

HEAT OPERATORS AND ISOMETRY GROUPS OF CUNTZ–KRIEGER ALGEBRAS

DIMITRIS M. GERONTOGIANNIS, MAGNUS GOFFENG, AND BRAM MESLAND

ABSTRACT. This paper introduces heat semigroups of topological Markov chains and Cuntz–Krieger algebras by means of spectral noncommutative geometry. Using recent advances on the logarithmic Dirichlet Laplacian on Ahlfors regular metric-measure spaces, we construct spectral triples on Cuntz–Krieger algebras from singular integral operators. These spectral triples exhaust K -homology and for Cuntz algebras we can compute their heat operators explicitly as Riesz potential operators. We also describe their isometry group in terms of the automorphism group of the underlying directed graph and prove that the Voiculescu noncommutative topological entropy vanishes on isometries.

1. INTRODUCTION

The geometry and topology of manifolds can be encoded spectrally by means of suitable (pseudo)differential operators, such as the Laplace, Hodge–deRham or Dirac operator. This functional analytic approach leads to Connes’ paradigm of noncommutative geometry [3], where the central notion is that of a spectral triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D) . This consists of a pre- C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , a Hilbert space H and a self-adjoint operator D with compact resolvent satisfying some compatibility axioms. The prototypical example in the commutative setting is that of a Dirac-type operator on a compact manifold. However, in the noncommutative setting there are only few examples of large classes of spectral triples with non-trivial topological as well as spectral features.

The class of Cuntz–Krieger algebras [2], a family containing highly noncommutative C^* -algebras arising from topological Markov chains, is such a notable example. Early on, Cuntz–Krieger algebras were shown to satisfy KK -duality [16], a topological feature that in the commutative setting is enjoyed by finite complexes. The noncommutative geometry of Cuntz–Krieger algebras was explored thereafter in [10–12] where the authors obtained spectral triples that exhaust K -homology. Building on this, a study of the isometry group of Cuntz algebras was pursued in [4]. Moreover, related constructions have been carried out in the commutative case of the underlying shift space [7,15] with a focus on metric properties and wavelet bases.

In this paper we revisit the noncommutative geometry of Cuntz–Krieger algebras in the spirit of semiclassical methods and spectral geometry. The key tools come from the recent developments made by the first and third listed author, in the harmonic analysis of Ahlfors regular metric-measure spaces [8], and ideas [12] put forward by the second and third listed author for Cuntz algebras. Notably, our present more geometric approach produces novel results already for the Cuntz algebras.

Specifically, we study Cuntz–Krieger algebras O_A by means of their Deaconu–Renault groupoid G_A over the associated topological Markov chain. In particular, after a fine analysis we equip G_A with an (extended) metric d_{G_A} and measure μ_{G_A} allowing us to employ the logarithmic Dirichlet Laplacian for Ahlfors regular metric-measure spaces [8].

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37B10, 46L80, 47A10, 58B34.

Key words and phrases. Noncommutative geometry, spectral triples, heat operators, isometry groups, Cuntz–Krieger algebras, Riesz potentials.

The resulting operator Δ , densely defined in $L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$, takes the form

$$\Delta f(g_1) = \int_{G_A} \frac{f(g_1) - f(g_2)}{d_{G_A}(g_1, g_2)^\delta} d\mu_{G_A}(g_2),$$

with δ being the fractal dimension of the underlying shift space. By adding a suitable potential to Δ , we then produce an odd spectral triple $(C_c^\infty(G_A), L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A}), D)$ over O_A , with $C_c^\infty(G_A)$ being the $*$ -algebra of compactly supported locally constant functions. The K -homology class of $(C_c^\infty(G_A), L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A}), D)$ is non-trivial. In the spirit of [10], by varying appropriate parameters we obtain a family of spectral triples that exhausts the K -homology group $K^1(O_A)$ (see Proposition 5.5).

In the present paper we focus on the fine spectral analysis of the operator D . Two key points regarding this analysis are the following:

- The spectrum and eigenfunctions of D are computed in terms of transition probabilities on the directed graph of the adjacency matrix A , see Subsection 4.1.
- The heat kernel of D is computed for the Cuntz algebra explicitly, and the heat operators e^{-tD} are Riesz potential operators, see Theorem 4.8.

In Section 6, we compute the isometry group of $(C_c^\infty(G_A), L^2(G_A), D)$ and study the noncommutative topological entropy as defined by Voiculescu. The results of Section 6 can be summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem. *The isometry group \mathbb{G}_D of the spectral triple $(C_c^\infty(G_A), L^2(G_A, \mu_A), D)$ is a compact Lie group isomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^N \rtimes \text{Aut}(A)$, where $\text{Aut}(A)$ is the automorphism group of the directed graph associated to A . Moreover, the Voiculescu noncommutative topological entropy vanishes on automorphisms of O_A belonging to \mathbb{G}_D .*

The reader should note that our computation of the isometry group does not contradict the main result in [4] as the two results concern different spectral triples. Further, we note that the situation on O_A mimics the classical case of manifolds where it also holds that the entropy of isometries vanishes. The first part of the preceding theorem can be found in the main text as Theorem 6.4 and the second part as Theorem 6.3. Moreover, the preceding theorem also says something about the strength of the isometry group of $(C_c^\infty(G_A), L^2(G_A, \mu_A), D)$ as an invariant: the isometry group recovers the number N of Cuntz–Krieger generators of O_A and the automorphism group of the associated directed graph. However, since many graphs have trivial automorphism groups, this is far from a complete invariant.

The techniques in this paper extend those put forward in [12] and make essential use of the logarithmic Dirichlet Laplacian developed in [8]. In the course of our work, we noticed a subtle but crucial issue in the definition of the metric-measure space structure from [12] on the groupoid G_A . We address the issue in Remark 4.11, and the present paper retrieves the results announced in [12] for the Cuntz algebras O_N .

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Adam Rennie, Adam Skalski, and Joachim Zacharias for useful discussions and correspondence. The second listed author was supported by the Swedish Research Council Grant VR 2018-0350.

Notation. Let F and G be real valued functions on some parameter space Z . We write $F \lesssim G$ whenever there is a constant $M > 0$ such that for all $z \in Z$ we have $F(z) \leq MG(z)$. Similarly, we define the symbol \gtrsim and write $F \simeq G$ if $F \lesssim G$ and $F \gtrsim G$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall topological Markov chains and their Deaconu–Renault groupoids. We equip the latter with a metric-measure space structure reflecting the underlying dynamics.

2.1. Topological Markov chain. We first recall the basics on topological Markov chains. The reader can find more on this topic in [17,19]. Let A be a primitive $N \times N$ -matrix with entries $\{0, 1\}$. The *space of admissible infinite words*

$$\Sigma_A = \{x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{1, \dots, N\}^{\mathbb{N}} : A_{x_n, x_{n+1}} = 1\}$$

is a closed subset of $\{1, \dots, N\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, where the latter is equipped with the product discrete topology. Since A is primitive, Σ_A is a Cantor space.

Moreover, a finite word $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$ with each $\alpha_i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ is *admissible* if each $A_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}} = 1$. The *length* of α is denoted by $|\alpha| := n$. If α is the empty word \emptyset , then $|\alpha| = 0$. We note that the space V_A of admissible finite words together with the empty word forms a rooted tree with root \emptyset .

A basis for the topology on Σ_A is given by the cylinder sets associated to finite words $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$ defined as

$$C_\alpha := \{x \in \Sigma_A : x_i = \alpha_i, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

We make the convention that Σ_A is the cylinder set of the empty word. Note that $C_\alpha = \emptyset$ unless $\alpha \in V_A$.

Given $\lambda > 1$, we equip Σ_A with the ultrametric

$$d(x, y) := \lambda^{-\inf\{n-1 : x_n \neq y_n\}},$$

with the convention that $\inf \emptyset = \infty$. We note that for every $x \in \Sigma_A$, the d-ball

$$B_d(x, \lambda^{-n}) = C_{x_1 \dots x_n}.$$

Let $\lambda_{\max} > 1$ be the Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue of A , and u, v the associated eigenvectors of A, A^t respectively. We assume that u, v are normalised so that $\sum_{i=1}^N u_i v_i = 1$. The distribution $p = (p_1, \dots, p_N)$ with $p_i = u_i v_i$ gives the Parry measure μ_A on Σ_A . Note that μ_A is σ_A -invariant. Moreover, if $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$ then

$$\mu_A(C_\alpha) = \frac{v_{\alpha_1} u_{\alpha_n}}{\lambda_{\max}^{n-1}}.$$

Further, since the coordinates of u, v are all positive, the measure μ_A is Ahlfors δ -regular with $\delta = \log_\lambda(\lambda_{\max})$. That is, there is some $C \geq 1$ so that for every $x \in \Sigma_A$ and $0 \leq r \leq 1$,

$$(2.1) \quad C^{-1} r^\delta \leq \mu_A(B_d(x, r)) \leq C r^\delta.$$

The smallest C satisfying (2.1) is called the Ahlfors constant of the metric-measure space (Σ_A, d, μ_A) . Note that $\log(\lambda_{\max}) > 0$ is the topological entropy of (Σ_A, σ_A) . For more details see [17]. Moreover, for every $s > 0$ it holds that

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{B_d(x, r)} \frac{1}{d(x, y)^{\delta-s}} d\mu_A(y) \simeq r^s.$$

2.2. Deaconu–Renault groupoid. We use the groupoid approach to Cuntz–Krieger algebras [5,22,23], mainly presenting their structure as in [10–12]. Consider the groupoid

$$G_A = \{(x, n, y) \in \Sigma_A \times \mathbb{Z} \times \Sigma_A : \text{there is } k \geq 0 \text{ such that } \sigma_A^{n+k}(x) = \sigma_A^k(y)\} \rightrightarrows \Sigma_A.$$

The source and range maps are

$$s(x, n, y) := y, \quad r(x, n, y) := x.$$

The partial multiplication and inversion are given by

$$(x, n, y)(y, m, z) := (x, n + m, z), \quad (x, n, y)^{-1} := (y, -n, x).$$

Further, consider the maps $\kappa : G_A \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $c : G_A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(x, n, y) &:= \min \{k \geq \max\{0, -n\} : \sigma_A^{n+k}(x) = \sigma_A^k(y)\}, \\ c(x, n, y) &:= n. \end{aligned}$$

An important interplay between the maps κ and c is the following.

Lemma 2.1 ([10, Proof of Proposition 5.1.4]). *For every $(x, n, y) \in G_A$ it holds that*

$$\kappa(x, n, y) = \begin{cases} \kappa(\sigma_A(x), n-1, y), & \text{if } \kappa(x, n, y) + n \geq 1 \\ \kappa(\sigma_A(x), n-1, y) - 1, & \text{if } \kappa(x, n, y) + n = 0 \end{cases}.$$

We equip G_A with the coarsest topology that makes s, r, κ, c continuous. As such, G_A is a locally compact, totally disconnected étale groupoid. A basis for this topology is given by the bisections

$$C_{\alpha, \beta} := \{(x, |\alpha| - |\beta|, y) : x \in C_\alpha, y \in C_\beta, \sigma_A^{|\alpha|}(x) = \sigma_A^{|\beta|}(y)\}.$$

We shall decompose G_A over a more refined collection of bisections. To do so, we need some further notation. To this end, we first observe that for $g = (x, n, y) \in G_A$ one has $\sigma_A^{n+\kappa(g)}(x) = \sigma_A^{\kappa(g)}(y)$.

Definition 2.2. The *discretization map* ℓ is defined to be the map

$$\ell = (\ell_r, \ell_s) : G_A \rightarrow V_A \times (V_A \setminus \{\emptyset\}),$$

that takes $g = (x, n, y)$ to the pair of words $(\ell_r(g), \ell_s(g))$ with $|\ell_r(g)| = n + \kappa(g)$ and $|\ell_s(g)| = \kappa(g) + 1$ such that

$$x = \ell_r(g)\sigma_A^{\kappa(g)}(y) \quad \text{and} \quad y = \ell_s(g)\sigma_A^{\kappa(g)+1}(y).$$

The image of ℓ consists exactly of pairs $(\alpha, \beta) \in V_A \times (V_A \setminus \{\emptyset\})$ such that either $\alpha = \emptyset$ or $A_{\alpha|\alpha|, \beta|\beta|} = 1$. We also note that ℓ is continuous since it is constant on the open subsets of each $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ where the value of κ is fixed. To simplify notation, we write

$$(2.3) \quad I_A := \{\gamma = \alpha.\beta \in V_A \times (V_A \setminus \{\emptyset\}) : \alpha = \emptyset \text{ or } A_{\alpha|\alpha|, \beta|\beta|} = 1\}.$$

We think of the notation $\gamma = \alpha.\beta$ as γ consisting of a two-sided finite word with the dot $.$ indicating the midpoint of the word. Geometrically, $\gamma = \alpha.\beta$ should be thought of as two strands α and β in the rooted tree V_A joined at the root and whose endpoints are compatible in the sense that $A_{\alpha|\alpha|, \beta|\beta|} = 1$. For $\gamma = \alpha.\beta \in I_A$, we write

$$r(\gamma) := \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad s(\gamma) := \beta.$$

For $\gamma = \alpha.\beta \in I_A$, we define

$$G_\gamma := \ell^{-1}(\gamma) \equiv \{(x, n, y) \in G_A : x = \alpha\sigma_A^{|\beta|-1}(y), y = \beta\sigma_A^{|\beta|}(y), \text{ and } \kappa(x, n, y) = |\beta| - 1\}.$$

Since ℓ is continuous, we arrive at a decomposition into clopen subsets

$$(2.4) \quad G_A = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in I_A} G_\gamma.$$

By construction, we have that

$$\kappa|_{G_\gamma} = |s(\gamma)| - 1, \quad \text{and} \quad c|_{G_\gamma} = |r(\gamma)| - |s(\gamma)| + 1.$$

Moreover, the following holds.

Lemma 2.3. *For each $\gamma \in I_A$ the clopen subset $G_\gamma \subseteq G_A$ is a bisection and the restricted source map*

$$s_\gamma := s|_{G_\gamma} : G_\gamma \rightarrow C_{s(\gamma)},$$

and restricted range map

$$r_\gamma := r|_{G_\gamma} : G_\gamma \rightarrow C_{r(\gamma)},$$

are homeomorphisms. Moreover, the product on G_A yields the partially defined maps

$$G_{\alpha.\beta} \times G_{\beta.\beta'} \rightarrow G_{\alpha\beta|\beta|.\beta'},$$

and the inversion map satisfies

$$G_{\alpha.\beta}^{-1} = G_{\hat{\beta}.\alpha\beta|\beta|},$$

where $\hat{\beta} \in V_A$ is uniquely determined by $\beta = \hat{\beta}\beta|\beta|$.

For the sequel it is important to understand how the shift map acts on (2.4).

Lemma 2.4. *Let $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and let $\gamma = \alpha.\beta \in I_A$ be such that $i\gamma := i\alpha.\beta \in I_A$. Then, the map*

$$\sigma_{i\gamma} : G_{i\gamma} \rightarrow G_\gamma, \quad (x, n, y) \mapsto (\sigma_A(x), n-1, y),$$

is a homeomorphism and satisfies

$$s_\gamma \circ \sigma_{i\gamma} = s_{i\gamma}.$$

Moreover, if $\gamma = \emptyset.\beta$ with $\beta = \hat{\beta}\beta_{|\beta|}$ and $\hat{\beta} \neq \emptyset$, then for $\hat{\gamma} := \emptyset.\hat{\beta} \in I_A$ the map

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\gamma : G_\gamma \rightarrow G_{\hat{\gamma}}, \\ (\sigma_A^{|\beta|-1}(x), -|\beta|+1, x) \mapsto (\sigma_A^{|\hat{\beta}|-1}(x), -|\hat{\beta}|-1, x) = (\sigma_A^{|\beta|-1}(x), -|\hat{\beta}|-1, x), \end{aligned}$$

is a homeomorphism onto its image.

2.3. Metric-measure space structure on G_A . For every $\gamma \in I_A$, we define the pull-back metric d_γ on G_γ by

$$d_\gamma(g_1, g_2) := d_{\Sigma_A}(s_\gamma(g_1), s_\gamma(g_2)).$$

In other words, the metric d_γ on G_γ can be defined from declaring $s_\gamma : G_\gamma \rightarrow C_{s(\gamma)}$ to be an isometry. Then, we define an extended metric d_{G_A} on G_A , generating the topology on G_A , by

$$d_{G_A}(g_1, g_2) := \begin{cases} d_\gamma(g_1, g_2), & \text{if for some } \gamma \in I_A \text{ both } g_1, g_2 \in G_\gamma. \\ \infty, & \text{if else} \end{cases}.$$

Remark 2.5. The extended metric defined above differs from the one used in the preceding work [12] of the second and third listed author. In [12], G_A was decomposed in terms of $G_{\alpha,k}$ for $\alpha \in V_A$ and $k \geq 0$, that with our current notation corresponds to $\bigsqcup_{|\beta|=k+1} G_{\alpha.\beta}$, with β satisfying $\alpha.\beta \in I_A$. Then, an extended metric was defined using this decomposition and declaring $s|_{G_{\alpha,k}}$ to be isometric. As we discuss below in Remark 4.11, this extended metric led to various issues in obtaining spectral triples from log-Laplacians.

We define the pull-back finite Borel measure $\mu_\gamma := s_\gamma^* \mu_A$ on G_γ . For an open $B \subset G_\gamma$, μ_γ is defined by

$$\mu_\gamma(B) = \mu_A(s_\gamma(B)).$$

The collection $\{\mu_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in I_A}$ gives a Borel measure μ_{G_A} on G_A . Now since each $s_\gamma(G_\gamma) = C_{s(\gamma)}$ is clopen in Σ_A , and for the latter μ_A is Ahlfors δ -regular, we obtain the following.

Lemma 2.6. *For every $\gamma \in I_A$, the metric-measure space $(G_\gamma, d_\gamma, \mu_\gamma)$ is Ahlfors δ -regular with $\delta = \log_\lambda(\lambda_{\max})$.*

From Lemma 2.4 we obtain the next shift invariance for the collection $\{\mu_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in I_A}$.

Lemma 2.7. *For every $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, $\gamma \in I_A$ such that $i\gamma \in I_A$ and open set $B \subset G_{i\gamma}$, one has*

$$\mu_{i\gamma}(B) = \mu_\gamma(\sigma_{i\gamma}(B)).$$

3. O_A -REPRESENTATION AND WAVELET BASIS

The Cuntz–Krieger algebra O_A , as originally defined in [2], is the universal C^* -algebra generated by N elements S_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, subject to the relations

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N S_i S_i^* = 1, \quad S_i^* S_i = \sum_{j=1}^N A_{ij} S_j S_j^*, \quad S_i S_i^* S_j S_j^* = \delta_{ij}.$$

We consider the groupoid model of the Cuntz–Krieger algebra O_A , that is, the reduced C^* -algebra $C_r^*(G_A)$, see [22,23]. The latter C^* -algebra is the norm closure of the $*$ -algebra $C_c^\infty(G_A)$ in its representation on $L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$ by convolution operators. The standard

Cuntz–Krieger generators S_i for $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ of O_A correspond in $C_r^*(G_A)$ to the convolution operators defined from the characteristic functions of the sets

$$\{(x, 1, \sigma_A(x)) : x \in C_i\}.$$

In this section we shall study how $L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$ decomposes under the decomposition (2.4) and how O_A acts on this decomposition.

3.1. Cuntz–Krieger algebra. For every $f \in L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$ it holds that

$$(S_i f)(x, n, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \notin C_i \\ f(\sigma_A(x), n-1, y), & \text{if else} \end{cases}.$$

To determine the support of functions $S_i f$ we shall use the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\gamma = \alpha.\beta \in I_A$ and $(x, n, y) \in G_A$ with $(\sigma_A(x), n-1, y) \in G_\gamma$. Then,*

- (1) *if $\alpha \neq \emptyset$ or $|\beta| = 1$, we have that $(x, n, y) \in \bigsqcup_{j:\gamma \in I_A} G_{j\gamma}$;*
- (2) *if $\alpha = \emptyset$ and $|\beta| > 1$, we have that*

$$(x, n, y) \in \left(\bigsqcup_{j:\gamma \in I_A} G_{j\gamma} \right) \bigsqcup G_{\emptyset, \hat{\beta}},$$

where $\hat{\beta} := \beta_1 \dots \beta_{|\beta|-1}$.

We now present each generator S_i as a matrix operator acting on the decomposition

$$L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A}) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in I_A} L^2(G_\gamma, \mu_\gamma).$$

Consider the projections $P_\gamma : L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A}) \rightarrow L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$ onto $L^2(G_\gamma, \mu_\gamma)$. We write

$$P_\gamma S_i P_{\gamma'} : L^2(G_{\gamma'}, \mu_{\gamma'}) \rightarrow L^2(G_\gamma, \mu_\gamma),$$

for the (γ, γ') -entry of S_i . In fact, for $f \in L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$ and $(x, n, y) \in G_A$ we have that

$$(P_\gamma S_i P_{\gamma'} f)(x, n, y) = \begin{cases} f(\sigma_A(x), n-1, y), & \text{if } x \in C_i, (x, n, y) \in G_\gamma, (\sigma_A(x), n-1, y) \in G_{\gamma'} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Given that all metric-measure information on G_A is defined in terms of the isometric homeomorphism

$$\hat{s} := \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in I_A} s_\gamma : G_A = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in I_A} G_\gamma \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in I_A} C_{s(\gamma)} \subseteq \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in I_A} \Sigma_A,$$

we have a unitary isomorphism that by abuse of notation we denote

$$(3.2) \quad \hat{s} : L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A}) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in I_A} L^2(G_\gamma, \mu_\gamma) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\gamma \in I_A} L^2(C(s(\gamma)), \mu).$$

To get a better understanding of $P_\gamma S_i P_{\gamma'}$, we identify it under this unitary with the operator

$$S_{i,\gamma}^{\gamma'} : L^2(C_{s(\gamma')}, \mu) \rightarrow L^2(C_{s(\gamma)}, \mu),$$

and S_i with the matrix operator $(S_{i,\gamma}^{\gamma'})_{\gamma, \gamma' \in I_A}$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Then, every column of the matrix operator $S_i = (S_{i,\gamma}^{\gamma'})_{\gamma, \gamma' \in I_A}$ has at most two non-zero entries and every row at most N non-zero entries. The entry $S_{i,\gamma}^{\gamma'}$ of S_i as an operator $L^2(C_{s(\gamma')}, \mu) \rightarrow L^2(C_{s(\gamma)}, \mu)$ can be described by*

$$S_{i,\gamma}^{\gamma'} f(s(\gamma)x) := \theta_i(\gamma, \gamma') f(s(\gamma')x),$$

where $\theta_i : I_A \times I_A \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is the characteristic function of the set

$$\left\{ (\gamma, \gamma') \in I_A \times I_A : \begin{array}{l} s(\gamma) = s(\gamma') \text{ and } r(\gamma) = ir(\gamma') \\ \text{or} \\ r(\gamma) = r(\gamma') = \emptyset \text{ and } s(\gamma) = \widehat{s(\gamma')} \text{ and } s(\gamma')|_{s(\gamma')^{-1}} = i \end{array} \right\}.$$

In particular, $S_{i,\gamma}^{\gamma'} = 0$ when

- (1) $(r(\gamma') \neq \emptyset \text{ or } |s(\gamma')| = 1)$ and $(\gamma \neq i\gamma' \text{ or } i\gamma' \notin I_A)$,
- (2) $r(\gamma') = \emptyset$ and $|s(\gamma')| > 1$:
 - (a) $A_{i,s(\gamma')|_{s(\gamma')^{-1}}} = 1$ and $(\gamma \neq i\gamma' \text{ or } \gamma \neq \emptyset \cdot \widehat{s(\gamma')})$,
 - (b) $A_{i,s(\gamma')|_{s(\gamma')^{-1}}} = 0$,
 - (c) $\gamma = \widehat{\gamma'} \text{ and } s(\gamma')|_{s(\gamma')^{-1}} \neq i$.

3.2. The wavelet basis for $L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$. Let us turn to constructing a wavelet basis for $L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$ by utilizing the unitary isomorphism (3.2). The construction is similar, yet different as we see in Remark 3.6, to those in [18]. Specifically, for a finite word $\beta \in V_A \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ we aim to construct an orthonormal basis $H(\beta)$ for $L^2(C(\beta), \mu)$.

To this end, we decompose $L^2(C(\beta), \mu)$ into finite-dimensional spaces as

$$L^2(C(\beta), \mu) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} L_k^2(C(\beta), \mu),$$

by declaring $\bigoplus_{k=0}^N L_k^2(C(\beta), \mu)$ to consist of the linear span of $\{\chi_{C_{\beta\nu}} : |\nu| = N\}$ in $L^2(C(\beta), \mu)$. The space $L_0^2(C(\beta), \mu)$ is one-dimensional and is spanned by the unit vector

$$h_\beta := \mu(C(\beta))^{-1/2} \chi_{C(\beta)}.$$

We write $H_0(\beta) = \{h_\beta\}$ and for $k > 0$, $H_k(\beta)$ will denote an orthonormal basis of $L_k^2(C(\beta), \mu)$ with the property that for $h \in H_k(\beta)$:

- (1) There exists a unique $\omega_\beta(h) \in V_A$ starting with β of length $|\beta| + k - 1 \geq 1$ such that h is supported in $C(\omega_\beta(h))$, that we sometimes denote by $C(h)$.
- (2) h is constant on C_μ for any $|\mu| \geq |\beta| + k$.

The orthonormal basis $H(\beta)$ is defined as $\bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} H_k(\beta)$. Note that for $h \in H(\beta) \setminus H_0(\beta)$,

$$\int_{C(\beta)} h \, d\mu = \int_{C(\omega_\beta(h))} h \, d\mu = 0,$$

since the left hand side is $\mu(C(\beta))^{1/2} \langle h_\beta, h \rangle$. We shall enumerate $H(\beta)$ as

$$(h_{\beta, h_{\nu, j}})_{\nu = \beta\nu_0 \in V_A, j \in J_\beta(\nu)}$$

where $\omega_\beta(h_{\nu, j}) = \nu$ and $J_\beta(\nu) \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ is a non-empty index set such that

$$\#J_\beta(\nu) = \#\{i \in \{1, \dots, N\} : A_{\nu|_{\nu|^{-1}}, i} = 1\} - 1 \geq 1.$$

Example 3.3. For the full N -shift, we can take the basis $H(\beta) = (h_{\beta, h_{\nu, j}})_{\nu = \beta\nu_0 \in V_A, j \in J_\beta(\nu)}$ as follows. We have

$$h_\beta = N^{|\beta|/2} \chi_{C(\beta)}.$$

Also, for $|\nu| \geq |\beta|$ we can choose $J_\beta(\nu) = \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ and for $j \in J_\beta(\nu)$ we have a basis element

$$h_{\nu, j} = N^{|\nu|/2} \sum_{k=1}^N e^{\frac{2\pi i j (k-1)}{N}} \chi_{C(\nu k)} \in H_{|\nu|-|\beta|+1}(\beta).$$

We can now obtain a wavelet basis for $L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$. The action of the generators on this basis can be described using Lemmas (2.4) and (3.1).

Theorem 3.4. *Define the set*

$$\mathfrak{J}_A := \{(\gamma, \nu, j) \in I_A \times V_A \setminus \{\emptyset\} \times \{1, \dots, N\} : \nu = s(\gamma)\nu_0, j \in J_{s(\gamma)}(\nu)\}.$$

Then the collection $(e_\gamma, e_{(\gamma, \nu, j)})_{(\gamma, \nu, j) \in \mathfrak{J}_A} \subseteq C_c^\infty(G_A)$ defined from

$$e_\gamma := \chi_{G_\gamma} \cdot (h_{s(\gamma)} \circ s),$$

where χ_{G_γ} is the characteristic function of $G_\gamma \subset G_A$, and

$$e_{(\gamma, \nu, j)} := \chi_{G_\gamma} \cdot (h_{\nu, j} \circ s)$$

is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(G_A, \mu_A)$. Moreover, consider a generator S_i for some $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. If $i\gamma \notin I_A$ then $S_i e_{(\gamma, \nu, j)} = 0$. Also, if $i\gamma \in I_A$ and

(a) $r(\gamma) \neq \emptyset$ or $|s(\gamma)| = 1$, then

$$S_i e_{(\gamma, \nu, j)} = e_{(i\gamma, \nu, j)},$$

(b) $r(\gamma) = \emptyset$ and $|s(\gamma)| > 1$ and $s(\gamma)|_{|s(\gamma)|-1} \neq i$, then

$$S_i e_{(\gamma, \nu, j)} = e_{(i\gamma, \nu, j)},$$

(c) $r(\gamma) = \emptyset$ and $|s(\gamma)| > 1$ and $s(\gamma)|_{|s(\gamma)|-1} = i$, then

$$S_i e_{(\gamma, \nu, j)}(x, n, y) = \begin{cases} e_{(i\gamma, \nu, j)}(x, n, y), & \text{if } (x, n, y) \in G_{i\gamma}, \\ h_{\nu, j} \circ s|_{\sigma_\gamma(G_\gamma)}(x, n, y), & \text{if } (x, n, y) \in \sigma_\gamma(G_\gamma), \\ 0, & \text{if else} \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma_\gamma(G_\gamma) \subset G_{\hat{\gamma}}$ as described in Lemma 2.4.

Example 3.5. For the Cuntz algebra O_N we can identify

$$\mathfrak{J}_A = I_A \times (V_A \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \times \{1, \dots, N-1\}.$$

In this index set, the basis is given by

$$e_\gamma := N^{|s(\gamma)|/2} \chi_{G_\gamma}, \quad \text{and} \quad e_{\gamma, \nu, j} := N^{|\nu|/2} \sum_{k=1}^N e^{\frac{2\pi i j(k-1)}{N}} \chi_{G_\gamma} \cdot (\chi_{C(\nu k)} \circ s).$$

Remark 3.6. We note that there is a difference from our construction to that in [18]. In [18], one finds a construction for a basis of $L^2(\Sigma_A, \mu)$ and its relation to a representation of O_A on $L^2(\Sigma_A, \mu)$. While the basis we consider originates in the constructions of [18], we note that the left action of the Cuntz–Krieger algebra O_A differs substantially on the two spaces. Indeed, there exists no isometry $L^2(\Sigma_A, \mu) \hookrightarrow L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$ commuting with the left O_A -action. Such an isometry can not exist since $1 \in L^2(\Sigma_A, \mu)$ is a cyclic vector such that $S_i^* 1 = 0$ and no such vector exist in $L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$.

4. THE LOGARITHMIC DIRICHLET LAPLACIAN ON G_A

We have that

$$L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A}) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in I_A} L^2(G_\gamma, \mu_\gamma).$$

Therefore, we can define the positive, self-adjoint operator Δ acting on $L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$ as

$$\Delta = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in I_A} \Delta_\gamma,$$

where each Δ_γ is the logarithmic Dirichlet Laplacian on $L^2(G_\gamma, \mu_\gamma)$ with its Ahlfors regular metric-measure space structure $(G_\gamma, d_\gamma, \mu_\gamma)$ discussed in Proposition 2.6. We can identify Δ_γ with $P_\gamma \Delta P_\gamma$. Also, each Δ_γ is essentially self-adjoint on locally constant functions. We can deduce this fact from the direct computation appearing below in Lemma 4.2 which implies that Δ_γ can be diagonalised by the Haar wavelets of Subsection 3.2. In particular, the space $C_c^\infty(G_A)$ of locally constant functions with compact support on G_A is a core for Δ . Often the support of elements in $C_c^\infty(G_A)$ will be in some G_γ or a finite union of those.

Note that for $f \in C_c^\infty(G_A)$ the operator Δ admits the integral representation

$$(4.1) \quad \Delta f(g_1) = \int_{G_A} \frac{f(g_1) - f(g_2)}{d_{G_A}(g_1, g_2)^\delta} d\mu_{G_A}(g_2),$$

with singular kernel $d_{G_A}(g_1, g_2)^{-\delta}$. The importance of such integral representations is explained in more detail in [8], see also [12,13,20].

Remark 4.1. The singular kernel $d_{G_A}(g_1, g_2)^{-\delta}$ is a localised version of the singular kernel used in [12]. Recall that in [12], another extended metric was used as discussed above in Remark 2.5. If we write \tilde{d}_{G_A} for the metric used in [12], we have $d_{G_A}(g_1, g_2) \geq \tilde{d}_{G_A}(g_1, g_2)$. In particular, $d_{G_A}(g_1, g_2)^{-\delta} \leq \tilde{d}_{G_A}(g_1, g_2)^{-\delta}$ while the reversed inequality is not always true. Nevertheless, the kernels have similar singularity since for g_1 and g_2 sufficiently close (assuming $\tilde{d}_{G_A}(g_1, g_2)^{-\delta} \geq \lambda_{\max}^{k+1}$ to be precise) the kernels coincide: $d_{G_A}(g_1, g_2)^{-\delta} = \tilde{d}_{G_A}(g_1, g_2)^{-\delta}$.

4.1. Spectral properties. The spectral properties of Δ can be determined explicitly as in [8, Section 5]. First we consider the situation on each G_γ , or equivalently, on each cylinder set $C(\beta)$ for $\beta \in V_A \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Also, for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ denote by $P_{i,j}$ the transition probability of going from *vertex* i to *vertex* j in the finite directed graph associated to A . Then, from [17, p. 175] we see that

$$P_{i,j} = \frac{A_{i,j}u_j}{\lambda_{\max}u_i}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\beta \in V_A \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. The orthonormal basis $H(\beta) = (h_\beta, h_{\nu,j})_{\nu=\beta\nu_0 \in V_A, j \in J_\beta(\nu)}$ constructed in Subsection 3.2 is an eigenbasis for the logarithmic Dirichlet Laplacian $\Delta_{C(\beta)}$ on $L^2(C(\beta), \mu)$. We have that*

$$\text{Ker } \Delta_{C(\beta)} = \mathbb{C}h_\beta$$

and

$$\Delta_{C(\beta)}h_{\nu,j} = \lambda_\beta^A(\nu)h_{\nu,j},$$

where if $C(\nu) = C(\beta)$ then $\lambda_\beta^A(\nu) = 1$, and if $C(\nu) \subsetneq C(\beta)$ then

$$\lambda_\beta^A(\nu) = 1 + \lambda_{\max}v_{\nu_1} \sum_{k=0}^{|\nu|-|\beta|-1} u_{\nu_{|\nu|-k-1}} (1 - P_{\nu_{|\nu|-k-1}, \nu_{|\nu|-k}}).$$

The computations proving Lemma 4.2 closely mimicks that of [8, Proposition 5.6], which in turn is inspired by [1, Theorem 3.1].

Proof. The equality $\text{Ker } \Delta_{C(\beta)} = \mathbb{C}h_\beta$ follows from [8, Proposition 3.4]. By the argument in [1, Theorem 3.1] we also get

$$\Delta_{C(\beta)}h_{\nu,j}(x) = 0, \quad \text{for } x \in C(\beta) \setminus C(\nu).$$

Moreover, for $x \in C(\nu)$ we have that

$$\Delta_{C(\beta)}h_{\nu,j}(x) = \left(1 + \int_{C(\beta) \setminus C(\nu)} d(x, y)^{-\delta} d\mu(y) \right) h(x).$$

If $C(\nu) = C(\beta)$ then $\Delta_{C(\beta)}h_{\nu,j} = h_{\nu,j}$. On the other hand, if $C(\nu) \subsetneq C(\beta)$ then

$$C(\beta) \setminus C(\nu) = \bigsqcup_{k=0}^{|\nu|-|\beta|-1} \left[B(x, \lambda^{-|\nu|+k+1}) \setminus B(x, \lambda^{-|\nu|+k}) \right].$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{C(\beta) \setminus C(\nu)} d(x, y)^{-\delta} d\mu(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{|\nu|-|\beta|-1} \int_{B(x, \lambda^{-|\nu|+k+1}) \setminus B(x, \lambda^{-|\nu|+k})} d(x, y)^{-\delta} d\mu(y) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=0}^{|\nu|-|\beta|-1} \lambda^{\delta(|\nu|-k-1)} \left(\frac{v_{\nu_1} u_{\nu_{|\nu|-k-1}}}{\lambda_{\max}^{|\nu|-k-2}} - \frac{v_{\nu_1} u_{\nu_{|\nu|-k}}}{\lambda_{\max}^{|\nu|-k-1}} \right) = \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{|\nu|-|\beta|-1} v_{\nu_1} (u_{\nu_{|\nu|-k-1}} \lambda_{\max} - u_{\nu_{|\nu|-k}}) \\
&= \lambda_{\max} v_{\nu_1} \sum_{k=0}^{|\nu|-|\beta|-1} u_{\nu_{|\nu|-k-1}} (1 - P_{\nu_{|\nu|-k-1}, \nu_{|\nu|-k}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used $\lambda^\delta = \lambda_{\max}$ by the definition of δ . \square

We shall now obtain estimates of the eigenvalues of each $\Delta_{C(\beta)}$. For this we require the following observation.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\nu \in V_A$ with $|\nu| > 3(N+1)$ and $\#\{i \in \{1, \dots, N\} : A_{\nu_{|i|}, i} = 1\} \geq 2$. Then, it holds that*

$$\#\{i \in \{1, \dots, |\nu| - 1\} : P_{\nu_i, \nu_{i+1}} \neq 1\} > \frac{|\nu|}{3(N+1)}.$$

Proof. Consider such $\nu \in V_A$ and write $|\nu| = k(N+1) + m$, for $k \geq 1$ and $0 \leq m \leq N$. Also, for every $1 \leq l \leq k$ denote by $\nu(l)$ the l -th consecutive subword of ν with $|\nu(l)| = N+1$, that is, $\nu(l) = \nu_{(l-1)(N+1)+1} \dots \nu_{l(N+1)}$.

We claim that for each $\nu(l)$ there is some $j \in \{(l-1)(N+1) + 1, \dots, l(N+1)\}$ such that the letter $\nu(l)_j$ has

$$(4.2) \quad \#\{i \in \{1, \dots, N\} : A_{\nu(l)_j, i} = 1\} \geq 2.$$

It then follows that

$$\#\{i \in \{1, \dots, |\nu| - 1\} : P_{\nu_i, \nu_{i+1}} \neq 1\} \geq k - 1 > \frac{|\nu|}{N+1} - 2 \geq \frac{|\nu|}{3(N+1)}.$$

We have bounded below by $k-1$ instead of k because it can happen that $m=0$ and we have already assumed that the last subword $\nu(k)$ contains a letter satisfying (4.2), that is, the last letter $\nu_{|\nu|}$.

To prove the claim (4.2) assume to the contrary that there is some $\nu(l)$ such that for every $j \in \{(l-1)(N+1) + 1, \dots, l(N+1)\}$ one has

$$(4.3) \quad \#\{i \in \{1, \dots, N\} : A_{\nu(l)_j, i} = 1\} = 1.$$

Now $\nu(l)$ contains a cycle since $|\nu(l)| > N$ and due to (4.3) this cycle has to be repeated indefinitely. In particular, the last letter $\nu_{|\nu|}$ satisfies (4.3), a contradiction. \square

Lemma 4.3 can now be applied to any $\nu \in V_A$ that appears in the orthonormal basis $H(\beta) = (h_\beta, h_{\nu, j})_{\nu = \beta \nu_0 \in V_A, j \in J_\beta(\nu)}$, and since A is primitive and every coordinate of the eigenvectors u, v is positive, we obtain the following.

Proposition 4.4. *There are constants $0 < C_0 \leq C_1$ so that for every $\beta \in V_A \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ and every eigenvalue $\lambda_\beta^A(\nu)$ of Lemma 4.2 it holds that*

$$C_0(|\nu| - |\beta|) \leq \lambda_\beta^A(\nu) \leq C_1(|\nu| - |\beta|).$$

Finally, we obtain the spectral decomposition of Δ on $L^2(G_A, \mu_A)$.

Theorem 4.5. *The orthonormal basis $(e_{\gamma, \nu, j})_{(\gamma, \nu, j) \in \mathfrak{I}_A} \subseteq C_c^\infty(G_A)$ for $L^2(G_A, \mu_A)$ from Theorem 3.4 consists of eigenfunctions of Δ . More precisely, $\text{Ker}(\Delta)$ is the closed linear span of $(e_\gamma)_{\gamma \in I_A}$ and for $(\gamma, \nu, j) \in \mathfrak{I}_A$,*

$$\Delta e_{(\gamma, \nu, j)} = \lambda_{s(\gamma)}^A(\nu) e_{(\gamma, \nu, j)}.$$

4.2. Heat kernels for the full N -shift and the algebra O_N . Let us describe the heat kernels arising from the full N -shift and at the groupoid level for the algebra O_N . We start by describing the heat kernel of each Δ_γ and for the purpose of obtaining a geometry relevant object on the groupoid, we compute the heat kernel of $\Delta + M_\ell$ on $L^2(G_A, \mu_A)$, where M_ℓ is the multiplication operator by the proper continuous function $\ell : G_A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined as

$$(4.4) \quad \ell|_{G_\gamma} := |\gamma| = |r(\gamma)| + |s(\gamma)|.$$

Note that M_ℓ is positive and essentially self-adjoint on $C_c^\infty(G_A)$. Moreover, for $s > 0$ and $\gamma \in I_A$, we define the Riesz potential operator $R_{\gamma,s}$ on $L^2(G_\gamma, \mu_{G_A})$ by

$$R_{\gamma,s}f(g_1) := \int_{G_\gamma} \frac{f(g_2)}{d(g_1, g_2)^{\delta-s}} d\mu(g_2).$$

Riesz potential operators are an indispensable tool for studying metric-measure spaces [14,26]. We should highlight that the limit $s \rightarrow 0$ is highly singular as captured by the logarithmic Dirichlet Laplacian.

Proposition 4.6. *For every $\gamma \in I_A$ and $t > 0$, the heat operator $e^{-t\Delta_\gamma}$ admits a kernel $k_{\gamma,t} \in L^1(G_\gamma \times G_\gamma, \mu_\gamma \times \mu_\gamma)$. Specifically, for $g_1 \neq g_2 \in G_\gamma$ it is given by*

$$k_{\gamma,t}(g_1, g_2) = h_\gamma(t) + H_\gamma(t)d_\gamma(g_1, g_2)^{-\delta+\delta't},$$

where $\delta' = (1 - N^{-1}) \log_\lambda(e)$ and

$$h_\gamma(t) = N^{|\gamma|} \left(1 - \frac{(N-1)e^{-t}}{Ne^{-t(1-N^{-1})} - 1} \right)$$

$$H_\gamma(t) = \frac{N - Ne^{-t(1-N^{-1})}}{Ne^{-t(1-N^{-1})} - 1} e^{-t(1-(1-N^{-1})|\gamma|)}.$$

Further, $k_{\gamma,t}(g, g) < \infty$ if and only if $t > \delta/\delta'$, and for $t > \delta/\delta'$ we have that $k_{\gamma,t}$ is continuous. Finally,

$$e^{-t\Delta_\gamma} = h_\gamma(t)N^{-|\gamma|}P_{\Delta_\gamma} + H_\gamma(t)R_{\gamma,\delta't},$$

where P_{Δ_γ} denotes the projection onto the kernel of Δ_γ .

Proof. We can equivalently perform our computations on $C(\beta)$ for $\beta = s(\gamma)$ and denote the kernel by $k_{\beta,t}$. We compute using the wavelet basis $H(\beta) = (h_\beta, h_{\nu,j})_{\gamma=\beta\nu_0 \in V_A, j \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}}$ from Example 3.3. By Lemma 4.2 (see also [8, Proof of Proposition 5.6]) we have that $\Delta_{C(\beta)}h_\beta = 0$ and

$$\Delta_{C(\beta)}h_{\beta\nu_0,j} = (1 + (1 - N^{-1})|\nu_0|)h_{\beta\nu_0,j}.$$

Take $x \neq y \in C(\beta)$ and set $k = -\log_\lambda d(x, y) \geq |\beta|$, so there is a finite word $\tilde{\nu} \in V_A$ of length k such that $\tilde{\nu} = \beta\tilde{\nu}_0$ and $x = \tilde{\nu}x_0$ and $y = \tilde{\nu}y_0$ where x_0 and y_0 differ in their first letter that we call p_x and p_y .

First, assume that $k > |\beta|$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} k_{\beta,t}(x, y) &= h_\beta(x)h_\beta(y) + \\ &+ \sum_{\nu_0 \in V_A} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^N N^{|\beta|+|\nu_0|} e^{-t(1+(1-N^{-1})|\nu_0|)} e^{\frac{2\pi ij(k_1-k_2)}{N}} \chi_{C(\beta\nu_0 k_1)}(x) \chi_{C(\beta\nu_0 k_2)}(y) = \\ &= N^{|\beta|} + N^{|\beta|}(N-1)e^{-t} \sum_{l=0}^{k-|\beta|-1} N^l e^{-t(1-N^{-1})l} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} N^{|\beta|+|\tilde{\nu}_0|} e^{-t(1+(1-N^{-1})|\tilde{\nu}_0|)} e^{\frac{2\pi ij(p_x-p_y)}{N}} \\ &= N^{|\beta|} + N^{|\beta|}(N-1)e^{-t} \frac{(Ne^{-t(1-N^{-1})})^{k-|\beta|} - 1}{Ne^{-t(1-N^{-1})} - 1} - N^k e^{-t(1+(1-N^{-1})(k-|\beta|))} \\ (*) &= N^{|\beta|} \left(1 - \frac{(N-1)e^{-t}}{Ne^{-t(1-N^{-1})} - 1} \right) + \left(\frac{N - Ne^{-t(1-N^{-1})}}{Ne^{-t(1-N^{-1})} - 1} e^{-t(1-(1-N^{-1})|\beta|)} \right) \left(Ne^{-t(1-N^{-1})} \right)^k. \end{aligned}$$

To complete the calculation for $k > |\beta|$ notice that

$$\left(Ne^{-t(1-N^{-1})}\right)^k = d(x, y)^{-\log_\lambda(N)+t(1-N^{-1})\log_\lambda(e)}.$$

Now, if $k = |\beta|$, the second term

$$(4.5) \quad N^{|\beta|}(N-1)e^{-t} \sum_{l=0}^{k-|\beta|-1} N^l e^{-t(1-N^{-1})l}$$

in the second equality will not appear and hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} k_{\beta,t}(x, y) &= N^{|\beta|}(1 - e^{-t}) \\ (**) &= N^{|\beta|} - e^{-t(1-(1-N^{-1})|\beta|)} d(x, y)^{-\log_\lambda(N)+t(1-N^{-1})\log_\lambda(e)}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe now that the expression $(**)$ can be derived from $(*)$ by putting $k = \beta$, even though (4.5) is not defined. The rest properties of $k_{\beta,t}$ are easy to check. \square

As a corollary, we obtain that the one parameter families $t \mapsto H\left(\frac{t}{\delta'}\right) R_{\gamma,t}$ form a semi-group up to rank one operators.

Corollary 4.7. *For every $\gamma \in I_A$, the family $\{R_{\gamma,s}\}_{s>0}$ of Riesz potentials satisfies the composition rule*

$$\begin{aligned} H_\gamma\left(\frac{s_1}{\delta'}\right) H_\gamma\left(\frac{s_2}{\delta'}\right) R_{\gamma,s_1} R_{\gamma,s_2} &= H_\gamma\left(\frac{s_1+s_2}{\delta'}\right) R_{\gamma,s_1+s_2} + \\ &+ \left(N^{-|s(\gamma)|} h_\gamma\left(\frac{s_1+s_2}{\delta'}\right) + h_\gamma\left(\frac{s_1}{\delta'}\right) h_\gamma\left(\frac{s_2}{\delta'}\right) N^{-|s(\gamma)|} - h_\gamma\left(\frac{s_1}{\delta'}\right) - h_\gamma\left(\frac{s_2}{\delta'}\right)\right) P_{\Delta_\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For every $\gamma \in I_A$ and $s > 0$ it holds that $[P_{\Delta_\gamma}, R_{\gamma,s}] = 0$ and

$$R_{\gamma,s} P_{\Delta_\gamma} = \frac{1 - h_\gamma\left(\frac{s}{\delta'}\right) N^{-|s(\gamma)|}}{H_\gamma\left(\frac{s}{\delta'}\right)}.$$

Then, the semigroup property of $\{e^{-s\Delta_\gamma}\}_{s>0}$ and an algebraic manipulation gives us the result. \square

By summing the result of Proposition 4.6 over all components, using the estimate (2.2), and taking into account the function $\ell : G_A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ in (4.4), we arrive at the following.

Theorem 4.8. *For every $t > 0$, the heat operator $e^{-t(\Delta+M_\ell)}$ admits a kernel $K_t \in L^1_{loc}(G_A \times G_A, \mu_A \times \mu_A)$. Specifically, for $g_1 \neq g_2 \in G_A$, it is given by*

$$K_t(g_1, g_2) = \begin{cases} e^{-t|\gamma|} k_{\gamma,t}(g_1, g_2), & \text{if for some } \gamma \in I_A \text{ both } g_1, g_2 \in G_\gamma \\ 0, & \text{if else} \end{cases}.$$

Moreover, K_t is continuous and integrable for $t > \frac{\delta}{\delta'}$.

4.3. The commutator with O_A . We now arrive at the main technical result towards the construction of a spectral triple from the logarithmic Dirichlet Laplacian Δ .

Proposition 4.9. *For $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ the commutator $[\Delta, S_i]$ extends to a bounded operator on $L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$. Moreover, $\|[\Delta, S_i]\| \leq C$ where $C \geq 1$ is the Ahlfors constant as in (2.1). In particular, for any $a \in C^\infty_c(G_A)$, $[\Delta, a]$ extends to a bounded operator on $L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$.*

Proof. The commutator $[\Delta, S_i]$ is a matrix operator with entries

$$P_\gamma[\Delta, S_i]P_{\gamma'} = \Delta_\gamma P_\gamma S_i P_{\gamma'} - P_\gamma S_i P_{\gamma'} \Delta_{\gamma'},$$

since Δ is diagonal in the decomposition of $L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$. We claim that each entry extends to a bounded operator and the operator norms of those entries are uniformly bounded. Also, from Proposition 3.2 we have that every column of $[\Delta, S_i]$ has at most two non-zero entries and every row at most N non-zero entries. Therefore, from Schur's test $[\Delta, S_i]$ is bounded.

Moreover, in our calculations it suffices to work with locally constant functions, due to the self-adjointness of each Δ and since convoluting with S_i preserves locally constant functions.

It follows from Proposition 3.2 that $P_\gamma[\Delta, S_i]P_{\gamma'} = 0$ unless we are in one of the two cases

- (1) $s(\gamma) = s(\gamma')$ and $r(\gamma) = \widehat{ir(\gamma')}$, or
- (2) $r(\gamma) = r(\gamma') = \emptyset$ and $s(\gamma) = \widehat{s(\gamma')}$ and $s(\gamma')|_{s(\gamma')^{-1}} = i$.

We first show that case (1), that is, $\gamma = i\gamma' \in I_A$, contributes nothing to the commutator. Indeed, for $f \in C_c^\infty(G_A)$ and $(x, n, y) \in G_A \setminus G_{i\gamma'}$ it holds that $P_{i\gamma'}[\Delta, S_i]P_{\gamma'}f(x, n, y) = 0$, while for $(x, n, y) \in G_{i\gamma'}$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} P_{i\gamma'}S_iP_{\gamma'}\Delta_{\gamma'}f(x, n, y) &= S_i\Delta_{\gamma'}f(x, n, y) \\ &= \Delta_{\gamma'}f(\sigma_A(x), n-1, y) \\ &= \int_{G_{\gamma'}} \frac{f(\sigma_A(x), n-1, y) - f(z, m, w)}{d_{\gamma'}((\sigma_A(x), n-1, y), (z, m, w))^\delta} d\mu_{\gamma'}(z, m, w) \\ &= \int_{G_{\gamma'}} \frac{f(\sigma_A(x), n-1, y) - f(z, n-1, w)}{d(y, w)^\delta} d\mu_{\gamma'}(z, n-1, w) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{i\gamma'}P_{i\gamma'}S_iP_{\gamma'}f(x, n, y) &= \Delta_{i\gamma'}S_iP_{\gamma'}f(x, n, y) \\ &= \int_{G_{i\gamma'}} \frac{S_iP_{\gamma'}f(x, n, y) - S_iP_{\gamma'}f(z, m, w)}{d_{i\gamma'}((x, n, y), (z, m, w))^\delta} d\mu_{i\gamma'}(z, m, w) \\ &= \int_{G_{i\gamma'}} \frac{f(\sigma_A(x), n-1, y) - (f \circ \sigma_{i\gamma'})(z, n, w)}{d_{i\gamma'}((x, n, y), (z, n, w))^\delta} d\mu_{i\gamma'}(z, n, w) \\ &= \int_{G_{\gamma'}} \frac{f(\sigma_A(x), n-1, y) - f(z', n', w')}{d_{i\gamma'}((x, n, y), (iz', n'+1, w'))^\delta} d\mu_{\gamma'}(z', n', w') \\ &= \int_{G_{\gamma'}} \frac{f(\sigma_A(x), n-1, y) - f(z, n-1, w)}{d(y, w)^\delta} d\mu_{\gamma'}(z, n-1, w). \end{aligned}$$

The fourth equality comes from changing $(z, n, w) \mapsto (\sigma_{i\gamma'})^{-1}(z', n', w') = (iz', n'+1, w')$ and Proposition 2.7. We conclude that

$$\Delta_{i\gamma'}P_{i\gamma'}S_iP_{\gamma'} = P_{i\gamma'}S_iP_{\gamma'}\Delta_{\gamma'},$$

and that case (1) contributes nothing to the commutator.

We claim that the non-zero entries in the commutator $[\Delta, S_i]$ comes from case (2). In other words, $[\Delta, S_i]$ is supported on the entries $P_{\phi, \hat{\beta}}[\Delta, S_i]P_{\phi, \beta}$ for β of length $k+1 \geq 2$ and $\beta_k = i$, with norms uniformly bounded in k .

Take an $f \in C_c^\infty(G_A)$. Observe that $P_{\phi, \hat{\beta}}[\Delta, S_i]P_{\phi, \beta}f$ vanishes on $G_A \setminus G_{\phi, \hat{\beta}}$. Let $(\sigma_A^{k-1}(x), -k+1, x) \in G_{\phi, \hat{\beta}}$ be arbitrary. Then, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta_{\phi, \hat{\beta}}P_{\phi, \hat{\beta}}S_iP_{\phi, \beta}f(\sigma_A^{k-1}(x), -k+1, x) \\ &= \int_{G_{\phi, \hat{\beta}}} \frac{S_iP_{\phi, \beta}f(\sigma_A^{k-1}(x), -k+1, x) - S_iP_{\phi, \beta}f(\sigma_A^{k-1}(y), -k+1, y)}{d(x, y)^\delta} d\mu_{\phi, \hat{\beta}}(\sigma_A^{k-1}(y), -k+1, y) \\ &= \int_{G_{\phi, \hat{\beta}}} \frac{P_{\phi, \beta}f(\sigma_A^k(x), -k, x) - P_{\phi, \beta}f(\sigma_A^k(y), -k, y)}{d(x, y)^\delta} d\mu_{\phi, \hat{\beta}}(\sigma_A^{k-1}(y), -k+1, y) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$P_{\phi, \hat{\beta}}S_iP_{\phi, \beta}\Delta_{\phi, \beta}f(\sigma_A^{k-1}(x), -k+1, x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Delta_{\phi,\beta} f(\sigma_A^k(x), -k, x) \\
&= \int_{G_{\phi,\beta}} \frac{P_{\phi,\beta} f(\sigma_A^k(x), -k, x) - P_{\phi,\beta} f(\sigma_A^k(y), -k, y)}{d(x, y)^\delta} d\mu_{\phi,\beta}(\sigma_A^k(y), -k, y) \\
&= \int_{\sigma_{\phi,\beta}(G_{\phi,\beta})} \frac{P_{\phi,\beta} f(\sigma_A^k(x), -k, x) - P_{\phi,\beta} f(\sigma_A^k(z), -k, z)}{d(x, z)^\delta} d\mu_{\phi,\beta}(\sigma_A^{k-1}(z), -k+1, z),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from

$$(\sigma_A^k(y), -k, y) \mapsto (\sigma_{\phi,\beta})^{-1}((\sigma_A^{k-1}(z), -k+1, z)) = (\sigma_A^k(z), -k, z).$$

Therefore, our computation is concluded from noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_{\phi,\hat{\beta}}[\Delta, S_i] P_{\phi,\beta} f(\sigma_A^{k-1}(x), -k+1, x) = \\
&= P_{\phi,\beta} f(\sigma_A^k(x), -k, x) \int_{G_{\phi,\hat{\beta}} \setminus \sigma_{\phi,\beta}(G_{\phi,\beta})} \frac{1}{d(x, y)^\delta} d\mu_{\phi,\hat{\beta}}(\sigma_A^{k-1}(y), -k+1, y).
\end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\int_{G_{\phi,\hat{\beta}} \setminus \sigma_{\phi,\beta}(G_{\phi,\beta})} \frac{1}{d(x, y)^\delta} d\mu_{\phi,\hat{\beta}}(\sigma_A^{k-1}(y), -k+1, y) \leq C,$$

and so

$$\|P_{\phi,\hat{\beta}}[\Delta, S_i] P_{\phi,\beta}\| \leq C.$$

The proof is now complete. \square

Remark 4.10. For full shifts $C = 1$, hence $\|[\Delta, \chi_i]\| \leq 1$.

Remark 4.11. We now describe why we have altered the constructions of [12] as discussed in Remarks 2.5 and 5.5. In [12], G_A was decomposed into $G_{\alpha,k}$ that here is

$$G_{\alpha,k} = \bigsqcup_{|\beta|=k+1} G_{\alpha,\beta},$$

with β being such that $\alpha,\beta \in I_A$. This decomposition and the associated distance functions produce a logarithmic Dirichlet Laplacian whose commutator with each S_i **does not** extend to a bounded operator, in contrast to the statement of [12]. Let us prove this statement. For this reason consider the decomposition

$$L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha,k} L^2(G_{\alpha,k}, \mu_{\alpha,k})$$

with the associated projections $P_{\alpha,k}$. Define the positive, self-adjoint operator Δ' acting on $L^2(G_A, \mu_A)$ as

$$\Delta' = \bigoplus_{\alpha,k} \Delta_{\alpha,k},$$

where each $\Delta_{\alpha,k}$ is the logarithmic Dirichlet Laplacian on $L^2(G_{\alpha,k}, \mu_{\alpha,k})$.

It can be shown that the number of non-zero entries of each column and row of the matrix operator $[\Delta', S_i]$ is uniformly bounded, and each non-zero entry extends to a bounded operator. However, the norms of those non-zero entries will not be uniformly bounded, meaning that $[\Delta', S_i]$ cannot extend to a bounded operator on $L^2(G_A, \mu_A)$. Specifically, let $k \geq 1$, $f \in C_c^\infty(G_A)$ and we focus on the entry $P_{\phi,k-1}[\Delta', S_i]P_{\phi,k}$ which vanishes on $G_A \setminus G_{\phi,k-1}$.

Let $(\sigma_A^{k-1}(x), -k+1, x) \in G_{\phi,k-1}$ be arbitrary. If $\sigma_A^{k-1}(x) \in C_i$, changing the variables with the homeomorphism $G_{\phi,k-1} \rightarrow G_{\phi,k}$ given by $(\sigma_A^{k-1}(x), -k+1, x) \mapsto (\sigma_A^k(x), -k, x)$, gives that

$$P_{\phi,k-1}[\Delta', S_i]P_{\phi,k} f(\sigma_A^{k-1}(x), -k+1, x) = 0.$$

However, if $\sigma_A^{k-1}(x) \notin C_i$, then

$$P_{\phi,k-1}[\Delta', S_i]P_{\phi,k} f(\sigma_A^{k-1}(x), -k+1, x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \int_{G_{\phi, k-1}} \frac{S_i P_{\phi, k} f(\sigma_A^{k-1}(y), -k+1, y)}{d(x, y)^\delta} d\mu_{\phi, k-1}(\sigma_A^{k-1}(y), -k+1, y) \\
&= - \int_{G_{\phi, k-1}, y \in \sigma_A^{-k+1}(C_i)} \frac{P_{\phi, k} f(\sigma_A^k(y), -k, y)}{d(x, y)^\delta} d\mu_{\phi, k-1}(\sigma_A^{k-1}(y), -k+1, y).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if f is the characteristic function of $G_{\phi, k}$, the latter integral has absolute value

$$\int_{\sigma_A^{-k+1}(C_i)} \frac{1}{d(x, y)^\delta} d\mu(y) \gtrsim k.$$

In that case,

$$\|P_{\phi, k-1}[\Delta', S_i]P_{\phi, k}f\|_{L^2} \gtrsim k(1 - \mu(C_i))^{1/2}$$

and as $\|f\|_{L^2} = 1$ since $\mu_{\phi, k}(G_{\phi, k}) = 1$, we have that

$$\|P_{\phi, k-1}[\Delta', S_i]P_{\phi, k}\| \gtrsim k(1 - \mu(C_i))^{1/2}.$$

We conclude that $[\Delta', S_i]$ is not bounded.

5. THE NONCOMMUTATIVE GEOMETRY OF G_A AS A METRIC-MEASURE GROUPOID

We now assemble the spectral triples from Δ and describe their K -homology classes following ideas of [10]. We show that the approach taken in [12] for the algebras O_N applies to all Cuntz–Krieger algebras O_A , thus obtaining a new class of spectral triples for these.

5.1. The Fock space. We introduce the space of finite words with a distinguished ending as

$$\tilde{V}_A := \{\alpha \cdot \beta \in V_A \times \{1, \dots, N\} : \alpha \beta \in V_A\} = \{\gamma \in I_A : |s(\gamma)| = 1\},$$

and write $\ell^2(\tilde{V}_A)$ for the associated Hilbert space. Furthermore, consider the Hilbert space

$$F_A := \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \tilde{V}_A} \text{Ker } \Delta_\gamma \subset L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A}),$$

and the associated projection P_A from $L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$ onto F_A . We note that for $\gamma \in I_A$,

$$\text{Ker } \Delta_\gamma = \mathbb{C}\chi_{G_\gamma}.$$

Here χ_{G_γ} denotes the characteristic function of $G_\gamma \subset G_A$. It is therefore useful to recall that up to renormalization we find the first element in the wavelet basis for each $L^2(G_\gamma, \mu_\gamma)$,

$$e_\gamma = \mu(G_\gamma)^{-1/2} \chi_{G_\gamma}.$$

By construction, $(e_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \tilde{V}_A}$ forms an orthonormal basis for F_A . In particular, $P_A P_\gamma = P_\gamma P_A$ is the rank one projection onto e_γ :

$$P_A P_\gamma f = \langle P_\gamma f, e_\gamma \rangle e_\gamma = \langle f, e_\gamma \rangle e_\gamma.$$

The map $\delta_\gamma \mapsto e_\gamma$ extends to a unitary isomorphism $v : \ell^2(\tilde{V}_A) \rightarrow F_A$ and $vv^* = P_A$.

Proposition 5.1. *For $a \in C_c^\infty(G_A)$ the operators $(1 - P_A)aP_A$ are of finite rank.*

Proof. We have

$$S_i e_\gamma = \begin{cases} e_{i\gamma} & \text{if } i\gamma \in \tilde{V}_A \\ 0 & \text{if } i\gamma \notin \tilde{V}_A, \end{cases}$$

Now $P_A S_i^* e_{j\gamma} = \delta_{ij} e_\gamma$ and $S_i^* P_A - P_A S_i^* P_A$ is of finite rank. Since $C_c^\infty(G_A)$ is generated by $\{S_i : i = 1, \dots, N\}$ as a $*$ -algebra, the statement follows. \square

Also, denote by ρ_A the left regular representation of O_A on $L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$.

Proposition 5.2. *The triple $(L^2(G_A, \mu_A), \rho_A, 2P_A - 1)$ is an odd Fredholm module over O_A .*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 5.1 and [9, Lemma 3.7]. \square

It is simple to check the following using Kaminker-Putnam's KK -duality [16], with the same argument as in [6,10].

Proposition 5.3. *The class $[L^2(G_A, \mu_A), \rho_A, 2P_A - 1] \in K^1(O_A)$ is the image of the unit $[1] \in K_0(O_{A^t})$ under the KK -duality isomorphism $K_0(O_{A^t}) \rightarrow K^1(O_A)$.*

More generally, for a finite word $\beta \in V_A \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ we can consider the Hilbert space

$$F_{A,\beta} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in I_A: s(\gamma)=\beta} \text{Ker } \Delta_\gamma \subset L^2(G_A, \mu_A),$$

and the associated projection $P_{A,\beta}$ from $L^2(G_A, \mu_A)$ onto $F_{A,\beta}$. By the same argument as above, we conclude the following.

Proposition 5.4. *For every $\beta \in V_A \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, the triple $(L^2(G_A, \mu_A), \rho_A, 2P_{A,\beta} - 1)$ is an odd Fredholm module over O_A . Also, the associated class $[(L^2(G_A, \mu_A), \rho_A, 2P_{A,\beta} - 1)] \in K^1(O_A)$ is the image of the projection $[S_\beta S_\beta^*] \in K_0(O_{A^t})$ under the KK -duality isomorphism $K_0(O_{A^t}) \rightarrow K^1(O_A)$.*

5.2. Spectral triple representative. We recall the proper continuous function $\ell : G_A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ from (4.4). In previous works [10,12], one used the length function $|c| + \kappa$ constructed from the maps $\kappa : G_A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $c : G_A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. However, for $g \in G_\gamma$ we have that

$$|c(g)| + \kappa(g) = \begin{cases} \ell(g) - |s(\gamma)|, & |r(\gamma)| + 1 > |s(\gamma)|, \\ 2\ell(g) - 2 - 3|r(\gamma)| & |r(\gamma)| + 1 \leq |s(\gamma)| \end{cases},$$

so ℓ and $|c| + \kappa$ are mutually relatively bounded by each other.

Consider now the unbounded operator D on $C_c^\infty(G_A)$ given by

$$(5.1) \quad Df = \begin{cases} -(\Delta + M_\ell)f, & \text{if } f \in F_A^\perp \\ M_\ell f, & \text{if } f \in F_A \end{cases}.$$

We see from Theorem 4.5 that in the orthonormal basis $(e_\gamma, e_{(\gamma,\nu,j)})_{(\gamma,\nu,j) \in \mathcal{I}_A} \subseteq C_c^\infty(G_A)$ for $L^2(G_A)$ from Theorem 3.4, we have

$$De_\gamma = \begin{cases} -|\gamma|e_\gamma, & \text{if } |s(\gamma)| > 1, \\ |\gamma|e_\gamma, & \text{if } |s(\gamma)| = 1 \end{cases}.$$

and

$$De_{(\gamma,\nu,j)} = -(|\gamma| + \lambda_{s(\gamma)}^A(\nu))e_{(\gamma,\nu,j)},$$

for $\lambda_{s(\gamma)}^A(\nu)$ as in Lemma 4.2. Note that

$$|\gamma| + \lambda_{s(\gamma)}^A(s(\gamma)\nu_0) \simeq |\gamma| + |\nu_0|,$$

by Proposition 4.4. We think of this spectral property as the splitting of

$$|D| = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in I_A} (|\gamma| + \Delta_\gamma), \quad \text{under } L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A}) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in I_A} L^2(G_\gamma, \mu_\gamma),$$

and where each $\Delta_\gamma \geq 0$ has compact resolvent with eigenvalues indexed by a one-point set and (ν_0, j) from a subset of $V_A \times \{1, \dots, N\}$ where the size of each eigenvalue is $|\nu_0|$. In particular, D has compact resolvent and $\text{Tr}(e^{-t|D|}) < \infty$ for $t > \log(\lambda_{\max})/C_0$, where $C_0 > 0$ is the constant appearing in Proposition 4.4. Since P_A commutes with M_ℓ and Δ , we can simplify our notation and write

$$D = (2P_A - 1)(\Delta + M_\ell),$$

as an unbounded self-adjoint operator.

Proposition 5.5. *The triple $(C_c^\infty(G_A), L^2(G_A, \mu_A), D)$ is an odd spectral triple such that*

$$D = (2P_A - 1)(\Delta + M_\ell).$$

Consequently, the K^1 -homology class of it equals $[L^2(G_A, \mu_A), \rho_A, 2P_A - 1] \in K^1(O_A)$. And more generally, any finite word $\beta \in V_A$ defines an odd spectral triple

$$(C_c^\infty(G_A), L^2(G_A, \mu_A), (2P_{A,\beta} - 1)(\Delta + M_\ell)),$$

whose K^1 -homology class equals $[L^2(G_A, \mu_A), \rho_A, 2P_{A,\beta} - 1] \in K^1(O_A)$.

Proof. We shall prove the statement for P_A and the proof about $P_{A,\beta}$ is similar. First, it is clear from the definition of D in (5.1) that $D = (2P_A - 1)(\Delta + M_\ell)$. Also, from the preceding discussion we see that D has compact resolvent. We only need to show that $[D, S_i]$ extends to a bounded operator for every $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. To this end, observe that

$$D = (2P_A - 1)M_\ell - \Delta.$$

From Proposition 4.9 we have that each $[\Delta, S_i]$ extends to a bounded operator. Furthermore, each $[(2P_A - 1)M_\ell, S_i]$ extends to a bounded operator as well, which can be seen as follows. We again view each $[(2P_A - 1)M_\ell, S_i]$ as a matrix operator using Proposition 3.2. The matrix decomposition of the operator $(2P_A - 1)M_\ell$ is diagonal and we derive that there is a bounded function $\zeta_i : I_A \times I_A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $[(2P_A - 1)M_\ell, S_{i,\gamma}'] = \zeta_i(\gamma, \gamma')S_{i,\gamma}'$. We conclude that $[(2P_A - 1)M_\ell, S_i]$ is bounded. \square

6. THE ISOMETRY GROUP AND ENTROPY

We now describe the isometry group of the spectral triple $(C_c^\infty(G_A), L^2(G_A, \mu_A), D)$ from Proposition 5.5. We write \mathbf{G}_D for the isometry group of $(C_c^\infty(G_A), L^2(G_A, \mu_A), D)$ as defined in [21]. The group \mathbf{G}_D is the subgroup of the automorphism group of O_A consisting of automorphisms φ of O_A for which there is a unitary implementer U of φ on $L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$ such that $UDU^* = D$ in the sense of unbounded operators, for more details see [21]. The operator U is uniquely determined by φ up to an element of the unitary group $U(O'_A)$ on the dense subspace $O_A \subseteq L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$ from

$$U(\xi) = \varphi(\xi), \quad \xi \in O_A.$$

In fact, an automorphism φ of O_A extends to a unitary on $L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$ if and only if the KMS -state on O_A for the gauge action is φ -invariant; which in turn is equivalent to φ commuting with the gauge action (i.e. graded).

Before diving into deeper results about the isometry group, we start with some easy observations allowing us to embed \mathbf{G}_D into the unitary group $U(N)$. Our argument closely follows [4] describing a similar problem on the algebra O_N . We note the following:

- (1) 1 is an eigenvalue of D with eigenspace spanned by $e_{\phi,\beta}$ for $|\beta| = 1$. We have that such an $e_{\phi,\beta}$ is a normalization of the characteristic function for the set

$$G_{\phi,\beta} = \{(x, 0, x) \in G_A : x \in C(\beta)\}.$$

In terms of the generators of O_A , $e_{\phi,\beta} = S_\beta S_\beta^*$.

- (2) 2 is an eigenvalue of D with eigenspace spanned by $e_{\alpha,\beta}$ for $|\alpha| = 1$ and $|\beta| = 1$ with $\alpha\beta \in V_A$. We have that such an $e_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a normalization of the characteristic function for the set

$$G_{\alpha,\beta} = \{(x, 1, y) \in G_A : x = \alpha y, y \in C(\beta)\}.$$

In terms of the generators of O_A , $e_{\alpha,\beta} = S_\alpha S_\beta S_\beta^*$.

In particular, if $\varphi \in \mathbf{G}_D$, the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 must be preserved. So there is a unitary matrix $u^{(1)} = (u_{\beta,\beta'}^{(1)})_{\beta,\beta'=1}^N \in U(N)$ such that

$$\varphi(S_\beta S_\beta^*) = \sum_{\beta'=1}^N u_{\beta',\beta}^{(1)} S_{\beta'} S_{\beta'}^*.$$

In fact, given that φ is an automorphism, $u^{(1)}$ must be a permutation matrix (i.e. only one non-zero entry per row and column which is 1). Similarly, there must be a unitary matrix $u^{(2)} = (u_{\alpha,\alpha'}^{(2)})_{\alpha,\alpha'=1}^N \in U(N)$ such that for every $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(S_\alpha S_\beta S_\beta^*) &= \sum_{\alpha', \beta'=1}^N u_{\alpha', \alpha}^{(2)} u_{\beta', \beta}^{(1)} S_{\alpha'} S_{\beta'} S_{\beta'}^* \\ &= \sum_{\alpha'=1}^N u_{\alpha', \alpha}^{(2)} S_{\alpha'} \varphi(S_\beta S_\beta^*). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, summing over β we obtain

$$\varphi(S_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha'=1}^N u_{\alpha', \alpha}^{(2)} S_{\alpha'}.$$

Since $\{S_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^N$ generate O_A , the map

$$(6.1) \quad \iota : \mathbf{G}_D \hookrightarrow U(N), \quad \varphi \mapsto u^{(2)},$$

is a group embedding. We aim to describe this embedding explicitly. To this end, we first obtain an algebraic description of the closed subgroup \mathbf{G}_A of $U(N)$ consisting of all unitaries $u \in U(N)$ such that the map $\varphi_u(S_\alpha) := \sum_{\alpha'=1}^N u_{\alpha', \alpha} S_{\alpha'}$ for $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ extends to an automorphism of O_A .

Proposition 6.1. *The group \mathbf{G}_A consists of all unitaries $u \in U(N)$ such that*

$$(u \otimes u) \tilde{A} (u^* \otimes u^*) = \tilde{A},$$

where $\tilde{A} \in M_N(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_N(\mathbb{C})$ is given on the standard basis $\{e_i \otimes e_j\}_{i,j=1}^N$ of $\mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathbb{C}^N$ by

$$\tilde{A}(e_i \otimes e_j) = A_{i,j} e_i \otimes e_j.$$

Proof. We describe the elements $u \in U(N)$ for which the map $\varphi_u(S_\alpha) := \sum_{\alpha'=1}^N u_{\alpha', \alpha} S_{\alpha'}$ extends to an automorphism of O_A ; that is, $\{\varphi_u(S_\alpha)\}_{\alpha=1}^N$ satisfies the Cuntz–Krieger relations. Since u is unitary one has

$$\sum_{\alpha} \varphi_u(S_\alpha) \varphi_u(S_\alpha)^* = 1.$$

Moreover, it holds that

$$\varphi_u(S_\alpha)^* \varphi_u(S_\beta) = \sum_{\alpha', \beta'} \overline{u_{\alpha', \alpha}} u_{\beta', \beta} S_{\alpha'}^* S_{\beta'} = \sum_{\alpha', \beta'} \overline{u_{\alpha', \alpha}} u_{\alpha', \beta} A_{\alpha', \beta'} S_{\beta'} S_{\beta'}^*.$$

Therefore, when $\alpha \neq \beta$, we have that $\varphi_u(S_\alpha)^* \varphi_u(S_\beta) = 0$ if and only if for every $\beta' \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ one has

$$\sum_{\alpha'} \overline{u_{\alpha', \alpha}} u_{\alpha', \beta} A_{\alpha', \beta'} = 0.$$

One the other hand,

$$\sum_{\alpha'} A_{\alpha, \alpha'} \varphi_u(S_{\alpha'}) \varphi_u(S_{\alpha'})^* = \sum_{\alpha', \beta''} \overline{u_{\beta'', \alpha'}} u_{\beta', \alpha'} A_{\alpha, \alpha'} S_{\beta'} S_{\beta''}^*.$$

Consequently, we have that

$$\varphi_u(S_\alpha)^* \varphi_u(S_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha'} A_{\alpha, \alpha'} \varphi_u(S_{\alpha'}) \varphi_u(S_{\alpha'})^*$$

if and only if for $\beta' \neq \beta''$ it holds

$$\sum_{\alpha'} \overline{u_{\beta'', \alpha'}} u_{\beta', \alpha'} A_{\alpha, \alpha'} = 0$$

and for $\beta' = \beta''$ it holds

$$\sum_{\alpha'} \overline{u_{\beta', \alpha'} u_{\beta', \alpha'}} A_{\alpha, \alpha'} = \sum_{\alpha'} \overline{u_{\alpha', \alpha} u_{\alpha', \alpha}} A_{\alpha', \beta'}.$$

In summary, for $u \in U(N)$ the map φ_u extends to an automorphism of O_A if and only if

$$(6.2) \quad \delta_{\alpha, \beta} \sum_{\alpha'} \overline{u_{\beta'', \alpha'} u_{\beta', \alpha'}} A_{\alpha, \alpha'} = \delta_{\beta', \beta''} \sum_{\alpha'} \overline{u_{\alpha', \alpha} u_{\alpha', \beta}} A_{\alpha', \beta'}.$$

Then, a simple calculation shows that (6.2) is equivalent to

$$(u \otimes u) \tilde{A}(u^* \otimes u^*) = \tilde{A},$$

where \tilde{A} is the matrix described in the statement. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 6.2. For the Cuntz algebra O_N we have $A_{i,j} = 1$ for all i, j and hence in this case $\mathbf{G}_A = U(N)$. In general, the group \mathbf{G}_A is a compact Lie group and it is straightforward to check that the associated Lie algebra consists of all skew-hermitian matrices $X \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ such that $[1_N \otimes X + X \otimes 1_N, \tilde{A}] = 0$.

Another interesting fact about the group \mathbf{G}_A is the following.

Theorem 6.3. *The Voiculescu noncommutative topological entropy vanishes on the automorphism $\varphi_u : O_A \rightarrow O_A$ for $u \in \mathbf{G}_A$.*

Proof. The Voiculescu noncommutative topological entropy was defined in [25], and from [24, Theorem 2.2] one directly concludes the theorem for the Cuntz algebra. The general case follows from the same methods as in the proof of [24, Theorem 2.2] using that \mathbf{G}_A acts via elements of the matrix algebra in the core spanned by $\{S_i S_j^* : i, j = 1, \dots, N\}$. \square

Consider now the subgroup $\text{Aut}(A)$ of the group of permutation matrices S_N defined as

$$\text{Aut}(A) := \{q \in S_N : qA = Aq\}.$$

In other words, $\text{Aut}(A)$ is the automorphism group of the directed graph associated to A . For $q \in \text{Aut}(A)$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ we shall denote by $q(i) \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ the permutation of i under q . An immediate observation is that $\text{Aut}(A)$ acts on the space of admissible finite words V_A by fixing the empty word \emptyset and acting on $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \in V_A$ by

$$(6.3) \quad q \cdot \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n := q(\alpha_1) \dots q(\alpha_n), \quad q \in \text{Aut}(A).$$

This action extends to an action on the index set I_A in (2.3). For brevity, we shall denote the action of $q \in \text{Aut}(A)$ on $x \in V_A$ or I_A by $q \cdot x$.

Moreover, $\text{Aut}(A)$ acts by conjugation on \mathbb{T}^N , where the latter is viewed as the group of $N \times N$ -diagonal matrices with entries in \mathbb{T} . Further, the associated semi-direct product $\mathbb{T}^N \rtimes \text{Aut}(A)$ embeds as a group into $U(N)$ by sending $(c, q) \mapsto cq$. At this point we identify $\mathbb{T}^N \rtimes \text{Aut}(A)$ with its image in $U(N)$. Moreover, from Proposition 6.1 we obtain that $\mathbb{T}^N \rtimes \text{Aut}(A)$ is in fact a subgroup of \mathbf{G}_A because for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $q \in \text{Aut}(A)$ it holds that $A_{i,j} = A_{q(i), q(j)}$.

The action of the group $\mathbb{T}^N \rtimes \text{Aut}(A)$ can be described dynamically as well. An element $q \in \text{Aut}(A)$ induces a shift equivariant measure preserving isometry

$$v_q : \Sigma_A \rightarrow \Sigma_A, \quad (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (q(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

This map can be lifted to a measure preserving homeomorphism $V_q : G_A \rightarrow G_A$ by setting $V_q(x, n, y) := (v_q(x), n, v_q(y))$ with the property that $V_q : (G_\gamma, d_\gamma) \rightarrow (G_{q \cdot \gamma}, d_{q \cdot \gamma})$ with $\mu_\gamma = \mu_{q \cdot \gamma}$. An element $c \in \mathbb{T}^N$ acts on $L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$ via

$$cf(g) := c_{\alpha_1(g)} \cdots c_{\alpha_{|\alpha|}(g)} \overline{c_{\beta_1(g)} c_{\beta_1(g)} \cdots c_{\beta_{|\beta|-1}(g)}} f(g).$$

Here $\alpha(g)$ and $\beta(g)$ are defined in terms of the discretization map

$$\ell : G_A \rightarrow I_A, \quad \ell(g) = (\ell_r(g), \ell_s(g)),$$

of Definition 2.2 by setting $\alpha(g) := \ell_r(g)$ and $\beta(g) := \ell_s(g)$.

Theorem 6.4. *The isometry group \mathbf{G}_D of the spectral triple $(C_c^\infty(G_A), L^2(G_A, \mu_A), D)$ is a compact Lie group. In particular, the image of \mathbf{G}_D under the embedding $\iota : \mathbf{G}_D \hookrightarrow U(N)$ defined in (6.1) coincides with the subgroup $\mathbb{T}^N \rtimes \text{Aut}(A)$ of \mathbf{G}_A .*

Proof. The group \mathbf{G}_D is a compact Lie group since $\iota(\mathbf{G}_D)$ is a closed subgroup of $U(N)$. Moreover, from Proposition 6.1 we obtain that

$$(6.4) \quad \iota(\mathbf{G}_D) \subset \mathbf{G}_A,$$

since by definition \mathbf{G}_D consists of automorphisms of O_A . Further, the 1-eigenspace of D , which is spanned by $S_\beta S_\beta^*$ for $|\beta| = 1$, is preserved by \mathbf{G}_D and hence a simple calculation for $\varphi(S_\beta S_\beta^*)$, where $\varphi \in \mathbf{G}_D$ is arbitrary, yields that

$$(6.5) \quad \iota(\mathbf{G}_D) \subset \mathbb{T}^N \rtimes S_N.$$

In particular, from (6.4) and (6.5) we have that

$$(6.6) \quad \iota(\mathbf{G}_D) \subset \mathbb{T}^N \rtimes \text{Aut}(A).$$

Now let $u \in \mathbb{T}^N \rtimes \text{Aut}(A)$. Since in particular $u \in \mathbf{G}_A$, we have that the KMS-state for the gauge action on O_A is φ_u -invariant, where $\varphi_u : O_A \rightarrow O_A$ is the automorphism given on S_α for $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ by

$$(6.7) \quad \varphi_u(S_\alpha) := \sum_{\alpha'=1}^N u_{\alpha', \alpha} S_{\alpha'}.$$

As a result, by viewing $O_A \subseteq L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$ as a dense subspace, the operator U on $L^2(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$ defined as

$$U_u(\xi) := \varphi_u(\xi), \quad \xi \in O_A$$

is a unitary implementing φ_u . To prove equality for (6.6) we have to show that $[U_u, D] = 0$.

To conclude $[U_u, D] = 0$ it suffices to show that U_u commutes with the projection P_A on the Fock space F_A , the potential M_ℓ and the logarithmic Dirichlet Laplacian Δ , see Section 5 for the description of these operators. By writing $u = cq$, where $c \in \mathbb{T}^N$ and $q \in \text{Aut}(A)$, we have that

$$\varphi_u(S_\alpha) = u_{q(\alpha), \alpha} S_{q(\alpha)}.$$

Therefore, the Fock space F_A and its complement are preserved. In other words, U_u commutes with P_A . Similarly, the eigenspaces of M_ℓ are preserved and hence U_u commutes with M_ℓ . More precisely, we have that U_u preserves the direct sum decomposition $L^2(G_A, \mu_A) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in I_A} L^2(G_\gamma, \mu_\gamma)$ in the sense that $U_u = \bigoplus_{\gamma} U_{u, \gamma}$ where for every $\gamma \in I_A$ the unitary

$$U_{u, \gamma} : L^2(G_\gamma, \mu_\gamma) \rightarrow L^2(G_{q \cdot \gamma}, \mu_{q \cdot \gamma}),$$

acts as the composition of unitary induced by the measure preserving isometry v_q and a complex scalar. Since each individual logarithmic Dirichlet Laplacian Δ_γ commutes with unitaries induced by measure-preserving isometries (see [8, Lemma 5.9]) we obtain that U_u commutes with Δ as well. The proof is now complete. \square

Remark 6.5. With a similar proof as that of Theorem 6.4, one can prove that for a finite word $\beta \in V_A$ the isometry group of the spectral triple $(C_c^\infty(G_A), L^2(G_A, \mu_A), (2P_{A, \beta} - 1)(\Delta + M_\ell))$ (see Proposition 5.5) is the compact Lie group $\mathbb{T}^N \rtimes \text{Aut}_\beta(A)$ where $\text{Aut}_\beta(A) \subseteq \text{Aut}(A)$ is the subgroup of graph automorphisms that preserve β viewed as a finite path in the graph.

Finally, it is well-known that isometries on compact metric spaces have zero-topological entropy. It turns out that this is true in our context as well.

Corollary 6.6. *The Voiculescu noncommutative topological entropy vanishes on \mathbf{G}_D .*

Proof. This now follows from Theorems 6.3 and 6.4. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Actis, H. Aimar; Dyadic nonlocal diffusions in metric measure spaces, *FCAA* **18** (2015), 762–788.
- [2] J. Cuntz, W. Krieger, A Class of C^* -algebras and Topological Markov Chains, *Invent. Math.* **56** (1980), 251–268.
- [3] A. Connes; *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [4] R. Conti, S. Rossi; Groups of isometries of the Cuntz algebras, *Doc. Math.* **26** (2021), 1799–1815.
- [5] V. Deaconu; Generalized solenoids and C^* -algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **190** (1999), 247–260.
- [6] R. J. Deeley, M. Goffeng, B. Mesland, and M. F. Whittaker; Wiener solenoids, Cuntz–Pimsner algebras and K -theory, *Erg. Th. & Dyn. Sys.* **38** (2018), 2942–2988.
- [7] C. Farsi, E. Gillaspay, A. Julien, S. Kang, and J. Packer; *Wavelets and spectral triples for fractal representations of Cuntz algebras*, Problems and recent methods in operator theory, Contemp. Math., 687, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017, 103–133.
- [8] D. M. Gerontogiannis, B. Mesland; The logarithmic Dirichlet Laplacian on Ahlfors regular spaces (2023), arXiv:2309.16636.
- [9] M. Goffeng; Equivariant extensions of $*$ -algebras, *New York J. Math.* **16** (2010), 369–385.
- [10] M. Goffeng, B. Mesland; Spectral triples and finite summability on Cuntz–Krieger algebras, *Doc. Math.* **20** (2015), 89–170.
- [11] M. Goffeng, B. Mesland, A. Rennie; Shift-tail equivalence and an unbounded representative of the Cuntz–Pimsner extension, *Erg. Th. & Dyn. Sys.* **38** (2018), 1389–1421.
- [12] M. Goffeng, B. Mesland; *Spectral triples on O_N* , 2016 MATRIX annals, MATRIX Book Ser., 1, Springer, Cham, 2018, 183–201.
- [13] M. Goffeng, A. Usachev; Dixmier traces and residues on weak operator ideals, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **488** (2020), 124045.
- [14] J. Heinonen; *Lectures on analysis on metric spaces*, Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [15] A. Julien, I. Putnam; Spectral triples for subshifts, *J. Funct. Anal.* **270** (2016), 1031–1063.
- [16] J. Kaminker, I. Putnam; K -theoretic duality of shifts of finite type, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **187** (1997), 509–522.
- [17] A. Katok, B. Hasselblatt; *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [18] M. Marcolli, A. M. Paolucci; Cuntz–Krieger algebras and wavelets on fractals, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **5** (2011), 41–81.
- [19] D. Lind, B. Marcus; *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2021.
- [20] B. Mesland, M.H. Sengun; Hecke operators in KK -theory and the K -homology of Bianchi groups, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **14** (2020), 125–189.
- [21] E. Park; Isometries of noncommutative metric spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1995), 97–105.
- [22] J. Renault; *A groupoid approach to C^* -algebras*, LNM 793, Springer, 1980.
- [23] J. Renault; *Cuntz-like algebras*, Operator theoretical methods (Timisoara, 1998) Theta Found, Bucharest, 2000, 371–386.
- [24] A. Skalski, J. Zacharias; Noncommutative topological entropy of endomorphisms of Cuntz algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **86** (2008), 115–134.
- [25] D. Voiculescu; Dynamical approximation entropies and topological entropy in operator algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **170** (1995), 249–281.
- [26] M. Zähle; Harmonic calculus on fractals - A measure geometric approach II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **357** (2005), 3407–3423.

DIMITRIS M. GERONTOGIANNIS, LEIDEN UNIVERSITY, GORLAEUS GEBOUW, BW-VLEUGEL, EINSTEINWEG 55, 2333 CC LEIDEN, THE NETHERLANDS

Email address: `d.m.gerontogiannis@math.leidenuniv.nl`

MAGNUS GOFFENG, CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, LUND UNIVERSITY, BOX 118, 221 00 LUND, SWEDEN

Email address: `magnus.goffeng@math.lth.se`

BRAM MESLAND, LEIDEN UNIVERSITY, GORLAEUS GEBOUW, BW-VLEUGEL, EINSTEINWEG 55, 2333 CC LEIDEN, THE NETHERLANDS

Email address: `b.mesland@math.leidenuniv.nl`