

SOME REAL REL TRAJECTORIES IN $\mathcal{H}(1,1)$ THAT ARE NOT RECURRENT

CARLOS OSPINA

ABSTRACT. We study the real Rel orbits of some translation surfaces in the stratum $\mathcal{H}(1,1)$. Specifically, surfaces that are tremors of the locus of branched double covers of tori. We give necessary and sufficient conditions on tremors of a surface so that the real Rel orbit is recurrent. As a consequence, we are able to provide explicit examples of trajectories of real Rel that are not recurrent.

1. INTRODUCTION

For $g \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$ the strata of translation surfaces $\mathcal{H}(r_1, \dots, r_k)$ contains translation surfaces of genus g with k singularities of cone angle $2(r_i + 1)\pi$.

If $k \geq 2$, there is a foliation of dimension $k - 1$ called real Rel —the formal definitions are in [Section 2.5](#). Two sufficiently close surfaces in a leaf are obtained from each other via surgery which only modifies the position among different singularities in the horizontal direction. When $k = 2$, the leaves of this foliation have dimension one and determine a directional flow. We denote by $Rel_t(\cdot)$ the real Rel flow in this situation.

Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}(1,1)$ be the subset of translation surfaces that are two identical tori glued along a slit. See [Section 2.7](#) for more details. If $M \in \mathcal{E}$ is horizontally aperiodic and non-minimal, then we can represent M by a slit construction $T_1 \#_I T_2$, where $T = T_1 = T_2$ is a horizontally minimal torus and I (the slit) is a horizontal segment embedded on T . In this case, $Rel_t M$ for $t \in (0, \infty)$ makes the slit longer and maintains it horizontally. We say $N \in \mathcal{H}(1,1)$ is **recurrent** if there exists a sequence $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} Rel_{t_i} N = N$. Let $T = \mathbb{R}^2/\Lambda$ be a torus and let (a, b) and (c, d) be two generators of Λ . Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $M \in \mathcal{E}$ be a horizontally aperiodic and non-minimal surface and let $\beta \in \mathcal{T}_M$ be a tremor such that $\beta \neq ldy$ for all $l \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, the surface tremor βM is recurrent if and only if $\frac{b}{a} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ is well approximable.*

Tremors were introduced in [\[CSW20\]](#) to describe the action of the horocycle flow and can be considered as deformations, given transverse invariant measures of the horizontal foliation. The real Rel flow commutes with tremors and we use this property to exhibit different behaviors of the real Rel flow.

1.1. History on Rel. The real Rel foliation is a subfoliation of larger foliation, the Rel foliation. Other names for the Rel foliation that appear in the literature are kernel foliation, absolute period foliation, and isoperiodic foliation. The topological and measure theoretical properties of the Rel foliation and the real Rel flow have been an active area of research in recent years. Previous mentions of this foliation appear in [Zor06, Section 9.6], which proves that the Rel leaf of a square tile surface in $\mathcal{H}(1,1)$ is again a square tile surface. Therefore, it is a compact leaf. Zorich’s survey mentions other works related to the Rel foliation. For example, [Cal04] and [McM03].

Recently, in [HW18], it was shown that for $g \geq 3$, the Arnoux-Yoccoz surface of genus g has a divergent real Rel trajectory in $\mathcal{H}(g-1, g-1)$. That is, the trajectory leaves every compact set and never returns. Another example is in [Win22], where dense real Rel orbits are exhibited in connected components of translation surfaces with area one and $k \geq 2$. Other results related to the ergodicity of the Rel foliation are [McM14], [CDF23], [Ham18] and [Win21].

In [CW23] it was proven that real Rel flow is mixing of all orders with respect to the Masur-Veech measure, which implies ergodicity of real Rel with respect to the same measure.

It is still an open problem the complete classification of the orbit closures of real Rel, but this work shows that there are interesting behaviors.

A consequence of **Theorem 1.1** is the following statement:

Theorem 1.2. *There are real Rel trajectories in $\mathcal{H}(1,1)$ that are not recurrent and non-divergent.*

Combining the ergodicity results in the work of Chaika and Weiss [CW23], we learn that the behavior in **Theorem 1.2** is non-generic, i.e. Masur-Veech almost every surface is real Rel recurrent.

[MW02, Theorem H1] shows that horocycle trajectories are non-divergent. An open and interesting question related to this is:

Question 1. *Is there a horocycle orbit that is not recurrent?*

The organization of this paper is as follows: **Section 2** contains all the definitions and the settings for the statement of **Theorem 1.1**. In **Section 3**, we state **Theorem 3.1**, which by explicit arguments proves the *if* in **Theorem 1.1**. In **Section 4**, we will prove the *only if* direction of **Theorem 1.1** using **Theorem 4.1**.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wants to thank Jon Chaika for all the helpful conversations and comments through the development of this work.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we set up our basic definitions and notation. These definitions are in [BSW22, CSW20], but for other helpful approaches, see [Zor06, MT02, Yoc10].

2.1. Equivalent definitions of translation surfaces. We define a **translation surface** M to be a compact, oriented surface constructed by identifying pairs of edges in a finite collection of polygons P_1, \dots, P_m . In other words, $M = \cup P_i / \sim$. Suppose that all the polygons are oriented counter-clockwise, the identification \sim “glues” two edges e_i and e_j that are parallel, of the same length and with opposite orientations. Embedding the polygons in \mathbb{C} , there is a translation $z \mapsto z + c_{ij}$ from an edge e_i to an edge e_j , thus $z \sim z'$ for $z \in e_i$ and $z' \in e_j$ if and only if $z' = z + c_{ij}$. Define $\Sigma := \Sigma_M \subset M$ to be the points whose representatives in the polygons are vertices. These points are called **singularities**. It is known that the angles around the vertices are of the form $2\pi(r_j + 1)$ for $r_j \geq 0$. Moreover, let g be the genus of M and $k = |\Sigma|$. Then by the Riemann-Roch theorem, $\sum_{i=1}^k r_i = 2g - 2$. When $r_i = 0$, the singularity is called a **marked point**.

In this work, we will use two more equivalent definitions of translation surfaces for different purposes. See, for instance in [AM24, Three definitions]. A translation surface is a tuple $M = (X, \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}, \{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}})$ where X is a compact surface, $\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a collection of charts, $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ is an open cover of $X \setminus \Sigma$ and the transition maps $\varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$ are restrictions of translations of \mathbb{C} : $z \mapsto z + c_{\alpha\beta}$. These charts determine a holomorphic differential ω on $X \setminus \Sigma$ by pulling back the holomorphic form dz on \mathbb{C} . It can be extended to X . The zeros of this 1-form are contained in Σ and a_i is the order of the zeros. The last equivalent definition of a translation surface is a pair $M = (X, \omega)$ where X is a compact Riemann surface and ω is a nontrivial holomorphic differential.

2.2. The horizontal flow. The charts determine singular foliations on M by pulling back a foliation on \mathbb{R}^2 . We are interested in two singular foliations on M , the **vertical foliation** and the **horizontal foliation**. Similarly, we denote by dx and dy the 1-forms determined by the canonical forms on \mathbb{R}^2 . This means that the vertical (resp. horizontal) foliation is the integration of the vector field $dx = 0$ (resp. $dy = 0$).

We note that the singularities of M are the foliation’s singularities. Also, the charts define a canonical measure Leb on M , $A \subset M \cap U_\alpha$ is measurable if and only if $\varphi_\alpha(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is measurable and $\text{Leb}(A)$ is equal to the Lebesgue measure of $\varphi_\alpha(A)$. Let $U \subset M$ be the union of non-singular leaves. Then the horizontal flow $\mathcal{F}^t : U \rightarrow U$ is well defined for all time t . We say that the horizontal flow is **minimal** if all the \mathcal{F} -orbits are dense. We say that M is **horizontally minimal** if \mathcal{F} is minimal. If a leaf γ of some foliation starts and ends at singularities (possibly distinct singularities) with no singularities inside, then the path it determines is called a **saddle connection**. The integral $\int_\gamma \omega \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is called the **holonomy** vector of γ .

2.3. Strata of translation surfaces, marked strata, period map, and local coordinates. Let M_1 and M_2 be translation surfaces of type (r_1, \dots, r_k) . A **translation equivalence** is a smooth orientation preserving homeomorphism $\phi : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ that maps singularities to singularities and its derivative is the identity matrix. Fix g, k and $\{r_1, \dots, r_k\}$ with

$\sum r_i = 2g - 2$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}(r_1, \dots, r_k)$ the **stratum** of translation surfaces up to translation equivalence where the singularities are of type (r_1, \dots, r_k) .

This work will consider two strata: $\mathcal{H}(0)$ and $\mathcal{H}(1,1)$. The first contains genus one translation surfaces with one marked point and the second contains genus two translation surfaces with two different singularities of cone angle 4π . [McM07, Theorem 7.1] proves that any surface $M \in \mathcal{H}(1,1)$ can be represented by a slit construction with two tori $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{H}(0)$. For example in [Figure 1](#), the construction of $M \in \mathcal{H}(1,1)$ satisfies that $T_1 = T_2$.

Let S be an oriented surface of genus g and let Σ be set of k distinct points ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k . Our topological model will be the pair (S, Σ) . A **marked translation surface** is a pair (f, M) , where M a translation surface of type (r_1, \dots, r_k) and an orientation preserving homeomorphism $f : S \rightarrow M$, where the marked points $\Sigma \subset S$ are mapped to the singularities of M . Two marked translation surfaces (f_1, M_1) and (f_2, M_2) are equivalent if there exists a translation equivalence $\phi : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and the maps $\phi \circ f_1$ and f_2 are isotopic relative to the marked points Σ . We denote the set of equivalence classes of marked translation surfaces of type (r_1, \dots, r_k) by $\mathcal{H}_m(r_1, \dots, r_k)$ and call it **marked stratum**.

Recall that in [Section 2.2](#), a translation surface $M = (X, \omega) \in \mathcal{H}$, determines two differential forms dx and dy . These determine a cohomology class $hol_M \in H^1(X, \Sigma; \mathbb{R}^2)$ by

$$hol_M(\gamma) = (hol^{(x)}(\gamma), hol^{(y)}(\gamma)),$$

where $hol^{(x)}(\gamma) = \int_\gamma dx$ and $hol^{(y)}(\gamma) = \int_\gamma dy$. Note that when we write $hol_M^{(x)}$ instead of $hol^{(x)}$, we are emphasizing that the cohomology class is determined by the translation surface M . We can do the same for $hol_M^{(y)}$ instead of $hol^{(y)}$.

This determines the map

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{dev} : \mathcal{H}_m &\rightarrow H^1(S, \Sigma; \mathbb{R}^2) \\ [(f, M)] &\mapsto f^*(hol_M) \end{aligned}$$

such that for every $\gamma \in H_1(S, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$, then $f^*(hol_M)(\gamma) = hol_M(f_*(\gamma))$. We denote $hol_{(f, M)} := hol_M(f_*(\gamma))$, likewise $hol_{(f, M)}^{(x)}$ and $hol_{(f, M)}^{(y)}$.

In [[BSW22](#), Proposition 2.1] and in [[CSW20](#), Section 2.2], it was proven that this map is a local homeomorphism and it provides the structure of an affine manifold to \mathcal{H}_m .

2.3.1. Orbifold structure of \mathcal{H} . The pure mapping class group of (S, Σ) denoted by $\text{Mod}(S, \Sigma)$ is the group of isotopy classes of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of S that fix Σ pointwise. The group $\text{Mod}(S, \Sigma)$ acts on \mathcal{H}_m by right actions on the marking map, i.e if h is a group element and $[(f, M)]$ is a marking, then $h[(f, M)] := [(f \circ h^{-1}, M)]$. The action is transitive on the classes of marking maps of a given translation surface M . Indeed, if $[(f, M)]$ and $[(g, M)]$ are two different classes, then the group element h whose representative is $g^{-1} \circ f$ satisfies that $h[(f, M)] = [(g, M)]$. This proves

that the map $\varpi : \mathcal{H}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ that forgets the marking is the quotient map. Denote by $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_m / \text{Mod}(S, \Sigma)$ the quotient.

We will construct an atlas of charts for \mathcal{H}_m . Consider (f, M) a marked translation surface. Denote by τ a triangulation on S that is the pullback of a geodesic triangulation of M whose set of vertices is Σ . We will assume that the triangulation $f(\tau)$ is invariant by the action of the group Γ_M of translation equivalences of M . The map dev assigns $[(f, M)]$ to the cohomology class $f^*(\text{hol}_M)$. In particular, this class assigns the edges of τ to non-zero vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 and maps non-degenerate triangles of τ to non-degenerate triangles in \mathbb{R}^2 . Denote by $U_\tau \subset H^1(S, \Sigma; \mathbb{R}^2)$ an open neighborhood of $f^*(\text{hol}_M)$ whose elements map non-degenerate triangles of τ to non-degenerate triangles in \mathbb{R}^2 with the same orientations. The authors in [CSW20, Section 2.2] defined the map $\Psi_\tau : U_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_m$ by assigning $\beta \in U_\tau$ to the marked translation surface $[(\text{Aff}_\beta \circ f, M_\beta)]$. The translation surface M_β is the result of gluing the triangles in \mathbb{R}^2 generated by β . The map $\text{Aff}_\beta : M \rightarrow M_\beta$ is the piecewise affine map that sends each triangle of M to the corresponding triangle in M_β . If $V_\tau = \Psi_\tau(U_\tau)$, then by construction, the map $\Phi_\tau : V_\tau \rightarrow U_\tau$, $\Phi_\tau([(g, N)]) = \text{dev}([g, N])$ is a local inverse and shows that the collection of charts $\{(U_\tau, \Phi_\tau)\}$ is an affine structure for \mathcal{H}_m .

The family of charts $\{(U_\tau, \mathcal{G}_M, \varpi \circ \Psi_\tau)\}$ defines an orbifold structure for \mathcal{H} . Each orbifold chart consists of the open set U_τ , a map $\varpi \circ \Psi_\tau : U_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ that is \mathcal{G}_M invariant. The local group \mathcal{G}_M is the isomorphism class of the subgroup of $\text{Mod}(S, \Sigma)$ whose elements are determined by the isotopy classes of the maps $f^{-1} \circ g \circ f$, where $f : S \rightarrow M$ is a fixed marking map and g varies over the translation equivalence group Γ_M . The open set U_τ can be replaced by a smaller open subset $U'_\tau \subset U_\tau$ such that the map $U'_\tau / \mathcal{G}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ induced by $\varpi \circ \Psi_\tau$ is injective.

In [CSW20, Section 2.3] it is shown that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(r_1, \dots, r_k)$ has an affine orbifold structure. Moreover, the splitting

$$(2) \quad H^1(S, \Sigma; \mathbb{R}^2) = H^1(S, \Sigma; \mathbb{R}_x) \oplus H^1(S, \Sigma; \mathbb{R}_y),$$

implies that the real dimension of \mathcal{H} is $2(2g + k - 1)$. We will denote the tangent space of $M \in \mathcal{H}$ by $T_M \mathcal{H}$ and the tangent bundle of \mathcal{H} by $T\mathcal{H}$. From the previous discussion, we can assume that $T(\mathcal{H}_m) = \mathcal{H}_m \times H^1(S, \Sigma; \mathbb{R}^2)$.

2.4. The G action on strata. Denote by $G = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, and the subgroups $U = \{u_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $A = \{g_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ with

$$u_s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$g_s = \begin{pmatrix} e^s & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-s} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From now on, we assume that G acts on \mathbb{R}^2 by linear maps. Suppose M is a translation surface constructed with the polygons P_1, \dots, P_m . For any $g \in G$, the translation surface gM is defined by the polygons gP_1, \dots, gP_m

and the gluing of edges ge_i and ge_j assuming the edges e_i and e_j were glued to form M . The G -action is equivariant with respect to the map hol_M , i.e.

$$hol_{gM} = ghol_M.$$

Analogously, there is a G -action on the marked stratum \mathcal{H}_m that is ϖ -equivariant. We omit the details and refer the reader to [CSW20, Section 2.4].

2.5. Rel foliation and real Rel. Following [BSW22], consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X; \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow H^0(\Sigma; \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Sigma; \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow H^1(X; \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $Res : H^1(X, \Sigma; \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow H^1(X; \mathbb{R}^2)$ is the restriction map since there is a natural inclusion $H_1(X; \mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow H_1(X, \Sigma; \mathbb{R}^2)$. The kernel of Res can be identified with $\mathfrak{R} = H^0(\Sigma)/H^0(X)$ and the vector space $H^1(X, \Sigma; \mathbb{R}^2)$ can be foliated by translates of \mathfrak{R} . Moreover, this foliation determines a foliation of \mathcal{H} , called **Rel foliation**. Since the dimension of \mathfrak{R} determines the dimension of the leaves of the Rel foliation, their real dimension is $2(k-1)$. For example in $\mathcal{H}(1,1)$, since $k=2$ the leaves have real dimension 2. Intuitively, two nearby translation surfaces are in the same leaf if the holonomy of their simple closed geodesics is the same and the holonomy of saddle connections differs only in the horizontal component.

Additionally, the splitting in Equation (2) determines the splitting of \mathfrak{R} into $\mathfrak{R}_x \oplus \mathfrak{R}_y$ and similarly to the definition of the Rel foliation, we obtain two different sub-foliations of \mathcal{H} . The sub-foliation determined by \mathfrak{R}_x is called **real Rel** foliation and the foliation determined by \mathfrak{R}_y is called the **imaginary Rel** foliation. Both foliations have real dimension $k-1$. In the case of stratum $\mathcal{H}(r_1, r_2)$, the real Rel foliation determines a flow, the **real Rel flow**. We denote this flow by Rel_t .

Given $M \in \mathcal{H}$, the real Rel flow $t \mapsto Rel_t M$ is defined for all $t \in I_M \subset \mathbb{R}$, where I_M is an open interval containing 0. Extending the flow to a larger interval or even \mathbb{R} is related to potential collisions of singularities. For a formal explanation of this issue, see [BSW22, Theorem 6.1]. The following summarizes important properties of the real Rel flow.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(1,1)$ and $M \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval containing 0. Then:*

(i) *If $Rel_t M$ is defined for all $t \in I$, then for all $t \in I$, and all $s \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$g_s Rel_t M = Rel_{e^s t} g_s M \quad \text{and} \quad u_s Rel_t M = Rel_t u_s M.$$

(ii) *If $Rel_{s+t} M$, $Rel_s M$, and $Rel_t M$ are defined, then*

$$Rel_{s+t} M = Rel_s Rel_t M = Rel_t Rel_s M.$$

(iii) *Let $\Lambda_M \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the set*

$$\Lambda_M = \{hol_M(\gamma) : \gamma \text{ is a saddle connection from } \zeta_2 \text{ to } \zeta_1\},$$

then $Rel_t M$ is well defined if and only if for all $v \in \Lambda_M$ and $s \in (0, t)$ (or $s \in (t, 0)$),

$$v - (s, 0) \neq (0, 0).$$

Proposition 2.1 (i) follows from [BSW22, Proposition 4.4] and that the subgroup AU leaves horizontal directions invariant. **Proposition 2.1 (ii)** was proven in [BSW22, Proposition 4.5]. **Proposition 2.1 (iii)** follows from [BSW22, Corollary 6.2].

2.6. Tremors. We refer [CSW20, Section 2.5] for the following definitions. A **transverse measure** ν on a translation surface M is a family $\{\nu_\gamma\}$ of Borel measures indexed by transverse curves to the horizontal foliation γ . ν_γ is defined on γ and is finite and non-negative. Also, these measures are invariant by isotopies preserving the horizontal foliation and if $\gamma' \subset \gamma$ then $\nu_{\gamma'}$ is the restriction of ν_γ to γ' . We will consider only non-atomic transverse measures.

A **signed transverse measure** ν is a family of Borel measures $\{\nu_\gamma\}$ that satisfy the same properties of a transverse measure although ν_γ can assume negative values. By Hahn decomposition, we can uniquely represent ν_γ as the difference of two non-negative measures: $\nu_\gamma^+ - \nu_\gamma^-$. Thus we write $\nu = \nu^+ - \nu^-$. We say ν is non-atomic if ν^+ and ν^- are non-atomic. In [CSW20, Section 2.3] it was shown that a (signed) transverse measure ν determines a cohomology class $\beta_\nu \in H^1(M, \Sigma; \mathbb{R})$. We call a cohomology class a **(signed) foliation cocycle** if it is determined in this way.

Let $M \in \mathcal{H}$ and dy be the differential form in **Section 2.3**. dy defines the transverse measure $\gamma \mapsto \int_\gamma dy$. To simplify the notation, we write dy for this transverse measure and $hol_M^{(y)}$ for the corresponding foliation cocycle.

We denote by $\mathcal{T}_M \subset H^1(M, \Sigma; \mathbb{R})$ the space of signed foliation cocycles of M and $C_M^+ \subset \mathcal{T}_M$ the cone of foliation cocycles. Identifying \mathbb{R} with \mathbb{R}_x , we can see \mathcal{T}_M is a subspace of

$$H^1(M, \Sigma; \mathbb{R}^2) = H^1(M, \Sigma; \mathbb{R}_x) \oplus H^1(M, \Sigma; \mathbb{R}_y).$$

The following results relate transverse and invariant measures of the horizontal flow. See [CSW20, Proposition 2.3].

Proposition 2.2. *For each non-atomic transverse measure ν there exists an \mathcal{F} -invariant measure μ_ν with*

$$\mu_\nu(A) = \nu(v)l(h)$$

for every isometrically embedded rectangle A with one horizontal side h and another side v orthogonal to the horizontal direction, where l is the Euclidean length. The map $\nu \mapsto \mu_\nu$ is a bijection between non-atomic transverse measures and \mathcal{F} -invariant measures that assign zero measure to horizontal leaves. It extends to a bijection between non-atomic signed transverse measures and \mathcal{F} -invariant signed measures assigning zero measure to horizontal leaves.

For $M \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\beta = \beta_\nu \in \mathcal{T}_M$, let μ_ν be the invariant measure in **Proposition 2.2**. The **signed mass** of β is $L_M(\beta) := \mu_\nu(M)$. In particular, if $\beta \in C_M^+$, then $L_M(\beta) \geq 0$. Writing a signed transverse measure ν of the form $\nu^+ - \nu^-$, then every $\beta \in \mathcal{T}_M$ can be written $\beta = \beta^+ - \beta^-$ with $\beta^+, \beta^- \in C_M^+$. We denote the **total variation** by $|L|_M(\beta) = L_M(\beta^+) + L_M(\beta^-)$. The

signed mass $L_M(\beta)$ is also equal to evaluating the cup product $hol_M^{(x)} \smile \beta$ on the fundamental class of M . This last observation implies that the map $(\beta, M) \mapsto L_M(\beta)$ is continuous.

Recall that for $M \in \mathcal{H}$, we denote the tangent space of \mathcal{H} at M by $T_M\mathcal{H}$. In [Section 2.3](#), (and also in [[CSW20](#), Section 2.2]), $T_M\mathcal{H}$ is identified with $H^1(M, \Sigma; \mathbb{R}^2)$. Thus, we regard \mathcal{T}_M as a subspace of $T_M\mathcal{H}$.

The following summarizes the properties of the previous objects. Proofs can be found in [[CSW20](#), Section 4].

Proposition 2.3. *The following hold:*

(i) *The set*

$$C_{\mathcal{H},1}^+ = \{(M, \beta) : \beta \in C_M^+, L_M(\beta) = 1\}$$

is a closed subset of $T\mathcal{H}$.

(ii) *Suppose $M \in \mathcal{H}$ has area one and \mathcal{F} is uniquely ergodic. If $\{(M_n, \beta_n)\}$ is a sequence with $\beta_n \in C_{M_n}^+$ with $L_{M_n}(\beta_n) = 1$ such that $M_n \rightarrow M$, then $\beta_n \rightarrow hol_M^{(y)}$.*

2.6.1. *Tremor map.* For $\widehat{M} := [(f, M)] \in \mathcal{H}_m$, note that $C_{\widehat{M}}^+ := f^*(C_M^+)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{M}} := f^*(\mathcal{T}_M)$ are subspaces of $H^1(S, \Sigma; \mathbb{R}_x)$.

For $\beta \in \mathcal{T}_{\widehat{M}}$, we define $trem_{\beta}\widehat{M} := \theta(1)$ where $\theta(t)$ is the solution of the differential equation $\frac{d\theta}{dt}(0) = \beta$ and $\theta(0) = \widehat{M}$. By working the definitions, we obtain that for every $\gamma \in H_1(S, \Sigma)$, then

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} hol_{\theta(t)}^{(x)}(\gamma) &= hol_{\widehat{M}}^{(x)}(\gamma) + t\beta(\gamma) \\ hol_{\theta(t)}^{(y)}(\gamma) &= hol_{\widehat{M}}^{(y)}(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

In [[CSW20](#), Proposition 4.8] it was shown that the solution to this differential equation has domain \mathbb{R} for any non-atomic foliation cocycle β and that the composition $trem_{\beta}M := \varpi(trem_{\beta}\widehat{M})$ is well defined. In particular, writing $trem_{sd\mathbf{y}}M := trem_{shol^{(y)}}M$, we obtain that $u_sM = trem_{shol^{(y)}}M$ by [Equation \(3\)](#).

The following are properties of the tremor map and its interaction with other maps. See [[CSW20](#), Section 6] for more information.

Proposition 2.4. *For every $M \in \mathcal{H}$, the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathcal{T}_M$, then $trem_{\beta_1+\beta_2}M = trem_{\beta_1}(trem_{\beta_2}M)$, where $\beta_1 \in \mathcal{T}_M$ can be identified with a unique foliation cocycle $\beta_1 \in \mathcal{T}_{trem_{\beta_2}M}$.*
- (2) *For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{T}_M$,*

$$g_s(trem_{\beta}M) = trem_{e^s\beta}(g_sM) \quad \text{and} \quad u_s(trem_{\beta}M) = trem_{\beta}(u_sM).$$

- (3) *If Rel_tM is defined for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $Rel_t(trem_{\beta}M) = trem_{\beta}Rel_tM$.*

2.7. **The locus \mathcal{E} .** By [[McM07](#), Section 7], we can represent any surface in $M \in \mathcal{H}(1,1)$ as a slit construction: $M = T_1 \#_I T_2$, a connected sum of two tori T_1 and T_2 along a straight segment I embedded into each T_i . We will provide a simple example below.




FIGURE 1. An example of a surface $M \in \mathcal{H}(1,1)$. M is constructed by taking two tori and a segment of the same length and orientation; the tori are glued along the sides of the segments as indicated above.

A map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a **translation covering map** if $f^{-1}(\Sigma_N) = \Sigma_M$ and $f : M \setminus \Sigma_M \rightarrow N \setminus \Sigma_N$ is covering map that preserves the translation structures. Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}(1,1)$ be the collection of translation surfaces for which there exists translation covering on to a surface in $\mathcal{H}(0,0)$.

2.7.1. A simple slit construction. Let T_1 and T_2 be identical copies of a torus $T \in \mathcal{H}(0,0)$. Denote by p_i for $i = 1, 2$ the marked points and consider a straight segment $I \subset T$ with endpoints at the marked points. *Slitting* open both T_i along I , we obtain edges and glue them as indicated in **Figure 1** to obtain $M = T_1 \#_I T_2$. The angle around the two vertices ζ_1 and ζ_2 is 4π and thus $M \in \mathcal{H}(1,1)$. Moreover, this construction implies that $M \in \mathcal{E}$. Indeed, let $\pi : M \rightarrow T$ be the map that sends ζ_i to p_i and that sends $x \in M \setminus \{\zeta_1, \zeta_2\}$ to the corresponding point in T after forgetting which copy T_1 or T_2 it belongs to. This map π is a translation covering map. We call p_1, p_2 branch points since these translation covering maps are branched coverings.

We have the following:

Lemma 2.5. *Let $M = T_1 \#_I T_2 \in \mathcal{E}$ and $M' = T_1 \#_{I'} T_2 \in \mathcal{E}$ be two translation surfaces with $T = T_1 = T_2$ and the endpoints of I and I' be $p_1 \neq p_2 \in T$. Then $M = M'$ if and only if $[I] = [I']$ in $H_1(T, \{p_1, p_2\}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.*

Sketch of the proof. For the formal proof see [CSW20, Propositions 3.1 and 3.2]. We include a sketch to orient the reader. In [CSW20, Proposition 3.1] it was proved that each M and M' represent classes in $H^1(T \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. These classes are non zero around the class of a loop l_{p_1} . In [CSW20, Proposition 3.2] it is proved that through Poincaré's duality, these classes are represented by relative classes in $H_1(T, \{p_1, p_2\}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. \square




FIGURE 2. The surface M has a slit in between singularities p_1 and p_2 . The regions of different colors are minimal components of the horizontal flow.

This lemma will be fundamental for our computations. In many instances, we will represent the same surface differently, with a long slit, typically coming from the real Rel flow and a shorter slit in the same class of relative homology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. In other words, to check that the surfaces built by gluing with two slits I and I' (with endpoints p_1 and p_2) are the same, it is enough to fix a basis of absolute homology, say $\delta, \gamma \in H_1(T)$ and compute the intersection numbers modulo 2. We use the notation $i(I, \delta)$, $i(I, \gamma)$, for this purpose. See for instance the proofs of [Lemma 3.2](#) and [Lemma 4.2](#).

2.7.2. Area imbalance. If M can be represented as $T_1 \#_I T_2$ or as $T_1 \#_{I'} T_2$, by [[CSW20](#), Lemma 10.5], the class of the loop $I \cup I'$ is homologous to zero in $H_1(T; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. The closed curve $I \cup I'$ separates T into parallelograms that can be colored with two colors and no two adjacent parallelograms have the same color; this colored decomposition indicates how to shuffle the parallelograms T_1 and T_2 in the representation $T_1 \#_I T_2$ and glue them back to obtain $T_1 \#_{I'} T_2$.

Let $M \in \mathcal{E}$ be a surface with slit constructions $T_1 \#_I T_2$ and $T_1 \#_{I'} T_2$. Denote A_1 and A_2 the two colored regions separated by $I \cup I'$. The **area exchange** is the pair (B_1, B_2) where $B_i = \text{area}(A_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. The **area imbalance** is $\frac{|B_1 - B_2|}{\text{area}(T)}$. In [Figure 2](#), the area exchange is the area of each colored region restricted to one of the copies of the torus T . In [[CSW20](#), Section 10.1], the concatenation $I \cup I'$ is called **checkerboard**.

2.7.3. On the horizontal flow and the slit construction. This section introduces two lemmas that will be used frequently throughout this paper.

Lemma 2.6. *$M \in \mathcal{E}$ is horizontally periodic if and only if $M = T_1 \#_I T_2$, where T is horizontally periodic.*

Proof. The proof follows from the definition of the locus \mathcal{E} . Let $\pi : M \rightarrow T$ be a translation cover of a torus T . A horizontal closed trajectory δ in M maps to a closed trajectory $\pi(\delta)$, and this implies that T is horizontally periodic. Conversely, any horizontal closed curve σ in $T \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}$ could lift to a closed curve on M . If it does not lift to a closed curve, the pre-image $\pi^{-1}(\sigma)$ is a closed curve since it is the concatenation of the two possible lifts of σ . Finally, any horizontal segment on $M \setminus \Sigma_M$ is contained in the pre-image of some horizontal trajectory in $T \setminus \Sigma_T$. According to the previous argument, it must be contained in a horizontal closed curve. This proves the other direction of the Lemma. \square

Lemma 2.7. *For $M \in \mathcal{E}$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *M is horizontally aperiodic and non-minimal.*
- (2) *There exists a slit construction $M = T_1 \#_I T_2$, with T horizontally minimal and I a horizontal segment.*

Proof. We only prove that **item 1** implies **item 2**. The other direction is simpler. If M is horizontally aperiodic, by **Lemma 2.6** $M = T_1 \#_{I'} T_2$ with T horizontally minimal. Suppose that I' is not horizontal and consider the connected components of the horizontal flow. There are two minimal components by [Lin15, Theorem 1.1, item (2)]. The boundary of each minimal component must contain a horizontal saddle connection; we call $I \subset T$, the image by π of this saddle connection. Since the closed curve $I' \cup I$ separates M into two components, then $M = T_1 \#_I T_2$. \square

2.8. Continued fractions. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, denote by $\{x\}$ the **fractional part** and by $\lfloor x \rfloor$ the **integer part** of x . Let $\|x\|$ be $\min_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |x - n| = \min\{\{x\}, 1 - \{x\}\}$. We say that $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is **well approximable** if

$$\liminf_{q \in \mathbb{N}} q \|q\alpha\| = 0,$$

otherwise an irrational number α is **badly approximable**. Let α be an irrational number. The **continued fraction expansion** of α is the expression

$$\alpha = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \frac{1}{\ddots}}}}.$$

The **best approximants** of α are the rational numbers

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n} = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{a_n}}}}}.$$

The integers $a_0 \geq 0$, $a_1, a_2, \dots \geq 1$ are called **partial quotients**.

Theorem 2.8. *For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, the following holds:*

(1) [Khi97, Theorem 1] For every $k \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_k &= a_k p_{k-1} + p_{k-2}, \\ q_k &= a_k q_{k-1} + q_{k-2}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\gcd(p_k, q_k) = 1$.

(2) [Khi97, Theorems 9 and 13] For $k \geq 1$, then

$$\frac{1}{q_{k+1} + q_k} < \|q_k \alpha\| < \frac{1}{q_{k+1}}.$$

(3) [Khi97, Theorem 16] For any $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, q_{k+1} - 1\}$, $m \neq q_k$ and $k \geq 1$, then

$$\|q_k \alpha\| < \|m \alpha\|.$$

(4) The following are equivalent:

- (a) $\exists c > 0$ such that $\forall k \geq 1$, then $q_k \|q_k \alpha\| > c$,
- (b) $\exists K > 0$ such that $\forall i \geq 1$, then $a_i \leq K$,
- (c) $\exists c' > 0$ such that $\forall k \geq 1$, $\frac{q_k}{q_{k+1}} \geq c'$,
- (d) α is badly approximable.

Proof. We will prove **Item (4)** for completeness by proving the implications **Item (4)a** \Rightarrow **Item (4)c** \Rightarrow **Item (4)b** \Rightarrow **Item (4)a** and **Item (4)a** \Leftrightarrow **Item (4)d**.

If **Item (4)a** holds, then by **Item (2)** we obtain that

$$c < q_k \|q_k \alpha\| < \frac{q_k}{q_{k+1}},$$

which proves **Item (4)c**.

If **Item (4)c** is true, then let K be equal to $\frac{1}{c'}$ and observe that by **Item (1)**

$$a_{k+1} < a_{k+1} + \frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k} = \frac{q_{k+1}}{q_k} \leq \frac{1}{c'} = K,$$

which implies **Item (4)b**.

Now suppose that **Item (4)b** is true. Then by **Item (2)** we obtain

$$c := \frac{1}{K+2} < \frac{1}{a_{k+1} + \frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k} + 1} = \frac{1}{\frac{q_{k+1}}{q_k} + 1} < q_k \|q_k \alpha\|$$

which proves **Item (4)a**.

Finally, we prove that **Item (4)a** is equivalent to **Item (4)d**. Indeed, for every positive integer m , let $k \geq 1$ such that $q_k \leq m < q_{k+1}$. Then using **Item (3)** we see that

$$c < q_k \|q_k \alpha\| \leq m \|m \alpha\|.$$

This proves the equivalence since the previous inequality implies that

$$0 < c \leq \liminf_{k \geq 0} q_k \|q_k \alpha\| = \liminf_{m \geq 1} m \|m \alpha\|.$$

□

3. REAL REL AND AREA EXCHANGE

We want to describe the area exchange of two representations of the same surface. We will make some simplifying assumptions by taking advantage of the continuity of the $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ action and the fact that \mathcal{E} is u_s and g_t invariant.

We will suppose that $M \in \mathcal{E}$ is vertically periodic. Then we can write $M = T_1 \#_I T_2$ where T is the quotient \mathbb{R}^2/Λ by a lattice $\Lambda = (0, b)\mathbb{Z} \otimes (\frac{a}{b}, \alpha b)\mathbb{Z}$, with $a, \alpha, b > 0$.

By rescaling M with the geodesic flow, we can assume $b = 1$. If M is as above, then the surface $g_{\ln(b)}M$ is vertically periodic and the length of a vertical closed curve is 1.

On T , the first return time of the horizontal flow to a vertical closed curve of length 1 is conjugate to the map $R_\alpha : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$, $x \mapsto x + \alpha \pmod{1}$.

Denote $P : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow T$ the map

$$z = s(0, 1) + t(a, \alpha) \mapsto (s \bmod \mathbb{Z})(0, 1) + (t \bmod \mathbb{Z})(a, \alpha).$$

If M can be represented as $T_1 \#_I T_2$ with a horizontal segment I of length larger than a , we would like to represent M with a non-horizontal slit I' of length smaller than ρ , the diameter of T . This is possible by applying [Lemma 2.5](#); denote $\tilde{p}_2 = (xa, x\alpha + y)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 , a preimage of the right endpoint of I , with $0 \leq x, y < 1$. By [Lemma 2.5](#), the P image one of the four straight segments that connect the point $\tilde{p}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $(0, 0), (0, 1), (a, \alpha), (a, \alpha + 1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a segment $I' \subset T$ which satisfies $[I] = [I']$ in $H_1(T, \{p_1, p_2\}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

The computations to determine the right choice of I' are as in [Figure 3](#) where we fixed generators of relative homology and count the intersections to these.

We will show which real Rel orbits of tremors of surfaces in the locus \mathcal{E} are recurrent.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose α is well approximable. For $\beta = \beta_v$ and $v \neq cdy$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists an increasing sequence $\{t_j\}$ such that $\mathrm{Rel}_{t_j} \mathrm{trem}_\beta M \rightarrow \mathrm{trem}_\beta M$ as $t_j \rightarrow \infty$.*

We will use the following:

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose $M = T_1 \#_I T_2$ with $T = \mathbb{R}^2/(0, 1)\mathbb{Z} \otimes (a, \alpha)\mathbb{Z}$ and I is a horizontal segment of length a . There is a sequence of surfaces $M_j := T_1 \#_{I_j} T_2$ such that I_j is a horizontal segment of length $(2q_j + 1)a$ and $M_j \rightarrow M$. For each I_j there is a shorter segment I'_j with the same endpoints that converge to the segment I and the area imbalance for I_j and I'_j is $a - (2q_j + 1)\|q_j \alpha\|a$ for all q_j large enough.*

Proof. Let $q = q_j$ be a denominator of the best approximants of α to simplify the notation. Let $P : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow T$ be the universal cover of T . Suppose that the left endpoint of I lifts to $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then, we denote by $I_q = I_j$ the segment $I_q = P(\{(t, 0) : 0 \leq t \leq (2q + 1)a\})$. The segment I_q intersects the vertical segment $\delta = P(\{(0, s) : 0 \leq s \leq 1\})$ at the points

$$P(0, 0) = P(0, 1), P(0, 1 - R_\alpha(0)), \dots, P(0, 1 - R_\alpha^{2q+1}(0)).$$

The segments $I'_q = I'_j = P(\{(as, 1 + s(\alpha - R_\alpha^{2q+1}(0))) : 0 \leq s \leq 1\})$ and I_q intersect three times; at both endpoints and at the midpoint, $P(a/2, 1 + (\alpha - R_\alpha^{2q+1}(0))/2)$. The intersection at this midpoint follows from [Theorem 2.8, item \(3\)](#) by taking $m = 2q + 1$ and $q_k = q$.

Let us fix representatives of generators of $H_1(T)$ to compute the intersection numbers with I'_q and I_q so we can apply [Lemma 2.5](#) and prove that $T_1 \#_{I_q} T_2 = T_1 \#_{I'_q} T_2$. As before, let $\delta \subset T$ be the closed curve that is the P -image of the segment joining $(0,0)$ and $(0,1)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . Let $\gamma \subset T$ be the closed curve that is the P -image of the segment joining $(0,0)$ and (a,α) . The intersection number $i(I_q, \delta) = 2(q+1)$ as seen above. Also, $i(I'_q, \delta) = 2$ since the intersection points are $P(0,0)$ and $P(0, 1 - R_\alpha^{2q+1}(0))$. Denote by $p = p_k$ the numerator of the best approximant p_k/q_k . Then $i(I_q, \gamma)$ is the number of intersections of the curve $\{(t,0) : 0 \leq t \leq (2q+1)a\}$ with the diagonal lines $y = \frac{\alpha}{a}x - n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This number is equal to $m+1$ where m is the largest positive integer such that the lift of I_q at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (which is $\{(t,0) : 0 \leq t \leq (2q+1)a\}$) intersects all the lines of $y = \frac{\alpha}{a}x - n$ for $n = 0, \dots, m$. To determine m , notice that the endpoint $((2q+1)a, 0)$ is bounded above by the line $y = \frac{\alpha}{a}x - m$ and below by the line $y = \frac{\alpha}{a}x - (m+1)$. This is equivalent to the inequality

$$(2q+1)\alpha - (m+1) < 0 < (2q+1)\alpha - m,$$

which is equivalent to the inequality

$$m < (2q+1)\alpha < m+1.$$

Moreover, $m = 2p_k$ for all large k . [Theorem 2.8 Item \(2\)](#) implies that

$$-\frac{1}{q_{k+1}} < q_k\alpha - p_k < \frac{1}{q_{k+1}}.$$

This implies that for all k large enough,

$$0 < (2q_k+1)\alpha - 2p_k < \frac{2}{q_{k+1}} + \alpha < 1.$$

This shows that $i(I_q, \gamma) = 2p_k + 1$. Moreover, the intersection number $i(I'_q, \gamma) = 1$ because any lift of I'_q to \mathbb{R}^2 intersects the diagonal lines $y = \frac{\alpha}{a}x - m$ only once for all $q = q_k$ large enough. For example, the lift starting at $(0,0)$ will only intersect $y = \frac{\alpha}{a}x$ at $(0,0)$. Therefore I_q and I'_q represent the same class in $H_1(T, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

To compute the area exchange, notice that I_q and I'_q separate T into two trapezoids; both trapezoids have basis of length $(q + \frac{1}{2})a$ and one of them has height $\|q\alpha\|$. Since the area of T is a , the areas of the trapezoids are $S = (q + \frac{1}{2})a\|q\alpha\|$ and $a - S$. We must have that the area imbalance is $\frac{|a-2S|}{a} = 1 - (2q+1)\|q\alpha\|$. The rest of [Lemma 3.2](#) follows from $I'_q \rightarrow I$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $T_1 \#_{I'_q} T_2 \rightarrow T_1 \#_I T_2$. \square

In [Lemma 3.2](#), the surfaces M_j are different from M . In fact, these M_j are $Rel_{t_j}M$ for $t_j = 2q_j a$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. If I_s is a horizontal segment in T and

$$M' := Rel_s M = Rel_s(T_1 \#_I T_2) = T_1 \#_{I_s} T_2,$$

the length of I_s is $a + s$, for $s > -a$.

Claim. *It is enough to prove the statement for $M = T_1 \#_I T_2$ with I horizontal of length a . That is, if $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} Rel_{t_j} trem_\beta M = trem_\beta M$, then*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} Rel_{t_j} trem_\beta M' = trem_\beta M'.$$

Proof. By [CSW20, Proposition 6.7], we have the equation:

$$(4) \quad Rel_s Rel_{t_j} trem_\beta M = Rel_{t_j} trem_\beta Rel_s M = Rel_{t_j} trem_\beta M'.$$

Assume $Rel_s trem_\beta M$ is defined. We want to show that the map $Rel_s(\cdot)$ is well defined and continuous in a neighborhood of $trem_\beta M$. We will use [BSW22, Proposition 4.3] and their notation regarding the Rel map (not just real Rel). By [BSW22, Proposition 4.3], the set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{H} \times \mathfrak{R}$ of pairs (N, v) for which $Rel_v N$ is defined, is open and the map $(N, v) \mapsto Rel_v N$ is continuous on Ω . The projection maps $\pi_1 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and $\pi_2 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ are continuous and open. Also, the projection map $P_x : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_x$, $(x, y) \mapsto x$ is continuous. Since $(trem_\beta M, (s, 0))$ is in Ω , there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $O := \pi_1(\pi_2^{-1}(P_x^{-1}(s - \varepsilon, s + \varepsilon)))$ is an open subset of Ω and contains $trem_\beta M$. Moreover, the map $N \mapsto Rel_s N$ is defined and continuous on O , since it is the map $(N, v) \mapsto Rel_v N$ restricted to $\{(N, (s, 0)) : N \in O\} \subset \Omega$.

Thus, combining Equation (4) and continuity of the map $Rel_s(\cdot)$ at $trem_\beta M$ allows us to take the limit:

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} Rel_{t_j} trem_\beta M' = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} Rel_s Rel_{t_j} trem_\beta M = Rel_s trem_\beta M = trem_\beta M'.$$

△

Next, we prove that if $M = T_1 \#_I T_2$ is as in the statement of the theorem and I is a horizontal segment of length a , then there exists a sequence $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $Rel_{t_i} trem_\beta M \rightarrow trem_\beta M$.

We can write surfaces in a neighborhood around $N \in \mathcal{H}(1,1)$ by the tuple $(\gamma_1, \delta_1, \gamma_2, \delta_2, J) \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^5$. These values are the evaluation of the holomorphic differential ω_N on a basis of the relative homology group of N . This is because N can be seen as a slit construction $T_1 \#_J T_2$ of two tori T_1 and T_2 glued along an embedded segment J ; γ_i and δ_i are vectors such that $T_i = \mathbb{R}^2 / \Lambda_i$, where Λ_i is the lattice $\Lambda_i = \gamma_i \mathbb{Z} \oplus \delta_i \mathbb{Z}$.

From the hypothesis, M is determined by $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = (0, 1)$, $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = (a, \alpha)$ and J is the vector $I = (a, 0)$, since $M = T_1 \#_I T_2$ with $T = T_1 = T_2$. Let M_j be $Rel_{2q_j a} M$. By the slit construction, $M_j = T_1 \#_{I_j} T_2$, where T_1 and T_2 are two copies of the same torus T and I_j is a horizontal segment of length $(2q_j + 1)a$. By Lemma 3.2, M_j is also equal to $T_1 \#_{I'_j} T_2$ where I'_j is a segment with the same endpoints of I_j and length and direction converging to I as $j \rightarrow \infty$. In the proof of Lemma 3.2, I'_j is an embedded segment of the same length and direction of the vector $(a, (-1)^{j+1} 2 \|q_j \alpha\|)$. To simplify the notation, we write $I'_j = (a, (-1)^{j+1} 2 \|q_j \alpha\|)$.

Thus, M is determined by the tuple

$$(\gamma_1, \delta_1, \gamma_2, \delta_2, I) = ((0, 1), (a, \alpha), (0, 1), (a, \alpha), (a, 0)),$$

and M_j is determined by the tuple

$$\left(\gamma_1^{(j)}, \delta_1^{(j)}, \gamma_2^{(j)}, \delta_2^{(j)}, (a, (-1)^{j+1} 2 \|q_j \alpha\| \right)$$

where $\gamma_i^{(j)} = \gamma_i = (0, 1)$, $\delta_i^{(j)} = \delta_i = (a, \alpha)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and all j .

Since M is aperiodic and not minimal, there are two minimal components; see, for instance, [Lin15, Theorem 1.1 item (2)]. We can write $\beta = c_1 \beta_1 + c_2 \beta_2$, where each β_i is the foliation cocycle corresponding to the transverse measure obtained by the restriction of dy on each minimal component. With the slit construction, we see that $trem_\beta M = (u_{s_1} T_1) \#_I (u_{s_2} T_2)$ where $u_{s_i} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. As before, we choose a basis for the relative homology group of $trem_\beta M$; two basis elements coming from the absolute homology of each $u_{s_i} T_i$ and the class represented by the embedded segment I . Evaluating the holomorphic differential $\omega_{trem_\beta M}$ in this basis amounts to the tuple

$$(u_{s_1} \gamma_1, u_{s_1} \delta_1, u_{s_2} \gamma_2, u_{s_2} \delta_2, I).$$

There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $|L_M|(\beta) < \varepsilon$, then M and $trem_\beta M$ are in the same domain of an orbifold chart. We will choose a subsequence of t_i 's so that the area exchange $a - (2q_j + 1) \|q_j \alpha\| a$ goes to 0. By changing ε for a smaller quantity $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$, we can assume that if $|L_M|(\beta) < \varepsilon'$ and then $Rel_{t_j} trem_\beta M$ is in the same orbifold chart of M and $trem_\beta M$.

By Lemma 3.2, the area exchange by I_j and I'_j implies that evaluating the holomorphic differential determined by $Rel_{t_j} trem_\beta M$ in a particular basis of its relative homology group amounts to a tuple where the first two components are

$$(1 - O(s_j)) u_{s_1} \gamma_1 + O(s_j) u_{s_2} \gamma_2$$

and

$$(1 - O(s_j)) u_{s_1} \delta_1 + O(s_j) u_{s_2} \delta_2.$$

Similarly, the third and fourth are

$$(1 - O(s_j)) u_{s_2} \gamma_2 + O(s_j) u_{s_1} \gamma_1$$

and

$$(1 - O(s_j)) u_{s_2} \delta_2 + O(s_j) u_{s_1} \delta_1,$$

where $s_j = (q_j + \frac{1}{2}) \|q_j \alpha\| a$ and the fifth component is I'_j . If α is well approximable, by Theorem 2.8, the negation of item (4)a, implies that we can choose a sequence q_{j_k} , such that $s_{j_k} \rightarrow 0$. This proves that $\lim_{j_k \rightarrow \infty} Rel_{t_{j_k}} trem_\beta M = trem_\beta M$ when $L_M(\beta) < \varepsilon$.

Suppose that $|L_M|(\beta) \geq \varepsilon$. Fix $s = \varepsilon / (2|L_M|(\beta))$ and then $|L_M|(s\beta) < \varepsilon$. The above implies that there exists a sequence $t_j \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$trem_{s\beta} Rel_{t_j} M = Rel_{t_j} trem_{s\beta} M \rightarrow trem_{s\beta} M.$$

Using the definition of tremors and properties of ordinary differential equations or by applying [CSW20, Proposition 6.7], the maps

$$(M', \beta') \mapsto (M', s\beta')$$

and

$$(M', \beta') \mapsto \text{trem}_{\beta'} M$$

are continuous. Also, their composition is continuous. Therefore,

$$\text{Rel}_{t_j} \text{trem}_{\beta} M = \text{trem}_{\beta} \text{Rel}_{t_j} M \rightarrow \text{trem}_{\beta} M.$$

□

4. NON-RECURRENCE OF REAL REL

We will prove the following theorem at the end of this section.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $M \in \mathcal{E}$ be a horizontally aperiodic, non-minimal surface and let α be badly approximable. Then for $\beta = \beta_v$ with $v \notin \{c dy : c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\}$, and for every sequence of positive numbers $t_i \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\text{trem}_{\beta} M \notin \overline{\{\text{Rel}_{t_i} \text{trem}_{\beta} M\}}.$$

The first step towards proving [Theorem 4.1](#) is to prove a lemma about the area exchange of two slits. The surface $\text{Rel}_s M$ can be represented as a slit construction with a long horizontal slit I , but it can also be represented with a non-horizontal slit I' . We would like to determine how close $\text{trem}_{\beta} M$ and $\text{Rel}_s \text{trem}_{\beta} M$ are. When α is badly approximable, we will see that there is a bounded distance between both surfaces independently of $s \geq 1$.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that I is a horizontal segment in T with $\text{length}(I) > a$ and I' as above. In particular, $I \neq I'$. If α is badly approximable, then the area exchange is bounded below by a positive constant independent of the length of I and I' .*

Proof. Let ζ_1, ζ_2 be the left and right endpoints of I respectively and let $P : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow T$ be the universal cover. We choose $T = \mathbb{R}^2/\Lambda$. In \mathbb{R}^2 , consider the vertical segment with endpoints $(0,0)$ and $(0,1)$ and the diagonal segment with endpoints $(0,0)$ and (a,α) . These segments project to closed curves in T with representatives γ and δ which generate $H_1(T)$.

Without loss of generality, we will assume that the lift of I under P has a left endpoint at the origin and the right endpoint is $(\text{length}(I), 0)$. We will denote the intersection numbers of I with the closed curves γ and δ by $i(I, \gamma)$ and $i(I, \delta)$. By [Lemma 2.5](#), we care about these intersection numbers mod 2. Once we fix the representatives of γ and δ as in [Figure 5](#), we count the left endpoint of I as intersecting γ . The right endpoint of I is not an intersection with δ . We use this ad hoc method to count the intersections for ease of exposition. This choice is not unique. We could have used a different technique that is invariant for the equivalent class of the closed path formed by the concatenation of I and I' in $H_1(T; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.




FIGURE 3. The curves γ and δ are representatives of generators of $H_1(T)$. The curves I and I' represent the same class in $H_1(T, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ because the intersection numbers with γ and δ have the same parity, i.e. $i(I, \gamma) \equiv i(I', \gamma)$ and $i(I, \delta) \equiv i(I', \delta) \pmod{2}$. The segments I' , J , and K represent three different classes. Also, $T\#_I T = T\#_{I'} T$.

The closed curve γ lifts to the vertical lines $x = na$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$; δ lifts to the lines $y = \frac{\alpha}{a}x - m$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Notice that $i(I, \gamma) = n$ with $n = \lfloor N \rfloor$, and $i(I, \delta) = m$ with $m = \lfloor N\alpha \rfloor$, where $N = \frac{\text{length}(I)}{a}$. To see the latter, the diagonals $y = \frac{\alpha}{a}x - m$ and $y = \frac{\alpha}{a}x - m - 1$ bound the right endpoint of the lift of I if and only if

$$(5) \quad N\alpha - (m + 1) < 0 < N\alpha - m;$$

this last expression is equivalent to $m = \lfloor N\alpha \rfloor$.

We split the proof into different cases according to the length of the horizontal slit I of M :

Case 1. $N = 2k$ for k a positive integer,

Case 2. $N = 2k + 1$ for k non-negative integer,

Case 3. $2k < N < 2k + 1$ or $2k + 1 < N < 2k + 2$.

Proof of Case 1. If $N = 2k$ for some positive integer k , note that I intersects $2k$ times a representative of γ . We can choose I' as




FIGURE 4. In the torus, the horizontal curve I , that starts on the point labeled p_1 has length Na ($N = 14$); its right endpoint is p_2 . The long horizontal curve I , and the short vertical curve I' , joining p_1 and p_2 represent the same class in $H_1(T, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. In this example $\alpha = -1 + \sqrt{2} = [0; 2, 2, 2, 2, \dots]$.

- (i) the image by P of the vertical segment in \mathbb{R}^2 joining the points $(0, 1)$ and $(0, 1 - \{N\alpha\})$ or,
- (ii) the image by P of the the vertical segment in \mathbb{R}^2 with endpoints $(0, 1 - \{N\alpha\})$ and $(0, 0)$.

These choices intersect a representative of γ the same number of times modulo 2. For example, in Figure 3, the segment K corresponds to case (i), and the segment I' corresponds to case (ii). Both segments intersect 0 times with the closed curve γ . But both choices will yield a different slit construction. The first choice is appropriate for I' (that is the reason for naming the example segment I') if $i(I, \delta) = \lfloor N\alpha \rfloor$ is even. The second is appropriate for I' if $i(I, \delta) = \lfloor N\alpha \rfloor$ is odd. In Figure 3, K does not intersect δ , while I' intersects δ once. Thus, we have that $i(I, \delta) = i(I', \delta) = 1$ modulo 2.

Returning to the proof, the concatenation of I and I' separates the T into rectangles with vertical and horizontal sides. These rectangles can be colored with two different colors so that no two adjacent rectangles have the same color. Additionally, since I has length $N = 2k$, if a vertical closed curve is drawn, we see k horizontal rectangles of each color, e.g., Figure 4. If $q_l \leq N < q_{l+1}$, each of these rectangles has height at least $\|q_l \alpha\|$ and width a . The two colored regions have area of at least $a \frac{q_l}{2} \|q_l \alpha\|$. In conclusion, if α is badly approximable, the two regions have an area at least $c_1 = a \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, i.e. the area exchange is bounded below by some constant independent of N .




FIGURE 5. In this torus, there are two curves: very long, horizontal, and short, not horizontal. Both are joining the points labeled by p_1 and p_2 . Both curves represent the same class in $H_1(T, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. The horizontal curve has a length of $53a$. Here $\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} = [0; 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots]$.

Proof of *Case 2*. If $N = 2k + 1$, we can choose I' to be

- (i) the P image of the shortest segment on \mathbb{R}^2 , with endpoints $(0, 1)$ and $(a, 1 - \{(N - 1)\alpha\})$ or,
- (ii) the P image of the shortest segment in \mathbb{R}^2 joining $(0, 0)$ and $(a, 1 - \{(N - 1)\alpha\})$.

These are the right choices because, after we fix a representative of γ in $H_1(T)$, e.g., the curve in [Figure 3](#), these two choices intersect the representative only once; and the horizontal segment I intersects $2k + 1$ times the representative γ .

From these two choices, only one will be I' . Both choices intersect γ the same number of times modulo 2, so the right choice is determined by the intersection with δ . After a representative of δ is fixed as in [Figure 3](#), the first segment does not intersect δ , while the second choice intersects δ only once. The segment I intersects $[N\alpha]$ times the representative δ by the discussion of [Equation \(5\)](#). The choice we aim for I' must intersect δ ,

$\lfloor N\alpha \rfloor$ times modulo 2. In **Figure 5**, the horizontal slit has $N = 53$ and $i(I, \delta) = \lfloor N\alpha \rfloor = 32$. The intersection number $i(I', \delta)$ must be even.

Following the discussion above, the horizontal curve I intersects N times the vertical closed curve γ . The concatenation of the segments I and the I' separate T into two regions colored by two colors, and together with γ , they make N rectangles. Some of these N rectangles are cut across by I' and have different colors on opposite sides of the cut.

In (i) and (ii), the slope of I' is $-\frac{\{(N-1)\alpha\}}{a}$ and $\frac{1-\{(1-N)\alpha\}}{a}$ respectively. We will only prove the case with a negative slope; the other is analogous.

One of the colored regions has $\frac{N+1}{2}$ trapezoids and rectangles below I' , the other region has $\frac{N-1}{2}$ trapezoids and rectangles below I' . In **Figure 5**, there are 27 red, and 26 white. Assuming that $q_l \leq N < q_{l+1}$, the smallest height of these trapezoids or rectangles is $\|q_l\alpha\|$. Let M be the number of rectangles cut off by I' ($2 \leq M \leq N-1$). There are precisely $\frac{M}{2}$ of these for each region. In **Figure 5**, $M = 8$. Notice that M is the number of intersections between I and I' , by **Lemma 2.5** it is even. The number of strips that I' does not cut is exactly $N - M$; one of the regions has $\frac{N-M+1}{2}$ of these strips with full length ($= a$); the other has $\frac{N-M-1}{2}$ strips of full length. Of those strips cut by I' , the length estimates from below of the consecutive basis of trapezoids are:

$$\left\{ 0, a \frac{\|q_l\alpha\|}{\{(N-1)\alpha\}}, a \frac{2\|q_l\alpha\|}{\{(N-1)\alpha\}}, \dots, a \frac{M\|q_l\alpha\|}{\{(N-1)\alpha\}} \right\}.$$

The area of one of the regions is bounded below by

$$\left[2a \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{M-2}{2}} \frac{\|q_l\alpha\|}{2} \left(\frac{2i\|q_l\alpha\|}{\{(N-1)\alpha\}} + \frac{(2i+1)\|q_l\alpha\|}{\{(N-1)\alpha\}} \right) \right] + a \frac{N-M+1}{2} \|q_l\alpha\|$$

The analogous lower bound for the other region is:

$$(6) \quad \left[2a \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{M}{2}} \frac{\|q_l\alpha\|}{2} \left(\frac{(2i-1)\|q_l\alpha\|}{\{(N-1)\alpha\}} + \frac{(2i)\|q_l\alpha\|}{\{(N-1)\alpha\}} \right) \right] + a \frac{N-M-1}{2} \|q_l\alpha\|$$

For the first region, we get the lower bound

$$(7) \quad a \frac{M^2 - M}{2} \|q_l\alpha\|^2 \frac{1}{\{(N-1)\alpha\}} + a \frac{N-M+1}{2} \|q_l\alpha\| \geq a \frac{M^2 - M}{2} \|q_l\alpha\|^2 + a \frac{N-M+1}{2} \|q_l\alpha\|.$$

Considering a fixed number $t \in (0, 1)$, we have two cases:

(i) If $tN > M$, a lower bound for **Equation (7)** is

$$(8) \quad a \frac{N-tN+1}{2} \|q_l\alpha\| \geq a(1-t) \frac{N}{2} \|q_l\alpha\| \geq a(1-t) \frac{q_l}{2} \|q_l\alpha\| \geq \frac{a(1-t)c}{2}.$$

(ii) If $tN \leq M$, using the additional fact that $M \leq N - 1$, we get that a lower bound for Equation (7) is

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} a \frac{M^2 - M}{2} \|q_t \alpha\|^2 &\geq a \frac{(tN)^2 + 1 - N}{2} \|q_t \alpha\|^2 \\ &\geq a \frac{(tN - 1)^2}{2} \|q_t \alpha\|^2 \\ &\geq a \left(\frac{tq_{t-1}}{2} \|q_t \alpha\| \right)^2 \geq \frac{at^2 c'^2 c^2}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last line of Equation (9), we used Theorem 2.8 Item (4)c. In both cases, these lower bounds are bounded from below uniformly and are independent of N .

For the second area, a lower bound for Equation (6) is

$$(10) \quad a(M^2 + M) \frac{\|q_t \alpha\|^2}{2} + a(N - M - 1) \frac{\|q_t \alpha\|}{2}.$$

Using the previous ideas, for a fixed $0 < t < 1$, we get two lower bounds:

(i) If $M > tN$, then Equation (10) is bounded below by

$$(11) \quad a \left(tq_t \frac{\|q_t \alpha\|}{2} \right)^2 \geq \frac{at^2 c^2}{4}.$$

(ii) If $M \leq tN$, then Equation (10) is bounded below by

$$(12) \quad a(1-t)q_{t-1} \frac{\|q_t \alpha\|}{2} \geq \frac{a(1-t)c'c}{2},$$

using Theorem 2.8 Item (4)c. This shows that the area of both regions is bounded below by c_2 , the minimum of the expressions in Equations (8), (9), (11) and (12).

Proof of Case 3. Finally, we consider the following cases:

Case 3a. $2k < N < 2k + 1$,

Case 3b. $2k + 1 < N < 2k + 2$.

Proof of Case 3a. If $2k < N < 2k + 1$, we can determine I' as the image by P of the segment with endpoints $(0,0)$ and $(a(N - 2k), 1 - \{2k\alpha\})$ or endpoints $(0,1)$ and $(a(N - 2k), 1 - \{2k\alpha\})$. The closed curve $I \cup I'$ separates T into two regions A_1 and A_2 . Define $S_1 \subset T$ as

$$S_1 = \{p \in T : \exists(x, y) \in P^{-1}(p), 0 \leq x \leq a(N - 2k)\},$$

and $S_2 = T \setminus S_1$ the complement.

The area exchange restricted to S_1 is bounded below using the method for Case 2 above. Whereas restricted to S_2 , the area exchange can be determined using the methods of Case 1. Note that the rectangles in S_1 have width as , and the rectangles in S_2 have width $a(1 - s)$, with $s = N - 2k$. The area

exchange can be bound below by:

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} B_1 &= \text{area}(A_1) = \text{area}(A_1 \cap S_1) + \text{area}(A_1 \cap S_2) \\ &\geq c_2 s + c_1(1-s) \\ &\geq \min\{c_1, c_2\} = c_3 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that $B_2 = \text{area}(A_2) \geq c_3$. The constant c_3 is independent of the lengths of I and I' .

Proof of *Case 3b*. For the case $2k+1 < N < 2k+2$; I' is the image by P of the segment with endpoints $(a, \alpha+1)$ and $(a(N-2k-1), \alpha+1 - \{(2k+2)\alpha\})$ or endpoints (a, α) and $(a(N-2k-1), \alpha+1 - \{N\alpha\})$. The closed curve $I \cup I'$ separates T into two regions A_1 and A_2 as above, and making $S_1 \subset T$ the set

$$S_1 = \{p \in T : \exists(x, y) \in P^{-1}(p), ar \leq x \leq 1\}$$

for $r = N - 2k - 1$, we see that

$$\text{area}(A_i \cap B) \geq c_1 r + c_2(1-r) \geq \min\{c_1, c_2\} = c_3$$

for $i = 1, 2$. □

We will use the following statement about the convergence of tremored surfaces in the proof of [Theorem 4.1](#).

Proposition 4.3. *Let $M, M_1, M_2, \dots \in \mathcal{E}$ be surfaces with aperiodic and non-minimal horizontal flow; let $\beta_i = \beta_{v_i}$ be foliation cocycles with uniformly bounded total variation, that is there exists $a > 0$ such that for all i $|L_{M_i}|(\beta_i) \leq a$. Assume that the signed mass is constant along the sequence, i.e. $L_{M_i}(\beta_i) = c$. If $\text{trem}_{\beta_i} M_i \rightarrow \text{trem}_{\beta} M$ for some β of signed mass c then, $\beta_i \rightarrow \beta$ and $M_i \rightarrow M$.*

Remark 4.4. The converse holds and is a particular case of the more general [[CSW20](#), Proposition 4.7].

Before the proof, we will introduce [Lemma 4.5](#) and [Lemma 4.6](#), which will be used in the argument of the proof of [Proposition 4.3](#).

Lemma 4.5. *Let $M, N \in \mathcal{E}$ be horizontally aperiodic surfaces and non-minimal. Let β and β' foliation cocycles on M and N respectively. If $\text{trem}_{\beta} M = \text{trem}_{\beta'} N$, then $M = u_s N$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular $\beta = \beta' + sdy$.*

Proof. Since $\text{trem}_{\beta} M = \text{trem}_{\beta'} N$, we can write $N = \text{trem}_{\beta-\beta'} M$ by identifying $\beta' \in \mathcal{T}_N$ with some foliation cocycle in \mathcal{T}_M . We also call the identified foliation cocycle on M by β' to avoid introducing more notation. This was formalized in [[CSW20](#), Proposition 6.1] using the tremor comparison homeomorphism or TCH. In [Lemma 2.7](#), we saw that M can be written as a slit construction $T_1 \#_I T_2$ along an embedded horizontal slit I on T , and two identical copies T_1 and T_2 of T . The tremored surface $\text{trem}_{\beta-\beta'} M$ can be represented by the slit construction $(u_{s_1} T_1) \#_I (u_{s_2} T_2)$ where s_1, s_2 are real numbers and I is still an embedded horizontal segment

on $u_{s_i}T_i$. This is because the action of the matrix u_s leaves the horizontal segments horizontal. Since T is horizontally aperiodic, then $s_1 = s_2$; otherwise $N = (u_{s_1}T_1)\#_I(u_{s_2}T_2) = u_{s_1}(T_1\#_I(u_{s_2-s_1}T_2)) \notin \mathcal{E}$. This proves that $N = u_sM$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $trem_{sdy}M = u_sM$, and the equality $trem_\beta M = trem_{\beta'+sdy}M$ holds, then $\beta = \beta' + sdy$ because the surface M is horizontally aperiodic. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Under the conditions of Proposition 4.3, if $trem_{\beta_i}M_i \rightarrow trem_\beta M$, then $\{M_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ is contained in a compact set.*

Proof. By contradiction, if $M_i \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a sequence of saddle connections $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots$ in M_1, M_2, \dots , respectively, such that the length of γ_i goes to 0.

For each i , there is a tremor comparison homeomorphism $\phi_i : M_i \rightarrow trem_{\beta_i}M_i$, such that for any curve γ in M_i ,

$$\begin{aligned} hol_{trem_{\beta_i}M_i}(\phi_i(\gamma)) &= \left(hol_{M_i}^{(x)}(\phi_i(\gamma)), hol_{M_i}^{(y)}(\phi_i(\gamma)) \right) \\ &= \left(hol_{M_i}^{(x)}(\gamma) + \beta_i(\gamma), hol_{M_i}^{(y)}(\gamma) \right) \end{aligned}$$

is the holonomy of the curve $\phi_i(\gamma)$ in $trem_{\beta_i}M_i$.

Since β_i has uniformly bounded total variation given by $|L|_{M_i}(\beta_i) \leq a$, we have that $\beta_i(\gamma_i) \rightarrow 0$. By hypothesis, $\|hol_{M_i}(\gamma_i)\| \rightarrow 0$. Therefore,

$$\|hol_{trem_{\beta_i}M_i}(\phi_i(\gamma_i))\| \rightarrow 0.$$

This contradicts the fact that $\{trem_{\beta_i}M_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ is contained in some compact set. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.3. We will start with the assumption that

$$trem_{\beta_i}M_i \rightarrow trem_\beta M,$$

and will prove that $\beta_i \rightarrow \beta$ and $M_i \rightarrow M$.

The sequence $\{M_i\}$ is contained in some compact set by Lemma 4.6. Then after passing to a subsequence $\{M_{i_k}\}$, we can assume there exists some $N \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $M_{i_k} \rightarrow N$. The sequence $\{\beta_i = \beta_{v_i}\}$ of foliation cocycles corresponds to transverse measures $\{v_i\}$. These are uniformly bounded because the total variation of the foliation cocycles is bounded by some constant $a > 0$, i.e. $|L_{M_i}|(\beta_i) \leq a$. Thus the subsequence $\{\beta_{i_k}\}$ has some convergent subsequence to a foliation cocycle β' on N . For simplicity, denote such subsequence by $\{\beta_{i_k}\}$ as well.

We obtained two subsequences $\{\beta_{i_k}\}$ and $\{M_{i_k}\}$ such that $\beta_{i_k} \rightarrow \beta'$ and $M_{i_k} \rightarrow N$.

Continuity of the tremor map was proved in [CSW20, Proposition 4.7]. For every $M \in \mathcal{H}(1, 1)$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{T}_M$, the tremor map is defined as

$$(M, \beta) \mapsto trem_\beta M.$$

So, we must have that the sequence $trem_{\beta_{i_k}}M_{i_k}$ converges to $trem_{\beta'}N$. By hypothesis, the equality

$$trem_\beta M = trem_{\beta'}N$$

holds, and [Lemma 4.5](#) implies that $\beta = \beta' + \text{sd}y$.

Since β_{i_k} has signed mass equal to c , and $M_{i_k} \rightarrow N$, with $\beta_{i_k} \rightarrow \beta'$, then $L_N(\beta') = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} L_{M_{i_k}}(\beta_{i_k}) = c$, by continuity of the map $(\cdot, \star) \mapsto L_\star(\cdot)$.

Computing the signed masses of β and β' , we see that

$$c = L_M(\beta) = L_N(\beta') + L_N(\text{sd}y) = c + s\text{Area}(N).$$

This implies that $s = 0$ and by [Lemma 4.5](#), we conclude that $\beta = \beta'$ and $M = N$. Since the subsequences $\{\beta_{i_k}\}$ and $\{M_{i_k}\}$ are any convergent subsequence of the sequences $\{\beta_i\}$ and $\{M_i\}$ (which are contained in compact sets), we have that $\beta_i \rightarrow \beta$ and $M_i \rightarrow M$. \square

Proof of [Theorem 4.1](#). Write $M = T_1 \#_I T_2$ with I horizontal. It suffices to show the statement when I has length a . We will prove that there exists $C > 0$, such that for every increasing sequence $\{t_i\}$

$$(14) \quad d(\text{trem}_\beta M, \text{Rel}_{t_i} \text{trem}_\beta M) \geq C > 0.$$

The statement for $M' = T_1 \#_{I'} T_2$ where I' is a horizontal segment of arbitrary length follows from [Equation \(14\)](#). Since there exists $s \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $M = \text{Rel}_s M' = T_1 \#_I T_2$ has I horizontal and of length a . To prove the theorem for M' (where I' is horizontal and of arbitrary length), we use the continuity of Rel_s at $\text{trem}_\beta M'$, i.e. on a neighborhood of $\text{trem}_\beta M'$, the map $\cdot \mapsto \text{Rel}_s(\cdot)$ is continuous, see [[BSW22](#), Proposition 4.3]. Arguing by contradiction, if $\text{trem}_\beta M' = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Rel}_{t_i} \text{trem}_\beta M'$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trem}_\beta M &= \text{trem}_\beta \text{Rel}_s M' \\ &= \text{Rel}_s \text{trem}_\beta M' \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Rel}_s \text{Rel}_{t_i} \text{trem}_\beta M', \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\text{trem}_\beta \text{Rel}_s M' = \text{Rel}_s \text{trem}_\beta M'$ by [[CSW20](#), Proposition 6.7]. Finally, using [[BSW22](#), Proposition 4.5], we see that

$$\text{trem}_\beta M = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Rel}_{t_i} \text{trem}_\beta \text{Rel}_s M' = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Rel}_{t_i} \text{trem}_\beta M$$

which contradicts [Equation \(14\)](#).

Now we prove [Equation \(14\)](#) to complete the proof of [Theorem 4.1](#).

Assume by contradiction, that

$$\text{trem}_\beta M = \lim_{t_i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Rel}_{t_i} \text{trem}_\beta M$$

for some sequence $t_i \rightarrow \infty$.

For each i , we can write $\text{Rel}_{t_i} M = T_1 \#_{I_i} T_2 = T_1 \#_{I'_i} T_2$; the segment I'_i is short and I_i is long and horizontal.

For each t_i , there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi_i : M \rightarrow \text{Rel}_{t_i} M$, that is affine on each triangle of some geodesic triangulation τ of M , for instance see [[MS91](#), Section 4] for the existence of such triangulation. In [Figure 6](#), on the left T together with the segment I ; it represents M . On the right is a copy of T and I'_i representing $\text{Rel}_{t_i} M$. It displays the triangulation τ on M and the locally affine map $\varphi_i : M \rightarrow \text{Rel}_{t_i} M$.




FIGURE 6. If $M = T_1 \#_I T_2$ and $Rel_{t_i} M = T_1 \#_{I'_i} T_2$, we can triangulate each copy $T_1 = T_2 = T$ in M as on the left. The map φ_i is a homeomorphism, affine on each geodesic triangulation element.

In the notation of [Proposition 4.3](#), $M_i := Rel_{t_i} M$, and β_i is defined as the unique tremor on $Rel_{t_i} M$ such that $Rel_{t_i} trem_{\beta} M = trem_{\beta_i} Rel_{t_i} M$; since β is non-atomic, then for every $i \geq 1$, β_i is non-atomic. Moreover, for all i , $L_{M_i}(\beta_i) = L_M(\beta) = c$, $|L_{M_i}|(\beta_i) \leq d$, and $|L_M|(\beta) \leq d$, for some constants c, d .

By [Proposition 4.3](#), $\beta_i \rightarrow \beta$ and $M_i \rightarrow M$. The fact that $M_i \rightarrow M$ implies that we can choose a sequence of segments I'_i on T with $I'_i \rightarrow I$. The convergence $\beta_i \rightarrow \beta$ will contradict that α is badly approximable.

Towards this contradiction, notice that $\beta \neq sdy$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, but we can write $\beta = a_1 dy|_{T_1} + a_2 dy|_{T_2}$, with $a_1 \neq a_2$, because of the hypothesis of horizontal flow on M , and β is non-atomic.

Let γ_1 be vertical, closed curve on M contained in T_1 in the representation $T_1 \#_I T_2$. By the previous comment, $\beta(\gamma_1) = a_1$. Similarly, for each M_i , let $\gamma_{1,i}$ be a vertical closed curved contained in T_1 in the representation $M_i = T_1 \#_{I'_i} T_2$. We will show that $\beta_i(\gamma_{1,i}) \not\rightarrow \beta(\gamma_1) = a_1$, which contradicts $\beta_i \rightarrow \beta$. This is because the area exchange is bounded below by a positive constant independent of t_i .

Denote by B_1^i and B_2^i , the area exchange for I_i and I'_i . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\beta_i(\gamma_{1,i}) - \beta(\gamma_1)| &= \left| \frac{B_1^i}{\text{area}(T)} a_1 + \frac{B_2^i}{\text{area}(T)} a_2 - a_1 \right| \\
 (15) \quad &= \left| \left(\frac{B_1^i}{\text{area}(T)} - 1 \right) a_1 + \frac{B_2^i}{\text{area}(T)} a_2 \right| \\
 &= \left| -\frac{B_2^i}{\text{area}(T)} a_1 + \frac{B_2^i}{\text{area}(T)} a_2 \right| = \frac{B_2^i}{\text{area}(T)} |a_1 - a_2|,
 \end{aligned}$$

since $B_1^i + B_2^i = \text{area}(T)$ and $\beta_i(\gamma_1)$ is a linear combination of the proportion of γ_1 crossed by the area exchange, and the coordinates a_1 and a_2 of β . By [Lemma 4.2](#), the area exchange is bounded below, i.e. $B_j^i \geq c > 0$ for all $j = 1, 2$ and all i . Thus, [Equation \(15\)](#) is bounded away from 0. \square

REFERENCES

- [AM24] Jayadev S. Athreya and Howard Masur. *Translation Surfaces*. Graduate studies in mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2024.
- [BSW22] Matt Bainbridge, John Smillie, and Barak Weiss. Horocycle dynamics: New invariants and eigenform loci in the stratum $\mathcal{H}(1, 1)$. *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, 280(1384), 2022.
- [Cal04] Kariane Calta. Veech surfaces and complete periodicity in genus two. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 17(3):871–908, 2004.
- [CDF23] Gabriel Calsamiglia, Bertrand Deroin, and Stefano Francaviglia. A transfer principle: from periods to isoperiodic foliations. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 33(1):57–169, 2023.
- [CSW20] Jon Chaika, John Smillie, and Barak Weiss. Tremors and horocycle dynamics on the moduli space of translation surfaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.04027*, 2020.
- [CW23] Jon Chaika and Barak Weiss. On the ergodic theory of the real rel foliation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.02483*, 2023.
- [Ham18] Ursula Hamenstädt. Ergodicity of the absolute period foliation. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 225(2):661–680, 2018.
- [HW18] W. Patrick Hooper and Barak Weiss. Rel leaves of the Arnoux–Yoccoz surfaces. *Selecta Mathematica*, 24(2):875–934, 2018.
- [Khi97] A. Ya. Khinchin. *Continued Fractions*. Dover Publications, 1997.
- [Lin15] Kathryn A Lindsey. Counting invariant components of hyperelliptic translation surfaces. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 210:125–146, 2015.
- [McM03] Curtis T. McMullen. Billiards and Teichmüller curves on hilbert modular surfaces. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 16:857–885, 2003.
- [McM07] Curtis T. McMullen. Dynamics of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ over moduli space in genus two. *Annals of Mathematics*, 165:397–456, 2007.
- [McM14] Curtis T. McMullen. Moduli spaces of isoperiodic forms on Riemann surfaces. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 163(12):2271 – 2323, 2014.
- [MS91] Howard Masur and John Smillie. Hausdorff dimension of sets of nonergodic measured foliations. *Annals of Mathematics*, 134(3), 1991.
- [MT02] Howard Masur and Serge Tabachnikov. Rational billiards and flat structures. In B. Hasselblatt and A. Katok, editors, *Handbook of Dynamical Systems*, volume 1, pages 1015–1089. Elsevier Science, 2002.
- [MW02] Yair Minsky and Barak Weiss. Nondivergence of horocyclic flows on moduli space. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 2002(552):131–177, 2002.
- [Win21] Karl Winsor. Dynamics of the absolute period foliation of a stratum of holomorphic 1-forms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.12669*, 2021.
- [Win22] Karl Winsor. Dense real rel flow orbits and absolute period leaves. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.04628*, 2022.
- [Yoc10] Jean-Christophe Yoccoz. Interval exchange maps and translation surfaces. In *Homogeneous Flows, Moduli Spaces, and Arithmetic*, volume 10 of *Clay mathematics proceedings*, pages 1–69. American Mathematical Society, 2010.
- [Zor06] Anton Zorich. Flat surfaces. In *Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics, and Geometry I*, pages 437–585. Springer, 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84112

Email address: ospina@math.utah.edu