinetic theory

Let us now examine the consistence of the relations here obtained with those of classical kinetic theory approach. For this intention let us make the parameter α tends to 1, $\alpha \rightarrow 1$: In this case

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_v &\rightarrow K \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N + 2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N}{2}\right)} = \\
 &= K \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N}{2} + 1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N}{2}\right)} = \\
 &= K \frac{\nu N}{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu N}{2}\right)} = \\
 &= K \frac{\nu N}{2}, \tag{63}
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that, for gamma function, is valid the relation $\Gamma(x + 1) = x \times \Gamma(x)$.

For $3D$ gas, that is, for $\nu = 3$, the specific heat turns out to be the well known classical one for the ideal gas,




Figure 2. C_V .vs. T for different values of parameter η . Experimental data for Argon (Ar) by Ref. [52].

$$C_v = \frac{3}{2}NK, \quad (64)$$

and the internal mean energy is

$$\langle U \rangle = KT \frac{\nu N}{2}. \quad (65)$$

When $\nu = 3$,

$$\langle U \rangle = \frac{3}{2}NKT, \quad (66)$$

that is the well known equipartition principle for classical ideal gases.




Figure 3. C_V .vs. η at different temperatures (K).

7. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK FOR FURTHER INVESTIGATIONS

In this contribution we begin by confirming that when we use constraints with finite momentum and the principle of maximum entropy, the Kohlrausch–Williams–Watts function, known as stretched exponential, emerges naturally and in a simpler way, when compared to results in the literature and following according to Ref.[1].

Using the DD mathematical tool, we obtain generalized expressions for the Langevin equation, as well as its solutions for three different forms of DD , including those connected with the so useful nonaddictive statistical mechanics. The Haff’s-like law for granular gases and its solutions were obtained. We call attention for the Ref. [29] with a generalized thermodynamics and quantum models.

In terms of the stretched exponential function, we calculate the partition function Z

for a granular gas system by building up the probability density and, from this partition function, we determine the internal energy of the system as well as the specific heat C_V , both dependent on temperature T . Comparisons with experimental data from [52] for Argon have shown good accordance above $250K$. For low temperature experiments, a quantum model is necessary as in Einstein-like model.

In Ref. [29] we are developing some quantum models for a generalized thermodynamics and will be published elsewhere.

The consistency with classical approach of kinetic theory for ideal gases was verified.

We emphasize that the adequate approach for thermodynamics (not quantum thermodynamics) of granular systems, like noble gases, is not based on non local FC but instead, can be better described by deformed derivatives in its diverse forms, that are local and simpler than FC operators, due to the low fractionality of these systems [51].

Acknowledgments: The authors wish to express their gratitude to FAPERJ, APQ1, for the partial financial support.

-
- [1] J.-R. Luévano, in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, Vol. 475 (IOP Publishing, 2013) p. 012008.
 - [2] M. O. Vlad, R. Metzler, T. F. Nonnenmacher, and M. C. Mackey, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **37**, 2279 (1996).
 - [3] A. Lukichev, *Physics Letters A* **383**, 2983 (2019).
 - [4] J. Laherrere and D. Sornette, *The European Physical Journal B-Condensed Matter and Complex Systems* **2**, 525 (1998).
 - [5] K. Górska, A. Horzela, K. A. Penson, G. Dattoli, and G. H. Duchamp, *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* **20**, 260 (2017).
 - [6] H. Maruoka, A. Nishimura, M. Yoshida, and K. Hatada, arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.07502 (2016).
 - [7] Y. Shokef, *Thermodynamic Analogies in Granular Materials* (Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Department of Physics, 2006).
 - [8] E. Ben-Naim and P. L. Krapivsky, in *Granular Gas Dynamics* (Springer, 2003) pp. 65–94.
 - [9] S. Luding, *Comptes Rendus Physique* **3**, 153 (2002).

- [10] P. Yu, M. Schröter, and M. Sperl, *Physical Review Letters* **124**, 208007 (2020).
- [11] T. Demaerel, W. De Roeck, and C. Maes, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **552**, 122179 (2020).
- [12] W. Chen, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **28**, 923 (2006).
- [13] R. Khalil, M. A. Horani, A. Yousef, and M. Sababheh, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* **264**, 65 (2014).
- [14] T. Abdeljawad, *Journal of computational and Applied Mathematics* **279**, 57 (2015).
- [15] D. Zhao and M. Luo, *Calcolo* **54**, 903 (2017).
- [16] W. Xu, W. Chen, Y. Liang, and J. Weberszpil, arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.01542 (2017).
- [17] W. Chen, F. Wang, B. Zheng, and W. Cai, *Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements* **84**, 213 (2017).
- [18] E. P. Borges, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **340**, 95 (2004).
- [19] J. Weberszpil, M. J. Lazo, and J. Helayël-Neto, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **436**, 399 (2015).
- [20] O. Sotolongo-Costa and J. Weberszpil, *Brazilian Journal of Physics* **51**, 635 (2021).
- [21] A. S. Balankin and B. E. Elizarraraz, *Physical Review E* **85**, 025302 (2012).
- [22] A. S. Balankin and B. E. Elizarraraz, *Physical Review E* **85**, 056314 (2012).
- [23] A. S. Balankin, B. Mena, J. Patiño, and D. Morales, *Physics Letters A* **377**, 783 (2013).
- [24] A. S. Balankin, J. Bory-Reyes, and M. Shapiro, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **444**, 345 (2016).
- [25] X. Yang, Y. Liang, and W. Chen, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* **76**, 1713 (2018).
- [26] X. Su, W. Chen, W. Xu, and Y. Liang, *Construction and Building Materials* **190**, 342 (2018).
- [27] W. Chen, X. Hei, H. Sun, and D. Hu, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* **109**, 259 (2018).
- [28] C. Beck, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **365**, 96 (2006).
- [29] A. Crisan, R. S. Facundo, C. F. L. Godinho, I. V. Vancea, and J. Weberszpil, To be Published.
- [30] J. Weberszpil and O. Sotolongo-Costa, *Journal of Advances in Physics* **13**, 4779 (2017).
- [31] H.-Q. Wang, *Experiments and simulations on granular gases* (University of Massachusetts Amherst, 2010).
- [32] S. Tatsumi, Y. Murayama, H. Hayakawa, and M. Sano, *Journal of fluid mechanics* **641**, 521 (2009).

- [33] P. Haff, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **134**, 401 (1983).
- [34] E. Ben-Naim, B. Machta, and J. Machta, *Physical Review E* **72**, 021302 (2005).
- [35] H. J. Herrmann, S. Luding, and R. Caferio, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **295**, 93 (2001).
- [36] A. P. Leopoldino, J. Weberszpil, C. F. Godinho, and J. A. Helayël-Neto, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **60**, 083507 (2019).
- [37] W. Rosa and J. Weberszpil, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* **117**, 137 (2018).
- [38] C. Tsallis, *Journal of statistical physics* **52**, 479 (1988).
- [39] C. Anteneodo and A. R. Plastino, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **32**, 1089 (1999).
- [40] J. Weberszpil and J. A. Helayël-Neto, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **450**, 217 (2016).
- [41] J. Weberszpil and W. Chen, *Entropy* **19**, 407 (2017).
- [42] J. Weberszpil and J. A. Helayël-Neto, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **117**, 50006 (2017).
- [43] J. Weberszpil and J. A. Helayel-Neto, *JOURNAL OF ADVANCES IN PHYSICS* **13**, 4751 (2017).
- [44] X. Su, W. Xu, W. Chen, and H. Yang, *Mechanics of Materials* , 103222 (2019).
- [45] S. Gheorghiu, J. Van Ommen, and M.-O. Coppens, *Physical Review E* **67**, 041305 (2003).
- [46] F. Sattin, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **36**, 1583 (2003).
- [47] G. Combe, V. Richefeu, M. Stasiak, and A. P. Atman, *Physical review letters* **115**, 238301 (2015).
- [48] J. Weberszpil, C. Godinho, and Y. Liang, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **128**, 31001 (2020).
- [49] A. Plastino and M. C. Rocca, *Physical Review E* **95**, 062110 (2017).
- [50] A. Plastino and M. Rocca, *Chinese Physics C* **42**, 053102 (2018).
- [51] R. Magomedov, R. Meilanov, R. Meilanov, E. Akhmedov, V. Beybalaev, and A. Aliverdiev, *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry* **133**, 1189 (2018).
- [52] B. A. Mamedov, E. Somuncu, and I. M. Askerov, *Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer* **32**, 984 (2018).